Non-competitive resource exploitation within-mosquito shapes evolution of malaria virulence

G. Costa[1], M. Gildenhard[1#], M. Eldering[1,2#], R.L. Lindquist[3], A.E. Hauser[3,4], R. Sauerwein[2], C. Goosmann[1], V. Brinkmann[1] and E.A. Levashina[1]*

Affiliations:

[1] Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology, Berlin, Germany

[2] Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

[3] German Rheumatism Research Centre (DRFZ), Berlin, Germany

[4] Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work

* Corresponding author: levashina@mpiib-berlin.mpg.de

Abstract

Malaria is a fatal human parasitic disease transmitted by a mosquito vector. The evolution of within-host malaria virulence has been the focus of many empirical and theoretical studies. However, the vector's contribution to virulence evolution is not well understood. Here we explored how within-vector resource exploitation impacts evolutionary trajectories of within-host *Plasmodium* virulence. We developed a nested model of within-vector dynamics and malaria epidemiology, which predicted that non-competitive resource exploitation within-vector restricts within-host parasite virulence. To validate our model, we experimentally manipulated mosquito lipid trafficking and gauged within-vector parasite development, within-host infectivity and virulence. We found that mosquito-derived lipids determine within-host parasite virulence by shaping development and metabolic activity of transmissible sporozoites. Our findings uncover the role of within-vector environment in regulating within-host *Plasmodium* virulence and identify *Plasmodium* metabolic traits that may contribute to the evolution of malaria virulence.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria is caused by the vector-borne protozoan parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* and kills 429,000 people annually, predominantly in sub-Saharan Africa[1]. The unacceptably high malaria mortality is tightly linked to within-host parasite virulence (here defined as capacity to cause harm to the human host). Therefore, predicting the evolution of *Plasmodium* virulence has important epidemiological, socio-medical and evolutionary implications for designing malaria control strategies. The life cycle of malaria parasites is split between the host and the mosquito vector. Theoretical and empirical studies focused on regulation of within-host malaria virulence, including contributions of parasite genetic factors and host immune responses, and on the link between virulence and host-to-vector transmission[2-4]. The latter reports showed that genetically-encoded within-host parasite virulence increases the production of infective to vector forms and, thereby, parasite transmission to the vector[5]. Females of anopheline mosquitoes feed on blood to initiate ovary development. Therefore, only females ingest *Plasmodium* and contribute to malaria transmission. During a blood meal, blood-borne sexual forms of parasites fuse to form motile zygotes that within two days after infection traverse the midgut epithelium, reach the basal side of the midgut to round-up into oocysts. In the next 10-15 days, each oocyst undergoes sporogony, a process that generates thousands sporozoites, the parasite stage infective to humans. Sporozoites egress from the oocysts and migrate to the mosquito salivary glands to reach full maturity and infectivity[6]. Nutrients taken up by female mosquitoes during a blood meal are essential for vector reproduction and for massive *Plasmodium* proliferation at the oocyst stage[7,8]. Although many studies examined associations between *Plasmodium* virulence and vector fitness, only a few reported significant changes in longevity and fecundity of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes, thus precluding generalized conclusions[9-13]. Whether within-vector resource exploitation by parasites modulates within-host malaria virulence has never been explored.

Here we applied theoretical and experimental approaches to examine the link between parasite within-vector resource exploitation and virulence towards the mammalian host. We modeled alternative scenarios of vector-parasite symbiosis and investigated their consequences for parasite transmission dynamics. Our modeling results predicted that vector-parasite relationship of resource acquisition shapes the evolution of within-host virulence. A parasitic relationship, where the parasite exploited vector mechanisms to

scavenge resources, restricted parasite virulence, whereas virulence runaway was

observed in a competitive relationship. To test these predictions, we experimentally

manipulated lipid trafficking in genetically identical mosquitoes and exploited a rodent

malaria model to gauge the impact of these manipulations on within-host virulence of

genetically identical parasites. We found that mosquito-derived lipids determine within-

host *Plasmodium* virulence by shaping sporogony and metabolic activity of sporozoites.

By showing that evolution of malaria virulence is metabolically regulated by the within-

vector nutritional environment, our findings have important implications for vector control

strategies based on biological competitors or on manipulation of mosquito reproduction.

RESULTS

Within-vector environment shapes evolution of within-host malaria virulence

To test for the evolutionary consequences of a trade-off between mosquito reproduction,

parasite reproduction and virulence, we used a modeling approach by considering a

within-vector dynamics model in an epidemiological framework (Materials and Methods).

We modeled within-vector resource competition between mosquito reproduction (egg

production) and parasite reproduction (sporozoite load) as a competitive Lotka-Volterra

equation[14,15]. This model describes egg production, parasite production and the

competition strength between vector and parasite α_{EP} and α_{PE} (their ability to inhibit

each other's growth). Mechanistically, the parasite's competition coefficient represents

its within-vector resource scavenging and, thereby, its harm towards vector reproduction.

We next combined this within-vector model with an epidemiological model for vector-

borne disease, which describes human-to-vector and vector-to-human parasite

transmission. Pathogen evolution can be investigated with epidemiological models,

assuming that selection maximizes the parasite's ability to spread from one host to the

next expressed as the basic reproductive ratio R_0. For vector-borne diseases, R_0 is the

product of the vector-to-host and host-to-vector transmission potentials[16]. In line with

previous reports[17-19], we postulate that virulence towards the host is influenced by within-

vector resource acquisition. We specifically hypothesize that within-vector resource

exploitation influences virulence by impacting parasite load and infectivity to the host

(Figure 1A).

Combining within-host Lotka-Volterra dynamics with epidemiological dynamics allows us

to investigate evolution of R_0 under varying parasite and vector competition coefficients.

When vector and parasite use independent mechanisms to scavenge resources

(competitive relationship), both competition coefficients have a positive sign ($\alpha_{EP} >$

$0; \alpha_{PE} > 0$), reflecting a reciprocally inhibitory competitive relationship (Figure 1B). We

found that competitive environment selects for higher parasite's competition coefficient

(Figure 1C), eventually leading to vector sterilization and to high levels of within-host

virulence (Figure 1D). This can be explained by the fact that both vector-to-human and

human-to-vector transmission rates benefit from increasing the parasite's

competitiveness. When parasites mechanistically depend on the vector's investment in

reproduction (parasitic relationship), the vector's competition coefficient turns negative

($\alpha_{EP} > 0; \alpha_{PE} < 0$) (Figure 1C). We found that in contrast to the competitive scenario, the

parasitic relationship leads to opposing evolutionary trajectories between the two

transmission events. Indeed, higher α_{EP} decreases parasite's density and vector-to-

human transmission but increases human-to-vector transmission (Figure 1E). Such a

trade-off between transmission events would lead to the emergence of an evolutionarily

stable malaria virulence (Figure 1C and D).

Taken together, our theoretical analyses predicted that the shape of vector-parasite

relationship defines the evolutionary trajectories of within-host virulence.

Mosquito lipid environment regulates *Plasmodium* virulence in the next

mammalian host

To formalize our theoretical assumption that within-host virulence is defined by within-

vector resource acquisition, we examined changes in *Plasmodium* virulence after

restricting within-vector lipid resources. In these experiments, we used RNAi in adult

females to deplete the major mosquito lipid transporter lipophorin (Lp) without affecting

mosquito diet or development[20-22]. As expected, Lp depletion prevented lipid transport

between mosquito tissues, inhibited ovary and parasite development (Figure S1). We

next exposed naïve mice to the bites of *P. berghei*-infected control or Lp-depleted

mosquitoes. We found that Lp depletion drastically attenuated parasite infectivity and

virulence. Indeed, only 20% of mice bitten by Lp-depleted mosquitoes became infected

and only 10% developed severe neurological symptoms of experimental cerebral
malaria (ECM) compared to 90% in controls (Figure 2A-C). As the observed phenotypes
could result from the differences in numbers of inoculated parasites, we subcutaneously
injected naïve mice with equal quantities of sporozoites isolated from control and Lp-
depleted mosquitoes. Again, fewer than 40% of mice injected with lipid-deprived
sporozoites became infected and only 20% of mice developed ECM, as compared to
100% in controls (Figure 2D-F). These results confirm the major assumption of our
model and show that the within-vector lipid environment impacts within-host parasite
virulence.

Plasmodium parasitizes mosquito lipids for productive sporogony and formation
of infective sporozoites

Our theoretical study predicted that the type of symbiotic relationship (competitive or
parasitic) defines the evolutionary trajectory of parasite virulence. As restricting
trafficking of lipid resources impacted virulence, we examined the parasitic scenario,
where _Plasmodium_ exploits mosquito Lp for lipid delivery. We microscopically gauged
lipid accumulation and parasite growth using Nile Red lipid staining, and observed
accumulation of neutral lipids in the peripheral cytoplasm and vesicles of mature oocysts
in control mosquitoes. In contrast, levels of Nile Red staining were significantly lower in
the oocysts of Lp-depleted females (Figure 3A and B). Moreover, the
immunofluorescence analysis with anti-Lp antibodies in controls showed an increase in
the rate of Lp-positive oocysts from 25% to 72% at 7 and 13 days post infection (dpi),
respectively (Figure S2). These results support the parasitic scenario, in which
Plasmodium exploits Lp for lipid delivery to its proliferating stages. Depletion of Lp
inhibited oocyst sporulation, caused abnormal cytoplasmic vacuolizations (Figure 3C
and Figure S3), and significantly reduced the size of mature oocysts (Figure 3D). In line
with these results, perturbation of lipid trafficking decreased the density of transmissible
sporozoites (Figure 3E). Similar results were obtained with the human malaria parasite
P. falciparum (Figure 3D and E), suggesting that within-vector parasitic relationships are
conserved between human and rodent _Plasmodium_ species.

As lipid restriction attenuated within-host virulence, we set out to identify _Plasmodium_
traits affected by Lp depletion. In these experiments, we compared morphology, vitality

and gene expression of the sporozoites produced by control and Lp-depleted
mosquitoes. We found that lipid-deprived sporozoites were alive and properly expressed
major maturation markers (Figure 3F and Figure S4). As lipids are crucial for metabolic
activity, we measured mitochondrial membrane potential using TMRE dye, which
accumulates in the membrane matrix of active mitochondria[23]. Lipid-deprived
sporozoites showed a significant decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential
compared to controls (Figure 3G and Figure S4), suggesting that mosquito lipids shape
metabolic activity of *Plasmodium* transmissible forms. Moreover, TMRE intensities in
control sporozoites were broadly distributed over a range of 2.5 logs, as opposed to a
sharp peak of the cytoplasmic GFP signal (Figure 3F and G). These results suggest that
genetically identical sporozoites display high variability in mitochondrial activity, which
may contribute to the plasticity of within-host virulence. Taken together, our data provide
experimental evidence that *Plasmodium* exploits Lp to scavenge mosquito lipid
resources for its within-vector proliferation in a non-competitive parasitic manner, and
identify mitochondrial membrane potential as a virulence-associated trait.

Time-shift in *Plasmodium* sporulation circumvents competition for mosquito
resources

What mechanism allows the parasites to avoid competition for the vector's resource
investment in reproduction? To answer this question, we gauged the timing of lipid
consumption by the mosquito ovaries and of parasite proliferation. We observed a clear
temporal shift between these two processes. While mosquito ovaries accumulated lipids
within the first two days after feeding, *Plasmodium* proliferation began one week post-
infection (Figure 4A). We hypothesized that in order to avoid a direct competition for
nutrients, *Plasmodium* could have evolved to delay its replication until after completion of
vector's oogenesis. This time-shift hypothesis is compatible with the non-competitive
parasitic scenario where parasites benefit from lipid delivery after completion of
oogenesis, as long as sufficient resources are left for parasite development. Therefore, if
lipid restriction affected only replicating oocysts, providing lipids at the initiation of oocyst
sporogony should bypass one week of lipid starvation and rescue parasite development
and virulence. We tested this hypothesis by directly gauging sporozoite infectivity and
development into extra-erythrocytic forms (EEFs) in the hepatoma HepG2 cells *in vitro*.

Cells were exposed to equal numbers of sporozoites isolated from control and Lp-
depleted mosquitoes that received (Figure 4Bv-viii) or not (Figure 4Bi-iv) a supplemental
blood feeding seven days post infection, at the time when the first effects of lipid
starvation on the oocyst's size were observed (Figure 3C and Figure S3). EEF
development was examined by fluorescence microscopy. As expected for a single blood
feeding, lipid restriction attenuated sporozoite numbers, infectivity and development *in*
vitro, as significantly smaller EEFs were produced by lipid-deprived sporozoites
compared to controls (Figure 4Bi-iv and Figure S5). Strikingly, the supplemental feeding
restored sporozoite numbers in Lp-depleted mosquitoes, as well as parasite infectivity
and EEF development (Figure 4Bv-viii and Figure S5). Our data show that delivering
lipids to the oocysts after completion of vector's oogenesis rescues *Plasmodium*
infectivity and virulence. Taken together, these results suggest that a time-shift in
Plasmodium sporogony circumvents within-vector competition for reproductive
resources.

DISCUSSION

Virulence is one of the most evolutionarily relevant and complex pathogen traits.
Understanding virulence mechanisms provides an opportunity to predict and, potentially
control the pathogen burden and its evolution. Here we generated theoretical models of
the mosquito-parasite relationship, experimentally evaluated them, and found that
Plasmodium hijacks the main mosquito lipid transporter for lipid delivery to the actively
proliferating oocysts after completion of the vector reproductive cycle. Such time-shift in
oocyst maturation benefits the parasitic relationship by allowing a timely allocation of
resources needed for host reproduction and for parasite proliferation. Temporal
regulation plays a critical role in host-parasite interactions and in host immune
responses. In mosquitoes, the ookinetes at the basal side of the midgut are the major
target of the mosquito immune system within the first 24-48 h after blood meal, whereas
even the earliest oocyst stages are spared from the complement attack[24]. Interestingly,
sporogony is the longest replicative process in the life cycle of all *Plasmodium* species
(12 to 16 days depending on the species). Given the average mosquito life span of 30
days, it roughly corresponds to a half of mosquito life. Prolongation of the within-vector
sejour is risky for the parasite, which should be selected to maximize its chances to

infect the next host as fast as possible. Therefore, the beneficial effects of lengthy
sporogony must be under a strong selective pressure. We predict that shifting the timing
of resource allocation should have dramatic consequences for parasite development,
transmission and virulence.

Our observations suggest that limited lipid resources during sporulation induce an
autophagy-like cell death and select for the most competitive parasites that effectively
scavenge nutrients from the mosquito. Autophagy, often characterized by cytoplasmic
vacuolization, has been observed in a large proportion of *Plasmodium* liver stages[25], and
our results extend these observations to the extracellular oocysts. Nutrient restriction in
natural conditions could be induced by oocyst crowding[19]; however in our experiments
the numbers of pre-sporogonic oocysts between the experimental groups were similar[21].
Interestingly, prolonged periods of nutrient deprivation cause cell autophagy and induce
mitochondrial dysfunction in mouse embryonic fibroblasts[26]. Similarly, we found that lipid
restriction blunted sporozoite's mitochondrial membrane potential. Mitochondria are
essential for mosquito stages of the *Plasmodium* life cycle, as mutations in mitochondrial
genes arrest growth and sporulation of oocysts[27-30]. Moreover, inhibition of mitochondrial
potential by the antimalarial drug atovaquone inhibits *Plasmodium* sporogonic
development and reduces the number and size of developing EEFs *in vitro*[31-33]. Together
with these findings, our results identify mitochondria as crucial regulators of within-vector
Plasmodium proliferation, transmission and within-host virulence. Although drug
pressure on the mitochondria frequently selects for resistance, most of the identified
mutations are loss-of-function, that disrupt within-vector parasite development and
disable transmission[30]. Therefore, *Plasmodium* mitochondria may represent an
evolution-proof drug target[34], as long as no gain-of-function mutations emerge that may
increase mitochondrial activity and, thereby, parasite virulence.

At the ecological level, our data show that the plasticity of parasite virulence is
metabolically regulated. We found that even in normal conditions, sporozoites display
broad variability in their mitochondrial activity. This implies that mosquito life-history traits
can directly influence parasite virulence. Variable trophic environments of larval breeding
sites[35] and of blood meals should translate inter-individual mosquito nutritional
differences into variability in *Plasmodium* virulence. Indeed, multiple reports have
implicated larval diet and adult blood meals in determining parasite loads within a
mosquito[18,36-40], but this has not been linked to within-vector modulation of parasite

metabolic activity and within-host virulence. Our findings open up a new perspective on
mosquito transmission capacity and on evolution of malaria virulence.

The evolutionary responses to vector control measures are often non-linear due to
complexity of vector-parasite relationships. Competitive within-vector *Plasmodium*
dynamics may result in a virulence runaway, leading to a competitive exclusion between
vector and parasite and exacerbating within-host virulence. We show that parasitic non-
competitive exploitation of vector lipid resources restricts evolution of *Plasmodium*
virulence. Importantly, harm towards the vector's reproduction alone does not restrict
virulence evolution[41]. The trade-off between within-vector parasite density and within-
host virulence that restrains virulence at intermediate levels, emerges only when
parasite resource acquisition depends on vector mechanisms. We provide empirical
evidence that within-vector *Plasmodium* development relies on the major vector lipid
transporter and that competition between vector and parasite is prevented by a time-shift
between vector oogenesis and parasite sporogony. This beneficial developmental niche
should be retained as long as nutrient supply is sufficient. In a low nutrient environment,
the relationship may switch towards competition, which would rapidly invert the trajectory
of virulence evolution. Further investigation of the effects of other mosquito factors on
parasite resource acquisition is necessary to predict potential switches between parasitic
and competitive vector-parasite relationships. Such situations could arise from targeting
mosquito reproduction factors essential for parasite development by transgenic
approaches[42], or from introduction of such biological competitors as *Wolbachia*[43]. All
together our data highlight the links between metabolism and life-history traits of vector
and parasite, that shape evolutionary trajectories of malaria virulence. Further
theoretical, experimental, and field studies are needed to determine the effects of natural
and artificial perturbations of vector and parasite metabolism on malaria epidemiology.

 MATERIALS AND METHODS

 Mathematical modeling

 <u>Within-vector dynamics</u>

Vector and parasite compete for resources from a single blood meal (non-replenished
nutrient source). To investigate how vector-parasite relationship shapes within-host
virulence, we first modeled resource competition between vector reproduction and
sporozoite development. For this purpose, we use a Lottka-Volterra competition
model[14,15], considering inter- and intraspecific competition between mosquito eggs (E)
and parasites (P):

$$\dot{E} = r_1 E \left(1 - \frac{E + \alpha_{EP} P}{K_E}\right) \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{P} = r_2 P \left(1 - \frac{P + \alpha_{PE} E}{K_P}\right), \tag{2}$$

where r_1 and r_2 represent the maximum intrinsic growth rate of eggs and parasites,
respectively, and α_{EP} and α_{PE} describe their competition strength. The maximum egg
and sporozoite densities are given by the carrying capacities K_E and K_P, which from this
point on we assume to be 1.

The four equilibrium points are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} E \to 0 & P \to 0 \\ E \to \frac{-1 + \alpha_{EP}}{-1 + \alpha_{EP}\alpha_{PE}} & P \to \frac{-1 + \alpha_{PE}}{-1 + \alpha_{EP}\alpha_{PE}} \\ E \to 0 & P \to 1 \\ E \to 1 & P \to 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

and describe three qualitatively different scenarios: extinction of both species,
competitive exclusion, or co-existence. Because both eggs and parasites are found in
the mosquito, we hereafter only focus on the non-trivial steady state, i.e., co-existence,
which can only occur if both growth rates (r_1 and r_2) are positive and neither species
outcompetes the other, i.e., if α_{EP} and α_{PE} are smaller than 1.

For all cases, where $0 < \alpha_{EP} < 1;\ and\ 0 < \alpha_{PE} < 1,$ sporozoite production $\bar{P}$ decreases
with increasing values of α_{EP}, and increases with increasing values of α_{EP} for all, where

$0 < \alpha_{EP} < 1;\ 0 > \alpha_{PE} < 1$ (Figure S6). Instead, vector reproduction $\bar{E}$ should decrease

with increasing levels of α_{EP} independent of the sign of α_{PE} (Figure S7).

<u>Epidemiological dynamics</u>

Following Alizon and van Baalen[16], *Plasmodium* epidemiological reproductive ratio R_0 is

a product of vector-to-host $v \rightarrow h$ and host-to-vector $h \rightarrow v$ transmission rates β, and of

the availability of susceptible hosts S. These are constrained by the respective duration

of infection defined by parasite induced mortality ϑ, recovery rate γ, and intrinsic host

mortality μ:

$Ro = \dfrac{\beta_{v \rightarrow h}}{\mu_v + \vartheta_v + \gamma_v} S_v * \dfrac{\beta_{h \rightarrow v}}{\mu_h + \vartheta_h + \gamma_h} S_h.$ (4)

<u>Nested model</u>

To integrate the within-vector dynamics into an epidemiological model of malaria

transmission, we first assumed that within-vector sporozoite production is at equilibrium

before the time of transmission (i.e., quasi-steady state). Because vector-to-human

transmission rate increases with the number of produced sporozoite[44], we next

postulated that vector-to-human transmission rate is a linear function of equilibrium

parasite density $\beta_{v \rightarrow h}(\tilde{P})$:

$\beta_{v \rightarrow h}(\tilde{P}) = \theta_{\beta_{v \rightarrow h}} \dfrac{-1 + \alpha_{PE}}{-1 + \alpha_{EP}\alpha_{PE}},$ (5)

where $\theta_{\beta_{v \rightarrow h}}$ is a constant which describes the maximum transmission rate in relation to

parasite density.

Host-to-vector transmission depends on the parasites asexual replication and production

of sexual forms (gametocytes)[5,45]. Virulence towards the host is caused by the asexual

production during the blood stages, which makes host-to-vector transmission a linear

function of virulence $\beta_{h \rightarrow v}(\vartheta_h)$. We assume that the capacity to enter the asexual cycle

depends on initial parasite load, which links parasite density at the time of vector to

human transmission to human to vector transmission:

$\beta_{h \rightarrow v}\left(\vartheta_h(\tilde{P})\right) = \theta_{\beta_{h \rightarrow v}} \theta_{\vartheta_h} \dfrac{-1 + \alpha_{PE}}{-1 + \alpha_{EP}\alpha_{PE}},$ (6)

where θ_{ϑ_h} and $\theta_{\beta_{v \to h}}$ are the rates for virulence and transmission in relation to parasite

loads. However, within-vector resource competition may also qualitatively affect the

parasite's capacity to infect the human host[17-19]. Therefore, we assumed that within-host

virulence increases with the efficiency of resource exploitation via the parasite's

competition coefficient:

$\beta_{h \to v}\left(\vartheta_h\left(\alpha_{EP}, \tilde{P}\right)\right) = \theta_{\beta_{h \to v}} \theta_{\vartheta_h} \alpha_{EP} \frac{-1 + \alpha_{PE}}{-1 + \alpha_{EP}\alpha_{PE}}$ (7)

R_0 under these assumptions is given by equation 8. It can be used to study the

trajectories of virulence evolution in diverse vector-parasite relationships. The parameter

values used in this study are provided in Table S1.

$Ro = \frac{\theta_{\beta_{v \to h}} \frac{-1 + \alpha_{21}}{-1 + \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21}}}{\mu_v + \vartheta_v + \gamma_v} S_v * \frac{\theta_{\beta_{h \to v}} \theta_{\vartheta_h} \frac{-1 + \alpha_{PE}}{-1 + \alpha_{EP}\alpha_{PE}}}{\mu_h + \theta_{\vartheta_h} \frac{-1 + \alpha_{PE}}{-1 + \alpha_{EP}\alpha_{PE}} + \gamma_h} S_h$ (8)

Mosquito rearing and parasite Infections

Anopheles gambiae s.l. mosquitoes were used throughout the study. To prevent large

losses at early parasite stages (ookinetes) inflicted by the mosquito complement-like

system in the absence of Lp[21,46], all infection experiments were performed with immune-

deficient mosquitoes (*7b* line)[47]. G3 and *7b* mosquitoes, were maintained at 29°C 70%–

80% humidity 12/12 h day/night cycle. In *P. falciparum* infections, mosquitoes were fed

at 37°C for 15 min on a membrane feeder with NF54 gametocytes cultured with O+

human red blood cells (Haema, Berlin), and, thereafter, kept in a secured S3 laboratory

according to the national regulations (Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales, project

number 411/08). For *P. berghei* experiments, mosquitoes were fed on anesthetized CD1

mice infected with the GFP-expressing *P. berghei* GFP-con 259cl2 clone (ANKA

strain)[48]. Shortly after infections, unfed mosquitoes were removed, while fed mosquitoes

were maintained at 26°C for 11-14 days (*P. falciparum*) or at 20°C for 7-21 days (*P.*

berghei), and then used for the midgut and/or the salivary gland dissections.

RNAi silencing

Double stranded RNA (dsRNA) against *lipophorin* (*dsLp*) was produced as previously

described[21]. For RNAi silencing, 1-2 day-old females were anesthetized with CO_2 and

injected with 69 nl of 3 µg/µl *dsLacZ* (control) or *dsLp* using a Nanoject II Injector

(Drummond). Mosquitoes recovered for 3-4 days following injection before infections.

Efficiencies of RNAi silencing are summarized in Figure S8.

Immunofluorescence analysis

Mosquito midguts were dissected in PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde and washed in PBS.

Tissues were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 and incubated with a 1:1 mix of

mouse monoclonal anti-ApoI and anti-ApoII antibodies (1/300, clones 2H5 and 2C6)[21]

overnight at 4°C followed by incubation for 40 min at room temperature with the

secondary Cy3-labeled antibodies at 1/1,000 (Molecular Probes), or with 0.1 µg/ml of the

Nile Red stain (Sigma Aldrich). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (1.25 µg/ml, Molecular

Probes) for 40-60 min at room temperature. Images were acquired using an

AxioObserver Z1 fluorescence microscope equipped with an Apotome module (Zeiss).

Oocyst size was measured by hand-designing a circular ROI of randomly selected

oocysts (identified by GFP for *P. berghei* or by bright field and DAPI staining for *P.*

falciparum) using ZEN 2012 software (Zeiss). Images were then processed by FIJI

software (ImageJ 1.47m).

Development of *P. berghei* liver-stages *in vitro*

The salivary glands of *P. berghei* infected mosquitoes were dissected, sporozoites were

collected into RPMI medium (Gibco) containing 3% bovine serum albumin (Sigma

Aldrich) and enumerated with a hemocytometer. The *P. berghei* liver stages were

cultured *in vitro* in HepG2 hepatoma cells and analyzed using standard techniques[49].

Briefly, 15,000 - 20,000 HepG2 cells per well (70% confluence) were plated on

transparent-bottom 96-wells plates (Nalgene International) and incubated for 24 h, then

seeded with 10,000 sporozoites and co-cultured at 37°C for 2 h. Free sporozoites were

removed by washing. As a negative control, sporozoites isolated from control

mosquitoes were pre-treated with the inhibitor of actin polymerization Cytochalasin D

(Sigma Aldrich) for 10 min. At 48 h post seeding, the cells were fixed with 4%

formaldehyde for 10 min, washed with PBS and blocked with 10% fetal calf serum in

PBS. Development of the liver-stage parasites was examined using monoclonal mouse

anti-GFP antibodies (1/1,000, AbCam) and revealed with the secondary AlexaFluor488

conjugated anti-mouse antibody (1/1,000, Molecular Probes). Nuclei were stained with

DAPI (1.25 µg/ml, Molecular Probes). Images were recorded directly on the 96-well plate

using an AxioObserver Z1 fluorescence microscope equipped with an Apotome module

(Zeiss) and analyzed for number and size of liver forms using the Axio-Vision ZEN 2012

software (Zeiss).

P. berghei mouse infections _in vivo_

Mice were housed and handled in accordance with the German Animal Protection Law

(§8 Tierschutzgesetz) and both institutional (Max Planck Society) and national

regulations (Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales, registration number H 0027/12).

To determine infectivity to mice, the sporozoites collected from the mosquito salivary

glands were injected subcutaneously (5,000 sporozoites/mouse) into the tails of 8-10-

week-old C57BL/6 females. Bite-back experiments were performed by feeding the _P._

berghei-infected mosquitoes (18 dpi) on anesthetized naïve C57BL/6 mice (mean 9

bites/mouse, range 2-15). Parasitemia was determined by daily Giemsa staining of thin

blood smears and FACS analysis of the red blood cells with the GFP-expressing blood

stage parasites. Infected mice were monitored every 6-12 h for the appearance of

severe neurological and behavioral symptoms typical of experimental cerebral malaria

(ECM) such as hunched body position, grooming alteration, ataxia, paralysis, or

convulsions[50] or by the rapid neurological and behavioral test (RMCBS)[51]. All mice with

ECM symptoms or the RMCBS score equal or below 5/20 were sacrificed immediately.

Sporozoite imaging flow cytometry

Salivary gland sporozoites were isolated into RPMI medium (Gibco) 3% bovine serum

albumin (Sigma Aldrich) and kept on ice until staining. Sporozoites were diluted in PBS

to concentrations corresponding to 3 mosquito equivalents and stained in the dark with

TMRE (5 nM) (Cell Signaling Technology) for 20 min at 20°C. Images were acquired

without washing using an ImageStreamX Mk II (Merck Millipore) with a 60x objective

over 1 h period. To avoid a possible bias due to the variable pre-acquisition waiting

times on ice, the order of sample acquisition was swapped between the experimental
replicates. GFP-expressing sporozoites were gated by size (Area_M01 or Area_M02)
and by GFP intensity (Intensity_MC_Ch02). The analysis was performed with IDEAS 6.2
(Merck Millipore) and FCS files were exported and analyzed by FlowJo v10. Given the
small size of the mitochondria, the feature finder tool from IDEAS software was used to
improve gating of optimally focused sporozoites. The following parameters were used to
discriminate in-focus single sporozoites from debris, and to identify in-focus sporozoites
to resolve their mitochondria: H Entropy Std_M01_Ch01_15 over H Variance
Std_M01_Ch01, Aspect Ratio_M02 over Symmetry 4-Object(M02_Ch2) Ch02, Gradient
RMS-M01-Ch01 over H Correlation Mean-M02-Ch02_3, and Gradient RMS-M02_Ch02.
Briefly, these parameters measure brightfield image texture, GFP image shape,
brightfield and GFP focus, respectively. For quantification of mitochondrial membrane
potential and GFP intensity, each sample was time-gated to exclude artefacts of
prolonged staining. The parameter corresponding to the lowest background signal in
unstained sporozoites was Bright Detail Intensity R7_M04_Ch04, Geo Mean which was
selected as *bona fide* TMRE intensity readout (see Figure S5D). For GFP intensity, the
Intensity_MC_Ch02, Geo. Mean was used. To validate the use of TMRE as a marker for
sporozoite membrane potential, we applied 50 µM of a mitochondrial uncoupling drug
CCCP (Cell Signaling Technology) and showed that drug treatment abolished TMRE
signal in sporozoites (see Figures S4D).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 7 software and p values below
0.05 were considered significant (*: $p<0.05$; **: $p<0.001$; ***: $p<0.0001$) and indicated in
the figures. The specific tests used are indicated for each figure in the corresponding
legend.

REFERENCES AND NOTES:

1   WHO. *World Malaria Report 2016*. (Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO., 2016).

2   Mideo, N., Day, T. & Read, A. F. Modelling malaria pathogenesis. *Cell Microbiol*
441   **10**, 1947-1955, doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01208.x (2008).

442   3   Mideo, N. Parasite adaptations to within-host competition. *Trends Parasitol* **25**,
443   261-268, doi:10.1016/j.pt.2009.03.001 (2009).

444   4   Mideo, N. *et al.* Causes of variation in malaria infection dynamics: insights from
445   theory and data. *Am Nat* **178**, E174-188, doi:10.1086/662670 (2011).

446   5   Mackinnon, M. J. & Read, A. F. Genetic Relationships between Parasite
447   Virulence and Transmission in the Rodent Malaria Plasmodium Chabaudi.
448   *Evolution* **53**, 689-703, doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb05364.x (1999).

449   6   Sato, Y., Montagna, G. N. & Matuschewski, K. Plasmodium berghei sporozoites
450   acquire virulence and immunogenicity during mosquito hemocoel transit. *Infect*
451   *Immun* **82**, 1164-1172, doi:10.1128/IAI.00758-13 (2014).

452   7   Attardo, G. M., Hansen, I. A. & Raikhel, A. S. Nutritional regulation of
453   vitellogenesis in mosquitoes: implications for anautogeny. *Insect Biochem Mol*
454   *Biol* **35**, 661-675 (2005).

455   8   Maier, W. A., Becker-Feldman, H. & Seitz, H. M. Pathology of malaria-infected
456   mosquitoes. *Parasitol Today* **3**, 216-218 (1987).

457   9   Hogg, J. C. & Hurd, H. Malaria-induced reduction of fecundity during the first
458   gonotrophic cycle of Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes. *Med Vet Entomol* **9**, 176-
459   180 (1995).

460   10   Ferguson, H. M. & Read, A. F. Why is the effect of malaria parasites on mosquito
461   survival still unresolved? *Trends Parasitol* **18**, 256-261 (2002).

462   11   Ferguson, H. M., Mackinnon, M. J., Chan, B. H. & Read, A. F. Mosquito
463   mortality and the evolution of malaria virulence. *Evolution* **57**, 2792-2804 (2003).

464   12   Vezilier, J., Nicot, A., Gandon, S. & Rivero, A. Plasmodium infection decreases
465   fecundity and increases survival of mosquitoes. *Proc Biol Sci* **279**, 4033-4041,
466   doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.1394 (2012).

13   Vezilier, J., Nicot, A., Gandon, S. & Rivero, A. Plasmodium infection brings
forward mosquito oviposition. *Biol Lett* **11**, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2014.0840 (2015).

14   Bomze, I. Lotka–Volterra equation and replicator dynamics: a two-dimensional
classification. *Biological Cybernetics* **48**, 201–211 (1983).

15   Bomze, I. Lotka–Volterra equation and replicator dynamics: new issues in
classification. . *Biological Cybernetics* **72**, 447–453 (1995).

16   Alizon, S. & van Baalen, M. Transmission-virulence trade-offs in vector-borne
diseases. *Theor Popul Biol* **74**, 6-15, doi:10.1016/j.tpb.2008.04.003 (2008).

17   Mack, S. R. & Vanderberg, J. P. Plasmodium berghei: energy metabolism of
sporozoites. *Exp Parasitol* **46**, 317-322 (1978).

18   Ponnudurai, T. *et al.* Sporozoite load of mosquitoes infected with *Plasmodium
falciparum*. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* **83**, 67-70 (1989).

19   Pollitt, L. C. *et al.* Costs of crowding for the transmission of malaria parasites.
Evol Appl **6**, 617-629, doi:10.1111/eva.12048 (2013).

20   Atella, G. C., Bittencourt-Cunha, P. R., Nunes, R. D., Shahabuddin, M. & Silva-
Neto, M. A. The major insect lipoprotein is a lipid source to mosquito stages of
malaria parasite. *Acta Trop* **109**, 159-162, doi:S0001-706X(08)00272-
6[pii]10.1016/j.actatropica.2008.10.004 (2009).

21   Rono, M. K., Whitten, M. M., Oulad-Abdelghani, M., Levashina, E. A. & Marois,
E. The major yolk protein vitellogenin interferes with the anti-*Plasmodium*
response in the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *PLoS Biol* **8**, e1000434,
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000434 (2010).

22   Ramakrishnan, C. *et al.* Salivary gland-specific *P. berghei* reporter lines enable
rapid evaluation of tissue-specific sporozoite loads in mosquitoes. *PLoS One* **7**,
e36376, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036376 (2012).

23   Perry, S. W., Norman, J. P., Barbieri, J., Brown, E. B. & Gelbard, H. A.
Mitochondrial membrane potential probes and the proton gradient: a practical
usage guide. *Biotechniques* **50**, 98-115, doi:10.2144/000113610 (2011).

24   Blandin, S. *et al.* Complement-like protein TEP1 is a determinant of vectorial
capacity in the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. *Cell* **116**, 661-670,
doi:S0092867404001734 [pii] (2004).

25   Eickel, N. *et al.* Features of autophagic cell death in Plasmodium liver-stage
parasites. *Autophagy* **9**, 568-580, doi:10.4161/auto.23689 (2013).

26   Nguyen, T. B. *et al.* DGAT1-Dependent Lipid Droplet Biogenesis Protects
Mitochondrial Function during Starvation-Induced Autophagy. *Dev Cell* **42**, 9-21
e25, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2017.06.003 (2017).

27   Boysen, K. E. & Matuschewski, K. Arrested oocyst maturation in Plasmodium
parasites lacking type II NADH:ubiquinone dehydrogenase. *J Biol Chem* **286**,
32661-32671, doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.269399 (2011).

28   Hino, A. *et al.* Critical roles of the mitochondrial complex II in oocyst formation
of rodent malaria parasite Plasmodium berghei. *J Biochem* **152**, 259-268,
doi:10.1093/jb/mvs058 (2012).

29   Oppenheim, R. D. *et al.* BCKDH: the missing link in apicomplexan mitochondrial
metabolism is required for full virulence of Toxoplasma gondii and Plasmodium
berghei. *PLoS Pathog* **10**, e1004263, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004263 (2014).

30   Goodman, C. D. *et al.* Parasites resistant to the antimalarial atovaquone fail to
transmit by mosquitoes. *Science* **352**, 349-353, doi:10.1126/science.aad9279
(2016).

31   Davies, C. S., Pudney, M., Nicholas, J. C. & Sinden, R. E. The novel
hydroxynaphthoquinone 566C80 inhibits the development of liver stages of
Plasmodium berghei cultured in vitro. *Parasitology* **106 (Pt 1)**, 1-6 (1993).

32   Fowler, R. E., Sinden, R. E. & Pudney, M. Inhibitory activity of the anti-malarial
atovaquone (566C80) against ookinetes, oocysts, and sporozoites of Plasmodium
berghei. *J Parasitol* **81**, 452-458 (1995).

33   Azevedo, R. *et al.* A bioluminescence method for in vitro screening of
Plasmodium transmission-blocking compounds. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*,
doi:10.1128/AAC.02699-16 (2017).

34   Goodman, C. D., Buchanan, H. D. & McFadden, G. I. Is the Mitochondrion a
Good Malaria Drug Target? *Trends Parasitol* **33**, 185-193,
doi:10.1016/j.pt.2016.10.002 (2017).

35   Gillies, M. T. The recognition of age-groups within populations of *Anopheles*
gambiae by the pre-gravid rate and the sporozoite rate. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*
48, 58-74 (1954).

36 Takken, W. *et al.* Larval nutrition differentially affects adult fitness and
Plasmodium development in the malaria vectors *Anopheles gambiae* and
Anopheles stephensi. *Parasit Vectors* **6**, 345, doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-345
(2013).

37 Moller-Jacobs, L. L., Murdock, C. C. & Thomas, M. B. Capacity of mosquitoes to
transmit malaria depends on larval environment. *Parasit Vectors* **7**, 593,
doi:10.1186/s13071-014-0593-4 (2014).

38 Shapiro, L. L., Murdock, C. C., Jacobs, G. R., Thomas, R. J. & Thomas, M. B.
Larval food quantity affects the capacity of adult mosquitoes to transmit human
malaria. *Proc Biol Sci* **283**, doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.0298 (2016).

39 Vantaux, A. *et al.* Larval nutritional stress affects vector life history traits and
human malaria transmission. *Sci Rep* **6**, 36778, doi:10.1038/srep36778 (2016).

40 Okech, B. A. *et al.* Influence of age and previous diet of *Anopheles gambiae* on
the infectivity of natural *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocytes from human
volunteers. *J Insect Sci* **4**, 33 (2004).

41 O'Keefe, K. J. & Antonovics, J. Playing by different rules: the evolution of
virulence in sterilizing pathogens. *Am Nat* **159**, 597-605, doi:10.1086/339990
(2002).

42 Mitchell, S. N. & Catteruccia, F. Anopheline Reproductive Biology: Impacts on
Vectorial Capacity and Potential Avenues for Malaria Control. *Cold Spring Harb*
Perspect Med, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a025593 (2017).

43 Bourtzis, K. *et al.* Harnessing mosquito-Wolbachia symbiosis for vector and
disease   control.   *Acta   Trop*   **132 Suppl**,   S150-163,
doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.11.004 (2014).

44 Churcher, T. S. *et al.* Probability of Transmission of Malaria from Mosquito to
Human Is Regulated by Mosquito Parasite Density in Naive and Vaccinated
Hosts. *PLoS Pathog* **13**, e1006108, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006108 (2017).

45 Schneider, P. *et al.* Submicroscopic Plasmodium falciparum gametocyte densities
frequently result in mosquito infection. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **76**, 470-474 (2007).

46 Mendes, A. M. *et al.* Conserved mosquito/parasite interactions affect development
of *Plasmodium falciparum* in Africa. *PLoS Pathog* **4**, e1000069 (2008).

47   Pompon, J. & Levashina, E. A. A New Role of the Mosquito Complement-like
Cascade in Male Fertility in *Anopheles gambiae*. *PLoS Biol* **13**, e1002255,
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002255 (2015).

48   Franke-Fayard, B. *et al.* A *Plasmodium berghei* reference line that constitutively
expresses GFP at a high level throughout the complete life cycle. *Mol Biochem*
Parasitol **137**, 23-33 (2004).

49   Silvie, O., Goetz, K. & Matuschewski, K. A sporozoite asparagine-rich protein
controls initiation of *Plasmodium* liver stage development. *PLoS Pathog* **4**,
e1000086, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000086 (2008).

50   Lackner, P. *et al.* Behavioural and histopathological alterations in mice with
cerebral malaria. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* **32**, 177-188, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
2990.2006.00706.x (2006).

51   Carroll, R. W. *et al.* A rapid murine coma and behavior scale for quantitative
assessment of murine cerebral malaria. *PLoS One* **5**,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013124 (2010).
576

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Max Planck Society, EC FP7 EVIMalaR (grant agreement
n°242095) and MALVECBLOK (grant agreement n°223601). The authors thank H.
Krüger, M. Andres and L. Spohr for mosquito rearing, mouse work assistance, and
Plasmodium infections, and D. Tschierske and D. Eyermann for *P. falciparum* cultures.
The authors express their gratitude to Prof. K. Matuschewski and his group for
continuous fruitful discussions, to Dr. M.M. Mota and Dr. P. Carrillo-Bustamante for
helpful comments.
585

586   **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**
587   GC and EAL conceived the study and designed the experiments. MG performed the
588   modeling analysis. GC, ME, RL, CG, and VB performed experiments. AEH and RS
589   contributed reagents and expertise. GC, ME, MG, RL, RS, CG, VB, and EAL analyzed
590   the data. GC, MG and EAL wrote the manuscript.
591

Figure 1. Evolution of vector-parasite antagonism and virulence.

(A) Model scheme of parasite-vector non-mutualistic symbiotic relationship (green), nested into epidemiological dynamics (blue): within-vector parasite density is positively linked to vector-to-human transmission, whereas human-to-vector transmission is a linear function of the parasite's virulence towards mosquito reproduction (competition coefficient) and human host longevity. **(B)** The biological relationship is competitive, if a common resource is scavenged, or parasitic if mosquito resource scavenging aids parasite development. **(C)** Contour plot of parasite fitness R_0 under varying within-vector interaction terms. The y-axis shows the relationship of mosquito egg production towards the parasite α_{PE} over the parasite's competition coefficient (i.e. virulence) α_{EP}. Positive values of α_{PE} showcase a competitive parasite-vector interaction, whereas negative values imply that vector reproduction benefits parasite density leading to a parasitic relationship. **(D)** Contour plot of parasite virulence towards the host under varying within-vector interaction terms. Under competitive scenario, parasite fitness increases with virulence, whereas under parasitic scenario, an evolutionarily stable virulence strategy

(ESV) emerges. **(E)** Vector-to-human transmission over human-to-vector transmission

under varying degrees of parasite virulence. When mosquito and parasites

independently scavenge and directly compete for resources (top, $\alpha_{PE} = 0.8$), the

transmission terms are positively linked. If parasites prey on mosquito resource

scavenging (bottom, $\alpha_{PE} = -0.8$), a trade-off between the transmission terms explains

the emergence of the ESV. P = parasites; E = mosquito eggs.

616
617

Figure 2. Within-vector environment impacts within-host *Plasmodium* virulence.

C57BL/6 mice infected by bites of *P.* berghei-infected mosquitoes (**A-C**) or by sporozoite
injection (**D-F**) were daily monitored for parasite occurrence in the blood and for
symptoms of experimental cerebral malaria (ECM). (**A**) Kaplan-Meier analysis of time to
infection (blood stage parasitaemia), (**B**) incidence (%) of experimental cerebral malaria
(ECM) and (**C**) cumulative health status of mice infected by bites of control (Ctrl, n=10)
or Lp-depleted (*dsLp*, n=10) mosquitoes. (**D**) Kaplan-Meier analysis of time to infection
(blood stage parasitaemia), (**E**) incidence (%) of experimental cerebral malaria (ECM)
and (**F**) cumulative health status of mice infected by subcutaneous injection of 5,000
sporozoites dissected from the salivary glands of control (Ctrl, n=18) or Lp-depleted
(*dsLp*, n=16) mosquitoes. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*: p<0.05;
**: p<0.001; log-rank Mantel-Cox test and 2-way ANOVA).

Figure 3. Mosquito lipids control within-vector oocyst proliferation, sporozoite metabolic activity and infectivity.

Control and Lp-deficient female mosquitoes were infected with *P. berghei* (*P.b.*) (**A-G**) or *P. falciparum* (*P.f.*) (**D**, **E**) parasites. (**A**) Neutral lipids detected by the Nile Red stain in the GFP-expressing *P. berghei* oocysts (green) 14 dpi in control (Ctrl) and Lp-depleted (*dsLp*) mosquitoes. Nuclei are visualized by DAPI (blue). Scale bars - 10 μm. (**B**) Percentage of Nile Red-positive *P. berghei* oocysts in control and Lp-depleted mosquitoes 13 dpi. At least 140 oocysts from 6 mosquitoes were analysed per condition. Each point represents mean of one experiment (n=3, *: p<0.05; paired t test). (**C**) Oocysts detected by transmission electron microscopy (see also Figure S3) were scored according to the developmental stage: non-sporulating oocysts with normal morphology (immature, white); oocysts with normal plasma membrane retraction, budding sporoblasts, or formed sporozoites (sporulating, gray); oocysts with aberrant electron-light intracellular vacuolization (abnormal/dead, black). Proportions of the categories are shown as pie charts and the total number of analyzed oocysts per condition is indicated in the middle of each chart. (**D**) Differences in oocyst sizes of *P.f.* (11 dpi, n=3) and *P.b.*

(14 dpi, n=6) in control and Lp-depleted mosquitoes. At least 225 oocysts were

measured per condition. The box plots represent medians (horizontal bars) with 10th

and 90th percentiles. (***: p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test). (**E**) Development of *P.f.* (14

dpi, n=5) and *P.b.* (18 dpi, n=4) salivary gland sporozoites in control and Lp-depleted

mosquitoes. Each point represents the mean number of sporozoites per mosquito for

each experimental replicate. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*:

p<0.05; 2-way ANOVA). Sporozoite (**F**) GFP expression and (**G**) mitochondrial

membrane potential as measured by TMRE were analysed using imaging flow

cytometry. Histograms from one representative experiment (left panels) and geometrical

mean values from four independent experiments (right panels) in control (blue, n=1,405)

and lipid-deprived (red, n=593) sporozoites are shown. Asterisks indicate statistically

significant differences (*: p<0.05; paired t test).

663
664

Figure 4. *Plasmodium* time-shift in sporulation circumvents competition for mosquito resources.

(**A**) Kinetics of lipid acquisition by mosquito ovaries and *P. berghei* oocyst development. The ratios of Nile Red mean intensities in the ovaries (orange line) and oocysts growth (green line) between control and Lp-depleted mosquitoes are shown. Five or more mosquitoes were dissected per time point per condition (n≥2). (**B**) Lp-depleted mosquitoes (*dsLp*) and controls (Ctrl) were infected with *P. berghei* and offered (**v-viii**) or not (**i-iv**) a supplemental blood meal 7 days post infection. Salivary gland sporozoites were isolated and counted from control and Lp-deficient mosquitoes (**i** and **v**). Human hepatoma HepG2 cells were infected with 10,000 sporozoites and the number of extra-erythrocytic forms (EEFs) per field was gauged by microscopy 2 dpi (**ii** and **vi**). As a

negative control, sporozoites from control mosquitoes were treated with cytochalasin D
(Cyt D). Mean numbers and SEM are shown. Representative fluorescent micrographs of
GFP-expressing EEFs (green) developing in HepG2 cells (**iii** and **vii**). Cell nuclei are
stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar - 10 µm. EEF sizes produced by sporozoites from
control and Lp-deficient mosquitoes (**iv** and **viii**). The box plots represent 10th and 90th
percentiles while the horizontal lines show medians. Asterisks indicate statistically
significant differences (n=3, *: $p < 0.05$; ***: $p < 0.0001$; Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney
test).