Behavioral and neural indices of affective coloring for neutral social stimuli

Running title: Affective coloring of neutral faces

Daniel W. Grupe, Stacey M. Schaefer, Regina C. Lapate, Andrew J. Schoen, Lauren K. Gresham, Jeanette A. Mumford, and Richard J. Davidson

Center for Healthy Minds and Waisman Laboratory for Brain Imaging and Behavior, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 53703.

Corresponding author:
Daniel W. Grupe
625 West Washington Ave
Madison, WI 53703
grupe@wisc.edu
Phone: 608-263-7572
Fax: 608-265-5535

Word count (excluding references, tables, and figure legends)**:** 4,739

Author contributions: S.M. Schaefer, R.C. Lapate, and R.J. Davidson developed the study concept and contributed to study design. Testing and data collection was performed by A.J. Schoen and L.K. Gresham. D.W. Grupe, S.M. Schaefer, R.C. Lapate, and J.A. Mumford performed the data analysis and interpretation. D.W. Grupe drafted the manuscript, and all authors provided critical revisions and approved the final version of the manuscript for submission.

Conflict of interest: RJD serves on the board of directors for the following non-profit organizations: The Mind and Life Institute and Healthy Minds Innovations. The other authors report no perceived or real conflicts of interest.

Funding: This work was supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (P01-AG020166 and R01-MH043454).

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Luke Hinsenkamp, Ben Hushek, Ayla Kruis, Phoebe Marquardt, Karthik Aroor, Nathan Vack, Michael Anderle, Ron Fisher, and Scott Mikkelson for assistance with data collection, processing, and analysis.

Abstract

Emotional processing often continues beyond the duration of emotionally evocative stimuli, resulting in affective biasing or coloring of subsequently encountered events. Here, we describe neural correlates of affective coloring and examine how individual differences in affective style impact the magnitude of affective coloring. We conducted functional magnetic resonance imaging in 117 adults who passively viewed negative, neutral, and positive pictures presented 2s prior to neutral faces. Brain responses to neutral faces were modulated by the valence of preceding pictures, with greater activation for faces following negative (vs. positive) pictures in the amygdala (particularly for older adults), dorsomedial and lateral prefrontal cortex, ventral visual cortices, posterior superior temporal sulcus, and angular gyrus. Three days after the MRI scan, participants rated their memory and liking of previously encountered neutral faces. Individuals higher in trait positive affect and emotional reappraisal rated faces as more likable when preceded by emotionally arousing (negative or positive) pictures. Additionally, greater amygdala responses to neutral faces preceded by positively valenced pictures predicted greater memory for these faces three days later. Collectively, these results reveal individual differences in how emotions spill over onto the processing of unrelated social stimuli, resulting in persistent and affectively biased evaluations of such stimuli.

Keywords: affective coloring, amygdala, individual differences, faces, emotion

Introduction

Emotional experiences are inherently “sticky”. Responses to affectively significant events (whether positive or negative) are rarely temporally constrained to the time of exposure, but can persist long after these events have passed (Frijda et al. 1991; Verduyn et al. 2015). Completely unrelated events, encountered in the wake of these evocative emotional experiences, may be processed through a particular affective filter, consequently “coloring” the perception or evaluation of unrelated events (Anderson, Siegel, White, & Barrett, 2012; Murphy & Zajonc, 1993). For example, harsh feedback from a graduate student’s supervisor may result in an intractable state of negative affect, which is subsequently reflected in overly critical evaluations of the statistics exams she grades that evening. Notably, under certain circumstances such affective coloring has the potential to result in biased long-term evaluations of, or memory for, subsequent unrelated events or interactions (Lapate et al. 2017; Tambini et al. 2016). While recent studies have begun to illuminate the neural correlates of affective coloring (Lapate et al. 2016; Lapate et al. 2017; Tambini et al. 2016), it is unknown whether and how inter-individual variability in neural mechanisms or trait-like indices of affective style bear on affective coloring outcomes.

Individual differences in the temporal dynamics of emotional responding, or “affective chronometry” (Davidson 1998), may be critically important for the extent of such affective coloring. It is now well established that individual differences in affective chronometry are critical for both positive well-being (Davidson 2004; Heller et al. 2013; Schaefer et al. 2013) and risk of psychopathology (Blackford et al. 2009; Burke et al. 2005; Dichter and Tomarken 2008; Lapate et al. 2014; Larson et al. 2006; Schuyler et

al. 2014; Siegle et al. 2002) in addition to negative physical health outcomes (Brosschot et al. 2006). Investigating affective coloring may afford insight into one pathway through which the temporal dynamics of emotion can impact psychological health: Individuals who quickly recover to a baseline state may exhibit minimal behavioral or biological indicators of spillover of emotion from one context to the next, whereas those who are slower to recover from negative events (or who are more capable of “savoring” positive events) will be more susceptible to this spillover or coloring. For example, previous studies utilizing daily diary methods have shown that while individuals with depression do not necessarily show greater reactivity to stressful life events, the impact of interpersonal stressors “spills over” into greater experiences of negative affect on subsequent days (Gunthert et al. 2007), and that the extent of this spillover predicts poorer response to cognitive behavioral therapy (Cohen et al. 2008). The laboratory investigation of individual differences in affective coloring may thus provide novel mechanistic insight into affective pathology and its treatment in a more carefully controlled setting.

To that end, our lab has developed an fMRI paradigm designed to measure the impact of well-characterized affective stimuli on immediate (neural) and long-term (behavioral) indices of affective coloring (specifically, the coloring of neutral social stimuli; **Figure 1**). In this task, participants passively view negative, neutral, and positive pictures that are followed by a face displaying a neutral expression, and are instructed to indicate the gender of the face (a low-level categorization task). To investigate affective coloring of neural responses, we compare brain responses to neutral faces as a function of the preceding picture valence. To investigate enduring behavioral indices

of affective coloring, we present the same faces to participants 3 days later and test the extent to which memory or liking of these faces is modulated by the type of picture that initially preceded these faces.

In a large and diverse sample of adults across the lifespan, we here characterize a network of brain regions involved in social and emotional processing that was selectively responsive to neutral faces preceded by negative (vs. positive) pictures. Although we did not observe behavioral evidence of enduring affective coloring at the group level, an individual differences approach illuminated a role for trait-like measures of affective style, as well as valence-modulated amygdala responding to neutral faces, in long-term affective coloring of these neutral social stimuli. In light of relationships between trait-like differences in affective style and risk for developing psychopathology (Fredrickson et al. 2003; Troy et al. 2010; Shackman et al. 2016), and alterations in emotional memory and amygdala activation in conditions such as depression and posttraumatic stress disorder (Hayes et al. 2011; Mather et al. 2006), our results suggest that this affective coloring framework may provide novel insight into neural and behavioral mechanisms of affective psychopathology.

Method

Participants

Participants for this study were drawn from Midlife in the United States (MIDUS), a national longitudinal study of health and well-being across the lifespan (http://www.midus.wisc.edu). For the current study, we included participants from the MIDUS “refresher” sample who were enrolled beginning in 2012 in an effort to expand

and refresh the original MIDUS sample with younger age cohorts. The majority of the MIDUS refresher sample was recruited through random digit dialing of adults throughout the U.S. The refresher sample also includes an oversampling of African American participants from Milwaukee, WI who were recruited by door-to-door solicitation. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) task data were collected on a subset of 122 MIDUS refresher participants living in the Midwest who were able to travel to our laboratory, including 35 participants from the Milwaukee subsample. All participants were right handed and were screened to ensure MRI compatibility, and all provided informed consent prior to all study procedures.

MRI data collection

MRI data were collected on a 3T scanner (MR750 GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI) using an 8-channel head coil. We collected 3 sets of echo planar images during the fMRI task (231 volumes, TR=2000, TE=20, flip angle=60°, field of view=220 mm, 96x64 matrix, 3-mm slice thickness with 1-mm gap, 40 interleaved sagittal slices, and ASSET parallel imaging with an acceleration factor of 2). A 3-dimensional magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo sequence (MPRAGE (Mugler and Brookeman 1990)) was used to acquire a T1-weighted anatomical image for functional data registration (TR=8.2, TE=3.2, flip angle=12°, field of view=256 mm, 256x256 matrix, 160 axial slices, inversion time = 450 ms). The MRI session also included the acquisition of field map images, a resting-state scan, diffusion-weighted data, and perfusion data.

Functional MRI task

During fMRI scanning, participants passively viewed pictures from the International Affective Picture System (IAPS; Lang, Bradley, and Cuthbert 2008), presented for 4s

(**Figure 1**). Each of 3 runs contained 10 pictures each from negative, neutral, and positive valence categories, for a total of 90 picture presentations. Pictures were presented using a pseudorandom trial order with the requirement that no more than 2 pictures from the same valence category be presented in a row. Within each valence category, stimuli were randomly selected for each participant. Each picture was followed by a 2s inter-stimulus interval and a .5s neutral face presentation. Simulations conducted using optseq2 (https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/fswiki/optseq2) comparing different static intervals, as well as jittered timings between the picture and face, suggested that this fixed 2s interval provided the greatest power to detect effects of interest during the face period. Participants were instructed to press one of two buttons to indicate the gender of the face, a low-level categorization task chosen to confirm task engagement without interfering with natural processing of the faces or preceding IAPS pictures. Faces were followed by an inter-trial interval between 3.5-27.5s (mean duration=7.5s). A 1s crosshair appeared before the start of each trial to orient participants' attention.

Average normative arousal ratings were equivalent for negative (5.46 ± 0.66) and positive (5.47 ± 0.53) IAPS pictures, which were both greater than those for neutral pictures (3.16 ± 0.42; ts > 16.1, ps < 0.001). Pictures within each valence category were matched on luminosity and visual complexity, and for the number of pictures rated to be social in content. Faces were drawn from the XM2VTSDB multi-modal face database (Messer et al. 1999), the NimStim database (Tottenham et al. 2009), and the Montreal Set of Facial Displays of Emotion (Beaupre, Cheung, and Hess 2000). In light of our diverse subject population, these faces included equal proportions of male and females

with a broad range of ages and ethnicities. Faces were cropped just above the hair and below the chin, converted to black and white, and edited (e.g., to remove distinctive facial hair and eyeglasses). A total of 45 neutral faces were presented, and each face was presented following 2 randomly selected pictures from the same valence category.

FMRI data processing and analysis

FMRI data processing was carried out using FEAT (FMRI Expert Analysis Tool) Version 6.00, part of FSL (FMRIB's Software Library, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). Preprocessing steps included removal of the first 4 volumes, motion correction using MCFLIRT, removal of non-brain regions using BET, spatial smoothing using a Gaussian kernel with 5mm full-width at half-maximum, grand-mean intensity normalization, and high-pass temporal filtering. We excluded 5 participants following first-level data processing (1 for excessive movement [>1mm mean framewise displacement across the entire run], 2 for failing to provide behavioral responses, and 2 for distorted functional data), resulting in a final sample size of 117 for fMRI analyses (64 female/53 male; Milwaukee subsample N = 35; mean age ± SD = 48.3 ± 11.8; range = 26-76). Additionally, due to excessive motion and/or failure to provide behavioral responses on at least 25% of trials, we excluded 1 run from 10 participants and 2 runs from 1 participant; for the other 106 participants, we included data from all 3 runs in group-level analyses.

The first-level general linear model included 6 regressors of interest, consisting of separate picture and face regressors for each picture valence (Negative/Neutral/Positive). Regressors of no interest included mean-centered response times to face presentations, 6 motion parameters with their first- and second-

order derivatives, and a confound regressor for each volume with > .9 mm framewise displacement (Siegel et al. 2014). Additional processing steps included correction of time series autocorrelation, resampling of functional data to $2mm^3$ isotropic voxels, and registration to Montreal Neurological Institute template space.

As a complementary approach, we modeled amygdala time series data using a set of 7 finite impulse response (FIR) basis functions. This allowed for model-free estimation of the hemodynamic response from the time of picture onset through the first 14 seconds of each trial (corresponding to the minimum trial length). We then extracted empirically derived activation estimates for each trial type separately. For group-level analyses we averaged these estimates across individuals at each volume, calculated valence and arousal contrast estimates at each time point, and conducted paired-sample *t* tests for these contrasts.

Group analysis of fMRI data

Primary group analyses for the fMRI data were conducted using two orthogonal contrasts for both the picture and face epochs: a “Valence” contrast (Negative vs. Positive) and an “Arousal” contrast (.5*(Negative+Positive)-Neutral). For significant effects involving the Arousal contrast, follow-up analyses examined whether effects were driven more by the Negative or Positive condition. For primary analyses focused on the amygdala, we extracted mean parameter estimates for each of these contrasts from voxels with ≥ 50% probability of being assigned to the bilateral amygdala from the Harvard-Oxford probabilistic atlas (volume=4040 mm^3; Desikan et al., 2006; **Figure 2a**), which was chosen as an *a priori* ROI prior to data analysis. In addition to this *a priori* region of interest, we conducted whole-brain voxelwise analyses on the same 2

contrasts for the picture and face periods. Cluster threshold correction was applied using a Z threshold of 3.09 and a cluster-corrected significance value of $p < 0.05$.

Behavioral data collection and analysis

Participants were asked to log onto the internet 3 days after the MRI session, and complete ratings of the 45 faces they viewed during the MRI task, which were interspersed with 45 novel faces. Each of these 90 faces was presented for an unlimited duration with one of two continuous rating scales that asked participants to rate their memory and liking of these faces. The memory scale had equidistant anchor points labeled “completely certain new”, “somewhat certain new”, “unsure”, “somewhat certain old”, and “completely certain old”; the liking scale had equidistant anchor points labeled “really dislike”, “somewhat dislike”, “unsure”, “somewhat like”, and “really like”. Memory ratings were always completed prior to liking ratings.

Memory and liking ratings were obtained from 105 and 104 participants, respectively. Of these participants, 17 were not comfortable using the online rating scale or did not have access to a computer; these individuals instead completed ratings using paper packets. Ratings collected electronically and on paper were both converted to values ranging between -1 and 1, with higher values indicating greater liking of, or greater confidence of having previously seen, each face. These ratings were completed exactly 3 days after the scan in 89 subjects (range = 3-10 days, mean ± SD = 3.3 ± 1.0 days).

Analysis of these behavioral data tested the extent to which each participant’s long-term memory or liking of neutral faces was colored by the content of preceding IAPS pictures from the scan session. We conducted paired *t* tests on these behavioral data

using the same contrasts as with the fMRI data in order to test whether the valence or arousal of pictures had a persistent impact on behavioral evaluations of subsequently presented faces. We predicted that 1) memory for neutral faces would be enhanced for faces following emotionally arousing pictures (Negative or Positive, relative to Neutral), and 2) liking of neutral faces would be enhanced for faces following Positive relative to Negative pictures.

Individual differences analyses

We conducted analyses to explore the impact of individual differences in both affective style and amygdala activation on the affective coloring of behavior, as assayed by 3-day liking and memory ratings. We examined 4 self-report measures of affective style: state Positive and Negative Affect from the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (Watson, Clark, and Tellegen 1988); the Reappraisal subscale of the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (Gross and John 2003); and total score on the Psychological Well-Being Scale (Ryff and Keyes 1995). For each of these 4 indices we calculated Pearson correlation coefficients with subsequent ratings of face liking and memory, both as a function of preceding pictures' Valence and Arousal. We corrected for multiple comparisons testing using a conservative Bonferroni-corrected *p* value of 0.003125 (4 measures * 2 behavioral ratings (liking/memory) * 2 contrasts (Valence/Arousal).

We also tested 2 specific hypotheses regarding relationships between individual differences in affectively-modulated amygdala responses to neutral faces and long-lasting behavioral evaluations of these faces. First, as an extension of findings that the amygdala mediates arousal-enhanced memory for emotional stimuli (Canli et al. 2000; Kensinger and Corkin 2004), we hypothesized that amygdala responses to faces

following arousing pictures would predict greater memory for those faces 3 days later. Second, we correlated amygdala responses to faces following Negative vs. Positive pictures (Valence contrast) with liking ratings, with the prediction that more negative affective coloring of faces (as manifest in stronger amygdala responses) would predict relatively lower levels of liking 3 days later. In addition to these *a priori* hypotheses, tested by calculating bivariate Pearson correlation coefficients, we also conducted exploratory whole-brain, voxelwise correlation analyses to identify other regions in which activation predicted subsequent behavioral evaluations of neutral faces.

For each of these individual differences analyses, participants with behavioral data > 3 SD from the mean were excluded as univariate outliers. Additionally, for all effects identified as significant, the primary analysis (*t* test or correlation) was repeated as a linear regression model, controlling separately for effects of age, gender, or Sample (Milwaukee/main sample). Statistical maps for all whole-brain, voxelwise analyses are available at http://neurovault.org/collections/2803/.

Results

<u>Amygdala responses to neutral faces are modulated by the valence of preceding pictures</u>

During picture viewing, amygdala activation was modulated by emotionally arousing pictures ((.5*(Negative+Positive)>Neutral); $t(116) = 5.94$, $p < 0.001$, $d = 0.55$), and also by the valence of these pictures (Negative>Positive; $t(116) = 3.33$, $p = 0.0012$, $d = 0.31$). Whole-brain activation for each of these contrasts during picture viewing is available at http://neurovault.org/collections/2803/.

Amygdala responses to neutral faces were not modulated by the arousal contrast for

preceding pictures ($t(116) = -0.33$, $p > 0.7$). However, amygdala responses to neutral faces were modulated by the valence of preceding pictures, with greater activation when the preceding picture was negative vs. positive ($t(116) = 2.39$, $p = 0.027$, $d = 0.22$; **Figure 2b**). We also estimated amygdala responses directly from the data using a set of FIR basis functions (**Figure 2c**). This model-free approach revealed clearly distinct hemodynamic responses in the amygdala to the picture and face epochs, with peak responses 3 volumes (6s) after picture onset and 2-3 volumes (4-6s) after face onset. Consistent with our primary analysis, we observed greater amygdala activation for the negative vs. positive condition at volumes 5 ($t(116) = 2.76$, $p = 0.0067$, $d = 0.27$) and 7 ($t(116) = 3.68$, $p < 0.001$, $d = 0.39$), i.e., corresponding to 4 and 8 seconds, respectively, after face onset.

Notably, when including age as a covariate, we no longer observed a significant group effect of Valence ($t(112) = 1.24$, $p = 0.22$). Indeed, age showed a marginally significant correlation with amygdala activation for the Valence contrast ($r(115) = 0.17$, $p = 0.064$), with greater (Negative>Positive) amygdala activation in older adults. To visualize this age effect, we conducted a *post hoc* median split of the sample into adults younger than 50 ($N = 58$) and older than 50 ($N = 59$). Whereas older adults had significant amygdala modulation by preceding picture valence ($t(58) = 2.75$, $p = 0.008$, $d = 0.36$), younger adults showed no statistical difference ($t(57) = 0.79$, $p = 0.44$, $d = 0.10$). Valence modulation of the amygdala was also reduced to non-significance when controlling for gender ($t(115) = 1.62$, $p = 0.11$), although there were no gender differences in amygdala signal ($t(115) = -0.02$, $p = 0.98$). The amygdala effect remained significant when controlling for Sample status ($t(115) = 2.20$, $p = 0.03$).

Whole-brain responses to faces modulated by the valence of preceding pictures

We conducted whole-brain-corrected voxelwise searches to identify whether regions beyond the amygdala showed differential responses to neutral faces based on preceding pictures' valence or arousal. For the valence contrast, we observed greater activation for neutral faces following negative vs. positive pictures in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), left inferior frontal gyrus, lateral fusiform gyri bilaterally, right posterior superior temporal sulcus (STS), bilateral angular gyri, and the left cerebellum (**Figure 3a** and http://neurovault.org/collections/2803/). The arousal contrast revealed enhanced activation in occipital cortex for faces following emotionally arousing (negative or positive vs. neutral) pictures (**Figure 3b** and http://neurovault.org/collections/2803/). All results held when controlling for covariates of age, gender, or Sample, and no regions were identified that showed effects in the opposite directions.

Individual differences in affective style predict liking of neutral faces three days later

On an average of 3 days after the fMRI session, participants provided memory and then liking ratings of the 45 faces viewed during the fMRI session, intermixed with 45 face foils. Participants were able to successfully discriminate previously seen from novel foil faces ($t(104) = 12.48$, $p < 0.001$, $d = 1.22$), and they rated previously seen faces as more likable than novel faces (Zajonc, 1968; $t(103) = 7.33$, $p < 0.001$, $d = 0.72$). Contrary to hypotheses, there were no main effects of preceding pictures' valence or arousal on long-term memory or liking ratings ($|ts| < 0.9$, $ps > 0.4$).

We next asked whether individual differences in self-report measures of affective style predicted long-lasting affective coloring of neutral faces. Faces preceded by emotionally arousing (vs. neutral) pictures were rated 3 days later as more likeable by

individuals higher in trait positive affect ($r(100) = 0.25$, $p = 0.012$, uncorrected; **Figure 4a**) and emotional reappraisal ($r(99) = 0.34$, $p = < 0.001$, Bonferroni-corrected $\alpha < 0.05$; **Figure 4b**). The relationship between reappraisal and liking still survived Bonferroni correction ($\alpha < 0.05$) when controlling for age, gender, or Sample. The relationship with positive affect remained significant (not correcting for multiple comparisons) when controlling for age, gender, or Sample (*t*s > 2.3, *p*s < 0.03).

Follow-up analyses showed that these effects were present for faces following both positive and negative (relative to neutral) pictures (positive affect: *r*s > 0.20, *p*s < 0.05; reappraisal: *r*s > 0.25, *p*s < 0.02). No correlations were observed between liking ratings and trait negative affect or psychological well-being, or between memory ratings and any of these 4 self-report measures (|*r*s| < 0.14, *p*s > 0.1).

<u>Individual differences in amygdala activation predict memory for neutral faces three days later</u>

Consistent with predictions, individuals with increased amygdala activation to faces presented following emotionally arousing pictures had greater memory for those same faces when tested 3 days later ($r(100) = 0.21$, $p = 0.039$; **Figure 5a**). Planned follow-up analyses showed that this effect was driven by faces following positive vs. neutral pictures ($r(99) = 0.21$, $p = 0.036$; **Figure 5b**), with no relationship for faces following negative vs. neutral pictures ($r(100) = -0.03$, $p = 0.78$). Amygdala responses to faces following positive pictures still predicted enhanced memory for those faces when controlling for age, gender, or Sample (*t*s > 2.1, *p*s < 0.04). In contrast, there was no relationship between differential amygdala responses to faces following negative vs. positive pictures and subsequent behavioral evaluations (liking or memory) of those

faces 3 days later (*r*s| < 0.11, *p*s > 0.3). Exploratory analyses did not reveal any other brain regions in which affectively colored activation to neutral faces predicted face ratings 3 days later (http://neurovault.org/collections/2803/).

Collectively, these results show that short-term (neural) response to neutral faces are affectively colored by preceding emotional pictures, and that long-term (behavioral) indices of affective coloring vary as a function of individual differences in trait affective style as well as emotionally modulated amygdala activation.

Discussion

In a large and diverse sample of healthy adults, we have demonstrated an important role for individual differences in emotional responding on determining enduring behavioral effects of affective coloring. We found that self-report measures of positive affective style were associated with more positive appraisals of neutral faces that 3 days earlier had been presented in the wake of either positive or negative (relative to neutral) pictures. We also found that greater amygdala responsivity for neutral faces appearing after emotional pictures predicted increased memory for these faces 3 days later, an effect that somewhat unexpectedly was present for positive but not negative affective stimuli. Collectively, our results underscore the critical role of individual differences in determining how emotions spill over onto unrelated social stimuli, thus coloring our subsequent preferences and memory for these stimuli.

Contrary to predictions, we observed no main effects of emotional pictures' valence or arousal on behavioral evaluations of the following neutral faces, assayed 3 days after the fMRI scan, across the sample. Instead, our results indicate that certain individuals are more prone than others to the influence of emotion stimuli on subsequent coloring.

We found that individual differences in questionnaire measures of positive affective style (emotional reappraisal and trait positive affect) were robustly related to arousal-modulated liking of neutral faces viewed 3 days after the fMRI scan. For individuals high in these dimensions, the arousal elicited by pictures may be appraised in a more positive manner due to differences in baseline emotional traits (Schachter and Singer 1962), and may thus have differential consequences for how other stimuli in the environment are processed – in this case, leading individuals to form persistent positive appraisals of neutral faces encountered in the wake of emotionally arousing pictures.

Neurally, greater amygdala responses to neutral faces following more arousing pictures (in particular, positive pictures) were associated with increased memory for those faces when viewed three days later. This result provides a novel contribution to studies on the role of the amygdala in emotional memory, which have previously shown that amygdala activation at encoding mediates the relationship between emotionally arousing stimuli and increased memory encoding or retrieval for those stimuli (Cahill et al. 1996; LaBar and Phelps 1998; Canli et al. 2000; Kensinger and Corkin 2004). Here, we demonstrate that memory for *neutral* faces, presented shortly after positive affective stimuli, is enhanced specifically in individuals who show elevated amygdala responses to those neutral faces (see also Tambini, Rimmele, Phelps, & Davachi, 2016). It is notable that emotionally modulated amygdala activation predicted greater memory for positive and not for negative stimuli. Similarly, an earlier report found memory enhancement for neutral stimuli presented *prior to* positive stimuli, as opposed to a retrograde amnesic effect for neutral stimuli presented before negative stimuli (Hurlemann et al. 2005). Indeed, emotionally enhanced memory of neutral stimuli is

more frequently observed when these neutral stimuli precede rather than follow emotional stimuli (Knight and Mather 2009).

Notably, we did not identify a main effect of memory enhancement or impairment for emotional relative to neutral stimuli; again, as with findings related to affective style, this memory effect emerged purely as a function of our individual differences analytical approach. If replicated and extended in other samples, this finding may have important real-world consequences: those individuals who show prolonged amygdala activation in the immediate aftermath of emotionally arousing events may be more apt to process unrelated (but temporally proximal) neutral stimuli in the environment in a way that enhances encoding or later retrieval. Research into the temporal dynamics of emotional responses may thus bear on our understanding of emotional memory and its disruption in conditions such as posttraumatic stress disorder (Hayes et al. 2011) or depression (Burt, Zembar, & Niederehe, 1995; Mather et al., 2006).

In addition to these long-term behavioral consequences of affective coloring, our experimental paradigm allows for the examination of short-term neural correlates of affective coloring. We provide a novel theoretical contribution by demonstrating that amygdala responses to neutral social stimuli were differentially modulated by the valence of preceding emotional pictures, with greater responses following negative vs. positive pictures. Results from our model-free approach corroborated those of primary analyses, and provided visual evidence that this effect resulted from differential increases in amygdala activation following neutral face onset (as opposed to a sustained response to emotional pictures themselves). This coloring of amygdala responses was observed over a very short timescale, with neutral faces appearing only

2s after picture offset, and it would be informative to probe the system at later time points to track the persistence of this effect.

Left lateral PFC and dorsomedial PFC regions also showed enhanced activation to neutral faces following negative vs. positive pictures. These same regions are consistently engaged during the voluntary regulation of emotional responses (Buhle et al., 2013, Figure 1), although in the current study participants were not given explicit emotion regulatory instructions. Notably, the magnitude of these regions' inverse coupling with the amygdala was recently shown to predict short-term reductions in affective coloring behavior in the aftermath of fearful face viewing (Lapate et al., 2016). Moreover, these regions show significant age-related declines in cortical thickness (Fjell and Walhovd 2010) and associated cognitive function (MacPherson, Phillips, and Della Sala 2002). Further testing is warranted to explore whether age-associated changes in the structure and functionality of these prefrontal regions may underlie the pronounced affective coloring effect observed in the amygdala of older participants.

Similar valence-modulated activation of neutral faces was observed in the lateral fusiform gyrus and posterior STS, which compose a "core system" for face processing (Haxby, Hoffman, and Gobbini 2000). Previous studies have demonstrated that these regions are involved in representing distinct facial expressions of emotion (Fox et al. 2009; Harry et al. 2013; Vuilleumier and Pourtois 2007), thought to reflect the downstream influence of emotional processing conducted in the amygdala (Vuilleumier et al. 2004; Haxby and Gobbini 2011). The current findings support and extend these previous studies and theoretical model, suggesting that affective information may spill over from preceding and unrelated emotional events in a way that can color the

perception or evaluation of unrelated social stimuli. Future work should explore the real-word impact of differential engagement of this social-affective neural circuitry with regard to biased social interactions or other behavioral outcomes.

In summary, we have presented a novel fMRI paradigm that reveals the impact of affective pictures on subsequent neural responses to neutral social stimuli, and uncovers individual differences in affective style and amygdala activation that are related to enduring behavioral indices of affective coloring. This work paves the way for subsequent investigations in affective disorders marked by alterations in affective chronometry, such as truncated experiences of positive affect and slower recovery from negative challenges, which will allow us to relate these temporal dynamic differences to behavioral indices of emotional coloring and different symptom measures.

References

Anderson, Eric, Erika Siegel, Dominique White, and Lisa Feldman Barrett. 2012. “Out of Sight but Not out of Mind: Unseen Affective Faces Influence Evaluations and Social Impressions.” *Emotion* 12 (6): 1210–21. doi:10.1037/a0027514.

Beaupre, MG, N Cheung, and U Hess. 2000. “The Montreal Set of Facial Displays of Emotion.” Montreal.

Blackford, Jennifer U, Suzanne N Avery, Richard C Shelton, and David H Zald. 2009. “Amygdala Temporal Dynamics: Temperamental Differences in the Timing of Amygdala Response to Familiar and Novel Faces.” *BMC Neuroscience* 10: 145. doi:10.1186/1471-2202-10-145.

Brosschot, Jos F, William Gerin, Julian F Thayer, MJ Dealberto, JP Lépine, JC Bisserbe, E Paulling, and JD Gabrieli. 2006. “The Perseverative Cognition Hypothesis: A Review of Worry, Prolonged Stress-Related Physiological Activation, and Health.” *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 60 (2). Harper, Row, New York: 113–24. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2005.06.074.

Buhle, Jason T, Jennifer A Silvers, Tor D Wager, Richard Lopez, Chukwudi Onyemekwu, Hedy Kober, Jochen Weber, and Kevin N Ochsner. 2013. “Cognitive Reappraisal of Emotion: A Meta-Analysis of Human Neuroimaging Studies.” *Cerebral Cortex*. doi:10.1093/cercor/bht154.

Burke, Heather M, Mary C Davis, Christian Otte, David C Mohr, H. Akil, S.J. Waston, H. Akil, et al. 2005. “Depression and Cortisol Responses to Psychological Stress: A Meta-Analysis.” *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 30 (9). Sage, Newbury Park: 846–56. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2005.02.010.

Burt, Diana Byrd, Mary Jo Zembar, and George Niederehe. 1995. “Depression and Memory Impairment: A Meta-Analysis of the Association, Its Pattern, and Specificity.” *Psychological Bulletin* 117 (2): 285–305. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.117.2.285.

Cahill, L, R J Haier, J Fallon, M T Alkire, C Tang, D Keator, J Wu, and J L McGaugh. 1996. “Amygdala Activity at Encoding Correlated with Long-Term, Free Recall of Emotional Information.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 93 (15): 8016–21. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.15.8016.

Canli, T, Z Zhao, J Brewer, J D Gabrieli, and L Cahill. 2000. “Event-Related Activation in the Human Amygdala Associates with Later Memory for Individual Emotional Experience.” *The Journal of Neuroscience* 20 (19): RC99. doi:20004570 [pii].

Cohen, Lawrence H, Kathleen C Gunthert, Andrew C Butler, Brendt P Parrish, Susan J Wenze, and Judith S Beck. 2008. “Negative Affective Spillover from Daily Events Predicts Early Response to Cognitive Therapy for Depression.” *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 76 (6): 955–65. doi:10.1037/a0014131.

Davidson, Richard J. 1998. “Affective Style and Affective Disorders: Perspectives from Affective Neuroscience.” *Cognition & Emotion* 12 (3): 307–30.

———. 2004. “Well-Being and Affective Style: Neural Substrates and Biobehavioural Correlates.” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 359 (August): 1395–1411. doi:10.1098/rstb.2004.1510.

Desikan, Rahul S, Florent Ségonne, Bruce Fischl, Brian T Quinn, Bradford C Dickerson, Deborah Blacker, Randy L Buckner, et al. 2006. “An Automated Labeling System for Subdividing the Human Cerebral Cortex on MRI Scans into Gyral Based

Regions of Interest." *NeuroImage* 31 (3): 968–80. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021.

Dichter, Gabriel S, and Andrew J Tomarken. 2008. "The Chronometry of Affective Startle Modulation in Unipolar Depression." *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 117 (1): 1–15. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.117.1.1.

Fjell, A.M., and K.B. Walhovd. 2010. "Structural Brain Changes in Aging: Courses, Causes and Cognitive Consequences." *Reviews in the Neurosciences* 21 (3): 187–221. doi:10.1515/REVNEURO.2010.21.3.187.

Fox, Christopher J, So Young, Giuseppe Iaria, and Jason J S Barton. 2009. "NeuroImage The Correlates of Subjective Perception of Identity and Expression in the Face Network□: An fMRI Adaptation Study." *NeuroImage* 44 (2). Elsevier Inc.: 569–80. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.09.011.

Fredrickson, Barbara L., Michele M. Tugade, Christian E. Waugh, and Gregory R. Larkin. 2003. "What Good Are Positive Emotions in Crisis? A Prospective Study of Resilience and Emotions Following the Terrorist Attacks on the United States on September 11th, 2001." *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology* 84 (2): 365–76. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.84.2.365.

Frijda, N.H., B. Mesquita, J. Sonnemans, and S. van Goozen. 1991. "The Duration of Affective Phenomena or Emotions, Sentiments and Passions." In *International Review of Studies on Emotion*, edited by K.T. Strongman, 187–225. Chichester, UK: Wiley.

Gross, James J., and Oliver P. John. 2003. "Individual Differences in Two Emotion Regulation Processes: Implications for Affect, Relationships, and Well-Being."

Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 85 (2): 348–62. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.348.

Gunthert, Kathleen C., Lawrence H. Cohen, Andrew C. Butler, and Judith S. Beck. 2007. “Depression and next-Day Spillover of Negative Mood and Depressive Cognitions Following Interpersonal Stress.” *Cognitive Therapy and Research* 31 (4): 521–32. doi:10.1007/s10608-006-9074-1.

Harry, Bronson, Mark A Williams, Chris Davis, and Jeesun Kim. 2013. “Emotional Expressions Evoke a Differential Response in the Fusiform Face Area.” *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 7 (October): 1–6. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00692.

Haxby, James V, and M Ida Gobbini. 2011. “Distributed Neural Systems for Face Perception.” In *Oxford Handbook of Face Perception*, edited by Andrew J Calder, Gillian Rhodes, Mark H Johnson, and James V Haxby. New York: Oxford University Press. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199559053.013.0006.

Haxby, James V, Elizabeth A Hoffman, and M Ida Gobbini. 2000. “The Distributed Human Neural System for Face Perception.” *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 4 (6): 223–33.

Hayes, Jasmeet Pannu, Kevin S. LaBar, Gregory McCarthy, Elizabeth Selgrade, Jessica Nasser, Florin Dolcos, and Rajendra A Morey. 2011. “Reduced Hippocampal and Amygdala Activity Predicts Memory Distortions for Trauma Reminders in Combat-Related PTSD.” *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 45 (5). Elsevier Ltd: 660–69. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.10.007.

Heller, Aaron S, Andrew S Fox, Erik K Wing, Kaitlyn M Mcquisition, Nathan J Vack, and Richard J Davidson. 2015. “The Neurodynamics of Affect in the Laboratory Predicts

Persistence of Real-World Emotional Responses." *Journal of Neuroscience* 35 (29): 10503–9. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0569-15.2015.

Heller, Aaron S, Carien M van Reekum, Stacey M Schaefer, Regina C Lapate, Barry T Radler, Carol D Ryff, and Richard J Davidson. 2013. "Sustained Striatal Activity Predicts Eudaimonic Well-Being and Cortisol Output." *Psychological Science* 24 (11): 2191–2200. doi:10.1177/0956797613490744.

Hurlemann, Rene, Barbara Hawellek, Andreas Matusch, Heike Kolsch, Heike Wollersen, Burkhard Madea, Kai Vogeley, Wolfgang Maier, and Raymond J Dolan. 2005. "Noradrenergic Modulation of Emotion-Induced Forgetting." *Journal of Neuroscience* 25 (27): 6343–49. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0228-05.2005.

Kensinger, Elizabeth A, and Suzanne Corkin. 2004. "Two Routes to Emotional Memory: Distinct Neural Processes for Valence and Arousal." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 101 (9): 3310–15. doi:10.1073/pnas.0306408101.

Knight, Marisa, and Mara Mather. 2009. "Reconciling Findings of Emotion-Induced Memory Enhancement and Impairment of Preceding Items." *Emotion* 9 (6): 763–81. doi:10.1037/a0017281.Reconciling.

LaBar, K. S., and E. A. Phelps. 1998. "Arousal-Mediated Memory Consolidation: Role of the Medial Temporal Lobe in Humans." *Psychological Science* 9 (6): 490–93. doi:10.1111/1467-9280.00090.

Lang, Peter J, Margaret M Bradley, and Bruce N Cuthbert. 2008. "International Affective Picture System (IAPS): Affective Ratings of Pictures and Instruction Manual." Report. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida.

Lapate, Regina C., Carien M. van Reekum, Stacey M. Schaefer, Lawrence L. Greischar, Catherine J. Norris, David R W Bachhuber, Carol D. Ryff, and Richard J. Davidson. 2014. “Prolonged Marital Stress Is Associated with Short-Lived Responses to Positive Stimuli.” *Psychophysiology* 51 (6): 499–509. doi:10.1111/psyp.12203.

Lapate, Regina C, B Rokers, D P M Tromp, N S Orfali, J A Oler, and S T Doran. 2016. “Awareness of Emotional Stimuli Determines the Behavioral Consequences of Amygdala Activation and Amygdala- Prefrontal Connectivity.” *Scientific Reports* 6. Nature Publishing Group: 1–16. doi:10.1038/srep25826.

Lapate, Regina C, Jason Samaha, Bas Rokers, Hamdi Hamzah, Bradley R. Postle, and Richard J. Davidson. 2017. “Inhibition of Lateral Prefrontal Cortex Produces Emotionally Biased First Impressions: A Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation and Electroencephalography Study.” *Psychological Science*, 95679761769983. doi:10.1177/0956797617699837.

Larson, Christine L, Hillary S Schaefer, Greg J Siegle, Cory A B Jackson, Michael J Anderle, and Richard J Davidson. 2006. “Fear Is Fast in Phobic Individuals: Amygdala Activation in Response to Fear-Relevant Stimuli.” *Biological Psychiatry* 60 (4): 410–17. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.03.079.

MacPherson, S E, L H Phillips, and S Della Sala. 2002. “Age, Executive Function, and Social Decision Making: A Dorsolateral Prefrontal Theory of Cognitive Aging.” *Psychology of Aging* 17 (4): 598–609. doi:10.1037//0882-7974.17.4.598.

Mather, Mara, Karen J Mitchell, Carol L Raye, Deanna L Novak, Erich J Greene, and Marcia K Johnson. 2006. “Emotional Arousal Can Impair Feature Binding in

Working Memory." *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 18: 614–25. doi:10.1162/jocn.2006.18.4.614.

Messer, K, J Matas, J Kittler, J Luettin, and G Maitre. 1999. "XM2VTSDB: The Extended M2VTS Database." In *2nd International Conference on Audio Visual Based Person Authentication*.

Mugler, J. P., and J. R. Brookeman. 1990. "Three-Dimensional Magnetization-Prepared Rapid Gradient-Echo Imaging (3D MP RAGE)." *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 15 (1): 152–57. doi:10.1002/mrm.1910150117.

Murphy, S T, and R B Zajonc. 1993. "Affect, Cognition, and Awareness: Affective Priming with Optimal and Suboptimal Stimulus Exposures." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 64 (5): 723–39.

Ryff, C D, and C L Keyes. 1995. "The Structure of Psychological Well-Being Revisited." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 69 (4): 719–27.

Schachter, Stanley, and Jerome E. Singer. 1962. "Cognitive, Social, and Physiological Determinants of Emotional State." *Psychological Review* 69 (5): 379–99. doi:10.1037/h0021465.

Schaefer, Stacey M., Jennifer Morozink Boylan, Carien M. Van Reekum, Regina C Lapate, Catherine J. Norris, Carol D. Ryff, and Richard J. Davidson. 2013. "Purpose in Life Predicts Better Emotional Recovery from Negative Stimuli." *PLoS ONE* 8 (11). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080329.

Schuyler, Brianna S., Tammi R a Kral, Jolene Jacquart, Cory a. Burghy, Helen Y. Weng, David M. Perlman, David R W Bachhuber, et al. 2014. "Temporal Dynamics of Emotional Responding: Amygdala Recovery Predicts Emotional Traits." *Social*

Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience 9 (2): 176–81. doi:10.1093/scan/nss131.

Shackman, Alexander J., Do P. M. Tromp, Melissa D. Stockbridge, Claire M. Kaplan, Rachael M. Tillman, and Andrew S. Fox. 2016. “Dispositional Negativity: An Integrative Psychological and Neurobiological Perspective.” *Psychological Bulletin*. doi:10.1037/bul0000073.

Siegel, Joshua S, Jonathan D Power, Joseph W Dubis, Alecia C Vogel, Jessica A Church, Bradley L Schlaggar, and Steven E Petersen. 2014. “Statistical Improvements in Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Analyses Produced by Censoring High-Motion Data Points.” *Human Brain Mapping* 35 (5): 1981–96. doi:10.1002/hbm.22307.

Siegle, Greg J., Stuart R. Steinhauer, Michael E. Thase, V. Andrew Stenger, and Cameron S. Carter. 2002. “Can’t Shake That Feeling: Event-Related fMRI Assessment of Sustained Amygdala Activity in Response to Emotional Information in Depressed Individuals.” *Biological Psychiatry* 51: 693–707. doi:10.1016/S0006-3223(02)01314-8.

Tambini, Arielle, Ulrike Rimmele, Elizabeth A Phelps, and Lila Davachi. 2016. “Emotional Brain States Carry over and Enhance Future Memory Formation.” *Nature Neuroscience* 20 (2). doi:10.1038/nn.4468.

Tottenham, Nim, James W Tanaka, Andrew C Leon, Thomas McCarry, Marcella Nursea, Todd A. Harea, David J Marcus, Alissa Westerlunde, B J Casey, and Charles Nelson. 2009. “The NimStim Set of Facial Expressions: Judgments from Untrained Research Participants.” *Psychiatry Research* 168 (3): 242–49. doi:doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2008.05.006.

Troy, Allison S, Frank H Wilhelm, Amanda J Shallcross, and Iris B Mauss. 2010. "Seeing the Silver Lining: Cognitive Reappraisal Ability Moderates the Relationship between Stress and Depressive Symptoms." Article. *Emotion* 10 (6): 783–95. doi:10.1037/a0020262.

Verduyn, Philippe, Pauline Delaveau, Jean-Yves Rotgé, Philippe Fossati, and Iven Van Mechelen. 2015. "Determinants of Emotion Duration and Underlying Psychological and Neural Mechanisms." *Emotion Review* 7 (4): 330–35. doi:10.1177/1754073915590618.

Vuilleumier, Patrik, and Gilles Pourtois. 2007. "Distributed and Interactive Brain Mechanisms during Emotion Face Perception□: Evidence from Functional Neuroimaging" 45 (1). Elsevier Ltd: 174–94. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.06.003.

Vuilleumier, Patrik, Mark P Richardson, Jorge L Armony, Jon Driver, and Raymond J Dolan. 2004. "Distant Influences of Amygdala Lesion on Visual Cortical Activation during Emotional Face Processing." *Nature Neuroscience* 7 (11): 1271–78. doi:10.1038/nn1341.

Watson, D, L A Clark, and A Tellegen. 1988. "Development and Validation of Brief Measures of Positive and Negative Affect: The PANAS Scales." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 54 (6): 1063–70. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.54.6.1063.

Zajonc, Robert B. 1968. "Attitudinal Effects of Mere Exposure." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 9 (2): 1–27.

Figures



Figure 1 (A) Schematic of fMRI trial structure. On each trial, participants passively viewed a 4s picture from the International Affective Picture System (Lang, Bradley, and Cuthbert 2008). Following a 2s delay, a neutral face was presented and participants pressed a button to indicate the gender of the face. (B) Three days following the scan, participants used an online or paper rating scale to provide memory then likability ratings for 45 neutral faces viewed during the fMRI scan and 45 novel neutral faces.



Figure 2 (A) Anatomically defined amygdala region of interest (ROI). (B) Mean percent signal change values across the amygdala ROI for neutral faces presented following negative, neutral, and positive pictures. (C) Estimated signal from the amygdala ROI using a set of finite impulse response basis functions revealed complementary evidence for greater amygdala activation to faces following negative vs. positive pictures.

Notes: Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. * $p < 0.05$.



Figure 3 (A) Whole-brain voxelwise analysis for the Valence contrast revealed greater activation to neutral faces following negative vs. positive pictures in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, left inferior frontal gyrus, and lateral fusiform gyri bilaterally, in addition to the right posterior superior temporal sulcus, bilateral angular gyri, and left cerebellum. Activation estimates from a set of finite impulse response basis functions are presented for these functionally defined clusters for illustrative purposes only. (B) Whole-brain voxelwise analysis for the Arousal contrast revealed greater activation to neutral faces following negative *and* positive (relative to neutral) pictures only in the occipital cortex. Maps are presented at $p < 0.05$, using cluster threshold correction. Full statistical maps can be viewed at http://neurovault.org/collections/2803/.



Figure 4 (A) Individuals higher in trait positive affect on the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) showed greater arousal-modulated liking of faces viewed during the MRI session, such that faces initially preceded by negative *or* positive (relative to neutral) faces were rated as more likable when viewed 3 days later. (B) A similar relationship was observed for the Reappraisal subscale of the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ).



Figure 5 (A) Individuals with stronger amygdala responses to neutral faces following negative or positive (relative to neutral) pictures showed arousal-enhanced memory for neutral faces 3 days after the MRI session. (B) This effect was specific to the positive valence condition, as amygdala responses for faces following positive (vs. neutral) but not negative pictures predicted greater memory for these same faces 3 days later.