Toxic si/shRNAs that kill cancer cells
by targeting survival genes

William Putzbach[1,6], Quan Q. Gao[1,6], Monal Patel[1,6], Stijn van Dongen[4], Ashley Haluck-Kangas[1], Aishe A. Sarshad[5], Elizabeth Bartom[2], Kwang-Youn A. Kim[3], Denise M. Scholtens[3], Markus Hafner[5], Jonathan C. Zhao[1], Andrea E. Murmann[1] and Marcus E. Peter[1,2,*]

[1] Department of Medicine/Division Hematology/Oncology and [2] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, [3] Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL 60611, USA; [4] European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SD, UK; [5] Laboratory of Muscle Stem Cells and Gene Regulation, NIAMS, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA.

Corresponding author: Marcus Peter, E-mail: m-peter@northwestern.edu, phone: 312-503-1291; FAX: 312-503-0189.

[6] Shared first authorship

Keywords:
RNAi, Fas, cancer, CRISPR, cell death, DISE, OTE

Abstract

Over 80% of multiple tested siRNAs and shRNAs targeting CD95 or CD95 ligand (CD95L) induce a form of cell death characterized by simultaneous activation of multiple cell death pathways preferentially killing transformed and cancer stem cells. We now show these si/shRNAs kill cancer cells through canonical RNAi by targeting the 3'UTR of critical survival genes in a unique form of off-target effect we call DISE (death induced by survival gene elimination). Drosha and Dicer deficient cells, devoid of most miRNAs, are hypersensitive to DISE, suggesting cellular miRNAs protect cells from this form of cell death. By testing 4666 shRNAs derived from the CD95 and CD95L mRNA sequences and an unrelated control gene, Venus, we have identified many toxic sequences - most of them located in the open reading frame of CD95L. We propose that using specific toxic RNAi-active sequences present in the genome can kill cancer cells.

Introduction

One of the most popular methods utilized to reduce gene expression in cells is RNA interference (RNAi). RNAi has been used in several studies to identify genes critical for the survival of human cancer cell lines (Cowley et al., 2014; Hadji et al., 2014; Hart, Brown, Sircoulomb, Rottapel, & Moffat, 2014; Morgens, Deans, Li, & Bassik, 2016; Wang et al., 2015). During RNAi, gene expression is inhibited by small interfering (si)RNAs, small hairpin (sh)RNAs or micro (mi)RNAs. miRNAs are generated as primary transcripts in the nucleus where they undergo processing to pre-miRNAs by the Drosha-DGCR8 complex before being exported to the cytosol by exportin 5 (Ha & Kim, 2014; Krol, Loedige, & Filipowicz, 2010). Once in the cytosol, pre-miRNAs and shRNAs are cleaved by Dicer, a type III RNase that functions in complex with TRBP, generating 21-23 nucleotide long fragments of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) that have two nucleotide 3' overhangs (Zamore, Tuschl, Sharp, & Bartel, 2000). DsRNA fragments or chemically synthesized double stranded siRNAs are loaded into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) as single stranded RNAs (the guide RNA) (Siomi & Siomi, 2009). A near-perfect complementarity between the guide strand of the si/miRNA and the target mRNA sequence results in cleavage of the mRNA (Pratt & MacRae, 2009). Incomplete complementarity results in inhibition of protein translation and contributes to mRNA degradation (Guo, Ingolia, Weissman, & Bartel, 2010). mRNA targeting is mostly determined by the seed sequence, positions 2-7/8 of the guide strand, which is fully complementary to the seed match in the 3'UTR of targeted mRNAs. Similar to miRNAs, although not fully explored, siRNAs and shRNAs also target multiple mRNAs besides the mRNAs they were designed to silence—a phenomenon commonly referred to as off-target effect (OTE)—that is generally sought to be avoided (Birmingham et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2005).

The death receptor CD95 (Fas/APO-1) mediates induction of apoptosis when bound by its cognate CD95L, most prominently in the context of the immune system (Krammer, 2000). However, more recently, it has become apparent that the CD95/CD95L system has multiple tumor-promoting activities (Peter et al., 2007). CD95 signaling promotes cell growth (Chen et al., 2010), increases motility and invasiveness of cancer cells (Barnhart et al., 2004; Kleber et al., 2008), and promotes cancer stemness (Ceppi et al., 2014; Drachsler et al., 2016; Qadir et al., 2017). In fact, we reported tumors barely grew *in vivo* when the CD95 gene was deleted (Chen et al., 2010; Hadji et al., 2014). Therefore, it appeared consistent that multiple shRNAs and siRNAs targeting either CD95 or CD95L slowed down cancer cell growth (Chen et al., 2010) and

engaged a distinct form of cell death characterized by the activation of multiple cell death

pathways (Hadji et al., 2014). This unique form of cell death cannot be inhibited by conventional

cell death or signaling pathway inhibitors or by knockdown of any single gene in the human

genome (Hadji et al., 2014); it preferentially affects transformed cells (Hadji et al., 2014)

including cancer stem cells (Ceppi et al., 2014). Here we report that loading of CD95 and

CD95L derived sequences (si/shRNAs targeting CD95 or CD95L) into the RISC elicits a distinct

form of cell death that results from the targeting of multiple survival genes in a unique form of

OTE.

Results

si/shRNAs kill cells in the absence of the targeted site

More than 80% of multiple tested shRNAs or siRNAs designed to target either CD95 or CD95L

were toxic to multiple cancer cells (Hadji et al., 2014). We have now extended this analysis to

Dicer substrate 27mer DsiRNAs designed to target CD95L (***Figure 1 - figure supplement 1A***,

(D. H. Kim et al., 2005)). All five DsiRNAs displayed toxicity when introduced into HeyA8 cells

at 5 nM (***Figure 1 - figure supplement 1B***) reinforcing our previous observation that the

majority of CD95 and CD95L targeting si/shRNAs are toxic to cancer cells. We also analyzed a

data set of a genome-wide analysis of 216 cells infected with a pooled library of the TRC

shRNAs (Cowley et al., 2014). Most of the shRNAs we have tested were found to be depleted in

the infected cell lines included, in this study. The following shRNAs were found to be depleted

in the listed percentage of the 216 cell lines tested: shL4 (99.5%), shL1 (96.8%), shR6 (88.9%),

shR7 (75%), shL2 (67.1%), shR5 (38.4%, shL5 (26.4%), and shR8 (21.3%) (***Figure 1 - figure***

supplement 1C). Consistent with our data, shL1 and shR6 were found to be two of the most toxic

shRNAs. Again in this independent analysis, the majority of tested shRNAs (67%) targeting

either CD95 or CD95L killed more than half of all tested cancer cell lines.

Interestingly, a more recent RNAi screen did not report toxicity after expressing shRNAs

against CD95 or CD95L (Morgens et al., 2016). The authors of this study used a second-

generation shRNA platform based on a miR-30 backbone. To determine the source of the

discrepancy in the data, we generated miR-30 based Tet-inducible versions of some of our most

toxic shRNAs (shL1, shL3, shL4, shR5, shR6, and shR7, ***Figure 1- figure supplement 2A***) and

found none of them to be highly toxic to HeyA8 cells (***Figure 1- figure supplement 2B)***. To

determine their knockdown efficiency, we induced their expression in cells carrying sensor

plasmids in which the fluorophore Venus was linked to either the CD95L or CD95 open
reading frame (ORF). Expression of most of these miR-30-based shRNAs also did not efficiently
silence Venus expression (*Figure 1- figure supplement 2C*). In contrast, two of our most toxic
shRNAs shL3 and shR6 when expressed in the Tet inducible pTIP vector not only killed HeyA8
cells, but also very efficiently suppressed Venus fluorescence in cells expressing the targeted
Venus sensor (*Figure 1- figure supplement 2D*). These data suggest that the levels of shRNAs
produced from the miR-30 based vector may not be sufficient to be toxic to the cancer cells.
Because expression levels of shRNAs are difficult to titer, we used siRNAs to determine the
concentration of the toxic CD95L-derived siL3 required to kill HeyA8 cells (*Figure 1- figure*
supplement 2E). Growth was effectively blocked (and cells died, data not shown) when siL3 was
transfected at 1 nM—a concentration well below the commonly used and recommended siRNA
concentration of 5-50 nM)—but not at 0.1 nM. These data suggest this form of toxicity does not
require high amounts of si- or shRNAs; however, that the low expression we achieved from the
miR-30 based shRNA vectors was not enough to effectively induce the toxicity. Because these
miR-30 based shRNA vectors were developed to reduce off-target effects, the toxicity of CD95
and CD95L targeting si/shRNAs described by us and others could be due to an OTE. While this
was a plausible explanation, the high percentage of toxic si/shRNAs derived from CD95 and
CD95L seemed to exclude a standard OTE and pointed at a survival activity of CD95 and
CD95L.

We therefore tested whether exogenously added recombinant CD95L protein could protect
cells from the toxicity of CD95L-derived shRNAs. When NB7 cells were incubated with
different concentrations of a soluble form of CD95L (S2), toxicity exerted by shL1 was not
affected (*Figure 1A, left panel*). NB7 neuroblastoma cells were chosen for these experiments
because they lack expression of caspase-8 (Teitz et al., 2000) and hence are completely resistant
to the apoptosis inducing effects of CD95L. An ostensible moderate and dose-dependent
protection was detected when cells were treated with a highly active leucine-zipper tagged
CD95L (LzCD95L) (*Figure 1A, center panel*). However, this effect is likely due to the growth-
promoting activities of soluble CD95L, which also significantly affected the growth of the cells
expressing a scrambled control shRNA (seen for both S2 and LzCD95L). The recombinant
LzCD95L protein was active, as demonstrated by its apoptosis-inducing capacity in CD95
apoptosis sensitive MCF-7 cells (*Figure 1A, right panel)*.

To test whether CD95L or CD95 proteins could protect cancer cells from death, we

introduced silent mutations into the targeted sites of three very toxic shRNAs: shL1 and shL3

(both targeting CD95L) and shR6 (targeting CD95). We first introduced eight silent mutations

into the sites targeted by either shL1 or shL3 (**Figure 1B**) and expressed these proteins in NB7

cells (**Figure 1C**). Both mutant constructs were highly resistant to knockdown by their cognate

shRNA but still sensitive to knockdown by the other targeting shRNA (**Figure 1C**).

Overexpression of these shRNA-resistant versions of the CD95L ORF did not protect the cells

from shL1 or shL3, respectively (**Figure 1D**). Interestingly, expression of full length CD95L

slowed down the growth of the NB7 cells right after infection with the lentivirus despite the

absence of caspase-8 (data not shown). Infection with shRNAs was therefore performed 9 days

after introducing CD95L when the cells had recovered and expressed significant CD95L protein

levels (**Figure 1C**). We then mutated the CD95 mRNA in the targeted site of shR6 (**Figure 1E**).

Neither expression of wild-type (wt) nor mutated (MUT) CD95 in MCF-7 cells (**Figure 1F**)

reduced the toxicity when cells were infected with the pLKO-shR6 or another toxic lentiviral

shRNA, pLKO-shR7 (**Figure 1G**). These data suggested that neither exogenously added

recombinant CD95L or exogenously expressed CD95L or CD95 protein can protect cells from

toxic shRNAs derived from these genes.

To determine whether we could prevent cancer cells from dying by this form of cell death by

deleting the endogenous targeted sites, we used CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing to excise sites

targeted by different shRNAs and siRNAs in both alleles of the CD95 and CD95L genes. We

first deleted a 41 nt piece of the CD95L gene in 293T cells, that contained the target site for shL3

(**Figure 2A, 2C**). While internal primers could not detect CD95L mRNA in three tested clones,

primers outside of the deleted area did detect CD95L mRNA (**Figure 2D**, and data not shown).

Three clones with this shL3 Δ41 deletion were pooled and tested for toxicity by shL3 expressed

from a Tet-inducible plasmid (pTIP-shL3). Compared to a pool of control cells transfected only

with the Cas9 plasmid, the 293T shL3 Δ41 cells were equally sensitive to the toxic shRNA

(**Figure 2G**). This was also observed when the clones were tested individually (data not shown).

To exclude the possibility that shL3 was inducing cell death due to a unique activity of shL3

and/or 293T cells, we deleted the same 41 nt in CD95L in the ovarian cancer cell line HeyA8;

We also generated HeyA8 clones in which we either removed a 64 nt region containing the target

site for the siRNA siL3 in the CD95L coding sequence or a 227 nt region containing the target

site for shR6 in CD95 (**Figure 2A, 2B** and **Figure 2 - figure supplement 1**). In all cases,

homozygous deletions were generated (***Figure 2E***). To confirm the deletion of the shR6 target

site, we infected HeyA8 cells treated with the Cas9 plasmid only and HeyA8 with a homozygous

deletion of the shR6 site with shR6 and, as positive controls, with shR2 (targeting the CD95

ORF) and shR6' (targeting the CD95 3'UTR). Five days after infection, CD95 mRNA was

quantified by real time PCR using a primer located outside the 227bp deletion (***Figure 2F***). The

mutated CD95 mRNA was still detectable in the shR6 Δ227 cells. While shR2 and shR6' (both

targeting outside the deleted region) caused knockdown of CD95 mRNA in both the Cas9

expressing control and the shR6 Δ227 cells, shR6 could only reduce mRNA expression in the

Cas9 control cells. These data document that HeyA8 CD95 shR6 Δ227 cells no longer harbor the

sequence targeted by shR6.

Now having HeyA8 cells lacking one of three RNAi-targeted sites in either CD95 or CD95L,

we could test the role of the CD95 and CD95L gene products in protecting HeyA8 cells from the

death induced by either shRNA (shL3 and shR6, two different vectors: pLKO or the Tet

inducible pTIP) or the siRNA siL3. In all cases, the shRNA or siRNA that targeted the deleted

region was still fully toxic to the target-site deleted cells (***Figure 2H*** and ***2I***). We saw efficient

growth reduction and cell death in siL3 site deleted cells transfected with as little as 1 nM siL3

(***Figure 2I***, and data not shown). These data firmly establish that cells were not dying due to the

knockdown of either CD95 or CD95L.

Involvement of canonical RNAi

shRNAs and early generation naked siRNAs showed general toxicity when introduced in large

amounts, presumably by eliciting an interferon (IFN) response (Marques & Williams, 2005) or

by saturating the RISC (Grimm et al., 2006). However, both chemically modified siRNAs at very

low concentrations and lentiviral shRNAs at an MOI<1 were still toxic (data not shown). We

therefore decided to test whether the observed toxicity involved canonical RNAi and activity of

the RISC. To test shRNAs or siRNAs targeting CD95L, we introduced the Venus-CD95L sensor

(inset in ***Figure 3A***, right panel) into HeyA8 CD95 protein k.o. cells we had generated in the

process of deleting the shR6 site (***Figure 2 - figure supplement 1,*** clone # 2 was used for the

following studies; see figure legend for strategy and characterization of the clones). While

double-stranded (ds)-siL3 effectively silenced Venus expression and induced toxicity, neither the

sense nor the antisense single-stranded (ss)RNAs significantly decreased Venus expression or

induced toxicity (***Figure 3A***). In addition, no activity was found when ds-siL3, synthesized as

deoxyribo-oligonucleotides, was transfected into the cells (*Figure 3B*). Using this type of

analysis, we tested a number of modified siRNAs for RNAi activity and toxicity. For siRNAs to

be fully active they require 3' overhangs on both strands (Bernstein, Caudy, Hammond, &

Hannon, 2001). Converting siL3 to a blunt-ended duplex resulted in substantial loss of RNAi

activity and toxicity (*Figure 3C*). Due to the topology of the RISC, siRNA activity is decreased

by modification of the 5' end of the antisense/guide strand (Chiu & Rana, 2003). To test whether

cell death induced by siL3 would be affected by a bulky modification, we placed a Cy5 moiety at

any of the four possible ends of the siL3 duplex. Only when the siL3 duplex carried a 5'

modification in the guide strand did it prevent RNAi activity and toxicity; modifications in the

three other positions had no effect (*Figure 3C*). This was confirmed for another siRNA, siL2. To

test whether the toxicity of siL3 required association with a macromolecular complex, which

would be consistent with RISC involvement, we performed a competition experiment. HeyA8

cells were transfected with 10 nM of siL3, and a mutated nontoxic oligonucleotide, siL3MUT,

was titered in (*Figure 3D*). siL3MUT reduced the growth inhibitory activity of siL3 in a dose-

dependent fashion suggesting that siL3 and siL3MUT compete for the same binding site in the

cells, pointing at involvement of the RISC.

To determine involvement of RNAi pathway components in the toxicity of CD95 and

CD95L-derived sequences, we tested HCT116 cells deficient for either Drosha or Dicer (Y. K.

Kim, Kim, & Kim, 2016). Growth of parental HCT116 cells was impaired after infection with

shL3 or shR6 viruses (**Figure 3E**, left panel). Consistent with the requirement of Dicer to

process shRNAs, Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells were completely resistant to the toxic shRNAs (*Figure 3E*, center

panel). This was also supported by the inability of shR6 to silence CD95 protein expression in

these cells (*Figure 3F*). Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells were not resistant to toxic siRNAs as these cells died when

transfected with siL3, which is consistent with mature siRNAs not needing further processing by

Dicer (*Figure 3G*, center panel). Interestingly, Drosha$^{-/-}$ cells were hypersensitive to the two

toxic shRNAs (*Figure 3E*, right panel, p<0.0001, according to a polynomial fitting model), and

shR6 efficiently knocked down CD95 expression in Drosha$^{-/-}$ cells (*Figure 3F*). Both Drosha$^{-/-}$

and Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells were much more susceptible to the toxicity induced by siL3 than parental cells

(*Figure 3G*, center and right panel, p<0.0001, according to a polynomial fitting model). The

hypersensitivity of the Drosha$^{-/-}$ cells to toxic si/shRNAs and of Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells to toxic siRNAs can

be explained by Drosha$^{-/-}$ and Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells allowing much more efficient uptake of mature toxic

RNAi-active species into the RISC because they are almost completely devoid of competing

endogenous miRNAs (Y. K. Kim et al., 2016).

To determine the contribution of the siRNA seed sequence to their toxicity, we generated a

set of chimeric siRNAs in which we systematically replaced nucleotides of the toxic siL3 siRNA

with nucleotides of a nontoxic scrambled siRNA. We did this starting either from the seed end or

from the opposite end (***Figure 3H***). HeyA8 cells expressing both the Venus-CD95L sensor (to

monitor level of knockdown) and a Nuc-Red plasmid to fluorescently label nuclei (to monitor the

effects on cell growth) were transfected with 5 nM of the chimeric siRNAs; total green

fluorescence and the number of red fluorescent nuclei were quantified over time. The siL3

control transfected cells showed an almost complete suppression of the green fluorescence and

high toxicity. In the top panel of ***Figure 3H,*** the data are summarized in which siL3 nucleotides

were stepwise replaced with siScr nucleotides from the seed sequence end. Both RNAi and

toxicity were profoundly reduced when three of the terminal siL3 nucleotides were replaced with

the siScr nucleotides in those positions, suggesting the seed region (6mer highlighted in blue) is

critical for both activities. Consistently, as shown in the bottom panel of ***Figure 3H***, when siL3

nucleotides were replaced with siScr nucleotides from the non-seed end, neither RNAi nor the

toxicity was diminished until replacements affected residues in the seed region. These data

suggest the 6mer seed sequence of siL3 was critical for both RNAi activity and its toxicity.

Toxic si/shRNAs cause downregulation of survival genes

A general OTE by RNAi has been reported (Birmingham et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2006; Lin et

al., 2005). However, this was been found to cause toxicity in most cases, and the targeted

mRNAs were difficult to predict (Birmingham et al., 2006). The fact that 22 of the tested CD95

and CD95L-targeting sh- and si/DsiRNAs were toxic to many cancer cells evoking similar

morphological and biological responses (Hadji et al., 2014) generated a conundrum: Could an

OTE trigger a specific biology? To test this, we expressed two toxic shRNAs - one targeting

CD95L (shL3) and one targeting CD95 (shR6) - in cells lacking their respective target sequences

and subjected the RNA isolated from these cells to an RNA-Seq analysis. In order to detect

effects that were independent of cell type, delivery method of the shRNA, or targeted gene, we

expressed shL3 in 293T (ΔshL3) cells using the Tet-inducible vector pTIP and shR6 in HeyA8

(ΔshR6) cells using the pLKO vector. In each case, changes in RNA abundance were compared

to cells in which expressing a non-targeting shRNA in matching vectors. Total RNA was

harvested in all cases at either the 50-hour time point (before the onset of cell death) or at the

100-hour time point (during cell death) (***Figure 4A***). To achieve high stringency, the data were

then analyzed in two ways: first, using a conventional alignment-based analysis to identify genes

for which the mRNA changed more than 1.5-fold (and an adjusted p-value of less than 0.05) and

second, by a read-based method, in which we first identified all reads that changed >1.5-fold and

then subjected each read to a BLAST search to identify the gene it was derived from. Only

RNAs that were detected by both methods were considered (***Table S1***). The combination of the

analyses resulted in one mRNA that was upregulated and 11 mRNAs that were downregulated

(***Figure 4B***). Using an arrayed qPCR approach, most of these detected mRNA changes were

validated for both cell lines (***Figure 4 - figure supplement 1A***). Interestingly, for nine of the

eleven genes, published data suggest they are either highly upregulated in cancer and/or critical

for the survival of cancer cells, as their inhibition or knockdown resulted in either growth

reduction or induction of various forms of cell death (see legend of ***Figure 4 - figure supplement***

1 for details). Significantly, six of these eleven downregulated genes were recently identified in

two independent genome-wide RNAi lethality screens to be critical for cancer cell survival

(Blomen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015) (***Figure 4B*** and ***Figure 4 - figure supplement 1B***)

(***Table S2***). Considering these two screens only identified 6.6% of human genes to be critical for

cell survival, we found a significant enrichment (54.5%, p-value = 3 x 10^{-6} according to binomial

distribution) of these survival genes among the genes downregulated during the cell death

induced by either shL3 or shR6. All six survival genes are either highly amplified or mutated in

human cancers (***Figure 4 - figure supplement 2A***). In addition to these six genes, GNB1 and

HIST1H1C were reported to be required fitness genes in a recent high-resolution CRISPR-based

screen (Hart et al., 2015). A kinetic analysis showed most of the deregulated mRNAs were

downregulated early with a significant effect already at 14 hours, more than two days before the

onset of cell death (***Figure 4 - figure supplement 1C*** and data not shown). This suggested the

cells were dying because of the silencing of multiple critical survival genes, providing an

explanation for why multiple cell death pathways were activated. We therefore call this type of

cell death DISE (for Death Induced by Survival gene Elimination).

To confirm some of the downregulated genes were also critical survival genes for HeyA8

cells, we transfected HeyA8 cells with siRNA SmartPools targeting each of the eleven genes.

Individual knockdown of seven of the targeted genes resulted in reduced cell growth when

compared to cells transfected with a pool of scrambled siRNAs (***Figure 4C***). To mimic the effect

of the CD95 and CD95L-derived shRNAs, we treated HeyA8 cells with a combination of

siRNA pools targeting these seven genes. Remarkably, 1 nM of this siRNA mixture (35.7 pM of

each individual siRNA) was sufficient to effectively reduce growth of the cells (*Figure 4 -*

figure supplement 2B) and also cause substantial cell death (*Figure 4 - figure supplement 2C*),

suggesting it is possible to kill cancer cells with very small amounts of siRNAs targeting a

network of these survival genes.

To test the generality of this phenomenon, we inducibly expressed another CD95L derived

shRNA, shL1, in 293T cells using the pTIP vector, and transfected HeyA8 cells with 25 nM

siL3. We subjected the cells to RNA-Seq analysis 100 hours and 48 hours after addition of Dox

or after transfection, respectively. To determine whether survival genes were downregulated in

all cases of sh/siRNA induced cell death, we used a list of 1883 survival genes and 423 genes not

required for survival (nonsurvival genes) recently identified in a CRISPR lethality screen (*Table*

S2). We subjected the four ranked RNA-Seq data sets to a gene set enrichment analysis using the

two gene sets (*Figure 4D*). In all cases, survival genes were significantly enriched towards the

top of the ranked lists (most downregulated). In contrast, nonsurvival genes were not enriched.

One interesting feature of DISE that emerged was the substantial loss of histones. Of the 16

genes that were significantly downregulated in cells treated with any of the four sh/siRNAs, 12

were histones (*Figure 4E*). While it might be expected that dying cells would downregulate

highly expressed genes such as histones, we believe that losing histones is a specific aspect of

DISE because a detailed analysis revealed the downregulated histones were not the most highly

expressed genes in these cells (*Figure 4 - figure supplement 3*). In addition, almost as many

genes with similarly high expression were found to be upregulated in cells after DISE induction.

A Metascape analysis revealed genes involved in mitotic cell cycle, DNA conformation

change, and macromolecular complex assembly were among the most significantly

downregulated across all cells in which DISE was induced by any of the four sh/siRNAs (*Figure*

4F). These GO clusters are consistent with DISE being a form of mitotic catastrophe with cells

unable to survive cell division (Hadji et al., 2014) and suggest a general degradation of

macromolecular complexes.

Toxic si/shRNAs target survival genes in their 3'UTR

To test whether the toxic shRNAs directly targeted genes through canonical RNAi, we subjected

the two gene lists obtained from the RNA-Seq analysis (the cell lines treated with either shL3 or

shR6 at the 50 hour time point) to a Sylamer analysis (van Dongen, Abreu-Goodger, &

Enright, 2008) designed to find an enrichment of miRNA/siRNA targeted sites in the 3'UTR of a

list of genes ranked according to fold downregulation (***Figure 5A***). This analysis identified a

strong enrichment of the cognate seed match for shL3 and shR6 in cells treated with either of

these two shRNAs. The analyses with cells treated with shRNAs for 100 hours looked similar

but less significant, suggesting early targeting by the shRNAs followed by secondary events

(data not shown). Enrichment in 6mers and 8mers were both detected (only 8mers shown) in the

3'UTRs but not the ORF of the ranked genes (data not shown).

Interestingly, the seed matches detected by the Sylamer analysis were shifted by one

nucleotide from the expected seed match based on the 21mer coded by the lentivirus. RNA-Seq

analysis performed for the small RNA fraction confirmed in all cases (shScr and shL3 in pTIP,

and shScr and shR6 in pLKO), the shRNAs in the cells were cleaved in a way resulting in the

predominant formation of an siRNA shifted one nucleotide away from the shRNA loop region

(black arrow heads in ***Figure 5 - figure supplement 1A***). This allowed us to design toxic mature

siRNAs based on the sequences of shL3 and shR6. These shRNA-to-siRNA converts were toxic

to HeyA8 cells (***Figure 5 - figure supplement 1B***) confirming that the observed toxicity was not

limited to the TRC shRNA platform, but based on a sequence-specific activity of the si/shRNAs.

The generalizability of the Sylamer results for shL3 and shR6 was tested with cells treated

with either shL1 or siL3. In both cases, when the ranked RNA Seq data were subjected to a

Sylamer analysis, the seed matches of the si/shRNA introduced were again significantly enriched

in the 3'UTR of downregulated RNAs (***Figure 5 - figure supplement 2***). In none of the Sylamer

analyses of the four data sets, did we see enrichment of seed matches in the 3'UTRs of

downregulated RNAs that matched the passenger strand. In all cases, the only significantly

enriched sequences matched the seed sequences in the guide strand of the si/shRNAs we

introduced.

Our data suggested that DISE inducing si/shRNAs caused an early loss of survival genes, and

at the same time downregulated RNAs through canonical RNAi targeting their 3'UTR. However,

it was not clear whether the most highly downregulated survival genes were targeted in their

3'UTR by RNAi-active sequences. We determined as little as 6 nucleotides dictated whether an

siRNA killed cancer cells (see ***Figure 3H***). 10 of the 11 targeted genes identified in the RNA-

Seq analysis described in ***Figure 4A*** *and* ***4B*** contained multiple 6mer seed matches for either

shL3 and/or shR6 (***Figure 5B***). It is therefore likely the two shRNAs, shL3 and shR6, killed cells

by targeting a network of genes enriched in critical survival genes through RNAi. The only
gene without an shL3 or shR6 seed match was HIST1H1C. Interestingly, only four of the
histones downregulated in cells after treatment with any of the four tested sh/siRNAs had a
3'UTR (underlined in ***Figure 4E***) suggesting that most histones were not directly targeted by the
sh/siRNAs.

Using multiplex qPCR, we tested whether other toxic shRNAs targeting either CD95 or
CD95L also caused downregulation of some of the 11 genes silenced by shL3 and shR6. HeyA8
cells were transfected with the toxic siRNA siL3 (RNA harvested at 80 hours) or the toxic
shRNAs shL1, shL3 or shR7 (RNA harvested at 100 hrs.). While shL1 did not have much of an
effect on the expression of these genes, shR7 caused downregulation of 7 of 11 of the same
genes targeted by shL3 even though the 6mer seed matches of the two shRNAs are very different
(CTTTGT for shL3 and GGAGGA for shR7) (***Figure 4 - figure supplement 1D***).

To determine whether preferential targeting of survival genes was responsible for the death
of the cells, we tested whether there was an association between the presence or absence of a
predicted seed match in the 3'UTR for the si/shRNA introduced and whether a gene would be
downregulated (>1.5 fold downregulated, $p<0.05$) among survival genes using the Fisher's Exact
test (***Figure 5C***). In almost all cases, this analysis revealed that survival genes containing a
predicted seed match in their 3'UTR were statistically more likely to be downregulated than
survival genes without such a motif. The analysis with shL1 treated cells did not reach statistical
significance, likely due to the fact that this shRNA was found to be very toxic and the 100 hour
time point may have been too late to observe evidence of significant targeting. This
interpretation is supported by the observation that the significance for both shL3 and shR6 to
target survival genes was higher at 50 hours when compared to the 100 hour time points (***Figure
5C***) and that the Sylamer analysis of the shL1 treated cells was less significant after 100 hours of
treatment than any of the other Sylamer analyses (***Figure 5 - figure supplement 2***).

Now that we had established that the toxicity of the studied shRNAs involved targeting of
survival genes rather than CD95 or CD95L we had to assume that when studying a larger set of
shRNAs that the level of knockdown of the targeted genes and the toxicity were not strictly
correlated. This was confirmed for the TRC shRNAs targeting the ORF or 3'UTR of CD95 in
CD95 high expressing HeyA8 cells (***Figure 5 - figure supplement 3***). While some of the toxic
shRNAs efficiently silenced CD95 (i.e. shR6 and shR2) others did not (i.e. shR5). In summary,

our analyses suggest that cells die by DISE due to an early and selective silencing of survival

genes through targeting seed matches in their 3'UTR followed by the downregulation of histones.

Identification of toxic shRNAs in the CD95L and CD95 mRNAs

The majority of commercially available si- Dsi-, and shRNAs targeting either CD95 or CD95L

were highly toxic to cancer cells. We therefore asked whether these two genes contained

additional sequences with similar activity. To test all shRNAs derived from either CD95L or

CD95, we synthesized all possible shRNAs, 21 nucleotides long, present in the ORF or the

3'UTR of either CD95L or CD95 starting with the first 21 nucleotides after the start codon, and

then shifting the sequence by one nucleotide along the entire ORF and 3'UTR (**Figure 6A**). We

also included shRNAs from a gene not expressed in mammalian cells and not expected to

contain toxic sequences, Venus. All 4666 oligonucleotides (700 Venus, 825 CD95L ORF, 837

CD95L 3'UTR, 987 CD95 ORF, and 1317 CD95 3'UTR shRNAs) were cloned into the Tet-

inducible pTIP vector (**Figure 6B**) as five individual pools. We first tested the activity of each

individual pool to be toxic and to target the Venus sensor protein (fused to either the ORF of

CD95 or CD95L). NB7 cells were again used because of their resistance to the Venus-CD95L

sensor which was found to be slightly toxic to CD95 apoptosis competent cells. NB7-Venus-

CD95L cells infected with the Venus-targeting shRNA pool showed some reduction in

fluorescence when Dox was added, however, the shRNA pool derived from the CD95L ORF was

much more active in knocking down Venus (**Figure 6 - figure supplement 1A**). No significant

green fluorescence reduction was detected in cells after infection with the shRNA pool derived

from the CD95L 3'UTR since the targeted sequences were not part of the sensor. Similar results

were obtained when NB7-Venus-CD95 cells were infected with the Venus, CD95 ORF, and

CD95 3'UTR targeting shRNA pools. To determine their ability to reduce cell growth (as a

surrogate marker for toxicity), we infected NB7 parental cells with each of the five pools

(parental cells were used for this experiment to avoid a possible sponge effect by expressing

either CD95L or CD95 sequences that were part of the Venus sensors). Interestingly, the pool of

700 shRNAs derived from Venus did not cause any toxicity (**Figure 6 - figure supplement 1B**).

In contrast, the pool of the shRNAs derived from CD95L significantly slowed down growth,

while no toxicity was observed when cells were infected with the pool of shRNAs derived from

the CD95L 3'UTR. In the case of CD95, both the shRNAs derived from the ORF and the 3'UTR

showed some toxicity. However, the shRNAs derived from the 3'UTR caused greater toxicity

compared to those derived from the ORF. The data suggests that overall the shRNAs derived

from the CD95L ORF and the CD95 3'UTR contain the most toxic sequences.

To determine the toxicity of each of the shRNAs in the pools, NB7 cells were infected with

the libraries of shRNA viruses (MOI<1), and after puromycin selection cells were pooled 1:1:1

(Venus ORF/CD95L ORF/CD95L 3'UTR pools or Venus ORF/CD95 ORF/CD95 3'UTR pools)

to allow for competition between shRNAs when Dox was added (*Figure 6B*). Cells were

cultured for 9 days with and without Dox to allow for cell death to occur. To identify depleted

shRNAs, shRNA barcodes were detected through next generation sequencing of PCR products to

determine the relative abundance of each shRNA in three pools: 1) the cloned plasmid libraries,

2) cells after infection and culture for 9 days without Dox, and 3) cells infected and cultured with

Dox for 9 days. A total of 71,168,032 reads were detected containing a complete sequence of one

of the cloned shRNAs. Virtually all shRNAs were substantially represented in the cloned

plasmids (*Table S3*). The shRNAs in the CD95L pool (comprised of the Venus, CD95L ORF,

and CD95L 3'UTR subpools) and the CD95 pool (comprised of the Venus, CD95 ORF, and

CD95 3'UTR subpools) were ranked from highest (most toxic) to lowest underrepresentation.

During this and subsequent analyses, we noticed in many cases, Dox addition did cause a

reduction of shRNAs, indicating an increase in toxicity; however, in other instances, infection

alone and without the addition of Dox was toxic. This effect was likely due to the well-described

leakiness of the Tet-on system (Pham, Moretti, Goodall, & Pitson, 2008), which we confirmed

for shR6 in NB7 cells (*Figure 6 - figure supplement 2A*). To capture all toxic shRNAs, we

therefore decided to split the analysis into two halves: 1) the changes in abundance after

infection compared to the composition in the plasmid pool (infection -Dox) and 2) the changes in

abundance after Dox addition compared to the infected –Dox cells (infection +Dox). In

subsequent analyses shRNAs underrepresented after infection are either boxed (*Figure 6C*) or

shown (*Figure 6D*, *7B* and *Figure 7 - figure supplement 1B*) in blue and the ones

underrepresented after Dox addition are either boxed or shown in orange. The results for all

shRNAs are shown in *Figure 6 - figure supplement 2B*. Grey dots represent all shRNAs and red

dots represent only the ones that were significantly underrepresented at least 5-fold.

Interestingly, the highest abundance of downregulated shRNAs was found in the CD95L ORF

and the CD95 3'UTR pools of shRNAs, which is consistent with the increased toxicity observed

when NB7 cells were infected with either of these two pools individually (see *Figure 6 - figure*

supplement 1B). The shRNAs of these two toxic pools were highly enriched in the

underrepresented shRNAs in the two pooled experiments (CD95L and CD95). Their toxicity

was also evident when all shRNAs in each pool (2362 shRNAs in the CD95L and 3004 shRNAs

in the CD95 pool) were ranked according to the highest fold downregulation (***Figure 6C***). The

three subpools in each experiment are shown separately. Thus, again this analysis identified the

ORF of CD95L and the 3'UTR of CD95 as the subpool in each analysis with the highest

enrichment of underrepresented shRNAs (***Figure 6C***).

This analysis allowed us to describe the toxicity landscape of CD95L and CD95 ORFs and

their 3'UTRs (***Figure 6D***). All shRNAs significantly underrepresented at least five-fold (red dots

in ***Figure 6 - figure supplement 2B***) are shown along the CD95L pool (***Figure 6D***, left) and the

CD95 pool (***Figure 6D***, right) sequences. For both CD95L and CD95, toxic shRNAs localized

into distinct clusters. The highest density of toxic sequences was found in the stretch of RNA

that codes for the intracellular domain of CD95L (underlined in green in ***Figure 6D***).

Predicting shRNA toxicity - the toxicity index (TI) and GC content

Our data suggest toxic shRNAs derived from either CD95L or CD95 kill cancer cells by

targeting a network of genes critical for survival through canonical RNAi. Therefore, we

wondered how many 8mer seed sequences derived from these toxic shRNAs would have

corresponding seed matches in the 3'UTR of critical survival genes in the human genome. Would

it be possible to predict with some certainty in an *in silico* analysis what shRNAs would be toxic

to cells? To calculate such a hypothetical toxicity index, we used the ranked CRISPR data set

(Wang et al., 2015) with 1883 survival genes (SGs) and 423 nonSGs. Based on our RNA-Seq

analyses, we hypothesized the survival genes contained more putative seed matches for toxic

shRNAs in their 3'UTRs than the nonsurvival genes (***Figure 7A***, left) and that the number of

seed matches in the 3'UTRs of survival genes divided by the number of seed matches in the

3'UTR of nonsurvival genes would, to some extent, predict toxicity of an si/shRNA (***Figure 7A***,

right).

To establish a Toxicity Index (TI) for each shRNA, we first gathered 3'UTR sequences for

1846 of the survival genes and 416 of the nonsurvival genes. We then generated a list containing

a normalized ratio of occurrences of every possible 8mer seed match in the 3'UTRs of the

survival and non-survival gene groups. This resulted in a ratio for each of the 65,536 possible

8mer combinations (***Table S4***), the TI. We then assigned to each of the 4666 shRNAs in our

screen its TI, and ranked each pool within the two experiments of our screen according to the

highest TI (red stippled lines in ***Figure 7B***). We then further separated the shRNAs into two

groups: those that were toxic just after infection and those toxic after addition of Dox (***Figure***

7B, ***Table S5***). In each ranked list, we could now assess whether the experimentally determined

toxicity of shRNAs correlated with the *in silico* predicted TI. Remarkably, the highest

enrichment of toxic shRNAs was found amongst those with higher TI for the subpool of shRNAs

targeting the CD95L ORF followed by shRNAs in the subpool targeting the CD95 3'UTR. To

confirm the significance of this finding, we repeated the analysis 10,000 times by randomly

assigning 8mers and their associated TIs to the two shRNA pools and again sorted the data from

highest to lowest TI. The reported p-values were calculated based on these permutated datasets

using Mann-Whitney U tests.

We noticed that survival genes tend to be more highly expressed than nonsurvival genes

(data not shown). To address the question whether toxic si/shRNAs only target survival genes or

all genes that are highly expressed, we recalculated the TI based on a set of 850 highly expressed

and expression matched survival and nonsurvival genes (***Figure 7 - figure supplement 1A***). This

alternative TI tracked slightly less well with the toxic shRNAs we identified, but the enrichment

of toxic shRNAs towards the top of the list ranked according to the new TI was still statistically

significant (***Figure 7 - figure supplement 1B***). This analysis demonstrates survival genes contain

more seed matches for toxic shRNAs in their 3'UTR than nonsurvival genes regardless of the

expression level. This suggests, to a certain extent, it is possible to predict the experimental

toxicity of shRNAs based on the *in silico* calculated TI.

Our data suggest DISE results from a sequence-specific off-target activity that depends on

the presence of certain seed matches in the 3'UTR of survival genes. Thus, DISE inducing RISC

associated small RNAs behave in manner similar to miRNAs. This raised the question whether

these seed matches have special properties. While we did not find a sequence motif that was

present in all toxic si/shRNAs, we did find that sequence composition, specifically GC content,

which has been reported to affect the specificity of shRNAs (Gu et al., 2014; Ui-Tei et al., 2004),

correlated with the toxicity of shRNAs. When the GC content of the 6mer seed sequences of all

underrepresented shRNAs detected in the shRNA screen across the CD95L ORF was plotted we

found a significant correlation between the GC content and higher toxicity (indicated by

underrepresentation) (***Figure 7C and 7D***). This correlation was even more pronounced when

plotting GC content versus the 6mer toxicity index (***Table S4***) (***Figure 7E***). While not an

absolute requirement, higher GC content made shRNAs more toxic, consistent with reports

demonstrating that shRNAs with high GC content in the seed region showed decreased on-

target and increased off-target activity (Gu et al., 2014; Ui-Tei et al., 2004). In summary, our

data suggest that si- and/or shRNAs with certain seed sequences are toxic to cancer cells by

targeting critical survival genes through an RNAi mechanism independent of both Drosha and

Dicer. Furthermore, the data suggest high miRNA content, presumably through competing for

occupancy in the RISC, might render cells less sensitive to DISE.

Discussion

Most current uses of RNAi are aimed toward highly specific silencing with little OTE. In fact,

OTEs represent one of the largest impediments to the use of RNAi in phenotypic screening

applications. We now demonstrate DISE is a unique form of OTE that results in the simultaneous

activation of multiple cell death pathways in cancer cells. The discovery that DISE involves loss

of multiple survival genes now provides an explanation for the unique properties we described

for this form of cell death, especially the observation that cancer cells have a hard time

developing resistance to this cell death mechanism (Hadji et al., 2014; Murmann et al., 2017).

DISE represents a specific form of RNAi OTE

There are a number of rules that have been elucidated for designing si/shRNAs (Bramsen et al.,

2009) to avoid undesired effects such as OTE (Petri & Meister, 2013), general toxicity due to the

presence of toxic sequence motifs (Fedorov et al., 2006; Petri & Meister, 2013),

poisoning/saturating of the RISC (Grimm et al., 2006), or evocation of an IFN response

(Marques & Williams, 2005). The following arguments and evidence support our prediction that

DISE is a manifestation of a novel, functionally important, conserved mechanism of genome

regulation, and not the result of one of the above-mentioned effects:

1) The sheer number of toxic shRNAs embedded in CD95L or CD95. A number of genome-wide

shRNA and siRNA lethality screens have revealed that 2-5% of shRNAs targeting human

genes are toxic to cells. We recently reported in 12 independent arrayed shRNA lethality

screens the identification of 651 genes out of about 18,000 targeted genes that are critical for

the survival of 8 different cancer cell lines (Hadji et al., 2014). Many of the genes targeted by

these shRNAs were actually established survival genes (as discussed in (Hadji et al., 2014)).

That means that the number of shRNAs that are toxic due to a possible OTE or general

toxicity would be expected to be very small. In contrast, we found that >80% of the shRNAs

and siRNAs that were designed to target either CD95 or CD95L exhibited toxicity in multiple

cell lines. Consistent with our data analysis a parallel genome-scale loss of function screen

confirmed that the majority of the tested shRNAs derived from either CD95L and CD95 were

toxic to a majority of the tested 216 cell lines when used as a pooled library (Cowley et al.,

2014). These also included a number of hematopoietic cell lines suggesting that the DISE

effect is not limited to solid cancers. Interestingly, in this study the authors did not consider

the data on most of the CD95L and CD95 targeting shRNAs to be significant as they received

a low consistency score. A high consistency score predicts the observed phenotype (cell

death or growth reduction in this case) is caused by knocking down the targeted gene (Shao et

al., 2013). However, we have demonstrated here that the toxicity of an shRNA is solely

dependent on its seed and the transcriptome of the treated cells. Therefore, the results of every

shRNA should be considered individually as far as the DISE inducing effect is concerned.

2) High concentrations of siRNAs can saturate the RISC, preventing the access of crucial

endogenous miRNAs (Khan et al., 2009). We have demonstrated that, in general, 5 nM of

CD95L-derived siRNAs are sufficient to kill cancer cells. We have even seen very efficient

cell death with as little as 1 nM of siRNA (see ***Figure 2I** and **Figure 1 - figure supplement***

2E). It is therefore unlikely we are poisoning the RISC. It has been reported that in siRNA

overexpression experiments, changes in mRNA expression can be caused by blocked access

of endogenous miRNAs to the RISC, such as the highly expressed miRNA family, let-7

(Khan et al., 2009). However, we can exclude such an effect in our analysis, as there was no

significant enrichment (or depletion) of the let-7 seed match motif (or that of any other

miRNA) in our analyses (black lines in ***Figure 5A***).

3) No IFN response was observed. We have performed multiple RNA-Seq and gene array

analyses of cells in which DISE was induced by multiple si/shRNAs targeting CD95 or

CD95L. In none of these analyses did we detect an increase in any of the known IFN response

genes (Schoggins et al., 2011) (data not shown). In addition, we demonstrated the latest

generation of Dicer optimized 27mer DsiRNAs that do not elicit an IFN response (D. H. Kim

et al., 2005) and the shRNAs expressed from within the cells shown to have low IFN

triggering activity (Robbins et al., 2006) have the same toxic activities as the standard 21mer

siRNAs (see ***Figure 1 - figure supplement 1A** and **1B***).

4) Mutation of just one position destroys activity. A major argument against DISE toxicity being

caused by overloading the RISC, an IFN response or the presence of known toxic sequences,

lies in the analysis of the chimeras we generated between siL3 and a non-toxic scrambled

oligonucleotide (see ***Figure 3H***). This analysis demonstrated that the seed match positions of

siL3 are critical for its toxicity. In fact, just replacing one nucleotide in a critical position in

the center of the seed match almost completely abolished toxicity of the siRNA.

What are the requirements for an si/shRNA to induce DISE?

Our data provide strong evidence that the toxicity observed is a sequence-specific event

caused by seed matches present in the targets of the toxic si/shRNAs rather than by a toxic motif

enriched in all toxic si/shRNAs (i.e. the UGGC motif described before (Fedorov et al., 2006)).

We did find a correlation between the toxicity of shRNAs (both predicted by the TI and

experimentally determined in the shRNA screen) and the GC content in their seed region. While

this correlation was significant, it was not a requirement as some of the most toxic si- and

shRNAs had a low 8mer seed GC content (shL3, 25%; shR6, 25%; siL3, 37.5%). Our data

suggests that survival genes may contain different types of seed matches (based on base

composition or sequence) when compared to nonsurvival genes. Such a distinction has indeed

been described before (Stark, Brennecke, Bushati, Russell, & Cohen, 2005). In a study in

Drosophila, it was determined that survival genes are depleted of seed matches targeted by

highly expressed miRNAs. These authors concluded that evolution must have selected against

the presence of seed matches for highly expressed miRNAs in the 3'UTR of survival genes. It is

therefore not surprising that a gene ontology (GO) analysis of all miRNA targets (the "targets")

in this study described these genes as being involved in development and differentiation (Stark et

al., 2005). In contrast, genes not targeted by miRNAs (the "antitargets") grouped in GO clusters

that were consistent with cell survival (Stark et al., 2005). A similar phenomenon was also

shown in mammalian cells; genes with fewer miRNA target sites, as predicted by Targetscan,

contained distinct enriched GO terms from those enriched in genes with many predicted target

sites. The genes with fewer sites were enriched in GO terms like ribosomal subunits and

respiratory chain, whereas target-heavy genes were more enriched in regulatory-related GO

terms (Zare, Khodursky, & Sartorelli, 2014). It is possible the DISE inducing si/shRNAs carry

seed sequences that preferentially target seed matches present in the 3'UTRs of the "anti-

targets". However, as our data on the miR-30 based shRNAs suggest, DISE-inducing shRNAs

must be expressed at a certain level to be toxic.

DISE is caused by loading of the guide strand of toxic si/shRNAs into the RISC

Part of our data was generated using a widely used first generation stem loop shRNA platform,

the TRC library. The TRC shRNAs have recently been found to be prone to cause OTE. Gu *et al.*

showed that the loop design of this system results in imprecise Dicer cleavage and, consequently,

the production of different mature small-RNA species that increase passenger loading, one major

source of OTE (Gu et al., 2012). More recently it was reported that most guide RNAs derived

from the TRC hairpin were shifted by 4 nt 3' of the expected 5' start site (Watanabe, Cuellar,

& Haley, 2016). While we did see a shift in processing of these stem loop shRNAs, we did not

see such a high level of imprecision in the cleavage of our toxic shRNAs. In fact, 99.4% of the

shR6 guide RNAs started at the same nucleotide position (***Figure 5 - figure supplement 1A***).

The majority of the processing of both our pTIP and pLKO-based shRNAs was shifted by one

nucleotide (***Figure 5 - figure supplement 1A***). This shift was consistent with the defined seed

matches that were detected in the Sylamer analyses. In general, one major seed match was

detected with one other minor species (this was less obvious for shL1, ***Figure 5 - figure***

supplement 2). Furthermore, all four Sylamer analyses only detected enrichments in the 3'UTR

of downregulated mRNAs that were consistent with only the guide strand targeting the mRNA

and not the passenger strand. In all cases, including in cells transfected with the siRNA siL3, the

primary enriched sequence motifs were either 7, or 8mers present in the 3'UTR of the targeted

mRNAs.

DISE has features of the RNAi OTE previously reported

Our data on DISE are consistent with a number of properties of RNAi OTE that have previously

been reported. Similar to DISE, OTE mediated silencing requires a 6/7nt seed sequence of

complementarity (Birmingham et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2005) and it targets

mRNAs in the 3'UTR (Birmingham et al., 2006). Our data on shRNAs, siRNAs, and DsiRNAs

suggest that DISE is not limited to one platform and requires sequence specific targeting. This

conclusion is also consistent with a previous report that suggested that sequence-dependent off-

target transcript regulation is independent of the delivery method (Jackson et al., 2006). The

authors found the same enrichment of 6mers and 7mers in 3'UTRs of targeted mRNAs for

siRNAs and shRNAs (Jackson et al., 2006).

The role of Dicer in DISE

We previously reported that Dicer[Exo5-/-] HCT116 cells (with deleted Exon 5) were at least as

sensitive to induction of DISE (by either shL3 or shR6) than wt cells suggesting that Dicer

deficient cells could be killed by DISE (Hadji et al., 2014). It is has been reported that these

Dicer deficient cells are hypomorphs (Ting et al., 2008) and indeed, we detected low residual

Dicer expression by Western blotting (Hadji et al., 2014). We have now revisited this issue with

HCT116 cells rendered completely deficient for Dicer using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing (Y. K.

Kim et al., 2016). The fact that these Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells were now completely resistant to the toxic

effects of shL3 or shR6 demonstrates the complete absence of Dicer protein and activity. Similar

to the Drosha$^{-/-}$ cells, in the absence of mature miRNAs, which seem to attenuate DISE, Dicer$^{-/-}$

cells are hypersensitive to DISE induced by siRNAs.

Open questions regarding the relevance of DISE

We are proposing an entirely new concept of killing cancer cells that is based on the toxicity of

CD95 and CD95L derived small RNAs. Naturally, there are many open questions such as:

1) Is DISE part of an anti-cancer mechanism? We are proposing that DISE kills cancer cells

in a way that they usually cannot escape from. We have not found a way to block cancer cells

from dying by DISE. We provide strong evidence to suggest this is due to the simultaneous

targeting of multiple survival genes that result in the activation of multiple cell death pathways.

It will be difficult to prove cells are dying due to the preferential targeting of survival genes. It

may never be possible to express multiple siRNA resistant survival genes at the same time at

physiological levels to render cancer cells resistant to the action of countless small RNAs. This

prediction alone makes DISE a promising new strategy to kill cancer cells.

2) Does CD95L induce DISE *in vivo*? We recently found that overexpression of the CD95L

ORF is toxic to cancer cells and that this kills cancer cells in a manner very similar to DISE

induction (unpublished data). We and others have noticed upregulation of CD95L in multiple

stress related conditions such as treatment with chemotherapy ((Friesen, Fulda, & Debatin, 1999)

and data not shown). While the amount of CD95L RNA and the level of upregulation alone may

not be enough to induce DISE, it could result from the combined expression of multiple RNAs

that when generated kill cells by DISE. We view CD95L as just one of many RNAs that have

this activity.

3) Are there other genes in the human genome containing toxic seed sequences? We recently

identified other genes in the genome that contain DISE inducing shRNAs (Patel & Peter, 2017).

It is therefore possible that when cells are subjected to genotoxic or oncogenic stress that they

generate numerous small RNAs that can be taken up by the RISC and in combination execute

DISE. Hence, our analysis of CD95/CD95L will likely be applicable to other genes.

A model for why DISE preferentially kills cancer cells

We interpret the hypersensitivity of both Drosha$^{-/-}$ and Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells to DISE in the following

way: Most of the small RNAs in the cells that are loaded into the RISC are miRNAs. Using

AGO pull-down experiments we determined 98.4% of AGO associated RNAs in HCT116 cells

to be miRNAs (99.3% in HeyA8 cells, data not shown). It was recently reported that Drosha$^{-/-}$

cells showed a reduction of miRNA content from roughly 70-80% to 5-6%, and Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells

showed a reduction down to 14-21% (Y. K. Kim et al., 2016). Since neither Drosha$^{-/-}$ nor Dicer$^{-/-}$

cells express reduced AGO2 protein levels (see subset ***Figure 3E***), it is reasonable to assume that

their RISC can take up many more of the toxic DISE inducing RNAs than the RISC in wt cells

explaining the super toxicity of both DISE inducing si/shRNAs and CD95L mRNAs in these

cells.

We previously showed expression of either shL3 and shR6 induced DISE in immortalized

normal ovarian fibroblasts much more efficiently than in matching nonimmortalized cells (Hadji

et al., 2014), suggesting that this form of cell death preferentially affects transformed cells. Our

data now provide an interesting model to explain the higher sensitivity of cancer cells to DISE

when compared to normal cells. It is well documented that cancer cells in general have global

downregulation of miRNAs when compared to normal tissues (Lu et al., 2005). This might free

up the RISC for DISE inducing RNAs and would imply that miRNAs may protect normal cells

from DISE.

Overall our data allow us to predict that any small RNA with DISE inducing RNAi activity

that does not require Dicer processing can kill cancer cells regardless of Dicer or Drosha status.

In fact, in an accompanying manuscript we demonstrate that DISE can be triggered *in vivo* to

treat ovarian cancer in mouse xenografts by delivering CD95L-derived siRNAs using

nanoparticles (Murmann et al., 2017). No toxicity was observed in the treated mice. These data

suggest that it might be possible to develop a novel form of cancer therapy based on the DISE

OTE mechanism.

Materials and methods

Reagents and antibodies

Primary antibodies for Western blot: anti-β-actin antibody (Santa Cruz #sc-47778), anti-human

CD95L (BD Biosciences #556387), and anti-human CD95 (Santa Cruz #sc-715), anti-human

AGO2 (Abcam #AB186733), anti-human Drosha (Cell Signaling #3364), and anti-Dicer (Cell

Signaling #3363). Secondary antibodies for Western blot: Goat anti-rabbit; IgG-HRP (Southern

Biotech #SB-4030-05 and Cell Signaling #7074) and Goat anti-mouse; IgG1-HRP; (Southern

BioTech #1070-05). Conjugated antibody isotype control for CD95 surface staining were

FITC-mouse anti-human CD95 (BD Biosciences #556640) and FITC-mouse IgG1, κ isotype

control (BD Biosciences #551954). Recombinant soluble S2 CD95L and leucine-zipper tagged

(Lz)CD95L were described before (Algeciras-Schimnich et al., 2003). Reagents used: propidium

iodide (Sigma-Aldrich #P4864), puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich #P9620), G418 (Affymetrix

#11379), zVAD-fmk (Sigma-Aldrich #V116, used at 20 μM), doxycycline (Dox) (Sigma-

Aldrich #9891), Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher Scientific #11668027), and Lipofectamine

RNAiMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific #13778150).

Cell lines

The ovarian cancer cell line HeyA8, the neuroblastoma cell line NB7, and the breast cancer cell

line MCF-7 were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Cellgro #10-040-CM), 10% heat-inactivated

FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% L-glutamine (Mediatech Inc), and 1% penicillin/streptomycin

(Mediatech Inc). The human embryonic kidney cell line 293T and Phoenix AMPHO cells were

cultured in DMEM (Cellgro #10-013-CM), 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 1% L-Glutamine, and 1%

penicillin/streptomycin.

HCT116 Drosha$^{-/-}$ and Dicer$^{-/-}$ cells were generated by Narry Kim (Y. K. Kim et al., 2016).

HCT116 parental (cat#HC19023), a Drosha$^{-/-}$ clone (clone #40, cat#HC19020) and two Dicer$^{-/-}$

clones (clone #43, cat#HC19023 and clone #45, cat#HC19024) were purchased from Korean

Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC). All HCT116 cells were cultured in McCoy's medium

(ATCC, cat#30-2007), 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 1% L-Glutamine, and 1% penicillin/

streptomycin. All cell lines were authenticated using STR profiling and tested monthly for

mycoplasm using PlasmoTest (Invitrogen).

All lentiviruses were generated in 293T cells using pCMV-dR8.9 and pMD.G packaging

plasmids. Retroviruses were generated in Phoenix AMPHO cells using the VSVg packaging

plasmid.

NB7 cells overexpressing wild type and mutant CD95L cDNAs used in *Figure 1C and D*

were generated by infecting cells seeded at 50,000 to 100,000 cells per well on a 6-well plate

with empty pLenti, pLenti-CD95L-WT, pLenti-CD95L-L1MUT, and pLenti-CD95L-L3MUT

(described below) with 8 μg/ml polybrene. Selection was done with 3 μg/ml puromycin for at

least 48 hours.

MCF-7 cells overexpressing CD95 cDNAs used in *Figure 1F* were generated by seeding

cells at 50,000 per well in a 6-well plate followed by infection with pLNCX2-CD95 or pLNCX2-

CD95R6MUT (described below) in the presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene. Selection was done with

200 µg/ml G418 48 hours after infection for 2 weeks.

The HeyA8 cells used in *Figure 3D* carried a lentiviral Venus-siL3 sensor vector (Murmann

et al., 2017) and were infected with NucLight Red lentivirus (Essen Bioscience #4476) with 8

µg/ml polybrene and selected with 3 µg/ml puromycin and sorted for high Venus expression 48

hours later. HeyA8 ΔshR6 clone #2 sensor cells used in *Figure 3A* to *3C* were infected with

lentiviruses generated from the Venus-CD95L sensor vector (described below) to over-express

the Venus-CD95L chimeric transcript. Cells were sorted for high Venus expression 48 hours

later. NB7 cells over-expressing either the Venus-CD95L sensor or the Venus-CD95 sensor

(described below) used in *Figure 6 – figure supplement 1A* were similarly generated.

Plasmids and constructs

The Venus-CD95L ORF and Venus-CD95 ORF (full length) sensor vectors were created by sub-

cloning the Venus-CD95L or the Venus-CD95 inserts (synthesized as a minigene by IDT with

flanking XbaI RE site on the 5' end and EcoRI RE site at the 3' end in the pIDTblue vector),

which are composed of the Venus ORF followed by either the CD95L ORF (accession number

NM_000639.2) or the CD95 ORF (accession number BC012479.1) as an artificial 3'UTR (both

lacking the A in the start codon), respectively, into the modified CD510B vector (Ceppi et al.,

2014) using XbaI and EcoRI. Ligation was done with T4 DNA ligase.

The pLNCX2-CD95R6MUT vector was synthesized by replacing a 403bp fragment of the

CD95 ORF insert from the pLNCX2-CD95-WT vector (Hadji et al., 2014) with a corresponding

403bp fragment that had 8 silent mutation substitutions at the shR6 site (5'-

GTGTCGCTGTAAACCAAACTT -> 5'-*ATGTCGCTGCAAGCCCAATTT*-3') using BstXI (NEB

#R0113) and BamHI (NEB #R3136) restriction enzymes (mutant insert was synthesized in a

pIDTblue vector with 5' end BstXI site and 3' end BamHI RE site).

Dox-inducible vectors expressing shRNAs were constructed by subcloning an annealed

double-stranded DNA insert containing the sequence encoding the shRNA hairpin (sense strand:

5'-*TGGCTTTATATATCTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCGNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN*

CTCGAGnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnTTTTTGTACCGAGCTCGGATCCACTAGTCCAGTGTGGG

CATGCTGCGTTGACATTGATT-3') into the pTIP-shR6 vector (Hadji et al., 2014). BsaBI (NEB

#R0537) and SphI-HF (NEB #R3182) were used to digest both the pTIP-shR6 vector (to

excise the shR6 insert) and the double-stranded shRNA DNA cassette insert followed by ligation

with T4 DNA ligase. The template oligos were purchased from IDT. The poly-N represents the

two 21bp sequences that transcribe for the sense (*N*) and antisense (*n*) shRNA.

miR-30 based shRNAs were generated by The Gene Editing & Screening Core, at Memorial

Sloan Kettering, NY, by converting the 21mers expressed in the pLKO and pTIP vectors into

22mers followed by cloning into the Dox-inducible LT3REPIR vector as described (Dow et al.,

2012). A vector expressing an shRNA against Renilla luciferase was used as control (Dow et al.,

2012).

CRISPR deletions

We identified two gRNAs that target upstream and downstream of the site to be deleted. These

gRNAs were expected to result in the deletion of a DNA piece just large enough to remove the

target site. The CRISPR gRNA scaffold gene blocks were from IDT and consisted of the DNA

sequence   5'-*TGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTTAAAGGAACCAATTCAGTCGACTGGATCCGGTA*

CCAAGGTCGGGCAGGAAGAGGGCCTATTTCCCATGATTCCTTCATATTTGCATATACGA

TACAAGGCTGTTAGAGAGATAATTAGAATTAATTTGACTGTAAACACAAAGATATTAGTACA

AAATACGTGACGTAGAAAGTAATAATTTCTTGGGTAGTTTGCAGTTTTAAAATTATGTTTTAA

AATGGACTATCATATGCTTACCGTAACTTGAAAGTATTTCGATTTCTTGGCTTTATATATCTT

GTGGAAAGGACGAAACACCGNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGC

AAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAGTCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTT

TCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTA-3' (Mali   et   al.,   2013)*;* The poly-

NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN represents the 19nt target sequence. The two 19nt target

sequences for excision of the shL3 site (Δ41 deletion) were 5'-*CCTTGTGATCAATGAAACT*-3'

(gRNA #1) and 5'-*GTTGTTGCAAGATTGACCC*-3' (gRNA #2). The two target sequences for

the Δ227 deletion of the shR6 site were 5'-*GCACTTGGTATTCTGGGTC*-3' and 5'-

TGTTTGCTCATTTAAACAC-3'. The two target sequences for Δ64 deletion of the siL3 site were

5'-*TAAAACCGTTTGCTGGGGC*-3' and 5'-*TATCCCCAGATCTACTGGG*-3'. Target sequences

were identified using the CRISPR gRNA algorithm found at http://crispr.mit.edu/; only gRNAs

with scores over 50 were used. These 6 gene blocks were sub-cloned into the pSC-B-amp/kan

plasmid using the StrataClone Blunt PCR Cloning kit (Agilent Technologies #240207).

The target sites of siL3, shL3, and shR6 were homozygously deleted from target cells by

co-transfecting Cas9 plasmid with each corresponding pair of pSC-B-gRNA plasmids. Briefly,

400,000 cells were seeded per well on a 6-well plate the day prior to transfection. Each well was

transfected with 940 ng of Cas9-GFP plasmid (pMJ920) (Jinek et al., 2013) and 450 ng of each

pSC-B-gRNA plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000. Media was replaced next day. One to two

days later, cells were sorted for the top 50% population with the highest green fluorescence.

Those cells were cultured for an additional week to let them recover. The cells were then sorted

by FACS (BD FACSAria SORP system) directly into 96-well plates containing a 1:1 ratio of

fresh media:conditioned media for single cell cloning. Approximately two to three weeks later,

single cell clones were expanded and subjected to genotyping. PCR using both a primer pair that

flanked the region to be deleted and another pair containing one flanking primer and one internal

primer was used to screen clones for homozygous deletion. For detection of the Δ41 deletion of

the shL3 site, the flanking external primers were 5'-*TCTGGAATGGGAAGACACCT*-3' (Fr

primer) and 5'- *CCTCCATCATCACCAGATCC*-3' (Rev primer), and the internal Rev primer was

5'-*ATATACAAAGTACAGCCCAGT*-3'. For detection of the Δ227 deletion of the shR6 site, the

flanking external primers were 5'-*GGTGTCATGCTGTGACTGTTG*-3' (Fr primer) and 5'-

TTTAGCTTAAGTGGCCAGCAA-3' (Rev primer), and the internal Rev primer was 5'-

AAGTTGGTTTACATCTGCAC-3'. For detection of the Δ64 deletion of the siL3 site, the flanking

external   primers   were   5'-*CTTGAGCAGTCAGCAACAGG*-3'   (Fr   primer)   and   5'-

CAGAGGTTGGACAGGGAAGA-3' (Rev primer), and the internal Rev primer was 5'-

ATATGGGTAATTGAAGGGCTG-3'. After screening the clones, Sanger sequencing was

performed to confirm that the proper deletion had occurred. Three clones were pooled for each

si/shRNA target site deletion except for HeyA8 ΔshR6 for which only clone #11 showed

homozygous deletion of the shR6 site; clones #1 and 2 were not complete shR6 deletion mutants,

but frame-shift mutations did occur in each allele (as in clone #11) making them CD95 knockout

clones as depicted in ***Figure 2 - figure supplement 1A*** *and* ***B***.

Knockdown with pLKO lentiviruses

Cells were infected with the following pLKO.2 MISSION Lentiviral Transduction Particles

(Sigma): pLKO.2-puro non-targeting (scramble) shRNA particles (#SHC002V), 8 non-

overlapping shRNAs against human CD95L mRNA (accession number #NM_000639),

TRCN0000058998 (shL1: GCATCATCTTTGGAGAAGCAA), TRCN0000058999 (shL2:

CCCATTTAACAGGCAAGTCCA),   TRCN0000059000   (shL3:
ACTGGGCTGTACTTTGTATAT),   TRCN0000059001   (shL4:
GCAGTGTTCAATCTTACCAGT),   TRCN0000059002   (shL5:
CTGTGTCTCCTTGTGATGTTT),   TRCN0000372231   (shL6:
TGAGCTCTCTCTGGTCAATTT),   TRCN0000372232   (shL2': TAGCTCCTCAACTC
ACCTAAT), and TRCN0000372175 (shL5': GACTAGAGGCTTGCATAATAA), and 9 non-
overlapping shRNAs against human CD95 mRNA (accession number NM_000043),
TRCN0000218492 (shR2: CTATCATCCTCAAGGACATTA), TRCN00000 38695 (shR5:
GTTGCTAGATTATCGTCCAAA),   TRCN0000038696   (shR6:   GTGCAGA
TGTAAACCAAACTT), TRCN0000038697 (shR7: CCTGAAACAGTGGCAATAAAT),
TRCN0000038698 (shR8: GCAAAGAGGAAGGATCCAGAT), TRCN0000265627 (shR27':
TTTTACTGGGTACATTTTATC),   TRCN0000255406   (shR6':   CCCTTGTGTTT
GGAATTATAA),   TRCN0000255407   (shR7':   TTAAATTATAATGTTTGACTA),   and
TRCN0000255408 (shR8': ATATCTTTGAAAGTTTGTATT). Infection was carried out
according to the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, 50,000 to 100,000 cells seeded the day before
in a 6-well plate were infected with each lentivirus at an M.O.I of 3 in the presence of 8 µg/ml
polybrene overnight. Media change was done the next day, followed by selection with 3 µg/ml
puromycin 24 hours later. Selection was done for at least 48 hours until puromycin killed the
non-infected control cells. For infection of NB7 cells over-expressing pLenti-CD95L cDNAs
with pLKO lentiviral particles as in *Figure 1C and D*, cells were seeded at 5,000 per well on a
24-well plate and infected with an M.O.I. of 20 to ensure complete infection. For infection of
MCF-7 cells over-expressing pLNCX2-CD95 cDNAs with pLKO lentiviruses as in *Figure 1G*,
cells were seeded at 7,000 per well on a 24-well plate and infected at an M.O.I. of three. 3 µg/ml
puromycin was added 48 hours after infection. For infection of HCT116, Drosha$^{-/-}$, and Dicer$^{-/-}$
cells in *Figure 3E*, cells were seeded at 100,000 per well in a 24-well plate and infected at an
M.O.I of three. 3 µg/ml puromycin was added 48 hours after infection.

Knockdown with pTIP-shRNA viruses
Cells were plated at 50,000 to 100,000 cells per well in a 6-well plate. Cells were infected with
lentivirus generated in 293T cells from the desired pTIP-shRNA vector in the presence of 8
µg/ml Polybrene. Media was replaced 24 hours later. Selection was done 48 hours after infection
with 3 µg/ml puromycin. Induction of shRNA expression was achieved by adding 100 ng/ml

Dox to the media. For infection with the LT3REPIR-shRNA viruses cells were plated and

infected as described above for pTIP-shRNA viruses. After selection with 3 μg/ml puromycin

was complete, they were plated in 96-well plates and the shRNA expression was induced by

adding Dox (100 ng/ml) to the media. The cell confluency over time was measured using

Incucyte.

Transfection with short oligonucleotides

siRNAs were either purchased from Dharmacon (*Figures 2I* and *4D*, *Figure 1 - figure*

supplement 1A, Figure 5 - supplement 2) or synthesized by IDT (*Figure 3A*) as sense and

antisense RNA (or DNA for *Figure 3B, Figure 5 - supplement 1B,*) oligos and annealed. The

sense RNA oligonucleotides had 3' 2 deoxy-T overhangs. The antisense RNA oligos were

phosphorylated at the 5' end and had 3' 2 deoxy-A overhangs. siRNAs targeting CD95L (and

controls) were as follows: siRNA (Scr, sense: UGGUUUACAUGUUGUGUGA), siL1 (sense:

UACCAGUGCUGAUCAUUUA), siL2 (sense: CAACGUAUCUGAGCUCUCU), siL3 (sense:

GCCCUUCAAUUACCCAUAU), siL4 (sense: GGAAAGUGGCCCAUUUAAC), and

siL3MUT (sense: GGACUUCAACUAGACAUCU). The siL3 DNA oligos (sense:

GCCCTTCAATTACCCATAT) and Scr DNA oligos (sense: TGGTTTACATGTTGTGTGA)

were used in *Figure 3B*. Blunt siL3 and siScr RNA oligos without the deoxynucleotide

overhangs as well as siL2 and siL3 RNA oligos with Cy5-labelled 5' or 3' ends (IDT) were used

in *Figure 3C*. DsiRNA used in *Figure 1 - figure supplement 1* were Dsi13.X (sense RNA oligo:

CAGGACUGAG AAGAAGUAAAACCdGdT, antisense RNA oligo:

ACGGUUUUACUUCUUCUCAGUCCUGUA), DsiL3 (sense RNA oligo:

CAGCCCUUCAAUUACCCAUAUCCdCdC, antisense RNA oligo:

GGGGAUAUGGGUAAUUGAAGGGCUGCU), Dsi-13.2 (sense RNA oligo: AUCUU

ACCAGUGCUGAUCAUUUAdTdA, antisense RNA oligo:

UAUAAAUGAUCAGCACUGGUAAGAUUG), Dsi-13.3 (sense RNA oligo:

AAAGUAUACUUCCGGGGUCAAUCdTdT, antisense RNA oligo:

AAGAUUGACCCCGGAAGUAUACUUUGG), Dsi-13.9 (sense RNA oligo:

CUUCCGGGGUCAAUCUUGCAACAdAdC, antisense RNA oligo: GUUGUUGC

AAGAUUGACCCCGGAAGUA), and a non-targeting DsiRNA control Dsi-NC1 (Sense:5'-

CGUUAAUCGCGUAUAAUACGCGUdAdT, antisense:5'-

AUACGCGUAUUAUACGCGAUUAACGAC, IDT #51-01-14-03). Predesigned siRNA

SmartPools targeting the 11 downregulated genes were obtained from Dharmacon and used in

Figure 4C and *Figure 4 - figure supplement 2B* and *2C*. Each siRNA SmartPool consisted of 4

siRNAs with On-Target*plus* modification. The following SmartPools were used: L-014208-02

(NUCKS1); L-012212-00 (CAPZA1); L-018339-00 (CCT3); L-013615-00 (FSTL1); L-011548-

00 (FUBP1); L-017242-00 (GNB1); L-014597-01 (NAA50); L-020893-01 (PRELID3B); L-

019719-02 (SNRPE); L-003941-00 (TFRC); L-006630-00 (HIST1H1C). On-Target*plus* non-

targeting control pool (D-001810-10) was used as negative control. Transfection efficiency was

assessed by transfecting cells with siGLO Red (Dharmacon) followed by FACS analysis.

HeyA8 cells (and modified cells derived from parental HeyA8 cells) were seeded at 750 cells

per well on a 96-well plate one day before transfection. Cells were transfected using 0.1 µl of

Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent per well. HCT116 cells (and modified cells derived from

parental HCT116 cells) were seeded at 4000 cells per well on a 96-well plate one day before

transfection. 0.2 µl of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX was used for transfection. Media was changed

the day after transfection.

Soluble CD95L protein rescue experiments

NB7 cells were seeded at 500 cells per well in a 96-well plate. Next day, cells were infected with

the scrambled pLKO lentiviruses or pLKO-shL1 lentiviruses at an M.O.I. of 20 (to achieve

100% transduction efficiency under conditions omitting the puromycin selection step) in the

presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene and 100 ng/ml of S2 CD95L or LzCD95L for 16 hrs. Media was

replaced the next day with media containing varying concentrations of recombinant CD95L.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted and purified using QIAZOL Lysis reagent (QIAGEN) and the

miRNeasy kit (QIAGEN). 200 ng of total RNA was used to generate cDNA using the High-

Capacity cDNA reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems #4368814). cDNA was quantified

using Taqman Gene expression master mix (ThermoFisher Scientific #4369016) with specific

primers from ThermoFisher Scientific for GAPDH (Hs00266705_g1), human CD95 for *Figure 5*

-supplement 3 (Hs00163653_m1), human CD95 3'UTR in *Figure 2F* (custom probe, Fr primer:

GGCTAACCCCACTCTATGAATCAAT, Rev primer: *GGCCTGCCTGTTCAGTAACT*, Probe:

CCTTTTGCTGAAATATC), human CD95L (Hs00181226_g1 and Hs00181225_m1), the shL3

target site in CD95L in *Figure 2D* (custom probe, Fr primer: *GGTGGCCTTGTGATCAATGAAA*,

Rev primer: *GCAAGATTGACCCCGGAAG TATA*, Probe: *CTGGGCTGTACTTTGTATATT*),

and downstream of the shL3 site in **Figure 2D** (custom probe, Fr primer:

CCCCAGGATCTGGTGATGATG, Rev primer: *ACTGCCCCCAGGTAGCT*, Probe:

CCCACATCTGCCCAGTAGT).

To perform arrayed real-time PCR (**Figure 4 - figure supplement 1**), total RNA was

extracted and used to make cDNA as described for standard real-time PCR. For Taqman Low

Density Array (TLDA) profiling, custom-designed 384-well TLDA cards (Applied Biosystems

#43422489) were used and processed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 50 µl

cDNA from each sample (200 ng total input RNA) was combined with 50 µl TaqMan Universal

PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and hence a total volume of 100 µl of each sample was

loaded into each of the 8 sample loading ports on the TLDA cards that were preloaded with

assays from Thermofisher Scientific for human GAPDH control (Hs99999905_m1) and for

detection of ATP13A3 (Hs00225950_m1), CAPZA1 (Hs00855355_g1), CCT3

(Hs00195623_m1), FSTL1 (Hs00907496_m1), FUPB1 (Hs00900762_m1), GNB1

(Hs00929799_m1), HISTH1C (Hs00271185_s1), NAA50 (Hs00363889_m1), NUCKS1

(Hs01068059_g1), PRELID3B (Hs00429845_m1), SNRPE (Hs01635040_s1), and TFRC

(Hs00951083_m1) after the cards reached room temperature. The PCR reactions were performed

using Quantstudio 7 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Since each of the port loads each sample in

duplicates on the TLDA card and because two biological replicates of each sample were loaded

onto two separate ports, quadruplicate Ct values were obtained for each sample. Statistical

analysis was performed using Student's t test. Cells were plated at 600,000 per 15 mm dish

(Greiner CELLSTAR, cat#P7237, Sigma) after one day of puromycin selection. Total RNA was

harvested at 50 hours after plating for RNAseq analysis.

Western blot analysis

Protein extracts were collected by lysing cells with RIPA lysis buffer (1% SDS, 1% Triton X-

100, 1% deoxycholic acid). Protein concentration was quantified using the DC Protein Assay kit

(Bio-Rad). 30 µg of protein were resolved on 8-12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to

nitrocellulose membranes (Protran, Whatman) overnight at 25 mA. Membranes were incubated

with blocking buffer (5% non-fat milk in 0.1% TBS/Tween-20) for 1 hour at room temperature.

Membranes were then incubated with the primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer over night

at 4°C. Membranes were washed 3 times with 0.1% TBS/Tween-20. Secondary antibodies were

diluted in blocking buffer and applied to membranes for 1 hour at room temperature. After 3

more additional washes, detection was performed using the ECL reagent (Amersham Pharmacia

Biotech) and visualized with the chemiluminescence imager G:BOX Chemi XT4 (Synoptics).

CD95 surface staining

Cell pellets of about 300,00 cells were resuspended in about 100 μl of PBS on ice. After

resuspension, 5 μl of either anti-CD95 primary antibody (BD #556640) conjugated with

fluorescein isothiocyanate (FitC), or the matching Isotype control (BD #551954), Mouse IgG1 κ

conjugated with FitC, were added. Cells were incubated on ice at 4°C, in the dark, for 25

minutes, washed twice with PBS, and percent green cells were determined by flow cytometry

(Becton, Dickinson).

Cell death quantification (DNA fragmentation)

A cell pellet (500,000 cells) was resuspended in 0.1% sodium citrate, pH 7.4, 0.05% Triton X-

100, and 50 μg/ml propidium iodide. After resuspension, cells were incubated 2 to 4 hours in the

dark at 4°C. The percent of subG1 nuclei (fragmented DNA) was determined by flow cytometry.

Cell growth and fluorescence over time

After treatment/infection, cells were seeded at 500 to 4,000 per well in a 96-well plate at least in

triplicate. Images were captured at indicated time points using the IncuCyte ZOOM live cell

imaging system (Essen BioScience) with a 10x objective lens. Percent confluence, red object

count, and the green object integrated intensity were calculated using the IncuCyte ZOOM

software (version 2015A).

RNA-Seq analysis

The following describes the culture conditions used to produce samples for RNA-Seq in *Figure*

4. HeyA8 ΔshR6 clone #11 cells were infected with pLKO-shScr or pLKO-shR6. A pool of three

293T ΔshL3 clones was infected with either pTIP-shScr or pTIP-shL3. After selection with

puromycin for 2 days, the pTIP-infected 293T cells were plated with Dox in duplicate at 500,000

cells per T175 flask. The pLKO-infected HeyA8 cells were plated at 500,000 cells per flask.

Total RNA was harvested 50 hours and 100 hours after plating. In addition, 293T cells were

infected with either pLKO-shScr or pLKO-shL1 and RNA was isolated (100 hrs after plating) as

described above for the infection with shR6. Finally, HeyA8 cells were transfected with

RNAiMAX in 6-wells with siScr (NT2) or siL3 oligonucleotides (Dharmacon) at 25 nM. The

transfection mix was removed after 9 hours.

Total RNA was isolated 48 hours after initial transfection using the miRNeasy Mini Kit

(Qiagen, Cat.No. 74004)) following the manufacturer's instructions. An on-column digestion

step using the RNAse-free DNAse Set (Qiagen, Cat.No.: 79254) was included for all RNA-Seq

samples.

RNA libraries were generated and sequenced (Genomics Core facility at the University of

Chicago). The quality and quantity of the RNA samples was checked using an Agilent bio-

analyzer. Paired end RNA-SEQ libraries were generated using Illumina TruSEQ TotalRNA kits

using the Illumina provided protocol (including a RiboZero rRNA removal step). Small RNA-

SEQ libraries were generated using Illumina small RNA SEQ kits using the Illumina provided

protocol. Two types of small RNA-SEQ sub-libraries were generated: one containing library

fragments 140-150 bp in size and one containing library fragments 150-200 bp in size (both

including the sequencing adaptor of about 130bp). All three types of libraries (one RNA-SEQ

and two small RNA-SEQ) were sequenced on an Illumina HiSEQ4000 using Illumina provided

reagents and protocols. The raw counts for RNAs were calculated by HTSeq. The sequenced

reads were mapped to hg38 human genome using Tophat and bowtie2. Differential gene

expression was analyzed by R Bioconductor DESeq2 package using shrinkage estimation for

dispersions and fold changes to improve stability and interpretability of estimates. P values and

adjusted P values were calculated using the DESeq2 package. Counts and sequences of small

RNAs were extracted from raw fastq file by UNIX shell scripts and then grouped and

summarized by SQL language in database tables. To extract small RNAs (shRNA sequences)

adaptor sequences were remove using Trim Galore software.

To identify differentially abundant RNAs in cells expressing either shL3 or shR6, using a

method unbiased by genome annotation, we also analyzed the raw 100 bp reads for differential

abundance. First, the second end in each paired end read was reverse complemented, so that both

reads were on the same strand. Reads were then sorted and counted using the core UNIX utilities

sort and uniq. Reads with fewer than 128 counts across all 16 samples were discarded. A table

with all the remaining reads was then compiled, summing counts from each sequence file

corresponding to the same sample. This table contained a little over 100,000 reads. The R

package edgeR (http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/content/26/1/139) was used to identify

differentially abundant reads, and then these reads were mapped to the human genome using

blat (http://genome.cshlp.org/content/12/4/656.abstract) to determine chromosomal location

whenever possible. Homer (http://homer.salk.edu/homer/) was used to annotate chromosomal

locations with overlapping genomic elements (such as genes). Raw read counts in each sequence

file were normalized by the total number of unique reads in the file.

To identify the most significant changes in expression of RNAs both methods of RNAs-Seq

analyses (alignment and read based) were used to reach high stringency. All samples were

prepared in duplicate and for each RNA the average of the two duplicates was used for further

analysis. In the alignment-based analysis only RNAs were considered that had a base mean of

>2000 reads and were significantly deregulated between the groups (adjusted p-value <0.05).

Only RNAs were scored as deregulated when they were more than 1.5 fold changed in the shL3

expressing cells at both time points and in the shR6 expressing cells at either time points (each

compared to shScr expressing cells) (*Table S1*). This was done because we found that the pLKO

driven expression of shR6 was a lot lower than the pTIP driven expression of shL3 (see the

quantification of the two shRNAs in ***Figure 5 - figure supplement 1A***) and likely was a result of

the cell responses in the shR6 expressing cells were reduced. In the read based analysis, only

normalized read numbers of >10 across the samples in each treatment were considered. Only

RNA reads were further considered that had a variation between duplicates of less than 2 fold.

Only RNAs reads were considered that showed >1.5 change between treatment groups in both

cell lines and both time points (*Table S1*). Using the chromosomal localization of each read the

gene of origin was determined. Finally, All RNAs were counted that showed deregulation in the

same direction with both methods. This resulted in the identification of 11 RNAs that were down

and 1 that was upregulated in cells exposed to the shRNAs shL3 and shR6. To determine the

number of seed matches in the 3'UTR of downregulated genes, the 3'UTRs of the 11 mRNAs

were extracted from the Homo sapiens gene (GRCh38.p7) dataset of the Ensembl 86 database

using the Ensembl Biomart data mining tool. For each gene only the longest deposited 3'UTR

was considered. Seed matches were counted in all 3'UTRs using in-house Perl scripts.

GSEA used in ***Figures 4D*** was performed using the GSEA v2.2.4 software from the Broad

Institute (www.http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea); 1000 permutations were used. The

Sabatini gene lists (*Table S2*) were set as custom gene sets to determine enrichment of survival

genes versus the nonsurvival control genes in downregulated genes from the RNA seq data;

Adjusted p-values below 0.05 were considered significantly enriched. The GO enrichment

analysis shown in ***Figure 4F*** was performed using all genes that after alignment and

normalization were found to be at least 1.5 fold downregulated with an adjusted p values of

<0.05, using the software available on www.Metascape.org and default running parameters.

1070

Conversion of shL3 and shR6 to siRNAs

From the RNA-Seq analysis with HeyA8 ΔshR6 infected with pLKO-shR6 and 293T ΔshL3

clones infected pTIP-shL3, we analyzed the mature double-stranded RNAs derived from pLKO-

shR6 and pTIP-shL3 and found that the most abundant RNA forms were both shifted by one

nucleotide. Based on these most abundant species observed after cellular processing, we

converted shL3 and shR6 sequences to siRNAs. The genomic target sequence in shL3 (21nt) is

5'-ACUGGGCUGUACUUUGUAUAU-3'. For the shL3=>siL3 sense strand, one G was added

before the A on the 5' end while the last U on the 3' end was deleted, and second and third to the

last ribonucleotides on the 3' end (UA) were replaced with deoxyribonucleotides for

stabilization. For shL3=>siL3 antisense strand, the last three nucleotides on the 5' end (AUA)

were deleted and one U and two dTs (UdTdT) were added after the last U on the 3'end. The

shL3=>siL3 sense strand is 5'- GACUGGGCUGUACUUUGUAdTdA-3' and antisense strand is

5'-/5Phos/UACAAAGUACAGCCCAGUUdTdT-3'. The shR6=>siRNA was designed in a

similar fashion except that two Gs instead of one G were added to the 5' end of the sense strand

while UUdTdT instead of UdTdT was added to the 3' end of the antisense strand. The genomic

target sequence in shR6 (21nt) is 5'-GUGCAGAUGUAAACCAAACUU-3'. The shR6=>siR6

sense strand is 5'-GGGUGCAGAUGUAAACCAAAdCdT-3' and antisense strand is 5'-

/5Phos/UUUGGUUUACAUCUGCACUUdTdT-3'. Both shL3=>siL3 and ShR6=>siR6 siRNA

duplexes were purchased from Dharmacon.

1090

Construction of pTIP-shRNA libraries

The pTIP-shRNA libraries were constructed by subcloning libraries of 143nt PCR inserts of the

form 5'-*XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXATAGAGATCGNNNNNNNNN*

NNNNNNNNNNNNCTCGAGNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNTTTTTGTACCGAGCTCGGAT

CCACTAGTCCAGTGTGGGCATGCTGCGTTGACATTGATT-3' into the pTIP-shR6 vector after

excising the shR6 insert. The poly-N region represents the 21-mer sense and antisense shRNA

hairpin. The intervening CTCGAG is the loop region of the shRNA. The 5 libraries targeting

Venus, CD95L ORF, CD95L 3'UTR, CD95 ORF, or CD95 3'UTR were composed of every

possible 21-mer shRNA (i.e. each nearest neighbor shRNA was shifted by 1 nucleotide).

These libraries were synthesized together on a chip as 143 bp single-stranded DNA oligos

(CustomArray Inc, Custom 12K oligo pool). Each shRNA pool had its own unique 5' end

represented by the poly-*X* region. This allowed selective amplification of a particular pool using

1 of 5 unique Fr primers (CD95L ORF: 5'-*TGGCTTTATATATCTCCCTATCAGTG*-3', CD95L

3' UTR: 5'-*GGTCGTCCTATCTATTATTATTCACG*-3', CD95 ORF: 5'-

TCTTGTGTCCAGACCAATTTATTTCG-3', CD95 3'UTR: 5'-

CTCATTGACTATCGTTTTAGCTACTG-3', Venus: 5'-*TATCATCTTTCATGATGACTTTCCGG*-

3') and the common reverse primer 5'-*AATCAATGTCAACGCAGCAT*-3'. Phusion High Fidelity

Polymerase (NEB #M0530) was used to amplify each library pool; standard PCR conditions

were used with an annealing temperature of 61°C and 15 cycles. PCR reactions were purified

using PCR Cleanup kit (QIAGEN). The pTIP-shR6 vector and each of the amplified libraries

were digested with SphI-HF and BsaBI. Digested PCR products were run on either a 2%

Agarose gel or a 20% polyacrylamide (29:1) gel made with 0.5 x TBE buffer. PCR products

were extracted using either Gel Extraction kit (QIAGEN) for extraction from Agarose gels or via

electro-elution using D-Tube Dialyzer Mini columns (Novagen #71504). Purified PCR inserts

were then ligated to the linearized pTIP vector with T4 DNA ligase for 24 hours at 16°C. The

ligation mixtures were transformed via electroporation in MegaX DH10B T1 cells (Invitrogen

#C6400) and plated on 24 cm ampicillin dishes. At least 10 colonies per pool were picked and

sequenced to verify successful library construction. After verification, all colonies per library

were pooled together and plasmid DNA extracted using the MaxiPrep kit (QIAGEN). The 5

pTIP-shRNA library DNA preps were used to produce virus in 293T cells.

1121

Lethality screen with pTIP-shRNA libraries

NB7 cells were seeded at 1.5 x 10^6 per 145 cm^2 dish. Two dishes were infected with each of the

5 libraries with a transduction efficiency of about 10 to 20%. Media was replaced next day.

Infected cells were selected with 1.5 µg/ml puromycin. Cells infected with the Venus, CD95L

ORF, and CD95L 3'UTR-targeting libraries were pooled in a 1:1:1 ratio to make the CD95L cell

pool. Likewise, cells infected with the Venus, CD95 ORF, and CD95 3'UTR-targeting libraries

were pooled to make the CD95 receptor cell pool. The CD95 and the CD95L cell pools were

plated separately each in 2 sets of duplicates seeded at 600,000 cells per 145cm^2 dish. One set

received 100 ng/ml Dox, and the other one was left untreated (total of 4 dishes per combined

pool; 2 received no treatment and 2 received Dox). Cells infected with the different libraries

were also plated individually in triplicate with or without Dox on a 96-well plate to assess the

overall toxicity of each pool. DNA was collected from each $145cm^2$ dish 9 days after Dox

addition.

The shRNA barcodes were amplified from the harvested DNA template using NEB Phusion

Polymerase with 4 different pairs of primers (referred to as N, N+1, N+2, and N+3) in separate

reactions per DNA sample. The N pair consisted of the primers originally used to amplify the

CD95L ORF library (Fr: 5'-*TGGCTTTATATATCTCCCTATCAGTG*-3' and Rev: 5'-

AATCAATGTCAACGCAGCAT-3'). The N+1 primers had a single nucleotide extension at each

5' end of the N primers corresponding to the pTIP vector sequence (Fr: 5'-

TTGGCTTTATATATCTCCCTATCAGTG-3' and Rev: 5'-*TAATCAATGTCAACGCAGCAT*-3').

The N+2 primers had 2 nucleotide extensions (Fr: 5'-

CTTGGCTTTATATATCTCCCTATCAGTG-3' and Rev: 5'-*ATAATCAATGTCAACGCAGCAT*-

3'), and the N+3 primers had 3 nucleotide extensions (Fr: 5'-

TCTTGGCTTTATATATCTCCCTATCAGTG-3' and Rev: 5'-*AATAATCAATGTCAACGCAGCAT*-

3'). The barcodes from the pTIP-shRNA library plasmid preparations were also amplified using

Phusion Polymerase with the N, N+1, N+2, and N+3 primer pairs. The shRNA barcode PCR

products were purified from a 2% Agarose gel and submitted for 100 bp paired-end deep

sequencing (Genomics Core facility at the University of Chicago). DNA was quantitated using

the Qubit. The 4 separate PCR products amplified using N, N+1, N+2, and N+3 were combined

in equimolar amounts for each sample. Libraries were generated using the Illumina TruSeq PCR-

free kit using the Illumina provided protocol. The libraries were sequenced using the HiSEQ4000

with Illumina provided reagents and protocols. Raw sequence counts for DNAs were calculated

by HTSeq. shRNA sequences in the PCR pieces of genomic DNA were identified by searching

all reads for the sense sequence of the mature shRNA plus the loop sequence CTCGAG. To

avoid a division by zero problem during the subsequent analyses all counts of zero in the raw

data were replaced with 1. A few sequences with a total read number <10 across all plasmids

reads were not further considered. In the CD95L pool this was only one shRNA (out of 2362

shRNAs) (L792') and in the CD95 20 shRNAs (out of 3004 shRNAs) were not represented (R88,

R295, R493, R494, R496, R497, R498, R499, R213', R215', R216', R217', R220', R221', R222',

R223', R225', R226', R258', R946', R1197', R423'). While most shRNAs in both pools had a

unique sequence two sequences occurred 6 times (L605', L607', L609', L611', L613', L615', and

L604', L606', L608', L610', L612', L614'). In these cases, read counts were divided by 6. Two

shRNAs could not be evaluated: 1) shR6 in the CD95 pool. It had a significant background due

to the fact that pTIP-shR6 was used as a starting point to clone all other shRNAs. 2) shL3 was

found to be a minor but significant contaminant during the infection of some of the samples. For

each condition, two technical duplicates and two biological duplicates were available. To

normalize reads to determine the change in relative representation of shRNAs between

conditions, the counts of each shRNA in a subpool (all replicates and all conditions) was divided

by the total number of shRNAs in each subpool (%). First, the mean of the technical replicates

(R1 and R2) was taken. To analyze the biological replicates and to determine the changes

between conditions, two analyses were performed: 1) The change in shRNA representation

between the cloned plasmid library and cells infected with the library and then cultured for 9

days without Dox (infection -Dox). Fold downregulation was calculated for each subpool as

[(plasmid %/-Dox1 %+plasmid %/-Dox2 %)/2]. 2) The difference in shRNA composition

between the infected cells cultured with (infection +Dox) and without Dox. Fold downregulation

was calculated for each subpool as [(-Dox1 %/+Dox1 %)+(-Dox1 %/+Dox2 %)+(-Dox2

%/+Dox1 %)+(-Dox2 %/+Dox2 %)/4]. Only shRNAs were considered that were at least 5-fold

underrepresented in either of the two analyses (data in *Table S3*).

1180

The toxicity index (TI) and GC content analysis

The TI in *Figure 7A* is defined by the sum of the counts of a 6mer or 8mer seed match in the

3'UTRs of critical survival genes divided by the seed match counts in the 3'UTRs of nonsurvival

genes. We used the 1882 survival genes recently described in a CRISPR/Cas9 lethality screen by

Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2015). The survival genes were defined by having a CRISPR score of

<-0.1 and an adjusted p-value of <0.05. We chose as a control group to these top essential genes

the bottom essential genes using inverse criteria (CRISPR score of >0.1 and adjusted p-value of

<0.05) and are referring to them as the "nonsurvival genes". Both counts were normalized for the

numbers of genes in each gene set. 3'UTRs were retrieved as described above. For the survival

genes 1846 and for the nonsurvival genes 416 3'UTRs were found. For each gene, only seed

matches in the longest 3'UTR were counted. The TI was calculated for each of the 4096 possible

6mer combinations and each of the 65536 possible 8mer combinations (*Table S4*). These

numbers were then assigned to the results of the shRNA screen (*Table S5*). An alternative TI was

calculated in *Figure 7 – figure supplement 1B* and is based on the top 850 most highly

expressed survival genes (all expressed >1000 average reads) and 850 expression matched

genes not described to be critical for cancer cell survival were selected as controls.

For the analyses in *Figure 7C and D*, the GC content % was calculated for every 6mer in

the CD95L ORF shRNA pool. The GC content % was then plotted against the log(Fold down)

for each shRNA in the CD95L ORF shRNA after infection (compared to the plasmid

composition) in *Figure 7C* and after addition of Dox (compared to cells infected but not treated

with Dox) in *Figure 7D*. In *Figure 7E*, the log(TI) and GC content % was extracted for every

possible 6mer and plotted. Pearson correlation coefficient and associated p-value were

calculated in R3.3.1.

1204

Sylamer analysis

Sylamer is a tool to test for the presence of RNAi-type regulation effects from a list of

differentially expressed genes, independently from small RNA measurements (van Dongen et al.,

2008) (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/research/enright/software/sylamer). For short stretches of RNA (in

this case length 6, 7, and 8 in length corresponding to the lengths of the determinants of seed

region binding in RNAi-type binding events), Sylamer tests for all possible motifs of this length

whether the motif occurrences are shifted in sequences associated with the list under

consideration, typically 3'UTRs when analyzing RNAi-type binding events. A shift or

enrichment of such a motif towards the down-regulated end of the gene list is consistent with

upregulation of a small RNA that has the motif as the seed region. Sylamer tests in small

increments along the list of genes, using a hypergeometric test on the counts of a given word,

comparing the leading part of the gene list to the universe of all genes in the list. For full details

refer to (van Dongen et al., 2008). Enriched motifs stand out from the back-ground of all motifs

tested, as visible in the Sylamer plot. The plot consist of many different lines, each line

representing the outcomes of a series of tests for a single word, performed along regularly spaced

intervals (increments of 200 genes) of the gene list. Each test yields the log-transformed P-value

arising from a hypergeometric test as indicated above. If the word is enriched in the leading

interval the log-transformed value has its value plotted on the positive y-axis (sign changed), if

the word is depleted the log-transformed value is plotted on the negative y-axis. 3' UTRs were

used from Ensembl, version 76. As required by Sylamer, they were cleaned of low-complexity

sequences and repetitive fragments using respectively Dust (Morgulis, Gertz, Schaffer, &

Agarwala, 2006) with default parameters and the RSAT interface (Medina-Rivera et al., 2015) to

the Vmatch program, also run with default parameters. Sylamer (version 12-342) was run with

the Markov correction parameter set to 4.

1229

Statistical analyses

Continuous data were summarized as means and standard deviations (except for all IncuCyte

experiments where standard errors are shown) and dichotomous data as proportions. Continuous

data were compared using t-tests for two independent groups and one-way ANOVA for 3 or

more groups. For evaluation of continuous outcomes over time, two-way ANOVA was used with

one factor for the treatment conditions of primary interest and a second factor for time treated as

a categorical variable to allow for non-linearity. Comparisons of single proportions to

hypothesized null values were evaluated using binomial tests. Statistical tests of two independent

proportions were used to compare dichotomous observations across groups.

The effects of treatment on wild-type versus either Dicer$^{-/-}$ or Drosha$^{-/-}$ cells were statistically

assessed by fitting regression models that included linear and quadratic terms for value over

time, main effects for treatment and cell type, and two- and three-way interactions for treatment,

cell-type and time. The three-way interaction on the polynomial terms with treatment and cell

type was evaluated for statistical significance since this represents the difference in treatment

effects over the course of the experiment for the varying cell types.

To test if higher TI is enriched in shRNAs that were highly downregulated, p-values were

calculated based on permutated datasets using Mann-Whitney U tests. The ranking of TI was

randomly shuffled 10,000 times and the W statistic from our dataset was compared to the

distribution of the W statistic of the permuted datasets. Test of enrichment was based on the

filtered data of at least 5-fold difference, which we define as a biologically meaningful. Fisher

Exact Tests were performed to assess enrichment of downregulated genes (i.e. >1.5

downregulated with adjusted p-value <0.05) amongst genes with at least one si/shRNA seed

match. All statistical analyses were conducted in Stata 14 or R 3.3.1.

1253

1254

1255   **Data availability**

1256   The accession number for the RNA-Seq and expression data reported in this manuscript are

1257   GSE87817.

1258

1259

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Lindsay Stolzenburg and Ann Harris for helping to set up the CRISPR/Cas9

gene editing method and to Matthew Schipma for computational support. We would like to thank

the Gene Editing & Screening Core, at Memorial Sloan Kettering in New York City, NY, for

RNAi reagents and services. M.H. was supported by the Intramural Research Program of

NIAMS. This work was funded by training grants T32CA070085 (to M.P.) and T32CA009560

(to W.P.) R50CA211271 (to J.C.Z.), and R35CA197450 (to M.E.P.).

1267

Author contributions

W.P. planned the study and performed experiments. M.P. established the arrayed qPCR method

and performed experiments, Q.Q.G., A.H., A.S., A.H.K., A.A.S, and A.E.M. performed

experiments, S.D. performed the SYMALER analysis, E.B. and J.S.Z. provided

biocomputational and K.A.K. and D.M.S. biostatistics support, M.H. provided assistance and

discussions on the mechanism of RNAi and the RISC, and M.E.P. directed the study and wrote

the manuscript.

1275

1276 **Competing financial interests**

1277 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

1278

1279   **Figure legends**

1280

Figure 1

Exogenous CD95L or CD95 proteins do not protect cells from toxicity of CD95L/CD95

derived shRNAs.

(**A**) *Left:* Percent cell confluence over time of NB7 cells after infection with either pLKO-shScr

or pLKO-shL1 and concurrent treatment with different concentrations of soluble CD95L protein

(S2). Two-way ANOVA was performed for pairwise comparisons of % confluence over time

between shScr expressing cells untreated or treated with 100 ng/ml S2. Each data point

represents mean ± SE of three replicates. *Center:* Percent cell confluence over time of NB7 cells

after infection with either pLKO-shScr or pLKO-shL1 and concurrent treatment with different

concentrations of leucine zipper tagged (Lz)CD95L protein. Two-way ANOVA was performed

for pairwise comparisons of % confluence over time between shScr expressing cells untreated or

treated with 50 ng/ml LzCD95L. Each data point represents mean ± SE of three replicates. *Right:*

Percent nuclear PI staining of MCF-7 cells 24 hours after adding different amounts of LzCD95L.

(**B**) Schematic of the eight silent mutations introduced to the shL1 and the shL3 target sites of

CD95L. (**C**) Western blot analysis of CD95L and β-actin in NB7 cells over-expressing CD95L-

WT, CD95L-L1MUT, or CD95L-L3MUT 3 days after infection with pLKO-shScr, pLKO-shL1,

or pLKO-shL3. Shown is one of two repeats of this analysis. (**D**) Percent nuclear PI staining of

NB7 cells expressing empty pLenti vector, CD95L-WT, CD95L-L1MUT, or CD95L-L3MUT 6

days after infection with either pLKO-shScr, pLKO-shL1, or pLKO-shL3. Each bar represents

mean ± SD of three replicates. (**E**) Schematic of the 8 silent mutations introduced at the shR6 site

of CD95. (**F**) Western blot analysis of CD95 and β-actin in MCF-7 cells over-expressing CD95-

WT or CD95-R6MUT. (**G**) Percent nuclear PI staining of MCF-7 cells expressing empty

pLNCX2 vector, CD95-WT, or CD95-R6MUT 6 days after infection with pLKO-shScr, pLKO-

shR6, or pLKO-shR7. Each bar represents mean ± SD of three replicates.

1305

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1.

The majority of siRNAs and shRNAs targeting CD95L or CD95 are toxic.

(**A**) Location of target sites, growth inhibitory activities and toxicity of all tested siRNAs,

DsiRNAs, and pLKO-shRNAs targeting CD95L and CD95. Experiments were performed in

HeyA8 cells at an MOI of 3 for pLKO-shRNA infection, transfected with 25 nM of siRNAs, or 5

nM of DsiRNAs. Color code indicates the level of growth reduction caused by each sh/siRNA.

sh/siRNAs labeled with an asterisk induced significant cell death as monitored by nuclear PI

staining. Both exon/intron structure and protein domains are shown for both CD95L and CD95.

EC, extracellular domain; TM, transmembrane domain; IC, intracellular domain. Data on growth

reduction of DsiRNAs were performed in triplicates and in two independent experiments. Data

on growth reduction of siRNAs were performed in 4 replicates and in two independent

experiments. Data on growth reduction of shRNAs were performed in triplicate and in two

independent experiments. Data on nuclear fragmentation by siRNAs were performed in triplicate

in two independent experiments. Data on nuclear fragmentation by shRNAs were performed in

triplicate. (**B**) PI staining was used to quantify percent subG1 of HeyA8 cells 4 days after

transfection with 5 nM of CD95L derived DsiRNAs. Data are representative of three

independent experiments. Each bar represents mean ± SD of four replicates (p-value ***

p<0.0001, unpaired t-test). (**C**) Level of underrepresentation (toxicity) of shRNAs targeting

either CD95L (left column) or CD95 (right column) across 216 human cancer cell lines as

described in (Cowley et al., 2014). The fraction of cell lines for which an shRNA was found to

be toxic is given in percentage For shL5 data on only 197 cell lines were available. This screen

did not include shL3, but another CD95 derived shRNA we did not test (we now call shR3)
was found to be toxic to 71.8% of the 216 cell lines.
1329
Figure 1 - figure supplement 2.
Toxicity of si/shRNAs is dose dependent.
(**A**) Sequences of the 6 toxic TRC shRNAs (pLKO vector) that were converted into miR-30
based shRNAs (Tet inducible LT3REPIR vector). (**B**) Confluence over time of NB7-Venus-
CD95L (left) or NB7-Venus CD95 (right) cells infected with the LT3REPIR vector minus/plus
Dox to induce expression of the indicated shRNAs. (**C**) Total green fluorescence over time of the
experiment shown in B. (**D**) Confluence (top) and total green fluorescence (bottom) over time of
NB7-Venus-CD95L (left) or NB7-Venus CD95 (right) cells infected with the pTIP vector
minus/plus Dox to induce expression of the indicated shRNAs. (**E**) Confluence over time of
HeyA8 cells transfected with the indicated concentration of either siScr or siL3. Each data point
represents mean ± SE of six replicates. The experiment was repeated three times.
1341
Figure 2.
CD95 and CD95L derived si/shRNAs kill cells in the absence of the targeted sites in CD95
or CD95L.
(**A**) Schematic of the genomic locations and sequences of the gRNAs used to excise the siL3
(Δ64bp) and shL3 (Δ41bp) target sites from CD95L. PAM site is underlined. Green indicates a
gRNA targeting the sense strand. Blue indicates a gRNA targeting the antisense strand. (**B**)
Schematic showing the genomic locations and sequences of the gRNAs used to excise the shR6
(Δ227bp) target site. (**C**) PCR with flanking (*top panels*) and internal (*bottom panels*) primers
used to confirm the Δ41 deletion in the shL3 site in 293T clones. Cells transfected with Cas9
only (Cas9) are wild-type, clone #3 is heterozygous, and clones #1 and #5 are representative of
homozygous deletion clones. (**D**) Quantitative PCR for endogenous CD95L with a primer
downstream of the Δ41 shL3 deletion and another primer internal to the deleted region. nd, not
detectable. Each bar represents mean ± SD of three replicates. (**E**) PCR with flanking (*top row*)
and internal (*bottom row*) primers used to confirm the presence of the shL3 Δ41 (*top panel*), siL3
Δ64 (*middle panel*), and shR6 Δ227 (*bottom panel*) deletions in HeyA8 clones. Mix, HeyA8
cells after transfection with Cas9 and gRNAs but before single cell cloning. (**F**) Quantitative
PCR for CD95 in HeyA8 cells transfected with Cas9 plasmid (Cas9) alone, or the HeyA8 ΔshR6
clone #11. RNA was extracted 5 days after infection with pLKO-shScr, pLKO-shR6, pLKO-
shR2, or pLKO-shR6' (targeting the 3'UTR). Each bar represents mean ± SD of three replicates.
(**G**) Percent cell confluence over time of 293T cells (*left*) and a pool of three 293T clones with a
homozygous deletion of the shL3 target site (*right*) infected with pTIP-shScr or pTIP-shL3 and
treatment with or without Dox. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each
data point represents mean ± SE of six replicates. (**H**) *Left:* Percent confluence over time of
HeyA8 cells infected with pLKO-shScr, pLKO-shR6, or pLKO-shL3. *Center:* Percent
confluence over time of a HeyA8 clone with a homozygous deletion of the shR6 target site
infected with either pLKO-shScr or pLKO-shR6. *Right:* Percent confluence over time of a pool
of three HeyA8 clones with a homozygous deletion of the shL3 site infected with either pLKO-
shScr or pLKO-shL3. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each data point
represents mean ± SE of three replicates. (**I**) Percent confluence over time of a pool of three
HeyA8 clones harboring a homozygous deletion of the siRNA siL3 target site after transfection
with different concentrations of siScr or siL3. Data are representative of three independent
experiments. Each data point represents mean ± SE of three replicates.
1374

Figure 2 - figure supplement 1.
Knockout of CD95 in HeyA8 cells.
(**A**) PCR showing a Δ227 shR6 deletion and insertions in HeyA8 clones #1 and #2. (**B**)
Schematic of the Δ227 deletion in allele #1 and partial insertion of a pSC-B plasmid fragment in
allele #2 in HeyA8 clone #2 based on Sanger sequencing of isolated bands from PCR shown in
A. Note, cl#1 and #2 have the expected Δ227 shR6 deletion in one allele and an insertion in the
other. cl#11 has a homozygous Δ227 shR6 deletion. The deleted region is shown in green
containing the shR6 target site in red. pSC-B vector sequences are shown in blue letters, and the
insertion is shown in orange. (**C**) Western blot for CD95 and β-actin in Cas9-control transfected
HeyA8 cells and HeyA8 shR6 k.o. clones #1, #2, and #11. Shown is one of two repeats of this
analysis. (**D**) Surface staining for CD95 in parental HeyA8 cells and HeyA8 shR6 knockout
clones #1, #2, and #11. Shown is one of two repeats of this analysis. (**E**) Images showing
apoptosis induction with LzCD95L treatment (4.5 hrs) in parental HeyA8 cells but not in clone
#2.
1389
Figure 3.
Toxicity of CD95L derived siRNAs involves canonical RNAi activity.
(**A**) Percent cell confluence (*left*) and total green object integrated intensity (*right*) over time of a
HeyA8 CD95 knockout clone (ΔR6 cl#2) expressing the Venus-CD95L sensor either untreated
(Ctr) or after transfection with 25 nM of single-stranded sense, single-stranded antisense, or
double-stranded (ds) siScr or siL3 siRNAs. The CD95L sensor is schematically shown and
comprises the Venus ORF fused to the CD95L ORF lacking the A of the ATG start codon (X).
Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each data point represents mean ± SE
of three replicates. (**B**) Percent cell confluence (*left*) and total green object integrated intensity
(*right*) over time of the HeyA8 CD95L sensor cell used in *Figure 3A* after transfection with 5
nM siScr or siL3 double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) or double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). Data are
representative of two independent experiments. Each data point represents mean ± SE of three
replicates. (**C**) Summary of experiments to test whether siL3 and siL2 siRNAs modified as
indicated (*left*) were active (check mark) or not (X) in reducing green fluorescence or cell growth
(both >70% reduction at end point) when transfected at 25 nM (except for blunt end
oligonucleotides which were used at 5 nM and compared to 5 nM of siL3) into HeyA8 CD95L
sensor cells used in *Figure 3A*. Endpoints were 164 hours for blunt end siRNA transfection, 180
hrs for modified siL3 and 144 hrs for modified siL2 siRNA transfections. Every data row is
based on cell growth and green fluorescence quantification data executed as shown in A. Each
analysis was done in triplicate and based on two independent repeats. (**D**) Red object count over
time of HeyA8 cells (expressing NucRed) after transfection with different ratios of siL3 and
mutant siL3 (siL3MUT). Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each data
point represents mean ± SE of three replicates. (**E**) Percent cell confluence over time of HCT116
parental (*left*) or Dicer$^{-/-}$ (clone #43, another Dicer$^{-/-}$ clone, #45, gave a similar result, data not
shown), or Drosha$^{-/-}$ (*right*) cells after infection with either shScr, shL3 or shR6 pLKO viruses.
Inserts show the level of protein expression levels of Drosha/Dicer and AGO2 levels in the tested
cells. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Each data point represents mean
± SE of four replicates. Drosha$^{-/-}$ cells were more sensitive to toxic shRNAs than wt cells
(p<0.0001, according to a polynomial fitting model). (**F**) Western blot analysis of HCT116 wt,
Dicer$^{-/-}$ or Drosha$^{-/-}$ cells 4 days after infection with either pLKO-shScr or pLKO-shR6. (**G**)
Percent cell confluence over time of HCT116 wt, Dicer$^{-/-}$ (clone #43) and Drosha$^{-/-}$ cells after
transfection with 25 nM siScr or siL3. Data are representative of four independent experiments
(Dicer$^{-/-}$ clone #45, gave a similar result, data not shown). Each data point represents the mean ±

SE of four replicates. Data in insert confirm similar uptake of transfected siRNA (25 nM of
siGLO Red) into wild-type, Dicer^{-/-} and Drosha^{-/-} cells. Dicer^{-/-} and Drosha^{-/-} cells were more
sensitive to siL3 than wt cells ($p<0.0001$, according to a polynomial fitting model). (**H**) Percent
reduction in Venus expression (green) and in cell number (red object count (red)) over time of
HeyA8 cells expressing the Venus-CD95L sensor and red nuclei after transfection with 5 nM of
different chimeric siRNAs generated by substituting nucleotides in the toxic siL3 with the
scrambled siRNA sequence beginning at either the seed match end (top) or the opposite end
(bottom) of siL3 after 188 hours. The schematic in the middle shows the sequence of siL3 and
the siScr siRNA (both sense and antisense strands). The 6mer seed sequence region of siL3
(positions 2 to 7) is highlighted in light blue. Nucleotides shared by siScr and siL3 are shown in
grey font. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each data point represents
mean of three replicates. In another independent experiment cells were transfected with 25 nM
with a very similar result (data not shown).
1436
Figure 4.
Toxic shRNAs derived from CD95 and CD95L cause downregulation of critical survival
genes.
(**A**) Schematic of RNA-Seq work flow for total RNA sample prepared both before (50 hrs) and
during (100 hrs) DISE after expressing either shR6 or shL3 from different vector systems (i.e.
pLKO-shR6 and pTIP-shL3) in different cells (HeyA8 shR6 Δ227 cells and 293T shL3 Δ41
cells). (**B**) One mRNA was up and 11 mRNAs were downregulated in the cells treated with toxic
shL3 and shR6 as shown in *Figure 4A*. mRNAs shown in red were found to be essential cancer
survival genes in two genome-wide lethality screens. The number of essential genes was
enriched from 6.6% of the tested genes (Blomen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015) to 54.5% in our
study ($p=3 \times 10^{-6}$ according to binomial distribution). (**C**) The level of growth inhibition
observed in HeyA8 cells transfected with siRNA SmartPools (25 nM) individually targeting the
listed survival genes. Targeting the seven genes shown significantly reduced cell growth
compared to cells transfected with a siScr pool at 140 hrs (samples done in quadruplicate in two
independent experiments) with an ANOVA $p<0.05$. (**D**) Gene set enrichment analysis for a
group of 1846 survival genes (*top 4 panels*) and 416 nonsurvival genes (*bottom 4 panels*)
identified in a genome-wide CRISPR lethality screen (Wang et al., 2015) after introducing Dox-
inducible shL3 in 293T ΔshL3 cells (*left-most panels*), shR6 in HeyA8 ΔshR6 cells (*center-left*
panels), shL1 in parental 293T cells (*center-right panels*), and siL3 in HeyA8 cells (*right-most*
panels). Scrambled sequences served as controls. p-values indicate the significance of
enrichment. (**E**) Schematics showing all RNAs at least 1.5 fold downregulated (adj p-value
<0.05) in cells treated as in *Figure 4A*. Histones that are underlined contain a 3'UTR. (**F**)
Metascape analysis of the 4 RNA Seq data sets analyzed. The boxed GO term clusters were
highly enriched in all data sets.
1461
Figure 4 - figure supplement 1.
Down-regulation of critical survival genes after treatment with CD95 and CD95L-derived
shRNAs and siRNAs.
(**A**) Arrayed quantitative PCR of genes found to be down-regulated (or upregulated as with
ATP13A3) in *Figure 4B* both in 293T ΔshL3-pTIP-shL3 cells 50 hrs post-Dox treatment and
HeyA8 ΔshR6-pLKO-shR6 100 hrs post infection and puromycin selection. Data are
representative of two independent experiments. Each bar represents mean ± SD of two biological
replicates and two technical replicates (p-value *<0.05, **<0.005, unpaired t-test). (**B**) Venn
diagram showing overlap of genes determined to be down-regulated with both read-based and

alignment-based analyses of the RNA-Seq data depicted in *Figure 4A* with the critical
survival genes found in the Sabatini and Brummelkamp studies (Blomen et al., 2015; Wang et
al., 2015), all listed in **Table S2**. The Venn diagram was generated using
http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn. (**C**) Kinetic quantitative PCR of the down-
regulated genes in the 293T ΔshL3 pTIP-shL3 cells. RNA was collected at 14 hrs, 26 hrs, and 50
hrs after treatment with Dox. NS, not significant. Each bar represents mean ± SD of
quadruplicates (p-value *<0.05, **<0.005, unpaired t-test). (**D**) Table showing which genes were
significantly (p<0.05) down-regulated >1.5 fold (indicated by an "X") in parental HeyA8 cells
80 hrs after transfection with siL3 or 100 hrs after infection and puromycin selection with
pLKO-shL1, pLKO-shL3, or pLKO-shR7.
The following describes the 11 genes that were significantly downregulated after introducing the
toxic shRNAs shL3 or shR6 into cancer cells (see **Figure 4B**) and some of their cancer relevant
activities:
1) CAPZA1 (capping actin protein of muscle Z-line alpha subunit 1) is an actin capping protein.
CAPZA1 knockdown has been reported to cause disassembly of autophagosomes (Mi et al.,
2015). It is overexpressed in malignant melanoma (Sun et al., 2011).
2) CCT3 (chaperonin containing TCP1 subunit 3) is part of a chaperone complex that folds
various proteins including actin and tubulin. CCT3 is required for proper mitotic progression
(Zhang et al., 2016).
3) FSTL1 (follistatin-like 1) is a putative activin-binding protein. Knockdown of FSTL1 in lung
cancer cells resulted in mitotic arrest followed by apoptosis promoted by the activation of
caspase-3 and -9 (Bae et al., 2016). FSTL1 is downregulated during cellular senescence of
human mesenchymal stem cells (Yoo, Choi, & Kim, 2013).
4) FUBP1 (far upstream element binding protein 1). A lack of FUBP1 causes a cell-autonomous
defect in the maintenance of fetal and adult hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). FUBP1-
deficient adult HSCs exhibit significant transcriptional changes, including upregulation of the
cell-cycle inhibitor p21 and the pro-apoptotic Noxa molecule, suggesting they undergo
apoptosis (Rabenhorst et al., 2015). In addition, FUBP1 binds to an upstream element of the c-
myc promoter and regulates c-myc mRNA level, thus regulating proliferation (Jang et al.,
2009). Finally, FUBP1 is upregulated in many tumors and acts as an oncoprotein by
stimulating proliferation and inhibiting apoptosis (Baumgarten et al., 2014).
5) GNB1 (G-protein beta submit 1) is tumor-promoting in breast cancer. Data suggest that GNB1
plays an important role in the mTOR-related anti-apoptosis pathway (Wazir, Jiang, Sharma, &
Mokbel, 2013).
6) HIST1H1C. A specific role of this particular histone in cancer cell survival has not yet been
described. (Knockdown causes cell cycle arrest in MCF-7 cells;
(http://journals.plos.org/plosgenetics/ article?id=10.1371%2Fjournal.pgen.1000227)).
7) NAA50 (N(alpha)-acetyltransferase 50, NatE catalytic subunit) is required for sister chromatid
separation *in vivo* (Hou, Chu, Kong, Yokomori, & Zou, 2007).
8) NUCKS1 (nuclear casein kinase and cyclin dependent kinase substrate 1) is a chromatin-
associated protein with a role in the DNA damage response. Knocking down NUCKS1 causes
chromosomal breaks (Parplys et al., 2015).
9) PRELID3B (PRELI domain containing 3B) is an inner mitochondrial protein. Knocking down
PRELID3B decreases cell viability (http://www.genecards.org/cgi-
bin/carddisp.pl?gene=PRELID3B).
10) SNRPE (small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide E). siRNA-mediated depletion of
SNRPE stimulated autophagy and led to a marked reduction of cell viability in breast, lung,

and melanoma cancer cell lines, whereas it had little effect on the survival of the
nonmalignant MCF-10A breast epithelial cells (Quidville et al., 2013).
11) TFRC (transferrin receptor). Blocking TFRC function with a neutralizing antibody inhibits
cell proliferation and survival (Pham et al., 2014). Suppression of TFRC led to apoptosis of
renal cells (Gui et al., 2013) and cell cycle arrest in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells
(Chan et al., 2014).
1524
Figure 4 - figure supplement 2.
Characterization of the six genes downregulated in shL3 and shR6 treated cells and found
to be critical survival genes in lethality screens.
(**A**) The six downregulated survival genes were queried individually using default settings with
all studies selected in the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics hosted by Memorial Sloan Kettering
Cancer Center (http://www.cbioportal.org/) (Cerami et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2013). Datasets with
alterations in 5 out of the 6 essential genes reporting both copy number alterations and
mutational data were included. To avoid reporting duplicate datasets, The Cancer Genome Atlas
publications were excluded. After filtering, 33 datasets representing cancers from 23 different
sites reported alterations in the downregulated survival genes. (**B**) Percent confluence over time
of HeyA8 cells transfected with increasing concentrations of a pool of siRNAs (28 different
siRNAs) targeting 7 different genes: CCT3, TFRC, NAA50, FUBP1, PRELID3B, GNB1 and
FSTL1. Each siRNA SmartPool was comprised of 4 individual siRNAs. Data are representative
of two independent experiments. Values were calculated from samples done in quadruplicates
shown as mean ± SE. (**C**) PI staining used to quantify percent subG1 for cells 4 days after
transfection with 1 nM and 5 nM of combined siRNA pools targeting the 7 different survival
genes as in *B*. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Values were calculated
from samples done in quadruplicates shown as mean ± SD. *** $p < 0.0001$, unpaired t-test.
1543
Figure 4 - figure supplement 3.
Histones are downregulated in all forms of DISE but are not the most highly expressed
genes in cells.
MA plots comparing the expression level (counts per million, CPM) and fold change in the four
RNA Seq data sets in this study. Shown are all RNAs that were >1.5 fold deregulated with an
adjusted p-value of <0.01. Significantly downregulated RNAs are shown in green, upregulated
RNAs in cyan. All 73 histones are shown as dark blue dots and the 12 histones downregulated in
all 4 data sets are shown as red dots.
1552
Figure 5.
DISE inducing si/shRNAs target critical survival genes through RNAi.
(**A**) Sylamer plots for the list of genes in the shL3 experiment (left) and the shR6 experiment
(right) ordered from down-regulated to up-regulated. The most highly enriched sequence is
shown which in each case is the 8mer seed match of the introduced shRNA. The red line
corresponds to a p-value threshold of 0.05 after Bonferroni correction for the number of words
tested (65536). Bonferroni-adjusted p-values are shown. The unadjusted p-values are 1.58E-24
and 1.35E-26, respectively. The black line represents the sequences carrying the let-7 8mer seed
match. (**B**) Location of the 6mer seed matches of either shL3 or shR6 in the 3'UTRs of the 11
genes (shown at scale) identified in the RNA-Seq experiment described in *Figure 4A*. Red font
indicates a critical survival gene. (**C**) A series of six 2x2 contingency tables comparing whether
or not a critical survival gene is downregulated after treatment with the indicated siRNA or
shRNA to whether or not its 3'UTR contains at least 1 seed match for the introduced sh/siRNA.

p-values were calculated using Fisher's Exact Test to determine any significant relationship
between gene downregulation and presence of seed matches in 3'UTR.
1568
Figure 5 - figure supplement 1.
Quantification of the mature shRNA forms.
(**A**) Graphical representation of the percentage of the different Dicer cut sites to produce the
mature passenger (top) and guide (bottom) strands of 3 shRNAs expressed from two vectors. All
analyses were performed with cells 50 hrs after either Dox addition (in pTIP expressing cells) or
infection with the pLKO virus. Letters in green: vector sequences; black: passenger and guide
strands of shRNAs; Arrow heads label the most highly cleaved residues; the darker the arrow
head the more highly cleaved. Numbers in yellow box represent total number of reads detected
for passenger and guide strands. (**B**) Percent cell confluence in HeyA8 cells after transfection
with shL3=>siL3 (shL3 converted to an siRNA) or shR6=>siR6 (shR6 converted to an siRNA).
Conversion was based on the most common mature double-stranded RNA form produced as
indicated by the results in *A*. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each bar
represents mean ± SE of four replicates. Insert: percent DNA fragmentation in the same samples.
Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each bar represents mean ± SD of four
replicates, *** p<0.0001, unpaired t-test.
1584
Figure 5 - figure supplement 2.
Identification of seed matches targeted by shL1 and siL3.
Sylamer plots for the list of genes in the shL1 experiment (293T cells 100 hrs after infection with
pLKO-shL1) (left) and the siL3 experiment (48 hrs after transfection of HeyA8 cells with siL3)
(right) ordered from down-regulated to up-regulated. The most highly enriched sequences are
shown which in each case is the 7mer seed match of the introduced shRNA. The red line
corresponds to a p-value threshold of 0.05 after Bonferroni correction for the number of words
tested. Bonferroni-adjusted p-values are shown.
1593
Figure 5 - figure supplement 3.
Activity to knockdown CD95 does determine shRNA toxicity.
HeyA8 cells infected with the indicated shRNAs in the pLKO vector were analyzed for toxicity
(top, % percent reduction at half maximal confluency), CD95 expression by Western blot
analysis (center, 2 days after puromycin addition) and qPCR analysis (bottom, 3 days after
puromycin addition). Shown data are representative of two independent experiments. +++,
>75%; ++, >50%; +, >10%; -, <10% growth reduction.
1601
Figure 6.
Identifying all toxic shRNAs derived from CD95L and CD95.
(**A**) Schematic showing the cloned shRNAs covering the ORF of Venus and the ORFs and
3'UTRs of CD95L and CD95. The 3'UTR is displayed as a dashed line because it was not
included in the full-length Venus-CD95L/CD95 sensors. (**B**) Work-flow of pTIP-shRNA library
synthesis, shRNA screen and data analysis. (**C**) Ranked fold reduction of shRNAs spanning
Venus and CD95L (ORF and 3'UTR) (*left 3 panels*) and Venus and CD95 (ORF and 3'UTR)
(*right 3 panels*). The ranked lists were separated into the shRNAs derived from Venus (top), the
ORFs (center) and the 3'UTRs (bottom). The p-value of enrichment for each ranked set of
shRNAs is given. Only the parts of the ranked lists are shown with the downregulated shRNAs.
For all 6 panels, the top section of each panel (boxed in blue) contains the data on shRNAs
downregulated after infection of cells and cultured for 9 days without Dox when compared to the

composition of the shRNA plasmid library and the bottom half (boxed in orange) contains the
data on shRNAs downregulated after culture with Dox for 9 days when compared to the culture
without Dox. P-values were calculated using Mann Whitney U tests with a one-sided alternative
that the rank was lower. (**D**) The location of all shRNAs significantly downregulated at least 5
fold along the sequences of Venus, CD95L ORF, CD95L 3'UTR (left panel) and Venus, CD95
ORF, and CD95 3'UTR (right panel). The top half of each sub panel (blue ticks) shows the
shRNAs downregulated after infection and the bottom half (orange ticks) contains the data on
shRNAs downregulated after culture with Dox for 9 days. Significance of enrichment in the
different subpanels is shown. p-values were calculated according to statistical tests of two
proportions. Each data set was compared to the corresponding Venus distribution. Green line:
sequence that corresponds to the intracellular domain of CD95L.
1625
Figure 6 - figure supplement 1.
Toxicity and RNAi of individual shRNA pools.
(**A**) *Top panels:* Green object intensity over time of NB7 Venus-CD95L sensor cells infected
with the pTIP-Venus shRNA pool (*left panel*), pTIP-CD95L ORF shRNA pool (*center panel*), or
pTIP-CD95L 3'UTR shRNA pool (*right panel*) with or without Dox treatment. *Bottom panels:*
Green object intensity over time of NB7 Venus-CD95 sensor cells infected with the pTIP-Venus
shRNA pool (*left panel*), pTIP-CD95 ORF shRNA pool (*center panel*), or pTIP-CD95 3'UTR
shRNA pool (*right panel*) with or without Dox treatment. Values were calculated from samples
done in quadruplicates shown as mean ± SE. (**B**) Percent confluence over time of parental NB7
cells infected with the pTIP-Venus shRNA pool (*top left panel*), pTIP-CD95L ORF shRNA pool
(*top center panel*), pTIP-CD95L 3'UTR shRNA pool (*top right panel*), pTIP-CD95 ORF-shRNA
pool (*bottom center panel*), and pTIP-CD95 3'UTR shRNA pool (*bottom right panel*) with or
without Dox treatment. Values were calculated from samples done in triplicate shown as mean ±
SE. P-values were calculated using two-way ANOVA with a factor for Dox treatment and a
factor for time. Similar data were obtained when either HCT116 or 293T cells were treated with
each of the five shRNA pools (data not shown).
1642
Figure 6 - figure supplement 2.
Fold change in shRNA representation after infection of NB7 cells and after treatment with
Dox.
(**A**) Change in green fluorescence (top panels) and percent cell confluence (bottom panels) over
time of NB7 cells expressing either Venus-CD95 (left panels) or Venus-CD95L (right panels).
Cells were infected with the Tet-inducible pTIP-shR6 virus, selected for two days with
puromycin and then subjected to an analysis in the IncuCyte Zoom. No Dox was added. Two
other inducible constructs (pTIP-shL1 and pTIP-shL3) were tested in the same way and no
evidence of leakiness was observed (data not shown), supporting the finding in the shRNA
screen that certain shRNA constructs display leakiness while others do not. Values were
calculated from samples done in triplicate shown as mean ± SE. (**B**) Scatterplot showing the fold
change of shRNAs after infection of cells and culture for 9 days without Dox when compared to
the composition of the shRNA plasmid library (X axis) and the fold change of shRNAs after
culture with Dox for 9 days when compared to the culture without Dox (Y axis). The red dots are
the shRNAs that were significantly downregulated at least 5 fold. The number of shRNAs
labeled in red in each quartile is given in blue. Two of the shRNAs tested before are labeled in
green.
1660
1661 **Figure 7.**

In silico prediction of DISE activity tracks with experimental determined toxicity of shRNAs.

(**A**) *Left:* Schematic showing the preferential targeting of seed matches present in the 3'UTRs (red marks) of survival genes by toxic si/shRNAs. *Right:* The toxicity index (TI) is the normalized ratio of the number of 6mer or 8mer seed matches present in a list of survival genes versus a list of nonsurvival genes. (**B**) Fold downregulation versus ranked (8mer seed matched based) Toxicity Index for shRNAs of the Venus/CD95L pool (*left three panels*) and the Venus/CD95 pool (*right three panels*). Orange and blue tick marks indicate the same as in *Figure 6D*. To test if higher TI is enriched in shRNAs that were highly downregulated, p-values were calculated based on permutated datasets using Mann-Whitney U tests. The ranking of TI was randomly shuffled 10,000 times and the W statistic from our dataset was compared to the distribution of the W statistic of the permutated datasets. (**C, D**) Plot of fold downregulation of toxic shRNAs derived from CD95L ORF of the toxicity screens -Dox (left) or +Dox (center) versus GC content the 6mer seed in each shRNA. (**E**) Plot of the log(TI) of all 4092 possible 6mers versus GC content of the seeds. Pearson correlation coefficient and significance (p values) are given.

Figure 7 - figure supplement 1.
DISE does not just target all highly expressed genes.
(**A**) Correlation between 850 survival genes (genes identified as critical survival genes in two genome-wide lethality screens (Blomen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015) and expressed at least at 100 reads in all of the 16 control RNA Seq samples in this study) and 850 expression matched nonsurvival genes (genes not identified as critical survival genes in two genome-wide lethality screens (Blomen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015) and expressed at least at 100 reads in all of the 16 control RNA Seq samples in this study). (**B**) Reanalysis of the CD95L ORF data in *Figure 7B* using two alternative ways to calculate the toxicity index (TI). *Left:* the analysis shown in *Figure 7B* with the data ranked using the original TI (using all known 3'UTRs for each gene group). *Center*: analysis with the data ranked using the original TI but based on only the longest 3'UTR for each gene. *Right*: analysis with the data ranked using the new TI based on expression matched SGs and nonSGs identified in A and using the longest 3'UTR for each gene. To test if higher TI is enriched in shRNAs that were highly downregulated, p-values were calculated based on permutated datasets using Mann-Whitney U tests.

Supplementary Tables:

Table S1: Results of the RNA-Seq analysis used to generate *Figure 4B*.

Table S2: Gene lists used in this work.

Table S3: shRNA screen data.

Table S4: The 6mer and 8mer toxicity index.

Table S5: Correlation between experimental shRNA toxicity and TI.

1707 **References**
1708

Algeciras-Schimnich, A., Pietras, E. M., Barnhart, B. C., Legembre, P., Vijayan, S., Holbeck, S.
L., & Peter, M. E. (2003). Two CD95 tumor classes with different sensitivities to
antitumor drugs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 100*(20), 11445-11450.
Bae, K., Park, K. E., Han, J., Kim, J., Kim, K., & Yoon, K. A. (2016). Mitotic cell death caused
by follistatin-like 1 inhibition is associated with up-regulated Bim by inactivated Erk1/2
in human lung cancer cells. *Oncotarget, 7*(14), 18076-18084. doi:
10.18632/oncotarget.6729
Barnhart, B. C., Legembre, P., Pietras, E., Bubici, C., Franzoso, G., & Peter, M. E. (2004). CD95
ligand induces motility and invasiveness of apoptosis-resistant tumor cells. *EMBO J,*
23(15), 3175-3185.
Baumgarten, P., Harter, P. N., Tonjes, M., Capper, D., Blank, A. E., Sahm, F., . . . Mittelbronn,
M. (2014). Loss of FUBP1 expression in gliomas predicts FUBP1 mutation and is
associated with oligodendroglial differentiation, IDH1 mutation and 1p/19q loss of
heterozygosity. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol, 40*(2), 205-216. doi: 10.1111/nan.12088
Bernstein, E., Caudy, A. A., Hammond, S. M., & Hannon, G. J. (2001). Role for a bidentate
ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. *Nature, 409*(6818), 363-366. doi:
10.1038/35053110
Birmingham, A., Anderson, E. M., Reynolds, A., Ilsley-Tyree, D., Leake, D., Fedorov, Y., . . .
Khvorova, A. (2006). 3' UTR seed matches, but not overall identity, are associated with
RNAi off-targets. *Nat Methods, 3*(3), 199-204. doi: 10.1038/nmeth854
Blomen, V. A., Majek, P., Jae, L. T., Bigenzahn, J. W., Nieuwenhuis, J., Staring, J., . . .
Brummelkamp, T. R. (2015). Gene essentiality and synthetic lethality in haploid human
cells. *Science, 350*(6264), 1092-1096. doi: 10.1126/science.aac7557
Bramsen, J. B., Laursen, M. B., Nielsen, A. F., Hansen, T. B., Bus, C., Langkjaer, N., . . . Kjems,
J. (2009). A large-scale chemical modification screen identifies design rules to generate
siRNAs with high activity, high stability and low toxicity. *Nucleic Acids Res, 37*(9),
2867-2881. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp106
Ceppi, P., Hadji, A., Kohlhapp, FJ., Pattanayak, A., Hau, A., Xia, L., . . . Peter, M. E. (2014).
CD95 and CD95L promote and protect cancer stem cells. *Nature Commun, 5*, 5238.
Cerami, E., Gao, J., Dogrusoz, U., Gross, B. E., Sumer, S. O., Aksoy, B. A., . . . Schultz, N.
(2012). The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring
multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov, 2*(5), 401-404. doi:
10.1158/2159-8290.CD-12-0095
Chan, K. T., Choi, M. Y., Lai, K. K., Tan, W., Tung, L. N., Lam, H. Y., . . . Law, S. (2014).
Overexpression of transferrin receptor CD71 and its tumorigenic properties in esophageal
squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol Rep, 31*(3), 1296-1304. doi: 10.3892/or.2014.2981
Chen, L., Park, S. M., Tumanov, A. V., Hau, A., Sawada, K., Feig, C., . . . Peter, M. E. (2010).
CD95 promotes tumour growth. *Nature, 465*(7297), 492-496.
Chiu, Y. L., & Rana, T. M. (2003). siRNA function in RNAi: a chemical modification analysis.
RNA, 9(9), 1034-1048.
Cowley, G. S., Weir, B. A., Vazquez, F., Tamayo, P., Scott, J. A., Rusin, S., . . . Hahn, W. C.
(2014). Parallel genome-scale loss of function screens in 216 cancer cell lines for the
identification of context-specific genetic dependencies. *Sci Data, 1*, 140035. doi:
10.1038/sdata.2014.35

Dow, L. E., Premsrirut, P. K., Zuber, J., Fellmann, C., McJunkin, K., Miething, C., . . . Lowe, S. W. (2012). A pipeline for the generation of shRNA transgenic mice. *Nat Protoc, 7*(2), 374-393. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2011.446

Drachsler, M., Kleber, S., Mateos, A., Volk, K., Mohr, N., Chen, S., . . . Martin-Villalba, A. (2016). CD95 maintains stem cell-like and non-classical EMT programs in primary human glioblastoma cells. *Cell death & disease, 7*, e2209. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2016.102

Fedorov, Y., Anderson, E. M., Birmingham, A., Reynolds, A., Karpilow, J., Robinson, K., . . . Khvorova, A. (2006). Off-target effects by siRNA can induce toxic phenotype. *RNA, 12*(7), 1188-1196. doi: 10.1261/rna.28106

Friesen, C., Fulda, S., & Debatin, K. M. (1999). Cytotoxic drugs and the CD95 pathway. *Leukemia, 13*(11), 1854-1858.

Gao, J., Aksoy, B. A., Dogrusoz, U., Dresdner, G., Gross, B., Sumer, S. O., . . . Schultz, N. (2013). Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Science signaling, 6*(269), pl1. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2004088

Grimm, D., Streetz, K. L., Jopling, C. L., Storm, T. A., Pandey, K., Davis, C. R., . . . Kay, M. A. (2006). Fatality in mice due to oversaturation of cellular microRNA/short hairpin RNA pathways. *Nature, 441*(7092), 537-541. doi: 10.1038/nature04791

Gu, S., Jin, L., Zhang, Y., Huang, Y., Zhang, F., Valdmanis, P. N., & Kay, M. A. (2012). The loop position of shRNAs and pre-miRNAs is critical for the accuracy of dicer processing in vivo. *Cell, 151*(4), 900-911. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.042

Gu, S., Zhang, Y., Jin, L., Huang, Y., Zhang, F., Bassik, M. C., . . . Kay, M. A. (2014). Weak base pairing in both seed and 3' regions reduces RNAi off-targets and enhances si/shRNA designs. *Nucleic Acids Res, 42*(19), 12169-12176. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku854

Gui, S., Sang, X., Zheng, L., Ze, Y., Zhao, X., Sheng, L., . . . Tang, M. (2013). Intragastric exposure to titanium dioxide nanoparticles induced nephrotoxicity in mice, assessed by physiological and gene expression modifications. *Part Fibre Toxicol, 10*, 4. doi: 10.1186/1743-8977-10-4

Guo, H., Ingolia, N. T., Weissman, J. S., & Bartel, D. P. (2010). Mammalian microRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. *Nature, 466*(7308), 835-840.

Ha, M., & Kim, V. N. (2014). Regulation of microRNA biogenesis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 15*(8), 509-524. doi: 10.1038/nrm3838

Hadji, A., Ceppi, P., Murmann, A. E., Brockway, S., Pattanayak, A., Bhinder, B., . . . Peter, M.E. (2014). Death induced by CD95 or CD95 ligand elimination. *Cell Reports, 10*, 208-222.

Hart, T., Brown, K. R., Sircoulomb, F., Rottapel, R., & Moffat, J. (2014). Measuring error rates in genomic perturbation screens: gold standards for human functional genomics. *Mol Syst Biol, 10*, 733. doi: 10.15252/msb.20145216

Hart, T., Chandrashekhar, M., Aregger, M., Steinhart, Z., Brown, K. R., MacLeod, G., . . . Moffat, J. (2015). High-Resolution CRISPR Screens Reveal Fitness Genes and Genotype-Specific Cancer Liabilities. *Cell, 163*(6), 1515-1526. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.015

Hou, F., Chu, C. W., Kong, X., Yokomori, K., & Zou, H. (2007). The acetyltransferase activity of San stabilizes the mitotic cohesin at the centromeres in a shugoshin-independent manner. *J Cell Biol, 177*(4), 587-597. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200701043

Jackson, A. L., Burchard, J., Schelter, J., Chau, B. N., Cleary, M., Lim, L., & Linsley, P. S. (2006). Widespread siRNA "off-target" transcript silencing mediated by seed region sequence complementarity. *RNA, 12*(7), 1179-1187. doi: 10.1261/rna.25706

Jang, M., Park, B. C., Kang, S., Chi, S. W., Cho, S., Chung, S. J., . . . Park, S. G. (2009). Far upstream element-binding protein-1, a novel caspase substrate, acts as a cross-talker

between apoptosis and the c-myc oncogene. *Oncogene, 28*(12), 1529-1536. doi:
10.1038/onc.2009.11
Jinek, M., East, A., Cheng, A., Lin, S., Ma, E., & Doudna, J. (2013). RNA-programmed genome
editing in human cells. *Elife, 2*, e00471. doi: 10.7554/eLife.00471
Khan, A. A., Betel, D., Miller, M. L., Sander, C., Leslie, C. S., & Marks, D. S. (2009).
Transfection of small RNAs globally perturbs gene regulation by endogenous
microRNAs. *Nat Biotechnol, 27*(6), 549-555.
Kim, D. H., Behlke, M. A., Rose, S. D., Chang, M. S., Choi, S., & Rossi, J. J. (2005). Synthetic
dsRNA Dicer substrates enhance RNAi potency and efficacy. *Nat Biotechnol, 23*(2), 222-
226. doi: 10.1038/nbt1051
Kim, Y. K., Kim, B., & Kim, V. N. (2016). Re-evaluation of the roles of DROSHA, Export in 5,
and DICER in microRNA biogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 113*(13), E1881-1889.
doi: 10.1073/pnas.1602532113
Kleber, S., Sancho-Martinez, I., Wiestler, B., Beisel, A., Gieffers, C., Hill, O., . . . Martin-
Villalba, A. (2008). Yes and PI3K Bind CD95 to Signal Invasion of Glioblastoma.
Cancer Cell, 13(3), 235-248.
Krammer, P. H. (2000). CD95's deadly mission in the immune system. *Nature, 407*(6805), 789-
795.
Krol, J., Loedige, I., & Filipowicz, W. (2010). The widespread regulation of microRNA
biogenesis, function and decay. *Nat Rev Genet, 11*(9), 597-610.
Lin, X., Ruan, X., Anderson, M. G., McDowell, J. A., Kroeger, P. E., Fesik, S. W., & Shen, Y.
(2005). siRNA-mediated off-target gene silencing triggered by a 7 nt complementation.
Nucleic Acids Res, 33(14), 4527-4535. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki762
Lu, J., Getz, G., Miska, E.A., varez-Saavedra, E., Lamb, J., Peck, D., . . . Golub, T.R. (2005).
MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. *Nature, 435*(7043), 834-838.
Mali, P., Yang, L., Esvelt, K. M., Aach, J., Guell, M., DiCarlo, J. E., . . . Church, G. M. (2013).
RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science, 339*(6121), 823-826. doi:
10.1126/science.1232033
Marques, J. T., & Williams, B. R. (2005). Activation of the mammalian immune system by
siRNAs. *Nat Biotechnol, 23*(11), 1399-1405. doi: 10.1038/nbt1161
Medina-Rivera, A., Defrance, M., Sand, O., Herrmann, C., Castro-Mondragon, J. A., Delerce, J.,
. . . van Helden, J. (2015). RSAT 2015: Regulatory Sequence Analysis Tools. *Nucleic
Acids Res, 43*(W1), W50-56. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv362
Mi, N., Chen, Y., Wang, S., Chen, M., Zhao, M., Yang, G., . . . Yu, L. (2015). CapZ regulates
autophagosomal membrane shaping by promoting actin assembly inside the isolation
membrane. *Nat Cell Biol, 17*(9), 1112-1123. doi: 10.1038/ncb3215
Morgens, D. W., Deans, R. M., Li, A., & Bassik, M. C. (2016). Systematic comparison of
CRISPR/Cas9 and RNAi screens for essential genes. *Nat Biotechnol, 34*(6), 634-636. doi:
10.1038/nbt.3567
Morgulis, A., Gertz, E. M., Schaffer, A. A., & Agarwala, R. (2006). A fast and symmetric DUST
implementation to mask low-complexity DNA sequences. *J Comput Biol, 13*(5), 1028-
1040. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2006.13.1028
Murmann, A. E., McMahon, K. M., Halluck-Kangas, A., Ravindran, N., Patel, M., Law, C., . . .
Peter, M. E. (2017). Induction of DISE in ovarian cancer cells in vivo. *BioRxive*.
Parplys, A. C., Zhao, W., Sharma, N., Groesser, T., Liang, F., Maranon, D. G., . . . Wiese, C.
(2015). NUCKS1 is a novel RAD51AP1 paralog important for homologous
recombination and genome stability. *Nucleic Acids Res, 43*(20), 9817-9834. doi:
10.1093/nar/gkv859

Patel, M., & Peter, M.E. (2017). Identification of DISE-inducing shRNAs by monitoring cellular responses. *BioRxive*.

Peter, M. E., Budd, R. C., Desbarats, J., Hedrick, S. M., Hueber, A. O., Newell, M. K., . . . Lynch, D. H. (2007). The CD95 receptor: apoptosis revisited. *Cell, 129*(3), 447-450.

Petri, S., & Meister, G. (2013). siRNA design principles and off-target effects. *Methods Mol Biol, 986*, 59-71. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-311-4_4

Pham, D. H., Moretti, P. A., Goodall, G. J., & Pitson, S. M. (2008). Attenuation of leakiness in doxycycline-inducible expression via incorporation of 3' AU-rich mRNA destabilizing elements. *Biotechniques, 45*(2), 155-156. doi: 10.2144/000112896

Pham, D. H., Powell, J. A., Gliddon, B. L., Moretti, P. A., Tsykin, A., Van der Hoek, M., . . . Pitson, S. M. (2014). Enhanced expression of transferrin receptor 1 contributes to oncogenic signalling by sphingosine kinase 1. *Oncogene, 33*(48), 5559-5568. doi: 10.1038/onc.2013.502

Pratt, A. J., & MacRae, I. J. (2009). The RNA-induced silencing complex: a versatile gene-silencing machine. *J Biol Chem, 284*(27), 17897-17901. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R900012200

Qadir, A. S., Ceppi, P., Brockway, S., Law, C., Mu, L., Khodarev, N. N., . . . Peter, M. E. (2017). CD95/Fas Increases Stemness in Cancer Cells by Inducing a STAT1-Dependent Type I Interferon Response. *Cell Rep, 18*(10), 2373-2386. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.02.037

Quidville, V., Alsafadi, S., Goubar, A., Commo, F., Scott, V., Pioche-Durieu, C., . . . Andre, F. (2013). Targeting the deregulated spliceosome core machinery in cancer cells triggers mTOR blockade and autophagy. *Cancer Res, 73*(7), 2247-2258. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-2501

Rabenhorst, U., Thalheimer, F. B., Gerlach, K., Kijonka, M., Bohm, S., Krause, D. S., . . . Zornig, M. (2015). Single-Stranded DNA-Binding Transcriptional Regulator FUBP1 Is Essential for Fetal and Adult Hematopoietic Stem Cell Self-Renewal. *Cell Rep, 11*(12), 1847-1855. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.05.038

Robbins, M. A., Li, M., Leung, I., Li, H., Boyer, D. V., Song, Y., . . . Rossi, J. J. (2006). Stable expression of shRNAs in human CD34+ progenitor cells can avoid induction of interferon responses to siRNAs in vitro. *Nat Biotechnol, 24*(5), 566-571. doi: 10.1038/nbt1206

Schoggins, J. W., Wilson, S. J., Panis, M., Murphy, M. Y., Jones, C. T., Bieniasz, P., & Rice, C. M. (2011). A diverse range of gene products are effectors of the type I interferon antiviral response. *Nature, 472*(7344), 481-485. doi: 10.1038/nature09907

Shao, D. D., Tsherniak, A., Gopal, S., Weir, B. A., Tamayo, P., Stransky, N., . . . Mesirov, J. P. (2013). ATARiS: computational quantification of gene suppression phenotypes from multisample RNAi screens. *Genome Res, 23*(4), 665-678. doi: 10.1101/gr.143586.112

Siomi, H., & Siomi, M. C. (2009). On the road to reading the RNA-interference code. *Nature, 457*(7228), 396-404. doi: 10.1038/nature07754

Stark, A., Brennecke, J., Bushati, N., Russell, R. B., & Cohen, S. M. (2005). Animal MicroRNAs confer robustness to gene expression and have a significant impact on 3'UTR evolution. *Cell, 123*(6), 1133-1146. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.11.023

Sun, D., Zhou, M., Kowolik, C. M., Trisal, V., Huang, Q., Kernstine, K. H., . . . Shen, B. (2011). Differential expression patterns of capping protein, protein phosphatase 1, and casein kinase 1 may serve as diagnostic markers for malignant melanoma. *Melanoma Res, 21*(4), 335-343. doi: 10.1097/CMR.0b013e328346b715

Teitz, T., Wei, T., Valentine, M. B., Vanin, E. F., Grenet, J., Valentine, V. A., . . . Kidd, V. J. (2000). Caspase 8 is deleted or silenced preferentially in childhood neuroblastomas with amplification of MYCN. *Nat Med, 6*(5), 529-535.

Ting, A. H., Suzuki, H., Cope, L., Schuebel, K. E., Lee, B. H., Toyota, M., . . . Baylin, S. B.
(2008). A requirement for DICER to maintain full promoter CpG island
hypermethylation in human cancer cells. *Cancer Res, 68*(8), 2570-2575. doi:
10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6405
Ui-Tei, K., Naito, Y., Takahashi, F., Haraguchi, T., Ohki-Hamazaki, H., Juni, A., . . . Saigo, K.
(2004). Guidelines for the selection of highly effective siRNA sequences for mammalian
and chick RNA interference. *Nucleic Acids Res, 32*(3), 936-948. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh247
van Dongen, S., Abreu-Goodger, C., & Enright, A. J. (2008). Detecting microRNA binding and
siRNA off-target effects from expression data. *Nat Methods, 5*(12), 1023-1025. doi:
10.1038/nmeth.1267
Wang, T., Birsoy, K., Hughes, N. W., Krupczak, K. M., Post, Y., Wei, J. J., . . . Sabatini, D. M.
(2015). Identification and characterization of essential genes in the human genome.
Science, 350(6264), 1096-1101. doi: 10.1126/science.aac7041
Watanabe, C., Cuellar, T. L., & Haley, B. (2016). Quantitative evaluation of first, second, and
third generation hairpin systems reveals the limit of mammalian vector-based RNAi. *RNA*
Biol, 13(1), 25-33. doi: 10.1080/15476286.2015.1128062
Wazir, U., Jiang, W. G., Sharma, A. K., & Mokbel, K. (2013). Guanine nucleotide binding
protein beta 1: a novel transduction protein with a possible role in human breast cancer.
Cancer Genomics Proteomics, 10(2), 69-73.
Yoo, J. K., Choi, S. J., & Kim, J. K. (2013). Expression profiles of subtracted mRNAs during
cellular senescence in human mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow. *Exp*
Gerontol, 48(5), 464-471. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2013.02.022
Zamore, P. D., Tuschl, T., Sharp, P. A., & Bartel, D. P. (2000). RNAi: double-stranded RNA
directs the ATP-dependent cleavage of mRNA at 21 to 23 nucleotide intervals. *Cell,*
101(1), 25-33. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80620-0
Zare, H., Khodursky, A., & Sartorelli, V. (2014). An evolutionarily biased distribution of
miRNA sites toward regulatory genes with high promoter-driven intrinsic transcriptional
noise. *BMC Evol Biol, 14*, 74. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-14-74
Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Wei, Y., Wu, J., Zhang, P., Shen, S., . . . Yu, L. (2016). Molecular
chaperone CCT3 supports proper mitotic progression and cell proliferation in
hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Cancer Lett, 372*(1), 101-109. doi:
10.1016/j.canlet.2015.12.029
1929
1930

A

shL1 – GCATCATCTTTGGAGAAGCAA   shR5 – GTTGCTAGATTATCGTCCAAA
shL3 – ACTGGGCTGTACTTTGTATAT   shR6 – GTGCAGATGTAAACCAAACTT
shL4 – GCAGTGTTCAATCTTACCAGT   shR7 – CCTGAAACAGTGGCAATAAAT

A

shRNA Libraries

B

shRNA Libraries