Comparative analyses of venom-associated genes from an Old World

viper, *Daboia russelii*

Neeraja M Krishnan[1] and Binay Panda[1]*

[1]Ganit Labs, Bio-IT Centre, Institute of Bioinformatics and Applied

Biotechnology, Biotech Park, Electronic City Phase I, Bangalore 560100

* Corresponding author: binay@ganitlabs.in

Abstract

Molecular basis of toxin gene diversity among snakes is poorly

understood. Lack of whole genome sequence information for most snakes

makes studies on toxin genes and their orthologous counterparts difficult. One

of the challenges in studying snake genomes is the acquisition of biological

material from live animals, especially from the venomous ones. Additionally, in

certain geographies, Government permission is required to handle live snakes

making the process cumbersome and time-consuming. Here, we report

comparative sequence analyses of toxin genes from Russell's viper

(*Daboia russelii*) using whole-genome sequencing data obtained from the skin

exuviate. In addition to the comparative analyses of 46 toxin-associated

proteins, we present evidence of unique sequence motifs in five key toxin-

associated protein domains; nerve growth factor (NGF), platelet derived

growth factor (PDGF), Kunitz/Bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (Kunitz BPTI),

cysteine-rich secretory proteins, antigen 5, and pathogenesis-related 1

proteins (CAP) and cysteine-rich secretory protein (CRISP). We compared the

venom-associated domains from Russell's viper with those from both

venomous and non-venomous vertebrates and invertebrates. The *in*

silico study on structures identified V11 and T35 in the NGF domain; F23 and

A29 in the PDGF domain; N69, K2 and A5 in the CAP domain; and Q17 in the

CRISP domain to be responsible for differences in the largest pockets across

the protein domain structures in New World vipers, Old World vipers and

elapids. Similarly, residues F10, Y11 and E20 appear to play an important role

in the protein structures across the kunitz protein domain of viperids and

elapids. Our study sheds light on the uniqueness of these key toxin-

associated proteins and their evolution in vipers.

Data deposition: Russell's viper sequence data is deposited in the NCBI SRA

database under the accession number SRR5506741 and the GenBank

accession numbers for the individual venom-associated genes is provided in

Table S1.

Keywords: Toxin-associated genes, Russell's viper, Old World vipers, New

World vipers, elapids

Introduction

Snake venom genes and their products offer an excellent model

system to study gene duplication, evolution of regulatory DNA sequences,

and biochemical diversity and novelty of venom proteins. Additionally, snake

venoms have tremendous potential in developing new drugs and bioactive

compounds (Vonk et al. 2011). Previous studies have highlighted the

importance of gene duplications and/or sub-functionalization (Malhotra et al.

2010; Rokyta et al. 2011; Hargreaves et al. 2014) and transcriptional/post-

transcriptional mechanisms (Casewell et al. 2014) contributing towards snake

venom diversity. Venom studies so far have extensively used peptides/protein

data alongside individual gene sequences or sequences of particular family

members to study variations on gene structure and sequence composition. Till

date, whole genome sequences of seven snake species,

king cobra *Ophiophagus hannah* (Vonk et al. 2013); Burmese

python *Python bivitattus* (Castoe et al. 2013); rattlesnake *Crotalus*

atrox (Dowell et al. 2016), Florida pygmy

rattlesnake *Sistrurus miliarius barbouri* (Vicoso et al. 2013); garter

snake *Thamnophis elegans* (Vicoso et al. 2013); five-pacer

viper *Deinagkistrodon acutus* (Yin et al. 2016); and corn

snake *Pantherophis guttatus* (Ullate-Agote et al. 2014), have either been

published or their sequence information available in the public domain. In

addition, genome-sequencing efforts are either underway or the sequences of

venom-associated genes have been deposited in the databases for few

others (Kerkkamp et al. 2016). Out of the sequenced genomes, only a few

have been annotated or the annotations have been made public, a key

requirement for comparative analysis of genes. This, along with the lack of

availability of whole genome sequences and/or complete coding sequences

for most snakes has made studies on toxin gene orthologies and gene

variation among venomous snakes limiting.

Four snakes, Russell's viper (*Daboia russelii),* saw-scaled viper

(*Echis carinatus*), spectacled cobra (*Naja naja*), and common krait

(*Bungarus caeruleus*) are responsible for most snakebite-related mortality in

India (Mohapatra et al. 2011; Whitaker 2015). Russell's viper is a Old World

viper, member of the taxon Viperidae and subfamily Viperinae and is

responsible for large numbers of snakebite incidents and deaths in India. Very

little is known about the diversity of venom-associated genes from any viper,

including the only Old World viper where complete genome sequence

information is available (European adder, *Vipera berus berus*,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/170536). Lack of any complete

genome annotation from this viper and other snake species reduces the

scope of a detailed comparative study on toxin-associated genes. Such a

study involving various groups of venomous and non-venomous snakes, in

addition to other venomous vertebrates and invertebrates, will facilitate our

understanding on the evolution of these genes, their diversity, and function.

One of the challenges in studying the genomes of venomous animals is

related to sample acquisition. Additionally, in India, Government permission is

required to catch snakes and extract blood samples from them (all snakes are

protected in India under the Indian Wildlife Protection Act, 1972). Even with

permission, there is a chance to adversely affect the animals during sample

acquisition. This may be circumvented by the use of skin exuviate (shed skin)

that does not require handling or drawing blood or taking any tissue from the

animals. However, working with DNA isolated from shed skin has its own

challenges. Microbial contamination, lack of full-length DNA in the exuviate

cells and computational challenges in dealing with short stretches of DNA are

some of the bottlenecks for working with DNA from exuviate skins.

In the current study, we explored the possibility of getting toxin gene

information from low-coverage whole-genome sequencing data using skin

exuviate from an Old World viper, Russell's viper, and performed comparative

analysis on the annotated 51 venom-associated genes representing all the

major venom-associated protein families (Fry 2005) for which coding

sequences were available from a New World viper, a pit

viper, *Protobothrops mucrosquamatus*. We focused our analyses on five key

toxin-associated protein domains; nerve growth factor (NGF), platelet derived

growth factor (PDGF), Kunitz/Bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (Kunitz BPTI),

cysteine-rich secretory proteins, antigen 5, and pathogenesis-related 1

proteins (CAP) and cysteine-rich secretory protein (CRISP) in Russell's viper

and discovered key residues that are changed across Old World vipers, New

World vipers and elapids that might have contributed towards the evolution of

venom in vipers.

Materials and Methods

Russell's viper skin exuviate and DNA isolation

Freshly shed skin exuviate of Russell's viper from Bangalore, India was

a gift from Mr. Gerry Martin. The skin exuviate for the entire snake was

obtained, cleaned thoroughly with 70% ethanol and nuclease-free water 3

times each, dried thoroughly and frozen until the time of extraction of DNA.

Genomic DNA was extracted following the protocol of Fetzner (Fetzner

1999) with modifications.

Sequencing, read processing and assembly

Illumina paired-end read libraries were prepared following the

manufacturer instructions using amplification free genomic DNA library

preparation kit and sequenced using Illumina HiSeq2500 instrument. Archael,

bacterial and human sequence contamination were removed

from the Russell's viper sequence using DeConSeq (Schmieder and Edwards

2011) using curated and representative

genomes (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/browse/reference/). Furterm

ore, the sequenced reads were post-processed to remove unpaired reads and

quality analysis was performed using FastQC v0.1

(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The rd_len_cutoff

option was exercised during the read assembly step to trim off the low-

quality bases, since the per-base quality was found to drop below 28 after the

initial 50-70 bases of the read. The Russell's viper read libraries were

assembled using SOAPdenovo2 (r240) (Luo et al. 2012).

Identifying toxin-associated genes, coding regions, and gene structures

The DNA sequences for 51 out of 54 venom-associated genes (Fry

2005) from *Protobothrops mucrosquamatus* were downloaded (Table 1).

These were used to fish genomic scaffolds bearing highly similar sequences

in Russell's viper genome assembly, using a BLAST with an E-value threshold

of 10^{-3}. These scaffolds were then anchored to the respective coding

sequences from *Protobothrops mucrosquamatus* using a discontiguous

megaBlast, to determine the correct frame of translation and extract the

complete amino acid coding sequence (CDS) corresponding to Russell's

viper. We obtained the exon-intron structures for all the toxin-associated

genes in Russell's viper by aligning the CDS with gene sequences using

discontiguous megaBlast and plotted using the tool GSDS2.0 (Hu et al. 2015).

The sequences for the Russell's viper venom-associated genes and their

GenBank accession numbers are provided in Table S1.

Comparative analyses of toxin-associated protein domains

The amino acid sequences of all the Russell's viper's toxin-associated

genes were subjected to domain search using Pfam (Finn et al. 2016) (Table

S2). All domain sequences was then serially aligned using blastp to protein

sequences from 18 snake species (Table S3). Five domains (NGF, PDGF,

Kunitz BPTI, CAP and CRISP) from four genes (NGF, VEGF,

CRISP/Serotriflin, and Kunitoxin), which showed variability across different

snake groups and where sequence information were available beyond the

whole genome sequences, were used for expansive comparative analyses

(Table S4) using sequences from Viperids (taxid: 8689), elapids (taxid: 8602),

Colubrids (taxid: 8578), Boids (taxid: 8572), Acrochordids (taxid: 42164),

Pythonids (taxid: 34894), lizards (squamates (taxid: 8509) minus snakes

(taxid: 8570)), Crocodylia (taxid: 51964) and Testudines (taxid: 8459).

3D structure prediction of the chosen domains

Consensus sequences were determined from NGF, PDGF, Kunitz

BPTI, CAP and CRISP domain alignments using Simple Consensus Maker

(https://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/CONSENSUS/SimpCon.html) for

New World vipers (NWV), Old World vipers (OWV) and elapids. The

consensus sequences were submitted to the Phyre2 (Kelley et al. 2015)

protein fold recognition server using the standard mode

(http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index). The best 3D

model was further investigated by Phyre2 (Kelley et al. 2015) to analyze the

structural model using various open source tools.

Results

Skin exuviate yielded fairly good quality DNA for genome sequencing and

near complete coding sequences for toxin-associated genes

Genomic DNA isolated from the skin exuviate of Russell's viper was

fairly intact with most of the DNA in the size range of more than 5kbp range

(File S1). Sequenced short reads were assembled and then used to fish all

the 51 toxin-associated genes in Russell's viper (see Materials and Methods).

Next, we obtained the exon-intron structures for all the toxin-associated genes

in Russell's viper by aligning the CDS with gene sequences using

discontiguous megaBlast and plotted using the tools GSDS2.0 (Hu et al.

2015) (File S2). We found that the average length of exons in Russell's viper

toxin-associated genes is around 190 nucleotides (nt), matching well with the

lengths of other vertebrate exons (Gelfman, et al. 2012).

Comparative protein domain analyses

Among the toxin-associated genes, a larger pool of sequences are

available only for NGF, PDGF domain of VEGF, Kunitz_BPTI domain of

Kunitoxin, CRISP and CAP domains in CRISP and Serotriflin proteins, from

various snake groups (Colubridae, Boidae, Pythonidae and Acrochordidae),

non-snake reptilian groups (lizards, crocodiles and Testudines), venomous

invertebrates (wasps, spiders and scorpions) and venomous vertebrates

(fishes and mammals). Therefore, these domains were compared with those

from Russell's viper. Comparative domain analysis was performed for all

toxin-associated genes (File S3) across 18 snake species where sequence

information is available (Table S3). In the case of five domains: CAP and

CRISP domains of CRISP and serotriflin genes (L and AL), Kunitz BPTI

of kunitoxin (S), NGF (T) and PDGF of VEGFA (AP-AR) and VEGFF (AU), we

found that the maximum number of species aligned to their domain

sequences. Some protein domains, the CRISP, Kunitz BPTI, guanylate CYC,

PDGF of VEGFF and WAP, show long stretches of mismatches (File S3)

compared with Russell's viper sequence. Out of these, only NGF and PDGF

domains of VEGF carried amino acid changes specific to the New World

vipers, that were completely absent in any other group used for comparison,

including in lizards, crocodiles, and turtles (File S4). Specific changes in these

proteins and their implications are discussed below.

Russell's viper NGF is a single exon gene with a 745nt transcript

coding for a 244 amino acid protein consisting of a single NGF domain (fig.

1A). The NGF domain bears 28% sequence conservation across all the five

vertebrate phyla, namely, fishes, amphibians, reptiles, aves and mammals

distributed along the length of the domain (fig. 1B). Thirty-six percent out of

these residues are conserved across other venomous vertebrates

(fishes, squamates and mammals) and venomous invertebrates (scorpions

and wasps) (fig. 1C). Thirteen percent of Russell's viper NGF domain residues

are variable with respect to the domain sequence in at least one among the

NGF sequences in the groups of New World vipers, Old World vipers and

elapids (fig. 1D). Although several amino acids in the NGF domain in New

World vipers seem to have changed from the Russell's viper and other Old

World vipers, their function probably remains unchanged. For example,

phenylalanine (F) to isoleucine (I) at position 12 and serine (S) to Asparagine

(N) at position 19 between the Old World vipers to the New World vipers does

not change the function of the amino acids (from one hydrophobic amino acid

to another and from one polar amino acid to another). However, there are

others, for example, threonine (T) and glutamine (Q), at position 67 and 68

respectively in the NGF domain of the New World viper evolved only in that

group. One of those, a polar amino acid glutamine at position 68, is a very

important residue as its corresponding amino acid in any of the other snakes,

except in colubrids, is a hydrophobic proline. This evolution of NGF sequence

might have implications on its function in the venom of the New World vipers.

In Russell's viper, the VEGFA gene comprises five exons coding for a

652nt long transcript and a protein with two domains: PDGF and VEGF-C (fig.

2A). The PDGF domain sequence exhibits conservation in 65% of its residues

across the three vertebrate phyla (reptiles, aves and mammals) (fig. 2B).

Since sequence information from fishes and amphibians were not available,

they could not be included in the comparison study. Out of the conserved

residues, 21% of those were also conserved in venomous vertebrates

(squamates and mammals) and venomous invertebrates (wasps). Fifteen

percent of the PDGF domain residues were variable in at least one of the

three snake groups: New World vipers, Old World vipers and elapids (fig.2C

and fig. 2D). Like the NGF domain, the evolution of the PDGF domain in New

World vipers at certain amino acids is striking. For example, in the New World

vipers, the position 67 is a polar amino acid tyrosine (Y) while in all other

reptiles, venomous invertebrates and mammals; this is primarily a

hydrophobic amino acid phenylalanine (F). This might bear implications on the

protein's structure and function.

Kunitoxin in Russell's viper is a 3.1kb gene comprising two exons, with

a transcript length of 270nt that codes for a 44 amino acids long single Kunitz

BPTI domain (fig. 3A). About 29% of the protein domain residues are

conserved across the four vertebrate phyla (amphibians, reptiles, aves and

mammals) (fig. 3B). Since sequence information from the Kunitz BPTI for

fishes was not available, they could not be included in the comparison. Out of

these conserved residues, 76% are conserved in venomous vertebrates (squamates and mammals) and venomous invertebrates (scorpions and wasps) (fig. 3C) and 56% of the domain residues are variable in at least one of three snake groups (New World vipers, Old World vipers, and elapids) (fig. 3D). Of the residues that are evolved in the New World vipers, the second residue, a positively charged one, alanine (A) is present only in the Old World vipers, which is replaced by a hydrophobic residue, proline (P), in the New World vipers and elapids. Residues 14-18 are very polymorphic in the New World vipers and elapids, but not so in the Old World vipers.

The CRISP gene in Russell's viper is a 25kb long gene, comprises of 8 exons coding for a 787nt transcript and two protein domains, CAP and CRISP (fig. 4A). The CAP domain exhibits conservation in 7% of its residues across all the five vertebrate phyla (fig. 4B). Forty-two percent of those residues are conserved across venomous vertebrates (amphibians, squamates and mammals) and venomous invertebrates (scorpions and wasps) (fig. 4C). In addition, there are five residues conserved across all the venomous animals (fig. 4C). Twenty-seven percent of the CAP domain and 15% of the CRISP domain residues are variable in at least one of the three snake groups (fig. 4D). There are several extra residues for the CAP domain in the New World vipers and elapids, but not in the Old World vipers. The conserved residues comprised mostly of Cystines and to a lesser extent Asparagines (fig. 4E) across venomous vertebrates (squamates and mammals) (fig. 4F). Sixty percent of the CRISP domain residues are variable in at least one viperids or elapid member with respect to the domain sequence of Russel's viper (fig. 4G).

Next, we explored the role of consensus domain sequences and their

possible role of conserved amino acids in those key toxin-associated protein

domains across New World vipers, Old World vipers and elapids. We

constructed the 3D structure models using Phyre2, followed by Phyre2

investigation, for further analyses on the structural model. As evident from the

analyses, amino acid residues 18-19 and 117 of the NGF domain reflected a

difference in mutation sensitivity as detected by SusPect algorithm (Yates et

al. 2014), especially in the elapids compared to the viperids (fig. 5). Residue

18 is Valine in the viperids and Isoleucine in the elapids; residue 19 is Serine

in the Old World vipers and Asparagine in the New World vipers; and residue

117 is Threonine in the elapids and Serine in the New World vipers (fig. 5A).

This might have implications in the structure of the protein as the largest

pockets detected by fpocket algorithm appear to be vastly different among the

New World vipers, Old World vipers and elapids for the NGF, PDGF, CAP and

CRISP domains (fig. 5). The pockets appear small in all cases for

the elapids, and largest in the case of Old World vipers (fig. 5). Minor

differences in clashes were observed at residues 10,11 and 20 of the Kunitz

domain and residue 38 of this domain showed a rotamer conflict in the case of

the New World vipers (fig. 5C). Similarly, residue 46 of the CAP domain and

residues 4 and 31 of the CRISP domain showed rotamer conflict for the

viperids (fig. 5D and fig. 5E). The other protein quality and functional

parameters were not affected across the 3D structure models for the three

snake groups (File S5).

Discussion

Accessibility and affordability for high-throughput sequencing

technologies along with the availability of sophisticated computational tools to

assemble, annotate and interpret genomes is playing a powerful role in

deciphering gene functions and their role in evolution. Multiloci gene families

usually encode snake toxin genes that produce gene isoforms generated by

the process of gene duplications (Fry 2005; Casewell et al. 2013). Russell's

viper (*Daboai russelli*) is a member of the taxon *Viperidae* and

subfamily *Viperinae* and is one among four other venomous snakes

responsible for the most snakebite incidents and deaths in India (Mohapatra

et al. 2011; Whitaker 2015). Russell's viper is a member of the group Old

World vipers or true vipers or pitless vipers, characterized by the lack of heat-

sensing pit organs (Mallow et al. 2003). Snakes have evolved two main

venom types, neurotoxic (elapids that include cobras and kraits) or

haemotoxic (viperids that include vipers) (Fry et al. 2008). Russell's viper

venom is haemotoxic. There is significant variation in the venom compositions

of snakes, even at times within the same species as in the case of Russell's

viper (Jayanthi and Gowda 1988). The variation in the venom composition

within the same species is thought to be a result of adaptation in response to

the difference in diets (Daltry et al. 1996; Barlow et al. 2009; Casewell et al.

2013). The composition of venom of Russell's viper from different regions of

India is different (Jayanthi and Gowda 1988), making anti-venom produced

using snake venoms from a single geography against all Russell's viper bites

across the country ineffective. Currently, efforts are underway to collect

venoms of Russell's viper from different regions of India in order to

understand the difference in their venom composition (Rom Whitaker, and

Gerry Martin, *personal communications*). Currently, information on toxin-associated genes from any viper, especially Russell's viper is extremely limiting and therefore, comparative analyses of the toxin-associated genes will add value to our understanding of its venom. The only Old World viper, where complete genome sequence information is available is from a European adder, *Vipera berus berus* (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/170536). Although sequence information is there for this species, the annotation is not available.

The aim of the current study was two folds. First, as handling and getting biological material from snakes in India requires Government permission and specific expertise, we wanted to test whether good quality whole-genome sequence information can be obtained using skin exuviate. Second, we wanted to test whether we can assemble the toxin-associated genes using low-coverage sequencing data from a next-generation sequencing platform, and use it for comparative genomics study. On both the accounts, we found the results to be satisfactory. First, although shed skin is often contaminated with bacteria and other microorganisms, using freshly shed skin exuviate, we successfully isolated high molecular weight genomic DNA (File S1), which was subsequently used to generate genome sequencing data. This helps in obtaining biological material without having to require permission to capture venomous animals and also reduce stress in animals during handling. We believe this is an attractive option for generating snake genome data and studying molecular evolution in snakes. Second, from the sequence data, we succeeded in assembling near complete CDS for 51 out of 54 toxin-associated genes (Fry 2005). This highlights the utility of low-

coverage genome sequencing data in studying important genes and their role in evolution. As the lengths of the toxin-associated genes in Russell's viper were much longer than the CDS, the intronic sequences were assembled with gaps. This was primarily due to the low coverage sequencing data used for assembly and the lack of long-insert mate pair sequencing data in our repertoire. The mean length of exons for the toxin-associated genes in Russell's viper is 190 base pairs, which was much smaller compared to the average intron length; the exons were assembled accurately using short-read sequences. Another interesting observation from our data is that the AT to GC ratio in the CDS regions (cumulatively for all the 51 genes) of the toxin-associated genes in Russell's viper is 1:1 whereas it is skewed (the ratio is 1.5:1) for the full gene sequences.

Our study has two implications. First, it demonstrates the feasibility of *de novo* analyses of genes and gene families without prior sequence information and annotation, and second; this facilitates sequencing a large number of genes and gene families without going through the process of designing individual primers for amplification and Sanger sequencing. Despite these advantages, our study has certain limitations. First, like any other annotation-based study, it relies on the quality of existing/prior annotation of toxin-related genes. Although the chances are slim, as we used a closely related viper to get the toxin-associated gene sequences in Russell's viper, it is entirely possible that we might have missed some key genes specific to Russell's viper. Using a high-coverage sequencing data along with the use of *de novo* tools might help annotate unique genes in Russell's viper in the future. Second, our study does not touch the expression of the toxin genes in

Russell's viper and their significance compared to other venomous snakes. It

is possible that the unique spatial and temporal expression pattern of the

toxin-associated genes will explain their evolution better.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Department of Electronics and Information

Technology, Government of India [18(4)/2010-E-Infra., 31-03-2010]; and

Department of IT, BT and ST, Government of Karnataka, India [3451-00-090-

2-22]. We thank Kunal Dhas and Arun Hariharan for their help in laboratory

experiments.

Literature Cited

Barlow, A., C. E. Pook, R. A. Harrison, and W. Wuster. 2009. Coevolution of diet and prey-specific venom activity supports the role of selection in snake venom evolution. Proc Biol Sci 276:2443-2449.

Casewell, N. R., S. C. Wagstaff, W. Wuster, D. A. Cook, F. M. Bolton, S. I. King, D. Pla, L. Sanz, J. J. Calvete, and R. A. Harrison. 2014. Medically important differences in snake venom composition are dictated by distinct postgenomic mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:9205-9210.

Casewell, N. R., W. Wuster, F. J. Vonk, R. A. Harrison, and B. G. Fry. 2013. Complex cocktails: the evolutionary novelty of venoms. Trends Ecol Evol 28:219-229.

Castoe, T. A., A. P. de Koning, K. T. Hall, D. C. Card, D. R. Schield, M. K. Fujita, R. P. Ruggiero, J. F. Degner, J. M. Daza, W. Gu, J. Reyes-Velasco, K. J. Shaney, J. M. Castoe, S. E. Fox, A. W. Poole, D. Polanco, J. Dobry, M. W. Vandewege, Q. Li, R. K. Schott, A. Kapusta, P. Minx, C. Feschotte, P. Uetz, D. A. Ray, F. G. Hoffmann, R. Bogden, E. N. Smith, B. S. Chang, F. J. Vonk, N. R. Casewell, C. V. Henkel, M. K. Richardson, S. P. Mackessy, A. M. Bronikowski, M. Yandell, W. C. Warren, S. M. Secor, and D. D. Pollock. 2013. The Burmese python genome reveals the molecular basis for extreme adaptation in snakes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:20645-20650.

Daltry, J. C., W. Wuster, and R. S. Thorpe. 1996. Diet and snake venom evolution. Nature 379:537-540.

Dowell, N. L., M. W. Giorgianni, V. A. Kassner, J. E. Selegue, E. E. Sanchez, and S. B. Carroll. 2016. The Deep Origin and Recent Loss of Venom Toxin Genes in Rattlesnakes. Curr Biol 26:2434-2445.

Fetzner, J. W., Jr. 1999. Extracting high-quality DNA from shed reptile skins: a
simplified method. Biotechniques 26:1052-1054.
Finn, R. D., P. Coggill, R. Y. Eberhardt, S. R. Eddy, J. Mistry, A. L. Mitchell, S.
C. Potter, M. Punta, M. Qureshi, A. Sangrador-Vegas, G. A. Salazar, J.
Tate, and A. Bateman. 2016. The Pfam protein families database:
towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids Research 44:6.
Fry, B. G. 2005. From genome to "venome": molecular origin and evolution of
the snake venom proteome inferred from phylogenetic analysis of toxin
sequences and related body proteins. Genome Res 15:403-420.
Fry, B. G., H. Scheib, L. van der Weerd, B. Young, J. McNaughtan, S. F.
Ramjan, N. Vidal, R. E. Poelmann, and J. A. Norman. 2008. Evolution
of an arsenal: structural and functional diversification of the venom
system in the advanced snakes (Caenophidia). Mol Cell Proteomics
7:215-246.
Gelfman S, Burstein, D., Penn, O., Savchenko, A. et al. 2012. Changes in
exon-intron structure during vertebrate evolution affect the splicing
pattern of exons. Genome Res 22: 35-50.
Hargreaves, A. D., M. T. Swain, M. J. Hegarty, D. W. Logan, and J. F. Mulley.
2014. Restriction and recruitment-gene duplication and the origin and
evolution of snake venom toxins. Genome Biol Evol 6:2088-2095.
Heise, P. J., L. R. Maxson, H. G. Dowling, and S. B. Hedges. 1995. Higher-
level snake phylogeny inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences of
12S rRNA and 16S rRNA genes. Mol Biol Evol 12:259-265.
Hu, B., J. Jin, A. Y. Guo, H. Zhang, J. Luo, and G. Gao. 2015. GSDS 2.0: an
upgraded gene feature visualization server. Bioinformatics 31:1296-
1297.
Jayanthi, G. P. and T. V. Gowda. 1988. Geographical variation in India in the
composition and lethal potency of Russell's viper (Vipera russelli)
venom. Toxicon 26:257-264.
Kelley, L. A., S. Mezulis, C. M. Yates, M. N. Wass and M. J. E. Sternberg.
2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and
analysis. Nature Protocols 10: 845–858.
Kerkkamp, H. M., R. M. Kini, A. S. Pospelov, F. J. Vonk, C. V. Henkel, and M.
K. Richardson. 2016. Snake Genome Sequencing: Results and Future
Prospects. Toxins (Basel) 8.
Luo, R., B. Liu, Y. Xie, Z. Li, W. Huang, J. Yuan, G. He, Y. Chen, Q. Pan, Y.
Liu, J. Tang, G. Wu, H. Zhang, Y. Shi, Y. Liu, C. Yu, B. Wang, Y. Lu, C.
Han, D. W. Cheung, S. M. Yiu, S. Peng, Z. Xiaoqian, G. Liu, X. Liao, Y.
Li, H. Yang, J. Wang, T. W. Lam, and J. Wang. 2012. SOAPdenovo2:
an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo
assembler. Gigascience 1:18.
Malhotra, A., S. Creer, C. E. Pook, and R. S. Thorpe. 2010. Inclusion of
nuclear intron sequence data helps to identify the Asian sister group of
New World pitvipers. Mol Phylogenet Evol 54:172-178.
Mallow, D., D. Ludwig, and G. Nilson. 2003. True vipers : natural history and
toxinology of Old World vipers. Krieger Pub. Co., Malabar, Fla.
Mohapatra, B., D. A. Warrell, W. Suraweera, P. Bhatia, N. Dhingra, R. M.
Jotkar, P. S. Rodriguez, K. Mishra, R. Whitaker, P. Jha, and C. Million
Death Study. 2011. Snakebite mortality in India: a nationally
representative mortality survey. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 5:e1018.

Rokyta, D. R., K. P. Wray, A. R. Lemmon, E. M. Lemmon, and S. B. Caudle. 2011. A high-throughput venom-gland transcriptome for the Eastern Diamondback Rattlesnake (Crotalus adamanteus) and evidence for pervasive positive selection across toxin classes. Toxicon 57:657-671.

Schmieder, R. and R. Edwards. 2011. Fast identification and removal of sequence contamination from genomic and metagenomic datasets. PLoS One 6:e17288.

Ullate-Agote, A., M. C. Milinkovitch, and A. C. Tzika. 2014. The genome sequence of the corn snake (Pantherophis guttatus), a valuable resource for EvoDevo studies in squamates. Int J Dev Biol 58:881-888.

Vicoso, B., J. J. Emerson, Y. Zektser, S. Mahajan, and D. Bachtrog. 2013. Comparative sex chromosome genomics in snakes: differentiation, evolutionary strata, and lack of global dosage compensation. PLoS Biol 11:e1001643.

Vonk, F. J., N. R. Casewell, C. V. Henkel, A. M. Heimberg, H. J. Jansen, R. J. McCleary, H. M. Kerkkamp, R. A. Vos, I. Guerreiro, J. J. Calvete, W. Wuster, A. E. Woods, J. M. Logan, R. A. Harrison, T. A. Castoe, A. P. de Koning, D. D. Pollock, M. Yandell, D. Calderon, C. Renjifo, R. B. Currier, D. Salgado, D. Pla, L. Sanz, A. S. Hyder, J. M. Ribeiro, J. W. Arntzen, G. E. van den Thillart, M. Boetzer, W. Pirovano, R. P. Dirks, H. P. Spaink, D. Duboule, E. McGlinn, R. M. Kini, and M. K. Richardson. 2013. The king cobra genome reveals dynamic gene evolution and adaptation in the snake venom system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:20651-20656.

Vonk, F. J., K. Jackson, R. Doley, F. Madaras, P. J. Mirtschin, and N. Vidal. 2011. Snake venom: From fieldwork to the clinic: Recent insights into snake biology, together with new technology allowing high-throughput screening of venom, bring new hope for drug discovery. Bioessays 33:269-279.

Whitaker, R. 2015. Snakebite in India today. Neurol India 63:300-303.

Wüster, W., L. Peppin, C. E. Pook, and D. E. Walker. 2008. A nesting of vipers: Phylogeny and historical biogeography of the Viperidae (Squamata: Serpentes). Mol Phylogenet Evol 49:445-459.

Yates, C. M., I. Filippis, L. A. Kelley and M. J. Sternberg. 2014. SuSPect: Enhanced Prediction of Single Amino Acid Variant (SAV) Phenotype Using Network Features J Mol Biol. 426(14): 2692-2701

Yin, W., Z. J. Wang, Q. Y. Li, J. M. Lian, et al. 2016. Evolutionary trajectories of snake genes and genomes revealed by comparative analyses of five-pacer viper. Nat Commun 7:13107.

512   **Table 1:** Venom-associated genes and their representative families used in

513   the current study.

Gene	Species with the available sequence information	Protein Family
ACHE		Acetylcholinesterase
ADAM11	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	ADAM (disintegrin/ metalloprotease)
ADAM17		
ADAM19		
ADAM23		
PROK1	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah* and *Python bivitattus*	AVIT (prokinectin)
PROK2	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus and Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
CPAMD8	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	Complement C3
crotasin	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus*	Crotasin/ beta defensin
CST1	No sequence information is available in any of the four species	Cystatin
CST3	*Ophiophagus hannah*	
CST4	No sequence information is available in any of the four species	
CSTA	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus and Thamnophis sirtalis*	
EDN1	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus and Python bivittatus*	Endothelin
EDN3	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis* and	
F5		Factor V
F10	*Ophiophagus hannah*	Factor X
KLKB1	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis* and	Kallikrein
KLK14	*Ophiophagus hannah*	
kunitoxin	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Ophiophagus hannah*	Kunitz-type protease inhibitor
LYNX1	*Ophiophagus hannah*	LYNX/SLUR
CLEC3A	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	Lectin
CLEC3B	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
CLEC11A	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
CLEC16A	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
CLEC19A	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus and Python bivittatus*	
NPR1	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	Natriuretic peptide
NPR2	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
NPR3		
NGF	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis, Protobothrops flavoviridis, Crotalus horridus, Sistrurus miliarius barbouri* and *Boa constrictor*	Beta-nerve growth factor
PLAA	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	Phospholipase A (2)
PLA2R1		

PLA2G1B	*Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis* and *Protobothrops mucrosquamatus*	
PLA2G10	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Protobothrops flavoviridis, Thamnophis sirtalis, Ophiophagus hanna* and *Python bivittatus*	
PLA2G12A	*Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis* and *Protobothrops mucrosquamatus*	
PLA2G12B	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah* and *Python bivittatus*	
PLA2G15	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
PLA2G3	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Ophiophagus hannah*	
PLA2G4A	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
PLA2G4C	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
PLA2G6 PLA2G7	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
SPSB4	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	SPIa/Ryanodine
SPSB3	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
SPSB1	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
VEGFA1 VEGFA2 VEGFA3	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis, Crotalus horridus* and *Protobothrops flavoviridis*	Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)
VEGFB	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis, Crotalus horridus, Protobothrops flavoviridis* and *Sistrurus miliarius barbouri*	
VEGFC	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*	
VEGFF	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis* and *Protobothrops flavoviridis*	
WAP WFIKKN1 WFIKKN2	*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis* and *Ophiophagus hannah*	Whey acidic protein/secretory leukoproteinase inhibitor
CRISP	*Protobothrops flavoviridis, Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus, Thamnophis sirtalis, Crotalus horridus, Calloselasma rhodostoma, Sistrurus miliarius barbouri* and *Deinagkistrodon acutus*	CRISP

Figure Legends

Fig. 1: Comparative analyses of nerve growth factor (NGF). NGF gene, mRNA, and protein domains of Russell's viper (A) and its comparison with the consensus NGF sequences from all five vertebrate phyla (fishes, amphibians, reptiles, aves and mammals) (B), with venomous (V) vertebrates from multiple phyla of vertebrates and invertebrates (C), and from various reptilian subgroups (D). The shades of brown and grey in B and C represent conservation to various degrees and variability, respectively. Grey in D represents conserved residues, red represents variable residues in New World vipers (NWV), yellow and green represent conserved and variable residues in Old World vipers (OWV), and elapids respectively.

Fig. 2: Comparative analyses of vascular endothelial growth factor - A (VEGF-A). Organization of the gene, mRNA, and protein domains of Russell's viper PDGF domain (A) and its comparison with the consensus sequences from all five vertebrate phyla (fishes, amphibians, reptiles, aves and mammals) (B), from the venomous (V) vertebrates and invertebrates (C), and from various reptilian subgroups (D). The shades of brown and grey in B and C represent conserved and varying residues, respectively. Grey in D represents conserved residues, red represents variable residues in New World vipers (NWV), yellow and green represent conserved and variable residues in Old World vipers (OWV), and elapids respectively

Fig. 3: Comparative analyses of kunitoxin. Organization of the gene, mRNA, and protein domains of Russell's viper (A) and its comparison with the

consensus BPTI domain sequences from all five vertebrate phyla (fishes,

amphibians, reptiles, aves and mammals) (B), from venomous (V) vertebrates

and invertebrates (C), from various reptilian subgroups (D). The shades of

brown and grey in B and C represent conserved and varying residues,

respectively. Grey in D represents conserved residues, red represents

variable residues in New World vipers (NWV), yellow and green represent

conserved and variable residues in Old World vipers (OWV), and elapids

respectively.

Fig. 4: Comparative analyses of CRISP. Organization of CRISP gene, mRNA,

and protein domains of Russell's viper (A) and its comparison with the

consensus CRISP sequences from all five vertebrate phyla (fishes,

amphibians, reptiles, aves and mammals, B and E); from venomous animals

(V) vertebrates (fishes, squamates and mammals) and invertebrates

(scorpions and wasps, C and F); and from various reptilian subgroups (D and

G). The shades of brown and grey in B, C, E and F represent conserved and

varying residues, respectively. Grey in D and G represents conserved

residues, red represents variable residues in New World vipers (NWV), yellow

and green conserved and variable residues in Old World vipers (OWV), and

elapids respectively.

Fig. 5: Three-dimensional protein structural models to access quality and

functional differences in key venom-associated proteins (NGF, A; PDGF, B;

Kunitz BPTI, C; CAP, D; and CRISP, E) across New World vipers (NWV), Old

World vipers (OWV) and elapids. The status of the parameters being

investigated using Phyre2 are indicated in the color legends on the side.

Supplementary File legends

Table S1: Genbank accession numbers for Russell's viper venom-associated

proteins.

Table S2: The amino acid sequences for the Russell's viper's toxin-

associated genes.

Table S3: List of completely sequenced snake species.

Table S4: Accession IDs and taxonomic groups of species used in all

comparative analyses.

File S1: Agarose gel electrophoresis of genomic DNA extracted from skin

exuviate of Russell's viper.

.

File S2: Exon-intron structures for all the toxin-associated genes in Russell's

viper.

File S3: Graphical summary of comparative domain analysis performed for all

toxin-associated genes across 18 completely sequenced snake species.

File S4: A larger pool of sequences available from various snakes and other

reptile groups (Colubridae, Boidae, Pythonidae, Acrochordidae, Lizards,

Crocodiles and Testudines) were compared with the sequence of Russell's

viper for NGF, PDGF, Kunitz BPTI, CAP and CRISP domains.

File S5: 3D protein structural model quality and function measuring parameter

comparison across New World vipers (NWV), Old World vipers (OWV) and

elapids in NGF, PDGF, Kunitz BPTI, CAP and CRISP domains. The status of

the parameters being investigated using Phyre2 are indicated in the color

legends on the side.

A

Gene 5' E1 E2 1kb 2kb 3kb 3'

mRNA 5' E1 100 200 E2 3'

protein 37 Kunitz BPTI 82

GPCKAKVRAFYYNPASKKCEEFTYGGCEGNANNFKTKDECHYTC

B

Amphibians
Reptiles
Aves
Mammals

C

V. squamates
V. mammals
V. scorpion
V. wasps

D

NWV
OWV
Elapids
Colubrids
Pythonids
Lizards
Crocodiles
Testudines