1 # De novo organelle biogenesis in the cyanobacterium TDX16

2 ## released from the green alga *Haematococcus pluvialis*

4 Qing-lin Dong*, Xiang-ying Xing, Yang Han, Xiao-lin Wei, Shuo, Zhang

6 Department of Bioengineering, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin 300130, China

7 *Correspondence: qldong@hebut.edu.cn

Abstract

It is generally accepted that eukaryotic cell arises from prokaryotic cell, which means that organelle can be formed in prokaryotic cell. However, no such an instance has been detected till now. Here, we report organelle biogenesis in the endosymbiotic cyanobacterium TDX16 released from the green alga *Haematococcus pluvialis*, which occurred through six steps. (1) An inner intracytoplasmic membrane (IIM), an outer intracytoplasmic membrane (OIM) and an intervening peptidoglycan-like layer (PL) were synthesized by merging cytoplasmic membrane (CM)-derived thick margin vesicles, which partitioned the thylakoid-less cytoplasm into three compartments: an inner cytoplasm (ICP), an outer cytoplasm (OCP) and a sandwiched intracytoplasmic space (IS). (2) Osmiophilic granules that blistered from CM, OIM and IIM developed into primary thylakoids (PT) in ICP; while OCP disappeared and thus OIM and CM combined into a double-membraned cytoplasmic envelope (CE). (3) ICP decondensed; IIM and PT disassembled into tiny vesicles (TV) and double-membraned vesicles (DMV) respectively. Such that DNA fibers (DF) aggregated and migrated to PL. (4) TV fused into a double-membraned intracytoplasmic envelope (ICE), which re-compartmentalized the coalesced IS and ICP into a new intracytoplasmic space (NS) sequestering most DF and a new inner cytoplasm (NIC) with only few DF. Then ribosomes were formed in both NS and NIC, while DMV opened and extended into secondary thylakoids (ST) only in NIC. (5) NIC developed into the primitive chloroplast (PC) surrounded by ICE, in which ST disassembled, while ST-derived plastoglobuli developed into primitive eukaryotic thylakoids (PMT). After PL dismantled, the matrix of NS was concentrated and encased with the membranes synthesized from ICE-derived dotted vesicles into the primitive nucleus (PN). So, NS vanished, CE wrapped PC and PN. Outside CE, eukaryotic cell wall was formed by assembling sheath at the outer membrane of original cell wall and modifying the peptidoglycan layer. (6) Eukaryotic cytoplasm was built up from the matrix extruded from PN. Mitochondria were assembled in and segregated from PC by encapsulating a portion of stroma with the membranes synthesized from PMT-derived dense-margined vesicles. Then, most mitochondria turned into double-membraned vacuoles after matrix degradation, which mediated unconventional exocytosis and endocytosis. When this process finished, PN got matured into a nucleus enclosed by two sets of envelopes; PC matured into a chloroplast with its PMT maturing into thylakoids. Consequently, the prokaryotic TDX16 cell developed into a eukaryotic cell (TDX16-DE). Results of pigment analysis, 16S rRNA and genome sequencing revealed that TDX16 is a phycocyanin-containing cyanobacterium resembling *Chroococcidiopsis thermali*, which had acquired 10301 genes form its host, while TDX16-DE is a green alga, whose chloroplast contains chlorophyll b and lutein showing high similarity to that of *Chlorella vulgaris*. Therefore, the mechanism underlying organelle biogenesis in TDX16 was the integration and expression of the obtained genes. Taken together, these results demonstrated that an endosymbiotic prokaryote can develop into a new eukaryote by acquisition and recombination of its eukaryotic host's DNA, which has profound effects on biology, particularly cell and evolutionary biology.

Key words: De novo organelle biogenesis; endosymbiotic cyanobacterium; *Haematococcus pluvialis*

1. Introduction

All cells are structurally categorized into two groups: eukaryotic cell and prokaryotic cell. Eukaryotic cell contains membrane-bounded compartments called organelles, in which distinct reactions take place. So, organelle biogenesis is essential for cell maintenance and proliferation, which was thought to be achieved only by fission of the preexisting one. Nonetheless, recent studies demonstrated that organelles in the secretory pathway can be formed de novo, including Golgi apparatus (Rossanese et al., 1999; Bevis et al., 2002; Glick 2002; Tängemo et al. 2010; Abiodun and Matsuoka 2013), peroxisomes (South and Gould 1999; Hoepfner et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2006; Rucktäschel et al., 2007; Motley and Hettema 2007; Huber et al., 2012; Opalińsk et al., 2012; van der Zand et al., 2012; Sugiura et al., 2017; Hettema and Gould 2017); lysosomes (Kornfeld and Mellman 1989; Blott and Griffiths 2002; Liu et al., 2005; Saftig and Klumperman 2009; Luzio et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Perera and Zoncu 2016) and vacuoles (Berjak 1972; Marty 1978; Herman et al., 1994; Hoh et al., 1995; Olbrich et al., 2007; Viotti et al., 2013). These results imply that, provided with the information encoded in the genetic material and the machinery needed to interpret this, the cell can produce the organelle with no information in the form of a template or copy of the organelle (Lowe and Barr 2007).

In sharp contrast to eukaryotic cell, prokaryotic cell has no organelle. It is widely accepted that eukaryotic cell originates from prokaryotic cell, which means that organelle can be formed in the latter. Paradoxically, however, no instance of organelle biogenesis in prokaryotic cell had been detected. In this case, it has to be assumed that organelle biogenesis in prokaryotic cell occurred only once, during which the first nucleus was formed in an ancient prokaryotic cell, giving rise to the ancestor of eukaryotic cell. Nevertheless, there is another possibility: organelle biogenesis in prokaryotic cell occurred quickly in a short time resulting in the sudden transition of a prokaryotic cell into a eukaryotic cell, and thus was hard to capture.

In the previous study we found unexpectedly that the necrotic cells of unicellular green alga *Haematococcus pluvialis* burst and liberated countless small blue cyanobacterial cells (TDX16) in the adverse conditions of high temperature and low irradiance (Dong et al., 2011). TDX16 was light-sensitive, which was relatively stable in the dim light, but turned readily into small green *Chlorella*-like algal cell as light intensity elevated (Dong et al., 2011). The time required for TDX16's transition was short and negatively related to the light intensity, which was about 10 days at 60 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}. However, light above 60 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} was lethal, causing the disruption of TDX16 cell.

TDX16's incredible change was puzzling, which prompted us to investigate if, how and why organelles were formed in its prokaryotic cell. The present study unveiled the biogenesis of

92 chloroplast, nucleus, mitochondrion and vacuole as well as other eukaryotic structures within the
93 thylakoid-less TDX16 cell, owing to the integration and expression of the acquired genes.

2. Results

2.1 Unusual structure and variable nature of TDX16

Under low light condition, TDX16 cells were always surrounded by thick sheaths (extracellular
matrix) and further enclosed within the sporangia (Fig.1-2), showing some similarities to the
endospores (baeocytes) of *Chroococcidiopsis* (Waterbury and Stanier, 1978; Büdel and Rhiel 1985;
Caiola et al., 1993; Caiola et al., 1996; Billi et al., 2000). Most unusually, cells in the same or
different sporangia remained at different developmental states with some different inclusions
(Fig.1-2). As shown in Fig.1, three different-sized cells (endospores) in a multilayered sporangium
were all devoid of thylakoid, but contained one or two membrane-limited heterogenous globular
bodies (HGB), and a varied number of cyanobacterial inclusions, including carboxysomes
(polyhedral bodies, CX) (Shively et al., 1973; Yeates et al., 2008), polyphosphate bodies (PB)
(Allen 1984), osmiophilic granules (lipid globules/bodies, OG) (Lang 1968). HGB contained
DNA-like electron-dense granules and filaments and always situated in the nucleoids (NU), where
DNA fibers (DF) (Robinow and Kellenberger 1994; Eltsov and Zuber 2006) and ribosomes (RB)
(Meene et al., 2006) scattered. The important difference among these cells was that some small
swirly and rod-shaped electron-transparent vesicles (EV) were being developed in the left large
cell. Similarly, in another five-cells-containing sporangium (Fig.2), the bottom cell contained only
OG, while many large different-shaped EV were being formed in the three middle cells, and
several thylakoid-like structures were built up in the upper cell.
It was evident that EV developed from OG, because (1) OG presented in the small EV, some
of them were, however, not in the section plane and thus invisible; (2) as EV enlarged, OG turned
into ring-shaped vesicles (RV) after their dense matrixes degraded into to opaque and finally
transparent materials (Fig.1-2). OG contained triacylglycerol and tocopherol (Peramuna and
Summers 2014), while its exact composition and structure was as yet unknown. There was a
general consensus that OG in cyanobacterial cell was comparable to plastoglobuli (PG) in algal
and plant chloroplasts (Lang, 1968; Brown and Bisalputra 1969; Findley, 1970; Bréhélin et al.,
2007), which contained lipids, enzymes, proteins e.g., IM30/ Vipp1 protein (vesicle-inducing
protein in plastids 1) and carotenoids, and structurally consisted of a monolayer lipid membrane
(half-unit membrane) and a neutral lipid core (Hansmann and Sitte 1982; Smith et al. 2000; Austin
et al., 2006; Ytterberg et al., 2006; Vidi etal., 2006; Bréhélin and Kessler 2008; Lichtenthaler 2013;
Davidi et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the formation of RV in EV indicated that OG had two

monolayer lipid membranes, which most likely encased a hydrophilic "protein core", while the intermembrane space was filled with neutral lipids. So, as the intermembrane space dilated owing to the synthesis of low-density lipids or the like, the outer monolayer membrane bulged and gave rise to an EV; while the interior monolayer membrane and the protein core remained as a monolayer membrane-bounded OG, which subsequently transformed into a RV after the protein core was metabolized or degraded for membrane-remodeling (Fig.1-2).

The above results demonstrated that unlike the genuine thylakoid-less cyanobacterium *Gloeobacter violaceus* (Rippka et al., 1974), TDX16 was hypersensitive to light and highly variable in nature, whose anomalous change could not be inhibited completely even in the dim light. Therefore, it was not surprising that when grew in high light regime, TDX16 changed fundamentally in cell structure and pigmentation which were described in the following sections.

2.2 Compartmentalization and biogenesis of primary thylakoids

2.2.1 Synthesis of intracytoplasmic membranes and peptidoglycan-like layer

Under high light intensity, TDX16 with surrounded sheath escaped from the ruptured sporangium and changed rapidly in structures and inclusions (Fig.3A). PB and CX disappeared, HGB became nearly empty leaving only few DNA-like fibrils and electron-dense margin residues; while a startling number of OG and stacks of membranous elements emerged, and many small EV were being developed (Fig.3A).

The most striking change was, however, the compartmentalization of cytoplasm that was enclosed by the cytoplasmic membrane (CM) (Fig.3A). TDX16's cell wall, like those of other cyanobacteria and gram-negative bacteria (Edwards, et al., 1968; Glauert and Thornley 1969; Hobot, 1984; Beveridge, 1999; Hoiczyk and Hansel 2000; Liberton et al., 2006), comprised an outer membrane (OM) and an electron-dense peptidoglycan layer (P), which was separated from CM by an electron-transparent extracytoplasmic (periplasmic) space (ES) (Fig.3A). Inside the cytoplasm, two intracytoplasmic membranes and an intervening peptidoglycan-like layer (PL) were being synthesized, which initiated from a start point and extended parallel to CM (Fig.3A). As a result, the cytoplasm was partitioned into three compartments: (1) the inner cytoplasm (ICP) delimited by the inner intracytoplasmic membrane (IIM), (2) the outer cytoplasm (OCP) of variable thickness bounded by the outer intracytoplasmic membrane (OIM) and CM, and (3) a sandwiched intracytoplasmic space (IS) that was further separated by PL into an outer intracytoplasmic space (OIS) and an inner intracytoplasmic space (IIS) (Fig.3A). It was important that OCP began to reduce or degrade in localized regions near the start point, such that OIM got

closed to but not connected with CM (Fig.3A). Compartmentalization also commenced in some

cells growing in the low light just as the formation of EV (Fig.1-2). In all these cases, there was no

continuity between the intracytoplasmic membranes and CM, and thus the formers were not

developed by invagination of the latter. Instead, OIM, IIM and PL were synthesized synchronously

by fusion of the small thick margin vesicles (TMV) blistered form the inner leaflet of CM

(Fig.3A), which occurred in two probable ways: (1) if TMV were delimited by a half-unit

membrane, they first released their contents for synthesizing the septal PL and then fused

alternately on its two sides; (2) if TMV were limited by a unit membrane, as they fused one

another, the septal PL was synthesized within the coalesced vesicles, a scenario somewhat similar

to the formation of cell plate during cytokinesis (Samuels et al., 1995).

167 2.2.2 Production of OG

Concurrent with compartmentalization, plentiful OG of various sizes blistered from the inner and

outer leaflets CM (Fig.3A), such a scenario was also observed in the cells growing under low light

condition but the numbers of OG were limited (Fig.1-2). More importantly, some small OG also

budded from the inner leaflet of OIM and outer leaflet of IIM (Fig. 3A). These results suggested

that the newly formed OIM and IIM were comparable or equivalent to the multifunctional CM, on

which both photosynthesis and respiration performed just like the case of the cytoplasmic

membrane of *Gloeobacter violaceus* (Rippka et al., 1974; Rexroth et al., 2001), and many

metabolites were synthesized as were the cases in the cytoplasmic membranes of all cyanobacteria.

These metabolites included (1) peptidoglycan (Egan et al., 2016), phospholipid and lipid

intermediates (Osborn et al., 1972); (2) chlorophyll precursors (Peschek et al., 1989) and

carotenoid (Bullerjahn and Sherman, 1986); (3) photosystem core complexes (Smith and Howe

1993; Zak et al., 2001; Keren et al., 2005) and (4) IM30/ Vipp1 protein (Li et al., 1994; Westphal

et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2002; Srivastava et al., 2006) that was proposed to be involved in

genesis of thylakoid membranes (Li et al., 1994; Westphal et al., 2001; Aseeva et al., 2007), or

assembly of photosystems (Gao and Xu 2009; Zhang et al., 2014).

OG was formed by protrusion of the bilayer of CM, OIM and IIM as they were enclosed by

two half-unit membranes (Fig.1-2) and apparently played different roles. OG blistered from the

inner leaflet of CM, OIM and IIM migrated into ICP for development of EV (Fig.3A); while those

shed from the outer leaflet of CM contacted CW, and thus severed as transport conduits or

trafficking vehicles channeling or transferring lipids and carotenoids from CM to the CW (Fig.3A),

because these compounds were the constituents of OM (Resch and Gibson, 1983; Jürgens and

Weckesser 1985; Hoiczyk and Hansel 2000).

2.2.3 Formation of EV and double-membraned cytoplasmic envelope

As OIM, IIM and PL extended (Fig.3B) and ultimately closed up (Fig.3C), the small EV elongated (Fig.3B) and dilated asymmetrically into swirling ones spiraling around NU, and OG began to merge into large ones (Fig.3C). Thereafter HGB disappeared, while new EV were developed within NU and several electron-opaque particles (EOP) were formed (Fig. 3D). In parallel with these changes, the narrow IS (Fig. 3BC) became widened filling with electron-opaque materials (EOM) (Fig. 3D), while OCP disappeared, such that OIM and CM combined into a double-membraned cytoplasmic envelope (CE) (Fig. 3D), which abutted CW owing to the narrowing of ES (Fig.3D). During this process a mass of electron-dense materials were synthesized on CE and transferred to CW for assembling SH, and thus made these structures indistinct (Fig.3D). SH was loosely compacted, made up of flocculent fibrillary materials (FM), microvesicles (MV) and electron-translucent vesicles (ELV) (Fig.3D).

2.2.4 Development of EV into primary thylakoids

The intraluminal RV swelled up into a dilated-ring-shaped vesicle (DRV), whose membrane ultimately met and combined with EV membrane, giving rise to a unit-membrane-bounded combined vesicle (CV); and then CV coalesced into long ones or flattened out into short slender sacs, termed primary thylakoid (PT) (Fig.4A). In this way, EV developed progressively into short PT with opaque matrix, distributing randomly in ICP (Fig.4B). The short PT further extended or merged end-to-end into long PT; while the long coalesced CV flattened out into PT by localized-constriction. And concurrently several cyanophycin granules (CG) (Lang and Fisher 1969; Simon 1973) and EOP were formed (Fig. 4C). Finally, the long PT were adjusted to be parallel-arranged, on which the extrinsic phycobilisomes (PCB) (Gantt and Conti 1969) were assembled (Fig.4D). Compared to other cyanobacterial thylakoids, PT exhibited primordial features: thick margin, wide luminal space and spacing (interthylakoidal distances).

Accompanying PT biogenesis, a number of ELV shed from OM into SH (Fig.4A), and a mass of DNA fibers were synthesized in ICP (Fig.4D). Occasionally, a group of small RV presented in an EV (Fig.4B), which was probably formed during OG fusion (Fig. 4A) and merged later into large one.

2.3 Re-compartmentalization, DNA translocation and repartition, and biogenesis of secondary thylakoids

2.3.1 Disassembly of PT and IIM, decondensation of ICP and DNA translocation

The newly formed PT promoted TDX16's photosynthetic capacity, but were disassembled soon afterwards. As shown in Fig.5A, PT disassembly initiated with the condensation of luminal matrix, such that PT membrane pair was in closely apposition, seeming to be a single membrane with rough margin. In the meantime, ICP decondensed (solubilized) and became translucent, in which short DF dispersed, some less electron-dense materials (LDM), less electron-dense bodies (LDB) and CG were formed (Fig.5A); IIM disassembled into tiny vesicles (TV), so LDM diffused outward, blurring the compacted PL, CE and CW (Fig. 5A). Subsequently, the solubilized ICP was separated into two portions by LDM: in the lower portion, PT broke up into double-layered membrane fragments (DMF, two unit membranes) and in parallel DF aggregated; while in the upper portion, DMF began to curl and merge laterally into double-membraned vesicles (DMV) (Fig.5B). When all DMF disappeared, a crowd of DMV was formed and numerous DF aggregated (Fig.5C). Thereafter, DMV moved outward quickly and attached to PL that was cover by electron-dense materials, while the intermingled DF scattered outward slowly resulting in an "empty" inner space (EIS), at the border of which the recruited TV began to fuse into double-layered membrane segments (DMS) (Fig. 5D).

2.3.2 Re-compartmentalization, DNA reallocation and formation of secondary thylakoids

As DMS coalesced and extended into a double-membraned intracytoplasmic envelope (ICE), the coalesced ICP and IIS was re-compartmentalized into a new inner cytoplasm (NIC) and a new inner intracytoplasmic space (NIS) (Fig.6A). NIC was enclosed by ICE; while NIS represented the space between ICE and PL. Most DF was allocated into NIS, which decondensed into cloudlike materials or aggregated into thick threads; by contrast only few sporadic DF and electron-dense particles (EP) were portioned into NIC (Fig.6A). ICE was not sealed, on the outer leaflet of which, some electron-transparent materials were synthesized (Fig.6A), similar in appearance to the bacterial lipid (Packter and Olukoshi 1995; Alvarez et al., 1996; Kalscheuer et al., 2007). Accompanying ICE expansion, DF in the narrowing NIS decondensed and attached to the thickened PL (Fig.6B); while DMV that were covered by LDM detached from PL, moving inward via ICE opening into NIC or outward through PL pores into OIS (Fig.6B). Therefore, the fenestrated PL served not only as a mechanical and osmotic barrier, but also a platform for anchoring DNA and DMV.

When ICE was sealed, DNA in NIS recondensed into thick DF with concomitant formation

of countless RB (Fig. 6C). Meanwhile, an increased number of DF and a myriad of RB were also

formed in NIC; DMV opened up, retransformed into DMF (Fig. 6C). Thereafter, DMF extended

randomly into spiral thylakoids, which was devoid of PCB and morphologically different from PT,

termed secondary thylakoids (ST) (Fig.6D). Concomitant with the appearance of ST was the

formation of OG and EOB as well as enrichment of DF and RB (Fig.6D). The structures outside of

NIC were fuzzy owing to the diffusion of electron-dense materials: the major portion of PL

dismantled, such that NIS and OIS coalesced into a new intracytoplasmic space (NS), whose

content became the new intracytoplasmic matrix (NX). Aside from DMV, several large

electron-translucent oblong vesicles (OV) and electron-opaque vesicles (EOV) emerged in NS

(Fig.6D).

The viability of the cell indicated that NIC was capable of both photosynthesis and

respiration just like a cyanobacterium. So, ST was equipped with photosynthetic and respiratory

electron transfer chains as are the cyanobacterial thylakoids (Smith and Howe 1993; Cooley and

Vermaas 2001; Lea-Smith et al., 2013; Mullineaux 2014). Whereas, NIC contained only a small

amount of DNA, consequentially most of the proteins it required were synthesized in and imported

from NX. Thus, NIC played the roles of chloroplast and mitochondrion, while NX served dual

functions of nucleus and cytoplasm.

2.4 Biogenesis of primitive chloroplast, eukaryotic cell wall and primitive nucleus

2.4.1 Biogenesis of primitive eukaryotic thylakoids, primitive chloroplast and eukaryotic cell wall

Immediately after the emergence of transitional ST, drastic changes occurred in different

compartments. As shown in Fig.7A, NIC became polarized, in which ST underwent disassembly,

leaving some remnants in the lower region; while parallel arrays of discrete slender sacs with

transparent matrix were being developed in the upper region (Fig.7A). These parallel-arranged

slender sacs were morphologically similar to algal and plant thylakoids, termed the primitive

eukaryotic thylakoids (PMT). Beneath PMT, a nascent pyrenoid (PD) with an incomplete starch

plate (SP) and two starch granules (SG) were formed (Fig.7A), both of which were the

characteristic bodies of green algal chloroplasts (Gibbs, 1962). So, NIC developed into a primitive

chloroplast (PC) delimited by ICE. That was to say, ICE became the chloroplast envelope (CHE).

PMT were developed from PG produced during disassembly of ST (Fig.7A), in a way similar but

not identical to the biogenesis of PT from OG (Fig.4). The absence of mitochondrion implied that

respiration still performed on PMT. Thus, PC was a compound organelle sharing the functions of

chloroplast and mitochondrion.

NS became widened and clear: NX condensed, containing RB and newly assembled

chromatin fibers (CF) (Hay and Revel, 1963; Horowitz et al., 1994); PL and DMV disappeared,

while many small dotted vesicles (DV) blistered from CHE and lined up along CE, some of which

began to fuse and flattened into membrane segments (MS) (Fig.7A). Such a scenario of membrane

synthesis was akin to the assembly of nuclear envelope in vitro or in vivo during open mitosis

(Lohka and Masui 1983; Newport, 1987; Vigers and Lohka 1991; Jian et al., 1994). Furthermore, a

large coated-vesicle-like opaque-periphery vesicle (OPV) was being assembled at CHE, which

appeared to bridge CHE and CE for transferring substances.

The fluffy SH (Fig.6D) was organized into a multilayered one, which adhered to OM and

made the latter hard to discern (Fig.7A). Such that the new stratified SH and CW seemed to be a

continuum (entity), similar in appearance to the cell wall of eukaryotic cell, referred to as the

eukaryotic cell wall (EW). The formation of new SH implied that CE and OM were remodeled,

while the peptidoglycan layer (P) underlying OM turned into an electron-dense layer (EL). There

were a large number of rounded or flattened small vesicles (SV) in the new SH, similar to the

vesicles or 'fleck-like' membrane elements in the algal cell wall (Barton 1965; Meindl et al., 1992).

Since some smaller vesicles (SMV) budded from the outer leaflet of CE (Fig.7A), it was

conceivable that CE incorporated DMV or the like within NS (Fig.6D) for membrane expansion

and then gave rise to SMV; in turn SMV were fused into OM, from which SV shed into the new

SH.

2.4.2 Encapsulation of the concentrated NX into a primitive nucleus

As PG developed progressively into CV and then flattened into PMT with wide luminal space in

the lower PC region, PD got matured, surrounded with a complete SP and bisected by two pairs of

PMT. Hence, PC expanded substantially, occupying most NS (Fig.7B). Subsequently, PMT

coalesced and extended around PD (Fig.7C). The membranes of adjacent PMT were connected,

giving the appearance to be a single membrane. So, PC expanded further and occupied whole NS

in the longitudinally sectioned planes; CHE adhered to CE, from the latter of which a dense

vesicle (DSV) shed off into the widened ES (Fig.7C).Vertical profile (Fig.7D) showed that the

anterior portion of NS disappeared owing to PC expansion, such that NX in the shrunken NS

around the posterior portion of PC was concentrated by squeezing out liquid into ES, at the border

of which MS coalesced into a limiting membrane (LM) (Fig.7D). As PC expansion continued, NX

moved to (Fig. 7E) and finally converged at one side of PC, which was ensheathed by LM and

turned into the primitive nucleus (PN) (Fig. 7F). Consequently, LM became PN envelope (PNE),

NS vanished, and in turn CE shrank and wrapped PC and PN (Fig. 7F).

PN sequestered the concentrated NX containing CF and RB (Fig. 7F) and thus, like PC, was

also a compound organelle functioning as nucleus and eukaryotic cytoplasm; while PNE seemed

to be consisted of four unit membranes, because it was synthesized by coalescence of DV, which

budded from CHE and appeared being bounded by two unite membranes (Fig. 7A). Moreover,

EW was osmotic-sensitive, whose outer layer SH shed frequently during cell fixation (Fig. 7 EF).

This result confirmed that EW was loosely compacted owing, at least partially, to the insertion of

SV and ELV.

2.5 Formation of eukaryotic cytoplasm and biogenesis of mitochondrion

2.5.1 Concurrent formation of eukaryotic cytoplasm and biogenesis of mitochondria

As shown in Fig.8A, a vesicle-containing body (VB), apparently derived from the invaginated PC,

was being engulfed by PN with concomitant formation of a thin layer of electron-dense materials.

PNE was contiguous with CE at the outer side, but separated into two sets of double membraned

envelopes inside PC cavity, the inner and outer sets of which were referred to as nuclear envelope

(NE) and outer nuclear envelope (OE) respectively. This result confirmed that PNE was consisted

of four unit membranes. The thin layer of electron-dense materials was extruded from PN at the

site where NE and OE fused, which contained RB and thus was the nascent eukaryotic cytoplasm

(EM). Concurrent with the formation of EM, a small mitochondrion (M) was being assembled in

PC. After 'digestion' of VB, PN and EM both increased in sizes; and an oval mitochondrion with

characteristic cristae (CR) emerged in the apical dome of the enlarged PC cavity (Fig.8B). NE and

OE were separated by an interenvelope space (IES), but merged at one site resulting in a wide

opening, from which nuclear matrix (i.e. EM) was extruded. RB in EM were larger than those

within the PC stroma (SM), most of which were attached to the organelles (Fig.8B). During this

process, a number of SMV and microfibrils (ML) budded and emanated from CE into ES (Fig.8B).

Concurrent nuclear matrix extrusion and mitochondrion formation were observed in different cells

(Fig. 8CDE), and two spindle-shaped mitochondria were just being assembled in a PC (Fig. 8D).

Occasionally, an intranuclear body (IB) appeared in a PN (Fig.8F), which seemed to be developed

during engulfment and digestion of VB or the like, and play a role in selective extrusion of nuclear

matrix.

2.5.2 Continuous biogenesis of mitochondria after building up EM

After formation of EM, bulk matrix extrusion from PN ceased, so PN got matured into nucleus

(NU); while PC was not the case, in which new mitochondria were developed continuously even

after the emergence of vacuoles (V) with internal vesicle (IV), multilamellar body (MLB), lipid

droplet (LD), and small opaque vesicle (SOV), leading to the distortion of PMT (Fig.9). As shown

in Fig.9A, a small mitochondrion was being developed at the PC edge; while a twisting

dumbbell-shaped mitochondrion was nearly finished, one of its bulbous-end sequestering an

internal body (ITB) was segregated, but another end was contiguous with PC (Fig.9B). Details of

mitochondrion biogenesis were displayed during the assembly of giant mitochondria. As shown in

Fig. 9C, a large unfinished 'L-shaped' mitochondrion was continuous with PC in the region

around its corner point: the inner side envelope of its long arm and the corresponding portion of

CHE, as well as the interior CR were nearly complete; while those of its short arm were just being

synthesized. All these membranes were synthesized by merging the small dense-margined vesicles

(DGV) developed from the segmented PMT (Fig.9C). Consequentially, mitochondria envelope

(ME) and the corresponding portion of CHE were consisted of two unit-membranes; while CR,

like PT, ST and PMT, was delimited by a unit-membrane. Similarly, in another cell a bulky

mitochondrion was undergoing segregation, which was connected with PC on the inner side but a

small mitochondrion on the outer side (Fig.9D). In the inner and outer interfaces, three and two

pairs of contorted membranes were being synthesized respectively by fusion of DGV: among the

three pairs of membranes, the outer and middle ones were the segments of ME and CHE

respectively, while the inner one was likely the envelope of the next mitochondrion that appeared

to be in preparation; likewise the two pairs of membranes were the outer side and inner side ME of

the bulky and small mitochondria respectively (Fig.9D).

The above results demonstrated that mitochondrion was assembled in PC by encapsulating a

selected portion of stroma with the membranes derived from PMT. As the assembly was nearly but

not completely finished, CHE opened up at the ventral side of PC, allowing the detachment of

mitochondrion and then resealed by incorporating CHE segment formed interior to the

mitochondrion. Since mitochondria were always assembled in the ventral side of PC where a large

nucleoid-like structure (NT) situated (Fig.9D), it was possible that mitochondrial DNA was

synthesized in NT and subsequently sorted along with other constituents into mitochondria.

2.6 Biogenesis of vacuoles and degradation of PMT-derived vesicles

2.6.1 Mitochondria turned into vacuoles after matrix-degradation

Following the emergence of new mitochondrion, the opaque matrix of previously formed

mitochondrion began to degrade into transparent material (Fig. 10A), such that the mitochondria

turned into double-membrane-bounded vacuoles (V), containing ITB, IV and remnant CR, or only

electron-transparent matrix (Fig. 10B). In parallel with vacuole biogenesis, a new mitochondrion

was assembled within PC, two LD were developed at CE and a piece of chloroplast debris (CD)

emerged in EM (Fig.10B). Hereafter, most ITB decomposed into electron-dense debris (ED)

(Fig.10C), which was subsequently expelled outside into EM (Fig. 10D); while some ITB

themselves also contained small ITB, so after degradation of their contents, the residual

membranes (Fig. 10D) collected into MLB (Fig, 10 EF), resembling those in plant and animal

cells (Dermaut et al., 2005; Paquet et al., 2013; Fernandez et al., 2013; Doorn et al; 2015).

Degradation of mitochondrial matrix was likely caused by the hydrolases released from CR;

while the enzymes for ITB decomposition were presumably liberated from the space between its

two limiting membranes, similar to the cases proposed for the transition of provacuole or

autophagosome into vacuoles (Buvat and Robert, 1979; Marty 1999; Doorn and Papini, 2013) and

double-membrane-bounded vacuoles (Aubert et al., 1996) in plant cells. Moreover, it was

noteworthy that several cobblestone-shaped DRV appeared in EV (Fig.10F), confirming that PMT

in PC was developed in a way similar to that of PT.

2.6.2 Formation and degradation of PMT-derived vesicles and coalescence of

vacuoles

After vacuoles came into being, some short PMT in PC curled and 'rolled up' into "vesicle within

vesicle" like compound vesicles (CPV) (Fig.11 ABC), which were taken up by vacuoles directly

as they got segregated from PC (Fig. 11C), or after they shed into EM (Fig.11AB). After the

internalized CPV released their contents for degradation, the remaining membranes stacked up

into MLB (Fig. 11DEF). The vacuoles fused with each other by membrane protrusion. As shown

in Fig. 11CD, a vacuole protruded into another one and fused at the contact sites, such that the

membrane protrusion pinched off and became an IV (Fig.11E) or shed as MF (Fig. 11DF). When

PC dwindled to a normal size, no more mitochondria and CPV were produced and all vacuoles

coalesced into a large single one (Fig.11F). Hence, PC got matured into chloroplast (C) and as

such PMT matured into eukaryotic thylakoids (T).

During vacuole biogenesis, cluster of SOV and tubules (TE) resembling the internal vesicle

(body) of multivesicular body (Harding et al., 1983; Pan et al., 1985) was developed most likely

from CD (Fig. 10B; Fig.11E) in the vicinity of nucleus or vacuoles (Fig.9C; Fig.11CDEF). CD

and VB (Fig.8A) were excised directly from PC, the latter of which was engulfed by PN. So, the

content of CD was probably degraded by the enzymes released from nucleus, while the remaining

PMT fragments coiled into SOV, part of which were internalized by vacuole and became IV

(Fig.9D).

417   2.7 Vacuole mediated unconventional exocytosis and endocytosis

418   2.7.1 Vacuole-mediated unconventional exocytosis

Vacuoles came into contact and fused with CE, and then the fused membranes broke up into

fragments resulting in large openings, from which a small quantity (Fig. 10DF; 12A) or a large

amount of vacuolar content and SOV (Fig.12B) were expelled into ES. These expelled SOV were

internalized from the neighboring SOV clusters (Fig.12B), which acted as exosomes (Johnstone et

al., 1987; Théry et al., 2002) and perhaps transferred specific cargos to OM. Undoubtedly, the

exocytotic materials contained proteins for remodeling the surface of CE and OM as well as

thickening EW, which were sourced from PC, but not synthesized on endoplasmic reticulum (ER)

and sorted through Golgi apparatus (GA) as did the normal secreted proteins (Rothman and

Wieland, 1996; Schekman and Orci, 1996; Schatz and Dobberstein 1996). Therefore, the

vacuole-mediated exocytosis was an unconventional (nonclassical) route of protein secretion

(Nickel and Rabouille 2009; Zhang and Schekman, 2013; Ding et al., 2014; Robinson et al., 2016;

Rabouille 2017). During exocytosis, the vacuolar membranes were apparently in vast excess of

that required for cell expansion (Fig. 10DF; Fig.12AB), so the vacuole-mediated unconventional

exocytosis released only a portion of the vacuolar content each time, more or less similar to the

transient-fusion exocytosis (De Toledo et al., 1993) and open and closed exocytosis (Ren et al.,

2016).

435   2.7.2 Vacuole-mediated unconventional endocytosis

The double-membranes of vacuole merged with CE at two distant sites and then invaginated,

resulting in a large invaginated space (IVS), entrapping some electron-dense fibrils (EF) and

globular particles (GP); while CE between the two merged sites disrupted and coiled into

membranous structures (Fig.12C). Upon IVS reaching certain size, the membrane invagination

pinched off into the vacuole lumen and became a large IV, whose content was degraded in situ or

discharged into the vacuole lumen (Fig.12D). In the same way, the nascent vacuole also mediated

small episode of endocytosis (Fig.10C). Evidently, the vacuole-mediated endocytosis was an

unconventional endocytic route and distinct form the conventional endocytosis, where the small

endocytic vesicles passed their contents successively through the early endosome (trans-Golgi
network) and late endosome (multivesicular body or pre-vacuolar compartments) for protein
sorting and finally to the vacuole or lysosome for degradation (Blott and Griffiths 2002; Mayor
and Pagano 2007; Saftig and Klumperman 2009; McMahon and Boucrot 2011; Chen et al., 2011;
Fan et al., 2015). During this unconventional endocytic process, the vacuolar membranes were
insufficient for IV development. Given that MLB presented in the vacuole with small invagination
(Fig. 9D), but disappeared in the vacuole with large invagination Fig. 12C), it was possible that
MLB served as membrane source for developing large IV.

2.8 Structure and reproduction of the TDX16-derived eukaryotic cell

2.8.1 Structure of the TDX16-derived eukaryotic cell

After bulk exocytosis and endocytosis, the large vacuoles disappeared, while the distorted
thylakoids in the chloroplast straightened with narrowed luminal spaces (Fig.13ABC). As a result,
the prokaryotic TDX16 cell (Fig.1-2) developed eventually into a stable eukaryotic cell with
unique structure (Fig.13ABC). The TDX16-derived eukaryotic cell (TDX16-DE cell) was
surrounded by an OM-containing EW, an ES of variable widths and a double-membraned CE,
containing a characteristic "e-shaped" chloroplast, a nucleus with OE and NE, usually two
mitochondria and frequently two or more small double-membrane-bounded vacuoles, but no EM,
GA and peroxisomes (Fig.13ABC). Hence, OE, NE and CE served dual or multiple functions;
while OM and ES consistently played similar roles as they did before organelle biogenesis (Fig.
1-6), though their composition and content apparently changed.

The large nucleus with no visible pores on OE and NE still contained a few RB (Fig.13ABC).
When OE and NE became widely separated, some electron-dense vesicles (EDV) budded form
NE into IES, and then fused with and re-budded from OE, ultimately migrated to the inner leaflet
of CHE as well as the inner and outer leaflets of CE (Fig.13B), which probably delivered
nucleus-synthesized and or imported proteins for maintaining the corresponding envelope
membranes. If so, the transportation of nucleus-synthesized and or imported proteins into ES with
NE-derived vesicles was another way of unconventional exocytosis. During this process OE
disrupted locally resulting in several openings, and more importantly, an opening was also formed
at the contact site of OE and CE. Such that the proteins synthesized in EM could be sorted through
OE openings into IES and then secreted via the opening on CE into ES (Fig. 13B). By contrast,
when OE and NE came into contact, they fused at several sites and thus gave rise to openings,
allowing nucleocytoplasmic transport (Fig.13C). Amazingly, a fusion pore was formed at the

contact site of NE, OE and CE (Fig.13C), enabling the direct communication between nucleus and

ES.

CE was the major site of lipid synthesis. As shown in (Fig.13C), three large and one nascent

LD were assembled at CE inner membrane. Similar cases were frequently observed since the

degradation of mitochondrion (Fig. 9BCD; Fig.10 BCDF; Fig.11 DEF; Fig.12AB). By contrast,

LD was only occasionally formed at CHE (Fig.10C). Since OG was initially blistered from the CE

outer membrane (CM), CE inner membrane (OIM) and IIM (Fig.1-3), these results demonstrated

that CE was consistently the site of lipid synthesis; while OIM-derived CHE was also capable of

lipid synthesis. Accordingly, EOV formed earlier were probably the primordial LD (Fig.6D;

Fig.7ABF; Fig. 8CDF). In addition, EOB in NIC (Fig. 6D) and PC (Fig.7ABC; Fig.8D; Fig.12B)

were perhaps analogous to LD, which seemed to be developed at PT and ST, similar to LD

formation in the green alga (Fan et al., 2011; Goodson et al., 2011). So, EOB were likely packed

into ITB of mitochondria and CPV, and subsequently expelled into EM in the form of LD

(Fig.10E; Fig.11E).

EW, as described above (Fig. 7A), was consisted of a SH, an EL and an intervening OM

(Fig.13B). Hence, ES was a membrane-surrounded compartment but not the extracellular space,

which sequestered the liquid squeezing out from NX and likely served important functions in cell

metabolism (Fig.7). Since ELV blistered consistently from OM into SH before (Fig.3-6), during

(Fig.7-12) and after (Fig.13) organelle biogenesis, it was nearly certain that OM in EW was still

the enzyme-anchoring site and responsible for assembling and modifying the external SH (Fig.3A).

EL was likely perforated just as the original peptidoglycan layer (Fig.3A) allowing the passage

and fusion of SOV and the like with OM.

2.8.2 Reproduction of TDX16-DE cell

TDX16-DE cell multiplied via autosporulation. As shown in Fig.13D, four autospores within an

autosporangium (AUG) were segregated from each other by the wide interspace, more or less

similar to the arrangement of TDX16 (endospores) in the sporangium (Fig.1). All these autospores

contained a chloroplast of various sizes. In addition, the smallest autospore possessed a large

nucleus, while the two large ones had mitochondrion and vacuoles and the last one had no other

organelle at all. Hence, nucleus, mitochondrion and vacuole in most endospores were not allocated

from the mother cell but developed from scratch. The constant presence of chloroplast but absence

of mitochondrion in some TDX16-DE cell suggested that the chloroplast thylakoids still retained

respiratory electron transfer chains and were capable of oxidative phosphorylation, i.e.,

chlororespiration (Bennoun 1982; Peltier and Cournac 2002).

2.9 Photosynthetic pigments of TDX16 and TDX16-DE cell

In vivo absorption spectra (Fig.14A) showed that apart from the absorption maxima of chlorophyll a (Chl a) at 440 and 680 nm, TDX16 displayed a prominent peak at 630nm, corresponding to phycocyanin (PC) (Lemasson et al., 1973); while TDX16-DE cell exhibited a conspicuous shoulder peak of chlorophyll b (Chl b) at 653 nm (Govindjee and Rabinowitch, 1960), and a merged peak of carotenoids around 485 nm. Consistent with these results, fluorescence emission spectroscopy indicated that the water soluble pigment extract of TDX16 (Fig. 14E) and lipid soluble pigment extract of TDX16-DE (Fig. 14F) displayed an emission peak of PC at 646 nm (Gantt et al., 1979) and an emission peak of Chl b at 658 nm (Thorne et al., 1977) respectively, but no emission peak was detected in the water soluble pigment extract of TDX16-DE (Fig. 14E) and lipid soluble pigment extract of TDX16 (Fig. 14F). Furthermore, PC isolated from TDX16 had an absorption peak at 617nm (Fig. 14B), nearly the same as C–PC (Gantt et al., 1979); Chl b and lutein separating from TDX16-DE cell displayed absorption maxima at 456 and 645 nm (Fig. 14C), 420, 446 and 475nm (Fig. 14D) respectively, identical to those isolated from plants (Lichtenthaler, 1987).

2.10 16S rRNA sequences of TDX16 and TDX16-DE cell

16S rRNA of TDX16 (GenBank KJ599678.2) and TDX16-DE chloroplast (GenBank KJ612008.1) shared a low identity of 83%, but showed high similarities of 98% and 99% to that of *Chroococcidiopsis thermalis* (GenBank NR102464.1) and those of the chloroplasts of *Auxenochlorella protothecoides* (GenBank AY553213.1) and *Chlorella vulgaris* (GenBank AB001684.1) respectively.

2.11 Genome sequence of TDX16

TDX16 genome was 15,333,193 bp in size with an average of GC content of 55.2 % containing 15,894 genes (CDS 15,756; RNA 138). This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession NDGV00000000. The version described in this paper is version NDGV01000000.

3. Discussion

The present study unveiled the biogenesis of chloroplast, nucleus, mitochondrion and vacuoles within the prokaryotic cell of TDX16. Consequentially, an essential question arose as to why this

happened? Or what was the reason for organelle biogenesis in TDX16 cell?

The consistent results of cell structure (Fig.1-2), pigmentation (Fig.14) and 16S rRNA gene
sequence indicated that TDX16 was a PC-containing cyanobacterium resembling *C. thermalis*.
However the genome size, gene number and GC content of TDX16 (GenBank NDGV00000000)
were 2.4, 2.8 and 1.2 times those of *C. thermalis* (6,315,792 bp, 5593 genes and 44.4% GC)
(GenBank CP003597.1) respectively. Since TDX16 was an endosymbiotic cyanobacterium
released from the necrotic cell of green alga *H. pluvialis* (Dong et al., 2011), these results
demonstrated that TDX16 had acquired at least 9,017,401bp DNA with 10301 genes from its host.
Therefore, the reason for organelle biogenesis within TDX16 was the light-driven recombination
(hybridization) and expression of the obtained eukaryotic genes and its own prokaryotic genes, the
process of which was indicated by the changes of cell structures.

The obtained DNA was retained most likely in HGB, which contained DNA-like materials,
situated in NU and remained a constant size in different cells (Fig.1). When compartmentalization
commenced, the obtained DNA was released gradually from HGB into the NU (Fig. 3), most of
which kept inactive because the initially formed PT were cyanobacterial ones, owing apparently to
the expression of TDX16's own genes that were inhibited within the host cell (Fig.4). During the
crucial re-compartmentalization, the total DNA in the solubilized ICP was gathered (Fig.5) and
subsequently allocated into NS (major portion) and NIC (minor portion), where the obtained DNA
and TDX16's own DNA began to recombine as indicated by the dismantling of PL and absence of
PCB on the transitional PT-derived ST (Fig. 6). DNA-recombination quickly finished leading to
the formation of PC sequestering the genes of chloroplast and mitochondrion and PN containing
nucleus genes only (Fig.7). Thereafter, mitochondrial genome was assembled in PC and packed
into mitochondria, while the remaining genes were organized into chloroplast genome (Fig. 8-11).
So, it was not surprising that mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes shared similar features, and
chloroplast genome retained some mitochondrion-related genes. The disappearance of PC (Fig.
14), PL (Fig. 7) and TDX16 16S rRNA, but appearance of 16S rRNA of TDX16-DE chloroplast
indicated that DNA recombination involved the loss of some prokaryotic genes, but the synthesis
new genes. Furthermore, the repartition of total DNA during re-compartmentalization (Fig. 6)
inevitably resulted in the distribution of prokaryotic genes in nucleus genome and eukaryotic
genes in chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes, giving the appearance of "inter-organellar gene
or DNA transfer". On the other hand, TDX16 genes in TDX16-DE cell seemed to be resulted from
"prokaryote-to-eukaryote gene transfer".

Aside from organelle biogenesis, some long-standing questions in cell biology had been

resolved the present study: (1) OG and PG were the precursors of thylakoids in cyanobacteria and chloroplasts respectively; (2) Mitochondrial cristae, like thylakoids in cyanobacteria and chloroplasts, were not formed by invagination of the inner envelope membrane (3) MLB were developed from mitochondrion and chloroplast;(4)Vacuoles and nucleus-derived vesicles mediated unconventional exocytosis; (5) EW contained an OM, and thus ES was a membrane-surrounded compartment; (6) LD were formed predominantly at CE but marginally at CHE. Last but not the least, organelle biogenesis in cyanobacterium TDX16 gave rise to a new green alga (TDX16-DE), which was the first instance of new species formation and demonstrated that a prokaryote can acquire its eukaryotic host's genes and developed into a new eukaryote. Therefore, the discovery of organelle biogenesis in TDX16 is of great importance and has profound effects on cell biology and evolutionary biology.

4. Materials and methods

4.1 Strain and culture

TDX16 was obtained from the necrotic and ruptured *H. pluvialis* cell (Dong et al., 2011) and maintained under low light intensity of 12 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, at 25 ℃ in the illumination incubator. For experiment, TDX16 was inoculated into sterilized 250-ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 ml BG-11 medium (Stanier et al., 1971) and incubated under high light intensity of 60 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, at 25 ℃.

4.1 Microscopy preparations and observations

Cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 1% osmium tetroxide, followed by dehydration with ascending concentrations of ethanol, and post staining with 3% uranyl acetate and lead citrate. The samples were examined with a JEM1010 electron microscope (JEOL, Japan).

4.2 Pigment analyses

4.2.1In vivo absorption spectra

Cell suspensions were scanned with Ultraviolet-Visible Spectrophotometer Cary 300 (Agilent, USA), the spectra were normalized to give an equal absorbance of Chl a at 440 nm.

4.2.2 Fluorescence emission spectra

Water soluble pigments were extracted with 0.75M K-phosphate buffer (pH=6.8). Lipid soluble

599　pigments were extracted with pure acetone and diluted 50-fold into ethanol. Both extracts were

600　analyzed directly on Fluorescence Spectrophotometer F-4500 (Hitachi, Japan) at room

601　temperature with excitations of 580 and 478 nm respectively.

4.2.3 Pigment separation and identification

603　Chl b was separated by thin-layer chromatography according to the method described by

604　Lichtenthaler (Lichtenthaler, 1987). PC was extracted and purified following the procedures

605　described by Adams (Adams et al., 1979). All pigments were analyzed with Ultraviolet-Visible

606　Spectrophotometer Cary 300 (Agilent, USA), and identified by spectroscopic matching with the

607　published data.

4.3 16S rRNA sequence

DNA samples were prepared according to the method described previously (Garcia-Pichel et al.,

1998). 16S rRNAs were amplified using the primers 8-27f (AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG) and

1504-1486r (CTTGTTACGACTTCACCCC) (Moore et al., 1998). Fragments were cloned into the

pMD18-T vector and amplified using M13 forward and reverse universal primers. The PCR

products were digested with restriction enzymes BamH1/SalI, and sequenced on ABI 3730 DNA

analyzer (PerkinElmer Biosystems, USA).

4.4 Genome sequence of TDX16

TDX16 cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min, and washed twice with 5M

NaCl solution and sterile water alternately, with the pelleted cells being frozen in liquid nitrogen

and then grinded with sterile glass beads (0.5 mm diameter).The slurry was transferred into 5ml

centrifuge tube with TE buffer (1mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH=8.0), supplemented with 1.0

ml lysozyme solution (20 mg/ml) and incubated at $37\,℃$ for 60 min, then added CTAB

(Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide) solution (10% CTAB, 0.7 M NaCl) and heated to $65℃$ for

30 min in a waterbath. After centrifugation (12000 rpm, 10min), the supernatant was extracted

with one volume of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, V/V), and DNA was precipitated

overnight at $-20\ ℃$ after the addition of 2/3 volume of cold isopropanol and 1/10 volume of 3M

sodium acetate, dried and resuspended in TE buffer. The extracted DNA was first subjected to

quality assay and then sheared ultrasonically into fragments, with their overhangs being converted

into blunt ends applying T4 DNA polymerase, Klenow Fragment and T4 Polynucleotide Kinase.

Subsequently, adapters were ligated to the 3' ends of DNA fragments that were introduced with 'A'

bases. The obtained fragments were purified via gel-electrophoresis and PCR-amplified for

preparing the sequencing library of DNA clusters. Paired-end sequencing was carried out on an

IIlumina HiSeq 4000 platform, yeilding1.132 Mb raw data. After removal of the low quality reads,

1.009 Mb clean data was assembled with SOAPdenovo.

References

Abiodun, M.O and Matsuoka, K (2013) Evidence that proliferation of Golgi apparatus depends on

both de novo generation from the endoplasmic reticulum and formation from pre-existing

stacks during the growth of tobacco BY-2 cells. Plant Cell Physiol. 54: 541-554

Adams, S.M., Kao, O.W and Berns, D.S (1979) Psychrophile C-Phycocyanin. Plant Physiol. 64:

525–527.

Allen, M. M (1984) Cyanobacterial cell inclusions. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 38:1-25.

Alvarez, H. M., Mayer, F., Fabritius, D., and Steinbüchel, A (1996) Formation of intracytoplasmic

lipid inclusions by *Rhodococcus opacus* strain PD630. Arch. Microbiol. 165:377–386

Aseeva, E., Ossenbuhl, F., Sippel, C., et al. (2007) Vipp1 is required for basic thylakoid

membrane formation but not for the assembly of thylakoid protein complexes. Plant

Physiol. Biochem. 45: 119–128

Aubert, S., Gout, E., Bligny, R., Marty-Mazars, D., Barrieu, F., Alabouvette, J., Marty, F. and

Douce, R (1996) Ultrastructural and biochemical characterization of autophagy in higher

plant cells subjected to carbon deprivation: control by the supply of mitochondria with

respiratory substrates. J. Cell Biol. 133: 1251–1263

Austin, J.R., Frost, E., Vidi, P.A., Kessler, F., and Staehelin, L.A (2006) Plastoglobules are

lipoprotein subcompartments of the chloroplast that are permanently coupled to thylakoid

membranes and contain biosynthetic enzymes. Plant Cell 18: 1693–1703

Barton, R (1965) Electron microscope studies on surface activity in cells of *Chara vulgaris*. Planta

66, 95—105

Berjak, P (1972) Lysosomal compartmentation: Ultrastructural aspects of the origin, development,

and function of vacuoles in root cells of *Lepidium sativum*. Ann. Bot. (Lond.) 36: 73–81.

Bennoun P (1982) Evidence for a respiratory chain in the chloroplast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA

79: 4352–4356

Beveridge, T. J (1999) Structure of Gram-negative cell walls and their derived membrane vesicles.

J. Bcateriol. 181: 4725-4733

Bevis, B. J., Hammond, A. T., Reinke, C. A., and Glick, B. S (2002) De novo formation of

transitional ER sites and Golgi structures in Pichia pastoris. Nature Cell Biol. 4, 750–756

Billi, D., Friedmann, E. I., Hofer, K.G., Caiola, M.G and Friedmann, R.O(2000) Ionizing-radiation

resistance in the desiccation-tolerant cyanobacterium *Chroococcidiopsis*. Appl. Environ.

664   Microbiol. 66:1489–1492

665 Blott, E. J. and Griffiths, G. M (2002) Secretory and lysosomes. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.

666   3:122–131.

667 Bréhélin, C., Kessler, F and van Wijk, K. J (2007) Plastoglobules: versatile lipoprotein particles in

668   plastids. Trends plant sci. 12 (6):260-266

669 Bréhélin, C and Kessler, F (2008) The plastoglobule: a bag full of lipid biochemistry tricks.

670   Photochem. Photobiol. 84:1388–1394

671 Brown, D. L and Bisalputra, T (1969) Fine structure of the blue-green alga Nostoc sphaericum: the

672   structured granule. Phycologia 8:119-126

673 Büdel, B and Rhiel, E (1985) A new cell wall structure in a symbiotic and free-living strain of the

674   blue-green alga genus *Chroococcidiopsis* (Pleurocapsales). Arch. Microbiol. 143: 117-121.

675 Bullejahn, G. S and Sherman, L. A (1986) Identification of a Carotenoid-Binding Protein in the

676   Cytoplasmic Membrane from the Heterotrophic Cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. Strain

677   PCC6714. J. Bacteriol. 167: 396-399

678 Buvat, R and Robert, G (1979) Vacuole formation in the actively growing root meristem of barley

679   (Hordeum sativum). Am. J. Bot. 66:1219-1237

680 Caiola, M. G., Friedmann, R. C and Friedmann, E.I (1993) Cytology of long-term desiccation in

681   the desert cyanobacterium *Chroococcidiopsis* (Chroococcales). Phycologia 32: 315-322.

682 Caiola, M.G., Billi, D and Friedmann, E. I (1996) Effect of desiccation on envelopes of the

683   cyanobacterium *Chroococcidiopsis* sp. (Chroococcales). Eur. J. Phycol. 31:97–105.

684 Chen, X., Irani, N.G and Friml, J (2011) Clathrin-mediated endocytosis: the gateway into plant

685   cells. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 14: 674–682

686 Cooley, J. W., and Vermaas, W. F. J (2001) Succinate dehydrogenase and other respiratory

687   pathways in thylakoid membranes of *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC 6803: capacity

688   comparisons and physiological function. J. Bacteriol. 183: 4251–4258

689 Davidi, L., Levin, Y., Ben-Dor, S and Pick, U (2015) Proteome analysis of cytoplasmatic and

690   plastidic b-carotene lipid droplets in *Dunaliella bardawil*. Plant Physiol. 167: 60–79

691 Dermaut, B., Norga, K.K., Kania, A., Verstreken, P., Pan, H. L., Zhou, Y., Callaerts, P and Bellen,

692   H (2005) Aberrant lysosomal carbohydrate storage accompanies endocytic defects and

693   neurodegeneration in *Drosophila benchwarmer*. J. Cell Biol. 170:127–139.

694 De Toledo, G. A., Fernandez-Chacon, R and Fernandez, J. M. (1993). Release of secretory

695   products during transient vesicle fusion. Nature 363: 554–558.

696 Ding, Y., Wang, J., Wang, J.Q., Stierhof, Y. D., Robinson, D. G., and Jiang, L. W (2012)

697   Unconventional protein secretion. Trends Plant Sci. 17:606-615.

Dong, Q. L., Li, Z.W., Xing, X.Y., Chen, B (2011) Discovery of an endophytic cyanobacterium in
Haematococcus pluvialis. J. Hebei Univ.Technol. 40: 1-5

Edwards, M. R., Berns, D. S., Ghiorse, W.C., and Holt, S. C (1968) Ultrastructure of thermophilic
blue green alga *Synechococcus lividus* Copeland. J Phycol 4:283–298

Egan, A.J., Cleverley, R.M., Peters, K., Lewis, R.J., and Vollmer, W. (2016) Regulation of
bacterial cell wall growth. FEBS J. 284: 851-867

Eltsov, M., and Zuber, B (2006) Transmission electron microscopy of the bacterial nucleoid. J.
Struct. Biol. 156:246–54

Fan, J., Andre, C., and Xu, C (2011) A chloroplast pathway for the de novo biosynthesis of
triacylglycerol in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. FEBS Lett. 585:1985-1991.

Fan, L., Li, R., Pan, J., Ding, Z., and Lin, J (2015) Endocytosis and its regulation in plants. Trends
Plant Sci. 20: 388-397

Fernández, M. C., Pérez-Gutierrez, M. A., Suarez-Santiago, V. N., Salinas-Bonillo, M. J.,
Romero-García, A. T (2013) Multilamellar bodies linked to two active plasmalemma
regions in the pollen grains of *Sarcocapnos pulcherrima*. Biol. Plant. 57: 298-304.

Findley, D. L., Walne, P .L., and Holton, R.W (1970) The effects of light intensity on the
ultrastructure of *Chlorogloea fritschii* mitra grown at high temperature. J. Phycol. 6:
182-188

Gantt, E., and Conti, S. F (1969) Ultrastructure of blue-green algae. J. Bacteriol. 97: 1486-1493

Gantt, E., Lipschultz, C. A., Grabowski, J., and Zimmerman, B .K. (1979) Phycobilisomes from
blue-green and red algae: isolation criteria and dissociation characteristics. Plant Physiol.
63: 615–620.

Gao, H., and Xu, X (2009) Depletion of Vipp1 in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 affects
photosynthetic activity before the loss of thylakoid membranes. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.
292: 63–70.

Garcia-Pichel, F., Nübel, U., and Muyzer, G (1998) The phylogeny of unicellular, extremely
halotolerant cyanobacteria. Arch. Microbiol. 169, 469–482.

Gibbs, S.P (1962) The ultrastructure of the pyrenoids of green algae. J. Ultra. Res. 7:
262-272.

Glauert, A.M and Thornley M.J (1969) The topography of the bacterial cell wall. Annu. Rev.
Microbiol. 23: 159–198.

Glick, B.S (2002) Can the Golgi form de novo? Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 3:615–619.

Goodson, C., Roth, R., Wang, Z. T., Goodenough, U (2011) Structural correlates of cytoplasmic
and chloroplast lipid body synthesis in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and stimulation of lipid

732 body production with acetate boost. Eukaryot. Cell 10:1592-1606.

733 Govindjee, and Rabinowitch, E (1960) Two forms of chlorophyll a in vivo with distinct
734 photochemical functions. Science 132: 355-356.

735 Hansmann, P., and Sitte, P (1982) Composition and molecular structure of chromoplast globules of
736 *Viola tricolor*. Plant Cell Rep. 1 : 111-114

737 Hay, E. D., and J. P. Revel (1963) The fine structure of the DNP component of the nucleus. J. Cell
738 Biol. 16:29-51

739 Harding, C., Heuser, J., and Stahl, P (1983) Receptor-mediated endocytosis of transferrin and
740 recycling of the transferrin receptor in rat reticulocytes. J. Cell Biol. 97:329–339.

741 Herman, E.M., Li, X., Su, R.T., Larsen, P., Hsu, H., and Sze, H (1994) Vacuolar-type H⁺-ATPases
742 are associated with the endoplasmic reticulum and provacuoles of root tip cells. Plant
743 Physiol. 106: 1313–1324.

744 Hettema, E.H., and Gould, S. J (2017) Organelle formation from scratch. Nature, 542: 174-175

745 Hoepfner, D., Schildknegt, D., Braakman, I., Philippsen, P., and Tabak, H.F (2005) Contribution of
746 the endoplasmic reticulum to peroxisome formation. Cell 122:85–95.

747 Hobot, J. A., Carlea, E., Villiger, W., and Kegenberger. E (1984) Periplasmic gel: new concept
748 resulting from the reinvestigation of bacterial cell envelope ultrastructure by new methods.
749 J. Bacteriol. 160:143-152.

750 Hoh, B., Hinz, G., Jeong, B. K., and Robinson, D.G (1995) Protein storage vacuoles form de novo
751 during pea cotyledon development. J. Cell Sci. 108, 299-310

752 Hoiczyk, E., and Hansel, A (2000) Cyanobacterial cell walls: news from an unusual prokaryotic
753 envelope. J. Bacteriol. 182: 1191-1199

754 Horowitz, R. A., Agard, D. A., Sedat, J. W., and Woodcock, C. L (1994) The three-dimensional
755 architecture of chromatin in situ: Electron tomography reveals fibers composed of a
756 continuously variable zig-zag nucleosomal ribbon. J. Cell Biol. 125:1–10

757 Huber, A., Koch, J., Kragler, F., Brocard, C., and Hartig, A (2012) A subtle interplay between three
758 Pex11 proteins shapes de novo formation and fission of peroxisomes. Traffic 13: 157–167.

759 Huang, F., Perry, I., Nilsson, F., Parson, A. L., Pakrasi, H. B., Andersson, B., and Norling B (2002)
760 Proteomics of *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC 6803: identification of plasma membrane
761 proteins. Mol. Cell Proteomics 1:956–966

762 Kalscheuer, R., Stöveken, T., Malkus, U., Reichelt, R., Golyshin, P. N., Sabirova, J. S., Ferrer, M.,
763 Timmis, K. N., Steinbüchel, A (2007) Analysis of storage lipid accumulation in
764 *Alcanivorax borkumensis*: evidence for alternative triacylglycerol biosynthesis routes in
765 bacteria. J. Bacteriol. 189: 918–928.

Kornfeld, S., and Mellman, I (1989) The biogenesis of lysosomes. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 5,
483-525.

Keren, N., Liberton, M., and Pakrasi, H. B (2005) Photochemical competence of assembled
photosystem II core complex in cyanobacterial plasma membrane. J. Biol. Chem. 280:
6548–6553

Kim, P. K., Mullen, R. T., Schumann, U., and Lippincott-Schwartz, J (2006) The origin and
maintenance of mammalian peroxisomes involves a de novo PEX16-dependent pathway
from the ER. J. Cell Biol. 173: 521–532

Jian, Q. U., Zhang, C. M., and Zhai, Z. H (1994) Observation of nuclei reassembled from
demembranated Xenopus sperm nuclei and analysis of their lamina components. Cell Res.
4: 163–172

Johnstone, R. M., Adam, M., Hammond, J. R., Orr, L., and Turbide, C (1987) Vesicle formation
during reticulocyte maturation. Association of plasma-membrane activities with released
vesicles (exosomes). J. Biol. Chem. 262: 9412–9420

Jürgens, U. J., and Weckesser, J (1985) Carotenoid-containing outer membrane of *Synechocystis*
sp. strain PCC 6714. J. Bacteriol. 164:384–389.

Lang, N. J (1968) The fine structure of blue-green algae. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 22: 15-46.

Lang, N. J., and Fisher, K. A (1969) Variation in the fixation image of "structural granules" in
Anabaena. Arch. Mikrobiol. 67: 173—181

Lea-Smith, D. L., Ross, N., Zori, M., Bendall, D. S., Dennis, J. S., Scott, S. A., Smith, A. G, Howe,
C. G (2013) Thylakoid terminal oxidases are essential for the cyanobacterium
Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 to survive rapidly changing light intensities. Plant Physiol.
162:484–495.

Lemasson. C., Marsac, N. T., and Cohen-Bazire, G (1973) Role of allophycocyanin as a
light-harvesting pigment in cyanobacteria. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 70: 3130-3133.

Li, H. M., Kaneko, Y., and Keegstra, K (1994) Molecular cloning of a chloroplastic protein
associated with both the envelope and thylakoid membranes. Plant Mol. Biol. 25: 619–632.

Li, Y., Xu, M., Ding, X., Yan, C., Song, Z., Chen, L., Huang, X., Wang, X., Jian, Y., Tang, G., Tang,
C., Di, Y., Mu, S., Liu, X., Liu, K., Li, T., Wang, Y., Miao, L., Guo, W., Hao, X., Yang, C
(2016) Protein kinase C controls lysosome biogenesis independently of mTORC1. Nat Cell
Biol. 18:1065–1077.

Liberton, M., Berg, R. H., Heuser, J., Roth, R., and Pakrasi, H. B (2006) Ultrastructure of the
membrane systems in the unicellular cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp strain PCC 6803.
Protoplasma 227: 129–138

Lichtenthaler, H. K(1987) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic
biomembranes. Methods Enzymol. 148, 350–382.

Lichtenthaler, H. K (2013) Plastoglobuli, thylakoids, chloroplast structure and development of
plastids. In: Biswal B, Krupinska K, Biswal UC (eds) Plastid development in leaves during
growth and senescence advances in photosynthesis and respiration. Springer, Berlin, pp
337–361.

Liu, D. F., Xu, L., Yang, F., Li, D.D., Gong, F.L. and Xu, T (2005) Rapid biogenesis and
sensitization of secretory lysosomes in NK cells mediated by target-cell recognition. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 102:123–127.

Lokha, M. J., and Masui, Y (1983) Formation in vitro of sperm pronuclei and mitotic
chromosomes induced by amphibian ooplasmic components. Science 220:719-21.

Lowe, M and Barr, F. A (2007) Inheritance and biogenesis of organelles in the secretory pathway.
Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8:429–439.

Luzio, J. P, Hackmann, Y., Dieckmann, N. M., Griffiths, G. M (2014) The biogenesis of lysosomes
and lysosome-related organelles. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 6(9):a016840

Marty, F (1978) Cytochemical studies on GERL, provacuoles, and vacuoles in root meristematic
cells of Euphorbia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75: 852–856.

Marty, F (1999) Plant vacuoles. Plant Cell 11:587-600

Mayor, S., and Pagano, R. E (2007) Pathways of clathrin-independent endocytosis. Nat. Rev. Mol.
Cell Biol. 8: 603–612

McMahon, H. T., and Boucrot, E (2011) Molecular mechanism and physiological functions of
clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 12: 517–533

Meindl, U., Lancelle, S., and Hepler, P. K (1992) Vesicle production and fusion during lobe
formation in Micrasterias visualized by high-pressure freeze fixation. Protoplasma
170:104--114

Moore, L. R., Rocap, G., and Chisholm, S. W (1998). Physiology and molecular phylogeny of
coexisting *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes. Nature 393: 464–467.

Motley, A. M., and Hettema, E. H (2007) Yeast peroxisomes multiply by growth and division. J.
Cell Biol. 178: 399–410

Mullineaux, C. W (2014) Co-existence of photosynthetic and respiratory activities in
cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1837: 503–511

Newport, J (1987) Nuclear reconstitution in vitro: Stages of assembly around protein-free DNA.
Cell 48:205-217

Nickel, W., and Rabouille, C (2009) Mechanisms of regulated unconventional protein secretion.

Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10:234-255

Olbrich, A., Hillmer, S., Hinz, G., Oliviusson, P., Robinson, D. G (2007) Newly formed vacuoles
in root meristems of barley and pea seedlings have characteristics of both protein storage
and lytic vacuoles. Plant Physiol. 145:1383-1394.

Opaliński, Ł., Bartoszewska, M., Fekken, S., Liu, H., de Boer, R., et al. (2012) De novo
peroxisome biogenesis in *Penicillium chrysogenum* is not dependent on the Pex11 family
members or Pex16. PLoS ONE 7: e35490. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035490

Osborn, M. J., Gander, J. E., Parisi, E., and Carson, J (1972) Mechanism of assembly of outer
membrane of *Salmonella typhimurium*: Isolation and characterization of cytoplasmic and
outer membrane. J. Biol. Chem. 247:3962-3972.

Packter, N. M., and Olukoshi, E. R (1995) Ultrastructural studies of neutral lipid localisation in
Streptomyces. Arch. Microbiol. 164:420–427

Pan, B.T., Teng, K., Wu, C., Adam, M., and Johnstone, R.M (1985) Electron microscopic evidence
for externalization of the transferrin receptor in vesicular form in sheep reticulocytes. J.
Cell Biol. 101:942-948

Paquet, V.E., Lessire, R., Domergue, F., Fouillen, L., Filion, G., Sedighi, A., Charette, S.J (2013)
Lipid composition of multilamellar bodies secreted by *Dictyostelium discoideum* reveals
their Amoebal origin. Eukaryotic Cell 12: 1326–1334.

Peltier, G., and Cournac, L (2002) Chlororespiration. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 53: 523–550.

Peramuna, A., and Summers, M. L (2014) Composition and occurrence of lipid droplets in the
cyanobacterium *Nostoc punctiforme*. Arch. Microbiol. 196: 881–890

Perera, R. M., and Zoncu, R (2016) The lysosome as a regulatory hub. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.
32: 223–253

Peschek, G.A., Hinterstoisser, B., Wastyn, M., Kuntner, O., Plneau, E., Missblchler, A., and Lang,
J (1989) Chlorophyll precursors in the plasma membrane of a cyanobacterium *Anacystis*
nidulans. J. Biol. Chem. 264: 11827-11832.

Rabouille, C (2017) Pathways of unconventional protein secretion. Trends Cell Biol. 27:230–240

Ren, L., Mellander, L., Keighron, J., Cans, A. S., Kurczy, M., Svir, I., Oleinick, A., Amatore, C.,
Ewing, A. G (2016) The evidence for open and closed exocytosis as the primary release
mechanism. Q. Rev. Biophys. 49, e12.

Robinson, D.G., Ding, Y., and Jiang L. W (2016) Unconventional protein secretion in plants: a
critical assessment. Protoplasma 253: 31–43

Resch, C. M., and J. Gibson (1983) Isolation of the carotenoid-containing cell wall of three
unicellular cyanobacteria. J. Bacteriol. 155:345-350.

Rexroth, S., Mullineaux, C. W., Ellinger, D., Sendtko, E., Rögner, M., and Koenig, F (2011) The
plasma membrane of the cyanobacterium *Gloeobacter violaceus* contains segregated
bioenergetic domains. Plant Cell 23: 2379–2390.

Rippka, R., Waterbury, J., and Cohen-Bazire, G (1974) A cyanobacterium which lacks thylakoids.
Arch. Microbiol. 100: 419–436.

Robinow, C., and Kellenberger, E (1994) The bacterial nucleoid revisited. Microbiol. Rev.
58:211–232.

Rothman, J. E., and Wieland, F. T (1996) Protein sorting by transport vesicles. Science 272:
227–234.

Rossanese, O. W., Soderholm, J., Bevis, B. J., Sears, I. B., O'Connor, J., Williamson, E. K., and
Glick, B. S (1999) Golgi structure correlates with transitional endoplasmic reticulum
organization in *Pichia pastoris* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. 145:69–81

Rucktaschel, R., Halbach, A., Girzalsky, W., Rottensteiner, H., and Erdmann, R (2010) De novo
synthesis of peroxisomes upon mitochondrial targeting of Pex3p. Eur. J. Cell Biol. 89,
947–954

Saftig P., and Klumperman, J (2009) Lysosome biogenesis and lysosomal membrane proteins:
trafficking meets function. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10: 623–635

Samuels, A. L., Giddings, T. H., and Staehelin, L. A (1995) Cytokinesis in tobacco BY-2 and root
tip cells: a new model of cell plate formation in higher plants. J. Cell Biol. 130: 1345–1357

Schatz, G., and Dobberstein, B (1996) Common principles of protein translocation across
membranes. Science 271:1519–1526.

Schekman, R., and Orci, L (1996) Coat proteins and vesicle budding. Science 271: 1526–1533.

Shively, J. M., Ball, F., Brown, D. H., and Saunders, R. E (1973) Functional organelles in
prokaryotes: polyhedral inclusions (carboxysomes) of *Thiobacillus neapolitanus*. Science
182: 584–586

Simon, R. D (1971) Cyanophycin granules form the blue-green alga *Anabaena cylindrica*: a
reserve material consisting of copolymers of aspartic acid and arginine. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. USA 68:265–267.

Smith, D., and Howe, C. J (1993) The distribution of Photosystem I and Photosystem II
polypeptides between the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membranes of cyanobacteria. FEMS
Microbiol. Lett. 110: 341-348

Smith, M. D., Licatalosi, D. D., and Thompson, J. E (2000) Co-association of cytochrome f
catabolites and plastid-lipid-associated protein with chloroplast lipid particles. Plant
Physiol. 124: 211–222

South, S. T., and Gould, S. J (1999) Peroxisome Synthesis in the Absence of Preexisting
903   Peroxisomes. J. Cell Biol. 144: 255–266

904   Srivastava, R., Battchikova, N., Norling, B., and Aro, E.M (2006) Plasma membrane of
905   *Synechocystis* PCC 6803: A heterogeneous distribution of membrane proteins. Arch.
906   Microbiol. 185: 238–243.

907   Stanier, R. Y., Kunisawa, R., Mandel, M., and Cohen-Bazire, G. (1971). Purification and properties
908   of unicellular blue-green algae (Order Chroococcales). Bacteriol. Rev. 35: 171-205.

909   Sugiura, A., Mattie, S., Prudent, J., and McBride, H. M (2017) Newly born peroxisomes are a
910   hybrid of mitochondrial and ER-derived pre-peroxisomes. Nature 542: 251–254

911   Tängemo, C., Ronchi, P., Colombelli, J., Haselmann, U., Simpson, J.C., Antony, C., Stelzer,
912   E.H.K., Pepperkok, R., and Reynaud, E.G (2010) A novel laser nanosurgery approach
913   supports de novo Golgi biogenesis in mammalian cells. J. Cell Sci. 124: 978-987

914   Théry, C., Zitvogel, L., and Amigorena, S (2002) Exosomes: composition, biogenesis and function.
915   Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2: 569-579

916   Thorne, S.W., Newcomb, E.H., and Osmond, C.B (1977) Identification of chlorophyll b in extracts
917   of prokaryotic algae by fluorescence spectroscopy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:
918   575–578.

919   van der Zand, A., Gent, J., Braakman, I., and Tabak, H. F (2012) Biochemically distinct vesicles
920   from the endoplasmic reticulum fuse to form peroxisomes. Cell 149: 397–409.

921   van de Meene, A. M., Hohmann-Marriott, M. F., Vermaas, W. F., Roberson, R. W (2006) The
922   three-dimensional structure of the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. Arch.
923   Microbiol. 184: 259–270

924   van Doorn, W. G., Kirasak, K., and Ketsa, S (2015) Macroautophagy and microautophagy in
925   relation to vacuole formation in mesophyll cells of *Dendrobium tepals*. J. Plant Physiol.
926   177: 67–73

927   van Doorn, W. G., and Papini, A (2013) Ultrastructure of autophagy in plant cells. Autophagy
928   9:1922-1936

929   Vidi, P., Kanwischer, M., Baginsky, S., Austin, J. R., Csucs, G., Dörmann, P., Kessler, F., and
930   Bréhélin, C (2006) Tocopherol cyclase (VTE1) localization and vitamin E accumulation in
931   chloroplast plastoglobule lipoprotein particles. J. Biol. Chem. 281: 11225–11234

932   Vigers, G. P., and Lohka, M. J (1991) A distinct vesicle population targets membranes and pore
933   pomplexes to the nuclear envelope in Xenopus eggs. J. Cell Biol. 112, 545–556.

934   Viotti, C., et al. (2013) The endoplasmic reticulum is the main membrane source for biogenesis of
935   the lytic vacuole in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 25:3434–3449.

Waterbury, J. B., and Stanier, R. Y (1978) Patterns of growth and development in Pleurocapsalean

cyanobacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 42: 2-44

Westphal, S., Heins, L., Soll, J., and Vothknecht, U. C (2001) Vipp1 deletion mutant of

Synechocystis: a connection between bacterial phage shock and thylakoid biogenesis? Proc.

Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 4243–4248.

Yeates, T. O., Kerfeld, C. A., Heinhorst, S., Cannon, G. C., and Shively, J. M (2008) Protein-based

organelles in bacteria: carboxysomes and related microcompartments. Nat. Rev. Microbiol.

6: 681–691

Ytterberg, A. J., Peltier, J., and van Wijk, K. J (2006) Protein profiling of plastoglobules in

chloroplasts and chromoplasts. A surprising site for differential accumulation of metabolic

enzymes. Plant Physiol. 140: 984–997

Zak, E., Norling, B., Maitra, R., Huang, F., Andersson, B., and Pakrasi, H. B (2001) The initial

steps of biogenesis of cyanobacterial photosystems occur in plasma membranes. Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. USA 98:13443–13448

Zhang, M., and Schekman, R (2013) Cell biology. Unconventional secretion, unconventional

solutions. Science 340:559-561.

Zhang, S., Shen, S., Li, Z., Golbeck, J. H., and Bryant, D.A (2014) Vipp1 is essential for

biogenesis of Photosystem I but not thylakoid membranes in *Synechococcus* sp. PCC7002.

J. Biol. Chem. 289: 15904–15914.

Acknowledgements

956 This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (B2008000029).

Author contributions

958 Q.L.D and X.Y.X. performed the experiment and wrote the manuscript with the participation of

959 Y.H in partial electron microscopic observation and 16S rRNA sequencing, X.L.W and S. Z in

960 pigment analyses.

 List of abbreviations

AUG	Autosporangium	EW	Eukaryotic cell wall
C	Chloroplast	FM	Fibrillary materials
CD	Chloroplast debris	GA	Golgi apparatus
CE	Cytoplasmic envelope	GP	Globular particles
CF	Chromatin fibers	HGB	Heterogenous globular bodies
CG	Cyanophycin granules	IB	Intranuclear body
CHE	Chloroplast envelope	ICE	Intracytoplasmic envelope
CLM	Cloudlike materials	ICP	Inner cytoplasm
CM	Cytoplasmic membrane	IES	Inter envelope space
CPV	Compound vesicles	IIM	Inner intracytoplasmic membrane
CR	Cristae	IIS	Inner intracytoplasmic space
CV	Combined vesicles	INS	Interspace
CW	Cell wall	IS	Intracytoplasmic space
CX	Carboxysomes	ITB	Internal body
DF	DNA Fibers	IV	Internal vesicle
DGV	Dense-margined vesicles	IVS	Invaginated space
DLF	DNA-like fibrils	LD	Lipid droplet
DMF	Double-layered membrane fragment	LDB	Less electron-dense bodies
DMS	Double-layered membrane segment	LDM	Less electron-dense materials
DMV	Double-membraned vesicles	LM	Limiting membrane
DRV	Dilated ring-shaped vesicles	M	Mitochondrion
DSV	Dense vesicle	ME	Mitochondrial envelope
DT	DNA threads	MF	Membrane fragments
DV	Dotted vesicles	ML	Microfibrils
ED	Electron-dense debris	MLB	Multilamellar body
EDV	Electron-dense vesicles	MR	Margin residues
EF	Electron-dense fibrils	MS	Membrane segments
EG	Electron-dense granules	MT	Membranous elements
EIS	Empty Inner space	MV	Microvesicles
EL	Electron-dense layer	N	Nucleus
ELM	Electron-translucent materials	NE	Nuclear envelope
ELV	Electron-translucent vesicles	NIC	New inner cytoplasm
EM	Eukaryotic cytoplasm	NIS	New inner intracytoplasmic space
EOB	Electron-opaque bodies	NS	New intracytoplasmic space
EOP	Electron-opaque particles	NT	Nucleoid-like structure
EOM	Electron-opaque materials	NU	Nucleoid
EOV	Electron-opaque vesicles	NX	New intracytoplasmic matrix
EP	Electron-dense particles	OCP	Outer cytoplasm
EPM	Electron-transparent materials	OE	Outer nuclear envelope
ER	Endoplasmic reticulum	OG	Osmiophilic granules
ES	Extracytoplasmic space	OIM	Outer intracytoplasmic membrane
EV	Electron-transparent vesicle	OIS	Outer intracytoplasmic space

OPV	Opaque-periphery vesicle	SA	Sporangium
OM	Outer membrane	SG	Starch granules
OV	Oblong vesicles	SH	Sheath
P	Peptidoglycan layer	SMV	Smaller vesicles
PB	Polyphosphate bodies	SM	Stroma
PC	Primitive chloroplast	SOV	Small opaque vesicle
PCB	Phycobilisomes	SP	Starch plate
PD	Pyrenoids	ST	Secondary thylakoids
PG	Plastoglobuli	SV	Small vesicles
PL	Peptidoglycan-like layer	T	Thylakoids
PMT	Primitive thylakoids	TE	Tubules
PN	Primitive nucleus	TL	Thylakoid-like structure
PNE	Primitive nuclear envelope	TMF	Two-layered membrane fragment
PO	Pores	TMV	Thick margin vesicle
PT	Primary thylakoids	TV	Tiny vesicles
RB	Ribosomes	V	Vacuole
RM	Residual membranes	VB	Vesicle-containing body
RV	Ring-shaped vesicles		

Figures

Figure1. Three TDX16 cells within a sporangium (SA). TDX16 cells were enclosed by thick sheaths (SH), containing no organelle and thylakoid, but unique heterogenous globular bodies (HGB), carboxysomes (CX), ribosomes (RB), DNA fibers (DF) and osmiophilic granules (OG) in the nucleoids (NU) as well as polyphosphate bodies (PB) in the cytoplasm. OG also presented in cytoplasm and some small electron-transparent vesicles (EV) with internal ring-shaped vesicles (RV) or OG were being formed in the upper left cell. Compartmentalization was just initiated in the two large cells (arrow). Scale bar, 1μm.

Figure 2. Five TDX16 cells within a SA. The bottom cell was devoid of EV, while a great number of EV were being developed in the three middle cells, and several thylakoid-like structures (TL) were built up in the upper cell. Compartmentalization commenced in the large cell (arrowhead). Scale bar, 1μm.

Figure 3. Compartmentalization, formation of EV and cytoplasmic envelope. (**A**) TDX16's cell wall (CW) comprised an outer membrane (OM) and a peptidoglycan layer (P), which was separated from the cytoplasmic membrane (CM) by an extracytoplasmic space (ES). Inside the cytoplasm, an inner intracytoplasmic membrane (IIM), an outer intracytoplasmic membrane (OIM) and an intervening peptidoglycan-like layer (PL) were being synthesized by fusion of the small thick margin vesicles (TMV) blistered form the inner leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane. Whereby, the cytoplasm was partitioned into three compartments: the inner cytoplasm (ICP); the outer cytoplasm (OCP), and the sandwiched intracytoplasmic space (IS) that was further separated by PL into an outer intracytoplasmic space (OIS) and an inner intracytoplasmic space (IIS). OCP began to reduce in localized region near the start point (arrowhead), such that OIM moved to CM. OG budded from the inner leaflet of CM, IIM and OIM, and migrated into ICP, where many small EV were being formed and stacks of membranous elements (MT) emerged; while HGB became nearly empty leaving only DNA-like fibrils (DLF) and electron-dense margin residues (MR). Interestingly, OG shed from the outer leaflet of CM into ES, connecting CM and CW. (**B**) IS became narrow (arrow), while more and more small EV were being developed from OG. (**C**) EV dilated into swirling ones spiraling around NU, but IS still remained narrow. (**D**) When EV was formed in NU, several electron-opaque particles (EOP) emerged, while IS became widened filling with electron-opaque materials (EOM). Importantly, OCP disappeared such that OIM and CM were positioned together, and became a double-membraned cytoplasmic envelope (CE). Some electron-dense materials were synthesized on CE and transferred to CW for assembling SH, which was made up of flocculent fibrillary materials (FM), microvesicles (MV) and electron-translucent vesicles (ELV). Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 4. Development of EV into primary thylakoid. (**A**) RV swelled into dilated-ring-shaped vesicle (DRV), whose membrane met ultimately with EV membrane, and thus gave rise to a unit-membrane-bounded combined vesicle (CV). Subsequently, CV coalesced into longer ones or flattened out into slender short primary thylakoids (PT). (**B**) The newly formed short PT distributed randomly in ICP, whose matrix turned opaque. Occasionally a cluster of small RV presented in a EV. (**C**) The short PT extended or merged end-to-end into long PT; while the long coalesced CV flattened out into PT by localized-constriction (arrowhead).Meanwhile, several cyanophycin granules (CG) were formed. (**D**) PT became parallel-arranged with wide spacing, on which the extrinsic phycobilisomes (PCB) were assembled. Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 5. Decondensation of ICP, disassembly of IIM and PT, and translocation of DNA. (**A**) IIM broke down and rounded up into tiny vesicles (TV); PT matrix condensed and thus the membrane pair were in close apposition with concurrent disassembly of PCB; ICP decondensed and became translucent, in which short DF dispersed, and some less electron-dense materials (LDM) and less electron-dense bodies (LDB) were formed. LDM diffused outward and blurred the compacted PL, CE and CW (arrowhead). (**B**) PT broken up into double-layered membrane fragments (DMF), which began to merge laterally into double-membraned vesicles (DMV); while DF aggregated into a cluster. (**C**) All PT transformed into DMV, dispersing along with the aggregated DNA fibers in the decondensed ICP. (**D**) DMV moved outward quickly and attached to PL that was cover by electron-dense materials, while the intermingled DF scattered outward slowly resulting in an "empty" inner space (EIS), at the border of which the recruited TV began to fuse and elongate into double-layered membrane segments (DMS). Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 6. Re-compartmentalization, reallocation of DNA and formation of secondary thylakoids. (**A**) As DMS extended into a double-membraned intracytoplasmic envelope (ICE), a new inner cytoplasm (NIC) and a new inner intracytoplasmic space (NIS) were re-compartmentalized. Most of the DNA fibers were relocated in NIS, which decondensed into cloudlike materials (CLM) or aggregated into DNA threads (DT). By contrast only few DF and electron-dense particles (EP) were partitioned into NIC. ICE was not sealed, so substances could be exchanged through its opening (arrowhead). Interestingly, some electron-transparent materials (EPM) were synthesized on the outer leaflet of ICE. (**B**) Accompanying the expansion of ICE, DMV in NIS moved into NIC via ICE opening (arrowhead), or passed through PL pores (PO) into OIS. (**C**) After ICE sealed, DNA in NIS recondensed into a mass of DF with concomitant formation of countless RB. Meanwhile, an increased number of DF emerged and some RB were formed in NIC; DMV began to open up and re-transformed into DMF and elongated. (**D**) DMF in NIC extended into PCB-less secondary thylakoids (ST) with concomitant formation of OG and electron-opaque bodies (EOB) as well as enrichment of DF and RB. Outside of NIC, the major portion of PL was dismantled, such that NIS and OIS coalesced into a new intracytoplasmic space (NS), whose confluent content became the new intracytoplasmic matrix (NX). Aside from DMV, electron-translucent oblong vesicles (OV) and electron-opaque vesicles (EOV) emerged in NS. Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure7. Biogenesis of primitive chloroplast, eukaryotic cell wall and primitive nucleus. (**A**) ST was disassembled leaving some remnants in the lower region of the polarized NIC, while parallel arrays of primitive eukaryotic thylakoids (PMT) were being developed from the plastoglobuli (PG) in upper region of NIC with concomitant formation of a nascent pyrenoid (PD) surrounding by an incomplete starch plate (SP), and two starch granules (SG). So, NIC developed into the primitive chloroplast (PC), and ICE became the chloroplast envelope

(CHE). Inside NS, thick chromatin fibers (CF) and large RB were formed; PL and DMV disappeared; while many small dotted vesicles (DV) and opaque-periphery vesicle (OPV) emerged. Some DV began to fuse and flattened into membrane segments (MS). Outside of NS, some smaller vesicles (SMV) shed from the outer leaflet of CE into ES; while the peptidoglycan layer (P) of CW turned into an electron-dense layer (EL) (arrowhead), and a stratified SH embedded with small vesicles (SV) was formed external to OM, hence the compacted CW and SH became the eukaryotic cell wall (EW). (**B**) PMT with wide luminal space were formed continuously by elongation of CV; PD got matured with a complete SP, bisecting by two pairs of PMT. The expanded PC occupied most of NS in longitudinally sectioned plane. (**C**) PC filling with PMT occupied whole NS, such that NS disappeared in longitudinally sectioned plane, CHE adhered to CE, from which a dense vesicle (DSV) shed off into the widened ES. (**D**) A vertical section of cell. The anterior PC portion occupied the surrounding NS, so EX was pushed to the shrinking NS around the posterior portion of PC, at the border of which MS fused into a limiting membrane (LM). (**E**) An oblique section through the posterior half of cell, in which most of the NX aggregated at one side of PC. (**F**) A tangential section through the posterior end of cell. All NX converged at one side of PC, which was encased by LM and thus turned into the primitive nucleus (PN) containing CF, RB and EOV; while LM became the primitive nuclear envelope (PNE). NS vanished and in turn CE shrank and wrapped PC and PN. Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 8. Concurrent formation of eukaryotic cytoplasm and biogenesis of mitochondria. (**A**) PN was engulfing a vesicle-containing body (VB) with concomitant extrusion of nuclear matrix, i.e. the eukaryotic cytoplasm (EM). PNE comprised a nuclear envelope (NE) and an outer nuclear envelope (OE), which was contiguous with CE at the outer side (black arrowhead), but separated in PC cavity. EM was extruded from PN at the site where NE and OE fused (white arrowhead), and concurrently a mitochondrion (M) was assembled in PC. (**B**) PC with enriched stroma (SM) further invaginated, in the apical dome of its cavity, a mitochondrion with

characteristic cristae (CR) emerged. OE and NE of the enlarged PN were separated by an inter envelope space (IES), but came into contact a one site and fused into a large opening (arrowhead), from which the nuclear matrix (i.e. EM) was expelled. In the meantime, a number of SMV and microfibrils (ML) budded and emanated from CE into ES. (**C**) PN expelled EM from the fused opening of NE and OE (arrowhead) in the presence of a newly formed spherical mitochondrion. (**D**) A large PN extruded EM (arrowhead) in the presence of two mitochondria, while two spindle-shaped mitochondria were being assembled within PC. (**E**) PN expelled EM (arrowhead) coincident with the appearance of a spindle-shaped mitochondrion. (**F**) A large PN contained a membrane-delimited intranuclear body (IB). Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 9. Biogenesis of mitochondria after building up EM. (**A**) After formation of EM, PN got matured into nucleus (N); while a small mitochondrion was being developed in PC in the presence of two mitochondria, three vacuoles (V), a lipid droplet (LD) and some electron-dense materials (arrowhead). (**B**) A twisting dumbbell-shaped mitochondrion was nearly finished, one of its bulbous-end containing an internal body (ITB) was segregated, but the other was contiguous with PC. Another mitochondrion within EM also sequestered an ITB. (**C**) A large 'L-shaped' mitochondrion was being assembled in the presence of three vacuoles and a cluster of small opaque vesicles (SOV), which was continuous with PC in the region around its corner point. The inner side mitochondrial envelope (ME) and the corresponding portion of CHE as well as CR were all synthesized by fusion of the dense-margined vesicles (DGV) (arrowhead) that were developed by segmentation of PMT. There was a large nucleoid-like structure (NT) in the venter side of PC. (**D**) After emergence of the large vacuoles with internal vesicle (IV) and multilamellar body (MLB), a bulky mitochondrion was being developed, which was connected with PC on the inner side but a small mitochondrion on the outer side. In the inner and outer interfaces, three and two pairs of contorted membranes were being synthesized respectively by merging DGV (arrowhead). In addition, several large ELV were embedded in EW. Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 10. Biogenesis of vacuoles (**A**) The matrix of a mitochondrion was being degraded (arrowhead). (**B**) After matrix degradation, the mitochondrion turned into a vacuole containing ITB, IV and remnant CR or only electron-transparent matrix. Meanwhile, a new mitochondrion was being developed in PC, a LD was formed at CE and a piece of chloroplast debris (CD) emerged in EM. (**C**) ITB in two small mitochondria degraded into electron-dense debris (ED); while ITB in the large vacuole remained intact, the vacuolar membrane fused with CE and invaginated (arrowhead) (**D**) a small vacuole expelled ED into EM; while a large vacuole sequestered some residual membranes (RM), the membranes of which contacted and fused with CE, resulting in an opening

(arrowhead). (**E**) A large vacuole contained MLB, while a small vacuole extruded a LD into EM. (**F**) Two vacuoles contained MLB and IV respectively. A small vacuole fused with CE, giving rise to an opening (arrowhead); and several cobblestone-shaped DRV emerged in EV. Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 11. Formation and degradation of PMT-derived vesicles and coalescence of vacuoles. (**A**) A collection of short PMT 'rolled up' into "vesicle within vesicle" like compound vesicle (CPV) in PC margin. (**B**) A CPV was segregating from PC into EM. (**C**) A CPV was detaching from PC into a vacuole; while a large vacuole protruded into another one (arrowhead). (**D**) Membranes of the protruded vacuoles and the vacuole that contained membranous fragments (MF) fused at their contact site (arrowhead). (**E**) Two vacuoles were merging, in which a

LD was extruded from MLB; while a conspicuous piece of CD presented in EM. (**F**) PC got matured in chloroplast (C); all vacuoles coalesced into a single vacuole containing ED, MF and MLB. Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 12. Vacuole mediated unconventional exocytosis and endocytosis. (**A**) An opening was formed at the contact site of the vacuolar membranes and CE (arrowhead), from which the vacuolar content was released. (**B**) The contacted vacuolar membranes and CE broke up into fragments (arrowhead)，resulting in a wide opening, from which the soluble contents and SOV were expelled outside into ES. (**C**) The vacuolar membranes merged with the CE at two distant sites and then invaginated, resulting in a large invaginated space (IVS) entrapping some electron-dense fibrils (FB) and globular particles (GP); while CE between the two merged sites disrupted and coiled into membranous structures (arrowhead). It was clear that the invaginated vacuolar membranes consisted of two unit membranes (arrow). (**D**) A vacuole contained a large IV, which was in contact with a sausage-shaped mitochondrion and close to a CD. Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 13. Structure and reproduction of TDX16-derived eukaryotic cell. (**A**) A TDX16-derived eukaryotic cell (TDX16-DE cell) contained an 'e'-shaped chloroplast (C), a nucleus (N) and two mitochondria. The nucleus contained CF and some RB, whose OE and NE contacted and appressed to CE at the opening of chloroplast cavity (arrowhead). (**B**) Electron-dense vesicles (EDV) budded form NE (black arrowhead) into IES, and then fused with and re-budded from OE, ultimately reached the inner side of CHE and the two sides of CE. There were several openings at OE (white arrowhead), and one opening at the contact site of OE and CE (arrow). (**C**) OE and NE contacted intimately, on which large openings (arrowhead) were formed, and amazingly a fusion pore or conduit was formed at the contact site of NE, OE and CE (arrow). (**D**) Four developing autospores in an autosporangium (AUA) were segregated from each other by the wide interspace (INS). Scale bar, 0.5 μm.

Figure 14. Absorption and fluorescence emission spectra. (**A**) In vivo absorption spectra of TDX16 (red) and TDX16-DE cell (blue). Absorption spectra of phycocyanin (PC) (**B**), chlorophyll b (**C**) and lutein (**D**). Fluorescence emission spectra of water soluble pigment extracts (**E**) and lipid soluble pigment extracts (**F**) of TDX16 (red) and TDX16-DE cell (blue).