Title

De novo assembly and annotation of the eastern fence lizard (*Sceloporus*

undulatus) transcriptome

Authors

Mariana B. Grizante[1], Marc Tollis[1,2], Juan J. Rodriguez[1], Ofir Levy[1], Michael J.

Angilletta Jr.[1], Kenro Kusumi[1]

*Corresponding authors

Mariana Grizante: mari.grizante@gmail.com

Kenro Kusumi: Kenro.Kusumi@asu.edu

Authors' emails

mari.grizante@gmail.com, mtollis@asu.edu, j.rodriguez1214@gmail.com,

levyofi@gmail.com, ma@asu.edu, Kenro.Kusumi@asu.edu

Affiliations

[1]School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, PO Box 874501, Tempe, AZ

85287, USA.

[2]Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University, PO Box 8724501, Tempe, AZ

85287, USA.

Abstract

Background: The eastern fence lizard (*Sceloporus undulatus*) has been a model species for ecological and evolutionary research. Genomic and transcriptomic resources for this species would promote investigation of genetic mechanisms that underpin plastic responses to environmental stress, such as climate warming. Moreover, such resources would aid comparative studies of complex traits at the molecular level, such as the transition from oviparous to viviparous reproduction, which happened at least four times within *Sceloporus.*

Findings: A *de novo* transcriptome assembly for *Sceloporus undulatus*, Sund_v1.0, was generated using over 179 million Illumina reads obtained from three tissues (whole brain, skeletal muscle, and embryo) as well as previously reported liver sequences. The Sund_v1.0 assembly had an average contig length of 782 nucleotides and an E90N50 statistic of 2,550 nucleotides. Comparing *S. undulatus* transcripts with the benchmarking universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCO) for tetrapod species yielded 97.2% gene representation. A total of 13,422 protein-coding orthologs were identified in comparison to the genome of the green anole lizard, *Anolis carolinensis*, which is the closest related species with genomic data available.

Conclusions: The multi-tissue transcriptome of *S. undulatus* is the first for a member of the family Phrynosomatidae, offering an important resource to advance studies of adaptation in this species and genomic research in reptiles.

Keywords: *Sceloporus undulatus*, eastern fence lizard, Phrynosomatidae, RNA-Seq, transcriptome, assembly, annotation.

Data description

Context

Eastern fence lizards belong to a clade, the *Sceloporus undulatus* complex, which spans much of the United States and northern Mexico [1]. Because these lizards occupy a wide range of habitats and environmental conditions, *S. undulatus* has been a good model for studies of organismal ecology [2–4], population dynamics [5,6], and local adaptation [7–9]. In particular, embryos of oviparous *S. undulatus* are subjected to oscillations in nest temperature that are known to affect development [10–13], which could potentially be compensated for by egg-laying behavior in adult females [14,15]. Embryos of this species have a threshold for thermal tolerance at high temperatures and are thus susceptible to potential warming due to climate change [11,16]. Other species in the genus *Sceloporus* evolved either prolonged or complete retention of eggs in response to cold environments. In fact, viviparity has evolved in association with cooler climates at least four times within *Sceloporus* and another two times in the Phrynosomatidae [17], along with numerous physiological and morphological adaptations expected to accompany this convergent trait. Specifically, a congeneric species (*S. jarrovi*) displays specialized features in the placenta, although relying mostly on yolk nutrients during development (lecithotrophy) [18,19]. A comparative study of gene expression among *Sceloporus* species that

differ in parity mode (oviparous vs. viviparous) would allow testing for

convergence with the pregnant-specific gene expression profile described for

viviparous lizard species from family Scincidae, whose development depend

mostly on nutrients from the mother (matrotrophy) [20]. To begin to identify the

genes for molecular studies of these processes, we have sequenced and

annotated a *de novo* multi-tissue transcriptome for *Sceloporus undulatus*.

Methods

a) Sampling

Gravid females of *Sceloporus undulatus* were collected in Edgefield County,

South Carolina (33.7°N, 82.0°W) and transported to Arizona State University.

These animals were maintained under conditions described in previous

publications [21,22], which were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and

Use Committee (Protocol #14-1338R). Approximately two days after laying eggs,

each lizard was euthanized by injecting sodium pentobarbital into the coelomic

cavity. The whole brain and skeletal muscle samples were removed and placed

in RNA-lysis buffer (mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit, Ambion) and flash-frozen.

Additionally, three early-stage embryos from each clutch were dissected, pooled

together, and homogenized in RNA-lysis buffer using the same protocol.

b) Sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from three tissue samples (whole brain, skeletal muscle

and embryos) from each individual using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit

(Ambion) protocol. Samples were checked for quality on a 2100 Bioanalyzer

(Agilent). One sample from each tissue was selected for RNA-Seq based on the

highest RIN, with a cutoff of 8.0. For each selected sample, 3 μg of total RNA

was sent to the University of Arizona Genetics Core (Tucson, AZ) for library

preparation and with TruSeq v3 chemistry for a standard insert size. RNA

samples were multiplexed and sequenced using an Illumina HiSEq 2000 to

generate 100-bp paired-end reads. Publicly available raw Illumina RNA-Seq

reads from *S. undulatus* liver [23] were added to our dataset. After removing

adaptors, raw reads from the four tissues were evaluated using FastQC

(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/, v-0.11.5) and

trimmed using Trimmomatic (v-0.32, [24]), filtering for quality score (≥Q20) and

using HEADCROP:9 to minimize nucleotide bias. This procedure yielded

179,374,469 quality-filtered reads. **Table 1** summarizes read-pair counts from

whole brain, skeletal muscle, whole embryos, and liver.

c) Assembly and annotation

All trimmed reads were pooled and assembled *de novo* using Trinity (v-2.2.0,

default k-mer size of 25 [25]), which is an efficient transcriptome assembly

method for non-model species without a reference genome available [26]. The

most comprehensive transcriptome, obtained using reads from four tissues,

consists of 547,370 contigs with an average length of 781.5 nucleotides (**Table

2**)—shorter than other assemblies because of the range of contig sizes that

varied among datasets (1, 3 and 4 tissues; **Table S1, Fig. S1**). The N50 of the

most highly expressed transcripts that represent 90% of the total normalized

expression data (E90N50) was highest in the assembly based on four tissues,

hereafter referred to as Sund_v1.0 (**Table 2**). A subset of contigs containing the

longest open reading frames (ORFs), representing 123,323 transcripts, was

extracted from the Sund_v1.0 assembly using TransDecoder (v-3.0.0,

http://transdecoder.github.io) with homology searches against the databases

UniProtKB/SwissProt [27] and PFAM [28]. The transcriptome obtained was

annotated using Trinotate (v-3.0, http://trinotate.github.io), which involved

searching against multiple databases (as UniProtKB/SwissProt, PFAM, signalP,

GO) to identify sequence homology and protein domains, as well as to predict

signaling peptides. **Table 3** summarizes the annotation results.

Data validation and quality control

Trimmed reads were aligned back to the assembled contigs using Bowtie2 (v-

2.2.6 [29]). From the 176,086,787 reads that aligned, 97% represented proper

pairs (**Table S2**), indicating good read representation in the Sund_v1.0

assembly. To assess quality and completeness of the assemblies, we first

compared the Sund_v1.0 transcripts with the BUSCO profile for Tetrapoda

(BUSCO v-2.0 [30]), which has BLAST+ (v-2.2.31 [31]) and HMMER (v-3.1b2

[32]) as dependencies. This procedure revealed that the Sund_v1.0 assembly

captured 97.1% of the expected orthologs, a result comparable to the 97.8%

obtained for *Anolis carolinensis* transcriptome using 14 tissues [33] (**Table 4**).

Next, nucleotide sequences of Sund_v1.0 transcripts with the longest ORFs were

compared to the protein set of *Anolis carolinensis* (AnoCar2.0, Ensembl) using

BLASTX (evalue=1e-20, max_target_seqs=1). This comparison showed that

11,223 transcripts of *S. undulatus* have nearly full-length (>80%) alignment

coverage with *A. carolinensis* proteins (**Table S3**). Predicted proteins of *S.*

undulatus were also used to identify 13,422 one-to-one orthologs with proteins of

A. carolinensis through reciprocal BLAST (evalue=1e-6, max_target_seqs=1).

Availability of supporting data

Novel RNA-Seq data for *Sceloporus undulatus* samples are available under the

NCBI accession identifiers listed in Table 1, and are associated with BioProject

PRJNA371829. RNA-Seq data for the liver sample [23] were downloaded from

NCBI from BioProject PRJNA183121, Run SRR629640. Datasets referring to the

assembled and annotated transcriptome are available for download at Dryad.

List of abbreviations

BLAST: Basic local alignment search tool; BUSCO: Benchmarking Universal

Single-Copy Orthologs; GO: Gene Ontology; National Center for Biotechnology

Information NCBI; ORFs: open reading frames; RIN: RNA integrity number.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was funded by a Grant for Post Doctoral Interdisciplinary Research in

the Life Sciences from the School of Life Sciences at Arizona State University

awarded to MT and OL, funding from the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences at

Arizona State University to KK, and a post-doctoral fellowship from the Conselho

Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq; 201369/2014-1)

awarded to MBG.

Authors' contributions

MBG performed transcript assemblies and bioinformatics analyses; JJR

performed transcript assemblies and bioinformatics analyses; MAT, OL, MJA and

KK conceived the study; MAT and KK supervised bioinformatics analyses; MJA

and OL provided samples. MBG drafted the manuscript, with edits from MT, KK,

and MJA. All authors read and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

We thank Michael W. Sears and Colton D. Smith for collecting specimens and

John Cornelius, Greer Dolby, Shawn Rupp, Timothy Webster and Cindy Xu for

helpful discussions.

References

1. Leaché AD. Species tree discordance traces to phylogeographic clade boundaries in

north American Fence lizards (*Sceloporus*). Syst. Biol. 2009;58:547–59.

2. Angilletta MJ. Thermal and physiological constraints on energy assimilation in a

widespread lizard (*Sceloporus undulatus*). Ecology. 2001;82:3044–56.

3. Adolph SC, Porter WP. Temperature, activity, and lizard life histories. Am. Nat.

1993;142:273–95.

4. Niewiarowski PH. Energy budgets, growth rates, and thermal constraints: toward an

integrative approach to the study of life-history variation. Am. Nat. 2001;157:421–33.

5. Tinkle DW, Ballinger RE. *Sceloporus undulatus*: A study of the intraspecific

comparative demography of a lizard. Ecology. 1972;53:570–84.

6. Niewiarowski PH. Understanding geographic life history variation in lizards. In: Pianka

ER, Vitt LJ, editors. Lizard Ecol. Hist. Exp. Perspect. Princeton: Princeton University

Press; 1994. p. 31–49.

7. Oufiero CE, Angilletta Michael J J. Convergent evolution of embryonic growth and

development in the eastern fence lizard (*Sceloporous undulatus*). Evolution (N. Y).

2006;60:1066–75.

8. Angilletta MJ, Niewiarowski PH, Dunham AE, Leache AD, Porter WP. Bergmann's

clines in ectotherms: Illustrating a life-history perspective with sceloporine lizards. Am.

Nat. 2004;164:E168–83.

9. Angilletta MJ, Oufiero CE, Leaché AD. Direct and indirect effects of environmental

temperature on the evolution of reproductive strategies: an information theoretic

approach. Am. Nat. 2006;168:E123–35.

10. Levy O, Buckley LB, Keitt TH, Smith CD, Boateng KO, Kumar DS, et al. Resolving

the life cycle alters expected impacts of climate change. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.

2015;282.

11. Angilletta MJ, Zelic MH, Adrian GJ, Hurliman AM, Smith CD. Heat tolerance during

embryonic development has not diverged among populations of a widespread species

(*Sceloporus undulatus*). Conserv. Physiol. 2013;1:1–9.

12. Parker SL, Andrews RM. Incubation temperature and phenotypic traits of *Sceloporus*

undulatus: Implications for the northern limits of distribution. Oecologia. 2007;151:218–

31.

13. Angilletta MJ, Winters RS, Dunham AE. Thermal effects on the energetics of lizard

embryos: Implications for hatchling phenotypes. Ecology. 2000;81:2957–68.

14. Angilletta MJ, Hill T, Robson MA. Is physiological performance optimized by

thermoregulatory behavior?: A case study of the eastern fence lizard, *Sceloporus*

undulatus. J. Therm. Biol. 2002;27:199–204.

15. Buckley LB, Ehrenberger JC, Angilletta MJ. Thermoregulatory behaviour limits local

adaptation of thermal niches and confers sensitivity to climate change. Funct. Ecol.

2015;29:1038–47.

16. Telemeco RS, Fletcher B, Levy O, Riley A, Rodriguez-Sanchez Y, Smith C, et al.

Lizards fail to plastically adjust nesting behavior or thermal tolerance as needed to buffer

populations from climate warming. Glob. Chang. Biol. 2016;1–10.

17. Lambert SM, Wiens JJ. Evolution of viviparity: A phylogenetic test of the cold-climate

hypothesis in phrynosomatid lizards. Evolution (N. Y). 2013;67:2614–30.

18. Blackburn DG, Gavelis GS, Anderson KE, Johnson AR, Dunlap KD. Placental

specializations of the mountain spiny lizard *Sceloporus jarrovi*. J. Morphol.

2010;271:1153–75.

19. Anderson KE, Blackburn DG, Dunlap KD. Scanning electron microscopy of the

placental interface in the viviparous lizard *Sceloporus jarrovi* (Squamata:

Phrynosomatidae). J. Morphol. 2011;272:465–84.

20. Griffith OW, Brandley MC, Belov K, Thompson MB. Reptile pregnancy is

underpinned by complex changes in uterine gene expression: A comparative analysis of

the uterine transcriptome in viviparous and oviparous lizards. Genome Biol. Evol.

2016;8:3226–39.

21. Fisher RE, Geiger LA, Stroik LK, Hutchins ED, George RM, Denardo DF, et al. A

histological comparison of the original and regenerated tail in the green anole, *Anolis*

carolinensis. Anat. Rec. (Hoboken). 2012;295:1609–19.

22. Ritzman TB, Stroik LK, Julik E, Hutchins ED, Lasku E, Denardo DF, et al. The gross

anatomy of the original and regenerated tail in the green anole (*Anolis carolinensis*).

Anat. Rec. (Hoboken). 2012;295:1596–608.

23. McGaugh SE, Bronikowski AM, Kuo C-H, Reding DM, Addis EA, Flagel LE, et al.

Rapid molecular evolution across amniotes of the IIS/TOR network. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. 2015;112:7055–60.

24. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:2114–20.

25. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Trinity:

reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a genome from RNA-Seq data. Nat.

Biotechnol. 2013;29:644–52.

26. Huang X, Chen X-G, Armbruster PA. Comparative performance of transcriptome

assembly methods for non-model organisms. BMC Genomics. BMC Genomics;

2016;17:523.

27. The UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2017;45:158–69.

28. Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, Mitchell AL, et al. The Pfam

protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids Res.

2016;44:279–85.

29. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.

2012;9:357–9.

30. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva E V., Zdobnov EM. BUSCO:

Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.

Bioinformatics. 2015;31:3210–2.

31. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al.

BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10:1–9.

32. Eddy SR. A new generation of homology search tools based on probabilistic

inference. Genome Inform. 2009;23:205–11.

33. Eckalbar WL, Hutchins ED, Markov GJ, Allen AN, Corneveaux JJ, Lindblad-Toh K, et

al. Genome reannotation of the lizard *Anolis carolinensis* based on 14 adult and

embryonic deep transcriptomes. BMC Genomics. 2013;14:49.

Figures and tables

Table 1 Number of pairs and accessions numbers for *Sceloporus undulatus*

sequence reads.

Tissue	Number of read pairs	Accession numbers
This study		
Whole Brain	51,537,265	SAMN06312741
Embryo	49,112,293	SAMN06312742
Skeletal muscle	42,922,488	SAMN06312743
McGaugh et al., 2015		
Liver	35,802,423	SRR629640
Total	179,374,469	_

Table 2 Statistics for the *de novo* assembly of *Sceloporus undulatus* transcriptome (Sund_v1.0).

Assembly	1 tissue [23]	3 tissues	4 tissues (Sund_v1.0)
Total of Trinity transcript contigs	158,323	492,249	547,370
Total of Trinity 'genes'	138,031	422,687	467,658
GC%	43.8	42.9	42.8
Contig N50 (bp)	1,720	1,648	1,438
Contig E90N50 (bp)	2,254	2,640	2,550
Average contig length (bp)	833.0	822.4	781.5
Transcripts with the longest ORFs	86,630 (54.7%)	212,172 (43.1%)	217,756 (39.8%)

278   The different assemblies used data from a previous study (1 tissue, liver [23]),

279   data from this study (3 tissues:: whole brain, skeletal muscle, embryos), and the

280   two datasets combined (4 tissues, or Sund_v1.0).

283 **Table 3** Annotation summary of *Sceloporus undulatus de novo* transcriptome

284 assembly (Sund_v1.0).

Annotation of the Sund_v1.0 assembly	
Annotated genes	467,658
Annotated transcript isoforms	547,370
Annotated isoforms/gene	1.17
Transcripts with Swiss-Prot annotation	(71,944)
Transcripts with PFAM annotation	51,018 (46,432)
Transcripts with KEGG annotation	65,694 (21,520)
Transcripts with GO annotation	73,936 (66,554)

286 Unique annotation numbers are indicated by parentheses.

287 **Table 4** BUSCO results for the transcriptomes of *Sceloporus undulatus* and

288 *Anolis carolinensis*.

	Sceloporus undulatus			*Anolis carolinensis*
	1 tissue	**3 tissues**	**4 tissues (Sund_v1.0)**	**14 tissues**
Complete genes	72.5%	91.7%	92.3%	96.7%
Duplicated genes	25%	43.8%	43.9%	37.9%
Fragmented genes	9.2%	4.8%	4.8%	1.1%
Missing genes	18.3%	3.5%	2.9%	2.2%
Reference	McGaugh et al, 2015 [23]	This study	This study	Eckalbar et al, 2013 [33]

For S. undulatus, the Sund_v1.0 assembly includes 4 tissues, specifically 3

tissues from this study (whole brain, skeletal muscle and embryos) and 1

previously reported tissue (liver [23]). For *A. carolinensis*, transcriptomes

included adrenal gland, brain, dewlap skin, embryos, and pooled samples, heart,

liver, lung, original tail, ovary, regenerating tail tip, regenerating tail base, and

skeletal muscle [18].

 Supporting information – Tables

 Table S1 Contig length statistics for *Sceloporus undulatus de novo* assemblies.

	1 tissue	3 tissues	4 tissues
Minimum length	201.0	201.0	201.0
1st Quartile	266.0	266.0	266.0
Median	382.0	377.0	375.0
Mean	829.9	822.4	781.0
3rd Quartile	808.0	732.0	711.0
Maximum length	16,776.0	30,410.0	30,258.0

301 The Sund_v1.0 assembly includes 4 tissues, specifically 3 tissues sequenced in

302 this study (whole brain, skeletal muscle and embryos) and 1 previously reported

303 tissue (liver [23]).

305 **Table S2** Reads mapped to *Sceloporus undulatus de novo* Sund_v1.0 assembly.

Read classification	Counts	Percentage of mapped reads
Proper pairing	170,981,981	97.10%
Left read only	3,778,790	2.15%
Right read only	1,015,874	0.58%
Improper pairing	310,142	0.18%

306 **Table S3** Representation of full-length reconstructed protein-coding genes in

307 *Sceloporus undulatus de novo* Sund_v1.0 transcriptome assembly, using the

308 protein set of *Anolis carolinensis* (AnoCar2.0, Ensembl) as a reference.

Alignment coverage	Counts	Cumulative counts
100%	9,874	9,874
90%	1,349	11,223
80%	799	12,022
70%	757	12,779
60%	725	13,504
50%	577	14,081
40%	463	14,544
30%	455	14,999
20%	358	15,357
10%	97	15,454

Supporting information – Figure

Figure S1 Contig sizes for different *Sceloporus undulatus* assemblies.

Assemblies used (**A**) the previously published single tissue transcriptome (liver

[23]), (**B**) transcriptomes from the 3 tissues sequenced in this study (brain,

skeletal muscle and embryos), and (**C**) the combined data set of 4 tissues ([23]

and this study).