Transcriptional responses of *Escherichia coli* during recovery from

inorganic or organic mercury exposure

Stephen LaVoie (slavoie5@uga.edu) and Anne O. Summers[*] (summers@uga.edu)

Department of Microbiology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602

Keywords: longitudinal RNA-Seq, transcriptomics, toxic metals, essential metals, stress

response, biofilm, phenylmercury, antibiotic resistance

ABSTRACT

Background: The protean chemical properties of mercury have long made it attractive

for diverse applications, but its toxicity requires great care in its use, disposal, and

recycling. Mercury occurs in multiple chemical forms, and the molecular basis for the

distinct toxicity of its various forms is only partly understood. Global transcriptomics

applied over time can reveal how a cell recognizes a toxicant and what cellular

subsystems it marshals to repair and recover from the damage. The longitudinal effects

on the transcriptome of exponential phase *E. coli* were compared during sub-acute

exposure to mercuric chloride ($HgCl_2$) or to phenylmercuric acetate (PMA) using RNA-

Seq.

Results: Differential gene expression revealed common and distinct responses to the

mercurials throughout recovery. Cultures exhibited growth stasis immediately after each

mercurial exposure but returned to normal growth more quickly after PMA exposure

than after $HgCl_2$ exposure. Correspondingly, PMA rapidly elicited up-regulation of a

large number of genes which continued for 30 min, whereas fewer genes were up-

regulated early after $HgCl_2$ exposure only some of which overlapped with PMA up-

regulated genes. By 60 min gene expression in PMA-exposed cells was almost

indistinguishable from unexposed cells, but $HgCl_2$ exposed cells still had many

differentially expressed genes. Relative expression of energy production and most

metabolite uptake pathways declined with both compounds, but nearly all stress

response systems were up-regulated by one or the other mercurial during recovery.

Conclusions: Sub-acute exposure influenced expression of ~45% of all genes with

many distinct responses for each compound, reflecting differential biochemical damage

by each mercurial and the corresponding resources available for repair. This study is

the first global, high-resolution view of the transcriptional responses to any common

toxicant in a prokaryotic model system from exposure to recovery of active growth. The

responses provoked by these two mercurials in this model bacterium also provide

insights about how higher organisms may respond to these ubiquitous metal toxicants.

BACKGROUND

The common metallic element mercury (Hg) has no beneficial biological function

and its chemical similarities to essential transition metals such as zinc, copper, and iron

make it highly toxic to all living systems. Global mercury emissions range from 6500 to

8500 Mg annually with estimates of half [1, 2] and even two-thirds [3] being

anthropogenic and the rest from volcanism. Mercury exists in multiple chemical forms

that are readily susceptible to abiotic and biotic inter-conversions [4]. Mercury occurs

naturally as the insoluble HgS ore (cinnabar), as inorganic complexes of Hg^{+2}, Hg^{+1}, or

$(Hg_2)^{2+}$ of varying solubility depending on available ligands, and as organomercurials

generated by microbial and anthropogenic processes.

Major sources of chronic mercury exposure in humans include dental amalgam

fillings [5, 6], consumption of fish containing methylmercury [7], and artisanal gold

mining operations [8]. Organomercurials, like phenylmercury, methylmercury, and

merthiolate (ethylmercury) have historically been used in medical, industrial and

agricultural applications as antimicrobial or fungicidal agents [9-11]. The toxic effects of

mercury exposure in humans are associated with neurological, kidney, liver,

gastrointestinal, and developmental disorders [9, 12-15].

Like other common electrophilic toxic metals such as arsenic, cadmium, and

lead, there is no single biochemical target for mercury damage. Mercury has a strong

affinity for sulfur and selenium [16, 17] and therefore targets the cellular thiol pool,

composed of glutathione and cysteine thiol groups of proteins [9] and selenocysteine, a

rare but critical amino acid in proteins involved in redox defense and thyroid function

[18]. Depletion of the cellular thiol pool and disruption of the cellular membrane potential

by mercury can induce oxidative stress and apoptosis pathways in mitochondria [19,

20]. However, there is no evidence that mercury itself undergoes Fenton-type chemistry

to generate reactive oxygen species like iron and copper [21].

In earlier work we used global proteomics to identify stable mercury-protein

binding sites in growing *E. coli* cells exposed to acute levels of organic or inorganic Hg

[22]. We found cysteine sites in several hundred proteins, many highly conserved

evolutionarily, that formed stable adducts with one or more of these mercurials,

consequently disrupting many cellular processes such as iron homeostasis and the

electrolyte balance [23]. Importantly, we found that organic and inorganic mercurials

had distinct effects on these cellular processes and distinct protein structural

preferences. Although the pathobiology of organic and inorganic mercurials has been

known for decades to differ, with methyl- and ethyl-mercury recognized as neurotoxic

and inorganic mercury as neurotoxic, nephrotoxic, hepatotoxic, and immunotoxic, no

previous studies at that time had assessed the biochemical underpinnings of these

distinctions on a global scale in any model system.

Motivated by our proteomics observations and by microarray data from *C.*

elegans showing distinct transcriptional single end point response and toxicity for

inorganic and organic mercurials [24], we applied RNA-Seq to examine the

transcriptional effects of $HgCl_2$ and phenylmercuric acetate (PMA) exposure on *E. coli*

K-12 MG1655 over time. This is the first study to examine the global transcriptional

response to mercury exposure in a microorganism and the only study to compare

directly the effects of different compounds over time through recovery. The changes in

gene expression were idiosyncratic for each compound, confirming and extending the

idea that the cell suffers overlapping but distinct biochemical damage and marshals

both distinct and overlapping recovery processes in response to these chemically

distinct mercurials. Although our work was in a bacterium, the high evolutionary

conservation of many of the genes whose expression we identified as mercury-

vulnerable offers insights for the toxicology of mercury compounds in higher organisms.

METHODS

Cell cultures.

For each biological RNA-Seq replicate *E coli* K-12 MG1655 was subcultured from

cryostorage on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar overnight at 37°C. A half-dozen well-isolated

colonies were used to inoculate a 20 ml starter culture in Neidhardt MOPS Minimal

Medium (NM3) [25] (0.2% final glucose concentration) supplemented with 20 mg/L

uracil and 500 µg/L thiamine, which was incubated at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm

overnight (~18 hr). The overnight starter culture was diluted 1:30 to initiate the

experimental culture and divided into three 500 ml flasks with 100 ml NM3 in each,

which were incubated at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. When cultures reached $OD_{595} \approx$

0.470 (~ 200 min), two cultures were made 3 µM mercuric chloride ($HgCl_2$) or 3 µM

phenylmercuric acetate (PMA) and the third was left as an unexposed control. Mercury

stocks were prepared fresh for each growth experiment: 10 mM $HgCl_2$ (Fisher) in water

and 5 mM PMA (Sigma) in 25% dimethyl sulfoxide DMSO (Fisher), which is 2.1 mM or

0.015% v/v final concentration DMSO in culture. These mercurial exposures were

chosen from prior pilot experiments to find exposure conditions (OD_{595}, mercurial

concentration and sampling times) that displayed a marked decrease in growth rate

relative to the unexposed control but allowed subsequent restoration of rapid growth

rate (i.e. recovery) within 1 hr (approximately one generation in NM3) after mercurial

exposure. Duplicate 1-ml aliquots of each culture were collected at 0 (unexposed

control only), 10, 30, 60 min after mercurial exposure and immediately centrifuged at

20,800 x g for 3 min at 4°C. Spent medium was aspirated and cell pellets were frozen at

-70°C within 5 min after collection. Seven biological replicates were prepared following

this protocol and the average variance for all replicates in culture optical density over

each 90-min experiment ranged from 0.0019 – 0.0073. The three biological replicates

with the lowest variance between growth curves (range from 0.0007 – 0.0017 for all

time points) were prepared for RNA-Seq.

Purification of mRNA

One cell pellet from each condition and sampling time was thawed on ice for all

three biological replicates; total RNA was isolated by RNA*snap*™ [26] and stored at -

70°C. DNA contamination was removed by two treatments with Turbo-DNase (Ambion;

Life Technologies). RNA concentrations and A_{260}/A_{280} ratios were determined using a

Nanodrop™ 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Ribosomal RNA depletion was

performed with the Ribo-Zero™ rRNA removal kit for Gram-negative bacteria (Epicentre)

and concentrated using RNA Clean and Concentrator™ -5 columns (Zymo Research)

following the manufacturer's instructions. Purified mRNA was quantified using the

Nanodrop™ and stored at -70°C.

Library Preparation and Next-generation Sequencing

The quality and quantity of rRNA-depleted RNA was assessed on a 2100

Bioanalyzer RNA pico chip (Agilent Technologies) using the manufacturer's

recommendations. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) libraries were prepared using the

Kapa biosystems NGS stranded library prep kit for RNA-Seq with dual indexed Illumina

adapters. Library insert size was ~150 bp, as determined by high-sensitivity NGS

fragment analysis kit for Fragment Analyzer™ (Advanced Analytical Technologies) using

the manufacturer's instructions. Quantification of each library was done by qPCR and all

30 libraries were pooled in equal concentrations. The library preparation, quality

analysis, and pooling were performed by the Georgia Genomics Facility

(http://dna.uga.edu). Paired-end (2 x 50 bp) sequencing of the pooled libraries using the

Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform was performed by the HudsonAlpha Institute for

Biotechnology Genomic Services Laboratory (http://gsl.hudsonalpha.org). See Table S1

for index and filename information for data uploaded to NCBI Gene Expression

Omnibus database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with accession ID: GSE95575.

Data Processing and Differential Expression Analysis

Quality control processing of sequence data was performed using Galaxy

(https://galaxyproject.org) on the Georgia Advanced Computing Resource Center at the

University of Georgia. The FASTX tools in Galaxy

(http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit) were used for filtering by quality (80% of

sequence ≥ quality score of 20), then reads were trimmed at both 5' and 3' ends using a

window and step size of 1 with quality score ≥ 20. Forward- and reverse-read mate-

pairs were assembled and aligned to the *Escherichia coli* MG1655 K-12 genome using

Bowtie2 [27]. SAMtools [28] was used to convert Bowtie2 output (.bam file) to SAM

format. The number of sequence reads that aligned to features in the annotation file

(Escherichia_coli_str_k_12_substr_mg1655.GCA_000005845.2.24.gtf from

http://bacteria.ensembl.org) were tabulated from the resulting SAM alignment files using

the HTSeq-count program [29] with intersection non-empty mode. Mapped read counts

were analyzed for significant differential expression (false discovery rate of ≤ 0.01, fold-

change ≥ 2) using the baySeq package in R [30]. All genes that did not meet both the ≤

1% FDR and ≥ 2 fold-change criteria were indicated as no-change in figures, tables,

and text. Within baySeq, two-way comparisons using quantile normalization were made

for all three biological replicate transcriptomes over time for $HgCl_2$ exposure or PMA

exposure versus the unexposed control. We also examined changes over time in the

unexposed control culture itself.

RESULTS

Effects of sub-acute mercury exposure on growth of MG1655.

We defined sub-acute exposure as the concentration of mercury that clearly

inhibited growth relative to the unexposed control but allowed cells to resume growth

within 1 hour or approximately one generation in this medium (Figure 1a, Figure S1).

We chose restoration of growth rate, not stationary phase cell density, as the

benchmark for recovery so as not to conflate normal cellular stationary phase "stop

growing" signals with mercury-induced "stop growing" signals. Based on pilot

experiments the appropriate dose proved to be 3 µM for both mercurials. Exposure to 4

- 5 µM $HgCl_2$ prevented growth resumption during 1 hr and the effects of PMA exposure

were similar at 3 and 5 µM; exposure to 2.5 µM of either mercurial did not consistently

retard growth (data not shown).

Cell-associated Hg (Table S2 and Supporting Information Methods) declined

slowly as has been reported previously for low level $HgCl_2$ exposure of Hg sensitive

cells and was attributed to non-specific endogenous reductants [31-33]. Bound Hg in

cells exposed either to $HgCl_2$ or to PMA declined similarly from ~50% of total Hg added

to culture at 10 min to ~20% at 30 min, after which Hg loss from PMA-exposed cells

continued to decline to 11% of input at 60 min. In contrast, cell-associated Hg in cells

exposed to $HgCl_2$ increased from 24% at 30 to 47% at 60 min. Presently, we have no

simple explanation for this unexpected difference in cell-bound Hg in late exponential

phase cultures, however it does echo our finding that cultures acutely exposed to 40 µM

or 80 µM PMA or $HgCl_2$ bound 24% or 208% more Hg(II) than PhHg, respectively [23].

Also notable was a brief drop in the culture optical density immediately after PMA

exposure consistent with some cell lysis as has been reported [34]. The lack of

apparent lysis after divalent HgCl$_2$ exposure may be due to its ability to cross-link cell

envelope proteins via their cysteines, which is not possible for monovalent PMA.

Transcriptome benchmarks

Paired-end libraries averaged over 9.5 million reads and mapped reads provided

an average of 143X coverage (Figure S2). The sequencing data were of high quality,

requiring removal of only 11% as low-quality reads. Of the high-quality reads, 97% of

reads mate-paired, 99.4% of paired reads mapped to the genome and 82% of reads

mapped to an annotated genome feature on average from all libraries.

Overall 89% of annotated mapped reads were to coding regions (CDS) based on

raw un-normalized read counts per gene output from HTseq-count program [29](Figure

S3, Table S3, Table S4). Pearson correlations of raw read counts confirmed that no

strong biases were introduced in biological replicates for each condition (Figures S4-

S6). That dispersion is slightly greater in both mercury exposure conditions than in

unexposed cultures, especially at later time points, is consistent with perturbations of

multiple cellular processes.

Mapped reads to rRNA constituted only 0.3% of total reads (std. dev. = 0.425) on

average for all libraries (Table S3) consistent with effective Ribo-Zero™ rRNA removal.

In the unexposed culture non-coding RNA's (ncRNA) were 4% of total reads over all

time points, but their percentage increased in mercury exposure conditions indicating

greater differential expression of some ncRNA genes (details below). The very

abundant tmRNA (*ssrA*) needed for rescuing stalled ribosomes [35] was 6% of total

reads in the unexposed condition and although this percentage increased for mercury

exposure conditions, the tmRNA gene (*ssrA*) was not differentially expressed under any

condition. Pseudogenes accounted for less than 1% of total reads, but up to 35%

($HgCl_2$) and 13% (PMA) of them were significantly up-regulated. The tRNA's were less

than 1% of total reads because the library preparation method we used was not

optimized for such small RNAs. However, approximately 35% of these observed tRNA

genes were significantly down-regulated during the first 30 min after exposure to either

mercurial.

$HgCl_2$ and PMA transcriptional responses are not the same.

We expected that significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the

mercury exposure conditions (compared to the unexposed cells) would change over

time as the cells transitioned from initial growth stasis back into a normal growth rate.

We also expected that some DEG responses would be similar because both mercurials

are thiophilic and will bind to the cellular thiol redox buffer, glutathione (GSH), and to

protein cysteine residues. However, since there are physiological differences and

protein site preferences for each compound in acute Hg(II)- or PMA-exposure [23 and

Zink et. al. in preparation] we aimed here at a low exposure using a longitudinal protocol

to discern more subtle distinctions between these mercurials as the cells experienced

stasis and then recovered their growth rate. In the following sections, we first describe

the bulk measures of gene expression over time and then describe differences in

specific functional pathways.

Differentially expressed genes: the view from 30,000 feet.

(a) Differentially expressed genes (DEG) for each condition and time point

DEGs were determined by pairwise comparisons of mercury exposure conditions relative to the unexposed culture at the corresponding time point (Figure 1b and Table S5). Ten minutes after exposure, expression of 41% or 49% of the 4,472 non-rRNA genes changed significantly for $HgCl_2$ or PMA-exposed cells, respectively (Figure 1b). At 30 min with growth still arrested, 32% of genes in the $HgCl_2$-exposed cells were differentially expressed (Figure 1b), (Figure 1a, red). In contrast, PMA-exposed cells at 30 min began to recover their prior growth rate (Figure 1a, green), but 45% of their genes remained differentially expressed (Figure 1b). By 60 min, the PMA-exposed cells were growing at nearly their pre-exposure rate and only 1.5% of genes were differentially expressed, whereas the $HgCl_2$-exposed cells were still growing more slowly than pre-exposure with 13% of their genes still differentially expressed compared to the unexposed cells (Figure 1b).

(b) Shared and unique genes at each time point for each exposure.

The total distinct DEGs across all time points was slightly lower for $HgCl_2$ (2,327) than for PMA (2,541) exposure (Figure 1b and Figure S7). More striking were the differences in DEGs at each time point; PMA-exposed cells modulated 20% more genes at 10 min (2,181 vs 1,821) and 40% more at 30 min (2,007 vs 1,422) than $HgCl_2$ exposed cells. This trend completely reversed by 60 min when DEGs declined in both exposure conditions but $HgCl_2$ exposed cells were still modulating ~9-fold more genes (563) than PMA-exposed cells (65), consistent with the latter recovering normal growth sooner (Figure 1a). Also notable is the carryover of DEGs from one time point to the

next where $HgCl_2$ exposed cells have 1,001 DEGs in common at 10 and 30 min after

exposure but that number is 65% greater in PMA-exposed cells (1,650) (Figure S7).

However, $HgCl_2$ exposed cells have 52% more DEGs that are unique at 10 min

compared to PMA-exposed cells (804 vs 529), i.e. more $HgCl_2$ provoked DEGs occur

sooner after exposure than later. $HgCl_2$ exposure also yields more DEGs that occur at

all time points compared to PMA (Figure S7) since there are very few DEGs at 60 min

for PMA exposure.

(c) Up-regulated vs. down-regulated genes for each exposure

Sorting expression simply into genes up-regulated or down-regulated by $HgCl_2$ or

PMA at each time point (Figure 2) revealed additional quantitative distinctions between

them. For up-regulated genes, PMA-provoked more unique DEGs than DEGs in

common with $HgCl_2$ exposure at all time points, in contrast to $HgCl_2$ which had more

DEGs in common than unique at all but the last time point. This trend was not continued

for down-regulated genes where more genes were in common for both compounds than

unique at all time points.

Thus, early in exposure the cell reduced expression of a similar number of genes

for both mercurials, but up-regulated expression of many more genes in response to

PMA than to $HgCl_2$, and these distinct trends persisted to the middle time point. By 60

min, gene expression of PMA-exposed cells closely resembled that of unexposed cells,

but $HgCl_2$ exposed cells still have many up- and down-DEGs, consistent with slower

growth rate recovery by $HgCl_2$ exposed compared to PMA-exposed cells (Figure 1a).

(d) Differentially expressed genes grouped by functional category.

To sort our observations from a different perspective, the DEGs for each

condition were grouped by Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) to identify

expression differences based on gene functions (Figure 3 and Table S6). For most

COGs, both mercurials elicited their strongest responses, up- or down-regulated, within

the first 10 min of exposure (Figure 3); in most cases, the responses were of similar

magnitude. At 30 min, the PMA (green) responses remained nearly the same, up- or

down-regulated, but $HgCl_2$ provoked responses (red) generally diminished, often

sharply. By 60 min, expression in PMA-exposed cells was barely distinguishable from

the unexposed cells in all COG categories, whereas $HgCl_2$ exposed cells had notable

differential expression in most COG categories.

The four well-defined COG categories with the most DEGs were energy

production (C), amino acid metabolism (E), carbohydrate metabolism (G), and

transcription (K), which are also categories with a large number of genes (284, 355,

381, and 294 respectively) in *E. coli* (Figure 3 legend). COG categories R and S

encoding poorly defined (261) or non-defined (203) genes were also represented

proportionally. These data suggest both mercurials broadly affect most metabolic

categories, albeit to different degrees and at different rates. However, four well-defined

COG categories have strikingly different responses to $HgCl_2$ and PMA. COG categories

for nucleotide metabolism (F), translation (J), motility (N), and intracellular trafficking (U)

have many fewer up-regulated genes in $HgCl_2$ exposed cells than in PMA-exposed

cells. There are relatively few DEGs involved in cell division (D), extracellular structures

(W), and mobile genetic elements (X) and genes within these categories responded

similarly to both mercurials. Thus, grouping DEGs by COGs illuminates the broad

functional differences and similarities between $HgCl_2$ and PMA exposure. Moreover, this

view makes clear that DEGs occur in all functional categories for both compounds, but

still display distinct differences in global transcriptional response to each compound. We

provide gene-level detail on several of these functional groups below. Note that the

COG database only includes functional annotations for 3,398 of *E. coli's* 4,497 genes,

but functional categories discussed below in more detail are not limited to COG-

annotated genes.

A heat map of the DEGs log-fold changes (Figure 4) provides a more granular

look at all DEGs for both compounds across all time points. The heat map, using Ward's

minimum variance clustering method [36], shows considerable uniformity of up- and

down-regulated expression during the 30 min after PMA exposure. In contrast, although

$HgCl_2$ exposed cells grossly shared many DEGs with PMA-exposed cells (Figure 2), the

heat map reveals a more variegated response to Hg(II) in which different genes are up-

or down-regulated at all time points, in contrast to the relatively consistent response of

PMA during recovery. Overall, 34% of DEGs are unique to only one mercurial and ~3%

of all DEGs had an opposite response to each compound (Table S5). The most

dramatic differences were at 60 min when $HgCl_2$ exposed cells were still modulating

many genes but PMA-exposed cells had only minor differences with unexposed cells,

consistent with their faster recovery of normal growth (Figure 1a). We dissect some of

these differences in function-specific heat maps below.

We also used STRING (version 10.0) [37, 38] for unsupervised network analysis

to identify gene clusters that were up-regulated in response to each compound (Figure

S8 and Table S7). We focused on up-regulated genes on the working assumption that

they are more likely to contribute to recovery than genes whose expression is turned

down. Gene clusters were generated by STRING based on organism specific data

mining to identify genes with a functional association, such as a common biological

purpose, location within the same operon, or shared regulatory mechanism. Note that

this network algorithm does not consider fold-change intensity of response; it

enumerates only whether an up-regulated gene is present at a given time point. The up-

regulated DEGs (nodes) of $HgCl_2$ exposed cells formed several tight clusters

encompassing 16 gene-ontology functions (GOFs) at 10 min, nine GOFs at 30 min, and

seven GOFs at 60 min (Figure S8 and Table S7). In contrast, although there were more

nodes for PMA-exposure at 10 and 30 min, there were fewer edges yielding no well-

defined clusters at 10 min and only two GOFs at 30 min. This network analysis

suggests that, although PMA provokes more DEGs than Hg(II) does, there is less

functional congruence between the genes involved in the response to PMA. Specific

gene and function changes are discussed further in the next section.

Lastly, as a control for using RNA-Seq in a longitudinal experiment, we observed

DEGs at sequential time points in the unexposed control culture (Figure S9 and Table

S8). As expected, changes were gradual over time with no more than 5% of the

genome being differentially expressed from one time point to the next. At the 60 min

time point, as the cells approached stationary phase 815 genes were differentially

expressed compared to mid-log (time 0). Sorting these DEGs by COGs (Figure S9) and

by STRING network analysis (Figure S10 and Table S7) showed, as expected, many

DEGs were consistent with normal transitioning from mid-log to late-log phase [39, 40].

Higher resolution view of expression differences in specific functional groups

during recovery from exposure to HgCl$_2$ or PMA

Taking the perspective that a toxicant is a kind of signaling molecule, we

considered differences in gene expression for the two Hg compounds to reflect how the

cell senses the biochemically distinct damage produced by these two metallo-

electrophiles as manifest by what tools the cell calls upon to restore its viability. A quick

snapshot of the great extent of these compound-specific differences can be seen in the

genes with a >20-fold increase in differential expression after HgCl$_2$ exposure (Table 1)

or PMA exposure (Table 2). Here we emphasize up-regulated genes on the working

assumption they could contribute directly or indirectly to repairing damage caused by

mercurial exposure. The 25 genes highly up-regulated by HgCl$_2$ (Table 1) are involved

in altering the cell surface, oxidative stress response and repair, protein chaperones,

metals homeostasis, and ribonucleotide reductase. The vestigial prophage genes likely

play no rescue role for the cell and were simply activated by generalized stress

responses and have large fold-change values due to repressed expression under

normal growth conditions. The corresponding PMA response echoed only 8 of these 25

HgCl$_2$ high-responders, and notably did not include the vestigial phage genes.

For PMA, the highly up-regulated genes are a distinct contrast to those for HgCl$_2$.

First, the maximum amplitude of the PMA-provoked differential expression is generally

much less than for Hg(II)-provoked high differential expression (Table 1), which could

reflect the lower uptake of PMA. Secondly, while 11 of the 17 PMA-provoked genes

were also on the HgCl$_2$ highly differential expression list, ion transport and antibiotic

resistance loci were more prominent with PMA and prophage genes were absent.

These two snapshot tables make the points that both mercurials generate broad,

but idiosyncratic, cellular responses. To place these "tips of many icebergs" in their

larger cellular context, we used heat maps and tables of subsets of functionally related

genes to discuss the differential effects of HgCl$_2$ and PMA on twelve canonical cellular

systems in the following sections.

i. INFORMATIONAL MACROMOLECULES

(a) DNA replication, recombination and repair

Of the 24 genes for initiation and maintenance, and termination of chromosome

replication, there were more genes down-regulated (8) than up-regulated (3) in

response to HgCl$_2$ and an equal number up- or down-regulated genes (7) in response to

PMA (Table S9). Of the 14 genes encoding the replicative polymerase holoenzyme, four

genes capable of translesion synthesis (*polB*, *dinB*, *umuCD*) were up-regulated more by

HgCl$_2$ exposure (Table S9), suggesting a greater degree of direct or indirect DNA

damage by HgCl$_2$ exposure. Of the 45 genes for repair and recombination proteins the

transcriptional response to each mercurial was very similar (11 up-regulated and 16

down-regulated for HgCl$_2$; 9 up-regulated and 18 down-regulated for PMA). But there

were repair genes unique to each compound: *xthA*, *uvrAB*, *mutM,* and *recN* were only

up-regulated by HgCl$_2$; and *mutH* and *mutY* were only up-regulated by PMA.

The *recA, recN,* and *xthA* DNA repair genes were ~~the most~~ highly up-regulated

(≥10 fold) in response only to HgCl$_2$. The *recA* gene, induced by double-strand DNA

breaks, serves multiple roles in DNA repair [41, 42]. Curiously, expression of *recBCD,*

which is needed for break repair, either did not change or declined compared to

unexposed cells for both mercurials. Expression of several genes involved in repair

(*recG*, *nth*, *hsdS*, and *mcrC*) were down-regulated by both compounds, but with larger

negative fold-changes for PMA than $HgCl_2$. Thus, the cells responded quickly to both

mercurials, but some distinct responses suggest these two compounds directly or

indirectly yield different kinds of DNA damage.

(b) Transcription

Of the core RNA polymerase (RNAP) genes only PMA-exposure increased

expression of a single gene *rpoZ* (ω subunit), but expression decreased in the

remaining *rpoABC* core genes (Table S10). $HgCl_2$ exposure did not change expression

of any RNAP core genes except for a transient 3-fold drop in *rpoA* at 30 min. Only one

of the five termination factors, the Rho-directed anti-terminator, *rof,* increased and did

so for both mercurials with PMA again provoking a greater response.

Genes for three sigma factors displayed increased expression upon exposure to

either mercurial, with *rpoH* (heat shock sigma factor) and *rpoS* (stationary phase and

stress response sigma factor) increasing more following PMA-exposure and *rpoD*

(housekeeping sigma factor) only increasing after $HgCl_2$ exposure. The effects of $HgCl_2$

or PMA exposure on the regulation of genes within each regulon controlled by *E. coli's*

seven sigma factors are tabulated in Table S11. Many genes are modulated

differentially by $HgCl_2$ or PMA-exposure, but no single sigma factor is uniquely

responsible for increases or decreases in responses to these two compounds.

Many of the 203 transcriptional regulators annotated in the RegulonDB (Table 3)

[43, 44] and the 1,723 genes they control were expressed differently with the two

mercurials (Table S12). PMA provoked up-regulation of more transcription factor genes

at 10 and 30 min than $HgCl_2$ exposure, but slightly fewer down-regulated regulators

(Table 3). Of all COG categories, transcription had the most up-regulated genes for both

mercurials (Figure 3 and Table S6). PMA up-regulated ~40% more transcription related

genes at 10 min and ~80% more genes at 30 min than $HgCl_2$. Six activators (*mhpR,*

glcC, gadX, soxS, mlrA, phoB) and three repressors (*mcbR, iscR, betI*) were up-

regulated at all times for $HgCl_2$, but *gadX* was the only activator gene up-regulated at all

times for both mercurials (Table S12). GadX is part of the RpoS regulon [45] and

activates the acid resistance system and multidrug efflux [46, 47]. Details of

transcription factors and their regulons are provided in Table S12.

Lastly*, E. coli* has 65 currently annotated (ASM584v2), small non-coding RNAs.

Although our RNA purification and library preparation methods were not optimized for

their enrichment, we observed differential expression for a number of them (Table S5,

feature type "ncRNA"). ncRNAs up-regulated for both mercurials are involved in

regulation of acid resistance (*gadY*), oxidative stress (*oxyS*)*,* and multiple transporters

(*gcvB* and *sgrS*). In contrast, adhesion and motility (*cyaR*), and anaerobic metabolism

shift (*fnrS*) were down-regulated by both compounds.

(c) Translation

Upon $HgCl_2$ exposure 83% and 74% of ribosomal proteins (r-proteins) were

down-regulated at 10 and 30 min, respectively, versus only 4% and 41% for PMA at the

corresponding times (Figures 5 and S9; data for all functional group heat maps are

shown in Table S13 and Table S14). Transcription of r-proteins is repressed directly by

binding of the nutritional stress-induced nucleotide ppGpp and DksA protein to RNAP

[48]. The ppGpp synthase genes, *spoT* and *relA*, were down-regulated or unchanged,

but expression of *dksA* was up-regulated for both $HgCl_2$ and PMA exposure. R-protein

expression can also be inhibited by excess r-proteins binding to and inhibiting

translation of their own mRNAs [49, 50].

Translation initiation and elongation factors were largely unchanged, but

expression of all three peptide chain release factor genes were down-regulated for PMA

and the ribosome recycling factor (*frr*) was up-regulated only for PMA, consistent with

interruption of translation. Eight tRNA-synthase genes declined with $HgCl_2$ but PMA

caused only four tRNA-synthase genes to decline and two to increase in expression

(Table S14). Both mercurials caused a relative decline in tRNA expression for most

amino acids, especially arginine, lysine, methionine, tyrosine, and valine tRNAs. With

very few exceptions, ribosome assembly and translation were shut down for up to 30

min by both compounds, but returned to normal levels by 60 min.

(d) Macromolecular turnover and chaperones

Divalent inorganic mercury can stably crosslink proteins and their subdomains

via cysteines, disrupting 3-dimensional structures and allosteric movements [51-53].

Although monovalent PMA cannot cross-link, it forms a bulky adduct with cysteines [23],

which may compromise protein folding. The proteases and chaperones of the heat

shock response degrade or repair misfolded proteins [54] and we found their expression

was increased by both mercurials (Figures 6 and S12 and Table S13). At 10 min,

expression of protease genes *lon, clpXP,* and *ftsH* had risen 4- to 6-fold with $HgCl_2$ and

lon and *clpXP,* but not *ftsH,* were up-regulated 3-fold with PMA. $HgCl_2$ provoked up-

regulation of all 12 heat shock protein (HSP) and chaperone genes by 10 min, but only

chaperones *clpB* and *ybbN* mRNAs remained elevated at 30 min. Two other HSP

genes, Hsp15 (*hslR*) involved in stalled ribosome recycling and Hsp31 (*hchA*) an amino

acid deglycase, were further up-regulated by $HgCl_2$ at 60 min. In contrast, at 10 min

PMA had up-regulated only five HSPs, increasing to six by 30 min and declining to three

by 60 min. The *ibpA* and *ibpB* chaperone genes were among the most highly up-

regulated genes for both $HgCl_2$ and PMA and persisted throughout recovery.

Of the 16 RNases and RNA processing enzymes only 3 increased: RNase R (3-

fold for $HgCl_2$ and 5-fold for PMA) for both compounds during first 30 min; RNase III

two-fold for PMA at 30 min; and RNase T two-fold for $HgCl_2$ at 60 min. The

degradasome complex subunit genes (*rne, eno, rhlB, pnp, ppk*) [55] were all down-

regulated for PMA during the first 30 min following exposure, except the helicase (*rhlB*),

but only enolase was down-regulated for $HgCl_2$ (Figures 6 and S12 and Table S13).

Expression of RNase II (*rnb)* was also down-regulated for both compounds, but with

relatively greater fold-changes observed for PMA. It is unclear what effect these

changes in gene expression could have on RNA turnover and message decay rates

while under mercury stress. As with the DNA metabolism genes, expression of the

transcriptional apparatus shows that sufficient PMA was taken up to elicit both positive

and negative responses distinct from $HgCl_2$.

ii. ENERGY PRODUCTION

(a) Electron transport chain

Expression of approximately 50% of all electron transport chain (ETC) genes was

down-regulated during the first 30 min for $HgCl_2$ and PMA, with individual gene

responses being very similar for both compounds (Figures 7 and S13 and Table S13).

By 60 min, only 26% of these genes were down-regulated for $HgCl_2,$ and none were

down-regulated for PMA. Expression of NADH:unbiquinone oxidoreductase genes was

down-regulated by both compounds, with 77% and 100% of these genes being down-

regulated at 10 and 30 min, respectively. The ATP-synthase subunit genes were also

strongly down-regulated by both mercurials at 10 and 30 min, but normal expression

was restored at 60 min.

The *torCAD* locus that encodes the trimethylamine N-oxide anaerobic respiratory

system was strongly up-regulated only by PMA exposure. This is likely an artifact of

low-level basal expression and the dimethyl sulfoxide used to dissolve PMA. The final

DMSO concentration, 0.015% vol/vol (2.1 mM), was not expected to have any biological

effect [56] and the anaerobic DMSO reductase genes (*dmsABC*) were down-regulated.

It is unlikely that either the *tor* or *dms* responses affect growth rate [57] or afford

protection against either mercurial since over-expressed heme-dependent *torC* may be

in the apoprotein form [58].

(b) Carbon metabolism

Expression of genes for carbon metabolism decreased generally, but there were

more up-regulated genes in response to $HgCl_2$ and not all steps were affected equally

by both mercurials (Figure S14). Expression of five genes of the pentose-phosphate

pathway rose in at least one time point for $HgCl_2$, but only *pgl* increased for PMA at 10

min. The ribose-5-phosphate isomerase gene (*rpiB*), which is a backup enzyme for the

gene product of *rpiA* [59], was up-regulated 40-fold for $HgCl_2$ at 10 min; although

expression of *rpiA* did not differ from the unexposed cells for either mercurial at any

time. Glycolysis responded similarly to both mercurials, with the greatest number of

these genes being down-regulated at 30 min. The expression changes in TCA cycle

genes were distinct for $HgCl_2$ and PMA; six genes were up-regulated in at least one

time point for $HgCl_2$ and only one was up-regulated for PMA. Expression of several

carbohydrate transport genes was down-regulated by both mercurials (Table S6).

(c) Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD)

Expression of genes for nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) and NAD-

phosphate (NADP) synthesis and turnover pathways was repressed by mercury

exposure (Figure S15). The biosynthesis genes were moderately down-regulated, with

nadB being the only gene down-regulated for both mercurials at all times and *nadA*

decreasing for $HgCl_2$ at 30 and 60 min and for PMA at 30 min. Expression of the

pncABC salvage pathway did not change. The NAD reduction pathways were more

affected than the NADP reduction pathways, with only *pgi* down-regulated for both

mercurials and *edd* down-regulated only for $HgCl_2$. The transhydrogenase (*pntAB*) was

down-regulated only for PMA at 10 and 30 min. Expression of other genes for NAD to

NADH reduction in glycolysis and the TCA cycle were also down-regulated for both

mercurials, which reflects the overall decrease in metabolism and energy production

pathways.

Globally, redox metabolism declined immediately after exposure and normal

gene expression levels were not restored until growth recovered to the pre-exposure

rate. KEGG maps created using iPath [60] depict system-wide metabolism changes

over time (Figures S16-S18 for $HgCl_2$ and Figures S19-S21 for PMA).

iii. CENTRAL METABOLISM

(a) Amino acid metabolism and transport

The two mercurials had distinct effects on expression of genes for biosynthesis of

amino acids (Figure 8 and S22). Since mercury targets cysteine thiol groups and will

deplete the cellular reduced thiol pool, we expected an increase in cysteine and

glutathione biosynthesis. Surprisingly, most genes for biosynthesis of these biothiols

and for general sulfur metabolism were down-regulated or no different from the

unexposed cells, with the exception of up-regulation of *cysE*, which is the first step in

the biosynthesis pathway from serine.

Methionine biosynthesis gene expression increased for 7 genes with $HgCl_2,$ but

11 genes were down-regulated with PMA, especially *metE* dropping 187-fold with PMA

at 30 min (Figure 8 and S22). Expression of genes for histidine synthesis also

responded differently to each mercurial, rising dramatically with $HgCl_2$ at 30 to 60 min.

In contrast, all *his* genes expression dropped with PMA from 10 to 30 min. Genes for

the synthesis of leucine, isoleucine, and valine had the opposite response, with most

down-regulated with $HgCl_2$ but up-regulated with PMA. Expression of other amino acid

biosynthetic pathways was largely unchanged or declined with both mercurials.

Branched-chain (*livKHMGF*), dipeptide (*dppABCDF*), and oligopeptide (*oppABCDF*)

transporters were also down-regulated for both mercurials, with greater relative negative

fold-changes for PMA (Table S5).

(b) Inorganic ion transport and metallochaperones

Inorganic Hg(II) can displace beneficial thiophilic metals from their native binding

sites in proteins, potentially affecting transport and disrupting transition metal

homeostasis [23], leading to expression changes for non-ferrous metal cation and

oxoanion transporters (Figures 9 and S23 and Table S13), iron homeostasis (Figures

10 and S24 and Table S13) and metal-binding proteins and enzymes (Table S15).

Inorganic mercury exposure releases labile iron, which could itself increase

oxidative stress via Fenton chemistry under aerobic growth [23, 61]. Most iron uptake

pathways declined early for both mercurials, consistent with the observed increase in

expression of the Fur repressor. The cytochrome *c* maturation genes that transport

heme to the periplasm (*ccmABCDE*) were also down-regulated for both mercurials. The

putative ferrous iron and zinc efflux pump, *fieF* [62] increased 2-fold for $HgCl_2$ at 10 min

only, suggesting it may have a transient role in restoring one or both of these

homeostases.

There are two iron-sulfur (Fe-S) cluster assembly pathways in *E. coli* [63, 64].

Expression of the primary Isc system (*iscRSUA*, *hscBA*, and *fdx*) increased strongly for

both mercurials, but with greater relative changes for $HgCl_2$ (Figures 10 and S24 and

Table S13). The secondary Fe-S cluster assembly system *sufABCDSE*, which activates

under oxidative stress or iron limiting conditions also increased greatly, but only for

HgCl$_2$. These transcriptional responses confirm and extend biochemical findings [23]

that Fe-S clusters are more vulnerable to inorganic mercury than to organomercurials

and the cell quickly tries to repair this damage.

Expression of the zinc transporter *zupT* increased modestly in the 3- to 5-fold

range for both mercurials during the first 30 minutes (Figures 9 and S23 and Table

S13). In contrast, expression of the P-type ATPase zinc efflux pump, *zntA* [65]

increased in the 20- to 40-fold range for both mercurials at 10 and 30 min and the

periplasmic Zn-binding protein ZraP was up-regulated throughout recovery. *E. coli* has

two copper/silver efflux systems, Cue and Cus [66]. Surprisingly, the Cus system genes

(*cusRS, cusCFBA)* primarily used under anaerobic conditions were among the most

down-regulated genes under PMA exposure. The Cue system consists of the multi-

copper oxidase, CueO, and a P-type ATPase, CopA, both regulated by the MerR

homolog, CueR. Genes *cueO* and *copA* were up-regulated approximately 20-fold with

HgCl$_2$ at both 10 and 30 min whereas they increased 5-fold with PMA only at 10 min.

The nickel uptake system [67] (*nikABCDER*) was also strongly down-regulated under

PMA exposure conditions through all times although expression of repressor NikR was

unchanged, except for a 3-fold increase with HgCl$_2$ at 10 min. Expression of the nickel

and cobalt efflux gene, *rcnA*, increased with HgCl$_2$ or PMA. Manganese (*mntH*), and

magnesium (*mgtA, corA*) uptake genes increased with both mercurials.

Inorganic anions used by *E. coli* include phosphate, sulfate, and molybdate and

the genome also encodes genes for defense against arsenate, which acts as a

phosphate mimic (Figures 9 and S23 and Table S13). Expression of the ABC

phosphate transport system (*pstSCAB*) genes increased greatly for both mercurials,

with PMA-provoked changes up to 165-fold, relative to unexposed condition, for the

phosphate binding protein, *pstS*. The two-component phosphate regulatory system,

PhoBR, was up-regulated for both mercurials; *phoB* changed up to 22-fold with $HgCl_2$

and 105-fold with PMA relative to the unexposed condition. Sulfate and thiosulfate

uptake by the ABC transporter (*cysPUWA*) decreased strongly with $HgCl_2$ at 30 min and

PMA at 10 and 30 min. Expression of molybdate uptake (*modABC*) increased with PMA

during the first 30 min but only at 10 min with $HgCl_2$. The arsenate resistance operon

cannot effect Hg(II) resistance, but was highly induced by both mercurials, perhaps

through interacting with the three-cysteine metal-binding site of the ArsR repressor [68].

iv. SURFACE FUNCTIONS

(a) Cell wall biogenesis, porins, lps, efflux systems, and electrolyte balance

The transcriptional response of peptidoglycan, membrane biosynthesis, and cell

division genes was similar for both mercurials (Figure S25 and Table S13). Expression

increased for roughly 20% of lipid biosynthesis genes, including those for cardiolipin,

and expression decreased for 20-30% of other lipid-related genes. Transcription of

genes for murein synthesis (*murCDEFGIJ*) in particular declined for both mercurials

during the first 30 min.

E. coli encodes several antibiotic resistance efflux systems that are up-regulated

by mercury exposure (Figures 11 and S26 and Table S13). The multiple antibiotic

resistance locus (*marRAB*), which increases drug efflux and also limits passive uptake

by decreasing porin expression [69], was strongly up-regulated by both mercurials with

greater fold-changes observed for PMA, relative to the unexposed condition. Though

expression of some porin genes (*ompC, ompF, ompT, ompW*) was repressed, three

non-specific porins (*ompG, ompL, ompN*) were up-regulated only by PMA. Genes from

several TolC-dependent antibiotic efflux systems were up-regulated by both mercurials

as well, including *acrEF, emrD, emrKY,* and several *mdt* genes [70]. HgCl$_2$ exposure

alone also up-regulated two-component sensor genes (*phoQP* at 10 min and *basSR* at

60 min) that regulate genes involved in modification of the cell surface and increase

polymyxin resistance [71], but most of these genes were down-regulated or unchanged

for PMA.

The response to osmotic stress and maintenance of electrolyte balance are

important membrane functions requiring adaptation in dynamic natural environments.

During HgCl$_2$ exposure the expression of the sodium antiporter, NhaA, increased 4-fold

at 10 min and the calcium/potassium antiporter, ChaA, was up-regulated 3-fold at 10

and 30 min (Figures 9 and S23 and Table S13). In contrast, expression of genes for

transport of the major electrolyte, potassium, changed only modestly in some subunits

of the *kdp, kef*, and *trk* systems, without an obvious response pattern. However,

transcription of genes for defense against osmotic stress was uniformly up-regulated;

betaine genes (*betABIT and proP*), *osmBCEFY, and* mechanosensitive channel

proteins (*mscL* and *mscS*) increased for both mercurials, as did a putative

osmoprotectant ABC permease (yehYXW) [72] only with HgCl$_2$ at 30 and 60 min (Table

S5).

(b) Motility and biofilm

Nearly all flagellar component genes were strongly down-regulated for both

mercurials, with greater negative fold-changes observed with PMA relative to the

unexposed condition (Figures 12 and S27). Only PMA increased expression of fimbriae

and curli fiber genes, which alter motility and increase adhesion (Figure 13 and S28)

[73]. Fifteen genes up-regulated by PMA exposure were annotated as homologs of

FimA, but with unknown function. FimA is the major structural component of fimbriae,

but these genes may serve other functions. Motility genes whose expression dropped

remained low until 60 min with $HgCl_2$, indicating that the structurally and energetically

intensive motility systems are very slow to recover.

$HgCl_2$ and PMA also provoked expression of several biofilm-related genes

(Figures 13 and S28 and Table S13). The *bhsA* and *bdcA* loci were among the most

highly up-regulated genes during $HgCl_2$ exposure, with a relatively greater fold-change

than observed for PMA (Tables 2 and 3). Neither gene is well characterized, but

independently each has been found to decrease biofilm formation and increase

resistance to external stressors [74, 75]. Only PMA increased expression of genes for

poly-β-1,6-N-acetyl-glucosamine (PGA) polysaccharide production [76] and biofilm

related genes, *ycgZ, ymgA, ariA, ymgC* [77]. Thus, PMA elicits a broader response that

potentially alters the cell surface and may increase adhesion and biofilm formation; in

contrast $HgCl_2$ only inhibits motility and does not activate adhesion pathways. It is

possible that some changes observed for motility and biofilm related genes following

PMA-exposure are an artifact of the DMSO, but other studies suggest that solvent

would have no effect or that much higher concentrations than used here would be

required to induce these changes [56, 78].

v. STRESS RESPONSES

(a) Oxidative stress response and repair

There are two oxidative stress response pathways in *E. coli*, the *oxyRS* and

soxRS regulons [61, 79]. OxyR, a LysR-family transcriptional regulator, uses a cysteine-

pair to sense oxidative damage and regulates 49 genes when oxidized [80]. $HgCl_2$

exposure increased expression of 22 OxyR regulon genes at 10 min; these then

declined to 13 genes by 60 min (Table S16). In contrast, PMA provoked expression of

16 OxyR regulon genes at 10 and 30 min, but none at 60 min. *OxyS*, a small non-

coding RNA regulated by OxyR, represses *rpoS*, *fhlACD* and other genes to prevent

redundant induction of stress response genes [81]. The *oxyS* gene was among the most

highly differentially expressed genes, increasing over 1,000-fold with $HgCl_2$ at 10 and 30

min relative to the unexposed condition. Differential expression of *oxyS* was more

modest with PMA having a relative increase of 10-fold at 10 min and 6-fold at 30 min.

The SoxRS regulon is the other oxidative stress response system in *E. coli*.

SoxR, a MerR-family repressor-activator, uses the oxidation state of 2Fe-2S clusters to

respond to superoxide (O_2^-) stress and induce transcription of *SoxS* [82-85], which then

transcriptionally regulates 53 genes [79, 86] (Table S16). $HgCl_2$ or PMA exposure up-

regulated 22 or 25 genes, respectively, at 10 min and these had declined to 13 or 0

genes, respectively by 60 min.

Key genes in these oxidative stress regulons differentially expressed upon

mercury exposure include the ROS scavengers: catalase (*katG*), alkyl hydroperoxide

reductase (*ahpCF*), and superoxide dismutase (*sodA*) (Figures 14 and S29 and Table

S13). Thiol homeostasis genes included *gor*, *grxA,* and *trxC* (Figures 14 and S29 and

Table S13). Iron homeostasis and the Fe-S cluster assembly and repair genes (*fur, dps,*

fldA, fpr, hemH, sufABCDES, and *yggX*) were also up-regulated. PMA provoked

comparatively lower fold-changes than $HgCl_2$ for *grxA, trxC, ahpC, dps, fldA, hemH, and*

yggx. The manganese uptake protein, *mntH*, plays an important role in ROS resistance

[87] and was up-regulated for both mercurials. Oxidation-resistant dehydratase

isozymes, *acnA* and *fumC* [88, 89] also increased, but only for $HgCl_2$ exposure. Thus,

both mercurials triggered the Oxy and Sox oxidative stress responses, but $HgCl_2$

elicited greater fold-changes overall than PMA compared to unexposed cells.

Because mercury poisons the cellular thiol pool [23], we expected that regulation

of redox homeostasis proteins such as glutaredoxins, thioredoxins and glutathione-

related genes would respond to mercury exposure (Figure 14 and S29). Glutaredoxin 1

(*grxA*) expression was up-regulated for both mercurials, with a greater fold-change

observed for $HgCl_2$ relative to unexposed condition. In contrast, glutaredoxin 2 (*grxB*)

was down-regulated for both mercurials, while glutaredoxin 3 (*grxC*) and glutaredoxin 4

(*grxD*) were up-regulated only for PMA. Thioredoxin reductase (*trxB*) was up-regulated

2-fold with PMA only at 30 min, but was up-regulated with $HgCl_2$ 8-fold (10 min) and 4-

fold (30 min). Thioredoxin 1 (*trxA*) expression increased 2-fold only with PMA (10 min);

in contrast to thioredoxin 2 (*trxC*), which was up-regulated for both mercurials, but had

greater fold-change relative to the unexposed condition with $HgCl_2$ than with PMA.

However, the thiol peroxidase (*tpx*) was up-regulated modestly for PMA, but did not

change for $HgCl_2$. Thus, while each mercurial stresses the cell to maintain redox

homeostasis, $HgCl_2$ exposure elicited greater responses.

Glutathione (GSH) serves as the cell's redox buffer and as a scavenger of

mercurials (Figures 14 and S29 and Table S13). Surprisingly, expression of GSH

biosynthesis and utilization genes increased only modestly. The

γ-glutamyltranspeptidase (*ggt*) increased late (60 min) by 4-fold only with $HgCl_2$. GSH

synthase (*gshA*) did not change and *gshB* was up-regulated 2- or 3-fold for both

mercurials only at 10 min. The GSH importer (*gsiABCD*) may be a salvage pathway to

recover GSH and cysteine leaked into the periplasm by CydCD [90, 91], but it was

down-regulated by $HgCl_2$ or PMA through 30 min. The GSH reductase (*gor*) increased

only with $HgCl_2$ at 10 min and several GSH S-transferase genes involved in

detoxification [92] (*gstA, gstB, yfcF, yqjG, yibF, yncG*) increased with both mercurials.

Since all of these proteins have Hg(II)-vulnerable cysteines in their active sites, it is

surprising that neither Hg(II) nor PMA-challenged cells provoked increased expression

and suggests that their normal mRNA levels are sufficient to replenish them.

(b) Genes with delayed up-regulation

Genes unchanged at 10 min but differentially expressed at both 30 and 60 min or

60 min alone may be those needed as cells transition out of stasis and towards normal

growth (Table S17). For $HgCl_2$ exposure, 95 genes were up-regulated and 140 genes

were down-regulated that display this delayed response pattern. Approximately half of

the up-regulated genes are involved in energy production, transport and metabolism

pathways based on COG annotations. Roughly 45% of these delayed $HgCl_2$ provoked,

up-regulated genes are the same as genes that were differentially expressed during the

first 30 min of PMA exposure. This overlap is consistent with slower recovery of growth

in HgCl$_2$ exposed cells and that some of the same pathways are used for recovery by

both compounds. In contrast, for the more quickly recovering PMA exposure, of the

genes that showed no change at 10 min only six were up-regulated at 60 min (Table

S17). Only two of these delayed-response genes for PMA exposure overlapped with up-

regulated genes for HgCl$_2$ exposure.

DISCUSSION

Mercury is a ubiquitous toxicant that serves no biologically beneficial role.

Exposure to any form of mercury negatively impacts the health of organisms from

microbes to humans. The biological effects of different forms of mercury are often

conflated and methylmercury is assumed to be the most toxic form. However, the

systemic biochemical and molecular differences between inorganic and organic mercury

compounds have yet to be well characterized from exposure through recovery in a

single model system.

BULK DIFFERENTIAL EFFECTS ON GROWTH AND GENE EXPRESSION

The sub-acute mercury exposure conditions used in this study were chosen by

identifying a mercury concentration high enough to stop cells from doubling, but low

enough to allow restoration of the pre-exposure growth rate within one-hour (~1

generation period) after exposure (Figure 1a). Concentrations below 3 µM of either

compound did not consistently inhibit growth and higher concentrations of HgCl$_2$ did not

allow recovery within the desired time frame. The 3 µM Hg used in this study is well

within the range that bacteria can experience chronically from dental amalgam fillings

[93] and in highly contaminated environments, such as artisanal gold mining operations

[8]. Mercury in tuna is 0.386 ppm compared to the proxy organomercurial, PMA, used

here at 3 µM or 0.6 ppm [94].

PMA-exposed cells recovered exponential growth faster (Figure 1) than those

with equimolar exposure to $HgCl_2$, perhaps owing to lower uptake of PMA (Table S2).

However, PMA-exposed cells differentially expressed more genes than $HgCl_2$ exposed

cells during the first 30 min of exposure (Figure 1b). These results agree with

observations in *C. elegans,* where MeHg exposure resulted in four times more DEGs

than did $HgCl_2$ for all concentrations tested [95]. However, in contrast to *E. coli,* whose

growth was inhibited more by inorganic $HgCl_2$, in *C. elegans* the effective toxic

concentration of methylmercuric chloride was lower than for $HgCl_2$ [95]. The large

number of significant DEGs in response to mercury exposure is greater than the

response to hydrogen-peroxide [96] or nitric-oxide [97], but other types of chemical

exposures to organic acids [98] and volatile organic compounds [99] in *E. coli* have

resulted in similar numbers of DEGs to mercury exposure and the stress response

sigma factor RpoS has been shown to regulate up to 23% of the genome alone [100].

We found that most DEGs peaked at 10 min after exposure for both compounds

with $HgCl_2$ provoking more down-regulated genes and PMA yielding more up-regulated

genes throughout the exposure period (Figure 1b). Even though the optical density (OD)

of the $HgCl_2$ exposed culture showed no growth recovery from 10 min to 30 min, DEGs

decreased by 22%, while PMA-exposed cells over the same period had a moderate

increase in OD, but only an 8% decrease in DEGs (Figure 1). In contrast in a eukaryotic

system, the livers of $HgCl_2$ exposed zebrafish continuously increased in DEGs

throughout the observed 96 hour exposure period as mercury accumulated in their cells

[101].

In *E. coli,* during the first 30 min post-exposure, 50-70% of both up- and down-

regulated genes were the same for both compounds (Figure 2), but at the level of

individual genes there were both qualitative and quantitative differences in expression

(Table S5), consistent with idiosyncratic transcriptional responses to each compound.

The nematode *C. elegans* also manifested distinct and even some opposite

transcriptional responses to inorganic and organic mercury exposure in a single end-

point microarray experiment [24].

As there are not yet other studies of the global transcriptional response of a

bacterium to mercury exposure, on the basis of the findings in eukaryotes and our

proteomic work [23] we have organized our observations here into those we had

expected and those we did not expect from any yet published work.

EXPECTED AND UNEXPECTED GENE-SPECIFIC CHANGES

Expected transcriptional changes:

(a) Thiol homeostasis. The millimolar cytosolic pool of glutathione (GSH) can sequester

mercurials and thereby protect protein thiols from binding strongly to these soft metal

toxicants. However, if the GSH pool becomes depleted by mercury complexation, the

cell loses this primary defense mechanism. Since proteins of the stress response and

repair pathways all contain active site thiols, often as part of Hg(II)-vulnerable Fe-S

centers, it is not obvious how a cell that has lost much of its available thiols to Hg(II)

chelation can restore its metabolism. Given this, we expected cysteine and glutathione

biosynthesis pathways to be up-regulated. However, cysteine biosynthesis was down-

regulated (Figures 8 and S22 and Table S13) and GSH biosynthesis was mostly

unchanged (Figures 14 and S29 and Table S13) for both compounds, in contrast to the

eukaryotic response to mercury [101-103] and H_2O_2 exposure [96], which increase GSH

and metallothionein production. However, although thiol biosynthesis did not increase,

genes involved in maintaining cellular thiol homeostasis did increase (Figures 14 and

S29 and Table S13); thioredoxin (*trxC*) and glutaredoxin (*grxA*) were among the most

highly up-regulated genes with $HgCl_2$ and PMA exposure. Others have also found in *E.*

coli that glutathione reductase increased with $HgCl_2$ and both compounds increased

expression of glutathione oxidoreductase and S-transferase genes, which protect

against oxidative stress and xenobiotics [104].

(b) <u>Iron homeostasis.</u> We also expected inorganic mercury to disrupt iron-sulfur clusters

with consequent effects on Fe homeostasis generally [23, 105]. Iron uptake was down-

regulated with both mercurials, consistent with excess intracellular free Fe(II) and

general oxidative stress, but expression of the uptake repressor (*fur*) was only up-

regulated for $HgCl_2$ (Figure 10 and S24). *Fur* expression is activated by either OxyR or

SoxS [96, 106] and Fur represses Fe uptake pathways with ferrous iron as a co-

repressor [107]. Fur can also bind other divalent metals [108], so Hg(II)-Fur might mimic

Fe-Fur as an iron uptake repressor under these conditions to limit Fenton-mediated

damage from excess iron. Although both mercurials increased expression of the primary

Fe-S cluster assembly and repair system (*isc*), only $HgCl_2$ induced the secondary

system (*suf*), which is normally induced under oxidative stress or iron limiting conditions

[63, 109] (Figures 10 and S24 and Table S13). Also, only $HgCl_2$ exposure increased

expression of iron storage proteins: ferritin, bacterioferritin, and Dps (Figure 10 and

S24). The DNA binding protein Dps which binds free iron to protect DNA from ROS

damage [110] was one of the most highly up-regulated genes with $HgCl_2$ exposure

(Table 1).

(c) <u>Oxidative stress response.</u> The known close link between iron homeostasis and

oxidative stress [61] explains the large fold-changes observed upon $HgCl_2$ exposure in

genes that respond to oxidative stress (Table S16) and echoes mercury's long known

stimulation of oxidative damage in rat kidney mitochondria [111]. The small non-coding

RNA *oxyS* was the second most highly up-regulated gene upon $HgCl_2$ exposure with

differential expression more than 100-fold greater than observed for PMA (Table 1). The

ROS scavenger *ahpF* was also highly up-regulated, along with *katG* (early) and *sodA*

(delayed) but only for $HgCl_2$ (Figures 14 and S29 and Table S16). Other ROS resistant

enzymes, aconitase A [89] and fumarase C [112] (Table S16) also increased only for

$HgCl_2$, as did the manganese-dependent alternative ribonucleotide reductase genes

(*nrdHIEF*) [113]. The glutaredoxin-like protein that functions like thioredoxin, *nrdH* [114],

was highly up-regulated by $HgCl_2$ and might support other thioredoxin and glutaredoxin

proteins. These striking differences in gene expression illuminate how *E. coli* modulates

expression of specific genes not only to deal with compromised function of specific

$HgCl_2$ modified proteins, but also to manage the consequent cascade of reactive oxygen

species.

(d) <u>Heat shock response</u>. Mercurials bound to protein cysteines could disrupt protein

folding, subunit assembly, and allosteric movements [52, 115] and inorganic mercury

can crosslink neighboring cysteines leading to aggregation [51]. Increased expression

of heat shock response genes was expected as a consequence of such anticipated

protein misfolding problems [116]. Indeed, expression of heat shock chaperonins and

protease genes increased for both mercurials, with more genes up-regulated early in

response to $HgCl_2$ than to PMA (Figures 6 and S12 and Table S13). Genes for the

small chaperone-like proteins, *ibpA* and *ibpB*, were among the most highly increased for

both compounds, especially for $HgCl_2$ (Table 1), consistent with their role in aiding Lon

protease in the degradation of misfolded proteins [117-119].

(e) <u>Translational apparatus</u>. Thiophilic Cd^{2+} exposure in *E. coli* has been shown to

decrease expression of ribosomal proteins [120]. In our proteomics work (Zink et al. in

preparation) we observed fourteen r-proteins (7 for each ribosomal subunit) that formed

stable adducts with either PMA or Hg(II), so it was reasonable to expect this to be

reflected in transcription of r-proteins. Indeed, $HgCl_2$ exposure repressed expression of

up to 83% of r-protein genes at 10 min and 74% at 30 min (Figure 5, S11, and Table

S14), whereas PMA only transiently repressed expression of 41% of r-proteins at 30

min. Divalent inorganic mercury's ability to cross-link proteins may interfere with

ribosome assembly resulting in translational feedback and repression of r-proteins

transcription. Disruption of ribosome assembly could also contribute to the slower

recovery of growth after inorganic Hg(II) exposure.

(f) Energy production. The dependence of most energy production pathways on redox-

active transition metals and redox-active sulfur compounds made them obvious targets

of mercurial disruption, e.g. three ATP-synthase subunits form stable adducts after in

vivo exposure to Hg(II) or PMA (Zink et al. in preparation). Expression of genes within

this functional category was largely down-regulated early in exposure, with all nine

ATPase subunits down-regulated for $HgCl_2$ (10 min) and eight down-regulated for PMA

(30 min) (Figure 7 and S13). Although others have found that Cd exposure in *E. coli*

repressed aerobic energy metabolism genes and induced anaerobic pathways [120], we

found that both aerobic and anaerobic energy metabolism were repressed by $HgCl_2$ and

PMA. Even though expression of the oxygen-sensing *fnr* [121] and *aer* [122, 123]

activators of the anaerobic shift were moderately up-regulated for PMA and unchanged

for $HgCl_2$ (Table S5). Similarly, glucose metabolism genes were also predominantly

down-regulated during early periods for both compounds, especially with PMA exposure

(Figure S14). Thus, with severely compromised energy production systems, it is not

surprising that amino acid, carbohydrate and nucleotide metabolism genes, and the

energy-dependent transport of these molecules (Figure 3 and Table S6), are also

largely depressed initially.

(g) Homeostases of non-ferrous metals. We expected mercurials to disrupt electrolyte

balance [23], but expression of the potassium efflux pumps' subunit genes (*kcpABC,*

kefBC, and trkAGH) were not uniformly up-regulated, although the need to restore the

pH balance was indicated by transiently increased expression of the H^+/Na^+ antiporter

(*nhaA*) for both compounds (Figures 9, S23 and Table S5). It may be that normal levels

of the proteins involved in maintaining cellular electrolyte balance are sufficient to

respond to mercury exposure and a significant change in transcriptional expression is

not required for these genes.

Mercury is also expected to disrupt non-ferrous metal homeostasis because it

can displace other metals, such as zinc and copper, as enzyme cofactors [124, 125].

Expression of metal uptake genes decreased and of metal efflux genes increased for

zinc, copper, nickel and cobalt, with relatively greater fold-changes occurring with $HgCl_2$.

Zinc efflux by ZntA is regulated by the MerR homolog ZntR, which can respond to Hg(II)

[126], but has not been shown to confer resistance to Hg(II) exposure. Up-regulated

periplasmic transition metal binding proteins, ZraP [127] and ZinT [128], use histidine

residues to coordinate metal binding and all cysteine residues present in either protein

are involved in structural disulfide bonds that prohibit availability for binding metals.

Thus, these periplasmic metal binding proteins lack requisite thiol ligands [23] to

compete for Hg effectively with periplasmic glutathione [90, 129, 130]. Their increased

transcription here likely reflects their control by a complex suite of redox and other

stress regulators [86] provoked by Hg exposure as reported above. Manganese may

protect iron metalloenzymes under oxidative stress conditions [87] and Mn uptake by

mntH, as part of the OxyR regulon, was correspondingly up-regulated for both

compounds in response to mercury-induced oxidative stress.

Unexpected transcriptional changes:

(a) Motility and chemotaxis. Energetically costly flagellar motility and chemotaxis were

strongly down-regulated by both mercurials and were among the slowest to recover

normal transcription levels (Figures 12 and S27 and Table S13). Motility gene

expression is regulated by σ^{28} (*fliA*) and FlhDC [131] and the expression of these two

regulatory genes declined with $HgCl_2$ but was unchanged with PMA. Repression of

motility may occur through sigma factor competition for binding to RNAP between σ^{28}

and increased expression of σ^S [132] and/or through repression of the flagellar

transcriptional activator FlhDC by increased expression of the small ncRNAs *oxyS* and

gadY [133]. Interestingly, $HgCl_2$ exposure impaired locomotion in *C. elegans* [24],

although through a very different mechanism of motility from *E. coli*.

(b) Surface appendages and biofilm synthesis. Surprisingly, there were large increases

in expression of genes involved in biofilm formation and adhesion or dispersal (Figures

13 and S28 and Tables S13). Expression of *bhsA* and *bdcA,* which function in biofilm

dispersal or reduced biofilm formation [74, 75]*,* were the first and third, respectively,

most up-regulated genes by $HgCl_2$ (Table 1) and were also up-regulated for PMA, but

with relatively smaller fold-changes (Table 2). Expression of *bhsA* is also up-regulated

by other diverse stressors and may decrease cell permeability [75, 134]. PMA exposure

especially increased expression of genes for the polysaccharide PGA, which aids in

adhesion in biofilm formation [76], and other biofilm formation (*ycgZ, ymgA, ariA, ymgC*

) genes [77] (Figures 13 and S28 and Table S13).

Expression of fimbriae (*fim*) and curli fibers (*csg*), important for adhesion in

biofilm formation [73] were also up-regulated only by PMA (Figure 13 and S28), as were

15 of 22 FimA homologs of unknown function. Outer membrane vesicle formation

(OMVs) could also play a role in detoxification, since an increase in formation of these

vesicles has been associated with heat shock, oxidative stress response, and biofilm

formation [135], which are responses up-regulated to varying degrees by both

mercurials. These are distinct differences between PMA and $HgCl_2$ response. PMA

provocation of biofilm formation and adhesion genes might be an artifact of its DMSO

solvent, but it is not obvious why $HgCl_2$ induces such high increases in biofilm dispersal

genes.

(c) Phosphate metabolism. Phosphate uptake genes were among the most highly up-

regulated genes for PMA exposure (Figure 9, S23, and Table 2). The PhoBR two-

component system controls expression of phosphate transport genes, as well as some

genes that increase virulence including those for fimbriae and biofilm formation [136].

Since expression of PhoBR and its regulon increases under phosphate limiting

conditions [137], it may be that PMA inhibits phosphate uptake by an unknown

mechanism, possibly through direct interaction with highly up-regulated PstS or this

could be an artifact of DMSO.

(d) Amino acid biosynthesis. Expression of most amino acid pathways was down-

regulated by both compounds, but a few responded uniquely to each mercurial (Figures

8 and S22 and Table S13). Since methionine auxotrophy occurs under oxidative stress

due to ROS susceptibility of methionine synthase (MetE) [138], expression of

methionine biosynthesis genes may have increased with $HgCl_2$ due to a stronger

oxidative stress response than PMA. However, since PMA did up-regulate some

oxidative stress-related genes, it is curious that Met operon expression was down-

regulated under PMA exposure. Next to its affinity for cysteine sulfur, Hg(II) binds the

imino nitrogen of histidine very strongly [139], so it was intriguing that histidine

biosynthesis genes were also up-regulated by $HgCl_2$ but down-regulated by PMA. It

remains unclear how these differences or the opposite responses for leucine, isoleucine

and valine biosynthesis help the cell survive mercurial exposure.

(e) <u>Miscellaneous genes</u>. Multiple antibiotic efflux systems and polymyxin resistance

surface modifications were up-regulated by $HgCl_2$ exposure, and even more so by PMA

(Figures 11 and S26 and Table S13). Chronic mercury exposure contributes to the

spread of multiple antibiotic resistant bacteria through co-selection of plasmid-borne

antibiotic and mercury resistance genes [140, 141]. Increased expression of antibiotic

resistance and surface components hint that low-level mercury exposure could prime

cells for increased antibiotic resistance. However, the ubiquity of plasmid- and

transposon-borne Hg resistance loci suggests that expression of these chromosomal

genes offers insufficient protection against the antibiotic or mercurial levels encountered

in clinical practice.

A handful of vestigial e14 or CPS-53 prophage genes were up-regulated by

$HgCl_2$ (Table 1) or PMA (Table S5), respectively. Some are known to increase

resistance to osmotic, oxidative, and acid stressors [142, 143], but their roles and

mechanisms have not been well defined.

1005

(f) <u>Differential expression of genes required for the same functional protein complex</u>.

In many instances we observed that transcripts for subunits of the same enzyme,

protein complex, or component of a tightly articulated pathway were differentially

expressed. In some cases these proteins lie in distinct transcripts, which may

experience different turnover rates and in other cases the differences could be due to

transcriptional polarity. We have chosen not to deal explicitly with such paradoxes in

this work, which is sufficiently complex as it is, but will address them in future work.

1013

CONCLUSIONS

The effects of mercury exposure in multicellular organisms have long been

studied at the physiological level but a global, fine grained understanding of the

differences in the precise biochemical sequelae of inorganic and organic mercury

exposure has been lacking. This study is the first to examine not only the global

transcriptional response differences between inorganic mercury ($HgCl_2$) and an

organomercurial (phenylmercuric acetate) in a model microorganism, but also first to

examine longitudinally how the cell recovers from these chemically distinct compounds.

Taken together with global identification of vulnerable protein targets (Zink et al. in

preparation) and of damage to thiol and metal ion homeostasis upon acute mercurial

exposure [23], the current work provides a quantitative systems-level description of the

effects of *in vivo* mercury exposure in *E. coli*. What was striking and most challenging

with this study was the breadth and diversity of the systems whose expression was

affected by these two chemically distinct mercurials. Sub-acute exposure influenced

expression of ~45% of all genes with many distinct responses for each compound,

reflecting differential biochemical damage by each mercurial and the corresponding

resources available for repair. Energy production, intermediary metabolism and most

uptake pathways were initially down-regulated by both mercurials, but nearly all stress

response systems were up-regulated early by at least one compound. These results

echo the wide functional variety of proteins stably modified by these mercurials owing to

the widespread occurrence of cysteines found in nearly all *E. coli* proteins. Microbiome

studies are rapidly unveiling the importance of commensal bacteria to the health of all

higher organisms. Our findings in this model commensal organism provide insights into

how chronic mercury exposure might affect such complex microbial communities and,

consequently, the health of the host. This work also serves as a foundation for studies

now underway of how the widely found mobile Hg resistance (*mer*) locus assists the cell

in recovery from Hg exposure.

1041

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS:

Hg = mercury

$HgCl_2$ = mercuric chloride

PMA = phenylmercuric acetate

PhHg = phenylmercury

DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide

LB = Luria-Bertani medium

NM3 = Neidhardt MOPS minimal medium

NGS = next generation sequencing

DEGs = differentially expressed genes

CVAA = cold vapor atomic absorption

GSH = glutathione

Cys = cysteine

MDR = multidrug resistance

ncRNA = non-coding RNA

COGs = clusters of orthologous groups

GOFs = gene-ontology functions

RNAP = RNA polymerase

HSP = heat shock protein

ETC = electron transport chain

PGA = poly-β-1,6-N-acetyl-glucosamine

1063

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: The tabulated datasets supporting the conclusions

of this article are included as additional files. The read counts and raw sequence data

(.fastq) are stored and available to the public through the Gene Expression Omnibus

database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with accession ID: GSE95575.

Competing interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: US Department of Energy awards ER64408 and ER65286 to AOS

Authors' contributions: SL conceived and designed experiments, prepared biological

samples, extracted ribosomal depleted RNA for RNA-Seq, performed all data analysis,

and drafted manuscript. AOS was a major contributor in experimental design, feedback

on data analysis, and in editing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the

manuscript.

1078

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Roger Nilsen at the Georgia Genomics Facility for library preparation and

Sharron Crane at Rutgers University for Hg content analysis. We also thank Bryndan

Durham, Brandon Satinsky, Mary Ann Moran, Michael K. Johnson, Harry Dailey,

Timothy Hoover, Anna Karls, Alexander Johs, Jerry Parks, Susan Miller, and Andrew

Wiggins who have provided feedback and discussion on this work. This work was

supported by US Department of Energy awards ER64408 and ER65286 to AOS.

1086

1087 **REFERENCES**

1088

1. Driscoll CT, Mason RP, Chan HM, Jacob DJ, Pirrone N. Mercury as a global
pollutant: sources, pathways, and effects. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2013;47(10):4967-
4983.

2. Pirrone N, Cinnirella S, Feng X, Finkelman RB, Friedli HR, Leaner J, Mason R,
Mukherjee AB, Stracher G, Streets DG *et al*: Global Mercury Emissions to the
Atmosphere from Natural and Anthropogenic Sources. In: Mason R, Pirrone N,
editors. *Mercury Fate and Transport in the Global Atmosphere: Emissions,*
Measurements and Models. Boston, MA: Springer US;2009. p. 1-47.

3. Mason RP, Fitzgerald WF, Morel FMM. The Biogeochemical Cycling of Elemental
Mercury - Anthropogenic Influences. *Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta.*
1994;58:3191-3198.

4. Barkay T, Miller SM, Summers AO. Bacterial mercury resistance from atoms to
ecosystems. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2003;27(2-3):355-384.

5. Crinnion WJ. Environmental medicine, part three: long-term effects of chronic low-
dose mercury exposure. *Altern Med Rev.* 2000;5(3):209-223.

6.   Richardson GM, Wilson R, Allard D, Purtill C, Douma S, Graviere J. Mercury
exposure and risks from dental amalgam in the US population, post-2000. *The*
Science of the total environment. 2011;409(20):4257-4268.

7.   Diez S. Human health effects of methylmercury exposure. *Rev Environ Contam*
Toxicol. 2009;198:111-132.

8.   Malm O. Gold mining as a source of mercury exposure in the Brazilian Amazon.
Environ Res. 1998;77(2):73-78.

9.   Clarkson TW, Magos L. The toxicology of mercury and its chemical compounds.
Crit Rev Toxicol. 2006;36(8):609-662.

10.   Hintelmann H, Hempel M, Wilken RD. Observation of unusual organic mercury
species in soils and sediments of industrially contaminated sites. *Environ Sci*
Technol. 1995;29(7):1845-1850.

11.   Tchounwou PB, Ayensu WK, Ninashvili N, Sutton D. Environmental exposure to
mercury and its toxicopathologic implications for public health. *Environ Toxicol.*
2003;18(3):149-175.

12.   Davidson PW, Myers GJ, Weiss B. Mercury exposure and child development
outcomes. *Pediatrics.* 2004;113(Suppl 4):1023-1029.

13.   Valko M, Morris H, Cronin MT. Metals, toxicity and oxidative stress. *Curr Med*
Chem. 2005;12(10):1161-1208.

14.   Yorifuji T, Tsuda T, Takao S, Harada M. Long-term exposure to methylmercury
and neurologic signs in Minamata and neighboring communities. *Epidemiology.*
2008;19(1):3-9.

15.   Zahir F, Rizwi SJ, Haq SK, Khan RH. Low dose mercury toxicity and human
health. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2005;20(2):351-360.

16.   Cheesman BV, Arnold AP, Rabenstein DL. Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of
the solution chemistry of metal complexes. 25. Hg(thiol)3 complexes and HG(II)-
thiol ligand exchange kinetics. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1988;110:6359-6364.

17.   Oram PD, Fang X, Fernando Q, Letkeman P, Letkeman D. The formation of
constants of mercury(II)--glutathione complexes. *Chemical research in toxicology.*
1996;9(4):709-712.

18.   Labunskyy VM, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. Selenoproteins: molecular pathways
and physiological roles. *Physiol Rev.* 2014;94(3):739-777.

19.   Pal PB, Pal S, Das J, Sil PC. Modulation of mercury-induced mitochondria-
dependent apoptosis by glycine in hepatocytes. *Amino Acids.* 2012;42(5):1669-
1683.

20.   Shenker BJ, Guo TL, Shapiro IM. Mercury-induced apoptosis in human lymphoid
1140   cells: evidence that the apoptotic pathway is mercurial species dependent. *Environ*
1141   *Res.* 2000;84(2):89-99.

1142   21.   Jomova K, Valko M. Advances in metal-induced oxidative stress and human
1143   disease. *Toxicology.* 2011;283(2-3):65-87.

1144   22.   Polacco BJ, Purvine SO, Zink EM, Lavoie SP, Lipton MS, Summers AO, Miller SM.
1145   Discovering mercury protein modifications in whole proteomes using natural
1146   isotope distributions observed in liquid chromatography-tandem mass
1147   spectrometry. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2011;10(8):M110.004853.

1148   23.   LaVoie SP, Mapolelo DT, Cowart DM, Polacco BJ, Johnson MK, Scott RA, Miller
1149   SM, Summers AO. Organic and inorganic mercurials have distinct effects on
1150   cellular thiols, metal homeostasis, and Fe-binding proteins in Escherichia coli. *J*
1151   *Biol Inorg Chem.* 2015;20(8):1239-1251.

1152   24.   McElwee MK, Ho LA, Chou JW, Smith MV, Freedman JH. Comparative
1153   toxicogenomic responses of mercuric and methyl-mercury. *BMC Genomics.*
1154   2013;14:698.

1155   25.   Neidhardt FC, Bloch PL, Smith DF. Culture medium for enterobacteria. *J Bacteriol.*
1156   1974;119(3):736-747.

1157   26.   Stead MB, Agrawal A, Bowden KE, Nasir R, Mohanty BK, Meagher RB, Kushner
1158   SR. RNAsnap: a rapid, quantitative and inexpensive, method for isolating total
1159   RNA from bacteria. *Nucleic acids research.* 2012;40(20):e156.

1160   27.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature*
1161   *methods.* 2012;9(4):357-359.

1162   28.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G,
1163   Durbin R, Genome Project Data Processing S. The Sequence Alignment/Map
1164   format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics.* 2009;25(16):2078-2079.

1165   29.   Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-
1166   throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics.* 2015;31(2):166-169.

1167   30.   Hardcastle TJ, Kelly KA. baySeq: empirical Bayesian methods for identifying
1168   differential expression in sequence count data. *BMC bioinformatics.* 2010;11:422.

1169   31.   Hamlett NV, Landale EC, Davis BH, Summers AO. Roles of the Tn21 merT, merP,
1170   and merC gene products in mercury resistance and mercury binding. *J Bacteriol.*
1171   1992;174(20):6377-6385.

1172   32.   Summers AO, Lewis E. Volatilization of mercuric chloride by mercury-resistant
1173   plasmid-bearing strains of Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and
1174   Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Bacteriol.* 1973;113(2):1070-1072.

1175   33.   Summers AO, Silver S. Mercury resistance in a plasmid-bearing strain of
1176   Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol.* 1972;112(3):1228-1236.

1177   34.   Schaechter M, Santomassino KA. Lysis of Escherichia coli by sulfhydryl-binding
1178   reagents. *J Bacteriol.* 1962;84:318-325.

1179   35.   Janssen BD, Hayes CS. The tmRNA ribosome-rescue system. *Adv Protein Chem*
1180   *Struct Biol.* 2012;86:151-191.

1181   36.   Legendre P. Ward's Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering Method: Which
1182   Algorithms Implement Ward's Criterion? *Journal of Classification.* 2014;31(3):274-
1183   295.

1184   37.   Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, Forslund K, Heller D, Huerta-Cepas J,
1185   Simonovic M, Roth A, Santos A, Tsafou KP *et al*. STRING v10: protein-protein
1186   interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucleic acids research.*
1187   2015;43(Database issue):D447-452.

1188   38.   von Mering C, Jensen LJ, Snel B, Hooper SD, Krupp M, Foglierini M, Jouffre N,
1189   Huynen MA, Bork P. STRING: known and predicted protein-protein associations,
1190   integrated and transferred across organisms. *Nucleic acids research.*
1191   2005;33(Database issue):D433-437.

1192   39.   Arunasri K, Adil M, Khan PA, Shivaji S. Global gene expression analysis of long-
1193   term stationary phase effects in E. coli K12 MG1655. *PLoS One.*
1194   2014;9(5):e96701.

1195   40.   Chang DE, Smalley DJ, Conway T. Gene expression profiling of Escherichia coli
1196   growth transitions: an expanded stringent response model. *Mol Microbiol.*
1197   2002;45(2):289-306.

1198   41.   Kuzminov A. Recombinational repair of DNA damage in Escherichia coli and
1199   bacteriophage lambda. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* 1999;63(4):751-813.

1200   42.   Lusetti SL, Cox MM. The bacterial RecA protein and the recombinational DNA
1201   repair of stalled replication forks. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2002;71:71-100.

1202   43.   Salgado H, Martinez-Flores I, Lopez-Fuentes A, Garcia-Sotelo JS, Porron-Sotelo
1203   L, Solano H, Muniz-Rascado L, Collado-Vides J. Extracting regulatory networks of
1204   Escherichia coli from RegulonDB. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2012;804:179-195.

1205   44.   Salgado H, Peralta-Gil M, Gama-Castro S, Santos-Zavaleta A, Muniz-Rascado L,
1206   Garcia-Sotelo JS, Weiss V, Solano-Lira H, Martinez-Flores I, Medina-Rivera A *et*
1207   *al*. RegulonDB v8.0: omics data sets, evolutionary conservation, regulatory
1208   phrases, cross-validated gold standards and more. *Nucleic acids research.*
1209   2013;41(Database issue):D203-213.

1210   45.   Tramonti A, Visca P, De Canio M, Falconi M, De Biase D. Functional
1211   characterization and regulation of gadX, a gene encoding an AraC/XylS-like
1212   transcriptional activator of the Escherichia coli glutamic acid decarboxylase
1213   system. *J Bacteriol.* 2002;184(10):2603-2613.

1214   46.   Nishino K, Senda Y, Yamaguchi A. The AraC-family regulator GadX enhances
1215   multidrug resistance in Escherichia coli by activating expression of mdtEF
1216   multidrug efflux genes. *J Infect Chemother.* 2008;14(1):23-29.

1217   47.   Tucker DL, Tucker N, Ma Z, Foster JW, Miranda RL, Cohen PS, Conway T. Genes
1218   of the GadX-GadW regulon in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol.* 2003;185(10):3190-
1219   3201.

1220   48.   Lemke JJ, Sanchez-Vazquez P, Burgos HL, Hedberg G, Ross W, Gourse RL.
1221   Direct regulation of Escherichia coli ribosomal protein promoters by the
1222   transcription factors ppGpp and DksA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
1223   2011;108(14):5712-5717.

1224   49.   Nomura M, Gourse R, Baughman G. Regulation of the synthesis of ribosomes and
1225   ribosomal components. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 1984;53:75-117.

1226   50.   Wilson DN, Nierhaus KH. The weird and wonderful world of bacterial ribosome
1227   regulation. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol.* 2007;42(3):187-219.

1228   51.   Soskine M, Steiner-Mordoch S, Schuldiner S. Crosslinking of membrane-
1229   embedded cysteines reveals contact points in the EmrE oligomer. *Proc Natl Acad*
1230   *Sci U S A.* 2002;99(19):12043-12048.

1231   52.   Imesch E, Moosmayer M, Anner BM. Mercury weakens membrane anchoring of
1232   Na-K-ATPase. *The American journal of physiology.* 1992;262(5):F837-842.

1233   53.   Carvalho CM, Chew EH, Hashemy SI, Lu J, Holmgren A. Inhibition of the human
1234   thioredoxin system. A molecular mechanism of mercury toxicity. *The Journal of*
1235   *biological chemistry.* 2008;283(18):11913-11923.

1236   54.   Georgopoulos C, Welch WJ. Role of the major heat shock proteins as molecular
1237   chaperones. *Annu Rev Cell Biol.* 1993;9:601-634.

1238   55.   Carpousis AJ. The RNA degradosome of Escherichia coli: an mRNA-degrading
1239   machine assembled on RNase E. *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 2007;61:71-87.

1240   56.   Lim JY, May JM, Cegelski L. Dimethyl sulfoxide and ethanol elicit increased
1241   amyloid biogenesis and amyloid-integrated biofilm formation in Escherichia coli.
1242   *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2012;78(9):3369-3378.

1243   57.   Markarian SA, Poladyan AA, Kirakosyan GR, Trchounian AA, Bagramyan KA.
1244   Effect of diethylsulphoxide on growth, survival and ion exchange of Escherichia
1245   coli. *Lett Appl Microbiol.* 2002;34(6):417-421.

58. Ansaldi M, Bordi C, Lepelletier M, Mejean V. TorC apocytochrome negatively
autoregulates the trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO) reductase operon in Escherichia
coli. *Mol Microbiol.* 1999;33(2):284-295.

59. Sorensen KI, Hove-Jensen B. Ribose catabolism of Escherichia coli:
characterization of the rpiB gene encoding ribose phosphate isomerase B and of
the rpiR gene, which is involved in regulation of rpiB expression. *J Bacteriol.*
1996;178(4):1003-1011.

60. Yamada T, Letunic I, Okuda S, Kanehisa M, Bork P. iPath2.0: interactive pathway
explorer. *Nucleic acids research.* 2011;39(Web Server issue):W412-415.

61. Imlay JA. The molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences of oxidative
stress: lessons from a model bacterium. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2013;11(7):443-454.

62. Grass G, Otto M, Fricke B, Haney CJ, Rensing C, Nies DH, Munkelt D. FieF (YiiP)
from Escherichia coli mediates decreased cellular accumulation of iron and
relieves iron stress. *Arch Microbiol.* 2005;183(1):9-18.

63. Bandyopadhyay S, Chandramouli K, Johnson MK. Iron-sulfur cluster biosynthesis.
Biochem Soc Trans. 2008;36(Pt 6):1112-1119.

64. Johnson DC, Dean DR, Smith AD, Johnson MK. Structure, function, and formation
of biological iron-sulfur clusters. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2005;74:247-281.

65. Rensing C, Mitra B, Rosen BP. The zntA gene of Escherichia coli encodes a Zn(II)-
translocating P-type ATPase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1997;94(26):14326-
14331.

66. Outten FW, Huffman DL, Hale JA, O'Halloran TV. The independent cue and cus
systems confer copper tolerance during aerobic and anaerobic growth in
Escherichia coli. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2001;276(33):30670-30677.

67. Eitinger T, Mandrand-Berthelot MA. Nickel transport systems in microorganisms.
Arch Microbiol. 2000;173(1):1-9.

68. Qin J, Fu HL, Ye J, Bencze KZ, Stemmler TL, Rawlings DE, Rosen BP.
Convergent evolution of a new arsenic binding site in the ArsR/SmtB family of
metalloregulators. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2007;282(47):34346-34355.

69. Alekshun MN, Levy SB. The mar regulon: multiple resistance to antibiotics and
other toxic chemicals. *Trends Microbiol.* 1999;7(10):410-413.

70. Nishino K, Yamada J, Hirakawa H, Hirata T, Yamaguchi A. Roles of TolC-
dependent multidrug transporters of Escherichia coli in resistance to beta-lactams.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2003;47(9):3030-3033.

71. Raetz CR, Reynolds CM, Trent MS, Bishop RE. Lipid A modification systems in
gram-negative bacteria. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2007;76:295-329.

72. Checroun C, Gutierrez C. Sigma(s)-dependent regulation of yehZYXW, which
encodes a putative osmoprotectant ABC transporter of Escherichia coli. *FEMS*
Microbiol Lett. 2004;236(2):221-226.

73. Van Houdt R, Michiels CW. Role of bacterial cell surface structures in Escherichia
coli biofilm formation. *Res Microbiol.* 2005;156(5-6):626-633.

74. Ma Q, Zhang G, Wood TK. Escherichia coli BdcA controls biofilm dispersal in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Rhizobium meliloti. *BMC Res Notes.* 2011;4:447.

75. Zhang XS, Garcia-Contreras R, Wood TK. YcfR (BhsA) influences Escherichia coli
biofilm formation through stress response and surface hydrophobicity. *J Bacteriol.*
2007;189(8):3051-3062.

76. Itoh Y, Rice JD, Goller C, Pannuri A, Taylor J, Meisner J, Beveridge TJ, Preston
JF, 3rd, Romeo T. Roles of pgaABCD genes in synthesis, modification, and export
of the Escherichia coli biofilm adhesin poly-beta-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine. *J*
Bacteriol. 2008;190(10):3670-3680.

77. Lee J, Page R, Garcia-Contreras R, Palermino JM, Zhang XS, Doshi O, Wood TK,
Peti W. Structure and function of the Escherichia coli protein YmgB: a protein
critical for biofilm formation and acid-resistance. *J Mol Biol.* 2007;373(1):11-26.

78. Cegelski L, Pinkner JS, Hammer ND, Cusumano CK, Hung CS, Chorell E, Aberg
V, Walker JN, Seed PC, Almqvist F *et al*. Small-molecule inhibitors target
Escherichia coli amyloid biogenesis and biofilm formation. *Nat Chem Biol.*
2009;5(12):913-919.

79. Seo SW, Kim D, Szubin R, Palsson BO. Genome-wide Reconstruction of OxyR
and SoxRS Transcriptional Regulatory Networks under Oxidative Stress in
Escherichia coli K-12 MG1655. *Cell Rep.* 2015;12(8):1289-1299.

80. Choi H, Kim S, Mukhopadhyay P, Cho S, Woo J, Storz G, Ryu SE. Structural basis
of the redox switch in the OxyR transcription factor. *Cell.* 2001;105(1):103-113.

81. Altuvia S, Zhang A, Argaman L, Tiwari A, Storz G. The Escherichia coli OxyS
regulatory RNA represses fhlA translation by blocking ribosome binding. *EMBO J.*
1998;17(20):6069-6075.

82. Amabile-Cuevas CF, Demple B. Molecular characterization of the soxRS genes of
Escherichia coli: two genes control a superoxide stress regulon. *Nucleic acids*
research. 1991;19(16):4479-4484.

83. Greenberg JT, Monach P, Chou JH, Josephy PD, Demple B. Positive control of a
global antioxidant defense regulon activated by superoxide-generating agents in
Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1990;87(16):6181-6185.

84. Tsaneva IR, Weiss B. soxR, a locus governing a superoxide response regulon in
Escherichia coli K-12. *J Bacteriol.* 1990;172(8):4197-4205.

85. Watanabe S, Kita A, Kobayashi K, Miki K. Crystal structure of the [2Fe-2S]
oxidative-stress sensor SoxR bound to DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
2008;105(11):4121-4126.

86. Keseler IM, Mackie A, Peralta-Gil M, Santos-Zavaleta A, Gama-Castro S,
Bonavides-Martinez C, Fulcher C, Huerta AM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M *et al*.
EcoCyc: fusing model organism databases with systems biology. *Nucleic acids*
research. 2013;41(Database issue):D605-612.

87. Anjem A, Varghese S, Imlay JA. Manganese import is a key element of the OxyR
response to hydrogen peroxide in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol.* 2009;72(4):844-
858.

88. Park SJ, Gunsalus RP. Oxygen, iron, carbon, and superoxide control of the
fumarase fumA and fumC genes of Escherichia coli: role of the arcA, fnr, and soxR
gene products. *J Bacteriol.* 1995;177(21):6255-6262.

89. Varghese S, Tang Y, Imlay JA. Contrasting sensitivities of Escherichia coli
aconitases A and B to oxidation and iron depletion. *J Bacteriol.* 2003;185(1):221-
230.

90. Eser M, Masip L, Kadokura H, Georgiou G, Beckwith J. Disulfide bond formation
by exported glutaredoxin indicates glutathione's presence in the E. coli periplasm.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(5):1572-1577.

91. Suzuki H, Koyanagi T, Izuka S, Onishi A, Kumagai H. The yliA, -B, -C, and -D
genes of Escherichia coli K-12 encode a novel glutathione importer with an ATP-
binding cassette. *J Bacteriol.* 2005;187(17):5861-5867.

92. Vuilleumier S. Bacterial glutathione S-transferases: what are they good for? *J*
Bacteriol. 1997;179(5):1431-1441.

93. Summers AO, Wireman J, Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL, Marshall B, Levy SB, Bennett
S, Billard L. Mercury released from dental "silver" fillings provokes an increase in
mercury- and antibiotic-resistant bacteria in oral and intestinal floras of primates.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1993;37(4):825-834.

94. US - Food & Drug Administration (FDA): Mercury Levels in Commercial Fish and
Shellfish (1990-2012)
http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/Metals/ucm115644.htm

95. McElwee MK, Freedman JH. Comparative toxicology of mercurials in
Caenorhabditis elegans. *Environ Toxicol Chem.* 2011;30(9):2135-2141.

96. Zheng M, Wang X, Templeton LJ, Smulski DR, LaRossa RA, Storz G. DNA
microarray-mediated transcriptional profiling of the Escherichia coli response to
hydrogen peroxide. *J Bacteriol.* 2001;183(15):4562-4570.

97. Mehta HH, Liu Y, Zhang MQ, Spiro S. Genome-wide analysis of the response to
nitric oxide in uropathogenic Escherichia coli CFT073. *Microb Genom.*
2015;1(4):e000031.

98. Rau MH, Calero P, Lennen RM, Long KS, Nielsen AT. Genome-wide Escherichia
coli stress response and improved tolerance towards industrially relevant
chemicals. *Microb Cell Fact.* 2016;15(1):176.

99. Yung PY, Grasso LL, Mohidin AF, Acerbi E, Hinks J, Seviour T, Marsili E, Lauro
FM. Global transcriptomic responses of Escherichia coli K-12 to volatile organic
compounds. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:19899.

100. Wong GT, Bonocora RP, Schep AN, Beeler SM, Lee Fong AJ, Shull LM, Batachari
LE, Dillon M, Evans C, Becker CJ *et al*. Genome-Wide Transcriptional Response
to Varying RpoS Levels in Escherichia coli K-12. *J Bacteriol.* 2017;199(7).

101. Ung CY, Lam SH, Hlaing MM, Winata CL, Korzh S, Mathavan S, Gong Z. Mercury-
induced hepatotoxicity in zebrafish: in vivo mechanistic insights from transcriptome
analysis, phenotype anchoring and targeted gene expression validation. *BMC*
Genomics. 2010;11:212.

102. Lash LH, Zalups RK. Alterations in renal cellular glutathione metabolism after in
vivo administration of a subtoxic dose of mercuric chloride. *J Biochem Toxicol.*
1996;11(1):1-9.

103. Lu X, Xiang Y, Yang G, Zhang L, Wang H, Zhong S. Transcriptomic
characterization of zebrafish larvae in response to mercury exposure. *Comp*
Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol. 2017;192:40-49.

104. Kanai T, Takahashi K, Inoue H. Three distinct-type glutathione S-transferases from
Escherichia coli important for defense against oxidative stress. *J Biochem.*
2006;140(5):703-711.

105. Xu FF, Imlay JA. Silver(I), mercury(II), cadmium(II), and zinc(II) target exposed
enzymic iron-sulfur clusters when they toxify Escherichia coli. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol. 2012;78(10):3614-3621.

106. Varghese S, Wu A, Park S, Imlay KR, Imlay JA. Submicromolar hydrogen peroxide
disrupts the ability of Fur protein to control free-iron levels in Escherichia coli. *Mol*
Microbiol. 2007;64(3):822-830.

107. Bagg A, Neilands JB. Ferric uptake regulation protein acts as a repressor,
1387   employing iron (II) as a cofactor to bind the operator of an iron transport operon in
1388   Escherichia coli. *Biochemistry.* 1987;26(17):5471-5477.

1389   108. de Lorenzo V, Wee S, Herrero M, Neilands JB. Operator sequences of the
1390   aerobactin operon of plasmid ColV-K30 binding the ferric uptake regulation (fur)
1391   repressor. *J Bacteriol.* 1987;169(6):2624-2630.

1392   109. Fontecave M, Choudens SO, Py B, Barras F. Mechanisms of iron-sulfur cluster
1393   assembly: the SUF machinery. *J Biol Inorg Chem.* 2005;10(7):713-721.

1394   110. Zhao G, Ceci P, Ilari A, Giangiacomo L, Laue TM, Chiancone E, Chasteen ND.
1395   Iron and hydrogen peroxide detoxification properties of DNA-binding protein from
1396   starved cells. A ferritin-like DNA-binding protein of Escherichia coli. *The Journal of*
1397   *biological chemistry.* 2002;277(31):27689-27696.

1398   111. Lund BO, Miller DM, Woods JS. Mercury-induced H_2O_2 production and lipid
1399   peroxidation in vitro in rat kidney mitochondria. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1991;42
1400   Suppl:S181-187.

1401   112. Liochev SI, Fridovich I. Modulation of the fumarases of Escherichia coli in
1402   response to oxidative stress. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 1993;301(2):379-384.

1403   113. Martin JE, Imlay JA. The alternative aerobic ribonucleotide reductase of
1404   Escherichia coli, NrdEF, is a manganese-dependent enzyme that enables cell
1405   replication during periods of iron starvation. *Mol Microbiol.* 2011;80(2):319-334.

1406   114. Jordan A, Aslund F, Pontis E, Reichard P, Holmgren A. Characterization of
1407   Escherichia coli NrdH. A glutaredoxin-like protein with a thioredoxin-like activity
1408   profile. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 1997;272(29):18044-18050.

1409   115. Sharma SK, Goloubinoff P, Christen P. Heavy metal ions are potent inhibitors of
1410   protein folding. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2008;372(2):341-345.

1411   116. Arsene F, Tomoyasu T, Bukau B. The heat shock response of Escherichia coli. *Int*
1412   *J Food Microbiol.* 2000;55(1-3):3-9.

1413   117. Bissonnette SA, Rivera-Rivera I, Sauer RT, Baker TA. The IbpA and IbpB small
1414   heat-shock proteins are substrates of the AAA+ Lon protease. *Mol Microbiol.*
1415   2010;75(6):1539-1549.

1416   118. Gaubig LC, Waldminghaus T, Narberhaus F. Multiple layers of control govern
1417   expression of the Escherichia coli ibpAB heat-shock operon. *Microbiology.*
1418   2011;157(Pt 1):66-76.

1419   119. Matuszewska E, Kwiatkowska J, Kuczynska-Wisnik D, Laskowska E. Escherichia
1420   coli heat-shock proteins IbpA/B are involved in resistance to oxidative stress
1421   induced by copper. *Microbiology.* 2008;154(Pt 6):1739-1747.

120. Wang A, Crowley DE. Global gene expression responses to cadmium toxicity in
Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol.* 2005;187(9):3259-3266.

121. Salmon K, Hung SP, Mekjian K, Baldi P, Hatfield GW, Gunsalus RP. Global gene
expression profiling in Escherichia coli K12. The effects of oxygen availability and
FNR. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2003;278(32):29837-29855.

122. Bibikov SI, Biran R, Rudd KE, Parkinson JS. A signal transducer for aerotaxis in
Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol.* 1997;179(12):4075-4079.

123. Pruss BM, Campbell JW, Van Dyk TK, Zhu C, Kogan Y, Matsumura P. FlhD/FlhC
is a regulator of anaerobic respiration and the Entner-Doudoroff pathway through
induction of the methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein Aer. *J Bacteriol.*
2003;185(2):534-543.

124. Funk AE, Day FA, Brady FO. Displacement of zinc and copper from copper-
induced metallothionein by cadmium and by mercury: in vivo and ex vivo studies.
Comp Biochem Physiol C. 1987;86(1):1-6.

125. O'Connor TR, Graves RJ, de Murcia G, Castaing B, Laval J. Fpg protein of
Escherichia coli is a zinc finger protein whose cysteine residues have a structural
and/or functional role. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 1993;268(12):9063-
9070.

126. Binet MR, Poole RK. Cd(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) ions regulate expression of the metal-
transporting P-type ATPase ZntA in Escherichia coli. *FEBS Lett.* 2000;473(1):67-
70.

127. Petit-Hartlein I, Rome K, de Rosny E, Molton F, Duboc C, Gueguen E, Rodrigue A,
Coves J. Biophysical and physiological characterization of ZraP from Escherichia
coli, the periplasmic accessory protein of the atypical ZraSR two-component
system. *Biochem J.* 2015;472(2):205-216.

128. Colaco HG, Santo PE, Matias PM, Bandeiras TM, Vicente JB. Roles of Escherichia
coli ZinT in cobalt, mercury and cadmium resistance and structural insights into the
metal binding mechanism. *Metallomics.* 2016;8(3):327-336.

129. Owens RA, Hartman PE. Export of glutathione by some widely used Salmonella
typhimurium and Escherichia coli strains. *J Bacteriol.* 1986;168(1):109-114.

130. Smirnova GV, Muzyka NG, Oktyabrsky ON. Effects of cystine and hydrogen
peroxide on glutathione status and expression of antioxidant genes in Escherichia
coli. *Biochemistry (Mosc).* 2005;70(8):926-934.

131. Fitzgerald DM, Bonocora RP, Wade JT. Comprehensive mapping of the
Escherichia coli flagellar regulatory network. *PLoS Genet.* 2014;10(10):e1004649.

132. Dong T, Yu R, Schellhorn H. Antagonistic regulation of motility and transcriptome
1458   expression by RpoN and RpoS in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol.* 2011;79(2):375-
1459   386.

1460   133. De Lay N, Gottesman S. A complex network of small non-coding RNAs regulate
1461   motility in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol.* 2012;86(3):524-538.

1462   134. Mermod M, Magnani D, Solioz M, Stoyanov JV. The copper-inducible ComR
1463   (YcfQ) repressor regulates expression of ComC (YcfR), which affects copper
1464   permeability of the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. *Biometals.* 2012;25(1):33-
1465   43.

1466   135. Schwechheimer C, Kuehn MJ. Outer-membrane vesicles from Gram-negative
1467   bacteria: biogenesis and functions. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2015;13(10):605-619.

1468   136. Crepin S, Chekabab SM, Le Bihan G, Bertrand N, Dozois CM, Harel J. The Pho
1469   regulon and the pathogenesis of Escherichia coli. *Vet Microbiol.* 2011;153(1-2):82-
1470   88.

1471   137. Hsieh YJ, Wanner BL. Global regulation by the seven-component Pi signaling
1472   system. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2010;13(2):198-203.

1473   138. Hondorp ER, Matthews RG. Oxidative stress inactivates cobalamin-independent
1474   methionine synthase (MetE) in Escherichia coli. *PLoS Biol.* 2004;2(11):e336.

1475   139. Brooks P, Davidson N. Mercury(II) Complexes of Imidazole and Histidine. *Journal
1476   of the American Chemical Society.* 1960;82(9):2118-2123.

1477   140. Pal C, Bengtsson-Palme J, Kristiansson E, Larsson DG. Co-occurrence of
1478   resistance genes to antibiotics, biocides and metals reveals novel insights into their
1479   co-selection potential. *BMC Genomics.* 2015;16(1):964.

1480   141. Wireman J, Liebert CA, Smith T, Summers AO. Association of mercury resistance
1481   with antibiotic resistance in the gram-negative fecal bacteria of primates. *Appl
1482   Environ Microbiol.* 1997;63(11):4494-4503.

1483   142. Mehta P, Casjens S, Krishnaswamy S. Analysis of the lambdoid prophage element
1484   e14 in the E. coli K-12 genome. *BMC Microbiol.* 2004;4:4.

1485   143. Wang X, Kim Y, Ma Q, Hong SH, Pokusaeva K, Sturino JM, Wood TK. Cryptic
1486   prophages help bacteria cope with adverse environments. *Nat Commun.*
1487   2010;1:147.
1488

Table 1: Genes with ≥ 20 fold-change for at least two time points after HgCl$_2$ exposure (n = 25).

Gene ID	Gene Name	Product Description	Hg t10	Hg t30	Hg t60	PMA t10	PMA t30	PMA t60
b1112	**bhsA**	Biofilm, cell surface and signaling defects, YhcN family	**1949**	**563**	**94**	**46**	**21**	n.s.
b4458	oxyS	OxyS sRNA activates genes that detoxify oxidative damage	**1524**	**1292**	**150**	10	6	n.s.
b4249	bdcA	c-di-GMP-binding biofilm dispersal mediator protein	**596**	**79**	7	16	2	n.s.
b3686	**ibpB**	Chaperone, heat-inducible protein of HSP20 family	**402**	**124**	**281**	37	**211**	9
b0812	dps	Stress-induced Fe-binding and storage protein	**339**	**52**	n.s.	4	2	n.s.
b4248	yjgH	Putative reactive intermediate deaminase, UPF0076 family	**296**	**24**	3	6	2	n.s.
b0849	grxA	Glutaredoxin 1	**246**	**33**	n.s.	14	4	n.s.
b1144	ymfJ	Function unknown, e14 prophage	**185**	**39**	n.s.	3	3	n.s.
b2582	**trxC**	Thioredoxin 2, zinc-binding; Trx2	**134**	**50**	7	**24**	**24**	n.s.
b1147	ymfL*	Function unknown, e14 prophage	**95**	**29**	n.s.	n.s.	3	n.s.
b1146	croE*	Cro-like repressor, e14 prophage	**90**	**40**	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
b3687	**ibpA**	Chaperone, heat-inducible protein of HSP20 family	**86**	19	**41**	10	**28**	4
b2531	iscR	Transcriptional repressor for isc operon; contains Fe-S cluster	**62**	**48**	9	12	18	n.s.
b1148	ymfM*	Function unknown, e14 prophage	**49**	**21**	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
b4599	yneM	function unknown, membrane-associated; regulated by PhoPQ	**38**	**36**	n.s.	3	3	n.s.
b2673	nrdH	NrdH-redoxin reducing oxidized NrdEF	**35**	**127**	**21**	7	14	n.s.
b4030	**psiE**	Pho regulon, regulated by phoB and cAMP	**35**	**64**	17	**38**	**59**	n.s.
b1684	sufA	Scaffold protein for assembly of iron-sulfur clusters	**32**	**39**	5	n.s.	3	n.s.
b1748	**astC**	Succinylornithine transaminase; carbon starvation protein	**30**	**31**	**25**	**34**	**36**	n.s.
b4663	**azuC**	Function unknown; membrane-associated	**27**	**25**	**36**	**41**	**49**	n.s.
b0484	copA	Copper-, silver-translocating P-type ATPase efflux pump	**25**	**20**	n.s.	5	n.s.	-10
b2674	nrdI	Flavodoxin required for NrdEF cluster assembly	**21**	**73**	**22**	4	7	n.s.
b1747	astA*	Arginine succinyltransferase, arginine catabolism	10	**20**	**29**	9	14	n.s.
b1020	**phoH**	ATP-binding protein, function unknown	8	**71**	**221**	**61**	**365**	n.s.
b4002	zraP	Zn-dependent periplasmic chaperone	3	**27**	**43**	n.s.	n.s.	-3

Table is sorted by Hg at 10 min column. n.s. = not significantly different from unexposed culture and boldface highlights actual values ≥

20-fold. Gene names in boldface have a ≥ 20 differential expression response to both Hg and PMA in at least one time point (n = 8). Genes

marked with an asterisk (*) had fewer than 11 average read counts for at least 2 time points in the unexposed condition and were

operationally defined as being "off" in that condition. Such genes constituted, the lowest 15th percentile of all genes, based on raw read

counts normalized across all libraries and across all biological replicates.

Table 2: Genes with ≥ 20 fold-change in at least two time points for PMA exposure (n= 17).

Gene ID	Gene Name	Product Description	Hg t10	Hg t30	Hg t60	PMA t10	PMA t30	PMA t60
b3728	pstS	ABC phosphate transport system; periplasmic binding protein	n.s.	16	8	**86**	**165**	n.s.
b1020	**phoH**	ATP-binding protein, function unknown	8	**71**	**221**	**61**	**365**	n.s.
b0996	torC*	c-Type cytochrome	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	**55**	**65**	**77**
b4060	**yjcB**	Function unknown	33	11	12	**52**	**35**	n.s.
b0399	**phoB**	Positive response regulator for pho regulon	4	**22**	3	**51**	**105**	n.s.
b1530	**marR**	Transcription repressor of multiple antibiotic resistance	**26**	7	n.s.	**48**	**29**	n.s.
b1531	marA	Transcriptional activator for multiple antibiotic resistance;	15	9	n.s.	**46**	**31**	n.s.
b1112	**bhsA**	Biofilm, cell surface and signaling defects, YhcN family	**1949**	**563**	**94**	**46**	**21**	n.s.
b1532	marB	marRAB multiple antibiotic resistance operon	16	8	n.s.	**45**	**27**	n.s.
b4663	**azuC**	Function unknown; membrane-associated	**27**	**25**	**36**	**41**	**49**	n.s.
b4030	**psiE**	Pho regulon, regulated by phoB and cAMP	**35**	**64**	17	**38**	**59**	n.s.
b3686	**ibpB**	Chaperone, heat-inducible protein of HSP20 family	**402**	**124**	**281**	**37**	**211**	9
b1748	**astC**	Succinylornithine transaminase; carbon starvation protein	**30**	**31**	**25**	**34**	**36**	n.s.
b3469	**zntA**	Zn(II), Cd(II), and Pb(II) translocating P-type ATPase	**40**	19	8	**29**	**22**	n.s.
b2582	**trxC**	Thioredoxin 2, zinc-binding; Trx2	**134**	**50**	7	**24**	**24**	n.s.
b4354	yjiY	Predicted transporter, function unknown	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	**20**	**77**	n.s.
b1625	cnu	OriC-binding complex H-NS/Cnu	n.s.	10	n.s.	**20**	**24**	n.s.

1497

1498 Table is sorted by PMA at 10 min column. n.s. = not significantly different from unexposed culture and boldface highlights actual values ≥

1499 20-fold. Gene names in boldface have a ≥ 20 differential expression response to both Hg and PMA in at least one time point (n = 11).

1500 Genes marked with an asterisk (*) had fewer than 11 average read counts for at least 2 time points in the unexposed condition and were

1501 operationally defined as being "off" in that condition. Such genes constituted, the lowest 15th percentile of all genes, based on raw read

1502 counts normalized across all libraries and across all biological replicates.

1503

1504 **Table 3: Changes in transcription factor gene expression.** The sum of transcription

1505 factor genes either up-regulated or down-regulated is shown with the percentage of the

1506 total transcription factor genes in parenthesis; percents do not total 100 because genes

1507 with no change compared to unexposed cells are not tabulated here. See details in

1508 Table S12.

1509

Transcription Factors (n = 203)						
	Hg_t10	**Hg_t30**	**Hg_t60**	**PMA_t10**	**PMA_t30**	**PMA_t60**
up	63 (31)	46 (23)	14 (7)	86 (42)	78 (38)	1 (0.5)
down	28 (14)	28 (14)	13 (6)	22 (11)	23 (11)	2 (1)

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525 **Figures**

1526

b

	t10	t30	t60
3 µM HgCl$_2$	1,821 (894↑927↓)	1,422 (637↑785↓)	563 (259↑304↓)
3 µM PMA	2,181 (1,261↑920↓)	2,009 (1,156↑853↓)	65 (36↑29↓)

1527

Figure 1: Effects of sub-acute mercury exposure on growth of MG1655. (a) *E. coli*

K12 MG1655 grown in MOPS minimal medium, unexposed (blue) or exposed to 3 µM

HgCl$_2$ (red) or 3 µM PMA (green) during mid-log phase. Asterisks indicate sampling

times for RNA-seq. Error bars are standard error (SEM) of 3 biological replicates for

each culture condition. See Figure S1 for full growth curve. **(b)** Significantly differentially

expressed genes (DEG) counts (up-regulated or down-regulated) for HgCl$_2$ and PMA

relative to unexposed control culture at each time point.

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542 **Figure 2: Overlap between differentially expressed genes at each sampling time**

1543 The 3 µM HgCl$_2$ exposure is in red and the 3 µM PMA exposure in green. Ovals are to

1544 scale only at each time point, but not between between time points in a panel nor

1545 between left and right panels.

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

Figure 3: Counts of differentially expressed genes for each condition grouped by

COG functional category. Genes with a log$_2$ fold-change ≥ 1 for each condition were

grouped by COG group. Positive counts represent observed up-regulated genes and

negative counts represent observed down-regulated genes. COG code, number of

proteins encoded by genome and category description: (A, 2) RNA processing and

modification; (C, 284) Energy production and conversion; (D, 39) Cell cycle control, cell

division, chromosome partitioning; (E, 355) Amino acid transport and metabolism; (F,

107) Nucleotide transport and metabolism; (G, 381) Carbohydrate transport and

metabolism; (H, 179) Coenzyme transport and metabolism; (I, 121) Lipid transport and

metabolism; (J, 236) Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis; (K, 294)

Transcription; (L, 139) Replication, recombination and repair; (M, 242) Cell wall,

membrane and envelope biogenesis; (N, 102) Cell motility; (O, 156) Post-translational

modification, protein turnover, chaperones; (P, 223) Inorganic ion transport and

metabolism; (Q, 68) Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism; (R,

261) General function prediction only; (S, 203) Function unknown; (T, 191) Signal

transduction mechanisms; (U, 50) Intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular

transport; (V, 91) Defense mechanisms; (W, 31) Extracellular structures; (X, 60)

Mobilome, prophages, transposons.

1572

1573

1574

1575

1576

1577

1578

1579

1580

1581

1582

1583

1584

1585

1586

1587

1588

1589   **Figure 4: Differentially expressed genes at each RNA sampling time.** Heat map of

1590   all genes that were differentially expressed in at least one mercury exposure condition

1591   (n = 3,149). Genes were clustered by row using Ward's minimum variance method [36]

1592   with non-squared $\log_2$ fold-change input values.

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603

1604

1605

1606   HgCl₂   PMA

1607

1608 **Figure 5: Ribosomal subunit protein genes.** Genes are grouped and colored by

1609 operon (see larger Figure S11 and Table S13 for details).

1610

1611

1612

1613

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

1619

1620

1621

1622

1623 **Figure 6: Protein and RNA turnover and repair**. (see larger Figure S12 and Table

1624 S13 for details).

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

1635

1636

1637

1638 **Figure 7: Electron transport chain and ATP-synthase.** (see larger Figure S13 and

1639 Table S13 for details).

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651

1652

1653

1654 **Figure 8: Amino acid biosynthesis.** (see larger Figure S22 and Table S13 for details).

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668 **Figure 9: Non-ferrous metals homeostases.** (see larger Figure S23 and Table S13

1669 for details).

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

Figure 10: Iron homeostasis. (see larger Figure S24 and Table S13 for details).

1685

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690

1691

1692

1693

1694

1695

Figure 11: Antibiotic resistance and outer membrane porins. (see larger Figure S26

and Table S13 for details).

1712

1713

1714

1715   **Figure 12: Flagella components and chemotaxis.** (see larger Figure S27 and Table

1716   S13 for details).

1717

1718

1719

1720

1721

1722

1723

1724

1725

1726

 Figure 13: Biofilm formation and fimbriae. (see larger Figure S28 and Table S13 for

 details).

1742

1743

1744

1745 **Figure 14: Oxidative stress defense and thiol homeostases.** (see larger Figure S29

1746 and Table S13).

1747

1748

1749

1750

1751

1752

1753

1754

1755

1756

1757