1

RhlR, but not RhlI, allows P. aeruginosa *bacteria to evade* Drosophila *Tep4-mediated opsonization*

Samantha Haller[1*], Adrien Franchet[1], Abdul Hakkim[2, 3], Jing Chen[4], Eliana Drenkard[2, 3$], Shen Yu[2, 3], Stefanie Schirmeier[1€], Zi Li[4], Frederick M. Ausubel[2, 3], Samuel Liégeois[1], and Dominique Ferrandon[1,4@]

1) Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, RIDI UPR 9022, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

2) Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115 USA

3) Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA O2114 USA

4) Sino-French Hoffmann Institute, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, P. R. China

$ Present address: Department of Pediatrics, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114 USA

€ Present address: Institut für Neuro- und Verhaltensbiologie, Badestrasse 9, D-48149 Münster

[@] To whom correspondence should be addressed (D.Ferrandon@ibmc-cnrs.unistra.fr)

Running title: RhlR, not RhlI, eludes Tep4 opsonization

ABSTRACT

When *Drosophila* flies feed on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PA14, some bacteria cross the intestinal barrier and start proliferating inside the hemocoel. This process is limited by hemocytes through phagocytosis. We have previously shown that the PA14 quorum-sensing regulator RhlR is required for these bacteria to elude the cellular immune response. RhlI synthesizes the auto-inducer signal that activates RhlR. Here, we compare the null mutant phenotypes of *rhlR* and *rhlI* in a variety of infection assays in *Drosophila* and in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Surprisingly, in *Drosophila,* unlike *ΔrhlR* mutants*, ΔrhlI* mutants are only modestly attenuated for virulence and are poorly phagocytosed and opsonized in a Thioester-containing Protein4-dependent manner. Likewise, Δ*rhlI* but not Δ*rhlR* mutants colonize the digestive tract of *C. elegans* and kill it as efficiently as wild-type PA14. Thus, RhlR has an RhlI-independent function in eluding detection or counter-acting the action of the immune system. In contrast to the intestinal infection model, *Tep4* mutant flies are more resistant to PA14 in a septic injury model, which also depends on *rhlR*. Thus, the Tep4 putative opsonin can either be protective or detrimental to host defense depending on the infection route.

INTRODUCTION

Drosophila melanogaster is a powerful genetic model organism for the study of innate immunity that has been intensely investigated during the past 25 years (Buchon, Silverman et al., 2014). It thus represents an informative system in which to study host-pathogen interactions using either systemic infection or so-called "natural" infection paradigms, such as oral infection (Bier & Guichard, 2012, Ferrandon, 2013, Igboin, Griffen et al., 2012, Limmer, Quintin et al., 2011b). Genetic analysis has allowed the detailed dissection of the *Drosophila*

systemic immune response to microbial infections (Lemaitre & Hoffmann, 2007). In addition

to melanization which is mediated by the protease-mediated cleavage of prophenol oxidase

into active phenol oxidase (PO), two major NF-kappaB pathways, Toll and Immune

deficiency (IMD), regulate the induction of the expression of genes that encode potent

antimicrobial peptides, which are active against most bacteria and fungi (Binggeli, Neyen et

al., 2014, Dudzic, Kondo et al., 2015, Ferrandon, Imler et al., 2007, Ganesan, Aggarwal et al.,

2010, Lemaitre & Hoffmann, 2007). The *Drosophila* systemic immune response is so

effective, especially in the case of Gram-negative bacterial infections, that a second arm of

host defense, the cellular immune response, has remained comparatively less well studied (El

Chamy, Matt et al., 2017, Pean & Dionne, 2014). Indeed, blocking cellular immunity through

saturation of the phagocytic apparatus with inert particles does not yield a strong

susceptibility phenotype to flies infected by *Escherichia coli*, unless the systemic immune

response is at least also partially impaired (Elrod-Erickson, Mishra et al., 2000). Nevertheless,

we have found that when two opportunistic pathogens *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa are fed to *Drosophila*, the cellular immune response plays a key role in

controlling the bacteria that escape from the digestive tract (Limmer, Haller et al., 2011a,

Nehme, Liegeois et al., 2007). In both cases, the putative phagocytic receptor Eater plays a

crucial role and prevents the development of a rapid bacteremia (Kocks, Cho et al., 2005,

Limmer et al., 2011a).

The thioester-containing protein Tep1 opsonizes bacteria in the mosquito species *Anopheles*

gambiae (Levashina, Moita et al., 2001). It is unknown whether opsonization also plays a role

in vivo in *Drosophila*, even though its genome encodes five functional *Tep* loci and a

pseudogene (*Tep5*) (Bou Aoun, Hetru et al., 2011). The thioester motif is not present in Tep6

and is therefore thought to be nonfunctional. Indeed, Tep6 is required for the establishment of

septate junctions in specific parts of the gut, which explains the lethal phenotype of *Tep6* null

mutants (Batz, Forster et al., 2014, Hall, Bone et al., 2014). Tep6 has also been shown to

induce autophagy in macrophages via a non cell-autonomous process that involves epithelial

cells in which it is expressed (Lin, Rodrigues et al., 2017). A previous study failed to find a

role for the remaining *Tep* genes (*Tep1* to *Tep4*) in host defense in several models of bacterial

or fungal systemic infections (Bou Aoun et al., 2011), although a study reported that *Tep3*

mutant flies are highly susceptible to the nematode parasite *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*

(Arefin, Kucerova et al., 2014). Interestingly, a study led in *Drosophila* cultured S2 cells

showed that *Tep2* is required for the phagocytosis of the Gram-negative species *E. coli*, *Tep3*

is required for the uptake of the Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus*, and unexpectedly that

Tep6 is required to phagocytose the dimorphic yeast *Candida albicans* (Stroschein-Stevenson,

Foley et al., 2006).

In contrast to *S. marcescens*, *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 ultimately manages to establish an

exponential infection in the hemocoel four to five days after its ingestion. In a previous study,

we showed that a member of the LuxR family of signal receptor-transcriptional regulators in

PA14, RhlR, is required to circumvent the cellular immune response (Limmer et al., 2011a).

Indeed, *rhlR* mutants are almost avirulent in an intestinal infection model since they remain at

very low levels in the hemolymph and kill the infected flies at a much reduced rate.

Interestingly, the cellular immune response remains functional until late stages of a PA14

infection, suggesting that hemocytes are not directly targeted by PA14, unlike what happens

with *P. aeruginosa* strain CHA, which neutralizes *Drosophila* phagocytosis through the

secretion of its ExoS toxin into hemocytes (Avet-Rochex, Bergeret et al., 2005).

RhlR is the major regulator of one of the three known quorum-sensing systems in *P.*

aeruginosa. Quorum-sensing systems play a major role in coordinating the expression of

virulence genes in several infection models (Coggan & Wolfgang, 2012, Jimenez, Koch et al.,

2012, Schuster, Sexton et al., 2013, Williams & Camara, 2009). However, we have failed to

uncover a strong role for the other two *P. aeruginosa* quorum sensing systems regulated by

LasR and MvfR in the *Drosophila* intestinal infection model (Limmer et al., 2011a). This

observation was somewhat unexpected since the LasR-mediated quorum-sensing system

appears to function upstream of the RhlR-mediated system. RhlR is activated by binding to an

auto-inducer molecule, butanoyl-homoserine lactone (C4-HSL), which is synthesized by the

RhlI enzyme. The transcription of the *rhlI* and *rhlR* genes is in turn activated by the Las

transcriptional regulator LasR (Coggan & Wolfgang, 2012, Jimenez et al., 2012, Schuster et

al., 2013, Williams & Camara, 2009). Activation of RhlR takes place when a threshold

concentration of C4-HSL is reached, which correlates with a threshold bacterial

concentrations reached during exponential growth in *in vivo* studies.

Here, we report that the virulence phenotype exhibited by ingested PA14 *rhlI* mutants is

strikingly distinct from that exhibited by *rhlR* mutants. *rhlR* mutants exhibit severely impaired

virulence, whereas *rhlI* mutants at most modestly impaired virulence, suggesting that RhlR

can function independently of activation by C4-HSL. We further establish that RhlR, but not

RhlI, is required to elude opsonization by a *Tep4*-dependent process. Finally, we establish that

in contrast to its protective role during PA14 intestinal infections, Tep4 plays the opposite

role in a systemic infection model, possibly by preventing the activation of phenol oxidase.

RESULTS

*Δ*rhlI *is more virulent than Δ*rhlR *in the* Drosophila *intestinal infection model*

RhlR is activated by C4-HSL synthesized by the RhlI enzyme, as shown in numerous *in vitro*

studies (Gambello, Kaye et al., 1993, Latifi, Winson et al., 1995, Pesci, Pearson et al., 1997,

Seed, Passador et al., 1995). Thus, we expected that *rhlI* and *rhlR* mutants would display the

same phenotype. Unexpectedly, however, although a *ΔrhlI* deletion mutant strain was indeed

less virulent than wild-type PA14 when ingested by flies, it was significantly more virulent

than *ΔrhlR* (Fig. 1A, B). Moreover, whereas the *ΔrhlR* mutant was cleared from the

hemolymph, the *ΔrhlI* mutant proliferated in this compartment, although it appeared to grow

less rapidly than wild-type PA14 (Fig. 1C, D). Consistent with this latter result, *ΔrhlI* but not

ΔrhlR triggered a systemic immune response, as monitored by measuring the expression of

the *Diptericin* gene (Fig. S1E-F). Similar results were obtained with independent *ΔrhlR* and

ΔrhlI in frame deletion mutants constructed by another laboratory (Fig. S1) (Hoyland-

Kroghsbo, Paczkowski et al., 2017), thereby confirming the correlation between the *ΔrhlR*

and *ΔrhlI* null genotypes and the differing *ΔrhlR* and *ΔrhlI* phenotypes.

We next tested the survival rates of flies in which the cellular response had been ablated by

injecting latex beads (LXB) after feeding on wild-type or *ΔrhlR* or *ΔrhlI* mutant bacteria.

Both *ΔrhlR* and *ΔrhlI* killed latex bead-injected flies much faster than PBS-injected control

flies, at approximately the same rate, but more slowly than wild-type PA14, with the

difference between *ΔrhlI* and wild-type PA14 at the borderline of statistical significance

(p=0.07) (Fig. 1E, F).

It is important to determine whether the apparently enhanced virulence of *ΔrhllR* and *ΔrhlI*

mutants observed in phagocytosis-impaired flies (compared to flies not injected with latex

beads) is simply a reflection of the increased virulence of wild-type PA14 in these immuno-

deficient flies, or whether the enhanced virulence of the *ΔrhlR* and *ΔrhlI* mutants is indicative

of the fact that the RhlR-mediated regulatory systems plays an important role in counteracting

the cellular immune response. To this end, it is useful to measure the difference in LT_{50} values

of control *vs*. latex bead-injected flies (LT_{50}[wt-wt^{LXB}]) for each mutant and to compare it to

that measured for wild-type PA14. *ΔrhlR* did recover virulence with a LT_{50}[wt- wt^{LXB}] of 4.7

days, as compared to 2.4 days for wild-type PA14, which corresponds to the level of

recovered virulence reported earlier (Fig. 1G) (Limmer et al., 2011a). With a value of 3.5

days, *ΔrhlI* displayed an intermediate LT_{50}[wt- wt^{LXB}], although the significance of the

difference with wild-type PA14 or *ΔrhlR* could not be assessed as the *ΔrhlI* values were too

spread out (Fig. 1G). Indeed, *ΔrhlI* mutants consistently tended to display a more variable

survival phenotype than the *ΔrhlR* mutant (Fig. 1B).

Finally, both the *ΔrhlR* and *ΔrhlI* mutant strains yielded similarly shaped survival curves

when used to infect flies with an impaired cellular defense. These survival curves were less

steep than those obtained with wild-type PA14, as measured by their Hill coefficients (Fig.

1H), suggesting that quorum-sensing is involved in determining the shape of the survival

curve. This reflects a collective property of flies placed in the same vial, which succumb less

synchronously during infections when the *rhl* quorum sensing system is missing.

rhlI *and wild-type PA14, but not* rhlR, *strains colonize the* C. elegans *digestive tract*

The nematode *C. elegans* is a well-established model host to study *P. aeruginosa*

pathogenesis (Irazoqui, Urbach et al., 2010, Pukkila-Worley & Ausubel, 2012, Tan, Mahajan-

Miklos et al., 1999a). The *C. elegans* intestinal infection model shares some key features with

the *Drosophila* model, at least during the initial stages of the infection. For instance, in both

models, ingested bacteria are exposed in the gut lumen to antimicrobial peptides and to

reactive oxygen species generated by the Dual oxidase enzyme {Ha, 2005 #1857}{Chavez,

2009 #3616}. However, in contrast to ingested *P. aeruginosa* in *Drosophila*, PA14 are not

known to escape from the gut compartment during the nematode infection. We therefore

tested whether the differences that we observed in the virulence of *ΔrhlR* and *ΔrhlI* mutants in

the *Drosophila* intestinal infection assay were reflected in a well-established *C. elegans – P.*

aeruginosa nematode "slow killing" survival assay (McEwan, Feinbaum et al., 2016, Tan et

al., 1999a). Indeed, two independently constructed in frame *rhlR* deletion mutants in the

PA14 background were dramatically less virulent than two independently constructed *rhlI*

deletion mutants in their ability to kill *C. elegans* (Fig. 2A)

The primary *C. elegans* immune response occurs in intestinal epithelial cells and because the

worms are transparent, host-pathogen interactions can be easily visualized in the intestinal

lumen. Thus, in addition to the *C. elegans* survival assay (Fig. 2A), we also used a

quantitative assay (Figs. 2B-E; see Materials and Methods) that monitors the accumulation of

live bacterial cells in the intestine of the nematodes using PA14, *ΔrhlR,* and *ΔrhlI* expressing

GFP to monitor the level of intestinal colonization. Live wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells

start accumulating in the intestine 24-48 hours post infection. Consistent with the *Drosophila*

infection assays described in Fig. 1, two independent ΔrhlR mutants in the PA14 background

colonized the *C. elegans* intestine at significantly lower levels than two independent ΔrhlI

mutants. Indeed, in this colonization assay, the ΔrhlI mutants were indistinguishable from

wild-type PA14, similar to the results in the nematode killing assay. An alternative

explanation for these results is that *C. elegans* preferentially feeds on the ΔrhlI mutant

compared to the ΔrhlR mutant and simply overwhelms the immune response with a larger

number of ingested cells. However, this possibility was ruled out by monitoring the pumping

(feeding) rate of *C. elegans* feeding on wild-type, ΔrhlR and ΔrhlI mutants. *C. elegans*

pumped at the same rate on all three strains (Fig. S2).

Phagocytosis protects* Drosophila *against invasion of its hemocoel by wild-type PA14

during the early phase of the infection

In the *Drosophila* intestinal infection model, flies constantly feed on PA14 present on a filter.

A defining feature of this model is that even though bacteria are able to rapidly cross the

intestinal barrier to penetrate the hemocoel, as had been previously described for *Serratia*

marcescens (Nehme et al., 2007), the PA14 titer in the hemolymph remains low for the first

few days of the infection. After this initial incubation period, there is an exponential

proliferation of PA14 in the hemocoel, which coincides with the activation of the systemic

immune response. Using bacteria expressing different colored fluorescent proteins, we

previously showed that *S. marcescens* continuously crosses the intestinal barrier during the

infection (Nehme et al., 2007). Fig. 3A-B shows that when flies that have been feeding on

dsRed-labeled PA14 bacteria for four days were switched to a filter laced with GFP-labeled

PA14, the green bacteria progressively replaced the red bacteria both in the gut and hemocoel

compartments. We conclude that *P. aeruginosa*, like *S. marcescens*, continuously crosses the

intestinal barrier during the infection.

Next, we asked at what time periods during an infection is phagocytosis important in

preventing PA14 growth in the hemolymph. To this end, we saturated the phagocytic

apparatus of hemocytes by injecting latex beads into flies at different time points during

infection. As expected, blocking phagocytosis one day prior to the infection led to an earlier

demise of the PA14-infected flies compared to PBS-injected control flies. Similar results were

found when latex beads were injected four hours or one day after infection, although in the

latter case the difference was not significant (its value was nevertheless similar to that

obtained by injection one day prior to infection at -1 day; Fig. 3C). In contrast to injecting the

latex beads one day after infection, the injection of latex beads four or six days after the

beginning of the ingestion of wild-type PA14 did not modify the survival rate of flies. That is,

the LT_{50} values were similar to those of control (PBS-injected) flies, consistent with the

conclusion that starting about four days after infection the cellular immune response no longer

plays a major role in limiting a wild-type PA14 infection.

In contrast to wild-type PA14, *ΔrhlR* bacteria were kept in check by phagocytosis at least up

to day four and to some extent up to six days after infection (Fig. 3D, F). Phagocytosis was

efficient against *ΔrhlI* bacteria for approximately four days (Fig. 3E, F). These data suggest

that *ΔrhlR* bacteria are constantly kept in check by the cellular immune response when

penetrating the hemocoel, whereas wild-type PA14 ultimately escape this immune

surveillance. *ΔrhlI* bacteria display an intermediate phenotype, with a somewhat decreased

virulence in flies in which phagocytosis was blocked at day 4 (Fig. 3F).

A recent study has reported that hemocytes are recruited to the gut after the ingestion of

bacteria (Ayyaz, Li et al., 2015). We confirmed this finding in the case of *P. aeruginosa* oral

infection, with a significant recruitment observed at four hours after the beginning of the

infection with either wild-type PA14 or *Δrhl* mutants (Fig. 3G-H, Fig. S3). While hemocytes

remained associated with the midgut for at least three days after the beginning of the ingestion

of wild-type PA14 or *ΔrhlR* bacteria, they were not at three days in the case of *ΔrhlI* bacteria

(Fig. 3H). While some ingested bacteria could be detected in the hemocytes recruited to the

gut, this phenomenon was not reproducible enough to allow reliable quantification.

Drosophila Tep4 *is required for host defense against ingested PA14*

Our previous work had shown that flies mutant for the putative phagocytic receptor gene

Eater are more susceptible to PA14 ingestion and display a phenotype similar to that obtained

by latex bead-mediated ablation of the phagocytic capacity of hemocytes (Limmer et al.,

2011a). Thioester-containing proteins have been reported to be required for phagocytosis in

mosquitoes and also in cultured *Drosophila* S2 cells (Levashina et al., 2001, Stroschein-

Stevenson et al., 2006). We therefore tested mutations affecting the *Tep2*, *Tep3*, and/or *Tep4*

genes (Bou Aoun et al., 2011). In the case of *Tep1*, since no mutants were available, we tested

an RNAi transgene expressed either in hemocytes or in the fat body. However, we did not

observe any change in the virulence of ingested PA14 (Fig. S4A, B). *Tep4* and triple *Tep2-*

Tep3-Tep4 mutants displayed increased susceptibility to PA14 ingestion, in contrast to *Tep3*

and double *Tep2-Tep3* mutants that displayed respectively a somewhat decreased or wild-type

susceptibility (Fig. 4A, D-E). Of note, uninfected *Tep3* mutants fed on a sucrose solution

displayed an enhanced fitness when compared to wild-type or other *Tep* mutant lines (Fig.

S4C). We conclude from these data that Tep4, but not other thioester-containing proteins, is

required for host defense against ingested PA14.

Next, we found that *ΔrhlR* became as virulent as wild-type PA14 when ingested by *Tep4* or

Tep2-Tep3-Tep4 mutants, which was not observed with the *Tep2* and *Tep2-Tep3* mutant

strains (Fig. 4B, D-E). Interestingly, the injection of latex beads in *Tep4* flies only modestly

increased the virulence of *rhlR* bacteria when compared to PBS-injected *Tep4* flies (Fig. S4 D,

E), suggesting that phagocytosis of PA14 is severely affected in the *Tep4* mutant. *ΔrhlI*

bacteria behaved like *ΔrhlR* bacteria when ingested by *Tep4* (Fig. 4C, E), similarly to flies

injected with latex beads (Fig. 1E), although *ΔrhlR* recovered virulence to a much larger

extent (3.1 days) than *ΔrhlI* (0.9 days) when ingested by *Tep4* flies. Hence, the behavior of

ΔrhlR mutant PA14 is similar in *eater* and *Tep4* mutant flies, thereby raising the possibility

that both fly genes are involved in the same process, in keeping with an unchanged phenotype

of *Tep4* when phagocytosis was blocked by the injection of latex beads (Fig. S4DC).

Phagocytosis of PA14 bacteria is impaired in* Tep4 *mutant hemocytes

To quantitatively monitor the uptake of PA14, we used assays that relied on larval hemocytes.

First, we injected heat-killed, pHrodo®-labeled bacteria in wild-type or *Tep4* third-instar

larvae. The dye becomes fluorescent when placed in an acidic environment such as that

encountered in phagosomes. After 45 minutes, the larvae were bled and a phagocytic index

was established. Wild-type hemocytes ingested significantly more PA14 or *ΔrhlR* bacteria

than *Tep4* hemocytes (Fig. 5A). There were however no significant differences between heat-

killed wild-type PA14 and *rhlR* bacteria uptake by wild-type hemocytes on the one hand, or

Tep4 hemocytes on the other (Fig. 5A). This was not necessarily unexpected as heat-killing

likely inactivates *rhlR*-dependent virulence factors and might also alter the surface of bacteria.

We therefore modified the assay with live bacteria and used an antibody we had raised against

PA14 to differentially immuno-stain the bacteria, prior to and after permeabilization of the

fixed cells. As before, *Tep4* hemocytes exhibited a decreased uptake of bacteria compared to

wild-type hemocytes. However, in the case of live bacteria, *ΔrhlR* bacteria were significantly

better phagocytosed than wild-type PA14 bacteria when injected into wild-type or *Tep4* larvae.

ΔrhlI exhibited an intermediate phenotype in this assay and was not significantly different

from either wild-type or *ΔrhlR* bacteria (Fig. 5B). We conclude that this assay is not sensitive

enough to discriminate between these two bacterial mutant strains. We obtained similar

results using the *ΔrhlR* and *ΔrhlI* strains generated by another laboratory (Fig. S1G).

Tep4 opsonizes* rhlR *better than* rhlI *or wild-type bacteria

We next designed an experiment to assess whether Tep4 functions as an opsonin, *i.e.*, that it is

deposited on the surface of bacteria to facilitate its detection and subsequent ingestion by

hemocytes. To this end, we collected the hemolymph from either wild-type or *Tep4* larvae

and incubated it with live bacteria. These bacteria were then retrieved and injected into either

naive wild-type or *Tep4* mutant larvae prior to bleeding these injected larvae to be able to

count the ingested bacteria as described above (Fig. 6A). Wild-type PA14 were poorly

phagocytosed in this assay (medians of phagocytic index lower than 10, Fig. 6C), when the

opsonized bacteria were first incubated in the hemolymph of Tep4-containing wild-type

larvae and then secondarily injected into wild-type or *Tep4* larvae (although the former

yielded a significantly increased phagocytic index, as compared to bacteria incubated first in

Tep4 hemolymph; Fig. 6C). In contrast, bacteria that had been first incubated in *Tep4* mutant

hemolymph were hardly ingested when re-injected into *Tep4* larvae (Fig. 6E). Re-injection of

these bacteria into wild-type larvae recipients modestly increased the phagocytic index (Fig.

6E), which was nevertheless lower than that of bacteria that had been pre-incubated with

wild-type hemolymph (Fig. 6C). When these experiments were performed using Δ*rhlR*

bacteria that were injected in *Tep4* larvae, it made a major difference whether these mutant

bacteria had first been pre-exposed to wild-type or *Tep4* hemolymph. Tep4-dependent

opsonization led to a massive uptake of bacteria (median phagocytic value of 162), whereas

nonopsonized bacteria (pre-incubated with *Tep4* mutant hemolymph) were hardly ingested

(median phagocytic value of 12; Fig. 6D-E). As expected, nonopsonized bacteria that were

then injected in wild-type recipients were much better phagocytosed (median phagocytic

value of 214), presumably because Tep4 was circulating in the wild-type hemolymph (Fig.

6B). They were nevertheless ingested less efficiently than opsonized bacteria (median

phagocytic value of 376; Fig. 6B). Finally, Δ*rhlI* bacteria were also opsonized by Tep4, but

significantly less than Δ*rhlR* bacteria (Fig. 6B, D). Again, they displayed a phenotype that

was intermediate to that of wild-type PA14 on the one hand, and Δ*rhlR* on the other. We

conclude that PA14 and, to a lesser extent, Δ*rhlI* bacteria are less efficiently opsonized and

subsequently phagocytosed than Δ*rhlR* bacteria, which are therefore unable to elude the

cellular immune response.

***Tep4 plays an adverse role in a PA14 systemic infection model in* Drosophila**

A recent study has reported that *Tep4* mutants are more resistant to a systemic infection with

the entomopathogenic bacterium *Photorhabdus luminescens* in a septic injury model (Shokal

& Eleftherianos, 2017). By injecting several doses of PA14, from 10 to 1000 CFUs, directly

into the thorax of flies, we also consistently found that *Tep4* mutants survived better than

wild-type flies in this systemic infection model (Fig. 7A). This difference in survival between

Tep4 and wild-type flies was largely attenuated when Δ*rhlR* bacteria were injected, thereby

establishing again a relationship of altered virulence of these bacteria in a *Drosophila Tep4*

mutant background (Fig. 7B). Using the steady-state expression of the antibacterial peptide

gene *Diptericin* as a read-out of the activation of the IMD pathway that regulates the systemic

immune response against Gram-negative bacteria, we found no significant difference of

expression between wild-type and *Tep4* (Fig. 7C). As a higher level of induction of the IMD

pathway is unlikely to account for the increased resistance of *Tep4* mutants against PA14

infection, we tested whether the phenol oxidase cascade was more efficiently activated in this

mutant background, as previously reported (Shokal & Eleftherianos, 2017). Indeed, we found

that pro-phenol oxidase was cleaved to some extent in *Tep4* but not in wild-type flies. These

data further suggest that wild-type PA14 bacteria elude detection by the factors that trigger

the prophenol oxidase cascade, and that Tep4 plays a role in this process of evasion from the

melanization response.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we analyzed the interactions of *P. aeruginosa* with *Drosophila* from the dual

perspective of both pathogen and host. Our data lead us to propose a model in which RhlR

plays a pivotal role in virulence by diminishing the ability of the cellular immune arm of the

host defense response to detect *P. aeruginosa* once the bacteria have reached the internal

body cavity of the insect after crossing the intestinal barrier. Surprisingly, RhlR function in

eluding opsonization by Tep4 is at least partially independent of the C4-HSL producing

enzyme RhlI. These results as well as those of another study (Mukherjee, Moustafa et al.,

2017) show that RhlR can function independently of C4-HSL, but they do not formally

establish that RhlR is functioning independently from a quorum-sensing system in this

function. Furthermore, we establish a dual function for the putative opsonin Tep4, which

plays opposite roles in host defense depending on the infection route.

A rhlI-*independent function of* rhlR

P. aeruginosa is a pathogen that uses complex signaling mechanisms to adapt to its

environment, and its three quorum-sensing regulators (LasR, RhlR and MvfR) appear to be

involved in the regulation of a variety of virulence-related functions (Coggan & Wolfgang,

2012, Jimenez et al., 2012, Schuster et al., 2013). *In vitro* studies, sometimes complemented

by *in vivo* experiments, have revealed that these quorum-sensing systems are intricately

intertwined. It was thus unexpected that only the *P. aeruginosa* RhlR regulator appears to

play a critical role for virulence in a *Drosophila* intestinal infection model and not the two

other quorum sensor regulators (Limmer et al., 2011a). Here, we report that *ΔrhlR* null

mutants consistently display virulence levels that are much weaker than those observed with

ΔrhlI mutants (Fig. 1B), do not proliferate in the hemolymph in contrast to *ΔrhlI* mutants, and

are phagocytosed and opsonized in a Tep4-dependent process more efficiently than *ΔrhlI* and

wild-type bacteria. These observations suggest that RhlR functions at least partially

independently from RhlI and presumably independently from C4-HSL activation. In contrast,

ΔrhlI mutants exhibit an impaired virulence in both wild-type and *Tep4* immuno-deficient

flies, similarly to *ΔrhlR* mutants. Both *ΔrhlI* and *ΔrhlR* mutants display survival curves with

shallow slopes. Thus, the partially overlapping phenotypes of *ΔrhlI* and *ΔrhlR* in flies with

impaired cellular immunity suggests that RhlR partially functions together with RhlI as a

classical quorum-sensing regulator in a process that remains to be delineated. Interestingly, it

appears that RhlR controls gene expression for biofilm formation both in a C4-HSL-

dependent and C4-HSL independent manner (Mukherjee et al., 2017). However, this putative

conventional function of RhlR plays a minor role in virulence of PA14 in *Drosophila*, as

shown by the weak virulence-related phenotypes of *ΔrhlI* mutants documented in our study.

One explanation for the RhlI-independent activity of RhlR is that it gets activated in a C4-

HSL-independent manner by an as yet unidentified quorum-sensing compound. Of note, RhlR

does not appear to be activated by 3-oxo-C12-HSL (Mukherjee et al., 2017), the LasR ligand.

In any case, *lasR* and *lasI* mutant bacteria display only a modestly decreased virulence

phenotype in the *Drosophila* infection model (Fig. S5). The diketopiperazines (DKPs)

represent a candidate family of RhlR-activating compounds (Holden, Ram Chhabra et al.,

1999); however, at least one study failed to detect any interaction of these compounds with

LuxR proteins (Campbell, Lin et al., 2009). The resolution of this issue will require testing

mutants that affect the synthesis of DKPs.

Another hypothesis to consider is that RhlR may function independently of auto-inducer

molecules. RhlR forms dimers in the presence or absence of C4-HSL (Ledgham, Ventre et al.,

2003). Further studies reported that RhlR functions as a repressor when unbound to C4-HSL

(Anderson, Zimprich et al., 1999, Medina, Juarez et al., 2003). Interestingly, RhlR dimers

seem to bind its target DNA sequence with an altered conformation (Medina et al., 2003).

Finally, transcriptomics studies on *lasR-rhlR* double mutants also revealed several target

genes that appear to be repressed by either LasR or RhlR (Schuster, Lostroh et al., 2003,

Wagner, Bushnell et al., 2003). Thus, a repressor function for RhlR unbound to C4-HSL

cannot be excluded at this stage. A limitation of all of the studies related to the C4-HSL-

related activity of RhlR is that they were performed *in vitro* and not *in vivo*.

Finally, our studies on the inactivation of the cellular immune response at different time

points of the infection further support a quorum sensing-independent role of RhlR. Our study

revealed that phagocytosis is required only when very few bacteria are present in the

hemolymph, that is, during the first days of the infection. A caveat here is that we cannot

exclude the possibility that C4-HSL or other cryptic autoinducers might be produced by the

bacteria present in large numbers in the gut compartment. However, if autoinducers, including

C4-HSL, were produced in the intestinal lumen and were able to cross the digestive barrier, it

is difficult to understand why they would not immediately activate RhlR resulting in full-

blown bacteremia without the observed lag before the exponential proliferation phase in the

hemocoel. This hypothesis also does not account for why the *rhlI* reduced virulence

phenotype is much weaker than that of *rhlR*, unless this reflects the differing opsonization

properties of these mutants.

***The function of RhlR in bypassing host defenses in* C. elegans?**

Except for fungal invasion of the epidermis by nematophagous fungi, how *C. elegans* senses

infections remains poorly understood (Zugasti, Bose et al., 2014). The finding that *ΔrhlR*

mutants are much less virulent than Δ*rhlI* mutants in a *C. elegans* infection model, and that

ΔrhlR mutants fail to colonize the intestinal tract of worms might be due either to an impaired

escape from detection by the immune system or to a defective resistance to its action. It is not

clear, however, that RhlR-mediated regulation of the production of toxic phenazines, as

shown in the case of PA14-mediated "fast killing" of *C. elegans* (Mukherjee et al., 2017)*,* is

the reason the *ΔrhlR* mutant is so dramatically impaired in the *C. elegans "*slow killing" assay

used in our study in Fig. 2A. The major difference in the fast and slow *C. elegans* killing

assay is the composition of the agar medium in which the *P. aeruginosa* is grown and on

which the killing assays are performed (Mahajan-Miklos, Tan et al., 1999, Tan et al., 1999a,

Tan, Rahme et al., 1999b). Fast killing is mediated by phenazines (Cezairliyan, Vinayavekhin

et al., 2013, Mahajan-Miklos et al., 1999) whereas slow killing is multi-factorial (Feinbaum,

Urbach et al., 2012), and PA14 mutants deficient in the production of phenazines do not

exhibit a significant killing defect in the slow killing assay (Tan et al., 1999b). In contrast to

Drosophila, no cellular host defense has been detected in *C. elegans* and is unlikely to be

involved in the immune response to intestinal infection. The use of *ΔrhlR* mutants will open

the way to the identification of the relevant host defense systems that are circumvented by

wild-type PA14 bacteria. In any case, the lack of a significant phenotype of the *ΔrhlI* mutants

in the *C. elegans* killing and intestinal proliferation assays is striking. This can be exploited in

future studies to help elucidate the underlying *rhlI*-independent mechanisms involved in

RhlR-mediated regulation of virulence.

RhlR counteracts the cellular host defense by eluding detection by Tep4

Our phagocytosis and opsonization data are consistent with a model in which RhlR controls

the expression of gene products that mask the site being recognized by Tep4 or a Tep4-

associated protein, presumably on the cell wall. Alternatively, RhlR may actively inhibit the

uptake of opsonized bacteria. The masking or inhibition of ingestion processes may be

sensitive to heat, as wild-type heat-killed bacteria appeared to be more efficiently taken up by

hemocytes than live ones (compare median values for PA14WT in Fig. 5A to those in 5B).

Alternatively, the processes may be unstable and require permanent maintenance that can no

longer be achieved when the bacterial cells are killed.

Insect thioester-containing proteins belong to the complement family, and have been shown to

be involved in the opsonization of bacteria in mosquitoes. In *Drosophila*, Tep2 has been

reported to be required for the uptake of *Escherichia coli*, a Gram-negative bacterium, by

cultured S2 cells (Stroschein-Stevenson et al., 2006), a finding confirmed *in vivo* (Shokal,

Kopydlowski et al., 2017). In contrast, we find no involvement of Tep2 in our *in vivo*

intestinal infection model with *P. aeruginosa* but do detect a requirement for Tep4 in

phagocytosis and opsonization assays. Given that the structure of mosquito thioester-

containing protein 1 is similar to that of complement family C3, a well-described opsonin, our

data are compatible with a model of direct opsonization of bacteria by Tep4.

A host factor plays opposite roles in host defense against the same pathogen according to the infection route

The finding that Tep4 plays a protective function in the intestinal infection model whereas it is detrimental in the case of a direct systemic infection is paradoxical. This may actually represent two faces of the same phenomenon. PA14 may have developed a stealth strategy to avoid detection by the immune system of living organisms and thus may actively hide any features that might reveal its presence. We propose here that RhlR plays a critical role in a program that renders PA14 furtive, in keeping with our finding that bacteria likely need to be alive to escape phagocytosis efficiently (Fig. 5). As a result of RhlR action, only a few sites would be available on the surface of the wild-type bacteria for Tep4 direct or indirect binding. There, Tep4 would mediate opsonization and then subsequent phagocytosis of the bacteria. Our data in the systemic infection model are compatible with the possibility that Tep4 competes for these sites with Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRRs) that activate the prophenol oxidase cascade since PO activation occurs only in the *Tep4* mutant background. It is likely that small peptidoglycan (PGN) fragments released by proliferating bacteria represent a major trigger of the IMD pathway in addition to large PGN fragments directly sensed by PGRP-LC, thereby accounting for the apparent normal expression of *Diptericin* when flies are challenged with injected PA14. In contrast, we have previously established that some fungi and Gram-positive bacteria trigger the phenol oxidase cascade through defined PRRs (Matskevich, Quintin et al., 2010). The situation is less clear as regards Gram-negative bacteria. While the original characterization of PGRP-LE suggested that it triggers the phenol oxidase activation cascade (Takehana, Katsuyama et al., 2002), and acts non cell-autonomously (Takehana, Yano et al., 2004), subsequent studies have documented a role for PGRP-LE as an intracellular sensor for PGN fragments (Bosco-Drayon, Poidevin et al., 2012,

Ferrandon et al., 2007, Yano, Mita et al., 2008). Thus, further work will be required to

identify whether Tep4 actually competes with PRRs in the detection of pathogens.

Our results further suggest that the cellular immune response is a relevant defense when a few

bacteria enter the hemocoel after escaping from the digestive tract in the intestinal infection

model, but not in the septic injury model. Conversely, melanization mediated by activated

phenol oxidase appears to be somewhat effective after injection but not ingestion of PA14.

A major challenge will be to establish how RhlR influences the surface properties of PA14 or

alternatively inhibits the uptake of opsonized bacteria.

Finally, *ΔrhlR* mutants exhibit reduced dissemination capacities in a rodent lung infection

model when compared to *ΔrhlI* or wild-type PA14(Mukherjee et al., 2017). By analogy to our

findings in the *Drosophila* intestinal infection model, it will be interesting to determine

whether the complement system restricts the systemic escape of *ΔrhlR* mutants from the

mouse lung into the periphery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Many methods employed in this study have been described in detail in Haller *et al.* (2014).

***C. elegans* killing and intestinal accumulation assays**

The "slow-killing" of *C. elegans* by *P. aeruginosa* was monitored using automated image

analysis as previously described (McEwan et al., 2016, Stroustrup, Ulmschneider et al.,

2013). To monitor the accumulation of *P. aeruginosa* in the *C. elegans* intestine, wild-type

(N2) animals were used for all experiments. Worms were reared on non-pathogenic *E. coli*

OP50 on nematode growth media at 25°C. Synchronized L4 worms (fourth larval stage) were

transferred to slow kill (SK) nematode growth media agar plates containing a lawn of *P.*

aeruginosa PA14::GFP strains. Post infection at 24 and 48 hours approximately 20 worms

were picked onto a 2% agar pad that contain the paralyzing agent levamisole (1mM). The

worms were imaged in the GFP channel using a Zeiss Apotome microscope with the same

exposure time for all the worms on wild type PA14 and the *ΔrhlR* and *ΔrhlI* mutants. Post

acquisition the images were processed using ImageJ software and the area and fluorescence

intensity was measured. The relative fluorescence intensity is plotted and a non-parametric

Mann-Whitney test was used to determine statistical significance.

Opsonization assay of live bacteria

Overnight cultures of PA14, *ΔrhlR*, and *ΔRhlI* mutants were concentrated to OD10 in PBS.

Twenty third instar larvae were bled in 150 µL of bacterial resuspended in PBS at aOD of 10

and incubated at room temperature for 30 to 45 min. Samples were centrifuged at 500 rcf for

15 min and the pellet (containing larval debris) was removed. A second centrifugation was

performed at 3500 rcf for 15 min to retrieve bacteria in the pellet, that was re-suspended in

10 µL PBS. A5001 or *tep4* third instar larvae were injected with 32.2 nL of the live bacteria

solutions, using a Nanoject apparatus (Drummont). After 60-90 min of incubation, one larva

was bled in each well of an 8-well pattern microscopy slide that contained PBS. The cells

were left to settle to the bottom for 30 min and were then fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde for

15 min, in a humid chamber. The samples were washed twice in PBS and they were stained

with a 1/500 diluted rabbit antiserum against PA14 in a PBS solution with 2 % BSA for 2

hours at room temperature. The cells were incubated with a FITC-labeled goat anti-rabbit

secondary antibody (Invitrogen) in a PBS solution with 2 % BSA for 2 hours at room

temperature. After a 20 min permeabilization step in a PBS solution with 0.1 % Triton X-100

and 2 % BSA, a second round of staining with a 1/500 diluted rabbit antiserum against PA14

in a PBS solution with 0.1 % Triton X-100 and 2 % BSA was performed for 2 hours at room

temperature. The samples were then incubated with a Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit secondary

antibody (Invitrogen) in a PBS solution with 0.1 % Triton X-100 and 2 % BSA for 2 hours at

room temperature. The slides were mounted in Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories)

and analyzed using a Zeiss Axioskope 2 fluorescent microscope. 40 to 50 cells per larva were

analyzed: the number of red fluorescent bacteria that were not green fluorescent was counted

for each DAPI-positive hemocyte, and the phagocytosis index was calculated (% of

phagocytes containing at least 1 only-green bacterium)×(mean number of only-green bacteria

per positive cell). We used the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test for statistical analysis.

Phenol oxidase cleavage assay

The procedure was performed as described (Leclerc, Pelte et al., 2006), except that

hemolymph loads were not adjusted by measuring the protein content of the extracted

hemolymph. The antibody used has been generated by Dr. H. M. Müller against *Anopheles*

phenol oxidases (Muller, Dimopoulos et al., 1999). The ratio of cleaved to noncleaved form

was performed by densitometry scanning.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis were performed on Graphpad Prism version 5 (Graphpad software Inc.,

San Diego, CA). Details are indicated in the legend of each figure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to J. Nguyen, to Gaétan Caravello, and D. McEwan for help with some

experiments, and to W. M. Yamba and J. Bourdeau for expert technical help. We thank Dr. A.

Filloux and Dr. B. Bassler for the gift of PA14 mutant strains, the Bloomington Stock Center

for *Drosophila* stocks. Dr. S. Niehus provided valuable advice. We thank Dr. B. Bassler for

sharing her work with us prior to publication. This work has been funded by CNRS,

University of Strasbourg, Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (Equipe FRM to D.F.),

Agence Nationale de la Recherche (DROSOGUT, ANR-11-EQPX-0022) and US NIH

grant R01 AI085581 awarded to F.M.A.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SH, AF, SL, and DF conceived the *Drosophila* experiments and analyzed the data. SH

performed the majority of these experiments, with later work performed by AF with an input

from SL; SS performed the precursor experiments that led to this work. Work on the septic

injury model was performed by JC, with some help from ZL. $\Delta lasR$, ΔlasI, ΔrhlR and $\Delta rhlI$

mutants were constructed by ED and SY, except when indicated otherwise. AH and FMA

conceived the *C. elegans* experiments and analyzed the data, which were obtained by AH. SH,

DF, and FMA wrote the manuscript, with inputs from other co-authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

Anderson RM, Zimprich CA, Rust L (1999) A second operator is involved in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa elastase (lasB) activation. J Bacteriol 181: 6264-70
Arefin B, Kucerova L, Dobes P, Markus R, Strnad H, Wang Z, Hyrsl P, Zurovec M, Theopold
U (2014) Genome-wide transcriptional analysis of Drosophila larvae infected by
entomopathogenic nematodes shows involvement of complement, recognition and
extracellular matrix proteins. J Innate Immun 6: 192-204
Avet-Rochex A, Bergeret E, Attree I, Meister M, Fauvarque MO (2005) Suppression of
Drosophila cellular immunity by directed expression of the ExoS toxin GAP domain of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Cell Microbiol 7: 799-810
Ayyaz A, Li H, Jasper H (2015) Haemocytes control stem cell activity in the Drosophila
intestine. Nat Cell Biol 17: 736-48
Batz T, Forster D, Luschnig S (2014) The transmembrane protein Macroglobulin
complement-related is essential for septate junction formation and epithelial barrier function
in Drosophila. Development 141: 899-908
Bier E, Guichard A (2012) Deconstructing host-pathogen interactions in Drosophila. Dis
Model Mech 5: 48-61

Binggeli O, Neyen C, Poidevin M, Lemaitre B (2014) Prophenoloxidase activation is required
for survival to microbial infections in Drosophila. PLoS Pathog 10: e1004067
Bosco-Drayon V, Poidevin M, Boneca IG, Narbonne-Reveau K, Royet J, Charroux B (2012)
Peptidoglycan sensing by the receptor PGRP-LE in the Drosophila gut induces immune
responses to infectious bacteria and tolerance to microbiota. Cell Host Microbe 12: 153-65
Bou Aoun R, Hetru C, Troxler L, Doucet D, Ferrandon D, Matt N (2011) Analysis of
thioester-containing proteins during the innate immune response of Drosophila melanogaster.
J Innate Immun 3: 52-64
Buchon N, Silverman N, Cherry S (2014) Immunity in Drosophila melanogaster--from
microbial recognition to whole-organism physiology. Nat Rev Immunol 14: 796-810
Campbell J, Lin Q, Geske GD, Blackwell HE (2009) New and unexpected insights into the
modulation of LuxR-type quorum sensing by cyclic dipeptides. ACS chemical biology 4:
1051-9
Cezairliyan B, Vinayavekhin N, Grenfell-Lee D, Yuen GJ, Saghatelian A, Ausubel FM
(2013) Identification of Pseudomonas aeruginosa phenazines that kill Caenorhabditis elegans.
PLoS Pathog 9: e1003101
Coggan KA, Wolfgang MC (2012) Global regulatory pathways and cross-talk control
pseudomonas aeruginosa environmental lifestyle and virulence phenotype. Current issues in
molecular biology 14: 47-70
Dudzic JP, Kondo S, Ueda R, Bergman CM, Lemaitre B (2015) Drosophila innate immunity:
regional and functional specialization of prophenoloxidases. BMC Biol 13: 81
El Chamy L, Matt N, Reichhart JM (2017) Advances in Myeloid-Like Cell Origins and
Functions in the Model Organism Drosophila melanogaster. Microbiol Spectr 5
Elrod-Erickson M, Mishra S, Schneider D (2000) Interactions between the cellular and
humoral immune responses in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 10: 781-4
Feinbaum RL, Urbach JM, Liberati NT, Djonovic S, Adonizio A, Carvunis AR, Ausubel FM
(2012) Genome-wide identification of Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence-related genes using
a Caenorhabditis elegans infection model. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002813
Ferrandon D (2013) The complementary facets of epithelial host defenses in the genetic
model organism Drosophila melanogaster: from resistance to resilience. Curr Opin Immunol
25: 59-70
Ferrandon D, Imler JL, Hetru C, Hoffmann JA (2007) The Drosophila systemic immune
response: sensing and signalling during bacterial and fungal infections. Nat Rev Immunol 7:
862-74
Gambello MJ, Kaye S, Iglewski BH (1993) LasR of Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a
transcriptional activator of the alkaline protease gene (apr) and an enhancer of exotoxin A
expression. Infect Immun 61: 1180-4
Ganesan S, Aggarwal K, Paquette N, Silverman N (2010) NF-kappaB/Rel Proteins and the
Humoral Immune Responses of Drosophila melanogaster. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol
Hall S, Bone C, Oshima K, Zhang L, McGraw M, Lucas B, Fehon RG, Ward REt (2014)
Macroglobulin complement-related encodes a protein required for septate junction
organization and paracellular barrier function in Drosophila. Development 141: 889-98
Haller S, Limmer S, Ferrandon D (2014) Assessing Pseudomonas virulence with a
nonmammalian host: Drosophila melanogaster. Methods Mol Biol 1149: 723-40
Holden MT, Ram Chhabra S, de Nys R, Stead P, Bainton NJ, Hill PJ, Manefield M, Kumar N,
Labatte M, England D, Rice S, Givskov M, Salmond GP, Stewart GS, Bycroft BW,
Kjelleberg S, Williams P (1999) Quorum-sensing cross talk: isolation and chemical
characterization of cyclic dipeptides from Pseudomonas aeruginosa and other gram-negative
bacteria. Mol Microbiol 33: 1254-66

Hoyland-Kroghsbo NM, Paczkowski J, Mukherjee S, Broniewski J, Westra E, Bondy-
Denomy J, Bassler BL (2017) Quorum sensing controls the Pseudomonas aeruginosa
CRISPR-Cas adaptive immune system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114: 131-135
Igboin CO, Griffen AL, Leys EJ (2012) The Drosophila melanogaster host model. Journal of
oral microbiology 4
Irazoqui JE, Urbach JM, Ausubel FM (2010) Evolution of host innate defence: insights from
Caenorhabditis elegans and primitive invertebrates. Nat Rev Immunol 10: 47-58
Jimenez PN, Koch G, Thompson JA, Xavier KB, Cool RH, Quax WJ (2012) The multiple
signaling systems regulating virulence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiology and
molecular biology reviews : MMBR 76: 46-65
Kocks C, Cho JH, Nehme N, Ulvila J, Pearson AM, Meister M, Strom C, Conto SL, Hetru C,
Stuart LM, Stehle T, Hoffmann JA, Reichhart JM, Ferrandon D, Ramet M, Ezekowitz RA
(2005) Eater, a transmembrane protein mediating phagocytosis of bacterial pathogens in
Drosophila. Cell 123: 335-46
Latifi A, Winson MK, Foglino M, Bycroft BW, Stewart GS, Lazdunski A, Williams P (1995)
Multiple homologues of LuxR and LuxI control expression of virulence determinants and
secondary metabolites through quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. Mol
Microbiol 17: 333-43
Leclerc V, Pelte N, El Chamy L, Martinelli C, Ligoxygakis P, Hoffmann JA, Reichhart JM
(2006) Prophenoloxidase activation is not required for survival to microbial infections in
Drosophila. EMBO Rep 7: 231-5
Ledgham F, Ventre I, Soscia C, Foglino M, Sturgis JN, Lazdunski A (2003) Interactions of
the quorum sensing regulator QscR: interaction with itself and the other regulators of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa LasR and RhlR. Mol Microbiol 48: 199-210
Lemaitre B, Hoffmann J (2007) The Host Defense of Drosophila melanogaster. Annu Rev
Immunol 25: 697-743
Levashina EA, Moita LF, Blandin S, Vriend G, Lagueux M, Kafatos FC (2001) Conserved
role of a complement-like protein in phagocytosis revealed by dsRNA knockout in cultured
cells of the mosquito, Anopheles gambiae. Cell 104: 709-18
Limmer S, Haller S, Drenkard E, Lee J, Yu S, Kocks C, Ausubel FM, Ferrandon D (2011a)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa RhlR is required to neutralize the cellular immune response in a
Drosophila melanogaster oral infection model. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 17378-83
Limmer S, Quintin J, Hetru C, Ferrandon D (2011b) Virulence on the fly: Drosophila
melanogaster as a model genetic organism to decipher host-pathogen interactions. Current
Drug Targets 12: 978-999
Lin L, Rodrigues F, Kary C, Contet A, Logan M, Baxter RHG, Wood W, Baehrecke EH
(2017) Complement-Related Regulates Autophagy in Neighboring Cells. Cell 170: 158-171
e8
Mahajan-Miklos S, Tan MW, Rahme LG, Ausubel FM (1999) Molecular mechanisms of
bacterial virulence elucidated using a Pseudomonas aeruginosa-Caenorhabditis elegans
pathogenesis model. Cell 96: 47-56
Matskevich AA, Quintin J, Ferrandon D (2010) The Drosophila PRR GNBP3 assembles
effector complexes involved in antifungal defenses independently of its Toll-pathway
activation function. Eur J Immunol 40: 1244-54
McEwan DL, Feinbaum RL, Stroustrup N, Haas W, Conery AL, Anselmo A, Sadreyev R,
Ausubel FM (2016) Tribbles ortholog NIPI-3 and bZIP transcription factor CEBP-1 regulate a
Caenorhabditis elegans intestinal immune surveillance pathway. BMC Biol 14: 105
Medina G, Juarez K, Valderrama B, Soberon-Chavez G (2003) Mechanism of Pseudomonas
aeruginosa RhlR transcriptional regulation of the rhlAB promoter. J Bacteriol 185: 5976-83

Mukherjee S, Moustafa D, Smith CD, Goldberg JB, Bassler BL (2017) The RhlR quorum-
sensing receptor controls Pseudomonas aeruginosa pathogenesis and biofilm development
independently of its canonical homoserine lactone autoinducer. PLoS Pathog 13: e1006504
Muller HM, Dimopoulos G, Blass C, Kafatos FC (1999) A hemocyte-like cell line established
from the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae expresses six prophenoloxidase genes. J Biol
Chem 274: 11727-35
Nehme NT, Liegeois S, Kele B, Giammarinaro P, Pradel E, Hoffmann JA, Ewbank JJ,
Ferrandon D (2007) A Model of Bacterial Intestinal Infections in Drosophila melanogaster.
PLoS Pathog 3: e173
Pean CB, Dionne MS (2014) Intracellular infections in Drosophila melanogaster: host defense
and mechanisms of pathogenesis. Dev Comp Immunol 42: 57-66
Pesci EC, Pearson JP, Seed PC, Iglewski BH (1997) Regulation of las and rhl quorum sensing
in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 179: 3127-32
Pukkila-Worley R, Ausubel FM (2012) Immune defense mechanisms in the Caenorhabditis
elegans intestinal epithelium. Curr Opin Immunol 24: 3-9
Schuster M, Lostroh CP, Ogi T, Greenberg EP (2003) Identification, timing, and signal
specificity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum-controlled genes: a transcriptome analysis. J
Bacteriol 185: 2066-79
Schuster M, Sexton DJ, Diggle SP, Greenberg EP (2013) Acyl-homoserine lactone quorum
sensing: from evolution to application. Annu Rev Microbiol 67: 43-63
Seed PC, Passador L, Iglewski BH (1995) Activation of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasI
gene by LasR and the Pseudomonas autoinducer PAI: an autoinduction regulatory hierarchy. J
Bacteriol 177: 654-9
Shokal U, Eleftherianos I (2017) Thioester-Containing Protein-4 Regulates the Drosophila
Immune Signaling and Function against the Pathogen Photorhabdus. J Innate Immun 9: 83-93
Shokal U, Kopydlowski H, Eleftherianos I (2017) The distinct function of Tep2 and Tep6 in
the immune defense of Drosophila melanogaster against the pathogen Photorhabdus.
Virulence: 1-15
Stroschein-Stevenson SL, Foley E, O'Farrell PH, Johnson AD (2006) Identification of
Drosophila gene products required for phagocytosis of Candida albicans. PLoS Biol 4: e4
Stroustrup N, Ulmschneider BE, Nash ZM, Lopez-Moyado IF, Apfeld J, Fontana W (2013)
The Caenorhabditis elegans Lifespan Machine. Nat Methods 10: 665-70
Takehana A, Katsuyama T, Yano T, Oshima Y, Takada H, Aigaki T, Kurata S (2002)
Overexpression of a pattern-recognition receptor, peptidoglycan-recognition protein-LE,
activates imd/relish-mediated antibacterial defense and the prophenoloxidase cascade in
Drosophila larvae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 13705-10
Takehana A, Yano T, Mita S, Kotani A, Oshima Y, Kurata S (2004) Peptidoglycan
recognition protein (PGRP)-LE and PGRP-LC act synergistically in Drosophila immunity.
Embo J 23: 4690-700
Tan MW, Mahajan-Miklos S, Ausubel FM (1999a) Killing of Caenorhabditis elegans by
Pseudomonas aeruginosa used to model mammalian bacterial pathogenesis. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A 96: 715-20
Tan MW, Rahme LG, Sternberg JA, Tompkins RG, Ausubel FM (1999b) Pseudomonas
aeruginosa killing of Caenorhabditis elegans used to identify P. aeruginosa virulence factors.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96: 2408-13
Wagner VE, Bushnell D, Passador L, Brooks AI, Iglewski BH (2003) Microarray analysis of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum-sensing regulons: effects of growth phase and environment.
J Bacteriol 185: 2080-95

Williams P, Camara M (2009) Quorum sensing and environmental adaptation in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a tale of regulatory networks and multifunctional signal molecules.
Curr Opin Microbiol 12: 182-91
Yano T, Mita S, Ohmori H, Oshima Y, Fujimoto Y, Ueda R, Takada H, Goldman WE,
Fukase K, Silverman N, Yoshimori T, Kurata S (2008) Autophagic control of listeria through
intracellular innate immune recognition in drosophila. Nat Immunol 9: 908-16
Zugasti O, Bose N, Squiban B, Belougne J, Kurz CL, Schroeder FC, Pujol N, Ewbank JJ
(2014) Activation of a G protein-coupled receptor by its endogenous ligand triggers the innate
immune response of Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Immunol 15: 833-8

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: *RhlI* displays a distinct phenotype from that of *rhlR* in the *Drosophila*
intestinal infection model.

Survival experiment of wild-type flies (*w*) fed on wild-type (WT) PA14 bacteria, or Δ*rhlR,* or
Δ*rhlI* mutants.

A. Representative survival curves of infected and noninfected (NI) flies. Flies died faster from
the infection with PA14 WT than Δ*rhlR* and Δ*rhlI*. Flies infected with Δ*rhlI* exhibited an
intermediate survival phenotype. One representative experiment out of seven is shown.
Statistical analysis of the data is shown in panel B.

B. Pooled LT_{50} data of wild-type flies (w^{A5001}) following intestinal infections with PA14 WT,
Δ*rhlR* or Δ*rhlI*. LT_{50} of flies after infection with PA14 WT was significantly lower than with
Δ*rhlR* (***p=0.0003) and ΔrhlI (*p=0.0385). Flies were significantly more susceptible to
infection with Δ*rhlI* than with Δ*rhlR* (**p=0.0047). The LT_{50} data from seven survival
experiments are displayed (biological duplicates are also shown as there was as much
variability between experiments as within experiments), bars indicate medians. Statistics were
performed using a non-parametric test (Mann Whitney).

C-D. Bacterial titer of the hemolymph collected from flies that had ingested wild-type or
mutant PA14 as indicated, three (C) and five (D) days after the ingestion of PA14 WT or
mutants as indicated. In this series of experiments, flies infected with PA14 WT had started to
succumb by day five and were therefore not analyzed. Statistics were performed using the
Mann Whitney test; bars indicate medians. p values for C are respectively from left to right:
0.003, 0.002, and 0.005. P<0.0001 for D.

E. Survival curves of wild-type and latex bead-injected flies after intestinal infection with PA14 bacteria. In latex bead-injected flies $\Delta rhlI$ regains virulence. Note however that the shift in virulence is of the same magnitude as that observed for PA14 WT and contrasts with the large shift observed with $\Delta rhlR$.

F. Pooled LT_{50} data of latex bead-injected flies (*w*-LXB) survival experiments. *w*-LxB flies died significantly slower after $\Delta rhlR$ infection than with PA14 WT (**p=0.0065). A slight decrease of virulence, but at the border of significance, was observed between PA14 WT and $\Delta rhlI$ (p=0.0726). No difference in virulence was detected between $\Delta rhlR$ and $\Delta rhlI$ (p=0.3056). Data represent the LT_{50}s from five experiments (biological duplicates are also shown as there was as much variability between experiments as within experiments), bars indicate medians. Statistics were performed using a non-parametric test (Mann Whitney).

G. Differences of LT_{50}s between WT flies pre-injected with PBS (WT) and flies pre-injected with latex-beads (WT^{LXB}) after intestinal infection with PA14 WT or $\Delta rhlR$ mutant or $\Delta rhlI$ mutants, bars indicate medians. There is only a significant difference between PA14 WT and the $\Delta rhlR$ mutant (*p=0.0244) but not the $\Delta rhlI$ mutant. Data represent the LT50s from five experiments (biological duplicates are also shown as there was as much variability between experiments as within experiments), bars indicate medians. Statistics were performed using the Mann Whitney test.

H. Hill coefficients of latex bead-injected flies in PA14 infection. Hill coefficients give an indication on the slope of the survival curves. Survival curves of *w*-LXB flies infected with PA14 wild-type (PA14 WT) had a significant higher Hill coefficient than survival curves of flies infected with $\Delta rhlR$ (**p=0.0092) or $\Delta rhlI$ (*p=0.0405). No significant difference in Hill coefficient was detected between survival curves of flies infected with $\Delta rhlR$ or $\Delta rhlI$ (p=0.6243). The results from three experiments are shown; bars indicate medians. Mann Whitney tests were used for all statistical analyses.

Figure 2: *rhlR* mutants are impaired in their ability to kill *C. elegans* and do not colonize the *C. elegans* intestine.

A, an automated *C. elegans* life-span machine was used to monitor the survival of worms in a *P. aeruginosa*-mediated killing "slow killing" assay (McEwan et al., 2016). Approximately 200 wild-type *C. elegans* nematodes were fed WT PA14, or *ΔrhlI,* or *ΔrhlR PA14* mutants (constructed in the Ausubel laboratory (red (*ΔrhlI*) and blue *(ΔrhlR)*) or Bassler (orange

(*ΔrhlI)* and green *(ΔrhlR))* laboratories. P<0.001 (log-rank test) for PA14 vs *ΔrhlI,* PA14 vs

ΔrhlR, or ΔrhlI vs ΔrhlR. The experiment was repeated twice with similar results.

B-E, *C. elegans* wild-type N2 animals were fed WT PA14, *ΔrhlI,* or *ΔrhlR PA14* mutants

(constructed in the Ausubel laboratory (B and D) or Bassler laboratories (C and E) expressing

GFP. At 48 h post infection, 13-18 worms infected with WT PA14 or the *ΔrhlI* or *ΔrhlR*

mutants were imaged in the green fluorescent channel.

B and C. Representative images are shown.

D and E. Images were quantified using ImageJ. There was a significant difference in the

levels of live bacteria between WT PA14 and *ΔrhlR* (p = 0.034 (D) and 0.0097 (E)), and there

were significant differences between the *ΔrhlR* and *ΔrhlI* mutants (p = 0.0012 (D) and 0.0042

(E)), using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. The differences between *ΔrhlI* and WT

PA14 were not significant (p = 0.16 (D) and p = 0.95 (E)). The experiments were repeated at

least two times with similar results.

Figure 3: Phagocytosis is required during the early stage of the infection in *Drosophila*.

A and B, Wild-type *Drosophila* were orally infected with wild-type PA14 expressing dsRed

(PA14-dsRed). After 4 days infected flies were transferred to tubes containing wild-type

PA14 expressing GFP (PA14-GFP). At 5 days of the infection (one day after transferring flies

to PA14-GFP), most PA14 bacteria in the *Drosophila* gut expressed dsRed and only 10%

expressed GFP (A). However, at 6 days (2 days after the transfer of flies to PA14-GFP), only

GFP positive bacteria were detected in the gut. Results were similar for bacteria retrieved

from the hemolymph compartment (B).

C, D and E, LT_{50}s from survival experiments of WT flies (w) after intestinal infection with

PA14 WT (C), Δ*rhlR* (D) or Δ*rhlI* (E) mutants and injection of either latex beads (LXB, grey

dots) or PBS (black dots) at different time points of the infection. Latex beads or PBS were

injected either one day before the infection started (-1d) or four hours (+4h), one day (+1d),

four days (+4d) or six days (+6d) after the infection started. White dots correspond to the

survival of infected, uninjected flies. (C) LT50s of w^{A5001}-LxB are significantly lower than

w^{A5001} only at -1d (**p=0.0086) and +4h (*p=0.0154). (D) LT_{50}s of *w*-LXB flies are

significantly lower than *w* at most times during the infection (-1d: ***p=0.0002, +4h:

***p=0.0002 and +4d: **p=0.0069). (E) A similar phenotype is observed with flies infected

with Δ*rhlI* (-1d: *p=0.0395, +4h: **p=0.0085, +1d: ***p=0.0002 and +4d: *p=0.0400). Note

however that for injections of latex beads at day4 the difference is reduced, as compared to

earlier time points of injection of latex-beads. The cumulative LT_{50} data from at least three

experiments (only two experiments for $\Delta rhlI$) are shown, except for +6 d; bars indicate

medians. Statistical analyses were done with an unpaired t-test.

F. Differences of LT_{50}s between WT flies injected with PBS (WT) and flies injected with

latex-beads (WT^{LXB}) after intestinal infection with PA14 WT or $\Delta rhlR$ mutant or $\Delta rhlI$

mutants in at least two experiments, bars indicate medians.

G. Guts of transgenic flies with GFP-labeled hemocytes were dissected in a manner that

preserves the association of hemocytes with the digestive tract and were examined by

fluorescence confocal microscopy. Green: GFP; blue: DAPI staining of nuclei. Scale bars:

100μM.

H. Analysis of hemocytes recruited to the fly intestine upon the infection with either wild-

type PA14, or $\Delta rhlR$ or $\Delta rhlI$ mutant bacteria. Both PA14 WT and the $\Delta rhlR$ or $\Delta rhlI$ mutant

bacteria induce a recruitment of hemocytes to the gut (4h after the beginning of the infection,

for each bacteria p<0.0001). At one day, there are slightly more hemocytes recruited when

infected with wild-type PA14 compared to the mutant. While at 3 days after the beginning of

the infection there are more hemocytes recruited when infected with the $\Delta rhlR$ mutant

bacteria (p=0.0025 between $\Delta rhlR$ and $\Delta rhlI$). Data represent 3 pooled experiments. Bars

indicate medians.

Figure 4: *RhlR* circumvents *Tep4*-mediated host defense

A and B. *Drosophila* wild-type flies (w^{A5001}), single mutants *Tep3* and *Tep4*, double mutant

Tep2,3 and triple mutant *Tep2,3,4* were orally infected with PA14 WT (A) or the $\Delta rhlR$

mutant (B) in parallel survival experiments.

A. *Tep4* and *Tep2,3,4* mutant flies are significantly more susceptible to PA14 infection

compared to w^{A5001}. No difference in survival was detected between the *Tep2,3* mutant and

w^{A5001}. Surprisingly *Tep3* mutants seemed to be more resistant to infection. B, A strong

enhancement of $\Delta rhlR$ virulence is observed with *Tep4* and *Tep2,3,4* mutants compared to

w^{A5001} flies. *Tep2,3* and w^{A5001} exhibited nearly the same rate of death when challenged with

$\Delta rhlR$. The *Tep3* mutant seemed again to be more resistant to the infection. In A and B, one

representative experiment out of three (each with biological triplicates, except for uninfected

flies) is shown.

C. The survival of *Tep4* flies infected with PA14 WT or Δ*rhlR* or Δ*rhlI* bacteria was
examined. One representative experiment out of three (each with biological triplicates) is
shown.

D. Quantification of the experiments shown in C. The triplicates were assessed as
independent experiments as there was as much variability between experiments as within
experiments. p values comparing the LT_{50}s of mutants versus PA14 WT are from left to right:
0.07, **: 0.003, (Mann-Whitney test). Bars indicate medians.

E. Difference of LT_{50}s between *w* and *Tep4* flies after intestinal infection with either PA14
WT, Δ*rhlR*, or Δ*rhlI* bacteria. p values comparing the LT_{50}s of mutants versus PA14 WT :
*** : p<0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test). Bars indicate medians.

Figure 5: Tep4 is required for phagocytosis of *rhlR* mutant bacteria

A. Heat-killed pHrodo®-labeled bacteria of the indicated genotype were injected into either
wild-type or *Tep4* third instar larvae and incubated for 45 minutes. The hemocytes were then
retrieved. Bacteria present in phagosomes were fluorescent and used to measure the
phagocytic index. Bacteria injected into *Tep4* were significantly less phagocytosed than those
injected into wild-type larvae: p<0.0001 for PA14 WT and p=0.04 for Δ*rhlR* (Mann-Whitney
test). Bars indicate medians.

B. The experiment is similar to that shown in A, except that live bacteria were used and a
differential antibody staining protein was used to reveal phagocytosed bacteria. PA14 WT,
Δ*rhlR*, Δ*rhlI* bacteria were injected in wild-type or *Tep4* larvae. Δ*rhlR* bacteria were more
readily phagocytosed than PA14 WT both by wild-type (p=0.01) or *Tep4* (p=0.04) hemocytes
(Mann-Whitney test). Bars indicate medians.

Figure 6: Tep4 is an opsonin that preferentially detects *rhlR* over *rhlI* mutant bacteria.

A. Scheme of the experimental procedure. Live bacteria were incubated with either wild-type
or *Tep4* hemolymph and were thereafter injected into naive larvae, which were either wild-
type or *Tep4*. The phagocytosis index was then measured as in Fig. 6B.

B-E. Bacteria pre-incubated with wild-type or *Tep4* hemolymph are represented in pairs,
respectively with filled (left) and open (right) circles. Bars indicate medians. Data were
analyzed using the Mann-Whitney test. ***: p<0.0001

B. PA14 WT, Δ*rhlR*, and Δ*rhlI* bacteria pre-incubated with either wild-type (wt) or *Tep4*

hemolymph were injected into wild-type larvae. Stars above symbols report the statistical

significance of the data when compared to PA14 WT. **: p=0.007

D. PA14 WT, Δ*rhlR*, and Δ*rhlI* bacteria pre-incubated with either wild-type or *Tep4*

hemolymph were injected into *Tep4* larvae. **: p=0.01

C, E. These panels display respectively a magnification of B and D to show the low

phagocytic index associated with PA14 WT uptake.

Figure 7: *Tep4* mutants are more resistant to a systemic infection with PA14

A and B. The survival of wild-type and *Tep4* flies was examined, after injection of PBS as a

non-infected control (NI), or 10, 100, 1000 cfus of PA14 WT (A) or PA14 Δ*rhlR* (B) bacteria.

C. *Diptericin* expression was measured by RT-qPCR in wild-type and *Tep4* flies, 2, 8 or 24h

after injection of PBS (NI), or 10, 100, 1000 cfus of PA14 WT bacteria. Each experiment was

performed independently three times and a representative experiment is shown (A-C). The

Diptericin expression shown is relative to *RpL32* expression and normalized to the

noninfected wild-type control.

D. The cleavage of the prophenol oxidase have been analyzed 2 hours after injection of 1000

cfus of PA14 WT bacteria or PBS, by Western-blotting using a pan-phenol oxidase antibody.

The intensity of the prophenol oxidase (PPO) and of the cleaved active phenol oxidase

(activated PO) bands was measured and the ratio of the measurements is shown below the

blot. This experiment was performed twice with a similar result.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7