Endocytosis caused by liquid-liquid phase separation of proteins

Louis-Philippe Bergeron-Sandoval[1], Hossein Khadivi Heris[2], Adam G. Hendricks[2], Allen J. Ehrlicher[2], Paul François[3], Rohit V. Pappu[4] and Stephen W. Michnick[1,5*]

Affiliations

[1]Département de Biochimie, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succursale centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada.
[2]Department of Bioengineering, McGill University, 817 Sherbrooke St West, Room 270, Montreal, Quebec, H3A0C3, Canada.
[3]Ernest Rutherford Physics Building, McGill University, 3600 University St, Montreal, Québec, H3A2T8
[4]Department of Biomedical Engineering and Center for Biological Systems Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, One Brookings Drive, Campus Box 1097, St. Louis, Missouri 63130, USA.
[5]Centre Robert-Cedergren, Bio-Informatique et Génomique, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succursale centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada.

*Correspondence to: S.W.M. (stephen.michnick@umontreal.ca).

Summary

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) underlies intra- and extracellular material trafficking in eukaryotes, and is essential to protein metabolism, intercellular signaling, membrane remodeling and other cell regulatory processes. Although CME is usually driven by F-actin polymerization, membrane invagination can also occur through actin independent mechanisms. Here, we show that viscoelastic protein condensates that form via liquid-liquid phase separation at the sites of endocytosis initiation facilitate actin independent CME. The work required to drive membrane invagination is generated by binding energies of the condensate with the membrane and surrounding cytosol. Our findings expand the repertoire of functions associated with protein condensates that form via liquid-liquid phase separation to include their ability to do work at soft interfaces, thus shaping and organizing cellular matter.

Introduction

Evolution has resulted in numerous innovations by which morphogenesis of organisms occurs within limits imposed by physical and chemical constraints on the underlying biochemical processes (Darwin 1859, Thompson 1917). One such process is clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) a fundamental mechanism of cell surface membrane receptor turnover and recycling, nutrient uptake and synaptic vesicle regeneration, among others (Conner and Schmid 2003). The mechanism of membrane invagination in CME has most convincingly been demonstrated to be growth of membrane-bound branched actin, however CME has also been shown to occur under conditions where actin polymerization is absent and the mechanisms by which this happens remain unclear (Aghamohammadzadeh and Ayscough 2009, Li, Shao et al. 2015). Here, we demonstrate that membrane invagination can arise from liquid-liquid phase separation (demixing) of proteins with prion-like domains (PLD) from the cytosol (Fig. 1a). Demixing of these proteins results in formation of a droplet (or condensate), which, by virtue of its viscoelastic properties, binds to and deforms plasma membrane and cytosol. Demonstration that phase separated droplets can perform mechanical work expands the repertoire of known functions of protein condensates to include the ability to do work at the droplet interfaces. Similar mechanisms may govern or contribute to other membrane shaping, invagination and budding processes that are involved in the cellular material uptake, secretion, and cell shape remodeling.

In *S. cerevisiae,* the dominant mechanism for vesicle generation in CME is branched actin assembly, which is required to compete against intracellular turgor pressure and membrane tension to drive the invagination of the plasma membrane (Carlsson and Bayly 2014, Dmitrieff and Nedelec 2015). If, however, turgor pressure is eliminated, CME can also occur independent of actin polymerization (Aghamohammadzadeh and Ayscough 2009, Li, Shao et al. 2015). Complementary mechanisms have been proposed to explain actin-independent membrane invagination in CME include intrinsic twisting of the membrane by the clathrin matrix, binding of curved BAR (Bin/Amphiphysin/Rvs) domain-containing proteins (Yu and Schulten 2013), protein domain insertion in the membrane bilayer (Ford, Mills et al. 2002), local relief of turgor pressure (Scher-Zagier and Carlsson 2016), lipid modifications and a reorganization of lipid bilayers (Anitei, Stange et al. 2017) or steric repulsion of coat and adaptor proteins due to their crowding (Busch, Houser et al. 2015, Derganc and Copic 2016). Although the possibility of these mechanisms have been demonstrated *in vitro*, their importance *in vivo* remain unknown (detailed in Material and Methods) (Boettner, D'Agostino et al. 2009, Carlsson and Bayly 2014, Kukulski, Picco et al. 2016).

We investigated an alternative potential mechanism of CME in a yeast cell mutant model in which turgor pressure is relieved and actin polymerization is specifically inhibited (Fig. 1a, Fig. S1-2). This potential mechanism was suggested to us by the observation that there is a common

amino acid sequence pattern called prion-like domains (PLD) found among coat and adapter proteins (Fig. 1a) (Alberti, Halfmann et al. 2009, Malinovska, Kroschwald et al. 2013). Such proteins are known to phase separate *in vitro* and in cells. Phase separation leads to spherical condensates or droplets that are hundreds of nanometers to micrometers in size with a range of viscoelastic properties (Guilak, Tedrow et al. 2000, Pappu, Wang et al. 2008, Brangwynne, Eckmann et al. 2009, Hyman, Weber et al. 2014, Banjade, Wu et al. 2015, Jiang, Wang et al. 2015, Kroschwald, Maharana et al. 2015, Molliex, Temirov et al. 2015, Nott, Petsalaki et al. 2015, Zhang, Elbaum-Garfinkle et al. 2015). The idea that membranes can be deformed by liquid-liquid phase separation of droplets is supported by *in vitro* evidence of membrane nanotubes formed by displacement of small polymer droplets contained within giant phospholipid bilayer membrane vesicles (Li, Lipowsky et al. 2011). We postulate that such droplets exist at CME initiation sites and that, owing to their viscoelastic properties and interfacial tension, bind to the plasma membrane adaptors and generate a force that drives invagination of the membrane (Hertz 1882, Johnson 1971, Style, Hyland et al. 2013).

PLD-containing CME proteins accumulate and phase separate at cortical sites

Evidence that a protein droplet (henceforth called the cortical droplet) could form at CME sites include first, electron and light microscopic studies that reveal a region surrounding CME membrane invaginations and mature vesicles of ~200 nm diameter that are devoid of ribosomes (Kukulski, Schorb et al. 2012, Picco, Mund et al. 2015). This "exclusion zone" thus appears to present a physical barrier to large molecular complexes at least as large as ribosomes (> 10 nm) (Kukulski, Schorb et al. 2012). Furthermore, we and others have observed an object at cortical sites of ~200 nm diameter by super-resolution imaging of the endocytic coat protein Sla1 in cells treated with Latrunculin A (Lat A), an inhibitor of actin polymerization. Therefore, the exclusion zone cannot be attributed to F-actin bundles (Fig. 1b, Fig. S3) (Picco, Mund et al. 2015). Our results agree with quantitative immuno-EM data which show that many endocytic coat proteins (including Sla1/2 and Ent1/2) are located in a space of similar dimensions, consistent with a protein droplet that associates with the membrane on cortical sites (Idrissi, Grotsch et al. 2008, Idrissi, Blasco et al. 2012).

TGGAMQQPQMMNTFNTDGIMQQPQMMNTFNTGGAMQQPQQQALQNQ

Figure 1 | Assembly of proteins into a cortical droplet drives clathrin-mediated endocytosis (a) (Upper panel) Illustration of the geometry of a plasma membrane (dark grey) invagination into the cell during clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME). 70 nm invagination is required for vesicle scission to occur. Electron microscopic data suggest that clathrin-coated plasma membrane patches are surrounded by a cortical body of ~200 nm diameter (light grey) before appearance of actin structures. Clathrin heavy and light chains (Chc1 and Clc1) interact with adaptor proteins (Ede1 and Syp1) to form a lattice on the membrane (in green). Subsequently, early coat proteins (light grey), such as Sla1/2, Ent1/2, and Yap1801/2, directly bind to the adaptor-clathrin lattice and form the cortical body (in grey). (lower panel) Coat proteins contain "Prion-like domains" (PLD, in blue) that include tandem repeats of asparagine and glutamine. (b) Geometry and size distribution of coat protein Sla1-GFP at cortical sites measured using super-resolution microscopy (dSTORM). Lateral x, y resolution was ~10 nm. Pseudo-color reconstructed

images show circular structures (left panels) when viewed from the top, or within cells (left, upper), but
form narrow ellipses when imaged at the equator of cells (left, lower). Automatic segmentation and
morphological analysis (right) were performed on these reconstructed images to determine the width (209
$\pm$ 10 nm) and height (118 $\pm$ 6 nm) of cortical bodies (mean $\pm$ sd; n = 250), consistent with other electron
and light micrographic evidence. (c) Lipophilic cargo membrane-labelling dye FM4-64 is taken up into
vesicles by CME in wild type BY4741 (left) and *GPD1Δ* cells (eliminates turgor pressure; right) treated
with either DMSO, latrunculin A (prevents F-actin polymerization) or 1,6-hexanediol (disrupts liquid-
liquid phase separated protein droplets). Each boxplot (center line, median; box, upper and lower
quartiles; whiskers, 1.5x IQR; crosses, outliers) shows the relative fluorescence units of n = 50 cells. Note
that *GPD1Δ* cells can undergo CME in the absence of F-actin polymerization (blue) because there is no
turgor pressure in these cells (Fig. S1-2).
128
The simple alcohol 1,6-hexanediol (HD) has been demonstrated to prevent liquid-liquid phase
separation of proteins *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Updike, Hachey et al. 2011, Kroschwald, Maharana et
al. 2015, Molliex, Temirov et al. 2015, Wheeler, Matheny et al. 2016). CME, as measured by
cell uptake of a lipophilic membrane-bound fluorescent dye (FM4-64), was inhibited by HD,
whether or not turgor pressure and actin polymerization were present (Fig. 1c, left *versus* right
panels, respectively). Furthermore, an HD dose-response of uptake of the fluorescent dye
(Lucifer Yellow) into vacuoles and formation of puncta monitored as Sla1-GFP fluorescence at
cortical sites were prevented, but not in cells treated with the related alcohol 1,2,3-hexanetriol
that does not disrupt droplets (Fig. 2a, Fig. S4). The other PLD-containing proteins, including
Sla2, Ent1, Ent2, Yap1801 and Yap1802, all failed to form puncta in cells treated with HD (Fig.
S4). Pulse-chase experiments showed that HD-dependent dissolution of Sla1 puncta was
reversible (Fig. S5 and Movie S1). Finally, PLD-containing proteins can also form amyloid
aggregates, which can be diagnosed by binding and co-localization of Thioflavin T (ThT) to the
aggregates (Khurana, Coleman et al. 2005). We observed no colocalization of ThT with Sla1-
mCherry-labelled puncta (Fig. S6).
144
The PLDs of cortical CME proteins were essential to their localization to cortical sites (Fig. 2b).
Furthermore, CME was significantly reduced in cells where the PLDs of Sla1 and Ent1 were
deleted and with substitutions of proline for other residues in the Sla1 PLD, which weakens the
driving force for phase separation (Fig. 2c, Fig. S7) (Toombs, McCarty et al. 2009, Crick, Ruff et
al. 2013). Our results support evidence that there is a functional redundancy among most of the
PLD-containing proteins with the two that are more essential, perhaps required for specific
functions mediated by other domains within their sequences (Watson, Cope et al. 2001).
152

153
154
Figure 2 | CME adaptor and coat proteins phase separate to form droplets (a) 1,6-hexanediol (HD),
disrupts cortical droplets in an all-or-none manner. Barplot shows percentage of cells that contain Sla1-
GFP foci (dark grey), or not (light grey), as a function of HD concentration monitored by counting
fluorescent puncta containing Sla1-GFP at cortical sites 5 minutes after HD treatment (n = 150 cells). Plot
overlay (in black) shows quantification of lucifer yellow fluorescent dye uptake in CME vesicles (mean ±
sd; n = 25 foci; logistic fit) (b) Prion-like domains (PLDs) are essential for localization of proteins to the
cortical sites. Fluorescence images of cortical localization of Ent1, Ent2, Sla1, Yap1801 and Yap1802
fused to Venus YFP. Localization of full-length (upper panels) *versus* C-terminal PLD truncation mutants
of the proteins (lower panels). Amino acid positions of the deleted PLDs are indicated for respective
images. Grayscale dynamic range for image pairs are indicated below. Scale bar, 2 µm. (c) Quantification
(box center line, median; box limits, upper and lower quartiles; whiskers, 1.5x IQR; crosses, outliers) by
fluorescence microscopy of lucifer yellow dye uptake for strains that express either full-length or PLD-
truncated Ent1, Ent2, Yap1801, Yap1802 and Sla1 (as detailed in panel b). We observed a significant
decrease in CME for PLD truncation mutants of Sla1 and Ent1 (n = 100 cells; two-sided t-test; see
Material and Methods). (d) Coat proteins exchange with cortical droplets at rates typical of those
observed for proteins that compose other protein droplets. Fluorescence recovery after photo bleaching
(FRAP) of Sla2-GFP, GFP signal recovery was measured within a segmented Sla1-mCherry region of
interest to ensure that FRAP was acquired within the cortical droplet (mean ± sd; n = 10 cells. Data was
fitted to a single term recovery equation (full line) (Material and Methods). Incomplete fluorescence
recovery suggests that cortical droplets are viscoelastic. Representative foci images before bleaching,

upon bleaching, and after recovery are shown in inserts. 8-bit grayscale values, 10 to 120. Scale bar, 250
nm.
177
The interactions among proteins in liquid-liquid phase separated droplets are expected to be
weak and this is assessed by their rapid exchange within and between droplets and their
surroundings (Brangwynne, Eckmann et al. 2009, Elbaum-Garfinkle, Kim et al. 2015, Lin,
Protter et al. 2015, Feric, Vaidya et al. 2016). In fluorescence recovery after photobleaching
(FRAP) experiments we measured equivalent mobile and immobile fractions (0.50 ± 0.02; mean
$\pm$ sem) for the protein Sla2 and a rapid recovery time (5.96 ± 1.15 seconds; mean $\pm$ sem) (Fig.
2d), similar to other protein and nucleic acid droplets including the dense internal fibrillar
component of *X. laevis* nucleoli (Feric, Vaidya et al. 2016). Taken together, these results support
the hypothesis that the cortical bodies are phase separated viscoelastic droplets. We next set out
to determine the material properties of the cortical droplets and to test our postulate that their
binding to the plasma membrane generates the force that drives invagination of the membrane.
189
190 **Cortical droplets can mechanically deform both cytosol and membrane**
191
We hypothesized that free energy released by cortical droplet phase separation is converted into
mechanical work to deform the membrane and the cytosol. This mechanical work is manifested
as an inward pressure on the membrane created by expansion of the droplet and the requirement
that volume of the droplet is conserved. Phenomena where geometric organization of matter is
driven by the balances of opposing forces have been described at subatomic up to stellar scales,
examples of which include "fingering instabilities" (Kull 1991, Hester 2008, Xi, Byrnes et al.
2017).
199
The mechanics of CME can be described by analogy to a soft viscoelastic and sticky balloon
bound to a soft elastic sheet (Fig. 4a, Movie S2). If you stuck your finger through the center of
the sheet-balloon interface to create an invagination, the surface area of the balloon would have
to increase to maintain the volume and density of the balloon constant. Equally, but in an inverse
sense, if you were to grasp the sticky surface of the balloon with your hands and pull outwards
equally over the surface, except at the elastic sheet-balloon interface, a tiny increase of the
surface area would require a compensating adjustment of the shape so that the balloon keeps a
constant volume. Since force is being applied outwards everywhere except at the sheet balloon
interface, it is here that an invagination of the membrane-balloon interface would compensate for
the pressure generated by the outward force on the balloon surface.
210
211 In the case of CME, the grasping force is caused by binding of molecules at the cortical droplet-
212 cytoplasm interface. Balance between this binding and elastic deformation energies is achieved
213 when the membrane invaginates. This idea is captured in a simple phenomenological model
214 expressed as the sum of mechanical strain energy (ϕ term) and work (ψ term), respectively;
215

$$U \sim \phi \cdot \delta^{1+\varepsilon} - \psi \cdot \delta \; ; \qquad\qquad (1)$$

Here, U is the mean-field energy, δ is the invagination depth of both the membrane and cytosol (which are coupled to each other by virtue of conservation of volume of the droplet) and the exponent $\varepsilon > 0$ reflects the deformation geometry (Material and Methods). Close to equilibrium (as $\partial U / \partial \delta$ approaches 0) we expect invagination to balance the two contributions so that δ^* minimizes energy in (1) resulting in,

$$\delta^* = \left(\frac{\psi}{\phi\,(1+\varepsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \; ; \qquad\qquad (2)$$

Equation (2) shows that the invagination depth δ is determined by the ratio ψ/ϕ and the deformation geometry ε. Values of ϕ and ψ can be determined as functions of individual geometries, elasticities, and viscosities of cytosol, droplet and membrane and interfacial tensions among them (Material and Methods). These in turn can be determined by super-resolution imaging (geometries) and elastic and viscous moduli, taken from the literature or determined by active micro-rheology experiments as described next.

We used active rheology to determine the material properties of the cytosol in which cortical droplets are embedded and then, because the droplets are too small to probe directly, we calculated their properties through well-understood relationships between the properties of materials in contact and their resulting geometries, as described below. Specifically, we used optical tweezers to examine the frequency-dependent amplitude and phase responses of polystyrene beads that are embedded in cells (Fig. 3a, Material and Methods). 200 nm diameter polystyrene beads were integrated into cells by osmoporation (Fig. S8) (da Silva Pedrini, Dupont et al. 2014). Measurements of passive diffusion of the beads showed mean square displacements (MSD) close to that of random mechanical noise caused by vibration of the microscope (Fig. S8). Furthermore, we established that the osmoporation procedure did not affect rheological properties of cells by measuring the MSD of expressed viral capsid microNS particles labeled with GFP in untreated or osmoporated cells and showing that their diffusion behaviors were identical (Fig. S9) (Munder, Midtvedt et al. 2016).

For active rheology experiments, we used an acousto-optic device to oscillate the position of the optical trap in the specimen plane at frequencies over four orders of magnitude and measured the displacement of trapped beads from the trap center using back focal plane interferometry (Fig. 3b). We could thus measure the viscoelastic properties of the cytosol surrounding the beads by measuring their phase and amplitude response to the oscillations of the optical tweezers. Then by calculating the power spectrum of unforced fluctuations of the bead we obtained storage (G′) and

253 loss (G″) moduli as a function of frequency (Fig. 3c-d, Fig. S10, Material and Methods) (Fischer,
254 Richardson et al. 2010, Hendricks and Goldman 2017).
255

In addition to obtaining quantities essential to calculate material properties of the cytoplasm and
droplet, active rheology combined with spatiotemporal dynamics of interacting materials can
inform of their structures. The mechanical properties of living cells can be compared to that of
the popular children's toy "Silly Putty" (Cross 2012). Like this material, cells and underlying
structures show different mechanical properties depending on the rates at which forces are
applied to them (Hendricks, Holzbaur et al. 2012, Guo, Ehrlicher et al. 2013, Guo, Ehrlicher et
al. 2014). If a force is applied at a low velocity, the cell behaves like a viscous fluid; flowing and
taking on whatever shape it is forced into. When a force is applied at higher velocity, however,
the material behaves like an elastic object, bouncing back to its original shape. As we discuss
below, these behaviors reflect the manner and strengths with which the molecules that make up a
material interact with each other and their environment.
267

In specific terms, the material properties of the yeast cytoplasm and its interactions with the
cortical droplet could be interpreted from the complex modulus versus frequency plot as follows
(Fig. 3d). The inflection of the G' modulus at 2 Hz results in similar G' and G" values at low
frequencies, which indicates that the cytosol is more viscous near rest. When deformed by the
droplet growth (at a velocity of growth = 2360 ± 120 nm s^{-1}; corresponding to a stress at ~30 ± 2
Hz) the cytosol is more elastic, whereas membrane invagination occurs at a rate at which the
cytoplasm is more viscous (a velocity of 7.4 ± 2.5 nm s^{-1}; corresponding to 0.1 ± 0.04 Hz) (Fig.
1b, 3d, Fig. S11). The G′ and G″ we measured are similar to the cytoplasm of adherent
mammalian cells and indicate that the beads are confined within a dense network of interacting
molecules (Hendricks, Holzbaur et al. 2012, Guo, Ehrlicher et al. 2013, Guo, Ehrlicher et al.
2014).
279

Figure 3 | Cytosol and cortical droplets are composed of a viscoelastic amorphous network of interacting proteins (a) We used optical tweezers (red beam between the microscope objective and a position sensitive detector (PSD) coupled to an acousto-optic device (AOD) to oscillate polystyrene beads in cells. Two pulses of osmotic shock were used to osmoporate 200 nm polystyrene beads (black) into Lat A-treated haploid yeast *GPD1Δ* cells. (b) PSD output signal in volts (V) as a function of time for acquisitions made at 1Hz (top), 2 Hz (middle) and 5 Hz (bottom). A bead, located in the cell periphery, was oscillated with the AOD in the Y-axis of the specimen plane with fixed tweezer movement amplitude (normalized red curve) at different frequencies. The recorded PSD raw traces (black points) were also normalized to a corresponding magnitude range (coherence cutoff of 0.9). (c) Power spectrum of the oscillated bead (black) with magnitude of response as a function of frequency (insert). (d) Decomposition of G^* as a function of frequency into G' (storage modulus; darker squares) and G'' (loss modulus; light shade circles) for beads distributed at both the cell periphery and interior (see schematic insert; mean ± sd; n = 17 cells) with an average trap stiffness k_{trap} (mean ± se; 8.0 x 10^{-5} ± 2.7 x 10^{-5} N m^{-1}) and

photodiode sensitivity factor β (mean ± se; 10.7 x 10^3 ± 2.3 x 10^3 nm V^{-1}). Data was fitted to a model of
an entangled and crosslinked network of flexible polymers (Material and Methods; Eq. 2.9-2.10). Dashed
lines indicate frequency range for more viscous or more elastic behavior. (e) 3D illustration and zoom-in
of the latticework composed of amorphous protein chains (grey filaments) with the binding sites (red
dots) through which they are non-covalently associate and the mesh size (dashed red line). (f)
Fluorescence recovery after photo bleaching (FRAP) of fluorescent dye (FITC)-conjugated dextran of
10.4 nm within a Syp1-mCherry focus (red) or neighbouring cytosolic regions without Syp1 signal
(black) in either Lat A-treated (left panel) or Lat A and HD-treated $GPD1\Delta$ Syp1-mCherry cells (right
panel). Data points represent mean normalized fluorescence recovery (mean ± SEM; n = 10 cells). Values
for distinct dextran-FITC sizes and cell region were fitted to a single term recovery equation (Material
and Methods).
306
We could now determine the mechanical properties of the cortical droplet as follows. First, our
data are consistent with both cortical droplets and cytosol behaving as predominantly elastic
materials (Fig. 3d). Classic Hertz theory relates contact geometries of elastic materials to their
mechanical properties. We could thus, use the geometry of the cortical droplets determined in
our super-resolution imaging experiments, and the moduli of the cytosol in which they are
embedded to estimate the cortical droplet elastic modulus to be 59 Pa (Fig. 1b, 3d, Material and
Methods; Eq. 3.7-3.10) (Hertz 1882). These results are consistent with protein condensates that
form elastic materials (Reichheld, Muiznieks et al. 2017) and suggest that the cortical droplets
have similar material properties as the surrounding cytosol, which has an elastic (or Young's)
modulus of 45 Pa at 1 Hz (Material and Methods). We estimated the average mesh size and
permeability of the cortical droplets by probing them with fluorophore-conjugated dextran
molecules of 2.4, 5.8, and 10.4 nm in size. We measured FRAP and colocalization of thes
dextran molecules with either Sla1-mCherry or Syp1-mCherry puncta (Fig. 3e-f, Fig. S12-13).
Both 2.4 nm and 5.8 nm dextran-FITC recovered equally in the droplet and cytosolic zones. In
contrast, the 10.4 nm dextran-FITC molecules scarcely permeate the PLD-rich protein network
in the droplet whereas they are mobile in the neighbour cytosol. If cortical droplets are dissolved
by addition of 1,6-hexanediol, we observe equivalent mobility of 10.4 nm dextran-FITC between
cortical sites, labelled with the protein Syp1-mCherry, which is membrane-bound at cortical
patches in an HD-resistant manner, and neighboring cytosol (Fig. 3f, Fig. S13). These results are
consistent with an exclusion zone for ribosomes as discussed above and with exclusion of
dextrans by known protein-RNA phase separated droplets called P granules (Updike, Hachey et
al. 2011, Kukulski, Schorb et al. 2012, Wei, Elbaum-Garfinkle et al. 2017).
329
330 **Cortical droplet binding to cytosol provides the energy to drive membrane invagination**
331
The deformation of the membrane in response to contact with a soft object depends on the
geometries and mechanical properties of the object and the vessel it is in (in our case the cytosol
of a cell) and the membrane (Fig. 4a). Evidence from electron and super-resolution fluorescence
microscopy indicate that the favored geometry of the membrane is flat with invagination

centered in the middle of the droplet (Fig. 4a, lower). Such geometries could be explained by a
local radial stress-gradient generated by the droplet adhesion to both the membrane and cytosol,
or by local binding of adaptor proteins and distinct lipid composition. Simply stated, as the
droplet grows the binding to the cytosol draws it inward and the membrane follows, mediated by
its own binding to the droplet and the requirement that the volume of the droplet be conserved.
341
We could now quantify the work performed by the droplet to invaginate the membrane using the
storage and loss moduli obtained from the micro-rheology experiments, geometric data obtained
from super-resolution imaging and other data available from the literature, to solve the explicit
form of the ϕ and ψ terms (mechanical strain and work, respectively) in Equation (1) as
functions of membrane and cytosol invagination δ (Material and Methods; Eq. 4.25-4.26). Using
the Young-Laplace equation, we first estimated an interfacial tension for the droplet-cytosol
interface to be approximately γ_{dc} of 7×10^{-5} N•m^{-1}. This estimate is based on the pressure
difference across the cytosolic interface and the droplet mean curvature (Material and Methods;
Eq. 4.6). Our estimate for the interfacial tension falls within the range of 10^{-5} N•m^{-1} to 10^{-4} N•m^{-1}
that has been reported for other protein droplets, including nucleoli and P granules (Material and
Methods; Eq. 4.9) (Brangwynne, Mitchison et al. 2011, Elbaum-Garfinkle, Kim et al. 2015).
353
Given our estimates of γ_{dc} we also determined the work of adhesion that is released when the
droplet surfaces are created, as described by the Young-Dupré equation (Fig. 4a, Material and
Methods; Eq. 4.11). We calculated an adhesion energy (ψ) of 4.9×10^{-18} J from interactions
between the cortical droplet and both the membrane and cytosol (Fig. 4b, Fig. S14, Material and
Methods; Eq. 4.26). Our results suggest that the most significant contribution of the mechanical
energy comes from the droplet-cytosol interface, where the adhesion energy of 2.9×10^{-18} J is
enough to overcome an energy penalty of 2.4×10^{-18} J to deform the membrane and the cytosol.
This energy cost includes the elastic, viscous, and interfacial stress penalties (Fig. 4b, Fig. S14,
Table S4). We also calculated an average adhesion energy of 1.3 kJ•mol^{-1} at the droplet-cytosol
interface (Material and Methods), which is consistent with the free energies expected of non-
covalent interactions (Mahadevi and Sastry 2016).
365

366
367

Figure 4 | Cortical droplets do mechanical work to deform the membrane and cytosol (a) Illustration of a cortical droplet (gold) that binds to (wets) a bilayer membrane (black) and drives membrane invagination (top to bottom). Resultant membrane deformations reflect how forces balance under a Young-Dupré adhesion gradient (blue lines and arrows). Resistance to deformation is represented by grey curved lines. (b) Equation (1) (insert) was used to calculate the energy penalties (ϕ) and contributions (ψ) at the cytosol and membrane interfaces with the cortical droplet. Total energy of the system (dark blue), energy penalties (black) and energy contributions (light blue) are presented as a function of membrane invagination (δ). The energy of membrane invagination is favourable for δ between about 15-80 nm (solid blue line) and unfavourable above 80 nm (dashed blue line). Quantities used to calculate energies are detailed in Figure S14 and Tables S3-4. (c) Our model predicts that vesicle size is proportional to the strength of droplet intermolecular protein-protein interactions that are proportional to γ_{dc}, the droplet-to-cytosol interfacial tension. Predicted membrane invagination δ as a function of γ_{dc} (left axis and black points). Data points were fitted to an exponential decay function (full line) with 95% confidence interval (dashed lines). Titration of 1,6-hexanediol was used to reduce intermolecular cohesion and therefore γ_{dc} resulting in reduced vesicle size as measured by uptake of the lipophilic membrane probe FM4-64 into *GPD1Δ* Sla1-YFP cells treated with Lat A (Right axis, red) *versus* % HD (blue numbers, n= 25, mean ± sd) expressed as a function of the droplet-cytosol interfacial tension γ_{dc} (Material and Methods).

Our model provides a physical framework to explain how cortical droplets do the mechanical work needed to induce invagination of membranes in actin-independent CME. The interface between droplets, formed, by phase separation of disordered proteins into cortical bodies, and the cytosol- membrane interface deforms the surrounding materials through adhesive interactions. Invagination occurs when ψ dominates ϕ and this is favored within the observed δ interval of 40 nm to 80 nm (Fig. S14). Notably, this predicted δ interval is within the range of plasma membrane invagination of ~70 nm at which point a membrane scission mechanism is activated and vesicle generation is completed (Idrissi, Blasco et al. 2012).

We propose that cortical droplets store and dissipate mechanical energy in the form of surface tension, whereby the composition of the droplets determines their interfacial interactions and provides the energy for adhesion and invagination of membranes. Accordingly, the underlying

energy stored within the droplets and the balance of interactions amongst droplet components
and solvent governs the nature of the interface. The effective potential energy ψ of droplets,
which is equivalent to the total work of adhesion, should be dictated by the density and strengths
of physical interactions amongst proteins within the droplet (the droplet cohesion and interfacial
tensions). We tested this hypothesis by weakening the favorable free energies of the protein-
protein interactions that hold droplet components together using 1,6-hexanediol (HD). These are
the interactions that drive the phase separation of cortical droplets, and so would correspond to a
decrease of the droplet surface tension (γ_{dc} or ψ). Our model predicts that invagination depth
should continuously vary with ψ from Equation (2). We titrated HD below the effective
concentration that prevents protein phase separation and quantified individual membrane
excision events by quantifying uptake of the lipophilic membrane probe FM4-64 into cells by
fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2a, Material and Methods). In Lat A treated *GPD1Δ* cells, this
measures the amount of labeled membrane taken up into cells under the action of cortical
droplets alone. By increasing subcritical HD concentration (corresponding to a decrease in ψ),
the average fluorescence-labeled membrane per vesicle (a proxy for invagination δ) was
continuously reduced over one order of magnitude in the value of γ_{dc} (Fig. 4c, Material and
Methods; Eq. 2.8). This observation fits with the reduced membrane invagination that we
predicted at the outset (*i.e.*, that δ scales with the ψ/ϕ ratio) when the droplet cohesion (γ_{dc} or ψ)
is also reduced (Fig. 4c, Material and Methods; Eq. 4.2).
417

Discussion

419
Our results provide a framework for answering many questions regarding CME and other
membrane budding processes. Given our observations, how is CME coupled to multiple
signaling pathways that integrate to regulate vesicle formation? For instance, the PLD-containing
CME proteins we investigated are enriched for multiple phosphorylation sites, which undergo
changes in response to activation of a CME-regulating signaling pathway (Kanshin, Bergeron-
Sandoval et al. 2015). Since the amount and distribution of charge in disordered regions of
proteins regulate their interactions and conformations (Das and Pappu 2013), such post-
translational modifications may be important to regulating CME. Our fluorescence microscopy
and electron micrographic evidence from the literature suggests that the cortical droplet remains
associated temporarily with mature vesicles (Kukulski, Schorb et al. 2012). Does the droplet play
any role in trafficking and fusing with, for instance, plasma membrane (protein recycling) or
lysosome (protein degradation)? CME underlies several fundamental mechanisms of vesicle
trafficking and attendant membrane and vesicle protein cargo transport, including late secretory
pathways, endocytosis and neuronal synaptic vesicle recycling. Yeast and human proteins
implicated in clathrin-mediated vesicle trafficking are enriched for long disordered protein
domains (47/23% of proteins with long consecutive disordered regions of 30 residues and more
for humans and yeast, respectively) whereas those involved in two other vesicle trafficking
systems are not (COPI: 8/5%; COPII: 8/5%) (Pietrosemoli, Pancsa et al. 2013). These

observations argue for investigating the generality and conservation of protein droplet adhesion-
driven membrane invagination as the basis of clathrin-mediated vesicle trafficking in the absence
of actin polymerization.
441
It is possible that other liquid-liquid phase separated protein and protein nucleic acid droplets
may influence cellular sub-structural dynamics and thus contribute to shaping cell, tissue, and
organism morphology (Bergeron-Sandoval, Safaee et al. 2016, Bauerlein, Saha et al. 2017).
More broadly, interfacial contact potentials between different biological materials could
represent a vastly underestimated source of complex pattern formation in biology, such as has
been observed in embryonic tissue layers (Foty, Pfleger et al. 1996) or recently in a model of
growing brain convolutions (Tallinen, Chung et al. 2016), in protein stabilization (Gupta, Donlan
et al. 2017) and in the ability of clathrin-coated structures to wrap around and pinch collagen
fibers (Elkhatib, Bresteau et al. 2017).
451

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge support from CIHR grants MOP-GMX-152556 (SWM), the US
National Institutes of Health grant R01NS056114 (RVP), the Fonds Québécois de la Recherche
sur la Nature et les Technologies (SWM an PF) and the Human Frontier Science Program
RGP0034/2017 (SWM and RVP). We thank Simon Alberti for insightful discussions and
microNS plasmid, Jackie Vogel for strains, Jacqueline Kowarzyk for technical assistance, Susan
Liebman for the Sup35 plasmids, Daniel Zenklussen and Pascal Raymond for help with FRAP
experiments.

Author contributions

463
L.P.S.B. and S.W.M. designed all of the research and R.V.P. helped in research designing;
L.P.S.B. performed biological research; L.P.S.B. and H.K.H. performed micro rheology
experiments; L.P.B.S., H.K.H., A.J.E. and A.G.H analyzed micro rheology data; L.P.S.B., A.J.E.
and S.W.M. analyzed biological data; L.P.B.S., H.K.H. and P.F. developed physical droplet
model; L.P.S.B., R.V.P., and S.W.M. combined physical models with data analysis; all authors
wrote the paper.
470

Supplementary Materials

472 Materials and Methods

473 Figures S1-S14

474 Tables S1-S4

475 Movies S1-S2

References

1. Aghamohammadzadeh, S. and K. R. Ayscough (2009). "Differential requirements for actin during yeast and mammalian endocytosis." <u>Nat Cell Biol</u> **11**(8): 1039-1042.

2. Alberti, S., R. Halfmann, O. King, A. Kapila and S. Lindquist (2009). "A systematic survey identifies prions and illuminates sequence features of prionogenic proteins." <u>Cell</u> **137**(1): 146-158.

3. Anitei, M., C. Stange, C. Czupalla, C. Niehage, K. Schuhmann, P. Sala, A. Czogalla, T. Pursche, U. Coskun, A. Shevchenko and B. Hoflack (2017). "Spatiotemporal Control of Lipid Conversion, Actin-Based Mechanical Forces, and Curvature Sensors during Clathrin/AP-1-Coated Vesicle Biogenesis." <u>Cell Rep</u> **20**(9): 2087-2099.

4. Avinoam, O., M. Schorb, C. J. Beese, J. A. Briggs and M. Kaksonen (2015). "ENDOCYTOSIS. Endocytic sites mature by continuous bending and remodeling of the clathrin coat." <u>Science</u> **348**(6241): 1369-1372.

5. Banjade, S., Q. Wu, A. Mittal, W. B. Peeples, R. V. Pappu and M. K. Rosen (2015). "Conserved interdomain linker promotes phase separation of the multivalent adaptor protein Nck." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **112**(47): E6426-6435.

6. Bauerlein, F. J. B., I. Saha, A. Mishra, M. Kalemanov, A. Martinez-Sanchez, R. Klein, I. Dudanova, M. S. Hipp, F. U. Hartl, W. Baumeister and R. Fernandez-Busnadiego (2017). "In Situ Architecture and Cellular Interactions of PolyQ Inclusions." <u>Cell</u> **171**(1): 179-187 e110.

7. Bergeron-Sandoval, L. P., N. Safaee and S. W. Michnick (2016). "Mechanisms and Consequences of Macromolecular Phase Separation." <u>Cell</u> **165**(5): 1067-1079.

8. Boettner, D. R., J. L. D'Agostino, O. T. Torres, K. Daugherty-Clarke, A. Uygur, A. Reider, B. Wendland, S. K. Lemmon and B. L. Goode (2009). "The F-BAR protein Syp1 negatively regulates WASp-Arp2/3 complex activity during endocytic patch formation." <u>Curr Biol</u> **19**(23): 1979-1987.

9. Brangwynne, C. P., C. R. Eckmann, D. S. Courson, A. Rybarska, C. Hoege, J. Gharakhani, F. Julicher and A. A. Hyman (2009). "Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation." <u>Science</u> **324**(5935): 1729-1732.

10. Brangwynne, C. P., T. J. Mitchison and A. A. Hyman (2011). "Active liquid-like behavior of nucleoli determines their size and shape in Xenopus laevis oocytes." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **108**(11): 4334-4339.

11. Busch, D. J., J. R. Houser, C. C. Hayden, M. B. Sherman, E. M. Lafer and J. C. Stachowiak (2015). "Intrinsically disordered proteins drive membrane curvature." <u>Nat Commun</u> **6**: 7875.

12. Carlsson, A. E. and P. V. Bayly (2014). "Force generation by endocytic actin patches in budding yeast." <u>Biophys J</u> **106**(8): 1596-1606.

13. Conner, S. D. and S. L. Schmid (2003). "Regulated portals of entry into the cell." <u>Nature</u> **422**(6927): 37-44.

14. Crick, S. L., K. M. Ruff, K. Garai, C. Frieden and R. V. Pappu (2013). "Unmasking the roles of N-and C-terminal flanking sequences from exon 1 of huntingtin as modulators of polyglutamine aggregation." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u> **110**(50): 20075-20080.

15. Cross, R. (2012). "Elastic and viscous properties of Silly Putty." <u>American Journal of Physics</u> **80**: 870-875.

16. da Silva Pedrini, M. R., S. Dupont, A. de Anchieta Camara, Jr., L. Beney and P. Gervais (2014). "Osmoporation: a simple way to internalize hydrophilic molecules into yeast." <u>Appl Microbiol Biotechnol</u> **98**(3): 1271-1280.

17. Darwin, C. (1859). <u>On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or, The preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life</u>. London, J. Murray.

18. Das, R. K. and R. V. Pappu (2013). "Conformations of intrinsically disordered proteins are influenced by linear sequence distributions of oppositely charged residues." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U</u>

S A **110**(33): 13392-13397.

19. Derganc, J. and A. Copic (2016). "Membrane bending by protein crowding is affected by protein lateral confinement." Biochim Biophys Acta **1858**(6): 1152-1159.

20. Dill, K. A. and S. Bromberg (2011). Molecular driving forces : statistical thermodynamics in biology, chemistry, physics, and nanoscience. London ; New York, Garland Science.

21. Dmitrieff, S. and F. Nedelec (2015). "Membrane Mechanics of Endocytosis in Cells with Turgor." PLoS Comput Biol **11**(10): e1004538.

22. Elbaum-Garfinkle, S., Y. Kim, K. Szczepaniak, C. C. Chen, C. R. Eckmann, S. Myong and C. P. Brangwynne (2015). "The disordered P granule protein LAF-1 drives phase separation into droplets with tunable viscosity and dynamics." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **112**(23): 7189-7194.

23. Elkhatib, N., E. Bresteau, F. Baschieri, A. L. Rioja, G. van Niel, S. Vassilopoulos and G. Montagnac (2017). "Tubular clathrin/AP-2 lattices pinch collagen fibers to support 3D cell migration." Science **356**(6343).

24. Feric, M., N. Vaidya, T. S. Harmon, D. M. Mitrea, L. Zhu, T. M. Richardson, R. W. Kriwacki, R. V. Pappu and C. P. Brangwynne (2016). "Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar Subcompartments." Cell **165**(7): 1686-1697.

25. Fischer, M., A. C. Richardson, S. N. Reihani, L. B. Oddershede and K. Berg-Sorensen (2010). "Active-passive calibration of optical tweezers in viscoelastic media." Rev Sci Instrum **81**(1): 015103.

26. Ford, M. G., I. G. Mills, B. J. Peter, Y. Vallis, G. J. Praefcke, P. R. Evans and H. T. McMahon (2002). "Curvature of clathrin-coated pits driven by epsin." Nature **419**(6905): 361-366.

27. Foty, R. A., C. M. Pfleger, G. Forgacs and M. S. Steinberg (1996). "Surface tensions of embryonic tissues predict their mutual envelopment behavior." Development **122**(5): 1611-1620.

28. Gleisner, M., B. Kroppen, C. Fricke, N. Teske, T. T. Kliesch, A. Janshoff, M. Meinecke and C. Steinem (2016). "Epsin N-terminal Homology Domain (ENTH) Activity as a Function of Membrane Tension." J Biol Chem **291**(38): 19953-19961.

29. Guilak, F., J. R. Tedrow and R. Burgkart (2000). "Viscoelastic properties of the cell nucleus." Biochem Biophys Res Commun **269**(3): 781-786.

30. Guo, M., A. J. Ehrlicher, M. H. Jensen, M. Renz, J. R. Moore, R. D. Goldman, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, F. C. Mackintosh and D. A. Weitz (2014). "Probing the stochastic, motor-driven properties of the cytoplasm using force spectrum microscopy." Cell **158**(4): 822-832.

31. Guo, M., A. J. Ehrlicher, S. Mahammad, H. Fabich, M. H. Jensen, J. R. Moore, J. J. Fredberg, R. D. Goldman and D. A. Weitz (2013). "The role of vimentin intermediate filaments in cortical and cytoplasmic mechanics." Biophys J **105**(7): 1562-1568.

32. Gupta, K., J. A. Donlan, J. T. Hopper, P. Uzdavinys, M. Landreh, W. B. Struwe, D. Drew, A. J. Baldwin, P. J. Stansfeld and C. V. Robinson (2017). "The role of interfacial lipids in stabilizing membrane protein oligomers." Nature **541**(7637): 421-424.

33. Harmandaris, V. A. and M. Deserno (2006). "A novel method for measuring the bending rigidity of model lipid membranes by simulating tethers." J Chem Phys **125**(20): 204905.

34. Helfrich, W. (1973). "Elastic properties of lipid bilayers: theory and possible experiments." Z Naturforsch C **28**(11): 693-703.

35. Hendricks, A. G. and Y. E. Goldman (2017). "Measuring Molecular Forces Using Calibrated Optical Tweezers in Living Cells." Methods Mol Biol **1486**: 537-552.

36. Hendricks, A. G., E. L. Holzbaur and Y. E. Goldman (2012). "Force measurements on cargoes in living cells reveal collective dynamics of microtubule motors." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **109**(45): 18447-18452.

37. Hertz, H. R. (1882). Ueber die Beruehrung elastischer Koerper (On Contact Between Elastic Bodies). Leipzig, Germany, 1895.

38. Hester, J. J. (2008). "The Crab Nebula: An astrophysical chimera." Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics **46**: 127-155.

39. Ho, C. H., L. Magtanong, S. L. Barker, D. Gresham, S. Nishimura, P. Natarajan, J. L. Koh, J.

Porter, C. A. Gray, R. J. Andersen, G. Giaever, C. Nislow, B. Andrews, D. Botstein, T. R. Graham, M. Yoshida and C. Boone (2009). "A molecular barcoded yeast ORF library enables mode-of-action analysis of bioactive compounds." Nat Biotechnol **27**(4): 369-377.

40. Huh, W. K., J. V. Falvo, L. C. Gerke, A. S. Carroll, R. W. Howson, J. S. Weissman and E. K. O'Shea (2003). "Global analysis of protein localization in budding yeast." Nature **425**(6959): 686-691.

41. Hyman, A. A., C. A. Weber and F. Julicher (2014). "Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology." Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol **30**: 39-58.

42. Idrissi, F. Z., A. Blasco, A. Espinal and M. I. Geli (2012). "Ultrastructural dynamics of proteins involved in endocytic budding." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **109**(39): E2587-2594.

43. Idrissi, F. Z., H. Grotsch, I. M. Fernandez-Golbano, C. Presciatto-Baschong, H. Riezman and M. I. Geli (2008). "Distinct acto/myosin-I structures associate with endocytic profiles at the plasma membrane." J Cell Biol **180**(6): 1219-1232.

44. Jiang, H., S. Wang, Y. Huang, X. He, H. Cui, X. Zhu and Y. Zheng (2015). "Phase transition of spindle-associated protein regulate spindle apparatus assembly." Cell **163**(1): 108-122.

45. Johnson, K. L. K., K.;Roberts, A. D. (1971). "Surface energy and the contact of elastic solid." Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. **324**: 301-313.

46. Kanshin, E., L. P. Bergeron-Sandoval, S. S. Isik, P. Thibault and S. W. Michnick (2015). "A cell-signaling network temporally resolves specific versus promiscuous phosphorylation." Cell Rep **10**(7): 1202-1214.

47. Khurana, R., C. Coleman, C. Ionescu-Zanetti, S. A. Carter, V. Krishna, R. K. Grover, R. Roy and S. Singh (2005). "Mechanism of thioflavin T binding to amyloid fibrils." J Struct Biol **151**(3): 229-238.

48. Koenderink, G. H., M. Atakhorrami, F. C. MacKintosh and C. F. Schmidt (2006). "High-frequency stress relaxation in semiflexible polymer solutions and networks." Phys Rev Lett **96**(13): 138307.

49. Kroschwald, S., S. Maharana, D. Mateju, L. Malinovska, E. Nuske, I. Poser, D. Richter and S. Alberti (2015). "Promiscuous interactions and protein disaggregases determine the material state of stress-inducible RNP granules." Elife **4**: e06807.

50. Kukulski, W., A. Picco, T. Specht, J. A. Briggs and M. Kaksonen (2016). "Clathrin modulates vesicle scission, but not invagination shape, in yeast endocytosis." Elife **5**.

51. Kukulski, W., M. Schorb, M. Kaksonen and J. A. Briggs (2012). "Plasma membrane reshaping during endocytosis is revealed by time-resolved electron tomography." Cell **150**(3): 508-520.

52. Kull, H. J. (1991). "Theory of the Rayleigh-Taylor Instability." Physics Reports-Review Section of Physics Letters **206**(5): 197-325.

53. Li, D., L. Shao, B. C. Chen, X. Zhang, M. Zhang and B. Moses (2015). "Extended-resolution structured illumination imaging of endocytic and cytoskeletal dynamics." Science (New York, NY).

54. Li, Y., R. Lipowsky and R. Dimova (2011). "Membrane nanotubes induced by aqueous phase separation and stabilized by spontaneous curvature." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **108**(12): 4731-4736.

55. Lieleg, O., K. M. Schmoller, M. M. Claessens and A. R. Bausch (2009). "Cytoskeletal polymer networks: viscoelastic properties are determined by the microscopic interaction potential of cross-links." Biophys J **96**(11): 4725-4732.

56. Lin, Y., D. S. W. Protter, M. K. Rosen and R. Parker (2015). "Formation and Maturation of Phase-Separated Liquid Droplets by RNA-Binding Proteins." Molecular Cell **60**(2): 208-219.

57. Mahadevi, A. S. and G. N. Sastry (2016). "Cooperativity in Noncovalent Interactions." Chem Rev **116**(5): 2775-2825.

58. Malinovska, L., S. Kroschwald and S. Alberti (2013). "Protein disorder, prion propensities, and self-organizing macromolecular collectives." Biochim Biophys Acta **1834**(5): 918-931.

59. Molliex, A., J. Temirov, J. Lee, M. Coughlin, A. P. Kanagaraj, H. J. Kim, T. Mittag and J. P.

Taylor (2015). "Phase separation by low complexity domains promotes stress granule assembly and drives pathological fibrillization." Cell **163**(1): 123-133.

60. Munder, M. C., D. Midtvedt, T. Franzmann, E. Nuske, O. Otto, M. Herbig, E. Ulbricht, P. Muller, A. Taubenberger, S. Maharana, L. Malinovska, D. Richter, J. Guck, V. Zaburdaev and S. Alberti (2016). "A pH-driven transition of the cytoplasm from a fluid- to a solid-like state promotes entry into dormancy." Elife **5**.

61. Nott, T. J., E. Petsalaki, P. Farber, D. Jervis, E. Fussner, A. Plochowietz, T. D. Craggs, D. P. Bazett-Jones, T. Pawson, J. D. Forman-Kay and A. J. Baldwin (2015). "Phase transition of a disordered nuage protein generates environmentally responsive membraneless organelles." Mol Cell **57**(5): 936-947.

62. Pappu, R. V., X. Wang, A. Vitalis and S. L. Crick (2008). "A polymer physics perspective on driving forces and mechanisms for protein aggregation." Arch Biochem Biophys **469**(1): 132-141.

63. Picco, A., M. Mund, J. Ries, F. Nedelec and M. Kaksonen (2015). "Visualizing the functional architecture of the endocytic machinery." Elife **4**.

64. Pietrosemoli, N., R. Pancsa and P. Tompa (2013). "Structural disorder provides increased adaptability for vesicle trafficking pathways." PLoS Comput Biol **9**(7): e1003144.

65. Reichheld, S. E., L. D. Muiznieks, F. W. Keeley and S. Sharpe (2017). "Direct observation of structure and dynamics during phase separation of an elastomeric protein." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **114**(22): E4408-E4415.

66. Ries, J., C. Kaplan, E. Platonova, H. Eghlidi and H. Ewers (2012). "A simple, versatile method for GFP-based super-resolution microscopy via nanobodies." Nat Methods **9**(6): 582-584.

67. Roman, B. and J. Bico (2010). "Elasto-capillarity: deforming an elastic structure with a liquid droplet." J Phys Condens Matter **22**(49): 493101.

68. Scher-Zagier, J. K. and A. E. Carlsson (2016). "Local Turgor Pressure Reduction via Channel Clustering." Biophys J **111**(12): 2747-2756.

69. Sheff, M. A. and K. S. Thorn (2004). "Optimized cassettes for fluorescent protein tagging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae." Yeast **21**(8): 661-670.

70. Skruzny, M., A. Desfosses, S. Prinz, S. O. Dodonova, A. Gieras, C. Uetrecht, A. J. Jakobi, M. Abella, W. J. H. Hagen, J. Schulz, R. Meijers, V. Rybin, J. A. G. Briggs, C. Sachse and M. Kaksonen (2015). "An Organized Co-assembly of Clathrin Adaptors Is Essential for Endocytosis." Developmental Cell **33**(2): 150-162.

71. Style, R. W., C. Hyland, R. Boltyanskiy, J. S. Wettlaufer and E. R. Dufresne (2013). "Surface tension and contact with soft elastic solids." Nat Commun **4**: 2728.

72. Tallinen, T., J. Chung, F. Rousseau, N. Girard, J. Lefèvre and L. Mahadevan (2016). "On the growth and form of cortical convolutions." Nature Physics **12**: 588–593.

73. Tarassov, K., V. Messier, C. R. Landry, S. Radinovic, M. M. Serna Molina, I. Shames, Y. Malitskaya, J. Vogel, H. Bussey and S. W. Michnick (2008). "An in vivo map of the yeast protein interactome." Science **320**(5882): 1465-1470.

74. Thompson, D. A. W. (1917). On growth and form. Cambridge Eng., University press.

75. Toombs, J. A., B. R. McCarty and E. D. Ross (2009). "Compositional Determinants of Prion Formation in Yeast." Molecular and Cellular Biology **30**(1): 319-332.

76. Updike, D. L., S. J. Hachey, J. Kreher and S. Strome (2011). "P granules extend the nuclear pore complex environment in the C. elegans germ line." J Cell Biol **192**(6): 939-948.

77. Watson, H. A., M. J. Cope, A. C. Groen, D. G. Drubin and B. Wendland (2001). "In vivo role for actin-regulating kinases in endocytosis and yeast epsin phosphorylation." Mol Biol Cell **12**(11): 3668-3679.

78. Wei, M.-T., S. Elbaum-Garfinkle, A. S. Holehouse, C. C.-H. Chen, M. Feric, C. B. Arnold, R. D. Priestley, R. V. Pappu and C. P. Brangwynne (2017). "Phase behaviour of disordered proteins underlying low density and high permeability of liquid organelles." NATURE CHEMISTRY.

79. Wheeler, J. R., T. Matheny, S. Jain, R. Abrisch and R. Parker (2016). "Distinct stages in stress

granule assembly and disassembly." <u>Elife</u> **5**.

80. Xi, K., T. Byrnes and H. Saito (2017). "Fingering instabilities and pattern formation in a two-
component dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate." <u>arXiv</u> **1704.04949v1**

81. Youn, J. Y., H. Friesen, T. Kishimoto, W. M. Henne, C. F. Kurat, W. Ye, D. F. Ceccarelli, F.
Sicheri, S. D. Kohlwein, H. T. McMahon and B. J. Andrews (2010). "Dissecting BAR domain
function in the yeast Amphiphysins Rvs161 and Rvs167 during endocytosis." <u>Mol Biol Cell</u>
21(17): 3054-3069.

82. Yu, H. and K. Schulten (2013). "Membrane sculpting by F-BAR domains studied by molecular
dynamics simulations." <u>PLoS Comput Biol</u> **9**(1): e1002892.

83. Zhang, H., S. Elbaum-Garfinkle, E. M. Langdon, N. Taylor, P. Occhipinti, A. A. Bridges, C. P.
Brangwynne and A. S. Gladfelter (2015). "RNA Controls PolyQ Protein Phase Transitions."
<u>Molecular Cell</u> **60**(2): 220-230.

84. Zhang, W., P. Soman, K. Meggs, X. Qu and S. Chen (2013). "Tuning the Poisson's Ratio of
Biomaterials for Investigating Cellular Response." <u>Adv Funct Mater</u> **23**(25): 3226-3232.

85. Zhao, H., A. Michelot, E. V. Koskela, V. Tkach, D. Stamou, D. G. Drubin and P. Lappalainen
(2013). "Membrane-sculpting BAR domains generate stable lipid microdomains." <u>Cell Rep</u> **4**(6):
1213-1223.