Combining global tree cover loss data with historical national forest cover maps to look at six decades of deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar

Ghislain Vieilledent^{1,2,*}, Clovis Grinand³, Fety A. Rakotomalala³, Rija Ranaivosoa⁴, Jean-Roger Rakotoarijaona⁴, Thomas F. Allnutt^{5,6}, and Frédéric Achard¹

[1] Joint Research Center of the European Commission – Bio-economy Unit, I-21027 Ispra (VA), ITALY

- [2] CIRAD UPR Forêts et Sociétés, F-34398 Montpellier, FRANCE
- [3] ETC Terra, F-75020 Paris, FRANCE
- [4] Office National pour l'Environnement, 101 Antananarivo, MADAGASCAR
- [5] Wildlife Conservation Society, 101 Antananarivo, MADAGASCAR
- [6] GreenInfo Network, Oakland, California, USA

[*] Corresponding author: \E-mail: ghislain.vieilledent@cirad.fr \Phone: +39.033.278.3516

Abstract

1

The island of Madagascar has a unique biodiversity, mainly located in the trop-2 ical forests of the island. This biodiversity is highly threatened by anthropogenic 3 deforestation. Existing historical forest maps at national level are scattered and have substantial gaps which prevent an exhaustive assessment of long-term deforestation trends in Madagascar. In this study, we combined historical national forest cover maps (covering the period 1953-2000) with a recent global annual tree cover loss dataset (2001-2014) to look at six decades of deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar (from 1953 to 2014). We produced new forest cover maps at 30 m g resolution for the year 1990 and annually from 2000 to 2014 over the full territory 10 of Madagascar. We estimated that Madagascar has lost 44% of its natural forest 11 cover over the period 1953-2014 (including 37% over the period 1973-2014). Natural 12 forests cover 8.9 Mha in 2014 (15% of the national territory) and include 4.4 Mha 13 (50%) of moist forests, 2.6 Mha (29%) of dry forests, 1.7 Mha of spiny forests (19%)14 and 177,000 ha (2%) of mangroves. Since 2005, the annual deforestation rate has 15 progressively increased in Madagascar to reach 99,000 ha/yr during 2010-2014 (cor-16 responding to a rate of 1.1%/yr). Around half of the forest (46%) is now located 17 at less than 100 m from the forest edge. Our approach could be replicated to other 18 developing countries with tropical forest. Accurate forest cover change maps can 19 be used to assess the effectiveness of past and current conservation programs and 20 implement new strategies for the future. In particular, forest maps and estimates 21 can be used in the REDD+ framework which aims at "Reducing Emissions from 22 Deforestation and forest Degradation" and for optimizing the current protected area 23 network. 24

Keywords: biodiversity, climate-change, deforestation, forest-fragmentation, Mada gascar, tropical forest

 $\mathbf{2}$

27 1 Introduction

Separated from the African continent and the Indian plate about 165 and 88 million years 28 ago respectively (Ali & Aitchison, 2008), the flora and fauna of Madagascar followed its 29 own evolutionary path. Isolation combined with a high number of micro-habitats (Pearson 30 & Raxworthy, 2009) has led to Madagascar's exceptional biodiversity both in term of num-31 ber of species and endemism in many taxonomic groups (Crottini et al., 2012; Goodman 32 & Benstead, 2005). Most of the biodiversity in Madagascar is concentrated in the tropical 33 forests of the island which can be divided into four types: the moist forest in the East, the 34 dry forest in the West, the spiny forest in the South and the mangroves on the West coast 35 (Vieilledent et al., 2016). This unparalleled biodiversity is severely threatened by defor-36 estation (Harper et al., 2007; Vieilledent et al., 2013) associated with human activities such 37 as slash-and-burn agriculture and pasture (Scales, 2011). Tropical forests in Madagascar 38 also store a large amount of carbon (136 MgC.ha⁻¹ in the moist forest, Vieilledent *et al.*, 39 2016) and high rates of deforestation in Madagascar (1.4–4.7 %/yr, Achard et al., 2002) 40 are responsible for large CO_2 emissions in the atmosphere. Deforestation threatens species 41 survival by directly reducing their available habitat (Brooks et al., 2002; Tidd et al., 2001). 42 Forest fragmentation can also lead to species extinction by isolating populations from each 43 other and creating forest patches too small to maintain viable populations (Saunders et al., 44 1991). Fragmentation also increases forest edge where ecological conditions (such as air 45 temperature, light intensity and air moisture) can be dramatically modified, with conse-46 quences on the abundance and distribution of species (Broadbent et al., 2008; Gibson et al., 47 2013; Murcia, 1995). Forest fragmentation can also have substantial effects on forest car-48 bon storage capacity, as carbon stocks are about 50% lower at the forest edge than under 49 a closed canopy (Brinck et al., 2017). Moreover, forest carbon stocks vary spatially due 50 to climate or soil factors (Saatchi et al., 2011; Vieilledent et al., 2016). As a consequence, 51

⁵² accurate and spatially explicit maps of forest cover and forest cover change are necessary ⁵³ to monitor biodiversity loss and carbon emissions from deforestation and forest fragmen-⁵⁴ tation, assess the efficiency of present conservation strategies (Eklund *et al.*, 2016), and ⁵⁵ implement new strategies for the future (Vieilledent *et al.*, 2016, 2013). Simple time-series ⁵⁶ of forest cover estimates, such as those provided by the FAO Forest Resource Assessment ⁵⁷ report (Keenan *et al.*, 2015) are not sufficient.

Unfortunately, accurate and exhaustive forest cover maps are not available for Mada-58 gascar after year 2000. Harper et al. (2007) produced maps of forest cover and forest 59 cover changes over Madagascar for the years 1953, 1973, 1990 and 2000. The 1953 forest 60 map is a vector map derived from the visual interpretation of aerial photographs. Forest 61 maps for the years 1973, 1990, and 2000 were obtained from the supervised classification 62 of Landsat satellite images and can be used to derive more accurate estimates of forest 63 cover than those from the FAO Forest Resource Assessment report. Nonetheless, maps 64 provided by Harper et al. (2007) are not exhaustive (due to the presence of clouds in the 65 satellite imagery), e.g. 11 244 km2 are mapped as unknown cover type for the year 2000. 66 Using a similar supervised classification approach as in Harper et al. (2007), more recent 67 maps have been produced for the periods 2000-2005-2010 by national institutions, with the 68 technical support of international environmental NGOs (MEFT et al., 2009; ONE et al., 69 2013). Another set of recent forest cover maps using an advanced statistical tool for clas-70 sification, the Random Forest classifier (Grinand et al., 2013; Rakotomala et al., 2015), 71 was produced for the periods 2005-2010-2013 (ONE et al., 2015). However, these maps are 72 either too old to give recent estimates of deforestation (MEFT et al., 2009; ONE et al., 73 2013), include large areas of missing information due to images with high percentage of 74 cloud cover (ONE et al., 2013), or show large mis-classification in specific areas, especially 75 in the dry and spiny forest domain, for which the spectral signal shows strong seasonal 76 variations due to the deciduousness of such forests (overall accuracy is lower than 0.8 for 77

the dry and spiny forests for the maps produced by ONE *et al.* (2015)). Moreover, the production of such forest maps from a supervised classification approach requires significant resources, especially regarding the image selection step (required to minimize cloud cover) and the training step (visual interpretation of a large number of polygons needed to train the classification algorithm) (Rakotomala *et al.*, 2015). Most of this work of image selection and visual interpretation would need to be repeated to produce new forest maps in the future using a similar approach.

Global forest or tree cover products have also been published recently and can be 85 tested at the national scale for Madagascar. Kim et al. (2014) produced a global forest 86 cover change map from 1990 to 2000 (derived from Landsat imagery). This product was 87 updated to cover the period 1975-2005 (http://glcf.umd.edu/data/landsatFCC/) but 88 forest cover maps after 2005 were not produced. Moreover, the approach used in Kim et al. 89 (2014) did not accurately map the forests in the dry and spiny ecosystems of Madagascar 90 (see Fig. 8 in Kim et al. 2014). Hansen et al. (2013) mapped tree cover percentage, annual 91 tree cover loss and gain from 2000 to 2012 at global scale at 30 m resolution. This product 92 has since been updated and is now available up to the year 2014 (Hansen et al., 2013). 93 To map forest cover from the Hansen et al. (2013) product, a tree cover threshold must 94 be selected (that defines forest cover). Selecting such a threshold is not straightforward 95 as the accuracy of the global tree cover map strongly varies between forest types, and is 96 substantially lower for dry forests than for moist forests (Bastin et al., 2017). Moreover, 97 the Hansen et al. (2013) product does not provide information on land-use. In particular 98 the global tree cover map does not separate tree plantations such as oil palm or eucalyptus 99 plantations from natural forests (Tropek et al., 2014). Thus, the global tree cover map from 100 Hansen et al. (2013) cannot be used alone to produce a map of forest cover (Tyukavina 101 et al., 2017). 102

¹⁰³ In this study, we present a simple approach which combines the historical forest maps

from Harper et al. (2007) and more recent global products from Hansen et al. (2013) to 104 derive annual wall-to-wall forest cover change maps over the period 2000-2014 for Mada-105 gascar. We use the forest cover map provided by Harper et al. (2007) for the year 2000 106 (defining the land-use) with the tree cover loss product provided by Hansen et al. (2013) 107 that we apply only inside forest areas identified by Harper et al. (2007). Similar to the 108 approach of Harper et al. (2007), we also assess trends in deforestation rates and forest 109 fragmentation from 1953 to 2014. We finally discuss the possibility to extend our approach 110 to other tropical countries or repeat it in the future for Madagascar. We also discuss how 111 our results could help assess the effectiveness of past and current conservation strategies 112 in Madagascar, and implement new strategies in the future. 113

¹¹⁴ 2 Materials and Methods

¹¹⁵ 2.1 Creation of new forest cover maps of Madagascar from 1953 to 2014

Original 1990-2000 forest cover change map for Madagascar from Harper et al. (2007) is a 117 raster map at 28.5 m resolution. It was derived from the supervised classification of Landsat 118 TM (Thematic Mapper) and ETM+ (Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus) satellite images. 119 For our study, this map has been resampled at 30 m resolution using a nearest-neighbor 120 interpolation and reprojected in the WGS 84/UTM zone 38S projected coordinate system. 121 The 2000 Harper's forest map includes 208,000 ha of unclassified areas due to the 122 presence of clouds on satellite images. Unclassified areas were mostly (88%) present within 123 the moist forest domain which covered 4.17 Mha in 2000. To provide a label (forest 124 or non-forest) to these unclassified pixels, we used the 2000 tree cover percentage map of 125 Hansen *et al.* (2013) and selected a tree cover threshold of 75% to define the forest (Achard 126 et al., 2014; Aleman et al., 2017). This threshold allows to characterize properly the moist 127 forest in Madagascar as 90% of the moist forest in 2000 in Harper et al. (2007) has a 128 tree cover greater than 75% (Fig. A1). For this step, the Hansen's 2000 tree cover map 129 was resampled on the same grid as the original Harper's map at 30 m resolution using a 130 bilinear interpolation. We thus obtained a forest cover map for the year 2000 covering the 131 full territory of Madagascar. 132

We then combined the forest cover map of the year 2000 with the annual tree cover loss maps from 2001 to 2014 from Hansen *et al.* (2013) to create annual forest cover maps from 2001 to 2014 at 30 m resolution. To do so, Hansen's tree cover loss maps were resampled on the same grid as the original Harper's map at 30 m resolution using a nearest-neighbor interpolation. We also completed the Harper's forest map of year 1990 by filling unclassified areas (due to the presence of clouds on satellite images) using our forest cover map of year

¹³⁹ 2000. To do so, we assumed that if forest was present in 2000, the pixel was also forested in
¹⁴⁰ 1990. Indeed, there is little evidence of natural forest regeneration in Madagascar (Grouzis
¹⁴¹ et al., 2001; Harper et al., 2007), especially over such a short period of time. The remaining
¹⁴² unclassified pixels were limited to a relatively small total area of about 8,000 ha. We labeled
¹⁴³ these residual pixels as non-forest, as for the year 2000.

The 1973 forest cover map for Madagascar from Harper et al. (2007) is a raster map at 144 57 m resolution derived from the supervised classification of Landsat MSS (Multispectral 145 Scanner System) satellite images. We resampled this map at 30 m resolution using a 146 nearest-neighbor interpolation on the same grid as the forest cover maps for years 1990 147 and 2000. We completed the Harper's forest map of year 1973 by filling unclassified areas 148 using our forest cover map of the year 1990 assuming that if forest was present in 1990, it 149 was also present in 1973. Contrary to the year 1990, the remaining unclassified pixels for 150 year 1973 corresponded to a significant total area of 3.3 millions has which was left as is. 151

The 1953 forest cover map from Harper *et al.* (2007) is a vector map produced by 152 scanning the paper map of Humbert et al. (1965) which was derived from the visual inter-153 pretation of aerial photographs. We reprojected the forest cover map of year 1953 in the 154 WGS 84/UTM zone 38S projected coordinate system. Because of the methodology used to 155 derive the 1953 forest cover map, it was not possible to perfectly aligned this map with the 156 forest cover maps of later years which were produced through digital processing of satellite 157 imagery. As a consequence, the 1953 cannot be merged with the map of later years to 158 identify precisely the location of the deforested areas. Nonetheless, the 1953 forest cover 159 map can be used to have a rough estimate of the forest cover and forest fragmentation at 160 this date. To do so, the map was rasterized at 30 m resolution on the same grid as the 161 forest cover maps for years 1973, 1990 and 2000. 162

Finally for all forest cover maps from 1973, isolated single non-forest pixels (i.e. fully surrounded by forest pixels) were recategorized as forest pixels. Doing so, forest cover increased from about 95,000 ha for year 1953 to about 600,000 ha for year 2010. This allowed us to avoid counting very small scale events (<0.1 ha, such as selective logging or wind-throw) as deforestation. It also prevents us from underestimating forest cover and overestimating forest fragmentation.</p>

¹⁶⁹ 2.2 Computing forest cover areas and deforestation rates

From these new forest cover maps, we calculated the total forest cover area for seven available years (1953-1973-1990-2000-2005-2010-2014), and the annual deforested area and annual deforestation rate for the corresponding six time periods between 1953 and 2014. The annual deforestation rates were calculated using Eq. 1 (Puyravaud, 2003; Vieilledent *et al.*, 2013):

(1)
$$\theta = 100 \times \left[1 - (1 - (F_{t_2} - F_{t_1})/F_{t_1})^{(1/(t_2 - t_1))}\right]$$

In Eq. 1, θ is the annual deforestation rate (in %/yr), F_{t_2} and F_{t_1} are the forest cover free of clouds at both dates t_2 and t_1 , and $t_2 - t_1$ is the time-interval (in years) between the two dates.

Because of the large unclassified area (3.3 millions ha) in 1973, the annual deforestation 178 areas and rates for the two periods 1953-1973 and 1973-1990 are only partial estimates 179 computed on the basis of the available forest extent. Area and rate estimates are produced 180 at the national scale and for the four forest types present in Madagascar: moist forest in 181 the East, dry forest in the West, spiny forest in the South, and mangroves on the Western 182 coast (Fig. 1). To define the forest types, we used a map from the MEFT ("Ministère de 183 *l'Environnement et des Forêts à Madagascar*") with the boundaries of the four ecoregions 184 in Madagascar. Ecoregions were defined on the basis of climatic and vegetation criteria 185

using the climate classification by Cornet (1974) and the vegetation classification from the 1996 IEFN national forest inventory (Ministère de l'Environnement, 1996). Because mangrove forests are highly dynamic ecosystems that can expand or contract on decadal scales depending on changes in environmental factors (Armitage *et al.*, 2015), a fixed delimitation of the mangrove ecoregion on six decades might not be fully appropriate. As a consequence, our estimates of the forest cover and deforestation rates for mangroves in Madagascar must be considered with this limitation.

¹⁹³ 2.3 Comparing our forest cover and deforestation rate estimates

194

with previous studies

We compared our estimates of forest cover and deforestation rates with estimates from 195 the three existing studies at the national scale for Madagascar: (i) Harper et al. (2007), 196 (ii) MEFT et al. (2009) and (iii) ONE et al. (2015). Harper et al. (2007) provides forest 197 cover and deforestation estimates for the periods c. 1953-c. 1973-1990-2000. MEFT et al. 198 (2009) provides estimates for the periods 1990-2000-2005 and ONE et al. (2015) provides 199 estimates for the periods 2005-2010-2013. To compare our forest cover and deforestation 200 estimates over the same time periods, we consider an additional time-period in our study 201 (2010-2013) by creating an extra forest cover map for the year 2013. We computed the 202 Pearson's correlation coefficient and the root mean square error (RMSE) between our 203 forest cover estimates and forest cover estimates from previous studies for all the dates 204 and forest types (including also the total forest cover estimates). For previous studies, 205 the computation of annual deforestation rates (in %/yr) is not always detailed and might 206 slightly differ from one study to another (see Puyravaud, 2003). Harper et al. (2007) also 207 provide total deforested areas for the two periods 1973-1990 and 1990-2000. We converted 208 these values into annual deforested area estimates. When annual deforested areas were not 209

reported (for 1953-1973 in Harper *et al.* (2007) and in MEFT *et al.* (2009) and ONE *et al.* (2015)), we computed them from the forest cover estimates in each study. These estimates cannot be corrected from the potential bias due to the presence of residual clouds. Forest cover and deforestation rates were then compared between all studies for the whole of Madagascar and the four ecoregions. The same ecoregion boundaries as in our study were used in ONE *et al.* (2015) but this was not the case for Harper *et al.* (2007) and MEFT *et al.* (2009), which can explain a part of the differences between the estimates.

217 2.4 Fragmentation

We also conducted an analysis of changes in forest fragmentation for the years 1953, 1973, 218 1990, 2000, 2005, 2010 and 2014 at 30 m resolution. We used a moving window of 51 \times 219 51 pixels (corresponding to an area of about 2.34 km^2) centered on each forest pixel to 220 compute the percentage of forest pixels in the neighborhood. We used this percentage as an 221 indication of the forest fragmentation (Riitters & Wickham, 2012; Vogt & Riitters, 2017). 222 The size of the moving windows was based on a compromise: a sufficiently high number of 223 cells (here 2601) had to be considered to be able to compute a percentage and a reasonably 224 low number of cells had to be chosen to have a local estimate of the fragmentation. Water 225 bodies were not masked when computing the percentage of forest pixels, meaning that 226 forest located near a water body was considered as fragmented. Computations were done 227 using the function r.neighbors of the GRASS GIS software (Neteler & Mitasova, 2008). 228 Using the density of forest in the neighborhood, we defined five forest fragmentation classes: 229 0-20% (highly fragmented), 21-40%, 41-60%, 61-80% and 81-100% (lowly fragmented). We 230 reported the percentage of forest falling in each fragmentation class for the six years and 231 analyzed the dynamics of fragmentation over the six decades. 232

We also computed the distance to forest edge for all forest pixels for the years 1953, 1973, 1990, 2000, 2005, 2010 and 2014. For that, we used the function gdal_proximity.py

of the GDAL library (http://www.gdal.org/). We computed the mean and 90% quantiles (5% and 95%) of the distance to forest edge and looked at the variation of these values over time. Previous studies have shown that forest micro-habitats were mainly altered within the first 100 m of the forest edge (Brinck *et al.*, 2017; Broadbent *et al.*, 2008; Murcia, 1995). Consequently, we also estimated the percentage of forest within the first 100 m of the forest edge for each year and looked at the variation of this percentage over the six decades.

242 **3** Results

²⁴³ 3.1 Forest cover change and deforestation rates

Natural forests in Madagascar covered 16.0 Mha in 1953, about 27% of the national territory of 587,041 km2. In 2014, the forest cover dropped to 8.9 Mha, corresponding to
about 15% of the national territory (Fig. 2 and Tab. 1). Madagascar has lost 44% of its
natural forest between 1953 and 2014, including 37% between 1973 and 2014 (Fig. 2 and
Tab. 1). In 2014 the remaining 8.9 Mha of natural forest were distributed as follow: 4.4
Mha of moist forest (50% of total forest cover), 2.6 Mha of dry forest (29%), 1.7 Mha of
spiny forest (19%) and 0.18 Mha (2%) of mangrove forest (Fig. 1 and Tab. 2).

The forest cover change map produced on the period 1953-2014 (Fig. 2) allows to identify hot-spots of deforestation. Among the many recent hot-spots of deforestation visible on the map for the period 2000-2014, one is located at the south of the CAZ (*"Corridor Ankeniheny Zahamena"*) protected area, in the moist forest at the east of Madagascar (see eastern zoom in Fig. 2). Another major hot-spot of deforestation is located around the Ranobe-PK32 new protected area, in the dry forest at the south-west of Madagascar (see western zoom in Fig. 2).

Regarding the deforestation trend, we observed a progressive decrease of the deforesta-258 tion rate after 1990 from 205,000 ha/yr (1.6%/yr) over the period 1973-1990 to 42,000 259 ha/yr (0.4%/yr) over the period 2000-2005 (Tab. 1). Then from 2005, the deforestation 260 rate has progressively increased and has more than doubled over the period 2010-2014 261 (99,000 ha/yr, 1.1%/yr) compared to 2000-2005 (Tab. 1). The deforestation trend, charac-262 terized by a progressive decrease of the deforestation rate over the period 1990-2005 and a 263 progressive increase of the deforestation after 2005, is valid for all four forest types except 264 the spiny forest (Tab. 3). For the spiny forest, the deforestation rate during the period 265 2010-2013 was lower than on the period 2005-2010 (Tab. 3). 266

267 3.2 Comparison with previous forest cover change studies in 268 Madagascar

Forest cover maps provided by previous studies over Madagascar were not exhaustive 269 (unclassified areas) due to the presence of clouds on satellite images used to produce such 270 maps. In Harper et al. (2007), the maps of years 1990 and 2000 include 0.5 and 1.12 Mha 271 of unknown cover type respectively. Proportions of unclassified areas are not reported 272 in the two other existing studies at the national level by MEFT et al. (2009) and ONE 273 et al. (2015). With our approach, we produced wall-to-wall forest cover change maps from 274 1990 to 2014 for the full territory of Madagascar (Fig. 2). This allowed us to produce 275 more robust estimates of forest cover and deforestation rates over this period (Tab. 1). 276 Our forest cover estimates over the period 1953-2013 (considering forest cover estimates at 277 national level and by ecoregions for all the available dates) were well correlated (Pearson's 278 correlation coefficient = 0.99) to estimates from the three previous studies (Tab. 2) with a 279 RMSE of 300,000 ha (6% of the mean forest cover of 4.8 Mha when considering all dates 280 and forest types together). These small differences can be partly attributed to differences 281 in ecoregion boundaries. Despite significant differences in deforestation estimates (Tab. 3), 282 a similar deforestation trend was observed across studies with a decrease of deforestation 283 rates over the period 1990-2005, followed by a progressive increase of the deforestation 284 after 2005. 285

²⁸⁶ 3.3 Variation of forest fragmentation over time

Forest fragmentation has progressively increased since 1953 in Madagascar. We observed a continuous decrease of the mean distance to forest edge from 1953 to 2014 in Madagascar. The mean distance to forest edge has decreased to about 300 m in 2014 while it was of about 1.5 km in 1973 (Fig. 3). Moreover, a large proportion (73%) of the forest was

located at a distance greater than 100 m in 1973, while almost half of the forest (46%) is at a distance lower than 100 m from forest edge in 2014 (Fig. 3). The percentage of lowly fragmented forest in Madagascar has continuously decreased since 1953. The percentage of forest belonging to the lowly fragmented class has fallen from 57% in 1973 to 44% in 2014. In 2014, 22% of the forest belonged to the two highest fragmented forest classes (less than 40% of forest cover in the neighborhood) while only 15% of the forest belonged to these two fragmentation classes in 1973 (Tab. 4).

298 4 Discussion

4.1 Advantages of combining recent global annual tree cover loss data with historical national forest cover maps

In this study, we combined recent (2001-2014) global annual tree cover loss data (Hansen 301 et al., 2013) with historical (1953-2000) national forest cover maps (Harper et al., 2007) to 302 look at six decades (1953-2014) of deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar. 303 We produced annual forest cover maps at 30 m resolution covering Madagascar for the 304 period 2000 to 2014. Our study extends the forest cover monitoring on a six decades period 305 (from 1953 to 2014) while harmonizing the data from previous studies (Harper *et al.*, 2007; 306 MEFT et al., 2009; ONE et al., 2015). We propose a generic approach to solve the problem 307 of forest definition which is needed to transform the 2000 global tree cover dataset from 308 Hansen et al. (2013) into a forest/non-forest map (Tropek et al., 2014). We propose the 309 use of an historical national forest cover map, based on a national forest definition, as a 310 forest cover mask. This approach could be easily extended to other tropical regions or 311 countries for which an accurate forest cover map is available at any date within the period 312 2000-2014 (but preferably at the beginning of the period to profit from the full record of 313 tree cover loss and derive long-term estimates of deforestation). For example, forest cover 314 maps are available at 20 m resolution for Cameroon and Central African Republic for 315 years 2000 and 2010 (Gross et al., 2017). When high resolution forest cover maps are not 316 available, coarser resolution forest cover maps (leading to coarser deforestation estimates) 317 could be extracted from global land cover products such as GLC2000 at 1 km resolution 318 (Bartholomé & Belward, 2005) or CCI Land Cover at 300 m resolution (Li et al., 2018). 319 Moreover, this approach could be repeated in the future with the release of updated tree 320 cover loss data. We have made the \mathbf{R} /GRASS code used for this study freely available in a 321 GitHub repository (see Data availability statement) to facilitate application to other study 322

³²³ areas or repeat the analysis in the future for Madagascar.

The accuracy of the derived forest cover change maps depends directly on the ac-324 curacy of the historical forest cover maps and the tree cover loss dataset. Using visual-325 interpretation of aerial images in 342 areas distributed among all forest types, Harper et al. 326 (2007) estimated an overall 89.5% accuracy in identifying forest/non-forest classes for the 327 year 2000. The accuracy assessment of the tree cover loss dataset for the tropical biome 328 reported 13% of false positives and 16.9% of false negatives (see Tab. S5 in Hansen et al. 329 2013). These numbers rise at 20.7% and 20.6% respectively for the subtropical biome. 330 In the subtropical biome, the lower density tree cover canopy makes it difficult to detect 331 change from tree cover to bare ground. For six countries in Central Africa, with a major-332 ity of moist dense forest, Verhegghen et al. (2016) have compared deforestation estimates 333 derived from the global tree cover loss dataset (Hansen *et al.*, 2013) with results derived 334 from semi-automated supervised classification of Landsat satellite images (Achard et al., 335 2014) and they found a good agreement between the two sets of estimates. Therefore, our 336 forest cover change maps after 2000 might be more accurate for the dense moist forest than 337 for the dry and spiny forest. In another study assessing the accuracy of the tree cover loss 338 product across the tropics (Tyukavina et al., 2015), authors reported 4% of false positives 339 and 48% of false negatives in Sub-Saharan Africa. They showed that 85% of missing loss 340 occurred on the edges of other loss patches. This means that tree cover loss might be 341 underestimated in Sub-Saharan Africa, probably due to the prevalence of small-scale dis-342 turbance which is hard to map at 30 m, but that areas of large-scale deforestation are well 343 identified and spatial variability of the deforestation is well represented. A proper accuracy 344 assessment of our forest cover change maps should be performed to better estimate the 345 uncertainty surrounding our forest cover change estimates in Madagascar from year 2000 346 (Olofsson et al., 2014, 2013). Despite this limitation, we have shown that the deforestation 347 trend we observed for Madagascar, with a doubling deforestation on the period 2010-2014 348

compared to 2000-2005, was consistent with the other studies at the national scale (MEFT et al., 2009; ONE et al., 2015).

Consistent with Harper *et al.* (2007), we did not consider potential forest regrowth in 351 Madagascar (although Hansen et al. (2013) provided a tree cover gains layer for the period 352 2001-2013) for several reasons. First, the tree gain layer of Hansen et al. (2013) includes 353 and catches more easily tree plantations than natural forest regrowth (Tropek et al., 2014). 354 Second, there is little evidence of natural forest regeneration in Madagascar (Grouzis et al., 355 2001; Harper et al., 2007). This can be explained by several ecological processes following 356 burning practice such as soil erosion (Grinand et al., 2017) and reduced seed bank due to 357 fire and soil loss (Grouzis et al., 2001). Moreover, in areas where forest regeneration is 358 ecologically possible, young forest regrowth are more easily re-burnt for agriculture and 359 pasture. Third, young secondary forests provide more limited ecosystem services compared 360 to old-growth natural forests in terms of biodiversity and carbon storage (Martin *et al.*, 361 2013). 362

³⁶³ 4.2 Natural forest cover change in Madagascar from 1953 to 2014

We estimated that natural forest in Madagascar covers 8.9 Mha in 2014 (corresponding to 364 15% of the country) and that Madagascar has lost 44% of its natural forest since 1953 (37%365 since 1973). If there are no doubts about the direct causes of deforestation in Madagascar, 366 attributable to human activities such as slash-and-burn agriculture and pasture (Scales, 367 2011), there is ongoing scientific debate about the extent of the "original" forest cover in 368 Madagascar, and the extent to which humans have altered the natural forest landscapes 369 since their large-scale settlement around 800 CE (Burns et al., 2016; Cox et al., 2012). Early 370 French naturalists stated that the full island was originally covered by forest (Humbert, 371 1927; Perrier de La Bâthie, 1921), leading to the common statement that 90% of the nat-372 ural forests have disappeared since the arrival of humans on the island (Kull, 2000). More 373

recent studies counter-balanced that point of view saving that extensive areas of grassland 374 existed in Madagascar long before human arrival and were determined by climate, natural 375 grazing and other natural factors (Virah-Sawmy, 2009; Vorontsova et al., 2016). Other 376 authors have questioned the entire narrative of extensive alteration of the landscape by 377 early human activity which, through legislation, has severe consequences on local people 378 (Klein, 2002; Kull, 2000). Whatever the original proportion of natural forests and grass-379 lands in Madagascar, our results demonstrate that human activities since the 1950s have 380 profoundly impacted the natural tropical forests and that conservation and development 381 programs in Madagascar have failed to stop deforestation in the recent years. Deforestation 382 has strong consequences on biodiversity and carbon emissions in Madagascar. Around 90% 383 of Madagascar's species are forest dependent (Allnutt et al., 2008; Goodman & Benstead, 384 2005). Based on occurrence data for 2243 plant and invertebrate species, Allnutt et al. 385 (2008) estimated that deforestation between 1953 and 2000 has led to an extinction of 9% 386 of the species. The additional deforestation we observed over the period 2000-2014 (around 387 1 Mha of natural forest) worsen this result. Regarding carbon emissions, using the 2010 388 aboveground forest carbon map by Vieilledent et al. (2016), we estimated that deforesta-389 tion on the period 2010-2014 has led to 40.2 Mt C of carbon emissions in the atmosphere 390 (10 Mt C /yr) and that the remaining aboveground forest carbon stock in 2014 is 832.8 391 Mt C. Associated to deforestation, we showed that the remaining forests of Madagascar 392 are highly fragmented with 46% of the forest being at less than 100 m of the forest edge. 393 Small forest fragments do not allow to maintain viable populations and "edge effects" at 394 forest/non-forest interfaces have impacts on both carbon emissions (Brinck et al., 2017) 395 and biodiversity loss (Gibson *et al.*, 2013; Murcia, 1995). 396

³⁹⁷ 4.3 Deforestation trend and impacts on conservation and devel ³⁹⁸ opment policies

In our study, we have shown that the progressive decrease of the deforestation rate on the 399 period 1990-2005 was followed by a continuous increase in the deforestation rate on the 400 period 2005-2014. In particular, we showed that deforestation rate has more than doubled 401 on the period 2010-2014 compared to 2000-2005. Our results are supported by previous 402 studies (Harper et al., 2007; MEFT et al., 2009; ONE et al., 2015) despite differences in 403 the methodologies regarding (i) forest definition (associated to independent visual inter-404 pretations of observation polygons to train the classifier), (ii) classification algorithms, (iii) 405 deforestation rate computation method, and (iv) correction for the presence of clouds. 406 Our deforestation rate estimates from 1990 to 2014 have been computed from wall-to-wall 407 maps at 30 m resolution and can be considered more accurate in comparison with estimates 408 from these previous studies. Our natural forest cover and deforestation rate estimates can 409 be used as source of information for the next FAO Forest Resources Assessment (Keenan 410 et al., 2015). Current rates of deforestation can also be used to build reference scenar-411 ios for deforestation in Madagascar and contribute to the implementation of deforestation 412 mitigation activities in the framework of REDD+ (Olander et al., 2008). 413

The increase of deforestation rates after 2005 can be explained by population growth 414 and political instability in the country. Nearly 90% of Madagascar's population relies on 415 biomass for their daily energy needs (Minten et al., 2013) and the link between population 416 size and deforestation has previously been demonstrated in Madagascar (Gorenflo et al., 417 2011; Vieilledent *et al.*, 2013). With a mean demographic growth rate of about 2.8%/yr418 and a population which has increased from 16 to 24 million people on the period 2000-2015 419 (United Nations, 2015), the increasing demand in wood-fuel and space for agriculture is 420 likely to explain the increase in deforestation rates. The political crisis of 2009 (Ploch & 421

Cook, 2012), followed by several years of political instability and weak governance could 422 also explain the increase in the deforestation rate observed on the period 2005-2014 (see 423 Smith et al., 2003 for a discussion on the link between governance and forest cover loss). 424 These results show that despite the conservation policy in Madagascar (Freudenberger, 425 2010), deforestation has dramatically increased at the national level since 2005. Results 426 of this study, including recent spatially explicit forest cover change maps and forest cover 427 estimates, should help implement new conservation strategies to save Madagascar natural 428 tropical forests and their unique biodiversity. 429

430 5 Author's contribution

All authors conceived the ideas and designed the methodology; GV analysed the data and
wrote the **R**/GRASS script; GV drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed critically
to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

434 6 Acknowledgements

The authors thank two anonymous reviewers for useful comments on a previous version 435 of the manuscript. They also thank Peter Vogt for useful advice on which metric to 436 use to estimate forest fragmentation. This study is part of the CIRAD's BioSceneMada 437 project (https://bioscenemada.cirad.fr) and the Joint Research Center's ReCaREDD 438 project (http://forobs.jrc.ec.europa.eu/recaredd). The BioSceneMada project is 439 funded by FRB (Fondation pour la Recherche sur la Biodiversité) and the FFEM (Fond 440 Français pour l'Environnement Mondial) under the project agreement AAP-SCEN-2013 I. 441 The ReCaREDD project is funded by the European Commission. The authors declare 442 that there are no conflicts of interest related to this article. 443

444 7 Data accessibility

Data and code used for this study have been made permanently and publicly available on
the CIRAD Dataverse repository so that the results are entirely reproducible:

- Input data: http://dx.doi.org/10.18167/DVN1/2FP7LR
- Code: http://dx.doi.org/10.18167/DVN1/275TDF
- Output data: http://dx.doi.org/10.18167/DVN1/AUBRRC

450 8 References

- Achard, F., Beuchle, R., Mayaux, P., Stibig, H.J., Bodart, C., Brink, A., Carboni, S.,
 Desclée, B., Donnay, F., Eva, H.D., Lupi, A., Raši, R., Seliger, R. & Simonetti, D.
 (2014) Determination of tropical deforestation rates and related carbon losses from 1990
 to 2010, Clobal Change Biology 20, 2540, 2554
- 454 to 2010. Global Change Biology **20**, 2540–2554.
- Assa Achard, F., Eva, H.D., Stibig, H.J., Mayaux, P., Gallego, J., Richards, T. & Malingreau,
- J.P. (2002) Determination of deforestation rates of the world's humid tropical forests.
- 457 Science **297**, 999–1002.
- Aleman, J.C., Jarzyna, M.A. & Staver, A.C. (2017) Forest extent and deforestation in
 tropical africa since 1900. Nature Ecology & Evolution .
- Ali, J.R. & Aitchison, J.C. (2008) Gondwana to Asia: Plate tectonics, paleogeography and
 the biological connectivity of the Indian sub-continent from the Middle Jurassic through
 latest Eocene (166–35 Ma). Earth-Science Reviews 88, 145–166.
- Allnutt, T.F., Ferrier, S., Manion, G., Powell, G.V.N., Ricketts, T.H., Fisher, B.L., Harper,
 G.J., Irwin, M.E., Kremen, C., Labat, J.N., Lees, D.C., Pearce, T.A. & Rakotondrainibe,
 F. (2008) A method for quantifying biodiversity loss and its application to a 50-year
 record of deforestation across Madagascar. *Conservation Letters* 1, 173–181.
- Armitage, A.R., Highfield, W.E., Brody, S.D. & Louchouarn, P. (2015) The contribution of
 mangrove expansion to salt marsh loss on the Texas Gulf Coast. *PloS One* 10, e0125404.
- Bartholomé, E. & Belward, A.S. (2005) GLC2000: a new approach to global land cover
 mapping from earth observation data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 26, 1959–1977.
- Bastin, J.F., Berrahmouni, N., Grainger, A., Maniatis, D., Mollicone, D., Moore, R.,
 Patriarca, C., Picard, N., Sparrow, B., Abraham, E.M., Aloui, K., Atesoglu, A., Attore,
 F., Bassüllü, Ç., Bey, A., Garzuglia, M., García-Montero, L.G., Groot, N., Guerin, G.,
 Laestadius, L., Lowe, A.J., Mamane, B., Marchi, G., Patterson, P., Rezende, M., Ricci,
 S., Salcedo, I., Diaz, A.S.P., Stolle, F., Surappaeva, V. & Castro, R. (2017) The extent
 of forest in dryland biomes. *Science* 356, 635–638.
- Brinck, K., Fischer, R., Groeneveld, J., Lehmann, S., Dantas De Paula, M., Pütz, S.,
 Sexton, J.O., Song, D. & Huth, A. (2017) High resolution analysis of tropical forest
 fragmentation and its impact on the global carbon cycle. *Nature Communications* 8, 14855.
- ⁴⁸² Broadbent, E.N., Asner, G.P., Keller, M., Knapp, D.E., Oliveira, P.J. & Silva, J.N. (2008)
- Forest fragmentation and edge effects from deforestation and selective logging in the brazilian amazon. *Biological Conservation* **141**, 1745 – 1757.

Brooks, T.M., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., da Fonseca, G.A.B., Rylands, A.B.,
Konstant, W.R., Flick, P., Pilgrim, J., Oldfield, S., Magin, G. & Hilton-Taylor, C.
(2002) Habitat loss and extinction in the hotspots of biodiversity. *Conservation Biology*16, 909–923.

⁴⁸⁹ Burns, S.J., Godfrey, L.R., Faina, P., McGee, D., Hardt, B., Ranivoharimanana, L. &
⁴⁸⁰ Randrianasy, J. (2016) Rapid human-induced landscape transformation in Madagascar
⁴⁹¹ at the end of the first millennium of the Common Era. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 134,
⁴⁹² 92 - 99.

⁴⁹³ Cornet, A. (1974) Essai de cartographie bioclimatique à Madagascar. Tech. rep., Orstom.

Cox, M.P., Nelson, M.G., Tumonggor, M.K., Ricaut, F.X. & Sudoyo, H. (2012) A small
 cohort of Island Southeast Asian women founded Madagascar. *Proceedings of the Royal* Society B: Biological Sciences 279, 2761–2768.

497 Crottini, A., Madsen, O., Poux, C., Strauß, A., Vieites, D.R. & Vences, M. (2012) Verte-

brate time-tree elucidates the biogeographic pattern of a major biotic change around the
K-T boundary in Madagascar. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109,
5358-5363.

Eklund, J., Blanchet, F.G., Nyman, J., Rocha, R., Virtanen, T. & Cabeza, M. (2016)
 Contrasting spatial and temporal trends of protected area effectiveness in mitigating
 deforestation in Madagascar. *Biological Conservation* 203, 290 – 297.

Freudenberger, K. (2010) Paradise Lost? Lessons from 25 years of USAID environment
 programs in Madagascar. International Resources Group, Washington DC .

Gibson, L., Lynam, A.J., Bradshaw, C.J., He, F., Bickford, D.P., Woodruff, D.S., Bumrungsri, S. & Laurance, W.F. (2013) Near-complete extinction of native small mammal fauna 25 years after forest fragmentation. *Science* 341, 1508–1510.

Goodman, S.M. & Benstead, J.P. (2005) Updated estimates of biotic diversity and en demism for Madagascar. *Oryx* 39, 73–77.

Gorenflo, L.J., Corson, C., Chomitz, K.M., Harper, G., Honzák, M. & Ozler, B. (2011)
 Exploring the Association Between People and Deforestation in Madagascar, vol. 1650.
 Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Grinand, C., Le Maire, G., Vieilledent, G., Razakamanarivo, H., Razafimbelo, T. &
Bernoux, M. (2017) Estimating temporal changes in soil carbon stocks at ecoregional
scale in Madagascar using remote-sensing. *International Journal of Applied Earth Ob*servation and Geoinformation 54, 1–14.

Grinand, C., Rakotomalala, F., Gond, V., Vaudry, R., Bernoux, M. & Vieilledent, G. (2013) Estimating deforestation in tropical humid and dry forests in Madagascar from

2000 to 2010 using multi-date Landsat satellite images and the Random Forests classifier.
 Remote Sensing of Environment 139, 68–80.

Gross, D., Achard, F., Dubois, G., Brink, A. & Prins, H.H.T. (2017) Uncertainties in tree cover maps of sub-saharan africa and their implications for measuring progress towards cbd aichi targets. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation* pp. n/a–n/a.

⁵²⁵ Grouzis, M., Razanaka, S., Le Floc'h, E. & Leprun, J.C. (2001) Évolution de la végétation

- et de quelques paramètres édaphiques au cours de la phase post-culturale dans la région d'Analabo. *Sociétés paysannes, transitions agraires et dynamiques écologiques dans le*
- ⁵²⁸ Sud-Ouest de Madagascar, Antananarivo, IRD/CNRE pp. 327–337.

⁵²⁹ Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A.,

Thau, D., Stehman, S.V., Goetz, S.J., Loveland, T.R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A.,

⁵³¹ Chini, L., Justice, C.O. & Townshend, J.R.G. (2013) High-Resolution Global Maps of

⁵³² 21st-Century Forest Cover Change. *Science* **342**, 850–853.

Harper, G.J., Steininger, M.K., Tucker, C.J., Juhn, D. & Hawkins, F. (2007) Fifty years

of deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar. Environmental Conservation

⁵³⁵ **34**, 325–333.

Humbert, H. (1927) La destruction d'une flore insulaire par le feu. Principaux aspects de la végétation à Madagascar. Mémoires de l'Académie Malgache 5, 1–80.

Humbert, H., Cours Darne, G., Besairie, H., Blasco, F., Legris, P., Riquier, J. & Gaussen,
H. (1965) Notice de la carte de Madagascar. Institut français de Pondichéry, Pondichéry.

540 Keenan, R.J., Reams, G.A., Achard, F., de Freitas, J.V., Grainger, A. & Lindquist, E.

⁵⁴¹ (2015) Dynamics of global forest area: Results from the FAO Global Forest Resources

Assessment 2015. Forest Ecology and Management 352, 9 – 20, changes in Global Forest
Resources from 1990 to 2015.

- Kim, D.H., Sexton, J.O., Noojipady, P., Huang, C., Anand, A., Channan, S., Feng, M. &
 Townshend, J.R. (2014) Global, Landsat-based forest-cover change from 1990 to 2000. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 155, 178–193.
- Klein, J. (2002) Deforestation in the Madagascar Highlands Established 'truth' and
 scientific uncertainty. *GeoJournal* 56, 191–199.
- Kull, C.A. (2000) Deforestation, erosion, and fire: degradation myths in the environmental
 history of Madagascar. *Environment and History* 6, 423–450.
- Li, W., MacBean, N., Ciais, P., Defourny, P., Lamarche, C., Bontemps, S., Houghton, R.A.

⁵⁵² & Peng, S. (2018) Gross and net land cover changes in the main plant functional types

- derived from the annual esa cci land cover maps (1992–2015). Earth System Science Data
- **10**, 219–234.

Martin, P.A., Newton, A.C. & Bullock, J.M. (2013) Carbon pools recover more quickly
 than plant biodiversity in tropical secondary forests. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 280.

- MEFT, USAID & CI (2009) Evolution de la couverture de forêts naturelles à Madagascar,
 1990-2000-2005.
- Ministère de l'Environnement (1996) IEFN: Inventaire Ecologique Forestier National. Tech.
 rep., Ministère de l'Environnement de Madagascar, Direction des Eaux et Forêts, DFS
 Deutsch Forest Service GmbH, Entreprise d'études de développement rural "Mamokatra", FTM.
- Minten, B., Sander, K. & Stifel, D. (2013) Forest management and economic rents: Evidence from the charcoal trade in Madagascar. *Energy for Sustainable Development* 17, 106 115, special Issue on Charcoal.
- Murcia, C. (1995) Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. Trends
 in Ecology & Evolution 10, 58 62.
- ⁵⁶⁹ Neteler, M. & Mitasova, H. (2008) Open source GIS: a GRASS GIS approach. Springer.
- Olander, L.P., Gibbs, H.K., Steininger, M., Swenson, J.J. & Murray, B.C. (2008) Reference
 scenarios for deforestation and forest degradation in support of REDD: a review of data
 and methods. *Environmental Research Letters* 3, 025011.
- ⁵⁷³ Olofsson, P., Foody, G.M., Herold, M., Stehman, S.V., Woodcock, C.E. & Wulder, M.A.
 (2014) Good practices for estimating area and assessing accuracy of land change. *Remote* ⁵⁷⁵ Sensing of Environment 148, 42 57.
- Olofsson, P., Foody, G.M., Stehman, S.V. & Woodcock, C.E. (2013) Making better use
 of accuracy data in land change studies: Estimating accuracy and area and quantifying
 uncertainty using stratified estimation. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 129, 122 131.
- ONE, DGF, FTM, MNP & CI (2013)Evolution dela couverture 579 forêts naturelles à Madaqascar 2005-2010. https://www.pnae.mg/ de 580 couverture-de-forets-naturelles-2005-2010. 581
- ONE, DGF, MNP, WCS & Etc Terra (2015) Changement de la couverture
 de forêts naturelles à Madagascar, 2005-2010-2013. https://www.pnae.mg/
 couverture-de-forets-naturelles-2005-2010-2013.
- Pearson, R.G. & Raxworthy, C.J. (2009) The evolution of local endemism in Madagascar:
 watershed versus climatic gradient hypotheses evaluated by null biogeographic models.
 Evolution 63, 959–967.
- Pekel, J.F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N. & Belward, A.S. (2016) High-resolution mapping of
 global surface water and its long-term changes. *Nature* 540, 418–422.

⁵⁹⁰ Perrier de La Bâthie, H. (1921) La végétation malgache, vol. 23. Musée Colonial.

⁵⁹¹ Ploch, L. & Cook, N. (2012) Madagascar's Political Crisis.

⁵⁹² Puyravaud, J.P. (2003) Standardizing the calculation of the annual rate of deforestation.
 ⁵⁹³ Forest Ecology and Management 177, 593-596.

⁵⁹⁴ Rakotomala, F., Rabenandrasana, J., Andriambahiny, J., Rajaonson, R., Andriamalala, F.,

⁵⁹⁵ Burren, C., Rakotoarijaona, J., Parany, B., Vaudry, R., Rakotoniaina, S., Ranaivosoa,

R., Rahagalala, P., Randrianary, T. & Grinand, C. (2015) Estimation de la déforestation
des forêts humides à Madagascar entre 2005, 2010 et 2013: combinaison multi-date

d'images LANDSAT, utilisation de l'algorithme Random Forest et procédure de valida-

⁵⁹⁹tion. Revue Française de Photogrammétrie et de Télédétection pp. 11–23.

Riitters, K.H. & Wickham, J.D. (2012) Decline of forest interior conditions in the conterminous united states. *Scientific Reports* **2**, 653.

⁶⁰² Saatchi, S.S., Harris, N.L., Brown, S., Lefsky, M., Mitchard, E.T.A., Salas, W., Zutta,

B.R., Buermann, W., Lewis, S.L., Hagen, S., Petrova, S., White, L., Silman, M. &

Morel, A. (2011) Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three

continents. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **108**, 9899–9904.

Saunders, D.A., Hobbs, R.J. & Margules, C.R. (1991) Biological Consequences of Ecosystem Fragmentation: A Review. *Conservation Biology* 5, 18–32.

Scales, I.R. (2011) Farming at the Forest Frontier: Land Use and Landscape Change in
 Western Madagascar, 1896-2005. Environment and History 17, 499–524.

Smith, R.J., Muir, R.D.J., Walpole, M.J., Balmford, A. & Leader-Williams, N. (2003)
 Governance and the loss of biodiversity. *Nature* 426, 67–70.

Tidd, S.T., Pinder, J. & Ferguson, G.W. (2001) Deforestation and habitat loss for the
 Malagasy flat-tailed tortoise from 1963 through 1993. *Chelonian Conservation and Bi-* ology 4, 59–65.

- Tropek, R., Sedláček, O., Beck, J., Keil, P., Musilová, Z., Šímová, I. & Storch, D. (2014)
 Comment on "High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change". Science
 344, 981–981.
- Tyukavina, A., Baccini, A., Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Stehman, S.V., Houghton, R.A.,
 Krylov, A.M., Turubanova, S. & Goetz, S.J. (2015) Aboveground carbon loss in natural
 and managed tropical forests from 2000 to 2012. *Environmental Research Letters* 10, 074002.
- Tyukavina, A., Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Stehman, S.V., Smith-Rodriguez, K., Okpa,
 C. & Aguilar, R. (2017) Types and rates of forest disturbance in Brazilian Legal Amazon,
 2000–2013. Science Advances 3.

- ⁶²⁵ United Nations (2015) World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision, Key Findings and
 ⁶²⁶ Advance Tables. Working Paper No. ESA/P/WP.241.
- Verhegghen, A., Eva, H., Desclée, B. & Achard, F. (2016) Review and Combination of Re cent Remote Sensing Based Products for Forest Cover Change Assessments in Cameroon.
 International Forestry Review 18, 14–25.
- Vieilledent, G., Gardi, O., Grinand, C., Burren, C., Andriamanjato, M., Camara, C., Gardner, C.J., Glass, L., Rasolohery, A., Rakoto Ratsimba, H., Gond, V. & Rakotoarijaona,
 J.R. (2016) Bioclimatic envelope models predict a decrease in tropical forest carbon
- stocks with climate change in Madagascar. Journal of Ecology 104, 703–715.
- Vieilledent, G., Grinand, C. & Vaudry, R. (2013) Forecasting deforestation and carbon
 emissions in tropical developing countries facing demographic expansion: a case study
 in Madagascar. *Ecology and Evolution* 3, 1702–1716.
- ⁶³⁷ Virah-Sawmy, M. (2009) Ecosystem management in Madagascar during global change.
 ⁶³⁸ Conservation Letters 2, 163–170.
- Vogt, P. & Riitters, K. (2017) GuidosToolbox: universal digital image object analysis.
 European Journal of Remote Sensing 50, 352–361.
- Vorontsova, M.S., Besnard, G., Forest, F., Malakasi, P., Moat, J., Clayton, W.D., Ficinski,
- P., Savva, G.M., Nanjarisoa, O.P., Razanatsoa, J., Randriatsara, F.O., Kimeu, J.M.,
- Luke, W.R.Q., Kayombo, C. & Linder, H.P. (2016) Madagascar's grasses and grasslands:
- anthropogenic or natural? Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological
 Sciences 283.

646 9 Tables

Table 1: Change in natural forest cover and deforestation rates from 1953 to 2014 in Madagascar. Areas are provided in thousands of hectares (Kha). Forest map for the year 1973 has 3.3 Mha of unclassified areas due to the presence of clouds on satellite images. As a consequence, partial deforestation rates for the periods 1953-1973 and 1973-1990 are computed based on the available forest extent. The last two columns indicate the annual deforested areas and annual deforestation rates on the previous time-period (e.g. 1953-1973 for year 1973, 1973-1990 for year 1990, etc.).

Year	Forest (Kha)	Unmap (Kha)	Annual defor. (Kha/yr)	Rate $(\%/yr)$
1953	15,968	0	-	-
1973	14,243	$3,\!317$	86	0.6
1990	10,762	0	205	1.6
2000	9,879	0	88	0.8
2005	$9,\!668$	0	42	0.4
2010	9,320	0	70	0.7
2014	8,925	0	99	1.1

Table 2: Comparing Madagascar forest cover estimates with previous studies on the period 1953-2014. We compared our estimates of forest cover with the estimates from three previous studies (Harper *et al.*, 2007; MEFT *et al.*, 2009; ONE *et al.*, 2015). Areas are provided in thousands of hectares (Kha). We obtained a Pearson's correlation coefficient of 0.99 between our forest cover estimates and forest cover estimates from previous studies. The increase in mangrove and spiny forest covers from 1953 to 1973 in Harper *et al.* (2007) and our study is most probably due to differences in forest definition and mapping methods between the 1953 aerial-photography derived map and the 1973 Landsat image derived map.

Forest type	Source	1953	1973	1990	2000	2005	2010	2013	2014
Total	Harper2007	15,996	14,173	10,606	8,982	-	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	$10,\!650$	$9,\!678$	9,413	-	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	$9,\!451$	8,977	8,486	-
	this study	$15,\!968$	$14,\!243$	10,762	$9,\!879$	$9,\!668$	9,320	9,051	8,925
Moist	Harper2007	8,766	$6,\!876$	5,234	4,167	-	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	$5,\!271$	4,788	4,700	-	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	$4,\!556$	$4,\!457$	$4,\!345$	-
	this study	$8,\!578$	$6,\!990$	$5,\!270$	4,872	4,768	4,633	$4,\!470$	4,410
Dry	Harper2007	4,252	4,028	2,712	$2,\!457$	-	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	$3,\!321$	$3,\!085$	3,028	-	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	3,223	2,970	$2,\!679$	-
	this study	4,762	$4,\!435$	$3,\!225$	$2,\!941$	$2,\!881$	2,735	$2,\!642$	$2,\!596$
Spiny	Harper2007	$2,\!978$	3,030	2,420	2,132	-	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	2,124	$1,\!872$	1,757	-	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	$1,\!682$	1,559	1,467	-
	this study	$2,\!463$	2,583	$2,\!055$	1,858	1,811	1,744	1,731	1,713
Mangroves	Harper2007	-	-	240	226	-	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	174	171	170	-
	this study	143	200	181	178	177	177	177	177

Table 3: Comparing Madagascar annual deforestation rates with previous studies on the period 1953-2013. Annual deforested areas (in thousands of hectares per year, Kha/yr) and annual deforestation rates (second number in parenthesis, in %/yr) are provided. For deforestation rates in %/yr, exact same numbers as in scientific articles and reports from previous studies (Harper *et al.*, 2007; MEFT *et al.*, 2009; ONE *et al.*, 2015) have been reported. The way annual deforestation rates in %/yr have been computed in these previous studies can slightly differ from one study to another, but estimates always correct for the potential presences of clouds on satellite images and unclassified areas on forest maps. Annual deforested areas in Kha/yr have been recomputed from forest cover estimates in Tab. 2 (except for Harper *et al.* (2007) for the periods 1973-1990 and 1990-2000 for which annual deforested areas in Kha/yr were derived from numbers reported in the original publication, see methods) and do not correct for the potential presence of clouds.

Forest type	Source	1953 - 1973	1973-1990	1990-2000	2000-2005	2005-2010	2010-2013
Total	Harper2007	91 (0.3)	200(1.7)	81 (0.9)	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	97(0.8)	53(0.5)	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	95(1.2)	164 (1.5)
	this study	86(0.6)	205(1.6)	88~(0.9)	42(0.4)	70~(0.7)	90(1.0)
Moist	Harper2007	94(0.6)	87 (1.7)	32(0.8)	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	48(0.8)	17(0.4)	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	20(0.5)	37(0.9)
	this study	79(1.0)	101 (1.6)	40(0.8)	21 (0.4)	27(0.6)	54(1.2)
Dry	Harper2007	11 (0.2)	77(1.9)	20(0.7)	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	24(0.7)	11(0.4)	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	51(1.8)	97(2.3)
	this study	16(0.4)	71(1.9)	28(0.9)	12(0.4)	29(1.0)	31(1.1)
Spiny	Harper2007	-3 (-0.1)	36(1.2)	28(1.2)	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	25(1.2)	23(1.2)	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	25(1.7)	31(1.7)
	this study	-6 (-0.2)	31(1.3)	20(1.0)	9(0.5)	13(0.7)	4(0.3)
Mangroves	Harper2007	-	-	1 (0.2)	-	-	-
	MEFT2009	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ONE2015	-	-	-	-	0 (0.3)	0 (0.2)
	this study	-3 (-1.7)	1 (0.6)	0 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

Table 4: Change in forest fragmentation from 1953 to 2014 in Madagascar. Five forest fragmentation classes, based on the percentage of forest in the neighborhood, are defined: 0-20% (highly fragmented), 21-40%, 41-60%, 61-80% and 81-100% (lowly fragmented). The percentage of forest falling in each forest fragmentation class is reported for each year. Forest areas are provided in thousands of hectares (Kha).

Year	Forest (Kha)	0-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100
1953	15,968	0	1	8	12	78
1973	$14,\!243$	6	9	12	16	57
1990	10,762	7	10	13	17	53
2000	$9,\!879$	7	11	14	17	51
2005	$9,\!673$	8	11	14	18	49
2010	9,320	8	12	15	18	47
2014	8,925	9	13	16	19	44

647 10 Figures



Figure 1: Ecoregions and forest types in Madagascar. Madagascar can be divided into four climatic ecoregions with four forest types: the moist forest in the East (green), the dry forest in the West (orange), the spiny forest in the South (red), and the mangroves on the West coast (blue). Ecoregions were defined following climatic (Cornet, 1974) and vegetation (Ministère de l'Environnement, 1996) criteria. The dark grey areas represent the remaining natural forest cover for the year 2014. Forest types are defined on the basis of their belonging to one of the four ecoregions.



Figure 2: Forest cover change on six decades from 1953 to 2014 in Madagascar. forest cover changes from 1973 to 2014 are shown in the main figure, and forest cover in 1953 is shown in the bottom-right inset. Two zooms in the western dry (left part) and eastern moist (right part) ecoregions present more detailed views of (from top to bottom): forest cover in 1953, forest cover change from 1973 to 2014, forest fragmentation in 2014 and distance to forest edge in 2014. Data on water bodies (blue) and water seasonality (light blue for seasonal water to dark blue for permanent water) has been extracted from Pekel *et al.* (2016).



Figure 3: Change in distance to forest edge from 1953 to 2014 in Madagascar. Black dots represent the mean distance to forest edge for each year. Vertical dashed segments represent the 90% quantiles (5% and 95%) of the distance to forest edge. Horizontal dashed grey line indicates a distance to forest edge of 100 m. Numbers at the bottom of each vertical segments are the percentage of forest at a distance to forest edge lower than 100 m for each year.

⁶⁴⁸ 11 Supplementary material



Figure A1: Selection of the tree cover threshold to define the land type for unclassified areas in 2000. We considered the forest in 2000 (Harper *et al.*, 2007) in the moist ecoregion (see Fig. 1). We plotted the percentage of forest having a tree cover greater or equal to a given value specified by the x-axis. From this figure, we see that 90% of the moist forest in 2000 has a tree cover ≥ 75 .