Very low depth whole genome sequencing in complex trait association studies

Arthur Gilly[1], Lorraine Southam[1,2], Daniel Suveges[1], Karoline Kuchenbaecker[1], Rachel Moore[1],

Giorgio E.M. Melloni[1,3], Konstantinos Hatzikotoulas[1], Aliki-Eleni Farmaki[4], Graham Ritchie[1,5],

Jeremy Schwartzentruber[1], Petr Danecek[1], Britt Kilian[1], Martin O. Pollard[1], Xiangyu Ge[1],

Emmanouil Tsafantakis[6], George Dedoussis[4], Eleftheria Zeggini[1*]

[1] Department of Human Genetics, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1HH,
UK

[2] Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK

[3] Center for Genomic Science of IIT@SEMM, Fondazione Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Via Adamello 16, 20139, Milan,
Italy

[4] Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, School of Health Science and Education, Harokopio University of Athens, Greece

[5] European Bioinformatics Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton CB10 1SH, UK.

[6] Anogia Medical Centre, Anogia, Greece

* to whom correspondence should be addressed

18
19
20
21

Abstract

Motivation: Very low depth sequencing has been proposed as a cost-effective approach to capture low-frequency and rare variation in complex trait association studies. However, a full characterisation of the genotype quality and association power for very low depth sequencing designs is still lacking.

Results: We perform cohort-wide whole genome sequencing (WGS) at low depth in 1,239 individuals (990 at 1x depth and 249 at 4x depth) from an isolated population, and establish a robust pipeline for calling and imputing very low depth WGS genotypes from standard bioinformatics tools. Using genotyping chip, whole-exome sequencing (WES, 75x depth) and high-depth (22x) WGS data in the same samples, we examine in detail the sensitivity of this approach, and show that imputed 1x WGS recapitulates 95.2% of variants found by imputed GWAS with an average minor allele concordance of 97% for common and low-frequency variants. In our study, 1x further allowed the discovery of 140,844 true low-frequency variants with 73% genotype concordance when compared to high-depth WGS data. Finally, using association results for 57 quantitative traits, we show that very low depth WGS is an efficient alternative to imputed GWAS chip designs, allowing the discovery of up to twice as many true association signals than the classical imputed GWAS design.

Supplementary Data: Supplementary Data are appended to this manuscript.

Introduction

The contribution of low-frequency and rare variants to the allelic architecture of complex traits remains largely unchartered. Power to detect association is central to genetic studies examining sequence variants across the full allele frequency spectrum. Whole genome sequencing (WGS)-based association studies hold the promise of probing a larger proportion of sequence variation compared to imputed genome-wide genotyping arrays. However, although large-scale high-depth WGS efforts are now underway (Brody, et al., 2017), comparatively high costs do not yet allow for the generalised transposition of the GWAS paradigm to high-depth sequencing. As sample size and haplotype diversity are more important than sequencing depth in determining power for association studies (Alex Buerkle and Gompert, 2013; Le and Durbin, 2011), low-depth WGS has emerged as an alternative, cost-efficient approach to capture low-frequency variation in large studies. Improvements in calling algorithms have enabled robust genotyping using WGS at low depth (4x-8x), leading to the creation of large haplotype reference panels (1000 Genomes Project Consortium, et al., 2015; McCarthy, et al., 2016), and to several low-depth WGS-based association studies (Astle, et al., 2016; Tachmazidou, et al., 2017; UK10K Consortium, et al., 2015). Very low depth (<2x) sequencing has been proposed as an efficient way to further improve the cost efficiency of sequencing-based association studies. Simulations have shown that in WES designs, extremely low sequencing depths (0.1-0.5x) are effective in capturing single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) in the common (MAF>5%) and low-frequency (MAF 1-5%) categories compared to imputed GWAS arrays (Pasaniuc, et al., 2012). The CONVERGE consortium demonstrated the feasibility of such approaches through the first successful case-control study of major depressive disorder in 4,509 cases and 5,337 controls (Converge Consortium, 2015), and we previously showed that 1x WGS allowed the discovery of replicating burdens

of low-frequency and rare variants (Gilly, et al., 2016). However, a systematic examination of

genotyping quality from 1x WGS and its implications for power in association studies is

lacking, posing the question of the generalisability of such results in the wider context of next-

generation association studies. Here, we perform very low depth (1x), cohort-wide WGS in an

isolated population from Greece, show that imputation tools commonly used with chip data

perform well using 1x WGS, and establish a detailed quality profile of called variants. We then

demonstrate the advantages of 1x WGS compared to the more traditional imputed GWAS

design both in terms of genotype accuracy and power to detect association signals.

Results

As part of the Hellenic Isolated Cohorts (HELIC) study, we whole genome sequenced 990

individuals from the Minoan Isolates (HELIC-MANOLIS) cohort at 1x depth, on the Illumina

HiSeq2000 platform. In addition, 249 samples from the MANOLIS cohort were sequenced at

4x depth (Southam, et al., 2017). Imputation-based genotype refinement was performed on

the cohort-wide dataset using a combined reference panel of 10,244 haplotypes from

MANOLIS 4x WGS, the 1000 Genomes (1000 Genomes Project Consortium, et al., 2015) and

UK10K (UK10K Consortium, et al., 2015) projects (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Processing pipeline for the MANOLIS 1x data. Tools and parameters for the genotype refinement and phasing steps were selected after benchmarking nine pipelines involving four different tools (See Methods).

Variant calling pipeline

Prior to any imputation-based refinement, our approach allowed the capture of 80% and 100% of low-frequency (MAF 1-5%) and common (MAF>5%) SNVs, respectively, when compared to variants present on the Illumina OmniExpress and HumanExome chips genotyped in the same samples. In 10 control samples with high-depth WGS data downsampled to 1x, joint calling with MANOLIS resulted in pre-imputation false positive and false negative rates of 12% and 24.6%, respectively (See Methods).

In order to improve sensitivity and genotype accuracy, we compared nine genotype refinement and imputation pipelines using tools commonly used for genotyping chip imputation, using directly typed OmniExpress and ExomeChip genotypes as a benchmark (See Methods). We used a reference panel containing haplotypes from 4,873 cosmopolitan

samples from the 1000 Genomes and UK10K projects, as well as the phased haplotypes from

249 MANOLIS samples sequenced at 4x depth. The best-performing pipeline, described in

Figure 1, captures 95% of rare, 99.7% of low-frequency and 99.9% of common variants

present in chip data, with an average minor allele concordance of 97% across the allele

frequency spectrum (see Methods, Figure 2a., Supplementary Figure 1). 79.7% of 1x WGS

variants were found using high-depth WGS at 22x in a subset of the MANOLIS samples

(n=1,225), although this positive predictive value varied across the MAF spectrum, from 8.9%

for singletons to 95.1% for common variants (Figure 2b.). Genotype concordance was similar,

although slightly lower, when compared to the chip variants. Due to the 22x data being

aligned to a different build, we were unable to compute genome-wide false positive rates,

however by comparing 1x calls with those produced by whole-exome sequencing in 5

individuals from the MANOLIS cohort, we estimate a false-positive rate of 2.4% post-

imputation in the coding parts of the genome (see Methods).

Figure 2: Concordance and call rate for very low depth WGS genotypes. a. Genotype (blue circles) and

minor allele (yellow circles) concordance is computed for 1,239 samples in MANOLIS against merged

OmniExpress and ExomeChip data. Call rate is assessed for the refined (purple) and refined plus imputed

(green) datasets. **b.** Non-reference allele concordance (green circles) and positive predictive value (PPV)

(fuchsia bars) is computed for 1,225 MANOLIS samples with both 22x WGS and low-depth calls.

Comparison of variant call sets with an imputed GWAS

The genotype refinement and imputation step yielded 30,483,136 non-monomorphic SNVs in

1,239 MANOLIS individuals. The number of variants discovered using 1x WGS is nearly twice

as high as that from array-based approaches. In a subset of 982 MANOLIS individuals with

both 1x WGS, OmniExpress and ExomeChip data, we called 25,673,116 non-monomorphic

SNVs using 1x WGS data, compared to 13,078,518 non-monomorphic SNVs in the same

samples with chip data imputed up to the same panel (Southam, et al., 2017) without any

imputation INFO score filtering. The main differences are among rare variants (MAF<1%)

(Figure 3): 13,671,225 (53.2%) variants called in the refined 1x WGS are absent from the

imputed GWAS, 98% of which are rare. 82% of these rare unique SNVs are singletons or

doubletons, and therefore 9.5% of all variants called in the 1x WGS dataset were unique

variants with MAC>2.

Figure 3: Unique variants called by sequencing and imputed GWAS. Variants unique to either dataset, arranged by MAF bin. Both datasets are unfiltered apart from monomorphics, which are excluded. MAF categories: rare (MAF<1%), low-frequency (MAF 1-5%), common (MAF>5%).

A crucial question is the proportion of true positives among these additional SNVs not found

by GWAS and imputation. By comparing their positions and alleles with high-depth WGS in

the same samples, we find that the PPV profile for these variants is much lower compared to

when all variants are examined (Figure 4 and Figure 2.b). As expected, PPV is almost zero for

additional singletons and doubletons, and just above 40% for the few additional common

variants. 62% of low-frequency variants unique to the 1x are true positives, which

corresponds to 140,844 low-frequency variants with high genotyping quality that are missed

by the imputed GWAS. Minor allele concordance is lower than for all variants, with a lower

bound at 55% for rare variants and reaching 73% for novel low-frequency variants.

Figure 4: Positive predictive value of additional variants called in 1x sequencing. 1x variants not found in

the GWAS data, arranged by MAF bin, in raw numbers (top). Green bars count variants recapitulated in the

22x (true positives). The proportion of these over the total (positive predictive value) is displayed in each

bin in the bottom panel. The black line indicates minor allele concordance for true positive variants. The

first category (0-0.1%) contains singletons and doubletons only.

Comparison of association summary statistics with imputed GWAS

1x WGS calls a larger number of variants and is noisier than imputed GWAS in the same samples. To evaluate how this difference affects association study power, we performed genome-wide association of 57 quantitative traits in 1,225 overlapping samples with both imputed OmniExome and 1x WGS using both sources of genotype data. We then compared independent suggestively associated signals at $p<5\times10^{-7}$ (Supplementary Table 1). These signals were then cross-referenced with a larger (n=1,457) study based on 22x WGS on the same traits in the same cohort(Gilly, et al., 2018). We only considered signals to be true if they displayed evidence for association with at most a two order of magnitude attenuation compared to our suggestive significance threshold ($P<5\times10^{-5}$). According to this metric, 52 of 182 independent signals (28.5%) were true in the imputed GWAS, in contrast to 108 of 462 (23.4%) in the 1x study (Figure 5). With an equal sample size and identically transformed traits, 1x therefore allowed to discover twice as many independent GWAS signals with almost identical truth sensitivity. Seven rare and three suggestive low-frequency variant associations in the 1x WGS data (9.2% of all signals) were driven by a variant not present and without a tagging SNP at $r^2>0.8$ in the imputed GWAS, whereas the converse is true for only two rare variants in the imputed GWAS. Among variants called or tagged in the imputed GWAS, 4 rare, 11 low-frequency and 5 common SNV associations detected in the 1x (19% of total) are not seen associated below that threshold in the imputed GWAS. As expected, there are significantly fewer (3.8%, P=0.01, one-sided chi-square proportion test) true associations in the imputed GWAS not recapitulated by the 1x study.

a.

b.

Figure 5: Association signals in the 1x WGS and imputed GWAS at p<5x10^{-7} for 57 quantitative traits in 1,225 samples. Purple dots represent significant results in the 1x WGS (a.) and imputed GWAS (b.) analysis. Orange dots, if present, denote the p-value of the same SNP in the other study. Blue dots represent the association p-value in a larger (n=1,457) association study based on 22x WGS. Signals with a 22x WGS p-value above 5x10^{-5} were considered as false positives in both studies and excluded from the plot. Red dashes indicate the minimum p-value among all tagging SNVs in the other dataset (r^2>0.8). Absence of an orange dot and/or a red dash means that the variant was not present and/or no tagging variant could be found for that signal in the other study.

Discussion

In this work, we empirically demonstrate the relative merits of very low depth WGS both in

terms of variant discovery and association study power for complex quantitative traits

compared to GWAS approaches. However, the advantages of 1x WGS have to be weighed

against compute and financial cost considerations. As of summer 2018, 1x WGS on the HiSeq

4000 platform was approximately half of the cost of a dense GWAS array (e.g. Illumina

Infinium Omni 2.5Exome-8 array), the same cost as a sparser chip such as the Illumina

HumanCoreExome array, and half of the cost of WES at 50x depth. By comparison, 30x WGS

was 23 or 15 times more costly depending on the sequencing platform (Illumina HiSeq 4000

or HiSeqX, respectively). The number of variants called by 1x WGS is lower than high-depth

WGS, but is in the same order of magnitude, suggesting comparable disk storage

requirements for variant calls. However, storage of the reads required an average 650Mb per

sample for CRAMs, and 1.3Gb per sample for BAMs.

Genome-wide refinement and imputation of very low depth WGS generates close to 50 times

more variants than a GWAS chip. The complexity of the imputation and phasing algorithms

used in this study is linear in the number of markers, linear in the number of target samples

and quadratic in the number of reference samples (Browning and Browning, 2016), which

results in a 50-fold increase in total processing time compared to an imputed GWAS study of

equal sample size. In MANOLIS the genome was divided in 13,276 chunks containing equal

number of SNVs, which took an average of 31 hours each to refine and impute. The total

processing time was 47 core-years (see Methods and Supplementary Figure 2). This

parallelisation allowed processing the 1,239 MANOLIS samples in under a month, and as

imputation software continue to grow more efficient (Bycroft, et al., 2017), future pipelines

should greatly simplify postprocessing of very low depth sequencing data.

As a proof of principle, we used imputed GWAS, 1x and 22x WGS in overlapping samples from

an isolated population to assess how genotyping quality influences power in association

studies. As we only wanted to study the implications of varying genotype qualities afforded

by different designs on association p-values in a discovery setting, we considered only

suggestively associated signals and did not seek replication in a larger cohorts for the

discovered signals. In our study of 57 quantitative traits, we show that an 1x-based design

allows the discovery of twice as many of the signals suggestively associated in the more

accurate 22x WGS study, compared to the imputed GWAS design. Almost 10% of the

suggestive signals arising in the 1x data are not discoverable in the imputed GWAS, but the

great majority (96%) of imputed GWAS signals is found using the 1x.

The 1x-based study seems to discover more signals than the imputed GWAS across the MAF

spectrum, and this remains true whether or not the signals are filtered for suggestive

association p-value in the more accurate 22x based study (Supplementary Table 2). At first

glance this suggests 1x WGS has better detection power than the imputed GWAS across the

MAF spectrum, however it is unlikely that this is true for common variants, which are reliably

imputed using chip data. Instead, this phenomenon is likely due to a slightly less accurate

imputation than in the GWAS dataset caused by a noisier raw genotype input (Supplementary

Text). This effect is marginal, as evidenced by genome-wide concordance measures (Figure 2)

which are very high at the common end of the MAF spectrum. However, it is important to

note that this slightly less accurate imputation can attenuate some signals as well as boosting

others. For this reason, we would recommend relaxing the discovery significance threshold in

1x studies in order to capture those less well imputed, signal-harbouring variants, followed

by rigorous replication in larger cohorts and direct validation of genotypes.

Our study's intent was to focus on the performance on commonly used general-purpose tools

for low-depth sequencing data in isolates, both for genotype calling (GATK) and imputation

(BEAGLE, IMPUTE). There are ongoing efforts to leverage the specificities of both low-depth

sequencing (Davies, et al., 2016)(https://www.gencove.com) and of isolated populations

(Livne, et al., 2015). The popularity and long-term support of established generic methods is

an advantage when running complex study designs, as has been shown in other isolate studies

(Herzig, et al., 2018).

We show that very low depth whole-genome sequencing allows the accurate assessment of

most common and low-frequency variants captured by imputed GWAS designs and achieves

denser coverage of the low-frequency and rare end of the allelic spectrum, albeit at an

increased computational cost. This allows very low depth sequencing studies to recapitulate

signals discovered by imputed chip-based efforts, and to discover significantly associated

variants missed by GWAS imputation (Gilly, et al., 2016). Although cohort-wide high-depth

WGS remains the gold standard for the study of rare and low-frequency variation, very low-

depth WGS designs using population-specific haplotypes for imputation remain a viable

alternative when studying populations poorly represented in existing large reference panels.

Methods

Cohort details

The HELIC (Hellenic Isolated Cohorts; www.helic.org) MANOLIS (Minoan Isolates) collection

focuses on Anogia and surrounding Mylopotamos villages on the Greek island of Crete. All

individuals were required to have at least one parent from the Mylopotamos area to enter

the study. Recruitment was primarily carried out at the village medical centres. The study

includes biological sample collection for DNA extraction and lab-based blood measurements,

and interview-based questionnaire filling. The phenotypes collected include anthropometric

and biometric measurements, clinical evaluation data, biochemical and haematological

profiles, self-reported medical history, demographic, socioeconomic and lifestyle

information. The study was approved by the Harokopio University Bioethics Committee and

informed consent was obtained from every participant.

Sequencing

Sequencing and mapping for the 990 MANOLIS samples at 1x depth has been described

previously (Gilly, et al., 2016), as well as for 249 MANOLIS samples at 4x (Southam, et al.,

2017), and for 1,457 samples at 22x (Gilly, et al., 2018). For comparison, 5 samples from the

cohort were also whole-exome sequenced at an average depth of 75x. We use a standard

read alignment and variant calling pipeline using samtools(Li, et al., 2009) and

GATK(McKenna, et al., 2010), which is described in detail in the Supplementary Text.

Variant filtering

Variant quality score recalibration was performed using GATK VQSR v.3.1.1. However, using

the default parameters for the VQSR mixture model yields poor filtering, with a Ti/Tv ratio

dropoff at 83% percent sensitivity and a Ti/Tv ratio of 1.8 for high-quality tranches

(Supplementary Figure 3.a). We therefore ran exploratory runs of VQSR across a range of

values for the model parameters, using the dropoff point of the transition/transversion

(Ti/Tv) ratio below 2.0 as an indicator of good fit (Supplementary Figure 4). A small number

of configurations outperformed all others, which allowed us to select an optimal set of

parameters. For the chosen set of parameters, false positive rate is estimated at 10%±5%

(Supplementary Figure 3.b). Indels were excluded from the dataset out of concerns for

genotype quality. We found that the version of VQSR, as well as the annotations used to train

the model, had a strong influence on the quality of the recalibration (Supplementary Figure 4

and Supplementary Text).

Comparison with downsampled whole genomes

For quality control purposes, reads from 17 of the well-characterised Platinum Genomes

sequenced by Illumina at 50x depth (McCarthy, et al., 2016), and downsampled to 1x depth

using samtools (Christopoulos, 1997) were included in the merged BAM file. VQSR-filtered

calls were then compared to the high-confidence call sets made available by Illumina for those

samples. 524,331 of the 4,348,092 non-monomorphic variant sites were not present in the

high-confidence calls, whereas 1,246,403 of the 5,070,164 non-monomorphic high-

confidence were not recapitulated in the 1x data. This corresponds to an estimated false

positive rate of 12% and false negative rate of 24.6%. Both unique sets had a much higher

proportion of singletons (corresponding to MAF < 2.9%) than the entire sets (57.9% vs 19.9%

of singletons among 1x calls and 51% vs 18.1% among high-confidence calls), which suggests

that a large fraction of the erroneous sites lies in the low-frequency and rare part of the allelic

spectrum. However, genotype accuracy is poor, to the point where it obscures peculiarities

in the distribution of allele counts (Supplementary Figure 5). Due to these being present in

the 1000 genomes reference panel, we remove the 17 Platinum Genomes prior to imputation.

Genotype refinement and imputation

Evaluation of pipelines

The authors of SHAPEIT (Delaneau, et al., 2013) advise to phase whole chromosome when

performing pre-phasing in order to preserve downstream imputation quality. This approach

is computationally intractable for the 1x datasets, where the smallest chromosomes contain

almost 7 times more variants than the largest chromosomes in a GWAS dataset.

For benchmarking purposes, we designed 13 genotype refinement pipelines involving Beagle

v4.0 (Browning and Browning, 2007) and SHAPEIT2 (Delaneau, et al., 2013) using a 1000

Genomes phase 1 reference panel, which we evaluated against minor allele concordance. All

pipelines were run using the vr-runner scripts

(https://github.com/VertebrateResequencing/vr-runner). Pipelines involving Beagle with the

use of a reference panel ranked consistently better (Supplementary Figure 1), with a single

run of reference-based refinement using Beagle outperforming all other runs. IMPUTE2

performed worst on its own, whether with or without reference panel; in fact the addition of

a reference panel did not improve genotype quality massively. Phasing with Beagle without

an imputation panel improved genotype quality, before or after IMPUTE2.

Halving the number of SNVs per refinement chunk (including 500 flanking positions) from the

4,000 recommended by the vr pipelines resulted in only a modest loss of genotype quality in

the rare part of the allelic spectrum (Supplementary Figure 7), while allowing for a twofold

increase in refinement speed. Genotype quality dropped noticeably for rare variants when

imputation was turned on (Supplementary Figure 7), but remained high for low-frequency

and common ones. A reference-free run of Beagle allowed to phase all positions and remove

genotype missingness with no major impact on quality and a low computational cost. We also

tested thunderVCF (Pollin, et al., 2008) for phasing sites, however, the program took more

than 2 days to run on 5,000 SNV chunks and was abandoned.

Production pipeline for the MANOLIS cohort

For production, we used a reference panel composed of 10,244 haplotypes from the 1000

Genomes Project Phase 1 (n=1,092), UK10K (UK10K Consortium, et al., 2015) TwinsUK

(Moayyeri, et al., 2013) and ALSPAC (Golding, et al., 2001) (n=3,781, 7x WGS), and 249

MANOLIS samples sequenced at 4x depth, which has been described before (Southam, et al.,

2017). Alleles in the reference panel were matched to the reference allele in the called

dataset. Positions where the alleles differed between the called and reference datasets were

removed from both sources. Indels were filtered out due to poor calling quality.

The pipeline with best minor allele concordance across the board used Beagle v.4 (Browning

and Browning, 2007) to perform a first round of imputation-based genotype refinement on

1,239 HELIC MANOLIS variant callsets, using the aforementioned reference panel. This was

followed by a second round of reference-free imputation, using the same software.

Variant-level QC

Beagle provides two position level imputation metrics, allelic R-squared (AR2) and dosage R-

squared (DR2). Both measures are highly correlated (Supplementary Figure 8.a). Values

between 0.3 and 0.8 are typically used for filtering (Browning, 2014). In both 1x datasets 59%

and 91% of imputed variants lie below those two thresholds, respectively. The distribution of

scores does not provide an obvious filtering threshold (Supplementary Figure 8.b) due to its

concavity. Since most imputed variants are rare and R-squared measures are highly correlated

with MAF, filtering by AR2 and DR2 would be similar to imposing a MAF threshold

(Supplementary Figure 8.c and d.). Moreover, due to a technical limitation of the vr-runner

pipelines, imputation quality measures were not available for refined positions at the time of

analysis, only imputed ones. Therefore, we did not apply any prior filter in downstream

analyses.

Sample QC

Due to the sparseness of the 1x datasets, sample-level QC was performed after imputation. 5

samples were excluded from the MANOLIS 1x cohort following PCA-based ethnicity checks.

Comparison with WES

A set of high confidence genotypes was generated for the 5 exomes in MANOLIS using filters

for variant quality (QUAL>200), call rate (AN=10, 100%) and depth (250x). These filters were

derived from the respective distributions of quality metrics (Supplementary Figure 9).

When compared to 5 whole-exome sequences from each cohort, imputed 1x calls

recapitulated 77.2% of non-monomorphic, high-quality exome sequencing calls. Concordance

was high, with only 3.5% of the overlapping positions exhibiting some form of allelic

mismatch. When restricting the analysis to singletons, 9105 (58%) of the 15,626 high-quality

singletons in the 10 exomes were captured, with 21% of the captured positions exhibiting

false positive genotypes (AC>1). To assess false positive call rate, we extracted 1x variants

falling within the 71,627 regions targeted by the Agilent design file for WES in overlapping

samples, and compared them to those present in the unfiltered WES dataset. 103,717

variants were called in these regions from WES sequences, compared to 58,666 non-

monomorphic positions in the 1x calls. 1,419 (2.4%) of these positions were unique to the 1x

dataset, indicating a low false-positive rate in exonic regions post-imputation.

Genetic relatedness matrix

Relatedness was present at high levels in our cohort, with 99.5% of samples having at least

one close relative (estimated $\hat{\pi} > 0.1$) and an average number of close relatives of 7.8. In

order to correct for this close kinship typical of isolated cohorts, we calculated a genetic

relatedness matrix using GEMMA (Zhou and Stephens, 2012). Given the isolated nature of the

population and the specificities of the sequencing dataset, we used different variant sets to

calculate kinship coefficients. Using the unfiltered 1x variant dataset produced the lowest

coefficients (Figure 10.a), whereas well-behaved set of common SNVs (Arthur, et al., 2017)

produced the highest, with an average difference of 3.67×10^{-3}. Filtering for MAF lowered the

inferred kinship coefficients. Generally, the more a variant set was sparse and enriched in

common variants, the higher the coefficients were. However, these differences only had a

marginal impact on association statistics, as evidenced by a lambda median statistic

difference of 0.02 between the two most extreme estimates of relatedness when used for a

genome-wide association of triglycerides (Supplementary Figure 10.b). For our association

study, we used LD-pruned 1x variants filtered for MAF<1% and Hardy Weinberg equilibrium

p<1×10^{-5} to calculate the relatedness matrix, which translated into 2,848,245 variants for

MANOLIS.

Single-point association

Pipeline

For association, fifty-seven phenotypes were prepared, with full details of the trait

transformation, filters and exclusions described in Supplementary Table 3. The

'transformPhenotype' (https://github.com/wtsi-team144/transformPhenotype) R script was

used to apply a standardised preparation for all phenotypes. Association analysis was

performed on each cohort separately using the linear mixed model implemented in GEMMA

(Zhou and Stephens, 2012) on all variants with minor allele count (MAC) greater than 2

(14,948,665 out of 30,483,158 variants in MANOLIS). We used the aforementioned centered

kinship matrix. GC-corrected p-values from the likelihood ratio test (p_lrt) are reported.

Singletons and doubletons are removed due to overall low minor allele concordance. Signals

were extracted using the peakplotter software (https://github.com/wtsi-

team144/peakplotter) using a window size of 1Mb.

Data Access

The HELIC genotype and WGS datasets have been deposited to the European Genome-

phenome Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/home): EGAD00010000518;

EGAD00010000522; EGAD00010000610; EGAD00001001636, EGAD00001001637. The

peakplotter software is available at https://github.com/wtsi-team144/peakplotter, the

transformPhenotype app can be downloaded at https://github.com/wtsi-

team144/transformPhenotype.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the residents of the Mylopotamos villages for taking part in the study. The

MANOLIS study is dedicated to the memory of Manolis Giannakakis, 1978–2010. This study

makes use of data generated by the UK10K Consortium, derived from samples from the

TwinsUK Cohort and the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). A full list

of the investigators who contributed to the generation of the data is available from

www.UK10K.org, last accessed April 29, 2016. The GATK program was made available through

the generosity of Medical and Population Genetics program at the Broad Institute, Inc. This

research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource using Application Number

13745. This work was funded by the Wellcome Trust [098051] and the European Research

Council [ERC-2011-StG 280559-SEPI]. Funding for UK10K was provided by the Wellcome Trust

under award WT091310. The authors thank Sophie Hackinger and Bram Prins for

proofreading the article. The authors also thank Heather Elding, William Astle, Tao Jiang Adam

Butterworth and Nicole Soranzo for their contributions to a previous version of the

manuscript.

Disclosure Declaration

460 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1000 Genomes Project Consortium*, et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 2015;526(7571):68-74.

Alex Buerkle, C. and Gompert, Z. Population genomics based on low coverage sequencing: how low should we go? *Mol Ecol* 2013;22(11):3028-3035.

Arthur, R.*, et al.* AKT: ancestry and kinship toolkit. *Bioinformatics* 2017;33(1):142-144.

Astle, W.J.*, et al.* The Allelic Landscape of Human Blood Cell Trait Variation and Links to Common Complex Disease. *Cell* 2016;167(5):1415-1429 e1419.

Brody, J.A.*, et al.* Analysis commons, a team approach to discovery in a big-data environment for genetic epidemiology. *Nat Genet* 2017;49(11):1560-1563.

Browning, B.L. Private communication. 2014.

Browning, B.L. and Browning, S.R. Genotype Imputation with Millions of Reference Samples. *Am J Hum Genet* 2016;98(1):116-126.

Browning, S.R. and Browning, B.L. Rapid and accurate haplotype phasing and missing-data inference for whole-genome association studies by use of localized haplotype clustering. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007;81(5):1084-1097.

Bycroft, C.*, et al.* Genome-wide genetic data on ~500,000 UK Biobank participants. *bioRxiv* 2017.

Christopoulos, K.T.D. Minorities in Greece. Kritiki; 1997.

Converge Consortium. Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major depressive disorder. *Nature* 2015;523(7562):588-591.

Davies, R.W.*, et al.* Rapid genotype imputation from sequence without reference panels. *Nat Genet* 2016;48(8):965-969.

Delaneau, O.*, et al.* Haplotype estimation using sequencing reads. *Am J Hum Genet* 2013;93(4):687-696.

Gilly, A.*, et al.* Very low-depth sequencing in a founder population identifies a cardioprotective APOC3 signal missed by genome-wide imputation. *Hum Mol Genet* 2016;25(11):2360-2365.

Gilly, A.*, et al.* Cohort-wide deep whole genome sequencing and the allelic architecture of complex traits. *bioRxiv* 2018.

Golding, J.*, et al.* ALSPAC--the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. I. Study methodology. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2001;15(1):74-87.

Herzig, A.F.*, et al.* Strategies for phasing and imputation in a population isolate. *Genet*
Epidemiol 2018;42(2):201-213.

Le, S.Q. and Durbin, R. SNP detection and genotyping from low-coverage sequencing data on
multiple diploid samples. *Genome Res* 2011;21(6):952-960.

Li, H.*, et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*
2009;25(16):2078-2079.

Livne, O.E.*, et al.* PRIMAL: Fast and accurate pedigree-based imputation from sequence data
in a founder population. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2015;11(3):e1004139.

McCarthy, S.*, et al.* A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat*
Genet 2016;48(10):1279-1283.

McKenna, A.*, et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-
generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* 2010;20(9):1297-1303.

Moayyeri, A.*, et al.* The UK Adult Twin Registry (TwinsUK Resource). *Twin Res Hum Genet*
2013;16(1):144-149.

Pasaniuc, B.*, et al.* Extremely low-coverage sequencing and imputation increases power for
genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* 2012;44(6):631-635.

Pollin, T.I.*, et al.* A null mutation in human APOC3 confers a favorable plasma lipid profile and
apparent cardioprotection. *Science* 2008;322(5908):1702-1705.

Southam, L.*, et al.* Whole genome sequencing and imputation in isolated populations identify
genetic associations with medically-relevant complex traits. *Nat Comms* 2017(in press).

Southam, L.*, et al.* Whole genome sequencing and imputation in two Greek isolated
populations identifies associations with complex traits of medical importance. *Nat Comms*
2017;in review.

Tachmazidou, I.*, et al.* Whole-Genome Sequencing Coupled to Imputation Discovers Genetic
Signals for Anthropometric Traits. *Am J Hum Genet* 2017;100(6):865-884.

UK10K Consortium*, et al.* The UK10K project identifies rare variants in health and disease.
Nature 2015;526(7571):82-90.

Zhou, X. and Stephens, M. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association
studies. *Nat Genet* 2012;44(7):821-824.