**Distributed rhythm generators underlie *Caenorhabditis elegans* forward locomotion**

Anthony D. Fouad[1], Shelly Teng[1], Julian R. Mark[1], Alice Liu[1], Pilar Alvarez-Illera[1], Hongfei Ji[1],

Angelica Du[1], Priya D. Bhirgoo[1], Eli Cornblath[1], Asuka Guan[2], and Christopher Fang-Yen[1,3]

*1. Department of Bioengineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science, University of*

*Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA 19104, USA*

*2. Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X5,*

*Canada*

*3. Department of Neuroscience, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,*

*Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA*

**ABSTRACT**

Coordinated rhythmic movements are ubiquitous in animal behavior. In many organisms, chains

of neural oscillators underlie the generation of these rhythms. In *C. elegans*, locomotor wave

generation has been poorly understood; in particular, it is unclear where in the circuit rhythms

are generated, and whether there exists more than one such generator. We used optogenetic

and ablation experiments to probe the nature of rhythm generation in the locomotor circuit. We

found that multiple sections of forward locomotor circuitry are capable of independently

generating rhythms. By perturbing different components of the motor circuit, we localize the

source of secondary rhythms to cholinergic motor neurons in the midbody. Using rhythmic

optogenetic perturbation we demonstrate bidirectional entrainment of oscillations between

different body regions. These results show that, as in many other vertebrates and invertebrates,

the *C. elegans* motor circuit contains multiple oscillators that coordinate activity to generate

behavior.

## INTRODUCTION

Oscillatory neural activity underlies rhythmic animal behaviors such as feeding and locomotion.

Rhythm generating units are sometimes functional in isolated spinal cord and invertebrate nerve

cord preparations, producing fictive rhythmic motor outputs that resemble *in vivo* patterns

(Marder and Calabrese, 1996; Marder et al., 2005; Kiehn, 2006; Mullins et al., 2011; Grillner and

El Manira, 2015). At the same time, sensory feedback and reflex loops have also been found to

be important for motor rhythm coordination and modulation (Wendler, 1974; Andersson et al.,

1981; Yu and Friesen, 2004; Kristan et al., 2005).

How do cellular pacemakers, network oscillators, and sensory feedback interact to perform

rhythmic motor generation and coordination?  The identification and study of locomotor Central

Pattern Generators (CPGs) in the mammalian spinal cord has been complicated by the

system's complexity and the large numbers of neurons that are potentially involved. As a result,

many components of the mammalian locomotor rhythm generator remain unidentified (Kiehn,

2006; Mullins et al., 2011; Kiehn, 2016).  However, work on vertebrate and invertebrate models,

such as swimming leeches and lampreys, has allowed the basic principles and components of

neural oscillators to be identified (Goulding, 2009; Mullins et al., 2011).

Electrophysiological studies on the leech isolated ventral nerve cord (VNC) have found that

individual ganglia distributed along the body can generate oscillatory patterns that mimic those

of normal swimming (Weeks, 1981; Kristan et al., 2005; Marder et al., 2005). Stretch sensation

and central control couple the oscillatory units in both the ascending and descending directions,

such that the intact animal's entire circuit functions in synchrony during swimming (Mullins et al.,

2011).

In the lamprey, excitatory interneurons proposed to be rhythm generators are also found

throughout the approximately 100 spinal segments, which can generate oscillations when

isolated (Mullins et al., 2011; Kiehn, 2016). The distributed nature of rhythm generation in

swimming models bears some resemblance to that found in hindlimb locomotion in limbed

vertebrates, for which rhythm generating capability is distributed along the caudal spinal cord

(Kiehn, 2006). Moreover, analogues of many of the key neuronal classes underlying these

behaviors in lampreys and zebrafish are also found in the mouse spinal cord (Goulding, 2009;

Kiehn, 2016).

Despite these findings, a clear understanding of how motor systems generate locomotory

oscillations at the network, cellular, and molecular levels remains elusive. In both the leech and

lamprey, identification of the neurons responsible for rhythm generation remains incomplete,

and the mechanism(s) by which these neurons generate swim rhythms are unclear (Kristan et

al., 2005; Mullins et al., 2011). In the lamprey, proposed oscillator neurons have not been

directly shown to generate the swimming rhythm (Kiehn, 2016). Moreover, the paucity of genetic

manipulations available in these organisms makes it difficult to describe molecular mechanisms

that contribute to rhythm generation.

The roundworm *C. elegans* is a promising model for achieving an integrated behavioral, circuit,

and molecular understanding of how locomotion is generated and coordinated.  *C. elegans* has

a compact nervous system containing a few hundred neurons, for which a nearly complete

wiring diagram of synaptic connectivity has been mapped (White et al., 1986; Varshney et al.,

2011). Worms' optical transparency allows researchers to monitor neural activity with genetically

encoded calcium and voltage sensors (Kerr et al., 2000; Kerr, 2006; Flytzanis et al., 2014), and

manipulate neurons and muscles using optogenetics (Nagel et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007;

Leifer et al., 2011; Stirman et al., 2011; Husson et al., 2012; Kocabas et al., 2012; Fang-Yen et

al., 2015; Gao et al., 2015).  *C. elegans* is readily amenable to a powerful set of genetic

manipulations (Ahringer, 2006; Evans, 2006), and shares extensive genetic homology with

humans (Lai et al., 2000). Classical neurotransmitters involved in *C. elegans* locomotion include

acetylcholine (Rand, 2007), GABA (Jorgensen, 2005), glutamate (Brockie and Maricq, 2006),

and the biogenic amines dopamine and serotonin (Chase and Koelle, 2007).

*C. elegans* moves forward by generating sinusoidal dorso-ventral bending waves that propagate

from anterior to posterior. The circuit for locomotion consists of interneurons, excitatory and

inhibitory motor neurons, and body wall muscles (White et al., 1976; Chalfie et al., 1985; White

et al., 1986; Altun and Hall, 2011).  The majority of motor neuron cell bodies are located in the

ventral nerve cord (VNC), which runs along the ventral side of the body from head to tail (White

et al., 1986; Altun and Hall, 2011). The VNC motor neurons include A, B, VC, D, and AS cell

types. Laser ablation studies have shown that the A-type neurons are essential for reverse

locomotion, whereas the B-type are required for forward locomotion (Chalfie et al., 1985). The

D-type (GABAergic) motor neurons are required for a normal amplitude of body bending waves

but are not essential for locomotion itself (McIntire et al., 1993b). The function of the AS neurons

is unknown. The VC neurons are involved in egg laying (Waggoner et al., 1998). These classes

all form neuromuscular junctions with body wall muscles (BWMs).

While the basic architecture of the motor circuitry has been delineated by laser ablation studies,

much less is understood about how its components interact to generate coordinated locomotory

behavior.  Perhaps most notably, it is not known which elements generate the worm's dorso-

ventral oscillations during forward movement, nor how many such rhythm generators may exist.

Worms are capable of limited movement despite ablation of most premotor interneurons

(Chalfie et al., 1985; Wicks and Rankin, 1995; Zheng et al., 1999). When all premotor

interneurons are removed, animals did not generate directional movement, but retained the

ability to generate local body bends (Kawano et al., 2011). However, forward locomotion was

observed after ablation of all premotor interneurons and A motor neurons (Gao et al;

accompanying paper), suggesting that periodic bending during forward locomotion may be

organized at the level of the non-A motor neurons and/or the body wall muscles.

Sensory feedback has been shown to play an important role in coordinating *C. elegans* motor

behavior.  The frequency of *C. elegans* undulation depends continuously on mechanical loading

by its environment (Berri et al., 2009; Fang-Yen et al., 2010), and computational models based

on proprioceptive feedback and coupling have recapitulated key aspects of locomotory behavior

(Boyle et al., 2012; Wen et al., 2012).  Experiments in which the worm's body was partially

immobilized in a microfluidic device showed that the posterior B-type motor neurons mediate

anterior-to-posterior proprioceptive coupling(Wen et al., 2012). B-type motor neurons sense the

body curvature and induce bending in the same direction (ventral or dorsal) posterior to the

sensed bending.

These findings suggested a model for forward locomotion, similar to one proposed earlier

(Karbowski et al., 2008), in which a single rhythm generator generates bending undulations in

the head, and these undulations propagate through the body from anterior to posterior via

proprioceptive coupling (Wen et al., 2012).  This model successfully reproduced the continuous

variation in locomotory characteristics observed in varied mechanical environments (Berri et al.,

2009; Fang-Yen et al., 2010). This work, while demonstrating how a wave can be propagated

along the body, did not directly address the identity of the rhythmic generator(s).  Furthermore, it

focused on coupling in the posterior of the worm and did not determine whether head and neck

proprioception is similarly essential for bending wave propagation.

How might the locomotory circuit be organized? The circuit contains one or more oscillators

(**Figure 1**). A model including a single oscillator with proprioceptive coupling (**Figure 1C**)

predicts that a disruption in body bending at any location will inhibit posterior bending (**Figure**

**1E**).  Alternative possibilities (Gjorgjieva et al., 2014; Zhen and Samuel, 2015) include the

presence of multiple oscillators distributed along the motor circuit (**Figure 1D**), as in the

vertebrate spinal cord (Kiehn, 2006; Mullins et al., 2011; Kiehn, 2016) and in the VNC of some

invertebrates (Kristan et al., 2005). These oscillatory units could be capable of generating

undulations in the posterior of the worm even if anterior neural activity or physical bending is

interrupted (**Figure 1F**).

In this work, we used spatiotemporally targeted optogenetic illumination (Leifer et al., 2011;

Stirman et al., 2011) and lesion studies to show that the mid-body VNC motor circuit contains

multiple units capable of independent oscillation. We found a fundamental architecture in the *C.*

*elegans* motor circuit similar to that previously described in other vertebrate and invertebrate

models.

**RESULTS**

**Rhythmic posterior undulation persists despite anterior paralysis**

We first sought to test a model in which there is a single oscillator in the head and

proprioceptive feedback is the dominant organizer of bending waves along most of the body

(**Figure 1C**). This model, supported by experiments showing that immobilization of the mid-body

of worms induced the posterior to adopt the same direction of curvature as the immobilized

region (Wen et al., 2012), predicts that paralysis of any region will eliminate undulations

posterior to the paralyzed region (**Figure 1E)**. In particular, we asked whether paralysis of the

head and "neck" (a region immediately posterior to the head) would halt body bending posterior

to these regions.

To manipulate neural and muscular activity in freely moving worms, we constructed an

optogenetic targeting system similar to that previously described (Leifer et al., 2011). Briefly, this

system uses real-time imaging processing and a digital micromirror device to project laser

illumination onto arbitrarily specified regions of an unrestrained worm.

To examine the effect of inhibiting anterior muscles, we first used this system to project 532 nm

illumination onto worms expressing the inhibitory opsin halorhodopsin (NpHR/Halo) in all body

wall muscles under the control of the *myo-3* promoter (Zhang et al., 2007; Leifer et al., 2011).

We quantified the movement of worms before and during optogenetic manipulation by

measuring the curvature of the worm over time (**Figure 1 A, B**) (Pierce-Shimomura et al., 2008;

Fang-Yen et al., 2010; Leifer et al., 2011; Wen et al., 2012).  We specify longitudinal positions

via a body coordinate ranging from 0 at the tip of the head to 100 at the end of the tail.

Illuminating body coordinates 50-65 in P*myo-3::NpHR* worms caused substantial paralysis in

the tail (not shown), consistent with previous findings (Leifer et al., 2011; Wen et al., 2012).

When we paralyzed the anterior 33% or 45% of the worm, however, we observed robust

oscillations in posterior regions of the body. In addition, we found to our surprise that

illumination of the anterior 33% of the body caused the tail's undulation frequency to increase

(**Figure 2A, D; Video 1**).

Next, we asked whether oscillations in the posterior would persist under optogenetic inhibition of

excitatory motor neurons instead of inhibition of muscles.  We illuminated worms expressing

NpHR in all cholinergic neurons (P*unc-17::NpHR*), including the A-type and B-type motor

neurons, head motor neurons, and several other neuronal cell types (Duerr et al., 2008).  We

found that while optogenetic inhibition of cholinergic neurons in the head and neck caused

anterior paralysis, tail undulation often persisted (**Figure 2B, D, Video 1**).

During optogenetic muscle or neuron inactivation, the amplitude of the bending wave in the

head decreased greatly but did not vanish (**Figure 2A, B, E**), leaving open the possibility that a

residual small amplitude wave allows propagation of the bending wave through the partially

paralyzed region. We therefore sought means of paralyzing the head more effectively.

We hypothesized that regional paralysis could be induced by lesioning the anterior BWMs

instead of hyperpolarizing them. To selectively lesion muscles, we used region-targeted

illumination at 470 nm of P*myo-3::PH::miniSOG* worms in which the photosensitizing protein

miniSOG is expressed in body wall muscles (Xu and Chisholm, 2016). The anterior portion of

most treated animals was nearly immobile (**Figure 2C, Video 1**, especially the last 8 seconds).

Nevertheless, undulation posterior to the region of illumination was routinely observed in these

animals.

We also conducted thermal lesioning experiments in which touched the anterior half of the worm

with a hot platinum wire attached to a soldering iron. After this treatment, the animal's head and

neck were again nearly motionless, yet rhythmic undulation routinely persisted in the tail (**Figure**

**2 - Figure Supplement 1, Video 1**).

Our finding that posterior undulation can persist despite anterior paralysis is consistent with a

multi-oscillator model (**Figure 1D**) and not with a single oscillator model that relies on reflex-like

signaling for wave propagation (**Figure 1C**).

**The head and tail are capable of simultaneous oscillations at different frequencies**

The finding that optogenetic inhibition of anterior muscles induces higher frequency oscillations

in the tail suggests that an interruption of propagating activity in the motor circuit enables

independent activity in a posterior oscillator.  To test this idea further, we applied several

optogenetic manipulations to inhibit motor coupling in the neck only, leaving the head and tail

free to oscillate.

First, we optogenetically inhibited neck muscles in P*myo-3::NpHR* worms. In most trials,

optogenetically inhibiting neck muscles prevented waves generated in the head from

propagating through the neck. During the interruption of these waves the tail exhibited bending

undulations at a higher frequency than that of the head, resulting in the animal simultaneously

undulating at two distinct frequencies (**Figure 3A, Video 2**). We henceforth refer to this

behavior, whether or not induced by any manipulation, as two-frequency undulation (2FU).

We observed 2FU upon inhibiting all neck cholinergic neurons (**Figure 3B, Video 2**) and also

upon inhibiting neck B-type motor neurons (P*acr-5::Arch*; **Figure 3C, Video 2**). These

manipulations did lead to a large decrease in wave amplitude in the neck and a smaller

decrease in wave amplitude in the tail (**Figure 3F**). Nevertheless, multiple animals in each

experiment showed 2FU, with the highest ratios of tail frequency to head frequency seen in

worms in which the neck muscles were inhibited (**Figure 3E**).

In some experiments, the optogenetic manipulation of motor neurons or muscles did not

completely block wave transmission through the paralyzed region. In these trials, some tail

waves appeared synchronized with head waves, whereas others did not (**Figure 3C**). To test

whether 2FU can occur after stronger disruption of motor coupling, we lesioned mid-body

muscles in P*myo-3::PH::miniSOG* worms. This manipulation indeed led to stronger decoupling

between head and tail oscillations, but did still not prevent 2FU (**Figure 3D, Video 2**).

If the posterior motor circuit of B and AS type neurons contains additional oscillating units, we

reasoned that localized undulations might occur after selectively activating small portions of the

motor circuit while inhibiting the rest. We therefore examined worms in which both the inhibitory

opsin NpHR and the excitatory opsin Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) were expressed in the

cholinergic neurons, after the A-type motor neurons were ablated by P*unc-4::miniSOG*.

We first illuminated these animals with 590 nm wavelength (yellow) light throughout the body to

inhibit all cholinergic neurons.  While maintaining this yellow illumination, we targeted small

portions of the tail with 473 nm wavelength (blue) light, activating ChR2 and stimulating a few

posterior B and AS neurons. Under these conditions, several animals generated high frequency

localized undulations in the tail (**Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1A, Video 3**). These findings

further support the presence of additional oscillator(s) in this region.

If multiple independent oscillators underlie a worm's forward movement under physiological

conditions, we reasoned that independent head and tail oscillations might also be observable in

animals without induced lesions or optogenetic perturbations.

Wave frequency depends strongly on the degree of mechanical loading from the environment,

for example decreasing with viscosity of the fluid medium (Berri et al., 2009; Fang-Yen et al.,

2010).  We hypothesized that head and tail oscillations might be decoupled by placing the

anterior and posterior of a worm in fluids of different viscosities.  When we studied worms

transitioning between regions of a low viscosity buffer into highly viscoelastic

hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC) islands (see Methods), we observed 2FU in 6 of 41

worms (15%). In these animals, the tail continued oscillating at a high frequency for at least two

full cycles even as the head frequency was sharply reduced (**Figure 3 - Figure Supplement**

**1B, Video 3**).  Although these events were uncommon, they demonstrated that 2FU can occur

in *C. elegans* with no internal perturbations.

Taken together, these results strongly suggest that the *C. elegans* forward motor circuit contains

at least two units capable of independent rhythm generation, and that a partial breakdown in

anterior proprioceptive coupling (for example by inhibiting neck BWMs) is sufficient to reveal the

presence of the posterior oscillating unit(s).

**Premotor interneurons are not essential for rudimentary forward movement or 2FU**

To better understand the source of tail oscillations during 2FU, we used genetic analysis and

lesion studies to ask which components of the motor circuit are required for this behavior.

Almost all chemical or electrical synaptic connections to the VNC motor neurons are made by

the premotor interneuron (IN) classes AVB, PVC, AVA, AVD, and AVE (White et al., 1986).

Laser ablation studies have indicated that AVB, and to a lesser degree PVC, are essential for

normal forward locomotion (Chalfie et al., 1985), although rudimentary forward crawling is

possible in their absence (Gao et al., 2017). Therefore, we asked whether 2FU is possible in the

absence of AVB, PVC, and all other premotor INs.

To determine if the premotor interneurons are required for 2FU, we first asked whether

optogenetic muscle inhibition in the neck in worms lacking premotor interneurons would induce

2FU (**Figure 3A, E**). We used transgenic strains in which expression of the apoptosis-promoting

interleukin-converting enzyme (ICE) was used to ablate premotor INs and some other neurons

(Zheng et al., 1999). When ICE is expressed under the control of the *nmr-1* or *glr-1* promoters,

the PVC, AVA, AVD, and AVE interneurons are removed. AVB, however, are present in both

P*nmr-1::ICE* (Kawano et al., 2011), and P*glr-1::ICE* worms (Kawano, Po, and Zhen, personal

communication). We generated the strains P*myo-3::NpHR*; P*glr-1::ICE* and P*myo-3::NpHR*;

P*nmr-1::ICE*.  We found that both strains were capable of 2FU during optogenetic inhibition of

neck muscles (**Figure 4A, E, Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1A, E**).  This result demonstrates

that 2FU does not require most premotor interneurons, including the forward locomotory

interneurons neurons PVC.

The interneuron AVB is coupled to the B-type motor neurons by an extensive network of gap

junctions. Formation of these connections, as well as electrical coupling between the premotor

interneurons and the motor neurons of the circuit for reverse locomotion, requires UNC-7

expression in AVB and UNC-9 expression in the B-type motor neurons (Starich et al., 2009;

Kawano et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2017). UNC-9 also participates in electrical coupling between

BWM cells (Liu et al., 2006). We asked whether animals lacking UNC-7 or UNC-9 could exhibit

2FU. We crossed *unc-7* and *unc-9* mutations into our P*myo-3::NpHR* strain and performed

optogenetic experiments as before.  The *unc-7* and *unc-9* worms are uncoordinated and exhibit

significantly reduced spontaneous forward locomotion (Starich et al., 2009; Kawano et al.,

2011). To initiate a short bout of forward locomotion, we used a cell phone motor to apply a

mechanical stimulus in the form of a 3-5 s, ≈200 Hz vibration of the slide just before illumination.

We found that both strains were capable of 2FU, although it appeared to occur less often than in

PVC-ablated animals, and sometimes occurred prior to neck muscle inhibition (**Figure 4B, E;**

**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1E; Figure 4 Figure Supplement 5A,B**). This finding shows that

the UNC-7/UNC-9 gap junctions, including those between AVB and the B-type motor neurons,

are not required for 2FU.

Finally, we ablated AVB, labeled by P*sra-11::D3cpv* (Kawano et al., 2011), using a pulsed

infrared laser ablation system (Churgin et al., 2013) that we modified to intentionally lesion

tissue (see Methods).  This procedure generally removed both AVB cell bodies and their

associated processes, and possibly other head neurons, but not PVC. When subjected to the

same experiment as described above, 2FU events were nearly diminished. Very rarely we

observed uncoupled undulation events that were not correlated with neck muscle inhibition

**(Figure 4 Figure Supplements 1B, 1E, 2, 5C)**. Taken together, these results suggest that

individual classes of premotor interneurons, including AVB and PVC, are not essential for 2FU.

However, AVB may play a key role in activating the rhythm generator(s) to allow oscillation.

**Several classes of motor neurons are not required for forward locomotion or 2FU**

The premotor interneurons comprise the primary circuit connection between the VNC motor neurons and the worm's other sensory and interneuronal circuits (White et al., 1986). The finding that premotor interneurons are not necessary for forward locomotion and 2FU suggests that high frequency tail undulations during 2FU may originate from the motor neurons themselves. We asked, in the presence of all premotor interneurons, whether any classes of motor neurons are required for 2FU.

We first examined the A-type motor neurons. While the A class motor neurons are preferentially active during reverse locomotion (Haspel et al., 2010; Kawano et al., 2011), and are required for reverse locomotion (Chalfie et al., 1985), it is conceivable that they play a role in 2FU. We found that ablating the A- and VC-type motor neurons with a genetically targeted ROS generator (P*unc-4::miniSOG*) did not prevent 2FU induced by neck paralysis during forward locomotion (**Figure 4 - Figure Supplement 1C, E**).  This result supports the idea that the A and VC motor neurons are not necessary for the posterior forward oscillator(s).

Next, we examined whether the GABAergic D-type motor neurons are required for 2FU. The D-type motor neurons release GABA onto the UNC-49 receptor to trigger contralateral muscle inhibition during a bend. Therefore, the putative null allele *unc-49(e407)* (Bamber et al., 1999; Liewald et al., 2008), should effectively block the functional output of D-type motor neurons. Indeed, *unc-49* mutants exhibited simultaneous dorsal and ventral contractions when stimulated for forward and reversal movement, as did animals in which D motor neurons were ablated (McIntire et al., 1993a). We found that animals harboring an *unc-49(e407)* mutation, while very slow swimmers, were nonetheless capable of 2FU during neck muscle paralysis (**Figure 4D, E**). In one case we observed 2FU before inhibition of neck muscles (**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 5D**).

The B-type motor neurons are required for forward locomotion (Chalfie et al., 1985; Wen et al.,

2012), and are rhythmically active during forward locomotion (Haspel et al., 2010; Kawano et

al., 2011; Wen et al., 2012). We sought to determine whether any individual or small group of

these neurons is essential for 2FU. We ablated groups of 2-6 B-type motor neurons at a time

using our pulsed infrared laser system. 2FU occurred at least rarely in each condition (**Figure**

**4C, F; Figure 4 Figure Supplements 3, 4**), suggesting that no specific B cell body is essential

for 2FU. In nearly all cases, ablation of a DB motor neuron resulted in the disappearance of its

commissural process, but we could not determine whether ablated VB neuronal processes were

similarly removed. Therefore, we cannot completely exclude the possibility that a specific

neuronal process can generate rhythms for 2FU in the absence of its associated cell body.

Taken together, these results suggest that in the presence of premotor interneurons, the B

and/or AS class motor neurons contribute to neck-paralysis induced 2FU, but no single member

is essential for generating high frequency tail rhythms during 2FU. The A, VC and D motor

neurons are not required for 2FU. Our results are consistent with a model in which posterior

rhythm generation can arise from multiple subsets of B or AS motor neurons.

**B-type motor neurons, as a class, are essential for 2FU**

We next asked whether the B motor neurons as a class are required for 2FU. We first

considered *vab-7* mutants, in which the DB motor neurons have aberrantly reversed processes.

These worms have disrupted wave propagation in the tail, which coils towards the ventral side

(Wen et al., 2012). We found that *vab-7* mutants had mildly or strongly paralyzed tails and were

incapable of 2FU when neck muscles were inhibited, suggesting that *vab-7* is essential for 2FU

**(Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1D, E)**.

The inability of *vab-7* worms to generate the high frequency tail oscillation is consistent with the

notion that broad disruption of the B motor neurons prevents 2FU. However, the behavioral

deficit could also result from other effects of the mutation.

To ascertain whether the B motor neurons are required for 2FU, we performed broad ablations

of the B motor neurons. We studied worms expressing P*unc-17::PH::miniSOG* (Xu and

Chisholm, 2016). In our integrated lines, we found that illumination of P*unc-17::PH::miniSOG*

worms preferentially eliminated the DA and DB over the VA and VB motor neurons (see

Methods). Worms in which most DB motor neurons were eliminated were dramatically less likely

to show 2FU than mock controls, but were not incapable of doing so (**Figure 5, Video 4**; N=9

out of 104 trials from 25 worms by blinded, randomized scoring). We performed the converse

experiment – elimination of most VB motor neurons using our infrared laser system— and found

the incidence of 2FU was again sharply reduced but not eliminated (**Figure 5, Video 4, Figure**

**5 Figure Supplement 1**). When we combined miniSOG and laser ablation to remove all DB and

most VB motor neurons, animals were incapable of 2FU (**Figure 5**; 0 out of 102 trials from 27

worms by blinded, randomized scoring).

Taken together, these results suggest that the B motor neurons as a class are essential for

independent tail undulation during forward movement. Our results are consistent with the

hypothesis that the B motor neurons have a role in generating the high frequency locomotory

rhythm observed in 2FU.

**Multiple lesion-separated VNC segments are capable of independent rhythm generation**

The observation that 2FU could persist despite disruptions to many components of the mid-body

motor circuitry could also be explained by the hypothesis that additional rhythm generators

located in the head are responsible for the observed high frequency tail undulations. Although

the premotor INs account for the majority of synaptic inputs to the VNC motor neurons, there

are additional connections between head neurons and the anterior VNC motor neurons that

bypass the premotor INs (White et al., 1986).

To ascertain whether the mid-body motor circuit is capable of independent rhythm generation,

we developed a method for eliminating synaptic connections between the mid-body motor

neurons and the head circuits. We used our infrared laser system to sever both the VNC and

the dorsal nerve cord (DNC) just posterior to the pharynx. In many cases, this procedure also

severed other fasciculated process bundles that run parallel to the VNC and DNC (**Figure 6A**).

Several hours after disruption of the nerve cords, most animals were inactive (data not shown),

but active forward locomotion was induced by application of a mechanical stimulus. Most of

these worms could generate robust oscillations posterior to the cut location (**Figure 6A, E,**

**Video 5**). Moreover, the tail often undulated at a higher frequency than the mid-body (**Figure**

**6E**). In these worms, oscillations in the head were highly disrupted. In some cases, low

amplitude waves propagating in the posterior-to-anterior direction occurred simultaneously with

robust mid-body and tail waves propagating in the anterior-to-posterior direction (**Figure 6A,**

**Video 5**), suggesting a deficit in coordination between circuits on either side of the lesion. These

results suggest that synaptic connections from the head circuits to the motor neurons may not

be essential for wave generation posterior to the head.

We considered the possibility that under these conditions, mid-body undulations were being

caused by small movements in the head rather than generated by a second oscillator. To

minimize the small movements of the head, we introduced an additional manipulation to reduce

head movement. Using our infrared laser, we applied thermal damage to the worm's nerve ring

(located in the head) in addition to cutting both nerve cords. Animals treated with these three

lesions are henceforth referred to as "VNC-lesioned" worms. These worms exhibited very little

movement in the head. However, they continued to generate robust oscillations in the mid-body

and even higher frequency oscillations in the tail (**Figure 6B, E, Video 5**). The pattern of

locomotion in VNC lesioned animals strongly resembled the 2FU induced by optogenetic

perturbation, with the important difference that in our lesioned preparation, both frequencies

were likely generated *outside* the head.

The emergence of multiple frequencies of undulation outside the head suggests that the VNC

motor circuit itself may contain multiple units capable of independent oscillation. These units

may exist in addition to any oscillating unit(s) in the head. To test this possibility directly, we cut

the VNC and DNC in two locations: in the neck (anterior to VB3) and in the tail (posterior to

VB8). We again thermally lesioned the head neurons to suppress head movement. Under these

conditions, the VNC motor neurons between VB3 and VB8 are isolated from circuitry in both the

head and tail, and the VNC motor neurons posterior to VB8 are isolated from both the head

circuits and the anterior VNC motor neurons. As before, these animals could generate robust

body oscillations posterior to the first cut and higher frequency oscillations posterior to the

second cut (**Figure 6C, E, Video 5**), suggesting that rhythms can arise independently from each

of these portions of the VNC motor neurons.  It should be noted that in all of our VNC lesion

studies, the processes of premotor interneurons AVA, AVB, and PVC likely remained present in

the VNC.

**B class motor neurons are necessary for rhythmic wave generation in VNC-lesioned**

**worms**

We next asked which motor neuron groups contributed to rhythm generation in worms in which

the VNC and DNC were severed. The A motor neurons are not necessary for 2FU induced by

neck paralysis (Figure 4 - Figure Supplement 1C).  We hypothesized that they are similarly not

required for body oscillation in VNC-isolated worms. We severed the VNC and DNC in either

one or two locations after ablating the A motor neurons with P*unc-4::miniSOG*. Animals in which

the VNC and DNC had been severed near the head were capable of robust wave generation

and propagation posterior to the head (Figure 6 - Figure Supplement 1B). Animals in which the

VNC and DNC were severed in two locations were capable of rhythmic activity in the mid-body

or tail, although we did not observe any cases of simultaneous oscillation in each segment

(N=20 worms, Figure 6 - Figure Supplement 1C). These results support the idea that the A

motor neurons are not required for generation of rhythmic forward waves in surgically isolated

segments of the VNC motor circuit.

The B motor neurons were required for neck-paralysis-induced 2FU in intact animals (Figure 5).

We hypothesized that they are also required for forward undulatory rhythms in VNC-lesioned

worms. To test this idea, we used VNC-lesioned worms in which the DA and DB motor neurons

were ablated by miniSOG and the VB motor neurons were ablated by an infrared laser as

before.  Three to five hours after nerve cord surgery, worms in either B-ablation condition, like

mock controls, were highly inactive. Application of a mechanical stimulus caused tight coiling,

especially but not exclusively in the DB-only ablation condition (**Figure 6 Figure Supplement**

**2C, Video 6)**. Despite this coiling, we observed at least one case of a VNC-lesioned, DB-

ablated worm appearing to move the very tip of its tail, possibly in a rhythmic fashion,

suggesting that DB neurons may not be required for rudimentary oscillation in at least the most

posterior portion of the tail. However, in VNC-isolated worms for which most DB and VB

neurons were ablated, we never observed rhythmic movements (**Figure 6 Figure Supplement**

**2D-F**; N=19 mechanical stimulus trials from 9 worms). These results suggest that, as was the

case for optogenetic 2FU, the B motor neurons are required for rhythm generation in VNC-

lesioned worms.

The observation that anterior VNC/DNC cuts disrupt normal head undulation (**Figure 6A**)

suggests that rhythm generation by the head circuit may require inputs from the VNC. To

address this possibility, we studied additional worms in which the VNC/DNC were cut in 2

locations slightly more posterior to the head to reduce the likelihood of damage to the head

motor neurons, but which were not subject to thermal damage to the head. As in our earlier

experiment (Figure 6A), head movement was severely disrupted (not shown). However, we

occasionally observed very slow head undulation in these animals, indicating that head

undulation is still possible under these conditions (**Figure 6D**, **Video 5**).

One explanation for the low frequency of head undulations is that damage to the SMB or SMD

neurons in the parallel tracts (**Figure 6A**) hampered head movement. Another possibility is that

input from the posterior motor circuit is essential for the normal frequency of head undulation.

The first hypothesis may be supported by our earlier observation that strong decoupling of head

and tail oscillations by muscle ablation (i.e. *without* lesioning the nerve cord or parallel tracts)

resulted in similarly slow head oscillations (**Figure 3D**), while weak decoupling resulted in

moderately slower head oscillations (**Figure 3A**). The possibility of posterior-to-anterior

communication is discussed in detail in later sections.

These results suggest that the *C. elegans* forward locomotor circuitry possesses at least three

units capable of independent oscillation, with two units located outside the head. Although we

did not observe simultaneous 3-frequency forward undulation in any animal tested, we have

shown that oscillations are possible in each segment when isolated from the others.

**Undulations can arise in arbitrary portions of the VNC motor circuit**

We next sought to identify the smallest portion of the VNC motor circuit that is capable of

generating rhythmic behaviors, and whether any differences exist between the rhythmic

properties of segments of various sizes. To address these questions, we again damaged the

head (as in Figure 6B), then systematically varied the location of VNC/DNC lesions relative to

the B motor neurons.

When we severed the VNC and DNC at any one of a number of different locations (anterior to

VB3, VB5, VB6, VB7, VB9, VB10, and VB11), we found that undulations posterior to the

damage arose near each cut location (**Figure 7B-E**). Bending amplitude similarly recovered just

posterior to each cut location, rather than at a fixed body coordinate (**Figure 7F**). Oscillations in

the tail usually had a higher frequency when the nerve cords were severed in the tail than when

they were severed near the head (**Figure 7G**). We did not observe any bouts of locomotion with

anterior-to-posterior waves posterior to the lesions when we severed the nerve cords anterior to

VB11 (not shown), and bouts detected after lesioning at VB10 had waves of very low amplitude

(**Figure 7F**), without clear rhythmicity (not shown). The smallest VNC segment that produced

clear and robust rhythmic waves was the region between VB9 and the tail. These results

suggest that the most compact rhythm-generating unit of the forward motor circuit is at least as

small as the region containing VB9, VB10, VB11, DB6, and DB7.

**Rhythmic motor entrainment is possible in both the anteriorward and posteriorward**

**directions**

Although we have shown that the *C. elegans* forward motor circuitry contains multiple rhythm

generating units, it remains unclear exactly how these oscillators are coupled together, or even

if they are all active during normal locomotion. Previous work demonstrated proprioceptive

coupling in the posterior direction (Wen et al., 2012), and we showed that a disruption to

proprioceptive coupling, via optogenetic inhibition of neck muscles, could decouple body

undulations from head movements (**Figures 3A, 4**). One surprising feature of our results was

that paralyzing the neck muscles appeared to decrease the head frequency. We found that

during 2FU, head frequency decreases relative to the unperturbed swimming frequency (**Figure**

**8A**). Slowing was often even more dramatic when decoupling was stronger (**Figures 3D, 6D**).

These observations suggest that anteriorward coupling, in addition to posteriorward coupling,

may be present in the forward locomotor circuitry.

To test for anteriorward coupling between motor circuit elements, we asked whether an

oscillating optogenetic perturbation in the mid-body can entrain the head to a new frequency.

We used our optogenetic targeting system to rhythmically inhibit the mid-body BWMs (**Figure**

**8B**). Worms subject to this procedure exhibit a head bending frequency approximately one half

that of the imposed frequency.  The factor of one half is likely due to the presence of two phases

during the rhythmic locomotory cycle of any single part of the body during which the curvature is

close to zero (i.e. muscles are relaxed).  In some cases, small head bends corresponding to

individual mid-body pulses were evident as well (**Figure 8C(i), Video 7**).

We found the head frequency could be entrained to a range of imposed mid-body frequencies.

Subjecting body coordinates 33-66 to pulsed illumination at frequencies from approximately 1 to

2 Hz caused an increase in the power spectrum of the worm's oscillations at frequencies

corresponding to half of the imposed frequency, and a decrease at other frequencies. Pulsing at

frequencies below 1 Hz generally led to head oscillations near the imposed frequency (**Figure**

**8C(ii)**).  These results show that a mid-body rhythmic signal can entrain head bending, and

point to the presence of an anteriorward coupling mechanism within the motor circuit.

We asked whether the anteriorward coupling occurs via the VNC cholinergic neurons, which are

electrically coupled to each other, the muscle-to-muscle gap junctions, or through another

mechanism. We applied a rhythmic optogenetic inhibition pattern to the midbody cholinergic

neurons in P*unc-17::NpHR* worms. Once again, worms subject to this procedure quickly adjust

their head bending frequency to match one-half of the imposed frequency (**Figure 8D(i), Video**

**7**). Moreover, rhythmic illumination of the tail cholinergic neurons at 2 Hz similarly increased the

magnitude of head bending at 1 Hz (**Figure 8D(ii)**). Selective rhythmic hyperpolarization of the

mid-body B motor neurons also sufficed to increase the magnitude of head bending at one-half

of the stimulus frequency (**Figure 8 - Figure Supplement 1A**), as did rhythmic

hyperpolarization of the BWMs when muscle-to-muscle gap junctions were disrupted in only in

the BWM by a mutation in the innexin *unc-9* that was rescued in neurons but not muscles (Wen

et al., 2012) (**Figure 8 - Figure Supplement 1B**). These observations suggest that the posterior

to anterior coupling is mediated neuronally, possibly by the VNC motor neurons.

**DISCUSSION**

In zebrafish and lampreys, rhythmogenic capability for swimming undulations is distributed

along the rostro-caudal axis of the spinal cord (Kiehn, 2006; Mullins et al., 2011). When isolated

from the rest of the cord, groups of lamprey spinal segments do not exhibit identical preferred

frequencies (Cohen, 1987). In the swimming intact animal, oscillations in all segments are

phase and frequency-locked by intersegmental coupling that spans broad swaths of the spine

(Mullins et al., 2011).

The motor system of the leech, an invertebrate, also shows a distributed rhythm generating

architecture. Individual ganglia of the leech VNC can generate crude bursting patterns that

resemble their firing patterns during swimming. The most robustly oscillating ganglia are

towards the middle of the leech's body, and isolated midbody ganglia also have a higher

frequency than isolated ganglia near either the head or tail. In the intact animal, extensive,

bidirectional intersegmental coupling drives the system to adopt a single locomotor frequency

(Pearce and Friesen, 1985; Kristan et al., 2005).

Our results reveal a picture of forward locomotor control in *C. elegans* similar to that found in

lamprey and leech.  We found that rhythmogenic capability in the worm is distributed along the

VNC motor circuit. As in other swimming models, the rhythm-generating capability of posterior

circuits is only detectable when coupling is disrupted. The rhythm-generating capability of

posterior circuits was demonstrated in several ways: optogenetic inhibition of anterior neurons,

optogenetic inhibition of anterior muscles, an inhomogeneous mechanical environment, or a

lesion to the nerve cords. The incomplete nature of our optogenetic decoupling method yielded

evidence that an anterior rhythm can entrain the higher-frequency posterior rhythms. For

example, we found that during 2FU, some but not all waves in the tail were continuous with

waves in the head (**Figure 3B, C**). Even in these cases, the difference in locomotory frequency

between the two body regions is inconsistent with single oscillator models.

We found that neither the head nor tail frequency during 2FU matched the natural (unperturbed)

frequency of locomotion. Instead, the normal locomotory frequency in the environment of the

assay was generally intermediate between the head and tail frequencies exhibited during 2FU.

This finding shows another key similarity with models of swimming in other vertebrates and

invertebrates: during locomotion, multiple rhythm generating units, each with different rhythmic

properties, are combined by strong inter-unit coupling to form one functional unit (Friesen and

Hocker, 2001; Kristan et al., 2005; Kiehn, 2006; Mullins et al., 2011). Such a whole-body

oscillating unit will generally have rhythmic properties different from that of any subunit in

isolation.  Indeed, modeling studies of the leech swim CPG have suggested that the overall

fictive locomotor frequency of a 17-ganglion portion of the VNC lies within the range of

frequencies of the individual ganglia (Zheng et al., 2007). The gradient in intrinsic frequencies

appears to set the wavelength during fictive swimming. The variation in rhythmic properties

between different parts of the body may play a similar role in *C. elegans.*

During forward locomotion, the *C. elegans* motor circuit is coupled by posteriorward

proprioception (Wen et al., 2012), and additional anteriorward and posteriorward coupling

mechanisms (**Figure 8**). This bidirectional coupling appears to allow the entire circuit to operate

as one unit. This picture is similar to descriptions of leech and lamprey motor circuits. In the

leech, oscillatory interneurons that comprise the swim CPG send axons along the anterior-

posterior axis of the animal, and mediate bidirectional coupling between midbody ganglia

(Friesen and Hocker, 2001; Kristan et al., 2005). The coupling between ganglia appears to

result from a mixture of proprioceptive feedback and central control (Yu et al., 1999; Kristan et

al., 2005). Injection of sinusoidally varying current into the leech stretch receptor cells was

sufficient to entrain swimming activity (Yu and Friesen, 2004) at the injection frequency.

Imposed rhythmic movements are also capable of entraining fictive swimming in isolated

preparations of the lamprey spinal cord (Grillner et al., 1981; McClellan and Sigvardt, 1988) and

several other vertebrate and invertebrate systems (Wendler, 1974; Andersson et al., 1981;

Robertson and Pearson, 1983).

Our results include several lines of evidence implicating the cholinergic B and AS motor neurons

as a likely source of posterior rhythmogenesis during *C. elegans* forward locomotion. First,

disruption or removal of most or all B motor neurons virtually abolished independent tail

undulations induced either optogenetically or by severing the nerve cords, but elimination of

other motor neurons and premotor interneurons did not eliminate the ability of the posterior to

oscillate independently (**Figures 4, 5, and 6**). Second, stimulation of select B and AS neurons

after paralyzing most of the body led to local, high frequency oscillations in the tail that

mimicked 2FU (**Figure 3 Figure Supplement 1**). Third, imposing a rhythmic signal on mid-body

B motor neurons sufficed to entrain whole-body locomotor frequency, as did imposing rhythms

on the broader class of cholinergic neurons and the body wall muscles; changes in body

posture are sensed by the B motor neurons (Wen et al., 2012), providing a likely explanation for

how this manipulation was able to entrain locomotion. The latter argument is somewhat

comparable to one of the key criteria used to classify leech interneurons as part of the swim

CPG; that injection of a pulse of current resets the phase of the locomotor rhythm (Mullins et al.,

2011). In our experiments, the swimming frequency, and thus phase, was reset almost

immediately after the first inhibitory stimulus, suggesting that the B motor neurons share the

phase shifting property with oscillatory interneurons in the leech. Lastly, the B motor neurons

are rhythmically active in phase with locomotion (Kawano et al., 2011; Wen et al., 2012),

satisfying another key criterion set for candidate oscillator neurons in the leech (Mullins et al.,

2011).

Descriptions of swimming CPGs in vertebrates have tended to exclude motor neurons as

members of the CPG, with most oscillatory function attributed to interneurons (Kiehn, 2016).

Leech excitatory motor neurons have not been shown to be members of the swim CPG, as

injection of a pulse of current fails to reset the cycle phase (Kristan et al., 2005). However, leech

inhibitory motor neurons are ascribed a role in swimming rhythm generation using the phase-

resetting criterion (Mullins et al., 2011), motor neurons comprise the crab stomatogastric CPG

(Marder and Bucher, 2007), and recent work has shown that motor neurons are key

components of several locomotory pattern generators. In leech crawling, which consists of cyclic

elongation and contraction phases, current pulses to the CV elongation motor neurons do

indeed reset the phase of fictive crawling. However, the CV neurons were not concluded to be

necessary components of the CPG because tonic hyperpolarization failed to abolish the

crawling rhythm. Anatomical removal, arguably the more relevant test of necessity, was not

reported (Rotstein et al., 2017). In zebrafish, motor neurons for swimming are bidirectionally

coupled to locomotion-driving interneurons by gap junctions, and influence their recruitment,

synaptic transmission, and firing frequency during locomotion (Song et al., 2016). Hence, there

is a growing recognition that motor neurons are not limited to conveying oscillatory signals from

interneurons, but may themselves participate in rhythm generation.

One difference between our results and previous findings in leeches is in the effect of severing

the VNC. When we severed the VNC and DNC of *C. elegans*, we found that independent,

generally higher frequency undulations occurred posterior to the severed region (**Figures 6 and**

**7**). Disruption of the leech VNC, by contrast, was not sufficient to prevent wave propagation

from head to tail (Yu et al., 1999), suggesting that proprioceptive information suffices to

propagate the wave. However, severing the VNC intersegmental coordinating neurons in *in vitro*

preparations induced uncoordinated fictive swim oscillations at different frequencies occurring

on either side of the cut (Weeks, 1981). This difference in results may arise due to differences

between our thermal ablation method in *C. elegans* and physical severing of the leech VNC, or

the relative span of proprioceptive signals in each system.

Our laser lesioning of the VNC likely did not remove processes of premotor interneurons, nor

did it prevent nonsynaptic neurotransmission, for example through neuropeptides, from

potentially regulating rhythm generation across the lesion. These possibilities may account for

the apparent difference in posterior rhythmogenic capability between worms in which AVB had

been ablated versus severed. When the ventral nerve cord was isolated from the head ganglia,

including the soma of AVB, rhythmic tail undulation was reliably evoked by a mechanical

stimulus (**Figures 6 and 7**).  However, independent tail undulations were observed only rarely

after ablating AVB, even when the mechanical stimulus was applied (**Figure 4 Figure**

**Supplement 1**). The AVB process that likely remain in the severed VNC segments may

continue to provide excitation to the B motor neurons to promote rhythm generation. The other

apparent discrepancy, between disrupting AVB-B gap junctions by *unc-7* or *unc-9* genetic

mutations and ablating AVB, may be a consequence of other effects of the mutation, including

changes in other connections between the premotor interneurons and the B motor neurons

(Starich et al., 2009), that may compensate for the loss of AVB:B gap junctions to activate the

forward circuit. In any case, the observation that tail undulation was observed at least rarely in

all three conditions is inconsistent with the notion that AVB is required for rhythm generation in

the VNC motor circuit.

Taken together, our results point to a new working model of *C. elegans* forward locomotion

(**Figure 9)**. Three oscillator units are depicted: an unknown head CPG, the VNC motor neurons

between VB3 and AS7, and the VNC motor neurons between VB9 and AS11. The two VNC

units are justified by our data from worms in which the VNC and DNC were cut in multiple

locations (**Figure 6C**). We include AS in the model because it is the only class of VNC motor

neurons that we have not directly investigated in our 2FU assay, and could be important for

rhythm generation in the tail. These are not the only circuit units capable of generating

oscillations; when we severed the VNC and DNC at arbitrary locations we found that oscillations

resume closely posterior to each cut over a wide range of circuit sizes (**Figure 7**). Moreover, we

cannot rule out the possibility that even smaller circuit units, perhaps even individual motor

neurons, can generate rhythmic outputs.

Dissecting the relative contributions of cellular pacemakers, network oscillators, and reflex loops

to rhythmic motor generation and coordination has been a longstanding challenge in vertebrates

and invertebrates (Kristan et al., 2005; Kiehn, 2006). Our finding that the architecture for rhythm

generation in *C. elegans* locomotion shares key properties with other vertebrate and

invertebrate models sets the stage for molecular, cellular, and network-level investigations of

motor coordination in a uniquely tractable model organism.

**Figure 1. Overview of curvature analysis and models of rhythm generation**

(A) Dark field image of worm shown with curvature segmentation.  Dorsal bending is shown in blue and ventral bending in red. The dorso-ventral orientation is arbitrary unless otherwise specified. The worm's centerline is used to define a coordinate system in which the head and tail are located at body coordinates 0 and 100, respectively. Scale bar: 200 μm.

(B) Curvature map from a normally swimming worm. The curvature at time t = 0 s corresponds to the image shown in (A).

(C) In a single-oscillator model of locomotion, an unknown oscillator causes rhythmic head bending, and a reflex-like coupling mechanism mediates propagation of these bends along the rest of the body.

(D) A multi-oscillator model (Gjorgjieva et al., 2014) posits the existence of additional circuit units outside the head capable of generating oscillations.

(E) Conceptual curvature map showing predicted worm behavior after paralyzing a small region of the body (dotted white box). The single-oscillator model predicts that all regions posterior to the paralyzed region will also become paralyzed.

(F) Conceptual curvature map predicting the outcome of the same manipulation applied to a multi-oscillator model. If additional oscillators exist posterior the paralyzed region, additional tail oscillations may arise, potentially with different amplitude, frequency, and/or phase.

**Figure 2. Anterior undulation is not required for posterior undulation**

(A) Inhibition of anterior BWMs (via P*myo-3::NpHR*) increases tail frequency. Body coordinates 0-45 were illuminated with green light (532 nm wavelength) to trigger relaxation of the anterior muscles. The spatiotemporal extent of green laser illumination is indicated by the white dotted box.

(B) Inhibition of anterior cholinergic neurons (via P*unc-17::NpHR*; P*unc-17::ChR2*) does not

prevent tail undulation. Body coordinates 0-33 were illuminated with green light to

optogenetically inhibit anterior motor activity.

(C) Tail undulations persist despite paralysis of the anterior BWMs due to miniSOG-mediated

lesion of muscle cells. Animals were subjected to mechanical stimulation to induce locomotion

(see Methods). A total of 9 animals were illuminated with blue light (472 nm wavelength) in

approximately their anterior halves. Of these, 5 displayed partial-body forward swimming as

depicted here, 3 were immobile, and one was not sufficiently paralyzed in the head. Six control

worms, which were mounted identically but not illuminated, all displayed waves propagating

normally from head to tail (not shown).

(D) Inhibition of some anterior muscles (body coordinate 0-33, N=10 worms) significantly

increases tail frequency. Inhibition of most anterior muscles (0-45, N=10 worms), or inhibition of

anterior cholinergic neurons (N= 14 worms) produces mixed results; some animals generate

high frequency tail oscillations while others slow down. Each colored circle represents one trial;

worms may have multiple trials. Tail frequency is measured at body coordinate 85. Error boxes

represent the mean and SEM.

(E) Amplitude of undulation in the head and tail before and during muscle or neuron inhibition.

Head frequency is measured at body coordinate 15. Note sharp decreases in head amplitude

during all three manipulations. Amplitude here and henceforth is measured as the root mean

square of the time derivative of the curvature times worm length $\mathrm{rms}\left(L \cdot \frac{d\kappa}{dt}\right)$ and has units of s$^{-1}$.

(*) p<0.05; (**) p<0.01; (***) p<0.001; paired t-test.

**Figure 3. Disruption of motor coupling in the neck de-synchronizes head and tail**

**oscillations**

(A) Inhibition of neck BWMs (via P*myo-3::NpHR*) increases tail frequency and decreases head

frequency. We refer to this effect as two frequency undulation (2FU). Body coordinates 25-45

were illuminated with green light to induce relaxation of neck muscles. The spatiotemporal

extent of green laser illumination is indicated by the white dotted box.

(B,C) Inhibition of neck cholinergic neurons (P*unc-17::NpHR*) or neck B-type motor neurons

(P*acr-5::Arch*) also induces 2FU behavior.

(D) Two frequency undulation after miniSOG-induced paralysis of the mid-body BWMs. Animal

was subjected to mechanical stimulation to induce locomotion, but also displayed this behavior

prior to stimulation.  A total of 10 individuals were illuminated with blue light on approximately

one-fifth of their body length, centered near the vulva. Of these, 7 displayed 2FU as depicted

here, one was immobile, and two were not sufficiently paralyzed in the mid-body to disrupt

bending waves. Color map data is scaled down by 50% because bends in this animal had

higher amplitudes than those shown in A-C.

(E) Several optogenetic manipulations produced decoupled head and tail oscillation. 2FU is

assayed by dividing tail frequency by head frequency in each worm. Before illumination, the

head (body coordinate 15) and tail (body coordinate 85) usually oscillate at the same frequency.

During illumination, tail frequency often exceeds head frequency. Each colored circle pair

represents one trial; worms may have multiple trials. N= 11, 10, 12, and 10 worms per condition,

respectively. Error boxes represent the mean and SEM.

(F) Amplitude of undulation in the neck and tail before and during neck muscle or neuron

inhibition. Neck amplitude is measured at body coordinate 35. (*) $p < 0.05$; (**) $p < 0.01$; (***)

$p < 0.001$; paired t-test.

**Figure 4. VNC premotor interneurons, D-type motor neuron signaling, and individual B-**

**type motor neurons are not required for 2FU**

(A) 2FU occurs despite ablation of premotor interneurons (P*glr-1::ICE*; P*myo-3::NpHR*). The

spatiotemporal extent of green laser illumination is indicated by the white dotted box.

(B) 2FU occurs despite disruption of AVB:B gap junctions and BWM:BWM gap junctions (*unc-9*;

P*myo-3::NpHR*)

(C) 2FU occurs despite ablation of a small subset of the B-type motor neurons (P*myo-3::NpHR*;

P*acr-2::wCherry)*. VB8, VB9, and DB6 were ablated using our pulsed infrared laser system.

(D) 2FU occurs despite elimination of GABAergic signaling (*unc-49;* P*myo-3::NpHR*)

(E) When subjected to neck paralysis (P*myo-3::NpHR*; P*acr-2::wCherry*), 2FU occurs reliably in

P*glr-1::ICE* animals and occasionally in *unc-9* and *unc-49* animals. Each colored circle pair

represents one trial; worms may have multiple trials. N = 12, 8, and 10 worms per condition,

respectively. Head frequencies are measured at body coordinate 15. Mid-body are frequencies

are measured at body coordinate 60. Error boxes represent the mean and SEM.

(F) When subjected to neck paralysis (P*myo-3::NpHR*), 2FU occurs at least occasionally despite

ablation of subsets of the B-type motor neurons by our pulsed infrared laser system. For each

condition, data is only considered from worms that have all specified neurons missing; some

worms in each group may have additional B-type or other neurons missing. N= 40, 30, 32, 27,

18, 18, and 16 trials from 10, 10, 10, 8, 7, 7, and 7 worms per condition, respectively. (*) $p<0.05$;

(**) $p<0.01$; (***) $p<0.001$; paired t-test.

**Figure 5. B motor neurons are required for 2FU**

(A) Top panels: assessment of ablations using a P*acr-2::mCherry* label. After removal of dorsal

B motor neurons (P*unc-17β::PH::miniSOG*), pairs of motor neurons – each corresponding to

one VA and one VB type neuron – are visible along the VNC (blue arrows). Neither the DNC

(red arrows) nor the DB or DA commissures (red arrowheads in mock) are visible. Scale bars:

100 μm.

Bottom panels: Corresponding examples of worm locomotion after removal of DB (via miniSOG)

and VB (via IR laser) motor neurons. Removal of DB always resulted in tail paralysis in a coiled

position, but a minority of worms were able to generate a rhythmic midbody wave. Additional

removal of VB motor neurons by laser ablation completely prevented 2FU and resulted in

severe tail paralysis. (B,C) 2FU, as assayed by frequency measurements (B) or blinded,

randomized scoring (C), is sharply reduced or eliminated by removal of DB, VB, or both. Head

and mid-body frequencies were measured at body coordinates 15 and 60, respectively. Error

boxes in (B) are the mean and 95% confidence interval of the mean. Error bars in (C) are

standard error of the sample proportion. Numbers above each bar in (C) indicate the number of

trials scored 2FU over the total number of trials for the condition; each individual worm

contributed between 1 and 5 trials (3.6 on average). (***) $p < 0.001$; paired t-test.

**Figure 6. Undulations generated in the tail after severing the dorsal and ventral nerve**

**cords**

(A) The VNC (blue arrow) and DNC (red arrow) were severed in a P*unc-17::GFP* worm using a

pulsed infrared laser. Several other dorso-ventral processes also appear cut. Nonetheless,

robust bending waves are generated in the mid-body (lower pane).   All scale bars: 50 µm.

(B) The VNC and DNC are severed, and arbitrary damage has been applied to the nerve ring to

suppress head movements. Robust bending waves are generated in both the neck and tail

(lower pane).

(C) The VNC and DNC are severed posterior to the head and vulva (P*acr-2::wCherry*), and the

nerve ring is lesioned to suppress head movements. Robust bending waves are generated in

both the neck and tail (lower pane).

(D) The VNC and DNC are severed posterior to the head and vulva, but the nerve ring was not

targeted. Low frequency head undulation and high frequency tail undulation were observed

separately in this animal.

(E) Frequency of undulation in the mid-body and tail for all ablation conditions and mock

controls. Each colored circle pair represents one bout of forward locomotion lasting at least 2 s.

For each condition, data is only considered for worms in which the VNC and DNC are clearly

severed in the indicated locations. Mid-body and tail frequencies were measured at body

coordinates 45 and 85, respectively. Error boxes represent the mean and SEM. N = 3, 7, 3, 4, 5,

and 7 worms per condition, respectively. (*) $p < 0.05$; (**) $p < 0.01$; (***) $p < 0.001$; paired t-test.

**Figure 7: Undulations are generated after VNC/DNC lesioning in arbitrary locations**

(A) Schematic indicating all regions at which we severed the VNC and DNC in relation to the B

motor neurons. Each animal's nerve cords were severed at only one of these locations. The

nerve ring of each worm was also damaged to restrict head movements as in Figure 5B.

(B-E) Representative curvature maps for worms subject to four of the tested conditions. Note

that anterior-to-posterior waves begin progressively more posterior for each cut location. In

some cases, the head and tail exhibited waves propagating in opposite directions (D).

(F) Amplitude of bending as a function of body coordinate after severing the VNC and DNC

anterior to the indicated motor neuron. Only the portion of the curve posterior to the amplitude

minima (the cut location) is shown. No bouts of locomotion with anterior-to-posterior waves were

discernible posterior to the cut at VB11 either subjectively or by our analysis software. For cut

locations at VB3, VB5, VB6, VB7, VB9, VB10, and VB11 we studied N = 15, 9, 6, 10, 14, 9, and

6 worms and observed 25, 16, 7, 12, 30, 19, and 14 bouts of forward locomotion (lasting at least

3 s), respectively. Shaded outline represents ±SEM.

(G) Frequency of undulation at body coordinate 75 for four cut conditions. Boxes represent

mean and SEM. Each colored circle indicates the frequency during one bout of forward

locomotion. *p<0.05, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc comparisons.

**Figure 8. Head undulation frequency can be entrained by mid-body optogenetic**
**manipulation**

(A) Neck muscle hyperpolarization (Figure 3A) causes a significant decrease in head bending

frequency. This decrease is not predicted by either model discussed in Figure 1.

(B) A multi-oscillator model of forward locomotion allowing for motor coupling in both the

anterior and posterior directions. To test this model, we sought to impose a new frequency on

the mid-body of a freely moving worm, and test whether head bending also adopts the new

frequency.

(C) Head bending frequency can be entrained by rhythmically inhibiting the mid-body BWMs.

(i) A curvature map showing a representative trial. Green light was pulsed on coordinates 33-66

at a frequency of 2 Hz onto a P*myo-3::NpHR* worm. Note that the head frequency slows to half

of the imposed frequency, although some instances of a 1:1 correlation between laser pulse and

a head bend are also evident (e.g. around t = 13 s).

(ii) Mean head frequency power spectra of P*myo-3::NpHR* worms before manipulation (left bar,

worms from all conditions are pooled) and while subject rhythmic mid-body paralysis.

Frequencies tested were 0 (with laser on), 0.5, 0.85, 1.1, 1.25, 1.4, 1.55, 1.7, 1.9, and 2.0 Hz.

Frequency data is interpolated between these points. N≥11 trials per condition, with each worm

supplying at most two trials.  For high frequency inhibition (f>1.1 Hz) the head is entrained to

half of the inhibition frequency (bright peaks lie along y=x/2). For lower frequencies of inhibition

(f~0.85 Hz) the head is entrained to the inhibition frequency (bright peaks lie along y=x).

(D) Head bending frequency can be entrained by rhythmically inhibiting the head, mid-body, or

tail cholinergic neurons.

(i) A curvature map showing a representative trial. Green light was pulsed on coordinates 33-66

at a frequency of 2 Hz onto a P*unc-17::NpHR* worm.

(ii) Mean head frequency spectra before manipulation (black, all conditions pooled), and after

rhythmically inhibiting the head (blue, body coordinates 0-33), mid-body (orange, 33-66), or tail

(yellow, 66-99) neurons at 2 Hz. Rhythmic inhibition of the mid-body or tail increases the

frequency power at 1 Hz and decreases the power at the original undulation frequency,

mirroring (C). N≥16 trials per condition, with each worm supplying at most two trials.  Shaded

outlines are the SEM. Vertical red lines indicate the imposed frequency (solid) or one-half of the

imposed frequency (dashed).

**Figure 9. A model for *C. elegans* forward locomotion**

Two units of the VNC motor neurons (and potentially more subsections) are capable of

independent rhythm generation. However, all oscillating units are coupled by proprioceptive

coupling (Wen et al., 2012) and another unknown, likely non-proprioceptive coupling

mechanism that allows signaling in the anteriorward direction, and potentially also in the

posteriorward direction. Pre-motor interneurons may activate or suppress this circuit, but are not

essential for rhythm generation or propagation.

**Figure 2 Figure Supplement 1. Tail undulation after gross head lesioning**

The approximate location of hot wire lesioning is indicated with a red arrow. Each panel

presents data from a different worm. Scale bars: 200 µm.

(A) Slow rhythmic undulations are evident posterior to the head.

(B) Rhythmic undulations in the mid-body arise after substantial damage is applied to the head.

(C) Rhythmic undulations in the neck and mid-body arise after substantial damage is applied to

the head.

**Figure 3 Figure Supplement 1. Additional disruptions to motor coupling cause 2FU**

(A) Two examples of worms in which all cholinergic neurons are inhibited (P*unc-17::NpHR*;

global yellow illumination) except for those within a small tail region (P*unc-17::ChR2*; blue

illumination in a small tail region). The A-type motor neurons were killed at the L2 larval stage

(P*unc-4::MiniSOG*). Green triangle: worm head; blue band: blue illumination region. The

curvature map (lower pane) indicates the spatiotemporal windows of yellow illumination (yellow

dotted box) and blue laser illumination (white dotted box). Scale bars: 200 µm.

(B) 2FU induced by an inhomogeneous mechanical environment. Red dotted line indicates the

boundary between low viscosity buffer (NGM) and high viscosity HPMC. Around t= 11s, the tail

continues oscillating at high frequency even as the head rapidly slows to a crawl inside the

HPMC.

**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1. VNC premotor interneurons and several VNC motor**

**neuron classes are not required for de-synchronized oscillations.**

(A) 2FU occurs despite ablation of the premotor interneurons AVA, AVD, AVE, ,and PVC, and

other neurons (P*nmr-1::ICE*; P*myo-3::NpHR*). The spatiotemporal extent of green laser

illumination is indicated by the white dotted box.

(B) 2FU rarely occurs upon laser ablation of both AVB interneurons (See Figure 4 Figure

Supplement 2).

(C) Robust 2FU occurs despite ablation of the A- and C-type motor neurons (P*unc-4::MiniSOG*;

P*myo-3::NpHR*).

(D) *C. elegans vab-7* mutants, in which DB motor neurons are broadly disrupted, have

paralyzed tails and appear incapable of 2FU.

(E) When subjected to neck paralysis (P*myo-3::NpHR*), 2FU occurs reliably in P*nmr-1::ICE* and

P*unc-4::MiniSOG* animals, occasionally in *unc-7* animals, and rarely animals in which AVB has

been ablated. Each colored circle pair represents one trial; worms may have multiple trials (see

methods). N= 11, 8, 14, 16, and 19 worms per condition, respectively. Error boxes represent

mean and SEM. Head and mid-body data were measured at body coordinates 15 and 60,

respectively.

(F) Neck paralysis leads to modest decreases in tail bending amplitude in most conditions.

**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 2. Ablation of the AVB interneurons**

(A) Mock control with both AVB neurons visible (P*sra-11::D3cpv*). Yellow outline, worm pharynx.

Red arrows, AVB L/R in separate focal planes of the same worm. Yellow arrows, a pharyngeal

neuron, possibly I4. AVB cell bodies were identified by their placement at the medial anterior

edge of the terminal bulb of the pharynx, with processes directed ventrally. Scale bars: 50 μm.

(B) Worm in which both AVB interneurons were ablated at the L4 stage. Neither cell bodies nor

processes are visible. Behavioral recording of this worm is shown in Figure 4 Figure

Supplement 1.

**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 3. Subsets of B-type motor neurons are not required for 2FU**

Groups of 2-3 B-type motor neurons at a time were ablated using a pulsed infrared laser in

worms expressing P*myo-3::NpHR*; P*acr-2::wCherry*. See also Figure 4F.

(A) Curvature maps of worms that exhibited 2FU despite ablation of the indicated B-type motor

neurons and potentially some other neurons.

(B) wCherry fluorescence images of each worm to confirm cell death. Blue labels indicate some

or all B-type motor neurons. Red labels missing B-type neurons. White arrows indicate the

vulva. Scale bars: 100 μm.

**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 4. B-type motor neurons posterior to the vulva are not**

**required for 2FU**

We attempted to ablate all B-type motor neurons posterior to the vulva in worms expressing

P*myo-3::NpHR*; P*acr-2::wCherry*.

(A) Curvature maps of worms that exhibited 2FU despite ablation of the indicated B-type motor

neurons and potentially other neurons.

(B) wCherry fluorescence images of each worm to confirm cell death. Blue labels indicate some

or all B-type motor neurons. Red labels indicate missing B-type neurons. White arrows indicate

the vulva. Scale bars: 100 μm.

**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 5: Examples of 2FU occurrence prior to optogenetic**

**inhibition of neck muscles**

In some disruptions to the motor circuit, 2FU was observed occurring without inhibition of neck

muscles. Examples are shown here from an *unc-9* mutant (A), an *unc-7* mutant (B), an AVB-

ablated worm (C), and an *unc-49* mutant (D).

**Figure 5 Figure Supplement 1. Behavior after ablation of VB motor neurons**

Example curvature maps from trials in which 2FU was (A) and was not (B) observed after IR

laser ablation of VB motor neurons. VB3-VB11 were removed in both worms.

**Figure 6 Figure Supplement 1. Body undulations after severing the VNC and DNC do not**

**require the A motor neurons**

(A) Representative images of the VNC motor neurons in adult worms without (i) and with (ii)

illumination with blue light at the L2 larval stage. After illumination, only B and AS neurons were

visible along most of the body. In all worms tested however (N=20), at least one A motor neuron

was visible at the posterior end of the VNC, corresponding to either VA12, DA8, or DA9. The

behavior of the worm in (ii) is shown in C(i). Scale bars: 100 µm.

(B) Rhythmic waves visible posterior to the head after removal of the A motor neurons, severing

the VNC and DNC in the neck, and damaging the head.

(C) Rhythmic waves visible in the mid-body (i) and tail (ii) after removal of the A motor neurons,

severing the VNC and DNC in the neck, severing the VNC and DNC posterior to the vulva, and

damaging the head.

**Figure 6 Figure Supplement 2. B motor neurons are required for body undulations after**

**severing the VNC and DNC**

(A) Fluorescence image of the worm in (D), for which DB have been removed by MiniSOG and

VB have been removed by laser ablation. The remaining VA neurons and processes allow

visualization of the VNC, including the cut posterior to the pharynx (blue arrow). DA and DB

commissures are not visible. Scale bar: 100 µm.

(B-D) behavior during periods of mechanical vibration in worms for which the VNC and DNC

were severed posterior to the pharynx. Control worms exhibit robust undulation. Worms lacking

DB nearly always coiled in response to the stimulus, but in a minority of cases, very faint

movements, potentially oscillations, could be seen in the tip of the tail. Worms lacking DB and

VB also reacted to the stimulus by at least partially coiling, but rhythmic body undulations were

not observed.

(E) Recorded frequency during bouts of well-segmented non-reversal lasting at least two

seconds during periods of mechanical stimulation. Control worms routinely exhibited oscillations

in the mid-body and tail. DB ablated worms had no mid-body oscillations, but in one case

947 produced very faint high frequency tail oscillations (see C). DB and VB ablated worms lacked

948 any rhythmic oscillations. N = 58, 7, and 16 bouts from 15, 4, and 9 worms, respectively Mid-

949 body and tail frequency are measured at body coordinates 45 and 85, respectively.

950 (F) Subjective judgement worm behavior in each condition. Fractions indicate the number of

951 trials blindly scored as oscillating during 20 s periods of mechanical stimulus (anywhere along

952 the body) over the total number of trials. Oscillation was also not observed in DB or DB & VB

953 ablated worms outside periods of stimulus (not shown). Each animal was subjected to 1-2 such

954 stimulus trials. Error bars represent standard error of the sample proportion.

955 **Figure 8 Figure Supplement 1.** Rhythmic activity in the mid-body B motor neurons is sufficient

956 for posterior-to-anterior entrainment, and muscle-to-muscle gap junctions are not required.

957 (A) Representative kymogram from a P*acr-5::Arch* worm that was subject to rhythmic (1.7 Hz)

958 hyperpolarization of the mid-body B motor neurons (top), and average frequency spectra of the

959 head before and during rhythmic inhibition (bottom). Note an increase in amplitude at 0.85Hz,

960 one-half of the imposed frequency. N=22 trials were analyzed from 11 worms. Vertical red lines

961 indicate the imposed mid-body frequency (solid) or one-half of the imposed frequency (dashed).

962 (B) Equivalent analysis for worms in which the mid-body muscles were rhythmically inhibited

963 and muscle-to-muscle gap junctions are disrupted. Expression of UNC-9 in neurons was

964 transgenically restored (Wen et al., 2012). Note an increase in amplitude at 0.85 Hz, one half of

965 the imposed frequency. N=22 trials were analyzed from 11 worms.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Strains

We maintained *C. elegans* on 6 cm NGM plates seeded with *E. coli* OP50 at 20˚C using standard methods (Sulston and Hodgkin, 1988).  For all optogenetic experiments, we added 100 mM all-*trans* retinal (ATR) in ethanol at 0.8% by volume to the bacteria suspension before seeding the plates, and kept plates in darkness. All strains were synchronized by hypochlorite bleaching and allowed to hatch on an NGM plate without food. L1 arrested larvae were transferred to OP50 or OP50+ATR plates and allowed to grow to the appropriate stage.  Unless otherwise specified, all experiments were performed using day 1 adult hermaphrodites.

All strains used in this study are listed in Tables 1 and 2. All transgenic strains were outcrossed a minimum of 3 times against N2.

**Table 1: Transgenic arrays acquired or generated for this study**

| Transgene | Plasmid or Reference | Description | Purpose | Strain |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *vsIs48* | (Chase et al., 2004) | P*unc-17::GFP* | Identification of VNC and DNC | LX929 |
| *akIs11* | (Zheng et al., 1999) | P*nmr-1::ICE; lin-15(+)* | Ablation of INs | VM4770 |
| *kyIs36* | (Zheng et al., 1999) | P*glr-1::ICE; lin-15(+)* | Ablation of INs | VM4771 |
| *wenIs001* | pJH2918 | P*acr-5::ArchT::RFP; lin-15(+)* | Inhibition of B motor neurons | WEN001 |
| *qhIs1* | (Leifer et al., 2011; Husson et al., 2012) | P*myo-3::NpHR::ECFP; lin-15(+)* | Inhibition of muscles | YX9 |
| *qhIs2* | | | | YX10 |
| *qhIs4* | pJH1841 | P*acr-2::wCherry; dpy-20(+)* | Identification of B | YX146 |
| *qhIs5* | (Xu and Chisholm, 2016) | P*myo-3::PH::miniSOG(Q103L)* + P*myo-3::mCherry* + P*ttx-3::RFP* | Ablation of BWM | YX203 |
| *qhIs9* | (Xu and Chisholm, 2016) | P*unc-17(beta)::PH::miniSOG (Q103L)*+ P*acr-2::mCherry*+ P*ttx-3::RFP* | Ablation of B | YX234 |
| *hpEx803* | (Wen et al., 2012) | *unc-9(fc16); hpIs3*; P*rgef-1-unc-9cDN) + odr-1* | Neuronal *unc-9* rescue | ZM2509 |
| *zxIs6* | (Liewald et al., 2008) | P*unc-17::ChR2(H134R)::YFP; lin-15(+)* | Stimulation of cholinergic neurons | ZX460/ ZM3265 |
| *hpIs166* | (Gao et al., 2015) | P*glr-1::chop-2(H134R)::YFP; lin-15(+)* | Identification of INs | ZM4624 |
| *hpIs178* | (Leifer et al., 2011) | P*unc-17::NpHR::ECFP; lin-15(+)* | Inhibition of cholinergic neurons | ZM5016 |
| *hpIs179* | (Kawano et al., 2011) | P*sra-11::D3cpv* | Identification of AVB | ZM5132 |
| *hpIs366* | pJH2843 | P*unc-4::tomm-20::miniSOG:: urSL::wCherry; lin-15(+)* | Ablation of A and VC | ZM7690 |
| *hpIs371* | | | | ZM7691 |

**Table 2: Additional strains generated by combining transgenes in Table 1**

| Strain | Transgenes | Description | Purpose |
|---|---|---|---|
| YX119 | *qhIs1; unc-49(e407)* | Muscle::NpHR, *unc-49* | 2FU with D function impaired |
| YX126 | *qhIs1; hpIs371* | Muscle::NpHR, A/VC::miniSOG | 2FU with A and VC removed |
| YX127 | *hpIs178; hpIs371; zxIs6* | Cholinergic Neurons::NpHR&ChR2, A/VC::miniSOG | Inhibition or excitation of Cholinergic neurons with A removed |
| YX135 | *qhIs1; vab-7(e1562) III.* | Muscle::NpHR, DB disrupted | 2FU (fails) with DB disrupted |
| YX137 | *qhIs1; unc-7(e5)* | Muscle::NpHR, *unc-7* | 2FU with AVB::B gap junctions disrupted |
| YX139 | *qhIs1; unc-9(fc16); hpEx803* | Muscle::NpHR, UNC-9 disruption in muscles only | Entrainment with BWM::BWM gap junctions disrupted |
| YX140 | *qhIs1; unc-9(fc16)* | Muscle::NpHR, *unc-9* | 2FU with AVB::B gap junctions disrupted |
| YX148 | *qhIs1; qhIs4* | Muscle::NpHR, AB::RFP | 2FU with some B removed OR undulation with nerve cords severed |
| YX152 | *hpIs166; akIs11* | IN::ICE&YFP | Assessment of ICE ablations |
| YX153 | *hpIs166; kyIs36* | IN::ICE&YFP | Assessment of ICE ablations |
| YX159 | *qhIs2; akIs11* | Muscle::NpHR, IN::ICE | 2FU with PVC removed |
| YX160 | *qhIs2; kyIs36* | Muscle::NpHR, IN::ICE | 2FU with PVC removed |
| YX177 | *hpIs366; vsIs48* | A/VC::miniSOG, Cholinergic Neurons::GFP | Undulation with nerve cords severed and A removed. |
| YX223 | *qhIs1; qhIs9* | Muscle::NpHR, DB ablated | 2FU with B removed OR undulation with nerve cords severed and B removed. |
| YX230 | *qhIs1; hpIs179* | Muscle::NpHR, AVB labeled for ablation | 2FU with AVB removed |

**Construction and validation of the optogenetic targeting system**

We constructed an optogenetic targeting system similar to that described in Leifer et al (2011) based around a Leica DMI4000B microscope. Dark field illumination was provided by red LEDs and worms were imaged with a sCMOS camera (QImaging optiMOS). Custom written C++ software performs image segmentation of the worm and allows the user to select a region of the worm to illuminate. The illumination region is sent to a digital micromirror device (DMD), which

then projects the laser onto only the desired portion of the worm through a 10X objective.  The

entire cycle runs at 40 Hz. A green laser (Shanghai Laser & Optics Century GL532T3-300, 532

nm wavelength, irradiance 10 mW/mm$^2$ at focal plane) was used for all activations of NpHR or

Arch, and a blue laser (Shanghai Laser & Optics Century BL473T3-150, 473 nm wavelength,

irradiance 4 mW/mm$^2$ at focal plane) was used for activation of ChR2. Worms were placed in a

solution of 17% dextran in NGMB (Fang-Yen et al., 2010) in an 80 µm thick chamber between a

microscope slide and cover glass, separated by glass beads.  NGMB is identical to NGM

(Stiernagle, 2006) but without agar, peptone, or cholesterol.

To determine the accuracy of our illumination system, we studied worms expressing the

excitatory opsin ChR2 under the control of the *aptf-1* promoter. These worms were reported to

quickly become quiescent when the RIS head neuron is illuminated with blue light (Turek et al.,

2013). We targeted a thin band of blue light to various locations along the centerline of each

worm, and measured the amplitude of head bending waves as an output (data not shown). The

distance between the region where most slowing occurred (body coordinate 14) and the nearest

region with no slowing (body coordinate 20) suggests that our optogenetic targeting system has

a resolution of about 6% of an adult worm's body length, similar to that reported for a previous

system (Leifer et al., 2011).

**Optogenetic inhibition and stimulation**

For head and neck optogenetic inhibition experiments, YX9 (Muscle::NpHR), ZM5016

(Cholinergic Neurons::NpHR), WEN001 (B::Arch) , YX127 (Cholinergic Neurons::NpHR&ChR2,

A/VC::miniSOG), YX119 (Muscle::NpHR, *unc-49*(*e407*)), YX135 (Muscle::NpHR, *vab-7(e1562),*

YX137 (Muscle::NpHR, *unc-7*(*e5*)), or YX140 (Muscle::NpHR, *unc-9*(*fc16*)) larvae were

transferred to ATR plates and allow to grow to first day of adulthood. Up to 20 adult worms were

mounted at a time on our optogenetic targeting system. Worms were sampled with replacement

from the dextran chamber, and illuminated with a green (532 nm wavelength) laser a total of 1-3

times in the indicated region, with at least 10 s between successive illuminations of the same

animal.

For activation of posterior B and AS motor neurons, YX127 (Cholinergic neurons::NpHR&ChR2,

A/VC::miniSOG) worms were allowed to grow to the second larval stage on ATR plates, and

then illuminated *en masse* with blue light (470 ± 17 nm wavelength) at 3 mW/mm$^2$ for 20

minutes to ablate the A-type motor neurons. Worms were transferred to a fresh ATR plate to

grow for 2 more days. To confirm a loss of reversal capability in each day 1 adult, worms were

individually prodded with a platinum wire worm pick. All worms tested failed to move backwards

during this assay. Worms were then mounted on the optogenetic targeting system as before.

Global amber (580 ± 15 nm wavelength) illumination was applied through the transmitted light

port of the microscope and varied in irradiance until just strong enough to paralyze the worm,

presumably through the action of P*unc-17::NpHR*. Once paralyzed or nearly paralyzed, 473 nm

laser light was applied to the indicated region of the tail using the DMD.

For rhythmic inhibition of muscles or cholinergic neurons, synchronized YX148 (Muscle::NpHR,

AB::RFP) L4 larvae, YX139 (Muscle::NpHR, BWM gap junctions disrupted) L4 larvae, YX127

day 1 adults, or WEN001, day 1 adults grown on ATR plates were mounted on the optogenetic

targeting system and illuminated periodically at the indicated frequency and location through our

custom software. For this experiment, L4 *qhIs1* larvae were used because expression of *myo-*

*3::NpHR::ECFP* in the BWMs appeared to be weaker in adults. YX127 animals were not

exposed to blue light prior to this experiment.

**Neuron and muscle photoablation using miniSOG**

For optogenetic 2FU experiments, YX126 (Muscle::NpHR, A/VC::miniSOG) larvae were allowed

to grow for 2 days on OP50 plates until the L4 stage, at which RFP was visible in the both the A-

and VC-type motor neurons. Worms were bulk illuminated with blue light (wavelength 470±17

nm) at 3.5 mW/mm$^2$ for 20 minutes of total illumination time using 0.5 s on / 1.5 s off pulse train

(Qi et al., 2012) from a Leica EL6000 light source. After illumination, the larvae were transferred

to OP50+ATR plates and incubated for 2 additional days, but did not appear to grow past the L4

stage. During the optogenetic experiments, worms were observed swimming forward and

stopping, but never in reverse. In addition, worms were handled individually and recovered from

the dextran chamber for fluorescence imaging. We mounted each worm on a separate 4% agar

pad and acquired RFP images on a compound fluorescence microscope (Leica DMI6000B). In

control worms, which grew into adults, RFP was visible in the VC-type motor neurons, some A-

type motor neurons (RFP was visible in all A-type motor neurons at the L2-L4 stages), and the

posterior intestine. The normal RFP expression pattern in A- and VC-type motor neuron was

absent in all illuminated worms. However, neurons in the tail corresponding to VA12, DA8, or

DA9 were usually visible (see also Figure 6 - Figure Supplement 1A).

For VNC/DNC cauterization experiments, YX177 (AB::RFP, A/VC::miniSOG) worms were

exposed to blue light at the L2 stage using 0.5 s on / 1.5 s off pulsing and surgically manipulated

at the day 2 adult stage as described below. Ablation of the A motor neurons was assessed by

recording GFP fluorescence images of each animal after behavioral imaging. In all animals, only

B and AS motor neurons were visible along most of the VNC, with the exception of the three

posterior A motor neurons noted above.

For body wall muscle ablation experiments, YX203 (BWM::miniSOG) adults were immobilized

on agar pads using polystyrene beads (Kim et al., 2013) and the indicated region of the body

was exposed to light with wavelength 470 ± 20 nm at an irradiance of 75 mW/mm$^2$ through a

40x objective on a Leica DMI6000B or DMI4000B microscope for 5.5 minutes with a 0.5 s on /

0.5 s off pulse protocol (Xu and Chisholm, 2016). Spatial selectivity was achieved by restricting

the diameter of illumination by adjusting the microscope's epifluorescence field diaphragm. After

illumination, worms were recovered to an unseeded plate and immediately transferred to a 17%

dextran chamber for behavioral imaging.

For B ablation experiments using P*unc-17::PH::miniSOG* (YX223), we found that illumination at

any larval stage preferentially killed the DA and DB motor neurons, but left most VA and VB

alive, as determined by the loss of all dorsal commissures and DNC labeling by P*acr-*

*2::mCherry* (not shown). Hence, we illuminated all YX223 animals at the L1 stage for 20

minutes with 0.25 s on / 0.25 s off pulsing (Xu and Chisholm, 2016) to kill DB. Worms were

recovered to ATR (for optogenetic 2FU) or regular seeded (for VNC surgery) plates. Additional

laser ablation of VB neurons was performed at the L4 stage, and lesioning of the VNC and DNC

was performed at the day 2 adult stage (both described below).

1073

**1074     Ablation of premotor interneurons by ICE**

Prior to conducting optogenetic experiments, we generated strains YX152 (P*nmr-1::ICE*; P*glr-*

*1::ChR2::YFP*) and YX153 (P*glr-1::ICE*; P*glr-1::ChR2::YFP*) to test whether the interneurons

were appropriately ablated. Control ZM4624 (P*glr-1*::ChR2::YFP) L1 larvae and adults showed

bright YFP labeling of many neurons, including many head neurons and PVC, the only pair of

labeled neurons in the tail.

In L1 arrested YX152 and YX153 worms, many neurons were also easily visualized by YFP,

although normal locomotion was impaired. By the adult stage, YFP signals in all head and tail

neurons had nearly vanished in all YX153 worms (N=18); some small and faint fluorescent

puncta, similar in appearance to intestinal birefringent granules, were visible in the nerve ring

and tail. These dim puncta did not have visible processes, and we were unable to identify any

head neurons or PVC in any of these worms. In YX152 adults, PVC was similarly not identifiable

in any worm (N=10), although many brightly YFP-labeled neurons were visible in the nerve ring.

These results suggest that all or most P*glr-1* positive interneurons are ablated in P*glr-1*::ICE

worms and that PVC (and likely other P*nmr-1* positive neurons) are ablated in P*nmr-1::ICE*

worms. AVB are likely present in both P*glr-1::ICE* and P*nmr-1::ICE* worms. (Kawano et al.,

2011; Kawano, Po, and Zhen, unpublished).

For optogenetic experiments, we generated strains YX159 (Muscle::NpHR, IN::ICE) and YX160

(Muscle::NpHR, IN::ICE) and performed optogenetic illuminations as in our original optogenetic

2FU experiments.

1094

**1095    Pulsed infrared laser cauterization of neurons and nerve cords**

For ablation of B motor neurons or AVB interneurons, YX148 (Muscle::NpHR, AB::RFP), YX223

(Muscle::NpHR, DB killed by miniSOG, see above), or YX230 (Muscle::NpHR, AVB labeled)

worms were raised on ATR plates until most animals were at the L3 to L4 stage, and then

immobilized on 4-10% agar pads using 50 nm polystyrene beads (Kim et al., 2013). Each pad

was mounted on a pulsed infrared laser system (Churgin et al., 2013) that had been modified

with increased power to deliberately kill cells. Each neuron, visualized by RFP or GFP

fluorescence optics, was irradiated with a single 0.8 to 1.6 ms pulse of the 400 mW laser

through a 63X oil immersion objective. We determined that a single 0.8 ms pulse reliably kills a

targeted VNC motor neuron, has a 50% chance of killing a VNC neighbor within 2.5 μm, and

has a 10% chance of killing a VNC neighbor within 5 μm from the target (A. D. F. and C. F.-Y.,

unpublished data). After ablation, worms were recovered and transferred to a fresh ATR plate to

resume development for 1 additional day. During optogenetic experiments, worms were handled

individually and recovered to agar pads after illumination.

To sever the VNC and DNC, day 2 adult YX148 or LX929 (Cholinergic neuron::GFP) worms

were immobilized with polystyrene beads and mounted on our infrared laser system as before,

and the indicated area of the wCherry- or GFP-labeled cord was illuminated with a train of 10-25

IR laser pulses with 2 ms duration. Worms were transferred to fresh unseeded plates and

allowed to recover for at least four hours before behavioral and fluorescence imaging. For

behavioral imaging, worms were mounted individually in 20% dextran chambers and recorded

swimming for at least 1 minute under dark field illumination. Most worms were inactive 4 hours

after surgery, especially when the VNC and DNC were lesioned in two locations (not shown).

In many other systems, mechanical, electrical, or chemical stimuli can be applied to induce

swimming or fictive swimming in an otherwise quiescent preparation (Kristan et al., 2005). To

agitate *C. elegans,* we mechanically vibrated each worm using a 200 Hz cell phone motor for

periods of 10-20 s to induce locomotion at least twice during each recording. After behavioral

imaging, each worm was transferred to a pad and imaged for red or green fluorescence

imaging.

**Fluorescence imaging and identification of neurons and nerve cord lesions**

For all neuron ablation or nerve cord lesioning experiments, we acquired RFP or GFP

fluorescence images of each animal through a 40X objective on a compound fluorescence

microscope (Leica DMI 6000B). Through examination of images, A and B type motor neurons or

AVB interneurons were labeled as present or missing based on the location, stereotypic

ordering, commissural orientation, and the presence or absence of commissures from dorsal A-

or B-type motor neurons. In ablation conditions labeled "most VB" in Figure 5 and Figure 6

Figure Supplement 2, we only used data from animals in which at most 3 out of the 9 VB

neurons between VB3 and VB11 inclusive were visible. In DB ablation conditions in the same

two figures, all DB motor neurons appeared to be missing, and occasionally some VA/VB were

missing as well. However, we generally could not identify B motor neurons anterior to VB3

because of clustering and the presence of very bright AIY::RFP in *qhIs9*. In each category in

Figure 4F, we included only worms for which all indicated B motor neurons were missing. Some

individuals in each category had additional missing neurons. In Figure 4 – Figure Supplements

3 and 4, all ablated B motor neurons are indicated for each individual worm example. In AVB

ablation conditions, we only analyzed data from worms in which both AVB cell bodies and their

associated processes were removed. Because cell killing occurs over a ~3 μm radius volume in

our system, it is highly likely that other head neurons were also damaged or killed by this

procedure. For nerve cord lesioning experiments, we only analyzed data from animals in which

all indicated VNC/DNC targets were clearly severed.

1143

1144

**Head lesions using a heated wire**

To broadly lesion the head and inhibit anterior bending, four freely crawling adult N2 worms

were gently touched on or near the head with a platinum wire attached to a soldering iron. The

worms appeared to crawl backwards after the initial touch, so we applied a second touch to the

agar near the tail to induce forward locomotion. We recorded behavior immediately after

lesioning.

1151

1152

**Heterogenous mechanical environment experiments**

Day 1 adult N2 worms were transferred to a slide containing 3 to 5 μL islands of solutions of

high viscosity 3% hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC, Ashland Benecel K200M) in NGMB,

surrounded by NGMB without HPMC. A second slide, spaced by 125 μm thick plastic spacers,

was placed on top to form a 2-dimensional chamber similar to those used for optogenetics

experiments, but with an inhomogeneous mechanical environment. We imaged each slide

under dark field illumination on a Nikon TE2000-S microscope and recorded worms transitioning

from low viscosity to high viscosity regions.

1161

**Curvature segmentation, analysis, and statistics**

For experiments with our optogenetic targeting system, the real-time segmentation for body

targeting was recorded to disk along with each video frame. We wrote custom MATLAB codes

to compute the curvature of the worm in each frame using the recorded centerline coordinates.

All analysis codes, codes for the optogenetic targeting system, and source data for figures are

freely available (Fouad et al., 2017). Frequencies and amplitudes in optogenetic experiments

were measured over either a 3 s non-illuminated interval ending at the start of illumination, or a

3 s illuminated interval beginning at the start of illumination. Trials were excluded if, during the

period of analysis, (1) the worm showed reverse locomotion at any time, or (2) the segmentation

algorithm was disrupted, for example if the worm touched a bubble, another worm, or the edge

of the chamber.

For all other experiments, worm segmentations were generated from dark field videos using

WormLab software (MBF Bioscience, Williston, VT). The centerline coordinates were exported

and curvature maps constructed as before. To identify bouts of forward locomotion in 1-2 min

worm recordings, we computed the activity level and wave direction in the kymogram as a

function of time and body coordinate. Bouts of forward locomotion in body segments were

identified when the activity level was higher than a fixed threshold and the local direction of

wave propagation was anterior-to-posterior for longer than the amount of time specified

(typically 2-3 s).

To measure frequencies of undulation at any body coordinate, we computed the Fourier

transform of time derivative of the curvature, and identified the frequency corresponding to the

maximum amplitude within a 0 to 2.5 Hz window. For trials in which no clear peak emerged (i.e.

the maximum amplitude was less than a fixed threshold), no undulations were considered to

have occurred and the frequency was treated as zero. The same threshold was used for every

frequency measurement presented. In ratiometric measurements, a small number (<2%) of

ratios with infinite values were excluded. Bending amplitudes were calculated as the root mean

square of time differentiated curvature. Changes in the mean frequency, frequency ratio, or

bending amplitude of all paired data were evaluated using a paired t-test.

For blinded, randomized scoring of trials shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6 Figure Supplement 2,

kymograms were scored manually without prior examination of the data. Trials were scored as

"2FU" or "oscillating" if the following criteria were met: (1) bending waves were visible in the tail

that did not appear to arise from the head, and (2) at least two complete undulatory cycles

occurred in the tail, in any portion of the optogenetic inhibition or vibration stimulus time

windows, which were 8 and 20 seconds, respectively. Trials were marked for exclusion if the

windows contained exclusively reversal or incorrectly segmented behavior. The manual scoring

method allowed detection of 2FU occurring outside the 3 second window used for quantitative

analysis, which is why more 2FU trials were found in the DB ablation condition by manual

scoring than by quantitative frequency analysis.

1200

1201

**VIDEO TITLES**

**Video 1:** Posterior undulations after optogenetic inhibition of anterior body wall muscles or

cholinergic neurons

**Video 2:** Two-frequency undulation during optogenetic inhibition of neck BWM, cholinergic

neurons, or B motor neurons.

**Video 3:** Additional manipulations that evoke two-frequency undulation (2FU): Stimulation of B

and AS during tail paralysis; inhomogenous mechanical environment.

**Video 4:** Removal of B motor neurons by miniSOG and laser ablation eliminates 2FU.

**Video 5:** Posterior undulations occur after severing the ventral and dorsal nerve cords with an

infrared laser (VNC-isolated animals).

**Video 6**: Removal of B motor neurons by miniSOG and laser ablation eliminates undulations in

VNC-isolated animals.

**Video 7:** Head undulation frequency can be entrained by rhythmic mid-body optogenetic

manipulation of the muscles or cholinergic neurons.

1216

1217

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Mei Zhen, Quan Wen, Min Wu, Michelle Po, Yishi Jin, Andres Villu Mariq, and

Alexander Gottschalk for providing strains.  Some strains were provided by the by the CGC,

which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). C. F.-Y.

was supported by the National Institutes of Health, Ellison Medical Foundation, and Sloan

Research Foundation. A. D. F. was supported by the National Institutes of Health. S. T. was

supported by an Abraham Noordergraaf Research Fellowship and a Littlejohn Fellowship.  J. R.

M. was supported by a Holtz Undergraduate Research Fellowship.  We thank Mei Zhen,

Michael Nusbaum, David Raizen, Vijay Balasubramanian, Robert Kalb, Gal Haspel, Brian

Chow, and Edward Fouad for helpful suggestions and discussions, Matthew Churgin for

technical assistance, and Wassana Techadilok for assistance with figure preparation.

1229

## REFERENCES

1230

Ahringer, J. (2006). Reverse Genetics. In WormBook.

Altun, Z.F., and Hall, D.H. (2011). Nervous system, general description. In WormAtlas.

Andersson, O., Forssberg, H., Grillner, S., and Wallén, P. (1981). Peripheral feedback mechanisms acting

on the central pattern generators for locomotion in fish and cat. Canadian Journal of Physiology and

Pharmacology 59, 713-726.

Bamber, B.A., Beg, A.A., Twyman, R.E., and Jorgensen, E.M. (1999). The <em>Caenorhabditis elegans

unc-49</em> Locus Encodes Multiple Subunits of a Heteromultimeric GABA Receptor. The Journal of

Neuroscience 19, 5348-5359.

Berri, S., Boyle, J.H., Tassieri, M., Hope, I., and Cohen, N. (2009). Forward locomotion of the nematode C.

elegans is achieved through modulation of a single gait. HFSP Journal 3, 186-193.

Boyle, J.H., Berri, S., and Cohen, N. (2012). Gait modulation in C. elegans: an integrated neuromechanical

model. Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience 6, 10.

Brockie, P.J., and Maricq, A.V. (2006). Ionotropic glutamate receptors: genetics, behavior and

electrophysiology. In WormBook, T.C.e.R. Community, ed.

Chalfie, M., Sulston, J., White, J., Southgate, E., Thomson, J., and Brenner, S. (1985). The Neural Circuit

for Touch Sensitivity in Caenorhabditis elegans. The Journal of Neuroscience 5, 956-964.

Chase, D.L., and Koelle, M.R. (2007). Biogenic amine neurotransmitters in C. elegans. In WormBook, The

C. elegans Research Community, ed.

Chase, D.L., Pepper, J.S., and Koelle, M.R. (2004). Mechanism of extrasynaptic dopamine signaling in

*Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat Neurosci 7, 1096-1103.

Churgin, M.A., He, L., Murray, J.I., and Fang-Yen, C. (2013). Efficient Single-Cell Transgene Induction in

Caenorhabditis elegans Using a Pulsed Infrared Laser. G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics 3, 1827-1832.

Cohen, A.H. (1987). Intersegmental coordinating system of the lamprey central pattern generator for

locomotion. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 160, 181-193.

Duerr, J.S., Han, H.P., Fields, S.D., and Rand, J.B. (2008). Identification of major classes of cholinergic

neurons in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. The Journal of comparative neurology 506, 398-408.

Evans, T.C. (2006). Transformation and microinjection. WormBook.

Fang-Yen, C., Alkema, M.J., and Samuel, A.D.T. (2015). Illuminating neural circuits and behaviour in

<em>Caenorhabditis elegans</em> with optogenetics. Philosophical Transactions of the

Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 370.

Fang-Yen, C., Wyart, M., Xiea, J., Kawaia, R., Kodgere, T., Chena, S., Wen, Q., and Samuel, A.D.T. (2010).

Biomechanical analysis of gait adaptation in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 107, 20323–20328.

Flytzanis, N.C., Bedbrook, C.N., Chiu, H., Engqvist, M.K.M., Xiao, C., Chan, K.Y., Sternberg, P.W., Arnold,

F.H., and Gradinaru, V. (2014). Archaerhodopsin Variants with Enhanced Voltage Sensitive Fluorescence

in Mammalian and Caenorhabditis elegans Neurons. Nature communications 5, 4894-4894.

Fouad, A., Teng, S., Mark, J.R., Liu, A., Alvarez-Illera, P., Ji, H., Du, A., Bhirgoo, P.D., Cornblath, E., Guan,

A._, et al._ (2017). Data from: Distributed rhythm generators underlie Caenorhabditis elegans forward

locomotion. Dryad Digital Repository, ed. https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.q0d1n

Friesen, W.O., and Hocker, C.G. (2001). Functional Analyses of the Leech Swim Oscillator. Journal of

Neurophysiology 86, 824.

Gao, S., Guan, S.A., Fouad, A., Meng, J., Kawano, T., Huang, Y.-C., Li, Y., Alcaire, S., Hung, W., Lu, Y._, et_

_al._ (2017). Excitatory motor neurons are local oscillators for reverse locomotion. eLife 6, e29915.

Gao, S., Xie, L., Kawano, T., Po, M.D., Pirri, J.K., Guan, S., Alkema, M.J., and Zhen, M. (2015). The NCA

sodium leak channel is required for persistent motor circuit activity that sustains locomotion. Nat

Commun 6.

Gjorgjieva, J., Biron, D., and Haspel, G. (2014). Neurobiology of Caenorhabditis elegans Locomotion:

Where Do We Stand? BioScience 64, 476-486.

Goulding, M. (2009). Circuits controlling vertebrate locomotion: moving in a new direction. Nature

Reviews Neuroscience 10, 507-518.

Grillner, S., and El Manira, A. (2015). The intrinsic operation of the networks that make us locomote.

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 31, 244-249.

Grillner, S., McClellan, A., and Perret, C. (1981). Entrainment of the spinal pattern generators for

swimming by mechano-sensitive elements in the lamprey spinal cord in vitro. Brain Research 217, 380-

386.

Haspel, G., O'Donovan, M.J., and Hart, A.C. (2010). Motorneurons dedicated to either forward or

backward locomotion in the nematode C. elegans. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of

the Society for Neuroscience 30, 11151-11156.

Husson, S.J., Liewald, J.F., Schultheis, C., Stirman, J.N., Lu, H., and Gottschalk, A. (2012). Microbial Light-

Activatable Proton Pumps as Neuronal Inhibitors to Functionally Dissect Neuronal Networks in C.

elegans. PLoS ONE 7, e40937.

Jorgensen, E.M. (2005). GABA. In WormBook, WormBook, ed. (The C. elegans Research Community).

Karbowski, J., Schindelman, G., Cronin, C., Seah, A., and Sternberg, P. (2008). Systems level circuit model

of C. elegans undulatory locomotion: mathematical modeling and molecular genetics. J Comput

Neurosci 24, 253-276.

Kawano, T., Po, Michelle D., Gao, S., Leung, G., Ryu, William S., and Zhen, M. (2011). An Imbalancing Act:

Gap Junctions Reduce the Backward Motor Circuit Activity to Bias C. elegans for Forward Locomotion.

Neuron 72, 572-586.

Kerr, R. (2006). Imaging the activity of neurons and muscles. WormBook, ed. (The C. elegans Research

Community).

Kerr, R., Lev-Ram, V., Baird, G., Vincent, P., Tsien, R.Y., and Schafer, W.R. (2000). Optical Imaging of

Calcium Transients in Neurons and Pharyngeal Muscle of C. elegans. Neuron 26, 583-594.

Kiehn, O. (2006). Locomotor circuits in the mammalian spinal cord. Annual Review of Neuroscience 29,

279-306.

Kiehn, O. (2016). Decoding the organization of spinal circuits that control locomotion. Nat Rev Neurosci

17, 224-238.

Kim, E., Sun, L., Gabel, C.V., and Fang-Yen, C. (2013). Long-Term Imaging of Caenorhabditis elegans Using

Nanoparticle-Mediated Immobilization. PLoS ONE 8, e53419.

Kocabas, A., Shen, C.-H., Guo, Z., and Ramanathan, S. (2012). Controlling interneuron activity in

Caenorhabditis elegans to evoke chemotactic behaviour. Nature 490, 273-278.

Kristan, W.B., Calabrese, R.L., and Friesen, W.O. (2005). Neuronal control of leech behavior. Progress in

Neurobiology 76, 279-327.

Lai, C.-H., Chou, C.-Y., Ch'ang, L.-Y., Liu, C.-S., and Lin, W.-c. (2000). Identification of Novel Human Genes

Evolutionarily Conserved in Caenorhabditis elegans by Comparative Proteomics. Genome Research 10,

703-713.

Leifer, A.M., Fang-Yen, C., Gershow, M., Alkema, M.J., and Samuel, A.D.T. (2011). Optogenetic

manipulation of neural activity in freely moving Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Meth 8, 147-152.

Liewald, J.F., Brauner, M., Stephens, G.J., Bouhours, M., Schultheis, C., Zhen, M., and Gottschalk, A.

(2008). Optogenetic analysis of synaptic function. Nat Meth 5, 895-902.

Liu, P., Chen, B., Mailler, R., and Wang, Z.-W. (2017). Antidromic-rectifying gap junctions amplify

chemical transmission at functionally mixed electrical-chemical synapses. Nature Communications 8,

14818.

Liu, Q., Chen, B., Gaier, E., Joshi, J., and Wang, Z.W. (2006). Low conductance gap junctions mediate

specific electrical coupling in body-wall muscle cells of Caenorhabditis elegans. J Biol Chem 281.

Marder, E., and Bucher, D. (2007). Understanding Circuit Dynamics Using the Stomatogastric Nervous

System of Lobsters and Crabs. Annual Review of Physiology 69, 291-316.

Marder, E., Bucher, D., Schulz, D.J., and Taylor, A.L. (2005). Invertebrate Central Pattern Generation

Moves along. Current Biology 15, R685-R699.

Marder, E., and Calabrese, R.L. (1996). Principles of rhythmic motor pattern generation. Physiological

Reviews 76, 687-717.

McClellan, A.D., and Sigvardt, K.A. (1988). Features of entrainment of spinal pattern generators for

locomotor activity in the lamprey spinal cord. The Journal of Neuroscience 8, 133.

McIntire, S., Jorgensen, E., and Horvitz, H. (1993a). Genes required for GABA function in Caenorhabditis

elegans. Nature 364, 334-337.

McIntire, S., Jorgensen, E., Kaplan, J., and Horvitz, H. (1993b). The GABAergic nervous system of

Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 364, 337-341.

Mullins, O.J., Hackett, J.T., Buchanan, J.T., and Friesen, W.O. (2011). Neuronal control of swimming

behavior: Comparison of vertebrate and invertebrate model systems. Progress in Neurobiology 93, 244-

269.

Nagel, G., Brauner, M., Liewald, J.F., Adeishvili, N., Bamberg, E., and Gottschalk, A. (2005). Light

Activation of Channelrhodopsin-2 in Excitable Cells of Caenorhabditis elegans Triggers Rapid Behavioral

Responses. Current Biology 15, 2279-2284.

Pearce, R.A., and Friesen, W.O. (1985). Intersegmental coordination of the leech swimming rhythm. I.

Roles of cycle period gradient and coupling strength. Journal of Neurophysiology 54, 1444.

Pierce-Shimomura, J.T., Chen, B.L., Mun, J.J., Ho, R., Sarkis, R., and McIntire, S.L. (2008). Genetic analysis

of crawling and swimming locomotory patterns in C. elegans. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences 105, 20982-20987.

Qi, Y.B., Garren, E.J., Shu, X., Tsien, R.Y., and Jin, Y. (2012). Photo-inducible cell ablation in

Caenorhabditis elegans using the genetically encoded singlet oxygen generating protein miniSOG.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 7499-7504.

Rand, J.B. (2007). Acetylcholine. In WormBook, The C. elegans Research Community, ed.

Robertson, R.M., and Pearson, K.G. (1983). Interneurons in the flight system of the locust: Distribution,

connections, and resetting properties. The Journal of comparative neurology 215, 33-50.

Rotstein, H.G., Schneider, E., and Szczupak, L. (2017). Feedback Signal from Motoneurons Influences a

Rhythmic Pattern Generator. The Journal of Neuroscience.

Song, J., Ampatzis, K., Björnfors, E.R., and El Manira, A. (2016). Motor neurons control locomotor circuit

function retrogradely via gap junctions. Nature 529, 399-402.

Starich, T.A., Xu, J., Skerrett, I.M., Nicholson, B.J., and Shaw, J.E. (2009). Interactions between innexins

UNC-7 and UNC-9 mediate electrical synapse specificity in the Caenorhabditis elegans locomotory

nervous system. Neural Development 4, 16.

Stiernagle, T. (2006). Maintenance of *C. elegans*. In Wormbook, T.C.e.R. Community, ed.

Stirman, J., Crane, M., Husson, S.J., Wabnig, S., Schultheis, C., Gottschalk, A., and Lu, H. (2011). Real-time

multimodal optical control of neurons and muscles in freely behaving Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature

Methods 8, 153-159.

Sulston, J., and Hodgkin, J. (1988). Methods. In The Nematode Caenorthabditis elegans, W.B. Wood, ed.

(Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press).

Turek, M., Lewandrowski, I., and Bringmann, H. (2013). An AP2 Transcription Factor Is Required for a

Sleep-Active Neuron to Induce Sleep-like Quiescence in C. elegans. Current Biology 23, 2215-2223.

Varshney, L.R., Chen, B.L., Paniagua, E., Hall, D.H., and Chklovskii, D.B. (2011). Structural Properties of

the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Neuronal Network. PLoS Comput Biol 7, e1001066.

Waggoner, L.E., Zhou, G.T., Schafer, R.W., and Schafer, W.R. (1998). Control of Alternative Behavioral

States by Serotonin in <em>Caenorhabditis elegans</em>. Neuron 21, 203-214.

Weeks, J.C. (1981). Neuronal basis of leech swimming: separation of swim initiation, pattern generation,

and intersegmental coordination by selective lesions. Journal of Neurophysiology 45, 698-723.

Wen, Q., Po, M.D., Hulme, E., Chen, S., Liu, X., Wai, S., Kwok, Gershow, M., Leifer, A.M., Butler, V., *et al.*

(2012). Proprioceptive Coupling within Motor Neurons Drives C. elegans Forward Locomotion. Neuron

76.

Wendler, G. (1974). The influence of proprioceptive feedback on Locust flight co-ordination. Journal of

comparative physiology 88, 173-200.

White, J., Southgate, E., Thomson, J., and Brenner, S. (1986). The Structure of the Nervous System of the

Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B 314, 1-

340.

White, J.G., Southgate, E., Thomson, J.N., and Brenner, S. (1976). The Structure of the Ventral Nerve

Cord of Caenorhabditis elegans. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B, Biological

Sciences 275, 327-348.

Wicks, S., and Rankin, C. (1995). Integration of mechanosensory stimuli in Caenorhabditis elegans. The

Journal of Neuroscience 15, 2434-2444.

Xu, S., and Chisholm, A.D. (2016). Highly efficient optogenetic cell ablation in C. elegans using

membrane-targeted miniSOG.  6, 21271.

Yu, X., and Friesen, W.O. (2004). Entrainment of leech swimming activity by the ventral stretch receptor.

Journal of Comparative Physiology A 190, 939-949.

Yu, X., Nguyen, B., and Friesen, W.O. (1999). Sensory Feedback Can Coordinate the Swimming Activity of

the Leech. The Journal of Neuroscience 19, 4634.

Zhang, F., Wang, L.-P., Brauner, M., Liewald, J.F., Kay, K., Watzke, N., Wood, P.G., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G.,

Gottschalk, A., and Deisseroth, K. (2007). Multimodal fast optical interrogation of neural circuitry.

Nature 446, 633-639.

Zhen, M., and Samuel, A. (2015). C. elegans locomotion: small circuits, complex functions. Current

Opinion ini Neurobiology 33, 117-126.

Zheng, M., Friesen, W.O., and Iwasaki, T. (2007). Systems-level modeling of neuronal circuits for leech

swimming. J Comput Neurosci 22, 21-38.

Zheng, Y., Brockie, P.J., Mellem, J.E., Madsen, D.M., and Maricq, A.V. (1999). Neuronal Control of

Locomotion in C. elegans Is Modified by a Dominant Mutation in the GLR-1 Ionotropic Glutamate

Receptor. Neuron 24, 347-361.

1404

# Figure 1. Overview of curvature analysis and models of rhythm generation

**A**

Tail (x=100)

V

D

Head
(x=0)

**B**

Curvature (κL)

Time (s)

Body coordinate

**C**

## Single oscillator model

Head          Tail

🟢 Oscillator    Proprioceptive (reflex-like) coupling

**D**

## Multi-oscillator model

Imposed paralysis

**E**

Curvature

Time

Body coordinate

**F**

Curvature

Time

Body coordinate

## Figure 2: Anterior undulation is not required for posterior undulation

## Figure 3: Disruption of motor coupling in the neck de-synchronizes oscillations in the head and tail

# Figure 4. VNC premotor interneurons, D-type motor neurons, and individual B-type motor neurons are not required for 2FU

# Figure 5. B motor neurons are required for 2FU

## A

Ablated by P*unc-17β::PH::miniSOG* (DB) or IR Laser (VB):

**Mock** | **DB (with 2FU - rare)** | **DB (no 2FU - typical)** | **DB and most VB**

Curvature (κL)

Time (s)

Body coordinate

## B

$\frac{f_{mid}}{f_{head}}$

Before illum.
During illum.

***

Ablated: Mock | DB only | VB only | DB+VB

## C

Fraction scored 2FU

62/79

9/104 | 3/42 | 0/102

Mock | DB only | VB only | DB+VB

# Figure 6: Undulations generated in the tail after severing the dorsal and ventral nerve cords

# Figure 7: Tail undulations after severing the VNC and DNC in various locations.

# Figure 8: Head undulation frequency can be entrained by mid-body rhythmic inhibition

**Figure 9: Overview of forward locomotion**

**Figure 2 Figure Supplement 1: Tail undulation after gross head lesioning**

# Figure 3 Figure Supplement 1: Additional disruptions to motor coupling cause two-frequency undulation

**A** Global suppression & stimulation of tail B, AS
*Punc-17::NpHR*; *Punc-17::ChR2*; *Punc-4::MiniSOG*

**B** Transitioning to viscous substrate

(i)

(ii)

Curvature (κL)

Curvature (κL)

Curvature (κL)

NGM

HPMC

t=7.5s

Time (s)

Body Coordinate

# Figure 4 Figure Supplement 1: VNC premotor interneurons and several VNC motor neuron classes are not required for de-synchronized oscillations

**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 2: Laser ablation of AVB**

**A. Mock ablation**
(P*sra-11::D3cpv*)

**B. Laser ablation of AVB**
(P*sra-11::D3cpv*)

i

ii

# Figure 4 Figure Supplement 3: Subsets of B-type motor neurons are not required for 2FU

**A** Behavior

Curvature (κL)

i Mock

ii Ablated DB3, VB3, VB4

iii Ablated DB4, VB5, VB6

iv Ablated VB7, DB5

v Ablated VB8, VB9, DB6

vi Ablated DB6, VB10

vii Ablated DB7, VB11

Time (s)

Body Coordinate

**B** Fluorescence (P*acr-2::wCherry*)

Head / Tail

# Figure 4 Figure Supplement 4: B-type motor neurons posterior to the vulva are not required for 2FU

**A** Behavior

**B** Fluorescence (P*acr-2::wCherry*)

**i** Ablated VB8-VB10

**ii** Ablated VB9-DB7

**iii** Ablated VB8-DB7

**iv** Ablated VB8-DB7

Time (s)

Body Coordinate

**Figure 4 Figure Supplement 5: 2FU may occur without neck muscle relaxation in strains with motor circuit disruptions**

**Figure 5 Figure Supplement 1: Ablation of VB motor neurons**

# Figure 6 Figure supplement 1: A motor neurons are not required for undulation after severing nerve cords

# Figure 6 Figure supplement 2: B motor neurons are required for undulation after severing nerve cords

**A**

**B** Mock control
Punc-17β::PH::MiniSOG

**C** Ablated DB
Punc-17β::PH::MiniSOG

**D** Ablated DB & VB
Punc-17β::PH::MiniSOG,
IR Laser

Cut VNC & DNC posterior to pharynx, and damaged head

**E**

**F**

**Figure 8 Figure Supplement 1: VNC Cholinergic neurons mediate posterior-to-anterior entrainment**