Whole-ganglion imaging of voltage in the medicinal leech

using a double-sided microscope

Yusuke Tomina[1] and Daniel A. Wagenaar[1]

[1]Division of Biology and Biological Engineering

California Institute of Technology

Caltech 139-74, Pasadena, California, 91125 USA

Correspondence to: Dr. Yusuke Tomina, Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Caltech 139-74, Pasadena, California, 91125 USA.

E-mail: tominaye@caltech.edu

Abstract

Studies of neuronal network emergence during sensory processing and motor

control are greatly promoted by technologies that allow us to simultaneously record the

membrane potential dynamics of a large population of neurons in single cell resolution.

To achieve whole-brain recording with the ability to detect both small synaptic potentials

and action potentials, we developed a voltage-sensitive dye (VSD) imaging technique

based on a double-sided microscope that can image two sides of a nervous system

simultaneously. We applied this system to the segmental ganglia of the medicinal leech.

Double-sided VSD imaging enabled simultaneous recording of membrane potential

events from almost all of the identifiable neurons. Using data obtained from double-sided

VSD imaging we analyzed neuronal dynamics in both sensory processing and generation

of behavior and constructed functional maps for identification of neurons contributing to

these processes.

Introduction

One of the principal goals in neuroscience is to clarify how neuronal circuits

process sensory information and control behavior. Sensory information and behavioral

states are represented as dynamic activity patterns of neuronal populations in large

neuronal networks. To clarify the neuronal mechanisms underlying sensory processing

and behavioral generation, it is necessary to determine which neurons are involved in

functionally relevant neuronal dynamics and how those neuronal components interact

with each other within the larger network. Technological advances in neuroimaging have

enabled brain-wide recording of neuronal activity with sufficiently fine spatial resolution

to identify individual neurons within a population[1]. Researchers can perform

pan-neuronal Ca^{2+} imaging in selected animals with small size nervous systems,

including larval zebrafish [1] and *C. elegans* [2-4].

Although Ca^{2+} imaging is a convenient tool for detecting neuronal activity, it is

limited to intracellular events that are associated with a change in Ca^{2+} concentration.

Thus, Ca^{2+} imaging measures neither subthreshold depolarizing nor hyperpolarizing

synaptic events. Accordingly, it is difficult to observe synaptic integration processes

using Ca^{2+} indicators. In contrast, voltage sensitive dyes (VSDs) can detect both action

potentials and sub-threshold excitatory and inhibitory synaptic potentials. Voltage

sensors have enabled neuroscientists to examine ethologically relevant neuronal

dynamics and to functionally map parts of the nervous systems of sea slugs[5-7] and the

medicinal leech *Hirudo verbana* [8-10]. The segmental ganglion of the leech is particularly

well suited for comprehensive recording using VSD imaging for two reasons: It consists

of only about 400 identifiable neurons [11] arranged in a well-preserved geometry in a

single spherical shell surrounding a central neuropil, and it functions as a basic unit of

sensory processing and control of several behaviors [12]. In the leech segmental ganglion,

multiple neuronal circuits responsible for reflexive and voluntary locomotor behaviors

have already been characterized by electrophysiology and VSD imaging [8-10, 12]. However,

existing technology only allowed imaging one side of a ganglion at a time, and hence

captured the activity of at most half of the full ensemble of neurons: they could record

from, at most, approximately 15% of all 6000 neurons in a pedal ganglion of *Aplysia*, and

fewer than 50% of all 400 neurons in a leech segmental ganglion. In addition, VSDs

applied in these previous studies had a disadvantage either on its sensitivity or its

response speed: electrochromic dyes used in sea slugs do not possess enough sensitivity

to detect subthreshold potentials[5, 6] and FRET-based dye previously used in the leech has

a non-negligible delay between optical signal and actual voltage change[8, 9].

To overcome these limitations, we developed a double-sided microscope for

VSD imaging, consisting of precisely aligned upright and inverted fluorescent

microscopes, and imaged voltage changes from the neuronal membrane stained by a

highly-sensitive, fast VSD[13]. This microscope enabled us to record from all cell bodies of

a leech ganglion regardless of their location, and allowed us, for the first time, to directly

analyze functional relationships between neurons located on opposite surfaces. We

combined this double-sided neuronal imaging system with simultaneous

electrophysiological recording and stimulation, which allowed us to monitor motor

outputs, to verify agreement of VSD signals with actual membrane potentials, and to

activate or inhibit selected target cells by injecting current.

To demonstrate the utility of the newly developed VSD imaging method, we

addressed the following two questions. (1) How are individual identifiable neurons that

exhibit higher discriminability for the different sensory stimuli distributed across

different surfaces of the ganglion? (2) To what extent are neural circuit components

unique or shared between different behaviors?

Results

VSD imaging using double-sided microscopy system

Double-sided VSD imaging requires simultaneously focusing two fluorescent

microscopes. We achieved this by mounting the fluorescence train of an Olympus BX

upright microscope with a custom focus rack on top of the body of an Olympus IX

inverted microscope. Both microscopes were equipped with 20x objectives. An optically

stabilized high-power LED [14] provided excitation light through the top objective, which

operated in epifluorescence mode. The top objective also functioned as a condenser lens

for imaging with the bottom objective, which thus operated in transfluorescence mode

(Fig. 1a). Because of the high NA of the top objective, inhomogeneities in the imaged

tissue did not cause substantive deviations from uniform illumination of the bottom focal

plane.

The two microscopes were first coarsely aligned (to within about 200 μm) by

moving the upright microscope's body and its objective turret, after which

micro-alignment was achieved by fine-tuning the position of the upright microscope's

objectives in their turret. We used highly sensitive CCD cameras (Photometrics

QuantEM 512SC) to image neuronal activity with single cell resolution throughout the

ganglion (Fig. 1b). We suppressed mechanical vibration noise by replacing the internal

fans of the CCD cameras with external blowers. Photon noise was not substantially

different between the top and the bottom image (Top: 72 ± 3 ppm; Bottom: 65 ± 3 ppm

(mean ± SEM over 10 areas size-matched to typical cells).

We imaged neural activity with a new-generation voltage sensitive dye,

VF2.1(OMe).H [13], which is sensitive enough to record subthreshold events and fast

enough to detect action potentials with accurate timing. The dye was loaded into somatic

membranes on both aspects of a ganglion by bath application and a perfusion pump for

targeted delivery [8]. In leech ganglia, the sensitivity reached 2.7% ± 0.3% (mean ± SD

across five ganglia in two leeches) at resting potential (-50 mV) (Supplementary Fig.1).

Microscopic motion artifacts can have outsized effects on VSD signals compared to Ca^{2+}

signals because of the limited relative change in fluorescence of VSDs and their location

in the cell membrane. Accordingly, we applied a custom motion correction algorithm to

all imaging data (Supplementary Fig.2 & Materials and Methods). Bleaching artifacts in

the optical signals were corrected using locally fitted cubic polynomials [15]

(Supplementary Fig.3) and global fluctuations were subtracted away [16] (Materials and

Methods). The voltage sensor faithfully detected various types of membrane potential

change, including action potentials, excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic potentials, and

rhythmic oscillation during fictive behaviors (Fig. 1d).

Panneuronal VSD imaging and functional mapping based on coherence analysis

8   We established a mapping between cells seen in the fluorescent images (Fig.

1b) and identified neurons on a canonical map (Fig. 1c) using a semi-automated

procedure in a custom user interface (Materials and Methods). One of the major

advantages of VSDs is that recorded traces can be directly compared to intracellular

voltage recordings. This allowed us to identify selected cells in our recordings by

comparing our data to previously published intracellular activity of those neurons in the

same behaviors.

Optically recorded signals simultaneously recorded from both sides of the

ganglion closely matched typical patterns of fictive behaviors that have been previously

well characterized by electrophysiology and single-sided VSD imaging[9, 12]. We first

focused on fictive swimming, which we induced by electrically stimulating a DP nerve

root of a posterior ganglion[9] (typically, M13). We then imaged ganglion M10 with our

double-sided microscope and simultaneously recorded intracellularly from selected cells

(Fig. 2a). Rhythmic activity associated with swimming was readily observed, and we

determined which cells were involved in this rhythm by calculating the phase and

magnitude of coherence[9] for each cell at the frequency with the greatest spectral power in

the rhythm (Fig. 2b, c). The optical signal of dorsal inhibitor motor neuron DI-1 exhibits a

well-understood swimming oscillation and was used as the phase reference for other cells.

Using the VF2.1(OMe).H dye, we were able to confirm the oscillatory behavior of

neurons previously studied using an earlier-generation dye [9]. In addition, we were able to

detect weaker oscillations in many other neurons on both sides of the ganglion.

Results from coherence analysis obtained from doubly desheathed ganglia

imaged using either camera in our double-sided microscope closely matched results from

conventional single-sided imaging, as evidenced by the consistency of the coherence

maps computed from either method (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig.4). The measured

amplitudes of swim oscillations in motor neuron DI-1, the noise levels in those recordings,

and the coherence between bilateral homologues of DI-1 were also indistinguishable

between single-sided and double-sided imaging experiments (Supplementary Fig.4),

indicating that double-sided imaging does not entail any compromises from an imaging

quality perspective.

Encoding of stimulus identity by individual neurons

We used double-sided VSD imaging to record the activity of all neurons in

isolated single ganglia during a fictive reflexive behavior known as local bending, a

withdrawal response to tactile stimulation in which the leech bends its body away from

the stimulated location [12]. Local bending can be induced readily in isolated single ganglia

by stimulating one of four pressure-sensitive sensory neurons (P cells). Stimulating P

cells causes a combination of excitation and inhibition in identified "local bend

interneurons" (LBIs) [12, 17]. The LBIs synapse onto several motor neurons to produce an

appropriate pattern of contraction and relaxation in the local area of the body wall that

depends on which location (or which P cell) was stimulated[12, 17, 18].

We induced local bending by stimulating the left and right ventral P cells (P_V^L

and P_V^R) with trains of depolarizing pulses (20 Hz, 50% duty cycle, 1 s), which reliably

evoked action potentials in those cells (Fig. 3a, b). Stimuli were presented in order of

LRRLLR…, for a total of 10 stimuli per P cell. From each of the resulting VSD traces, we

extracted the average fluorescence change ($\Delta F/F$) during the first 0.5 s of the stimulus as

well as during a control phase (1–0.5 s before stimulus onset), both relative to a reference

phase (0.5–0.1 s before stimulus onset; Fig. 3c). Using a leave-one-out procedure, we

calculated for each of the cells how reliably their activity could be used to "predict"

which of the P cells had been stimulated (Fig. 3d). We then established a mapping

between cells in the VSD images and identified neurons on the canonical maps to

determine for all identified neurons to what degree their activity encoded stimulus

identity (Fig. 3e).

On average across eight experiments, 113 ± 11 (mean $\pm$ SD) cells on the ventral

surface and 129 ± 6 on the dorsal surface could be mapped to identified neurons (Fig. 3f).

Among those, 28% of ventral cells [35 ± 11, mean $\pm$ SD] and 36% of dorsal cells ($52 \pm$

18) encoded stimulus identity with prediction success higher than 75% during the first 0.5

s of the stimulus. This included one ventral LBI, all dorsal LBIs, and most motor neurons

(MNs; Fig. 3g). (All other ventral LBIs had prediction success in the range 65%–75%. In

contrast, the average prediction success in the control period was at chance level: 50.9 %

$\pm$ 1.3% (mean $\pm$ SEM) for both ventral and dorsal cells.) The other neurons with high

prediction success were AP cells and Leydig cells, as well as cells provisionally identified

as cells 56, 61, 251, and 152 on the ventral surface and cells 9, 10, 22, 28, 107, and 123 on

the dorsal surface.

Involvement of individual neurons in multiple behaviors

To further establish the utility of double-sided VSD imaging, we set out to

determine to what extent neural circuit components are unique or shared between three

behaviors: local bending, swimming, and crawling. To do so, we evoked the

corresponding fictive behaviors in isolated whole nerve cords using electrical

stimulation[9]. Specifically, local bending was activated by intracellular stimulation of a

single P_V^L or P_V^R [19]; swimming was elicited by stimulating a DP nerve from either

ganglion 11, 12, or 13; and crawling was elicited by stimulating tail brain nerve roots.

Motor patterns of local bending and swimming were confirmed based on extracellular

recordings of DP nerves or intracellular recording of AE cells[9, 10, 20]. Crawling patterns

were confirmed based on by simultaneous intracellular recordings from two different

motor neurons: the AE and CV cells[9]. All three behaviors could be induced in each of six

animals (Supplementary Videos 1–4).

We calculated the phase and magnitude of the coherence of each imaged

neuron to the stimulus train (0.5 Hz) during local bending; to the optical signal of motor

neuron DI-1during swimming; and to the intracellular trace of an AE cell during crawling.

Results from all behaviors in one animal are shown in Fig. 4a–d and Supplementary

Videos 1–4. Optical signals from representative cells located on both surfaces confirmed

stereotyped activity patterns that were highly distinctive for each of the behaviors (Fig.

4e–h).

We established identities of imaged neurons as before. On average over six

preparations, we were able to assign 126 ±11 cells on the ventral surface and 121±10 on

the dorsal surface. This allowed us to construct summary maps showing which neurons

were consistently involved in which behaviors (Fig.4j and Materials and Methods).

Approximately 10% cells were involved in all three behaviors, 33% in two out of the

three behaviors, and 42% in a single behavior (Fig. 4j). For the remaining 15% of cells,

involvement in any of the behaviors could not be established.

Finally, we calculated a correlation matrix between the recorded activity of

each of the cells, separately during each of the three behaviors, and performed automated

clustering based on these correlations (Fig. 5a). For each of the cells in a recording, we

then calculated what fraction of the cells in the same cluster were located on the ventral or

the dorsal side of the ganglion. We found that during crawling and especially during local

bending, most clusters were largely confined to only one side of the ganglion, whereas

during swimming they more commonly spanned sides (Fig. 5b), which indicates that

swimming involves correlated activity among cells located on both surfaces whereas

local bending largely does not. We quantified this by calculating an "integration

coefficient" (Materials and Methods) which is equal to zero if all clusters are either

wholly on the dorsal or wholly on the ventral side, and equal to one if all clusters are

equally spread between the two sides (Fig. 5c).

Discussion

We constructed a double-sided microscope that can record fluorescence signals

from two sides of a biological preparation. This technique should be broadly applicable to

experimental questions that require simultaneous imaging from two widely spaced cell

layers in Drosophila[21], sea slugs[5, 6] and other organisms. The optical system can be

assembled from conventional optic parts and devices. In our implementation, we used

microscope parts from Olympus, but an equivalent system could now be constructed

using, e.g., Thorlabs CERNA parts.

By combining our microscope with next-generation voltage-sensitive dyes

(VF2.1(OMe).H[13], we achieved simultaneous large-scale neuronal recording from two

widely spaced cell layers at single-cell resolution, capturing not only action potentials but

also small excitatory and inhibitory synaptic potentials. A primary feature of the system is

its ability to acquire these signals at high speed, and without delay for image capture

between the two focal planes. At present, this cannot be achieved by wide-brain

volumetric Ca^{2+} imaging as previously established for *C. elegans*[2,3]. With our newly

developed microscope, we simultaneously recorded, for the first time, the activity of the

majority of neurons in a leech ganglion. While beyond the scope of this study, the fact

that VSD recordings contain both spikes and postsynaptic potentials makes it possible to

infer network connectivity among the different individual, identifiable cells. This offers a

notable advantage over techniques that only give access to spike events or intracellular

Ca^{2+} concentration.

The leech has 21 nearly identical segmental ganglia containing approximately

400 neurons that are arranged in a highly conserved geometry[12]. For 148 of these neurons,

functional descriptions have been published. (A gateway to the relevant literature is

available online, at http://www.danielwagenaar.net/ganglion.) The ganglionic neurons

are distributed in a single layer on the surface of the ganglion, but this layer wraps around

both the dorsal and ventral sides, so that at best half of the neurons can be simultaneously

imaged with conventional microscopy. Our double-sided microscope, in contrast, has

access to all of them, although surface curvature means that not all neurons can

simultaneously be in sharp focus (Fig. 1b). A single light source was sufficient for

illuminating both top and bottom surfaces, because the leech nervous system is

sufficiently translucent to permit even lighting onto both sides.

In many leech ganglionic neurons, the somata exhibit both action potentials and

synaptic potentials not greatly attenuated from their origin in the neuropil, a notable

difference from typical monopolar neurons in invertebrate central nervous systems[12].

Hence, a low-noise imaging system using sensitive voltage sensors potentially enables us

to analyze synaptic integration even in small neurons in the leech. In addition, our

double-sided microscope is compatible with both intra- and extracellular electrode

placement, enabling detailed electrophysiological interrogation of selected specific

neurons along with optical imaging from the population.

Intriguing features that we observed using our pan-neuronal imaging system

are (1) widespread distribution of neurons that are differentially involved in left and right

ventral local bending (Fig. 3c, d), and (2) involvement in multiple behaviors of a large

fraction of identifiable neurons (Fig. 4i, j).

With respect to (1), we found that not only the local bend interneurons and the

motor neurons previously reported[17] discriminate between the stimuli, but so did many

other neurons that had not previously been implicated in local bending. It has long been

known that the neural mechanism of local bending involves population coding[22-27], but its

exact algorithm and computation remain unknown. Although the calculation of

discriminability here was based on stimulus category (P_V^L vs. P_V^R) instead of actual local

bend patterns in the leech's body wall, the population dynamics of the highly

discriminative cells we identified putatively underlie the neuronal computation. The

discriminability maps from our study can thus be utilized for future investigations of

mechanosensory information processing.

With respect to (2), we observed that 43% of identifiable neurons on the ventral

and dorsal surfaces were involved in at least two of the three behaviors tested (local

bending, swimming, and crawling). This result indicates that the neural circuits for those

behaviors share many components while generating unique motor patterns for each

behavior. The percentage of circuit components shared between swimming and crawling

identified in this study differed from previous work[9]; in particular, the number of cells we

identified as involved in crawling (56) was lower than in the previous study (188). The

reason is probably that crawl episodes in our experiments were somewhat shorter

(typically only 3–4 cycles) than in the older study, resulting in a weaker coherence signal.

Double-sided imaging revealed a previously unappreciated difference between the swim

rhythm and local bending: The cell assemblies that are simultaneously active in the

former span both sides of the ganglion, whereas in local bending, they are mostly

confined to either the dorsal or the ventral side.

In this study, we identified imaged cells with known neurons using a

semi-automatic mapping algorithm based on cell size and location along with an expert's

assessment based on the physiological properties of cells along with this geometrical

information. To gain more insight into the neuronal networks responsible for behavior, it

will be necessary to carry out more accurate neurocartography, which we will achieve by

combining functional mapping using machine learning methods[10] with a connectomic

approach using serial block face scanning electron microscopy[11]. The combination of

those techniques with double-sided VSD imaging will pave the way for future

investigations on how the activity of all neurons in a central nervous system is recruited to

process sensory information and to generate distinctive behaviors from overlapping

neuronal circuits.

1   **Materials and Methods**

2   *Optical recording by double-sided microscope*

3   We acquired fluorescence images simultaneously from two focal planes using a

custom double-sided microscope consisting of the fluorescence train of an upright

microscope (Olympus BX, Tokyo, Japan) mounted on top of an inversed microscope

(Olympus IX). The top microscope was used to image the upper focal plane while the

bottom microscope imaged the lower focal plane. We used a 20x, 1.0 numerical aperture

(NA), water-immersion objective for the upright and a 20x, 0.7 NA objective with

cover-slip adjustment collar for the inverted microscope (both Olympus). The alignment

of those two objectives was fine-adjusted manually so that cameras attached to the top

and bottom microscopes saw the same field of view to within about 300 nm when the two

focal planes were at the same depth.

13   The two objectives served as condenser for each other, so that blue excitation

light delivered through the top objective for epifluorescence imaging also served as a

transfluorescence light source for the bottom objective. Further, a red LED illuminator

attached to the bottom microscope provided wide-field transillumination that enabled us

to use the upright objective to visualize intracellular electrodes. Both objectives were

mounted on standard turrets so that they could be rotated out of the way to make place for

5x objectives used to visualize extracellular suction electrodes.

For VSD imaging, we used excitation light (bandpass filtered to 470 ± 15 nm)

from a high-power blue LED (LedEngin LZ1-10B200) controlled with optical

stabilization[13]. In both the upright and inverted microscopes, we used a 490-nm dichroic

mirror and 505-nm LP emission filter. Images were acquired with two cooled CCD

cameras (QuantEM 512SC; Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) at a resolution of 512 x 128 pixels.

The frame rate was set depending on which behavior was recorded: for local bending and

swimming, images were acquired at 50 Hz; for crawling, images were acquired at 20 Hz.

Imaging data were acquired using custom software VScope[28]. Optical and electrical

recordings were synchronized by connecting frame timing signals from each camera to a

data acquisition board that also recorded electrophysiology signals (see below).

VSD imaging is highly sensitive to even sub-micrometer motions. Because

VSDs are located in cell membranes rather than the cytosol, a movement of less than 1%

of a cell diameter can cause a signal change of well over 1% due to bright edge pixels

moving out of a pre-defined region of interest (ROI). Since typical VSD signals are

themselves far less than 1%, this can cause dramatic motion artifacts. To mitigate this

problem, we replaced cooling fans inside each CCD camera with external blowers, since

we determined that internal fans in cameras caused significant vibrations of the

microscope objectives relative to the sample. After removing these fans, the noise in

image sequences was dominated by shot noise.

Animal maintenance and sample preparation

Medicinal leeches (*Hirudo verbana*) were obtained from Niagara Leeches

(Niagara Falls, NY) and maintained in artificial pond water at 15 °C. In experiments

where only local bending was the target behavior, we dissected out short chains of

ganglia from segments 8 through 12. In experiments involving swimming or crawling, we

isolated whole nerve cords (Supplementary Fig 5), including the head brain, all 21

segmental ganglia, and the tail brain. In all cases, the blood sinus surrounding the nervous

system was dissected away around segmental ganglion 10. We removed the sheath from

the ventral and dorsal surface of this ganglion before applying voltage-sensitive dyes. To

induce swimming, a dorsal posterior (DP) nerve root in one of ganglia 11 through 13 was

stimulated through a suction electrode. Brief electrical pulses (3 ms) were delivered at 50

Hz in a 3-s-long train, with an amplitude of 7–8 V. To elicit crawling, several nerves from

the tail brain were stimulated using the same stimulus parameters as for DP nerve

stimulation. Isolated leech ganglia can move slightly move because muscle cells are

embedding in the nerve cord. We therefore stablized the ganglion to be imaged by tightly

pinning down blood sinus tissue to the PDMS (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning, Midland, MI)

substrate and by sandwiching adjacent connectives between small pieces of medical

dressing (Tegaderm, 3M, Maplewood, MN) which was also pinned down, to minimize

any motion artifacts. Throughout the dissection and during imaging, preparations were

maintained in chambers filled with cold leech saline consisting of the following (in mM):

115 NaCl, 4 KCl, 1.8 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 10 glucose, and 10 HEPES, at pH 7.4. Only before

crawling was induced, we temporarily replaced the cold saline with room temperature

(20–23 °C) saline to obtain the most natural crawling rhythm. We bath loaded 800 μM

VF2.1(OMe).H [13] (provided by Evan Miller) in leech saline containing 1% Pluronic acid

(PowerloadTM Concentrate 100x, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). To help

with dye penetration into the cell membranes, we circulated the solution using a pair of

peristaltic pumps (approximately 1.1 mL/min flow rate) with outflows directed at the

dorsal and ventral surfaces of the ganglion, for 20 minutes total.

Electrophysiology

We recorded intracellularly from up to three neurons simultaneously using

20–50 MΩ glass microelectrodes filled with 3 M potassium acetate and 60 mM potassium

chloride, using Neuroprobe amplifiers (Model 1600; A-M systems, Sequim, WA).

Intracellular recordings provided additional information regarding the behavioral state of

the preparation as well as confirmation of the corresponding optical signals. We recorded

extracellularly using suction electrodes and a four-channel differential amplifier (Model

1700; A-M Systems). All electrical signals were digitized at 10 kHz using a 16-bit

analog-to-digital board (NI USB-6221; National Instruments, Austin, TX) and VScope

software[28].

Basic data processing

We outlined the images of individual cell bodies manually as regions of interest

using VScope. Pixel values within each cellular outline were then averaged in each frame,

yielding a raw fluorescence signal. Signals were processed to remove artifacts from

micromotion (next section), and to correct for slow reduction of overall fluorescence

intensity due to dye bleaching. The latter was achieved by subtracting locally fitted

third-order polynomials using the SALPA algorithm[15] with a time constant of 1 to 15 s. In

addition, brightness averaged across the areas of the ganglion outside of ROIs was

subtracted for each frame to reduce global noise due to fluorescent crosstalk among top

and bottom images[16]. Finally, signals were normalized to their average value and

expressed as a percent change in fluorescence ($\Delta F/F$).

Motion correction

15   As mentioned above, motion artifacts were reduced by removing fans from

16   CCD cameras and by pinning down ganglia tightly on the PDMS substrate. However,

17   even very small motions can cause highly detrimental artifacts in VSD recordings.

18   To correct for small motions, we designated the middle frame of any recording as a

19   reference frame, and generated a pair of artificial frames by shifting the reference frame

one pixel to the left or to the right. Let $\mathbf{I}_R$ and $\mathbf{I}_L$ be vectors consisting of the intensity

values of the pixels in the right- and left-shifted reference frames, and let $\mathbf{I'}$ be the

intensity vector of an arbitrary frame in the recording. As long as the motion is small (less

than or approximately equal to one pixel),

$$\Delta x = 2\,(\mathbf{I'} - \mathbf{I}_L) \cdot (\mathbf{I}_R - \mathbf{I}_L)\,/\,\|\mathbf{I}_R - \mathbf{I}_L\|^2 - 1,$$

where $\cdot$ is the vector product and $\|\mathbf{I}\|$ is the vector norm, is a good estimate for the

motion in the x-direction between the frame under study and the reference frame. (The

reason is that an image shifted by Δx pixels can be approximated as

$$\mathbf{I'} = [(1 - \Delta x)\,\mathbf{I}_L + (1 + \Delta x)\,\mathbf{I}_R]\,/\,2,$$

as long as $|\Delta x| \leq 1$. The first equation is derived from the second by minimizing with

respect to Δx.)

12   The same method can of course be used for motion in the y-direction. More

13   interestingly, the method can be used for other affine distortions as well. For instance, if

14   we calculate artificial frames by rotating the reference frame by $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$, the above

15   procedure would yield estimates of image rotation (in units of 0.1°).

16   Using this method, we estimated and corrected for small motions that may

17   occur with the preparation or even due to vibrations in the microscope, thus preventing

18   motion artifacts in the extracted VSD traces (Supplemental Figure 2).

Calculation of prediction success

In our experiments on the encoding of stimulus identity by individual neurons,

we performed 10 trials stimulating the left P_V cell and 10 stimulating the right P_V cell, in

order (LR)(RL)(LR)(RL)… To calculate how well each cell "predicted" the stimulus

identity (i.e., "left" or "right"), we calculated the average $\Delta F/F$ during the first 0.5 s of

each stimulus relative to the preceding reference phase, separately for each trial. Taking

each trial in turn, we then took that trial and its "partner" trial out, and calculated the

average $\Delta F/F$ for the 9 "left" stimuli out of the remaining 18 trials and also for the "right"

stimuli. The "partner" trial was the next trial for odd-numbered trials, and the preceding

trial for even-numbered trials. If the $\Delta F/F$ in the trial under consideration was closer to the

average $\Delta F/F$ of the "left" trials in the training set than to the average of the "right" trials,

the neuron was considered correct in its "prediction" of stimulus identity if the trial under

consideration was in fact a "left" trial, and conversely for "right" trials. The percentage of

trials in which a cell correctly predicted stimulus identity in this sense was used as a

measure of prediction success. Any cell that correctly predicted stimulus identity in at

least 75% of trials (50% being change performance) was considered to encode stimulus

identity.

Coherence analysis

We used multitaper spectral analysis[29] to estimate the coherence between

optical signals from individual cells with a common reference. That reference was the

stimulus train for local bending, the optical signal of a DI-1 motor neuron for swimming,

or the intracellular electrode signal of an AE motor neuron for crawling. For each

recording, we calculated the 95% confidence interval for the magnitude of estimated

coherence under the null hypothesis that a signal was not coherent with the reference[30]. A

cell was considered to be involved in the behavior expressed during a given trial if its

measured coherence exceeded this confidence interval.

Canonical mapping

The overall layout of neurons within leech ganglia is highly conserved between

ganglia within an animal as well as between animals, but the precise geometry does vary.

In order to identify cells seen in the VSD image sequence (Supplementary Fig.5a) with

neurons in the canonical map, we developed a graphical user interface that allows us to

proceed as follows. First, we mark all the visible cells as regions of interest on the image

(Supplementary Fig.5b). Then, we overlay the canonical map over this (Supplementary

Fig.5c). To the trained eye, the identification of many of the larger cells is immediately

obvious, so we register these identities (using a drag-and-drop mechanism in the GUI;

(Supplementary Fig.5d)). This partial mapping of ROIs to identified neurons allows the

program to do a coarse alignment between the canonical map and the actual image using

affine transformations local to each of the four packets of cells (Supplementary Fig.5e).

(The ganglion is divided by giant glial cells into several packets[12], the boundaries of

which are indicated on the canonical map.) This preliminary alignment enables us to

identify several other neurons with high confidence, after which the computer can

perform a local alignment step. Finally, the computer assigns putative identities to the

remaining ROIs, leading to a nearly complete mapping between ROIs (orange dots in

Supplementary Fig.5f) and identified neurons (cross marks).

Determination of which cells are consistently involved in a behavior

For each neuron in each animal, we determined whether its coherence exceeded

the 95% confidence interval of the null hypothesis that a given neuron was not involved

in a given behavior. If a neuron exceeded that threshold for a given behavior in four out of

six animals, it was considered to be involved in that behavior (Fig. 4i.) Since swimming

and crawling are both symmetric behaviors, we included both members of a homologous

pair if (and only if) at least one member exceeded the 97.5% C.I.

Clustering and calculation of integration coefficients

We clustered cells based on the matrix of the correlation coefficients of their

activity patterns, separately for each behavior (by constructing a dendrogram based on the

correlation distance followed by tree cutting). We then assigned a dorsoventrality index

(DVI) to each cell, which was equal to the fraction of dorsally localted cells in that cell's

cluster. This is what is shown in the histograms of Fig. 5b. Cells in clusters with fewer

than three members were ignored for this calculation; the results did not change

qualitatively if this threshold was changed to two or five. Based on the DVI, we

calculated the integration coefficient (CI) of Fig. 5c as:

$CI = \langle\, 1 - 2\, |DVI - \frac{1}{2}|\, \rangle,$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes absolute value and $\langle\, \cdot\, \rangle$ denotes the average across all cells (except those

not in clusters of size three or more).

Software for data analysis

All data processing and statistical analysis were performed in GNU Octave,

version 4.0.0.

References

1. Ahrens, M.B., Orger, M.B., Robson, D.N., Li, J.M. & Keller, P.J. Whole-brain functional imaging at cellular resolution using light-sheet microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 10, 413–420 (2013).

2. Schrödel, T., Prevedel, R., Aumayr, K,, Zimmer, M. & Vaziri, A. Brain-wide 3D imaging of neuronal activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* with sculpted light. *Nat Methods* 10, 1013-1020 (2013).

3. Kato, S., Kaplan, H. S., Schrödel, T. Skora, S. Lindsay, T. H., Yemini, E., Lockery, S. and Zimmer, M. Global brain dynamics embed the motor command sequence of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Cell* 163, 656-669 (2015).

4. Nguyen, J. P., Shipley, F. B., Lider, A. N., Plummer, G. S., Liu, M., Setru, S. U., Shaevitz, J. W. & Leifer, A. M. Whole-brain calcium imaging with cellular resolution in freely behaving *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc. *Nati. Acad. Sci. USA* 113(8) 1074-1081 (2016).

5. Bruno, A. M., Frost, W. N. & Humphries, M. D. Modular deconstruction reveals the dynamical and physical building blocks of a locomotion motor program. *Neuron* 86(1), 304-318 (2015).

6. Hill, E. S. Vasireddi, S. K., Wang, J., Bruno, A. M. & Frost, W. M. Memory formation in *Tritonia* via recruitment of variably committed neurons. *Curr. Biol.* 25 2879-2888 (2015).

7. Hill, E. S., Bruno, A. M. & Frost, W. N. Recent developments in VSD imaging of small neuronal networks. *Learn. Mem.* 86(1). 499-505 (2014).

8. Briggman, K. L., Abarbanel, H. D. I. & Kristan, W. B. Optical imaging of neuronal populations during decision-making. *Science* 307, 896-901(2005).

9. Briggman, K. L. & Kristan, W. B. Imaging dedicated and multifunctional neural circuits generating distinct behaviors. *J. Neurosci.* 26(42). 10925-10933 (2006).

10. Frady, P., Kapoor, A., Horvitz, E. & Kristan, W. B. Scalable semisupervised functional neurocartography reveals canonical neurons in behavioral networks. *Neural Comp.* 28(8). 1453-1497 (2016).

11. Pipkin, J. E., Bushong, E. A., Ellisman, M. H. & Kristan, W. B. Patterns and distribution of presynaptic and postsynaptic elements within serial electron microscopic reconstructions of neuronal arbors from the medicinal leech *Hirudo verbena*. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 524(18). 3677-3695 (2016).

12. Kristan, W. B. M., Calabrese, R. L. & Friesen, W.O. Neuronal control of leech behavior. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 76. 279-327 (2005).

13. Woodford, C. R. Frady, E. R., Smith, R. S., Morey, B., Canzi, G., Palida, S. F., Aranda, R. C., Kristan, W. B., Kubiak, C. P., Miller, E. W. & Tsien, R. Y. Improved PeT molecules for optically sensing voltage in neurons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137. 1817-1824 (2015).

14. Wagenaar, D. A. An optically stabilized fast-switching light emitting diode as a light source for functional neuroimaging. *PloS ONE.* 7(1). E29822 (2012).

15. Wagenaar, D. A. & Potter, S. M. Real-time multi-hannel stimulus artifact suppression by local curve fitting. *J. Neurosci. Methods.* 120(2). 113-120 (2002).

16. Lippert, M., Takagaki, K., Xu, W., Huang X. & Wu, JY. Methods for voltage-sensitive dye imaging of rat cortical activity with high signal-to-noise ratio. *J. Neurophisol.* 98(1). 502-512 (2007).

17. Lockery, S. & Kristan, W. B. Distributed processing of sensory information in the leech. II. Identification of Interneurons contributing to the local bending reflex. *J. Neurosci.* 10(6). 1816-1829 (1990).

18. Baljon P. L. & Wagenaar, D. A. Response to conflicting stimuli in a simple stimulus-response pathway. *J. Neurosci.* 35(5) 2398-2406 (2015).

19. Kristan, W. B. Sensory and motor neurons responsible for the local bending response in leeches. *J. Exp. Biol.* 96. 161–180 (1982).

20. Gu, X., Muller, K. & Young, S. Synaptic integration at a sensory-motor reflex in the leech. *J. Physiol.* 441. 733-754 (1991).

21. Kohsaka, H., Guertin, P. A. & Nose, A. Neural circuits underlying fly larva locomotion. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 23. 1722-1733 (2017)

22. Lewis, J. E. & Kristan, W. B. A neuronal network for computing population vectors in the leech. *Nature* 391. 76–79 (1998).

23. Lewis, J. E. & Kristan, W. B. Representation of touch location by a population of leech sensory neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 80. 2584–2592 (1998).

24. Lewis, J. E. & Kristan, W. B. Quantitative analysis of a directed behavior in the medicinal leech: implications for organizing motor output. *J. Neurosci.* 18. 1571–1582 (1998).

25. Lewis, J. E. Sensory processing and the network mechanisms for reading neuronal population codes. *J. Comp. Physiol. A.* 185. 373–378 (1999).

26. Thomson, E. E. & Kristan, W. B. Encoding and decoding touch location in the leech CNS. *J Neurosci.* 8009-8016 (2006).

27. Kretzberg, J., Pirschel, F., Fathiazar, E. & Hilgen, G. Encoding of tactile stimuli by mechanoreceptors and interneurons of the medicinal leech. *Front. Physiol.* 7(506) doi* 10.3389/fphys.2016.00506 (2016).

28. Wagenaar, D. A., 2017. VScope - A software package for the acquisition and analysis

of data from multiple cameras as well as electrophysiology.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.437901. Manuscript submitted.

29. Taylor, A. L., Cottrell, G. W., Kleinfield, D. & Kristan, W. B. Imaging reveals

synaptic targets of a swim-terminating neuron in the leech CNS. *J. Neurosci.* **23**(36)

11402-11410 (2003).

30. Cacciatore, T. W., Brodfuehrer, P. D., Gonzalez, J. E., Jiang, T., Adams, S. R., Tsien,

R. Y., Kristan, W. B. & Kleinfeld, D. Identification of neural circuits by imaging coherent

electrical activity with FRET-based dyes. *Neuron* **23**. 449-459 (1999).

Acknowledgments

We thank Evan Miller for sharing of the VF2.1(OMe).H dye; Annette

Stowasser for her role in developing a prototype of the double-sided microscope and

many helpful conversations; and Angela Bruno for useful discussions regarding data

analysis. This work was supported by the Burroughs Welcome Fund through a Career

Award at the Scientific Interface and by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders

and Stroke through grant R01 NS094403 (both to DAW). YT was supported by JSPS

Overseas Research Fellowships.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 1 | Double-sided voltage sensitive dye imaging. **(a)** Schematic of the double-sided

microscope. **(b)** Images of the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) aspects of a leech ganglion

simultaneously acquired using this microscope. **(c)** Canonical maps of the ventral (*left*)

and dorsal (*right*) aspects of the ganglion. **(d)** Single-sweep recordings of neuronal

activity. Optical signals from VSD imaging (*blue*) are overlaid with simultaneous

intracellular recordings (*black*). **1.** Action potentials and subthreshold potentials in a

1   Retzius cell; **2.** Spontaneous regular firing in an AP cell; **3.** Swimming pattern in a DE-3

2   motor neuron; **4.** Crawling pattern in an AE cell.

Figure 2

Figure 2 | Neuronal activity during fictive swimming. **(a)** Selected electrophysiological

and VSD traces during fictive swimming. Extracellular recording from a nerve root in a

posterior segment (DP(13)) showed rhythmic dorsal motor neuron bursts characteristic of

swimming (*top*). Intracellular recording and simultaneous optical signal from an AE

neuron show matching membrane potential oscillations. VSD signals from the ventral

surface: bilateral cells 153 (a sensory neuron) and the Retzius cell (a neuromodulatory

neuron). VSD signals from the dorsal surface: dorsal and ventral inhibitory and excitatory

motor neurons DI-1, VI-2, DE-3, and VE-4. **(b)** Coherence of the optically recorded

signals of all cells on the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) surfaces of the ganglion with the

swim rhythm. Cells used in **(a)** are marked. **(c)** Magnitude (radial axis from 0 to 1) and

phase (angular coordinate) of the coherence of each neuron's activity with the swim

1   rhythm; same data as in **(b)**. Error bars indicate confidence intervals based on a

2   multi-taper estimate.

1   Figure 3

3   **Figure 3** | Differential activation during left and right local bend responses. **(a)** Schematic

4   of the setup. Microelectrodes were inserted into left and right P_V cells for stimulation and

5   into the right AP cell for recording. A suction electrode around the right DP nerve

6   confirmed the execution of a (fictive) local bend. **(b)** Simultaneously recorded motor

activity from the DP nerve (*top*), membrane potential from the AP neuron (*middle, black*) and its corresponding VSD trace (*blue*) in response to stimuli to P_V^L (*left*) and P_V^R (*right*). Stimulus duration was 1 second (*bottom*). **(c)** Time series of averaged difference between P_V^L ($n = 10$) and P_V^R ($n = 10$) trials in the activity of all 248 recorded cells. Positive (*red*) indicates more depolarization (or less hyperpolarization) in response to P_V^R stimulation. Scale bar: 1 second. **(d)** Stimulus discriminability score overlaid on images of the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) aspects of the ganglion. Scale bars: 100 μm. **(e)** Averaged discriminability results across 8 animals. Color scale as in **(d)**. Motor neurons (MNs) and LBIs are marked (*black circles*) as are other cells that strongly discriminate between stimuli ($\geq$ 75% prediction success; *circles and arrow heads*). **(f)** Number of cells that could be mapped to identified neurons; mean and SD of 8 preparations and individual results (*dots*). Dashed lines indicate total number of cells in the canonical maps. **(g)** Number of cells that strongly discriminate between stimuli ($\geq$ 75% prediction success) compared to control (*grey bars*). (*: $p < 10^{-4}$; Paired sample T-test) **(h)** Discriminability scores for all neurons on the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) surfaces. Colored lines mark the scores of LBIs, AP cells and MNs.

1   Figure 4

3   **Figure 4** | Neuronal activity during multiple behaviors. **(a–d)** Coherence of optically

4   recorded signals of all cells on the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) surfaces of a ganglion

5   with **(a)** P_V^L-induced local bending, **(b)** P_V^R-induced local bending, **(c)** fictive swimming,

6   and **(d)** fictive crawling. Color map as in Fig. 2b. **(e-h)** VSD signals of cells indicated in

1   **(a–d)** during those behaviors. **(i)** Summary maps of the involvement of identified neurons

2   on the ventral (*left*) and dorsal (*right*) surface of the ganglion. Colors indicate which

3   behavior each neuron was involved in. **(j)** Venn diagram showing the total number of

4   identified neurons that oscillated with each individual behaviors or combinations of

5   behaviors. Colors as in **(i)**.

Figure 5

Figure 5 | Clustering cells based on their activity in different behaviors. **(a)** Cluster

assignments of all cells recorded in one animal based on the correlation matrix of their

activity during fictive crawling (*left*), swimming (*center*), and local bending (*right*). **(b)**

Degree to which cells within a cluster were fully contained on the ventral side ("All V."),

fully on the dorsal side ("All D."), or equally distributed ("50:50"). To prevent

1   overrepresentation of small clusters, each cell is an entry in the histogram, not each

2   cluster. Clusters with fewer than 3 members were excluded. Data from $N = 6$ leeches.

3   **(c)** Quantification of the degree to which members of clusters were distributed across

4   surfaces in the three behaviors tested (mean $\pm$ SEM, $N = 6$). All differences were

5   significant (ANOVA, $F(2,15) = 63.4$, $p < 10^{-7}$, followed by Tukey).