aPKC-mediated displacement and actomyosin-mediated retention

polarize Miranda in *Drosophila* neuroblasts

Matthew Hannaford[1], Anne Ramat[1] , Nicolas Loyer[1] and Jens Januschke[1#]

[1]Cell & Developmental Biology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

correspondence: j.januschke@dundee.ac.uk

SUMMARY

Cell fate generation can rely on the unequal distribution of molecules during

progenitor cell division in the nervous system of vertebrates and

invertebrates. Here we address asymmetric fate determinant localization in

the developing *Drosophila* nervous system, focusing on the control of

asymmetric Miranda distribution in mitotic larval neuroblasts. Two models

have been put forward to explain Miranda asymmetry. One proposed that

actomyosin drives basal Miranda localization. Later, it was proposed that

spatially controlled aPKC dependent phosphorylation of Mira can explain its

polarized localization. We reveal now that both the actomyosin network and

aPKC phosphorylation of Miranda are required, but operate at distinct phases

of the cell cycle. Using live imaging of neuroblast polarity reporters at

endogenous levels of expression we show that displacement of Miranda by

phospho-regulation by aPKC at the onset of mitosis and differential binding to

the actomyosin cortex after nuclear envelope breakdown establishes

asymmetric Miranda localization.

INTRODUCTION

The development of the central nervous system depends on asymmetric cell divisions for the balanced production of progenitor as well as differentiating cells. During vertebrate and invertebrate neurogenesis, cell fates can be established through the unequal inheritance of cortical domains or fate determinants during asymmetric progenitor divisions (Knoblich 2008; Doe 2008; Marthiens & ffrench-Constant 2009; Alexandre et al. 2010).

An important step in asymmetric cell division is the establishment of a polarity axis, which is crucial to coordinate unequal fate determinant segregation. Asymmetrically dividing *Drosophila* neuroblasts (NBs) establish an axis of polarity at the onset of mitosis. Like in many other polarized cells, this depends on the activity of the Par complex (Goldstein & Macara 2007). As NBs enter prophase, the Par complex including Par3/Bazooka (Baz), aPKC and Par-6 assembles at the apical NB pole, which drives the localization of fate determinants to the basal NB pole (Wodarz et al. 1999; Wodarz et al. 2000; Rolls et al. 2003; Petronczki & Knoblich 2001; Betschinger et al. 2003; Choksi et al. 2006; Doe et al. 1991; Lu et al. 1998; Uemura et al. 1989; Knoblich et al. 1995; Homem & Knoblich 2012; Prehoda 2009; C.-Y. Lee et al. 2006; Bowman et al. 2008).

Upon self-renewing asymmetric NB division, the fate determinants segregate to the daughter cells, which will commit to differentiation. For this to happen correctly, two adapter proteins, Partner of Numb (Pon, (Lu et al. 1998)) and Miranda (Mira, (Ikeshima-Kataoka et al. 1997; Shen et al. 1997)), are

required. While Pon localizes the Notch signaling regulator Numb (Lu et al.

1998), Mira localizes the homeobox transcription factor Pros and the

translational repressor Brat to the basal NB cortex in mitosis (Ikeshima-

Kataoka et al. 1997) (Betschinger et al. 2006; C.-Y. Lee et al. 2006). In the

absence of Mira, fate determination is impaired and tumor-like growth can

occur in larval NB lineages (Ikeshima-Kataoka et al. 1997; Caussinus &

Gonzalez 2005).

How polarized Mira localization in mitotic NBs is achieved is a long-standing

question and despite being a well-studied process, this mechanism is not fully

understood. In embryonic NBs, Mira localization requires actin (Shen et al.

1998) and myosin activity since mutation in the myosin regulatory light chain

spaghetti squash (*sqh,* (Barros et al. 2003)) or the Myosin VI gene *jaguar*

(Petritsch et al. 2003) have been reported to lead to Mira localization defects.

Furthermore, in embryos injected with the Rho kinase (ROCK) inhibitor Y-

27632, Mira was uniformly localized to the cortex, which was rescued by the

expression of a phospho-mimetic *sqh* allele. This led to the proposal that

Myosin II plays a critical role in Mira localization and aPKC affects Mira

localization indirectly through regulating Myosin II (Barros et al. 2003).

However, it was then shown that Y-27632 can inhibit aPKC directly and that

Mira is a substrate of aPKC (Atwood & Prehoda 2009; Wirtz-Peitz et al. 2008).

In fact many aPKC substrates including Numb and Mira contain a basic and

hydrophobic (BH) motif that can be phosphorylated by aPKC. Upon

phosphorylation the substrates are no longer able to directly bind

phospholipids of the plasma membrane (PM) (Bailey & Prehoda 2015; Smith

et al. 2007; Dong et al. 2015).

Asymmetric Mira localization in mitotic NBs can in principle be explained by

keeping the activity of aPKC restricted to the apical pole (Atwood & Prehoda

2009). While this model offers a straightforward explanation for uniform Mira

in *apkc* mutant NBs, it is less clear what the contribution of the actomyosin

network to polarized Mira localization is. An untested possibility is that

actomyosin acts as a stabilizing element for Mira basal localization in mitosis

as suspected for aPKC substrates in other contexts (Bailey & Prehoda 2015).

Intriguingly, Mira localizes uniformly to the cortex of larval brain NBs in

interphase (Sousa-Nunes et al. 2009) before becoming basally restricted in

mitosis. In embryonic NBs, Mira and its cargo Pros localize to the apical cortex

(Spana & Doe 1995) and Pros is found in the nucleus of interphase *mira*

mutant NBs (Matsuzaki et al. 1998). Given that the levels of nuclear Pros in

NBs is important for the regulation of entry and exit from quiescence and NB

differentiation (Lai & Doe 2014), the regulation of cortical Mira in interphase

might be important in this context. However, differences or similarities in the

regulation and parameters of Mira binding in interphase and mitosis and the

transition between the two different localizations have not yet been

examined.

Using fluorescent reporters of the Par complex and Mira at endogenous levels

of expression, we now reveal that cortical Mira localization is differently

controlled in interphase, where it uniformly binds to the PM and after nuclear

envelope breakdown (NEB), when actomyosin activity is required. Therefore,

by integrating the temporal order of events our results suggest that both

phosphoregulation by aPKC and differential binding to the actin cortex are

required for asymmetric Mira localization, but operate a different phases of

the cell cycle.

RESULTS

Uniform Miranda is cleared from the cortex during prophase and

Miranda reappears asymmetrically after NEB

We first confirmed in larval NBs that Mira localizes uniformly to the cortex in

interphase (**Figure 1A**) and co-localizes with its cargo Pros in interphase and

mitosis (**Figure 1 supplement 1**). We further stained *mira^{L44}* NBs for Mira

and Pros. In these cells, Pros is predominantly found in the nucleus in

interphase (**Figure 1 supplement 1**). These results suggest that Mira is

required to keep Pros out of the NB nucleus throughout the cell cycle. We

therefore sought to address the regulation of cortical Mira in interphase and

mitosis and the transition between these localizations.

To accurately monitor *in vivo* the dynamics of this transition, we used a BAC

construct in which Mira was tagged at its C-terminus with mCherry ((Ramat et

al. 2017) and **Figure 1 supplement 2**). This tagged Mira recapitulated

uniform cortical localization in interphase (**Figure 1B**, **-**33 to NEB) and

polarized localization to the basal pole in mitosis (**Figure 1B**, +4), with a 2.5-

fold increase in intensity (n=5, **Figure 1B'**).

This transition occurred in two distinct steps. Firstly, during prophase, after

Mira was rapidly excluded from the apical pole where Baz started localizing

(**Figure 1B,B' -**8 to NEB), Mira was progressively cleared from most of the

rest of the cortex in an apical-to-basal direction (**Figure 1B,B'** -4 to NEB).

Secondly, following NEB, Mira reappeared at the cortex in a basal crescent

(**Figure 1B,B'** +4 after NEB). We further recapitulated these steps by

antibody staining of endogenous, non-tagged Mira and using overexpression

of GFP::Mira (**Figure 1 supplement 3**). Thus, Mira is first cleared form most

of the cortex during prophase and only upon NEB reappears in a basal

crescent (**Figure. 1C**). Furthermore, Baz and aPKC cortical dynamics were

similar (related to **Movie S1** (Baz/Mira) and **Movie S2** (aPKC/Mira)).

Actomyosin is required for the establishment and maintenance of

Miranda crescents after NEB

In embryonic NBs, Mira localization is sensitive to F-actin disruption in mitosis

(Shen et al. 1998). We reasoned that this could be due to defective Mira

clearance in prophase and/or defective Mira reappearance at the basal cortex

after NEB. We tested this by disrupting the actin network of larval NBs with

Latrunculin A (LatA). Despite efficiently disrupting F-actin (**Figure 2**

supplement) and causing cytokinesis failure (**Figure 2A,** 3:02, related to

Movie S3), LatA treatment affected neither uniform cortical localization of

Mira in interphase (**Figure 2A,** 2:06) nor its clearance during prophase

(**Figure 2A,** 2:21). In contrast, Mira failed to relocalize to a basal crescent

following NEB in LatA-treated NBs (**Figure 2A,** 2:33). We next tested

whether, in addition to crescent establishment, F-Actin controlled crescents

maintenance. To this end, we arrested NBs with colcemid in metaphase (at

which point Mira crescents are established), after which we treated them with

LatA. Again, LatA caused Mira to relocalize to the cytoplasm (**Figure 2A**).

Importantly, this relocalization is unlikely to be due to Mira being "swept off"

the cortex by aPKC, which like Baz redistributes from an apical crescent to the

entire cortex following LatA treatment (**Figure 2 supplement**). Unlike the

gradual apical-to-basal clearance of Mira during prophase (**Figure 1B**), basal

Mira crescents fall off the cortex homogenously upon LatA treatment.

Furthermore, Mira falls off the cortex 2.8±1min (n=13) before aPKC becomes

detectable basally (**Movie S4, Figure 2 supplement**). Therefore, an intact

actin network is required to establish and maintain asymmetric Mira

localization in mitotic larval NBs.

Myosin activity has been proposed to be required for Mira localization

(Petritsch et al. 2003; Barros et al. 2003). We tested next which step of Mira

localization involved Myosin. Myosin motor activity relies on the

phosphoregulation regulatory light chain, encoded by the *sqh* gene in

Drosophila (Jordan & Karess 1997), which we disrupted by applying ML-7, a

specific inhibitor of the myosin light chain kinase (MLCK, (Bain et al. 2003)).

As with LatA, the ML-7 treatment in cycling neuroblasts affected neither

uniform cortical localization of Mira in interphase (**Figure 2B,** 0') nor its

clearance during prophase (**Figure 2B,** 138-278') but resulted in failure to

establish a basal crescent after NEB, which was restored upon drug washout

(**Figure 2B**, 328'). In colcemid-arrested NBs, the ML-7 treatment also

resulted in Mira redistributing from the basal crescent to the cytoplasm, which

was restored upon ML-7 washout. Furthermore, unlike LatA treatment, ML-7

did not cause the Par complex to redistribute to the entire cortex (**Figure 2C,**

Movie S5). Finally, as in (Das & Storey 2014), we demonstrated the

specificity of the effect of ML-7 by counteracting its effect with a

phosphomimetic version of Myosin regulatory light chain: the overexpression

of a phosphomimetic version of Sqh (Sqh^{EE}, (Winter et al. 2001) significantly

delayed loss of cortical Mira after ML-7 addition in colcemid arrested NBs

(**Figure 2D, Movie S6**).

In conclusion, the contribution of F-Actin (Shen et al. 1998) and Myosin

(Petritsch et al. 2003; Barros et al. 2003) to asymmetric Mira localization is

linked to the relocalization of Mira and its maintenance at the basal cortex

following NEB, but not to the control of uniform cortical localization in

interphase or to clearance of Mira during prophase.

Defective clearance of interphase cortical Miranda by aPKC results in

the persistence of uniform Miranda in mitosis.

NBs mutant for aPKC also fail to asymmetrically localize Mira in mitosis (Rolls

et al. 2003), prompting us to investigate which aspect of Mira localization

involved aPKC in larval NBs. Like in controls, Mira localized uniformly to the

cortex of *apkc^{k06403}* (Wodarz et al. 2000) mutant NBs in interphase. However,

it did not clear from the cortex during prophase and instead remained

uniformly localized throughout mitosis (**Movie S7**, **Figure 3A**). Additionally,

expressing a constitutively active form of aPKC, aPKC$^{\Delta N}$, resulted in the loss of

uniform cortical Mira binding in interphase (**Figure 3 supplement**). These

results show that during prophase, aPKC negatively regulates the uniform

cortical localization of Mira observed in interphase. They also suggest that the

failure to asymmetrically localize Mira in mitosis in aPKC mutants is due to

defective clearance in prophase resulting in the abnormal persistence of

uniform cortical Mira throughout mitosis.

We reasoned that, if this were the case, Mira localization in interphase should

have the same characteristics as Mira localization in metaphase upon loss of

function of aPKC. Consistent with this view, unlike Mira localization in mitosis,

but similar to Mira localization in interphase (**Figure 2A**), Mira localization to

the cortex in mitosis was insensitive to LatA treatment in NBs depleted for

aPKC (**Figure 3 supplement**). To corroborate these results we used

fluorescence redistribution after photo-bleaching (FRAP) to analyze the lateral

diffusion/cytoplasmic exchange dynamics of Mira (**Figure 3B**). In controls,

Mira redistributed about three times faster in interphase compared to mitosis

(**Figure 3C, C'**), suggesting that Mira dynamics are indeed a relevant

characteristic to analyze cell cycle-dependent control of Mira localization. Yet,

in apparent contradiction with our proposition, aPKC impairment did not result

in Mira redistribution in mitosis becoming as fast as in interphase. Instead, in

NBs depleted for aPKC or expressing Lgl^{3A}, known to inhibit aPKC

(Betschinger et al. 2003), while Mira recovery became faster than in control

mitosis, it did not become as fast as in control interphase (**Figure 3C, C'**).

However, changes in the actin network caused by progression through the

cell cycle (Ramanathan et al. 2015) can influence dynamics of membrane-

associated proteins in general (Heinemann et al. 2013). This is also the case

in NBs, as a photo-convertible membrane-associated reporter that attaches to

the entire NB PM via a myristoylation signal (myr-Eos) showed similar slowing

of dynamics in mitosis compared to interphase ($\sim$four-fold, **Figure 3D, CD'**).

Thus, this general cell cycle-driven change of dynamics may account for the

difference between Mira redistribution in interphase and in mitosis in aPKC-

impaired NBs. We tested this by cancelling this general change by treating

mitotic NBs with LatA, which resulted as expected in myr-Eos dynamics falling

into ranges similar to interphase (**Figure 3D, D'**) and, in aPKC-impaired NBs,

resulted in Mira redistribution rates getting as fast as in interphase (**Figure**

3C, C'). Importantly, myr-Eos dynamics were not sensitive to Lgl[3A]

overexpression alone, arguing against the possibility that aPKC impairment

causes general changes in the cortex that could explain accelerated

redistribution of Mira in this condition.

In conclusion, instead of being cleared, Mira persists throughout mitosis with

the same actin-insensitive uniform localization and the same dynamics as in

interphase in *apkc* mutant NBs.

Miranda binds uniformly to the plasma membrane in interphase

In *Drosophila* S2 cells Mira binds directly to phospholipids of the PM via its BH motif and the ability to bind the PM is abolished upon phosphorylation of this motif by aPKC (Bailey & Prehoda, 2015). Our results, that Mira cortical localization in interphase is actin-independent and that its clearance in prophase is aPKC dependent, suggest that that in interphase Mira is retained uniformly at the PM by its BH motif.

Five aPKC phosphorylation sites have been identified in Mira, only one of which (S96) directly resides in the BH motif (Bailey & Prehoda 2015). To investigate its influence on dynamic Mira localization in neuroblasts, we used CrispR to generate mCherry-tagged control Mira (S96, ctrl), a phosphomutant version (S96A), a phosphomimetic version (S96D) - shown *in vitro* to reduce phospholipid binding and Mira recruitment to the PM when overexpressed in S2 cells (Bailey & Prehoda 2015) - and a complete deletion of the BH motif (ΔBH, **Figure 4A**).

Control Mira localized uniformly to the cortex in interphase, was cleared from the cortex in prophase and reappeared as a basal crescent after NEB (**Figure 4A, Movie S8**). In contrast, although phosphomutant Mira[S96A] localized uniformly to the cortex in interphase, it was not cleared in prophase and failed to localize asymmetrically following NEB (**Figure 4A**, **Movie S9**), consistent with our hypothesis that aPKC-dependent Mira clearance is caused by the phosphorylation of its BH motif. Unexpectedly, Mira[S96A] also presented a transient apical enrichment during prophase, perhaps explainable by abnormally stable interactions with apically localized aPKC, caused by the

inability of aPKC to phosphorylate Mira^{S96A}. Nonetheless, our hypothesis is

further supported by the dynamics of phosphomimetic Mira^{S96D}, which were

the mirror image of Mira^{S96A}: Mira^{S96D} did not localize to the cortex in

interphase (and instead accumulated predominantly on cortical microtubules,

as evidenced by its relocalization to the cytoplasm upon colcemid treatment

(**Figure 4B**), and localized asymmetrically at the cortex following NEB

(**Figure 4A**, **Movie S10**). Finally, deletion of the BH motif abolished both

uniform cortical localization in interphase and asymmetric cortical localization

after NEB (**Figure 4A**, **Movie S11,** see **Figure 4C** for quantification and

Figure 4D for summary of the main localization of the different Mira

mutants).

Therefore, our findings are consistent with Mira being bound via its BH motif

to the phospholipids of the PM in interphase, and that phosphorylation of this

motif by aPKC in prophase disrupts this interaction as observed in *Drosophila*

S2 cells (Bailey & Prehoda, 2015).

Mira crescent size is affected by a Y-27632-sensitive mechanism

that operates before NEB

So far, our results demonstrate that Mira binds the PM uniformly in

interphase, is cleared from there by aPKC at the onset of prophase, but

requires actomyosin upon NEB. This raises the question whether aPKC

contributes to Mira asymmetric localization after NEB.

Temporal inactivation can be achieved with temperature sensitive (ts) alleles

or small molecule inhibition. We find that the available ts allele of aPKC

(Guilgur et al. 2012) is hypomorphic already at permissive temperature

resulting in Mira localization defects (not shown). Therefore, we made use of

the non-specific effects of the ROCK inhibitor Y-27632, which inhibits aPKC

with an IC50 of 10µM (Atwood & Prehoda 2009). When we added 50µM of Y-

27632 to already polarized NBs, after 1h in the presence of the drug, we did

not detect any significant changes in Mira or aPKC crescent size (n=22,

Figure 5A, and **Figure 5 supplement**).

In striking contrast, when we added half that dose to cycling NBs, while aPKC

crescent size was comparable to controls (**Fig 5 supplement**) Mira crescents

formed, but were significantly enlarged. As a consequence daughter cell size

was also increased (n=12, **Figure 5B, C**). Intriguingly, such enlarged

crescents were LatA and ML-7 sensitive (**Figure 5D**), both indicators that

enlarged Mira crescents were not due lack of phosphorylation of Mira by aPKC

(**Figure 3** and **Figure 3 supplement**). However, when we titrated the Y-

27632 concentration to induce uniform cortical Mira when added to cycling

NBs, Mira became uniformly cortical and was insensitive to LatA treatment,

suggesting that 200µM Y-27632 can lead to inhibition of Mira phosphorylation

by aPKC at elevated concentrations (**Movie S12**, n=25).

We therefore treated colcemid arrested NBs with 200µM Y-27632.

Surprisingly, it took 52±11min (n=15) until faint Mira signal became on

average detectable apically, nevertheless Mira distribution remained always

biased towards the basal pole in the presence of Y-27632. However, this

asymmetry was lost when we additionally added LatA after ~60min in Y-

27632, which caused Mira to become uniformly distributed on the membrane

(**Figure 5E**, **Movie 13**, n=15).

We conclude that Y-27632 at 200µM can inhibit aPKC's ability to

phosphorylate Mira. At lower concentrations (25µM) Y-27632 also has an

effect on Mira crescent size, but only before NEB, revealing a Y-27632-

sensitive step that is unlikely to reflect aPKC inhibition. Furthermore, aPKC

might contribute to Mira asymmetry after NEB by excluding Mira from the

apical cortex, but a LatA sensitive biased distribution of Mira remains.

Discussion

In this study, we reinvestigated *in vivo* the precise contributions of aPKC and

actomyosin to the asymmetric localization of the cell fate determinant adapter

Mira. Using live cell imaging of *Drosophila* larval neuroblasts and fluorescent

reporters at endogenous levels of expression, we reveal that aPKC and

actomyosin contribute differently to Mira localization, at two different steps of

mitosis. Live imaging was critical to this finding, as it allowed us to precisely

describe the transition from the uniform localization of Mira at the cortex in

interphase and its asymmetric localization in mitosis. We observed that it

occurred in two steps: first, Mira was cleared from most of the cortex during

prophase; second, Mira came back to the cortex as a basal crescent following

NEB. We then proceeded to assess the relative contributions of aPKC and

actomyosin to these steps (**Figure 1**).

In interphase Mira binds uniformly to the NB plasma membrane. We

engineered phosphomimetic and phosphomutant alleles of Mira to further

show that, as described in *Drosophila* S2 cells (Bailey & Prehoda, 2015),

aPKC-dependent clearance of Mira occurred through the phosphorylation of

its BH motif. Importantly, the Mira^{S96A} phosphomutant, in which, among the

five aPKC phosphorylation sites of Mira, only the one in the BH motif was

affected, recapitulated the uniform localization (**Figure 4)** of a Mira

phosphomutant of all five sites in neuroblasts in metaphase (Atwood &

Prehoda 2009). The possible contribution of the four sites outside the BH

motif remains to be investigated. Interestingly, deletion of the entire BH motif

resulted in microtubule association of Mira in interphase. In addition to

binding to phospholipids, Mira has been shown to be able to bind to

microtubules (Chang et al. 2011) and we propose that Mira is simply

sequestered at the PM in interphase, and when interfering with the ability to

interact with one binding partner this might result in higher of Mira for

another.

The transition from uniform PM binding to asymmetric basal localization is

triggered from prophase, where aPKC activity removes Mira's ability to bind

the PM uniformly (**Figure1, 4**). NEB appears to be a critical time point for

basal crescent formation (**Figure 1**), which is in line with the recent finding

that factors released from the nucleus upon NEB are involved in regulating

Mira localization (Zhang et al. 2016).

After NEB we find that actomyosin is important to retain Mira asymmetrically

at the cortex (**Figure 2A-C**). This localization also requires the BH motif, but

it is less sensitive to the S96D mutation, which retains the ability to

asymmetrically bind the cortex (**Figure 4A**). It is possible that basal Mira

localization occurs step-wise and maintenance requires accessory factors.

Actomyosin is one of them and we found recently that maintenance of Mira

asymmetry in mitotic NBs requires interaction of Mira and cognate mRNA

(Ramat et al. 2017). Therefore, BH motif might be required to initiate basal

Mira localization, allowing cytoplasmic Mira to interact with the PM also in

mitosis, triggering its stabilization at the basal pole through accessory

interactions.

Barros et al. (2003) suggested that myosin II is required to exclude Mira from

the apical pole and push it into daughter cells during division. Our results

rather suggest that myosin activity might be required to anchor Mira basally

(**Figure 2C**). ML-7 and Y-27632 are thought to affect myosin activity through

altering myosin light chain phosphorylation. However, the effects of the drugs

on Mira differ. ML-7 affects anchoring of Mira to the basal cortex (**Figure**

2C), while Y-27632 affects Mira crescent size (**Figure 5B-D**). This could be

the result of a combinatorial effect of Y-27632 on aPKC and Rho kinase,

masking loss of anchoring. Furthermore, in MCDK II cells, Y-27632 and ML-7

treatment caused different effects on Myosin light chain phosphorylation

(Watanabe et al. 2007), potentially having different effects on myosin activity.

Furthermore, aPKC might be required after NEB to exclude Mira from the

apical pole (**Figure 5E**). However, at 200µM Y-27632, other processes might

be inhibited as well. Finally, we find that a Y-27632 sensitive step regulating

Mira crescent size. Then enlarged crescents do not appear to be caused by

lack of phosphorylation of Mira by aPKC (**Figure 5A-D**), suggesting that Rho

kinase could be involved in regulating Mira crescent size.

Why two different mechanisms to control Mira cortical binding? It might be

beneficial for the NB to tether Mira at the interphase cortex to sequester Pros,

which did not segregate during division and that might otherwise enter the

nucleus. In this way NBs could be protected from excess levels of fate

determinants, which can drive NBs out of the cell cycle (Choksi et al. 2006).

Nuclear Pros levels are also developmentally controlled, which has been

linked to the regulation of quiescence and NB differentiation (Lai & Doe

2014).

In larval NBs the regulation of nuclear Pros involves Hedgehog signaling and

the transcription factor *grainy head* (Chai et al. 2013; Maurange et al. 2008).

However, the cell biology underpinning this signaling is not clear. RanGEF BJ1

promotes Pros nuclear exclusion (Joy et al. 2014), but it is not clear whether

this is a direct effect. The ability to differentially regulate Mira localization

might be important in this context. Being able to regulate Mira's interaction

with the PM and at the actomyosin cortex might allow the system to release

the fate determinants in a controllable manner in the GMC while being able to

tune cortical/nuclear Pros levels through regulation of uniform Mira

localization to the plasma membrane in interphase.

Materials & Methods

Fly stocks and genetics

Flies were reared on standard corn meal food at 25 degrees. Lines used:

(1) Baz::GFP trap (Buszczak et al. 2007); (2) w^{1118} (Bloomington); (3)

MARCM: hsFlp tubGal4 UASnlsGFP; FRT42B tubGal80/Cyo and FRT82B gal80

(T. Lee & Luo 1999); (4) worniu-Gal4 (Albertson et al. 2004); (5) UAS-

Lgl^{3A}::GFP (Wirtz-Peitz et al. 2008); (6) UAS-Lgl^{3A} (Betschinger et al. 2003);

(7)*w1118, y,w, hsp70-flp; tubP-FRT>cd2>FRT-Gal4, UAS-GFP (Gift from* M.

Gho); (8) Mz1061 (Ito et al. 1995); (9) UAS-GFP::Mira (Mollinari et al. 2002).

(10) FRT82B mira^{L44} (Matsuzaki et al. 1998). (10) Df(3R)ora^{I9} (Shen et al.

1997). (11) UAS-aPKC^{RNAi}: *P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMS01320}attP2*

(BL#34332)*; (12)* Numb::GFP (Couturier et al. 2013); (13) FRT42B apkc^{k06403}

(Wodarz et al. 2000)*;* (14) UAS-aPKC^{ΔN}; (15) P{UASp-sqh.E20E21}3

(BL#64411); (16) P{10xUAS-IVS-mye::tdEos]attP2 (BL #32226); y[1] w[*];

P{y[+t*] w[+mC]=UAS-Lifeact-Ruby}VIE-19A (BL# 35545); (17) aPKC::GFP

(Besson et al. 2015); *Source 1 of Mira::mCherry: BAC{mira::mcherry-MS2}*

(Ramat et al. 2017). aPKC^{RNAi} clones were generated by heat-shocking larvae

of the genotype *y,w, hsp70-flp; tubP-FRT>cd2>FRT-Gal4, UAS-GFP;*

P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMS01320}attP2. Heat shocks were performed

24hph and 48hph for 1 hour at 37ºC. MARCM clones were generated by heat

shocking L1 larvae for 2h at 37˚C.

Generation of Mira alleles: Source 2 of Mira::mCherry: mira^{mCherry};

mira^{ΔBHmCherry} (Ramat et al. 2017), mira$^{S96A-mCherry}$ and mira$^{S96D-mCherry}$ are

derived from mira^{KO} (Ramat et al. 2017). mira^{mCherry} was generated by

inserting a modified wt genomic locus in which mCherry was fused to the C-terminus following a GSAGS linker into $mira^{KO}$. For $mira^{S96D-mCherry}$: TCG (Serine96) was changed to GAC (aspartic acid). For $mira^{S96A-mCherry}$: TCG was replaced with GCG (alanine). CH322-11-P04 was the source for the *mira* sequences cloned using Gibson assembly into the RIV white vector (Baena-Lopez et al. 2013) that was injected using the attP site in $mira^{KO}$ as landing site. $mira^{BACmCherry}$ (Ramat et al. 2017) (**see Figure 1 supplement 2**). While $mira^{mCherry}$ behaves similarly to $mira^{BACmCherry}$ and rescues embryonic lethality, $mira^{\Delta BHmCherry}$, $mira^{S96A-mCherry}$ and $mira^{S96D-mCherry}$ are homozygous lethal.

Live imaging: Live imaging was performed as described (Pampalona et al. 2015). Briefly, brains were dissected in collagenase buffer and incubated in collagenase for 20 minutes. Brains were transferred to a drop of fibrinogen (0.2mgml⁻1, Sigma f-3879) dissolved in Schneider's medium (SLS-04-351Q) on a 25mm Glass bottom dish (WPI). Brains were manually dissociated with needles before the fibrinogen was clotted by addition of thrombin ($100Uml^{-1}$, Sigma T7513). Schneider's medium supplemented with FCS, Fly serum and Insulin was then added. A 3-4µm slice at the centre of the neuroblasts was then imaged every 30-90s using a 100x OIL objective NA1.45 on a spinning disk confocal microscope. Data was processed and analyzed using FIJI (Schindelin et al. 2012). For nuclear volume measurements Imaris was used. All other drugs were added to the media either prior or during imaging: ML-7 (Sigma, I2764, dissolved in water), Y-27632 (Abcam, Ab120129, dissolved in water). Drugs were washed out by media replacement, in the polarity reconstitution assay colcemid concentrations were kept constant throughout the experiments. FRAP experiments were carried out on a Leica SP8 confocal using a 63x NA1.2 APO water immersion objective. To estimate $t_{1/2}$ for the recovery curves we used published curve fitting methods (Rapsomaniki et al. 2012).

Immunohistochemistry

Primary cell culture: Brains were dissected in collagenase buffer and
incubated for 20 minutes in collagenase, as for live imaging. Brains were then
transferred into supplemented Schneider's medium and manually dissociated
by pipetting up and down. Cells were pipetted onto a poly-lysine coated
25mm glass bottomed dish and left to adhere for 40 minutes. Schneider's was
then replaced with 4% Formaldehyde (Sigma) in PBS and cells were fixed for
10 minutes. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% PBS-Triton for 10 minutes.
Cells were then washed with PBS 3x 10minutes before antibody staining
overnight at 4ºC. All antibodies were dissolved in PBS-1%Tween. *Whole*
mount brains: Brains were fixed in 4% Formaldehyde (Sigma) for 20 minutes
at room temperature. Primary antibodies: Rabbit anti-Miranda (1:200, gift
from C. Gonzalez), Mouse anti-GFP (1:400, Abcam). Rabbit anti-Brat (1:200, a
gift from J. Knoblich). Guinea Pig anti-Dpn (1:500 a gift from J. Skeath).
Mouse anti-Pros (1:40, DSHB). To stain F-Actin we used Alexa Fluor 488 or
561 coupled Phalloidin (Molecular Probes, 5:200) for 20 minutes at room
temperature. Secondary antibodies (all from life technologies and raised in
donkey: Anti-Rabbit Alexa-594, Anti-Mouse Alexa-488, Anti-Rabbit Alexa-647,
Anti-Guinea Pig Alexa-647. Microscopy was performed using a Leica-SP8
CLSM (60x Water objective, 1.2) and images were processed using FIJI.

In all cases the sample size *n* provided reflects all samples collected for one
experimental condition. Experimental conditions were repeated at least twice
to account for technical and biological variation.

Acknowledgements
We thank C. Doe, J. Knoblich, F. Schweisguth, D. StJohnston, F. Matsuzaki, C.
Gonzalez, J. Skeath, A. Wodarz, M. Gho and the Kyoto and Bloomington stock
centers for reagents and/or protocols. We thank A. Müller, C. Weijer and M.
Gonzalez-Gaitan for critical reading. M.R.H is supported by an MRC PhD
studentship. We thank inDroso (http://www.indroso.com) for the generation
of *mira^attPko* by CrispR and the Dundee imaging facility for excellent support.

Work in J.J.'s laboratory is supported by Wellcome and the Royal Society Sir Henry Dale fellowship 100031Z/12/Z. The tissue imaging facility is supported by the grant WT101468 from Wellcome.

References

Albertson, R. et al., 2004. Scribble protein domain mapping reveals a multistep localization mechanism and domains necessary for establishing cortical polarity. *Journal of Cell Science*, 117(Pt 25), pp.6061–6070.

Alexandre, P. et al., 2010. Neurons derive from the more apical daughter in asymmetric divisions in the zebrafish neural tube. *Nature Neuroscience*, 13(6), pp.673–679.

Atwood, S.X. & Prehoda, K.E., 2009. aPKC phosphorylates Miranda to polarize fate determinants during neuroblast asymmetric cell division. *Current biology : CB*, 19(9), pp.723–729.

Baena-Lopez, L.A. et al., 2013. Accelerated homologous recombination and subsequent genome modification in Drosophila. *Development*.

Bailey, M.J. & Prehoda, K.E., 2015. Establishment of Par-Polarized Cortical Domains via Phosphoregulated Membrane Motifs. *Developmental Cell*, 35(2), pp.199–210.

Bain, J. et al., 2003. The specificities of protein kinase inhibitors: an update. *The Biochemical journal*, 371(Pt 1), pp.199–204.

Barros, C.S., Phelps, C.B. & Brand, A.H., 2003. Drosophila nonmuscle myosin II promotes the asymmetric segregation of cell fate determinants by cortical exclusion rather than active transport. *Developmental Cell*, 5(6), pp.829–840.

Besson, C. et al., 2015. Planar Cell Polarity Breaks the Symmetry of PAR Protein Distribution prior to Mitosis in Drosophila Sensory Organ Precursor Cells. *Current biology : CB*, 25(8), pp.1104–1110.

Betschinger, J., Mechtler, K. & Knoblich, J.A., 2006. Asymmetric segregation of the tumor suppressor brat regulates self-renewal in Drosophila neural stem cells. *Cell*, 124(6), pp.1241–1253.

Betschinger, J., Mechtler, K. & Knoblich, J.A., 2003. The Par complex directs asymmetric cell division by phosphorylating the cytoskeletal protein Lgl. *Nature Cell Biology*, 422(6929), pp.326–330.

Bowman, S.K. et al., 2008. The tumor suppressors Brat and Numb regulate transit-amplifying neuroblast lineages in Drosophila. *Developmental Cell*, 14(4), pp.535–546.

Buszczak, M. et al., 2007. The carnegie protein trap library: a versatile tool for Drosophila developmental studies. *Genetics*, 175(3), pp.1505–1531.

Caussinus, E. & Gonzalez, C., 2005. Induction of tumor growth by altered stem-cell asymmetric division in Drosophila melanogaster. *Nature Genetics*, 37(10), pp.1125–1129.

Chai, P.C. et al., 2013. Hedgehog signaling acts with the temporal cascade to promote neuroblast cell cycle exit., 11(2), p.e1001494.

Chang, C.-W. et al., 2011. Anterior-posterior axis specification in Drosophila oocytes:
identification of novel bicoid and oskar mRNA localization factors. *Genetics*, 188(4),
pp.883–896.

Choksi, S.P. et al., 2006. Prospero acts as a binary switch between self-renewal and
differentiation in Drosophila neural stem cells. *Developmental Cell*, 11(6), pp.775–789.

Couturier, L., Mazouni, K. & Schweisguth, F., 2013. Numb localizes at endosomes and
controls the endosomal sorting of notch after asymmetric division in Drosophila. *Current*
biology : CB, 23(7), pp.588–593.

Doe, C.Q., 2008. Neural stem cells: balancing self-renewal with differentiation. *Development*,
135(9), pp.1575–1587.

Doe, C.Q. et al., 1991. The prospero gene specifies cell fates in the Drosophila central
nervous system. *Cell*, 65(3), pp.451–464.

Dong, W. et al., 2015. A conserved polybasic domain mediates plasma membrane targeting
of Lgl and its regulation by hypoxia. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 211(2), pp.273–286.

Fuerstenberg, S. et al., 1998. Identification of Miranda protein domains regulating
asymmetric cortical localization, cargo binding, and cortical release. *Molecular and*
cellular neurosciences, 12(6), pp.325–339.

Goldstein, B. & Macara, I.G., 2007. The PAR proteins: fundamental players in animal cell
polarization. *Developmental Cell*, 13(5), pp.609–622.

Guilgur, L.G. et al., 2012. Drosophila aPKC is required for mitotic spindle orientation during
symmetric division of epithelial cells. *Development*, 139(3), pp.503–513.

Homem, C.C.F. & Knoblich, J.A., 2012. Drosophila neuroblasts: a model for stem cell biology.
Development, 139(23), pp.4297–4310.

Ikeshima-Kataoka, H. et al., 1997. Miranda directs Prospero to a daughter cell during
Drosophila asymmetric divisions. *Nature Cell Biology*, 390(6660), pp.625–629.

Ito, K., Urban, J. & Technau, G.M., 1995. Distribution, classification, and development
ofDrosophila glial cells in the late embryonic and early larval ventral nerve cord. *Roux's*
archives of developmental biology, 204(5), pp.284–307.

Jordan, P. & Karess, R., 1997. Myosin light chain-activating phosphorylation sites are required
for oogenesis in Drosophila. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 139(7), pp.1805–1819.

Joy, T., Hirono, K. & Doe, C.Q., 2014. The RanGEF Bj1 promotes prospero nuclear export and
neuroblast self-renewal. *Developmental neurobiology*, pp.n/a–n/a.

Knoblich, J.A., 2008. Mechanisms of asymmetric stem cell division. *Cell*, 132(4), pp.583–597.

Knoblich, J.A., Jan, L.Y. & Jan, Y.N., 1995. Asymmetric segregation of Numb and Prospero
during cell division. *Nature Cell Biology*, 377(6550), pp.624–627.

Kocsis, E. et al., 1991. Image averaging of flexible fibrous macromolecules: the clathrin
triskelion has an elastic proximal segment. *Journal of structural biology*, 107(1), pp.6–14.

Lai, S.-L. & Doe, C.Q., 2014. Transient nuclear Prospero induces neural progenitor
quiescence. *eLife*, 3.

Lee, C.-Y. et al., 2006. Brat is a Miranda cargo protein that promotes neuronal differentiation
and inhibits neuroblast self-renewal. *Developmental Cell*, 10(4), pp.441–449.

Lee, T. & Luo, L., 1999. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker for studies of gene
function in neuronal morphogenesis. *Neuron*, 22(3), pp.451–461.

Lu, B. et al., 1998. Partner of Numb colocalizes with Numb during mitosis and directs Numb
asymmetric localization in Drosophila neural and muscle progenitors. *Cell*, 95(2), pp.225–
235.

Marthiens, V. & ffrench-Constant, C., 2009. Adherens junction domains are split by
asymmetric division of embryonic neural stem cells. *EMBO reports*, 10(5), pp.515–520.

Matsuzaki, F. et al., 1998. miranda localizes staufen and prospero asymmetrically in mitotic
neuroblasts and epithelial cells in early Drosophila embryogenesis. *Development*,
125(20), pp.4089–4098.

Maurange, C., Cheng, L. & Gould, A.P., 2008. Temporal transcription factors and their targets
schedule the end of neural proliferation in Drosophila. *Cell*, 133(5), pp.891–902.

Mollinari, C., Lange, B. & Gonzalez, C., 2002. Miranda, a protein involved in neuroblast
asymmetric division, is associated with embryonic centrosomes of Drosophila
melanogaster. *Biology of the cell / under the auspices of the European Cell Biology
Organization*, 94(1), pp.1–13.

Morais-de-Sá, E., Mirouse, V. & St Johnston, D., 2010. aPKC phosphorylation of Bazooka
defines the apical/lateral border in Drosophila epithelial cells. *Cell*, 141(3), pp.509–523.

Ohshiro, T. et al., 2000. Role of cortical tumour-suppressor proteins in asymmetric division of
Drosophila neuroblast. *Nature Cell Biology*, 408(6812), pp.593–596.

Pampalona, J. et al., 2015. Time-lapse recording of centrosomes and other organelles in
Drosophila neuroblasts. *Methods in cell biology*, 129, pp.301–315.

Petritsch, C. et al., 2003. The Drosophila myosin VI Jaguar is required for basal protein
targeting and correct spindle orientation in mitotic neuroblasts. *Developmental Cell*, 4(2),
pp.273–281.

Petronczki, M. & Knoblich, J.A., 2001. DmPAR-6 directs epithelial polarity and asymmetric cell
division of neuroblasts in Drosophila. *Nature Cell Biology*, 3(1), pp.43–49.

Prehoda, K.E., 2009. Polarization of Drosophila neuroblasts during asymmetric division. *Cold
Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*, 1(2), pp.a001388–a001388.

Ramat, A., Hannaford, M. & Januschke, J., 2017. Maintenance of Miranda localization in
Drosophila Neuroblasts involves interaction with the cognate mRNA. *Current Biology*, in
press.

Rapsomaniki, M.A. et al., 2012. easyFRAP: an interactive, easy-to-use tool for qualitative and
quantitative analysis of FRAP data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 28(13), pp.1800–
1801.

Rolls, M.M. et al., 2003. Drosophila aPKC regulates cell polarity and cell proliferation in
neuroblasts and epithelia. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 163(5), pp.1089–1098.

Schindelin, J. et al., 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature
methods*, 9(7), pp.676–682.

Shen, C.P. et al., 1998. Miranda as a multidomain adapter linking apically localized
Inscuteable and basally localized Staufen and Prospero during asymmetric cell division in
Drosophila. *Genes & Development*, 12(12), pp.1837–1846.

Shen, C.P., Jan, L.Y. & Jan, Y.N., 1997. Miranda is required for the asymmetric localization of
Prospero during mitosis in Drosophila. *Cell*, 90(3), pp.449–458.

Smith, C.A. et al., 2007. aPKC-mediated phosphorylation regulates asymmetric membrane
localization of the cell fate determinant Numb. *The EMBO journal*, 26(2), pp.468–480.

Sousa-Nunes, R., Chia, W. & Somers, W.G., 2009. Protein phosphatase 4 mediates
localization of the Miranda complex during Drosophila neuroblast asymmetric divisions.
Genes & Development, 23(3), pp.359–372.

Spana, E.P. & Doe, C.Q., 1995. The prospero transcription factor is asymmetrically localized
to the cell cortex during neuroblast mitosis in Drosophila. *Development*, 121(10),
pp.3187–3195.

Uemura, T. et al., 1989. numb, a gene required in determination of cell fate during sensory
organ formation in Drosophila embryos. *Cell*, 58(2), pp.349–360.

Watanabe, T., Hosoya, H. & Yonemura, S., 2007. Regulation of myosin II dynamics by
phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of its light chain in epithelial cells. *Molecular
biology of the cell*, 18(2), pp.605–616.

Winter, C.G. et al., 2001. Drosophila Rho-associated kinase (Drok) links Frizzled-mediated
planar cell polarity signaling to the actin cytoskeleton. *Cell*, 105(1), pp.81–91.

Wirtz-Peitz, F., Nishimura, T. & Knoblich, J.A., 2008. Linking cell cycle to asymmetric division:
Aurora-A phosphorylates the Par complex to regulate Numb localization. *Cell*, 135(1),
pp.161–173.

Wodarz, A. et al., 1999. Bazooka provides an apical cue for Inscuteable localization in
Drosophila neuroblasts. *Nature Cell Biology*, 402(6761), pp.544–547.

Wodarz, A. et al., 2000. Drosophila atypical protein kinase C associates with Bazooka and
controls polarity of epithelia and neuroblasts. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 150(6), pp.1361–
1374.

Zhang, F. et al., 2016. Phosphotyrosyl phosphatase activator facilitates localization of Miranda
through dephosphorylation in dividing neuroblasts. *Development*, 143(1), pp.35–44.

656 **Figures & Figure Legends**

Figure 1

Figure 1. Miranda is cleared from the cortex before localizing in a
basal crescent in mitosis. (**A**) Fixed larval brains showing NBs at the
indicated cell cycle stage. (**B**) Selected frames from **Movie S1**. NB in primary
cell culture expressing Baz::GFP (green) and Mira::mCherry (red) in the

transition from interphase to mitosis. Arrowheads point at Mira being cleared (-23) and at basal Mira crescent (+4). (**B'**) Quantification of cortical Mira::mCherry signal plotting the fluorescence intensities from the apical (center of GFP crescent at NEB) to the basal pole (center of mCherry signal at NEB) computationally straightening (Kocsis et al. 1991) the cortices of 5 NBs against the distance in % from the apical to the basal pole. Fluorescence was background subtracted and normalized to background subtracted cytoplasmic signal (1, dotted line). Cortical signal (yellow dotted line) and signal after NEB (green dotted line) Error bars, standard deviation. (**C**) Schematic representation of Mira localization at indicated time points in the cell cycle. *BAC{mira::mcherry-MS2}* was the source of Mira::mCherry. Scale bar 10μm. Time stamp: minutes.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Differential response of Mira localization in interphase and mitosis to disruption of the actin network. (**A**) Stills from **Movie S3**. LatA was added to a cycling NB in primary cell culture expressing Baz::GFP (green) and Mira::mCherry (red). Arrowheads point at cortical Mira after culturing ~1h with LatA (2:06). At 1 min to NEB, Mira::mCherry is cleared from the cortex (2:21). Mira forms no crescent in the next mitosis (2:33), but after cytokinesis fails (note the bi-nucleated cell at 3:02, Baz panel), Mira is recruited to the cortex (arrowheads, 3:02). Bottom panels: Colcemid arrested NBs expressing Baz::GFP and Mira::mCherry. 5µM LatA was added prior to imaging at 15sec. intervals. Mira crescents (arrows) are lost upon LatA treatment. (**B**) Cycling NB in primary cell culture expressing Mira::mCherry, that remains cortical upon ML-7 addition (15µM; interphase: 0' and 138', arrowheads), is cleared 1minute prior to NEB (174'), does not form a crescent after NEB (arrow), but accumulates on the spindle (seen in cross section). After ML-7 washout, a basal Mira::mCherry crescent recovers (arrowhead, 328'). (**C**) Related to **Movie S5.** Colcemid arrested NB in primary cell culture expressing Baz::GFP (green) and Mira::mCherry (red). After addition of 20µM ML-7 Mira (arrowhead, 0') becomes cytoplasmic (arrow, +9'), but upon ML-7 washout a Mira crescent recovers. (**D**) The effect of 20µM ML-7 can be

quenched by overexpressing a phospho-mimetic form Sqh (Sqh^{EE}). Colcemid

arrested NBs (ctrl: Mira::mCherry: SqhEE: Mira::mCherry co-expressing

SqhEE by worniuGal4). Ctrl and SqhEE were co-cultured and ML-7 was added

(related to **Movie S6**). Quantification of the time required to cause

Mira::mCherry to become cytoplasmic shown on the left. Two-tailed ttest for

independent means revealed significance. *BAC{mira::mcherry-MS2}* was the

source of Mira::mCherry. Scale bar: 10µm.

722   **Figure 3**

Figure 3. Lateral diffusion and cytoplasmic exchange of cortical Miranda are different in control and aPKC impaired mitotic NBs. (**A**) Stills from **Movie S7** of an *apkc^{k06403}* mutant NB (MARCM clone labeled with nlsGFP, green) expressing Mira::mCherry (grey). Mira is cortical in interphase, as the NB enters mitosis and after NEB (arrowheads, -20', -1, NEB, +5). (**B**) Conditions analyzed by FRAP. (**C**) Fluorescence redistribution curves of cortical Mira::mCherry at the indicated conditions. (**C'**) Estimates of $t_{1/2}$ [sec.] for cortical Mira::mCherry under the indicated conditions derived from curve fitting (Rapsomaniki et al. 2012). (**D**) Photo conversion experiment monitoring loss of myr-EOS converted signal over time. (**D'**) Estimates of $t_{1/2}$ [sec.] for cortical Mira::mCherry under the indicated conditions from curve fitting. Overexpression was driven by worniu-Gal4. p values: two-tailed ttest for independent means.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Miranda binds to the plasma membrane in interphase NBs via its BH motif (**A**) Schematic indicating the different Mira alleles used. CrispR generated Mira::mCherry localizes cortically uniform in interphase (arrowheads t_1), is cleared from the cortex shortly before NEB and forms a crescent (arrowheads t_3) thereafter that is inherited by daughter cells (related to **Movie S8**). The phosphomutant S96A is uniformly cortical in interphase, accumulates apically shortly before NEB, is uniformly cortical after NEB (arrowheads t_3) and in division (related to **Movie S9**). The phosphomimetic S96D localizes to cortical microtubules in interphase (arrow t_1), is cleared from the cortex before NEB and asymmetric after NEB (arrowheads t_3) and segregates to daughter cells (related to **Movie S10**). Deletion of the BH

motif leads to cortical microtubule localization in interphase (arrow t_1), cytoplasmic localization before and after NEB and reappearance on microtubules around cytokinesis (related to **Movie S11**). (**B**) Neuroblasts expressing the indicated Mira alleles were treated with 50µM colcemid for 60min. Control remains cortical, S96D and ΔBH become cytoplasmic. Cortical localization of S96A is further insensitive to LatA treatment. (**C**) Frequency of indicated localization of the different Mira alleles. (**D**) Schematic representation of the localization of the different Mira alleles. Scale bar:10µm.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Mira crescent size is affected by a Y-27632-sensitive mechanism that operates before NEB. (**A**) Culturing colcemid arrested NBs in 50µM Y-27632 did not alter Mira crescent size (yellow arrowheads). (**B**) NBs polarizing in the presence of 25µM Y-27632 show enlarged Mira crescents. Control division (-62' to -35') with normally sized Mira crescent (bracket in -60', 1). Dividing in the presence of Y-27632 (-3, NEB+1) leads to an enlarged Mira crescent (NEB+1, white arrowheads) and enlarged daughter cell size (+24', brackets, 2). (**C**) Plot of the ratio of daughter cell to NB nuclei

as a measure for the effect of Y-27632 on daughter cell size. NBs expressing
NLSGFP were imaged by DIC to follow daughter cell birth order during three
consecutive divisions [1) pre-treatment; 2) div. in the presence of 25µM Y-
27632; 3) div. after drug washout]. Then a high-resolution z-stack of nlsGFP
was recorded, and the nuclear volumes rendered and calculated using IMARIS
to plot their ratio. *p* values: Dunn's test. Quantification of Mira crescent size in
the experiments indicated. (**D**) The NB was allowed to polarize in the absence
(*upper row*) or presence of 25µM Y-27632 (middle and lower row) followed
by colcemid arrest leading to an enlarged Mira crescent in treated cellls (white
arrowheads). *upper row*: A colcemid arrested NB with normal Mira crescent
(yellow arrowheads) was depolarized by 1µM LatA. Effect of LatA is visible
with uniform cortical Baz signal. Mira was displaced into the cytoplasm.
middle row: adding 1µM LatA leads to displacement of the enlarged Mira
crescent in the cytoplasm. Mira was displaced into the cytoplasm. *Lower row:*
adding 20µM ML-7 drives Mira into the cytoplasm (+8'). Upon ML-7 washout,
Mira recovered to an enlarged crescent (+14', white arrowheads). (**E**).
Colcemid arrested NBs was treated with 200µM Y-276322. Mira remains
asymmetric even after 56min in the drug. LatA addition (5µM) abolishes that
asymmetric bias and Mira is uniformly distributed on the membrane (1:
p=0.000000002868; 2: p=0.000004013; 3: *p*=0.0000000853; 4:
p=0.06884690; 5: *p*=0.39018501; 6: *p*=0.662765116). *Time* stamp: min.
Labels as indicated. *BAC{mira::mcherry-MS2}* was the source of
Mira::mCherry. Scale bar:10µm.

Figure supplements

Figure 1 supplement 1

Figure 1 supplement 1. Uniform cortical Prospero depends on Miranda in interphase larval NBs. In w[1118] brains, Mira and Pros form basal crescents in mitosis and both are cortical in interphase (arrow). In an interphase *mira*[L44] NB (MARCM clone, GFP⁺) cortical Mira and Pros are strongly reduced and Pros accumulates in the nucleus (yellow arrow). Transparent bars in merge interphase (control) and *mira*[L44] indicate area used for plot profiles shown below. Pros and Mira are at the cortex (arrows) in the control and Pros is enriched in the nucleus in the mutant (yellow arrow). Parsed straight line: outline of cell. Dotted line: nucleus (based on DAPI, control and GFP (MARCM clone). Scale bar: 10µm.

Fig 1 supplement 2

Figure 1 supplement 2. *mira^BACmCherry* rescues embryonic lethality of the loss of function allele *mira^L44* over the deficiency DF(3R)ora^I9. However, animals die during puparium formation, when *mira^BACmCherry* is the only source of Mira. (**A**) Brightfield images of fixed whole mount brain preparations. *w^1118* (control, n=5) a *mira^BACmCherry* brain over a wild type chromosome (1x *mira^BACmCherry*, *n=12*) and a brain from *mira^BACmCherry* Df(3R)ora^I9 over a unrecombined *mira^BACmCherry* chromosome (2x *mira^BACmCherry*, *n=12*). 2x

mira^BACmCherry animals die as pharates. The ventral ganglion (VG) of these

brains is frequently overgrown (arrows). (**B**) In fixed *w^1118* brains Mira as well

as its cargo Brat are diffuse in the cytoplasm of NB daughter cells and

Deadpan (Dpn) staining is restricted to NB nuclei. (**B'**) *mira^BACmCherry* brains

are not overgrown, Mira is sometimes more stable at the cortex in a daughter

cell (arrowhead), but Dpn is normal. (**C**) In 2x *mira^BACmCherry* animals, Mira is

strongly cortical in several NB daughter cells and so is Brat (arrowheads). Dpn

is no longer restricted to NB nuclei but frequently found in clusters of smaller

nuclei close to NBs suggesting that Mira is stabilized at the cortex and fails to

release its cargo, inducing fate changes. mCherry is fused to the C-terminus

of Mira which was shown to be required for cargo release (Fuerstenberg et al.

1998; Matsuzaki et al. 1998).

Fig 1 supplement 3

Figure 1 supplement 3. *Cortical Mira can be detected by antibody staining,*

in UAS-GFP-Mira overexpressing NBs and upon colcemid treatment, but not in

interphase mira^{L44} loss of function clones. (A) Antibody staining against Mira

performed on different fixed isolated NBs in primary cell culture. In this assay

Mira (red) is cortical in an interphase NBs (judged by DAPI, blue). In

prophase NBs, differently sized Mira "crescents" can be detected the ends of

which are labeled by arrowheads. In a metaphase NBs Mira forms a crescent

that appears larger than some of those seen in prophase NBs. (B) A living NB

in primary cell culture expressing Mira::GFP driven by Mz1061. GFP signal is

at the cortex in interphase, 29 min prior to NEB, GFP signal becomes cleared

apically (arrowheads) until most of the cortex is cleared 1min prior to NEB. 5

min after NEB a robust, larger crescent has formed. (C) Control brain treated

with 50µM colcemid for 30min and stained for Mira. Over condensed

chromatin in mitotic NBs demonstrates the effect of colcemid yet in all

interphase NBs Mira remains at the cortex. (D) Fixed brain containing an

interphase NB MARCM *mira^{L44}* clone surrounded by control NBs. In the clone

cortical Mira signal is gone (arrowhead) while present in a control interphase

NB (arrow). Asterisks: mitotic NBs. Scale bar 10µm.

Figure 2 supplement

Figure 2 supplement. (**A**) Schematic depicting the expected kymograph

profile for *clearing* versus *anchoring*. Kymographs of colcemid arrested NBs

expressing Lifeact-Ruby, Baz::GFP and Mira::mCherry or aPKC::GFP and

Mira::mCherry (related to **Movie S4**) upon the addition of 5µM LatA. The

equatorial perimeter of the NB was straightened out for each time point. Time

scale bar: 5min. Plot of Mira decline upon LatA treatment of a colcemid

arrested NB using 3 ROIs (positions as indicated). Black line shows ratio

ROI3/ROI2 over time, which remains constant. (**B**) aPKC has similar

localization dynamics to Baz during NB polarization (compare to Fig 1B). (**C**)

Metaphase Baz::GFP NBs fixed and stained for aPKC. Fluorescence

distribution plotted below. aPKC and Baz have almost identical distribution.

Error bars: standard deviation. *BAC{mira::mcherry-MS2}* was the source of

Mira::mCherry. Scale bar: 10µm.

Fig 3 supplement

Figure 3 supplement. (**A**) Mira antibody staining on whole mount brains in

a control NBs and in a NBs that expresses aPKC$^{\Delta N}$ by worniuGal4. Control:

Mira is cortical in interphase (91% cortex, 9% cytopl., n=53), forms a

crescent in metaphase (93% crescent, 7% cytopl., n=15) and is segregated

to daughter cells in telophase (100%, n=9). aPKC$^{\Delta N}$: Mira is cytoplasmic in

interphase (85% cytoplasm, 15% cortex, n=40) and metaphase (89%

cytopl., 11% crescent, n=15) but was rescued in telophase (100% cortical,

n=12). (**B**) aPKC RNAi expressing flip out clones (GFP positive, arrowheads)

and GFP negative control NBs (arrows) treated with DMSO or 5µM LatA and

stained with an antibody against Mira and with Phalloidin. In GFP negative

mitotic control NBs, Mira is in a crescent (DMSO) or cytoplasmic (LatA). Mira

is cortical in DMSO as well as LatA treated mitotic aPKC RNAi NBs (100%,

n=5, arrowheads). (**C**) aPKC is efficiently knocked down by RNAi in flip out

clones. aPKC RNAi NBs have significantly less aPKC. Scale bar: 10µm.

Fig 5 supplement

Figure 5 supplement. Standard used to quantify Mira crescent size. (**A**)

Schematic of workflow. NBs that have a polarity axis parallel to the imaging

plane are selected. 3-5 optical planes are collected covering 2-3µm of the

equator of the NB. (**B**) Fluorescence is normalized against the cytoplasmic

background and straightened line plots are derived from each section. The

average background and the average standard deviation is determined.

Signal: > avg. background plus two times the average standard deviation. (**C**)

3D projections of z-sections covering the entire NBs, ctrl vs. a NB that

polarized in the presence of 25µM Y-27632. *BAC{mira::mcherry-MS2}* was the

source of Mira::mCherry. Scale bar: 15µm.

Movie captions

Movie S1

Interphase cortical Miranda is removed at the onset of mitosis. Spinning disc confocal image of a neuroblast expressing Baz::GFP (red) and Mira::mCherry (green). For this and all subsequent videos maximum projection after a 3D Gaussian blur (FIJI, radius 8/.8/1) of 7 consecutive equatorial planes taken at 0.4μm spacing is shown. Z-stacks taken every minute. Time stamp: hh:mm.

Movie S2

Interphase cortical Miranda is removed at the onset of mitosis. Spinning disc confocal image of a neuroblast expressing aPKC::GFP (green) and Mira::mCherry (red). Z-stacks taken every minute. Time stamp: hh:mm.

Movie S3

Interphase cortical Miranda is actin independent. Spinning disc confocal image of a neuroblast expressing Baz::GFP (red) and Mira::mCherry (green) showing a control division before 1μM LatA was added. Z-stacks taken ~every minute. Z-stacks taken every minute. Time stamp: hh:mm.

Movie S4

Colcemid arrested NBs expressing aPKC::GFP and Mira::mCherry that were treated with 5μM LatA at the beginning of the recording at 16sec intervals. The cortex was straightened out and split at the apical pole such that aPKC::GFP appears right and left and Mira in the centre. Fluorescence profiles shown below. Note that Mira falls off homogenously from the cortex and becomes cytoplasmic at 5:36 (red arrowhead), while the detectable borders of cortical aPKC (green arrowheads) have not yet changed. Only from 7:12 onward aPKC rise above cytoplasmic levels where Mira was localized.

Movie S5

Myosin inhibition reversibly affects basal Mira anchoring in a polarized neuroblast. A colcemid arrested NB expressing Baz::GFP (green) and Mira::mCherry (red) in primary cell culture was treated with 20µM ML-7 which as washout when indicated. Left panel Baz::GFP, middle panel Mira::mCherry, right panel merge. Z-stacks taken every minute. Time stamp: mm:ss.

Movie S6

The effect of ML-7 on cortical Mira localization in mitosis can be delayed by overexpressing Sqh^{EE}. Mira::mCherry NBs (ctrl) and Mira::mCherry NBs co-expressing Sqh^{EE} (rescue) were co cultured in neighboring clots in the same dish and the effect of ML-7 on cortical Mira recorded. Z-stacks taken every two minutes. Time stamp: mm:ss.

Movie S7

Miranda remains at the cortex throughout the cell cycle in *apkc^{k04603}* mutant NBs. Spinning disc confocal image of an apkc^{k04603} mutant NB, labeled with nlsGFP (green) expressing Mira::mCherry (white). Z-stacks taken every minute. Time stamp: hh:mm.

Movie S8

Control mira^{mCherry} allele generated by CrispR/Cas9. Mira localizes to the interphase cortex, from where it is cleared before NEB. Then Mira re-localizes to a larger crescent. Therefore this allele and Mira::mCherry (BAC rescue) are undistinguishable in terms of Mira dynamics. This control further shows that the MS2 binding site in the BAC rescue construct do not interfere with Mira cortical dynamics. Time stamp: hh:mm.

Movie S9

Phosphomutant S96A allele of Mira tagged with mCherry at the C-terminus. Mira localizes uniformly to the interphase cortex. Shortly before NEB, S96A is

apically enriched, before being uniformly cortical after NEB and during division. Time stamp: hh:mm.

Movie S10

Phosphomimetic S96D allele of Mira tagged with mCherry at the C-terminus. S96D localizes to microtubules in interphase, but is asymmetric in mitois in mitosis. Note the signal resembling subcortical microtubules in interphase converging at the apical pole. After NEB a basal crescent is detectable. At 115:30 a z-stack spanning the entire NB was collected and the maximum projection is frozen. After this 50µM colcemid was added to reveal if Mira^{S96D}::mCherry binds to the cortex. Next frozen frame: similar stack after 30min in colc. Next frozen frame: 50 in colcemid – no cortical signal is detectable. Last frozen frame 65min in colcemid. Time stamp: hh:mm. Scale 15µm.

Movie 11

Mira requires its BH motif for interphase cortical localization (see main text) and basal localization in mitosis. The BH motif in Mira has been deleted by gene editing and this Mira mutant tagged with mCherry at the C-terminus (*mira$^{\Delta BHmCherry}$*). Mira$^{\Delta BH}$::mCherry when homozygous is found on the interphase microtubule network and in the cytoplasm during mitosis. Time stamp: hh:mm.

Movie S12

200µM Y-27632 induced uniform cortical Mira in mitosis localizes independently of an intact actin network. A Baz::GFP and Mira::mCherry expressing NBs was cultured in the presence of 200µM Y-27632, arrested with colcemid. 5µM LatA was added after the first frame of the movie. LatA induces loss of Baz asymmetry, yet Mira remains cortical. Z-stacks shown. Z stacks taken every 2min.

Movie S13

Colcemid arrested NBs expressing Baz::GFP and Mira::mCherry, treated with 200µM Y-27632. Mira starts to become visibile ~36min after Y-27632 addition in this example, but remaind asymmetrically distributed, until LatA was added. Z-stacks shown. Z stacks taken every 2min.

Competing interest declaration

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contribution

M.H., A.R., N.L. and J.J. designed and carried out experiments and interpreted the data and M.R.H., N.L. and J.J. wrote the manuscript that was agreed upon by all authors.