Title

Arabidopsis U1 snRNP subunit LUC7 functions in alternative splicing and

preferential removal of terminal introns

Authors

Marcella de Francisco Amorim[1,2,3], Eva-Maria Willing[4], Irina Droste-Borel[5], Boris

Maček[5], Korbinian Schneeberger[4] and Sascha Laubinger[1,2,3,*]

Affiliations

[1] Centre for Plant Molecular Biology (ZMBP), University of Tuebingen, Tuebingen,

Germany

[2] Chemical Genomics Centre (CGC) of the Max Planck Society, Dortmund, Germany

[3] Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology, Tuebingen, Germany

[4] Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research (MPIPZ), Cologne, Germany

[5] Proteome Centre, University of Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany

* present address: Carl von Ossietzky University, Oldenburg, Germany

Corresponding author: Sascha Laubinger (sascha.laubinger@uol.de)

Keywords: U1 snRNP, Splicing, Non-sense mediated mRNA decay (NMD),

Polyadenylation, RNA transport, Arabidopsis

Abstract

Introns are removed by the spliceosome, a large macromolecular complex composed

of five ribonucleoprotein subcomplexes (U snRNP). The U1 snRNP, which binds to 5'

splice sites, plays an essential role in early steps of the splicing reaction. Here, we

show that Arabidopsis LUC7 proteins are U1 snRNP accessory components

important for plant development and stress resistance. Transcriptome analyses

revealed that LUC7 proteins are not only important for constitutive splicing, but also

affect hundreds of alternative splicing events. Interestingly, LUC7 proteins specifically

promote splicing of a subset of terminal introns. Splicing of LUC7-dependent terminal

introns is a prerequisite for nuclear export and some splicing events are modulated

by stress in a LUC7-dependent manner. Taken together our results highlight the

importance of the U1 snRNP component LUC7 in splicing regulation and suggest a

previously unrecognized contribution of terminal introns splicing in plant stress

responses.

Introduction

Eukaryotic genes are often interrupted by non-coding sequences called introns that are removed from pre-mRNAs while the remaining sequence, the exons, are joined together. This process, called splicing, is an essential step before the translation of the mature mRNAs and it offers a wide range of advantages for eukaryotic organisms. For instance, alternative splicing allows the production of more than one isoform from a single gene expanding the genome coding capacity (Kornblihtt et al, 2013; Reddy et al, 2013). In plants, alternative splicing contributes to essentially all aspects of development and stress responses (Carvalho et al, 2013; Staiger & Brown, 2013). Alternative splicing can regulate gene expression by generating transcripts with premature termination codons (PTC) or/and a long 3'UTR, which may lead to RNA degradation via the nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) pathway (Drechsel et al, 2013; Kalyna et al, 2012; Shaul, 2015). Furthermore, splicing can be coupled with other RNA processing events, such as 3'end formation and RNA transport to the cytosol (Kaida, 2016; Muller-McNicoll et al, 2016).

Intron removal is catalyzed by a large macromolecular complex, the spliceosome, which is formed by five small ribonucleoprotein particles (U snRNP): the U1, U2, U4, U5 and U6 snRNP. Each U snRNP contains a heteroheptameric ring of Sm or Lsm proteins, snRNP-specific proteins and an uridine-rich snRNA. Additional non-core spliceosomal proteins participate also during the splicing reaction affecting exon-intron recognition and thus splicing efficiency. The canonical splicing cycle starts with binding of the U1 snRNP to the 5' splice site (5'ss), followed by association of auxiliary proteins such as U2AF to the pre-mRNA, which facilitate the recognition of the 3' splice site (3'ss). The thereby formed complex E recruits the U2 snRNP to generate complex A. In the next step, a trimeric complex consisting of U4/U5/U6 snRNPs joins to form complex B. Several rearrangements and ejection of

the U1 and U4 snRNP are necessary to generate a catalytically active splicing

complex (Wahl et al, 2009; Will & Luhrmann, 2011).

The fact that U1 snRNP is recruited to the 5'ss in the initial step of splicing

suggests that this complex is necessary for correct splicing site selection. Indeed, it

has been shown that U1-deficient zebrafish mutants accumulate alternative spliced

transcripts, suggesting that the U1 snRNP fulfills regulatory roles in splice site

selection (Rosel et al, 2011). Although the spliceosome consists of stoichiometrically

equal amounts of each subunit, the U1 snRNP is more abundant than all the other

spliceosomal subcomplexes (Kaida, 2016; Kaida et al, 2010). One reason for this is

that the U1 snRNP executes splicing independent functions. For instance, metazoan

U1 snRNP binds not only to the 5'ss, but also throughout the nascent transcript to

block a premature cleavage and polyadenylation (Berg et al, 2012; Kaida et al,

2010). Furthermore, the U1 snRNP is also important to regulate promoter

directionality and transcription in animals (Almada et al, 2013; Guiro & O'Reilly,

2015).

U1 snRNP complexes were purified and characterized in yeast and human.

The U1 snRNP contains the U1 snRNA, Sm proteins, three U1 core proteins (U1-

70K, U1-A and U1-C) and U1-specific accessory proteins, such as LUC7, PRP39

and PRP40. All these proteins are conserved in plants suggesting a U1 snRNP

composition very similar to the one in yeast and metazoans (Koncz et al, 2012;

Reddy et al, 2013; Wang & Brendel, 2004). Interaction studies revealed that U1

snRNP associates with serine-arginine (SR) proteins, indicating a complex

mechanism for splicing site selection that involves also non-snRNP proteins (Cho et

al, 2011; Golovkin & Reddy, 1998).

The function of the plant U1 snRNP is not well characterized. This might be

due to the fact that in *Arabidopsis thaliana* the core U1 snRNP components *U1-70K*,

U1-A and *U1-C* are single copy genes and a complete knockout most likely causes

lethality. On the other hand, proteins such as PRP39, PRP40 and LUC7 are encoded

by small gene families, which require the generation of multiple mutants for functional

studies. Other factors, such as GEMIN2 or SRD2 are required for the functionality of

all snRNPs, but not specifically for U1 function (Ohtani & Sugiyama, 2005; Schlaen et

al, 2015). Only a single mutant in a U1 snRNP-specific gene, *PRP39A,* was

characterized. *prp39a* mutants flower late due to increased expression of the

flowering time regulator *FLOWERING LOCUS C* (*FLC*), but the mutant does not

exhibit any additional developmental defects (Wang et al, 2007). In a reverse genetic

approach, *U1-70K* expression was specifically reduced in flowers by an antisense

RNA and the resulting transgenic plants exhibit strong floral defects (Golovkin &

Reddy, 2003). Thus, despite evidences that U1 snRNP is essential for plant

development, the functions of the U1 snRNP in regulating the transcriptome of plants

are unknown.

Here, we report on the functional characterization of *Arabidopsis* mutants

impaired in U1 snRNP function. For this, we focused in this study on the U1 snRNP

components LUC7, which we show to be essential for normal plant development and

plant stress resistance. Our whole transcriptome analyses on *luc7* triple mutant show

that impairments of LUC7 proteins affect constitutive and alternative splicing.

Surprisingly, our results reveal the existence of transcripts, in which terminal introns

are preferentially retained in a LUC7-dependent manner and that these unspliced

terminal introns cause a nuclear retention of the pre-mRNAs. Our results suggests

that the plant U1 snRNP component LUC7 carries out a specialized function in the

removal of terminal introns to prevent nuclear export of pre-mRNAs and this could be

a mechanism to fine-tune gene expression under stress conditions.

Results

LUC7 proteins, a family of conserved nuclear zinc-finger / arginine-serine (RS) proteins, redundantly control plant development

LETHAL UNLESS CBC 7 (*LUC7*) was first identified in a screen for synthetic lethality in a yeast strain lacking the nuclear cap-binding complex (CBC), which is involved in RNA processing (Fortes et al, 1999b; Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis & Cowling, 2014; Sullivan & Howard, 2016). LUC7 proteins carry a C_3H and a C_2H_2-type zinc-fingers, which are located in the conserved LUC7 domain. LUC7 proteins from higher eukaryotes usually contain also an additional C-terminal Arginine/Serine-rich (RS) domain, which is known to mediate protein-protein interactions (Heim et al, 2014; Puig et al, 2007; Webby et al, 2009). *Arabidopsis thaliana* encodes three *LUC7* genes (*AthLUC7A*, *AthLUC7B* and *AthLUC7RL*), which are separated in two clades: *LUC7A/B* and *LUC7RL* (Figure 1A and S1). AthLUC7RL is more similar to its yeast homolog and lacks a conserved stretch of 80 amino acids of unknown function present in AthLUC7A and AthLUC7B (Figure S1). A phylogenetic analysis revealed that algae contain a single *LUC7* gene belonging to the LUC7RL clade reinforcing the idea that LUC7RL proteins are closer to the ancestral LUC7 than LUC7A/B. In the moss *Physcomitrella* and in the fern *Selaginella* one can find proteins belonging to both clades, suggesting that the split into *LUC7RL* and *LUC7A/B* occurred early during the evolution of land plants.

In order to understand the function of the Arabidopsis U1 snRNP, we analyzed T-DNA insertion lines affecting *LUC7* genes (Figure 1B). Single and double *luc7* mutants were indistinguishable from wild-type plants (WT) (Figure S2). However, *luc7* triple mutant exhibit a wide range of developmental defects, including dwarfism and reduced apical dominance (Figure 1C-E). To test whether the impairment of *LUC7* functions was indeed responsible for the observed phenotypes, we

reintroduced a wild-type copy of *LUC7A*, *LUC7B* or *LUC7RL* in the *luc7* triple mutant.

Each of the *LUC7* genes was sufficient to restore the growth phenotype of the *luc7*

triple mutant (Figure 1E). These results reveal that the phenotype observed in this

mutant is attributable to the impairment of *LUC7* function and it suggests that *LUC7*

genes act redundantly to control *Arabidopsis* growth and development.

LUC7 functions in the ABA pathway and is important for salt stress resistance

Splicing is essential for plant stress resistance and mutants impaired in splicing often

react differently to stress and the stress hormone abscisic acid (ABA) (Filichkin et al,

2015; Zhan et al, 2015). In addition, global impairment of the splicing machinery

elicits ABA signaling (AlShareef et al, 2017; Ling et al, 2017). To test whether LUC7

is important for plant stress resistance and ABA-mediated stress signaling, we

analyzed growth parameters of WT, the *luc7* triple mutant and a *luc7* rescue line in

presence of exogenous ABA or salt. A cotyledon greening assay showed that *luc7*

triple mutants reacted hypersensitively to exogenous ABA (Figure 2A, B), suggesting

that *LUC7* plays an important role in the ABA pathway. Furthermore, salt in the

growth medium impaired root growth much more strongly in *luc7* triple mutant than in

WT or in a *luc7* rescue line (Figure 2C, D). These results imply that functional *LUC7*

proteins are required for plant stress resistance and ABA responses.

LUC7 is a U1 snRNP component in plants

The composition of the U1 snRNP subcomplex is known in yeast and metazoans but

not in plants (Koncz et al, 2012; Will & Luhrmann, 2001). Therefore, we asked

whether LUC7 is also an U1 component in Arabidopsis. Due to the fact that our

genetic analyses of *luc7* mutants suggested that LUC7 proteins act largely

redundant, we focused our further analyses mainly on a single LUC7 protein, LUC7A.

A protein that is part of the U1 complex is tightly associated with U1 specific

components such as the U1 snRNA. To test whether LUC7 is found in a complex

with the U1 snRNA, we performed RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) experiments using

a *luc7* triple mutant carrying *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP* rescue construct (Figure S3).

Immunoprecipitation of LUC7A-YFP enriched the U1 snRNA more than 40-fold, but

did not enrich two unrelated, but abundant RNAs, U3 snoRNA and *ACTIN* mRNA

(Figure 3A). Small amounts of U2 snRNA was also found associated with LUC7A,

which is in agreement with the fact that U1 and U2 snRNP directly interact to form

spliceosomal complex A (Figure 3A). However, the amount of recovered U2 snRNA

is more than four-fold lower than that of the U1 snRNA (Figure 3A). These results

strongly suggest that Arabidopsis LUC7 proteins are bona fide U1 snRNP

components.

Next we analyzed the subcellular localization of LUC7A and its co-localization

with a core U1 snRNP subunit. LUC7A localized to the nucleus, but not to the

nucleolus in *Arabidopsis* plants containing the *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP* rescue construct

(Figure 3B). In addition, LUC7A partially co-localized with U1-70K in the nucleoplasm

when transiently expressed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* (Figure 3C). Similar results

were obtained for LUC7RL, the Arabidopsis LUC7 most distant in sequence to

LUC7A (Figure 3C). Interestingly, unlike U1-70K, LUC7A and LUC7RL did not form

distinct speckles in the nucleus and were evenly localized in the nucleoplasm (Figure

3C). In plants, co-localizations studies in protoplasts have shown that also the core

U1 components do not completely colocalize (Lorkovic & Barta, 2008). These partial

colocalizations suggest that plant U1 snRNP proteins may fulfill additional functions

as it has been observed in other eukaryotes (Workman et al, 2014).

***LUC7* effects on the Arabidopsis coding and non-coding transcriptome**

In order to identify misregulated and misspliced genes in *luc7* mutants, we performed

an RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis with three biological replicates. We decided

to use seven days old WT and *luc7* triple mutant seedlings. At this age, *luc7* triple

mutant and WT seedlings are morphologically similar and therefore, changes in

transcript levels and splicing patterns most likely reflect changes caused by

impairments of LUC7 proteins and are not due to different contribution of tissues

caused by, for instance, a delay in development or/and different morphology (Figure

S4).

An analysis of differentially expressed genes revealed that 840 genes are up-

and 703 are downregulated in *luc7* triple mutant when compared to WT. The majority

of genes that change expression were protein-coding genes (Figure 4A).

Nevertheless, non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) were significantly enriched among the

LUC7 affected genes ($p < 0.05$, hypergeometric test), although the overall number of

ncRNA affected in *luc7* triple mutant is relatively small (Figure 4A, B). Previous

studies implied that the U1 snRNP regulates microRNA (miRNA) biogenesis

(Bielewicz et al, 2013; Knop et al, 2016; Schwab et al, 2013; Stepien et al, 2017).

However, the expression of *MIRNA* genes was not affected in *luc7* triple mutants

(Figure 4A). In addition, quantification of mature miRNA levels revealed that all tested

miRNAs did not change abundance in *luc7* triple mutants (Figure 4C). These results

show that LUC7 proteins affect the expression of protein-coding genes and a subset

of ncRNAs, but are not involved in the miRNA pathway.

Arabidopsis *LUC7* functions are important for constitutive and alternative

splicing

Because LUC7 proteins are U1 snRNP components, we ask whether misspliced

transcripts accumulate in the *luc7* triple mutant. In total, we identified 645 differential

splicing events in *luc7* triple mutants compared to WT. We detected a large number

of intron retention events (Figure 5A). RT-PCR experiments with oligonucleotides

flanking selected intron retentions events confirmed the RNA-seq data (Figure 5B).

These results suggest that lack and/or impairment of the U1 snRNP component

LUC7 disturbs intron recognition and thus splicing. We also identified a large number

of exons skipping events in the *luc7* triple mutant. Exon skipping is also a major

outcome of impairing U1 snRNP function or binding in metazoans (Lorkovic & Barta,

2008; Rosel et al, 2011). These defects are most likely caused by interactions of the

U2 snRNP with U1 snRNPs associated to alternative 5'splice sites (Morcos, 2007).

Furthermore, we detected several cases of alternative 5' and 3' splice site selection

in *luc7* triple mutants (Figure 5A-F).

Some splicing defects observed in *luc7* triple mutants generated transcript

variants that did not exist in WT (e.g. *At2g32700*, Figure 5C). On the contrary, in

other cases the *luc7* triple mutant lacked specific mRNA isoforms, which exist in wild-

type plants (e.g. *At1g10980*, *At4g32060*), or the ratio of two different isoforms was

altered in *luc7* triple mutant when compared to WT (e.g. *At3g17310*, *At5g16715*,

At5g48150, *At2g11000*) (Figure 5D-F). These results show that Arabidopsis LUC7

proteins are involved in constitutive and alternative splicing.

Next, we checked whether splicing changes observed in *luc7* triple mutant are

actually due to the loss of only a specific *LUC7* gene or whether *LUC7* genes act

redundantly. To test this, we analyzed the splicing pattern of some mRNAs in *luc7*

single, double and triple mutants. Some splicing defects were detectable even in *luc7*

single mutants (Figure S5), but the degree of missplicing increased in *luc7* double

and triple mutants suggesting that LUC7 proteins act additively on these introns (e.g.

At5g16715). Some splicing defects occurred only in *luc7* triple mutants, implying that

LUC7 proteins act redundantly to ensure splicing of these introns (e.g. *At1g60995*).

Other splicing defects might more likely be due to the lack of LUC7A/B or LUC7RL.

For instance, intron removal of *At2g42010* more strongly relied on *LUC7RL*, while

removal of an intron in *At5g41220* preferentially depends on LUC7A/LUC7B (Figure

S5). These findings suggest that Arabidopsis *LUC7* genes function redundantly,

additively or specifically to ensure proper splicing.

LUC7 proteins are preferentially involved in the removal of terminal introns

In yeast, LUC7 connects the CBC with the U1 snRNP and this interaction is

important for the correct 5' splicing site selection (Fortes et al, 1999a). In plants, the

CBC associates with SE to ensure splicing of cap-proximal first introns (Laubinger et

al, 2008; Raczynska et al, 2010; Raczynska et al, 2013). To investigate the

relationship between LUC7 and the CBC/SE in plants, we analyzed the splicing

patterns of LUC7 dependent introns in *cbc* mutants (*cbp20* and *cbp80*) and *se-1* by

RT-PCR. All tested introns retained in *luc7* triple mutant were correctly spliced in *cbc*

and *se* mutants (Figure 6A). Conversely, first introns that are partially retained in

cbp20, cbp80 and *se-1* mutants were completely removed in the *luc7* triple mutant

(Figure 6B). These observations suggest that the functions of LUC7 and CBC/SE in

splicing of the selected introns do not overlap.

Next, we asked whether LUC7 has a preference for promoting splicing of cap-

proximal first introns as it has the CBC/SE complex. We classified retained introns in

luc7 triple mutant according to their position within the gene (first, middle or last

introns). Only genes with at least 3 introns were considered for this analysis. We

found a significant increase in retained last introns, but not first introns, in *luc7* triple

mutants (Figure 6C). Although the total number of retained introns is higher among

middle introns, the relative amount of retained middle introns in *luc7* triple mutant

was significantly reduced (Figure 6C). Retention of terminal introns in *luc7* triple

mutants was confirmed by RT-PCR analysis (Figure 6D). In summary, our data

revealed that (i) CBC/SE acts independently of LUC7 in splicing of cap-proximal

introns and that (ii) LUC7 proteins play an important role for the removal of certain

terminal introns.

Analysis of LUC7 containing complexes suggests an association of LUC7 with

proteins involved in 3'end processing and polyA-binding

In analogy to LUC7 function in yeast, in which it interacts with the CBC to ensure

splicing of cap-proximal introns, we hypothesized that Arabidopsis LUC7 might be

also connected to 3'end processing and/or polyadenylation machinery to ensure

splicing of terminal introns. To test with which proteins LUC7 associates *in planta*, we

purified LUC7A-containing complexes. For this, we used *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP*

complemented lines and as controls wild-type plants and transgenic lines expressing

free GFP (*p35S:GFP*). Immunopurifications (IPs) were carried out three to four time

independently. We observed that WT often produced more background in mass

spectrometry (MS) analyses than the 35S:GFP line and we therefore decided to use

WT as a more stringent control (Table S1). Among all the identified proteins we

considered those putative LUC7 interactors that were found in at least two

independent experiment and were at least three time more abundant in

pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP IPs than in WT IPs. The MS analysis revealed that LUC7 is

found in a complex with core U1 snRNP proteins U1-A, U1-70K and SM proteins,

further underpinning that LUC7 is a U1 snRNP component (Table 1, Table S1).

Additional proteins known to be involved in splicing including several serine-arginine

(SR) proteins (SR30, SCL30A, SCL33), and regulatory proteins, among them several

kinases, were found to be associated with LUC7A complexes (Table 1, Table S1).

The idea that LUC7A containing complexes associate with proteins involved in

3'end processing/polyA-binding was supported by several potential LUC7 interactors.

We found LUC7 in complexes with RBP47C, a polyA-binding protein of unknown

function (Lorkovic et al, 2000), and LARP6, which is targeted to 3'ends of mRNA

through interaction with polyA-binding protein 2 (PAB2) (Merret et al, 2013).

Interestingly, we also found an SPT6-like transcription factor associated with LUC7

complexes. SPT6 binds the pol II C-terminal domain (CTD) phosphorylated at serine

2 (Ser2P), which accumulates at 3'end of genes (Kaplan et al, 2000; Sun et al,

2010). In addition, we detected peptides corresponding to the spliceosomal complex

E components U2AF35 and U2AF65, the latter of which has been shown to interact

with a 3'end processing factor to connect splicing and 3'end processing (Millevoi et

al, 2002; Millevoi et al, 2006). Taken together, the analysis of LUC7A complexes

suggests that LUC7A forms complexes with proteins involved in 3'end processing

and polyA-binding. None of these LUC7 complex components has been studied

functionally and it will be a major effort for future studies to determine the function of

these proteins in terminal intron splicing.

mRNAs harboring unspliced LUC7-dependent terminal introns remain in the

nucleus and escape NMD

We were further interested in determining the characteristics and possible functions

of LUC7-dependent terminal introns. When introns are retained, the resulting mRNA

can contain a premature stop codon and a long 3'UTR, which are hallmarks of NMD

targets (Drechsel et al, 2013; Kalyna et al, 2012; Shaul, 2015). To check whether

mRNAs containing a retained LUC7-dependent terminal intron are NMD substrates,

we analyzed their splicing patterns in two mutants impaired in NMD, *lba-1* and *upf3-*

1. If unspliced isoforms were indeed NMD targets, we would expect their abundance

to be increased in NMD mutants. Interestingly, we did not observe any change

between WT and *upf* mutants (Figure 7A). Thus, we conclude that retained LUC7-

dependent terminal introns do not trigger degradation via the NMD pathway.

NMD occurs in the cytoplasm and RNAs can escape NMD by not being

transported from the nucleus to the cytosol (Gohring et al, 2014). We therefore

checked in which cellular compartment mRNAs with spliced and unspliced LUC7-

dependent terminal introns accumulate. To do this, we isolated total, nuclear and

cytosolic fractions from wild-type and *luc7* triple mutant plants and performed RT-

PCR analyses (Figure 7B). Purity of cytosolic and nuclear fractions was controlled by

immunoblot analysis using antibodies against histone H3 (specific for nuclear

fractions) and a 60S ribosomal protein (L13-1, specific for cytosolic fractions) (Figure

7C). Spliced mRNA isoforms accumulated in the cytosol, whereas mRNAs containing

the unspliced terminal introns were found in nuclear fractions (Figure 7B). These

results indicate that retention of terminal introns correlates with trapping mRNAs in

the nucleus and suggest that splicing of LUC7-dependent terminal introns is essential

for mRNA transport to the cytosol.

Splicing of LUC7-dependent terminal introns can be modulated by stress

Our results revealed that a subset of terminal introns requires LUC7 proteins for

efficient splicing and that splicing of these introns is a prerequisite for nuclear export.

This mechanism could serve as a nuclear quality control step to prevent that

unspliced mRNAs are exported prematurely. Interestingly, a GO analysis of genes

containing LUC7-dependent terminal introns indicated an enrichment for stress

related genes (Figure S6). This prompted us to speculate that nuclear retention of

mRNAs could be exploited as a regulatory mechanism to fine-tune gene expression

under stress conditions.

To test this hypothesis, we decided to check the splicing of LUC7-dependent

terminal introns in WT under stress condition. We chose cold stress because it was

suggested that U1 snRNP functionality is impaired under cold condition (Schlaen et

al, 2015). To quantify the amount of unspliced isoforms in cold condition, we

designed qPCR-primers specific to unspliced isoforms and the total mRNA pool and

calculate the relative amount of mRNA carrying unspliced LUC7-dependent terminal

introns compared to the total mRNA pool. mRNAs of *At1g70480*, *At2g41560* and

At5g44290 significantly accumulated unspliced isoforms in responses to cold

treatment demonstrating that cold stress modulates the splicing efficiency of these

LUC7-dependent terminal introns (Figure 8A). Interestingly, the amount of unspliced

mRNA in *luc7* triple mutants does not differ significantly between mock and stress

conditions (Figure 8A). This observation suggests that LUC7 is directly involved in

the regulation of terminal intron splicing under stress conditions and that LUC7 might

be a target for stress response pathways.

Discussion

Functions of the Arabidopsis U1 snRNP component LUC7

For this study, we generated an Arabidopsis triple mutant deficient in the U1 snRNP

components LUC7 and dissected the genome-wide effects of LUC7s impairments on

the Arabidopsis transcriptome. Our results show that LUC7 proteins are *bona-fide* U1

components acting mainly redundantly. The reduction of U1 function in the *luc7* triple

mutant affects constitutive splicing. A large number of introns are retained in *luc7*

triple mutant, suggesting that without a proper recognition of the 5'ss, splicing of the

affected introns is impaired. Our results also show that exon-skipping events are

impaired in *luc7* triple mutant, revealing that a functional plant U1 snRNP is essential

for exon definition. In addition, we show that *luc7* triple mutant affect alternative

splicing also by influencing events of alternative 5' and 3' splice site. This implies that

the U1 snRNP does not only affect 5' splice site usage, it might also indirectly

regulate usage of 3' splice sites via its interaction with U2AFs and the U2 snRNP

(Hoffman & Grabowski, 1992; Shao et al, 2012). The functions of LUC7 proteins on

the Arabidopsis transcriptome are likely to be underestimated, because misspliced

mRNAs in *luc7* mutants might contain hallmarks of NMD and are therefore rapidly

turned over and escape detection. Analysis of *luc7* mutants combined with mutations

in NMD factors would help to uncover the full set of splicing events affected by LUC7.

One has also to consider that U1 snRNP independent splicing has been described in

animals, indicating that not all introns require the U1 complex for efficient intron

removal (Fukumura et al, 2009). The degree of U1-independent splicing in plants

remains to be elucidated.

Duplications among genes encoding for U1 snRNP proteins, such as the

LUC7 genes, open up a possibility for sub- and neofunctionalization of U1 accessory

proteins. Furthermore, the Arabidopsis genome encodes 14 potential U1 snRNAs,

which slightly differ in sequence (Wang & Brendel, 2004). Therefore, the plant U1

snRNP presumably does not exist as a single complex, but might exist as different

sub-complexes exhibiting distinct specificities and functions. In metazoans, the

existence of at least four different U1 snRNP subcomplex has been suggested (Guiro

& O'Reilly, 2015; Hernandez et al, 2009). Specific combinations of plant U1 protein

family members and U1 snRNAs could generate an even higher number of such U1

subcomplexes, which could be responsible for specific splicing events. Our results

show that LUC7 can act redundantly, but can also fulfill specific functions, suggesting

that LUC7 complexes specifically act on certain pre-mRNAs. In this regard, it is

important to note that an additional short stretch of amino acids separates the two

zinc-finger domains in LUCA and LUC7B (Figure S1). Changing the space in

between RNA binding domains affects substrate specificities and could explain

different specificities among LUC7 proteins (Chen & Varani, 2013).

Interestingly, *luc7* triple mutant showed a significant higher retention rate of

terminal introns compared to first or middle introns. This was surprising because

LUC7 was initially found to act in concert with the CBC, a complex involved in the

removal of cap-proximal first introns, but not of last introns (Lewis et al, 1996). Often,

the removal of terminal introns is coupled to polyadenylation (Cooke & Alwine, 2002;

Cooke et al, 1999; Rigo & Martinson, 2008). Interestingly, we detected components

involved in RNA 3'end processing or polyA-binding as part of LUC7A complexes,

suggesting that such interactions may contribute to the specific functions of LUC7 in

terminal intron splicing. Future studies will focus on the characterization and

functional analysis of these proteins in Arabidopsis.

Potential functions of terminal intron retention in plants

Intron retention can target a transcript to NMD due to the generation of a premature

stop codon (PTC) that either produces a long 3'UTR ($\geq$300 - 350 nt) or introns

located downstream ($\geq$50 - 55 nt) (Drechsel et al, 2013; Kalyna et al, 2012; Kervestin

& Jacobson, 2012; Shaul, 2015). Retained terminal introns are then special because

their detection solely relies on the length of the 3'UTR. Transport of mRNAs

containing unspliced terminal introns, especially those harboring a relatively short

3'UTR, can be detrimental and has to be tightly controlled. Interestingly, we found

that splicing of LUC7-dependent terminal introns is required for transport of mRNAs

from the cytosol to the nucleus. The fact that we cannot detect unspliced transcript in

the cytosol suggests a nuclear retention mechanism for such mRNAs. One possibility

is that LUC7-dependent terminal introns might contain binding sites for specific trans-

regulatory factors that upon binding inhibit export. Polypyrimidine tract-binding

protein 1 (PTB1) is a candidate for such a trans-regulatory protein, because binding

of PTB1 to introns represses nuclear export of certain RNAs (Roy et al, 2013; Yap et

al, 2012).

Nuclear retention of unspliced mRNAs is not limited to terminal introns and

might be a much more general mechanism to escape NMD and to regulate gene

expression (Wong et al, 2016). In plants, some specific transcript isoforms have been

detected only in the nucleus, but not in the cytosol (Gohring et al, 2014). Also in

metazoans, intron retention might have a more general role in regulating gene

expression (Naro et al, 2017; Pimentel et al, 2016; Yap et al, 2012). The so-called

detained introns are evolutionary conserved, NMD insensitive and retained in the

nucleus (Boutz et al, 2015). The functional importance of intron retention was also

suggested in the fern *Marsilea vestita*, in which many mRNAs contain introns that are

only spliced shortly before gametophyte development (Boothby et al, 2013).

We found that LUC7-dependent splicing of terminal introns can be modulated

by cold stress. Because retention of these introns causes nuclear trapping, it is

prompting to speculate that environmental cues affect splicing and nuclear retention

of mRNAs. Such a mechanism would regulate the amount of translatable mRNAs in

the cytosol in a cost-efficient and rapid manner (Figure 8B). Since the regulation of

terminal splicing is lost in *luc7* mutants, one can expect that LUC7 function might be

regulated under stress conditions. Interestingly, the RS domains of LUC7 proteins

are phosphorylated and we identified three kinases as LUC7A interactors (Durek et

al, 2010; Heazlewood et al, 2008). In addition, stress signaling triggered by the

phytohormone ABA causes differential phosphorylation of several splicing factors

(Umezawa et al, 2013; Wang et al, 2013). Whether stress-induced changes in

phosphorylation play a role in regulating LUC7 proteins and whether the LUC7-

interacting kinases here identified are involved in this process remains to be

elucidated.

Material and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

All mutants were in the Columbia-0 (Col-0) background. *luc7a-1* (SAIL_596_H02)

and *luc7a-2* (SAIL_776_F02), *luc7b-1* (SALK_144681), *luc7rl-1* (SALK_077718) and

luc7rl-2 (SALK_130892C) were isolated by PCR-based genotyping (Table S2). *luc7*

double and triple mutants were generate by crossing individual mutants. All other

mutants used in this study (*abh1-285*, *cbp20-1*, *se*-1, *lba-1* and *upf3-1*) were

described elsewhere (Hori & Watanabe, 2005; Laubinger et al, 2008; Papp et al,

2004; Prigge & Wagner, 2001; Yoine et al, 2006). The line expressing GFP was

generated using the vector pBinarGFP and was kindly provided by Dr. Andreas

Wachter (Wachter et al, 2007). For complementation analyses, *pLUC7A:LUC7A-*

FLAG, *pLUC7B:LUC7B-FLAG*, *pLUC7RL:LUC7RL-FLAG* and *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP*

constructs were introduced in *luc7* triple mutant by Agrobacterium-mediated

transformation (Clough & Bent, 1998). All plants were grown on soil in long days

conditions (16-h light/8-h dark) at 20°C/18°C day/night. The size of *luc7* mutants was

assessed by measuring the longest rosette leaf after 21 days. For all molecular

studies, seeds were surface-sterilized, plated on 1/2 MS medium with 0.8%

phytoagar and grown for 7 days in continuous light at 22°C. For the cold treatment,

plates with *Arabidopsis* seedlings were transferred to ice-water for 60 min. For the

root growth assay, 4 days old seedlings growing on vertical plates were transferred to

mock plates or plates containing indicated amount of NaCl and grown for more 11

days vertically. Root growth rate per day was assessed by measuring in ImageJ the

root length in the days 2 and 9 after transfer. For ABA sensitivity assays, seedlings

were grown for 10 days on 1/2 MS plates supplemented with 0.8% phytoagar, 1%

sucrose and indicated amounts of ABA (+) (Sigma - A4906).

Plasmid constructions and transient expression analyses

For the expression of C-terminal FLAG- and YFP-tagged LUC7 proteins expressed

from their endogenous regulatory elements, 2100 bp, 4120 bp and 2106 bp upstream

of the ATG start codon of *LUC7A*, *LUC7B* and *LUC7RL*, respectively, to the last

coding nucleotide were PCR-amplified and subcloned in pCR8/GW/TOPO®

(Invitrogen). Oligonucleotides are listed in Table S2). Entry clones were recombined

with pGWB10 and pGWB540 using Gateway LR clonase II (Invitrogen) to generate

binary plasmids containing *pLUC7A:LUC7A-FLAG*, *pLUC7B:LUC7B-FLAG*,

pLUC7RL:LUC7RL-FLAG and *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP*. For the co-localization studies,

entry vector containing the coding sequence of U1-70k was recombined with

pGWB654 for the expression of *p35S:U1-70k-mRFP* (Nakagawa et al, 2007).

Agrobacterium-mediated transient transformation of *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants

was conducted as following. Overnight Agrobacterium culture were diluted in 1:6 and

grown for 4 hours at 28°C. After centrifugation, pellets were resuspended in

infiltration medium (10mM $MgCl_2$, 10mM MES-KOH pH 5.6, 100 µM Acetosyringone).

The OD 600nm was adjusted to 0.6-0.8 and samples were mixed when required. *N.*

benthamiana were infiltrated and subcellular localization was checked after 3 days.

Subcellular localization of fluorescent proteins was analyzed by confocal microscopy

using a Leica TCS SP8.

Phylogenetic analysis

AthLUC7A (AT3G03340) protein sequence was analyzed in Interpro

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) to retrieve the Interpro ID for the conserved Luc7-

related domain (IPR004882). The sequence for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (strain

ATCC 204508_S288c) was obtained in Interpro. Plants sequences were extracted

using BioMart selecting for the protein domain IPR004882 on Ensembl Plants

(http://plants.ensembl.org/). The following genomes were included in our analyses:

Amborella trichopoda (AMTR1.0 (2014-01-AGD)); *Arabidopsis thaliana* (TAIR10

(2010-09-TAIR10)); *Brachypodium distachyon* (v1.0); *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*

(v3.1 (2007-11-ENA)); *Physcomitrella patens* (ASM242v1 (2011-03-Phypa1.6));

Selaginella moellendorffii (v1.0 (2011-05-ENA)); *Oryza sativa Japonica* (IRGSP-1.0);

and *Ostreococcus lucimarinus* genes (ASM9206v1). The phylogenetic analysis was

performed in Seaview (Version 4.6.1) using Muscle for sequence alignment.

Maximum likehood (PhYML) was employed with 1000 bootstraps (Gouy et al, 2010).

RNA extractions, RT-PCR and qRT-PCR

RNAs extractions were performed with Direct-zol™ RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo

Research). Total RNAs were treated with DNAse I and cDNA synthesis carried out

with RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific) using usually

oligo dT primers or a mixture of hexamer and miRNA-specific stem-loop primers

(Table S2). Standard PCRs for the splicing analysis were performed with DreamTaq

DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific). Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was

performed using the Maxima SYBR Green (Thermo Scientific) in a Bio-Rad CFX 384.

For all qPCR-primers, primer efficiencies were determined by a serial dilution of

cDNA template. The relative expressions were calculated using the $2\hat{ }(-\Delta\Delta CT)$

method with *PP2A* or *ACTIN* as control. For the qRT-PCR to detect splicing ratio

changes under cold condition, the ratio $2\hat{ }(-\Delta CT_{unspliced})/2\hat{ }(-\Delta CT_{total\ RNA})$ was

calculated separately for each replicate and t-test was performed before calculating

the relative to WT mock. Oligonucleotides are listed in Table S2. For RNA-

sequencing analysis, polyA RNAs were enriched from 4 μg of total RNAs using

NEBNext Oligo d(T)$_{25}$ Magnetic Beads (New England Biolabs). The libraries were

prepared using ScriptSeq™ Plant Leaf kit (Epicentre) following the manufacturer's

instruction. Single end sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq2000.

Sequencing data were deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus under accession

number GSE98779.

Subcellular fractionation

Two grams of seedlings were ground in N_2 liquid and resuspended in 4 ml of Honda

buffer (0.44 M sucrose, 1.25% Ficoll 400, 2.5% Dextran T40, 20 mM HEPES KOH

pH 7.4, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5% Triton X-100, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, protease

inhibitor cocktail [ROCHE] supplemented with 40U/ml of Ribolock®). The

homogenate was filtered through 2 layer of Miracloth, which was washed with 1ml of

Honda Buffer. From the filtrate, 300 µl was removed as "total" fraction and kept on

ice. Filtrates were centrifuged at 1,500 g for 10 min, 4°C for pelleting nuclei and

supernatants were transferred to a new tube. Supernatants were centrifuged at 13

000 x g, 4 °C, 15 min and 300 µl were kept on ice as cytoplasmic fraction. Nuclei

pellets were washed five times in 1 ml of Honda buffer (supplemented with 8U/ml of

Ribolock®, centrifugation at 1,800 g for 5 min. The final pellet was resuspended in

300 µl of Honda buffer. To all the fractions (total, cytoplasmic and nuclei), 900 µl of

TRI Reagent (Sigma) was added. After homogenization, 180 µl of chloroform was

added and samples were incubated at room temperature for 10 min. After

centrifugation at 10 0000 rpm for 20 min, 4°C, the aqueous phase were transferred to

a new tube and RNA extracted with Direct-zol™ RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research).

The organic phase was collected and proteins were isolated according to

manufacturer's instructions (TRI Reagent). cDNA synthesis with random primes was

performed as above. Proteins extracted were analyzed by standard western blot

techniques using the following antibodies: H3 (~ 17 KDa / ab 1791, Abcam) and 60S

ribosomal (~ 23,7-29 KDa / L13, Agrisera).

RNA immunoprecipitation

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) using WT and a *pLUC7A:LUC7A-eYFP* rescue line

was performed as described elsewhere with minor modifications (Rowley et al, 2013;

Xing et al, 2015). Isolated nuclei were sonicated in nuclear lysis buffer in a Covaris

E220 (Duty Cycle: 20%; Peak intensity: 140; Cycles per Burst: 200; Cycle time: 3').

RNAs were extracted using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN) following the

manufacturer's instructions. The RNA were treated with DNAseI (Thermo Scientific)

and samples were split in half for the (-)RT reaction. cDNA synthesis were perform

with SuperScript™ III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). qRT-PCRs were performed

with QuantiNova™ SYBRR Green PCR (QIAGEN).

RNA-seq libraries: Mapping, differential expression analysis and splicing

analysis

RNA-seq reads for each replicate were aligned against the *Arabidopsis thaliana*

reference sequence (TAIR10) using tophat (v2.0.10, -p2, -a 10, -g 10, -N 10, --read-

edit-dist 10, --library-type fr-secondstrand, --segment-length 31, -G TAIR10.gff). Next,

cufflinks (version 2.2.1) was used to extract FPKM counts for each expressed

transcript generating a new annotation file (transcripts.gtf), where the coordinates of

each expressed transcript can be found. Cuffcompare (version 2.2.1) was then used

to generate a non-redundant annotation file containing all reference transcripts in

addition to new transcripts expressed in at least one of the nine samples

(cuffcmp.combined.gtf). The differential expression analysis was performed with

cuffdiff (version 2.2.1) between wt/*luc7* triple using the annotation file generated by

cuffcompare (FDR<2 and FC>0,05). For the splicing analysis, the same alignment

files generated by tophat and annotation files generated by cuffcompare

(cuffcmp.combined.gtf) were used as input for MATS (version 3.0.8) in order to test

for differentially spliced transcripts (Shen et al, 2014).

GO Analysis

GO analysis was performed in Bar Utoronto (http://bar.utoronto.ca/ntools/cgi-

bin/ntools_classification_superviewer.cgi).

Protein complex purification and mass spectrometry (MS) Analysis

LUC7A immunoprecipitation was performed using a complemented line

pLUC7A:LUC7A-eYFP (line 20.3.1) and a transgenic *p35S:GFP* and WT as controls.

Four independent biological replicates were performed. Seedlings (4 g) were ground

in N_2 liquid and respuspended in 1 volumes of extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH

7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0,5% Triton X-100, 5% Glycerol, 1mM PMSF, 100 µM MG132,

Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail EDTA-free [Roche] and Plant specific protease

Inhibitor, Sigma P9599). After thawing, samples were incubated on ice for 30 min,

centrifuged at 3220 rcf for 30 min at 4°C and filtrated with two layers of Miracloth. For

each immunoprecipitation, 20 µl of GFP-trap (Chromotek) was washed twice with 1

ml of washing buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.2% Triton X-100) and

once with 0.5 ml of IP buffer. For each replicate, the same amount of plant extracts

(~5ml) were incubated with GFP-trap and incubated on a rotating wheel at 4°C for 3

hours. Samples were centrifuged at 800-2000 rcf for 1-2 min and the supernatant

discarded. GFP-beads were resuspended in 1 ml of washing buffer, transferred into a

new tube and washed 4 to 5 times. Then, beads were ressuspended in ~40 µl of 2x

Laemmli Buffer and incubated at 80°C for 10 min. Short gel purifications (SDS-

PAGE) were performed and gels slices were digested with Trypsin. LC-MS/MS

analyses were performed in two mass spectrometer. Samples from R10 to R14 were

analyzed on a Proxeon Easy-nLC coupled to Orbitrap Elite (method: 90min, Top10,

HCD). Samples from R15 to R17 were analysed on a Proxeon Easy-nLC coupled to

OrbitrapXL (method: 90min, Top10, CID). Samples from R18 to R20 analysis on a

Proxeon Easy-nLC coupled to OrbitrapXL (method: 130min, Top10, CID). All the

replicates were processed together on MaxQuant software (Version 1.5.2.8. with

integrated Andromeda Peptide search engine) with a setting of 1% FDR and the

spectra were searched against an *Arabidopsis thaliana* Uniprot database

(UP000006548_3702_complete_20151023.fasta). All peptides identified are listed in

Supplementary Table S1 and raw data were deposited publically (accession

PXD006127).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG (to S.L.,

LA2633-4/1), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior

(CAPES - Brazil) for doctoral fellowship (to M.F.A.), the Max Planck Society (to K.S.),

the Max Planck Society Chemical Genomics Centre (CGC) through its supporting

companies AstraZeneca, Bayer CropScience, Bayer Healthcare, Boehringer-

Ingelheim and Merck (to S.L). We are grateful to Andreas Wachter (ZMBP, University

of Tuebingen, Germany) and members of the lab for critical reading of the

manuscript, Christa Lanz for her invaluable help with Illumina sequencing, and

Johanna Schröter and her team for excellent care of our plants, Anja Hoffmann for

excellent technical assistance, and Andreas Wachter (ZMBP, University of

Tuebingen, Germany), Tsuyoshi Nakagawa (Department of Molecular and Functional

Genomics, Center for Integrated Research in Science, Shimane University, Matsue,

Japan) and the Notthingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre for providing seeds and DNA

constructs.

Author contributions

M.d.F.A. and S.L. designed research. M.d.F.A., I.D-B. and S.L. performed

experiments. E.-M. W., M.d.F.A., I.D-B., B.M., K.S. and S.L. analyzed the data.

M.d.F.A. and S.L. wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Figure legends

Figure 1: *Arabidopsis* LUC7 proteins redundantly control plant development

A: Phylogenetic analysis of LUC7 proteins in the plant kingdom using

Saccharomyces cerevisiae as an external group.

B: Exon/intron structure of *Arabidopsis thaliana LUC7A*, *LUC7B* and *LUC7RL*.

Dotted lines indicate the positions of T-DNA insertions.

C: WT and *luc7* triple mutant plants 21 days (left) and 45 days (right) after

germination.

D: Length of the longest rosette leaf of 21 days-old WT, *luc7* single, double and triple

mutant plants growing under long day conditions. Leaves of 10-15 individual plants

were measured. Dots indicate individual data points. Error bars denote the SEM.

E: Complementation of *luc7a,b,rl* mutants by *LUC7A*, *LUC7B* and *LUC7RL* genomic

rescue constructs. Transformation of an "empty" binary vector served as a control.

Two independent transgenic lines for each construct are shown.

Figure 2: *Arabidopsis* LUC7 is involved in ABA signaling and salt stress

responses

A,B: WT, *luc7* triple mutant and a *luc7* rescue line (*luc7a,b,rl; pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP*)

were grown on half-strength MS plates containing 1% sucrose and indicated amount

of ABA. Seedling phenotypes (A) and quantification of seedlings with green

cotyledons (B) are shown. Green cotyledons were scored ten days after germination.

One of two biological replicates is shown.

C,D: WT, *luc7* triple mutant and a *luc7* rescue line (*luc7a,b,rl; pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP*)

were germinated on half-strength MS vertical plates and seedling were transferred on

half-strength MS plates containing the indicated amount of NaCl. Plates were always

placed vertically and the root growth was scored over 7 days. Phenotypes (C) and

root length quantification (D) are shown.

Figure 3: *Arabidopsis* LUC7 is an U1 snRNP component

A: RNA immunoprecipitation using a *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP, luc7a,b,rl* complemented

line. Proteins were immunoprecipitated using GFP-specific affinity matrix and RNAs

were extracted from the input and the immunoprecipitated. U1, U2, U3 snRNAs and

ACTIN RNA were quantified using qRT-PCR. Enrichment of the respective RNA in

LUC7A:LUC7A-YFP luc7a,b,rl transgenic line was calculated over WT (negative

control). Error bars denote the range of two biological replicates.

B: Subcellular localization of LUC7A in *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP luc7a,b,rl* in

Arabidopsis transgenic plants. Roots of 9 day-old seedlings were analyzed using

confocal microscopy. Scale bar indicates 25 μm.

C: U1-70K-mRFP and LUC7A-YFP or LUC7RL-YFP proteins were transiently

expressed in *N. benthamiana*. The subcellular localization of mRFP and YFP fusion

proteins was analyzed using confocal microscopy. Scale bars indicate 10 µm and 25

µm for upper and lower panel, respectively.

Figure 4: Mutations in *LUC7* result in misexpression of protein-coding and non-

coding genes, but not in *MIRNA* genes

A: Differentially expressed genes in *luc7a,b,rl* mutant compared to WT.

B,C: qRT-PCR analysis of selected ncRNA **(B)** and miRNAs **(C)** in WT and

luc7a,b,rl. Error bars denote the SEM (n=3).

Figure 5: Global analysis of splicing defects in *luc7* triple mutant.

A: Classification of splicing events changes in *luc7* triple mutant compared to WT.

B-F: Coverage plots and RT-PCR validation experiments for selected splicing events

in WT and *luc7* triple mutant. Genomic DNA (gDNA) or water (-) served as a control.

Primers positions are indicated with gray arrows. IR, intron retention; ES, exon

skipping; Alt.3'SS, alternative 3'splicing site; Alt.5'SS, alternative 5'splicing site.

Figure 6: LUC7 proteins have a pronounced effect on terminal intron splicing

A: RT-PCR analysis of LUC7-dependent introns in WT, *luc7* triple mutant, *cbp80*,

cbp20 and *se-1* mutants.

B: RT-PCR analysis of CBC/SE-dependent introns in WT, *luc7* triple mutant, *cbp80*,

cbp20 and *se-1* mutants.

C: Classification of intron retention according to the intron position (first, middle, or

last). Only genes with 3 or more introns were considered for this analysis. Terminal

introns are significantly enriched among introns retained in *luc7* triple mutants

(Fischer test; two-side p< 0.0016).

D: RT-PCR analysis of genes carrying retained terminal introns in WT and *luc7* triple

mutants.

Figure 7: mRNAs containing retained LUC7-dependent terminal introns are

NMD-insensitive and remain nuclear.

A: RT-PCR analysis of LUC7-dependent terminal introns in WT and NMD mutants

(*lba1* and *upf3-1*).

B: Splicing patterns of mRNAs isolated from total (T), cytosolic (C) and nuclear (N)

fractions.

C: Immunoblot analysis of proteins isolated from total, cytosolic and nuclear

fractions. Blots were probed with antibodies against histone H3 and a ribosomal

protein, L13-1.

Figure 8: Splicing of LUC7 dependent terminal introns can be modulated by

stress.

A: Seven days old WT seedlings were exposed to cold for 60 min. Splicing ratios

(unspliced/total RNA) of four genes featuring a LUC7-dependent terminal intron was

analyzed by qPCR. A T-test was performed for statistical analysis.

B: Model for the proposed function of LUC7 in Arabidopsis.

Table 1: List of selected potential LUC7A interacting proteins identified in an

immunoprecipitation experiment followed by MS analysis.

Literature

Almada AE, Wu X, Kriz AJ, Burge CB, Sharp PA (2013) Promoter directionality is

controlled by U1 snRNP and polyadenylation signals. *Nature* **499:** 360-363

AlShareef S, Ling Y, Butt H, Mariappan KG, Benhamed M, Mahfouz MM (2017)

Herboxidiene triggers splicing repression and abiotic stress responses in plants.

BMC genomics **18:** 260

Berg MG, Singh LN, Younis I, Liu Q, Pinto AM, Kaida D, Zhang Z, Cho S, Sherrill-Mix

S, Wan L, Dreyfuss G (2012) U1 snRNP determines mRNA length and regulates

isoform expression. *Cell* **150:** 53-64

Bielewicz D, Kalak M, Kalyna M, Windels D, Barta A, Vazquez F, Szweykowska-

Kulinska Z, Jarmolowski A (2013) Introns of plant pri-miRNAs enhance miRNA

biogenesis. *EMBO reports* **14:** 622-628

Boothby TC, Zipper RS, van der Weele CM, Wolniak SM (2013) Removal of retained

introns regulates translation in the rapidly developing gametophyte of Marsilea

vestita. *Developmental cell* **24:** 517-529

Boutz PL, Bhutkar A, Sharp PA (2015) Detained introns are a novel, widespread

class of post-transcriptionally spliced introns. *Genes & development* **29:** 63-80

Carvalho RF, Feijao CV, Duque P (2013) On the physiological significance of

alternative splicing events in higher plants. *Protoplasma* **250:** 639-650

Chen Y, Varani G (2013) Engineering RNA-binding proteins for biology. *The FEBS*

journal **280:** 3734-3754

Cho S, Hoang A, Sinha R, Zhong XY, Fu XD, Krainer AR, Ghosh G (2011)

Interaction between the RNA binding domains of Ser-Arg splicing factor 1 and U1-

70K snRNP protein determines early spliceosome assembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*

A **108:** 8233-8238

Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-

mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. *The Plant journal : for cell and*

molecular biology **16:** 735-743

Cooke C, Alwine JC (2002) Characterization of specific protein-RNA complexes

associated with the coupling of polyadenylation and last-intron removal. *Molecular*

and cellular biology **22:** 4579-4586

Cooke C, Hans H, Alwine JC (1999) Utilization of splicing elements and

polyadenylation signal elements in the coupling of polyadenylation and last-intron

removal. *Molecular and cellular biology* **19:** 4971-4979

Drechsel G, Kahles A, Kesarwani AK, Stauffer E, Behr J, Drewe P, Ratsch G,

Wachter A (2013) Nonsense-mediated decay of alternative precursor mRNA splicing

variants is a major determinant of the Arabidopsis steady state transcriptome. *Plant*

Cell **25:** 3726-3742

Durek P, Schmidt R, Heazlewood JL, Jones A, MacLean D, Nagel A, Kersten B,

Schulze WX (2010) PhosPhAt: the Arabidopsis thaliana phosphorylation site

database. An update. *Nucleic acids research* **38:** D828-834

Filichkin S, Priest HD, Megraw M, Mockler TC (2015) Alternative splicing in plants:

directing traffic at the crossroads of adaptation and environmental stress. *Current*

opinion in plant biology **24:** 125-135

Fortes P, Bilbao-Cortes D, Fornerod M, Rigaut G, Raymond W, Seraphin B, Mattaj

IW (1999a) Luc7p, a novel yeast U1 snRNP protein with a role in 5' splice site

recognition. *Genes & development* **13:** 2425-2438

Fortes P, Kufel J, Fornerod M, Polycarpou-Schwarz M, Lafontaine D, Tollervey D,

Mattaj IW (1999b) Genetic and physical interactions involving the yeast nuclear cap-

binding complex. *Molecular and cellular biology* **19:** 6543-6553

Fukumura K, Taniguchi I, Sakamoto H, Ohno M, Inoue K (2009) U1-independent pre-

mRNA splicing contributes to the regulation of alternative splicing. *Nucleic Acids Res*

37: 1907-1914

Gohring J, Jacak J, Barta A (2014) Imaging of endogenous messenger RNA splice

variants in living cells reveals nuclear retention of transcripts inaccessible to

nonsense-mediated decay in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **26:** 754-764

Golovkin M, Reddy AS (1998) The plant U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particle

70K protein interacts with two novel serine/arginine-rich proteins. *Plant Cell* **10:** 1637-

1648

Golovkin M, Reddy AS (2003) Expression of U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein 70K

antisense transcript using APETALA3 promoter suppresses the development of

sepals and petals. *Plant physiology* **132:** 1884-1891

Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis T, Cowling VH (2014) Cap-binding complex (CBC). *The*

Biochemical journal **457:** 231-242

Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O (2010) SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical

user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Molecular*

biology and evolution **27:** 221-224

Guiro J, O'Reilly D (2015) Insights into the U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein

complex superfamily. *Wires Rna* **6:** 79-92

Heazlewood JL, Durek P, Hummel J, Selbig J, Weckwerth W, Walther D, Schulze

WX (2008) PhosPhAt: a database of phosphorylation sites in Arabidopsis thaliana

and a plant-specific phosphorylation site predictor. *Nucleic acids research* **36:**

D1015-1021

Heim A, Grimm C, Muller U, Haussler S, Mackeen MM, Merl J, Hauck SM, Kessler

BM, Schofield CJ, Wolf A, Bottger A (2014) Jumonji domain containing protein 6

(Jmjd6) modulates splicing and specifically interacts with arginine-serine-rich (RS)

domains of SR- and SR-like proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42:** 7833-7850

Hernandez H, Makarova OV, Makarov EM, Morgner N, Muto Y, Krummel DP,

Robinson CV (2009) Isoforms of U1-70k control subunit dynamics in the human

spliceosomal U1 snRNP. *PloS one* **4:** e7202

Hoffman BE, Grabowski PJ (1992) U1 snRNP targets an essential splicing factor,

U2AF65, to the 3' splice site by a network of interactions spanning the exon. *Genes*

& development **6:** 2554-2568

Hori K, Watanabe Y (2005) UPF3 suppresses aberrant spliced mRNA in Arabidopsis.

The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology **43:** 530-540

Kaida D (2016) The reciprocal regulation between splicing and 3'-end processing.

Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA **7:** 499-511

Kaida D, Berg MG, Younis I, Kasim M, Singh LN, Wan L, Dreyfuss G (2010) U1

snRNP protects pre-mRNAs from premature cleavage and polyadenylation. *Nature*

468: 664-668

Kalyna M, Simpson CG, Syed NH, Lewandowska D, Marquez Y, Kusenda B,

Marshall J, Fuller J, Cardle L, McNicol J, Dinh HQ, Barta A, Brown JW (2012)

Alternative splicing and nonsense-mediated decay modulate expression of important

regulatory genes in Arabidopsis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40:** 2454-2469

Kaplan CD, Morris JR, Wu C, Winston F (2000) Spt5 and spt6 are associated with

active transcription and have characteristics of general elongation factors in D.

melanogaster. *Genes & development* **14:** 2623-2634

Kervestin S, Jacobson A (2012) NMD: a multifaceted response to premature

translational termination. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology* **13:** 700-712

Knop K, Stepien A, Barciszewska-Pacak M, Taube M, Bielewicz D, Michalak M,

Borst JW, Jarmolowski A, Szweykowska-Kulinska Z (2016) Active 5' splice sites

regulate the biogenesis efficiency of Arabidopsis microRNAs derived from intron-

containing genes. *Nucleic Acids Res*

Koncz C, Dejong F, Villacorta N, Szakonyi D, Koncz Z (2012) The spliceosome-

activating complex: molecular mechanisms underlying the function of a pleiotropic

regulator. *Frontiers in plant science* **3:** 9

Kornblihtt AR, Schor IE, Allo M, Dujardin G, Petrillo E, Munoz MJ (2013) Alternative

splicing: a pivotal step between eukaryotic transcription and translation. *Nature*

reviews Molecular cell biology **14:** 153-165

Laubinger S, Sachsenberg T, Zeller G, Busch W, Lohmann JU, Ratsch G, Weigel D

(2008) Dual roles of the nuclear cap-binding complex and SERRATE in pre-mRNA

splicing and microRNA processing in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

105: 8795-8800

Lewis JD, Izaurralde E, Jarmolowski A, McGuigan C, Mattaj IW (1996) A nuclear

cap-binding complex facilitates association of U1 snRNP with the cap-proximal 5'

splice site. *Genes & Development* **10:** 1683-1698

Ling Y, Alshareef S, Butt H, Lozano-Juste J, Li L, Galal AA, Moustafa A, Momin AA,

Tashkandi M, Richardson DN, Fujii H, Arold S, Rodriguez PL, Duque P, Mahfouz MM

(2017) Pre-mRNA splicing repression triggers abiotic stress signaling in plants. *The*

Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology **89:** 291-309

Lorkovic ZJ, Barta A (2008) Role of Cajal bodies and nucleolus in the maturation of

the U1 snRNP in Arabidopsis. *PLoS One* **3:** e3989

Lorkovic ZJ, Wieczorek Kirk DA, Klahre U, Hemmings-Mieszczak M, Filipowicz W

(2000) RBP45 and RBP47, two oligouridylate-specific hnRNP-like proteins interacting

with poly(A)+ RNA in nuclei of plant cells. *RNA* **6:** 1610-1624

Merret R, Martino L, Bousquet-Antonelli C, Fneich S, Descombin J, Billey E, Conte

MR, Deragon JM (2013) The association of a La module with the PABP-interacting

motif PAM2 is a recurrent evolutionary process that led to the neofunctionalization of

La-related proteins. *RNA* **19:** 36-50

Millevoi S, Geraghty F, Idowu B, Tam JL, Antoniou M, Vagner S (2002) A novel

function for the U2AF 65 splicing factor in promoting pre-mRNA 3'-end processing.

EMBO reports **3:** 869-874

Millevoi S, Loulergue C, Dettwiler S, Karaa SZ, Keller W, Antoniou M, Vagner S

(2006) An interaction between U2AF 65 and CF I(m) links the splicing and 3' end

processing machineries. *The EMBO journal* **25:** 4854-4864

Muller-McNicoll M, Botti V, de Jesus Domingues AM, Brandl H, Schwich OD, Steiner

MC, Curk T, Poser I, Zarnack K, Neugebauer KM (2016) SR proteins are NXF1

adaptors that link alternative RNA processing to mRNA export. *Genes &*

development **30:** 553-566

Nakagawa T, Suzuki T, Murata S, Nakamura S, Hino T, Maeo K, Tabata R, Kawai T,

Tanaka K, Niwa Y, Watanabe Y, Nakamura K, Kimura T, Ishiguro S (2007) Improved

Gateway binary vectors: high-performance vectors for creation of fusion constructs in

transgenic analysis of plants. *Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry* **71:** 2095-

2100

Naro C, Jolly A, Di Persio S, Bielli P, Setterblad N, Alberdi AJ, Vicini E, Geremia R,

De la Grange P, Sette C (2017) An Orchestrated Intron Retention Program in Meiosis

Controls Timely Usage of Transcripts during Germ Cell Differentiation.

Developmental cell **41:** 82-93 e84

Ohtani M, Sugiyama M (2005) Involvement of SRD2-mediated activation of snRNA

transcription in the control of cell proliferation competence in Arabidopsis. *The Plant*

journal : for cell and molecular biology **43:** 479-490

Papp I, Mur LA, Dalmadi A, Dulai S, Koncz C (2004) A mutation in the Cap Binding

Protein 20 gene confers drought tolerance to Arabidopsis. *Plant molecular biology*

55: 679-686

Pimentel H, Parra M, Gee SL, Mohandas N, Pachter L, Conboy JG (2016) A dynamic

intron retention program enriched in RNA processing genes regulates gene

expression during terminal erythropoiesis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44:** 838-851

Prigge MJ, Wagner DR (2001) The arabidopsis serrate gene encodes a zinc-finger

protein required for normal shoot development. *Plant Cell* **13:** 1263-1279

Puig O, Bragado-Nilsson E, Koski T, Seraphin B (2007) The U1 snRNP-associated

factor Luc7p affects 5' splice site selection in yeast and human. *Nucleic Acids Res*

35: 5874-5885

Raczynska KD, Simpson CG, Ciesiolka A, Szewc L, Lewandowska D, McNicol J,

Szweykowska-Kulinska Z, Brown JW, Jarmolowski A (2010) Involvement of the

nuclear cap-binding protein complex in alternative splicing in Arabidopsis thaliana.

Nucleic Acids Res **38:** 265-278

Raczynska KD, Stepien A, Kierzkowski D, Kalak M, Bajczyk M, McNicol J, Simpson

CG, Szweykowska-Kulinska Z, Brown JW, Jarmolowski A (2013) The SERRATE

protein is involved in alternative splicing in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nucleic Acids Res*

Reddy AS, Marquez Y, Kalyna M, Barta A (2013) Complexity of the alternative

splicing landscape in plants. *Plant Cell* **25:** 3657-3683

Rigo F, Martinson HG (2008) Functional coupling of last-intron splicing and 3'-end

processing to transcription in vitro: the poly(A) signal couples to splicing before

committing to cleavage. *Molecular and cellular biology* **28:** 849-862

Rosel TD, Hung LH, Medenbach J, Donde K, Starke S, Benes V, Ratsch G, Bindereif

A (2011) RNA-Seq analysis in mutant zebrafish reveals role of U1C protein in

alternative splicing regulation. *The EMBO journal* **30:** 1965-1976

Rowley MJ, Bohmdorfer G, Wierzbicki AT (2013) Analysis of long non-coding RNAs

produced by a specialized RNA polymerase in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Methods* **63:**

160-169

Roy D, Bhanja Chowdhury J, Ghosh S (2013) Polypyrimidine tract binding protein

(PTB) associates with intronic and exonic domains to squelch nuclear export of

unspliced RNA. *FEBS letters* **587:** 3802-3807

Schlaen RG, Mancini E, Sanchez SE, Perez-Santangelo S, Rugnone ML, Simpson

CG, Brown JW, Zhang X, Chernomoretz A, Yanovsky MJ (2015) The spliceosome

assembly factor GEMIN2 attenuates the effects of temperature on alternative splicing

and circadian rhythms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112:** 9382-9387

Schwab R, Speth C, Laubinger S, Voinnet O (2013) Enhanced microRNA

accumulation through stemloop-adjacent introns. *EMBO reports* **14:** 615-621

Shao W, Kim HS, Cao Y, Xu YZ, Query CC (2012) A U1-U2 snRNP interaction

network during intron definition. *Molecular and cellular biology* **32:** 470-478

Shaul O (2015) Unique Aspects of Plant Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay. *Trends*

Plant Sci **20:** 767-779

Shen S, Park JW, Lu ZX, Lin L, Henry MD, Wu YN, Zhou Q, Xing Y (2014) rMATS:

robust and flexible detection of differential alternative splicing from replicate RNA-

Seq data. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111:** E5593-5601

Staiger D, Brown JW (2013) Alternative splicing at the intersection of biological

timing, development, and stress responses. *Plant Cell* **25:** 3640-3656

Stepien A, Knop K, Dolata J, Taube M, Bajczyk M, Barciszewska-Pacak M, Pacak A,

Jarmolowski A, Szweykowska-Kulinska Z (2017) Posttranscriptional coordination of

splicing and miRNA biogenesis in plants. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **8**

1000

1001   Sullivan C, Howard PL (2016) The Diverse Requirements of ARS2 in nuclear cap-

1002   binding complex-dependent RNA Processing. *Rna & Disease*

1003

1004   Sun M, Lariviere L, Dengl S, Mayer A, Cramer P (2010) A tandem SH2 domain in

1005   transcription elongation factor Spt6 binds the phosphorylated RNA polymerase II C-

1006   terminal repeat domain (CTD). *The Journal of biological chemistry* **285:** 41597-41603

1007

1008   Umezawa T, Sugiyama N, Takahashi F, Anderson JC, Ishihama Y, Peck SC,

1009   Shinozaki K (2013) Genetics and phosphoproteomics reveal a protein

1010   phosphorylation network in the abscisic acid signaling pathway in Arabidopsis

1011   thaliana. *Sci Signal* **6:** rs8

1012

1013   Wachter A, Tunc-Ozdemir M, Grove BC, Green PJ, Shintani DK, Breaker RR (2007)

1014   Riboswitch control of gene expression in plants by splicing and alternative 3' end

1015   processing of mRNAs. *The Plant cell* **19:** 3437-3450

1016

1017   Wahl MC, Will CL, Luhrmann R (2009) The spliceosome: design principles of a

1018   dynamic RNP machine. *Cell* **136:** 701-718

1019

1020   Wang BB, Brendel V (2004) The ASRG database: identification and survey of

1021   Arabidopsis thaliana genes involved in pre-mRNA splicing. *Genome biology* **5:** R102

1022

1023   Wang C, Tian Q, Hou Z, Mucha M, Aukerman M, Olsen OA (2007) The Arabidopsis

1024   thaliana AT PRP39-1 gene, encoding a tetratricopeptide repeat protein with similarity

1025   to the yeast pre-mRNA processing protein PRP39, affects flowering time. *Plant cell*

1026   *reports* **26:** 1357-1366

1027

1028   Wang P, Xue L, Batelli G, Lee S, Hou YJ, Van Oosten MJ, Zhang H, Tao WA, Zhu

1029   JK (2013) Quantitative phosphoproteomics identifies SnRK2 protein kinase

1030   substrates and reveals the effectors of abscisic acid action. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

1031   **110:** 11205-11210

1032

1033   Webby CJ, Wolf A, Gromak N, Dreger M, Kramer H, Kessler B, Nielsen ML, Schmitz

1034   C, Butler DS, Yates JR, 3rd, Delahunty CM, Hahn P, Lengeling A, Mann M,

1035   Proudfoot NJ, Schofield CJ, Bottger A (2009) Jmjd6 catalyses lysyl-hydroxylation of

1036   U2AF65, a protein associated with RNA splicing. *Science* **325:** 90-93

1037

1038   Will CL, Luhrmann R (2001) Spliceosomal UsnRNP biogenesis, structure and

1039   function. *Current opinion in cell biology* **13:** 290-301

1040

1041   Will CL, Luhrmann R (2011) Spliceosome structure and function. *Cold Spring Harbor*

1042   *perspectives in biology* **3**

1043

1044   Wong JJ, Au AY, Ritchie W, Rasko JE (2016) Intron retention in mRNA: No longer

1045   nonsense: Known and putative roles of intron retention in normal and disease

1046   biology. *BioEssays : news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental*

1047   *biology* **38:** 41-49

1048

1049   Workman E, Veith A, Battle DJ (2014) U1A regulates 3' processing of the survival

1050   motor neuron mRNA. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **289:** 3703-3712

1051

1052   Xing D, Wang Y, Hamilton M, Ben-Hur A, Reddy AS (2015) Transcriptome-Wide

1053   Identification of RNA Targets of Arabidopsis SERINE/ARGININE-RICH45 Uncovers

1054   the Unexpected Roles of This RNA Binding Protein in RNA Processing. *Plant Cell*

1055

1056   Yap K, Lim ZQ, Khandelia P, Friedman B, Makeyev EV (2012) Coordinated

1057   regulation of neuronal mRNA steady-state levels through developmentally controlled

1058   intron retention. *Genes Dev* **26:** 1209-1223

1059

1060   Yoine M, Ohto MA, Onai K, Mita S, Nakamura K (2006) The Iba1 mutation of UPF1

1061   RNA helicase involved in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay causes pleiotropic

1062   phenotypic changes and altered sugar signalling in Arabidopsis. *The Plant journal :*

1063   *for cell and molecular biology* **47:** 49-62

1064

1065   Zhan X, Qian B, Cao F, Wu W, Yang L, Guan Q, Gu X, Wang P, Okusolubo TA,

1066   Dunn SL, Zhu JK, Zhu J (2015) An Arabidopsis PWI and RRM motif-containing

1067   protein is critical for pre-mRNA splicing and ABA responses. *Nature communications*

1068   **6:** 8139

1069

1070

Table 1: List of selected potential LUC7A interacting proteins identified in a co-immunoprecipitation experiment followed by MS analysis.

Identified protein	Aver. # of Peptides		Seq.Coverage (%)		MW (kDa)
	IP LUC7	IP WT	IP LUC7	IP WT	
U1 snRNP components					
LUC7A	45.8	0	71.9	0	47.4
U1-70K	5	0	13.3	0	50.4
SmB-a ; SmB-b	2.5	0	9.8	0	27.0
U1A	2	0	7.7	0	28.1
Splicing-related					
U2AF35A;U2AF35B	3.5	1	11.9	4.3	34.6
SCL30A;SCL33	2.8	0	12.3	0	20.2
SR45	1.8	0	5.2	0	44.6
RSZ21;RSZ22;RSZ22A	1.8	0	8.0	0	22.5
SR30	1	0	3.9	0	29.1
RS2Z33	1	0	2.9	0	32.9
SCL30	0.8	0	2.8	0	29.6
U2AF65A	0.8	0	1.7	0	60.7
Kinases					
SRPK4	8.5	0	16.2	0	59.4
SRPK3	4.3	0	8.9	0	61.2
Proteins linked to 3'end processing/polyA binding					
SPT6L (AT1g63210, AT1g65440)	8.5	1	4.8	0.9	185.0
Polyadenylate-binding protein RBP47C	1.5	0.3	4.0	0.7	48.6
La-related protein 6B (LARP6)	2.3	0.7	5.5	1.9	60.6

Figure 1: *Arabidopsis* LUC7 proteins redundantly control plant development

A: Phylogenetic analysis of LUC7 proteins in the plant kingdom using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as an external group.

B: Exon/intron structure of *Arabidopsis thaliana LUC7A*, *LUC7B* and *LUC7RL*. Dotted lines indicate the positions of T-DNA insertions.

C: WT and *luc7* triple mutant plants 21 days (left) and 45 days (right) after germination.

D: Length of the longest rosette leaf of 21 days-old WT, *luc7* single, double and triple mutant plants growing under long day conditions. Leaves of 10-15 individual plants were measured. Dots indicate individual data points. Error bars denote the SEM.

E: Complementation of *luc7a,b,rl* mutants by *LUC7A*, *LUC7B* and *LUC7RL* genomic rescue constructs. Transformation of an "empty" binary vector served as a control. Two independent transgenic lines for each construct are shown.

FIGURE 1

Figure 2: *Arabidopsis* LUC7 is involved in ABA signaling and salt stress responses

A,B: WT, *luc7* triple mutant and a *luc7* rescue line (*luc7a,b,rl; pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP*) were grown on half-strength MS plates containing 1% sucrose and indicated amount of ABA. Seedling phenotypes (A) and quantification of seedlings with green cotyledons (B) are shown. Green cotyledons were scored ten days after germination. One of two biological replicates is shown.

C,D: WT, *luc7* triple mutant and a *luc7* rescue line (*luc7a,b,rl; pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP*) were germinated on half-strength MS vertical plates and seedling were transferred on half-strength MS plates containing the indicated amount of NaCl. Plates were always placed vertically and the root growth was scored over 7 days. Phenotypes (C) and root length quantification (D) are shown.

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

Figure 3: *Arabidopsis* LUC7 is an U1 snRNP component
A: RNA immunoprecipitation using a *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP, luc7a,b,rl* complemented line. Proteins were immunoprecipitated using GFP-specific affinity matrix and RNAs were extracted from the input and the immunoprecipitated. U1, U2, U3 snRNAs and *ACTIN* RNA were quantified using qRT-PCR. Enrichment of the respective RNA in *LUC7A:LUC7A-YFP luc7a,b,rl* transgenic line was calculated over WT (negative control). Error bars denote the range of two biological replicates.
B: Subcellular localization of LUC7A in *pLUC7A:LUC7A-YFP luc7a,b,rl* in Arabidopsis transgenic plants. Roots of 9 day-old seedlings were analyzed using confocal microscopy. Scale bar indicates 25 µm.
C: U1-70K-mRFP and LUC7A-YFP or LUC7RL-YFP proteins were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*. The subcellular localization of mRFP and YFP fusion proteins was analyzed using confocal microscopy. Scale bars indicate 10 µm and 25 µm for upper and lower panel, respectively.

FIGURE 4

Figure 4: Mutations in *LUC7* result in misexpression of protein-coding and non-coding genes, but not in *MIRNA* genes
A: Differentially expressed genes in *luc7a,b,rl* mutant compared to WT.
B,C: qRT-PCR analysis of selected ncRNA **(B)** and miRNAs **(C)** in WT and *luc7a,b,rl*. Error bars denote the SEM (n=3).

Figure 5: Global analysis of splicing defects in *luc7* triple mutant.

A: Classification of splicing events changes in *luc7* triple mutant compared to WT.

B-F: Coverage plots and RT-PCR validation experiments for selected splicing events in WT and *luc7* triple mutant. Genomic DNA (gDNA) or water (-) served as a control. Primers positions are indicated with gray arrows. IR, intron retention; ES, exon skipping; Alt.3'SS, alternative 3'splicing site; Alt.5'SS, alternative 5'splicing site.

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6

Figure 6: LUC7 proteins have a pronounced effect on terminal intron splicing

A: RT-PCR analysis of LUC7-dependent introns in WT, *luc7* triple mutant, *cbp80*, *cbp20* and *se-1* mutants.

B: RT-PCR analysis of CBC/SE-dependent introns in WT, *luc7* triple mutant, *cbp80*, *cbp20* and *se-1* mutants.

C: Classification of intron retention according to the intron position (first, middle, or last). Only genes with 3 or more introns were considered for this analysis. Terminal introns are significantly enriched among introns retained in *luc7* triple mutants (Fischer test; two-side p< 0.0016).

D: RT-PCR analysis of genes carrying retained terminal introns in WT and *luc7* triple mutants.

FIGURE 7

Figure 7: mRNAs containing retained LUC7-dependent terminal introns are NMD-insensitive and remain nuclear.
A: RT-PCR analysis of LUC7-dependent terminal introns in WT and NMD mutants (*lba1* and *upf3-1*).
B: Splicing patterns of mRNAs isolated from total (T), cytosolic (C) and nuclear (N) fractions.
C: Immunoblot analysis of proteins isolated from total, cytosolic and nuclear fractions. Blots were probed with antibodies against histone H3 and a ribosomal protein, L13-1.

FIGURE 8

Figure 8: Splicing of LUC7 dependent terminal introns can be modulated by stress.

A: Seven days old WT seedlings were exposed to cold for 60 min. Splicing ratios (unspliced/total RNA) of four genes featuring a LUC7-dependent terminal intron was analyzed by qPCR. A T-test was performed for statistical analysis.

B: Model for the proposed function of LUC7 in Arabidopsis.