1   Article type: Article

3   **Experimental evidence that thermal selection shapes**

4   **mitochondrial genome evolution**

Zdeněk Lajbner [1]*, Reuven Pnini [1], M. Florencia Camus [2,3], Jonathan Miller [1], Damian K.

Dowling [2]

[1] Physics and Biology Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate

University (OIST), 1919-1 Tancha, Onna-son, Okinawa 904-0945, Japan,

[2] School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia,

[3] Department of Genetics, Evolution & Environment, University College London, London

WC1E 6BT, UK.

*Correspondence: Zdeněk Lajbner, Physics and Biology Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science

and Technology Graduate University (OIST), 1919-1 Tancha, Onna-son, Okinawa 904-0945,

Japan.

Email: z.lajbner@seznam.cz

Running head: Thermal selection on mitogenomes.

Keywords

mtDNA; hybridization; secondary contact; thermal selection; experimental evolution,

Drosophila melanogaster

Mitochondria are essential organelles found within eukaryotic cells, which contain their own

DNA. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is frequently used in population genetic and

biogeographic studies as a maternally-inherited and evolutionary-neutral genetic marker,

despite increasing evidence that polymorphisms within the mtDNA sequence are sensitive to

thermal selection. Currently, however, all published evidence for this "mitochondrial climatic

adaptation" hypothesis is correlational. Here, we use laboratory-based experimental evolution

in the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster,* to test whether thermal selection can shift

population frequencies of two mtDNA haplotypes, whose natural frequencies exhibit clinal

associations with latitude along the Australian east-coast. We present experimental evidence

the haplotypes changed in frequency, across generations, when subjected to different thermal

regimes. Our results thus contradict the widely-accepted paradigm that intra-specific mtDNA

variants are selectively neutral; suggesting spatial distributions of mtDNA haplotypes reflect

adaptation to climatic environments rather than within-population coalescence and diffusion

of selectively-neutral haplotypes across populations.

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is usually maternally inherited (Greiner et al. 2015), and has

long been considered a neutral evolutionary marker (Moritz et al. 1987). Accordingly, the

mtDNA has been routinely harnessed as the quintessential tool used in phylogenetics,

population genetic studies, and phylogeographic reconstructions (Avise et al. 1987).

However, non-neutral evolution of DNA can compromise historical inferences in population

and evolutionary biology (Rand et al. 1994). New evidence published over the past decade

has suggested that a sizeable amount of the genetic variation that exists within the

mitochondrial genome is sensitive to natural selection, and exerts strong effects on the

phenotype (Dowling et al. 2008, Dowling 2014, Wallace 2016). Furthermore, emerging data

indicate that not all mitochondrial haplotypes perform equally well under the same thermal

conditions – some perform best when it is warmer, others when it is colder (Matsuura et al.

1993, Doi et al. 1999, Dowling et al. 2007, Arnqvist et al. 2010, Wolff et al. 2016).

Correlative molecular data in humans are also consistent with the idea that certain

mitochondrial mutations might represent adaptations to cold climates (Mishmar et al. 2003,

Ruiz-Pesini et al. 2004, Balloux et al. 2009, Luo et al. 2011), and thus support is growing for

a "mitochondrial climatic adaptation" hypothesis, which suggests that polymorphisms that

accumulate across mtDNA haplotypes found in different spatial locations have been shaped

by selection to the prevailing climate.

However, these contentions remain debated primarily because the conclusions of

previous studies are based on correlations between mutational patterns in the mtDNA

sequence and climatic region, which have proven difficult to replicate in other or larger

datasets (Kivisild et al. 2006, Sun et al. 2007). We therefore decided to apply an experimental

evolution approach to test the mitochondrial climatic adaptation hypothesis, by determining

whether multigenerational exposure of replicated populations of fruit flies to different

thermal conditions leads to consistent changes in the population frequencies of naturally-

occurring mtDNA haplotypes.

In the wild, different locally-adapted populations can routinely come into

secondary contact and hybridize. This enables selection of novel mito-nuclear genotypes that

might be better suited to a new or changing environment (Cannestrelli et al. 2016). This

evolutionary scenario is common in the Anthropocene, when humans have rapidly and

unprecedentedly changed both climatic conditions and levels of habitat connectivity (Lewis

& Maslin 2015). We reproduced such a hybridization event under controlled laboratory

conditions, by interbreeding two subpopulations of *D. melanogaster,* which had adapted to

different thermal environments, at different ends of an established and well-studied

latitudinal cline (Hoffmann & Weeks 2007, Bergland et al. 2016). It is thought that the

species was introduced into Australia during the past one to two hundred years, probably via

recurrent introductions of flies from both African and European origins (David & Capy 1988,

Bergland et al. 2016). The species has been studied extensively in the context of thermal

adaptation along latitudinal clines, both within Australia, and other replicated clines in other

continents (Hoffmann & Weeks 2007, Adrion et al. 2015, Bergland et al. 2016). This

research has shown that numerous phenotypes related to thermal tolerance exhibit linear

associations with latitude, and that these patterns are underscored by linear associations of

key candidate nuclear genes (Hoffmann & Weeks 2007). Yet, no research had focused on the

quantitative spatial distribution of mtDNA variants (Adrion et al. 2015), until Camus et al.

(2017) reported that similar clinal patterns are found for two phylogenetic groups of mtDNA

haplotypes along the eastern coast of Australia. Furthermore, Camus et al. (2017) were able

to map these clinal patterns of mtDNA variation to the phenotype, showing that the mtDNA

haplotype that predominates at subtropical latitudes confers superior resistance to extreme

heat exposure, but inferior resistance to cold exposure than its temperate-predominant

counterparts.

Results

We collected 20 mated-females from the Townsville subpopulation (latitude -19.26) and 20 from Melbourne (latitude -37.99). These females were used to found isofemale lineages. Genotyping of these lines revealed two deeply-divergent mtDNA haplotypes that coexist in both of the wild subpopulations we sampled, but at different frequencies (Fig. 1). The haplotypes correspond with the haplogroups of Camus et al. (2017). The A haplotype is found to predominate in the low-latitude, hot, tropical subpopulation from Townsville (H), whilst the B haplotype predominates in the temperate, cooler Melbourne subpopulation (C).

Wild fruit flies are often hosts of intracellular parasites, such as *Wolbachia* and associated maternally-transmitted microbiomes that are known to manipulate host phenotypes (Fry et al. 2004, Hurst & Jiggins 2005, Koukou et al. 2006). In order to assess the effects of thermal selection on the standing mitochondrial variation in our experiment, both in the presence and the absence of these maternally-inherited microbiota that co-transmit with the mtDNA, we treated a full copy of our isofemale lineages with the antibiotic tetracycline hydrochloride, such that we maintained a full copy with putative *Wolbachia* and unperturbed microbiomes, and one copy without *Wolbachia* and with perturbed microbiomes.

After multigenerational acclimatisation to the laboratory, we combined the isofemale lineages, via an admixture procedure, to form 15 replicated experimental populations, seven of which were derived from tetracycline-treated lineages, and eight derived from untreated lineages (Fig. 2). Starting haplotype frequencies in our experimental populations reflect the composition of haplotypes in the wild populations. On average, 45% of flies at the outset of the experiment possessed the A haplotype and 55% the B haplotype. These frequencies were confirmed by individual genotyping of virtually all flies in all 15 experimental populations, at this starting generation of the experimental evolution (Supplementary Table 1). Within each antibiotic treatment (ancestors tetracycline-treated

versus untreated), each of the experimental populations were then split into quadruplicates

and each experimental subpopulation was then maintained at one of four different thermal

conditions (Fig. 2). These were a constant 19°C, constant 25°C, fluctuating around a mean of

17.4°C, and fluctuating around a mean of 26.4°C (see Methods for details). Selection was

applied for the subsequent three generations for the two colder treatments, and for seven

generations for the two warmer treatments (~3 months of experimental evolution). Following

this, the haplotype frequencies of each experimental subpopulation were estimated, and

changes in frequencies calculated. In total, 4410 fruit fly individuals were genotyped across

the experiment (Supplementary Table 1).

We divided the dataset into four groups for analysis: females from populations

treated with antibiotics (denoted FA), females from populations left untreated (FN), males

from populations treated with antibiotics (MA), and males from populations left untreated

(MN). We did this, since our main terms of interest centred on the level of the three-way

interaction (sex × antibiotic treatment × thermal regime), which was significant in a mixed

model analysis using maximum likelihood estimation (Extended Table 1). We found a

statistically significant effect of the thermal regime on changes in haplotype frequencies

sampled from females derived from antibiotic-treated lineages (Group FA, $P =$

0.0152 and power $\equiv 1 - \beta = 78\%$, Fig. 3, Table 1). In this group, we found that the

frequency of the B haplotype, which is naturally predominant in the temperate south of

Australia, had decreased in both of the warmer treatments, but increased in the cooler

treatments; in concordance with patterns found along the Australian cline. That is, in the FA

group, the A haplotype increased under positive selection in each of the warmer experimental

conditions; these conditions reflect those experienced in our low latitude H subpopulation

(estimated selection coefficient of the A haplotype for fluctuating warm conditions $s_A =$

0.082±0.026; estimated selection coefficient of the B haplotype for fluctuating cold

conditions $s_B = 0.085±0.050$).

143   There might also have been an effect of the thermal regime on changes in

144   haplotype frequencies, sampled from males derived from antibiotic-untreated lineages

145   (Group MN, $P = 0.0702$, power = 23%). However, the pattern of frequency change across the

146   four thermal conditions was opposite to that observed in Group FA, with the frequency of the

147   A haplotype increasing under colder temperatures, and the B haplotype under warmer

148   temperatures.

Discussion

We have provided direct evidence that population-frequencies of naturally-occurring mtDNA

haplotypes, sampled from a continuous distribution of *D. melanogaster* in east coast

Australia, are shaped by thermal selection. However, our support for the mitochondrial

climatic hypothesis was limited to the group of females whose ancestors had had their

coevolved microbiomes, including *Wolbachia* infection, disrupted by antibiotic treatment

(FA). While the patterns observed in males derived from antibiotic-untreated lineages were

opposite in their direction, we note that selection on mtDNA in males cannot directly

contribute to shaping patterns of mtDNA variation between generations, because males

virtually never transmit their mtDNA haplotypes to their offspring. As such, mitochondrial

genomes are predicted to evolve under a sex-specific selective sieve (Innocenti et al. 2011),

in which mutations in the mtDNA sequence that confer harm to males can nonetheless

accumulate in wild populations, as long as these same mutations are neutral or beneficial for

females (Frank & Hurst 1996, Gemmell et al. 2004, Camus et al. 2015). In the absence of

inter-sexual positive pleiotropy, such male-expression specific mtDNA mutations could in

theory shape patterns of haplotype frequencies within a generation, if they affect male-

specific patterns of juvenile or adult survival, but would not be passed on to the next

generation, and would thus not shape haplotype frequencies across generations. That said, we

feel it is unlikely that such male-harming mutations could explain the patterns detected in

males here, and indeed the A and B haplotypes are probably largely sex-general in their

effects, at least on thermal tolerance phenotypes studied (Camus et al. 2017).

On the other hand, the haplotype frequencies sampled from male offspring in the

antibiotic-free treatment might have been affected by *Wolbachia*-induced cytonuclear

incompatibilities. In the wild, there is a latitudinal cline in *Wolbachia* presence (Hoffmann et

al. 1998), indicating that *Wolbachia* prevalence itself might be shaped by thermal selection.

The low-latitude Australian sub-tropical populations exhibit higher levels of *Wolbachia*

infection than higher latitude temperate populations (Hoffmann et al. 1998). The complicated

host-parasite dynamics make predictions for future changes in mito-genomic compositions of

wild fruit flies populations difficult (see Kriesner et al. 2016, Corbin et al. 2017). *Wolbachia*

clades also exhibit habitat-specific fitness dynamics (Versace et al. 2014), and it is possible

that different *Wolbachia,* or other microsymbiont, strains are linked to the two different

mtDNA haplotypes studied here, given that each co-transmit with the mtDNA in perfect

association along the maternal lineage, and that the mtDNA frequencies in the antibiotic-free

treatments hitchhiked on frequency changes involving these microsymbiotic assemblages, as

is expected by theory, and has been observed previously (Rasgon et al. 2006, Schuler et al.

2016).

Mitochondrial genetic markers remain an important tool for population genetics,

despite growing experimental evidence that mitochondrial genetic variation is affected by

thermal (Camus et al. 2017), and other kinds of selection (Kazancıoğlu & Arnqvist 2014).

The evolutionary trajectories of distinct mitochondrial haplotypes might, furthermore, be

selected together with functionally-linked nuclear gene complexes (Wolff et al. 1014, Hill

2015). This reinforces the point that phylogenetic, population-genetic, and biogeographic

studies involving mtDNA should incorporate statistical tests to investigate the forces shaping

sequence variation and evolution (Ballard & Kreitman 1995), and examine variation at

multiple genetic loci (Galtier et al. 2009). Moreover, to date, researchers have focused mainly

on the effects of nonsynonymous mutations in the evolutionary dynamics of mitochondrial

genomes (James et al. 2016). However, the evidence is growing that mitochondrial molecular

function is also affected by single nucleotides in synonymous and non-protein coding

positions on mtDNA (Camus et al. 2017); a contention that is further supported by the current

study given that there are no non-synonymous SNPs separating the A and B haplotypes in

this study (Camus et al. 2017).

200   Our study advances our understanding of DNA polymorphism by providing

experimental evidence that thermal selection acts upon standing variation in the mtDNA

sequence. Further research is, however, needed to resolve the dynamics of this thermal

evolution; for instance, by determining whether thermal selection acts on the mtDNA

sequence directly, or on epistatic combinations of mitochondrial-nuclear genotype; and

whether thermal selection is the main driver of adaptive variation that we see within the

mitochondrial genome or whether other environmental variables, such as the nutritional

environment (Mossman et al. 2016), are salient. Furthermore, it remains unclear how much of

the pool of non-neutral genetic variation that delineates distinct mitochondrial haplotypes has

actually been shaped by adaptive relative to non-adaptive processes. Finally, almost all

experimental work investigating the adaptive capacity of the mitochondrial genome has been

conducted on just a few model invertebrate species (Dowling et al. 2010, Barreto & Burton

2013, Kazancıoğlu & Arnqvist 2014, Camus et al. 2015), with few exceptions (Fontanillas et

al. 2005, Boratyński et al. 2016), and this is due simply to the intractability of applying

experimental evolutionary approaches to vertebrate species. Future studies should involve a

combination of ecological and experimental evolutionary approaches with high resolution

transcriptomics and proteomics applied more generally across eukaryotes, and also the

development of tests enabling us to reliably uncover the footprint of thermal selection in wild

populations (Sunnucks et al. 2017).

References

Adrion, J. R., Hahn, M. W. & Cooper, B. S. Revisiting classic clines in *Drosophila*

melanogaster in the age of genomics. *Trends Genet.* **31**, 434–444 (2015).

Arnqvist, G. *et al*. Genetic architecture of metabolic rate: environment specific epistasis

between mitochondrial and nuclear genes in an insect. *Evolution* **64**, 3354-3363 (2010).

Avise, J. C. *et al*. 1987. Intraspecific phylogeography: the mitochondrial DNA bridge

between population genetics and systematics. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **18**, 489-522

(1987).

Ballard, J. W. O. & Kreitman, M. Is mitochondrial DNA a strictly neutral marker? *Trends*

Ecol. Evolut. **10**, 485-488 (1995).

Balloux, F., Handley, L. J. L., Jombart, T., Liu, H., & Manica, A. Climate shaped the

worldwide distribution of human mitochondrial DNA sequence variation. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*

[Biol] **276**, 3447-3455 (2009).

Barreto, F. S. & Burton, R. S. Evidence for compensatory evolution of ribosomal proteins in

response to rapid divergence of mitochondrial rRNA. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 310-314 (2013).

Bergland, A. O., Tobler, R., González, J., Schmidt, P. & Petrov, D. 2016. Secondary contact

and local adaptation contribute to genome-wide patterns of clinal variation in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 1157-1174 (2016).

Boratyński, Z., Ketola, T., Koskela, E. & Mappes, T. The sex specific genetic variation of

energetics in bank voles, consequences of introgression? *Evol. Biol.* **43**, 37-47 (2016).

Camus, M. F., Wolf, J. B., Morrow, E. H. & Dowling, D. K. Single nucleotides in the

mtDNA sequence modify mitochondrial molecular function and are associated with sex-

specific effects on fertility and aging. *Curr. Biol.* **25**, 2717-2722 (2015).

Camus, M. F., Wolff, J. N., Sgrò, C. M. & Dowling, D. K. Experimental evidence that

thermal selection has shaped the latitudinal distribution of mitochondrial haplotypes in

Australian fruit flies. Preprint at http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/04/26/103606 (2017).

Canestrelli, D. *et al.* The tangled evolutionary legacies of range expansion and hybridization.

Trends Ecol. Evolut. **31**, 677-688 (2016).

Corbin, C., Heyworth, E. R., Ferrari, J. & Hurst, G. D. Heritable symbionts in a world of

varying temperature. *Heredity* **118**, 10-20 (2017).

David, J. R. & Capy, P. Genetic variation of *Drosophila melanogaster* natural populations.

Trends Genet. **4**, 106-111 (1988).

Doi, A., Suzuki, H. & Matsuura, E. T. 1999. Genetic analysis of temperature-dependent

transmission of mitochondrial DNA in *Drosophila*. *Heredity* **82**, 555-560 (1999).

Dowling, D. K., Abiega, K. C. & Arnqvist, G. Temperature-specific outcomes of

cytoplasmic-nuclear interactions on egg-to-adult development time in seed beetles. *Evolution*

61, 194-201 (2007).

Dowling, D. K., Friberg, U. & Lindell, J. Evolutionary implications of nonneutral

mitochondrial genetic variation. *Trends Ecol. Evolut.* **23**, 546-554 (2008).

Dowling, D. K., Meerupati, T. & Arnqvist, G. Cytonuclear interactions and the economics of

mating in seed beetles. *Am. Nat.* **176**, 131-140 (2010).

Dowling, D. K. Evolutionary perspectives on the links between mitochondrial genotype and

disease phenotype. *BBA-Gen. Subjects* **1840**, 1393-1403 (2014).

Fontanillas, P., Depraz, A., Giorgi, M. S. & Perrin, N. Nonshivering thermogenesis capacity

associated to mitochondrial DNA haplotypes and gender in the greater white-toothed shrew,

Crocidura russula. Mol. Ecol. **14**, 661-670 (2005).

Frank, S. A. & Hurst, L. D. Mitochondria and male disease. *Nature* **383**, 224 (1996).

Fry, A. J., Palmer M. R. & Rand, D. M. Variable fitness effects of *Wolbachia* infection in

Drosophila melanogaster. Heredity **93**, 379–389 (2004).

Galtier, N., Nabholz, B., Glemin, S. & Hurst, G. D. D. Mitochondrial DNA as a marker of

molecular diversity: a reappraisal. *Mol. Ecol.* **18**, 4541-4550 (2009).

Gemmell, N. J., Metcalfe, V. J. & Allendorf, F. W. Mother's curse: the effect of mtDNA on

individual fitness and population viability. *Trends Ecol. Evolut.* **19**, 238-244 (2004).

Greiner, S., Sobanski, J. & Bock, R. Why are most organelle genomes transmitted

maternally? *BioEssays* **37**, 80-94 (2015).

Hill, G. E. Mitonuclear ecology. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. **32**, 1917-1927 (2015).

Hoffmann, A. A., Hercus, M. & Dagher, H. Population dynamics of the *Wolbachia* infection

causing cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **148**, 221-231

(1998).

Hoffmann A. A. & Weeks A. R. Climatic selection on genes and traits after a 100 year-old

invasion: a critical look at the temperate-tropical clines in *Drosophila melanogaster* from

eastern Australia. *Genetica* **129**, 133-147 (2007).

Hurst, G. D. & Jiggins, F. M. Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in population,

phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited symbionts. *Proc. R. Soc.*

Lond. [Biol] **272**, 1525-1534 (2005).

Innocenti, P., Morrow, E. H. & Dowling, D. K. Experimental evidence supports a sex-

specific selective sieve in mitochondrial genome evolution. *Science* **332**, 845-848 (2011).

James, J. E., Piganeau, G. & Eyre-Walker, A. The rate of adaptive evolution in animal

mitochondria. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 67-78 (2016).

Kazancıoğlu, E., & Arnqvist, G. The maintenance of mitochondrial genetic variation by

negative frequency-dependent selection. *Ecol. Lett.* **17**, 22-27 (2014).

Kivisild, T. *et al*. The role of selection in the evolution of human mitochondrial genomes.

Genetics **172**, 373–387 (2006).

Koukou, K. *et al*. Influence of antibiotic treatment and *Wolbachia* curing on sexual isolation

among *Drosophila melanogaster* cage populations. *Evolution* **60**, 87-96 (2006).

Kriesner, P., Conner, W. R., Weeks, A. R., Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A. A. (2016).

Persistence of a *Wolbachia* infection frequency cline in *Drosophila melanogaster* and the

possible role of reproductive dormancy. *Evolution* **70**, 979-997 (2016).

Lewis, S. L. & Maslin, M. A. Defining the Anthropocene. *Nature* **519**, 171-180 (2015).

Luo, Y., Gao, W., Liu, F. & Gao, Y. Mitochondrial nt3010G-nt3970C haplotype is

implicated in high-altitude adaptation of Tibetans. *Mitochondrial DNA* **22**, 181-190 (2011).

Matsuura, E. T., Niki, Y. & Chigusa, S. I. Temperature-dependent selection in the

transmission of mitochondrial-DNA in *Drosophila*. *Jpn. J. Genet.* **68**, 127-135 (1993).

Mishmar, D. *et al*. Natural selection shaped regional mtDNA variation in humans. *P. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. USA **100**, 171-176 (2003).

Moritz, C., Dowling, T. E. & Brown, W. M. Evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA:

relevance for population biology and systematics. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **18**, 269-292

(1987).

Mossman, J. A., Biancani, L. M. & Rand, D. M. Mitonuclear epistasis for development time

and its modification by diet in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **203**, 463-484 (2016).

Rand, D. M., Dorfsman, M. & Kann, L. M. Neutral and non-neutral evolution of *Drosophila*

mitochondrial DNA. *Genetics* **138**, 741-756 (1994).

Rasgon, J. L., Cornel, A. J. & Scott, T. W., 2006. Evolutionary history of a mosquito

endosymbiont revealed through mitochondrial hitchhiking. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. [Biol]* **273**,

1603-1611 (2006).

Ruiz-Pesini, E., Mishmar, D., Brandon, M., Procaccio, V. & Wallace, D. C. Effects of

purifying and adaptive selection on regional variation in human mtDNA. *Science* **303**, 223-

226 (2004).

Schuler, H. *et al*. The hitchhiker's guide to Europe: the infection dynamics of an ongoing

Wolbachia invasion and mitochondrial selective sweep in *Rhagoletis cerasi*. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**,

1595-1609 (2016).

Sun, C., Kong, Q.-P. & Zhang, Y.-P. The role of climate in human mitochondrial DNA

evolution: A reappraisal. *Genomics* **89**, 338-342 (2007).

Sunnucks, P., Morales, H. E., Lamb, A. M., Pavlova, A. & Greening, C. Integrative

approaches for studying mitochondrial and nuclear genome co-evolution in oxidative

phosphorylation. *Front. Genet.* **8**, 25 (2017).

Versace, E., Nolte, V., Pandey, R. V., Tobler, R. & Schlötterer, C. Experimental evolution

reveals habitat-specific fitness dynamics among *Wolbachia* clades in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. *Mol. Ecol.* **23**, 802-814 (2014).

Wallace, D. C. Genetics: Mitochondrial DNA in evolution and disease. *Nature* **535**, 498-500

(2016).

Wolff, J. N., Ladoukakis, E. D., Enríquez, J. A. & Dowling, D. K. Mitonuclear interactions:

evolutionary consequences over multiple biological scales. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **369**,

20130443 **(**2014).

Wolff, J. N., Tompkins, D. M., Gemmell, N. J. & Dowling, D. K. Mitonuclear interactions,

mtDNA-mediated thermal plasticity, and implications for the Trojan Female Technique for

pest control. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 30016 (2016).

Acknowledgements

We thank Vanessa Kellerman and Winston Yee for assistance with wild sample collection, and Mary Ann Price, Carla Sgrò, Ritsuko Suyama, Garth Illsley, Richard Lee, Nicholas Luscombe, Pavel Munclinger, Takeshi Noda, and Oleg Simakov for helpful advices. We thank Yuan Liu for help with artwork design. This work was supported by the Physics and Biology Unit of the Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University (J.M.) and JSPS P12751 + 24 2751 to Z.L. and J.M, the Hermon-Slade Foundation (HSF 15/2) and the Australian Research Council (FT160100022) to D.K.D. Initial stages of the study were funded by Go8EURFA11 2011003556 to Z.L. and D.K.D.

Author Contributions

Z.L. and D.K.D. designed the experiment. Z.L. performed the experiment. Z.L. and M.F.C. provided mitogenomic sequences. R.P. performed the major part of data analyses. Z.L., D.K.D., M.F.C., and J.M. contributed to the data analyses. Z.L., D.K.D., R.P., M.F.C., and J.M. wrote the manuscript.

Competing Financial Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

406 **Figures**

408 **Figure 1: Relationship of mtDNA haplotypes A and B.**

409 The circle area for each haplotype is proportional to its frequency in the wild sample (A=18

410 females, B=22 females). Colours indicate the sampling region: Townsville (red, 20 females)

411 and Melbourne (blue, 20 females). Small grey circles represent genotyped-SNP divergence.

Figure 2: Scheme of experimental evolution by hybridization of differentially thermally-adapted subpopulations of fruit fly.

Prior to the application of thermal selection, we created a series of replicated experimental populations, by combining flies of isofemale lineages collected from the Melbourne (putatively cool-adapted, or "C") subpopulation, denoted in blue, and the Townsville (putatively hot-adapted, "H") subpopulation (red). This was achieved over two generations, via a process of admixture of the individual isofemale lineages. In the Admixture 1 step, we pooled 5 virgin females (♀) from each of 18 of the H isofemale lineages, with 5 virgin males (♂) from each of 18 C isofemale lineages into one bottle, denoted by HC = 18×5(♀H) + 18×5(♂C). In parallel in Admixture 1, we performed the reciprocal cross wherein H <=>

C above, denoted by $CH = 18 \times 5(♀C) + 18 \times 5(♂H)$. Each bottle contained 90 males and

90 females (180 flies). In the following generation, at Admixture step 2, we combined 25

virgin females and 25 virgin males from HC bottles together with 25 virgin females and 25

virgin males from CH bottles, $25 (♂CH) + 25(♀HC) + 25(♀CH) + 25(♂HC)$, across 15

biological replicates (7 of which were descendants of flies treated by antibiotics, 8 of which

were descendants of untreated flies). At this stage, all flies had been maintained in standard

laboratory conditions (25°C) for 16 generations (14 generations as isofemale lineages, 2

during the admixture process). We then divided each of these 15 biological replicates into 4

subpopulations, subjecting each subpopulation to one of four thermal treatments (19°C, 25°C,

fluctuating cold, and fluctuating warm), with each experimental subpopulation containing

around 500 individuals. On the left side of the figure, yellow text denotes sample sizes

associated with each stage of the admixture process for flies whose ancestors had been

exposed to antibiotic treatment (ATB), while grey text on the right corresponds with

untreated flies (UTR).

Figure 3: Mean change of mtDNA haplotype B frequency per thermal environment.

Interaction plots depict changing frequencies (final generation -initial generation) in stable

19°C, 25°C, fluctuating cold, and fluctuating warm environments for female and male

descendants of flies treated by antibiotics (FA, MA; 7 replicates) and untreated (FN, MN; 8

replicates; in which *Wolbachia* and associated maternally transmitted microbiomes present).

The error-bars are estimated using Eqs. (3-5).

Table 1: The Wald statistics and the one-way ANOVA statistics for 4 population groups

(FA, FN, MA, MN). (I) linear mixed model (II) fix effects only (III) the results of *lme4* and

(IV) one-way ANOVA. The *P*-values associated with Wald test (I, II) are calculated twice:

(*P*) for finite-size samples and (P_∞) for large samples, assuming the validity of the asymptotic

χ^2 distribution. The yellow background indicates statistical significance.

Tab 3:	(I) mixed model			(II) fixed effects ($\rho_g^n \equiv$ 0)			(III) *lme4*		(IV) ANOVA	
group	W	P_∞	P	W	P_∞	P	W	P	F	P
FA	16.5667	0.0009	0.0147	15.8185	0.0012	0.0169	17.4096	0.0006	4.2570	0.0152
FN	1.7616	0.6233	0.6341	1.7296	0.6304	0.6406	1.7788	0.6195	0.4696	0.7059
MA	2.0993	0.5521	0.5715	2.1414	0.5436	0.5639	1.4547	0.6928	0.4156	0.7433
MN	10.0702	0.0180	0.0494	10.0724	0.0180	0.0521	8.9906	0.0264	2.6222	0.0702

Methods (3000 words)

Experimental procedures

Wild subpopulations of *D. melanogaster* were sampled in Australia. We sampled a hot

adapted subpopulation (H; Townsville: -19.26, 146.79) in the north-east, and a cool adapted

subpopulation (C; Melbourne: -37.99, 145.27) in the south of the continent. We collected

fertilised females and established 20 isofemale lineages from each wild population. After 3

generations of acclimatisation to laboratory conditions, we split each isofemale lineage into

two replicates, and treated one replicate of each lineage with 0.164 mg mL^{-1} tetracycline in

food for 3 generations to remove any intracellular and cytoplasmically-inherited bacteria,

such as *Wolbachia* (Clancy & Hoffman 1998). We then propagated these lineages for a

further 10 generations to mitigate any effects of the antibiotic treatment. Flies were reared at

25°C, on a 12:12 hour light:dark cycle, in 10 dram plastic vials, on a potato-dextrose-agar

medium, with *ad libitum* live yeast added to each vial. All isofemale lineages were then

transferred from our laboratories in Australia to those in Japan, and their food medium

changed to a corn flour-glucose-agar medium (Supplementary Table 2), with *ad libitum* live

yeast added to each vial. They acclimatized for a further 3 generations at 25°C, before

entering an admixture process described below, in order to set up a series of replicated

experimental populations.

We pooled 5 virgin females (♀) from each of 18 of the H isofemale lineages,

mentioned above, with 5 virgin males (♂) from each of 18 of the C lineages in one bottle

(HC = 18 x 5 ♀H + 18 x 5 ♂C), and 5 virgin females from each of the 18 C isofemale

lineages with 5 virgin males from each of the 18 H isofemale lineages in another bottle (CH =

18 x 5 ♀C + 18 x 5 ♂H), such that each bottle contained 90 males and 90 females. This step

was performed separately for flies sourced from the tetracycline-treated isofemale lineages

and flies sourced from untreated isofemale lineages, separately (Fig. 2; Supplementary Table

1). We then allowed the flies to lay eggs over 8 consecutive days, and transferred them to

fresh bottles as indicated in Supplementary Table 3. We reared all experimental populations

in 250 ml bottles on a corn flour-glucose-agar medium. In the next step, we mixed F1

offspring (25 virgin males and 25 virgin females) from the HC bottles with corresponding F1

offspring (25 virgin males and 25 virgin females) from the CH bottles (25 ♂CH + 25 ♀HC +

25 ♀CH + 25 ♂HC). We established 7 experimental populations from the tetracycline-treated

isofemale lineages and 8 experimental populations from the untreated lineages. We allowed

flies of these populations to mate and lay eggs at 25°C, and then we transferred bottles with

approximately 500 eggs into four thermal regimes, represented by cool versus warm

temperatures, on either a constant or fluctuating temperature cycle. Bottles maintained in the

cool and constant temperature were kept at a constant 19°C, and those in the warm and

constant temperature at 25°C temperature. We used Environmental Chambers (MIR-154,

Sanyo) to generate fluctuating thermal conditions that are common in areas of origin of our

experimental populations (The Australian Government, Bureau of Meteorology), Melbourne:

8:00(22°C); 11:30(28°C); 16:00(20°C); 20:00(17°C); 22:00(14°C); 8:00(15°C); 11:30(20°C);

16:00(16°C); 20:00(15°C); 22:00(14°C), and Townsville: 8:00(27°C); 10:30(28°C);

20:00(27°C); 22:30(26°C); 0:00(24°C); 8:00(26°C); 10:30(28°C); 20:00(27°C); 22:30(26°C);

0:00(25°C). The temperature in all conditions was continually monitored and in fluctuating

conditions recorded by Thermo-hydro SD Data Loggers (AD-5696; A&D Ltd). We

propagated all replicate populations for three months (3 or 7 successive generations

depending on the thermal condition; Supplementary Table 4). We regulated the size of each

population by trimming egg numbers per generation to approximately 500 eggs. At the end of

the experimental evolution period of three months, adult flies were collected and fixed in

95% ethanol.

Data collection

Total genomic DNA was extracted using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen). We

sequenced total DNA of H and C population samples quantitatively, using an Illumina

platform at Micromon (Monash University, Australia). Length of reads was set to 70bp and

we reached a maximum coverage 500x on coding parts of mitogenomes (Camus et al. 2017).

We mapped all reads on published mitogenomic sequence NC 001709 in

Geneious R6 (http://www.geneious.com, Kearse et al. 2012). We observed overall

mitogenomic variability and picked 14 mtDNA polymorphic sites (SNPs), which are not

unique to the H or C populations, which delineate all flies into one of two corresponding

mtDNA haplotypes, which we denote A and B, and which are described in the Results (Fig.

1, Supplementary Table 5), using multiplexPCR and MALDI/Tof (mass spectrometry;

Geneworks, Australia). We genotyped virtually all flies in the starting generation (formed by

50 males and 50 females per each of the 15 replicates), and then more than 24 males and 25

females per bottle in final generation of the experiment, upon completion of experimental

evolution. The minimal number of sequenced samples was estimated assuming the relative

thermal effect of 10% at power of $1 - \beta = 70\%$ and the F-distribution. In total, we

genotyped 4410 individuals (Supplementary Table 1).

Data analysis

A multilevel linear model showed a significant three-way interaction between

thermal regime, sex, and antibiotic treatment on the change in frequency of haplotype B

(Extended Table 1). Accordingly, we divided the total of 60 experimental populations into 4

groups: FA=females whose ancestors had been treated with antibiotics, FN=females

untreated, MA=males whose ancestors had been treated with antibiotics, and MN=males

untreated. Flies in each of these groups are propagated under 1 of 4 thermal treatments: 19°C,

25°C, fluctuating cold, fluctuating warm. The measured frequencies of haplotype B are thus

denoted as f_{gi}^n, where $g = 1,2,3,4 = G$ stands for the group, $i = 1,2,3,4 = T$ is the thermal

regime, and $n = 1,2, \dots, N$ is the biological replicate's number. The frequencies f_{gi}^n are then

subtracted from the corresponding frequencies of the initial female population (regardless of

the sex we are examining), f_0^n and the frequency changes, $y_{gi}^n = f_{gi}^n - f_0^n$ were fit to a linear

model (Kutner et al. 2013)

537
$$y_{gi}^n = \theta_{gi} + \epsilon_{gi}^n \qquad (i = 1,2,3,4 = T; n = 1,2, \dots, N) \tag{1}$$

where θ_{gi} denotes the mean value of the frequency difference, obtained for thermal regime i

in group g (averaged over N samples) and ϵ_{gi}^n is the measurement noise associated with

sample b. The sample sizes in Eq. (1) are N=7 for groups FA and MA, whose ancestors had

been treated with antibiotics, and N=8 for untreated groups FN and MN. The statistical

properties of the Gaussian noise in (1) are defined by having zero mean, $\langle \epsilon_{gi}^n \rangle = 0$, and a

positive definite correlation matrix

544
$$\langle \epsilon_{gi}^n \epsilon_{gj}^{n'} \rangle = \delta^{nn'} \sigma_{gi} \sigma_{gj} [\delta_{ij}(1 - \rho_g^n) + \rho_g^n] \tag{2}$$

Here, angular brackets $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denote ensemble averaging over the noise, so that $\sigma_{gi}^2 = \langle (\epsilon_{gi}^n)^2 \rangle$

is the variance, and $-\frac{1}{3} < \rho_g^n < 1$ is the correlation-coefficient associated with replicate

number n. The constraint imposed on ρ_g^n is required to ensure the positive-definiteness of the

correlation. Eq. (2) allows one to consider two distinctive random effects: (i) different noise

levels for each thermal regime, and (ii) possible dependencies between replicates which

belong to different regimes, and yet originated from the same parental generation.

The parameters in Eq. (2) can be estimated by a standard maximum-likelihood (ML)

calculation. Replacing ensemble averages by sampled means one obtains

553
$$\hat{\theta}_{gi} = N^{-1} \sum_n y_{gi}^n \;\;, \;\; \hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2 = N^{-1} \sum_n (y_{gi}^n - \hat{\theta}_{gi})^2 \tag{3}$$

554   where $\hat{x}$ denotes an estimator of a random variable x. Note, that for sequel convenience, $\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2$

555   is normalized by the number of samples (rather than by $N - 1$). Having $\hat{\theta}_{gi}$ and σ_{gi}^2 as written

556   in Eqs. (3), the ML estimator of $\hat{\rho}_g^n$ is found by solving the following quadratic equation

$$12\rho_g^n = -(1 + 3\rho_g^n)(1 - \rho_g^n)(\delta y_g^n)^\intercal[\Gamma(\rho_g^n)]\Omega\,[\Gamma(\rho_g^n)](\delta y_g^n) \qquad (4a)$$

where $(\delta y_{gi}^n) \equiv y_{gi}^n - \hat{\theta}_{gi}$ is the 4-component (column) vector of fluctuations, $(\delta y_{gi}^n)^\intercal$ is the

corresponding transposed (row) vector, $\Gamma(\rho_g^n)$ is the inverse of the correlation-matrix given

by

$\Gamma_{ii} = (1 + 2\rho_g^n)/[\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2(3\rho_g^n + 1)(1 - \rho_g^n)]$, $\Gamma_{i \neq j} = -\rho_g^n/[\hat{\sigma}_{gi}\hat{\sigma}_{gj}(3\rho_g^n + 1)(1 - \rho_g^n)]$ (4b)

and Ω is a traceless matrix of rank-1, such that $\Omega_{ij} = \hat{\sigma}_{gi}\hat{\sigma}_{gj}(1 - \delta_{ij})$. After solving Eq. (4a)

- for all values of b while keeping g fixed - the estimated errors of $\hat{\theta}_{gi}$ [i.e., the fix-effect

errors presented in (1)] are found by inverting the Fisher information matrix (Cover &

Thomas 2006) $\partial \log P/(\partial \theta_i\, \partial \theta_j)$, where P is the joint probability distribution of y_{gi}^n. This

leads to an error-matrix of the form:

$$(V_g)_{ij} \equiv \langle \delta\hat{\theta}_{gi}\delta\hat{\theta}_{gj} \rangle = \langle(\hat{\theta}_{gi} - \langle\hat{\theta}_{gi}\rangle)(\hat{\theta}_{gj} - \langle\hat{\theta}_{gj}\rangle)\rangle = -(H_g)_{ij}^{-1} = \left[\sum_b \Gamma_{ij}(\hat{\rho}_g^n)\right]^{-1} \quad (5)$$

with H_g being the Fisher matrix evaluated at the ML solution. Note, that when $\hat{\rho}_g^n = 0$, Eq.

(5) is reduced to the expected diagonal form: $(V_g)_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2/N$.

Fig. 2 suggests that group FA (and to a lesser extent group MN), is showing some

degree of thermal selectivity. In order to quantify this assertion, $\hat{\theta}_{gi}$ are re-parametrized as

$\hat{\theta}_{gi} = \mu_g + \alpha_{gi}$, where μ is the average over $T = 4$ levels, $\mu_g \equiv \sum_i \hat{\theta}_{gi}/T$, and

$\sum_{i=1}^T \alpha_{gi} = 0$. The F-statistic as defined by the standard 1-factor ANOVA, is then: $F_g = $

[(mean-square-error between levels)/(mean-square-error within levels)]. Namely, for each

group separately,

$$F_g = [\sum_{i=1}^T \alpha_{gi}^2/(T-1)]/\{\sum_{i=1}^T \hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2/[T(N-1)]\} \qquad (g = 1,2,\dots,4 = G) \quad (6)$$

with $\{\hat{\theta}_{gi}, \hat{\sigma}_{gi}\}$ given in Eq. (3). The F-values for all groups, together with the corresponding

P-values, are shown in Table 1 (IV). Indeed, group FA (females on antibiotics) exhibits a

significant thermal effect with sufficiently high power ($P = 0.0152$ and power $= 78\%$).

The *P*-value and power of F_g are given by: $P = 1 - \Phi_{\nu_1,\nu_2}(F_g, \lambda = 0)$ and power $\equiv 1 - \beta =$

$1 - \Phi_{\nu_1,\nu_2}(F_g, \lambda = TF_g)$, where $\Phi_{\nu_1,\nu_2}(f, \lambda)$ is the cumulative non-central F-distribution with

degrees-of-freedom $\nu_1 = T - 1$, $\nu_2 = T(N - 1)$, and non-centrality parameter λ.

583   In addition to F_g, we also studied the Wald-statistic of the thermal effects which is

defined as $W_g \equiv \alpha_g^\top \left(\langle \delta\alpha_g \delta\alpha_g^\top \rangle \right)^{-1} \alpha_g$, where α_g (with g kept fixed) is the vector of thermal

deviations, $\alpha_{gi} = \hat{\theta}_{gi} - \mu_g$ $\{i = 1,2,3 = T - 1\}$, and $\delta\alpha$ the fluctuation $\delta\alpha \equiv \alpha - \langle \alpha \rangle$.

Introducing $\vec{\theta}_g \equiv (\theta_{g1}, \dots, \theta_{g4})$, $\vec{\tau}_g \equiv (\mu_g, \alpha_{g1}, \alpha_{g2}, \alpha_{g3})$ and changing variables, $\vec{\tau}_g = \mathcal{R}\vec{\theta}_g$,

one finds that

$$W_g = (R\hat{\vec{\theta}}_g)^\top (RV_g R^T)^{-1} (R\hat{\vec{\theta}}_g) \qquad\qquad (g = 1,2,\dots,4 = G) \quad (7)$$

where R is a 3×4 transformation matrix $(R_{ii} = 3/4, R_{i \neq j} = -1/4)$, and V_g is the correlation

matrix previously given in Eq. (5). We have applied Eq. (7) both to a mixed model [in which

ρ_g^n are obtained using Eq. (4a)], and to a fixed-effects model (in which all ρ_g^n vanish

identically and V_g is a diagonal matrix). The results are shown in Table 1 (I-II). For finite-size

samples, $W_g/(T-1)$ is distributed according to the F-distribution (Engle 1984, Parker 2016).

Therefore, the *P*-values and power associated with W_g take the form: $P = 1 -$

$\Phi_{\nu_1,\nu_2}\left[\frac{W_g}{T-1}, \lambda = 0\right]$, power $= 1 - \beta = 1 - \Phi_{\nu_1,\nu_2}\left[\frac{W_g}{T-1}, \frac{\lambda_w}{T-1}\right]$, where $\nu_1 = T - 1$ and $\nu_2 =$

$N(T - 2) - (T - 1)$. In the limit of large samples, $N \gg 1$ [or, equivalently, when the

correlation V_g in Eq. (7) is given a-priori rather than being estimated], W_g is distributed as χ^2

with (T-1) degrees of freedom. As a result, the corresponding *P*-values decrease significantly

(see Table 1).

600   While the χ^2 distribution may be appropriate in large-sample studies, it is

601   inapplicable in our case where $N = (7,8)$. Focusing on the statistically significant FA group

602   $(g = 1)$, we've verified the cross-over of W_g from F to the χ^2 distribution and the

603   consistency of expressions (6-7) by performing MC simulations. The starting point of the

604   simulations is the set of 'actual parameters' as measured by the experiment:

606
$$\hat{\theta} = [+0.0409, -0.0506, +0.0601, -0.1477], \hat{\sigma} = [\ 0.0936\ ,\ 0.1420,\ 0.0857,\ 0.1226]$$
$$\hat{\rho} = [+0.0408, +0.0427, +0.0917, +0.2002, -0.0771, +0.0758, -0.1162]$$

607   (8)

Using these empirical values, we generate an ensemble of 20,000 realizations of random

frequencies – all sampled out of a multivariate Gaussian noise as defined by Eqs. (1-2). For

each of these replicas we then infer a set of $15 = 2T + N$ parameters $\{\hat{\theta}_{gi}, \hat{\sigma}_{gi}, \hat{\rho}_g^n\}$ according

to Eqs. (3-5). Finally, using Eqs. (6-7), we generate the statistics and compute histograms for

F_g and W_g. As shown in Extended Fig. 2, the simulated histograms are in good agreement

with the theoretically expected non-central F-distributions (as well as the asymptotic non-

central χ^2).

615   When the correlation matrix V_g in (7) is replaced by expression (2) with fixed

616   parameters given by (8) - the Wald statistic crosses over from F to the χ^2 distribution.

617   Consequenly, the P-value of the experiment decreases: $P = 0.0147 \rightarrow 9 \times 10^{-4}$ and the

618   power decreases from 73% to about 60% (see Extended Fig. 1).

619   We compared the standard maximum likelihood estimation described in detail

here with *lme4* v. 1.1-10 package (Bates et al. 2015) of R version 3.2.2. (R Development

Core Team 2015) in RStudio server v. 099.465 (RStudio Team 2015). The average frequency

differences for all groups, $\hat{\theta}_{gi}$ $(g = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, together with their estimated errors, $\delta\hat{\theta}_{gi}$, are

shown in Extended Table 2 (see also Fig. 3). The error estimates of *lme4* are level (i.e.,

thermal) independent and given by the RMS value, $\hat{\theta}_{gi}^2 = \sum_i \hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2 / (NT)$. This feature is

presumably a result of the internal constraints that are imposed on the random model of *lme4*.

Referring to Eq. (2), the constraints are: $\sigma_{gi=}\sigma_g \ \forall i$, $\sum_b \rho_g^n = 0$. The differences in the error

estimates between our computations [rows (I) and (II) in Extended Table 2] and the results of

lme4 [row (III)] are clear. The relative differences due to random effects seem to be rather

small, $\left| \delta\hat{\theta}_{gi}(\text{I}) - \delta\hat{\theta}_{gi}(\text{II}) \right| / \left| \hat{\theta}_{gi} \right| \leq 5\%$.

Note, that our computation produces higher *P*-values i.e., "less significant" as

compared to those of *lme4*. The reason being that, in calculating *P*-values out of the Wald

statistics *lme4* implicitly assumes an infinite number of samples. In this limiting case, the

Wald statistic W_g is distributed according to the χ^2 distribution and, as a result, the *P*-value

decreases by an order of magnitude to give a $P = 6 \times 10^{-4} - 9 \times 10^{-4}$ and power $\cong$

60%. Yet, the differences between $W_g(\text{I})$ and $W_g(\text{III})$, shown in Table 1, are not sufficiently

large to alter any of the conclusions related to statistical significance.

MtDNA is transmitted mainly maternally, but paternal mtDNA leakage is

documented in our model organism (Nunes et al. 2013). For the reason, we verified using

lme4 that our results remain unchanged when one takes in account frequencies of both sexes

in the starting generation (Supplementary Table 6). That is, the results remain qualitatively

similar, and their interpretations identical, if we use the frequencies of males and females

combined in the starting generation, rather than females only, in deriving the change in

frequencies across the experiment.

References

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using

lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **67**, i01(2015).

Camus, M.F., Wolff, J. N., Sgrò, C. M. & Dowling, D. K. Experimental evidence that

thermal selection has shaped the latitudinal distribution of mitochondrial haplotypes in

Australian fruit flies. Preprint at http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/04/26/103606 (2017).

Clancy, D. J. & Hoffmann, A. A. Environmental effects on cytoplasmic incompatibility and

bacterial load in *Wolbachia*-infected *Drosophila simulans*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **86**, 13-24

(1998).

Cover T. M. & Thomas J. A. Elements of information theory, 2nd Ed. (John Willey & Sons,

2006).

Engle R. F. Wald, likelihood ratio, and Lagrange multiplier tests in econometrics. in

Handbook of Econometrics, Vol. II (eds Grilliches Z. & Intriligator M. D.) 775-826 (North-

Holland, 1984).

Kearse, M. *et al.* Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for

the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 1647-1649 (2012).

Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C. J., Neder J. & Li, W. Applied linear statistical models, 5th Ed.

(McGrew-Hill, 2013).

Nunes, M. S., Dolezal, M. & Schlötterer, C. Extensive paternal mtDNA leakage in natural

populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 2106–2117 (2013).

Parker, T. Finite-sample distributions of the Wald, likelihood ratio and Lagrange multiplier

test statistics in the classical linear model. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods 46, 5195-5202

(2017).

R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/ (2015).

RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA URL

http://www.rstudio.com/ (2015).

The Australia Government, Bureau of Meteorology at http://www.bom.gov.au (2012)

Extended data

Extended Figure 1 MC simulations for the statistically significant FA group.

Each histogram consists of 20,000 independent realizations that are generated according to

Eqs. (1-2). Asterisk marks the empirical value.

(a) Histogram of the ANOVA F_g statistic (Eq. 6) compared to the non-central F-distribution

(H_1) with parameters $\nu_1 = T - 1 = 3$, $\nu_2 = T(N - 1) = 24$, $\lambda_F = \bar{m}_F \nu_1 (\nu_2 - 2)/2 -$

$\nu_1 = 15$. The probability distribution of the null hypothesis (H_0) is obtained by setting $\lambda_F =$

0.

(b) The non-central χ^2 distribution (H_1) describing the Wald statistic W_g in the limit of large

samples ($N \gg 1$). Here, $\nu_1 = T - 1 = 3$, $\lambda_w = \bar{m}_w - \nu_1 = 16.6$. For the null hypothesis

(H_0), $\lambda_W = 0$.

(c) Histogram of W_g for finite-size samples (Eq. 7), compared to the scaled non-central F-

distribution (H_1) for the random variable $f = W_g/(T - 1)$. The parameters of (H_1) are $\nu_1 =$

$T - 1 = 3, \nu_2 = N(T - 2) - (T - 1) = 11$. $\lambda_W = \bar{m}_W \nu_1 (\nu_2 - 2)/[2(T - 1)] - \nu_1 = 21$.

For (H_0), $\lambda_W = 0$. The asymptotic χ^2 distribution (b) is shown as a dashed line.

(d) The cumulative distribution functions (CDF) of the Wald statistics, comparing the

empirical distribution with the expected χ^2 and scaled-F distributions.

Note that the only free (fitting) parameters in (a-d) are the sampled means $\{\bar{m}_F, \bar{m}_W\}$ which

are obtained by averaging over all realizations of $\{F_g, W_g\}$, respectively.

Extended Table 1: Multilevel model examining the effect of sex, antibiotic treatment,

and thermal regime on B haplotype frequency change, as a response variable.

	χ^2	d.f.	$P(>\chi^2)$
Intercept	0.1518	1	0.696824
sex	0.8269	1	0.363163
antibiotic treatment	0.0850	1	0.770666
thermal regime	1.9834	3	0.575866
antibiotic treatment: thermal regime	8.9186	3	0.030393
sex: antibiotic treatment	0.0326	1	0.856811
sex: thermal regime	15.4599	3	0.001463
sex: antibiotic treatment: thermal regime	10.7419	3	0.013207
	σ		
ID	0.119988		
Population	0.009593		

712 Sex, antibiotic treatment, and thermal regime were modelled as fixed effects. ID and

713 Population were modelled as random effects.

714 Population indicates the biological replicate i.e., group of 4 bottles descending from a single

715 starting bottle. ID pairs males and females which are sharing the same bottle. The yellow

716 background indicates statistical significance.

Extended Table 2: The fixed parameters, $\widehat{\theta}_{gi}$, and their errors, $\delta\widehat{\theta}_{gi}$, for 4 population

groups (FA, FN, MA, MN), evaluated by three schemes of estimation: (I) linear mixed

model (II) fix effects only (III) *lme4*.

model of error(†)	group(‡)	19°C $\widehat{\theta}_1$	$\pm\delta\widehat{\theta}_1$	25°C $\widehat{\theta}_2$	$\pm\delta\widehat{\theta}_2$	fluctuating cold $\widehat{\theta}_3$	$\pm\delta\widehat{\theta}_3$	fluctuating warm $\widehat{\theta}_4$	$\pm\delta\widehat{\theta}_4$
(I)	FA(7)	+0.0409	0.0348	-0.0506	0.0529	+0.0601	0.0319	-0.1477	0.0457
(II)			0.0354		0.0537		0.0324		0.0464
(III)			0.0428		0.0428		0.0428		0.0428
(I)	FN(8)	+0.0195	0.0584	-0.0150	0.0490	-0.0525	0.0443	+0.0400	0.0657
(II)			0.0589		0.0494		0.0446		0.0663
(III)			0.0554		0.0554		0.0554		0.0554
(I)	MA(7)	-0.0029	0.0438	-0.0563	0.0543	-0.0809	0.0335	-0.0266	0.0584
(II)			0.0442		0.0548		0.0338		0.0590
(III)			0.0489		0.0489		0.0489		0.0489
(I)	MN(8)	-0.0144	0.0409	+0.1329	0.0590	-0.0795	0.0405	+0.0866	0.0706
(II)			0.0418		0.0604		0.0414		0.0723
(III)			0.0555		0.0555		0.0555		0.0555

† (I) $\delta\widehat{\theta}_{gi}$ according to Eq. (5). (II) $\delta\widehat{\theta}_{gi} = \widehat{\sigma}_{gi}/\sqrt{N}$ (i.e., assuming that $\rho_g^n \equiv 0$). ‡ the

numbers in parenthesis indicate the sample-size ($N = 7$, 8 replicates for each thermal level).

Supplementary Information Legends

Supplementary Table 1: List of samples

In experimental populations ATB1-ATB7, the ancestors had been exposed to antibiotic

treatment, while experimental populations UTR1-UTR8 correspond with untreated flies.

Supplementary Table 2: Fly food composition

Supplementary Table 3: Starting dates of experimental populations.

Foundation date marks the date at which virgin flies were combined in a bottle as outlined in

Admixture Step 2 (Fig. 2) to form the Starting generation. In Admixture Step 1, we allowed

their parents to lay eggs for about 1 day, and transferred them to a new bottle. This process

was repeated across nine days. We call the process by which we transfer the flies to a new

bottle a "tip". Virgin flies of each sex were sourced from several tips, in order to ensure we

had an adequate supply of flies to initiate the experimental populations. We show the dates of

maternal ovipositioning and virgin collection in a separate column (date). Number means the

number of virgin flies sourced from the tip.

Supplementary Table 4: Propagation of experimental populations in dates.

Foundation date corresponds with Supplementary Table 3. We imposed the four different

thermal regimes on multiple generations starting by eggs laid by the Starting generation.

Within each generation, the flies of each experimental bottle were transferred to new bottles,

and the column "tip number" reflects the "tip" that was used to propagate the next generation

per experimental population. Although the tip we used to initiate each experimental

population varied in Generation 1, in subsequent generations, we propagated experimental

populations mostly from the first tip.

Supplementary Table 5: Selected SNPs characteristics and individual haplotypes.

Supplementary Table 6: The Wald statistics of the mixed model obtained with *lme4*,

when the frequencies f_{gi}^n are subtracted from the corresponding frequencies in the

starting generation (males and females combined).