1	Population genomics of Wolbachia and mtDNA in Drosophila simulans from California	
2		
3	Sarah Signor*	
4		
5		
6	*Department of Molecular and Computational Biology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles	
7	California USA	
8	Key words: Drosophila simulans, population genetics, Wolbachia	
9		
10	Communicating Author:	Sarah Signor
11		Section of Molecular and Computational Biology
12		University of Southern California
13		Los Angeles, California USA
14		ssignor@usc.edu
15		Phone 213-740-3065
16		
17		
10		
18		
19		
20		
20		
21		

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

ABSTRACT: Wolbachia pipientis is an intracellular endosymbiont infecting many arthropods and filarial nematodes. Little is known about the short-term evolution of Wolbachia or its interaction with its host. Wolbachia is maternally inherited, resulting in co-inheritance of mitochondrial organelles such as mtDNA. Here I explore the short-term evolution of Wolbachia, and the relationship between Wolbachia and mtDNA, using a large inbred panel of *Drosophila simulans* infected with the *Wolbachia* strain wRi. I find reduced diversity relative to expectation in both Wolbachia and mtDNA, but only mtDNA shows evidence of a recent selective sweep or population bottleneck. I estimate Wolbachia and mtDNA titre in each genotype, and I find considerable variation in both phenotypes, despite low genetic diversity in Wolbachia and mtDNA. A phylogeny of Wolbachia and of mtDNA show that both trees are largely unresolved, suggesting a recent origin of the infection derived from a single origin. Using Wolbachia and mtDNA titre as a phenotype, I perform an association analysis with the nuclear genome and find several regions implicated in the phenotype, including one which contains four CAAX-box protein processing genes. CAAX-box protein processing can be an important part of host-pathogen interactions in other systems, suggesting interesting directions for future research. INTRODUCTION:

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Heritable symbiotic associations such as that between Drosophila and Wolbachia pipientis have widespread impact on host ecology and evolution. These types of heritable endosymbiotic relationships are recognized as key drivers of evolution, but the intraspecific variation that effects their short-term evolution is not well explored. Wolbachia are α -proteobacterial endosymbionts found in up to 40% of all arthropod species¹⁻³. Wolbachia are maternally transmitted and spread through manipulating the reproductive strategies of their host, using mechanisms such as feminization, male-killing, or cytoplasmic incompatibility. The most common of these is cytoplasmic incompatibility, where mating between males and females of the same species results in embryonic mortality if they have different Wolbachia infection status⁴⁻⁸. Wolbachia may also confer certain protections upon their host, such as increased resistance to certain viruses, or increased survival when exposed to certain environmental stressors⁹⁻¹⁵. Wolbachia is one of the most abundant obligate intracellular parasites, given that 85% of animal species are insects. This has profound meaning for evolutionary processes such as sexual selection and speciation ^{16,17}. Wolbachia strain wRi is known to have spread recently in the sister species to the model organism Drosophila melanogaster, D. simulans^{4,5,8,18}. It was at ~95-100% frequency in Southern California populations at the time its original sampling in the 1980's 19,20. It likely invaded California less than 25 years before it was first detected in 1984^{21} . It is now thought to have been horizontally transmitted to D. simulans from D. ananassae, though the same strain is also found in D. suzukkii²². The maternal transmission of Wolbachia means that as the microorganism spreads all maternally inherited organelles spread along with it. Most notably mtDNA will be forced through a bottleneck, lowering the diversity of mtDNA in infected populations 18,23,24. This will cause mtDNA and Wolbachia to be more closely associated than nuclear genes, and this coupling has been demonstrated previously in D. simulans^{18,25,26}. In fact, D. simulans is known to have three major mitochondrial haplotypes (siI, siII, and siIII) and two subtypes (siIIA and siIIB) that harbor very little variation and that appear to be nonrandomly associated with Wolbachia strains²⁷⁻²⁹. These mitochondrial haplotypes are largely allopatric, except for the presence of both siII and siIII in Madagascar and La Reunion³⁰.

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

In D. melanogaster variation in Wolbachia has been well investigated using genomic data, though this has not been done in the genomic era in D. simulans³¹. They found long lived associations between mitochondrial and Wolbachia haplotypes and strong geographic structuring among cytotypes³¹⁻³³. This study also observed that Wolbachia titre varied among fly populations as the result of intraspecific nuclear genetic variation³¹. However, the assumption that it was due to intraspecific nuclear background was based on the presence of a constant environment and no polymorphisms were identified that could be affecting this phenotype. Very little is known about how Wolbachia interact with their hosts, though recent work has uncovered evidence that deubiquitylating enzymes produced by Wolbachia and secreted into the host cytoplasm mediate cytoplasmic incompatibility³⁴. Wolbachia DNA is also frequently inserted into the host genome, though this has not occurred with wRi in D. simulans²¹. Genes involved in the formation of germline stem cells such as benign gonial cell neoplasm and bag-of-marbles are considered candidates for interacting with Wolbachia, and have been found to have unusual population genetic patterns in D. melanogaster 35,36. bag-of-marbles has been suggested to interact with Wolbachia due to fertility rescue in hypomorphs, but the interaction of this gene with Wolbachia in natural populations is not clear^{22,35-37}. Notably, Wolbachia localizes in tissues differently depending upon the strain and species so the interactions between the host and Wolbachia are likely to also be different^{38,39}. Wolbachia infections must be maintained in host populations through transovarial transmission. wherein Wolbachia is present in the germline at sufficient copy number to ensure transmission but not to cause host pathology⁴⁰. Wolbachia titre has been shown to have important phenotypic effects on the host^{11,41-49}. However, control of Wolbachia replication is not well understood, nor is the dependence of this control on host background versus bacterial genotype^{11,50-52}. Differences in Wolbachia titre when it is transinfected between species suggests a role of host background in controlling copy number, population genomics in D. melanogaster suggest an effect of host background, and there does seem to be host-

specific patterns of tissue colonization⁵³⁻⁵⁵. However, multiple Wolbachia genotypes can also behave

differently in the same genetic background suggesting contributions from the bacterial genome^{51,56}. It is

also possible to select for greater Wolbachia densities, though the heritability of this is unclear^{57,58}.

Here I investigate the dynamics of *Wolbachia* and mtDNA in a large panel of *D. simulans* from a single Californian population. I determine infection status of *Wolbachia* in the panel of *D. simulans* genotypes. I look for signatures of selection in both genomes using summary statistics Tajima's *D* and π and find that while *Wolbachia* patterns of variation are not unusual given its demographic history the reduction in mtDNA diversity is suggestive of a recent bottleneck due either to selection or changes in population size. I also measure linkage disequilibrium between mtDNA and *Wolbachia* as a proxy for coinheritance. Using whole genome sequences, I investigate the phylogeny of both *Wolbachia* and mtDNA and find that in this population they are essentially unresolved. I investigate variation in the copy number of both *Wolbachia* and mtDNA in this population using relative estimates derived from illumina sequencing coverage compared to nuclear coverage. I find considerable copy number variation in this population, and an association analysis using this as a phenotype implicates several genomic regions potentially involved in mediating this phenotype. This includes a region containing multiple genes involved CAAX-box protein prenylation, a process that is important for mediating the relationship between host and pathogen in other systems⁵⁹⁻⁶¹.

METHODS

Drosophila strains

Strains are as described in⁶². Briefly, the 167 *D. simulans* lines were collected in the Zuma organic orchard in Zuma beach, California in February of 2012 from a single pile of fermenting strawberries. Single mated females were collected and inbred by 15 generations of full sib mating of their progeny. *Drosophila* were raised at a constant temperature of 20° C with 12-hour light/dark cycles. They were raised on a standard glucose/yeast media, and each library was constructed from adult females of similar age (less than one week).

Data sources and processing

The sequencing reads were downloaded from the NCBI Short Read Archive from project SRP075682.

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

Libraries were assembled using BWA mem (v. 0.7.5), and processed with samtools (v. 0.1.19) using default parameters^{63,64}. The Wolbachia reference is the wRi strain previously identified in Southern California (Accession number NC 012416)²¹. The mtDNA reference is from D. simulans w^{501} , which is haplogroup sill as expected for D. simulans from California (Accession number KC244284)⁶⁵. PCR duplicates were removed using Picard MarkDuplicates (v. 2.9.4) and GATK (v. 3.7) was used for indel realignment and SNP calling using default parameters (http://picard.sourceforge.net)⁶⁶. SNPs were called jointly for all genotypes using Haplotypecaller⁶⁶. Individual consensus fasta sequences were produced using SelectVariants to create individual vcf files and FastaAlternateReferenceMaker. Vcf files were filtered for indels and non-biallelic SNPs using VCFtools (v. 0.1.13)⁶⁷. The files were also filtered for SNPs with more than 10% missing data. The Wolbachia genome was filtered for regions of unusual coverage or SNP density, for example two regions of the Wolbachia genome harbored ~40 SNPs within two kb, far above background levels of variation (Supp. Fig. 1). These two regions coincided with regions of unusually high coverage suggesting they are repeated elements. Prediction of Wolbachia infection status Wolbachia infection status was determined by calculating the mean depth of coverage of the assembly and the breadth of coverage of the consensus sequence using bedtools⁶⁸. Depth of coverage refers to the average read depth across the Wolbachia genome, while breadth of coverage refers to the number of bases covered by at least two reads. Depth of coverage at each nucleotide was estimated using the genomecov function, while breadth was estimated using the coverage function. Predictions of Wolbachia infection status using illumina data have previously been shown to have 98.8% concordance with PCR based predication of infection status³². **Nucleotide diversity** Levels of polymorphism for mtDNA and Wolbachia were estimated as π in 10 kb windows using VCFtools (v0.1.14)⁶⁹. To investigate whether the frequency spectrum conformed to the standard neutral model of molecular evolution I also calculated Tajima's D in 10 kb windows using VCFtools. To assess

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

the significance of deviations in Tajima's D and π 10,000 simulations were performed using msms conditioned on the number of variable sites and with no recombination⁷⁰. Linkage disequilibrium Linkage between Wolbachia and mtDNA SNPs could potentially be a predictor of co-inheritance of mtDNA and Wolbachia. Linkage was estimated using VCFtools (v0.1.14) using inter-chrom-geno-r2 to estimate r² between each SNP in the two genomes⁶⁷. Estimation of mtDNA and Wolbachia copy number In insects, the phenotypic effect of Wolbachia will vary depending upon copy number in the host cells^{9,32}. Given that there are two copies of autosomal DNA in a cell, I infer mtDNA and Wolbachia copy number based on the ratio between mtDNA and autosomal DNA. This is intended to provide a relative estimate of copy number rather than an absolute measure. Relative copy number estimated in this way obscures intraindividual variation and variation between tissues, though the authors note that all flies used in constructing the libraries were females of approximately the same age. Wolbachia contains several regions which were excluded due to unusually high coverage across all samples (more than 3x the mean coverage). Average coverage of autosomal DNA was calculated from randomly chosen and equivalently sized nuclear regions for each mtDNA (Scf 3L:8000000..8014945) and Wolbachia (Scf 2L:11000000..11445873). The average coverage of each nuclear region, respectively, was then used to normalize estimates of copy number for each genotype. Previously the results of measuring Wolbachia copy number in the same samples using both qPCR and estimates from illumina read depth had a Pearson's correlation coefficient of .79, thus this is a robust approach to measuring Wolbachia titre³¹. Phylogenomic analysis To understand the relationship between Wolbachia infection and mtDNA I reconstructed the genealogical history of each within the sample population. Multiple alignments were generated for both mtDNA and Wolbachia by concatenating fasta consensus sequence files for each genotype. All indels and non-biallelic SNPs were excluded from the dataset prior to generating the consensus fasta for each genotype. RAxML version 8.10.2 was used to reconstruct phylogenies⁷¹. Maximum likelihood tree searches were conducted

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

using a general time reversible (GTR) model of nucleotide substitution with CAT rate heterogeneity and all model parameters estimated by RAxM⁷². Trees were inferred using the rapid bootstrap algorithm and simultaneous estimation of trees and bootstrapping, with automatic estimation of the necessary number of bootstrap replicates. **Association Analysis** The association analysis focused on a relationship between nuclear polymorphisms and Wolbachia and mtDNA copy number. To reduce the need for correction due to multiple testing and focus on regions that may have been affected by selection due to the recent invasion of Wolbachia I used a subset of the nuclear genome identified previously as exhibiting haplotype structure suggestive of recent selection^{62,73}. These regions are unusually long haplotype blocks, thus many of the SNPs within each block are not independent, reducing the need for correction due to multiple testing. Heterozygous bases were coded as missing, and all loci with more than 10% missing data were excluded from the analysis, as well as SNPs with a minor allele frequency of less than 2%, meaning they were present in the population in at least 3 copies. mtDNA and Wolbachia copy number were used for a multivariate analysis of association using plink.multivariate⁷⁴. To investigate the possibility that Wolbachia copy number is affected by polymorphisms in mtDNA, and vice versa, a single trait analysis was performed using plink v. 1.07⁷⁵. RESULTS **Sequencing Data** The autosomal data included in this analysis was reported in 62. There was very little variation in both Wolbachia and mtDNA in this population. This included 78 SNPs and indels in the Wolbachia genome and 90 in mtDNA. Reduced diversity has been reported previously in D. simulans mtDNA^{24,25}. The authors note that previous work has established that there is no unusual relatedness in the nuclear genome of this population⁶². **Infection status**

In a previous study lines were scored as infected if they had a breadth of coverage greater than 90% and a mean depth greater than one³². However, that dataset had a clearly bimodal distribution between infected and uninfected lines, where uninfected lines had breadth of coverage less than 10% while infected lines had a breadth of coverage of greater than 90%. As such that this demarcation was a natural interpretation of the data³². In *D. simulans*, all lines had ~99% breadth of coverage aside from a single line with both a lower overall depth of coverage and 80% breadth (Fig. 1). For this reason, all lines were scored as infected. 100% infection is not unusually high for *D. simulans*.

Nucleotide Diversity

Estimates of π in *Wolbachia* ranged from 5.98 x 10^{-7} to 1 x 10^{-3} , with an average of 1.42 x 10^{-5} , within the range of estimates from *Wolbachia* in *D. melanogaster* from another study $(7.9 \text{ x } 10^{-6} - 2.8 \text{ x } 10^{-5})^{31}$. The mean of π in simulated populations of *Wolbachia* is $1.9 \text{ x } 10^{-3}$ suggesting that variation is somewhat reduced in *w*Ri. π in mtDNA is 1 x 10^{-4} which again is similar to estimates from *D. melanogaster* $(4.34 \text{ x } 10^{-4} - 1.51 \text{ x } 10^{-3})^{31}$.

Overall Tajima's D was estimated to be -2.4 for D. simulans mtDNA (Fig 2). This is similar to estimates in D. $melanogaster^{32}$. Significance of this estimate was assessed using 10,000 simulations in msms conditioned on the number of segregating sites and no recombination, and it is significant at p < .05. Tajima's D in Wolbachia is not significantly different from expectations under neutrality based on 10,000 simulations. Thus, while a selective sweep or population bottleneck seems to have strongly effected mtDNA in D. simulans, the same is not true of the Wolbachia population (Fig 2). This is very different from D. melanogaster where Wolbachia and mtDNA had similar patterns of nucleotide diversity³².

This is also much more negative than previously reported for mtDNA in D. $simulans^{25}$. It is very different from the general patterns of Tajima's D in the nuclear genome, where average Tajima's D is 1 and the majority of the genome has a positive Tajima's D. Simulations in previous work suggest that the pervasively positive values in the nuclear genome may be due to a population contraction, which again

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

indicates that the population dynamics affecting D. simulans nuclear and mtDNA genomes are very different^{25,62}. Linkage disequilibrium There was no significant linkage disequilibrium between the genomes of Wolbachia and D. simulans mtDNA. Average LD between Wolbachia and mtDNA SNPs was 2.06 x 10⁻³. This may be because the infection of D. simulans was too recent for variation to accumulate along particular lineages, and also suggests that *D. simulans* was infected by a single invasion. Estimation of mtDNA and Wolbachia copy number There was considerable heterogeneity in both Wolbachia and mtDNA copy number (Fig. 1). Mean (standard deviation) copy number of Wolbachia is 5.56 (2.45). This is similar to one estimate in D. melanogaster, where mean copy number is 5.57 (3.95) though the standard deviation is lower in D. simulans ³². The reported mean was lower in other populations of D, melanogaster, though still within the same range (2 - 4.5)³¹. Similarly mean mtDNA copy number is 33.85 (15.5) in D. simulans and 32.9 (44.5) in one estimate for D. melanogaster³². This is again not an absolute measure, but relative to nuclear genomic coverage. The lower standard deviation could be due to more precise staging of the age of D. simulans, less background variation effecting copy number (the D. melanogaster sample was from multiple populations), or other unknown mechanisms. There was a positive relationship between mtDNA and Wolbachia copy number (Fig. 1) ($p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$). While the functional reasons for or consequences of this are unclear, because they are correlated they will be used in a multivariate analysis of association rather than as separate analyses. Phylogenomic analysis To understand the relationship between Wolbachia infection status and mtDNA sequence variation I reconstructed the phylogenetic history of the complete Wolbachia and mtDNA genome using the entire set of 167 strains (Fig 3-4). What I found is consistent with the recent spread of Wolbachia in D. simulans, as both phylogenies are essentially unresolved. This is not unexpected for mtDNA given

previous work in the species which found little within-haplotype variation among the three major mtDNA haplotypes in *D. simulans*^{25,28}. Furthermore, of the 167 sequences 88 are identical to at least one other sequence in the sample. While the *Wolbachia* phylogenetic tree gives the impression of having more resolution than mtDNA, this is likely due to the larger genome, as the branches have similarly low support. Of the 167 strains included in the tree 18 are identical to one or more *Wolbachia* genomes. Both trees are essentially star phylogenies with the majority of bootstrap support values being less than 30. Bootstrap support of greater than 70, for two branches in the mtDNA tree and five in the *Wolbachia* tree, is shown (Fig 3-4). If uninfected individuals had been included in the dataset perhaps it would be possible to test for congruence between the two phylogenies, however the essentially unresolved trees make it clear that both *Wolbachia* and mtDNA swept the population recently.

Association Analysis

Association analysis was performed using plink multivariate by regressing the line means for mtDNA and *Wolbachia* copy number on each SNP contained within the previously identified in a scan for selection 62 . This scan for selection focused on identifying haplotype blocks in LD. This considerably reduces the number of SNPs tested for association, in addition to the fact that the SNPs are in haplotype blocks and are therefore not independent tests 62,73 . This reduces the need for correction due to multiple testing. I used a *p*-value cut-off of $p < 9 \times 10^6$ and identified 16 SNPs associated with *Wolbachia* and mtDNA copy number. Of these 16 SNPs 13 are located in the same region on chromosome 2R (Scf_2R: 13550916-13569038). Given the concentration of significant SNPs in a single region, this is also the region I will focus on the most in the following discussion. The region containing 13 SNPs contains nine genes, four of which are involved in CAAX-box protein processing, ste24a-c and a recent duplicate of ste24c CG30461. CAAX-box protein processing is a part of a series of posttranslational protein modifications collectively called protein prenylation which are required for fully functional proteins to be targeted to cell membranes or organelles. It has been shown that pathogenic bacteria can exploit the host cell's prenylation machinery, though it is unclear if this occurs in *Wolbachia* 59 .

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

The other five genes are AsnRs-m, which is largely unannotated but is thought to a mitochondrial aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase⁷⁶. NIPP1Dm is involved in axon guidance and negative regulation of protein phosphorylation^{77,78}. CG6805 is generally unannotated but is inferred to be involved in dephosporylation ⁷⁶. Cbp53E regulates neural development ⁷⁹. Lastly, Ehbp1 is a developmental gene implicated in regulation of the Notch pathway and membrane organization⁸⁰. Of the other three SNPs identified in this association analysis two are located at Scf 2R:5814103 and Scf_2R:5811043, while the third is located at Scf_3L:2055556. Scf_2R:5811043 and Scf 2R:5814103 are located in Su(var)2-10 and Phax, respectively. These are neighboring genes, though there is a third gene within 10 kb, Mys45A. Su(var)2-10 is involved in development and chromosome organization, but it has also been implicated in the regulation of the innate immune response and defense against gram-negative bacteria⁸¹. Su(var)2-10 is of particular interest given that Wolbachia are gramnegative bacteria, however the potential role of Su(var)2-10 in immune response is not clear. Phax is not well annotated but is inferred to be involved in snRNA export from the nucleus⁷⁹. Mys45A is potentially involved in actin cytoskeleton organization⁷⁹. In D. melanogaster Wolbachia uses host actin for maternal transmission, though this has not been verified in D. simulans⁸². The last SNP, at Scf_3L:2055556, is in Connectin, a cell adhesion protein also involved in axon guidance⁸³. The identification of these SNPs in association with mtDNA and Wolbachia copy number does not imply a functional relationship. Nonetheless, I chose to investigate whether any of these substitutions had an effect on the coding sequence of any of genes in the region. Of the three SNPs found outside the region containing the CAAX-box proteins all were either in introns or regulatory regions. Of the 13 SNPs identified between Scf_2R: 13550916-13569038 eight are in introns or untranslated regions, including one in the long intron of Cb53E, three in the introns or noncoding transcript of CG6805, and two in the introns of Ephb. Of the remaining five SNPs four are in coding regions but silent, causing no change in the amino acid sequence of the protein. This includes silent mutations in the exons of ste24c and two silent mutations in the exons of Epbh. One SNP located in an exon of ste24a, at 13558515, is an amino acid substitution from a Leucine to a Valine. This is not an uncommon amino acid substitution 84,85, though

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

it can be associated with phenotypes^{86,87}. Mutations in introns and untranslated regions could also be having an effect on gene expression or processing, as could other linked SNPs in the region that were not included in the analysis. Association between Wolbachia and mtDNA Association analysis was performed using plink by regressing the line means for mtDNA copy number onto the Wolbachia genome and vice versa⁷⁵. There was no association between Wolbachia SNPs and mtDNA copy number, but the opposite was not true. One SNP in the D. simulans mtDNA affected Wolbachia copy number at $p < 3.18 \times 10^{-6}$. It is located in the D. simulans homolog of D. melanogaster srRNA which has been implicated in pole cell formation⁸⁸. Wolbachia is incorporated into the pole cells, the precursor to the germline, in order to be transmitted⁸⁹. **DISCUSSION** Using high through-put sequencing of a large panel of D. simulans I have reconstructed the complete genome sequences of mtDNA and Wolbachia. I use these genome sequences to investigate the recent history of Wolbachia and mtDNA in this population, as well as to estimate titre of both Wolbachia and mtDNA. The history of Wolbachia in this population is reflected in the essentially star-like phylogeny of both mtDNA and Wolbachia, indicating recent spread and co-inheritance. Lack of variation at mtDNA and Wolbachia suggests a single spread of wRi in this population as well as strict vertical transmission in the maternal cytoplasm. Variation in Wolbachia is within the range expected under a neutral model, however that was not the case for mtDNA which suggests either a selection sweep or a population bottleneck. Previous studies found similar population genetic patterns at Wolbachia and mtDNA in D. melanogaster, and thus could not distinguish whether selection on Wolbachia was driving similar patterns in mtDNA or vice versa³¹. The much stronger pattern of negative Tajima's D in the mtDNA suggests that in D. simulans selection is in fact mitochondrial. There was no linkage disequilibrium between Wolbachia and mtDNA variants, however this is most likely due to fixation of a single mitochondrial haplotype without considerable subsequent mutation.

Currently little is known about how *Wolbachia* interacts with its host^{37,39,82,90}. Understanding these interactions, including regulation of *Wolbachia* titre, will be key to understanding the evolution of *Wolbachia* and its hosts. By normalizing *Wolbachia* and mtDNA copy number using coverage of the nuclear genome I am able to obtain estimates of its abundance. Much as in previous work, mtDNA copy number was higher than *Wolbachia* copy number, though both varied across strains³². As all of my data was produced from adult females, at the same time, using the same techniques, there is no danger that this is due to differences in methodology among samples³². Estimates of copy number were very similar to previous work in *D. melanogaster*, performed with qPCR, and there has been shown to be a high correlation between qPCR and illumina estimates of copy number^{31,32}. These are not absolute measures, rather they are relative to one another and to nuclear copy number, and they provide robust estimates of *Wolbachia* titre within the population. As the *Wolbachia* phylogenetic tree is essentially unresolved in this population but there is considerable variation in *Wolbachia* titre, it is clear that some host factors must be affecting variation in *Wolbachia* titre.

The history of mtDNA and the nuclear genome is quite divergent in this population. The nuclear genome has an average Tajima's *D* of 1 and 5 polymorphisms for every 100 bp⁶². Simulations suggest that this is due to a combination of population contraction and selection, most likely from standing variation, though many types of sweeps can produce similar signatures⁶². In contrast the mtDNA genome contains an abundance of low frequency variation, and in fact many of the mtDNA genomes sampled in this population are identical. This is consistent with the recent spread, single origin, and maternal transmission, of wRi in *D. simulans*. This is consistent with previous work which found low levels of mtDNA variation in *D. simulans* within a haplotype^{24,91}. This is also consistent with work on *Wolbachia* which documented the spread of wRi in *D. simulans* in the 1980's^{4,5,8,18-20}.

While it has been proposed elsewhere, the author is not aware of another association analysis of *Wolbachia* and mtDNA copy number³². *Wolbachia* copy number is known to be affected by host

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370


371

372

373

background, but the genes or mechanisms involved are not known^{54,55,57}. The fact that four of the nine genes found in the primary region detected in the association analysis are involved in CAAX-box protein processing is of particular interest, given the history of this type of gene and intracellular pathogens. CAAX-box protein processing is a part of a series of posttranslational protein modifications collectively called protein prenylation which are required for fully functional proteins to be targeted to cell membranes or organelles. Prenylated proteins include Ras, Rac, and Rho. However, it has been shown that pathogenic bacteria can exploit the host cell's prenylation machinery⁵⁹. For example, Salmonellainduced filament A is a protein from Salmonella typhimurium, a gram-negative facultative intracellular bacterium. Salmonella-induced filament A has a CAAX motif required for prenylation to occur, it was shown to be processed by host prenylation machinery, and it is necessary for survival of the bacterium 60,92,93. Legionella pneumophila Ankyrin B protein exploits the host prenylation machinery in order to anchor Ankyrin B protein to the membrane of the pathogenic vacuole⁶¹. Proliferation of Legionella pneumophila requires Ankyrin B, as does the manifestation of Legionnaires disease. Ankyrin repeat domains are most commonly found in eukaryotes and viruses, though they are rarely found in bacteria and Archaea⁹⁴. In bacteria they are found in a few obligate or facultative intracellular Proteobacteria⁵⁹. Wolbachia has an unusually high number of Ankyrin repeat domains with rapid evolution⁹⁴. Ankyrin proteins play a major role in host-pathogen interactions and the evolution of infections^{95,96}. There is no way to know from the current analysis if the Ankyrin repeat genes are exploiting the host prenylation system but it is an intriguing area for future investigation. The results of this association analysis suggest that some interaction between the pathogen and its host is targeting the protein prenylation machinery. There was also an association between a polymorphism in srRNA, which has been implicated in pole cell formation88, and Wolbachia copy number. Concentration of Wolbachia in the posterior of the embryo, where pole cells are forming, is correlated with degree of cytoplasmic incompatibility⁹⁷. D. simulans has been shown to have nearly complete cytoplasmic incompatibility, though it is possible there are mutations sorting at low frequency that affect this or that mitigate negative phenotypic consequences

of high *Wolbachia* titre. It has also been demonstrated that *gurken* is important for *Wolbachia* titre in the germline in *D. melanogaster*, and it is involved in pole cell formation beginning at an earlier stage than *sr*RNA suggesting there could be an interaction between the two factors^{88,90}. *D. simulans w*Ri has a different distribution in the cytoplasm from other strains of *Wolbachia*, as it tends to evenly distribute throughout the embryo while other strains are either concentrated at the posterior, or at the anterior of the embryo away from the pole cells⁹⁷. Future work in related species may show that these different distributions also mitigate different interactions between host and symbiont, including being effected by different genes and processes within the host.






Figure 1. Wolbachia infection status and relationship to mtDNA copy number A. Relationship between depth and breadth of sequencing coverage for Wolbachia assemblies in the D. simulans panel. Depth of coverage is shown in \log_{10} unites and is calculated as the number of reads present at each nucleotide in the reference averaged over every site. Breadth of coverage is the proportion of covered nucleotides in the consensus sequence relative to the reference. B. Relationship between relative mtDNA copy number and Wolbachia copy number. Both were normalized relative to nuclear coverage. Although separate regions were used to normalize mtDNA and Wolbachia, as they are different sizes, average values were very similar within genotypes. The relationship between mtDNA and Wolbachia copy number is positive ($p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$).




Figure 2. Wolbachia and mtDNA Tajima's D. 10,000 simulations were performed for Wolbachia and D. simulans each conditioned upon the number of polymorphisms. The actual values in D. simulans mtDNA are outside the 95% confidence interval of the simulations, while Wolbachia is not. There is considerable variation in Tajima's D across the Wolbachia genome while mtDNA is much smaller and invariant in its values of Tajima's D.




Figure 3: Maximum likelihood genealogy of the *D. simulans Wolbachia* **pathogen**. All strains were infected with *Wolbachia* and are included in this geneaology. The underlying data consist of an ungapped multiple alignment of 168 sequences of the entire *Wolbachia* genome. The unrooted tree was midpoint rooted for visualization and branches with > 70% RAxML bootstrap support values are shown in bold. Scale bars for branch lengths are in term of mutations per site. The majority of branches are essentially unsupported by bootstrapping.




Figure 4: Maximum likelihood genealogy of the D. simulans mtDNA genome. The underlying data consist of an ungapped multiple alignment of 168 sequences of the entire mtDNA genome. The unrooted tree was midpoint rooted for visualization and branches with > 70% RAxML bootstrap support values are shown in bold. Scale bars for branch lengths are in term of mutations per site. The tree is largely unresolved, suggesting recent spread of this mtDNA haplotype through the population.

395 396 397

398 399

Zug, R. & Hammerstein, P. Still a host of hosts for Wolbachia: analysis of recent data 1. suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. PLoS ONE 7, e38544 (2012). 2.

Hilgenboecker, K., Hammerstein, P., Schlattmann, P., Telschow, A. & Werren, J. H. How

- 400 many species are infected with *Wolbachia*?--A statistical analysis of current data. *FEMS* 401 *Microbiol. Lett.* **281,** 215–220 (2008).
- Jeyaprakash, A. & Hoy, M. A. Long PCR improves *Wolbachia* DNA amplification: wsp sequences found in 76% of sixty-three arthropod species. *Insect Mol. Biol.* **9**, 393–405 (2000).
- 405 4. Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A. A. Cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: dynamics and parameter estimates from natural populations. *Genetics* **140**, 1319–1338 407 (1995).
- Turelli, M., Hoffmann, A. A. & McKechnie, S. W. Dynamics of cytoplasmic
 incompatibility and mtDNA variation in natural *Drosophila simulans* populations. *Genetics* 132,713–723 (1992).
- 411 6. Charlat, S., Nirgianaki, A., Bourtzis, K. & Merçot, H. Evolution of *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans* and *D. sechellia. Evolution* **56**, 1735–1742 (2002).
- 7. Rousset, F., Vautrin, D. & Solignac, M. Molecular identification of *Wolbachia*, the agent of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*, and variability in relation with host mitochondrial types. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **247**, 163–168 (1992).
- Hoffmann, A. A., Turelli, M. & Harshman, L. G. Factors affecting the distribution of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*. *Genetics* **126**, 933–948 (1990).
- Herticat, C., Rousset, F., Raymond, M., Berthomieu, A. & Weill, M. High *Wolbachia* density in insecticide-resistant mosquitoes. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **269**, 1413–1416 (2002).
- Wong, Z. S., Hedges, L. M., Brownlie, J. C. & Johnson, K. N. *Wolbachia*-mediated antibacterial protection and immune gene regulation in *Drosophila*. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e25430–9 (2011).
- 424 11. Osborne, S. E., Leong, Y. S., O'Neill, S. L. & Johnson, K. N. Variation in antiviral 425 protection mediated by different *Wolbachia* strains in *Drosophila simulans*. *PLoS Pathog* **5**, 426 e1000656–9 (2009).
- Fytrou, A., Schofield, P. G., Kraaijeveld, A. R. & Hubbard, S. F. *Wolbachia* infection suppresses both host defence and parasitoid counter-defence. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **273**, 791–796 (2006).
- Hownlie, J. C. *et al.* Evidence for metabolic provisioning by a common invertebrate endosymbiont, *Wolbachia pipientis*, during periods of nutritional stress. *PLoS Pathog* **5**, e1000368 (2009).
- 433 14. Teixeira, L., Ferreira, Á. & Ashburner, M. The bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* induces resistance to RNA viral infections in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Biol.* **6**, e1000002 (2008).
- Hedges, L. M., Brownlie, J. C. & O'Neill, S. L. *Wolbachia* and virus protection in insects. 322, 702 *Science* (2008).
- 438 16. Jiggins, F. M., Hurst, G. & Majerus, M. Sex-ratio-distorting *Wolbachia* causes sex-role reversal in its butterfly host B. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **267**, 69–73.
- 440 17. Koukou, K. *et al.* Influence of antibiotic treatment and *Wolbachia* curing on sexual isolation among *Drosophila melanogaster* cage populations. *Evolution* **60**, 87–11 (2006).
- Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A. A. Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California *Drosophila*. *Nature* **353**, 440–442 (1991).
- Hoffmann, A. A. & Turelli, M. Unidirectional incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: inheritance, geographic variation and fitness effects. *Genetics* **119**, 435–444 (1988).
- Hoffmann, A. A., Turelli, M. & Simmons, G. M. Unidirectional incompatibility between populations of *Drosophila simulans*. *Evolution* **40**, 692–701 (1986).
- 448 21. Klasson, L. *et al.* The mosaic genome structure of the *Wolbachia wRi* strain infecting *Drosophila simulans. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **106,** 5725–5730 (2009).
- 450 22. Choi, J. Y. & Aquadro, C. F. The coevolutionary period of Wolbachia pipientis infecting

- *Drosophila ananassae* and its impact on the evolution of the host germline stem cell regulating genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **31,** 2457–2471 (2014).
- 453 23. Ballard, J. W. O. Comparative genomics of mitochondrial DNA in *Drosophila simulans*. *J*454 *Mol Evol* **51**, 64–75 (2000).
- 455 24. Ballard, J. W., Hatzidakis, J., Karr, T. L. & Kreitman, M. Reduced variation in *Drosophila* 456 simulans mitochondrial DNA. Genetics **144**, 1519–1528 (1996).
- 457 25. Ballard, J. W. O. Comparative genomics of mitochondrial DNA in *Drosophila simulans*. *J* 458 *Mol Evol* **51**, 64–75 (2000).
- Solignac, M., Vautrin, D. & Rousset, F. Widespread occurence of the proteobacteria

 Wolbachia and partial cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila melanogaster*. C. R. Acad.
 Sci. 317, 461-479 (1994).
- 462 27. Solignac, M. & Monnerot, M. Race formation, speciation, and introgression within
 463 Drosophila simulans, D. mauritiana, and D. sechellia inferred from mitochondrial DNA
 464 analysis. Evolution 40, 531–539 (1986).
- 465 28. Baba-Aïssa, F., Solignac, M., Dennebouy, N. & David, J. R. Mitochondrial DNA variability 466 in *Drosophila simulans*: quasi absence of polymorphism within each of the three 467 cytoplasmic races. *Heredity* **61**, 419–426 (1988).
- Montchamp-Moreau, C., Ferveur, J. F. & Jacques, M. Geographic distribution and inheritance of three cytoplasmic incompatibility types in *Drosophila simulans*. *Genetics* **129**, 399–407 (1991).
- 471 30. James, A. C. & Ballard, J. W. Expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila*472 simulans and its impact on infection frequencies and distribution of *Wolbachia pipientis*.
 473 Evolution **54**, 1661–1672 (2000).
- 474 31. Early, A. M. & Clark, A. G. Monophyly of *Wolbachia pipientis* genomes within *Drosophila*475 *melanogaster*: geographic structuring, titre variation and host effects across five
 476 populations. *Mol. Ecol.* 22, 5765–5778 (2013).
- 477 32. Richardson, M. F. *et al.* Population genomics of the *Wolbachia* endosymbiont in *Drosophila* 478 *melanogaster*. *PLoS Genet*. **8**, e1003129 (2012).
- Nunes, M. D. S., Nolte, V. & Schl tterer, C. Nonrandom *Wolbachia* infection status of Drosophila melanogaster strains with different mtDNA haplotypes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25, 2493–2498 (2008).
- 482 34. Beckmann, J. F., Ronau, J. A. & Hochstrasser, M. A *Wolbachia* deubiquitylating enzyme induces cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Nat Microbiol* **2,** 17007 (2017).
- 484 35. Civetta, A. Rapid Evolution and gene-specific patterns of selection for three genes of spermatogenesis in *Drosophila*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **23**, 655–662 (2005).
- 486 36. Bauer DuMont, V. L., Flores, H. A., Wright, M. H. & Aquadro, C. F. Recurrent positive selection at *bgcn*, a key determinant of germ line differentiation, does not appear to be driven by simple coevolution with its partner protein *bam*. *Mol*. *Biol*. *Evol*. **24**, 182–191 (2006).
- 490 37. Flores, H. A., Bubnell, J. E., Aquadro, C. F. & Barbash, D. A. The *Drosophila bag of marbles* gene interacts genetically with *Wolbachia* and shows female-specific effects of divergence. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1005453 (2015).
- 493 38. Serbus, L. R. & Sullivan, W. A Cellular basis for *Wolbachia* recruitment to the host germline. *PLoS Pathog* **3**, e190 (2007).
- 495 39. Serbus, L. R., Casper-Lindley, C., Landmann, F. & Sullivan, W. The genetics and cell biology of *Wolbachia*-host interactions. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **42,** 683–707 (2008).
- 497 40. McGraw, E. A., Merritt, D. J., Droller, J. N. & O'Neill, S. L. *Wolbachia* density and virulence attenuation after transfer into a novel host. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 2918–2923 (2002).
- 500 41. Bordenstein, S. R., Marshall, M. L., Fry, A. J., Kim, U. & Wernegreen, J. J. The tripartite associations between Bacteriophage, *Wolbachia*, and Arthropods. *PLoS Pathog* **2**, e43–10

- 502 (2006).
- Martinez, J. *et al.* Should symbionts be nice or selfish? Antiviral effects of *Wolbachia* are costly but reproductive parasitism is not. *PLoS Pathog* **11**, e1005021 (2015).
- 505 43. Clark, M. E., Veneti, Z., Bourtzis, K. & Karr, T. L. *Wolbachia* distribution and cytoplasmic incompatibility during sperm development: the cyst as the basic cellular unit of CI expression. *Mech. Dev.* **120**, 185–198 (2003).
- 508 44. Chrostek, E., Marialva, M. S. P., Yamada, R., O'Neill, S. L. & Teixeira, L. High anti-viral protection without immune upregulation after interspecies *Wolbachia* transfer. *PLoS ONE* **9**, 699025 (2014).
- 511 45. Chrostek, E. & Teixeira, L. Mutualism breakdown by amplification of *Wolbachia* genes. 512 *PLoS Biol.* **13**, e1002065 (2015).
- 513 46. Chrostek, E. *et al. Wolbachia* variants induce differential protection to viruses in *Drosophila* 514 *melanogaster*: A phenotypic and phylogenomic analysis. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003896 (2013).
- Hoffmann, A. A. *et al.* Successful establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to suppress dengue transmission. *Nature* **476**, 454–457 (2011).
- McMeniman, C. J. *et al.* Host adaptation of a *Wolbachia* strain after long-term serial passage in mosquito cell lines. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* **74,** 6963–6969 (2008).
- 519 49. Osborne, S. E., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Brownlie, J. C., O'Neill, S. L. & Johnson, K. N. Antiviral protection and the importance of *Wolbachia* density and tissue tropism in
- 521 *Drosophila simulans. App. Environ. Microbiol.* **78,** 6922–6929 (2012).
- 522 50. Kondo, N., Shimada, M. & Fukatsu, T. Infection density of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont affected by co-infection and host genotype. *Biol. Lett.* **1**, 488–491 (2005).
- 524 51. Reynolds, K. T., Thomson, L. J. & Hoffmann, A. A. The effects of host age, host nuclear background and temperature on phenotypic effects of the virulent *Wolbachia* strain popcorn in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **164**, 1027–1034 (2003).
- 527 52. Olsen, K., Reynolds, K. T. & Hoffmann, A. A. A field cage test of the effects of the endosymbiont *Wolbachia* on *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Heredity* (2001).
- 529 53. Boyle, L., O'Neill, S. L., Robertson, H. M. & Karr, T. L. Interspecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia* in *Drosophila*. *Science* **260**, 1796–1799 (1993).
- 531 54. Poinsot, D., Bourtzis, K., Markakis, G. & Savakis, C. *Wolbachia* transfer from *Drosophila*532 *melanogaster* into *D. simulans*: host effect and cytoplasmic incompatibility relationships.
 533 *Genetics* (1998).
- 534 55. McGraw, E. A., Merritt, D. J., Droller, J. N. & O'Neill, S. L. *Wolbachia*-mediated sperm modification is dependent on the host genotype in *Drosophila*. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **268**, 2565–2570 (2001).
- 537 56. Poinsot, D., Montchamp-Moreau, C. & Mercot, H. Wolbachia segregation rate in 538 Drosophila simulans naturally bi-infected cytoplasmic lineages. Heredity 85 (Pt 2), 191– 539 198 (2000).
- 540 57. Boyle, L., O'Neill, S. L., Robertson, H. M. & Karr, T. L. Interspecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia* in *Drosophila*. *Science* **260**, 1796–1799 (1993).
- 542 58. Perrot-Minnot & Werren. *Wolbachia* infection and incompatibility dynamics in experimental selection lines. *J. Evol. Biol.* **12,** 272–282 (1999).
- 544 59. Amaya, M., Baranova, A. & van Hoek, M. L. Protein prenylation: A new mode of host-545 pathogen interaction. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **416**, 1–6 546 (2011).
- Reinicke, A. T. *et al.* A *Salmonella typhimurium* effector protein SifA is modified by host cell prenylation and s-acylation machinery. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 14620–14627 (2005).
- 549 61. Price, C. T. D., Al-Quadan, T., Santic, M., Jones, S. C. & Abu Kwaik, Y. Exploitation of conserved eukaryotic host cell farnesylation machinery by an F-box effector of *Legionella pneumophila*. *J Exp Med* **207**, 1713–1726 (2010).
- 552 62. Signor, S. A., New, F. & Nuzhdin, S. in review. An abundance of high frequency variance

- uncovered in a large panel of *Drosophila simulans*.
- 554 63. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM arXiv. 1–3 (2015).
- 556 64. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–2079 (2009).
- Meiklejohn, C. D. *et al.* An Incompatibility between a mitochondrial tRNA and its nuclearencoded tRNA synthetase compromises development and fitness in *Drosophila*. *PLoS* Genet. **9**, e1003238 (2013).
- McKenna, A. *et al*. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1297–1303 (2010).
- 563 67. Danecek, P. et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27, 2156–2158 (2011).
- Guinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).
- 567 69. Tajima, F. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**, 585–595 (1989).
- 569 70. Ewing, G. & Hermisson, J. MSMS: a coalescent simulation program including recombination, demographic structure and selection at a single locus. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 571 2064–2065 (2010).
- 572 71. Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).
- 574 72. Izquierdo-Carrasco, F., Smith, S. A. & Stamatakis, A. Algorithms, data structures, and numerics for likelihood-based phylogenetic inference of huge trees. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12, 470 (2011).
- 577 73. Garud, N. R., Messer, P. W., Buzbas, E. O. & Petrov, D. A. Recent selective sweeps in North American *Drosophila melanogaster* show signatures of soft sweeps. *PLoS Genet.* 11, e1005004 (2015).
- Ferreira, M. A. R. & Purcell, S. M. A multivariate test of association. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 132–133 (2008).
- 582 75. Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81,** 559–575 (2007).
- FlyBase Curators, Swiss-Prot Project Members InterPro Project Members. Gene Ontology annotation in FlyBase through association of InterPro records with GO terms. (2004).
- 586 77. Babu, K., Bahri, S., Alphey, L. & Chia, W. Bifocal and PP1 interaction regulates targeting of the R-cell growth cone in *Drosophila*. *Dev. biol.* **288**, 372–386 (2005).
- 588 78. Bennett, D., Szoor, B., Gross, S., Vereshchagina, N. & Alphey, L. Ectopic expression of inhibitors of Protein phosphatase type 1 (PP1) can be used to analyze roles of PP1 in *Drosophila* development. *Genetics* **164**, 235–245 (2003).
- 591 79. Gaudet, P., Livstone, M. & Thomas, P. Gene Ontology annotation inferences using phylogenetic trees. GO Reference Genome Project. (2010).
- 593 80. Giagtzoglou, N. *et al. dEHBP1* controls exocytosis and recycling of *Delta* during asymmetric divisions. *J. Cell Biol.* **196,** 65–83 (2012).
- 595 81. Cronin, S. J. F. *et al.* Genome-wide RNAi screen identifies genes involved in intestinal pathogenic bacterial infection. *Science* **325**, 340–343 (2009).
- Newton, I. L. G., Savytskyy, O. & Sheehan, K. B. *Wolbachia* utilize host actin for efficient maternal transmission in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Pathog* **11**, e1004798 (2015).
- 599 83. Chiba, A. Early development of the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction: a model for studying neuronal networks in development. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* (1999).
- 601 84. Yampolsky, L. Y. & Stoltzfus, A. The exchangeability of amino acids in proteins. *Genetics* 170, 1459–1472 (2005).
- 603 85. Creixell, P., Schoof, E. M., Tan, C. S. H. & Linding, R. Mutational properties of amino acid

- residues: implications for evolvability of phosphorylatable residues. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* **367,** 2584–2593 (2012).
- 606 86. Leone, A. *et al.* Evidence for nm23 RNA overexpression, DNA amplification and mutation in aggressive childhood neuroblastomas. *Oncogene* **8,** 855–865 (1993).
- 608 87. Ishiko, A. *et al.* A novel leucine to valine mutation in residue 7 of the helix initiation motif of Keratin10 leads to bullous congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma. *J. Inv. Dermatol.* **116**, 991–992 (2001).
- Mahowald, A. P. Assembly of the *Drosophila* germ plasm. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **203**, 187–213 (2001).
- Kose, H. & Karr, T. L. Organization of *Wolbachia pipientis* in the *Drosophila* fertilized egg and embryo revealed by an anti-*Wolbachia* monoclonal antibody. *Mech. Dev.* **51,** 275–288 (1995).
- 616 90. Serbus, L. R. *et al.* A feedback loop between *Wolbachia* and the *Drosophila gurken* mRNP complex influences *Wolbachia* titer. *J. Cell Sci.* **124,** 4299–4308 (2012).
- 618 91. Ballard, J. W. O. Comparative genomics of mitochondrial DNA in *Drosophila simulans*. *J.* 619 *Mol. Evol.* **51**, 64–75 (2000).
- Vinh, D. B. N., Ko, D. C., Rachubinski, R. A., Aitchison, J. D. & Miller, S. I. Expression of the *Salmonella spp*. virulence factor SifA in yeast alters Rho1 activity on peroxisomes. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 21, 3567–3577 (2010).
- 623 93. Brumell, J. H., Goosney, D. L. & Finlay, B. B. SifA, a type III secreted effector of Salmonella typhimurium, directs Salmonella-induced filament (Sif) formation along microtubules. Traffic 3, 407–415 (2002).
- 626 94. Siozios, S. *et al.* The diversity and evolution of *Wolbachia* ankyrin repeat domain genes. *PLoS ONE* **8,** e55390 (2013).
- Bork, P. Hundreds of ankyrin-like repeats in functionally diverse proteins: mobile modules that cross phyla horizontally? *Proteins* **17**, 363–374 (1993).
- Habyarimana, F. et al. Role for the Ankyrin eukaryotic-like genes of Legionella pneumophila in parasitism of protozoan hosts and human macrophages. Environ. Microbiol.
 10, 1460–1474 (2008).
- 633 97. Veneti, Z., Clark, M. E., Karr, T. L., Savakis, C. & Bourtzis, K. Heads or Tails: Host-634 Parasite Interactions in the *Drosophila-Wolbachia* System. *App. Env. Microbiol.* **70**, 5366– 635 5372 (2004).

Acknowledgements

636 637

638

639

640 641

643 644 I would like to thank J. Butler for helpful commentary on this manuscript, and S. Nuzhdin for helpful discussion and direction.

Author Contributions

S. S. performed all of the work described herein.

Competing financial interest

The author has no competing financial interests.