1 Gene clusters encoding putative outer membrane electron conduits have specific roles during
2 metal and electrode respiration in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*.
3
4
5
6

7 Fernanda Jiménez Otero[a,b], Daniel R. Bond[a,b,c]

23 BioTechnology Institute[a], Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, and Biophysics[b],
24 Department of Plant and Microbial Biology[c] University of Minnesota-Twin Cities, Saint Paul MN
25 55108

26
27
28 **Short title: Electron transfer across the outer membrane of *G. sulfurreducens***

35 **Send all correspondence to:**
36
Daniel R. Bond
140 Gortner Laboratory
1479 Gortner Ave
St. Paul, MN 55108
dbond@umn.edu

Keywords: *Geobacter*, extracellular electron transfer, multiheme cytochrome, porin cytochrome
conduit

SUMMARY

At least five gene clusters in the *Geobacter sulfurreducens* genome encode putative outer

membrane 'electron conduits', which are redox active complexes containing a periplasmic

multiheme *c*-cytochrome, integral outer membrane β-barrel, and outer membrane redox

lipoprotein. Single gene-cluster deletions and all possible multiple deletion mutant combinations

were constructed and grown with graphite electrodes poised at +0.24 V and -0.1 V vs. SHE,

Fe(III)- and Mn(IV)-oxides, and soluble Fe(III)-citrate. Different gene clusters were necessary for

reduction of each electron acceptor. For example, only the Δ*extABCD* cluster mutant had a

severe growth defect on graphite electrodes at all redox potentials, but this mutation did not

affect Fe(III)-oxide, Mn(IV)-oxide, or Fe(III)-citrate reduction. During metal oxide reduction,

deletion of the previously described *omcBC* cluster caused defects, but deletion of additional

components in the Δ*omcBC* background, such as *extEFG,* was necessary to produce defects

greater than 50% compared to wild type. Deletion of all five gene clusters was required to

abolish all metal reduction. Mutants containing only one cluster were able to reduce particular

terminal electron acceptors better than wild type, suggesting routes for improvement by

targeting substrate-specific electron transfer pathways. Our results show *G. sulfurreducens*

utilizes different membrane conduits depending on the extracellular acceptor used.

INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms capable of extracellular respiration can alter the redox state of particulate metal

oxides in soils and sediments, controlling their solubility and bioavailability (Tadanier *et al.*,

2005; N'Guessan *et al.*, 2008; Toner *et al.*, 2009; Williams *et al.*, 2011; Yelton *et al.*, 2013;

Couture *et al.*, 2015). To respire extracellular metals, bacteria must transfer electrons from the

cell interior to outer surface redox proteins, requiring unique mechanisms compared to growth

with soluble electron acceptors. The requirement for surface exposed electron transfer proteins

also presents opportunities for transformation of heavy metals, biological nanoparticle synthesis,

and a new generation of microbially-powered electrochemical devices using bacteria grown on

electrodes (Bond *et al.*, 2002; Bond and Lovley, 2003; Holmes *et al.*, 2004; Ren *et al.,* 2008;

Logan and Rabaey, 2012; Schrader *et al.*, 2016; Schievano *et al.*, 2016).

An extracellular electron transfer strategy must overcome several biological and inorganic

issues. In Gram negative cells, a conductive pathway capable of crossing the inner membrane,

periplasm, and outer membrane must first be constructed (Gralnick and Newman, 2007; Shi *et*

al., 2016). Because metal oxides vary widely in chemistry, surface charge, redox state, and

surface area, an additional diversity of proteins may be needed to link cell surfaces with different

terminal minerals (Navrotsky *et al.*, 2008; Majzlan, 2013; Levar *et al.*, 2017). Many metal-

reducing bacteria can also transfer electrons to extracellular electrodes (Bond and Lovley, 2003;

Marsili *et al.*, 2010; Snider *et al.*, 2012; Robuschi *et al.*, 2013). Unlike metal oxide particles,

electrodes represent an unlimited electron acceptor where cells directly in contact with the

inorganic surface can support more distant daughter cells linked by a conductive network of

redox proteins that relay electrons to cells at the electrode. These biological and chemical

variables raise the possibility that different electron transfer proteins may be needed to access

each different kind of extracellular mineral, surface, or environment.

A model organism widely studied for its ability to reduce a diversity of metals and electrodes is

the δ-Proteobacterium *Geobacter sulfurreducens*, and recent work suggests this organism can

adjust its electron transfer pathway depending on conditions. CbcL, a combination *c-* and *b*-type

inner membrane cytochrome (Zacharoff *et al.*, 2016), is only required when extracellular metals

and electrodes are below redox potentials of -0.1 V vs. SHE, while the inner membrane *c*-type

cytochrome ImcH (Levar *et al.*, 2014), is essential when acceptors are at higher redox potentials

(Levar *et al.*, 2017). Beyond the outer membrane, the secreted cytochrome OmcZ is needed

only during electrode growth, while the secreted cytochrome PgcA only enhances reduction of

Fe(III)-oxides (Nevin *et al.*, 2009; Leang *et al.*, 2010; Tremblay *et al.*, 2011; Qian *et al.*, 2011;

Smith *et al.*, 2014; Peng and Zhang, 2017). Separating the initial event of inner membrane

proton motive force generation from the extracellular protein-mineral interaction lies the outer

membrane, an insulating barrier which was recently found to also contain electron transfer

proteins of surprising complexity (Richardson *et al.*, 2012; Liu *et al.*, 2014).

A mechanism for electron transfer across the outer membrane is through a porin-cytochrome

electron conduit, consisting of an integral outer membrane β-barrel proposed to anchor a

periplasmic multiheme cytochrome to an outer surface lipoprotein cytochrome. By linking heme

cofactors through the membrane spanning complex, electron flow is permitted (Hartshorne *et*

al., 2009; Richardson *et al.*, 2012). The first electron conduit described was the ~210 kDa

MtrCAB complex from *S. oneidensis*, which can catalyze electron transfer across membranes to

extracellular substrates when purified and placed in lipid vesicles (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Coursolle

and Gralnick, 2012; White *et al.*, 2013). The *mtrCAB* gene cluster is essential for reduction of all

tested soluble metals, electron shuttles, metal oxides, and electrodes by *S. oneidensis* (Baron *et*

al., 2009; Coursolle *et al.*, 2010; Coursolle and Gralnick, 2012). Related porin-cytochrome

complexes capped with an extracellular DMSO reductase allow *Shewanella* to reduce DMSO on

the cell exterior, while similar conduits support electron uptake by Fe(II)-oxidizing

Rhodopseudomonas TIE-1 cells (Gralnick *et al.*, 2006; Jiao and Newman, 2007).

In *G. sulfurreducens*, a gene cluster encoding the periplasmic cytochrome OmbA, putative ß-

barrel OmaB, and lipoprotein cytochrome OmcB also forms a conduit functionally similar to

MtrCAB, though the two complexes lack any sequence similarity (Liu *et al.*, 2014). This '*ombB-*

omaB-omcB' gene cluster is duplicated immediately downstream in the *G. sulfurreducens*

genome as the near-identical '*ombC-omaC-omcC'*, together forming the '*omcBC*' cluster.

Antibiotic cassette insertions within *omcB,* as well as insertions deleting the entire '*ombB-omaB-*

omcB' conduit decrease growth with Fe(III) as an electron acceptor, but the impact differs

between reports and growth conditions (Leang *et al.*, 2003; Leang and Lovley, 2005; Liu *et al.*,

2015). This variability between studies could be due to polar effects from inserted cassettes,

partial complementation by duplicated components, or the presence of undiscovered alternative

pathways that also catalyze electron transfer across the outer membrane.

Recently, genome-wide transposon data found that insertions in *omcB* or *omcC* had no effect

on *G. sulfurreducens* growth with electrodes poised at -0.1 vs. SHE, a low potential chosen to

mimic the redox potential of Fe(III)-oxides (Chan *et al.*, 2017). However, transposon insertions

within an unstudied four-gene cluster with porin-cytochrome signatures caused significant

defects during growth on -0.1 V electrodes (Chan *et al.*, 2017). Deletion of this cluster, named

extABCD, severely affected growth on low-potential electrodes, while Δ*extABCD* mutants grew

similar to wild type with Fe(III)-oxides. In contrast, deletion of both conduits contained in the

omcBC cluster had little impact on low-potential electrode growth. These data suggested that

the outer membrane pathway used for electron transfer could vary depending on environmental

conditions, but also raised new questions; are different conduits required at higher redox

potentials, during growth with mineral forms such as Mn(VI), or when metals become soluble?

At least five electron conduits may be encoded in the genome of *G. sulfurreducens*. Using new

markerless deletion methods, this study constructed combinations of mutants lacking these

gene clusters*,* to simultaneously compare growth using Fe(III)- and Mn(IV)-oxides, poised

electrodes at two different redox potentials, and soluble Fe(III)-citrate as terminal electron

acceptors. We found that only strains lacking *extABCD* showed a growth defect when

electrodes were the electron acceptor, and this effect was similar at all redox potentials. A strain

containing *extABCD* but lacking all other conduit clusters grew faster and to a higher final

density on electrodes. Phenotypes were more complex during metal reduction. The largest

defects were in Δ*omcBC* strains, but deletion of the newly identified cluster *extEFG* in the

Δ*omcBC* background was needed to severely inhibit Fe(III)-reduction. Deletion of all five

clusters was necessary to eliminate reduction of soluble and insoluble metals tested. Strains

containing only a single cluster showed preferences for reduction of different metals, such as

the *extEFG-* and *extHIJKL*-only strains performing better with Mn(IV)-oxides than Fe(III)-oxides.

These data provide evidence that multiple conduit clusters in the *G. sulfurreducens* genome are

functional and are utilized during electron transfer in a substrate-dependent manner.

RESULTS

Description of putative outer membrane electron conduits. At least five gene clusters can

be identified in the *G. sulfurreducens* genome encoding putative porin-cytochrome electron

conduits, based on three key elements; (1) a multiheme periplasmic *c*-type cytochrome, (2) an

outer membrane ß-barrel protein, and (3) one or more outer membrane lipoproteins with redox

cofactors (Fig. 1A). Two of these clusters correspond to the well-studied OmcB-based (*omb*B-

*oma*B-*omc*B, GSU2739-2737) conduit and its near-identical duplicate OmcC-based cluster

immediately downstream (*omb*C-*oma*C-o*mc*C, GSU2733-2731). For clarity, and due to the fact

that *omaBC* and *ombBC* are identical, these are together referred to as the "*omcBC*" cluster.

The *ext* genes comprise three new clusters, named for their putative roles in <u>ext</u>racellular

electron transfer (Chan *et al.*, 2017). The *extABCD* (GSU2645-2642) cluster encodes ExtA, a

periplasmic dodecaheme *c*-cytochrome, ExtB, an outer membrane β-barrel with 18 trans-

membrane domains, and ExtCD, two outer membrane lipoprotein *c*-cytochromes with 5 and 12

heme binding sites, respectively. The second cluster, *extEFG* (GSU2726-2724), encodes ExtE,

an outer membrane β-barrel with 21 trans-membrane domains, ExtF, an outer membrane

lipoprotein pentaheme *c*-cytochrome, and ExtG, a periplasmic dodecaheme *c*-cytochrome. The

final cluster, *extHIJKL* (GSU2940-2936) lacks an outer membrane *c*-cytochrome, but encodes

ExtH, a rhodanese-family lipoprotein, ExtI, a 21 trans-membrane domain outer membrane β-

barrel, ExtK, a periplasmic pentaheme *c*-cytochrome, and ExtJL, two small outer membrane

lipoproteins.

A significant difference between *G. sulfurreducens* Ext clusters and the *S. oneidensis* Mtr

conduits (Hartshorne *et al.*, 2009), is that the porin-cytochrome conduits in *S. oneidensis* are

paralogs. The periplasmic MtrA and MtrD cytochromes share over 50% identity, are similar in

size and heme content, and can cross complement (Coursolle and Gralnick, 2010). The

lipoprotein outer surface cytochromes of *Shewanella* also demonstrate high sequence,

functional, and structural conservation (Coursolle and Gralnick, 2010; Clarke *et al.*, 2011;

Richardson *et al.*, 2012; Edwards *et al.*, 2012). In contrast, no component of the Ext or OmcBC

complexes share any homology. For example, the predicted periplasmic *c*-cytochromes ExtA,

ExtG, ExtK, and OmaB vary in size from 25 to 72 kDa, contain 5 to 15 hemes, and share 18%-

26% identity (Fig. 1B).

To test physiological roles of these loci, single cluster mutants were first constructed, comprising

ΔextABCD, ΔextEFG, ΔextHIJKL, and *ΔombB-omaB-omcB-orfS-ombC-omaC-omcC*

(abbreviated as the *ΔomcBC* cluster) mutants. As these mutant strains lack any antibiotic

cassettes, they were used as backgrounds for further deletions. Multiple cluster deletion

mutants leaving only one conduit cluster on the genome are referred to by their single remaining

cluster, e.g. "*extABCD$^+$"* = *ΔextEFG ΔextHIJKL ΔomcB*C, while the mutant lacking the *extABCD,*

extEFG, extHIJKL, *omcB*-based and *omcC*-based clusters is referred to as "Δ5". These strains

were tested under six different extracellular growth conditions varying in solubility, chemical

composition, and redox potential.

Mutants lacking *extABCD* are defective in electrode growth at all redox potentials, while

mutants containing only *extABCD* outperform wild type. When grown with electrodes

poised at high (0.24 V vs. SHE) or low (-0.1 V, (Chan *et al.*, 2017)) redox potentials, only

ΔextABCD mutants showed a defect in rate and extent of growth. Mutants lacking the *omcBC*

and *extEFG* clusters grew similar to wild type, while *ΔextHIJKL* demonstrated a lag before

growing with a similar doubling time as wild type to nearly wild type final current density (Fig.

2A). In all experiments, *ΔextABCD* grew slower than a 20 h doubling time, or over 3-fold slower

than wild type, and could only achieve 20% of wild type final current density, or 116 ± 33 μA/cm^2

vs. 557 ± 44 μA/cm^2 (n ≥ 5).

Mutants containing only one gene cluster (*extABCD$^+$*, *extEFG$^+$*, *extHIJKL$^+$*, *omcBC$^+$*) as well as

a mutant lacking all gene clusters (Δ5) were then analyzed for growth on electrodes. The Δ5

mutant grew at the low rate and extent of growth as the *ΔextABCD* single mutant at both redox

potentials, suggesting than none of the additional clusters were responsible for residual growth

originally seen in *ΔextABCD*. In contrast, *extABCD$^+$* grew faster than wild type (4.5 ± 0.2 h vs.

6.5 ± 0.3 h doubling time, n ≥ 9) and reached a final current density 40% higher than wild type

(768 ± 52 µA/cm^2 vs. 557 ± 44µA/cm^2, n≥9). All other multiple-deletion strains containing only

one cluster grew as poorly as the Δ5 mutant, further indicating that under these conditions,

extEFG, *extHIJKL,* and *omcBC* did not contribute to electron transfer to electrodes (Fig. 2B).

A 5-conduit deletion mutant expressing *extABCD* has a faster growth rate on electrodes

than wild type. To further investigate the specific effect of *extABCD* on electrode growth,

extABCD was provided on a vector in the Δ5 strain. The 3-gene *omcB* conduit cluster (*omb*B-

*oma*B-*omc*B) was also placed in the Δ5 strain using the same vector, and both were compared

to wild type cells containing the empty vector. While the plasmid is stable for multiple

generations, routine vector maintenance requires growth with kanamycin, and kanamycin carry-

over into biofilm electrode experiments is reported to have deleterious effects on electrode

growth (Levar *et al.*, 2014; Chan *et al.*, 2015). Thus, we re-examined growth of the empty vector

strain. When selective levels of kanamycin (200 µg·ml^{-1}) were present in electrode reactors,

colonization slowed and final current production decreased 74%. At levels resulting from carry-

over during passage of cells into the electrode reactor (5 µg·ml^{-1}) growth rate was not affected,

but final current was decreased up to 30%, suggesting interference with biofilm thickness rather

than respiration (Fig. 3A). All subsequent complementation was performed in the presence of 5

µg·ml^{-1} residual kanamycin and compared to these controls.

Expressing the *omcB* conduit cluster in the Δ5 strain failed to increase growth with electrodes as

electron acceptors. These data were consistent with the lack of an effect seen in Δ*omcBC*

deletions, as well as the poor growth of *omcBC$^+$* mutants containing both the OmcB and OmcC

clusters (Fig. 3B). However, when *extABCD* was expressed on the same vector in the Δ5

background, colonization was faster and cells reached a higher final current density compared

to wild type (421 ± 89 *µ*A/cm^2 vs. 297 ± 11 *µ*A/cm^2, n=3) (Fig. 3B). This enhancement was

similar to the positive effect observed in the *extABCD$^+$* strain, and further supported the

hypothesis that *extABCD* played a central role during electron transfer to electrodes (Fig. 2B).

Growth of intermediate two-conduit deletion mutants were unchanged from single-cluster strains

(Fig. S1). Just as the mutant lacking *extABCD* produced the same phenotype as the Δ5 strain

(Fig. 2), deletion of second clusters from the Δ*extABCD* strain produced similar results as

Δ*extABCD,* and no other two-cluster combination of *omcBC*, *extEFG* or *extHIJKL* mutants

showed defects to suggest they were utilized or expressed during these electrode growth

conditions.

Cells lacking single gene clusters have partial reduction defects with Fe(III)- and Mn(IV)-

oxides. In contrast to the dominant effect of *extABCD* on electrode respiration, no single cluster

deletion eliminated the majority of growth with particulate Fe(III)- or Mn(IV)-oxides. The most

severe defect was observed in the Δ*omcBC* cluster mutant, which reduced 68% of Fe(III)-oxide

compared to wild type (Fig. 4A). Minor defects were observed for Δ*extEFG* and Δ*extHIJKL*,

while Δ*extACBD* reduced Fe(III)-oxide near wild-type levels. None of the single mutants

displayed defects with Mn(IV)-oxides (Fig. 4C). These results suggested that multiple clusters

were active during metal oxide reduction.

Any one gene cluster is sufficient for partial Fe(III)- or Mn(IV)-oxide reduction, while

deletion of all 5 clusters eliminates electron transfer to these metal oxides. Unlike

electrode respiration, deletion of the full suite of clusters eliminated all residual electron transfer

to Fe(III)-and Mn(IV)-oxides (Fig. 4B and D). When multiple-deletion strains containing only one

cluster were tested for Fe(III)-oxide reduction, results supported key roles for *omcBC* and

extEFG in metal oxide reduction, and little involvement by *extABCD*. For example, Fe(III)-oxide

reduction by *omcBC*+ was nearly 80% of wild type, *extEFG*+ was over 60%, but the *extABCD*+

strain reduced less than 30% of wild type. The *omcBC*+, *extEFG*+, and *extHIJKL*+ strains

achieved about 80% of wild type Mn(IV)-reduction at 80 hours, but the *extABCD*+ strain again

displayed poor growth with Mn(IV)-oxide.

Only strains lacking both *omcBC* and *extEFG* had a significant defect in Fe(III)- and

Mn(IV)-oxide reduction. Because Δ*omcBC* demonstrated the largest defect in Fe(III)-oxide

reduction, additional deletions in this background were tested for Fe(III) and Mn(IV)-oxide

reduction (Fig. 5). Fe(III)-oxide reduction by Δ*omcBC* Δ*extEFG* was less than 25% of wild type,

while the Δ*omcBC* Δ*extACBD,* and Δ*omcBC* Δ*extHIJKL* strains still reduced Fe(III)-oxides

similar to the Δ*omcBC* strain. The Δ*omcBC* Δ*extEFG* strain also had a severe Mn(IV)-oxide

reduction defect. Unlike Fe(III)-oxide reduction, the Δ*omcBC* Δ*extABCD* and Δ*omcBC*

Δ*extHIJKL* strains had a modest Mn(IV) reduction defect, suggesting contributions of the

extABCD and *extHIJKL* clusters in the presence of Mn(IV) compared to Fe(III).

The poor growth of the Δ*omcBC* Δ*extEFG* mutant on insoluble metals was surprising since this

strain still contained *extHIJKL,* and the *extHIJKL*[+] strain reduced 50% of Fe(III)-oxide and 75%

of Mn(IV)-oxide compared to wild type (Fig. 4B and D; Table 2). This suggests *extHIJKL*

expression or function of its product could be negatively affecting the level or activity of other

clusters.

Expression of single conduit clusters partially recovers Fe(III)- and Mn(IV)-oxide

reduction. When compared to empty-vector controls with low (5 µg·ml^{-1}) levels of kanamycin

carryover, complementation of the Δ5 strain with single *omcB (*as *ombB-omaB-omcB*) or

extABCD clusters resulted in partial recovery (Fig. 6), consistent with the intermediate

phenotypes displayed by mutants retaining single clusters on the genome. Expression of the

omcB cluster reestablished Fe(III)-oxide reduction, although to a level less than that seen in the

omcBC[+] strain containing the full duplicated cluster (Fig. 4B). Expressing *extABCD* from a

plasmid restored Fe(III)-oxide reduction in the Δ5 strain near the low levels of the *extABCD*[+]

strain. Reduction of Mn(IV)-oxides by *omcB* or *extABCD*-expressing strains was even lower.

Only strains lacking both *omcBC* and *extABCD* had a significant defect in Fe(III)-citrate

reduction. As with Fe(III)- and Mn(IV)-oxides, deletion of single conduit clusters in *G.*

sulfurreducens only had modest effects on Fe(III)-citrate reduction (Fig. 7A) and additional

conduit cluster deletions were needed to severely impact growth (Fig. 7B and C). The single

cluster deletion strains Δ*omcBC*, Δ*extEFG,* and Δ*extHIJKL* still reduced ~60% of soluble Fe(III).

However, in contrast to Fe(III)-oxides, the Δ*extABCD* strain showed near wild-type reduction.

The Δ5 strain lacking all *omcBC* and *ext* clusters failed to reduce Fe(III)-citrate (Fig. 7B). Also

unlike Fe(III)-oxide reduction, strains with only *omcBC*[+] or *extABCD*[+] clusters had near wild-type

Fe(III)-citrate reduction rate, while *extEFG*[+] and *extHIJKL*[+] reduced Fe(III)-citrate to just 20% of

wild type.

Since the Δ*omcBC* Δ*extEFG* strain showed the largest defect in Fe(III)-oxide reduction, this

strain was analyzed with Fe(III)-citrate as well. However, this double cluster deletion mutant

showed little difference compared to the parent Δ*omcBC* strain (Fig. 7C). In contrast to Fe(III)-

oxides, where deletion of *extABCD* had little effect, Δ*omcBC* Δ*extABCD* was the only conduit

deletion combination that severely affected growth with Fe(III)-citrate (Fig. 7C). Compared to

growth of *extEFG*⁺ and *extHIJKL*⁺ (Fig. 7B), the Δ*omcBC* Δ*extABCD* mutant (containing both

extEFG and *extHIJKL*) reduced Fe(III)-citrate to the same level (Fig. 7C). These data suggest

that when both *extEFG* and *extHIJKL* remained in the genome in the Δ*omcBC* Δ*extABCD*

mutant, their activity was not additive. Plasmids containing either *ombB-omaB-omcB* or

extABCD restored Fe(III)-citrate reduction in a Δ5 strain to levels within 90% of the respective

omcBC⁺ and *extABCD*⁺ strains (Fig. 7D).

Not shown in Fig 7 is metal reduction data for intermediate deletion mutants such as Δ*extEFG*

Δ*extHIJKL*. Screens performed after such double mutants were constructed revealed no

changes to phenotypes that deviated from wild type or their parent single-cluster deletions. Only

the intermediate strains with phenotypes, such as Δ*omcBC* background strains, are shown in

Fig 7.

Proposed role(s) for the Omc and Ext electron conduits. Table 2 summarizes all

extracellular reduction phenotypes of single cluster deletions and deletions leaving only one

conduit, adjusted to wild type performance. Many of the recently described *ext* gene clusters are

necessary for wild-type metal reduction, yet few are sufficient. For example, *extEFG* and

extHIJKL were necessary for Fe(III)-citrate reduction, as strains lacking these clusters only

reduced ~65% of wild type levels. But when only *extEFG* or *extHIJKL* was present, they were

not sufficient to reduce Fe(III)-citrate to more than 25% of wild type levels.

In contrast, the *omcBC* cluster or the *extABCD* cluster alone was sufficient for Fe(III)-citrate

reduction, and the *extABCD* cluster alone was sufficient for electrode growth. These phenotypes

could be due to electron acceptor preferences of each complex, or differential expression driven

by each electron acceptor, but in either case, each gene cluster was linked to phenotypes only

under specific conditions. Deletion of all five conduits resulted in complete elimination of metal

reduction abilities, while activity remained when the Δ5 strain was grown using electrodes as

terminal electron acceptor. This comparison shows each gene cluster can be functional, but

only under particular conditions, and provides evidence for additional undiscovered pathways

enabling transmembrane electron transfer.

DISCUSSION

Sequencing of the *G. sulfurreducens* genome revealed an unprecedented number of electron

transfer proteins, with twice as many genes dedicated to respiratory and redox reactions as

organisms with similarly-sized genomes (Methé *et al.*, 2003). Out of 111 *c*-type cytochromes, 43

had no known homolog, and many were predicted to reside in the outer membrane. The large

complement of outer membrane redox proteins in *G. sulfurreducens* became even more of an

anomaly as the electron transfer strategy of metal-reducing *S. oneidensis* emerged, where only

a single outer membrane conduit was used to reduce a multitude of substrates (Wang *et al.*,

2008; Baron *et al.*, 2009; Coursolle *et al.*, 2010; Coursolle and Gralnick, 2010).

Evidence that more than one *G. sulfurreducens* outer membrane pathway exists for reduction of

extracellular substrates has accumulated since the discovery of OmcB (Leang *et al.*, 2003).

Deletion of *omcB* impacted Fe(III)-reduction, but had little effect on U(IV) or Mn(IV)-oxide

reduction (Shelobolina *et al.*, 2007; Aklujkar *et al.*, 2013). A Δ*omcB* suppressor strain evolved

for improved Fe(III)-citrate growth still reduced Fe(III)-oxides poorly (Leang and Lovley, 2005).

Strains lacking *omcB* grew similar to wild type with electrodes in four different studies, (Holmes

et al., 2006; Richter *et al.*, 2009; Nevin *et al.*, 2009; Peng and Zhang, 2017), and OmcB

abundance was lowest on cells near the electrode (Stephen *et al.*, 2014). An insertional mutant

lacking six secreted and outer membrane-associated cytochromes in addition to *omcB* still

demonstrated some Fe(III)-oxide reduction (Ueki *et al.*, 2017). After replacing the entire *omcBC*

region with an antibiotic cassette and also finding residual Fe(III)-reducing ability, Liu *et al.*

(2015) speculated that other porin-cytochrome-like clusters in the genome might be active. Most

recently, Tn-seq analysis of electrode-grown cells found little effect of *omcB* mutations, yet

noted significant defects from insertions in cytochromes with porin-cytochrome features (Chan

et al., 2017). This evidence led us to study if alternative *ext*-family conduits are functional in *G.*

sulfurreducens under different conditions.

The genetic analysis presented here confirms a role for these unstudied conduits in extracellular

respiration. All mutants still containing at least one cluster retained at least partial activity

towards metals, and deletion of the *omcBC* region*,* plus all three *ext* clusters, finally was able to

eliminate metal reduction. This need to delete more than one conduit cluster helps explain prior

variability and rapid evolution of suppressors in Δ*omcB*-only mutants. In the case of electrodes

at both high and low potentials, only deletion of *extABCD* affected growth. Since residual

electron transfer to electrodes was still detected after deletion of all clusters, additional

mechanisms remain to be discovered. Overall, these data support the conclusion that for all

tested metal and electrode acceptors, more than one conduit is functional and capable of

participating in electron transfer. We found no pattern of specific gene clusters being required at

particular redox potentials, suggesting that periplasmic proteins act as a 'translator' to interface

the array of outer membrane complexes with the energy conserving inner membrane

cytochromes ImcH and CbcL.

More difficult to resolve is whether each putative conduit is designed for interaction with specific

extracellular substrates. The fact that single cluster mutants performed differently with each

substrate, along with evidence that *omcB* could not complement electrode growth while

extABCD could, supports the hypothesis of substrate specificity. Promoters more active in the

presence of Fe(III) vs. Mn(IV) could create some of these phenotypes, but differential

expression still suggests cells prefer to use each cluster under specific conditions. Some

complexes may preferentially interact with secreted extracellular proteins who carry electrons to

the final destination, and activity from a complex is masked in the absence of its partner protein.

While many extracellular proteins are known to be involved in electron transfer, such as OmcS,

OmcE, OmcZ, PgcA, and pili, a lack of secreted proteins encoded within *omcBC* or *ext* gene

clusters argues against co-evolution of dedicated partners. The availability of strains containing

only one gene cluster will enable easier purification, engineered changes in expression levels,

and protein-protein interaction studies to test these hypotheses.

The genetic context of *ext* genes may aid identification of similar clusters in genomes of other

organisms, and reveal clues to their intended function. None of the *ext* regions fits the *mtr* 3-

gene 'porin cytochrome' operon of one small (~40 kDa) periplasmic cytochrome, a β-barrel, and

one large (>90 kDa) lipoprotein cytochrome. For example, *extABCD* includes two small

lipoprotein cytochromes, *extEFG* is part of a hydrogenase-family transcriptional unit, and

extHIJKL contains a rhodanese-like lipoprotein instead of an extracellular cytochrome (Fig. 1).

The transcriptional unit beginning with *extEFG* includes a homolog of YedY-family periplasmic

protein repair systems described in *E. coli* (Gennaris *et al.*, 2015), followed by a NiFe

hydrogenase similar to bidirectional Hox hydrogenases used to recycle reducing equivalents in

Cyanobacteria (Appel *et al.*, 2000; Coppi, 2005; Qiu *et al.*, 2010). Rhodanase-like proteins

related to ExtH typically are involved in sulfur metabolism (Ravot *et al.*, 2005; Aussignargues *et*

al., 2012; Prat *et al.*, 2012) and an outer surface rhodanese-like protein is linked to extracellular

oxidation of metal sulfides by *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* (Ramírez *et al.*, 2002). Future

searches for electron conduit clusters should consider the possibility of non-cytochrome

components, and be aware that conduits might be part of larger complexes that could draw

electrons from pools other than periplasmic cytochromes.

Including genes from *ext* operons in searches of other genomes reveals an interesting pattern in

putative conduit regions throughout Desulfuromonadales strains isolated from freshwater,

saline, subsurface, and fuel cell environments (Fig. 8). In about 1/3 of cases, the entire cluster is

conserved intact, such as *extABCD* in *G. anodireducens, G. soli,* and *G. pickeringii* (Fig. 8B).

However, when differences exist, they are typically non-orthologous replacements of the outer

surface lipoprotein, such as where *extABC* is followed by a new cytochrome in *G.*

metallireducens, Geoalkalibacter ferrihydriticus, and *Desulfuromonas soudanensis.*

Conservation of the periplasmic cytochrome coupled to replacement of the outer surface redox

protein also occurs in the *omcB* and *extHIJKL* clusters (Fig 8A and D). For example, of 18

extHIJKL regions, 10 contain a different extracellular rhodanese-like protein upstream of

extIJKL, each with less than 40% identity to *extH*. This remarkable variability in extracellular

components, compared to conservation of periplasmic redox proteins, suggests constant lateral

gene transfer and selection of domains exposed to electron acceptors and the outside

environment.

The data presented here significantly expands the number of outer membrane redox proteins

contributing to electron transfer in *G. sulfurreducens*, and highlights a key difference in the

Geobacter electron transfer strategy compared to other model organisms. In general, the

pattern of multiple proteins with seemingly overlapping or redundant roles is less like respiratory

reductases, and more reminiscent of cellulolytic bacteria that produce numerous similar β-

glucosidases in response to a constantly changing polysaccharide substrate (Wang *et al.*, 2008;

Coursolle *et al.*, 2010; Nelson *et al.*, 2017). A need for multiple outer membrane strategies could

be a response to the complexity of metal oxides during reduction; minerals rapidly diversify to

become multiphase assemblages of more crystalline phases, the cell:metal interface can

become enriched in Fe(II), and organic materials can bind to alter the surface (Cutting *et al.*,

2009; Coker *et al.*, 2012; Eusterhues *et al.*, 2014). Expressing a complex array of electron

transfer pathways makes cells competitive at all stages with all electron acceptors, allowing

Geobacter to outgrow more specialized organisms during perturbations in the environment.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Growth conditions.

All experiments were performed with our laboratory strain of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* PCA

freshly streaked single colonies from freezer stocks. Anaerobic NB media (0.38 g/L KCl, 0.2 g/L

NH_4Cl, 0.069 g/L $NaH_2PO_4H_2O$, 0.04 g/L $CaCl_2 2H_2O$, 0.2 g/L $MgSO_4 7H_2O$, 1% v/v trace mineral

mix, pH 6.8, buffered with 2 g/L $NaHCO_3$ and flushed with 20:80 N_2:CO_2 gas mix) with 20 mM

acetate as electron donor, 40 mM fumarate as electron acceptor was used to grow liquid

cultures from colony picks. For metal reduction assays, 20 mM acetate was added with either

55 mM Fe(III) citrate, ~20 mM birnessite (Mn(IV)-oxide), or ~70 mM Fe(III)-oxide freshly

precipitated from $FeCl_2$ by addition of NaOH and incubation at pH 7 for 1 h before washing in DI

water. All experiments were carried out at 30°C.

Deletion and complementation construction

Putative conduits were identified through a genomic search for gene clusters containing loci

predicted to encode a β-barrel using PRED-TMBB (Bagos *et al.*, 2004), contiguous to

periplasmic and extracellular multiheme *c*-cytochromes or other redox proteins. Localization

was predicted by comparing PSORT (Yu *et al.*, 2010) and the presence/absence of lipid

attachment sites (Juncker *et al.*, 2003). Constructs to delete each gene cluster were designed to

recombine to leave the site marker-free and also non-polar when located in larger transcriptional

units, with most primers and plasmids for the single deletions described in Chan *et al.*, 2017.

When genes were part of a larger transcriptional unit or contained an upstream promoter, it was

left intact. For example, in the case of the *omcBC* cluster the transcriptional regulator *orfR*

(GSU2741) was left intact, and in *extEFG* the promoter and untranslated region was left intact

so as to not disrupt the downstream loci.

For deletion mutant construction, the suicide vector pK18*mobsacB* (Simon *et al.*, 1983) with

~750 bp flanking to the target region was used to induce homologous recombination as

previously described (Chan *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, two rounds of homologous recombination were

selected for. The first selection used kanamycin resistance to select for mutants with the

plasmid inserted into either up or downstream regions, and the second selection used sucrose

sensitivity to select for mutants that recombine the plasmid out of the chromosome, resulting in

either wild type or complete deletion mutants. Deletion mutants were identified using a

kanamycin sensitivity test and verified by PCR amplification targeting the region. Multiple PCR

amplifications with primers in different regions were used to confirm full deletion of each gene

cluster (Chan *et al.*, 2017 and Table S1).

During this work, we found that manipulations in the *omcBC* cluster, which contains large

regions containing 100% identical sequences, frequently underwent recombination into

unexpected hybrid mutants which could escape routine PCR verification. For example, when the

omaB and *omaC* genes recombined, a large hybrid operon containing *omaB*-linked to *ombC-*

*omc*C would result. Routine primer screening, especially targeting flanking regions, failed to

detect the large product. Only via multiple internal primers (Chan *et al.*, 2017 and Table S1), as

well as longer-read or single molecule sequencing, were we able to verify and isolate strains in

which complete loss of the *omcBC* cluster occurred, and dispose of hybrid mutants. Whole-

genome resequencing was also performed on strains containing only one cluster, such as the

strain containing only *extABCD*, especially since this strain has an unexpected phenotype

where it produced more current than wild type. Thorough verification by PCR and whole

genome sequencing are recommended to confirm deletions of large and repetitive regions such

as the *omcBC* cluster.

Mutants lacking a single gene region were used as parent strains to build additional mutations.

In this manner, six double gene-cluster deletion mutants, four triple-cluster deletion mutants and

one quintuple-cluster deletion mutant lacking up to nineteen genes were constructed (Fig. 1;

Table 1). For complementation strains, putative conduits were amplified using primers listed in

Table S1 and inserted into the *G. sulfurreducens* expression vector pRK2-Geo2 (Chan *et al.*,

2015), which contains a constitutive promoter P_{acpP}. The putative conduit *extABCD* was

assembled into a single transcriptional unit to ensure expression.

Electrode reduction assays

Sterile three-electrode conical reactors containing 15 mL of NB with 40 mM acetate as electron

donor and 50mM NaCl to equilibrate salt concentration were flushed with a mix of N_2-CO_2 gas

(80:20, v/v) until the O_2 concentration reached less than 2 ppm. Liquid cultures were prepared

by inoculating 1 ml liquid cultures from single colonies inside an anaerobic chamber. Once

these cultures reached late exponential to stationary phase, they were used to inoculate 10 ml

cultures with 10% v/v. Each reactor was then inoculated with 25% v/v from this liquid culture as

it approached acceptor limitation, at an OD_{600} between 0.48 and 0.52. Working electrodes were

set at either -0.1 V or +0.24 V vs SHE and average current density recorded every 12 seconds.

Each liquid culture propagated from an individual colony pick served no more than two reactors,

and at least three separate colonies were picked for all electrode reduction experiments for a

final n ≥ 3.

Metal reduction assays

NB medium with 20 mM acetate as electron donor and either 55 mM Fe(III)-citrate, ~70 mM

Fe(III) oxide, or ~20 mM birnessite ($Mn(IV)O_2$) as electron acceptor was inoculated with a 0.1%

inoculum of early stationary phase fumarate limited cultures. Time points were taken as

necessary with anaerobic and sterile needles. These were diluted 1:10 into 0.5 N HCl for the

Fe(III) samples and into 2 N HCl, 4mM $FeSO_4$ for Mn(IV) samples. Samples were diluted once

more by 1:10 in the case of Fe(III) assays and by 1:5 in the case of Mn(IV) assays into 0.5 N

HCl. Ferrozine[R] reagent was then used to determine the Fe(II) concentration in each sample.

Original Fe(II) concentrations were calculated for Fe(III) reduction assays by accounting for

dilutions and original Mn(IV) concentrations were calculated by accounting for the concentration

of Fe(II) oxidized by Mn(IV) based on the following: Mn(IV) + 2Fe(II) = Mn(II) + 2Fe(III).

Homolog search and alignment

Homologs to each of the individual cytochrome conduit proteins were queried on 11-30-2016 in

the Integrated Microbial Genomes database (Markowitz *et al.*, 2012) with a cutoff on 75%

sequence length and 40% identity based on amino acid sequence within the

Desulfuromonadales. A higher percent identity was demanded in this search due to the high

heme binding site density with the invariable CXXCH sequence. Only ExtJ and ExtL were

excluded from the search and the OmcBC region was collapsed into a single cluster due to the

high identity shared between the two copies. The gene neighborhood around each homolog hit

was analyzed. With a few exceptions (see Table S2), all homologs were found to be conserved

in gene clusters predicted to encode cytochrome conduits and containing several additional

homologs to each corresponding *G. sulfurreducens* conduit. The proteins within each

homologous cytochrome conduit that did not fall within the set cutoff were aligned to the amino

acid sequence of the *G. sulfurreducens* component they replaced using ClustalΩ (Sievers *et al.*,

2011).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research (N000141210308). FJO is

supported by the National Council of Science and Technology of Mexico (CONACYT).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FJO was responsible for the design of the study and the acquisition of data. Both FJO and DRB

were responsible for the analysis and interpretation of the data; as well as writing of the

manuscript.

ABBREVIATED SUMMARY

Geobacter sulfurreducens cells utilize electron conduits, or chains of redox proteins spanning

the outer membrane, to transfer electrons to extracellular acceptors. Five different gene clusters

encoding putative electron conduits were deleted in single- and multiple-gene-cluster

markerless deletion strains. Mutants containing single conduit gene clusters each showed

specific abilities to reduce Fe(III)- and Mn(IV)-oxides, Fe(III)-citrate and poised electrodes, and

multiple conduits appeared to have overlapping roles during metal reduction. ExtABCD was the

only electron conduit involved in electrode reduction.

REFERENCES

Aklujkar, M., Coppi, M.V., Leang, C., Kim, B.C., Chavan, M.A., Perpetua, L.A., *et al.* (2013)
Proteins involved in electron transfer to Fe(III) and Mn(IV) oxides by *Geobacter sulfurreducens*
and *Geobacter uraniireducens. Microbiology* **159**: 515–535.

Appel, J., Phunpruch, S., Steinmüller, K., and Schulz, R. (2000) The bidirectional hydrogenase
of *Synechocystis sp.* PCC 6803 works as an electron valve during photosynthesis. *Arch*
Microbiol **173**: 333–338.

Aussignargues, C., Giuliani, M.-C., Infossi, P., Lojou, E., Guiral, M., Giudici-Orticoni, M.-T., and
Ilbert, M. (2012) Rhodanese functions as sulfur supplier for key enzymes in sulfur energy
metabolism. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 19936–19948.

Bagos, P.G., Liakopoulos, T.D., Spyropoulos, I.C., and Hamodrakas, S.J. (2004) PRED-TMBB:
a web server for predicting the topology of β-barrel outer membrane proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res*
32: W400–W404.

Baron, D., LaBelle, E., Coursolle, D., Gralnick, J.A., and Bond, D.R. (2009) Electrochemical
measurement of electron transfer kinetics by *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. *J Biol Chem* **284**:
28865–28873.

Bond, D.R., Holmes, D.E., Tender, L.M., and Lovley, D.R. (2002) Electrode-reducing
microorganisms that harvest energy from marine sediments. *Science* **295**: 483–485.

Bond, D.R., and Lovley, D.R. (2003) Electricity production by *Geobacter sulfurreducens*
attached to electrodes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 1548–1555.

Chan, C.H., Levar, C.E., Otero, F.J., and Bond, D.R. (2017) Genome scale mutational analysis
of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* reveals distinct molecular mechanisms for respiration and sensing
of poised electrodes vs. Fe(III) oxides. *J Bacteriol* doi: 10.1128/JB.00340-17.

Chan, C.H., Levar, C.E., Zacharoff, L., Badalamenti, J.P., and Bond, D.R. (2015) Scarless
genome editing and stable inducible expression vectors for *Geobacter sulfurreducens. Appl*
Environ Microbiol **81**: 7178–7186.

Clarke, T.A., Edwards, M.J., Gates, A.J., Hall, A., White, G.F., Bradley, J., *et al.* (2011)
Structure of a bacterial cell surface decaheme electron conduit. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108**: 9384–
9389.

Coker, V.S., Byrne, J.M., Telling, N.D., Van Der Laan, G., Lloyd, J.R., Hitchcock, A.P., *et al.*
(2012) Characterisation of the dissimilatory reduction of Fe(III)-oxyhydroxide at the microbe –
mineral interface: the application of STXM–XMCD. *Geobiology* **10**: 347–354.

Coppi, M.V. (2005) The hydrogenases of *Geobacter sulfurreducens*: a comparative genomic
perspective. *Microbiology* **151**: 1239–1254.

Coursolle, D., Baron, D.B., Bond, D.R., and Gralnick, J.A. (2010) The Mtr respiratory pathway is
essential for reducing flavins and electrodes in *Shewanella oneidensis. J Bacteriol* **192**: 467–
474.

Coursolle, D., and Gralnick, J.A. (2010) Modularity of the Mtr respiratory pathway of *Shewanella*
oneidensis strain MR-1. *Mol Microbiol* **77**: 995–1008.

Coursolle, D., and Gralnick, J.A. (2012) Reconstruction of extracellular respiratory pathways for
iron(III) reduction in *Shewanella oneidensis* strain MR-1. *Front Microbiol* **3**:56

Couture, R.-M., Charlet, L., Markelova, E., Madé, B., and Parsons, C.T. (2015) On–off
mobilization of contaminants in soils during redox oscillations. *Environ Sci Technol* **49**: 3015–
3023.

Cutting, R.S., Coker, V.S., Fellowes, J.W., Lloyd, J.R., and Vaughan, D.J. (2009) Mineralogical
and morphological constraints on the reduction of Fe(III) minerals by *Geobacter sulfurreducens*.
Geochim Cosmochim Acta **73**: 4004–4022.

Edwards, M.J., Hall, A., Shi, L., Fredrickson, J.K., Zachara, J.M., Butt, J.N., *et al.* (2012) The
crystal structure of the extracellular 11-heme cytochrome UndA reveals a conserved 10-heme
motif and defined binding site for soluble iron chelates. *Structure* **20**: 1275–1284.

Eusterhues, K., Hädrich, A., Neidhardt, J., Küsel, K., Keller, T.F., Jandt, K.D., and Totsche, K.U.
(2014) Reduction of ferrihydrite with adsorbed and coprecipitated organic matter: microbial
reduction by *Geobacter bremensis* vs. abiotic reduction by Na-dithionite. *Biogeosciences* **11**:
4953–4966.

Gennaris, A., Ezraty, B., Henry, C., Agrebi, R., Vergnes, A., Oheix, E., *et al.* (2015) Repairing
oxidized proteins in the bacterial envelope using respiratory chain electrons. *Nature* **528**: 409–
412.

Gralnick, J.A., and Newman, D.K. (2007) Extracellular respiration. *Mol Microbiol* **65**: 1–11.

Gralnick, J.A., Vali, H., Lies, D.P., and Newman, D.K. (2006) Extracellular respiration of
dimethyl sulfoxide by *Shewanella oneidensis* strain MR-1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**: 4669–
4674.

Hartshorne, R.S., Reardon, C.L., Ross, D., Nuester, J., Clarke, T.A., Gates, A.J., *et al.* (2009)
Characterization of an electron conduit between bacteria and the extracellular environment.
Proc Natl Acad Sci **106**: 22169–22174.

Holmes, D.E., Bond, D.R., O'Neil, R.A., Reimers, C.E., Tender, L.R., and Lovley, D.R. (2004)
Microbial communities associated with electrodes harvesting electricity from a variety of aquatic
sediments. *Microb Ecol* **48**: 178–190.

Holmes, D.E., Chaudhuri, S.K., Nevin, K.P., Mehta, T., Methé, B.A., Liu, A., *et al.* (2006)
Microarray and genetic analysis of electron transfer to electrodes in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*.
Environ Microbiol **8**: 1805–1815.

Jiao, Y., and Newman, D.K. (2007) The *pio* operon is essential for phototrophic Fe(II) oxidation
in *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* TIE-1. *J Bacteriol* **189**: 1765–1773.

Juncker, A.S., Willenbrock, H., Heijne, G. von, Brunak, S., Nielsen, H., and Krogh, A. (2003)
Prediction of lipoprotein signal peptides in Gram-negative bacteria. *Protein Sci Publ Protein Soc*
12: 1652–1662.

Leang, C., Coppi, M.V., and Lovley, D.R. (2003) OmcB, a *c*-type polyheme cytochrome,
involved in Fe(III) reduction in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *J Bacteriol* **185**: 2096–2103.

Leang, C., and Lovley, D.R. (2005) Regulation of two highly similar genes, *omcB* and *omcC*, in
a 10 kb chromosomal duplication in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *Microbiology* **151**: 1761–1767.

Leang, C., Qian, X., Mester, T., and Lovley, D.R. (2010) Alignment of the *c*-type cytochrome
OmcS along pili of *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**: 4080–4084.

Levar, C.E., Chan, C.H., Mehta-Kolte, M.G., and Bond, D.R. (2014) An inner membrane
cytochrome required only for reduction of high redox potential extracellular electron acceptors.
mBio **5**: e02034-14.

Levar, C.E., Hoffman, C.L., Dunshee, A.J., Toner, B.M., and Bond, D.R. (2017) Redox potential
as a master variable controlling pathways of metal reduction by *Geobacter sulfurreducens*.
ISME J **11:** 741-752.

Liu, Y., Fredrickson, J.K., Zachara, J.M., and Shi, L. (2015) Direct involvement of *ombB*, *omaB*,
and *omcB* genes in extracellular reduction of Fe(III) by *Geobacter sulfurreducens* PCA.
Microbiol Chem Geomicrobiol 1075.

Liu, Y., Wang, Z., Liu, J., Levar, C., Edwards, M.J., Babauta, J.T., *et al.* (2014) A trans-outer
membrane porin-cytochrome protein complex for extracellular electron transfer by *Geobacter*
sulfurreducens PCA. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **6**: 776–785.

Logan, B.E., and Rabaey, K. (2012) Conversion of wastes into bioelectricity and chemicals by
using microbial electrochemical technologies. *Science* **337**: 686–690.

Majzlan, J. (2013) Minerals and aqueous species of iron and manganese as reactants and
products of microbial metal respiration. In *Microbial Metal Respiration*. Gescher, J., and Kappler,
A. (eds). Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 1–28

Markowitz, V.M., Chen, I.-M.A., Palaniappan, K., Chu, K., Szeto, E., Grechkin, Y., *et al.* (2012)
IMG: the integrated microbial genomes database and comparative analysis system. *Nucleic*
Acids Res **40**: D115–D122.

Marsili, E., Sun, J., and Bond, D.R. (2010) Voltammetry and growth physiology of *Geobacter*
sulfurreducens biofilms as a function of growth stage and imposed electrode potential.
Electroanalysis **22**: 865–874.

Methé, B.A., Nelson, K.E., Eisen, J.A., Paulsen, I.T., Nelson, W., Heidelberg, J.F., *et al.* (2003)
Genome of *Geobacter sulfurreducens*: Metal reduction in subsurface environments. *Science*
302: 1967–1969.

Navrotsky, A., Mazeina, L., and Majzlan, J. (2008) Size-driven structural and thermodynamic
complexity in iron oxides. *Science* **319**: 1635–1638.

Nelson, C.E., Rogowski, A., Morland, C., Wilhide, J.A., Gilbert, H.J., and Gardner, J.G. (2017)
Systems analysis in *Cellvibrio japonicus* resolves predicted redundancy of β-glucosidases and
determines essential physiological functions. *Mol Microbiol* **104**;2 294.

Nevin, K.P., Kim, B.-C., Glaven, R.H., Johnson, J.P., Woodard, T.L., Methé, B.A., *et al.* (2009)
Anode biofilm transcriptomics reveals outer surface components essential for high density
current production in *Geobacter sulfurreducens* fuel cells. *PLOS ONE* **4**: e5628.

N'Guessan, A.L., Vrionis, H.A., Resch, C.T., Long, P.E., and Lovley, D.R. (2008) Sustained
removal of uranium from contaminated groundwater following stimulation of dissimilatory metal
reduction. *Environ Sci Technol* **42**: 2999–3004.

Peng, L., and Zhang, Y. (2017) Cytochrome OmcZ is essential for the current generation by
Geobacter sulfurreducens under low electrode potential. *Electrochimica Acta* **228**: 447–452.

Prat, L., Maillard, J., Rohrbach-Brandt, E., and Holliger, C. (2012) An unusual tandem-domain
rhodanese harbouring two active sites identified in *Desulfitobacterium hafniense*. *FEBS J* **279**:
2754–2767.

Qian, X., Mester, T., Morgado, L., Arakawa, T., Sharma, M.L., Inoue, K., *et al.* (2011)
Biochemical characterization of purified OmcS, a *c*-type cytochrome required for insoluble
Fe(III) reduction in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Bioenerg* **1807**:
404–412.

Qiu, Y., Cho, B.-K., Park, Y.S., Lovley, D., Palsson, B.Ø., and Zengler, K. (2010) Structural and
operational complexity of the *Geobacter sulfurreducens* genome. *Genome Res* **20**: 1304–1311.

Ramírez, P., Toledo, H., Guiliani, N., and Jerez, C.A. (2002) An exported rhodanese-like protein
is induced during growth of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* in metal sulfides and different sulfur
compounds. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **68**: 1837–1845.

Ravot, G., Casalot, L., Ollivier, B., Loison, G., and Magot, M. (2005) *rdlA*, a new gene encoding
a rhodanese-like protein in *Halanaerobium congolense* and other thiosulfate-reducing
anaerobes. *Res Microbiol* **156**: 1031–1038.

Ren, Z., Steinberg, L.M., and Regan, J.M. (2008) Electricity production and microbial biofilm
characterization in cellulose-fed microbial fuel cells. *Water Sci Technol* **58**: 617–622.

Richardson, D.J., Butt, J.N., Fredrickson, J.K., Zachara, J.M., Shi, L., Edwards, M.J., *et al.*
(2012) The "porin–cytochrome" model for microbe-to-mineral electron transfer. *Mol Microbiol* **85**:
201–212.

Richter, H., P. Nevin, K., Jia, H., A. Lowy, D., R. Lovley, D., and M. Tender, L. (2009) Cyclic
voltammetry of biofilms of wild type and mutant *Geobacter sulfurreducens* on fuel cell anodes
indicates possible roles of OmcB, OmcZ, type IV pili, and protons in extracellular electron
transfer. *Energy Environ Sci* **2**: 506–516.

Robuschi, L., Tomba, J.P., Schrott, G.D., Bonanni, P.S., Desimone, P.M., and Busalmen, J.P.
(2013) Spectroscopic slicing to reveal internal redox gradients in electricity-producing biofilms.
Angew Chem Int Ed **52**: 925–928.

Schievano, A., Pepé Sciarria, T., Vanbroekhoven, K., De Wever, H., Puig, S., Andersen, S.J., *et*
al. (2016) Electro-fermentation – Merging electrochemistry with fermentation in industrial
applications. *Trends Biotechnol* **34**:11 866-878.

Schrader, P.S., Reimers, C.E., Girguis, P., Delaney, J., Doolan, C., Wolf, M., and Green, D.
(2016) Independent benthic microbial fuel cells powering sensors and acoustic communications
with the MARS underwater observatory. *J Atmospheric Ocean Technol* **33**: 607–617.

Shelobolina, E.S., Coppi, M.V., Korenevsky, A.A., DiDonato, L.N., Sullivan, S.A., Konishi, H., *et*
al. (2007) Importance of *c*-type cytochromes for U(VI) reduction by *Geobacter sulfurreducens*.
BMC Microbiol **7**: 16.

Shi, L., Dong, H., Reguera, G., Beyenal, H., Lu, A., Liu, J., *et al.* (2016) Extracellular electron
transfer mechanisms between microorganisms and minerals. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **14**: 651–662.

Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T.J., Karplus, K., Li, W., *et al.* (2011) Fast, scalable
generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst*
Biol Mol Syst Biol **7**, **7**: 539, 539–539.

Simon, R., Priefer, U., and Pühler, A. (1983) A broad host range mobilization system for in vivo
genetic engineering: Transposon mutagenesis in Gram negative bacteria. *Nat Biotechnol* **1**:
784–791.

Smith, J.A., Tremblay, P.-L., Shrestha, P.M., Snoeyenbos-West, O.L., Franks, A.E., Nevin, K.P.,
and Lovley, D.R. (2014) Going wireless: Fe(III) oxide reduction without pili by *Geobacter*
sulfurreducens strain JS-1. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **80**: 4331–4340.

Snider, R.M., Strycharz-Glaven, S.M., Tsoi, S.D., Erickson, J.S., and Tender, L.M. (2012) Long-
range electron transport in *Geobacter sulfurreducens* biofilms is redox gradient-driven. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci **109**: 15467–15472.

Stephen, C.S., LaBelle, E.V., Brantley, S.L., and Bond, D.R. (2014) Abundance of the
multiheme *c*-type cytochrome OmcB increases in outer biofilm layers of electrode-grown
Geobacter sulfurreducens. *PLOS ONE* **9**: e104336.

Tadanier, C.J., Schreiber, M.E., and Roller, J.W. (2005) Arsenic mobilization through microbially
mediated deflocculation of ferrihydrite. *Environ Sci Technol* **39**: 3061–3068.

Toner, B.M., Fakra, S.C., Manganini, S.J., Santelli, C.M., Marcus, M.A., Moffett, J.W., *et al.*
(2009) Preservation of iron(II) by carbon-rich matrices in a hydrothermal plume. *Nat Geosci* **2**:
197–201.

Tremblay, P.-L., Summers, Z.M., Glaven, R.H., Nevin, K.P., Zengler, K., Barrett, C.L., *et al.*
(2011) A *c*-type cytochrome and a transcriptional regulator responsible for enhanced
extracellular electron transfer in *Geobacter sulfurreducens* revealed by adaptive evolution.
Environ Microbiol **13**: 13–23.

Ueki, T., DiDonato, L.N., and Lovley, D.R. (2017) Toward establishing minimum requirements
for extracellular electron transfer in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **364**:9

Wang, Z., Liu, C., Wang, X., Marshall, M.J., Zachara, J.M., Rosso, K.M., *et al.* (2008) Kinetics of
reduction of Fe(III) complexes by outer membrane cytochromes MtrC and OmcA of *Shewanella*
oneidensis MR-1. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 6746–6755.

White, G.F., Shi, Z., Shi, L., Wang, Z., Dohnalkova, A.C., Marshall, M.J., *et al.* (2013) Rapid
electron exchange between surface-exposed bacterial cytochromes and Fe(III) minerals. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci **110**: 6346–6351.

Williams, K.H., Long, P.E., Davis, J.A., Wilkins, M.J., N'Guessan, A.L., Steefel, C.I., *et al.* (2011)
Acetate availability and its influence on sustainable bioremediation of uranium-contaminated
groundwater. *Geomicrobiol J* **28**: 519–539.

Yelton, A.P., Williams, K.H., Fournelle, J., Wrighton, K.C., Handley, K.M., and Banfield, J.F.
(2013) Vanadate and acetate biostimulation of contaminated sediments decreases diversity,
selects for specific taxa, and decreases aqueous V5+ soncentration. *Environ Sci Technol* **47**:
6500–6509.

Yu, N.Y., Wagner, J.R., Laird, M.R., Melli, G., Rey, S., Lo, R., *et al.* (2010) PSORTb 3.0:
improved protein subcellular localization prediction with refined localization subcategories and
predictive capabilities for all prokaryotes. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 1608–1615.

Zacharoff, L., Chan, C.H., and Bond, D.R. (2016) Reduction of low potential electron acceptors
requires the CbcL inner membrane cytochrome of *Geobacter sulfurreducens*.
Bioelectrochemistry **107**: 7–13.

Tables:

Table 1

Strains and Plasmids	Description or relevant genotype	Reference
Geobacter sulfurreducens strains		
DB1279	ΔGSU2731-39 (Δ*omcBC*)	Chan *et al*., 2017
DB1280	ΔGSU2645-42 (Δ*extABCD*)	Chan *et al*., 2017
DB1281	ΔGSU2940-36 (Δ*extHIJKL*)	Chan *et al*., 2017
DB1282	ΔGSU2724-26 (Δ*extEFG*)	Chan *et al*., 2017
DB1487	ΔGSU2731-39 ΔGSU2645-42 (Δ*omcBC* Δ*extABCD*)	This study
DB1488	ΔGSU2731-39 ΔGSU2724-26 (Δ*omcBC* Δ*extEFG*)	This study
DB1289	ΔGSU2731-39 ΔGSU2940-36 (Δ*omcBC* Δ*extHIJKL*)	This study
DB1489	ΔGSU2645-42 ΔGSU2724-26 (Δ*extABCD* Δ*extEFG*)	This study
DB1490	ΔGSU2645-42 ΔGSU2940-36 (Δ*extABCD* Δ*extHIJKL*)	This study
DB1290	ΔGSU2731-39 ΔGSU2940-36 ΔGSU2724-26 (*extA*$^+$)	This study
DB1291	ΔGSU2731-39 ΔGSU2645-42 ΔGSU2936-2940 (*extEFG*$^+$)	This study
DB1491	ΔGSU2731-39 ΔGSU2645-42 ΔGSU2726-24 (*extHIJKL*$^+$)	This study
DB1492	ΔGSU2645-42 ΔGSU2726-24 Δ2940-36 (*omcBC*$^+$)	This study
DB1493	ΔGSU2731-39 ΔGSU2645-42 ΔGSU2726-24 ΔGSU2940-36 (Δ5)	This study
Escherichia coli strains		
S17-1	*recA pro hsdR* RP4-2-Tc::Mu-Km::Tn7	Simon *et al*., 1983
Plasmids		
pK18*mobsacB*		Simon *et al*., 1983
pRK2-Geo2		Chan *et al*., 2015
pD*omcBC*	Flanking regions of *omcBC* in pK18*mobsacB*	This study
pD*extABCD*	Flanking regions of *extABCD* in pK18*mobsacB*	This study
pD*extEFG*	Flanking regions of *extEFG* in pK18*mobsacB*	This study
pD*extHIJKL*	Flanking regions of *extHIJKL* in pK18*mobsacB*	This study
p*omcB*	*ombB-omaB-omcB* in pRK2-Geo2	This study
p*extABCD*	*extABCD* in pRK2-Geo2	This study

777 **Table 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study.**

Table 2

Substrate	% of wild type								
	ΔomcBC	ΔextABCD	ΔextEFG	ΔextHIJKL	omcBC+	extABCD+	extEFG+	extHIJKL+	Δ5
Fe(III)-citrate	61.2	105	62.5	66.3	101.1	99.2	22.5	23.8	0.1
Fe(III)-oxide	68.9	83.3	87.5	95.8	78.8	29.2	60.4	52.1	0.1
Mn(IV)-oxide	94.5	95.1	99.6	97.9	83.3	26.7	86.8	75.6	1.7
Electrode	76.5	20.9	104.8	86.3	28.3	137.9	21.2	25.9	21.9

Table 2. Performance of *G. sulfurreducens* strains lacking one cluster, or containing only one cluster. Growth of single cytochrome conduit deletion mutants and mutants lacking all except one cytochrome conduit, averaged from all incubations and represented as the percent of wild type growth. Averages calculated from n ≥ 8 experiments.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The outer membrane electron conduit gene clusters of *G. sulfurreducens.* A)
Genetic organization and predicted features of operons containing putative outer membrane
conduits. Deletion constructs indicated by dashed line. B) Identity matrix from amino acid
sequence alignment of each cytochrome or ß-barrel component using ClustalΩ.

Figure 2. Only ExtABCD conduit is involved in electrode reduction. Current density
produced by A) single and B) multiple-cluster deletion mutants on graphite electrodes poised at
+0.24 V vs. SHE. All mutants were grown in at least two separate experiments, and curves are
representative of n ≥ 3 independent replicates. Similar results were obtained at lower (-0.1 V vs.
SHE) redox potentials.

Figure 3. Effect of kanamycin on final current density, and comparison of ExtABCD and
OmcBC complementation. A) Final current density of wild type *G. sulfurreducens* compared to
wild type carrying an empty vector in the presence of increasing kanamycin concentrations. B)
Current density produced by Δ5 strain plus either *extABCD* or *omcB* cluster-containing vectors,
in the presence of 5 μg/ml residual kanamycin. Wild type and Δ5 strains carrying the empty
vector were used as controls. All experiments were conducted in duplicate and curves are
representative of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 4. No single outer membrane cluster is essential but all are functional for electron
transfer to Fe(III)- and Mn(IV)-oxides. Growth of single cluster deletion mutants and triple
mutants lacking all but one cytochrome conduit, as well as Δ5 mutant lacking all clusters
utilizing A) 70 mM Fe(III)-oxide or B) 20 mM Mn(IV)-oxide as terminal electron acceptor. All
experiments were conducted in triplicate and curves are average ± SD of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 5. OmcBC and ExtEFG have dominant roles in Fe(III) and Mn(IV) oxide reduction.
Reduction of A) 70 mM Fe(III)-oxide or B) 20 mM Mn(IV)-oxide by the Δ*omcBC* strain and
additional deletions in an Δ*omcBC* background. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and
curves are average ± SD of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 6. Co-presence of multiple conduit complexes is responsible for wild-type levels
of metal oxide reduction. Reduction of A) 70 mM Fe(III)-oxide or B) 20 mM Mn(IV)-oxide by
the Δ5 mutant expressing *extABCD* or the *omcB* cluster compared to the empty vector control.
All experiments were conducted in triplicate and curves are average ± SD of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 7. OmcBC and ExtABCD are the key cytochromes during Fe(III)-citrate reduction.
Growth using 55 mM Fe(III)-citrate as an electron acceptor by A) single conduit cluster deletion

mutants, B) triple mutants lacking all but one cytochrome conduit, as well as the Δ5 strain
lacking all five cytochrome conduits, C) mutants in an Δ*omcBC* background strain, and D) Δ5
mutants expressing *omcB* or *extABCD* or carrying an empty expression vector as control. All
experiments were conducted in triplicate and curves are average ± SD of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 8. Cytochrome conduit conservation across the Order Desulfuromonodales.
Schematic representation of cytochrome conduits from the Desulfuromonodales with homologs
to either A) OmcBC, B) ExtABCD, C) ExtEFG, or D) ExtHIJKL. Red arrows = putative outer
membrane products with a predicted lipid attachment site, yellow arrows = predicted periplasmic
components, and green arrows = predicted outer membrane anchor components. Complete
clusters with all components sharing >40% identity to the corresponding *G. sulfurreducens*
cytochrome conduit are represented in boxes to the left of each gene cluster. Clusters in which
one or more proteins are replaced by a new element with <40% identity are listed on the right
side of each gene cluster. Proteins with numbers indicate the % identity to the *G. sulfurreducens*
version. [a]OmcBC homologs in these gene clusters also encoding Hox hydrogenase complexes.
[b]Gene clusters have contiguous *extBCD* loci but *extA* is not in near vicinity, as *extA* were found
un-clustered in separate parts of the genome for some of those organisms (see Supplemental
Table S2). [c]Gene cluster has additional lipoprotein decaheme *c*-cytochrome upstream of *extE*.
[d]Lipid attachment sites corresponding to ExtJL could not be found but there is an additional
small lipoprotein encoded within the gene cluster. For ExtHIJKL encoding clusters, homologs
depicted above *extH* are found in gene clusters containing only *extI*, whereas homologs
depicted below *extH* are found in gene clusters containing full *extHIJKL* loci. Upstream and on
the opposite strand to all gene clusters homologous to *extHIJKL* there is a transcription
regulator of the LysR family, except [e], where there is no transcriptional regulator in that region,
and [f], where there are transcriptional regulators of the TetR family instead.

Figure 1.

Figure 1. The outer membrane electron conduit gene clusters of *G. sulfurreducens.* A) Genetic organization and predicted features of operons containing putative outer membrane conduits. Deletion constructs indicated by dashed line. B) Identity matrix from amino acid sequence alignment of each cytochrome or ß-barrel component using ClustalΩ.

Figure 2.

Figure 2. Only ExtABCD conduit is involved in electrode reduction. Current density produced by A) single and B) multiple-cluster deletion mutants on graphite electrodes poised at +0.24 V vs. SHE. All mutants were grown in at least two separate experiments, and curves are representative of n ≥ 3 independent replicates. Similar results were obtained at lower (-0.1 V vs. SHE) redox potentials.

Figure 3.

Figure 3. Effect of kanamycin on final current density, and comparison of ExtABCD and OmcBC complementation. A) Final current density of wild type *G. sulfurreducens* compared to wild type carrying an empty vector in the presence of increasing kanamycin concentrations. B) Current density produced by Δ5 strain plus either *extABCD* or *omcB* cluster-containing vectors, in the presence of 5 μg/ml residual kanamycin. Wild type and Δ5 strains carrying the empty vector were used as controls. All experiments were conducted in duplicate and curves are representative of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 4.

Figure 4. No single outer membrane cluster is essential but all are functional for electron transfer to Fe(III)- and Mn(IV)-oxides. Growth of single cluster deletion mutants and triple mutants lacking all but one cytochrome conduit, as well as Δ5 mutant lacking all clusters utilizing A) 70 mM Fe(III)-oxide or B) 20 mM Mn(IV)-oxide as terminal electron acceptor. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and curves are average ± SD of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 5.

Figure 5. OmcBC and ExtEFG have dominant roles in Fe(III) and Mn(IV) oxide reduction.
Reduction of A) 70 mM Fe(III)-oxide or B) 20 mM Mn(IV)-oxide by the Δ*omcBC* strain and additional deletions in an Δ*omcBC* background. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and curves are average ± SD of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 6.

Figure 6. Co-presence of multiple conduit complexes is responsible for wild-type levels of metal oxide reduction. Reduction of A) 70 mM Fe(III)-oxide or B) 20 mM Mn(IV)-oxide by the Δ5 mutant expressing *extABCD* or the *omcB* cluster compared to the empty vector control. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and curves are average ± SD of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 7.

Figure 7. OmcBC and ExtABCD are the key cytochromes during Fe(III)-citrate reduction. Growth using 55 mM Fe(III)-citrate as an electron acceptor by A) single conduit cluster deletion mutants, B) triple mutants lacking all but one cytochrome conduit, as well as the Δ5 strain lacking all five cytochrome conduits, C) mutants in an Δ*omcBC* background strain, and D) Δ5 mutants expressing *omcB* or *extABCD* or carrying an empty expression vector as control. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and curves are average ± SD of n ≥ 3 replicates.

Figure 8.

Figure 8. Cytochrome conduit conservation across the Order Desulfuromonodales.
Schematic representation of cytochrome conduits from the Desulfuromonodales with homologs to either A) OmcBC, B) ExtABCD, C) ExtEFG, or D) ExtHIJKL. Red arrows = putative outer membrane products with a predicted lipid attachment site, yellow arrows = predicted periplasmic components, and green arrows = predicted outer membrane anchor components. Complete clusters with all components sharing >40% identity to the corresponding *G. sulfurreducens* cytochrome conduit are represented in boxes to the left of each gene cluster. Clusters in which

one or more proteins are replaced by a new element with <40% identity are listed on the right side of each gene cluster. Proteins with numbers indicate the % identity to the *G. sulfurreducens* version. [a]OmcBC homologs in these gene clusters also encoding Hox hydrogenase complexes. [b]Gene clusters have contiguous *extBCD* loci but *extA* is not in near vicinity, as *extA* were found un-clustered in separate parts of the genome for some of those organisms (see Supplemental Table S2). [c]Gene cluster has additional lipoprotein decaheme *c*-cytochrome upstream of *extE*. [d]Lipid attachment sites corresponding to ExtJL could not be found but there is an additional small lipoprotein encoded within the gene cluster. For ExtHIJKL encoding clusters, homologs depicted above *extH* are found in gene clusters containing only *extI*, whereas homologs depicted below *extH* are found in gene clusters containing full *extHIJKL* loci. Upstream and on the opposite strand to all gene clusters homologous to *extHIJKL* there is a transcription regulator of the LysR family, except [e], where there is no transcriptional regulator in that region, and [f], where there are transcriptional regulators of the TetR family instead.