Rapid whole genome amplification and sequencing of low cell numbers in a
bacteraemia model

Catherine Anscombe [*1,2], Raju.V Misra[1] ,Saheer Gharbia[1]

[1] Genomics Research Unit, Public Health England, London, United Kingdom

[2] Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

* Corresponding Author: Catherine Anscombe, the Hospital for Tropical Diseases, 764 Vo Van Kiet, Dist.
5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. canscombe@oucru.org

Words count: Abstract; 154, Text; 2530

Abstract

Whilst next generation sequencing is frequently used to whole genome sequence bacteria from

cultures, it is rarely achieved direct from sample, and even more rarely performed in a clinically

relevant time frame. To demonstrate the potential of direct from blood sequencing a bacteraemia

model was developed, using defibrinated horse blood to model whole human blood infections.

Sample processing included removal of erythrocytes and lysis of white blood cells, before rapid and

accurate none targeted amplification. The rapid approach to allow direct from sample sequencing,

allowed greater than 92% genome coverage of pathogens of interest whilst limiting the sequencing of

host genome (less than 7% of all reads). Analysis of *de novo* assembled reads allowed accurate

genotypic antibiotic resistance prediction. The sample processing would be easily applicable to

multiple sequencing platforms. Overall this model provides evidence that it is currently possible to

rapidly produce whole genome bacterial data from low cell number sterile site infections.

Introduction

Bacterial sequencing of clinical isolates has been used in many settings, including for virulence

determinants[1–4], population structure[5][6] and outbreak investigations [7–10]. Almost exclusively current

applications of sequencing rely either upon culture or targeted amplification imparting a diagnostic

bias towards known pathogens and bacteria with known and relatively simple growth requirements.

Bacterial whole genome sequencing is most often performed at reference or research centres to study

population structures and evolution, with results rarely available in a clinically relevant time. One

exception to this is the application of next generation sequencing to the diagnosis of tuberculosis[11,12],

where WGS was shown, in some cases, to be quicker than current routine methods for predicting

resistance; however this process still relies on culturing of the bacteria prior to sequencing. Direct

from sample sequencing of pathogens using an untargeted (metagenomic) approach has the potential

to lower turnaround times and lower diagnostic bias. Metagenomic sequencing techniques have

already been applied to ecological studies [13–16], gut microbiomes [17–19] and investigation and

identification of viruses [20–23].

In order to sequence a bacterial genome, at least 1 ng of DNA is needed, which is the equivalent

of over a 200000 copies of the *E. coli* K12 genome. To directly sequence pathogens present at low

levels (as few as 1 bacterial cell per ml blood) such as those found in sterile site infections an

unbiased and high fidelity amplification enzyme is needed. Multiple displacement amplification

(MDA) using ϕ 29 is an alternative method to PCR for the production of DNA in high enough

amounts for sequencing. ϕ 29 MDA has the potential to decrease our reliance on primers and increase

the lengths of DNA produced through amplification. This method has the advantage of being able to

produce large lengths of DNA with lower errors than conventional PCR. ϕ 29 MDA has been applied

to samples with very low starting DNA amounts from single cells (both prokaryotic and eukaryotic)

and provided DNA in levels high enough to perform sequencing [24]. The high fidelity and 3'–5'

proofreading activity reduces the amplification error rate to 1 in $10^6 - 10^7$ bases compared to

conventional Taq polymerase with a reported error rate of 1 in 90,000 [25]. A single binding reaction

can incorporate over 70kb [26], meaning that this method is not limited by the length of the initial target

like conventional PCR. It has been demonstrated that this method is suitable for use with single

bacterial cells[24] and more recently malaria parasites directly from blood samples [27].Bacteraemia is a

major global cause of morbidity and mortality, with a large range of aetiologies and is a particular

problem in healthcare settings[28,29]. The current microbiological diagnostic process is culture based

often involving specialised equipment, with time to positivity varying due to aetiology and pathogen

load. Although recent advances in MALDI-TOF processing has allowed direct identification of

bacteria from positive blood cultures [30,31,32], antibiotic sensitivities take a further 18 hours. The direct

application of whole genome sequencing to blood samples would allow rapid pathogen diagnosis,

along with simultaneous pathogen typing and genotypic resistance prediction. Furthermore, by

applying unbiased pathogen detection method the diagnostic bias would be lowered. In order to allow

to allow direct from sample sequencing, a two stage host cells removal was performed firstly red

blood cells were removed using HetaSep®, which has previously been used to isolate nucleated cells

in the blood, particularly granulocytes but has not previously been applied to aid in pathogen

isolation. Secondly a selective white blood cell lysis was undertaken using saponin[33] in order to

release intracellular pathogens and aid in host nucleic acid removal.

Methods

Model process

Clinical isolates were collected from the Royal Free Hospital Hampstead, where they had been

stored at -80°C after isolation from septic patients. Phenotypic data was produced using the BD

phoenix and was retrieved from final hospital reports. Bacteraemia models were set up by adding an

estimated ten bacterial cells, (*S. aureus* or *E. coli*) calculated using serial dilutions to 1ml horse blood.

The workflow depicted in Figure 1was then applied, with samples being cultured at each stage to

assess bacterial survival, sample processing was repeated three times. 200 μl HetaSep® was added

and the sample vortexed and incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes. 550 μl supernatant was removed and

200 μl 5% saponin was added to a final 2% solution, and incubated at room temperature for 5

minutes. 700μl sterile water was added for a water shock and incubated at room temperature for 30

seconds before salt restoration with the addition of 21μl 5M NaCl. The sample was centrifuged at

4000xg for 5 minutes and the supernatant discarded before addition of 2 μl turbo DNase1 and 5 μl of

10x buffer (Ambion). The sample was vortexed and incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes EDTA was

added to a final concentration of 15nM. The sample was then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 4000xg and

the supernatant removed and discarded. The pellet was washed in decreasing volumes of PBS,

initially 200μl then 100μl followed by 20 μl with each stage being centrifuged at 6000xg for three

minutes.

Bacteria were suspended in a total of 4 μl sterile PBS. Extraction was performed using alkaline

method; briefly cell suspensions were added to 200 mM potassium hydroxide (Qiagen) and 50mM

dithiothreitol (Qiagen) and incubated at 65°C for 10 minutes. The reaction was then neutralised using

neutralisation buffer (Qiagen). The sample was then briefly vortexed and placed on ice.

Amplification was performed using φ29 MDA (Repli-g Single Cell Kit Qiagen). A master mix

was prepared on ice in a total volume of 40 µl, with 29 µl reaction buffer, containing endonuclease

resistant hexamer primers and 2 µl (40 U) of φ29polymerase (Qiagen, REPLI-g Single Cell Kit). The

extracted DNA was then added to the master mix and the sample was then incubated at 30^0C for 2

hours, and the reaction stopped by heating to 65^0C for 3 minutes.

Sequencing

DNA was quantified using Qubit BR kit and 3 µg of φ29 MDA DNA was de-branched using S1

nuclease in a 90 µl reaction as follows, 3 µl 10x buffer, 3 µl 0.5M NaCl, 10 µl S1 nuclease (1U/ µl)

with water to make the volume to 90µl. The digestion reaction was left at room temperature for 30

minutes and the enzyme deactivated by incubating at 70^oC with 6 µl 0.5M EDTA. The DNA was then

fragmented by nebulisation at 30psi for 180 seconds. The DNA was sequenced using the 454 Junior

using the manufacturer's recommended methods. A negative library was produced by using sterile

PBS in the φ29 MDA, this was then sequenced using the same method.

Data analysis

Data analysis was performed in three stages, firstly host and contaminating reads were removed

before abundance trimming, secondly reads were classified using lowest common ancestor (LCA)

analysis and finally classified reads were assembled and analysed. Initially reads were mapped using

Newbler standard parameters against host and a local contamination library consisting of sequenced

negative controls and reads identified as contamination in previous runs. Unmapped reads were

written into a new fastq file using a custom python script (supplementary material) and taken forward

for further analysis. The remaining reads were abundance trimmed using Khmer[34] using two passes

to a maximum depth of 50, to remove over represented reads produce by the φ29 MDA method.

Following this the reads were error trimmed using Prinseq[35], with ends being trimmed to a Q20 cut

off. Blastn was then used to assign the reads against the nr database. Once completed lowest common

ancestor (LCA) analysis was performed using MEGAN[36], and reads associated with the bacterial

species of interest were extracted to a new fastq file. These reads were then *de novo* assembled using

standard parameters in SPAdes[37] assembly outputs were assessed using QUAST (Quality Assessment

Tool for Genome Assemblies)[35]. Reference assemblies were performed using the closest reference

sequence identified using the LCA analysis. Antibiotic prediction was performed using Mykrobe[11]

for *S. aureus* and ResFinder[38] for *E. Coli* using the *de novo* assembled reads.

Results

Sample processing

When the full blood processing method was applied to *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, good survival rates

was found for both bacteria through-out all stages with the final survival rate being 100%. Details of

survivial at each stage of processing can be found in Table 1

Sequencing results S. aureus

After processing and sequencing the horse blood spiked with *S. aureus* the number of reads

passing basic filter was 128500. Once known contaminants were removed and error and abundacnce

trimming complete 124,145 reads remained. Using Blastn and MEGAN, 62.1% reads were identified

as *S. aureus*. 6.76% of the reads were identified as the genus Equus, and 1.72% were identified as

Parascaris equorum. When examining the *S. aureus* reads closer 4254 reads were identified to the

subspecies level, (*Staphylococcus aureus subsp. aureus* HO 5096 0412), this subspecies has a

complete genome available (GenBank GCA_000284535.1) and was used as a reference for reference

mapping. Reference mapping produced 451 contigs and covered 92% of the reference genome. When

the reads identified as *Staphylococcus* using the LCA analysis were extracted and the reads *de novo*

assembled 1212 contigs were produced with an N50 of 3882. When this was compared to the

reference sequence 83% of the genome was covered with 10 misassemblies. Mykrobe analysis using

the *de novo* assembly gave genotypic result for 12 antibiotics. When comparing the genotypic and

phenotypic (BD Phoenix™) results matched in 11 of the 12 antibiotics. The results for ciprofloxacin

were inconclusive in genotypic tests, but resistant by phenotypic methods.

Sequencing results E. coli

Post sequencing 173597 reads passed the initial filter, once contaminants were removed and the

reads error and abundance trimmed 170243 reads remained. Overall 73% of all reads remaining after

the analysis pipeline were identified as *E. coli*. 31959 (18%) reads had no identity. Unlike *S. aureus* it

was not possible to type the *E. coli*, as 150 reads were assigned to O7:K1 and 211 reads were assigned

to JJ1886. Reads which were identified as *Enterobacteriacea, Escherichia* and *E. coli* by BLAST

and LCA analysis were extracted from the fastq produced after pipeline completion. The genome of

JJ1886 was available from the Integrated Microbial Genomes database (ID 2558309052), and the

chromosomal sequence was used as the reference against which the extracted reads were assembled.

After reference mapping assembly 93.5% of the genome was covered in 548 contigs. When the reads

were *de novo* assembled, 89% of the same reference was covered in 1334 contigs. The *de novo*

assembly was used to identify several resistance markers using ResFinder. The resistance markers

included dfrA, conferring trimethoprim resistance, gyrA conferring resistance to fluoroquinolones.

mdtK an efflux pump conferring resistance to norfloxacin and blaCMY-2 which is an AmpC,

conferring resistance to beta-lactams including cephalosporins. Additionally, eight drug efflux

systems were identified. These results were concordant to the phenotypic data.

Discussion

Direct diagnostics using WGS from clinical samples would, in many ways, provide the ideal

diagnostic method. By providing all the information from whole genome data with the speed of direct

sample testing. However, there are various obstacles, including low pathogen numbers, high host

background and difficulty in interpreting genotypic data. Here, a model was prepared to demonstrate

the potential for direct from sample sequencing from whole blood.

Fresh whole horse blood was used to model bacteraemia, as it was readily available. The process

was developed to remove RBCs early in the process, as they represent the largest proportion of the

cellular makeup of blood (up to 96%[39]), debulking the sample, and preventing release of oxidative

agents. Selective lysis on WBCs allowed the release of any intracellular pathogens and exposed host

nucleic acid to nucleases. *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were chosen due to different cell wall types and cell

morphology; both demonstrated good survival during the developed sample processing.

The majority of sequencing reads from spiked horse blood were associated with the spiked

bacteria (62.1% S. *aureus* and 73% *E. coli*), considering the horse genome is over 500 times larger

than the *E. coli* genome this shows that the vast majority of the host material was removed. Overall

there was good concordance of phenotypic and genotypic results showing the potential for rapid

genotypic prediction of antibiotic resistance from 10 bacterial cells in 1 ml of host blood. The

inconclusive ciprofloxacin results demonstrate the need for improved understanding of the

mechanisms of resistance. Ciprofloxacin resistance is harder to predict as it is chromosomal mutation

rather than gene acquisition. Three mechanisms of fluoroquinolone resistance have been proposed in

S. aureus, Topoisomerase IV gene mutations, DNA gyrase gene mutations and an active efflux pump

(NorA)[40]. The complexity of predicting ciprofloxacin resistance suggests that the database may be

lacking in its ability to predict ciprofloxacin resistance, and so this is the most likely cause of the

inconclusive result for ciprofloxacin resistance. Additionally the creators of Mykrobe (Bradley et al[11])

found a false negativity rate of 4.6% for ciprofloxacin resistance.

In addition to identifying the isolates resistance to beta-lactams the database was able to identify

the blaZ gene and MecA gene. Genotypic testing will never entirely replace phenotypic susceptibility

testing, due to its inability to identify novel resistance determinants and the comprehensive nature of

phenotypic testing. However, in this scenario, of invasive sepsis, the gain in speed provided by not

having to culture the organism to determine susceptibility could be life-saving.

Multiple antibiotic resistance factors were identified in the *E. coli*, which gave good concordance

with the phenotypic data. Using the genotypic data, it was possible to rapidly identify the beta-

lactamase present as BlaCMY-2. The rapid identification of the specific resistance genes in bacteria

could help identify outbreaks by providing more information than a simple antibiogram. Additionally,

it could help monitor novel resistance genes, or genes that are increasing in incidence. Large amounts

of horizontal genome transfer amongst Gram negative bacteria has the potential to cause outbreaks of

resistance bacteria through genes or plasmids[41], which would be more complex to track. Rapid

identification of genes causing the resistance in isolates could help inform epidemiological and

outbreak studies which could involve several species of bacteria. The output for the resistance

prediction was complex to interpret with several genes identified which didn't always have specific

drug resistance associated. This highlights a down side of generic databases, as they are often time-

consuming to interpret. A study of NGS sequencing data from bacteraemia isolates of *E. coli* have

shown resistance prediction specificity of 97%[2], if this was coupled with direct from sample

sequencing genotypic prediction could inform treatment more rapidly than phenotypic test.

Limitations of this model include differences in horse and human blood, and difference in sample

between spiked bacteria and bacteria causing a true infection. Additionally, factors in the blood such

as immune and inflammatory reactions could alter the efficiency of the preparation method. However,

this model shows proof of principal that direct unbiased sequencing directly from blood is possible

and could be used to diagnose and inform treatment of bacterial bloodstream infections.

The method presented here is easily adapted to allow application to other sequencing platforms.

Overall the method presented allows sufficient DNA for whole genome sequencing of pathogens in

blood to be produced within a single day.

Tables and Figures

Figure 1 Illustration of the process for bacterial isolation from whole blood using HetaSep and

selective lysis with Saponin. Numbers refer to sampling points where bacterial recovery was

investigated.

Stage		*S. aureus*	*E. coli*
1	Spiked cells	10	11
2	RBC separation-bottom	0	0
3	RBC separation-top	10	9
4	Addition of Saponin	12	9
5	Water shock	12	12
6	Salt restoration	10	10
7	Cell pelleting-top	0	0
	Cell pelleting-bottom	9	9
8	DNAse treatment	11	12
9	EDTA and PBS	10	12
10	Wash 1-top	0	0
	Wash 1-bottom	9	11
11	Wash 2-top	0	0
	Wash 2–bottom	10	10
12	Wash 3-top	0	0
	Wash 3–bottom	8	9
13	Final pellet	11	9

218   **Table 1** the average number of CFU isolated at each processing stage for bacterial isolation from

219   whole blood using HetaSep and selective lysis with Saponin after workflow improvements. Numbers

220   refer to sampling points where bacterial recovery was investigated as illustrated in **Figure 1**

	Phenotypic-(MIC)	Genotypic	Comment
	E. coli		
Ampicillin	R (>8)	R	blaCMY-2
Ceftriaxone	R (>4)	R	blaCMY-2
Ciprofloxacin	R (>1)	R	gyrA
Colistin	S (<=0.5)	S	
Gentamicin	S (<=0.25)	S	
Levofloxacin	R (>2)	R	gyrA
Meropenem	S (<=0.25)	S	
Nalidixic acid	(>16)	R	ermB
Nitrofurantoin	S (<=16)	S	
Trimethoprim	R (>4)	R	dfrA
	S. aureus		
Ciprofloxacin	R (>2)	Inconclusive	
Clindamycin	S (<=0.25)	S	
Erythromycin	S (<=0.25)	S	
Fusidic acid	S (<=1)	S	
Gentamicin	S (<1)	S	
Methicillin	R (oxacillin 2)	R	MecA
Mupirocin	S (<=1)	S	
Penicillin G	R (> 0.25)	R	blaZ
Rifampicin	S (<=0.25)	S	
Tetracycline	S (<=0.5)	S	
Trimethoprim	S (<=1)	S	
Vancomycin	S (1)	S	

Table 2 phenotypic and genotypic result for antibiograms of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*

229   References

230   1.   Gordon, N. C. *et al.* Prediction of staphylococcus aureus antimicrobial resistance by whole-

231   genome sequencing. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52,** 1182–1191 (2014).

232   2.   Stoesser, N. *et al.* Predicting antimicrobial susceptibilities for Escherichia coli and Klebsiella

233   pneumoniae isolates using whole genomic sequence data. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **68,**

234   2234–44 (2013).

235   3.   Leopold, S. R., Goering, R. V., Witten, A., Harmsen, D. & Mellmann, A. Bacterial whole-

236   genome sequencing revisited: Portable, scalable, and standardized analysis for typing and

237   detection of virulence and antibiotic resistance genes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52,** 2365–2370

238   (2014).

239   4.   Ashton, P. M. *et al.* Insight into Shiga toxin genes encoded by Escherichia coli O157 from

240   whole genome sequencing. *PeerJ* **3,** e739 (2015).

241   5.   Kennedy, A. D. *et al.* Epidemic community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus

242   aureus: recent clonal expansion and diversification. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105,** 1327–1332

243   (2008).

244   6.   Harris, S. R. *et al.* Evolution of MRSA During Hospital Transmission and Intercontinental

245   Spread. *Science* **327,** 469–474 (2010).

246   7.   Eyre, D. W. *et al.* A pilot study of rapid benchtop sequencing of Staphylococcus aureus and

247   Clostridium difficile for outbreak detection and surveillance. *BMJ Open* **2,** e001124–e001124

248   (2012).

249   8.   Reuter, S. *et al.* A pilot study of rapid whole-genome sequencing for the investigation of a

250   Legionella outbreak. *BMJ Open* **3,** e002175 (2013).

9.   Snitkin, E. S. *et al.* Tracking a Hospital Outbreak of Carbapenem-Resistant Klebsiella
pneumoniae with Whole-Genome Sequencing. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **4,** 148ra116-148ra116
(2012).

10.   Köser, C. U. *et al.* Rapid whole-genome sequencing for investigation of a neonatal MRSA
outbreak. *N.Engl.J.Med.* **366,** 2267–2275 (2012).

11.   Bradley, P. *et al.* Rapid antibiotic resistance predictions from genome sequence data for S.
aureus and M. tuberculosis. *bioRxiv* **6,** 18564 (2015).

12.   Pankhurst, L. J. *et al.* Rapid, comprehensive, and affordable mycobacterial diagnosis with
whole-genome sequencing: A prospective study. *Lancet Respir. Med.* **4,** 49–58 (2016).

13.   Mason, O. U. *et al.* Metagenomics reveals sediment microbial community response to
Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *ISME J.* **8,** 1464–75 (2014).

14.   Vieira-silva, S. & Rocha, E. P. C. The systemic imprint of growth and its uses in ecological
(meta)genomics. *PLoS Genet.* **6,** (2010).

15.   Sharon, I. *et al.* Comparative metagenomics of microbial traits within oceanic viral
communities. *ISME J.* **5,** 1178–1190 (2011).

16.   Gilbert, J. a. & Dupont, C. L. Microbial Metagenomics: Beyond the Genome. *Ann. Rev. Mar.*
Sci. **3,** 347–371 (2011).

17.   Erickson, A. R. *et al.* Integrated Metagenomics/Metaproteomics Reveals Human Host-
Microbiota Signatures of Crohn's Disease. *PLoS One* **7,** (2012).

18.   Lamendella, R., Domingo, J. W. S., Ghosh, S., Martinson, J. & Oerther, D. B. Comparative
fecal metagenomics unveils unique functional capacity of the swine gut. *BMC Microbiol.* **11,**
103 (2011).

19.   Walker, A. W., Duncan, S. H., Louis, P. & Flint, H. J. Phylogeny, culturing, and

274   metagenomics of the human gut microbiota. *Trends Microbiol.* **22,** 267–274 (2014).

275 20. Kristensen, D. M., Mushegian, A. R., Dolja, V. V. & Koonin, E. V. New dimensions of the

276   virus world discovered through metagenomics. *Trends Microbiol.* **18,** 11–19 (2010).

277 21. Mokili, J. L., Rohwer, F. & Dutilh, B. E. Metagenomics and future perspectives in virus

278   discovery. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **2,** 63–77 (2012).

279 22. Do, L. A. H. *et al.* Respiratory Syncytial Virus and Other Viral Infections among Children

280   under Two Years Old in Southern Vietnam 2009-2010: Clinical Characteristics and Disease

281   Severity. *PLoS One* **11,** e0160606 (2016).

282 23. Dacheux, L. *et al.* A preliminary study of viral metagenomics of french bat species in contact

283   with humans: Identification of new mammalian viruses. *PLoS One* **9,** (2014).

284 24. Lasken, R. S. Single-cell genomic sequencing using Multiple Displacement Amplification.

285   *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **10,** 510–516 (2007).

286 25. Hutchison, C. a, Smith, H. O., Pfannkoch, C. & Venter, J. C. Cell-free cloning using phi29

287   DNA polymerase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102,** 17332–17336 (2005).

288 26. Blanco, L. *et al.* Highly Efficient DNA Synthesis by the Phage 429 DNA Polymerase. *J. Biol.*

289   *Chem.* **264,** 8935–8940 (1989).

290 27. Cowell, A. N. *et al.* Selective Whole-Genome Amplification Is a Robust Method That Enables

291   Scalable Whole-Genome Sequencing of Plasmodium vivax from Unprocessed Clinical

292   Samples. *MBio* **8,** e02257-16 (2017).

293 28. Pien, B. C. *et al.* The Clinical and Prognostic Importance of Positive Blood Cultures in Adults.

294   *Am. J. Med.* **123,** 819–828 (2010).

295 29. Prasad, N., Murdoch, D. R., Reyburn, H. & Crump, J. A. Etiology of Severe Febrile Illness in

296   Low- and Middle-Income Countries : A Systematic Review. *PLoS One* 1–25 (2015).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127962

30.   Schubert, S. *et al.* Novel, improved sample preparation for rapid, direct identification from

positive blood cultures using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight

(MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. *J. Mol. Diagn.* **13,** 701–6 (2011).

31.   Prod'Hom, G., Bizzini, A., Durussel, C., Bille, J. & Greub, G. Matrix-assisted laser desorption

ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for direct bacterial identification From positive

blood culture pellets. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **48,** 1481–1483 (2010).

32.   Stevenson, L. G., Drake, S. K. & Murray, P. R. Rapid identification of bacteria in positive

blood culture broths by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass

spectrometry. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **48,** 444–447 (2010).

33.   Zelenin, S. *et al.* Microfluidic-based isolation of bacteria from whole blood for sepsis

diagnostics. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **37,** 825–830 (2015).

34.   Brown, C. T., Howe, A., Zhang, Q., Pyrkosz, A. B. & Brom, T. H. A Reference-Free

Algorithm for Computational Normalization of Shotgun Sequencing Data. *arXiv* **1203.4802,**

1–18 (2012).

35.   Schmieder, R. & Edwards, R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets.

Bioinformatics **27,** 863–864 (2011).

36.   Huson, D., Auch, A., Qi, J. & Schuster, S. MEGAN analysis of metagenome data. *Gennome*

Res. **17,** 377–386 (2007).

37.   Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to

Single-Cell Sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19,** 455–477 (2012).

38.   Zankari, E. *et al.* Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J. Antimicrob.*

Chemother. **67,** 2640–2644 (2012).

39.   Alberts B, Johnson A, L. J. *Molecular Biology of the Cell. 4th edition.* (2002).

40.   Tanaka, M., Wang, T., Onodera, Y., Uchida, Y. & Sato, K. Mechanism of quinolone resistance
in Staphylococcus aureus. *J. Infect. Chemother.* **6,** 131–139 (2000).

41.   Tofteland, S., Naseer, U., Lislevand, J. H., Sundsfjord, A. & Samuelsen, ??rjan. A Long-Term
Low-Frequency Hospital Outbreak of KPC-Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae Involving
Intergenus Plasmid Diffusion and a Persisting Environmental Reservoir. *PLoS One* **8,** 1–8
(2013).

42.   Wilson, J. *et al.* Trends among pathogens reported as causing bacteraemia in England, 2004-
2008. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **17,** 451–458 (2011).

330