Differential associations of various depression-related phenotypes with cardiometabolic risks: Identification of shared genetic factors and implications for drug repositioning

Brian Chi-Fung Wong¹, Carlos Kwan-Long Chau¹, Fu-Kiu Ao¹, Cheuk-Hei Mo³, Sze-Yung Wong³, Yui-Hang Wong¹, Hon-Cheong So^{1,2*}

¹School of Biomedical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong

²KIZ-CUHK Joint Laboratory of Bioresources and Molecular Research of Common Diseases, Kunming Institute of

Zoology and The Chinese University of Hong Kong

³Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong

*Correspondence to: Hon-Cheong So, Lo Kwee-Seong Integrated Biomedical Sciences Building, The

Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong. Tel: +852 3943 9255; E-mail: hcso@cuhk.edu.hk

Submitted on 23 Aug 2017 No. of pages: 38

Abstract

Numerous studies have suggested associations between depression and cardiometabolic abnormalities or diseases, such as coronary artery disease and type 2 diabetes. However, little is known about the mechanism underlying this comorbidity, and whether the relationship differs by depression subtypes. Using the polygenic risk score (PRS) approach and linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression, we investigated the genetic overlap of various depression-related phenotypes with a comprehensive panel of 20 cardiometabolic traits. GWAS results for major depressive disorder (MDD) were taken from the PGC and CONVERGE studies, with the latter focusing on severe melancholic depression. GWAS results on general depressive symptoms (DS) and neuroticism were also included. We also identified the shared genetic variants and inferred enriched pathways. In addition, we looked for drugs over-represented among the top shared genes, with an aim to finding repositioning opportunities for comorbidities.

We found significant polygenic sharing between MDD, DS and neuroticism with various cardiometabolic traits. In general, positive polygenic associations with CV risks were observed for most depression phenotypes except MDD-CONVERGE. Counterintuitively, PRS representing severe melancholic depression was associated with reduced CV risks. Enrichment analyses of shared SNPs revealed many interesting pathways, such as those related to inflammation, that underlie the comorbidity of depressive and cardiometabolic traits. Using a gene-set analysis approach, we also revealed a number of repositioning candidates, some of which were supported by prior studies, such as bupropion and glutathione. Our study highlights shared genetic bases of depression with cardiometabolic traits, and suggests the associations vary by depression subtypes. To our knowledge, this is the also first study to make use of human genomic data to guide drug discovery or repositioning for comorbid disorders.

Introduction

Major depression is a common psychiatric disorder worldwide. More than 300 million people globally suffer from major depressive disorder (MDD)¹ and it is ranked as the leading cause of disability worldwide by the World Health Organization in a latest report¹. Depression has been shown to be associated with numerous physical illnesses. including cardiovascular and metabolic diseases², as well as other cardiovascular (CV) risk factors such as obesity³ and dyslipidemia⁴. A book-length review on this subject was given in Baune and Tully⁵. In addition, studies have also suggested a link between neuroticism and poor cardiovascular outcomes^{6,7}. However, the mechanism underlying the relationship between depression and cardiometabolic traits is not well understood. As common biological pathways may underlie both depression and cardiometabolic traits⁵, shared genetic factors may possibly contribute to the comorbidities. Studies have shown genetic overlap between depression and blood pressure, total cholesterol level, body mass index (BMI), as well as heart rate variability⁸⁻¹¹. However, only a limited spectrum of cardiometabolic abnormalities has been considered in previous studies. These studies were mainly twin- or family-based and the main aim was to investigate an overall shared genetic basis; as such, they did not identify which genetic variants are most likely shared or the pathways involved. A recent systematic review nicely summarizes the current evidence for susceptibility genes shared between depression and cardiometabolic traits from candidate gene and some genome-wide studies¹². Nevertheless, the review mainly focused on a qualitative assessment of the evidence and the results of candidate gene studies, which comprised a substantial part of the review, may not as reliable as large-scale GWAS¹³.

Depression is widely regarded as a heterogeneous condition¹⁴. Depression has a lifetime prevalence of up to 17% according to a US study¹⁵ and the diagnosis is entirely based on clinical symptoms with no reliable biomarkers. As such, it is likely that substantial genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity underlie this diagnosis. A meta-analysis on the association of depression with cardiovascular disease risks also remarked on the high level of heterogeneity among studies¹⁶, which may be partially attributed to the heterogeneity of depression itself. A recent twins study on the genetic overlap of depression with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) suggested that the genetic factors underlying the comorbidity might be different in males and females¹⁷. Despite the well-known heterogeneity of depression, few epidemiological studies have investigated the cardiovascular risks of different depression subtypes (or different phenotypes related to depression). Lamers et al.¹⁸ studied a Dutch sample of depression cases and controls, and found that patients with atypical depression had worse metabolic profiles than controls or those with melancholic depression. Lasserre et al.^{19,20} reported that only the atypical MDD subtype was prospectively associated with a raised incidence of metabolic syndrome as well as greater increase of fasting glucose and waist circumference during follow-up. However, to our knowledge, no previous studies have compared the genetic overlap between different depression-related phenotypes or disease subtypes with CV risk factors; in addition, the individual genes and pathways contributing to the possible genetic overlap remain to be explored. Previous epidemiological studies are also often limited by modest sample sizes (for example, the number of melancholic cases was 111 in Lamers et al¹⁸. and 369 in Lasserre et al.²⁰).

In this study we made use of four GWAS datasets of depression or depression-related phenotypes and investigated the genetic overlap with a comprehensive panel of 20 cardiometabolic traits. These four datasets include: (1) the MDD-CONVERGE study²¹, a relatively homogenous group of Chinese women with severe and mostly (~85%) melancholic depression; (2) the MDD-PGC study²² with no enrichment of particular disease subtypes; (3) a GWAS meta-analysis on depressive symptoms conducted by the Social Science Genetic Association Consortium (SSGAC-DS)²³ which include (i) case-control (i.e. clinical depression vs no depression); and (ii) population-based

samples (measuring general depressive symptoms instead of clinical diagnosis); (4) a GWAS meta-analysis by SSGAC on neuroticism²³, a personality trait with established associations with depression^{24,25}. We wish to test whether different depression-related phenotypes would have similar or diverse patterns of associations with cardiometabolic traits.

Our scheme of analyses is summarized below. Firstly, we studied whether polygenic risk score (PRS) of depression phenotypes are associated with a comprehensive panel of 20 cardiometabolic traits and vice versa; as another approach to assess genetic overlap, we also applied cross-trait LD score regression. Secondly, we identified the genetic variants most likely shared between the disorders, by a statistical approach based on local true discovery rates. Based on the shared genetic markers, we also inferred the enriched biological pathways and gene ontology terms, and drugs potentially linked to both kinds of disorders. To our knowledge, this is the also first study to make use of human genomic data to guide drug discovery or repositioning for comorbid disorders.

METHODS

Study samples

We studied polygenic sharing of various depression phenotypes (MDD-CONVERGE, MDD-PGC, depressive symptoms, neuroticism) with a panel of cardiometabolic traits. The four depression phenotypes have been described above. Note that the GWAS summary results on depressive symptoms also contains MDD-PGC as part of the sample, but the rest (~90%) of the sample originated from other cohorts. Cardiometabolic traits under study included body mass index (BMI)²⁶, waist-hip ratio (WHR)²⁷, fasting glucose (FG)²⁸, fasting insulin (INS)²⁸, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)²⁹, fat-percentage ³⁰, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC) ³¹, adiponectin³², leptin³⁰, systolic blood pressure (SBP)³³, diastolic blood pressure (SBP)³³, coronary artery disease (CAD) ³⁴ and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM)³⁵.

GWAS summary statistics were downloaded from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium website (<u>https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc</u>) and LD hub (<u>http://ldsc.broadinstitute.org</u>/). Details of each study may be found in the references listed above. For SBP and DBP, we used data from a meta-analysis of exome-sequencing studies³³ as we were unable to obtain publicly available GWAS data with effect size directions.

Polygenic score analysis

Polygenic risk score (PRS) is a weighted sum of allelic counts, with the weights given by log odds ratios of individual SNPs. The PRS for an individual *i*, or r_{i} can be computed by $\sum_{i} w_{j} x_{ij}$, where x_{ij} is the centered allelic count for the

*j*th SNP for the *i*th individual, w_j is the weight given to the *j*th SNP, given by the log odds ratio or regression coefficient in a linear regression. In this study we performed analyses using the summary statistics of each pair of traits, as raw genotype data are not available. Association testing was carried out by the method "gtx" described by Johnson³⁶. This method was also described and elaborated in a number of papers e.g. ref.³⁷⁻³⁹. Briefly, we tested for the

association of the PRS derived from the first trait with a second trait y_i (with values centered) by regression:

$$y_i = \alpha r_i$$

Then it can be shown that the regression coefficient α can be estimated by

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i} w_j \hat{\beta}_j s_j^{-2}}{\sum_{i} w_j^2 s_j^{-2}}$$

and the standard error cam be estimated by

$$SE(\tilde{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i} w_{j}^{2} s_{j}^{-2}}}$$

where $\hat{\beta}_j$ is the regression coefficient when the second trait y_i is regressed onto the *j*th SNP and s_j is

corresponding standard error of $\hat{\beta}_i$. This method was implemented in the R program PRsice⁴⁰. We performed

LD-clumping with an R^2 threshold of 0.05 prior to association analyses, following the suggestions given in the PRsice vignette. A series of ten *p*-value thresholds (0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5) was considered. Multiple testing was corrected by the false discovery rate (FDR) approach, which controls the expected proportion of false positives among the results declared to be significant. FDR-adjusted *p*-value (*q*-value) was calculated by the R program p.adjust using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure⁴¹. Results with *q*-value below 0.05 are regarded as significant, and those with *q*-value below 0.1 are regarded as suggestive associations. FDR correction was performed for each pair of metabolic trait and depression phenotype separately, and as proven by Efron⁴², separate false discovery rate control for different groups of hypotheses at a level *q* still enables the overall FDR to be maintained at the level *q*.

Accounting for sample overlap

Polygenic score analyses are usually based on independent training and testing samples. We employed two approaches to guard against false positive associations due to potential sample overlap. The first is by checking for overlapping cohort samples, and the second is by examining the regression intercepts of cross-trait LD score regression.

It is worth noting in this context that a recent study by Burgess et al.⁴³ addressed the issue of participant overlap in two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR), which employs a very similar statistical formulation to the above PRS analysis. However, in this study we focused on polygenic associations instead of strict causality, and relaxed some assumptions required by MR: pleiotropic effects were allowed and genetic variants need not be unequivocally associated with the risk factor. Burgess et al.⁴³ showed that in a case-controls setting, if the risk factor (e.g. lipid level) is only measured in controls, then unbiased estimates can be obtained even in a one-sample setting (*i.e.* the risk factor measured in *all* control subjects). In addition, it was shown the bias is a linear function of the proportion of overlap; therefore, even if overlap is present but the extent is minor, the results are unlikely to be significantly affected.

In the case of MDD-PGC²², we checked that *none* of the quantitative cardiometabolic traits was measured in depressive patients. Participant overlap in the control subjects (in our case it is far less than a complete overlap) is unlikely to result in biased estimates. For CAD and DM, these are case-control studies and we found possible overlap

with KORA and PopGen among the control samples. However, only *subsets* of these samples were included in the MDD-PGC and in CAD/DM GWAS, and whether there is genuine overlap (or the extent of overlap) is unknown. We evaluated the degree of overlap by considering the cross-trait LD score regression (LDSR) intercept⁴⁴ (details of LDSR is given in the next section). For samples with no overlap, this intercept is usually close to zero⁴⁴. We assessed whether the intercept is significantly different from zero by a Wald test (i.e. computing z-scores by the intercept estimate divided by its standard error).

Similarly, for neuroticism GWAS, there is possible overlap with GWAS samples of cardiometabolic traits in several cohorts (e.g. BLSA, EGCUT, ORCADES); however only subsamples of these cohorts were included for neuroticism or cardiometabolic measures, so the actual degree of overlap is unknown. Again we assessed the degree of overlap by checking cross-trait LDSR intercepts.

For MDD-CONVERGE, the sample was collected from China and there is no overlap with any other cardiometabolic traits, which were mainly measured in Caucasians. As for the GWAS meta-analyses of depressive symptoms by SSGAC, we did not find overlap of this sample with other metabolic traits; however since MDD-PGC is part of this sample, there may be a potential (minor) overlap of controls with CAD and DM, which is checked by LDSR.

As we shall see in the results section, the extent of sample overlap for most traits was *not* significant as evaluated by LDSR and hence our conclusions are unlikely to be substantially affected by overlap issues.

LD score regression

LD score regression is another widely used approach to assess genetic correlation between complex diseases⁴⁴. The approach is able to accommodate sample overlap and LD between variants. To assess genetic correlation of MDD-CONVERGE with other traits, we employed "popcorn", a recently developed program which allows estimation of genetic correlation across different ethnicities⁴⁵. LD scores across ancestries were computed from the EUR and EAS populations from the 1000 Genome Project⁴⁶. Default settings were used for our analyses. We did not perform LD score regression for SBP and DBP studies as they were exome-sequencing studies and the LD pattern of genetic variants differs from GWAS results.

Discovery of genetic variants associated to both MDD and cardiometabolic traits

The SNPs shared by MDD and cardiometabolic traits were identified by an approach based on the concept of local false discovery rates⁴⁷. For each SNP, the probability of being associated with *both* traits (denoted by tdr_{11}) was calculated based on the observed z-statistics. The approach is closely related to the conditional false discovery rate⁴⁸ but here we focused on the chance of *shared* associations instead of probability of association conditioned on the other trait. We followed the same statistical formulation proposed by Chung et al.⁴⁹, although we worked with the z-statistics instead of *p*-values. Briefly, a four-group mixture model of *z*-statistics was assumed:

$$f(z_A, z_B) = p_{00}f_{00}(z_A, z_B) + p_{10}f_{10}(z_A, z_B) + p_{01}f_{01}(z_A, z_B) + p_{11}f_{11}(z_A, z_B) - \dots - (1)$$

where z_A and z_B refer to the z-statistics of trait A and trait B respectively. There are four possibilities for each SNP

when two traits are considered: 1) the SNP is associated with none of the traits; (2) the SNP is associated with the first trait only; (3) the SNP is associated with the second trait only; and (4) the SNP is associated with both traits. Each of these four possibilities are represented in the above mixture model. For instance, p_{11} denotes the proportion of markers that has association with both traits, and f_{11} denotes the probability density function of the z-statistics of this group of markers. From equation (1) we can derive the probability that a SNP is associated with both traits (denoted by tdr_{11}), using an expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm. Further details of the method are given in Supplementary Information and in Chung et al.⁴⁹.

Pathway and Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis by ConsensusPathDB

Shared SNPs with $tdr_{11} > 0.5$ (*i.e.* greater than 50% probability that the SNP is associated with *both* traits) were selected and mapped to related genes using the Bioconductor package biomaRt⁵⁰. However, some SNPs were mapped to multiple genes, which may lead to the enrichment of certain pathways that consist of numerous genes from the same (or few) SNP(s). We adopted the following method to correct for this potential bias. We first extracted variant consequences (using sequence ontology [SO] terms) of variants via BioMart, and each SNP was mapped to the gene corresponding highest listed to the impact rating (as in http://asia.ensembl.org/info/genome/variation/predicted data.html#consequences). ConsensusPathDB was used to infer the biological pathways and gene ontology (GO) (level 5) terms enriched among the top shared genes. ConsesusPathDB is a comprehensive resource that integrates multiple databases for pathway analyses⁵¹. Over-representation of pathways or GO terms was assessed by hypergeometric tests with a p-value cutoff of 0.01 with at least two genes in each pathway, following the default settings. We performed GO and pathway analysis separately for SNPs with the same and opposite directions of effects for each pair of traits; we also performed an overall analysis on all SNPs regardless of effect directions.

Drug repositioning by over-representation analyses in WebGestalt

We then looked for drug-related gene-sets that are over-represented among the most significantly shared genes. We used WebGestalt⁵² for this analysis. WebGestalt employed a computational approach known as GLAD4U⁵³ to query the scientific literature to retrieve and prioritize gene lists associated with drugs. The over-represented drugs were identified by hypergeometric tests. We require at least 2 genes in each gene-set and results with *q*-value < 0.1 were retrieved.

We expected to discover drugs associated with the overlapping genes, and therefore identify existing drugs that have genetic associations to non-target disorders. This may provide us hints for new indications of known drugs; for example, drugs known to treat psychiatric disorder can be used as a therapy for cardiometabolic diseases, or vice versa. We may also find novel repositioning candidates with beneficial effects on both depressive and cardiometabolic disorders.

Results

Polygenic associations between depression-related phenotypes and cardiometabolic traits

Polygenic associations of MDD-PGC with cardiometabolic traits are shown in Table 1. Firstly, PRS were constructed from the two MDD datasets, and metabolic traits are regarded as target (i.e. dependent) phenotypes. Higher polygenic

score of MDD-PGC was significantly associated with lower levels of adiponectin and higher levels of TG and WHR (with or without adjustment for BMI). It was also associated with increased risks of CAD and DM at an FDR threshold of 0.05. When cardiometabolic traits were used to construct PRS and MDD-PGC was regarded as the outcome, we found associations with most of traits mentioned above, but also observed a positive relationship with HDL and inverse relationship with FG and BMI-adjusted leptin.

As for the other GWAS study on severe melancholic depression (MDD-CONVERGE) (Table 2), surprisingly, we observed that *higher* PRS derived from MDD-CONVERGE was associated with *lower* BMI, TG, WHR (with or without adjustment for BMI), fat percentage, as well as risks of CAD and DM (with *q*-value just above 0.05 for DM); it was also associated with higher HDL. When PRS was constructed from cardiometabolic traits, we observed inverse associations with BMI, FG, TG and WHR, and positive association with HDL.

Table 3 shows the polygenic associations of depressive symptoms (from SSGAC) with cardiometabolic traits. When PRS of depressive symptoms is used as the predictor, we observed positive associations with BMI, CAD, DM, fat percentage, HOMA-IR, INS, leptin, TC, TG, WHR, SBP and DBP (Table 2). Similar associations were observed when PRS of CV risk factors were used as predictors.

Finally, for neuroticism (Table 4), higher PRS of neuroticism was significantly associated with higher fat percentage, FG, HOMA-IR, INS, TC, TG, WHR, DBP and CAD risks. Similar associations were observed when PRS of cardiometabolic traits were used as predictors.

LD score regression results

LD score regression in general produced a smaller number of significant results than the polygenic score approach (full results given in Supplementary Table 1). However, most significant results in LDSR were corroborated by significant results from PRS analyses and most significant associations agreed in their directions of effects. For MDD-PGC, nominally significant (p < 0.05) associations were observed for TG and WHR (with or without adjustment for BMI) with positive genetic correlations (Table 1). For MDD-CONVERGE, there was nominally significant *negative* genetic correlations with BMI, DM, fat percentage, FG, leptin and WHR. These results are broadly consistent with analyses of polygenic scores, although a few significant results from PRS analyses (e.g. CAD) was not observed in LDSR (Table 2). For depressive symptoms, positive genetic correlations were observed with BMI, CAD, fat percentage, BMI-adjusted leptin, TG and WHR (including BMI-adjusted WHR) and negative genetic correlations with HDL (Table 3). As for neuroticism, nominally significant genetic correlations were observed for CAD and WHR (including BMI-adjusted WHR) (Table 4).

Of note, the intercept from LDSR for MDD-PGC, depressive symptoms and neuroticism were all non-significant (p > 0.05), except for one pair of traits (TG with MDD-PGC; p = 0.049), which is within expectations (we expect 54*0.05 = 2.7 significant associations by chance) (Supplementary Table 1). The results suggest no or only minor overlap between the samples. LD score regression was not performed for MDD-CONVERGE as the sample is completely separate from other studies.

Identification of shared genetic variants and Pathway/GO term over-representation analyses

The top genes shared by MDD and cardiometabolic traits are shown in Tables 5. To facilitate interpretation, we have performed LD-clumping (with an R-squared threshold of 0.1 and a window-size of 250 kb) on the shared SNPs using PLINK⁵⁴ and mapped the SNPs to genes using BioMart. As discussed above, for SNPs mapping to more than one gene, we only show the gene associated with the worst variant consequence. For easy visualization of results, we also prepared a crude summary table which includes the number of times each gene appears on our top list (defined as tdr_{11} > 0.5) across the 20 cardiometabolic traits and the corresponding average rank. Similar summary tables were also created for pathway and GO terms analyses. Supplementary Tables 2-5 show all LD-clumped SNPs with tdr_{11} > 0.5 that map to at least one gene.

To explore whether the genes shared by depression and cardiometabolic traits share specific pathways and functional features, we performed pathway and GO enrichment analysis using ConsensusPathDB. Tables 6 and 7 summarize the pathway enrichment results for the four depression traits under study. Here we mainly focused on the pathways derived from SNPs that increase (or decrease) the risks of depression and CV abnormalities at the same time (note that for HDL and adiponectin, lower levels are associated with higher CV risks). For MDD-CONVERGE, since we observed unexpected inverse polygenic associations with many CV risk factors, we presented the top enriched pathways derived from SNPs having opposite effects on depression and CV risks. The full results of pathway and GO term enrichment, together with summary tables of the most frequently enriched pathways across different traits, are available in Supplementary Tables 6-13.

For MDD-PGC, we observed that immune- or inflammation-related pathways were frequently enriched across numerous cardiometabolic traits; genes within the HLA region contributed to many of these pathways. Some other interesting pathways enriched included biological oxidation (enriched in CAD, SBP and DBP), chaperonin-mediated protein folding (BMI, LDL, TC) and purine metabolism (SBP, DBP) (Table 6 and Supplementary Table 7).

For MDD-CONVERGE, when we considered pathways derived from SNPs that were associated with increases in both depression and CV risks, several pathways were often enriched such as metabolism of vitamins and cofactors, GABAergic synapse and activation of gene expression by SREBF (Supplementary Table 9). On the other hand, when the focus was on SNPs conferring *opposite* risks for depression and CV diseases, the Angiopoietin Like Protein 8 (ANGPTL8) regulatory pathway, oxytocin signaling pathway and the Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) pathway were listed among the top (Table 6 and Supplementary Table 9).

Concerning depressive symptoms, some of the prioritized pathways included complement activation pathways (LDL, TC, TG, WHR; contributed by the genes *C2*, *C7* and *CFB*), the statin pathway (LDL, TC, TG, HDL), phosphatidylinositol signaling (DM, FG), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) signaling (BMI, FG, fat percentage) and the VEGF pathways (BMI, FG), among others (Table 7 and Supplementary Table 11).

For neuroticism, some of the most often enriched pathways included 'RXR and RAR heterodimerization with other nuclear receptor' (DM, TG, WHR, HDL), the statin pathway and other lipid metabolism or adipogenesis pathways (LDL, TC, TG, HDL, CAD, DM), ECM proteoglycans (CAD, TG, WHR), DAG and IP3 signaling (BMI, FG),

phospholipase D signaling (DM, FG, WHR) and EGFR signaling (BMI, FG) (Table 7 and Supplementary Table 13).

Drug enrichment analysis

Here our focus is on finding drugs with repositioning potential for comorbid depression and CV diseases; hence the drug enrichment analysis was performed with genetic variants that exert the *same* directions of effects on depression and CV risks simultaneously. The drug enrichment results are shown in Table 8 and Supplementary Tables 14-17. Some of the interesting hits included the anti-oxidant glutathione, the xanthine oxidase inhibitor allopurinol and statins such as rosuvastatin and simvastatin. For MDD-CONVERGE, we still focused on analysis of SNPs that raise depression and CV risks at the same time. Some of the interesting top-listed candidates included several statins, glycine, bupropion and verapamil. For SSGAC-DS, some commonly enriched drugs included lipase, several statins, bupropion, fenofibrate, glutathione, among others. For neuroticism, some top hits included insulin, glutathione, bupropion, statins, fluphenazine and gemfibrozil.

Discussion

In this study, we discovered significant polygenic sharing between depression-related phenotypes with multiple cardiometabolic traits, and inferred pathways that were enriched among the shared genes. We also explored drugs with the potential of being repositioned for the comorbid disorders. The results of each part of the analyses are discussed below.

Polygenic associations of various depression-related phenotypes with cardiometabolic traits

Overall we have discovered significant polygenic associations of 3 depression or depression-related phenotypes (MDD-PGC, depressive symptoms, neuroticism) with increased cardiometabolic risks spanning multiple traits; however, for MDD-CONVERGE, we observed an opposite trend of reduced cardiometabolic risks. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive survey of polygenic overlap and shared genetic variants between depression traits and a whole spectrum of cardiometabolic abnormalities, including lipid- and glucose-related traits, blood pressure, anthropometric and adiposity measures, CAD, DM, as well as adipokine abnormalities.

Neuroticism and cardiometabolic abnormalities

As introduced earlier, numerous studies have reported raised CV risks in patients with depression or higher depressive symptoms, as reviewed elsewhere^{5,55}. Nevertheless, far less studies have investigated possible shared genetic bases of these disorders. A recent study by Gale et al.⁵⁶ investigated genetic sharing between neuroticism and several physical and mental health traits. Polygenic score analysis was performed on 108038 UK biobank (UKB) participants while LDSR was conducted separately on both UKB and the Genetics of Personality Consortium (GPC). The SSGAC summary statistics we used in this study were derived from meta-analysis of both datasets. Therefore, there was a partial overlap of samples with the analysis by Gale et al.⁵⁶, but the current work encompassed a larger variety of CV traits (20 vs 5). Similar to Gale et al.⁵⁶, we found a significant polygenic association with CAD and but no association with DM. An inverse relationship of neuroticism was found with BMI in the previous study with the UKB sample alone⁵⁶, but we did not observe any associations using combined results from UKB and GPC. It is possible that the differences in survey instruments and heterogeneity of study samples may contribute to this difference.

Several epidemiological studies have studied the relationship between neuroticism and cardiovascular diseases, but the results have been variable (*e.g.* ref.⁵⁷⁻⁶³). The current study revealed positive polygenic associations with lipid traits as well as higher fat percentage and WHR, although there was no significant association with BMI. Interestingly, we observed positive polygenic relationships with insulin resistance and hyperglycemia, but no significant connection with DM. It remains to be investigated whether this is the case in practice, and whether individuals having more extreme scores on neuroticism will harbor higher diabetic risks. Nonetheless, the genetic bases of glucose levels and DM might not completely overlap. For example, Merino et al. reported that the polygenic score of 12 variants having strong associations with FG did not significantly predict the risk of type 2 DM⁶⁴ and some SNPs may have differential effects on quantitative glycemic traits and the risk of frank diabets^{65,66}; a more detailed review was given by Marullo et al.⁶⁷. On the other hand, a highly significant association was observed with CAD, providing strong support for a positive association and shared genetics of the two traits. Neuroticism is a well-established risk factor for major depression and anxiety disorders⁶⁸; it remains to be studied whether the raised CV risks conferred by neuroticism is mediated by these associated conditions or neuroticism and MDD on the risks of CV diseases⁷.

MDD-PGC and SSGAC depressive symptoms study: relationship with cardiometabolic abnormalities

It is worth noting both the MDD-PGC and SSAGC-DS samples did not stratify depression patients according to subtypes or other clinical features. The SSGAC study sample also contained a substantial proportion of UKB participants whose depressive symptoms were measured by self-reported questionnaires²³. Previous epidemiological studies in this area have also been based on both clinical diagnosis as well as symptom questionnaires⁵⁵. Although higher⁶⁹, lower¹⁶ or similar⁷⁰ effect sizes have all been reported with questionnaire-based studies, the overall trend was similar, in that depression increases CV risks. Here we observed largely consistent polygenic associations with cardiometabolic traits for both MDD-PGC and the SSGAC-DS. With the exception of lowered adiponectin in MDD-PGC, all significant associations in MDD-PGC were also observed in SSGAC-DS. A wider range of cardiometabolic traits were significantly associated with SSGAC-DS, which may be explained by its larger sample size (~ 10 times of MDD-PGC). Notably, we observed polygenic associations across almost the entire range of CV risk factors for SSGAC-DS, and all associations were in the direction of increased CV risks. This observation provides strong support for a shared genetic basis and pathophysiology between depression and CV risk factors and diseases.

There were a few unexpected polygenic associations observed for MDD-PGC. Lower BMI-adjusted leptin was observed to be associated with depression, however higher leptin levels, indicating leptin resistance, are associated with CV risks. Unadjusted leptin however did not show any associations. We suspected that this may be due to 'collider bias'⁷¹, in which the adjustment of a covariate positively associated with both the predictor and the outcome can sometimes lead to unexpected inverse associations even when such relationships are absent (*e.g.*⁷²). Nevertheless, in this study we did not observe any other similar examples indicating this collider bias. In most cases the BMI-adjusted estimates were concordant with the unadjusted estimates in PRS analyses. Although this is a potential source of bias, we still included BMI-adjusted CV traits in our analyses as this information is pottentially important in showing whether the trait is a significant risk factor independent of BMI, and that there are no current no good methods to circumvent this problem⁷¹. Another slightly unexpected finding is that PRS of FG was inversely associated with depression. Previous studies have reported more severe depression symptoms among type 2 DM patients with

self-reported hypoglycemia⁷³, however the underlying mechanism is unclear. It should be noted that there is incomplete overlap of the genetic bases of glucose levels and frank DM⁶⁷.

MDD-CONVERGE (severe melancholic depression) and cardiometabolic abnormalities

Surprisingly, we observed *inverse* polygenic associations of a number of cardiometabolic abnormalities, including DM and CAD, with MDD-CONVERGE. The MDD-CONVERGE sample was mainly composed of severe depression patients with recurrent disease episodes. Notably, 85% of the cases met the DSM-IV criteria for melancholia. The sample was recruited from China and contained only women, with cases predominantly selected from hospitalized patients⁷⁴. Furthermore, as remarked by the study authors, there is probably a reluctance or lower awareness to report depression or to seek medical attention for MDD in China²¹; this is reflected by a ~5 fold lower prevalence of MDD in China⁷⁵ than that in the US⁷⁶. Those who were actually diagnosed of MDD and hospitalized may therefore represent a particularly severe group of patients.

The proportion of MDD patients with melancholic features is ~ 23.5% according a large study by McGrath et al.⁷⁷. Given the sample selection method and possible reluctance to report MDD in China, the overall proportion of MDD patients with comparable severity and clinical features to the CONVERGE sample is probably much less than 23.5% (say below one-fifth or even lower). As this subgroup of patients represent a minority, this may partially explain why meta-analyses of epidemiological studies have consistently found an *increased* risk of CV disorders in MDD patients. Even if a subset of patients have reduced CV risks, they may be overwhelmed by the rest of the sample harboring heightened risks.

While the inverse polygenic associations are intriguing, the current study does *not* immediately signify that severe melancholic depression patients must have lower CV risks *in practice*. This is because the focus of the current study is on shared *genetic* factors of depression and CV diseases, but we did not directly address other behavioral factors that can lead to the comorbidities. For example, depressed patients are less likely to adhere to drug treatments and risk-factor modification interventions⁷⁸ and may be more likely to be physically inactive⁷⁹. In addition, severe depression patients may have high chances of receiving augmentation therapy, for example by atypical antipsychotics⁸⁰ or lithium⁸¹, which may also contribute to weight gain and cardiometabolic abnormalities^{82,83}. However, given similar risk factor profiles, this study suggests that patients with non-melancholic depression might be at higher CV risks than their melancholic counterparts; greater awareness and more intense monitoring of CV comorbidities in non-melancholic patients might be warranted. Another implication is that if shared pathophysiology is unimportant for CV risks in melancholic patients, then behavioral interventions (*e.g.* program to foster treatment compliance) may be sufficient to ameliorate CV risks in these patients, as opposed to non-melancholic depression. This hypothesis requires verification in future studies.

To our knowledge, this is the first study showing *inverse* polygenic associations of melancholic depression with cardiometabolic risks. Relatively few epidemiological studies have addressed CV comorbidities with consideration of depression subtypes. For example, Seppala et al.⁸⁴ found that the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) is about 2-fold higher within atypical MDD patients as compared to controls; there was no significant association with melancholic MDD. Another study also reported association of atypical depression with increased prevalence of overweight, DM and MetS, while melancholic depression was associated with significantly lower prevalence of

overweight⁸⁵. Lamers et al.¹⁸ revealed that levels of inflammatory markers, BMI, TG, waist circumference were higher in atypical MDD patients than melancholic patients or controls. In fact SBP and BMI were significantly *lower* in melancholic patients compared to controls in the study. Yet a more recent study²⁰ reported that only the atypical subtype of MDD was prospectively associated with an elevated incidence of MetS and a steeper increase in FG level. Notably, these associations were found to be independent of eating behaviors, psychiatric comorbidities, lifestyle factors, or baseline adipokine concentrations and inflammatory marker levels²⁰. Taken together observations from previous epidemiological studies were broadly consistent with our findings that CV risks differ by depression subtypes, and non-melancholic patients were generally at higher risks.

In summary, our findings support a genetic basis for the heterogeneity of depression comorbidities, and that subtyping depression patients might help to better delineate patients' CV risks, which may have implications in targeted prevention of CV events for patients.

Shared genetic variants and pathways

We discovered numerous shared genetic variants across the 20 cardiometabolic traits. Some interesting genes listed included *FTO*, which is a well-known gene in controlling weight and energy balance⁸⁶; *NOTCH4*, a member of the NOTCH signaling pathway which is involved in various developmental and homeostatic processes⁸⁷, with mutations being associated with schizophrenia⁸⁸; *TCF7L2*, a transcription factor of key role of Wnt signaling pathway that is strongly associated with diabetes⁸⁹; *PPARG*, a target for anti-diabetic agents (notably the PPAR-gamma agonist pioglitazone has been shown to be efficacious in depression⁹⁰); *VEGFA*, a member of the vascular endothelial growth factor family which acts on endothelial cells with myriad functions⁹¹ but is also implicated neuropsychiatric disorders⁹².

Due to the wide range of traits studied and the large number of shared variants, we shall not discuss each gene in detail. Here we briefly highlight several interesting pathways that are shared between depression and cardiometabolic traits inferred from shared SNPs. Notably, numerous immune- or inflammation-related pathways were listed among the top for MDD-PGC and SSGAC-DS. Increased inflammation has long been postulated as one of the mechanisms linking the two kinds of disorders⁹³. For example, inflammatory markers such as tumor necrosis factor, interleukin-6 (IL-6) and C-reactive protein (CRP) were elevated in MDD as shown in meta-analyses^{94,95}. Elevation of some of these markers have also found in comorbid depression and metabolic syndrome⁹⁶ as well as CAD⁹⁷. It has also been suggested that adiposity may mediate the increased inflammatory response seen in depression⁹⁸. There is some evidence that antidepressants reduce inflammatory responses⁹⁹. However, increased inflammation may not be observed in every depressive patient⁵⁵, and further studies are required to elucidate the subgroup of patients most vulnerable to the effects of increased inflammatory response.

Interestingly, the statin pathway was highly ranked for depressive symptoms and neuroticism. Consistent with our results, statins have been found to exert many pleiotropic beneficial effects on cardiovascular diseases, including anti-inflammatory actions, beyond their LDL cholesterol-lowering effects ¹⁰⁰. Recently, some researchers started to study its therapeutic potential on depression. A Swedish national cohort study reported that statin possibly reduced risk of depression in individuals over the age of 40 ¹⁰³. It was suggested that concomitant treatment with selective

serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) and statin might have superior antidepressant effect than SSRI treatment alone ¹⁰⁴. A Korean group also successfully showed an antidepressant action of statin in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) ¹⁰⁵. Nevertheless, studies have also reported increased depressive symptoms and risk of suicide among statin users as reviewed by You et al.¹⁰⁶. Further studies are required to clarify which kinds of factors (*e.g.* type of statins used, duration of treatment, concomitant medications, patient characteristics) will influence the action of statins on depressive symptoms.

Some other noteworthy pathways include the VEGF signaling pathways; VEGF is an angiogenic cytokine that have shown to have neuroprotective properties¹⁰⁷, and is implicated in metabolic syndrome¹⁰⁸ and cardiovascular diseases¹⁰⁹. The phosphatidylinositol pathway might be implicated in bipolar disorder and MDD, and both mood stabilizers and antidepressants have been demonstrated to act on this pathway¹¹⁰.

Another noteworthy pathway was BDNF signaling, for which numerous studies have shown its role in the pathophysiology of depression. Increased BDNF activity is also believed to be an important mechanism underlying antidepressant actions¹¹¹. Interestingly, this pathway was listed among the top for both SSGAC-DS and MDD-CONVERGE (in the former, pathways were derived from SNPs having the same directions of risks for MDD and CV diseases while the latter involves SNPs with opposite directions of risks). However, most (except one) of the associated pathway genes were different. It is possible that the pattern of BDNF signaling differs by depression subtypes. For example, a recent study showed that BDNF levels were positively associated with the inflammatory cytokine IL-6 only in melancholic patients but not in non-melancholic subjects, with elevation of BDNF in the former group¹¹². Another study on non-remitted MDD patients reported that reductions of BDNF¹¹³. As postulated by the authors¹¹³, atypical depression may be related to reduced function in the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, as opposed to overactivity of the HPA axis in melancholic depression^{114,115}. Overactivity in the HPA system may lead to decreased BDNF expression¹¹⁶. This may explain why amelioration of symptoms in atypical depression is associated with a decrease in BDNF level. BDNF signaling may also play a role in energy balance and cardiometabolic disorders^{117,118}.

A few pathways were listed among the top for MDD-CONVERGE, which were associated with reversed directions of effects for depression and CV risks. The Angiopoietin-like protein 8 regulatory pathway has been implicated in lipid metabolism and cardiometabolic disorders^{119,120}. Increased serum levels of ANGPTL8 has been reported in MetS, DM and non-alcoholic liver disease¹²¹⁻¹²³. However, this pathway has not been investigated for its role in depression. Another pathway, the oxytocin signaling pathway, plays an important role in regulating social behavior that involve the formation of attachments¹²⁴. It has been postulated the hormone may be involved in the pathogenesis of many psychiatric disorders, including depression¹²⁵. While studies have suggested that oxytocin may be used as a treatment for depression and anxiety ^{125,126}, recent evidence showed that it may also have anxiogenic and fear-enhancing effects, enhancing the recollection of unpleasant memories^{127,128}.On the other hand, oxytocin can ameliorate weight gain in diet-induced obese rats ^{129,130} and has been shown to reduce food intake in a double-blind clinical study on obese men¹³¹.

In summary, the shared genetic variant and pathway analyses provide insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying the comorbidities of MDD with cardiometabolic abnormalities. Given that few studies have investigated MDD comorbidities with respect to specific subtypes, the results presented here will provide a useful reference for researchers for more in-depth preclinical and clinical studies.

Drug enrichment analyses

Drug repositioning is gaining increasingly attention in recent years as a cost-effective approach to identify novel therapies¹³². The development of every new drug requires a major investment on drug design, testing and manufacturing standards¹³³. In contrast, the repositioned drugs have passed through multiple stages of clinical development, and thus have well-known safety and pharmacokinetic profiles ¹³⁴. An example of drug repositioning in this field is pioglitazone, an insulin sensitizer which was shown in a meta-analyses of 4 RCTs to be a safe and effective adjunctive medication in non-diabetic patients with MDD⁹⁰. To our knowledge, the current study is the first to propose and apply a human genomics approach to drug repositioning for disease *comorbidities*. The use of human genomic data for drug discovery may be particularly advantageous for psychiatric disorders, as animal models may not be able to fully mimic the human condition¹³⁵. To model psychiatric and CV comorbidity in animals will be even more difficult.

As already discussed above, statins were ranked highly in our drug enrichment tests and some previous studies supported its therapeutic effects for both cardiovascular and depressive disorders. We will discuss several other repositioning candidates backed up by prior clinical or pre-clinical studies. Bupropion is frequently listed among the top across various depression-related phenotypes, especially when depression was considered with BMI. Interestingly, bupropion has been shown in a meta-analysis to be the only antidepressant that produces weight loss both at the acute phase and over a longer period of treatment¹³⁶. Bupropion provides an important example supporting the validity of our approach in identifying repositioning opportunities for comorbid disorders.

Glutathione is another top repositioning hit across various depression phenotypes. It is an antioxidant and decreased level of glutathione has been reported in the prefrontal cortex of patients with psychiatric disorders, including those having MDD¹³⁷. *N*-acetylcysteine (NAC) is a drug which increases glutathione synthesis, and it has been clinically tested and showed possible beneficial effects in a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders^{138,139}. A recent meta-analysis of double-blind RCTs showed that NAC significantly improves depressive symptoms and functionality and is generally well-tolerated¹⁴⁰. The benefit of glutathione or NAC in cardiometabolic diseases is less clear, but an RCT showed that NAC inhibits platelet-monocyte conjunction in type 2 DM patients, suggesting its benefit in reducing athero-thrombotic risks in these patients¹⁴¹. NAC also appeared to be efficacious in improving metabolic abnormalities in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome, with effects comparable to metformin¹⁴².

Several other hits are also worthy of mentioning. Allopurinol is a well-known urate-lowering agent for the treatment of gout. Interestingly, this drug has been shown to have antidepressant-like effects in mouse models^{143,144}. On the other hand, studies suggested that allopurinol might also improve CV outcomes in hyperuricemic patients¹⁴⁵ and in older adults with hypertension¹⁴⁶. Fenofibrate is a well-known lipid-lowering agent. However, an animal study also revealed antidepressant-like effects of fenofibrate by PPAR α stimulation in the mesolimbic dopamine system¹⁴⁷. Gemfibrozil is another repositioning hit of the fibrate class. Verapamil is a calcium channel blocker mainly used for hypertension,

angina and arrhythmias. Studies have also suggested this drug might also have broader beneficial effects on cardiometabolic abnormalities. For example, verapamil has been shown to correct autophagic defects related to obesity¹⁴⁸. The administration of this drug to obese mice reduced the accumulation of hepatic lipid droplets, and ameliorated pathologies of fatty liver such as inflammation and insulin resistance¹⁴⁸. Regarding the effects on mood disorders, calcium channel blocker has been proposed as a novel therapeutic option for bipolar disorder and depression, although the evidence was mixed¹⁴⁹. Verapamil has been reported to demonstrate antidepressant-like effects in animal models¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁵². Interestingly, we found verapamil among the top repositioning hits for MDD-CONVERGE with fat percentage, broadly consistent with literature findings.

There are a few limitations to our study. Here we have studied GWAS datasets corresponding to four depression or depression-related phenotypes. Nevertheless, our analysis was limited to traits with available GWAS (and summary statistics); we did not cover all subtypes of depression and did not address specific symptoms of the disorder. For instance, atypical depression has not been studied via GWAS and was therefore not covered. Further GWAS or sequencing studies with detailed characterization of disease subtypes and phenotypes are warranted in view of lack of studies in this area. Also, we employed the MDD-CONVERGE sample to analyze severe melancholic depression, which is a relatively homogeneous sample comprising Chinese women²¹. Further studies are required to confirm whether our findings can be generalized to other ethnic groups or male subjects. Nevertheless, there is evidence that GWAS results from Europeans are highly replicable in East Asians and the effect sizes are also correlated¹⁵³. In addition, as we relied on summary statistics in our polygenic score analysis, we could not easily control for covariates of interest, such as smoking. Non-linear effects are also not captured. Future studies using raw genotype data will enable more flexible analyses. Furthermore, as explained earlier, we focused on assessing genetic overlap of depression and cardiometabolic traits, but did not directly address behavioral factors (e.g. poor treatment compliance) that may be associated with the comorbidities. Further clinical studies are required to assess the magnitude and directions of effect sizes of depression phenotypes on CV risks in practice. As for the drug enrichment analyses, we have employed a relatively intuitive approach by testing for over-representation of shared genes associated with existing drugs. The significance of each gene is determined by the most significant variant and we did not take into account of LD structure and size of each gene or the significance of all SNPs in the gene. Due to the relatively moderate sample size of MDD-CONVERGE and MDD-PGC, for some traits there are no markers with tdr₁₁>0.5 and hence were not included in the pathway or drug enrichment analyses. Another limitation is that we tested for over-representation of drug-related gene sets but the directions of drug effects were not explicitly considered. Delineation of the actual directions of drug actions may require more in-depth understanding of the effects of individual disease genes, and how individual drugs act on those genes. While we have presented a computational framework for drug repositioning for comorbidities, the approach should be considered exploratory rather than confirmatory, and further validation in preclinical and clinical studies are crucial before applications in the clinic.

Conclusions

Our study highlights a significantly shared genetic basis of MDD, general depressive symptoms and neuroticism with various cardiometabolic traits. We observed positive polygenic associations with CV risks for all depression phenotypes except MDD-CONVERGE, which represents severe melancholic depression. Counter-intuitively, we observed inverse polygenic associations with CV risks for MDD-CONVERGE. Enrichment analyses of shared SNP

reveal many interesting pathways, such as immune- or inflammation-related pathways, the statin pathway and BDNF signaling, that underlie the comorbidity of depressive and cardiometabolic traits. We also presented for the first time a drug repositioning approach for comorbidities using human genomics data, and many of the candidates are supported by the literature. We hope that our work will stimulate further investigations into the complex relationships between depressive and cardiometabolic diseases, and our findings will provide an important reference for future researchers.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the Lo-Kwee Seong Biomedical Research Fund and a Direct Grant from the Chinese University of Hong Kong. We thank Professor Stephen K.W. Tsui and the Hong Kong Bioinformatics Centre for computing support.

Financial disclosures

The authors declare no competing interests.

`	-	PRS: MDD-	PGC as outco	ome	I	PRS: MDD-	PGC as predi	ctor	LD score regression			
	best_p	pval	coef	qval	best_p	pval	coef	qval	rg	pval	qval	
Adiponectin	0.01	1.81E-03	-6.89E-02	1.81E-02	0.2	1.82E-05	-2.58E-03	1.82E-04	-1.80E-01	1.72E-01	7.00E-01	
BMI	0.001	1.51E-01	6.51E-02	8.33E-01	0.3	6.42E-02	8.68E-04	2.27E-01	-1.37E-02	8.24E-01	8.64E-01	
CAD	0.5	6.80E-11	4.10E-02	3.71E-10	0.5	1.77E-05	3.53E-03	9.86E-05	1.20E-01	1.07E-01	5.87E-01	
DM	0.1	5.99E-03	8.69E-03	3.53E-02	0.2	1.61E-03	5.51E-03	1.61E-02	3.22E-02	7.57E-01	8.64E-01	
Fat percent	0.001	3.46E-01	2.61E-02	9.94E-01	0.001	6.33E-02	5.92E-03	4.28E-01	3.82E-02	6.40E-01	8.58E-01	
FG	0.05	1.12E-02	-2.91E-02	8.32E-02	0.001	2.01E-01	2.44E-03	9.65E-01	-5.30E-02	5.83E-01	8.58E-01	
FG-adjBMI	0.1	3.37E-03	-4.00E-02	3.19E-02	0.001	1.22E-01	2.89E-03	9.60E-01	-4.17E-02	6.63E-01	8.58E-01	
HDL	0.001	3.22E-06	1.52E-01	3.22E-05	0.01	2.12E-01	1.56E-03	7.84E-01	1.08E-02	8.97E-01	8.97E-01	
HOMA-IR	0.4	2.68E-02	2.94E-02	1.41E-01	0.005	8.59E-02	2.32E-03	6.86E-01	7.44E-02	6.40E-01	8.58E-01	
INS	0.1	6.23E-01	5.66E-03	9.87E-01	0.001	3.01E-01	1.66E-03	9.20E-01	-1.02E-01	3.86E-01	8.49E-01	
INS-adjBMI	0.3	2.51E-01	-1.14E-02	8.40E-01	0.001	1.91E-01	2.47E-03	7.87E-01	-5.97E-02	6.40E-01	8.58E-01	
LDL	0.05	6.92E-02	-2.70E-02	1.56E-01	0.4	1.30E-01	-8.36E-04	5.71E-01	-9.87E-02	2.66E-01	7.31E-01	
Leptin-adjBMI	0.3	6.63E-04	-3.91E-02	4.95E-03	0.5	8.35E-03	-1.38E-03	5.68E-02	7.06E-02	6.22E-01	8.58E-01	
Leptin	0.2	2.41E-01	-1.09E-02	7.12E-01	0.001	9.77E-02	6.23E-03	8.96E-01	5.93E-02	6.61E-01	8.58E-01	
TC	0.05	1.74E-01	2.06E-02	6.09E-01	0.3	2.40E-01	7.56E-04	9.40E-01	-1.89E-02	8.18E-01	8.64E-01	
TG	0.1	2.88E-02	3.18E-02	1.13E-01	0.001	3.70E-03	8.00E-03	3.70E-02	1.76E-01	2.03E-02	2.23E-01	
WHR	0.3	1.58E-04	5.37E-02	1.09E-03	0.1	1.07E-04	2.35E-03	1.07E-03	1.46E-01	1.98E-02	2.23E-01	
WHR-adjBMI	0.2	4.62E-07	7.34E-02	4.62E-06	0.1	1.23E-03	1.99E-03	1.05E-02	1.26E-01	4.58E-02	3.36E-01	
DBP	0.3	1.07E-01	6.48E-03	2.79E-01	0.001	8.28E-02	-1.78E-01	3.93E-01	-1.62E-01	2.23E-01	7.00E-01	
SBP	0.5	2.12E-02	5.49E-03	1.10E-01	0.05	8.15E-02	8.38E-02	8.15E-01	-1.43E-01	3.35E-01	8.20E-01	

 Table 1
 Polygenic risk score (PRS) analysis between MDD-PGC and cardiometabolic traits

Best_p, best *p*-value threshold for polygenic score association; coef, regression coefficient; pval, p-value; qval, q-value; rg, genetic correlation.

BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; Fat percent, total fat percentage; FG-adjBMI, fasting glucose adjusted for BMI; FG, fasting glucose; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, Insulin resistance; INS-adjBMI, fasting insulin adjusted for BMI; Insulin, fasting insulin; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; leptin-adjBMI, leptin adjusted for BMI; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; WHR, waist-hip ratio; WHR-adjBMI, waist-hip ratio adjusted for BMI; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Results with q-value <0.05 are in bold and those with q-value between 0.05 and 0.1 (suggestive associations) are in italics.

.	-		ERGE as ou				CRGE as pre		LD score regression			
	best_p	pval	coef	qval	best_p	pval	coef	qval	rg	р	qval	
Adiponectin	0.01	4.80E-02	2.24E-02	3.07E-01	0.2	1.41E-01	-6.09E-04	4.54E-01	2.86E-01	5.72E-02	1.14E-01	
BMI	0.03	1.64E-07	-1.42E-01	1.19E-06	0.05	5.87E-05	-1.72E-03	5.87E-04	-1.83E-01	6.30E-03	4.49E-02	
CAD	0.4	3.88E-02	-1.31E-02	1.65E-01	0.5	5.98E-05	-2.89E-03	2.28E-04	-4.59E-02	4.92E-01	5.42E-01	
DM	0.05	1.65E-01	4.25E-03	3.98E-01	0.03	5.18E-03	-5.70E-03	5.18E-02	-2.32E-01	8.90E-03	4.49E-02	
Fat percent	0.001	6.59E-02	-3.06E-02	4.01E-01	0.3	2.27E-03	-1.50E-03	7.77E-03	-1.85E-01	2.72E-02	8.55E-02	
FG	0.3	2.77E-02	-1.67E-02	5.58E-02	0.01	3.67E-02	-1.14E-03	3.67E-01	-2.15E-01	2.03E-02	7.44E-02	
FG-adjBMI	0.01	1.06E-01	-1.90E-02	5.03E-01	0.01	1.29E-01	-8.49E-04	9.69E-01	-1.55E-01	8.87E-02	1.63E-01	
HDL	0.4	4.79E-05	4.91E-02	3.91E-04	0.5	4.29E-05	1.69E-03	2.97E-04	6.56E-02	4.48E-01	5.42E-01	
HOMA-IR	0.2	6.96E-02	2.52E-02	3.89E-01	0.01	3.34E-02	-1.49E-03	2.25E-01	-2.08E-01	1.87E-01	2.74E-01	
INS	0.005	2.68E-01	-2.02E-02	6.77E-01	0.01	5.80E-02	-1.05E-03	2.60E-01	-1.06E-01	3.41E-01	4.41E-01	
INS-adjBMI	0.1	1.78E-01	1.16E-02	6.48E-01	0.005	3.68E-01	5.23E-04	9.70E-01	2.19E-02	8.39E-01	8.79E-01	
LDL	0.001	5.27E-01	-2.35E-02	9.83E-01	0.001	1.10E-01	2.93E-03	5.62E-01	-6.30E-03	9.43E-01	9.43E-01	
Leptin-adjBMI	0.005	9.00E-03	-7.44E-02	9.00E-02	0.001	8.65E-02	-3.05E-03	5.37E-01	-1.78E-01	1.72E-01	2.71E-01	
Leptin	0.05	1.67E-02	-2.78E-02	1.20E-01	0.01	1.99E-02	-2.59E-03	1.14E-01	-3.74E-01	9.30E-03	4.49E-02	
TC	0.01	1.49E-01	3.47E-02	5.94E-01	0.001	8.10E-02	3.11E-03	7.63E-01	-4.97E-02	4.90E-01	5.42E-01	
TG	0.4	9.19E-04	-4.07E-02	4.86E-03	0.3	6.77E-05	-1.64E-03	3.44E-04	-1.35E-01	1.02E-01	1.72E-01	
WHR	0.01	3.38E-05	-1.26E-01	3.38E-04	0.5	8.59E-07	-1.79E-03	5.92E-06	-1.69E-01	6.90E-03	4.49E-02	
WHR-adjBMI	0.01	6.65E-03	-8.33E-02	6.65E-02	0.5	2.02E-04	-1.37E-03	1.23E-03	-7.84E-02	2.12E-01	2.91E-01	
DBP	0.01	3.62E-01	6.95E-03	9.97E-01	0.4	3.19E-01	-1.22E-02	7.37E-01	-2.84E-01	4.47E-02	1.14E-01	
SBP	0.05	3.09E-01	2.50E-03	7.85E-01	0.1	1.00E-01	-4.22E-02	4.78E-01	-3.10E-01	1.02E-02	4.49E-02	

 Table 2
 Polygenic risk score (PRS) analysis between MDD-CONVERGE and cardiometabolic traits

Please refer to the legends of Table 1.

		PRS: DS	S as outcome			PRS: DS	as predictor		LD score regression			
	best_p	pval	coef	qval	best_p	pval	coef	qval	rg	pval	qval	
Adiponectin	0.2	2.70E-01	9.49E-04	7.79E-01	0.03	2.80E-02	9.85E-03	1.12E-01	-6.90E-02	3.59E-01	4.64E-01	
BMI	0.03	3.25E-14	2.65E-02	3.25E-13	0.2	5.77E-17	2.30E-02	3.95E-16	9.61E-02	2.27E-02	7.13E-02	
CAD	0.1	1.09E-07	4.22E-03	7.36E-07	0.1	6.67E-13	4.04E-02	6.32E-12	1.42E-01	1.10E-03	8.07E-03	
DM	0.3	1.02E-01	3.50E-04	3.87E-01	0.2	6.00E-08	5.92E-02	4.25E-07	7.03E-02	2.69E-01	4.22E-01	
Fat percent	0.1	3.29E-04	2.56E-03	3.29E-03	0.2	2.89E-11	2.68E-02	1.17E-10	2.02E-01	2.00E-04	2.20E-03	
FG	0.001	1.35E-01	-1.04E-02	5.79E-01	0.001	3.62E-02	1.68E-02	3.62E-01	4.41E-02	4.31E-01	4.99E-01	
FG-adjBMI	0.001	6.23E-02	-1.24E-02	5.89E-01	0.01	2.09E-02	9.26E-03	1.11E-01	5.45E-02	3.51E-01	4.64E-01	
HDL	0.4	1.32E-08	-9.72E-03	4.93E-08	0.5	1.06E-09	-2.02E-02	5.11E-09	-9.96E-02	4.67E-02	1.28E-01	
HOMA-IR	0.3	1.31E-05	8.08E-03	5.89E-05	0.2	3.36E-08	1.67E-02	2.26E-07	1.42E-01	1.27E-01	2.53E-01	
INS	0.001	1.90E-01	9.65E-03	9.32E-01	0.001	1.11E-03	2.20E-02	1.11E-02	5.39E-02	4.87E-01	5.35E-01	
INS-adjBMI	0.001	1.07E-01	1.07E-02	4.27E-01	0.4	1.56E-07	1.18E-02	6.91E-07	1.40E-01	5.22E-02	1.28E-01	
LDL	0.5	1.13E-03	5.06E-03	5.65E-03	0.2	1.61E-02	9.16E-03	8.08E-02	-7.20E-03	8.93E-01	9.35E-01	
Leptin-adjBMI	0.005	2.05E-02	-9.09E-03	2.05E-01	0.05	3.63E-01	-4.07E-03	9.90E-01	2.23E-01	3.70E-03	1.63E-02	
Leptin	0.01	1.21E-02	6.24E-03	1.21E-01	0.3	4.00E-03	1.33E-02	2.55E-02	8.95E-02	2.51E-01	4.22E-01	
TC	0.3	4.84E-05	6.60E-03	4.84E-04	0.2	1.03E-03	1.22E-02	5.48E-03	3.70E-03	9.35E-01	9.35E-01	
TG	0.001	1.63E-06	2.71E-02	1.17E-05	0.1	2.35E-11	2.48E-02	2.35E-10	1.38E-01	3.60E-03	1.63E-02	
WHR	0.2	2.86E-12	1.43E-02	2.86E-11	0.5	7.69E-14	2.18E-02	7.69E-13	1.76E-01	2.80E-05	6.16E-04	
WHR-adjBMI	0.03	2.96E-07	1.51E-02	2.96E-06	0.5	1.83E-04	1.10E-02	1.11E-03	1.09E-01	7.80E-03	2.86E-02	
DBP	0.01	9.56E-04	3.12E-03	9.56E-03	0.001	4.20E-05	1.78E+00	4.20E-04	1.07E-01	3.18E-01	4.64E-01	
SBP	0.001	2.67E-01	9.52E-04	9.76E-01	0.4	9.29E-03	3.90E-01	3.88E-02	1.48E-01	2.19E-01	4.01E-01	

 Table 3
 Polygenic risk score (PRS) analysis between depressive symptoms (DS) and cardiometabolic traits

Please refer to the legends of Table 1.

]	PRS: neurot	icism as outco	ome	P	RS: neuroti	cism as pred	lictor	LD score regression			
	best_p	pval	coef	qval	best_p	pval	coef	qval	rg	pval	qval	
Adiponectin	0.05	4.29E-01	-7.90E-04	9.09E-01	0.3	5.29E-03	-9.21E-03	2.41E-02	-1.00E-01	8.30E-02	2.80E-01	
BMI	0.001	1.64E-02	-1.63E-02	1.64E-01	0.001	1.39E-01	-1.18E-02	6.71E-01	-3.49E-02	3.57E-01	5.61E-01	
CAD	0.01	1.45E-08	7.40E-03	1.35E-07	0.3	9.37E-10	3.16E-02	9.37E-09	7.83E-02	2.77E-02	2.03E-01	
DM	0.001	1.83E-01	-1.27E-03	8.98E-01	0.03	4.92E-02	2.87E-02	2.28E-01	-4.29E-02	4.88E-01	6.70E-01	
Fat percent	0.1	9.84E-03	1.77E-03	9.84E-02	0.5	9.14E-03	1.01E-02	3.66E-02	5.60E-02	2.90E-01	4.91E-01	
FG	0.03	7.86E-02	2.92E-03	4.71E-01	0.1	2.45E-03	7.94E-03	1.18E-02	6.10E-02	1.73E-01	3.80E-01	
FG-adjBMI	0.05	5.86E-02	2.87E-03	3.84E-01	0.1	2.91E-03	7.60E-03	9.92E-03	6.70E-03	8.91E-01	8.91E-01	
HDL	0.001	3.70E-01	4.31E-03	9.36E-01	0.4	2.05E-01	-4.27E-03	8.27E-01	7.90E-03	8.29E-01	8.69E-01	
HOMA-IR	0.03	8.99E-05	1.06E-02	8.99E-04	0.5	7.92E-04	9.71E-03	3.51E-03	1.13E-01	2.41E-01	4.81E-01	
INS	0.1	4.64E-03	3.87E-03	4.64E-02	0.1	3.75E-05	9.13E-03	3.75E-04	1.48E-01	1.01E-01	2.80E-01	
INS-adjBMI	0.2	2.64E-01	1.19E-03	7.04E-01	0.2	1.17E-04	9.22E-03	4.58E-04	1.05E-01	1.02E-01	2.80E-01	
LDL	0.03	2.89E-02	5.19E-03	1.04E-01	0.4	4.33E-02	7.42E-03	1.17E-01	1.82E-02	6.85E-01	8.69E-01	
Leptin-adjBMI	0.05	3.44E-01	-1.92E-03	9.47E-01	0.03	3.41E-02	1.05E-02	1.95E-01	1.91E-02	8.03E-01	8.69E-01	
Leptin	0.01	3.05E-01	2.49E-03	7.73E-01	0.4	1.46E-01	6.67E-03	4.07E-01	2.12E-02	7.56E-01	8.69E-01	
TC	0.4	3.52E-04	5.60E-03	1.78E-03	0.005	1.30E-04	2.78E-02	1.30E-03	4.46E-02	2.76E-01	4.91E-01	
TG	0.05	4.85E-07	1.19E-02	3.59E-06	0.001	2.13E-07	5.09E-02	1.30E-06	8.68E-02	9.46E-02	2.80E-01	
WHR	0.2	6.74E-05	7.97E-03	6.10E-04	0.5	2.23E-06	1.40E-02	1.14E-05	8.32E-02	1.01E-02	1.11E-01	
WHR-adjBMI	0.5	3.75E-07	9.21E-03	2.60E-06	0.05	2.74E-07	1.96E-02	1.29E-06	1.19E-01	4.00E-04	8.80E-03	
DBP	0.05	8.24E-04	2.35E-03	8.24E-03	0.3	1.65E-04	3.47E-01	1.65E-03	1.68E-01	7.47E-02	2.80E-01	
SBP	0.4	1.65E-01	1.74E-04	5.08E-01	0.5	5.29E-02	2.75E-01	2.16E-01	7.29E-02	4.34E-01	6.37E-01	

 Table 4
 Polygenic risk score (PRS) analysis between neuroticism and cardiometabolic traits

Please refer to the legends of Table 1.

	Gene	Freq	AvgRank	Present_In
MDD-PGC	2			
1	TRPS1	7	11	BMI, DBP, HDL, LDL, Leptin, Leptin-adjBMI, TC
2	NOTCH4	4	3	DBP, LDL, TC, TG
3	C5orf67	4	3.5	HDL, TG, WHR, WHR-adjBMI
4	TMEM241	4	22.5	BMI, DBP, HDL, TG
5	NR2C2AP	3	7	LDL, TC, TG
6	ABO	3	10.3	CAD, LDL, TC
7	MAP2K5	3	11	BMI, HDL, WHR
8	PPM1G	3	12	LDL, TC, TG
9	ITIH3	3	12.3	BMI, DBP, LDL
10	ANKDD1B	3	13.3	BMI, LDL, TC

Table 5 Top genes shared between depression phenotypes and cardiometabolic traits

MDD-CONVERGE

1	ADCY5	6	3.8	BMI, FG, Fatpercent, HDL, TG, WHR
2	BPTF	6	7.2	BMI, Fatpercent, HDL, TC, TG, WHR
3	SEMA5A	4	9.8	Adiponectin, CAD, HDL, TG
4	SIK3	4	9.8	CAD, HDL, TC, TG
5	JMJD1C	4	28	BMI, HDL, TG, WHR
6	GPHN	4	31	Adiponectin, Fatpercent, HDL, TG
7	FTO	4	31	BMI, Fatpercent, HDL, WHR
8	DIP2B	3	2.3	LDL, SBP, TC
9	PBX4	3	3	LDL, TC, TG
10	CD19	3	6.3	BMI, Fatpercent, TC

Depressive symptoms

1	TNXB	8	25.6	DBP, HDL, LDL, SBP, TC, TG, WHR, WHR-adjBMI
2	ABO	8	30.5	CAD, DBP, DM, HDL, LDL, TC, TG, WHR
3	TRPS1	7	7.9	BMI, HDL, HOMA_IR, INS, INS-adjBMI, LDL, Leptin
4	VEGFA	7	18	CAD, DBP, HDL, SBP, TG, WHR, WHR-adjBMI
5	FTO	7	42.6	BMI, DM, Fatpercent, HDL, INS, TG, WHR
6	PSORS1C1	6	4.5	HDL, LDL, TC, TG, WHR, WHR-adjBMI

7	FADS2	6	6.3	FG, FG-adjBMI, HDL, LDL, TC, TG
8	IGF2BP2	6	12.2	BMI, DBP, DM, FG, FG-adjBMI, WHR-adjBMI
9	C5orf67	6	16.3	HDL, INS, INS-adjBMI, TG, WHR, WHR-adjBMI
10	ZHX3	6	31.3	DBP, DM, Fatpercent, LDL, SBP, TC

Neuroticism

1	RFLNA	10	37	Adiponectin, BMI, CAD, DM, Fatpercent, HDL, INS-adjBMI, TG, WHR,
				WHR-adjBMI
2	TCF7L2	10	51.5	BMI, CAD, DM, FG, FG-adjBMI, Fatpercent, HDL, INS, INS-adjBMI, SBP
3	MSRA	9	33	BMI, DBP, DM, FG, Fatpercent, HDL, INS-adjBMI, SBP, TG
4	PPARG	9	62.3	BMI, DM, Fatpercent, HDL, INS-adjBMI, LDL, TG, WHR, WHR-adjBMI
5	MBD5	9	81.7	Adiponectin, CAD, DBP, FG, FG-adjBMI, Fatpercent, TG, WHR, WHR-adjBMI
6	TNXB	9	131.4	BMI, DBP, Fatpercent, HDL, SBP, TC, TG, WHR, WHR-adjBMI
7	ZHX3	9	137.7	BMI, CAD, DBP, HDL, INS-adjBMI, LDL, SBP, TC, TG
8	PEPD	8	72.8	Adiponectin, CAD, DM, Fatpercent, HDL, INS-adjBMI, TG, WHR
9	BLK	8	110.1	BMI, CAD, DBP, INS-adjBMI, LDL, SBP, TC, TG
10	CELF1	7	7.3	BMI, FG, Fatpercent, HDL, TC, TG, WHR

We first extracted SNPs with high probability of being shared between depressive and cardiometabolic traits ($tdr_{11}>0.5$). SNPs were then mapped to genes using BioMart. Here we reordered the genes based on how frequent they are listed among the top (Freq) and the average rank (AvgRank) of the corresponding gene

Table 6 Top pathways enriched among genes shared by MDD-PGC and MDD-CONVEGE with cardiometabolic traits

	Pathway	Freq	AvgRank	Present_In
MDD	-PGC (same directions of effects on depression and CV			
risks)				
1	Allograft rejection	5	4.2	DBP (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
2	Graft-versus-host disease	5	5.8	DBP (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
3	Type I diabetes mellitus	5	6.8	DBP (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
4	Viral myocarditis	5	7.2	DBP (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
5	Autoimmune thyroid disease	5	8.8	DBP (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)

6	Allograft Rejection	5	10	DBP (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
7	Antigen processing and presentation	5	13	DBP (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
8	Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)	5	19	DBP (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
9	Phagosome	5	19.4	BMI (same), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
10	Staphylococcus aureus infection	4	3.2	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
11	Asthma	4	5.5	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
12	Intestinal immune network for IgA production	4	10.2	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
13	Influenza A	4	10.5	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
14	MHC class II antigen presentation	4	13.8	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
15	Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)	4	15.5	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)

MDD-CONVERGE (opposite directions of effects on depression and CV risks)

 2 Oxytocin signaling pathway 2 3 BMI (opposite), Fatpercent (opposite) 3 Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) signaling pathway 4 Signaling Pathways in Glioblastoma 5 Longevity regulating pathway - multiple species 1 1 BMI (opposite) 	
pathway4Signaling Pathways in Glioblastoma28.5BMI (opposite), WHR (opposite)	
4 Signaling Pathways in Glioblastoma 2 8.5 BMI (opposite), WHR (opposite)	
5 Longevity regulating pathway - multiple species 1 1 BMI (opposite)	
6 Olfactory Signaling Pathway 1 1 HDL (same)	
7 Regulation of Androgen receptor activity 1 1 TC (opposite)	
8 RHO GTPases activate PAKs 1 1 CAD (opposite)	
9 Neuronal System 1 1 TG (opposite)	
10 Pathways Affected in Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma 1 1 WHR (opposite)	
11 Olfactory transduction 1 2 HDL (same)	
12 NCAM1 interactions 1 2 BMI (opposite)	
13Chromatin modifying enzymes12TC (opposite)	
14 Validated targets of C-MYC transcriptional repression 1 2 WHR (opposite)	
15Voltage gated Potassium channels12TG (opposite)	

Please refer to Table 5 for legends.

Table 7 Top pathways enriched among genes shared by depressive symptoms and neuroticism with cardiometabolic traits

	Pathway	Freq	AvgRank	Present_In
Depre	essive symptoms (same directions of effects on depression and CV risks)			
1	Activation of C3 and C5	5	12.8	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
2	Complement Activation	5	16.8	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
3	Regulation of Complement cascade	5	20.6	LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
4	Cohesin Loading onto Chromatin	4	16	BMI (same), CAD (same), LDL (same), TC (same)
5	Establishment of Sister Chromatid Cohesion	4	18.2	BMI (same), CAD (same), LDL (same), TC (same)
6	Statin Pathway	4	25.2	HDL (opposite), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same)
7	Photodynamic therapy-induced NF-kB survival signaling	4	30.8	HDL (opposite), TG (same), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
8	Phosphatidylinositol signaling system	3	3	DM (same), FG (same), FG-adjBMI (same)
9	Mitotic Telophase/Cytokinesis	3	6.3	CAD (same), LDL (same), TC (same)
10	Choline metabolism in cancer	3	6.7	DM (same), FG (same), FG-adjBMI (same)
11	Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) signaling pathway	3	23.3	BMI (same), FG-adjBMI (same), Fatpercent (same)
12	Phospholipase D signaling pathway	2	6	FG (same), FG-adjBMI (same)
13	internal ribosome entry pathway	2	6	HDL (opposite), INS (same)
14	Human Complement System	2	7.5	WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
15	VEGFA-VEGFR2 Pathway	2	8.5	BMI (same), FG-adjBMI (same)

Neuroticism (same directions of effects on neuroticism and CV risks)

1	RXR and RAR heterodimerization with other nuclear receptor	5	34.4	DM (same), HDL (opposite), TG (same), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
2	Statin Pathway	4	14.5	HDL (opposite), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same)
3	Statin Pathway, Pharmacodynamics	4	21.2	HDL (opposite), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same)
4	Lipoprotein metabolism	4	22.8	HDL (opposite), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same)
5	Transcription factor regulation in adipogenesis	4	26	HDL (opposite), TG (same), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
6	Lipid digestion, mobilization, and transport	4	28.8	HDL (opposite), LDL (same), TC (same), TG (same)
7	ECM proteoglycans	4	29.8	CAD (same), TG (same), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)

25

8	Transcriptional regulation of white adipocyte differentiation	3	10	HDL (opposite), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
9	DAG and IP3 signaling	3	14.3	BMI (same), FG (same), FG-adjBMI (same)
10	visceral fat deposits and the metabolic syndrome	3	16.3	HDL (opposite), WHR (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)
11	Phospholipase D signaling pathway	3	17.3	DM (same), FG (same), WHR (same)
12	EGFR interacts with phospholipase C-gamma	3	17.3	BMI (same), FG (same), FG-adjBMI (same)
13	amb2 Integrin signaling	3	17.3	CAD (same), FG (same), HDL (opposite)
14	PLC-gamma1 signalling	3	18.3	BMI (same), FG (same), FG-adjBMI (same)
15	Focal adhesion	3	20	BMI (same), CAD (same), WHR-adjBMI (same)

Please refer to Table 5 for legends.

	Drug	Freq	AvgRank		Drug	Freq	AvgRank
MD	D-PGC			Dep	ressive symptoms		
1	lipase	4	5.8	1	lipase	7	5.4
2	glutathione	3	7	2	fluorescein	5	11.2
3	flucloxacillin	3	2.7	3	indocyanine green	4	2.8
4	allopurinol	3	1.3	4	metronidazole	4	4.8
5	fluorescein	2	8.5	5	atorvastatin	4	5.5
6	immune globulin	2	7.5	6	heparin	4	7.5
7	ribavirin	2	7.5	7	rosuvastatin	4	9.2
8	indocyanine green	2	4.5	8	pravastatin	4	17.8
9	lumiracoxib	2	1	9	adenosine	4	22.8
10	dactinomycin	1	14	10	simvastatin	3	2
11	heparin	1	13	11	bupropion	3	3.7
12	rosuvastatin	1	12	12	lovastatin	3	4.7
13	nadh	1	11	13	fenofibrate	3	12
14	simvastatin	1	10	14	dopamine	3	12
15	ethanol	1	9	15	glutathione	3	14.3
MD]	D-CONVERGE			Neu	roticism		
1	rosuvastatin	3	1.7	1	lipase	9	7.8
2	simvastatin	3	5.3	2	tretinoin	9	14.6
3	atorvastatin	2	2.5	3	vitamin A	9	19.9
4	epinephrine	2	3.5	4	insulin recombinant	8	24.9
5	glycine	2	3.5	5	glutathione	7	16.1
6	bupropion	2	4	6	bupropion	7	20
7	lovastatin	2	6	7	collagenase	7	38.1
8	adenosine	2	7	8	simvastatin	6	8.3
9	methamphetamine	1	1	9	atorvastatin	6	8.5
10	yohimbine	1	1	10	heparin	6	12.5
11	verapamil	1	1	11	fluphenazine	6	15.3
12	furosemide	1	2	12	adenosine triphosphate	6	16.3
	norepinephrine	1	2	13	gemfibrozil	6	20.2

 Table 8
 Drugs enriched among the top shared genes between depression and cardiometabolic traits

14	dexamethasone	1	2	14	rosuvastatin	5	5.4
15	alteplase	1	3	15	methamphetamine	5	9

Please refer to Table 5 for legends.

Reference

- World Health Organization. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders Global Health Estimates. Available at <u>http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254610/1/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2-eng.pdf?ua=1</u>. (2017).
- Scott, K.M. Depression, anxiety and incident cardiometabolic diseases. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry* 27, 289-293 (2014).
- 3. Luppino, F.S. *et al.* Overweight, Obesity, and Depression A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Longitudinal Studies. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **67**, 220-229 (2010).
- 4. Chien, I.C., Lin, C.H., Chou, Y.J. & Chou, P. Increased risk of hyperlipidemia in patients with major depressive disorder: A population-based study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* **75**, 270-274 (2013).
- 5. Baune, B.T., Tully, P.J. & SpringerLink (Online service). Cardiovascular Diseases and Depression Treatment and Prevention in Psychocardiology. 1 online resource (VII, 533 p. 28 illus., 21 illus. in color.).
- Jokela, M., Pulkki-Raback, L., Elovainio, M. & Kivimaki, M. Personality traits as risk factors for stroke and coronary heart disease mortality: pooled analysis of three cohort studies. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 37, 881-889 (2014).
- Almas, A., Moller, J., Iqbal, R. & Forsell, Y. Effect of neuroticism on risk of cardiovascular disease in depressed persons - a Swedish population-based cohort study. *Bmc Cardiovascular Disorders* 17(2017).
- Scherrer, J.F. *et al.* A twin study of depression symptoms, hypertension, and heart disease in middle-aged men. *Psychosom Med* 65, 548-57 (2003).
- Afari, N. *et al.* Depression and obesity: do shared genes explain the relationship? *Depress Anxiety* 27, 799-806 (2010).
- 10. Lopez-Leon, S. *et al.* Shared genetic factors in the co-occurrence of symptoms of depression and cardiovascular risk factors. *J Affect Disord* **122**, 247-52 (2010).
- Su, S. *et al.* Common genes contribute to depressive symptoms and heart rate variability: the Twins Heart Study. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 13, 1-9 (2010).
- 12. Amare, A.T., Schubert, K.O., Klingler-Hoffmann, M., Cohen-Woods, S. & Baune, B.T. The genetic overlap between mood disorders and cardiometabolic diseases: a systematic review of genome wide and candidate gene studies. *Translational Psychiatry* **7**(2017).
- 13. Bosker, F.J. *et al.* Poor replication of candidate genes for major depressive disorder using genome-wide association data. *Molecular Psychiatry* **16**, 516-532 (2011).
- 14. Goldberg, D. The heterogeneity of "major depression". *World Psychiatry* **10**, 226-228 (2011).
- 15. Kessler, R.C. *et al.* Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication.(vol 62, pg 593, 2005). *Archives of General Psychiatry* **62**, 768-768 (2005).
- 16. Van der Kooy, K. et al. Depression and the risk for cardiovascular diseases: systematic review and meta

analysis. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 22, 613-626 (2007).

- Kan, C. *et al.* Genetic overlap between type 2 diabetes and depression in Swedish and Danish twin registries. *Molecular Psychiatry* 21, 903-909 (2016).
- Lamers, F. *et al.* Evidence for a differential role of HPA-axis function, inflammation and metabolic syndrome in melancholic versus atypical depression. *Molecular Psychiatry* 18, 692-699 (2013).
- Lasserre, A.M. Depression With Atypical Features and Increase in Obesity, Body Mass Index, Waist Circumference, and Fat Mass: A Prospective, Population-Based Study (vol 71, pg 880, 2014). Jama Psychiatry 71, 1079-1079 (2014).
- 20. Lasserre, A.M. *et al.* Prospective associations of depression subtypes with cardio-metabolic risk factors in the general population. *Molecular Psychiatry* **22**, 1026-1034 (2017).
- consortium, C. Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major depressive disorder. *Nature* 523, 588-91 (2015).
- Sullivan, P.F. *et al.* A mega-analysis of genome-wide association studies for major depressive disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry* 18, 497-511 (2013).
- 23. Okbay, A. *et al.* Genetic variants associated with subjective well-being, depressive symptoms, and neuroticism identified through genome-wide analyses (vol 48, pg 624, 2016). *Nature Genetics* **48**, 970-970 (2016).
- 24. Klein, D.N., Kotov, R. & Bufferd, S.J. Personality and Depression: Explanatory Models and Review of the Evidence. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 7, 269-295 (2011).
- Hakulinen, C. *et al.* Personality and Depressive Symptoms: Individual Participant Meta-Analysis of 10 Cohort Studies. *Depression and Anxiety* 32, 461-470 (2015).
- 26. Locke, A.E. *et al.* Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. *Nature* **518**, 197-206 (2015).
- Shungin, D. *et al.* New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. *Nature* 518, 187-96 (2015).
- 28. Manning, A.K. *et al.* A genome-wide approach accounting for body mass index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin resistance. *Nat Genet* **44**, 659-69 (2012).
- 29. Dupuis, J. *et al.* New genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes risk. *Nat Genet* **42**, 105-16 (2010).
- Kilpelainen, T.O. *et al.* Genome-wide meta-analysis uncovers novel loci influencing circulating leptin levels. *Nat Commun* 7, 10494 (2016).
- Global Lipids Genetics, C. *et al.* Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet* 45, 1274-83 (2013).
- Dastani, Z. *et al.* Novel Loci for Adiponectin Levels and Their Influence on Type 2 Diabetes and Metabolic Traits: A Multi-Ethnic Meta-Analysis of 45,891 Individuals. *Plos Genetics* 8(2012).

- 33. Liu, C.Y. *et al.* Meta-analysis identifies common and rare variants influencing blood pressure and overlapping with metabolic trait loci. *Nature Genetics* **48**, 1162-1170 (2016).
- Consortium, C.A.D. *et al.* Large-scale association analysis identifies new risk loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 45, 25-33 (2013).
- 35. Mahajan, A. *et al.* Genome-wide trans-ancestry meta-analysis provides insight into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes susceptibility. *Nature Genetics* **46**, 234-+ (2014).
- 36. Toby Johnson (2013). gtx: Genetics ToolboX. R package version 0.0.8. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gtx.
- Ehret, G.B. *et al.* Genetic variants in novel pathways influence blood pressure and cardiovascular disease risk.
 Nature 478, 103-9 (2011).
- Dastani, Z. *et al.* Novel loci for adiponectin levels and their influence on type 2 diabetes and metabolic traits:
 a multi-ethnic meta-analysis of 45,891 individuals. *PLoS Genet* 8, e1002607 (2012).
- Palla, L. & Dudbridge, F. A Fast Method that Uses Polygenic Scores to Estimate the Variance Explained by Genome-wide Marker Panels and the Proportion of Variants Affecting a Trait. *Am J Hum Genet* 97, 250-9 (2015).
- 40. Euesden, J., Lewis, C.M. & O'Reilly, P.F. PRSice: Polygenic Risk Score software. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 1466-8 (2015).
- 41. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate a Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Methodological* **57**, 289-300 (1995).
- 42. Efron, B. Simultaneous Inference: When Should Hypothesis Testing Problems Be Combined? *Annals of Applied Statistics* **2**, 197-223 (2008).
- 43. Burgess, S., Davies, N.M. & Thompson, S.G. Bias due to participant overlap in two-sample Mendelian randomization. *Genetic Epidemiology* **40**, 597-608 (2016).
- 44. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nature Genetics* **47**, 1236-+ (2015).
- 45. Brown, B.C., Ye, C.J., Price, A.L., Zaitlen, N. & Network, A.G.E. Transethnic Genetic-Correlation Estimates from Summary Statistics. *American Journal of Human Genetics* **99**, 76-88 (2016).
- 46. Altshuler, D.M. *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68-+ (2015).
- 47. Efron, B., Tibshirani, R., Storey, J.D. & Tusher, V. Empirical Bayes analysis of a microarray experiment. *Journal of the American statistical association* **96**, 1151-1160 (2001).
- 48. Andreassen, O.A. *et al.* Improved detection of common variants associated with schizophrenia by leveraging pleiotropy with cardiovascular-disease risk factors. *Am J Hum Genet* **92**, 197-209 (2013).
- 49. Chung, D., Yang, C., Li, C., Gelernter, J. & Zhao, H. GPA: a statistical approach to prioritizing GWAS results by integrating pleiotropy and annotation. *PLoS Genet* **10**, e1004787 (2014).

- 50. Durinck, S., Spellman, P.T., Birney, E. & Huber, W. Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nature Protocols* **4**, 1184-1191 (2009).
- Kamburov, A., Stelzl, U., Lehrach, H. & Herwig, R. The ConsensusPathDB interaction database: 2013 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41, D793-800 (2013).
- 52. Wang, J., Duncan, D., Shi, Z. & Zhang, B. WEB-based GEne SeT AnaLysis Toolkit (WebGestalt): update 2013. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**, W77-W83 (2013).
- 53. Jourquin, J., Duncan, D., Shi, Z. & Zhang, B. GLAD4U: deriving and prioritizing gene lists from PubMed literature. *Bmc Genomics* **13**(2012).
- 54. Chang, C.C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).
- 55. Carney, R.M. & Freedland, K.E. Depression and coronary heart disease. Nat Rev Cardiol 14, 145-155 (2017).
- 56. Gale, C.R. *et al.* Pleiotropy between neuroticism and physical and mental health: findings from 108038 men and women in UK Biobank. *Translational Psychiatry* **6**(2016).
- 57. Nakaya, N. *et al.* Personality and mortality from ischemic heart disease and stroke. *Clinical and Experimental Hypertension* **27**, 297-305 (2005).
- 58. Shipley, B.A., Weiss, A., Der, G., Taylor, M.D. & Deary, I.J. Neuroticism, extraversion, and mortality in the UK Health and Lifestyle Survey: A 21-year prospective cohort study. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **69**, 923-931 (2007).
- 59. Magee, C.A. & Heaven, P.C.L. Big-Five personality factors, obesity and 2-year weight gain in Australian adults. *Journal of Research in Personality* **45**, 332-335 (2011).
- 60. Turiano, N.A. *et al.* Personality Trait Level and Change as Predictors of Health Outcomes: Findings From a National Study of Americans (MIDUS). *Journals of Gerontology Series B-Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences* **67**, 4-12 (2012).
- Jokela, M. *et al.* Personality and All-Cause Mortality: Individual-Participant Meta-Analysis of 3,947 Deaths in 76,150 Adults. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 178, 667-675 (2013).
- 62. Mottus, R. *et al.* The Associations Between Personality, Diet and Body Mass Index in Older People. *Health Psychology* **32**, 353-360 (2013).
- Jokela, M. *et al.* Personality and Risk of Diabetes in Adults: Pooled Analysis of 5 Cohort Studies. *Health Psychology* 33, 1618-1621 (2014).
- 64. Merino, J. *et al.* Genetically Driven Hyperglycemia Increases Risk of Coronary Artery Disease Separately From Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **40**, 687-693 (2017).
- 65. Florez, J.C. *et al.* Effects of Genetic Variants Previously Associated with Fasting Glucose and Insulin in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Plos One* 7(2012).
- 66. Walford, G.A. et al. Common genetic variants differentially influence the transition from clinically defined

states of fasting glucose metabolism. Diabetologia 55, 331-339 (2012).

- 67. Marullo, L., Moustafa, J.S.E. & Prokopenko, I. Insights into the Genetic Susceptibility to Type 2 Diabetes from Genome-Wide Association Studies of Glycaemic Traits. *Current Diabetes Reports* **14**(2014).
- Malouff, J.M., Thorsteinsson, E.B. & Schutte, N.S. The relationship between the five-factor model of personality and symptoms of clinical disorders: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment* 27, 101-114 (2005).
- Gan, Y. *et al.* Depression and the risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies.
 Bmc Psychiatry 14(2014).
- 70. Cuijpers, P. & Smit, H. Excess mortality in depression: a meta-analysis of community studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **72**, 227-236 (2002).
- Aschard, H., Vilhjalmsson, B.J., Joshi, A.D., Price, A.L. & Kraft, P. Adjusting for Heritable Covariates Can Bias Effect Estimates in Genome-Wide Association Studies. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 96, 329-339 (2015).
- 72. Day, F.R., Loh, P.R., Scott, R.A., Ong, K.K. & Perry, J.R.B. A Robust Example of Collider Bias in a Genetic Association Study. *American Journal of Human Genetics* **98**, 392-393 (2016).
- Green, A.J., Fox, K.M., Grandy, S. & Grp, S.S. Self-reported hypoglycemia and impact on quality of life and depression among adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 96, 313-318 (2012).
- 74. Flint, J., Chen, Y.P., Shi, S.X., Kendler, K.S. & Consortium, C. Epilogue: Lessons from the CONVERGE study of major depressive disorder in China. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **140**, 1-5 (2012).
- 75. Lee, S. *et al.* The epidemiology of depression in metropolitan China. *Psychological Medicine* **39**, 735-747 (2009).
- 76. Kessler, R.C. *et al.* The epidemiology of major depressive disorder Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). *Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association* **289**, 3095-3105 (2003).
- 77. McGrath, P.J. *et al.* Response to a Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor (Citalopram) in Major Depressive Disorder With Melancholic Features: A STAR*D Report. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* **69**, 1847-1855 (2008).
- 78. DiMatteo, M.R., Lepper, H.S. & Croghan, T.W. Depression is a risk factor for noncompliance with medical treatment Meta-analysis of the effects of anxiety and depression on patient adherence. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 160, 2101-2107 (2000).
- 79. Roshanaei-Moghaddam, B., Katon, W.J. & Russo, J. The longitudinal effects of depression on physical activity. *General Hospital Psychiatry* **31**, 306-315 (2009).
- Spielmans, G.I. *et al.* Adjunctive Atypical Antipsychotic Treatment for Major Depressive Disorder: A Meta-Analysis of Depression, Quality of Life, and Safety Outcomes. *Plos Medicine* 10(2013).

- 81. Bauer, M. & Dopfmer, S. Lithium augmentation in treatment-resistant-depression: Meta-analysis of placebo-controlled studies. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology* **19**, 427-434 (1999).
- 82. Baptista, T. et al. Lithium and Body-Weight Gain. Pharmacopsychiatry 28, 35-44 (1995).
- 83. Hert, M., Detraux, J., van Winkel, R., Yu, W.P. & Correll, C.U. Metabolic and cardiovascular adverse effects associated with antipsychotic drugs. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* **8**, 114-126 (2012).
- 84. Seppala, J. *et al.* Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in subjects with melancholic and non-melancholic depressive symptoms. A Finnish population-based study. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **136**, 543-549 (2012).
- 85. Glaus, J. *et al.* Atypical depression and alcohol misuse are related to the cardiovascular risk in the general population. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **128**, 282-293 (2013).
- 86. Speakman, J.R. The 'Fat Mass and Obesity Related' (FTO) gene: Mechanisms of Impact on Obesity and Energy Balance. *Curr Obes Rep* **4**, 73-91 (2015).
- 87. Bray, S.J. Notch signalling in context. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 17, 722-735 (2016).
- Shayevitz, C., Cohen, O.S., Faraone, S.V. & Glatt, S.J. A re-review of the association between the NOTCH4 locus and schizophrenia. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B-Neuropsychiatric Genetics* 159b, 477-483 (2012).
- Jin, T. & Liu, L. The Wnt Signaling Pathway Effector TCF7L2 and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Molecular Endocrinology* 22, 2383-2392 (2008).
- Colle, R. *et al.* Pioglitazone could induce remission in major depression: a meta-analysis. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment* 13, 9-16 (2017).
- 91. Koch, S. & Claesson-Welsh, L. Signal Transduction by Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptors. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine* **2**(2012).
- 92. Rosenstein, J.M., Krum, J.M. & Ruhrberg, C. VEGF in the nervous system. Organogenesis 6, 107-114 (2010).
- 93. Halaris, A. Inflammation, Heart Disease, and Depression. *Current Psychiatry Reports* 15(2013).
- Howren, M.B., Lamkin, D.M. & Suls, J. Associations of Depression With C-Reactive Protein, IL-1, and IL-6: A Meta-Analysis. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 71, 171-186 (2009).
- 95. Dowlati, Y. et al. A Meta-Analysis of Cytokines in Major Depression. Biological Psychiatry 67, 446-457 (2010).
- 96. Rethorst, C.D., Bernstein, I. & Trivedi, M.H. Inflammation, Obesity, and Metabolic Syndrome in Depression: Analysis of the 2009-2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 75, E1428-E1432 (2014).
- 97. Miller, G.E., Freedland, K.E., Carney, R.M., Stetler, C.A. & Banks, W.A. Cynical hostility, depressive symptoms, and the expression of inflammatory risk markers for coronary heart disease. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* **26**, 501-515 (2003).
- 98. Shelton, R.C. & Miller, A.H. Inflammation in depression: is adiposity a cause? *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 13,

41-53 (2011).

- Martino, M., Rocchi, G., Escelsior, A. & Fornaro, M. Immunomodulation Mechanism of Antidepressants: Interactions between Serotonin/Norepinephrine Balance and Th1/Th2 Balance. *Current Neuropharmacology* 10, 97-123 (2012).
- 100. Lim, S.Y. Role of statins in coronary artery disease. Chonnam Med J 49, 1-6 (2013).
- 101. Maes, M., Galecki, P., Chang, Y.S. & Berk, M. A review on the oxidative and nitrosative stress (O&NS) pathways in major depression and their possible contribution to the (neuro)degenerative processes in that illness. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 35, 676-92 (2011).
- O'Neil, A. *et al.* The impact of statins on psychological wellbeing: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
 BMC Med 10, 154 (2012).
- 103. Redlich, C. et al. Statin use and risk of depression: a Swedish national cohort study. Bmc Psychiatry 14(2014).
- 104. Kohler, O. *et al.* The Effect of Concomitant Treatment With SSRIs and Statins: A Population-Based Study. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **173**, 807-815 (2016).
- 105. Kim, S.W. *et al.* The use of statins for the treatment of depression in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Translational Psychiatry* 5(2015).
- 106. You, H., Lu, W., Zhao, S.P., Hu, Z.P. & Zhang, J.N. The relationship between statins and depression: a review of the literature. *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy* **14**, 1467-1476 (2013).
- 107. Zachary, I. Neuroprotective role of vascular endothelial growth factor: Signalling mechanisms, biological function, and therapeutic potential. *Neurosignals* 14, 207-221 (2005).
- Mazidi, M. *et al.* VEGF, the underlying factor for metabolic syndrome; fact or fiction? *Diabetes Metab Syndr* (2016).
- Testa, U., Pannitteri, G. & Condorelli, G.L. Vascular endothelial growth factors in cardiovascular medicine. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown) 9, 1190-221 (2008).
- Tomita, H. *et al.* G protein-linked signaling pathways in bipolar and major depressive disorders. *Front Genet* 4, 297 (2013).
- 111. Lee, B.H. & Kim, Y.K. The roles of BDNF in the pathophysiology of major depression and in antidepressant treatment. *Psychiatry Investig* 7, 231-5 (2010).
- 112. Patas, K. *et al.* Association between serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor and plasma interleukin-6 in major depressive disorder with melancholic features. *Brain Behavior and Immunity* **36**, 71-79 (2014).
- 113. Rethorst, C.D. *et al.* IL-1beta and BDNF are associated with improvement in hypersomnia but not insomnia following exercise in major depressive disorder. *Transl Psychiatry* **5**, e611 (2015).
- 114. Anisman, H., Ravindran, A.V., Griffiths, J. & Merali, Z. Endocrine and cytokine correlates of major depression and dysthymia with typical or atypical features. *Mol Psychiatry* **4**, 182-8 (1999).
- 115. Gold, P.W. & Chrousos, G.P. Organization of the stress system and its dysregulation in melancholic and

atypical depression: high vs low CRH/NE states. Mol Psychiatry 7, 254-75 (2002).

- 116. Kunugi, H., Hori, H., Adachi, N. & Numakawa, T. Interface between hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and brain-derived neurotrophic factor in depression. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences* **64**, 447-459 (2010).
- 117. Rosas-Vargas, H., Martinez-Ezquerro, J.D. & Bienvenu, T. Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor, Food Intake Regulation, and Obesity. *Archives of Medical Research* **42**, 482-494 (2011).
- 118. Pillai, A. et al. Plasma BDNF Levels Vary in Relation to Body Weight in Females. Plos One 7(2012).
- Zhang, R. Lipasin, a novel nutritionally-regulated liver-enriched factor that regulates serum triglyceride levels. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 424, 786-792 (2012).
- 120. Fu, Z.Y., Yao, F.Y., Abou-Samra, A.B. & Zhang, R. Lipasin, thermoregulated in brown fat, is a novel but atypical member of the angiopoietin-like protein family. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **430**, 1126-1131 (2013).
- Fu, Z.Y. *et al.* Elevated circulating lipasin/betatrophin in human type 2 diabetes and obesity. *Scientific Reports* 4(2014).
- 122. Yamada, H. *et al.* Circulating betatrophin is elevated in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *Endocrine Journal* **62**, 417-421 (2015).
- Wang, H.Y. *et al.* The Effects of Serum ANGPTL8/betatrophin on the Risk of Developing the Metabolic Syndrome-A Prospective Study. *Scientific Reports* 6(2016).
- 124. MacDonald, K. & MacDonald, T.M. The Peptide That Binds: A Systematic Review of Oxytocin and its Prosocial Effects in Humans. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry* **18**, 1-21 (2010).
- 125. Cochran, D.M., Fallon, D., Hill, M. & Frazier, J.A. The Role of Oxytocin in Psychiatric Disorders: A Review of Biological and Therapeutic Research Findings. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry* **21**, 219-247 (2013).
- 126. Slattery, D.A. & Neumann, I.D. Oxytocin and Major Depressive Disorder: Experimental and Clinical Evidence for Links to Aetiology and Possible Treatment. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* **3**, 702-724 (2010).
- 127. Guzman, Y.F. *et al.* Fear-enhancing effects of septal oxytocin receptors. *Nature Neuroscience* 16, 1185-U36 (2013).
- 128. IsHak, W.W., Kahloon, M. & Fakhry, H. Oxytocin role in enhancing well-being: A literature review. *Journal* of *Affective Disorders* **130**, 1-9 (2011).
- Deblon, N. *et al.* Mechanisms of the Anti-Obesity Effects of Oxytocin in Diet-Induced Obese Rats. *Plos One* 6(2011).
- Lo Verme, J. *et al.* Regulation of food intake by oleoylethanolamide. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 62, 708-716 (2005).
- Thienel, M. *et al.* Oxytocin's inhibitory effect on food intake is stronger in obese than normal-weight men. *International Journal of Obesity* 40, 1707-1714 (2016).
- 132. Li, J. et al. A survey of current trends in computational drug repositioning. Briefings in Bioinformatics 17,

2-12 (2016).

- 133. Dickson, M. & Gagnon, J.P. The cost of new drug discovery and development. Discov Med 4, 172-9 (2004).
- Ashburn, T.T. & Thor, K.B. Drug repositioning: identifying and developing new uses for existing drugs. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 3, 673-83 (2004).
- 135. Papassotiropoulos, A. & de Quervain, D.J. Failed drug discovery in psychiatry: time for human genome-guided solutions. *Trends Cogn Sci* **19**, 183-7 (2015).
- Serretti, A. & Mandelli, L. Antidepressants and body weight: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry 71, 1259-72 (2010).
- 137. Gawryluk, J.W., Wang, J.F., Andreazza, A.C., Shao, L. & Young, L.T. Decreased levels of glutathione, the major brain antioxidant, in post-mortem prefrontal cortex from patients with psychiatric disorders. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology* 14, 123-130 (2011).
- 138. Deepmala et al. Clinical trials of N-acetylcysteine in psychiatry and neurology: A systematic review. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 55, 294-321 (2015).
- 139. Minarini, A. *et al.* N-acetylcysteine in the treatment of psychiatric disorders: current status and future prospects. *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism & Toxicology* **13**, 279-292 (2017).
- Fernandes, B.S., Dean, O.M., Dodd, S., Malhi, G.S. & Berk, M. N-Acetylcysteine in Depressive Symptoms and Functionality: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 77, E457-E466 (2016).
- 141. Treweeke, A.T. *et al.* N-Acetylcysteine inhibits platelet-monocyte conjugation in patients with type 2 diabetes with depleted intraplatelet glutathione: a randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia* **55**, 2920-2928 (2012).
- 142. Javanmanesh, F., Kashanian, M., Rahimi, M. & Sheikhansari, N. A comparison between the effects of metformin and N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) on some metabolic and endocrine characteristics of women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Gynecological Endocrinology* **32**, 285-289 (2016).
- 143. Karve, A.V., Jagtiani, S.S. & Chitnis, K.A. Evaluation of effect of allopurinol and febuxostat in behavioral model of depression in mice. *Indian J Pharmacol* **45**, 244-7 (2013).
- 144. Gurbuz Ozgur, B., Aksu, H., Birincioglu, M. & Dost, T. Antidepressant-like effects of the xanthine oxidase enzyme inhibitor allopurinol in rats. A comparison with fluoxetine. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 138, 91-5 (2015).
- 145. Larsen, K.S., Pottegard, A., Lindegaard, H.M. & Hallas, J. Effect of Allopurinol on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Hyperuricemic Patients: A Cohort Study. *Am J Med* **129**, 299-306 e2 (2016).
- 146. MacIsaac, R.L. *et al.* Allopurinol and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Adults With Hypertension. *Hypertension* 67, 535-40 (2016).
- 147. Scheggi, S. *et al.* PPARalpha modulation of mesolimbic dopamine transmission rescues depression-related behaviors. *Neuropharmacology* **110**, 251-9 (2016).

- 148. Park, H.W. *et al.* Pharmacological correction of obesity-induced autophagy arrest using calcium channel blockers. *Nature Communications* **5**(2014).
- Huffman, J.C. & Stern, T.A. Neuropsychiatric consequences of cardiovascular medications. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 9, 29-45 (2007).
- 150. Aburawi, S., Al-Tubuly, R., Alghzewi, E. & Gorash, Z. Effects of calcium channel blockers on antidepressant action of Alprazolam and Imipramine. *Libyan J Med* **2**, 169-75 (2007).
- 151. Bidzinski, A., Jankowska, E. & Pucilowski, O. Antidepressant-like action of nicardipine, verapamil and hemicholinium-3 injected into the anterior hypothalamus in the rat forced swim test. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 36, 795-8 (1990).
- 152. Khadka, A. Interaction of Verapamil, a calcium channel blocker, with Fluvoxamine, Venlafaxine and Tianeptine on their antidepressant activity in mice. *Asian Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, 14 (2015).
- 153. Marigorta, U.M. & Navarro, A. High trans-ethnic replicability of GWAS results implies common causal variants. *PLoS Genet* 9, e1003566 (2013).