Whole-ganglion imaging of voltage in the medicinal leech

using a double-sided microscope

Yusuke Tomina[1] and Daniel A. Wagenaar[1]

[1]Division of Biology and Biological Engineering

California Institute of Technology

Caltech 139-74, Pasadena, California, 91125 USA

Correspondence to: Dr. Yusuke Tomina, Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Caltech 139-74, Pasadena, California, 91125 USA. E-mail: tominaye@caltech.edu

Abstract

Studies of neuronal network emergence during sensory processing and motor

control are greatly promoted by technologies that allow us to simultaneously record the

membrane potential dynamics of a large population of neurons in single cell resolution.

To achieve whole-brain recording with the ability to detect both small synaptic

potentials and action potentials, we developed a voltage-sensitive dye (VSD) imaging

technique based on a double-sided microscope that can image two sides of a nervous

system simultaneously. We applied this system to the segmental ganglia of the

medicinal leech. Double-sided VSD imaging enabled simultaneous recording of

membrane potential events from almost all of the identifiable neurons. Using data

obtained from double-sided VSD imaging we analyzed neuronal dynamics in both

sensory processing and generation of behavior and constructed functional maps for

identification of neurons contributing to these processes.

Introduction

One of the principal goals in neuroscience is to clarify how neuronal circuits

process sensory information and control behavior. Sensory information and behavioral

states are represented as dynamic activity patterns of neuronal populations in large

neuronal networks. To clarify the neuronal mechanisms underlying sensory processing

and behavioral generation, it is necessary to determine which neurons are involved in

functionally relevant neuronal dynamics and how those neuronal components interact

with each other within the larger network. Technological advances in neuroimaging

have enabled brain-wide recording of neuronal activity with sufficiently fine spatial

resolution to identify individual neurons within a population[1]. Researchers can perform

pan-neuronal Ca^{2+} imaging in selected animals with small size nervous systems,

including larval zebrafish [1] and *C. elegans* [2-4].

Although Ca^{2+} imaging is a convenient tool for detecting neuronal activity, it

is limited to intracellular events that are associated with a change in Ca^{2+} concentration.

Thus, Ca^{2+} imaging measures neither subthreshold depolarizing nor hyperpolarizing

synaptic events. Accordingly, it is difficult to observe synaptic integration processes

using Ca^{2+} indicators. In contrast, voltage sensitive dyes (VSDs) can detect both action

potentials and sub-threshold excitatory and inhibitory synaptic potentials. VSDs have

enabled neuroscientists to examine ethologically relevant neuronal dynamics and to

functionally map parts of the nervous systems of sea slugs[5-7] and the medicinal leech

Hirudo verbana [8-10]. The segmental ganglion of the leech is particularly well suited for

comprehensive recording using VSD imaging for two reasons: It consists of only about

400 identifiable neurons [11] arranged in a well-preserved geometry in a single spherical

shell surrounding a central neuropil, and it functions as a basic unit of sensory

processing and control of several behaviors [12]. In the leech segmental ganglion, multiple

neuronal circuits responsible for reflexive and voluntary locomotor behaviors have

already been characterized by electrophysiology and VSD imaging [8-10, 12]. However,

existing technology only allowed imaging one side of a ganglion at a time, and hence

captured the activity of at most half of the full ensemble of neurons.

To overcome this limitation, we developed a double-sided microscope for

VSD imaging, consisting of precisely aligned upright and inverted fluorescent

microscopes. This microscope enabled us to record from all cell bodies of a leech

ganglion regardless of their location, and allowed us, for the first time, to directly

analyze functional relationships between neurons located on opposite surfaces. We

combined this double-sided neuronal imaging system with simultaneous

electrophysiological recording and stimulation, which allowed us to monitor motor

outputs, to verify agreement of VSD signals with actual membrane potentials, and to

activate or inhibit selected target cells by injecting current.

To demonstrate the utility of the newly developed VSD imaging method, we

addressed the following two questions. (1) How are individual identifiable neurons that

exhibit higher discriminability for the different sensory stimuli distributed across

different surfaces of the ganglion? (2) To what extent are neural circuit components

unique or shared between different behaviors?

Results

VSD imaging using double-sided microscopy system

Double-sided VSD imaging requires simultaneously focusing two fluorescent

microscopes. We achieved this by mounting the fluorescence train of an Olympus BX

upright microscope with a custom focus rack on top of the body of an Olympus IX

inverted microscope. Both microscopes were equipped with 20x objectives. An

optically stabilized high-power LED [13] provided excitation light through the top

objective, which operated in epifluorescence mode. The top objective also functioned as

a condenser lens for imaging with the bottom objective, which thus operated in

transfluorescence mode (Fig. 1a). Because of the high NA of the top objective,

inhomogeneities in the imaged tissue did not cause substantive deviations from uniform

illumination of the bottom focal plane.

The two microscopes were first coarsely aligned (to within about 200 μm) by

moving the upright microscope's body and its objective turret, after which

micro-alignment was achieved by fine-tuning the position of the upright microscope's

objectives in their turret. We used highly sensitive CCD cameras (Photometrics

QuantEM 512SC) to image neuronal activity with single cell resolution throughout the

ganglion (Fig. 1b). We suppressed mechanical vibration noise by replacing the internal

fans of the CCD cameras with external blowers. Photon noise was not substantially

different between the top and the bottom image (Top: 72 ± 3 ppm; Bottom: 65 ± 3 ppm

(mean $\pm$ SEM over 10 areas size-matched to typical cells).

We imaged neural activity with a new-generation voltage sensitive dye,

VF2.1(OMe).H [14], which is sensitive enough to record subthreshold events and fast

enough to detect action potentials with accurate timing. The dye was loaded into

somatic membranes on both aspects of a ganglion by bath application and a perfusion

pump for targeted delivery [8]. In leech ganglia, the sensitivity reached $2.7\% \pm 0.3\%$

(mean $\pm$ SD across five ganglia in two leeches) at resting potential (-50 mV)

(Supplementary Fig.1). Microscopic motion artifacts can have outsized effects on VSD

signals compared to Ca^{2+} signals because of the limited relative change in fluorescence

of VSDs and their location in the cell membrane. Accordingly, we applied a custom

motion correction algorithm to all imaging data (Supplementary Fig.2 & Materials and

Methods). Bleaching artifacts in the optical signals were corrected using locally fitted

cubic polynomials [15] (Supplementary Fig.3) and global fluctuations were subtracted

away [16] (Materials and Methods). The voltage sensor faithfully detected various types of

membrane potential change, including action potentials, excitatory and inhibitory

postsynaptic potentials, and rhythmic oscillation during fictive behaviors (Fig. 1d).

Panneuronal VSD imaging and functional mapping based on coherence analysis

We established a mapping between cells seen in the fluorescent images (Fig.

1b) and identified neurons on a canonical map (Fig. 1c) using a semi-automated

procedure in a custom user interface (Materials and Methods). One of the major

advantages of VSDs is that recorded traces can be directly compared to intracellular

voltage recordings. This allowed us to identify selected cells in our recordings by

comparing our data to previously published intracellular activity of those neurons in the

same behaviors.

Optically recorded signals simultaneously recorded from both sides of the

ganglion closely matched typical patterns of fictive behaviors that have been previously

well characterized by electrophysiology and single-sided VSD imaging[9, 12]. We first

focused on fictive swimming, which we induced by electrically stimulating a DP nerve

root of a posterior ganglion[9] (typically, M13). We then imaged ganglion M10 with our

double-sided microscope and simultaneously recorded intracellularly from selected cells

(Fig. 2a). Rhythmic activity associated with swimming was readily observed, and we

determined which cells were involved in this rhythm by calculating the phase and

magnitude of coherence[9] for each cell at the frequency with the greatest spectral power

in the rhythm (Fig. 2b, c). The optical signal of dorsal inhibitor motor neuron DI-1

exhibits a well-understood swimming oscillation and was used as the phase reference

for other cells. Using the VF2.1(OMe).H dye, we were able to confirm the oscillatory

behavior of neurons previously studied using an earlier-generation dye [9]. In addition, we

were able to detect weaker oscillations in many other neurons on both sides of the

ganglion.

Results from coherence analysis obtained from doubly desheathed ganglia

imaged using either camera in our double-sided microscope closely matched results

from conventional single-sided imaging, as evidenced by the consistency of the

coherence maps computed from either method (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig.4). The

measured amplitudes of swim oscillations in motor neuron DI-1, the noise levels in

those recordings, and the coherence between bilateral homologues of DI-1 were also

indistinguishable between single-sided and double-sided imaging experiments

(Supplementary Fig.4), indicating that double-sided imaging does not entail any

compromises from an imaging quality perspective.

Encoding of stimulus identity by individual neurons

We used double-sided VSD imaging to record the activity of all neurons in

isolated single ganglia during a fictive reflexive behavior known as local bending, a

withdrawal response to tactile stimulation in which the leech bends its body away from

the stimulated location [12]. Local bending can be induced readily in isolated single

ganglia by stimulating one of four pressure-sensitive sensory neurons (P cells).

Stimulating P cells causes a combination of excitation and inhibition in identified "local

bend interneurons" (LBIs) [12, 17]. The LBIs synapse onto several motor neurons to

produce an appropriate pattern of contraction and relaxation in the local area of the body

wall that depends on which location (or which P cell) was stimulated[12, 17, 18].

We induced local bending by stimulating the left and right ventral P cells (P_V^L

and P_V^R) with trains of depolarizing pulses (20 Hz, 50% duty cycle, 1 s), which reliably

evoked action potentials in those cells (Fig. 3a, b). Stimuli were presented in order of

LRRLLR…, for a total of 10 stimuli per P cell. From each of the resulting VSD traces,

we extracted the average fluorescence change ($\Delta F/F$) during the first 0.5 s of the

stimulus as well as during a control phase (1–0.5 s before stimulus onset), both relative

to a reference phase (0.5–0.1 s before stimulus onset; Fig. 3c). Using a leave-one-out

procedure, we calculated for each of the cells how reliably their activity could be used

to "predict" which of the P cells had been stimulated (Fig. 3d). We then established a

mapping between cells in the VSD images and identified neurons on the canonical maps

to determine for all identified neurons to what degree their activity encoded stimulus

identity (Fig. 3e).

On average across eight experiments, 113 ± 11 (mean ± SD) cells on the

ventral surface and 129 ± 6 on the dorsal surface could be mapped to identified neurons

(Fig. 3f). Among those, 28% of ventral cells [35 ± 11, mean ± SD] and 36% of dorsal

cells (52 ± 18) encoded stimulus identity with prediction success higher than 75%

during the first 0.5 s of the stimulus. This included one ventral LBI, all dorsal LBIs, and

most motor neurons (MNs; Fig. 3g). (All other ventral LBIs had prediction success in

the range 65%–75%. In contrast, the average prediction success in the control period

was at chance level: 50.9 % ± 1.3% (mean ± SEM) for both ventral and dorsal cells.)

The other neurons with high prediction success were AP cells and Leydig cells, as well

as cells provisionally identified as cells 56, 61, 251, and 152 on the ventral surface and

cells 9, 10, 22, 28, 107, and 123 on the dorsal surface.

Involvement of individual neurons in multiple behaviors

To further establish the utility of double-sided VSD imaging, we set out to

determine to what extent neural circuit components are unique or shared between three

behaviors: local bending, swimming, and crawling. To do so, we evoked the

corresponding fictive behaviors in isolated whole nerve cords using electrical

stimulation[9]. Specifically, local bending was activated by intracellular stimulation of a

single P_V^L or P_V^{R} [19]; swimming was elicited by stimulating a DP nerve from either

ganglion 11, 12, or 13; and crawling was elicited by stimulating tail brain nerve roots.

Motor patterns of local bending and swimming were confirmed based on extracellular

recordings of DP nerves or intracellular recording of AE cells[9,10,20]. Crawling patterns

were confirmed based on by simultaneous intracellular recordings from two different

motor neurons: the AE and CV cells[9]. All three behaviors could be induced in each of

six animals (Supplementary Videos 1–4).

We calculated the phase and magnitude of the coherence of each imaged

neuron to the stimulus train (0.5 Hz) during local bending; to the optical signal of motor

neuron DI-1during swimming; and to the intracellular trace of an AE cell during

crawling. Results from all behaviors in one animal are shown in Fig. 4a–d and

Supplementary Videos 1–4. Optical signals from representative cells located on both

surfaces confirmed stereotyped activity patterns that were highly distinctive for each of

the behaviors (Fig. 4e–h).

We established identities of imaged neurons as before. On average over six

preparations, we were able to assign 126 ±11 cells on the ventral surface and 121±10 on

the dorsal surface. This allowed us to construct summary maps showing which neurons

were consistently involved in which behaviors (Fig.4j and Materials and Methods).

Approximately 10% cells were involved in all three behaviors, 33% in two out of the

three behaviors, and 42% in a single behavior (Fig. 4j). For the remaining 15% of cells,

involvement in any of the behaviors could not be established.

Finally, we calculated a correlation matrix between the recorded activity of

each of the cells, separately during each of the three behaviors, and performed

automated clustering based on these correlations (Fig. 5a). For each of the cells in a

recording, we then calculated what fraction of the cells in the same cluster were located

on the ventral or the dorsal side of the ganglion. We found that during crawling and

especially during local bending, most clusters were largely confined to only one side of

the ganglion, whereas during swimming they more commonly spanned sides (Fig. 5b),

which indicates that swimming involves correlated activity among cells located on both

surfaces whereas local bending largely does not. We quantified this by calculating an

"integration coefficient" (Materials and Methods) which is equal to zero if all clusters

are either wholly on the dorsal or wholly on the ventral side, and equal to one if all

clusters are equally spread between the two sides (Fig. 5c).

Discussion

We constructed a double-sided microscope that can record fluorescence

signals from two sides of a biological preparation. This technique should be broadly

applicable to experimental questions that require simultaneous imaging from two

widely spaced cell layers in Drosophila[21], sea slugs[5,6] and other organisms. The optical

system can be assembled from conventional optic parts and devices. In our

implementation, we used microscope parts from Olympus, but an equivalent system

could now be constructed using, e.g., Thorlabs CERNA parts.

By combining our microscope with next-generation voltage-sensitive dyes

(VF2.1(OMe).H[14], we achieved simultaneous large-scale neuronal recording from two

widely spaced cell layers at single-cell resolution, capturing not only action potentials

but also small excitatory and inhibitory synaptic potentials. A primary feature of the

system is its ability to acquire these signals at high speed, and without delay for image

capture between the two focal planes. At present, this cannot be achieved by wide-brain

volumetric Ca^{2+} imaging as previously established for *C. elegans*[2,3] . With our newly

developed microscope, we simultaneously recorded, for the first time, the activity of the

majority of neurons in a leech ganglion. While beyond the scope of this study, the fact

that VSD recordings contain both spikes and postsynaptic potentials makes it possible

to infer network connectivity among the different individual, identifiable cells. This

offers a notable advantage over techniques that only give access to spike events or

intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration.

The leech has 21 nearly identical segmental ganglia containing approximately

400 neurons that are arranged in a highly conserved geometry[12]. For 148 of these

neurons, functional descriptions have been published. (A gateway to the relevant

literature is available online, at http://www.danielwagenaar.net/ganglion.) The

ganglionic neurons are distributed in a single layer on the surface of the ganglion, but

this layer wraps around both the dorsal and ventral sides, so that at best half of the

neurons can be simultaneously imaged with conventional microscopy. Our double-sided

microscope, in contrast, has access to all of them, although surface curvature means that

not all neurons can simultaneously be in sharp focus (Fig. 1b). A single light source was

sufficient for illuminating both top and bottom surfaces, because the leech nervous

system is sufficiently translucent to permit even lighting onto both sides.

In many leech ganglionic neurons, the somata exhibit both action potentials

and synaptic potentials not greatly attenuated from their origin in the neuropil, a notable

difference from typical monopolar neurons in invertebrate central nervous systems[12].

Hence, a low-noise imaging system using sensitive voltage sensors potentially enables

us to analyze synaptic integration even in small neurons in the leech. In addition, our

double-sided microscope is compatible with both intra- and extracellular electrode

placement, enabling detailed electrophysiological interrogation of selected specific

neurons along with optical imaging from the population.

Intriguing features that we observed using our pan-neuronal imaging system

are (1) widespread distribution of neurons that are differentially involved in left and

right ventral local bending (Fig. 3c, d), and (2) involvement in multiple behaviors of a

large fraction of identifiable neurons (Fig. 4i, j).

With respect to (1), we found that not only the local bend interneurons and the

motor neurons previously reported[17] discriminate between the stimuli, but so did many

other neurons that had not previously been implicated in local bending. It has long been

known that the neural mechanism of local bending involves population coding[22-27], but

its exact algorithm and computation remain unknown. Although the calculation of

discriminability here was based on stimulus category (P_V^L vs. P_V^R) instead of actual

local bend patterns in the leech's body wall, the population dynamics of the highly

discriminative cells we identified putatively underlie the neuronal computation. The

discriminability maps from our study can thus be utilized for future investigations of

mechanosensory information processing.

With respect to (2), we observed that 43% of identifiable neurons on the

ventral and dorsal surfaces were involved in at least two of the three behaviors tested

(local bending, swimming, and crawling). This result indicates that the neural circuits

for those behaviors share many components while generating unique motor patterns for

each behavior. The percentage of circuit components shared between swimming and

crawling identified in this study differed from previous work[9]; in particular, the number

of cells we identified as involved in crawling (56) was lower than in the previous study

(188). The reason is probably that crawl episodes in our experiments were somewhat

shorter (typically only 3–4 cycles) than in the older study, resulting in a weaker

coherence signal. Double-sided imaging revealed a previously unappreciated difference

between the swim rhythm and local bending: The cell assemblies that are

simultaneously active in the former span both sides of the ganglion, whereas in local

bending, they are mostly confined to either the dorsal or the ventral side.

In this study, we identified imaged cells with known neurons using a

semi-automatic mapping algorithm based on cell size and location along with an

expert's assessment based on the physiological properties of cells along with this

geometrical information. To gain more insight into the neuronal networks responsible

for behavior, it will be necessary to carry out more accurate neurocartography, which

we will achieve by combining functional mapping using machine learning methods[10]

with a connectomic approach using serial block face scanning electron microscopy[11].

The combination of those techniques with double-sided VSD imaging will pave the way

for future investigations on how the activity of all neurons in a central nervous system is

recruited to process sensory information and to generate distinctive behaviors from

overlapping neuronal circuits.

1   **Materials and Methods**

2   *Optical recording by double-sided microscope*

3   We acquired fluorescence images simultaneously from two focal planes using

a custom double-sided microscope consisting of the fluorescence train of an upright

microscope (Olympus BX, Tokyo, Japan) mounted on top of an inversed microscope

(Olympus IX). The top microscope was used to image the upper focal plane while the

bottom microscope imaged the lower focal plane. We used a 20x, 1.0 numerical

aperture (NA), water-immersion objective for the upright and a 20x, 0.7 NA objective

with cover-slip adjustment collar for the inverted microscope (both Olympus). The

alignment of those two objectives was fine-adjusted manually so that cameras attached

to the top and bottom microscopes saw the same field of view to within about 300 nm

when the two focal planes were at the same depth.

13   The two objectives served as condenser for each other, so that blue excitation

light delivered through the top objective for epifluorescence imaging also served as a

transfluorescence light source for the bottom objective. Further, a red LED illuminator

attached to the bottom microscope provided wide-field transillumination that enabled us

to use the upright objective to visualize intracellular electrodes. Both objectives were

mounted on standard turrets so that they could be rotated out of the way to make place

for 5x objectives used to visualize extracellular suction electrodes.

For VSD imaging, we used excitation light (bandpass filtered to 470 ± 15 nm)

from a high-power blue LED (LedEngin LZ1-10B200) controlled with optical

stabilization[13]. In both the upright and inverted microscopes, we used a 490-nm dichroic

mirror and 505-nm LP emission filter. Images were acquired with two cooled CCD

cameras (QuantEM 512SC; Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) at a resolution of 512 x 128

pixels. The frame rate was set depending on which behavior was recorded: for local

bending and swimming, images were acquired at 50 Hz; for crawling, images were

acquired at 20 Hz. Imaging data were acquired using custom software VScope[28]. Optical

and electrical recordings were synchronized by connecting frame timing signals from

each camera to a data acquisition board that also recorded electrophysiology signals (see

below).

(ア) VSD imaging is highly sensitive to even sub-micrometer motions. Because

VSDs are located in cell membranes rather than the cytosol, a movement of

less than 1% of a cell diameter can cause a signal change of well over 1% due

to bright edge pixels moving out of a pre-defined region of interest (ROI).

Since typical VSD signals are themselves far less than 1%, this can cause

dramatic motion artifacts. To mitigate this problem, we replaced cooling fans

inside each CCD camera with external blowers, since we determined that

internal fans in cameras caused significant vibrations of the microscope

objectives relative to the sample. After removing these fans, the noise in

image sequences was dominated by shot noise.

Animal maintenance and sample preparation

2   Medicinal leeches (*Hirudo verbana*) were obtained from Niagara Leeches

(Niagara Falls, NY) and maintained in artificial pond water at 15 °C. In experiments

where only local bending was the target behavior, we dissected out short chains of

ganglia from segments 8 through 12. In experiments involving swimming or crawling,

we isolated whole nerve cords (Supplementary Fig 5), including the head brain, all 21

segmental ganglia, and the tail brain. In all cases, the blood sinus surrounding the

nervous system was dissected away around segmental ganglion 10. We removed the

sheath from the ventral and dorsal surface of this ganglion before applying

voltage-sensitive dyes. To induce swimming, a dorsal posterior (DP) nerve root in one

of ganglia 11 through 13 was stimulated through a suction electrode. Brief electrical

pulses (3 ms) were delivered at 50 Hz in a 3-s-long train, with an amplitude of 7–8 V.

To elicit crawling, several nerves from the tail brain were stimulated using the same

stimulus parameters as for DP nerve stimulation. Isolated leech ganglia can move

slightly move because muscle cells are embedding in the nerve cord. We therefore

stablized the ganglion to be imaged by tightly pinning down blood sinus tissue to the

PDMS (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning, Midland, MI) substrate and by sandwiching

adjacent connectives between small pieces of medical dressing (Tegaderm, 3M,

Maplewood, MN) which was also pinned down, to minimize any motion artifacts.

Throughout the dissection and during imaging, preparations were maintained in

chambers filled with cold leech saline consisting of the following (in mM): 115 NaCl, 4

KCl, 1.8 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 10 glucose, and 10 HEPES, at pH 7.4. Only before crawling

was induced, we temporarily replaced the cold saline with room temperature (20–23 °C)

saline to obtain the most natural crawling rhythm. We bath loaded 800 μM

VF2.1(OMe).H [14] (provided by Evan Miller) in leech saline containing 1% Pluronic acid

(PowerloadTM Concentrate 100x, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). To help

with dye penetration into the cell membranes, we circulated the solution using a pair of

peristaltic pumps (approximately 1.1 mL/min flow rate) with outflows directed at the

dorsal and ventral surfaces of the ganglion, for 20 minutes total.

Electrophysiology

We recorded intracellularly from up to three neurons simultaneously using

20–50 MΩ glass microelectrodes filled with 3 M potassium acetate and 60 mM

potassium chloride, using Neuroprobe amplifiers (Model 1600; A-M systems, Sequim,

WA). Intracellular recordings provided additional information regarding the behavioral

state of the preparation as well as confirmation of the corresponding optical signals. We

recorded extracellularly using suction electrodes and a four-channel differential

amplifier (Model 1700; A-M Systems). All electrical signals were digitized at 10 kHz

using a 16-bit analog-to-digital board (NI USB-6221; National Instruments, Austin, TX)

and VScope software [28].

Basic data processing

We outlined the images of individual cell bodies manually as regions of

interest using VScope. Pixel values within each cellular outline were then averaged in

each frame, yielding a raw fluorescence signal. Signals were processed to remove

artifacts from micromotion (next section), and to correct for slow reduction of overall

fluorescence intensity due to dye bleaching. The latter was achieved by subtracting

locally fitted third-order polynomials using the SALPA algorithm[15] with a time constant

of 1 to 15 s. In addition, brightness averaged across the areas of the ganglion outside of

ROIs was subtracted for each frame to reduce global noise due to fluorescent crosstalk

among top and bottom images[16]. Finally, signals were normalized to their average value

and expressed as a percent change in fluorescence ($\Delta F/F$).

Motion correction

15   As mentioned above, motion artifacts were reduced by removing fans from

16   CCD cameras and by pinning down ganglia tightly on the PDMS substrate. However,

17   even very small motions can cause highly detrimental artifacts in VSD recordings.

18   To correct for small motions, we designated the middle frame of any recording as a

19   reference frame, and generated a pair of artificial frames by shifting the reference frame

1   one pixel to the left or to the right. Let $\mathbf{I}_R$ and $\mathbf{I}_L$ be vectors consisting of the intensity

2   values of the pixels in the right- and left-shifted reference frames, and let $\mathbf{I'}$ be the

3   intensity vector of an arbitrary frame in the recording. As long as the motion is small

4   (less than or approximately equal to one pixel),

5
$$\Delta x = 2\,(\mathbf{I'} - \mathbf{I}_L) \cdot (\mathbf{I}_R - \mathbf{I}_L) \,/\, \|\mathbf{I}_R - \mathbf{I}_L\|^2 - 1,$$

6   where $\cdot$ is the vector product and $\|\mathbf{I}\|$ is the vector norm, is a good estimate for the

7   motion in the x-direction between the frame under study and the reference frame. (The

8   reason is that an image shifted by Δx pixels can be approximated as

9
$$\mathbf{I'} = [(1 - \Delta x)\,\mathbf{I}_L + (1 + \Delta x)\,\mathbf{I}_R] \,/\, 2,$$

10   as long as $|\Delta x| \lesssim 1$. The first equation is derived from the second by minimizing with

11   respect to Δx.)

12   The same method can of course be used for motion in the y-direction. More

13   interestingly, the method can be used for other affine distortions as well. For instance, if

14   we calculate artificial frames by rotating the reference frame by $\pm 0.1°$, the above

15   procedure would yield estimates of image rotation (in units of $0.1°$).

16   Using this method, we estimated and corrected for small motions that may

17   occur with the preparation or even due to vibrations in the microscope, thus preventing

18   motion artifacts in the extracted VSD traces (Supplemental Figure 2).

Calculation of prediction success

In our experiments on the encoding of stimulus identity by individual neurons,

we performed 10 trials stimulating the left P_V cell and 10 stimulating the right P_V cell, in

order (LR)(RL)(LR)(RL)… To calculate how well each cell "predicted" the stimulus

identity (i.e., "left" or "right"), we calculated the average ΔF/F during the first 0.5 s of

each stimulus relative to the preceding reference phase, separately for each trial. Taking

each trial in turn, we then took that trial and its "partner" trial out, and calculated the

average ΔF/F for the 9 "left" stimuli out of the remaining 18 trials and also for the

"right" stimuli. The "partner" trial was the next trial for odd-numbered trials, and the

preceding trial for even-numbered trials. If the ΔF/F in the trial under consideration was

closer to the average ΔF/F of the "left" trials in the training set than to the average of

the "right" trials, the neuron was considered correct in its "prediction" of stimulus

identity if the trial under consideration was in fact a "left" trial, and conversely for

"right" trials. The percentage of trials in which a cell correctly predicted stimulus

identity in this sense was used as a measure of prediction success. Any cell that

correctly predicted stimulus identity in at least 75% of trials (50% being change

performance) was considered to encode stimulus identity.

Coherence analysis

3   We used multitaper spectral analysis[29] to estimate the coherence between

4 optical signals from individual cells with a common reference. That reference was the

5 stimulus train for local bending, the optical signal of a DI-1 motor neuron for swimming,

6 or the intracellular electrode signal of an AE motor neuron for crawling. For each

7 recording, we calculated the 95% confidence interval for the magnitude of estimated

8 coherence under the null hypothesis that a signal was not coherent with the reference[30].

9 A cell was considered to be involved in the behavior expressed during a given trial if its

10 measured coherence exceeded this confidence interval.

Canonical mapping

13   The overall layout of neurons within leech ganglia is highly conserved

14 between ganglia within an animal as well as between animals, but the precise geometry

15 does vary. In order to identify cells seen in the VSD image sequence (Supplementary

16 Fig.5a) with neurons in the canonical map, we developed a graphical user interface that

17 allows us to proceed as follows. First, we mark all the visible cells as regions of interest

18 on the image (Supplementary Fig.5b). Then, we overlay the canonical map over this

19 (Supplementary Fig.5c). To the trained eye, the identification of many of the larger cells

is immediately obvious, so we register these identities (using a drag-and-drop

mechanism in the GUI; (Supplementary Fig.5d)). This partial mapping of ROIs to

identified neurons allows the program to do a coarse alignment between the canonical

map and the actual image using affine transformations local to each of the four packets

of cells (Supplementary Fig.5e). (The ganglion is divided by giant glial cells into

several packets[12], the boundaries of which are indicated on the canonical map.) This

preliminary alignment enables us to identify several other neurons with high confidence,

after which the computer can perform a local alignment step. Finally, the computer

assigns putative identities to the remaining ROIs, leading to a nearly complete mapping

between ROIs (orange dots in Supplementary Fig.5f) and identified neurons (cross

marks).

Determination of which cells are consistently involved in a behavior

For each neuron in each animal, we determined whether its coherence

exceeded the 95% confidence interval of the null hypothesis that a given neuron was not

involved in a given behavior. If a neuron exceeded that threshold for a given behavior in

four out of six animals, it was considered to be involved in that behavior (Fig. 4i.) Since

swimming and crawling are both symmetric behaviors, we included both members of a

homologous pair if (and only if) at least one member exceeded the 97.5% C.I.

Clustering and calculation of integration coefficients

We clustered cells based on the matrix of the correlation coefficients of their

activity patterns, separately for each behavior (by constructing a dendrogram based on

the correlation distance followed by tree cutting). We then assigned a dorsoventrality

index (DVI) to each cell, which was equal to the fraction of dorsally localted cells in

that cell's cluster. This is what is shown in the histograms of Fig. 5b. Cells in clusters

with fewer than three members were ignored for this calculation; the results did not

change qualitatively if this threshold was changed to two or five. Based on the DVI, we

calculated the integration coefficient (CI) of Fig. 5c as:

$CI = \langle 1 - 2 |DVI - \frac{1}{2}| \rangle$,

where $|\cdot|$ denotes absolute value and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the average across all cells (except

those not in clusters of size three or more).

Software for data analysis

All data processing and statistical analysis were performed in GNU Octave,

version 4.0.0.

References

1. Ahrens, M.B., Orger, M.B., Robson, D.N., Li, J.M. & Keller, P.J. Whole-brain functional imaging at cellular resolution using light-sheet microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 10, 413–420 (2013).

2. Schrödel, T., Prevedel, R., Aumayr, K,, Zimmer, M. & Vaziri, A. Brain-wide 3D imaging of neuronal activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* with sculpted light. *Nat Methods* 10, 1013-1020 (2013).

3. Kato, S., Kaplan, H. S., Schrödel, T. Skora, S. Lindsay, T. H., Yemini, E., Lockery, S. and Zimmer, M. Global brain dynamics embed the motor command sequence of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Cell* 163, 656-669 (2015).

4. Nguyen, J. P., Shipley, F. B., Lider, A. N., Plummer, G. S., Liu, M., Setru, S. U., Shaevitz, J. W. & Leifer, A. M. Whole-brain calcium imaging with cellular resolution in freely behaving *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc. *Nati. Acad. Sci. USA* 113(8) 1074-1081 (2016).

5. Bruno, A. M., Frost, W. N. & Humphries, M. D. Modular deconstruction reveals the dynamical and physical building blocks of a locomotion motor program. *Neuron* 86(1), 304-318 (2015).

6. Hill, E. S. Vasireddi, S. K., Wang, J., Bruno, A. M. & Frost, W. M. Memory

formation in *Tritonia* via recruitment of variably committed neurons. *Curr. Biol.* 25

2879-2888 (2015).

7. Hill, E. S., Bruno, A. M. & Frost, W. N. Recent developments in VSD imaging of

small neuronal networks. *Learn. Mem.* 86(1). 499-505 (2014).

8. Briggman, K. L., Abarbanel, H. D. I. & Kristan, W. B. Optical imaging of neuronal

populations during decision-making. *Science* 307, 896-901(2005).

9. Briggman, K. L. & Kristan, W. B. Imaging dedicated and multifunctional neural

circuits generating distinct behaviors. *J. Neurosci.* 26(42). 10925-10933 (2006).

10. Frady, P., Kapoor, A., Horvitz, E. & Kristan, W. B. Scalable semisupervised

functional neurocartography reveals canonical neurons in behavioral networks. *Neural*

Comp. 28(8). 1453-1497 (2016).

11. Pipkin, J. E., Bushong, E. A., Ellisman, M. H. & Kristan, W. B. Patterns and

distribution of presynaptic and postsynaptic elements within serial electron microscopic

reconstructions of neuronal arbors from the medicinal leech *Hirudo verbena*. *J. Comp.*

Neurol. 524(18). 3677-3695 (2016).

12. Kristan, W. B. M., Calabrese, R. L. & Friesen, W.O. Neuronal control of leech

behavior. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 76. 279-327 (2005).

13. Wagenaar, D. A. An optically stabilized fast-switching light emitting diode as a

light source for functional neuroimaging. *PloS ONE*. 7(1). E29822 (2012).

14. Woodford, C. R. Frady, E. R., Smith, R. S., Morey, B., Canzi, G., Palida, S. F.,

Aranda, R. C., Kristan, W. B., Kubiak, C. P., Miller, E. W. & Tsien, R. Y. Improved

PeT molecules for optically sensing voltage in neurons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137.

1817-1824 (2015).

15. Wagenaar, D. A. & Potter, S. M. Real-time multi-hannel stimulus artifact

suppression by local curve fitting. *J. Neurosci. Methods*. 120(2). 113-120 (2002).

16. Lippert, M., Takagaki, K., Xu, W., Huang X. & Wu, JY. Methods for

voltage-sensitive dye imaging of rat cortical activity with high signal-to-noise ratio. *J.

Neurophisol*. 98(1). 502-512 (2007).

17. Lockery, S. & Kristan, W. B. Distributed processing of sensory information in the

leech. II. Identification of Interneurons contributing to the local bending reflex. *J.

Neurosci*. 10(6). 1816-1829 (1990).

18. Baljon P. L. & Wagenaar, D. A. Response to conflicting stimuli in a simple

stimulus-response pathway. *J. Neurosci*. 35(5) 2398-2406 (2015).

19. Kristan, W. B. Sensory and motor neurons responsible for the local bending

response in leeches. *J. Exp. Biol*. 96. 161–180 (1982).

20. Gu, X., Muller, K. & Young, S. Synaptic integration at a sensory-motor reflex in the

leech. *J. Physiol*. 441. 733-754 (1991).

21. Kohsaka, H., Guertin, P. A. & Nose, A. Neural circuits underlying fly larva

locomotion. *Curr. Pharm. Des*. 23. 1722-1733 (2017)

22. Lewis, J. E. & Kristan, W. B. A neuronal network for computing population vectors

in the leech. *Nature* 391. 76–79 (1998).

23. Lewis, J. E. & Kristan, W. B. Representation of touch location by a population of

leech sensory neurons. *J. Neurophysiol*. 80. 2584–2592 (1998).

24. Lewis, J. E. & Kristan, W. B. Quantitative analysis of a directed behavior in the

medicinal leech: implications for organizing motor output. *J. Neurosci*. 18. 1571–1582

(1998).

25. Lewis, J. E. Sensory processing and the network mechanisms for reading neuronal

population codes. *J. Comp. Physiol. A*. 185. 373–378 (1999).

26. Thomson, E. E. & Kristan, W. B. Encoding and decoding touch location in the leech

CNS. *J Neurosci*. 8009-8016 (2006).

27. Kretzberg, J., Pirschel, F., Fathiazar, E. & Hilgen, G. Encoding of tactile stimuli by

mechanoreceptors and interneurons of the medicinal leech. *Front. Physiol*. 7(506) doi*

10.3389/fphys.2016.00506 (2016).

28. Wagenaar, D. A., 2017. VScope - A software package for the acquisition and

analysis of data from multiple cameras as well as electrophysiology.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.437901. Manuscript submitted.

29. Taylor, A. L., Cottrell, G. W., Kleinfield, D. & Kristan, W. B. Imaging reveals

synaptic targets of a swim-terminating neuron in the leech CNS. *J. Neurosci*. **23**(36)

11402-11410 (2003).

30. Cacciatore, T. W., Brodfuehrer, P. D., Gonzalez, J. E., Jiang, T., Adams, S. R.,

Tsien, R. Y., Kristan, W. B. & Kleinfeld, D. Identification of neural circuits by imaging

coherent electrical activity with FRET-based dyes. *Neuron* **23**. 449-459 (1999).

Acknowledgments

We thank Evan Miller for sharing of the VF2.1(OMe).H dye; Annette Stowasser for her role in developing a prototype of the double-sided microscope and many helpful conversations; and Angela Bruno for useful discussions regarding data analysis. This work was supported by the Burroughs Welcome Fund through a Career Award at the Scientific Interface and by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke through grant R01 NS094403 (both to DAW). YT was supported by JSPS Overseas Research Fellowships.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 1 | Double-sided voltage sensitive dye imaging. **(a)** Schematic of the

double-sided microscope. **(b)** Images of the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) aspects of a

leech ganglion simultaneously acquired using this microscope. **(c)** Canonical maps of

the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) aspects of the ganglion. **(d)** Single-sweep recordings

of neuronal activity. Optical signals from VSD imaging (*blue*) are overlaid with

simultaneous intracellular recordings (*black*). **1.** Action potentials and subthreshold

1   potentials in a Retzius cell; **2.** Spontaneous regular firing in an AP cell; **3.** Swimming

2   pattern in a DE-3 motor neuron; **4.** Crawling pattern in an AE cell.

Figure 2

Figure 2 | Neuronal activity during fictive swimming. **(a)** Selected electrophysiological

and VSD traces during fictive swimming. Extracellular recording from a nerve root in a

posterior segment (DP(13)) showed rhythmic dorsal motor neuron bursts characteristic

of swimming (*top*). Intracellular recording and simultaneous optical signal from an AE

neuron show matching membrane potential oscillations. VSD signals from the ventral

surface: bilateral cells 153 (a sensory neuron) and the Retzius cell (a neuromodulatory

neuron). VSD signals from the dorsal surface: dorsal and ventral inhibitory and

excitatory motor neurons DI-1, VI-2, DE-3, and VE-4. **(b)** Coherence of the optically

recorded signals of all cells on the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) surfaces of the

ganglion with the swim rhythm. Cells used in **(a)** are marked. **(c)** Magnitude (radial axis

from 0 to 1) and phase (angular coordinate) of the coherence of each neuron's activity

1   with the swim rhythm; same data as in **(b)**. Error bars indicate confidence intervals

2   based on a multi-taper estimate.

1 Figure 3

3 **Figure 3 |** Differential activation during left and right local bend responses. **(a)**

4 Schematic of the setup. Microelectrodes were inserted into left and right P_V cells for

5 stimulation and into the right AP cell for recording. A suction electrode around the right

6 DP nerve confirmed the execution of a (fictive) local bend. **(b)** Simultaneously recorded

motor activity from the DP nerve (*top*), membrane potential from the AP neuron

(*middle, black*) and its corresponding VSD trace (*blue*) in response to stimuli to P_V^L

(*left*) and P_V^R (*right*). Stimulus duration was 1 second (*bottom*). **(c)** Time series of

averaged difference between P_V^L ($n = 10$) and P_V^R ($n = 10$) trials in the activity of all 248

recorded cells. Positive (*red*) indicates more depolarization (or less hyperpolarization)

in response to P_V^R stimulation. Scale bar: 1 second. **(d)** Stimulus discriminability score

overlaid on images of the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) aspects of the ganglion. Scale

bars: 100 μm. **(e)** Averaged discriminability results across 8 animals. Color scale as in

(d). Motor neurons (MNs) and LBIs are marked (*black circles*) as are other cells that

strongly discriminate between stimuli ($\geq$ 75% prediction success; *circles and arrow*

heads). **(f)** Number of cells that could be mapped to identified neurons; mean and SD of

8 preparations and individual results (*dots*). Dashed lines indicate total number of cells

in the canonical maps. **(g)** Number of cells that strongly discriminate between stimuli ($\geq$

75% prediction success) compared to control (*grey bars*). (*: $p < 10^{-4}$; Paired sample

T-test) **(h)** Discriminability scores for all neurons on the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*)

surfaces. Colored lines mark the scores of LBIs, AP cells and MNs.

1 Figure 4

3 **Figure 4 | Neuronal activity during multiple behaviors. (a–d)** Coherence of optically

4 recorded signals of all cells on the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) surfaces of a ganglion

5 with **(a)** P_V^L-induced local bending, **(b)** P_V^R-induced local bending, **(c)** fictive swimming,

6 and **(d)** fictive crawling. Color map as in Fig. 2b. **(e-h)** VSD signals of cells indicated in

(a–d) during those behaviors. **(i)** Summary maps of the involvement of identified neurons on the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) surface of the ganglion. Colors indicate which behavior each neuron was involved in. **(j)** Venn diagram showing the total number of identified neurons that oscillated with each individual behaviors or combinations of behaviors. Colors as in **(i)**.

Figure 5

Figure 5 | Clustering cells based on their activity in different behaviors. **(a)** Cluster

assignments of all cells recorded in one animal based on the correlation matrix of their

activity during fictive crawling (*left*), swimming (*center*), and local bending (*right*). **(b)**

Degree to which cells within a cluster were fully contained on the ventral side ("All

V."), fully on the dorsal side ("All D."), or equally distributed ("50:50"). To prevent

1   overrepresentation of small clusters, each cell is an entry in the histogram, not each

2   cluster. Clusters with fewer than 3 members were excluded. Data from $N = 6$ leeches.

3   **(c)** Quantification of the degree to which members of clusters were distributed across

4   surfaces in the three behaviors tested (mean $\pm$ SEM, $N = 6$). All differences were

5   significant (ANOVA, $F(2,15) = 63.4$, $p < 10^{-7}$, followed by Tukey).