GFF3sort: an efficient tool to sort GFF3 files for tabix

2 indexing

1

4

7

13

- 3 Tao Zhu, Chengzhen Liang, Zhigang Meng, Sandui Guo*, and Rui Zhang*
- 5 Biotechnology Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 100081,
- 6 Beijing, China
- 8 Correspondence*
- 9 Sandui Guo
- 10 guosandui@caas.cn
- 11 Rui Zhang
- 12 <u>zhangrui@caas.cn</u>

Abstract

1

15

16

17

18

2 **Motivation**: The traditional method of visualizing gene annotation data in JBrowse is 3 converting GFF3 files to JSON format, which is time-consuming. The latest version 4 of JBrowse supports rendering sorted GFF3 files indexed by tabix, a novel strategy 5 that is more convenient than the original conversion process. However, current tools 6 available for GFF3 file sorting have bugs that would lead to erroneous rendering in 7 JBrowse. Results: We developed GFF3sort, a script to sort GFF3 files for tabix indexing. 8 9 GFF3sort can properly deal with the order of features that have the same chromosome 10 and start position. Based on our test datasets from multiple species, GFF3sort 11 produced accurate sorting results while taking significantly less running time 12 compared with currently available tools. We anticipate that GFF3sort will be a useful 13

tool to help with genome annotation data processing and visualization.

14 **Availability:** https://github.com/billzt/gff3sort

Keywords: GFF3, JBrowse, Visualization, Tabix

Implementation

Introduction

2

3 As a powerful genome browser based on HTML5 and JavaScript, JBrowse has been 4 widely used since released in 2009[1, 2]. According to its configuration document[3], 5 it works by first converting genome annotation data in GFF3 file formats to JSON 6 files by a built-in script "flatfile-to-json.pl", and then rendering visualized element 7 models such as genes, transcripts, repeat elements, etc. The main problem, however, is 8 that this step is extremely time-consuming. The time is proportional to the number of 9 feature elements in GFF3 files (Figure 1A and Additional file 1). Even for small 10 genomes like yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), it takes ~10 seconds to finish the 11 conversion. For large and deeply annotated genomes such as that of humans, the time 12 increases to more than 15 minutes. In addition, through the conversion process, a 13 single GFF3 file is converted to thousands of piecemeal JSON files, thus putting a 14 heavy burden on the ability to back up and store data. 15 In the recently released JBrowse version (v1.12.3), support for indexed GFF3 16 files has been added[4]. In this strategy, the GFF3 file is compressed with bgzip and 17 indexed with tabix[5], which generates only two data files: a compressed file (.gz) and 18 an index file (.tbi). Compared with the traditional processing protocol, the whole 19 compression and index process could be finished within a few seconds even for large 20 datasets such as the human genome annotation data (Figure 1A and Additional file 1). 21 The tabix tool requires GFF3 files to be sorted by chromosomes and positions, which 22 could be performed in the GNU sort program or the GenomeTools[6] package (see 23 [7]). However, when dealing with feature lines in the same chromosome and position, 24 both of the tools would sort them in an ambiguous way that usually results in parent 25 features being placed behind their children (Figure 1B), causing erroneous rendering 26 in JBrowse[8] (Figure 1B). An alternative sorting tool is needed to resolve this 27 problem.

Here, we present GFF3sort, an efficient tool to sort GFF3 files for tabix indexing.

Compared with GNU sort and GenomeTools, GFF3sort produces sorting results that

could be correctly rendered by JBrowse while saving a significant amount of time. We

anticipate that GFF3sort will be a useful tool to help with processing and visualizing

genome annotation data.

Methods

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GFF3sort is a script written in Perl. It uses a single hash table to store the input GFF3 annotation data (Figure 1C). For each feature, the chromosome ID and the start position are stored in the primary and secondary key, respectively. Features with the same chromosome and start position are grouped in an array in the same order of their appearance in the original GFF3 data. After sorting the hash table by chromosome IDs and start positions, GFF3sort implemented two modes to sort features within the array: the default mode and the precise mode (Figure 1C). In most situations, the original GFF3 annotations produced by genome annotation projects have already placed parent features before their children. Therefore, GFF3sort returns the feature lines in their original order, which is the default behavior. In some situations where orders in the input file has already been disturbed (for example, by GNU sort or GenomeTools), GFF3sort would sort them according to the parent-child topology using the sorting algorithm of directed acyclic graph[9], which is the most precise behavior but costs more computational source. In order to test the performance of GFF3sort, the GFF3 annotation files of seven species (see the Data Sources in Table 1) were downloaded from the ENSEMBL database [10]. All the tests were conducted on a SuperMicro® server equipped with 80 Intel® Xeon® CPUs (2.40GHz), 128 GB RAM, and running the CentOS 6.9 system.

Functionality and Performance

1

2 GFF3sort takes a GFF3 file as its input data and returns a sorted GFF3 file as output. 3 An optional parameter is used to turn on the precise mode. It outperforms the GNU 4 sort program and Genome Tools in two aspects: correctness and running speed. In our 5 seven test datasets, GFF3sort produces the sorted GFF3 files with a correct rate of 100% 6 (measured by the percentage of parent features correctly placed before their children), 7 compared with the <50% correct rate for GNU sort or GenomeTools (Table 1). It is 8 able to fix the order of GFF3 files that has been incorrected sorted. Element models 9 sorted by GFF3sort can be correctly rendered by JBrowse (Figure 1D). In addition, 10 GFF3sort runs faster than both of those tools (Figure 1E and Additional file 1). In the 11 default mode, GFF3sort saves ~70% running time. The precise mode takes longer 12 time but still runs faster than traditional tools, especially for large annotation data. 13 In conclusion, GFF3sort is an efficient tool to sort GFF3 files for tabix indexing 14 and therefore can be used to visualize annotation data. It has a high correct rate and a 15 fast running speed compared with similar, existing tools. We anticipate that GFF3sort 16 will be a useful tool to simplify data processing and visualization. **Figure Legends** 17 18 Figure 1. The motivation for, outlines of, and performance of GFF3sort. A) 19 Comparison of the running time of GFF3-to-JSON conversion and the bgzip-tabix 20 process based on seven GFF3 annotation datasets: Saccharomyces cerevisiae 21 (R64-1-1), Aspergillus nidulans (ASM1142v1), Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (INSDC 22 v3.1), Drosophila melanogaster (BDGP6), Arabidopsis thaliana (Araport11), Rattus 23 norvegicus (Rnor_6.0), and Homo sapiens (GRCh38). Feature numbers are measured 24 by counting lines in the GFF3 file. B) An example of incorrectly sorted GFF3 data 25 and its snapshots in JBrowse. The two lines (mRNA) marked in red were placed after 26 their sub-features (exon or UTR). Such incorrect placement leads to losing the first

- 1 exon in JBrowse rendering results. C) Outlines of GFF3sort. D) An example of
- 2 correctly sorted data by GFF3sort and its snapshots in JBrowse. In this example, the
- 3 two lines (mRNA) marked in red were correctly placed before their sub-features,
- 4 allowing JBrowse to render them properly. E) Comparison of the running time of
- 5 GFF3sort (including the default mode and the precise mode) and other sorting tools.

6 Tables

7 Table 1. The correct rate* of GFF3 sorting results using different tools.

Data Source	Parent Feature	GNU sort	GenomeTools	GFF3sort
	Number			
Saccharomyces	14,252	47.21%	50.08%	100%
cerevisiae (R64-1-1)				
Aspergillus nidulans	21,653	48.94%	37.75%	100%
(ASM1142v1)				
Chlamydomonas	29,047	49.68%	36.96%	100%
reinhardtii (INSDC v3.1)				
Drosophila	52,308	27.54%	23.26%	100%
melanogaster (BDGP6)				
Arabidopsis thaliana	86,846	36.20%	29.70%	100%
(Araport11)				
Rattus norvegicus	73,961	34.32%	41.17%	100%
(Rnor_6.0)				
Homo sapiens (GRCh38)	257,467	28.09%	17.41%	100%

^{8 *}The correct rate is measured by the percentage of correctly sorted features that have

⁹ children ones. Such feature includes coding or non-coding genes and transcripts. If a

¹⁰ feature line is placed before all its children features, then it is considered as correctly

¹¹ sorted.

Additional files

- 2 Additional file 1: Benchmark data. This file displays: 1) the detailed running time of
- 3 GFF3-to-JSON conversion and the bgzip-tabix process on our test datasets; 2) the
- 4 detailed running time of GFF3sort, GNU sort, and GenomeTools on our test datasets.
- 5 (DOCX)

6

7 Availability and requirements

- 8 Project name: GFF3sort
- 9 Project home page: https://github.com/billzt/gff3sort
- 10 Operating system(s): Linux
- 11 Programming language: Perl
- 12 Other requirements: No
- 13 License: No restrictions for academic users.
- 14 Any restrictions to use by non-academics: license needed

15 **Declarations**

16 List of abbreviations

- 17 JBrowse: JavaScript-based genome browser
- 18 GFF3: General Feature Format, version 3
- 19 JSON: JavaScript Object Notation
- 20 HTML5: HyperText Markup Language, version 5

21 Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

2 Not applicable.

1

3 Competing interests

4 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

5 Funding

- 6 This work is supported by grants from the Ministry of Agriculture of China (Grant
- 7 Nos. 2016ZX08005004, 2016ZX08009003-003-004).

8 Authors' contributions

- 9 SG, RZ, and TZ initiated the idea of the tool and conceived the project. TZ designed
- 10 the tool and analyzed the data. CL and ZM helped to test the tool. TZ wrote the paper.
- 11 All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

12 References

- 13 1. Skinner ME, Uzilov AV, Stein LD, Mungall CJ, Holmes IH: **JBrowse: A**
- next-generation genome browser. Genome Res 2009, **19**(9):1630-1638.
- 15 2. Buels R, Yao E, Diesh CM, Hayes RD, Munoz-Torres M, Helt G, Goodstein
- DM, Elsik CG, Lewis SE, Stein L et al: JBrowse: a dynamic web platform
- for genome visualization and analysis. Genome Biol 2016, 17(1):66.
- 18 3. **JBrowse Configuration Guide**
- 19 [http://gmod.org/wiki/JBrowse_Configuration_Guide] Accessed 26 May 2017
- 20 4. **JBrowse-1.12.3: Maintenance Release** [http://jbrowse.org/jbrowse-1-12-3/]
- 21 Accessed 26 May 2017
- 22 5. Li H: Tabix: fast retrieval of sequence features from generic
- **TAB-delimited files**. *Bioinformatics* 2011, **27**(5):718-719.
- 24 6. Gremme G, Steinbiss S, Kurtz S: GenomeTools: a comprehensive software
- 25 library for efficient processing of structured genome annotations.
- 26 IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinformatics 2013, 10(3):645-656.
- 7. **JBrowse FAQ** [http://gmod.org/wiki/JBrowse FAQ] Accessed 26 May 2017
- 28 8. **Potential GFF3Tabix issues** [https://github.com/GMOD/jbrowse/issues/780]

Accessed 26 May 2017

Sort::Topological - Topological Sort - metacpan.org

[https://metacpan.org/pod/Sort::Topological] Accessed 4 June 2017

Aken BL, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Amode MR, Bernsdorff F, Bhai J, Billis K, Carvalho-Silva D, Cummins C, Clapham P et al: Ensembl 2017. Nucleic

Acids Res 2017, 45(D1):D635-D642.

