**Why are tropical mountain passes 'low' for some species?**

**Genetic and stable-isotope tests for differentiation, migration, and expansion in**

**elevational generalist songbirds**

Chauncey R. Gadek (ORCID ID:0000-0002-4954-9956)[1,2*]

Seth D. Newsome[1]

Elizabeth J. Beckman[1,2,3]

Andrea C. Chavez[1,2,4]

Spencer C. Galen[5]

Emil Bautista[6]

Christopher C. Witt[1,2*]

[1.] Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

[2.] Museum of Southwestern Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM

87131, USA

[3.] Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA

[4.] Bureau of Land Management, Rio Puerco District Office, Albuquerque, NM 87109,

USA

[5.] Sackler Institute for Comparative Genomics, American Museum of Natural History,

New York, NY 10024, USA

[6.] Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad (CORBIDI), Urbanización Huertos de San

Antonio, Surco, Lima, Perú

* Authors of correspondence (cgadek@unm.edu, cwitt@unm.edu)

**Abstract**

Most tropical bird species have narrow elevational ranges, likely reflecting climatic

specialization. This is consistent with Janzen's Rule, the tendency for mountain passes

to be effectively 'higher' in the tropics. Hence, those few tropical species that occur

across broad elevational gradients raise questions. Are they being sundered by

diversifying selection along the gradient? Does elevational movement cause them to

resist diversification or specialization? Have they recently expanded, suggesting that

elevational generalism is short-lived in geological time? Here we tested for

differentiation, movement, and expansion in four elevational generalist songbird species

on the Andean west slope. We used morphology and mtDNA to test for genetic

differentiation between high- and low-elevation populations. Morphology differed for

House Wren (*Troglodytes aedon*) and Hooded Siskin (*Spinus magellanicus*), but not for

Cinereous Conebill (*Conirostrum* cinereum) and Rufous-collared Sparrow (*Zonotrichia

capensis*), respectively. mtDNA was structured by elevation only in *Z. capensis*. To test

for elevational movements, we measured hydrogen isotope ($\delta^2$H) values of

metabolically inert feathers and metabolically active liver. $\delta^2$H data indicated elevational

movements by two tree- and shrub-foraging species with moderate-to-high vagility (*C.

cinereum* and *S. magellanicus*), and sedentary behavior by two terrestrial-foraging

species with low-to-moderate vagility (*T. aedon* and *Z. capensis*). In *S. magellanicus*,

elevational movements and lack of mtDNA structure contrast with striking morphological

divergence, suggesting strong diversifying selection on body proportions across the ~50

km gradient. All species except *C. cinereum* exhibited mtDNA-haplotype variation

consistent with recent population expansion across the elevational gradient. In sum,

three out of four elevational generalist species underwent genetic divergence despite

gene flow, two of four make seasonal elevational movements, and three of four have

recently expanded. In different ways, each species defies the tendency for tropical birds

to have long-term stable distributions and sedentary habits. We conclude that tropical

elevational generalism is rare due to evolutionary instability.

**Keywords:** elevational range limits, elevational migration, stable isotopes, divergence

with gene flow, Andean birds.

**Introduction**

Elevational gradients cause profound eco-climatic variation across short distances. As a result, mountains are important hotspots of biodiversity (e.g. Sanders 2002, Grytnes and Vetaas 2002, McCain 2003) and drivers of diversification (e.g. Ribas et al. 2007, Freeman 2015, Galen et al. 2015, Benham and Witt 2016, Bertrand et al. 2016). As elevation increases, organisms must cope with reduced temperature, humidity, air density, and partial pressure of oxygen ($PO_2$), and increased exposure to UV radiation. The seasonally stable climatic gradients imposed by tropical mountains have been implicated in global latitudinal biodiversity gradients via Janzen's Rule (Janzen 1967). Janzen's Rule holds that mountain passes are effectively 'higher' in the tropics because seasonal thermal stability on tropical slopes has led to elevational specialization and discouraged dispersal across elevations. With increased specialization and reduced dispersal, tropical mountains promote allopatric diversification. As a result, tropical species should have narrower elevational ranges than temperate ones. Although empirical support for that prediction is mixed (McCain 2009), narrow elevational distributions are the predominant pattern for tropical montane landbirds, including songbirds (Terborgh 1971, Parker et al. 1996, Jankowski et al. 2013).

The tendency for Andean songbird species to have narrow elevational ranges is strong, as evidenced by their average elevational range breadth of only ~1250 m on a habitable elevational gradient spanning >5000 m (Parker et al. 1996). These narrow elevational distributions reflect firmly established elevational limits to species distributions. However, a small number of species defy this pattern, particularly on the west slope of the Andes. Among tropical Andean songbirds, ~4% of species inhabit

elevational ranges broader than 3000 m (Parker et al. 1996) and represent exceptions

to Janzen's Rule because they encounter a broad range of climatic conditions. We offer

three non-mutually exclusive explanations for the existence of these broad elevational

ranges at tropical latitudes. The first explanation is that genetic fit with the environment

is facilitated by cryptic population genetic structure (Milá et al. 2009, 2010); such

structure can be either genome-wide or limited to functional loci that may be subject to

natural selection that is strong enough to overcome the homogenizing effects of gene

flow. A second possible explanation is that individuals make elevational movements to

track resources; such movement could prevent specialization by inhibiting spatially

variable selection and the isolation of subpopulations along an elevational gradient. A

third possible explanation is that population expansion across elevational gradients

occurs periodically, but the resulting mismatch between genes and environment leads

to subsequent specialization via range contraction or genetic differentiation. Tests of

these mechanisms could help explain the rarity of tropical elevational generalism.

Several studies suggest that bird species can diversify along elevational

gradients (McCormack and Smith 2008, Cheviron and Brumfield 2009, Milá et al. 2009,

McCormack and Berg 2010, Galen et al. 2015). Whether this diversification can lead to

speciation without cessation of gene flow is uncertain, but it is theoretically possible

(Hua 2016). While hypoxia, cold temperatures, and high UV exposure associated with

high elevations are known to cause rapid evolutionary emergence of novel phenotypes

(e.g. Beall et al. 2010, Simonson et al. 2010, Galen et al. 2015), homogenizing gene

flow between high and low populations is expected to inhibit functional divergence and

speciation (Rundle and Nosil 2005). Differentiation with gene flow has been shown

under some circumstances (e.g. Kirkpatrick and Barton 1997, Milá et al. 2009,

Gutiérrez-Pinto et al. 2014, Benham and Witt 2016), but gene flow generally limits the

extent of local adaptation. For example, Benham and Witt (2016) found that the degree

of hummingbird bill size differentiation across a climatic gradient was constrained where

habitats were contiguous. For sedentary elevational generalists, selection that varies

along elevational gradients should lead to differentiation between high- and low-

elevation populations at functional loci (Storz and Kelly 2008, Natarajan et al. 2015).

The latter process can lead to speciation if functional alleles have pleiotropic effects on

reproductive isolation (Hua 2016). Discontiguous habitat along an elevational gradient

could facilitate functional and neutral divergence via isolation. Alternatively, elevational

movements could directly hinder such divergence.

Elevational migration comprises short-distance movements to track elevation-

specific resource pulses that are important for reproduction (Loiselle and Blake 1991,

Johnson and Maclean 1994, Boyle 2017). It has been documented in numerous animal

species (Hunt et al. 1999, McGuire and Boyle 2013, Voigt et al. 2013), particularly birds

(Loiselle and Blake 1991, Chaves-Campos et al. 2003, Hobson et al. 2003, Boyle 2010,

Newsome et al. 2015, Villegas et al. 2016). If elevational generalist species undertake

seasonal movements, they may be able to track resource pulses or temperature niches

(Boyle 2017), but individuals would also experience variable air density, $PO_2$, and UV

radiation that vary predictably with elevation during all seasons (West 1996). These

individual movements would reduce the spatial variability of selection and facilitate gene

flow that inhibits elevational divergence (Arguedas and Parker 2000). Despite the

dramatic elevational gradients of the New World tropics, previous studies in the region

have found limited evidence of elevational migration, and most elevational movements

that have been documented are small in magnitude (Hobson et al. 2003, Boyle 2010,

Hardesty and Fraser 2010, Boyle et al. 2010, Villegas et al. 2016). In contrast, partial or

full elevational migration may be more common at temperate and subtropical latitudes in

the Andes (e.g., Newsome et al. (2015)). Remarkably, the frequency and extent of

elevational migration for most tropical Andean bird species remains unstudied,

especially in small-bodied passerine species for which satellite-tracking technologies

have yet to be applied.

Hydrogen isotope ($\delta^2$H) values of bird tissues can be used to characterize

latitudinal and elevational movements (Hobson 1999, Bowen et al. 2005). The $\delta^2$H of

precipitation varies predictably with respect to a variety of physicochemical processes

(Dansgaard 1964, Estep and Dabrowski 1980, Estep 1981, Rubenstein and Hobson

2004). As water vapor rises on the windward side of a mountain range, it cools and

condenses, and water containing the heavier isotope of hydrogen (deuterium) is the first

to condense. This produces a systematic relationship between elevation and $\delta^2$H of

local precipitation, resulting in lapse rates of 4 − 8‰ per 100 m (Poage 2001). $\delta^2$H

values of primary producers reflect local precipitation, and consumers integrate $\delta^2$H

values of food and water such that their tissues have $\delta^2$H that is higher than their food

but lower than their water (Estep and Dabrowski 1980, Hobson et al. 1999, Birchall et

al. 2005, Wolf et al. 2013). A few studies have utilized the elevational lapse rate in the

$\delta^2$H of precipitation to assess elevational movements (Hobson et al. 2003, Hardesty and

Fraser 2010, Newsome et al. 2015). Most $\delta^2$H-based studies have analyzed feathers, a

metabolically inert tissue that records ecological information only during molt, which

may only last a few weeks (Hobson et al. 2003, Pérez and Hobson 2007, Wunder 2012,

Hobson et al. 2012). More recently, a multi-tissue approach comparing $\delta^2$H values of

metabolically active tissues (i.e. blood, muscle, liver) with metabolically inert feathers

offers the potential to reveal utilization of high versus low elevation resources during

different periods of the annual life cycle. (Mazerolle and Hobson 2005, Hardesty and

Fraser 2010, Newsome et al. 2015, Villegas et al. 2016).

Here we test our explanations for broad elevational distributions in four tropical

songbird species: Cinereous Conebill (*Conirostrum. Cinereum),* Hooded Siskin (*Spinus*

*magellanicus),* House Wren (*Troglodytes aedon*), and Rufous-collared Sparrow

(*Zonotrichia capensis*). We used morphological and genetic data to test for genetic

differentiation and signals of expansion along the gradient. We examined $\delta^2$H in

metabolically inert (feathers) and active (liver) tissues to test for elevational movements

in species with varying foraging strata and dispersal abilities. Our analyses suggest

elevational movement in *C. cinereum* and *S. magellanicus*, genetic differentiation in *S.*

*magellanicus*, *T. aedon*, and *Z. capensis*, and recent demographic expansion in all

species except *C. cinereum*. These patterns of elevational movement and diversification

are consistent, in part, with all three proposed explanations for the relative rarity of

tropical elevational generalists.

**Methods**.

**Morphometric analyses**

To test for genetic differentiation across the elevational range at the loci underlying

functional morphological traits, we compared sizes of four traits. For four focal species,

*C. cinereum*, *S. magellanicus*, *T. aedon*, and *Z. capensis*, we compared morphological

measurements between populations at high (>3000 m) and low (<1000 m) elevations.

We measured culmen, wing chord, tail, and tarsus from museum specimens listed in

Appendix 5. We used PCA to visualize the morphometric data, and MANOVA or non-

parametric Kruskal-Wallace tests to compare high and low groups.

To help interpret the results of this study, we assessed relative flight capabilities

of our four study species. To do so, we compared relative flight muscle mass and hand-

wing index, measures that are known to correlate with flight ability and dispersal

propensity (Kipp 1959, Dawideit et al. 2009, Burney and Brumfield 2009, Claramunt et

al. 2012, Wright et al. 2014, 2016).

**mtDNA population differentiation**

To test for mtDNA differentiation across the elevational range, we analyzed published

(Cheviron and Brumfield 2009, Galen and Witt 2014) and original mtDNA sequence

data from high-elevation (>3000 m) and low-elevation (<1000 m) specimens listed in

Appendix 7. We tested for elevational population genetic structure by estimating Fst and

$\Phi$ st between elevational zones using Arlequin v3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010).

**Stable isotope measurements**

To test for elevational movements, we used mass spectrometry to measure $\delta^2$H from

liver, contour feathers, and secondary flight feathers from museum specimens of our

four focal taxa that were collected over the last decade on the west slope of the Andes

in central Peru (Appendix 4). Technical details are described in Supplementary Material,

Appendix 1.

**$\delta^2$H hypothetical framework**

We sought to exploit the ubiquitous trend of decreasing precipitation $\delta^2$H with increasing

elevation (Poage 2001, Gonfiantini et al. 2001) to test for short distance elevational

migration (Hobson et al. 2003, Newsome et al. 2015, Villegas et al. 2016). While few

precipitation $\delta^2$H datasets exist for the west slope of the Peruvian Andes (IAEA/WMO

2015), elevational $\delta^2$H lapse rates for other Andean regions range from 4 to 8‰ per

100m (Niewodniczanski et al. 1981, Rozanski and Araguás-Araguás 1995, Araguás-

Araguás et al. 1998, Poage 2001). Our study system on the west slope of the Peruvian

Andes is influenced, at low elevations, by $^2$H-enriched fog (Scholl et al. 2010) coupled

with sporadic precipitation, and at higher elevations by $^2$H-depleted precipitation

resulting from a combination of temperature-dependent fractionation and Rayleigh

distillation (Dansgaard 1964, Poage 2001). These disparate isotopic inputs likely

produce elevational lapse rates in precipitation $\delta^2$H (4–8‰ per 100m) that are

comparable to those reported by Poage and Chamberlain (2001). Yet, seasonal

changes in precipitation $\delta^2$H that are of equal or greater magnitude than elevational

variation in $\delta^2$H may obscure expected elevational trends in $\delta^2$H of metabolically active

bird tissue (Gonfiantini et al. 2001, IAEA/WMO 2015, Villegas et al. 2016).

To account for seasonal variation in precipitation $\delta^2$H values across the west

slope of the Peruvian Andes, we used precipitation $\delta^2$H data collected from 2006–2008

in Marcapomacocha, Peru (~4400m) from the Global Network of Isotopes in

Precipitation (GNIP) (IAEA/WMO, 2015). Marcapomacocha, at the top of the transect

where most of our specimens were collected (Fig. S1), is the only site on the west slope

of the Peruvian Andes for which multiple years of monthly measurements of

precipitation $\delta^2$H values exist. We used monthly mean precipitation $\delta^2$H values ($\delta^2$H$_{month}$)

obtained from the Marcapomacocha to account for effects of seasonal fluctuations in

precipitation $\delta^2$H in our model of elevational effects on $\delta^2$H of metabolically active bird

liver tissue. The dearth of available data on precipitation $\delta^2$H at other elevations requires

us to assume that seasonal fluctuations in precipitation $\delta^2$H occur similarly across

elevations.

Differences in migratory behavior among elevational-generalist songbird species

will be reflected in how their metabolically inert versus active tissues differentially

integrate elevational versus seasonal trends in local precipitation $\delta^2$H. Feather tissues

are grown over the course of a few weeks, typically during the dry season (June to

August; Fig. S2), after which they become metabolically inert. Thus, $\delta^2$H$_{feather}$ should not

be influenced by the date of sampling, which is captured in our models by $\delta^2$H$_{month}$.

$\delta^2$H$_{feather}$ values are expected to vary with elevation of capture in sedentary birds, but not

in migratory ones that often will have shifted in elevation between the date of molt and

the date of sampling. Conversely, liver tissue is metabolically active so $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ should

reflect both seasonal ($\delta^2$H$_{month}$) and elevational variation in precipitation $\delta^2$H, regardless

of whether the bird is sedentary or migratory. Thus, regardless of the date on which a

bird was collected, liver $\delta^2$H values should be predicted in part by seasonal fluctuations

in precipitation $\delta^2$H, which are reflected in our models as $\delta^2$H$_{month}$.

Elevational movements of individual birds are predicted to influence the

relationship between tissue $\delta^2$H and elevation. If elevational migration occurs, we expect

that any correlation between $\delta^2$H and elevation of capture would be diminished in

metabolically inert tissues, and potentially also in metabolically active ones. Because

elevational migrant species are less likely to have grown feathers at the elevation of

capture, we expect $\delta^2$H values for inert feathers to lack a trend with elevation of capture.

**$\delta^2$H statistical analyses**

We compared intra- and interspecific $\delta^2$H$_{tissue}$ values using ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis

or Wilcoxon Rank-Sum tests where applicable. To test for elevational and seasonal

effects on $\delta^2$H we evaluated sets of linear models for each species and tissue type

using AIC$_c$. For $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$, we compared models containing all possible combinations of the

intercept and three continuous predictor variables: elevation, precipitation $\delta^2$H$_{month}$ for

the sampling date, and latitude. For $\delta^2$H$_{feather}$ we excluded models that included

precipitation $\delta^2$H$_{month}$ because metabolically inert tissues should be independent of

precipitation-$\delta^2$H at the date of capture. We included latitude as a potentially

confounding variable, but we did not consider models with latitude as the sole predictor

variable. Furthermore, we excluded models that performed worse by AIC$_c$ than a nested

version of the same model (Arnold 2010).

**mtDNA test of recent population expansion**

To test for recent population expansion, we used DnaSP v5 (Librado and Rozas 2009)

to estimate Tajima's D (Tajima 1996), Fu's F (Fu 1997), and we used mismatch

distributions to evaluate the distribution of pairwise divergence between individuals in a

population. Using the mismatch distributions, we calculated the raggedness index (r),

with raggedness expected to be elevated under a stable population relative to an

expanding one (Harpending 1994). We inferred population expansion when both

Tajima's D and Fu's F were significantly negative and there was no significant

raggedness.

Detailed methods are reported in the Supplementary Material, Appendix 1.

**Results**

**Precipitation $\delta^2$H**

GNIP data (IAEA/WMO 2015) revealed striking seasonal variation for the

Marcapomacocha GNIP site (Fig. S1). Mean $\delta$2H$_{month}$ was -117±33‰ during the wet

season (Oct–May) and -40±19‰ during the dry season (June –Sep). The large

(~100‰) difference between precipitation $\delta^2$H in the wet season and dry season (Fig.

S1) represents a confounding factor that requires careful consideration when attempting

to interpret $\delta^2$H values of metabolically active tissues collected along an elevational

gradient.

**Tissue $\delta^2$H**

Comparisons of linear models to explain tissue $\delta^2$H values are reported in Table 1.

Neither latitude nor elevation explained variation in feather-$\delta^2$H for *C. cinereum* or *S.*

*magellanicus*. In contrast, top models for both feather types of *T. aedon* included

elevation of capture as the sole predictor variable, although only $\delta^2$H$_{contour}$ values were

significantly negatively correlated with elevation of collection (*t value*: -2.67, *P* = 0.01;

Fig. 1).  Similarly, top models for *Z. capensis* feather-$\delta^2$H included only elevation of

capture as a predictor variable, and both $\delta^2$H$_{contour}$ (*t value*: -2.96, *P* < 0.01) and

$\delta^2$H$_{secondary}$ (*t value*: -3.99, *P* < 0.001) were significantly negatively correlated with

elevation of capture (Fig. 1; Table 1).

$\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ varied significantly among species (*F value*: 18.59, DF = 3, *P* < 0.001),

with values for the two insectivorous species (*C. cinereum* and *T. aedon*) significantly

higher than those of the two granivorous species (*S. magellanicus* and *Z. capensis*). For

all four species, the best models with $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ as a response variable included $\delta^2$H$_{month}$ as

a predictor variable (Table 1)*. C. cinereum* $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ values were positively correlated with

$\delta^2$H$_{month}$ (*F*: 4.6*,* DF = 37, *P* = 0.04) (Fig. 2). *S. magellanicus* $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ values were

positively correlated with $\delta^2$H$_{month}$ (*F*: 11.6, DF = 16, *P* < 0.001), latitude (*F:* 11.6, DF =

16, *P* = 0.01), and negatively correlated with elevation (*F:* 11.6, DF = 16, *P* = 0.01) (Fig.

1). *T. aedon* $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ values were positively correlated with $\delta^2$H$_{month}$ (*F:* 6.7, DF = 26, *P* <

0.001). *Z. capensis* $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ values were positively correlated with $\delta^2$H$_{month}$ (*F:* 16.1, DF =

27, *P* < 0.01) (Fig. 2), negatively correlated with elevation of capture (*F:* 16.1, DF = 27,

*P* < 0.001) (Fig. 1), and negatively correlated with latitude of capture (*F:* 16.1, DF = 27,

*P* < 0.01).

Comparisons of $\delta^2$H values among tissue types are reported in Supplementary

Table S2. Interspecific comparisons of $\delta^2$H$_{feather}$ values are reported in supplementary

Table S3.

**Morphometric comparisons**

Mean morphometric measurements (culmen, tail, tarsus, and wing chord) are reported

for low (< 1000 m) and high (> 3000 m) elevational bins for each species in Table S4.

Wing length differed between elevations for *S. magellanicus* ($\chi^2$: 22.2, DF = 1, *P* =

<0.001); tail length differed between elevations for *T. aedon* (*F*: 6.7, DF = 1, *P* = 0.02)

(Table S4). We found no measurement differences between elevational groups for *C.*

*cinereum* or *Z. capensis*. The principal component analysis illustrates the overall

findings of morphological differentiation in *S. magellanicus* and *T. aedon*, but not in the

other two species (Fig. 3).

Hand-wing-index differed in all pairwise comparisons between species except

those of *Z. capensis* with *C. cinereum* and *T. aedon*, respectively (Fig. S4). Those data

indicate highest vagility in *S. magellanicus*, followed by *C. cinereum*, *Z. capensis*, and *T.*

*aedon*. Species variation in flight muscle mass (corrected for body size) was consistent

with the latter finding (Fig. S4). Flight muscle mass differed between species in all

comparisons except the one between *Z. capensis* and *C. cinereum*.

**mtDNA structure and demography**

Fst and $\Phi$st statistics were only significantly non-zero for the comparison between high

(n = 31) and low elevation (n = 29) groups of *Z. capensis* (Table 2). Raggedness of

mismatch distributions was not significant for any of the four species (Table 2, Fig. 4),

which is consistent with the null hypothesis of recent demographic expansion. Tajima's

*D* and Fu's *F* statistics were significantly negative, suggesting recent demographic

expansion for *S. magellanicus*, *T. aedon*, and *Z. capensis*, but not for *C. cinereum*

(Table 2, Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Elevational movement, elevational genetic differentiation, and evidence of recent

population expansion occur to varying degrees in our four study taxa, suggesting that

each may play a role in causing exceptions to Janzen's Rule. Tissue $\delta^2$H patterns

associated with elevational movement were observed in two species (*C. cinereum* and

*S. magellanicus*) that had no mtDNA population structure, only one of which (*S.*

*magellanicus*) showed morphological differentiation. Two other species showed isotopic

patterns associated with sedentary habits; one of those species exhibited mtDNA

population structure (*Z. capensis*) while the other exhibited morphological differentiation

(*T. aedon*). Three out of the four focal species showed signs of recent population

expansion by all three indices tested. In the following sections, we examine tissue $\delta^2$H

patterns associated with elevational movement and we consider how differing

environmental processes might obscure or maintain these patterns. We delineate

subcategories of elevational generalists (sedentary and migratory) to illustrate potential

evolutionary consequences of short distance migration along environmental gradients.

**Tissue $\delta^2$H patterns**

Variation in *T. aedon* and *Z. capensis* feather $\delta^2$H with elevation of capture (Fig.

1) conforms with expected elevational patterns in precipitation $\delta^2$H, which suggests

these species are generally sedentary. Both species are terrestrial foragers with

morphological characteristics indicating low to moderate vagility (Table S1). For both

species, the best linear models to explain variation in $\delta^2$H$_{contour}$ and $\delta^2$H$_{secondary}$ included

only elevation as a predictor variable (Table 1). This indicates that individuals of these

species had molted at or near the elevation of capture. It further suggests that the

season of molt was consistent among individuals such that the elevational signal was

not overwhelmed by seasonal fluctuations in precipitation $\delta^2$H. For the other two

species, *S. magellanicus* and *C. cinereum*, elevation did not explain variation in feather

$\delta^2$H, suggesting that individuals of those species underwent elevational movements

between the time of molt and the time of sampling. A plausible alternative explanation

would be that the season of molt is more variable among individuals of the latter two

species, but there is no evidence for that in our molt data (Fig. S2), so we favor the

conclusion that *S. magellanicus* and *C. cinereum* are elevational migrants.

Unlike feather $\delta^2$H values, $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ integrate seasonal variation in precipitation $\delta^2$H

because this tissue is metabolically active and has a rapid isotopic incorporation rate,

integrating ecological information over 1–2 weeks prior to capture for an endotherm the

size of a songbird (Martínez del Rio et al. 2009, Wolf et al. 2009). $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ showed

significant positive associations with $\delta^2$H$_{month}$ for all species (Fig. 2; Table 1). Elevation

of capture was included in the best models for $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ for all species except *C. cinereum*

(Table 1), though elevation was significant only for *Z. capensis* (Fig. 1). It is possible

that the lack of elevational trend in $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ for *C. cinereum* might have occurred due to

elevational movements within the weeks before sampling, but the generally modest

relationship between elevation and $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ may have other causes (see below).

Any seasonal variation in precipitation $\delta^2$H that was not captured by our temporal

index ($\delta^2$H$_{month}$) may have dampened the expected elevational trends in $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$. $\delta^2$H$_{month}$

provided an index of seasonal flux in precipitation $\delta^2$H that was derived from three years

(2006-2008) of data at a single high elevation site, Marcapomacocha (~4400 meters). It

is possible that the Marcapomacocha data poorly represented seasonal fluctuations in

precipitation $\delta^2$H at other elevations or in other years. Thus, future studies would greatly

benefit from the collection of additional precipitation $\delta^2$H data along Andean elevation

gradients. Additional problems with interpretation of our models could have occurred if

sampling during the wet or dry season were concentrated at high or low elevation;

however, we consider these potential sources of bias unlikely to have driven our results

because our $\delta^2$H data came from specimens that were collected across the entire

elevational gradient during both wet and dry periods for all species (Fig. 1, Fig. 2).

**Morphological and genetic differentiation**

The division between sedentary and migratory modes of elevational generalism

reflected in tissue $\delta^2$H patterns is likely mirrored in flight capabilities. Depending on

foraging strategies and local ecologies, sedentary birds are predicted to be less vagile

than their migratory counterparts, traits that should be reflected in the flight apparatus

(flight muscle size and hand wing index; Fig. S4) and foraging stratum (Table S1).

Larger flight muscle mass, higher hand wing index, and less terrestrial foraging ecology

in *C. cinereum* and *S. magellanicus* relative to *T. aedon* and *Z. capensis* generally

support this dichotomy, although there was some overlap in hand-wing index in *Z.*

*capensis–T. aedon*/*C. cinereum* comparisons (Fig. S4) and flight muscle size between

*C. cinereum* and *Z. capensis* (Table S1; Fig. S4).

Differences in sedentary and elevational-migratory habits should be further

reflected in their respective levels of within-species population-genetic structure. Given

enough time, we expect sedentary elevational generalists to have developed genetic

structure between high- and low-elevation populations. Conversely, migratory behavior

in elevational generalists should maintain or enhance gene flow, effectively washing out

any incipient population structure. We expect that tests for population level

differentiation within this two-mode framework will provide insight into the ecologies and

evolutionary trajectories of bird species that are elevational generalists.

Our morphological tests showed significant differentiation between high and low

elevation *T. aedon* in tail length (Fig. 3 and S5). A trend of larger appendages at higher

elevations has been previously reported in another Andean bird, the Torrent Duck

(Gutiérrez-Pinto et al. 2014). The morphometric disparity, in combination with our $\delta^2$H

data, is in agreement with our hypothesis regarding the link between sedentary habit

and elevational genetic differentiation. In contrast, *C. cinereum* exhibited no

differentiation between high- and low-elevation specimens in the four characters we

measured. This lack of differentiation is consistent with our predictions for an elevational

generalist that is also an elevational migrant. *S. magellanicus* showed significant

morphological differentiation in wing chord length between high- and low-elevation

specimens. This morphological population structure conflicts with an isotopic pattern

indicating elevational movement. The larger wing-chord sizes at high elevation could be

the result of selection on wing-size that was strong enough to overcome gene flow

(Smith et al. 2004, Gutiérrez-Pinto et al. 2014, Benham and Witt 2016). An alternative

possibility is that the traits we measured exhibit high levels of phenotypic plasticity in

response to elevation-specific pressures, but we consider this possibility to be unlikely.

Phenotypically plastic traits could produce similar elevational patterns in the absence of

genetic population structure by changes in gene expression alone (Przybylo et al. 2000,

Cheviron et al. 2008), but there is evidence that morphometric traits remain highly

heritable despite this possibility (Boag 1983, Keller et al. 2001).

Population structure can persist locally along contiguous elevational distributions,

effectively selecting against unfit immigrants (Cheviron and Brumfield 2009, Cheviron et

al. 2014). Yet, if average dispersal distances are large, these clines are unlikely to form.

To test for genetic differentiation, we analyzed *ND2* or *ND3* mtDNA sequence data from

all four species. Fst and $\Phi$st values confirmed previously reported population structure

between high and low elevation *Z. capensis*, corroborating a sedentary habit for this

species (Fig. 4) (Cheviron and Brumfield 2009). Fst and $\Phi$st values were not significant

for *T. aedon*, which was somewhat surprising considering the sedentary lifestyle

suggested by our isotopic and morphometric data. Analysis of $\beta$-hemoglobin gene

variation in *T. aedon* across the same elevational transect studied here found

substantial  elevational population structure (Galen et al. 2015). mtDNA analyzed here

was unstructured with respect to elevation, as were the vast majority of nuclear protein-

coding genes analyzed by Galen et al. (2015). As in *T. aedon*, mtDNA sequence data

from *C. cinereum* and the *S. magellanicus* showed no population structure between

high- and low-elevation groups.

Signals of recent demographic expansions, as indicated by mismatch

distributions, Tajima's D, and Fu's F test statistics (Table 2, Fig. 4) were present in three

of the four focal species (*S. magellanicus*, *T. aedon,* and *Z. capensis*)*. These

demographic expansions, if accompanied by expansions of the elevational range,

potentially explain exceptions to Janzen's Rule (1967). Considering the physical

landscape of the western Andes, this expansion likely originated in high elevation

environments that are diverse and productive relative to dry coastal zones that are

depauperate and may have fewer competitors.  Published phylogenies for tanagers

(including *C. cinereum*) (Burns et al. 2014), siskins (including *S. magellanicus*)

(Beckman and Witt 2015), South American *T. aedon* populations (Galen and Witt 2014,

Galen et al. 2015) and *Z. capensis* populations (Lougheed et al. 2013) are all consistent

with montane origins and subsequent, downslope range expansions in western Peru.

As a caveat, it should be noted that false inference of population expansion from

mtDNA haplotype frequency spectra can be caused by other demographic events, such

as selective sweeps (Fay and Wu 2000, Wakeley and Aliacar 2001, Przeworski 2002).

Moreover, the high prevalence of apparent range expansions among these elevational

generalists contrasts with previous findings for Andean cloud-forest specialist species;

haplotype frequency spectra consistent with population expansion were found in only a

small fraction of subpopulations for *Thamnophilus caerulescens* (Brumfield 2005),

*Metallura tyrianthina* (Benham et al. 2015, Benham and Witt 2016), and *Premnoplex*

*brunnescens* (Valderrama et al. 2014). Two species of brush-finches (*Buarremon*) that

are restricted to mid-elevations appear to have undergone recent expansions, but the

evidence was considered to be equivocal (Cadena 2007).

Our mtDNA data provides insights into the timing of the inferred range

expansions. Fossil-calibrated divergence rates such as the oft-used 2% per million

years (Lovette 2004, Weir and Schluter 2008) are known to overestimate the ages of

recent events (Arbogast et al. 2002, Ho et al. 2015). Therefore, we used a pedigree-

based substitution rate ($3.13 \times 10^{-7}$ mutations/site/year) derived from chicken mtDNA

genomes to estimate dates of population expansion (Alexander et al. 2015). Applying

this rate to our mtDNA data, we estimated expansion to have occurred ~3.5 Kya (*T.*

*aedon*) to ~34 Kya (*S. magellanicus*).

**Possible anthropological influence on expansion**

Considering that our estimates of the timing of population expansion are as

recent as ~3.5 Kya, it is possible that these expansions may have coincided with human

activity in this region. The lower west slope of the central Peruvian Andes is one of the

driest places on Earth, with very limited natural bird habitats away from the immediate

vicinity of rivers and streams sourced from the high Andes. Isolated patches of 'lomas'

vegetation that depend on water from persistent coastal fog comprise one exception

(Rundel and Dillon 1998). With the exception of lomas patches, the expansion of bird

habitats away from rivers would have occurred only recently, following the

implementation of sophisticated irrigation systems by the Paracas people, which also

occurred ~3.5 Kya (Hesse and Baade 2009). Regardless of whether it directly caused

signals of expansion in our genetic data, the expansion of bird populations spurred by

water diversion and irrigation on formerly arid land should be considered likely.

Currently, *T. aedon* and *Z. capensis,* though widespread in undeveloped areas, are

facultative human commensalists (Ruiz et al. 2002, Newhouse et al. 2008). Whether or

not agricultural development facilitated expansion to lower and dryer portions of the

western Andean slopes, our findings of recent expansion, individual movements, and

ongoing diversification indicate that evolutionary instability is inherent to broad

elevational ranges, at least for tropical songbird species.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank the UNM Center for Stable Isotopes (Z. Sharp, V. Autedori), M. Baumann, P.

Benham, L. Burkemper, R. Dickerman, S. DuBay, A. Johnson, J. Noble, J. A. Otero, C.

G. Schmitt C. J. Schmitt, D. Schmitt, T. Valqui, and N. Wright. Funding included NSF

DEB-1146491.

**References.**

Alexander, M. et al. 2015. Mitogenomic analysis of a 50-generation chicken pedigree
    reveals a rapid rate of mitochondrial evolution and evidence for paternal mtDNA
    inheritance. - Biology letters 11: 20150561.

Araguás-Araguás, L. et al. 1998. Stable isotope composition of precipitation over
    southeast Asia. - J. Geophys. Res. 103: 28721–28742.

Arbogast, B. S. et al. 2002. Estimating Divergence Times from Molecular Data on
    Phylogenetic and Population Genetic Timescales. - Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 33: 707–
    740.

Arguedas, N. and Parker, P. G. 2000. Seasonal migration and genetic population
    structure in house wrens. - Condor 102: 517.

Arnold, T. W. 2010. Uninformative Parameters and Model Selection Using
    Akaike's Information Criterion. - The Journal of Wildlife Management 74:
    1175–1178.

Beall, C. M. et al. 2010. Natural selection on EPAS1 (HIF2alpha) associated with low

hemoglobin concentration in Tibetan highlanders. - Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.

107: 11459–11464.

Beckman, E. J. and Witt, C. C. 2015. Phylogeny and biogeography of the New World

siskins and goldfinches: rapid, recent diversification in the Central Andes. - Mol.

Phylogenet. Evol. 87: 28–45.

Benham, P. M. and Witt, C. C. 2016. The dual role of Andean topography in primary

divergence: functional and neutral variation among populations of the hummingbird,

Metallura tyrianthina. - bmc evol 16: 22.

Benham, P. M. et al. 2015. Biogeography of the Andean metaltail hummingbirds:

contrasting evolutionary histories of tree line and habitat-generalist clades. - Journal

of Biogeography 42: 763–777.

Bertrand, J. A. M. et al. 2016. The role of selection and historical factors in driving

population differentiation along an elevational gradient in an island bird. - J. Evol.

Biol. 29: 824–836.

Birchall, J. et al. 2005. Hydrogen isotope ratios in animal body protein reflect trophic

level. - Journal of Animal Ecology 74: 877–881.

Boag, P. T. 1983. The Heritability of External Morphology in Darwin's Ground Finches

(Geospiza) on Isla Daphne Major, Galapagos. - Evolution 37: 877.

Bowen, G. J. et al. 2005. Global application of stable hydrogen and oxygen isotopes to

wildlife forensics. - Oecologia 143: 337–348.

Boyle, W. A. 2010. Does food abundance explain altitudinal migration in a tropical

frugivorous bird? - Canadian Journal of Zoology 88: 204–213.

Boyle, W. A. 2017. Altitudinal bird migration in North America. - The Auk 134: 443–465.

Boyle, W. A. et al. 2010. Storms drive altitudinal migration in a tropical bird. -

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 277: 2511–

2519.

Brumfield, R. T. 2005. Mitochondrial variation in Bolivian populations of the Variable

Antshrike (Thamnophilus caerulescens). - The Auk 122: 414.

Burney, C. W. and Brumfield, R. T. 2009. Ecology Predicts Levels of Genetic

Differentiation in Neotropical Birds. - The American Naturalist 174: 358–368.

Burns, K. J. et al. 2014. Phylogenetics and diversification of tanagers (Passeriformes:

Thraupidae), the largest radiation of Neotropical songbirds. - Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.

75: 41–77.

Cadena, C. D. 2007. Testing the role of interspecific competition in the evolutionary

origin of elevational zonation: an example with Buarremon brush-finches (Aves,

Emberizidae) in the neotropical mountains. - Evolution 61: 1120–1136.

Chaves-Campos, J. et al. 2003. Altitudinal movements and conservation of Bare-

necked Umbrellabird <span class="italic">Cephalopterus

glabricollis</span> of the Tilarán Mountains, Costa Rica. - Bird Con. Int. 13:

45–58.

Cheviron, Z. A. and Brumfield, R. T. 2009. Migration-selection balance and local

adaptation of mitochondrial haplotypes in rufous-collared sparrows (*Zonotrichia*

*capensis*) along an elevational gradient. - Evolution 63: 1593–1605.

Cheviron, Z. A. et al. 2014. Integrating evolutionary and functional tests of adaptive

hypotheses: a case study of altitudinal differentiation in hemoglobin function in an

Andean sparrow, Zonotrichia capensis. - Molecular Biology and Evolution 31: 2948–

2962.

Cheviron, Z. A. et al. 2008. Transcriptomic variation and plasticity in rufous-collared

sparrows ( Zonotrichia capensis) along an altitudinal gradient. - Molecular ecology

17: 4556–4569.

Claramunt, S. et al. 2012. High dispersal ability inhibits speciation in a continental

radiation of passerine birds. - Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B:

Biological Sciences 279: 1567–1574.

Dansgaard, W. 1964. Stable isotopes in precipitation. - Tellus 16: 436–468.

Dawideit, B. A. et al. 2009. Ecomorphological predictors of natal dispersal distances in

birds. - Journal of Animal Ecology 78: 388–395.

Estep, M. F. 1981. Hydrogen Isotope Ratios of Mouse Tissues Are Influenced by a

Variety of Factors Other Than Diet. - Science 214: 1375–1376.

Estep, M. F. and Dabrowski, H. 1980. Tracing Food Webs with Stable Hydrogen

Isotopes. - Science 209: 1537–1538.

Excoffier, L. and Lischer, H. E. L. 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs

to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. - Mol Ecol

Resour 10: 564–567.

Fay, J. C. and Wu, C. I. 2000. Hitchhiking under positive Darwinian selection. - Genetics

155: 1405–1413.

Freeman, B. G. 2015. Competitive Interactions upon Secondary Contact Drive

Elevational Divergence in Tropical Birds. - The American Naturalist 186: 470–479.

Fu, Y. X. 1997. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth,

hitchhiking and background selection. - Genetics 147: 915–925.

Galen, S. C. and Witt, C. C. 2014. Diverse avian malaria and other haemosporidian

parasites in Andean house wrens: evidence for regional co-diversification by host-

switching. 45: 374–386.

Galen, S. C. et al. 2015. Contribution of a mutational hot spot to hemoglobin adaptation

in high-altitude Andean house wrens. - Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112: 13958–

13963.

Gonfiantini, R. et al. 2001. The altitude effect on the isotopic composition of tropical

rains. - Chemical Geology 181: 147–167.

Grytnes, J. A. and Vetaas, O. R. 2002. Species richness and altitude: a comparison

between null models and interpolated plant species richness along the Himalayan

altitudinal gradient, Nepal. - The American Naturalist 159: 294–304.

Gutiérrez-Pinto, N. et al. 2014. The validity of ecogeographical rules is context-

dependent: testing for Bergmann"s and Allen"s rules by latitude and elevation in a

widespread Andean duck. - Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 111: 850–862.

Hardesty, J. L. and Fraser, K. C. 2010. Using deuterium to examine altitudinal migration

by Andean birds. - Journal of Field Ornithology 81: 83–91.

Harpending, H. C. 1994. Signature of ancient population growth in a low-resolution

mitochondrial DNA mismatch distribution. - Hum. Biol. 66: 591–600.

Hesse, R. and Baade, J. 2009. Irrigation agriculture and the sedimentary record in the

Palpa Valley, southern Peru. - CATENA 77: 119–129.

Ho, S. et al. 2015. Time- dependent estimates of molecular evolutionary rates: evidence

and causes. - Molecular ecology in press.

Hobson, K. A. 1999. Tracing origins and migration of wildlife using stable isotopes: a

review. - Oecologia 120: 314–326.

Hobson, K. A. et al. 1999. Influence of drinking water and diet on the stable-hydrogen

isotope ratios of animal tissues. - Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

96: 8003–8006.

Hobson, K. A. et al. 2012. Linking Hydrogen (δ2H) Isotopes in Feathers and

Precipitation: Sources of Variance and Consequences for Assignment to Isoscapes

(RM Brigham, Ed.). - PloS one 7: e35137.

Hobson, K. A. et al. 2003. Stable isotopes as indicators of altitudinal distributions and

movements in an Ecuadorean hummingbird community. - Oecologia 136: 302–308.

Hua, X. 2016. The impact of seasonality on niche breadth, distribution range and

species richness: a theoretical exploration of Janzen's hypothesis. - Proceedings of

the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 283: 20160349.

Hunt, J. H. et al. 1999. Dry Season Migration by Costa Rican Lowland Paper Wasps to

High Elevation Cold Dormancy Sites. - Biotropica 31: 192.

IAEA/WMO 2015. Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation. The GNIP Database.

Accessible at: http://www.iaea.org/water.

Jankowski, J. E. et al. 2013. Exploring the role of physiology and biotic interactions in

determining elevational ranges of tropical animals. - … in press.

Janzen, D. H. 1967. Why Mountain Passes are Higher in the Tropics. - The American

Naturalist 101: 233–249.

Johnson, D. N. and Maclean, G. L. 1994. Altitudinal migration in Natal. - Ostrich 65: 86–

94.

Keller, L. F. et al. 2001. Heritability of morphological traits in Darwin's Finches:

misidentified paternity and maternal effects. - Heredity (Edinb) 87: 325–336.

Kipp, F. A. 1959. Der Handflügel-Index als flugbiologisches Maß. - Vogelwarte.

Kirkpatrick, M. and Barton, N. H. 1997. Evolution of a species' range. - The American

Naturalist 150: 1–23.

Librado, P. and Rozas, J. 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of
DNA polymorphism data. - Bioinformatics 25: 1451–1452.

Loiselle, B. A. and Blake, J. G. 1991. Temporal Variation in Birds and Fruits Along an
Elevational Gradient in Costa Rica. - Ecology 72: 180–193.

Lougheed, S. C. et al. 2013. Continental phylogeography of an ecologically and
morphologically diverse Neotropical songbird, Zonotrichia capensis. - bmc evol 13:
58.

Lovette, I. J. 2004. Mitochondrial dating and mixed support for the "2% rule" in birds. -
The Auk 121: 1.

Martínez del Rio, C. et al. 2009. Isotopic ecology ten years after a call for more
laboratory experiments. - Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 84: 91–111.

Mazerolle, D. F. and Hobson, K. A. 2005. Estimating origins of short-distance migrant
songbirds in north america: contrasting inferences from hydrogen isotope
measurements of feathers, claws, and blood. - Condor 107: 280.

McCain, C. M. 2003. The mid-domain effect applied to elevational gradients: species
richness of small mammals in Costa Rica. - Journal of Biogeography 31: 19–31.

McCain, C. M. 2009. Vertebrate range sizes indicate that mountains may be "higher" in
the tropics. - Ecol Lett 12: 550–560.

McCormack, J. E. and Berg, E. C. 2010. Small-scale Divergence in Egg Color along an
Elevation Gradient in the Mexican Jay ( Aphelocoma ultramarina): A Condition-

dependent Response? - The Auk 127: 35–43.

McCormack, J. E. and Smith, T. B. 2008. Niche expansion leads to small-scale adaptive

divergence along an elevation gradient in a medium-sized passerine bird. -

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 275: 2155–

2164.

McGuire, L. P. and Boyle, W. A. 2013. Altitudinal migration in bats: evidence, patterns,

and drivers. - Biol Rev 88: 767–786.

Milá, B. et al. 2010. The geographic scale of diversification on islands: genetic and

morphological divergence at a very small spatial scale in the Mascarene grey white-

eye (Aves: Zosterops borbonicus ). - bmc evol 10: 158.

Milá, B. et al. 2009. Divergence with gene flow and fine-scale phylogeographical

structure in the wedge-billed woodcreeper, Glyphorynchus spirurus, a Neotropical

rainforest bird. - Molecular ecology 18: 2979–2995.

Natarajan, C. et al. 2015. Intraspecific Polymorphism, Interspecific Divergence, and the

Origins of Function-Altering Mutations in Deer Mouse Hemoglobin. - Molecular

Biology and Evolution 32: 978–997.

Newhouse, M. J. et al. 2008. Reproductive Success of House Wrens in Suburban and

Rural Landscapes. - The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 120: 99–104.

Newsome, S. D. et al. 2015. Multi-tissue δ 2H analysis reveals altitudinal migration and

tissue-specific discrimination patterns in Cinclodes. - Ecosphere 6: art213.

Niewodniczanski, J. et al. 1981. The Altitude Effect on the Isotopic Composition of Snow

in High Mountains. - Journal of Glaciology 27: 99–111.

Parker, T. A., III et al. 1996. Ecological and distributional databases for Neotropical

birds.

Pérez, G. E. and Hobson, K. A. 2007. Feather deuterium measurements reveal origins

of migratory western loggerhead shrikes (Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides)

wintering in Mexico. - Diversity Distrib. 13: 166–171.

Poage, M. A. 2001. Empirical relationships between elevation and the stable isotope

composition of precipitation and surface waters: considerations for studies of

paleoelevation change. - American Journal of Science 301: 1–15.

Przeworski, M. 2002. The signature of positive selection at randomly chosen loci. -

Genetics 160: 1179–1189.

Przybylo, R. et al. 2000. Climatic effects on breeding and morphology: evidence for

phenotypic plasticity. - Journal of Animal Ecology 69: 395–403.

Ribas, C. C. et al. 2007. The assembly of montane biotas: linking Andean tectonics and

climatic oscillations to independent regimes of diversification in Pionus parrots. -

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 274: 2399–

2408.

Rozanski, K. and Araguás-Araguás, L. 1995. Spatial and temporal variability of stable

isotope composition of precipitation over the South American continent. - Bull Inst fr

etudes andines 24: 379–390.

Rubenstein, D. R. and Hobson, K. A. 2004. From birds to butterflies: animal movement

patterns and stable isotopes. - Trends in Ecology & Evolution 19: 256–263.

Ruiz, G. et al. 2002. Hematological parameters and stress index in rufous-collared

sparrows dwelling in urban environments. - Condor 104: 162.

Rundel, P. W. and Dillon, M. O. 1998. Ecological patterns in theBromeliaceae of the

lomas formations of Coastal Chile and Peru. - Pl Syst Evol 212: 261–278.

Rundle, H. D. and Nosil, P. 2005. Ecological speciation. - Ecol Lett 8: 336–352.

Sanders, N. J. 2002. Elevational gradients in ant species richness: area, geometry, and

Rapoport's rule. - Ecography 25: 25–32.

Scholl, M. et al. 2010. Understanding the role of fog in forest hydrology: stable isotopes

as tools for determining input and partitioning of cloud water in montane forests (LA

Bruijnzeel and FN Scatena, Eds.). - Hydrol. Process. 25: 353–366.

Simonson, T. S. et al. 2010. Genetic Evidence for High-Altitude Adaptation in Tibet. -

Science 329: 72–75.

Smith, T. B. et al. 2004. Testing alternative mechanisms of evolutionary divergence in

an African rain forest passerine bird. - J. Evol. Biol. 18: 257–268.

Storz, J. F. and Kelly, J. K. 2008. Effects of spatially varying selection on nucleotide

diversity and linkage disequilibrium: insights from deer mouse globin genes. -

Genetics 180: 367–379.

Tajima, F. 1996. The amount of DNA polymorphism maintained in a finite population

when the neutral mutation rate varies among sites. - Genetics 143: 1457–1465.

Terborgh, J. 1971. Distribution on Environmental Gradients: Theory and a Preliminary

Interpretation of Distributional Patterns in the Avifauna of the Cordillera Vilcabamba,

Peru. - Ecology 52: 23–40.

Valderrama, E. et al. 2014. The influence of the complex topography and dynamic

history of the montane Neotropics on the evolutionary differentiation of a cloud

forest bird ( Premnoplex brunnescens, Furnariidae) (M Patten, Ed.). - Journal of

Biogeography 41: 1533–1546.

Villegas, M. et al. 2016. Seasonal patterns in delta H-2 values of multiple tissues from

Andean birds provide insights into elevational migration. - Ecol Appl 26: 2381–2387.

Voigt, C. C. et al. 2013. The third dimension of bat migration: evidence for elevational

movements of Miniopterus natalensis along the slopes of Mount Kilimanjaro. -

Oecologia 174: 751–764.

Wakeley, J. and Aliacar, N. 2001. Gene genealogies in a metapopulation. - Genetics

159: 893–905.

Weir, J. T. and Schluter, D. 2008. Calibrating the avian molecular clock. - Molecular

ecology 17: 2321–2328.

West, J. B. 1996. Prediction of barometric pressures at high altitude with the use of

model atmospheres. - Journal of Applied Physiology 81: 1850–1854.

Wolf, N. et al. 2009. Ten years of experimental animal isotopic ecology. - Functional

Ecology 23: 17–26.

Wolf, N. et al. 2013. The relationship between drinking water and the hydrogen and

oxygen stable isotope values of tissues in Japanese Quail ( Cortunix japonica). -

The Auk 130: 323–330.

Wright, N. A. et al. 2014. Metabolic "engines" of flight drive genome size reduction in

birds. - Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 281:

20132780–20132780.

Wright, N. A. et al. 2016. Predictable evolution toward flightlessness in volant island

birds. - Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 113: 4765–4770.

Wunder, M. B. 2012. Determining geographic patterns of migration and dispersal using

stable isotopes in keratins. - J. Mammal. 93: 381–389.

Supplementary material (Appendix EXXXXX at <www.oikosoffice.lu.se/appendix>).

Appendix 1–8.

**Table 1.** Comparison of models to explain $\delta^2H_{tissus}$ values as a function of elevation

(elev), seasonal variation in precipitation $\delta^2H$ ($\delta^2H_{month}$), and latitude (lat) for each of the

four-focal species. $^2H_{month}$ was excluded from comparisons for $\delta^2H_{feather}$ values (dark

gray boxes). All combinations of predictor variables were tested against $\delta^2H_{liver}$. Models

that scored lower than nested versions of themselves were removed, following Arnold

(2010). For each species and tissue type, models with lowest $AIC_c$, $\Delta AIC_c$ of 0, and

highest weight are bolded.

| species | model | $\delta^2H_{contour}$ | | | $\delta^2H_{secondary}$ | | | $\delta^2H_{liver}$ | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | $AIC_c$ | $\Delta AIC_c$ | weight | $AIC_c$ | $\Delta AIC_c$ | weight | $AIC_c$ | $\Delta AIC_c$ | weight |
| *C. cinereum* | ~ 1 | **299.83** | **0.00** | **1.00** | **322.68** | **0.00** | **1.00** | 328.89 | 2.24 | 0.25 |
| | ~ elev | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ elev + lat | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ $\delta^2H_{month}$ | | | | | | | **326.66** | **0.00** | **0.75** |
| | ~ elev + $\delta^2H_{month}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ $\delta^2H_{month}$ + lat | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ elev + $\delta^2H_{month}$ + lat | | | | | | | | | |
| *S. magellanicus* | ~ 1 | **188.59** | **0.00** | **1.00** | **176.93** | **0.00** | **1.00** | 182.08 | 14.34 | 0.00 |
| | ~ elev | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ elev + lat | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ $\delta^2H_{month}$ | | | | | | | 174.54 | 6.80 | 0.03 |
| | ~ elev + $\delta^2H_{month}$ | | | | | | | 172.62 | 4.87 | 0.07 |
| | ~ $\delta^2H_{month}$ + lat | | | | | | | 172.05 | 4.30 | 0.09 |
| | ~ elev + $\delta^2H_{month}$ + lat | | | | | | | **167.74** | **0.00** | **0.81** |
| *T. aedon* | ~ 1 | 249.30 | 4.76 | 0.08 | 257.72 | 0.90 | 0.39 | 275.11 | 9.15 | 0.00 |
| | ~ elev | **244.55** | **0.00** | **0.92** | **256.83** | **0.00** | **0.61** | | | |
| | ~ elev + lat | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ $\delta^2H_{month}$ | | | | | | | 266.23 | 0.27 | 0.31 |
| | ~ elev + $\delta^2H_{month}$ | | | | | | | 266.03 | 0.07 | 0.34 |
| | ~ $\delta^2H_{month}$ + lat | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ elev + $\delta^2H_{month}$ + lat | | | | | | | **265.95** | **0.00** | **0.35** |
| *Z. capensis* | ~ 1 | 272.86 | 5.72 | 0.05 | 264.56 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 264.71 | 23.80 | 0.00 |
| | ~ elev | **267.14** | **0.00** | **0.95** | **253.45** | **0.00** | **1.00** | 250.44 | 9.53 | 0.01 |
| | ~ elev + lat | | | | | | | 248.86 | 7.95 | 0.02 |
| | ~ $\delta^2H_{month}$ | | | | | | | 264.35 | 23.44 | 0.00 |
| | ~ elev + $\delta^2H_{month}$ | | | | | | | 249.16 | 8.25 | 0.02 |
| | ~ $\delta^2H_{month}$ + lat | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ elev + $\delta^2H_{month}$ + lat | | | | | | | **240.91** | **0.00** | **0.96** |

**Table 2.** Summary of mtDNA analyses by species for low (<1000 m) and high (>3000 m) elevation specimens.

Haplotypes (N H-Type), proportion of polymorphic sites (P-site), nucleotide diversity (π), Tajima's D (D), Fu's F (F),

raggedness (r), Fst and ɸst are reported. Significant values accompanied by asterisks.

| Species | N | N H-type | P-site (n/total) | π | D | F | r | Fst | ɸst |
|---------|---|----------|------------------|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|
| *Conirostrum cinereum* | High=15 Low=15 | 12 | 0.012 (11/892) | 0.0024 | -0.322 ns | -3.19 ns | 0.04 ns p=0.10 | 0.01 ns | -0.003 ns |
| *Spinus magellanicus* | High=20 Low=11 | 8 | 0.027 (13/475) | 0.0020 | -2.37 ** | -4.37 ** | 0.17 ns p=0.40 | -0.02 ns | -0.007 ns |
| *Troglodytes aedon* | High=22 Low=18 | 23 | 0.032 (29/918) | 0.0023 | -2.30 ** | -21.91 *** | 0.04 ns p=0.10 | -0.0004 ns | 0.015 ns |
| *Zonotrichia capensis* | High=31 Low=29 | 15 | 0.044 (17/384) | 0.0025 | -2.21 ** | -12.87 ** | 0.09 ns p=0.15 | 0.17 *** | 0.18 *** |

**Figure 1.** $\delta^2$H values contour feather (green), secondary flight feather (blue), and liver

(red) plotted against elevation of capture for *C. cinereum*, *S. magellanicus*, *T. aedon*,

and *Z. capensis*; sample sizes for each species are reported in Table 2; statistics for

linear relationships are provided in Table 3. Best-fit lines are shown for linear

regressions that are significant at $p < 0.05$ and gray bands represent 95% confidence

intervals.

**Figure 2.** $\delta^2$H$_{liver}$ plotted against 2006–2008 monthly mean precipitation $\delta^2$H ($\delta^2$H$_{month}$)

values from Marcapomacocha, Peru (IAEA/WMO, 2015); sample sizes for each species

are reported in Table 2. Best-fit lines are shown for linear regressions that are

significant at $p < 0.05$. Gray bands represent 95% confidence intervals.

**Figure 3.** Principal component analyses of four morphological measurements: bill

length, tarsus, wing chord, and tail length in millimeters for *C. cinereum* (n = 21), *S.*

*magellanicus* (n = 34), *T. aedon* (n = 22), and *Z. capensis* (n = 11), grouped into (red)

high elevation (>3000m) and (blue) low elevation (<1000m).

**Figure 4.** Mismatch distributions obtained from mtDNA loci (*ND2* or ND3) of high

(>3000 m) and low (<1000 m) elevation specimens of *C. cinereum, S. magellanicus, T.*

*aedon*, and *Z. capensis*. Haplotype networks colored by elevation group: high elevation

(red), low elevation (blue) accompany each mismatch distribution. Double-asterisks

indicate that both Tajima's D and Fu's F tests for population expansion, based on

haplotype frequency spectra, were significant for that species.

**Figure 1.**

811 **Figure 2.**

**Figure 3.**

**Figure 4.**