Environmental fluctuations accelerate molecular evolution of thermal tolerance in a marine diatom

C.-Elisa Schaum[1,3]*, A. Buckling[1], N. Smirnoff[2], D. J. Studholme[2], & G. Yvon-Durocher[1] *

[1] Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Penryn, Cornwall TR10 9EZ, UK,

[2] Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Geoffrey Pope Building University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QD, UK

[3] Institute for Hydrobiology and Fisheries, Section Oceanography, Hamburg University, 22767 Hamburg, Germany

*Correspondence to elisa.schaum@uni-hamburg.de or g.yvon-durocher@exeter.ac.uk

Words in summary: 150

Words in main text: 3878

Number of Figures (main text): 4

Number of Tables (main text): 2

Diatoms contribute roughly 20% of global primary production, but the factors determining

their ability to adapt to global warming are unknown. Here we quantify the capacity for

adaptation to warming in the marine diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana*. We found that

evolutionary rescue under severe warming was slow but adaptation to more realistic

scenarios, where temperature increases were moderate or where they fluctuated between

benign and severe conditions, was rapid. Adaption to warming was linked to major

phenotypic changes in metabolism and elemental composition. Whole genome re-

sequencing identified significant genetic divergence both among populations adapted to the

different warming regimes and between the evolved and ancestral lineages. Consistent

with the phenotypic changes, the most rapidly evolving genes were associated with

transcriptional regulation, cellular response to oxidative stress and redox homeostasis.

These results demonstrate that evolution of thermal tolerance in marine diatoms can be

rapid, particularly in fluctuating environments, and is underpinned by major genomic and

phenotypic divergence.

Introduction

Earth system models predict that global warming will result in significant declines in net primary

production by marine phytoplankton throughout the 21st century (up to 20%)[1,2] driven by rising

temperatures exceeding limits of thermal tolerance and increases in grazing and nutrient

limitation in warmer, more stratified oceans [3-5]. Current models however, do not consider the

potential for marine phytoplankton to rapidly adapt to environmental changes associated with

global warming [6-8]. Such shortcomings have unknown consequences for projected changes in

global ocean primary production and arise because the mechanisms that facilitate or constrain the

capacity for rapid adaptation to warming in marine phytoplankton are entirely unknown.

Here, we address this fundamental knowledge gap by carrying out a 300-generation

selection experiment, with the model marine diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana*, to assess the

potential for, and mechanisms that might facilitate rapid adaptation to warming in this globally

important phytoplankton [9-11]. A key hypothesis we test is that rapidly fluctuating temperatures –

an intrinsic feature of natural environments – will play a key role in adaptation [12-14]. Temporary

exposure to a benign environment resulting from temperature fluctuations could both accelerate

adaptation to severe conditions by increasing population size (a positive demographic effect) or

constrain adaptation, by relaxing selection for beneficial mutations that promote persistence in

the harsh environment (a negative population genetic effect)[12,13]. Our experiment was initiated

with a single clone (the ancestor), which had an upper thermal limit of 35ºC. The ancestor was

then distributed among 4 experimental treatments that represent a range of warming scenarios

(Fig. S1 for experimental set-up): (i) a control at 22ºC, which was the long-term culture

temperature; (ii) moderate warming at 26ºC; (iii) severe warming at 32ºC and (iv) a fluctuating

thermal regime, which cycled between 22 and 32ºC every 3-4 generations. The design of the

fluctuating treatment did not set out to mimic temperature variation in the natural environment,

but rather serves as a means to contrast evolutionary responses to warming under stable versus

fluctuating conditions, where temperatures vary predictably within a timescale that acclimation

can adjust the phenotype to cope with the change in environment [15]. To understand the

mechanisms that set the limits of thermal tolerance in marine diatoms and quantify the capacity

for adaptation beyond present limits, we re-sequenced the genomes, measured growth rate,

photosynthesis, respiration and elemental composition in the ancestor and evolved lineages after

approximately 300 generations of selection.

Results & Discussion

Evolution of thermal tolerance

Trajectories of population growth rate (μ, d^{-1}) over the course of the selection experiment

differed substantially between the selection regimes (Fig. 1; Tables S1, S2, S3). In the control

lineages, growth rates increased gradually, presumably reflecting continual laboratory

adaptation. However, trajectories of growth rate in the warming treatments were markedly

different from the control and one another. Lineages selected under severe warming (32ºC)

exhibited a characteristic pattern of evolutionary rescue (i.e. where evolution can reverse

population decline owing to environmental stress, and prevent otherwise inevitable extinction)

[14,16-18]. After an initial increase in the first 3 weeks of the experiment, growth rates (and

population densities, see Fig. S2) declined in the 32ºC environment and remained very low (0.24

± 0.09) for more than one year (~ 100 generations). After approximately 100 generations, growth

rates rapidly increased and were statistically indistinguishable from those in the control

environment after 300 generations (0.63 ± 0.05 s.e.m. at 32ºC, 0.77± 0.11 s.e.m. at 22ºC). Under

moderate warming (26ºC), and in the regime that fluctuated between 22 and 32ºC growth rate

showed an immediate and sustained increase (2.1 and 1.9-fold faster than the ancestor

respectively). These results yield two important insights that are pertinent for understanding the

evolutionary dynamics of *T. pseudonana* in response to warming. First, adaptation to severe

warming was slow, with evolutionary rescue taking over a year to restore growth rates to levels

comparable with the ancestor in the control environment. Second, in the fluctuating environment

where populations experienced short bursts of exposure to 32ºC followed by periods in the

benign (22ºC) environment, adaptation to the severe environment was rapid. Consistent with our

hypothesis, lineages selected under the fluctuating regime maintained substantially larger

population sizes relative to those experiencing severe warming (Fig. S2 and Table S1, Table S3),

suggesting that temporary restoration of benign conditions increased the probability of fixing

beneficial mutations required for adaptation to the severe (32ºC) environment *via* a positive

demographic effect.

To assess whether adaptation to the various selection regimes changed the thermal

tolerance curves in the evolved lineages, we quantified growth rates across a temperature

gradient spanning 15ºC to 40ºC. Populations selected under the moderate (26ºC), severe (32ºC)

and fluctuating (22ºC/32ºC) warming treatments had higher optimal growth temperatures, T_{opt},

compared to the ancestor and the control (Fig. 1B; Tables S1, S4, and S5). Despite having a high

T_{opt}, the lineages selected under constant severe warming had the slowest growth rates at all

measurement temperatures except for 40ºC, the most extreme temperature, suggesting that these

populations were still under high-temperature stress in spite of the apparent evolutionary rescue

event and the passage of 300 generations of selection. In the fluctuating treatments, growth rate

at high temperatures was traded-off against slow growth at low temperature, suggesting that the

severe environment was the dominant driver of selection. By contrast, high temperature tolerance

in the moderate warming treatments appeared to incur no cost in performance at low

temperature. A key question therefore is: what mechanisms facilitated the rapid evolution of

increased thermal tolerance in the lineages selected under moderate and fluctuating warming?

Increased metabolic efficiency facilitates evolution of thermal tolerance

The fraction of photosynthesis that can be allocated to growth tends to decline with warming,

owing to the high temperature sensitivity of respiration relative to photosynthesis, suggesting

that the upper thermal tolerances of phytoplankton reflect metabolic constraints that limit the

efficiency of allocating metabolic energy to growth at high temperature[19]. To investigate whether

changes in metabolic traits could help explain the thermal tolerance curve of the ancestor and

evolutionary shifts observed over the selection experiment, we measured responses of gross

photosynthesis (P) and respiration (R) to acute gradients in temperature, spanning 4 to 45ºC, in

the ancestor and all evolved lineages. In contrast to previous work[19], the activation energies

characterising the temperature sensitivities of P and R in the ancestor were equivalent (e.g. E_a for

$P = 1.08$eV $\pm .0.18$ s.e.m., and E_a for $R = 1.07 \pm 0.17$ s.e.m.), i.e. increases in P and R up to the

optima were similar (Fig. 2; Tables S6, S7, S8). Optimum temperatures for P were however

lower than those for R (T_{opt} for P: 27 ºC ± 0.7ºC, ($\pm$ s.e.m.); T_{opt} for R $= 29$ ºC ± 0.36ºC, ($\pm$

s.e.m.)), meaning that above T_{opt} for P, the carbon-use efficiency (CUE $= 1 - R/P$; i.e., the

potential carbon for allocation to growth) declined rapidly. The optimum temperature for growth

rate ($T_{opt} = 28$ºC; Fig. 1B) and subsequent decreases in growth at supra-optimal temperatures

coincided with declines in the CUE above T_{opt} for P in the ancestor, demonstrating that the

temperature dependence of CUE imposes a physiological constraint that shapes the thermal

tolerance curve. Thus, we hypothesize that shifts in traits that increase CUE at high temperatures

should have played an important role in facilitating adaptation to warming in the evolved

lineages of *T. pseudonana* (see also Table S9).

Following 300 generations of selection, we observed substantial shifts in the temperature

responses of P and R, both among treatments, as well as between the evolved lineages and the

ancestor (Fig. 2; Tables S6 –S9). For both P and R, estimates of T_{opt} in the warming treatments

were higher than the ancestor and control, and rates of P and R declined less abruptly at

temperatures exceeding T_{opt}. Consequently, CUEs remained high at hotter temperatures in the

warming treatments. The mass-specific rates of P and R at a reference temperature $T_c = 18°C$ (i.e.

$P(T_c)$ and $R(T_c)$, in Eq.6, which quantify the metabolic capacity per unit mass at a common

temperature) were significantly down-regulated under moderate, severe and fluctuating warming,

relative to the control treatment and the ancestor (Fig. 2 C, D, Tables S7 –S9). Rates of $R(T_c)$

also decreased more than those of $P(T_c)$, resulting in an overall increase in CUE in the lineages

selected under moderate and fluctuating warming (Fig. 2E). These results support our hypothesis

that fluctuating temperatures facilitate thermal adaptation and show that changes in the traits that

increase the CUE, played an important role in the evolution of elevated thermal tolerance in the

treatments subjected to warming. It is notable however, that the populations experiencing severe

warming had lower growth rates and lower CUEs than the lineages in the moderate and

fluctuating warming treatments (Fig. 1B, Fig. 2E, Table S1 and S9, also Fig. S5). This suggests

that while evolutionary rescue restored growth rates to levels comparable with the control, 300

generations of selection under constant, severe warming was insufficient to evolve CUEs that

facilitate growth rates comparable to populations that experienced only brief periods of severe

conditions.

Contrasting effects of acclimation and evolution on cellular traits and elemental

composition

Changes in temperature are known to alter optimal subcellular allocation of resources to various

macromolecular classes through acclimation (e.g. rapid changes in physiological traits not

attributable to genetic change)[20-26]. Indeed, algae exposed to warming often increase their

nitrogen-to-phosphorus ratios (N:P) by decreasing the density of P-rich ribosomes, relative to N-

rich proteins, owing to the increased efficiency of protein synthesis by ribosomes at higher

temperatures[23-26]. It is, however, unclear how these short-term changes in resource allocation are

linked to the shape of the thermal tolerance curve (e.g. the optimum or upper thermal limit). To

assess whether changes in subcellular allocation to various macromolecules could shed light on

the processes that set the limits of thermal tolerance in the ancestor, we quantified how key traits,

such as cell size, elemental composition and photophysiology, changed through acclimation

across the temperature gradient used to characterise the thermal tolerance curve of the ancestor

(Fig 1B). Consistent with expectations from the temperature-size rule[27], cell size declined

linearly with increasing temperature (Fig. 3A). In contrast, carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and

phosphorus (P), expressed per unit cell volume to account for changes in cell size, all increased

with temperature (Fig. 3 B-D). In line with the responses of N and P, protein and RNA content

also increased with temperature (Fig. 3 E, F). The N:P and C:P ratios increased with rising

temperature, but contrary to recent hypotheses[23-26], this was not driven by declines in P content,

but rather, by more pronounced increases in N and C relative to P (Fig. 3 H-J). Notably, at

temperatures exceeding the optimum for growth (T_{opt} = 28°C), C, N, and P content, as well as

protein and RNA content increased markedly. These findings are consistent with a greater

demand for the transcription and translation of chaperones and other proteins required for the

repair and maintenance of heat-induced damage. In further support for this hypothesis, the

chlorophyll-to-carbon ratio showed a unimodal response to temperature, peaking at ca. 26°C and

declining rapidly thereafter (Fig. 3G). Consequently, the increased N content observed at high

temperatures cannot be explained by greater allocation to photosynthetic machinery. Instead,

investment in proteins required for repair and maintenance provides a more parsimonious

explanation for the high N content in cells exposed to supra-optimal temperatures.

To assess whether evolutionary adjustments in cell size and elemental composition could

help to explain the evolution of elevated thermal tolerance, we quantified how these traits

changed in the evolved lineages after 300 generations in their respective selection regimes. Cell

size, C, N, and P content as well as protein and RNA content showed contrasting evolutionary

responses to temperature changes relative to the short-term, acclimation responses. In the

evolved lineages, cell size increased by a factor of almost 2 from 22°C (control) to 32°C (severe

warming) (Fig. 3A). This response contrasts with the linear decline in cell size observed as an

acclimation response to short-term increases in temperature in the ancestor. Likewise, C, N, and

P content as well as protein and RNA content, all declined between 22°C and 32°C in the evolved

lineages (Fig. 3 B-F). Consistent with the short-term temperature responses in the ancestor, the

N:P and C:P ratios both increased between 22°C and 32°C in the evolved lineages. However, in

spite of the similarity in the overall temperature response, the changes in N:P and C:P in the

evolved lineages, were driven by greater declines in P relative to N or C, consistent with

expectations that fewer ribosomes are required at higher temperature owing to their greater per

capita catalytic capacity[23-26] (Fig 3 H-J). This pattern contrasts directly with the short-term,

acclimation response in which increases in N:P and C:P were driven by greater allocation to N

and C with rising temperatures. Thus, while the increases in N and P with rising temperatures are

consistent with greater allocation to synthesising new proteins to help combat increased costs of

cellular maintenance in the short-term, declines in N and P content following evolutionary

adaptation may be indicative of re-allocation of metabolic energy back towards growth and

biomass synthesis over the long term. Consistent with this, the lineages that were selected under

constant, severe warming (i.e. 32ºC) evolved substantially higher chlorophyll-to-carbon ratios

relative to the short-term response of the ancestor when exposed to 32ºC, indicating that the

evolved populations were re-allocating energy away from repair/maintenance and towards light

harvesting machinery required for growth (Fig. 3G). In general, the opposing effects of

acclimation versus evolution on the direction of phenotypic trait responses to temperature change

are consistent with geographic patterns of counter gradient variation in which genetic influences

on a trait oppose environmental influences thereby minimising phenotypic change along the

gradient[28].

Molecular evolution of thermal tolerance

To investigate whether the observed changes in fitness and phenotypes in the evolved lineages

were also reflected by consistent patterns of molecular evolution, we re-sequenced the genomes

of the ancestor and the mixed (non-clonal) populations of the evolved lineages after 300

generations of selection. We identified candidate single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) in the

evolved populations compared to the ancestral clone that might have contributed to adaptation to

the warming treatments. Specifically, two types of genetic adaptation were of interest: (i)

mutations arising *de novo* after the t_0 time-point and becoming fixed in an evolved population

and (ii) alleles present in the ancestral clone, that become fixed in an evolved population.

Fixation of *de novo* mutations would result in an allele proportion rising from zero in the

ancestor to close to 1 after 300 generations of selection. Because the ancestor was a genetically

homogeneous clone, standing variation consists solely as heterozygosity; we would therefore

expect to observe alleles increasing in proportion from 0.5 in the ancestor to close to 1 after 300

generations. Therefore, we took two distinct approaches to surveying genetic variation: (i) we

identified SNVs that were undetectable in the ancestral population but were supported by 100%

of the aligned reads in an evolved population and (ii) we identified SNVs that plausibly had a

population proportion of 0.5 in the ancestral population (due to heterozygosity), and were

supported by 100% of the aligned reads in an evolved population.

We searched for non-silent SNVs affecting protein-coding genes that had become fixed

in at least one of the evolved populations; such variants might have contributed to the

populations' adaptation to the selection regime. SNVs were detected at 225,351 sites in the

genome. Of these, 7383 had reached fixation in at least one evolved population, including 1689

missense variants and 14 gain-of-stop-codon variants. In total, 1215 protein-coding genes were

affected by these fixed non-silent variants. Most fixed non-silent variants were present in the

ancestor at proportions between zero and one and were therefore probably heterozygous in the

ancestral clone (Fig. 4C), making the main mode of molecular evolution in these populations a

transition from heterozygosity to homozygosity. Only nine of the fixed variants were

undetectable in the ancestral clone, and are thus likely to be *de novo* mutations. None of these

nine *de novo* mutations were predicted to affect amino acid sequences of encoded proteins.

Using the SNVs that had reached fixation in at least one evolved population, we

quantified the genetic distance of each population from the ancestor as well as the genetic

divergence among populations using Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) based on

squared Euclidean distances and visualized this analysis using Principal Components Analysis

(PCA). Consistent with the fitness trajectories (Fig. 1A), all evolved populations showed

significant divergence from the ancestor and among one another (Fig. 4A; Table 1). The lineages

selected under moderate and fluctuating warming had the greatest distance from the ancestor on

the two major axes of (Fig. 4A; Fig. S6). Furthermore, the populations that experienced

fluctuations between severe and benign conditions were divergent from those experiencing

constant severe warming (Fig. 4A; Fig. S6), with significant variation in SNVs between

treatments (Table 1) . These results are consistent with the demographic data (Fig. 1A; Fig. S2),

indicating that the temporary restoration of benign conditions in the fluctuating regime may have

accelerated rates of molecular evolution by releasing cryptic genetic variation beneficial in the

stressful environment. Selection lines in the moderate warming treatment and the fluctuating

environment exhibited the greatest genetic divergence among replicate populations (Fig. 4A,

Table 1 - indicated by a larger σ^2_{AP}). Indeed, the genetic divergence among replicate populations

was significantly higher in the moderate warming treatment compared to those exposed to stable,

but severe warming (Fig. 4A, Table 1). The more conserved response in the severe environment

may have plausibly arisen from stronger directional selection owing to the exposure to extreme

conditions. The high genetic divergence among replicate populations in the fluctuating

environment is consistent with expectations that more complex environments lead to greater

diversity[29]. The above analyses were restricted to the subset of SNVs that had reached fixation in

at least one of the evolved populations and for which we therefore we had a high degree of

confidence that variants were either absent or heterozygous in the ancestor and rose to fixation in

the evolved lineage. When considering all SNVs, regardless of their level of fixation, (see

methods), the general patterns of genetic distance and divergence are qualitatively conserved

(see Fig. S7), indicating that the results are robust to any sequencing errors in estimating variants

at low frequencies.

Comparing principal component analyses (PCA) based on combining all the phenotypic

data, with the PCA from the non-synonymous SNVs, revealed striking similarities in the patterns

of divergence among treatments (Fig. 4A, B, Fig. S6, Fig. S8). These results suggest that the

observed changes in metabolic traits and elemental composition that were linked to the evolution

of thermal tolerance could also have a basis in some of the underlying genomic changes. To

investigate this, we identified the top 100 SNVs most strongly associated with the first and

second principal components as these are expected to be the most important SNVs for

characterising genomic variation among the lineages. We then identified the genes (see Table

S13) in which these SNVs fell and tested whether this gene set was enriched in any Gene

Ontology (GO)[30,31] terms related to biological processes, using the PCA loading score to weight

the relative importance of each gene. Genes that had gone to fixation in the evolved lineages and

were most strongly associated with the major axes of variation in the PCA were enriched in GO

terms related to transcriptional regulation, cellular response to oxidative stress and redox

homeostasis among others (Table 2). Notably, the genes that correspond to these enriched GO

terms were all most strongly associated with the moderate and fluctuating warming treatments in

the PCA.

We also found that several populations from the moderate and fluctuating warming

treatments displayed fixation of exceptionally high numbers of SNVs (Fig S12, S13). In these

lineages, the ancestral population's heterozygosity was lost at hundreds of single-nucleotide sites

in the genome. The mechanism(s) of heterozygosity loss among these populations is not known;

however, based on analysis of sequencing depth, we also observed substantial copy-number

variation (CNV) among populations across several large genomic regions (Figs. S9 - S11). These

findings are consistent with similar analyses of the *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* genome in

laboratory and wild-type isolates[32]. This suggests that large-scale duplications and deletions

might be important mechanism for rapid evolution in algae, for example, when recurrent copy

number gains represent adaptive gain-of-function mutations[33], dosage effects[34] and deletions

harbour potentially non-sense mutations, such as premature stop codons.

Conclusions

Our results shed new light on the adaptive potential and evolutionary dynamics of one of the

most abundant and widely distributed eukaryotic marine phytoplankton in response to warming.

We found that evolutionary rescue under severe warming was slow (> 1 year), but adaptation to

more realistic warming scenarios, where temperature increases were moderate or where they

fluctuated between benign and severe conditions, was rapid. The fluctuating environment

accelerated adaptation to severe warming because temporary restoration of benign conditions

increased population size and therefore the probability of fixing beneficial mutations required for

adaptation to the severe environment. Consistent with this demographic effect, the lineages

selected under fluctuating warming had the greatest genetic distance from the ancestor after 300

generations, indicating accelerated rates of molecular evolution. Because our experiments were

initiated with a single clone, they may in fact be conservative estimates of the evolutionary

potential of the highly diverse meta-population of *T. pseudonana* in the wild [5,35] where adaptation

will also be aided by standing genetic variation. Furthermore, our experiments were conducted

on a clone of the CCMP 1335 strain of *T. pseudonana*, which has been in laboratory culture for

many decades. Consequently, this strain has likely lost some of its genomic diversity owing to

long-term adaptation to simplified laboratory conditions, further increasing the likelihood that

observed evolutionary responses observed in our experiments are conservative estimates. Similar

experiments on freshly isolated strains and diverse phytoplankton taxa are urgently needed to

provide improved estimates of the evolutionary potential of marine phytoplankton to adapt to

global change. Our results also show how rapid, adaptive shifts in thermal tolerance are linked to

major phenotypic changes in metabolism and elemental composition as well as significant

molecular evolution, particularly in the populations evolved under moderate and fluctuating

warming. Because the changes in carbon-use efficiency in the warm-adapted lineages arise due

to differences the temperature sensitivities of photosynthesis and respiration, which are highly

conserved metabolic pathways, our work raises the prospect that at the physiological-level, the

mechanisms underpinning rapid thermal adaptation could be universal across the broad diversity

of phytoplankton. Our findings could therefore help integrate rapid evolution into models of

ocean biogeochemistry and improve projections of marine primary productivity over the 21st

century[1,36].

References (1-36 main text, 37-65 methods)

1.   Bopp, L. *et al.* Potential impact of climate change on marine export production. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **15,** 81–99 (2001).
2.   Steinacher, M. *et al.* Projected 21st century decrease in marine productivity: a multi-model analysis. *Biogeosciences* **7,** 979–1005 (2010).
3.   López-Urrutia, Á., Martin, E. S., Harris, R. P. & Irigoien, X. Scaling the metabolic balance of the oceans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103,** 8739–8744 (2006).
4.   Laufkötter, C. *et al.* Drivers and uncertainties of future global marine primary production in marine ecosystem models. *Biogeosciences* **12,** 6955–6984 (2015).
5.   Regaudie-de-Gioux, A. & Duarte, C. M. Compensation irradiance for planktonic community metabolism in the ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **24,** n/a–n/a (2010).
6.   Reusch, T. B. H. & Boyd, P. W. Experimental evolution meets marine phytoplankton. *Evolution* **67,** 1849–1859 (2013).
7.   Thomas, M. K., Kremer, C. T., Klausmeier, C. A. & Litchman, E. A Global Pattern

340   of Thermal Adaptation in Marine Phytoplankton. *Science* **338,** 1085–1088 (2012).

341 8. Schluter, L. *et al.* Adaptation of a globally important coccolithophore to ocean
342   warming and acidification. *Nature Climate Change* **4,** 1024–1030 (2014).

343 9. Field, C. B. Primary Production of the Biosphere: Integrating Terrestrial and
344   Oceanic Components. *Science* **281,** 237–240 (1998).

345 10. Falkowski, P. G. Biogeochemical Controls and Feedbacks on Ocean Primary
346   Production. *Science* **281,** 200–206 (1998).

347 11. Armbrust, E. V. The Genome of the Diatom Thalassiosira Pseudonana: Ecology,
348   Evolution, and Metabolism. *Science* **306,** 79–86 (2004).

349 12. Hao, Y.-Q., Brockhurst, M. A., Petchey, O. L. & Zhang, Q.-G. Evolutionary rescue
350   can be impeded by temporary environmental amelioration. *Ecol Lett* **18,** 892–898
351   (2015).

352 13. Ashander, J. & Chevin, L. M. Predicting evolutionary rescue via evolving plasticity
353   in stochastic environments. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
354   **283,** 20161690 (2016).

355 14. Bell, G. Evolutionary rescue and the limits of adaptation. *Philosophical*
356   *Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **368,** 20120080–20120080
357   (2012).

358 15. Botero, C. A., Weissing, F. J., Wright, J. & Rubenstein, D. R. Evolutionary tipping
359   points in the capacity to adapt to environmental change. *Proceedings of the National*
360   *Academy of Sciences* **112,** 184–189 (2015).

361 16. Lachapelle, J. & Bell, G. Evolutionary rescue of sexual and asexual populations in a
362   deteriorating environment. *Evolution* **66,** 3508–3518 (2012).

363 17. Elena, S. F. & Lenski, R. E. Test of synergistic interactions among deleterious
364   mutations in bacteria. *Nature* **390,** 395–398 (1997).

365 18. Bell, G. & Gonzalez, A. Adaptation and Evolutionary Rescue in Metapopulations
366   Experiencing Environmental Deterioration. *Science* **332,** 1327–1330 (2011).

367 19. Padfield, D., Yvon-Durocher, G., Buckling, A., Jennings, S. & Yvon-Durocher, G.
368   Rapid evolution of metabolic traits explains thermal adaptation in phytoplankton.
369   *Ecol Lett* **19,** 133–142 (2015).

370 20. J Geider, R., Osborne, B. A. & Raven, J. A. Light dependence of growth and
371   photosynthesis in phaeodactylum tricornutum (bacillariophyceae)1. *Journal of*
372   *Phycology* **21,** 609–619 (1985).

373 21. Geider, R. J., MacIntyre, H. L. & Kana, T. M. A dynamic regulatory model of
374   phytoplanktonic acclimation to light, nutrients, and temperature. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*
375   **43,** 679–694 (1998).

376 22. Raven, J. A. & Geider, R. J. Temperature and algal growth. *New Phytol* **110,** 441–
377   461 (1988).

378 23. Toseland, A. *et al.* The impact of temperature on marine phytoplankton resource
379   allocation and metabolism. *Nature Climate Change* **3,** 979–984 (2013).

380 24. Daines, S. J., Clark, J. R. & Lenton, T. M. Multiple environmental controls on
381   phytoplankton growth strategies determine adaptive responses of the N : P ratio.
382   *Ecol Lett* **17,** 414–425 (2014).

383 25. Yvon-Durocher, G., Dossena, M., Trimmer, M., Woodward, G. & Allen, A. P.
384   Temperature and the biogeography of algal stoichiometry. *Global Ecology and*
385   *Biogeography* **24,** 562–570 (2015).

26. Geider, R. & La Roche, J. Redfield revisited: variability of C:N:P in marine microalgae and its biochemical basis. *European Journal of Phycology* **37,** 1–17 (2002).

27. Atkinson, D., Ciotti, B. J. & Montagnes, D. J. S. Protists decrease in size linearly with temperature: ca. 2.5% C-1. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **270,** 2605–2611 (2003).

28. Conover, D. O. & Schultz, E. T. Phenotypic similarity and the evolutionary significance of countergradient variation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **10,** 248–252 (1995).

29. Replansky, T. & Bell, G. The relationship between environmental complexity, species diversity and productivity in a natural reconstructed yeast community. *Oikos* **118,** 233–239 (2009).

30. Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nature Genetics* **25,** 25 EP –

31. Consortium, T. G. O. Expansion of the Gene Ontology knowledgebase and resources. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **45,** D331–D338 (2016).

32. Flowers, J. M. *et al.* Whole-Genome Resequencing Reveals Extensive Natural Variation in the Model Green Alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Plant Cell* **27,** 2353–2369 (2015).

33. Gresham, D. & Dunham, M. J. The enduring utility of continuous culturing in experimental evolution. *Genomics* **104,** 399–405 (2014).

34. Kondrashov, F. A. Gene duplication as a mechanism of genomic adaptation to a changing environment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **279,** 5048–5057 (2012).

35. Armbrust, E. V. The life of diatoms in the world's oceans. *Nature* **459,** 185–192 (2009).

36. Dutkiewicz, S., Scott, J. R. & Follows, M. J. Winners and losers: Ecological and biogeochemical changes in a warming ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **27,** 463–477 (2013).

37. Excoffier, L., Smouse, P. E. & Quattro, J. M. Analysis of Molecular Variance Inferred From Metric Distances Among DNA Haplotypes: Application to Human Mitochondrial DNA Restriction Data . *Genetics* **131,** 479–491 (1992).

38. Boyd, P. W. *et al.* Marine Phytoplankton Temperature versus Growth Responses from Polar to Tropical Waters – Outcome of a Scientific Community-Wide Study. *PLoS ONE* **8,** e63091–17 (2013).

39. Thomas, M. K. *et al.* Temperature-nutrient interactions exacerbate sensitivity to warming in phytoplankton. *Global Change Biol* **23,** 3269–3280 (2017).

40. O'Donnell, D. R., Hamman, C. R. & Johnson, E. C. Temperature selection drives evolution of function-valued traits in a marine diatom. *bioRxiv* (2017). doi:10.1101/167817

41. Guillard, R. R. L. in Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals 29–60 (Springer US, 1975). doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-8714-9_3

42. Schaum, C.-E. *et al.* Adaptation of phytoplankton to a decade of experimental warming linked to increased photosynthesis. *Nature Publishing Group* **1,** 1–7 (2017).

43. Amin, S. A. *et al.* Interaction and signalling between a cosmopolitan phytoplankton

and associated bacteria. *Nature* **522,** 98–101 (2015).

44.   Amin, S. A., Parker, M. S. & Armbrust, E. V. Interactions between Diatoms and
Bacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **76,** 667–684 (2012).

45.   Brickley, M. R., Lawrie, E., Weise, V., Hawes, C. & Cobb, A. H. Use of a
potentiometric vital dye to determine the effect of the herbicide bromoxynil
octanoate on mitochondrial bioenenergetics in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Pest.*
Manag. Sci. **68,** 580–586 (2011).

46.   Guzmán, H. M., la Jara Valido, de, A., Duarte, L. C. & Presmanes, K. F. Estimate
by means of flow cytometry of variation in composition of fatty acids from
Tetraselmis suecica in response to culture conditions. *Aquacult Int* **18,** 189–199
(2009).

47.   Holm-Hansen, O. & Riemann, B. Chlorophyll a Determination: Improvements in
Methodology. *Oikos* **30,** 438 (1978).

48.   Murphy, J. & Riley, J. P. A modified single solution method for the determination of
phosphate in natural waters. *Analytica Chimica Acta* **27,** 31–36 (1962).

49.   Grasshoff, K., Kremling, K. & Ehrhardt, M. *Methods of seawater analysis.* (2009).

50.   Suggett, D. J., Moore, C. M., Hickman, A. E. & Geider, R. J. Interpretation of fast
repetition rate (FRR) fluorescence: signatures of phytoplankton community structure
versus physiological state. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **376,** 1–19 (2009).

51.   Falkowski, P. G., Dubinsky, Z. & Wyman, K. Growth-irradiance re.lationships in
phytoplankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **30,** 311–321 (1985).

52.   Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v2 (2013).

53.   Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* **25,** 1754–1760 (2009).

54.   Cingolani, P. *et al.* A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single
nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly* **6,** 80–92 (2012).

55.   Clopper, C.J. and Pearson, E.S. The Use of Confidence or Fiducial Limits Illustrated
in the Case of the Binomial. Biometrika **26**: 404. (1934)

56.   Tibshirani, R., Hastie, T., Narasimhan, B. & Chu, G. Diagnosis of multiple cancer
types by shrunken centroids of gene expression. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **99,** 6567–6572 (2002).

57.   Lê Cao, K.-A. *et al.* MixMC: A Multivariate Statistical Framework to Gain Insight
into Microbial Communities. *PLoS ONE* **11,** e0160169–21 (2016).

58.   NCBI Resource Coordinators. Database Resources of the National Center for
Biotechnology Information. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **45,** D12–D17 (2017).

59.   Huson, D. H., Mitra, S., Ruscheweyh, H. J., Weber, N. & Schuster, S. C. Integrative
analysis of environmental sequences using MEGAN4. *Genome Research* **21,** 1552–
1560 (2011).

60.   Schoolfield, R. M., Sharpe, P. J. H. & Magnuson, C. E. Non-linear regression of
biological temperature-dependent rate models based on absolute reaction-rate
theory. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **88,** 719–731 (1981).

61.   Sharpe, P. J. & DeMichele, D. W. Reaction kinetics of poikilotherm development.
Journal of Theoretical Biology **64,** 649–670 (1977).

62.   Gillooly, J. F. Effects of Size and Temperature on Metabolic Rate. *Science* **293,**
2248–2251 (2001).

63.   Brown, J. H., Gillooly, J. F., Allen, A. P., Van M Savage & West, G. B. Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology* **85,** 1771–1789 (2004).

64.   Van M Savage, Gillooly, J. F., Brown, J. H., West, G. B. & Charnov, E. L. Effects of Body Size and Temperature on Population Growth. *The American Naturalist* **163,** 429–441 (2015).

65.   Allen, A. P. Global Biodiversity, Biochemical Kinetics, and the Energetic-Equivalence Rule. *Science* **297,** 1545–1548 (2002).

Acknowledgments. This study was funded by a Leverhulme Trust research grant (RPG-2013-335). Whole genome re-sequencing was carried out at Exeter Sequencing Service and Computational core facilities at the University of Exeter, where Dr. Karen Moore, Dr. Audrey Farbos, Paul O'Neill and Dr. Konrad Paszkiewicz lead the handling of the samples. Exeter Squencing Services are supported by Medical Research Council Clinical Infrastructure award (MR/M008924/1), Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund (WT097835MF), Wellcome Trust Multi User Equipment Award (WT101650MA) and BBSRC LOLA award (BB/K003240/1).

Author contributions. GYD conceived and designed the study, analysed data and wrote the manuscript. ES designed and carried out the experimental work, analysed data and wrote the manuscript. AB conceived and designed the study. DS carried out the bioinformatic analyses of the genomic data. NS contributed to the interpretation of the data analysis. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript.

Author information. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and requests for materials (code and data) should be directed to ES or GYD.

505   **Data availability statement:** All data used for analyses and graphical output is made available

506   as part of the supporting information. All sequence data have been deposited in the Sequence

507   Read Archive (Leionen et al., DOI 10.1093/nar/gkq1019) with accession number SRP114919

508   and are accessible via BioProject PRJNA397360. Code is available from the authors upon

509   reasonable request.

Tables

Table 1 | Results of the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA). To assess molecular differentiation within treatments (i.e. among biological replicates in one treatment) and between treatments (i.e. between the different selection environments), an AMOVA was fitted to the frequency matrixes of SNVs that had reached fixation. It revealed that a significant proportion of the variance in SNV frequencies at specific loci was attributable to differences between treatments. Within individual treatments, there was significant variation between biological replicates ('populations' in the table), with the largest amount of variation among populations, ('AP'), in the 26ºC and FS treatments. σ^2 represents the variance of each hierarchical level (i.e. within population 'WP', among populations 'AP' and between treatments 'BT'), and the % gives the percentage of this variance calculated to a total of 100 %. Significance testing was carried out through random permutation of the samples following the methods outlined in Ref. ([37]).

22ºC	σ^2	%	p Value
Within population (σ^2_{WP})	39.76	74.99	<0.05
Among population (σ^2_{AP})	13.26	25.01	<0.05
Total (σ^2_{T})	53.02	100	
26ºC			
Within population (σ^2_{WP})	31.07	52.29	<0.01
Among population (σ^2_{AP})	28.35	47.71	<0.01
Total (σ^2_{T})	59.42	100	
32ºC			
Within population (σ^2_{WP})	43.66	86.51	<0.05
Among population (σ^2_{AP})	6.81	13.49	0.051
Total (σ^2_{T})	50.47	100	
FS			
Within population (σ^2_{WP})	31.03	50.04	<0.01
Among population (σ^2_{AP})	30.98	49.96	<0.01
Total (σ^2_{T})	62.01	100	
All treatments			
Within population (σ^2_{WP})	28.97	49.69	<0.05
Among populations between treatments ($\sigma^2_{AP/BT}$)	8.82	15.12	<0.05
Between treatments (σ^2_{BT})	**20.522**	**35.19**	**<0.05**
Total (σ^2_{T})	58.32	100	

Table 2 | Table of Gene Ontology (GO) terms relating to biological processes that were significantly enriched from the gene set identified as being strongly associated with the major axes of variation in the principal components analysis.

GO.ID is the Gene Ontology identifier retrieved from protists.ensembl.org, *term* is the biological process associated with the GO.ID, and *Ann* is for the number of genes annotated to the GO.ID. *Sig* and *Exp* denote the number of significant and expected annotations for the GO.ID category found in the dataset of genes that are associated with the top 100 PCA loadings for the two principal components displayed in Fig 4A compared to the reference 'gene universe' made up from the entire *T. pseudonana* genome. *KS* is the p-value output of a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, which replaces Fisher's exact test when working with scores (see Methods) with p <0.05 indicated by * for significant enrichment. Loading # indicates the position that the gene has in the PCA's top 100 loadings, and comp indicates whether it is more strongly associated with the first or second principal component (see Figure 4A). The loading score is a numerical value for the strength of the association with a component, where higher absolute values are indicative of a stronger association. *Gene* gives the locus tag of the gene that was found to be strongly associated with the axis of variation. All genes are coding for hypothetical proteins, and were assigned GOs through the most likely function that the protein may have given its amino acid sequence. *Treat* denotes the treatment that SNVs in that gene were most likely to be associated with based on principal component analysis.

GO.ID	Term	Ann	Sig	Exp	KS	Gene	Loading #	Comp	Treat	Loading score
GO:1902358	sulfate transmembrane transport	12	1	0.02	0.015 *	THAPS_23437 (hypothetical protein)	2	comp1	FS	0.0559
GO:0006357	regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase	44	1	0.03	0.015 *	THAPSDRAFT_7370 (hypothetical protein)	27	comp1	FS	0.0483
GO:0001522	pseudouridine synthesis	44	1	0.03	0.025 *	THAPS_23513 (hypothetical protein)	40	comp1	26	0.0472
GO:0034599	cellular response to oxidative stress	23	1	0.03	0.029 *	THAPSDRAFT_25121 (hypothetical protein)	22	comp2	FS	0.0570

GO:0008152	metabolic processes	77	1	0.03	0.035 *	THAPSDRAFT_23040 (hypothetical protein)	6	comp1	26	0.0533
GO:0045454	cell redox homeostasis	77	1	0.03	0.035 *	THAPSDRAFT_2086 (hypothetical protein)	31	comp1	26	0.0478
GO:0000103	sulfate assimilation	29	1	0.02	0.037 *	THAPSDRAFT_25121.2 (hypothetical protein)	22	comp2	FS	0.0570
GO:0016567	protein ubiquitination	3706	5	4.75	0.254	THAPS_23513,THAPSDRAFT_2086,THAPSDRAFT_7370 (hypothetical protein)	various	comp1 and comp2	FS and 26	various

540
541
542

544
Figure 1| Growth rate trajectories and rapid evolutionary shifts in thermal tolerance
curves. (A) Time-series of population growth rates reveal immediate and sustained increases in
growth rates in populations adapting to moderate warming and the environment that fluctuated
between 22 (benign conditions) and 32ºC (chronic conditions). In the 32ºC environment
populations performed very poorly for approximately 1 year (~ 100 generation), followed by an
evolutionary rescue event, which caused growth rates to increase to levels comparable with the
control treatment at 22ºC. Boxplots were created by binning growth rate estimates across
replicates on each week of the experiment until 300 generations had passed in each lineage.
Fitted lines are from the best fits of a GAMM (see Methods and Table S2). **(B)** Thermal response
curves of the ancestor and evolved lineages show marked shifts in thermal tolerance.
Populations selected under moderate, severe and fluctuating warming all evolved increased
tolerance of high temperatures relative to the ancestors and the control. Values are means and
error bars denote ± 1 s.e.m. Fitted lines are the fixed effects of a nonlinear mixed effects model.
Grey curves denote the ancestor, green, samples are the control at 22ºC, blue is 26ºC, red is
32ºC, and purple is the fluctuating environment.
559
560

561
562

Figure 2| Rapid evolution of metabolic traits increase carbon-use efficiency. Thermal response curves for **(A)** gross photosynthesis rates (P), and **(B)** respiration (R) reveal substantial shifts in metabolic thermal traits among treatments, as well as between the evolved lineages and the ancestor. Data are presented as means with error bars denoting $\pm$ 1s.e.m, $n = 6$ per assay temperature, fitted lines are fixed effects from non-linear mixed effects models. Mass-specific rates of **(C)** gross photosynthesis and **(D)** respiration at a reference temperature ($P(T_c)$ and $R(T_c)$ respectively, see methods) were significantly down-regulated in the populations evolved under moderate, chronic and fluctuating warming. Furthermore, rates of $R(T_c)$ were down-regulated more than those of $P(T_c)$ in the moderate and fluctuating warming treatments meaning that **(E)** those lineages also had the highest carbon-use efficiency (CUE). Grey denotes the ancestor, green is the control at 22ºC, blue is 26ºC, red is 32ºC, and purple is the fluctuating environment. Carbon use efficiency for FS is displayed at 32ºC for easier comparison to the 32ºC-evolved samples, and did not differ significantly between assay temperatures of 22ºC, 26ºC, and 32ºC (see also Fig. S5).

Figure 3 | Evolutionary shifts in cell size, macromolecular composition, photosynthetic and nutrient-use efficiency in the ancestor and evolved lineages. (**A**) Cell size (here as μm diameter) decreased with warming in the ancestor, but cells were larger at warmer temperatures after 300 generations of evolution. Consequently, carbon **(B),** nitrogen **(C),** and phosphorus **(D)** quotas per cell volume of ancestral samples remained relatively stable up to the ancestors optimum temperature and then increased, whereas elemental quotas in evolved lineages decreased with temperature (compare also Fig. S4 for per cell quotas). Protein **(E)** and (**F**) RNA content per cell volume mirrored the changes in intracellular N and P content, respectively. The chlorophyll-to-carbon ratio was also highest in the fastest growing lineages selected under moderate warming (**G**) and the C:N **(H),** N:P **(I),** and C:P **(J)** ratios increased with increasing selection temperature both in the short- and in the long-term, with values in the fluctuating environment comparable to those in the moderate warming treatment See supporting information for Φ_{PSII} (Fig. S3) and silicate content (Fig. S4). For all panels, $n = 6$. Boxplots are for the ancestor (grey) and evolved lineages after 300 generations at 22 ºC (green), 26ºC (blue), 32ºC (red) and the fluctuating environment (purple).

Figure 4 |Molecular and phenotypic evolution in *T. pseudonana*. (A) Principal components analysis (PCA) of evolved and ancestral lineages calculated from distance matrices based on single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in protein-coding regions that had reached fixation after 300 generations of evolution. **(B)** PCA of phenotypic traits calculated from the change in each trait measured at the treatment temperature relative to that expressed in the ancestor at the same temperature. In panels A and B colours indicate the selection regime with black for the ancestor, green for 22ºC, blue for 26ºC, red for 32ºC, and purple for the fluctuating regime. **(C)** Estimated allele frequencies for 1703 single-nucleotide variants that putatively reached fixation in at least one t300 population. The colour of each cell in the heat-map indicates estimated allele proportion in the population, based on ratio of variant sequence reads versus total read depth at that genomic site. Homozygously fixed alleles will appear as yellow or red (allele proportion zero or one, respectively), heterozygously fixed alleles as orange. The side-bar indicates the selection regime with colours as stated above.

METHODS

Experimental Design

In November 2014, a clone of the sequenced strain of *Thalassiosira pseudonana* (CCMP 1335)

[11] was obtained from the CCAP culture collection, where it had been re-isolated from the original

clone CCMP 1335 in 2009, and maintained in batch culture at 18-22ºC. This lineage is known to

exhibit a unimodal growth response to temperature between 16ºC and 31ºC, with optimal growth

temperatures around 27ºC [38-40]. The stock culture was made clonal by serial dilution in a 96-well

plate in March 2015 and a single clone was chosen at random to be the ancestor for all treatments

in the selection experiment. Determination of the thermal tolerance curve of the ancestor

revealed that growth rates peaked at 28ºC and that mortality was high above 35ºC (see section

below for details on characterizing the thermal tolerance curves). The ancestor was distributed

among 4 experimental treatments that represent a range of warming scenarios based on its limits

of thermal tolerance: (i) a control at 22ºC, which was the long-term culture temperature; (ii)

moderate warming at 26ºC; (iii) severe warming at 32ºC and (iv) a fluctuating thermal regime,

which cycled between 22 and 32ºC every 3-4 generations. Each treatment was replicated 6 times.

Lineages were grown in grown in f/2 Medium (Guillard's medium for diatoms[41]) with

artificial seawater, under a 12:12 light/dark cycle in INFORS HT shaking incubators. Salinity

was maintained at 32 (i.e. 32g NaCl L^{-1} in 39.5 g L^{-1} artificial seawater reagents) and pH

adjusted to 8.213 ± 0.291 ($\pm$ s.e.m., averaged across all transfers) prior to each transfer. pH was

further measured at the end of each transfer (average across all transfers: 8.171 ± 0.252). The

light intensity of full-spectrum fluorescent tubes was 100 µmol quanta $m^{-2} s^{-1}$. As light intensity

varied throughout the incubators (minimum light intensity 70, maximum light intensity 110 µmol

quanta m^{-2} s^{-1}), culture vessels were moved randomly within the incubator every other day.

Lineages were maintained in semi-continuous batch culture, and transferred during the

exponential phase of growth to an inoculum of 100 cells mL^{-1}. Average cell densities were

calculated at each transfer from three technical replicates for each biological replicate.

<u>Flow</u> <u>cytometry</u>

Abundance and cell size (diameter assuming a spherical shape) were determined with a Accuri

C6 flow cytometer (BD Scientific). Cell size was detected using forward scatter (FSC) calibrated

using species and beads of known size against photographic images processed in ImageJ as

described in [42], with a conversion factor of FSC=108058 µm + 4.5665. Although *T. pseudonana*

cells are cylindrical rather than spherical, this is a useful approximation for relative changes in

cell size. Red fluorescence (FL3) was used for chlorophyll fluorescence in order to distinguish

autotrophs from bacteria, but was not used here to approximate chlorophyll content, which was

instead estimated through acetone extraction (see below). Co-occuring bacteria, e.g. *Rhodobacter*

spp. and *Marinobacter* spp. are known to be crucial for nutrient recycling and signaling molecule

metabolism in *T. pseudonana* [43,44]. We therefore made no attempt to make the cultures axenic as

this would have incurred a substantial fitness cost. We quantified the associated bacteria by

regularly filtering the cultures between 0.2µm to 2µm, staining with SYBR gold and

enumerating bacterial densities and cell size via flow cytometry. Co-occurring bacteria

contributed less than 1% of total biomass throughout, with no systematic variation between time-

points or treatments.

Flow cytometry was further used in combination with a rhodamine stain to measure a

proxy for mitochondrial potential – the rate of ATP production by ATP synthase is proportional

to mitochondrial potential, which in turn depends on the activity of respiratory proteins

embedded in the mitochondrial membrane. Since each unit area of membrane has several ATP

synthase molecules and the rate at which each of these produces ATP depends on the

mitochondrial potential, the overall rate of ATP production per mitochondria depends on both

the area of mitochondrial membrane and the mitochondrial potential. Mitochondrial area is a

good approximation of the area of mitochondrial membrane, and changes in mitochondrial

potential can be measured in live cells using potentiometric dye. Previous work [45] has shown that

the styrl dye rhodamine 123 (R123) can be used in live cells of phytoplankton to measure

mitochondrial potential in real time. For staining, a 10 mg mL^{-1} stock solution in DMSO was

prepared and diluted to a 1μM working solution on the day of measurements. Of this, 20 μL

were added to 200 μL of sample and left to incubate in the dark for 45 minutes. To ensure that

longer incubation as is inevitable on a 96 well plate did not effect FL1 fluorescence as measured

in the accuri c6, samples were randomized across each 96-well plates with the first and the last

well containing the same sample. We found no effect of prolonged incubation after an incubation

period of 45 minutes.

A Nile Red stain was performed to measure relative lipid contents. Here, the dye was

used as a proxy to determine relative quantities of intracellular polar and neutral lipids[46] in

evolved samples, and in samples from short-term assays. The stock dye was obtained as a

powder. The working solution was diluted to 0.1 mg L^{-1}, and 10 μL were added to each 200 μL

sample on a 9-well plate (final concentration 15 mM) and left to incubate in the dark for 30

minutes, as pilot trials had shown that after this, fluorescence levels were stable long enough for

the time taken to measure one 96 well plate. Samples were randomized on the plate as described

above for R123 stains. As Nile Red excites in the same wavelength as chlorophyll (FL3) and

chlorophyll derivatives (FL2), samples were measured before and after adding the dye, and the

chlorophyll fluorescence subtracted from the fluorescence obtained after staining the sample.

While these traits are not discussed in detail here, they are part of the distance matrices used to

generate Fig. 4B (see also Fig. S8 and Table S12).

Growth rate trajectories

At the beginning and at the end of each transfer *T. pseudonana* cells were counted on the flow

cytometer as described above and used to estimate specific growth rates (μ d^{-1})

$$\mu = \frac{\ln(N_{t1}) - \ln(N_{t0})}{\Delta t} \tag{1}$$

where N_{t1} is the density of cells at the end of the transfer, N_{t0} is the inoculation density, and Δt is

the time passed in days.

Thermal tolerance curves

To characterize the thermal tolerance curves of the ancestor and each of the evolved lineages, an

inoculum of 100 cells per mL from the middle of the logarithmic phase of growth was

transferred into fresh media at 15ºC, 20ºC, 25ºC, 30ºC, 32ºC, 35ºC, and 40ºC. Cell count was

determined daily on a flow cytometer and populations were transferred to fresh media once at

each temperature during the middle of the logarithmic phase of growth, before being left to reach

stationary phase. Growth rates were estimated from the abundance data using a logistic growth

function:

$N(t) = \frac{K}{1+\frac{K-N_{t0}}{N_{t0}}e^{rt}}$ (2)

where t is time, K is carrying capacity of the population (cell mL^{-1}), r is the maximum growth

rate (d^{-1}) and N_{t0} is the cell count at t_0 (cell mL^{-1}).

<u>Intracellular macromolecular composition</u>

Cellular carbon (C), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and chlorophyll content (total chlorophyll

calculated from chlorophyll a and chlorophyll c content) were quantified in the ancestor and each

of the evolved lineages. We investigated the effects of temperature on macromolecular

composition both over short-term acclimation and long-term evolutionary adaptation. Short-term

thermal acclimation was investigated by exposing the evolved lineages to the 15 to 45ºC thermal

gradient described above for quantifying the thermal tolerance curves for growth rate. Samples

from the 40ºC and 45ºC environment were omitted from further analyses due to high mortalities

that limited the amount of biomass available for further tests. Lineages were given 1 transfer to

acclimate to a given assay temperature in the gradient and then harvested during the logarithmic

phase of growth in the second transfer. Long-term evolutionary adaptation to the selection

regimes was quantified by harvesting evolved lineages directly from the experiment during the

logarithmic phase of growth

The remaining samples were prepared for C, N, P and chlorophyll measurement by

spinning down 50 mL of culture in a centrifuge at 4ºC and 3500RPM for 30 minutes. The pellet

was then transferred to a 1 mL Eppendorf tube, spun again for 15 minutes at 3500RPM and the

remaining supernatant decanted. Chlorophyll content was determined on a spectrophotometer

(Jenway 7351) after[47], with extraction in acetone, and absorption spectra measured in 10 nm

increments from 500 to 700nm, which span the full range of emission peaks for chlorophyll a

and chlorophyll c.

Pellets for C and N determination were freeze dried for 24 hours, transferred to zinc

capsules, weighed and analyzed using a SerCon Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (CF-IRMS)

system (continuous flow mode). Intracellular P content was determined was determined via a

colourimetric reaction on a Seal Analytics AA3 segmented flow auto-analyzer. Freeze dried

pellets were washed in 0.17M Na_2SO_4, transferred to scintillation vials and re-suspended in 4 ml

0.017M $MnSO_4$. The samples were transferred to an autoclave (1h, 121ºC), shaken vigorously

and centrifuged at 2500 r.p.m for 30 minutes, the pellet discarded, and the supernatant brought to

10 ml with MillliQ purified water. The samples were immediately analyzed on the AA3 using

the colourimetric molybdate/antimony method [48]. Using these data we calculated the molar

stoichiometric ratios, C:N, C:P, N:P and the Chl:C ratio.

Silica content, although not a main trait under investigation here, was determined through

preparing pellets as described above. Then, 4ml of 0.2M NaOH were added to the pellet in

polyethylene tubes and the pellets vortexed briefly. The samples were then transferred to a

heating block at 90ºC for ca. 1h. 2 ml of 1M HCl were added, the samples vortexed again and

then spun at 3500 rpm for 1h. The supernatant was passed through an 0.5μm filter, pipetted into

fresh tubes, topped up to 10ml with MiliQ water and used immediately on the segmented flow

auto-analyser, where the colourimetric procedure followed that described in [49].

<u>Photochemistry</u>

We characterised a range of photochemical parameters in the ancestor and each of the evolved

lineages using fast repetition rate fluorometry (FastPro8, FRRf3, Fast Ocean System Chelsea

Technology Group). 500 µL of dilute sample (with a cell count of less than 1000 cells mL^{-1})

were added to 5 ml of fresh culture medium. Samples were then pre-incubated in the dark at the

assay temperature for 15 minutes in a water-bath, and another 10 minutes in the fluorometer to

make sure that samples were fully dark acclimated and all reaction centers closed. All

measurements were made at the selection temperature for the 22, 26, and 32ºC lineages, while

for the lineages in the fluctuating regime measurements were carried out at both 22 and 32ºC.

Photochemical traits were measured in response to rapid flashes at increasing light intensities

from 0 to1600 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. Flash frequency and rate followed standard protocols for

phytoplankton[50], with 100 flashes of 1.1 µs at 1 µs intervals. Peak emission wavelengths of the

LEDs used for excitations were at 450 nm, 530 nm, and 624 nm. Φ_{PSII} was particularly relevant

to our study as it is commonly used to describe the light responses of photosynthetic efficiency.

Φ_{PSII} values are used as an indication of the proportion of the total light absorbed that is used in

photochemical reactions in PSII (Fig. S3). Additionally, we determined rP, NPQ, and C (see Fig.

4B and SI Table 13 for PCA on phenotypic traits). These describe the relative rate of

photosynthesis in response to irradiance and are obtained as an estimate of electron transport

through PSII (rP), the cell's ability to maintain photochemical function at high light intensities

(NPQ, non-photochemical quenching) and the proportion of PSII reaction centers in a closed

state (C). As measurements were carried out following a light response curve, we were then able

to measure these functions both at saturating light intensity and at the light intensity that the

samples were grown at in the incubators.

<u>Thermal responses of photosynthesis and respiration</u>

Measurements of photosynthesis and respiration were made on the ancestor and all evolved

lineages after 300 generations of selection when in the middle of the logarithmic phase of

population growth. Net photosynthesis (*NP*) was measured as O_2 evolution at increasing light

intensities in intervals of 50 μmol^{-1} m^{-2} s^{-1} up to 300 μmol^{-1} m^{-2} s^{-1}, and then in intervals of 100

μmol^{-1} m^{-2} s^{-1} up to 1000 μmol^{-1} m^{-2} s^{-1}, followed by 200 μmol steps up to 2000 μmol^{-1} m^{-2} s^{-1}.

The maximum rate of light saturated photosynthesis was determined by fitting the *NP* data to a

dynamic model of photoinhibition via non-linear least squares regression using the methods

outlined in [19]:

$$NP(I) = \frac{NP_{max}I}{\frac{NP_{max}}{\alpha I_{opt}^2}I^2 + \left(1 - 2\frac{NP_{max}}{\alpha I_{opt}}\right)I + \frac{NP_{max}}{\alpha}} - R \qquad\qquad (3)$$

where *NP(I)* is the rate of photosynthesis at light intensity *I*, NP_{max} is the maximum rate of net

photosynthesis at the optimal light intensity, I_{opt}, and α controls the rate at which *NP(I)* increases

up to NP_{max} and *R* is the rate of respiration (i.e. the rate of O_2 flux when $I = 0$). Gross

photosynthesis (*P*) was estimated as $P = NP_{max} + R$. Measurements of O_2 flux were measured in

a Clark-type oxygen electrode (Hansatech Ltd, King's Lynn UK Chlorolab2). Aliquots (50 mL)

of the populations were concentrated through centrifugation to a density of approximately $8*10^4$

cells mL^{-1} and acclimatised to the assay temperature for 15 minutes in the dark before measuring

metabolic rates. The thermal responses of *P* and *R* were quantified by measuring rates over a

temperature gradient from 4ºC to 45ºC (in 3ºC increments, with additional measurements at the

selection temperatures). Rates of *P* and *R* were expressed in units of μg C per μg C using the

following equation [51]

$$b(\mu gC \ \mu gC^{-1}) = \frac{b(\mu mol \ O_2 \ mL^{-1} \ d^{-1} * 32 * M * (\frac{12}{44})}{\mu mol \ C \ cell^{-1} * cells \ mL^{-1}} \qquad\qquad (4)$$

where *b* is the metabolic rate (either *P* or *R*), $32 * M * (12/44)$ is used to convert μmol O_2 into μg

C, and the factor M, is the assimilation quotient of CO_2:O_2. In our study, NO_3^- was the source of

nitrogen, thus assuming a set of balanced growth equations [51]

$$nCO_2 + (n+1)H_2O + HNO_3 \rightarrow (CH_2O)_n\, NH_3 + (n+2)O_2 \qquad (5)$$

if the C:N ratio is calculated in moles the assimilation ratio of CO_2:O_2 will be n/n+2 (see[51]). The

estimated M values are given in Table S1 and ranged from ~ 0.77 to ~ 0.79. Alongside the

biomass measurements for phytoplankton, we also periodically measured bacterial biomass (see

methods detailed above), which typically comprised <1% of algal biomass. Consequently,

bacterial respiration did not contribute significantly to metabolic rates, and when 2µm filtered

samples were run for metabolic rate measurements, the slopes were indistinguishable from water

blanks.

<u>DNA extraction for Whole Genome Re-Sequencing</u>

At the beginning (t0) and after 300 generations (t300) of selection in each environment, 250ml of

each sample in exponential growth were spun to a pellet in 50ml batches at 4ºC and 3500 RPM

(rounds per minute). The supernatant was discarded and the pellet stored at -20ºC until further

use. Prior to DNA-extraction, samples were put through three cycles of thawing and refreezing,

as this had shown to increase yield of diatom DNA relative to bacterial DNA in pilot studies.

DNA was extracted following a standard cTAB protocol in chloroform:isoamyl and isopropanol

(Murray and Thompson, 1980) with a proteinase K step to digest proteins, and an RNAse step to

digest RNA. The resulting DNA pellet was quality controlled on 1% agarose gels and

fluorometrically on a Qbit system. The extracted genomic DNA was then frozen in TE buffer at -

20ºC until samples were processed at the Exeter Sequencing Services (University of Exeter,

UK). Whole genome re-sequencing (Illumina) was carried out on a HiSeq 2500 platform with

paired-end reads. Library preparation was carried out at the Exeter Sequencing Services using

Netflex. The resulting reads were assembled against the existing *T. pseudonana* genome [11].

<u>Alignment of sequence reads against the reference genome</u>

817   A prerequisite of variant calling from genomic shotgun sequencing data is the alignment

of sequence reads against a reference sequence[46], in this case the genome sequence of *T.*

pseudonana (GenBank: GCA_000149405.2). Prior to alignment, reads were trimmed and filtered

to remove low-confidence base-calls by applying TrimGalore with command line options "-q

30" and "--paired". Alignment was performed using BWA-mem version 0.7.12-r1039 [52,53]. As a

precaution against artefacts arising from non-uniquely mapping reads we used command line

option "-q 1" when using *samtools view* [53] to convert the alignments from SAM to BAM format;

the use of this option has the effect of excluding from the alignment all sequence reads that map

equally well to more than one unique site on the reference genome sequence.

<u>Calling single nucleotide variants (SNVs)</u>

We identified candidate single-nucleotide variants in BWA-mem alignments of genomic

sequence reads against the reference genome sequence using the SAMtools/BCFtools pipeline

version 1.3.1 [53]. Candidate SNVs were identified using *bcftools* 1.3.1 with the following

command-lines:

832   "samtools mpileup -u -f genome.fasta alignment.bam > alignment.bcf" and

833   "bcftools call -m -v –Ov alignment.bcf > alignment.vcf".

The candidate variants were then filtered using the following command line: "bcftools filter --

SnpGap 10 --include '(REF="A" | REF="C" | REF="G" | REF="T") & %QUAL>=35 &

MIN(IDV)>=2 & MIN(DP)>=5 & INDEL=0' alignment.vcf > alignment.filtered.vcf". This

filtering step eliminates indels with low-confidence single-nucleotide variant calls. It also

eliminates candidate SNVs within 10 base pairs of an indel, since alignment artefacts are

relatively common in the close vicinity of indels. Finally, annotation was added to each filtered

VCF file using snpEff[54] version 4.3t.

The motivation for variant calling was to identify candidate genetic changes in the

evolved populations (compared to the ancestral clone) that might contribute to thermal

adaptation. Specifically, two types of genetic adaptation were of interest: (i) mutations arising *de*

novo after the t0 time-point and becoming fixed in an evolved population and (ii) alleles present

in the ancestral clone, that become fixed in an evolved population. In both cases, fixation could

arise through either drift or selection. Fixation of *de novo* mutations would result in allele

proportion rising from zero at t0 to close to 1 by 300 generations. Because the ancestral

population was a genetically homogeneous clone, standing variation consists solely as

heterozygosity, and we expect to observe alleles increasing in proportion from 0.5 at t0 to close

to one by 300 generations. Therefore, we took two distinct approaches to surveying variation: (i)

identifying SNVs that were undetectable in the ancestral population (at a sequencing depth of at

least 10 x) but were supported by 100% of the aligned reads in an evolved population (at depth

of at least 5 x) and (ii) identifying SNVs that plausibly had a population proportion of 0.5 in the

ancestral population (due to heterozygosity), at depth of at least 10 x, and were supported by

100% of the aligned reads in an evolved population, at depth of at least 5 x (see also Table S14

for average sequencing depths).

The output of SNV-calling was a matrix of estimated allele frequencies at each SNV site

for each sample. All SNVs included in the matrices had passed reliability filtering, as described

above. Following the approach of a recent study[50], the estimated allele frequency was defined as

the ratio of sequence reads matching the alternative allele versus total number of reads aligned at

that site. In other words, the sample proportion is used as an estimate of the proportion:

863
$$f_{pm} \approx \frac{A_{pm}}{D_{pm}}$$

864   where for mutation m and population p, A_{pm} and D_{pm} are respectively the count of aligned reads

865   matching the alternate allele and the total counts (*i.e.* depth). Inevitably, this estimator of f_{pm} will

866   be confounded by sampling error. Assuming that each sequence read is drawn randomly from the

867   population, the sample proportion will follow a binomial distribution:

868
$$A_{pm} \sim \text{Binomial}(D_{pm}, f_{pm})$$

Given the sample size (in this case, D_{pm}) and the population proportion (f_{pm}), it is possible

to calculate the 95% confidence interval of the estimate of f_{pm}[55]. With small sample sizes, the

confidence intervals are wide. For example, with D_{pm} (depth) of 10 x and f_{pm} (allele frequency)

of 0.5, the 95% confidence interval is 0.5 ± 0.31. For depth 50 x it is 0.5 ± 0.14 and for depth

100 x it is 0.5 ± 0.10 (see also Fig. S7). Therefore, in this study, we refrain from making

inferences based on small changes in allele proportions among populations, but rather restrict

this to scenarios where estimated proportion changes from zero to one or from 0.5 ± 0.2 to one.

Notwithstanding the problems of precisely quantifying allele frequency, it is

straightforward to identify with confidence those SNVs that were absent in the ancestral

population and at very high abundance in one or more of the evolved populations after 300

generations. A variant m was considered absent in the ancestral t0 population if $D_{t0m} >= 10$ and

$A_{t0m} = 0$. Since this ancestral population was clonal, the possible allele frequencies that D_{t0m} can

take are zero or unity or, if heterozygous, 0.5. Assuming a binomial distribution, the probability

of an allele with population frequency of 0.5 being not represented among a sample of 10 aligned

sequence reads is $0.5^{10} = 0.00098$. Similarly, if in a t300 population p, $D_{pm} >= 5$ and $A_{pm}/D_{pm} = 1$,

then it can be confidently inferred that variant m is indeed present in population p. Assuming a

high sequencing error rate of 1%, the probability of falsely calling the presence of a variant on

this basis is $0.01^{5} = 1e^{-10}$; in practice, Illumina error rates are closer to 0.1% than 1% making the

probability of a false positive even smaller. Thus, we include in our analyses any candidate

variant m in a population p if all the following criteria are satisfied:

i. $D_{t0m} >= 10$

ii. $A_{t0m} = 0$

iii. $D_{pm} >= 5$

iv. $A_{pm}/D_{pm} = 1$

v.   Variant p is called by the *bcftools* and passes filters in at least one population

The matrices containing SNVs that had passed reliability filtering, had become fixed after 300

generations, and were within protein-coding regions, were used for the downstream statistical

analyses implemented in R using the packages vegan (2.4-3), mixOmics (6.1-3), ade4 (1.7-10),

topGO (2.26-0,with Bioconductor 3.4) and biomaRt (2.3-0 with Bioconductor 3.4), and

phangorn (2.2-0).

The matrices were first used for principal component analyses based on Euclidean distance

matrices. From these, we pulled the top 100 loadings for the two major axes of variation, and

associated them to a treatment through the plotLoadings functions within the mixOmics package

(6.1-3), which performs discriminant PCA and then represent the loading weight of each selected

variable on each component, i.e. the highest absolute value indicating the strongest association of

a gene with SNVs to a treatment group. This allows us to find treatment associated groups in

which the changes in a gene or SNVs are maximal [56,57]. From this, we can infer a number of

genes, were SNVs are most likely associated with a treatment. For these, we performed an

enrichment analysis for gene ontology using the R package topGO (2.26-0,with Bioconductor

3.4) and biomaRt (2.3-0 with Bioconductor 3.4). We created a 'gene universe' as a reference

data set, using the entire *T. pseudonana* genome, and matching all gene locus tags with the

appropriate GO term for biological processes through protists.ensemble.org. Our test data frame

contained the genes that had been drawn from the top 100 loadings of the two major principle

component axes, the associated GO terms, and the PCA loadings. Based on these we performed a

Kolmogorov-Smirnov like test which computes enrichment taking into account not only the GO

hierarchies, but also the PCA loading scores. This allow us to test the over-representation of Go

terms within the group of genes found to be most important in the PCA (table 2).

To test for separation of samples by treatment, and within treatment variation, the Euclidean

distance matrices were used to perform AMOVAs (Analyses of molecular variation) following

[37]. Briefly, the Euclidean distance matrix of SNVs is used to apportion molecular variance

according to hierarchical subdivision. In our case, this is variation within populations (biological

replicates), between populations (biological replicates within the same treatments), and between

treatments. To disentangle whether i) there were differences in the amount of variation between

populations in different treatments and ii) treatments were significantly genetically divergent

from each other, AMOVAs were performed on populations from each treatment separately

(yielding a measure of variation that can be compared across treatments) and for all populations

in all treatments combined (yielding a measure of variation, or distance, between populations

from specific treatments). The significance of the different components of variance was tested

using a permutation approach[37], which removes the assumption of normality that underlies

conventional ANOVAS, but which is often not appropriate for molecular data.

All trees for were built within the phangorn package through neighbor-joining, and annotation of

trees was carried out in the FigTree software (FIGTREE 1.4.3

http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Assessment of the abundance of other taxa

The diatom cultures used in this study were not axenic and therefore the genomic sequence data

contained both sequence reads originating from the diatom and also from other organisms such

as bacteria that were present in the cultures. To estimate the relative abundances of taxa

represented in the data, we used BLASTN (version 2.5.0+) to align 10,000 sequence reads from

each sample against the NCBI's non-redundant Nucleotide (also known as "NT") database [58]

downloaded on December 9th, 2016, using command-line options "-num_alignments 20 -evalue

1e-10". Matches were assigned to taxa using MEGAN (version 5.11.3) [59]. Similar to analysis of

the diatom data, we combined the allele frequencies into a single matrix, which was used for the

downstream statistical analyses implemented in R using the 'vegan' (2.4-3) and 'ecodist' (2.01)

packages. Distance matrices using the Bray-Curtis index were created from these, on which we

ran PERMANOVAs to test for separation of samples by treatment. Pairwise contrasts between

treatments were examined in PERMDISP followed by TukeyHSD post-hoc tests. See Fig. S14

and Table S16 for a comparison of bacterial composition across treatments.

<u>Other</u> <u>Statistical</u> <u>Analyses</u>

Fitness trajectories

The resultant time series of specific growth rates were analyzed using a generalised additive

mixed effects model (GAMM) to assess whether the fitness trajectories differed between the

selection regimes. We used GAMMs to account for the hierarchical nature of our experimental

data. For example, our experimental design yielded replicate fitness trajectories in each selection

treatment. This hierarchical structure meant that measurements were non-independent – e.g.

measurements from the same replicate will be auto correlated. We account for this by treating

replicate as a random effect on the intercept of the model, which models deviations among

replicates from the fixed effects as normally distributed with a mean of zero. The most complex

models included an effect of treatment (e.g. '22', '26', '32', '22-32') on the intercept (which

characterises the median value of the response variable) and also allowed the shape of the time

series, which was modeled using a cubic regression spline, to vary among treatments. Treatment

effects on the shape and intercept of the seasonal phenology were modeled as fixed effects in the

GAMMs. Model selection entailed fitting a range of models to the data, starting with the full

model and then a series of reduced models with interaction terms and main effects removed to

test hypotheses about the potential differences in the fitness trajectories among treatments. For

multi-model selection, we computed small sample-size corrected AIC scores (AICc) and then

compared between models by calculating delta AICc values and AIC weights using the 'MuMIn'

package. GAMMs were fitted to the data using the 'gamm4' package and were conducted in R

(v.3.23) (see Fig. S15 for trajectories per biological replicate).

Thermal responses of growth and metabolism

The thermal responses for growth, photosynthesis and respiration were quantified using a

modified version of the Sharpe-Schoolfield equation (see [60,61] for the original equations), which

assumes that the rate of growth or metabolism is limited by single enzyme catalyzed reaction

$$\ln\big(b(T)\big) = E_a \left(\frac{1}{kT_c} - \frac{1}{kT}\right) + \ln\big(b(T_c)\big) - \ln\left(1 + e^{E_h\left(\frac{1}{kT_h} - \frac{1}{kT}\right)}\right) \tag{6}$$

where $b(T)$, is the rate of metabolism (in µg C µg C^{-1} d^{-1}) or growth (d^{-1}), k is Boltzmann's

constant (8.62×10^{-5} eV K^{-1}), E_a is the activation energy (in eV) for the metabolic process,

indicative of the steepness of the slope leading to a thermal optimum, T is temperature in Kelvin

(K), E_h characterises temperature-induced inactivation of enzyme kinetics above T_h where half

the enzymes are rendered non-functional and $b(T_c)$ is the rate of metabolism at an arbitrary

reference temperature, here $T_c = 18$ºC, where no low or high temperature inactivation is

experienced. Equation (6) yields a maximum metabolic rate at an optimum temperature, where

metabolic rates are fastest:

$$T_{opt} = \frac{E_h T_h}{E_h + kT_h \ln\left(\frac{E_h}{E_a} - 1\right)} \tag{7}$$

Equation (6) differs from the Sharpe-Schoolfield equations in several ways. First, we

exclude parameters from Eq. (6) used to characterise low-temperature inactivation due to

insufficient data to quantify this phenomenon in our analysis. Second, rather than characterise

temperature effects below T_{opt} using the Eyring (1935) relation, $\left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right) e^{E_a\left(\frac{1}{kT_c}-\frac{1}{kT}\right)}$, we instead use

the simpler Boltzmann factor, $e^{E_a\left(\frac{1}{kT_c}-\frac{1}{kT}\right)}$. This simplification enables an explicit solution for T_{opt}

(Eq. 7) and facilitates more direct comparison with previous work on the temperature

dependence of metabolism using metabolic theory [62-65].

The parameters $b(T_c)$, E_a, E_h, T_h, and T_{opt}, represent traits that characterise a unimodal

thermal response curve, and we expect them to differ between selection regimes owing to

thermal adaptation. To test this hypothesis, we fitted the rate data to Eq. (6) using non-linear

mixed effects models in the 'nlme' package in R. We analyzed the photosynthesis, respiration

and growth data in separate mixed effects models. We also analyzed the thermal responses for

ancestor separately from the data for the evolved lineages following the selection experiment.

Models included random effects on each of the parameters of Eq. (6) by replicate, and for the

analysis with evolved lineages, 'selection environment' as a fixed four level factor on each

parameter. For the analysis of the metabolism data for the ancestor we included both

photosynthesis and respiration data together, with 'flux' as a two-level fixed factor on each of the

parameters in Eq. (6), to establish whether the thermal responses differed between these fluxes

and likely physiological constraints on growth prior to the selection experiment. Model fitting

and selection started with the most complex possible model, including fixed and random effects

on all parameters. Model selection then proceeded by first removing treatment effects on the

parameters individually, then in pairs of two and in pairs of three, and finally, by removing the

treatment-effect all four parameters. For multi-model selection we computed small sample-size

corrected AIC scores (AICc) and then compared between models by calculating delta AICc

values and AIC weights using the 'MuMIn' package. When candidate models deviated from the

most parsimonious model (that with the lowest AICc score) by less than two AICc units,

parameters were averaged across those candidate models. The relative importance of the fixed

factors in the averaged model was determined using the sum of their relative weights (see Table

S5 and S6).

1018

Carbon-use efficiency (CUE)

Carbon use efficiency, as the *potential* for carbon allocation to growth, was calculated from the

gross photosynthesis (P) and respiration (R) data as $CUE = 1 - R/P$. For statistical analysis, we

used CUE calculated at the temperature of the selection environment. In the fluctuating

treatment, we used data for photosynthesis and respiration at 32ºC to aid comparison with the

populations experiencing 32ºC throughout. We fitted a linear mixed effects model to these data,

with 'selection regime' as a fixed effect and biological replicate as a random effect. Model

selection proceeded as described above. See also Fig S5.

1027

Macromolecular composition and photosynthetic efficiency

The C, N and P content, the C:N, C:P, N:P, Chl:C ratio, size and silicate content, as well as Φ_{PSII}

at high and low light, were each analyzed using a separate mixed effects model, where 'selection

regime' was a fixed effect and replicate was a random effect on the intercept (see Table S10 and

S11 for details). To determine quantify the effects temperature on the elemental composition of

the ancestor, a separate mixed effects model was run for ancestral samples only, with 'assay

temperature' as a fixed factor and replicate as a random effect (see also Table S11 for details).

For both datasets, model selection was as described above, with the best model being chosen

based on the lowest AICc and high AICc weight.

1037

We quantified the light response curve of Φ_{PSII} using an exponential decay model

$\Phi_{PSII} = a * \exp(I * b)$ (8)

where I is the irradiance, a is a normalization constant, and b is the rate constant which

characterises how rapidly Φ_{PSII} declines with increasing I. Eq. (8) was fitted to the Φ_{PSII} data

using a non-linear mixed model including random effects by biological replicate on each

parameter and 'selection environment' as a fixed factor. Model output was then used to calculate

Φ_{PSII} at the light intensity that samples would have experienced in the incubators (i.e. $\sim 100\mu$mol

quanta m^{-2} s^{-1}). Model selection then proceeded as described above for P and R data. Detailed

model output and model selection data are available in Table S9 where nomenclature and

acronyms are as given here (see also Fig. S3).

1048

On the phenotype data, we ran PERMANOVAs (Permutational Multivariate Analysis of

Variance) to test for separation of samples by treatment. Here, the test is based on the distance

matrix containing all phenotypic data of the evolved lineages from all treatments relative to the

ancestor at that same temperature. Subsequently, contrasts between treatments were examined

through a test of homogeneity of dispersion (permdist in R), followed by TukeyHSD post-hoc

tests.

1055