1   ***Identifying core biological processes distinguishing human eye tissues with precise systems-***
2   ***level gene expression analyses and weighted correlation networks***
3

Bryan, John M†
Fufa, Temesgen D†
Bharti, Kapil†
Brooks, Brian P†
Hufnagel, Robert B†
McGaughey, David M†*
10
11 * Corresponding author
12 † Ophthalmic Genetics and Visual Function Branch, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of
13 Health, United States of America

14 **Abstract**
15

The human eye is built from several specialized tissues which direct, capture, and pre-
process information to provide vision. The gene expression of the different eye tissues has been
extensively profiled with RNA-seq across numerous studies. Large consortium projects have also
used RNA-seq to study gene expression patterning across many different human tissues, minus
the eye. There has not been an integrated study of expression patterns from multiple eye tissues
compared to other human body tissues. We have collated all publicly available healthy human
eye RNA-seq datasets as well as dozens of other tissues. We use this fully integrated dataset to
probe the biological processes and pan expression relationships between the cornea, retina, RPE-
choroid complex, and the rest of the human tissues with differential expression, clustering, and
GO term enrichment tools. We also leverage our large collection of retina and RPE-choroid
tissues to build the first human weighted gene correlation networks and use them to highlight
known biological pathways and eye gene disease enrichment. We also have integrated publicly
available single cell RNA-seq data from mouse retina into our framework for validation and
discovery. Finally, we make all these data, analyses, and visualizations available via a powerful
interactive web application (https://eyeintegration.nei.nih.gov/).

Introduction

The human eye is a highly specialized organ using several distinct tissues to focus and capture light and begin processing it into visual information. Light passes through the cornea and the lens which focus the light onto the retina (1). The rod and cone photoreceptors of the retina capture the light and transmits visual information that is processed by a network of retinal synapses and passed through the optic nerve to the brain (2). The retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) is responsible for absorbing scattered light and providing nutrition, maintaining ionic homeostasis, and waste product processing for the photoreceptors, as well as mediating immune function for the retina and eye (3). The RPE and outer neural retina is supported by and connected to the vascular system of the body via the choroid (4).

Many genetic disorders affect the function of the various eye tissues and cause vision perturbation or loss. The genetics of eye diseases range from monogenic Mendelian disorders to complex multi-gene system perturbations that are modified by environmental influences. While at least 316 identified genes underlying retinal diseases have been identified, recent comprehensive next generation sequencing studies fail to find the cause of a variety of inherited retinal diseases like cone-rod dystrophies or retinitis pigmentosa 40-60% of the time (5–7). In an example of complex disease, age-related macular degeneration (AMD), which is believed to be caused by dysfunction of the RPE and choroid, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified dozens of genomic locations associated with the disease. Still it is very difficult to pinpoint the causative gene or genes (8).

An valuable tool in understanding basic biology and unravelling the causes of disease has been the analysis of gene expression profiles. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project has compiled nearly 10,000 individual tissue human RNA-seq samples and shared the data via a powerful and easy-to-use web portal (9). GTEx data has been used to help filter variants in GWAS studies, to build networks to identify candidate testis cancer genes, to help identify pathogenic mutations in an epilepsy cohort, and to identify a genetic variant linking folate homeostasis to warfarin response (10–14). Notably, the eye was not included as a tissue for this project. Because the vision community has been adopting RNA-seq for profiling different components of the eye, there is a large and growing set of useful transcriptome data. However, each study uses different bioinformatic processes to analyze their transcriptomes and the full genome-wide expression values are difficult to obtain, analyze, and visualize across studies. Therefore, utility of these resources ought to be optimized to similar effect as for other tissues.

We have collated all publicly available human eye tissue RNA-seq data and processed it with a robust and consistent bioinformatics process. We also have brought in a substantial portion of the GTEx project RNA-seq data to provide a comparison set to the eye tissues. Our full data-set holds 1027 samples. This comprehensive and consistently processed pan-eye and human data set allows for several novel analyses: first, to probe the relationships within cornea, retina, and RPE tissues and between eye tissues and other human tissues; second, to look for overarching patterns in gene expression and shared biology in differentially expressed genes between the eye tissues; and, third, we use the large collated retina and RPE samples to build gene correlation networks for both. A single cell mouse RNA-seq retina dataset has also been integrated to validate the retina gene correlation networks.

To maximize utility of this project to all researchers, we have also created a freely available web application that allows quick and powerful access to the expression profiles of

77   nearly 20,000 genes across 177 human eye tissue RNA-seq sets and 853 GTEx tissue RNA-seq
78   sets, the two gene networks, and the 10,000 plus cells of the mouse retina single cell dataset
79   (https://eyeIntegration/nei.nih.gov/).

Materials and Methods

Identification of normal human eye RNA-seq data-sets and tissue labelling

The entire SRA dataset was downloaded as a SQL file on January 19th, 2017 with the SRAdb R package. The following keywords were used in a partial-matching case-insensitive (e.g. 'retina' would match 'RETINAL') search: 'RPE', 'macula', 'fovea', 'retina', 'choroid', 'sclera', 'iris', 'lens', 'cornea', and 'eye.' These keywords were matched against the following fields in the SRA: 'study_abstract', 'experiment_name', 'study_name', 'sample_ID', 'sample_name', 'study_title', 'study_description' in human samples with a 'library_source' of 'transcriptomic' and filtering out miRNA studies. Study titles, abstracts, and other fields were checked by hand for inclusion in this study for whether they were genuine eye studies of normal (non-disease, non-mutated, no chemical modification) human eye tissue. The SRA metadata for the GTEx project was also pulled by searching for the study accession 'SRP012682.' Our script enabling search of the SRA for eye tissues is provided as 'sraDB_search_select.R'

For reproducibility, the meta-data for each sample was parsed with our script 'parse_sample_attribute.R' to label the eye tissue (cornea, lens, eye-lid, retina, RPE, ESC) and its origin (immortalized cell-line, cell-line derived from ESC, fetal tissue, or adult tissue). This script has been written to handle the wide variety of metadata usage by the 21 research projects and the script likely would need to be modified to handle new eye samples. The GTEx tissue were labeled by tissue or sub tissue by parsing the GTEx SRA metadata for 'histological type' and 'body site', respectively with the 'parse_sample_attribute.R' script.

Efficient quantification of gene expression across 1027 samples

Two studies had their raw RNA-seq data accessioned with dbGaP (9, 15). We obtained access to these studies under dbGaP study #115588. Raw sequence data for these two studies were pulled and converted to fastq with the sratoolkit (2.8.0) fastq-dump tool. The remaining raw fastq data was pulled from NCBI via ftp, with the wget calls created by the script 'sra_to_fastq.R'. The one exception was the E-MTAB-4377 resource which was only available in the bam format as of January 19th 2017 from European Bioinformatics Institutes ArrayExpress archive (16). The bam files were downloaded, then converted to fastq with the Picard SamToFastq (2.1.1) program (https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/).

The raw fastq read files were loaded into salmon (0.7.2) with –seqBias and –gcBias flags against the Gencode Release 25 protein-coding transcript sequences fasta file to perform transcript-level quantification (17, 18). The Gencode gene names are used across this study. To improve specificity of the gene expression, transcripts with low abundance across all tissues were removed from the fasta file, and Salmon was re-run as per Soneson et. al (19). The filtered fasta file is provided in the source code as 'gencode.v25.pc_transcripts.commonTx.fa.gz.' and the Salmon script as 'run_salmon.sh.' To improve sensitivity and specificity, the transcript-level quantifications were merged to the gene-level and the length scaled transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) calculations were done with the R library tximport (1.2.0) (20) in our 'calculate_lengthScaledTPM.R' script.

Multi-step process to remove samples with low overall gene expression counts, quantile normalize samples by tissue, then cluster to identify outliers

A multi-stage process was then used on the full data set to remove outlier samples (either
because of overall low gene expression levels or from clustering with the incorrect tissue group).
Genes with zero to extremely low expression across the entire data-set were removed. While we
found several mislabeled GTEx samples, this has been noticed before (21). Samples with a
median TPM value < 50 were removed as these were outliers in terms of overall gene expression
coverage. This step alone removed all of the lens samples, 20 RPE, 15 retina, and 16 ESC
samples (Supplementary Materials, Table S1, S2 and Figure S2). To alleviate potential batch
effects between the samples from different studies, the TPM values were quantile normalized
within tissues and globally simultaneously with the qsmooth algorithm (22) (Supplementary
Materials, Figure S7).
Finally, the remaining samples were dimensionality reduced with t-SNE, then clustered
with DBSCAN. The performance of t-SNE is sensitive to the perplexity parameter, which
weighs local versus global relationships. We found for our study that perplexities ranging from
30-50 performed the most reliably (data not shown). For the all-sample t-SNE we used a
perplexity of 45. For the eye-only sample t-SNE, we used a perplexity of 35. The t-SNE
coordinates were clustered by DBSCAN with the eps parameter set to 1.3. The cluster
assignments from DBSCAN were then aggregated to the tissue and origin level, to identify small
numbers of samples that clustered with other tissues; these are likely sample swaps. These
outliers were removed. The script for this process is 'outlier_identification.Rmd.'
145
146   *Differential gene expression analysis with pair-wise testing*
147
A synthetic pan-human gene expression set was created by randomly sampling 8 tissues
from each of the 22 GTEx tissue samples. This was used with the nine different eye tissue-origin
sample sets and the ESC set, totaling 11 different groups. All 55 pair-wise tests (11 choose 2
equals 55) were done with the limma package with voom library size normalization, using the
quantile-normalized TPM values as the input (23, 24). The script 'differential_expression.Rmd'
contains the code for these steps.
154
155   *GO, HPO, and STRING enrichment*
156
For GO enrichment, the biomaRt package was used, in R, to get the entrez IDs from the
'dec2016' 'hsapiens_gene_ensembl' mart. The GOstats package, in R, was used to calculate GO
enrichment by the hypergeometric test, only keeping over-enriched terms. The background gene
list across the different tests was defined as all genes in the original TPM expression matrix. The
function for this analysis is provided as 'GO_enrichment.R.'
For HPO enrichment, no working R package was available. To identify modules that
mapped to a higher than expected number of HPO terms we used bootstrapping, comparing the
number of HPO terms mapped to a module (proportional to its size) against a bootstrap
distribution of the same metric. To analyze overabundance of HPO terms in a module we used
hypergeometric testing, comparing the number of HPO terms in a module against the
background of all genes and their associated HPO terms. The
'ALL_SOURCES_FREQUENT_FEATURES_genes_to_phenotype.txt' file from 'Build #124'
was downloaded on April 4th, 2017 from
http://compbio.charite.de/jenkins/job/hpo.annotations.monthly/lastStableBuild/ . This file links

gene names to HPO terms. The script that did the hypergeometric testing is provided as
'HPO_enrichment_function.R.'
173   STRING enrichment p-values were computed with the STRINGdb R package. We placed
174   all genes in each module, up to 400 (the max input possible for STRINGdb). For modules with
175   more than 400 genes (7 retina modules and 10 RPE modules), we used the 400 genes in the
176   module with the highest kWithin connectivity. The script for this is 'stringDB.R.'
177
178   *Tissue-level gene block analysis with KMeans clustering and gene ontology enrichment*
179
180   The differential gene expression patterns across the 55 pair-wise tests were grouped into
twenty clusters, each holding groups of genes with shared expression patterns. The grouping was
done with the *k*-means algorithm, in R, with 10,000 iterations and the 'MacQueen' algorithm.
The cluster assignments for each gene was joined with the eye-tissue TPM values for the gene.
The TPM values were averaged for each eye tissue, then the overall gene expression in each
cluster was averaged. The TPM values, averaged by tissue, then cluster, were plotted in a
heatmap. The code for this analysis is in 'kmeans_de_cluster_heatmap.Rmd' and the cluster
assignments for each gene are available as 'DE_Kmeans_cluster_Gene_Lists.zip'.
188
189   *Gene network construction with WGCNA*
190
191   Weighted co-expression networks were constructed separately on both retina and RPE
samples using the Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Analysis (WGCNA) framework with
the corresponding *WGCNA* R package. TPM expression matrices were used for the construction
of both networks. Genes with consistently low levels of expression (less than 30 TPM in at least
5% of samples for the retina network, less than 40 TPM in at least 5% of samples for the RPE
network) were removed prior to network construction. We found that less stringent cut-offs for
low expression resulted in poor clustering of these genes (data not shown).
198   Average-linkage hierarchical clustering and t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding
(t-SNE) were used to assess batch issues stemming from sample origin and study source, using
the *WGCNA* and *Rtsne* R packages, respectively. Following the observation of batch effects, the
ComBat R package was used to correct for batch issues stemming from an interaction variable
between sample origin and study source. Following batch correction, a $\log_2$-transformation was
applied to each expression matrix. the following transformation was applied to each expression
matrix:

$$f(lsTPM) = \log_2(lsTPM + 1)$$

205   WGCNA identifies co-expression patterns using a weighted correlation matrix. The un-
weighted correlation matrix is raised to a soft-thresholding power (β) in order to satisfy the
scale-free law (25). This means that $p(i)$, the probability that a node has degree i, follows a
power law distribution $p(i) \sim i^{-n}$. In choosing β for each of the networks, it is suggested by the
WGCNA developers to choose a β which produces a negative correlation between $\log(i)$ and
$\log(p(i))$, with $R^2 > 0.8$. Using the *pickSoftThreshold* function in the *WGCNA* R package, a
range of soft-thresholding powers (β) were evaluated for both networks. The suggested criteria
were met with soft-thresholding powers of 4 and 7 for the retina and RPE networks, respectively.
Each co-expression network was constructed in the following manner using the $\log_2$-transformed
expression matrices:

1. Compute a Pearson correlation matrix of all gene pairs: $S = [S_{ij}]$, where $S_{ij} =$
$|cor(i,j)|$, where i and j are distinct genes.
2. Compute an adjacency matrix as:
$A = [a_{ij}]$, where $a_{ij} = power(S_{ij}, \beta) = [S_{ij}]^{\beta}$
3. Compute an unsigned topological overlap matrix (TOM) as:
$TOM_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij} + \sum_{u \neq i,j} a_{iu} a_{uj}}{\min(k_i, k_j) + 1 - a_{ij}}$, where $k_i = \sum_{u \neq i} a_{iu}$, and $k_j = \sum_{u \neq j} a_{ju}$
4. Define a dissimilarity matrix as $d_{ij} = 1 - TOM_{ij}$. Use average-linkage hierarchical
clustering on the dissimilarity matrix to cluster the genes.
5. Use the *cutreeDynamic* function to place genes into distinct modules. For this function,
parameters of *deepSplit = 0* and *minClusterSize = 30* were used.
The script used to generate the networks is provided as 'WGCNA_networks.Rmd.'
226
227   *Identifying Similar Modules Across Retina and RPE Networks*
228
Similarities in module compositions between the retina and RPE networks were assessed.
This was performed through pair-wise cross-network comparison of retina and RPE modules in
terms of the genes that were assigned to each pair of modules, as well as the GO terms that were
associated with the modules being compared. For each cross-network module comparison, the
number of overlapping genes was calculated and subjected to a hypergeometric test to assess
significance. This process was repeated with examining overlap in GO terms between modules.
In both analyses, p-values were adjusted using the FDR correction method.
236
237   *Processing of Macosko et al. single cell RNA-seq dataset*
238
The counts from study GSE63472 were downloaded as file
GSE63472_P14Retina_merged_digital_expression.txt.gz and processed with Seurat (2.3) (26).
The full script is made available as process_macosko.R. Outlier cells were filtered out by
removing ones which had more than 6000 or fewer than 900 genes expressed. This reduced the
number of cells from 44,098 to 10,831 cells. The expression values were normalized with
'LogNormalize' and scaled against mitochondrial percentage and nUMI with the negative-
binomial regression. t-SNE dimensionality reduction was done to visualize the expression data.
The cluster assignments for each cell from Macosko et al. was downloaded from
http://mccarrolllab.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/retina_clusteridentities.txt.
248
249   Comparison of scRNA-seq with bulk RNA
250
The lists of genes in each retina WGCNA network module were pulled and their cell-type
specific expression in the Macosko et al. mouse retina single cell dataset was calculated (27).
High variance expression was identified by setting retina network – cell type expression with
variance > 9. A fake dataset was created by randomizing the assignment of cells to retina
network clusters. This fake set was used as the control group for the wilcox t test to determine
whether a retina network – cell type group expression was significantly different. The code for
this analysis is made available in scripts.zip as 'single_cell_retina_network_comparison.Rmd.'
258

259 *Selection of candidate functional RPE genes and differentiation of human induced pluripotent*
260 *stem cells (iPSC) into RPE cells*
261
We took genes which: 1. were much more highly expressed in fetal RPE and stem cell
RPE relative to the synthetic body set, 2. were more highly expressed in fetal RPE relative to the
retina and adult RPE-choroid, and 3. had an RPE network kWithin score > 10. This produced a
list of 36 genes (Supplemental Materials, Table S2). 17 of these genes were randomly selected
and we also added SLC13A3 and GDF11 as these genes were common RPE network partners of
the short list. Best1, MITF-Pan, MITF-M, and MITF-A were included as positives controls.
To calculate the hypergeometic p value, we counted the number of genes which were
abs(0.5 log2 Fold Change) different in RPE stem cell derived relative to RPE fetal tissue (6096
out of 19128 total genes). The phyper function in R was used as 1 - phyper(14, 6906, 19128-
6906, 19).
Tyr-GFP 3D1, an RPE-specific reporter hiPSC line was grown in complete Essential 8™
Medium (Life Technologies, cat# A1517001) on vitronectin (Life Technologies, cat# A14700)
coated tissue culture plates at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2. Differentiation of
Tyr-GFP 3D1 cells into RPE was performed according to previously described protocols (28,
29). Differentiated RPE cells were maintained in RPE medium: MEM alpha (Life Technologies;
cat # 12571-063) with 5% FBS (Hyclone; cat # SH30071-03), 1% CTS^{TM} N-2 supplement (Life
Technologies; cat # A13707-01), 0.1 mM MEM non-essential amino acid solution (Life
Technologies; cat # 11140),1 mM Sodium Pyruvate (Life Technologies; cat # 11360-070), 250
ug/mL Taurine (Sigma; cat # T4571), 20 ug/L Hydrocortisone (Sigma; cat # H6909), 0.013 ug/L
3,3′,5-Triiodo-L-thyronine sodium salt (Sigma; cat # T5516).
282
283 RNA isolation and TaqMan® real-time PCR
284
GFP-positive and GFP-negative RPE cells were sorted using FACSDiva 8.0.1 cell sorter
(BD Bioscience) and lysed with TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific; cat # 15596026).
Total RNA was isolated using the Direct-zol^{TM} RNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA)
and one-microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed using High Capacity cDNA Reverse
Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystem). TaqMan probe/primer set for the target genes were
designed and gene expression was performed on the cDNA using TaqMan® Universal PCR
Master Mix on StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific/Applied
Biosystems) (Supplementary Material, Table S3).
293
294 *Web app, other tools, and source code*
295
The fastq file transfer and salmon quantification were run in the bash environment. The
salmon-based RNA-seq quantification used the computational resources of the NIH HPC
Biowulf cluster (http://hpc.nih.gov).
All other statistical analyses and visualization was done in the R environment (see
'session_info_R.txt' for packages used and versions). The heatmaps were made with the
superheat package. All other figures were made with ggplot2.
The interactive web application was built with the R Shiny framework and hosted on a R
Shiny Server (https://shiny.rstudio.com) installation at NEI. ggiraph was used to turn ggplot
images into interactive images. The visNetwork R package was used to visualize the network

modules. For the purpose of limiting the number of edges to a number that would be tractable for
interactive visualization, the network edges were filtered so that each node would have its k-
nearest within-module genes (k-strongest edges to genes in the same module) remain in the
network, for a range of k values.
The source code and links to the data for the web application is available at
https://gitlab.com/davemcg/Human_eyeIntegration_App. The scripts mentioned in the methods
underlying the data processing and analysis for this paper are available as supplemental file
scripts.zip and the data used in the scripts is available at Zenodo (10.5281/zenodo.569870).
313

Results

Hundreds of individual human eye tissue RNA-seq datasets publicly available across twenty-one research studies

  To identify all publicly available human eye tissue RNA-seq datasets, the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) was queried on January 19th 2017 with the R package SRAdb for human transcriptomic studies with the keywords 'RPE', 'macula', 'fovea', 'retina', 'choroid', 'sclera', 'iris', 'lens', 'cornea', and 'eye' across numerous fields in the SRA (30). This inclusive search identified 603 samples across 53 studies. Hand searching the studies to identify human eye tissue samples that did not have chemical, pharmacological, or genetic modifications or known eye-disease pared the initial search down to 219 samples across 21 studies (Supplementary Materials Table S1, Fig. 1A) (15, 16, 29–43) . The metadata of the remaining eye samples was queried and parsed to label each sample by tissue (cornea, retina, RPE) and origin (immortalized cell line, stem cell line, fetal tissue, adult tissue) (Fig. 1B). Before gene expression quantification and quality control to remove lower quality samples we had 110 retina, 85 RPE, 28 cornea, 16 human embryonic stem cell lines (ESC), 6 lens, and 4 eyelid tissue RNA-seq data sets.

Efficient quantification tools allow for comparison of the eye transcriptome meta-set with dozens of other human tissues

  The raw sequence data was obtained from the SRA or European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) and the transcript counts were quantified with the Salmon pseudo-alignment transcript quantification (17). To improve reliability of quantification, the transcript level counts were merged to the gene level (20). We then applied quantile normalization of the TPM (transcripts per million) values on a per-tissue basis with the qsmooth tool to reduce variability between different studies (22). Outliers with extremely low median gene counts and individual samples that clustered very far apart from similar samples were removed, leaving 171 eye samples (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Material, Tables S4 and S5). Voom normalization was then applied to adjust for different library sequencing depths (23). See methods for further details.
  This efficient bioinformatic process also enabled us to bring in 878 samples from the GTEx project to compare to our eye meta-set (9). We selected, when possible, 10 male and 10 female non-gender specific tissues from the GTEx, ending up with 22 tissues, including blood, brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, and thyroid (Supplementary Material, Tables S1 and S5). All raw data from the collated eye tissues or GTEx were processed identically with the above workflow. After outlier removal, using the same workflow as the eye tissue set above, we have 853 GTEx samples across 22 tissues.

Eye tissues from disparate studies cluster according to labelled eye component and tissue or cell-line origin

  Our first question was whether the collated eye tissues, which potentially have significant batch effects from merging data from disparate sources, would group together using dimensionality reduction approaches. We used the Barnes-Hut implementation of the t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE), which has been shown to work well in single-cell RNA-seq study analyses as well as the GTEx study set, to visualize relationships in

two dimensions between the processed eye tissues (Fig. 2A) (21, 46, 47). The DBSCAN
algorithm was used on the t-SNE coordinates for each sample to identify nine distinct clusters
(48).
The adult tissue retina samples clustered together, though apart from their fetal or cell line
based samples. The ESC-derived retina samples have a variety of time points (37, 47, 67, 90
days) during their differentiation; we found no clustering by those criteria (data not shown) (33).
The fetal and adult cornea samples, grouped closely together, but still clustered independently
(Fig. 2A, clusters 8 and 9). Human embryonic stem cells (ESC), included because they are used
across several studies to differentiate into different eye tissues, clustered together, generally
closer to the cell-line derived samples (Fig. 2A, cluster 5).
RPE is the only tissue for which more than three different sources were available: fetal tissue,
adult tissue immortalized cell-line, and cells differentiated from ESCs. It should be noted that the
adult RPE tissues are a mixture of RPE and choroid tissue, which is a vascular layer of the eye,
providing oxygen and nutrients to the RPE and outer retina. This tissue will be referred to as
adult RPE/choroid. The four sources cluster into three groups, with the few RPE fetal tissues
clustering with the ESC-derived RPE samples (Fig 2A, cluster 1). The RPE derived from ESC
group (Fig 2A, cluster 1) is composed of samples from three studies (31, 36, 41). All three
groups differentiated their RPE cells for about two to four months, according to their method
section. Wu and Zeng et al. gave specific times of differentiation for the exact tissues used in the
SRA metadata (40 or 100 days); we did not see any differences in clustering patterns based on
length of differentiation (data not shown) (41). This close grouping of fetal and ESC-derived
RPE tissues are consistent across multiple runs of t-SNE with different perplexity parameters
ranging from 35-50 (data not shown). The adult RPE/choroid tissue clusters further away from
the cell-line based tissues.
Overall, the t-SNE dimensionality reduction demonstrates that the eye tissues consistently
cluster in unique groups by their tissue and origin. This happens despite a variety of laboratory
origins with disparate culturing conditions, tissue handling, RNA extraction, sequencing cores,
and so on.
388
389 *Eye tissues distinct from most human tissues*
390
To explore the relationship of eye tissues to other tissues in the human body, we
leveraged the GTEx data we reprocessed to create a pan-human two-dimensional tissue
relationship map with t-SNE (Fig. 2B). DBSCAN was then used, as before, to identify clusters.
'Tissue' labels from GTEx metadata in the SRA were used with one exception; fibroblasts are
labelled separately from 'Skin' as they consistently group independently of skin-punch tissues.
From the t-SNE visualization (Fig. 2B) we observe most human tissues group close to each
other, with the exception of brain. The eye tissues, except retina, group closer to the non-brain
human tissues. While the cell-line versus tissue derived eye tissue distinctions are maintained
with the pan-human set, the eye-tissues are generally more related to each other than non-eye
tissues.
The t-SNE 1 and 2 dimension coordinates generated by t-SNE are sensitive to the parameter
perplexity, which controls the weighing of local to global relationships (49). Figures 2A and 2B
used perplexities of 35 and 45, respectively. To more consistently demonstrate the pair-wise
relationships between the tissues, the t-SNE dimensions were iteratively generated with
perplexities from 35 to 50. Then means were taken, grouped by sample. The individual samples

were then grouped by labelled tissue type and the t-SNE coordinates were again averaged.
Hierarchical clustering by Euclidean distance was done to group the tissues and a heatmap was
generated (Fig. 2C) which displays the most closely related tissues. Because the hierarchical
distances between cell-line derived eye tissues were inconsistent, they were removed from this
analysis. We see that retina and brain tissues are individual outliers. We also see that the pituitary
is grouped near RPE tissue and that fibroblasts group closely with the cornea (as denoted by the
height of the dendrogram).
413
414 *Differential expression analysis identifies large sets of genes distinguishing separating eye*
415 *tissues*
416
The eye tissue set collected can be separated on two major axes: tissue type (cornea,
retina, or RPE) and origin (immortalized cell line, stem cell line, fetal tissue, adult tissue).
Labelling each set of tissues by these two criteria gives us ten sets of eye tissues (Fig. 1B). To
compare expression against non-eye tissue, we created a synthetic human 'body' expression set,
by evenly combining the 22 GTEx tissues. The total number of body samples was matched to the
total number of eye tissues we have by taking a random set of 8 tissues from each human body
tissue category (e.g. Brain, Pituitary). There are 55 two-way combinations possible among the 11
sets.
To calculate differential expression, we modeled expression with the limma linear fit
function with voom to correct for library size differences. The limma empirical Bayes function
was used to identify statistically significant differentially expressed genes (23, 24). To look for
global changes between the eye tissues and the body, we will first compare all of the eye tissue
groups individually against the synthetic body (Table 1). A second synthetic body set was
created by sampling the un-used GTEx tissues from the first synthetic body set and we found
very similar differential expression values (data not shown).
The differentially expressed genes identified for each test (Table 1) was filtered to retain
only genes with log fold change (logFC) < -2 or > 2 relative to the baseline tissue and with a
false discovery rate (FDR) corrected p-value less than 0.01. A logFC of more than two means
that the detected transcript level is more than four times as much (or one quarter as much)
compared to the body tissue.
437
438 *Biological term enrichment identifies eye-specific gene expression biology relating to visual*
439 *function and body-specific gene expression relating to immunity and cell adhesion*
440
As we have hundreds to thousands of genes meeting these stringent differential
expression criteria across the ten comparisons we did Gene Ontology (GO) biological process
term enrichment to identify systems-level patterns. We did the GO term enrichment
independently on the over- and under-expressed gene sets, relative to the synthetic body set; 20
tests were performed. Overall, we found 2796 unique GO term IDs across the tests with an FDR
corrected p value under 0.01 (Supplementary Materials, Table S6).
We took the top forty GO term IDs from the over and under-expressed tests (ranked by p
value) and plotted them in a heatmap to identify shared GO terms among the different
comparisons and to find overall trends in eye tissues gene expression relative to the synthetic
body gene expression set (Figure 3). Clustering was done on both rows and columns to group
together shared patterns. Like the t-SNE based clustering, the retina is an outlier for GO term

enrichment. The GO terms in the first 20 rows (Fig. 3, Block 1) is driven by genes that are more
highly expressed in the retina relative to other tissues. These over-expressed genes are highly
enriched in GO terms relative to visual perception, light stimulus, synaptic signaling, and
neurogenesis.
The next group (Fig. 3, Block 2) of enriched GO terms most strongly defines the ESC,
the cornea, and RPE immortalized cell lines and to a lesser extent, fetal cornea and stem cell
retina tissue. These GO terms relate to cell cycle and division as well as DNA packaging and
conformation. The last block (Fig 3., Block 3) is a set of GO IDs related to the body gene
expression being higher than most of the eye tissues. This large block has GO terms involving
migration, organismal process, adhesion, immune process, and stimulus. The full set of
significantly ($p < 0.01$) enriched GO terms (2796) is available in Supplementary Materials Table
S6.
464
465 *Within eye tissue differential expression comparisons identify cornea, retina, RPE, and*
466 *RPE/choroid gene sets*
467
To more directly identify sets of genes enriched in particular eye tissue(s) relative to the
other eye tissues, we compared all eye tissue differential expression pair-wise against each other
and the synthetic body set (55 tests). To identify common gene sets, we used *k*-means clustering
to group all genes into twenty groups; each group has a different overall gene expression pattern.
We then plotted the relative gene expression for each eye tissue across the twenty *k*-means
groups (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1). This produces a heatmap which identifies sets of
genes that are more highly (or lowly) expressed in particular eye tissue(s) relative to the other
eye tissues. We use this heatmap to identify genes defining the cornea, retina, RPE, and adult
RPE/choroid and did GO term enrichment on these clusters (Table 2, Supplementary Table S7).
The gene lists for each of the 20 groups are available in Supplementary File S1).
478
479 *The cornea is enriched for genes involved in the extracellular matrix and collagen relative to the*
480 *other eye tissues*
481
In the GO heatmap (Fig. 3) the cornea tissues (immortalized cell line, fetal, adult) lack a
highly distinguishing set of GO terms from the other eye tissues. However, there is a cluster
(Supplementary Materials, Figure S1, cluster 3), with enriched fetal and adult cornea expression
compared to the other tissues. This cluster contains 157 genes and top GO terms enriched for this
set relate to extracellular matrix organization, collagen metabolism, and developmental processes
(Table 2, Supplementary Table S7).
488
489 *Adult retina and, to a lesser extent, retina stem cells enriched in visual function genes*
490
491   Compared to the synthetic body set, the adult retina has many GO terms relating to visual
492 function (Fig. 3, Block 1). This same GO enrichment is seen even when comparing adult retina
493 against the other eye tissues, focusing on cluster 8 (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1, Table
494 2). This cluster is very highly expressed in adult retina and somewhat highly expressed in stem
495 cell derived retina, relative to the other eye tissues.
496

497   *RPE, excluding hTERT RPE, is highly enriched in genes relating to pigmentation and visual*
498   *perception,*
499
Like cornea, the non-immortalized RPE tissues do not have a distinct block of GO terms
(Fig. 3). In the *k*-means heatmap (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1) we see that cluster 14 is
more highly expressed in stem cell RPE, fetal RPE, and adult RPE/choroid. The hTERT
immortalized cell line RPE is not highly expressed for this gene set. The 92 genes in this cluster
are enriched in GO terms for visual perception, melanin processing, and vitamin A metabolism
(Table 2).
506
507   *Compared to other eye tissues, adult RPE/choroid is enriched for genes involved in immune*
508   *function and adhesion*
509
510   The cluster with genes highly expressed in adult RPE/choroid compared to the other eye
511   tissues (number 10), has 229 genes. As this cluster is not highly expressed in the other RPE
512   tissues, this cluster may define the choroid. These genes are strongly enriched in immune
513   function and adhesion (Table 2).
514
515   *hTERT RPE immortalized cell line has substantial gene expression differences relative to RPE*
516   *derived from ESCs*
517
As we had seen that the hTERT RPE clusters apart from the other RPE tissues, and there
is a benefit to examining the differences between an immortalized RPE cell line model versus a
differentiated RPE cell line model, we looked directly at differences in expression between
hTERT RPE and stem cell derived RPE. We identified what genes and GO terms make these two
cell lines different. There are over 1323 genes with a more than four-fold expression difference
between RPE derived from human ESCs and the ATCC hTERT RPE immortalized cell line and
1572 with four-fold lower expression (Supplementary Materials, Table S8). The five genes most
highly expressed in RPE derived from human ESCs relative to the ATCC hTERT RPE
immortalized cell line are *TTR* (Transthyretin), *DCT* (Dopachrome Tautomerase), *KIF1A*
(Kinesin Family Member 1A), *SFRP5* (Secreted Frizzled Related Protein 5), and *NELL2* (Neural
EGFL Like 2). GO terms associated with higher stem cell RPE expression relate to ion transport
and synaptic transmission, suggesting that stem cell derived RPE is a more faithful model of
human biology (Fig. 4).
531
532   *Dissection of high expression retina genes with single cell RNA-seq reveals blocks of genes with*
533   *retina-cell specific function and candidate signature genes*
534
We took advantage of the availability of a retina single cell RNA-seq data set from
normal mouse retina (P14) from Macosko et al. (27). The raw counts from 44,098 individual
dissociated retina cells were filtered down to 10,831 high quality cells, reanalyzed (see methods)
and clustered with t-SNE (Supplementary Materials, Figure S2). The gene expression was
grouped by the eleven major cell types identified by Macosko et al. and the expression was split
into deciles of expression, with 10 being genes in the top 10% of expression for the cell type.
This 179, 112 row data table is made available on eyeintegration.nei.nih.gov (Data Table ->
Mouse Retina Single Cell RNA-seq Data).

This expression set was combined with the list of genes expressed highly in retina (adult)
relative to the synthetic body set and this list was further subdivided by whether the gene was
highly expressed in any specific retina cell type. Of the 11,660 genes with > 1 fold change in the
adult retina tissue over the synthetic set 1,913 were expressed in the top decile in one of the 11
retina sub-types. We ran a bootstrap test 10,000 times to calculate which of the 11 cell types
were enriched in the 11,660 gene set, relative to a random set of genes of the same size. We
found that amacrine, bipolar, cones, rods, horizontal, Müller glia, and retinal ganglion cells were
enriched ($p < 0.05$).
To leverage this more specific gene list to identify functional modules we took the genes
in the top decile expression for each cell type and ran GO enrichment (Supplementary Materials,
Figure SX3). GO terms relating to visual perception and light stimulus are highly enriched in
rods and cones and enriched in amacrine, bipolar, astrocytes, microglia, and Müller glia. Retinal
ganglion cells are enriched in a set of GO processes describing neuron projections, axogenesis,
and microtubule processes. Retinal ganglion, amacrine, and bipolar cells share a set of GO terms
involved with synaptic vesicles, ion regulation, and neurogenesis.
To identify candidate signature genes for each cell type we looked for genes
overexpressed in the bulk retina tissue relative to the synthetic body set, in the top 20% of
expression in a particular retina cell type, and in the bottom 50% for the remaining retina cell
types (Supplemental Table S9). Several examples of these genes are plotted in t-SNE plot of the
clustered single cell retina data, demonstrating how genes like GAD1, PDE6C, and TUBB2B
distinguish amacrine, cones, and retinal ganglion cells from the other retina types
(Supplementary Materials, Figure S4).
565
566   *Highly connected genes in retina and RPE gene networks recapitulate known eye biology*
567
To this point, we have used the full gene expression set to independently cluster samples
by tissue type and origin. We then used differential expression between the eye tissues and the
synthetic body set to highlight differences in GO terms. We delved further by clustering the
differential expression patterns between the eye tissues to find how each eye tissue is different
from the other. We can go even further, by examining the relationships of the genes to each
other, within a tissue, by using gene correlation networks. These networks use correlated
fluctuations of all-by-all pairwise gene expression similarities to build networks of gene-to-gene
relationships.
As we had collected a substantial amount of retina and RPE samples, we were able to
build weighted gene correlation networks with the Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network
Analysis (WGCNA) R tool (25). We also attempted to build a cornea network, but the network
construction failed due to failure to both differentiate the genes cleanly into defined modules and
achieve appropriate network topology within a reasonable parameter space; more cornea samples
are needed (Supplementary Materials, Figure S5). The gene expression TPM values, with the full
set of corrections described earlier for the differential expression analyses, were used as inputs.
All retina and all RPE tissues that passed quality control steps were used to build independent
retina and RPE networks. The parameters used in the WGCNA network construction are
enumerated in the methods.
There are 11,101 and 10,843 genes in the retina and RPE networks, respectively. 9621 of
the genes are shared between the retina and RPE network. The kWithin metric from WGCNA
measures the intramodular connectivity. Genes with higher connectivity are, theoretically, more

likely to be important in gene regulation as perturbations in them will affect the system more
than less connected genes.
To get a sense of what the biology was of the most connected genes in the retina network,
we took the 1017 genes with a kWithin greater than 20 and did GO enrichment (Supplementary
Materials, Table S9), finding the top five GO terms all relate to visual perception. We did the
same with the RPE network, using the 566 genes with a kWithin greater than 20. The top five
GO terms in this RPE network connected list were related to endoplasmic reticulum function
(Supplementary Materials, Table S10). The most similar modules, calculated by doing
hypergeometric testing of GO terms and gene names, between the retina and RPE networks are
the light cyan retina module and the pink RPE module. Both of these modules, by GO term
enrichment, are involved in protein targeting to the ER (Supplementary Materials, Figure S6).
600
601 *Retina network module highly enriched in genes implicated in eye disease and crucial for visual*
602 *function*
603
A key advantage of WGCNA networks over correlation networks is that genes can be
partitioned into modules, presumably with shared biological function within each individual
module. The retina network has 27 modules, with 64 to 1922 genes in each module. The RPE
network has 23 modules, with 90 to 1458 genes in each module (Supplementary Materials,
Figure S7). To determine whether the modules were enriched for known gene to gene
interactions, we loaded each network module gene list into STRING and calculated whether
there were more interactions than expected. For 23/27 retina modules and 20/23 RPE modules,
the STRING p value for interaction enrichment was < 0.01 (Supplementary Materials, Table
S10). We also ran GO term enrichment for each module within each network (Supplementary
Materials, Table S14 and S17). While many modules have highly significant GO term
enrichment, only the 'green' module is highly enriched for visual perception terms. Pinelli et al.
built an unweighted retina gene correlation network and identified 14 candidate photoreceptor
genes based upon their network (16). All 14 are in our retina network and 9 of the 14 are in our
green visual function module (p < 2.8 x 10^{-10}) (Supplementary Materials, Table S12).
There are 617 genes within the green retina module and 178 of these have a kWithin
greater than 20. Many of the top connected genes have known visual function or are implicated
in retinal diseases. To demonstrate the strong enrichment of known eye function genes in this
module we divided the genes in the green module into four categories: known to play a role in
eye disease, having GO terms relating to visual function, both, or neither (Fig. 5, Supplementary
Materials, Table S13). From RetNet (http://www.sph.uth.tmc.edu/RetNet/) we have a list of 331
genes that have been implicated in retinal diseases (5). There are 178 genes with kWithin > 20 in
the green module; 14 of those genes are also in RetNet, 17 have a vision GO term, 31 have both,
and the remaining 116 genes are neither in RetNet nor have a vision-related GO term.
The human phenotype ontology (HPO) project is conceptually similar to gene ontology,
except that they map abnormal human phenotype terms onto a graph and match them to genes
(50). This provides a way to identify enrichment of abnormal human phenotypes. As there is no
functioning package in R to systematically calculate HPO enrichment, we did bootstrapping and
hypergeometric testing (see methods), looking for enrichment overall at the module level and for
individual HPO terms within each module, respectively. The green module is highly enriched for
HPO terms relating to eye disease, with terms like nyctalopia, abnormal electroretinogram,

photophobia, cone-rod dystrophies, and blindness among the top terms (Supplementary Materials, Table S15).

Other highly significant GO terms in the remaining retina network modules also match known retina function. GO terms enriched relate to ion transport (greenyellow), developmental processes (darkorange, greenyellow, tan), mitochondrial function (midnight blue), and metabolism (turquoise) (Supplementary Materials, Table S14). The retina network darkgrey module also contains several genes implicated in retina diseases like *ELOVL4*, *OPN1SW*, *SLC24A1*, and *PDE6A* (see Supplementary Materials, Table S16 for full list). Additionally, the green, tan, brown, and blue modules are, overall, enriched for HPO disease terms (Supplementary Materials, Figure S8).

Differentially expressed, high connectivity RPE genes are highly expressed in functional pure RPE cells

To experimentally validate whether our differential RPE gene expression data and RPE-choroid network connectivity could identify important genes in functional RPE we first made a short list of highly expressed and high connectivity RPE genes (see methods). We then compared expression of the genes in human iPSC-derived RPE, purified using an RPE-specific *TYR* enhancer coupled to a GFP transgene. Differentiating cells were then sorted using flow cytometry to purify the GFP positive cells in the population. We find that 17 of our 19 genes are more highly expressed in the GFP+ RPE (Supplementary Materials, Figure S9). 14 of the 19 genes are 0.5 log2 fold change greater than the in the purified RPE population (hypergeometric p < 0.0002, see methods).

Retina green module identifies visual transduction pathway and core upstream regulators

The green module was further analyzed for known biological networks components, which were generated through the use of Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (Ingenuity® Systems, www.ingenuity.com). Visual transduction was the most significant pathway present, with 16 components present in the green module. These components function predominantly in rod and cone photoreceptors in the conversion of photic energy to neural signaling in the retina (Supplementary Materials, Figure S11A and data not shown), as confirmed by the single cell RNA-seq dataset. Regulatory component analysis projected that *CRX* and *NRL* were predicted among the regulators of gene expression in the green module, upstream of several genes implicated in retinal photoreceptor degeneration also present in the green module (Supplementary Materials, Figure S11B). These two transcription factors drive rod photoreceptor differentiation and maintenance beginning in embryogenesis, and dysfunction of either of these is associated with retinal degeneration (51). In sum, the green module is enriched for photoreceptor function and recapitulates specific components of known biological and gene regulatory networks that are important causes of retinal disease.

RPE/choroid network contains many modules related to cell metabolism

Unlike the retina network, there are no strongly associated GO terms relating to visual function. However, there are numerous modules with strongly significant GO terms relating to metabolic processes and active transcription and translation (blue, brown, dark turquoise, green,

light cyan, light green, red, turquoise). One module (yellow) relates to catabolism, one to
immune function (tan), one to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (pink), and two the mitochondria
(dark green, dark yellow) (Supplementary Materials, Table S16). Among the top HPO terms
across the RPE modules are ones relating to anemia (pink), optic disc pallor (green), and
respiration (dark green). Overall, the green, midnightblue, turquoise, lightyellow, magenta, and
brown RPE modules are enriched for HPO terms (Supplementary Materials, Figure S9).
686
687 *Single-cell RNA-seq confirms many network modules represent specific retina cell types*
688
Because the GO terms are distinct between the different WGCNA modules some of them
should be enriched in genes distinguishing different types of retinal cells. We took the Macosko
et at retina single cell sequencing data and looked at the expression profile for each labelled cell
type grouped by retina network module color (Supplementary Materials, Figure S10). We see
that many modules have very similar expression profiles across the twelve retina cell types (see
black, blue, brown, darkgreen).
However, we also see that several modules have strongly divergent expression patterns
between different cell types (Figure 5a). For example, the green module is enriched in genes with
strong expression in rods, cones, and bipolar cells. The greenyellow and salmon modules have
genes with high expression in retinal ganglion cells. The darkorange and tan modules are
enriched for genes expressed highly in Müller glia and astrocytes.
700
701 *Retina and RPE networks in retinal diseases and AMD*
702
Higher connected genes are theoretically more important in the function of the retina and
RPE. From RetNet we have a list of 331 genes that are associated with retinal diseases (though
some unknown proportion affect the retina via the RPE). From a recent large AMD GWAS
study, there is a list of 33 loci strongly associated with AMD, and thus likely related to RPE or
choroid dysfunction (8). To see whether these retina or RPE gene lists have higher connectivity
relative to the other genes in the networks we used density plots of the kWithin value to see
whether we see any left-ward (less connectivity) or right-ward (more connectivity) shifts in our
gene list kWithin connectivity.
We see that the RetNet gene list has a higher connectivity than non-RetNet genes in the
retina module; this right-ward shift is highly significant ($p = 3.26 \times 10^{-8}$). The connectivity of the
RetNet gene list in the RPE network is significantly different than the non-RetNet genes ($p =$
0.28). 53 RetNet genes are in the green retina module, which is a 4.1 fold enrichment over
chance. The darkgrey module has a similar enrichment in RetNet genes with 10, which is a 3.8
fold enrichment over chance.
The 33 genes associated with AMD have a higher connectivity the remaining genes in the
RPE network; this right-ward shift is also significant ($p = 0.049$). Like the RetNet retinal disease
gene list in the RPE network, the 33 AMD genes are not significantly more connected than the
other genes in the retina network ($p = 0.49$) (Supplementary Materials, Figure S12).
721

Discussion

We collected all publicly available human eye RNA-seq datasets creating the largest pan-eye collection to date, and carefully performed a lengthy series of normalization and quality control procedures to robustly quantify gene expression within three major eye tissues and between the eye and other human tissues. Gene expression data can be used to accurately cluster samples by tissue and origin. We used differential gene expression analysis with GO term enrichment to identify biological processes that best distinguish the eye tissues both from each other and from a synthetic human expression set. We then leveraged the large sets of retina and RPE tissues to build the first human weighted gene correlation networks for retina and RPE, confirming with single cell RNA-seq data that several of the retina modules have cell-type specific expression. We demonstrated the power of the networks to highlight genes known to be crucial in eye biology. Finally, we make the data and analyses available in a powerful web application (https://eyeIntegration.nei.nih.gov).

The structures of the eye are epithelial, neuroepithelial, and neural crest in origin. We were expecting some of the eye tissues to cluster closely with the skin, but instead we found that the retina was a very unique tissue, that transformed fibroblasts most closely matched the cornea, and the RPE was nearest the pituitary. Embryologic origins and specialized functions likely create these similarities and divisions, respectively. Cornea is derived from the same surface ectoderm as skin and from neural crest cells, while retina and RPE are derived from the neural tube epithelium from the ventral diencephalon, along with the hypothalamus and posterior pituitary. Corneal epithelium is replenished by limbal stem cells that remain into adulthood, which may explain the proximity of corneal and ESC clusters. This also may reflect that the majority of our corneal dataset is derived from cultured corneal epithelium. That retina was separated from other ocular and non-ocular tissues likely related to the exclusivity and high expression burden of the visual transduction cycle in cone and rod photoreceptors.

The systems-level study of differential gene expression across cornea, retina, RPE, and RPE-choroid tissue highlights core functions of these tissues. Cornea-specific genes specify the structural aspect of the cornea with extracellular matrix organization and collagen metabolism and catabolism. The corneal epithelium is replenished continuously with limbal stem cells, which may be reflected in the enrichment of GO terms relating to development. The retinal tissues are strongly defined by genes involved in visual processes. The RPE and RPE-choroid tissues are also distinguished, with the former being more involved in visual processes and pigmentation while the latter is involved with immune system processes.

The creation of the first human retina and RPE weighted gene correlation networks has allowed us to identify dozens of modules with co-regulated genes. It is important to stress that these networks were built only with gene expression information and were optimized using network-specific metrics, such as how well the topological overlap matrix placed genes into well-defined modules. Only afterwards did we evaluate the significance of connected genes and modules to GO terms and known eye biology.

It is striking that the some of the most significant GO terms, by p-value and enrichment, in the retina network are associated with a single 617-gene module underlying visual function. This module represents the visual transduction pathway, which is relatively unique to the retina and is associated with isolated and nonsyndromic retinal degenerative conditions. Single-cell RNA-seq data demonstrated that the genes in this module are expressed more highly in the rods, cones, and bipolar cells.

As the RPE has a high-energy role in transferring nutrients and clearing waste products
for the photoreceptors of the retina, it is not surprising that a plurality of the modules are
enriched for genes important in RNA translation, protein modification and production,
catabolism, and mitochondrial function. The enrichment of highly connected AMD associated
genes in the RPE network further emphasizes the value of this network.
Finally, the value of this extensive and carefully curated data-set is enhanced by the
creation of the eyeIntegration web app (http://eyeIntegration.nei.nih.gov; Supplementary
Materials, Figure S13). The site serves two roles, first as an interactive extension of this
manuscript and second as a platform for researchers to identify interesting genes in eye function
via searchable gene expression plots across many tissues, 55 pair-wise differential expression
tests, and two gene networks. We also make the source code and accompanying data-sets fully
and freely available for other researchers (see methods) and will periodically refresh the data.
The unravelling of eye biology and function has been furthered by genetic eye diseases, animal
models, and functional assays. We hope that this open data sharing and powerful web application
will provide a fourth way to decipher eye biology in health and disease.
783
784
785

786 **Funding**
787

788 This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Eye Institute,
789 National Institutes of Health.

Acknowledgements

This work utilized the computational resources of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (http://hpc.nih.gov). We would like to thank the 21 eye research projects whose public sharing of their raw sequencing data and metadata made this project possible.

References

1. Bourne,W.M. (2003) Biology of the corneal endothelium in health and disease. *Eye*, **17**, 912–918.

2. Wallace,V.A. (2011) Concise Review: Making a Retina—From the Building Blocks to Clinical Applications. *STEM CELLS*, **29**, 412–417.

3. Bharti,K., Nguyen,M.-T.T., Skuntz,S., Bertuzzi,S. and Arnheiter,H. (2006) The other pigment cell: specification and development of the pigmented epithelium of the vertebrate eye. *Pigment Cell Res. Spons. Eur. Soc. Pigment Cell Res. Int. Pigment Cell Soc.*, **19**, 380–394.

4. Hayreh,S.S. (1975) Segmental nature of the choroidal vasculature. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.*, **59**, 631–648.

5. Daiger,S., Rossiter,B., Greenberg,J., Christoffels,A. and Hide,W. (1998) Data services and software for identifying genes and mutations causing retinal degeneration. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, **39**, S295.

6. Ellingford,J.M., Barton,S., Bhaskar,S., Williams,S.G., Sergouniotis,P.I., O'Sullivan,J., Lamb,J.A., Perveen,R., Hall,G., Newman,W.G., *et al.* (2016) Whole Genome Sequencing Increases Molecular Diagnostic Yield Compared with Current Diagnostic Testing for Inherited Retinal Disease. *Ophthalmology*, **123**, 1143–1150.

7. Carss,K.J., Arno,G., Erwood,M., Stephens,J., Sanchis-Juan,A., Hull,S., Megy,K., Grozeva,D., Dewhurst,E., Malka,S., *et al.* (2017) Comprehensive Rare Variant Analysis via Whole-Genome Sequencing to Determine the Molecular Pathology of Inherited Retinal Disease. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **100**, 75–90.

8. Fritsche,L.G., Igl,W., Bailey,J.N.C., Grassmann,F., Sengupta,S., Bragg-Gresham,J.L., Burdon,K.P., Hebbring,S.J., Wen,C., Gorski,M., *et al.* (2016) A large genome-wide association study of age-related macular degeneration highlights contributions of rare and common variants. *Nat. Genet.*, **48**, 134–143.

9. GTEx Consortium (2013) The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat. Genet.*, **45**, 580–585.

10. Okbay,A., Beauchamp,J.P., Fontana,M.A., Lee,J.J., Pers,T.H., Rietveld,C.A., Turley,P., Chen,G.-B., Emilsson,V., Meddens,S.F.W., *et al.* (2016) Genome-wide association study identifies 74 loci associated with educational attainment. *Nature*, **533**, 539–542.

11. Murthy,M.N., Blauwendraat,C., UKBEC, Guelfi,S., IPDGC, Hardy,J., Lewis,P.A. and Trabzuni,D. (2017) Increased brain expression of GPNMB is associated with genome wide significant risk for Parkinson's disease on chromosome 7p15.3. *Neurogenetics*, 10.1007/s10048-017-0514-8.

12. Wang,C., Gu,Y., Zhang,K., Xie,K., Zhu,M., Dai,N., Jiang,Y., Guo,X., Liu,M., Dai,J., *et al.* (2016) Systematic identification of genes with a cancer-testis expression pattern in 19 cancer types. *Nat. Commun.*, **7**, 10499.

13. Muona,M., Berkovic,S.F., Dibbens,L.M., Oliver,K.L., Maljevic,S., Bayly,M.A., Joensuu,T., Canafoglia,L., Franceschetti,S., Michelucci,R., *et al.* (2015) A recurrent de novo mutation in KCNC1 causes progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Nat. Genet.*, **47**, 39–46.

14. Daneshjou,R., Gamazon,E.R., Burkley,B., Cavallari,L.H., Johnson,J.A., Klein,T.E., Limdi,N., Hillenmeyer,S., Percha,B., Karczewski,K.J., *et al.* (2014) Genetic variant in folate homeostasis is associated with lower warfarin dose in African Americans. *Blood*, **124**, 2298–2305.

15. Zhu,Y., Stephens,R.M., Meltzer,P.S. and Davis,S.R. (2013) SRAdb: query and use public

next-generation sequencing data from within R. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **14**, 19.

16. Peng,S., Gan,G., Qiu,C., Zhong,M., An,H., Adelman,R.A. and Rizzolo,L.J. (2013)
Engineering a blood-retinal barrier with human embryonic stem cell-derived retinal pigment
epithelium: transcriptome and functional analysis. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.*, **2**, 534–544.

17. Pinelli,M., Carissimo,A., Cutillo,L., Lai,C.-H., Mutarelli,M., Moretti,M.N., Singh,M.V.,
Karali,M., Carrella,D., Pizzo,M., *et al.* (2016) An atlas of gene expression and gene co-
regulation in the human retina. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **44**, 5773–5784.

18. Li,M., Jia,C., Kazmierkiewicz,K.L., Bowman,A.S., Tian,L., Liu,Y., Gupta,N.A.,
Gudiseva,H.V., Yee,S.S., Kim,M., *et al.* (2014) Comprehensive analysis of gene expression in
human retina and supporting tissues. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **23**, 4001–4014.

19. Whitmore,S.S., Wagner,A.H., DeLuca,A.P., Drack,A.V., Stone,E.M., Tucker,B.A., Zeng,S.,
Braun,T.A., Mullins,R.F. and Scheetz,T.E. (2014) Transcriptomic analysis across nasal,
temporal, and macular regions of human neural retina and RPE/choroid by RNA-Seq. *Exp. Eye*
Res., **129**, 93–106.

20. Kaewkhaw,R., Kaya,K.D., Brooks,M., Homma,K., Zou,J., Chaitankar,V., Rao,M. and
Swaroop,A. (2015) Transcriptome Dynamics of Developing Photoreceptors in Three-
Dimensional Retina Cultures Recapitulates Temporal Sequence of Human Cone and Rod
Differentiation Revealing Cell Surface Markers and Gene Networks. *Stem Cells Dayt. Ohio*, **33**,
3504–3518.

21. Frausto,R.F., Le,D.J. and Aldave,A.J. (2016) Transcriptomic Analysis of Cultured Corneal
Endothelial Cells as a Validation for Their Use in Cell Replacement Therapy. *Cell Transplant.*,
25, 1159–1176.

22. Darrow,E.M., Huntley,M.H., Dudchenko,O., Stamenova,E.K., Durand,N.C., Sun,Z.,
Huang,S.-C., Sanborn,A.L., Machol,I., Shamim,M., *et al.* (2016) Deletion of DXZ4 on the
human inactive X chromosome alters higher-order genome architecture. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*
S. A., **113**, E4504-4512.

23. Hu,G., Huang,K., Yu,J., Gopalakrishna-Pillai,S., Kong,J., Xu,H., Liu,Z., Zhang,K., Xu,J.,
Luo,Y., *et al.* (2012) Identification of miRNA Signatures during the Differentiation of hESCs
into Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells. *PLOS ONE*, **7**, e37224.

24. Radeke,M.J., Radeke,C.M., Shih,Y.-H., Hu,J., Bok,D., Johnson,L.V. and Coffey,P.J. (2015)
Restoration of mesenchymal retinal pigmented epithelial cells by TGFβ pathway inhibitors:
implications for age-related macular degeneration. *Genome Med.*, **7**, 58.

25. Ouyang,H., Xue,Y., Lin,Y., Zhang,X., Xi,L., Patel,S., Cai,H., Luo,J., Zhang,M., Zhang,M.,
et al. (2014) WNT7A and PAX6 define corneal epithelium homeostasis and pathogenesis.
Nature, **511**, 358–361.

26. Gill,K.P., Hung,S.S.C., Sharov,A., Lo,C.Y., Needham,K., Lidgerwood,G.E., Jackson,S.,
Crombie,D.E., Nayagam,B.A., Cook,A.L., *et al.* (2016) Enriched retinal ganglion cells derived
from human embryonic stem cells. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 30552.

27. Chen,Y., Huang,K., Nakatsu,M.N., Xue,Z., Deng,S.X. and Fan,G. (2013) Identification of
novel molecular markers through transcriptomic analysis in human fetal and adult corneal
endothelial cells. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **22**, 1271–1279.

28. Wu,W., Zeng,Y., Li,Z., Li,Q., Xu,H. and Yin,Z.Q. (2016) Features specific to retinal
pigment epithelium cells derived from three-dimensional human embryonic stem cell cultures —
a new donor for cell therapy. *Oncotarget*, **7**, 22819–22833.

29. Chng,Z., Peh,G.S.L., Herath,W.B., Cheng,T.Y.D., Ang,H.-P., Toh,K.-P., Robson,P.,
Mehta,J.S. and Colman,A. (2013) High Throughput Gene Expression Analysis Identifies

Reliable Expression Markers of Human Corneal Endothelial Cells. *PLOS ONE*, **8**, e67546.

30. Farkas,M.H., Grant,G.R., White,J.A., Sousa,M.E., Consugar,M.B. and Pierce,E.A. (2013)
Transcriptome analyses of the human retina identify unprecedented transcript diversity and 3.5
Mb of novel transcribed sequence via significant alternative splicing and novel genes. *BMC*
Genomics, **14**, 486.

31. Santaguida,S., Vasile,E., White,E. and Amon,A. (2015) Aneuploidy-induced cellular stresses
limit autophagic degradation. *Genes Dev.*, 10.1101/gad.269118.115.

32. Tian,L., Kazmierkiewicz,K.L., Bowman,A.S., Li,M., Curcio,C.A. and Stambolian,D.E.
(2015) Transcriptome of the human retina, retinal pigmented epithelium and choroid. *Genomics*,
105, 253–264.

33. Patro,R., Duggal,G., Love,M.I., Irizarry,R.A. and Kingsford,C. (2017) Salmon provides fast
and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods*, **advance online**
publication.

34. Soneson,C., Love,M.I. and Robinson,M.D. (2016) Differential analyses for RNA-seq:
transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Research*, **4**, 1521.

35. Hicks,S.C., Okrah,K., Paulson,J.N., Quackenbush,J., Irizarry,R.A. and Bravo,H.C. (2016)
Smooth Quantile Normalization. *bioRxiv*, 10.1101/085175.

36. Law,C.W., Chen,Y., Shi,W. and Smyth,G.K. (2014) voom: precision weights unlock linear
model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol.*, **15**, R29.

37. Maaten,L. van der and Hinton,G. (2008) Visualizing Data using t-SNE. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*,
9, 2579–2605.

38. Ntranos,V., Kamath,G.M., Zhang,J.M., Pachter,L. and Tse,D.N. (2016) Fast and accurate
single-cell RNA-seq analysis by clustering of transcript-compatibility counts. *Genome Biol.*, **17**,
112.

39. Taskesen,E. and Reinders,M.J.T. (2016) 2D Representation of Transcriptomes by t-SNE
Exposes Relatedness between Human Tissues. *PLOS ONE*, **11**, e0149853.

40. Ester,M., Kriegel,H., Sander,J. and Xu,X. (1996) A density-based algorithm for discovering
clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In. AAAI Press, pp. 226–231.

41. Wattenberg,M., Viégas,F. and Johnson,I. (2016) How to Use t-SNE Effectively. *Distill*,
10.23915/distill.00002.

42. Ritchie,M.E., Phipson,B., Wu,D., Hu,Y., Law,C.W., Shi,W. and Smyth,G.K. (2015) limma
powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic*
Acids Res., **43**, e47.

43. Macosko,E.Z., Basu,A., Satija,R., Nemesh,J., Shekhar,K., Goldman,M., Tirosh,I.,
Bialas,A.R., Kamitaki,N., Martersteck,E.M., *et al.* (2015) Highly Parallel Genome-wide
Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell*, **161**, 1202–1214.

44. Langfelder,P. and Horvath,S. (2008) WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation
network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **9**, 559.

45. Köhler,S., Vasilevsky,N.A., Engelstad,M., Foster,E., McMurry,J., Aymé,S., Baynam,G.,
Bello,S.M., Boerkoel,C.F., Boycott,K.M., *et al.* (2017) The Human Phenotype Ontology in 2017.
Nucleic Acids Res., **45**, D865–D876.

46. Swaroop,A., Kim,D. and Forrest,D. (2010) Transcriptional regulation of photoreceptor
development and homeostasis in the mammalian retina. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.*, **11**, 563–576.

47. J,H., A,F., Jm,G., E,T., M,D., F,K., Bl,A., D,B., A,Z., S,S., *et al.* (2012) GENCODE: the
reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project. *Genome Res.*, **22**, 1760–1774.

48. Soneson,C., Matthes,K.L., Nowicka,M., Law,C.W. and Robinson,M.D. (2016) Isoform

prefiltering improves performance of count-based methods for analysis of differential transcript
usage. *Genome Biol.*, **17**, 12.
935
936

937 **Figures**

938
939 Figure 1
940 Identifying 177 unique human eye and ESC samples across 16 studies and four tissue types
941
942   A. Counts for unique cornea, ESC, retina, and RPE (choroid) human RNA-seq samples by
943   study accession
944   B. Counts by tissue and origin. * is adult RPE – choroid
945

946
Figure 2
Gene expression information sufficient to both accurately cluster eye and GTEx tissue
independently and demonstrates that eye tissues are generally more closely related to other than
other body tissues
A. Dimensionality reduction by t-SNE of human eye tissues and ESC, colored by clustering
assignment, and labelled by tissues in cluster. Shape of point corresponds to tissue origin
B. Eye tissues with GTEx tissues, colored by clustering assignment, labelled by tissues in
cluster
C. Pair-wise euclidean distance between each tissue. Closer tissues have a smaller height in
the dendrogram and are more yellow in color. More distant tissues have a larger height in
the dendrogram and are more blue.
958

Figure 3

Major differences in systems relating to visual function, active cell division, adhesion, and

immunity between the eye tissues and the other tissues in the human body

Top 80 GO Terms (40 with eye > body and 40 with body > eye) across eye-tissue to body

differential expression tests. Yellow is more significant, blue is less. Hierarchical clustering of

both rows and columns place more related GO terms and tissue comparison sets together.

967
968   Figure 4
969   Genes crucial in eye function are highly differentially expressed between stem cell derived RPE
970   and hTERT RPE
971   A. The top 5 genes overexpressed in ESC-derived RPE and immortalized cell line hTERT
972   RPE
973   B. Word cloud of enriched GO terms
974

976 Figure 5

977 Retina network green module highly enriched for important visual function genes

978   A. Density plot showing expression of retina network module genes across different
979   retina cell types in single cell mouse retina RNA-seq. Group differences in mean
980   expression and variance are shown by the line colors in the density plot.

981   B. Top 50 connected genes in green module in the retina network. Colored by group (see
982   C.)

983   C. Word cloud of top GO terms in green retina module

984   D. kWithin connectivity (higher is more connected) for top 20 connected genes, labelled
985   to indicate whether the gene is in RetNet, has a GO term relating to visual function,
986   both, or none.

988 **Tables**

990 Table 1

Comparison	Log Fold Change	
	< -2	> 2
Cornea (adult) vs Body (adult)	1969	1249
Cornea (fetal) vs Body (adult)	172	873
Cornea (immortalized cell) vs Body (adult)	2611	1475
ESC (stem cell) vs Body (adult)	2738	2177
Retina (adult) vs Body (adult)	2607	1978
Retina (stem cell) vs Body (adult)	3443	2622
RPE - choroid (adult) vs Body (adult)	1200	1258
RPE (fetal) vs Body (adult)	1510	1402
RPE (immortalized cell) vs Body (adult)	2446	1398
RPE (stem cell) vs Body (adult)	2270	1308

993 Many genes are differentially expressed between the eye tissues and the synthetic body

995   Number of genes with logFC < -2 or > 2 (0.25 or 4 fold, p value < 0.01) between each

996 eye tissue against the synthetic body set

997   Table 2
998

Tissue(s)-Specific Set	Cluster	GO BP ID	P value (FDR)	Odds Ratio	Term
Cornea	3	GO:0030198	1.16e-13	11.27	extracellular matrix organization
Cornea	3	GO:0030574	1.07e-11	30.51	collagen catabolic process
Cornea	3	GO:0032502	1.50e-09	3.20	developmental process
Adult Retina	8	GO:0050953	8.43e-65	38.80	sensory perception of light stimulus
Adult Retina	8	GO:0007601	1.50e-62	37.74	visual perception
Adult Retina	8	GO:0060041	3.87e-19	17.14	retina development in camera-type eye
non-immortalized RPE	14	GO:0007601	1.57e-10	18.39	visual perception
non-immortalized RPE	14	GO:0042438	1.70e-07	104.91	melanin biosynthetic process
non-immortalized RPE	14	GO:0006776	1.39e-05	163.77	vitamin A metabolic process
Adult RPE - choroid	10	GO:0002376	1.35e-20	5.06	immune system process
Adult RPE - choroid	10	GO:0006952	5.03e-18	5.39	defense response
Adult RPE - choroid	10	GO:0007155	1.64e-13	4.25	cell adhesion

999
1000   Top GO terms for tissue-specific cluster groups relate to eye tissue specific function

1001
1002   Three representative GO terms were selected for GO term enrichment done on the
1003   differentially expressed K means cluster sets 3, 8, 10, and 14 (see Supplementary Materials,
1004   Figure S1) which represent over-expressed genes in cornea, adult retina, adult RPE/choroid, and
1005   non-immortalized RPE, respectively
1006

Abbreviations
Age-related macular degeneration (AMD)
Human Embryonic Stem Cells (ESCs)
Gene Ontology (GO)
Gene Tissue Expression Project (GTEx)
Human Phenotype Ontology (HPO)
Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell (iPSC)
log Fold Change (logFC)
Retinal Pigment Epithelium (RPE)
Sequence Read Archive (SRA)
length-scaled Transcripts Per Million (TPM)
t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE)
Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Analysis (WGCNA)
1020

A

Sample Count

Study Accession

E-MTAB-4377
SRP002881
SRP011895
SRP015336
SRP016140
SRP034875
SRP053034
SRP055101
SRP056957
SRP057295
SRP061670
SRP062870
SRP064956
SRP079002
SRP080002
SRP080886

Tissue ■Cornea ■ESC ■Retina ■RPE

B

	Cornea	Retina	RPE	ESC
Adult Tissue	9	75	28*	0
Fetal Tissue	2	0	4	0
Stem Cell Line	0	20	13	9
Cell Line	9	0	11	0

A

Box Plot of Pan-Human Gene Expression

B

A

C

B

D

Gene	kWithin	Group
AIPL1	70.09	RetNet + Vision GO
KCNV2	69.81	RetNet
UNC119	68.72	RetNet + Vision GO
RP1L1	67.25	RetNet
PLEKHB1	66.93	Vision GO
ABCA4	65.01	RetNet + Vision GO
SPTBN5	64.20	None
RCVRN	64.01	Vision GO
PRPH2	63.46	RetNet + Vision GO
CDHR1	62.53	RetNet
CPLX4	61.45	None
PACSIN1	61.20	None
ANO2	60.87	None
FAM107A	59.95	None
RBP3	59.59	RetNet + Vision GO
SLC17A7	59.40	Vision GO
CRX	59.19	RetNet + Vision GO
TULP1	59.09	RetNet + Vision GO
NEURL1	58.38	None
SYP	58.32	None