# 1 The effect of sonic hedgehog on motor neuron positioning in the

# spinal cord during chicken embryo development

- 3 Ciqing Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaoying Li<sup>1</sup>, Qiuling Li<sup>1</sup>, Qiong Li<sup>2</sup>, Han Li<sup>3</sup>, Bichao Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Juntang Lin<sup>1,2,4,5\*</sup>
- 4 1 Stem Cells & Biotherapy Engineering Research Center of Henan, College of Life Science and
- 5 Technology, Xinxiang Medical University, 453003 Xinxiang, China
- 6 2 Henan Key Laboratory of Medical Tissue Regeneration, 453003 Xinxiang, China
- 7 3 Advanced Medical and Dental Institute, University Sains Malaysia, Bertam, Penang 13200,
- 8 Malaysia
- 9 4 College of Biomedical Engineering, Xinxiang Medical University, 453003 Xinxiang, China
- 10 5 Institute of Anatomy I, Jena University Hospital, 07743-Jena, Germany
- 11

2

- 12 Running title: The effect of sonic hedgehog on motor neuron positioning
- 13 \*Corresponding author: Dr. Juntang Lin
- 14 College of Biomedical Engineering, Xinxiang Medical University, 453003 Xinxiang,
- 15 China;
- 16 Email: developmentlab@126.com
- 17

# 18 ABSTRACT

19 Sonic hedgehog (Shh) is a vertebrate homologue of the secreted *Drosophila* protein 20 hedgehog, and is expressed by the notochord and the floor plate in the developing 21 spinal cord. Shh provides signals relevant for positional information, cell proliferation, 22 and possibly cell survival depending on the time and location of the expression. 23 Although the role of Shh in providing positional information in the neural tube has 24 been experimentally proven, the exact underlying mechanism still remains unclear. In 25 this study, we report that overexpression of Shh affects motor neuron positioning in 26 the spinal cord during chicken embryo development by inducing abnormalities in the 27 structure of the motor column and motor neuron integration. In addition, Shh 28 overexpression inhibits the expression of dorsal transcription factors and commissural 29 axon projections. Our results indicate that correct location of Shh expression is the 30 key to the formation of the motor column. In conclusion, the overexpression of Shh in 31 the spinal cord not only affects the positioning of motor neurons, but also induces 32 abnormalities in the structure of the motor column.

33

34 Key words: Sonic hedgehog; in ovo electroporation; motor neurons; spinal cord;
35 chicken embryo

## 36 INTRODUCTION

37 During central nervous system development, many factors can be controlled to ensure 38 normal development. The early embryonic vertebrate neural tube consists of 39 proliferating progenitors and terminally differentiating neurons with a defined 40 distribution pattern (Cayuso et al., 2006). The notochord and floor plate at the ventral 41 midline of the neural tube determine, in part, the organization of the developing spinal 42 cord (Pringle et al., 1996). These structures also emit signals that can induce the 43 development of distant motor neurons (Yamada et al., 1991, 1993; Tanabe et al., 44 1995). In the ventral spinal cord, motor neurons (MN) are grouped in motor columns 45 according to their identity and their target muscle (Luxey et al., 2015). Different 46 motor neurons express different sets of transcription factors. For instance, HB9 is 47 expressed in all somatic MN, whereas Foxp1, Lim1, and Islet1 are all expressed in 48 lateral motor column MN at high levels (Vermot et al., 2005; Bonanomi and Pfaff, 49 2010; Santiago et al., 2014; Luxey et al., 2015). All these transcription factors have 50 been shown to contribute to the establishment of MN organization in the spinal cord. 51 Indeed, gain and loss of function of HB9, Islet1, Islet2, Lim1, and Foxp1 lead to 52 important defects of MN positioning within the spinal cord during embryo 53 development (Kania et al., 2000; Odden et al., 2002; Bréjot et al., 2006; Hutchinson 54 and Eisen, 2006; Rousso et al., 2008; Otaegi et al., 2011). Although the role of these 55 transcription factors in MN positioning in the spinal cord is well established, little is 56 known regarding their potential effector genes (Luxey et al., 2015).

57 Sonic hedgehog (Shh) is a vertebrate homologue of the secreted protein encoded by

58 the Drosophila gene hedgehog (Lee et al., 1992; Nusslein-Volhard et al., 1980), and is 59 expressed by the notochord and floor plate at the time when these structures exert 60 their inductive activities (Riddle et al., 1993; Echelard et al., 1993). In the central 61 nervous system, Shh plays an important role in ventral specification along the entire 62 neural axis. In ventral regions, this protein acts as a long-range graded signal that 63 controls the pattern of neurogenesis (Jessell, 2000; Briscoe and Ericson, 2001). 64 Misexpression of Shh in vertebrate embryos can induce the differentiation of floor 65 plate cells at ectopic locations in the neural tube (Echelard et al., 1993; Krauss et al., 1993; Roelink et al., 1994). Shh provides signals relevant to positional information, 66 67 cell proliferation, and possibly cell survival depending on the timing and location of 68 the expression (Riddle et al., 1993; Peterson et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2015). Although 69 the role of Shh in providing positional information in the neural tube has been 70 experimentally established, the mechanism underlying this phenomenon remains 71 unclear.

72 In this study, we focus on the role of Shh in motor neuron positioning in the spinal 73 cord during chicken embryo development by inducing its misexpression in the 74 embryonic spinal cord. We examined the gene expression in Shh-transfected spinal 75 cord and followed spinal cord development. Shh expression can directly or indirectly 76 affect the development of multiple structures. Moreover, the localization of 77 dorsal-ventral cell types was determined to analyze the effects of Shh in cell type 78 specification. The results of these studies indicated that Shh affects the expression of 79 dorsal transcription factors Pax3 and Pax7 and the positioning of ventral motor

80 neurons in the spinal cord.

81

#### 82 **RESULTS**

## 83 Shh overexpression in the developing chicken spinal cord

84 In ovo electroporation, a technique by which the plasmid can be unilaterally 85 electroporated, was performed to examine the role of Shh in the developing spinal cord. Two experimental groups were designed as follows: 1) electroporation of 86 87 pCAGGS-GFP (0.25  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L) – control group, 2) co-electroporation of pCAGGS-Shh 88  $(4 \ \mu g/\mu L) + pCAGGS-GFP (0.25 \ \mu g/\mu L) - experimental group. Electroporation was$ 89 performed on the chicken embryonic spinal cord at stage 17 (E2.5). After 36, 60, and 90 84 h following electroporation, GFP-positive embryos were collected at stage 24-26 91 (E4-E6), and the overexpression of Shh was clearly observed using in situ 92 hybridization (Fig. 1A-C, arrows  $[\rightarrow]$  indicate the areas of Shh overexpression). To 93 control for individual differences, data from the same spinal cord, where the 94 transfected and non-transfected sides served as experimental and control tissue, 95 respectively, were matched. Shh was expressed by the notochord and floor plate in the 96 developing chicken spinal cord (Fig. 1D-F). As the notochord is also known to induce 97 differentiation of other ventral cell types within the neural tube, including motor 98 neurons, it can be hypothesized that Shh produced by the notochord may be required 99 for motor neuron differentiation.

100

#### 101 The effect of Shh overexpression on microtubule-associated protein-2 (Map2)

# 102 expression in motor column in the developing chicken spinal cord

103	Interestingly, MAP-2 labeling of motor columns following Shh overexpression in the
104	spinal cord revealed structural abnormalities (Fig. 2A-L, arrow shown). In the control
105	group, the structure of MAP-2 labeled motor column was normal (Fig. 2M-X).
106	MAP-2 belongs to the microtubule-associated protein family. The proteins of this
107	family are thought to participate in microtubule assembly, which is an essential step in
108	neuritogenesis. MAP-2 isoforms are found predominately in neurons (Tucker, 1990).
109	The principal functions of MAP-2 are to reduce the critical concentration of tubulin
110	required to polymerize microtubules and to maintain neuronal morphology by
111	regulating microtubule spacing (Caceres et al., 1992; Kalcheva et al., 1995). Even
112	though MAP-2 is not a specific maker for motor neurons, motor neurons express
113	MAP-2. Whether Shh overexpression affects the formation of the motor column by
114	inhibiting the expression of MAP-2 in motor neurons is unclear. DAPI staining on the
115	section slices showed a loss of cell nuclei on the transfected side of the motor column
116	compared to non-transfected side (Fig. 2A-D, E-H). In the control group, the number
117	of nuclei on the transfected side of the motor column was similar to that on the
118	non-transfected side (Fig. 2M, Q). No GFP or MAP-2 positive neurons were
119	observed in the motor column in the experimental group (Fig. 2 K-L). In the control
120	group, GFP and MAP-2 positive neurons were observed in the motor column (Fig. 2
121	U-X). Therefore, it could be speculated that Shh may not inhibit the expression of
122	MAP-2, but instead, modify the migration of motor neurons to the motor column. To
123	verify this hypothesis, we used MNR2 to label motor neurons.

124

# The effect of Shh overexpression on motor neuron (MNR2) positioning within the motor column in the chicken spinal cord

127 MNR2 is expressed selectively by motor neurons (MNs) in the developing vertebrate 128 central nervous system. In order to investigate whether Shh affects the migration of 129 motor neurons, or simply inhibits the expression of MAP-2 in motor neurons, we used 130 MNR2 to specifically identify motor neurons. In the Shh overexpression group, 131 MNR2 positive cells showed decreased accumulation in the motor column on the 132 transfected side of the spinal cord as compared to the non-transfected side (Fig. 3A-H). 133 However, in the control group, the distribution of MNR2 positive cells in the motor 134 column on the transfected side was similar to that on the non-transfected side (Fig. 135 3I-P). Moreover, we observed morphological changes in the spinal cord with Shh 136 overexpression (Fig. 3A-D). The spinal cord on the transfected side was curved 137 outward, which was interpreted as the result of Shh overexpression rather than a 138 physiological phenomenon (Fig. 3E-H). On the contrary, the morphology of the GFP 139 -transfected side in the spinal cord was normal (Fig. 3I-L). In these areas, no outward 140 curving was observed (Fig. 3M-P). Outward bending of the spinal cord in the areas of 141 Shh overexpression has several potential explanations. It may be explained by the fact 142 that Shh promotes proliferation of neuroepithelial cells, which leads to bending of the 143 spinal cord outwards. In addition, these morphological changes may also be due to the 144 effect of Shh on neuronal migration, especially that of motor neurons. The distribution 145 of MNR2-labeled cells supports the effect of Shh on motor neuron migration. In order

to investigate whether Shh can promote the proliferation of neuroepithelial cells,

147 BrdU was used to label the proliferating cells.

148

#### 149 The effect of Shh expression on neuroepithelial cell proliferation in the spinal

150 cord during chicken embryo development

151 BrdU is a synthetic analog of thymidine commonly used for the detection of 152 proliferating cells in living tissues (Lehner et al., 2011). BrdU was added 24 h before 153 the spinal cord tissue was collected. Immunohistochemistry with anti-BrdU 154 monoclonal antibody was used to reveal BrdU-positive cells. These cells were 155 counted in the neural epithelium. The ratios of BrdU-positive cell number on the 156 experimental (transfected) side over control (non-transfected) side were analyzed (Fig. 157 4a). Such a comparison between the experimental group versus control group (as 158 shown in the Fig. 4a) indicated a significant increase in BrdU-positive cell numbers in 159 the developing chick spinal cord, from stage 17 to 24, in Shh transfected tissue (Fig. 160 4A-D). The ratio of transfected to non-transfected side was  $1.57\pm0.22$  (n=3). In the 161 control group, no difference in the number of BrdU-positive cells was observed 162 between the GFP-transfected side and the non-transfected side of the spinal cord, 163 from stage 17 to 24 (Fig. 4E-H). The ratio of transfected to non-transfected side was 164  $1.12\pm0.14$  (n=3). The ratios of transfected to non-transfected side were significantly 165 different between the Shh overexpression group and control group (p<0.01, Fig. 4b). 166 A comparison between the side of the spinal cord transfected with Shh and the control 167 non-transfected side (as shown in the Fig. 4c) indicated a significant decrease in

168	BrdU-positive cells in the developing chick spinal cord, from stage 17 to 29 (Fig.
169	4I-L). The ratio of transfected side to non-transfected side was $0.70\pm0.32$ (n=3). In the
170	control group, no difference in the number of BrdU-positive cells between the
171	transfected and non-transfected side in the spinal cord was observed from stage 17 to
172	29 (Fig. 4M-P). The ratio of transfected side to non-transfected side was 0.98±0.19
173	(n=3). The ratios of transfected side to non-transfected side in Shh overexpression
174	group versus control group were significantly different (p<0.01, Fig. 4d). The
175	decrease in the number of BrdU-labeled cells on the side with Shh overexpression
176	compared to the contralateral side was particularly visible in the ventral areas of the
177	spinal cord (Fig. 4Q-T). The ratio of transfected side to non-transfected side was
178	$0.53\pm0.27$ (n=3). In the control group, no differences were observed (Fig. 4U-X). The
179	ratio of transfected side to non-transfected side was 1.17±0.11 (n=3). As shown in Fig.
180	4e, the ratio of the number of BrdU-positive cells on the transfected side to that on the
181	non-transfected side was significantly different in the Shh overexpression group
182	compared to control group (p<0.01, Fig. 4f). Interestingly, in stage 24 (E4), Shh
183	promoted neuroepithelial cell proliferation (Fig. 4b), while in stage 26 (E6) it had an
184	inhibitory effect (Fig. 4d, f). Therefore, we speculated that Shh not only affects the
185	proliferation of neural precursor cells but also their differentiation. It is possible that,
186	in response to Shh misexpression in early stages of embryonic development, neural
187	precursor cells were induced to differentiate into nerve cells therefore losing their
188	ability to proliferate, which is why the number of proliferating cells was significantly
189	decreased compared to control group.

190

# 191 The effect of Shh overexpression on Pax3 and Pax7 expression in the spinal cord

# 192 during chicken embryo development

193 Shh affects not only the differentiation and proliferation of ventral cells, but also the 194 expression of dorsal genes during chicken embryonic development. The expression of 195 the nuclear proteins Pax3 and Pax7 was therefore investigated. The results showed 196 that Pax3 expression was inhibited at the side of Shh overexpression position 197 compared to the control, non-transfected, side (Fig. 5A-F, arrow), which suggests that 198 early expression of Shh inhibits Pax3 expression. However, no differences in the 199 expression between the two sides of the spinal cord were observed in the control 200 group (Fig. 5G-L). Further, the mean optical density ratios of the experimental 201 (transfection) side to the control (no transfection) side were analyzed (Fig. 5a). Cell 202 numbers in the control group were significantly (p<0.01) higher than those in the Shh 203 overexpression group (Fig. 5 b). Pax7 expression was also inhibited at the side with 204 Shh overexpression position compared to the control non-transfected side (Fig. 5M-R, 205 arrow). No differences in Pax7 expression were observed between the transfected 206 versus non-transfected side in the control group (Fig. 5S-X). The numbers of 207 Pax7-positive cells in the control group were significantly (p<0.01) higher than in the 208 Shh overexpression group (Fig. 5c). Additionally, the percentage of commissural 209 axons projecting to the contralateral side in the Shh overexpression group was 210 significantly lower in comparison to the control (Fig. 5d, p<0.01). Therefore, our 211 results suggest that Shh overexpression may inhibit the commissural axons projecting

to the contralateral side in the spinal cord during chicken embryo development.

To assess the morphological changes that are induced by shh overexpression along the transfected spinal cords, the rostro-caudal series of sections were obtained. These series sections result confirmed that the shh overexpression perturbed axon projections (Fig 6). The shh overexpression lead to commissural axons projecting to the contralateral side weak mlc and almost no ilc (Fig. 6A-G), as H shows. In the control there have normal commissural axons projecting to the contralateral side and axons arrived to mlc and ilc (Fig. 6I-O), as Fig 6P shows.

#### 220 DISCUSSION

221 Shh is one of three proteins in the mammalian hedgehog signaling family, the others 222 being desert hedgehog and Indian hedgehog. Shh is the most studied hedgehog 223 signaling pathway. It plays a critical role in the patterning of vertebrate embryonic 224 nervous system, including the brain and the spinal cord, during development (Chiang 225 et al., 1996). Shh is a secreted protein that mediates signaling activities in the 226 notochord and the floor plate (Patten and Placzek, 2000). One of early functions of the 227 notochord is to induce differentiation of ventral cell types, such as floor plate cells 228 and motor neurons in the overlying neural ectoderm (Chiang et al., 1996). Shh is 229 considered to play an important role during spinal cord development (Martí et al., 230 1995), given its predominant expression in the notochord and floor plate during 231 embryo development. In this study, we demonstrate that the overexpression of Shh 232 affects motor neuron positioning in the spinal cord during chicken embryo 233 development.

234 Our results show that Shh overexpression affects the expression pattern of MAP-2. 235 The abnormal pattern of MAP-2 expression was not due to the inhibition by Shh, but 236 to the effect of Shh on the migration of motor neurons, preventing them from reaching 237 their positions within the motor column accurately. In order to confirm these results, 238 MNR2 labeling was performed to precisely identify motor neurons. The results 239 showed that, in the Shh overexpression group, the transfected side showed a deficit in 240 the accumulation of MNR2 positive cells in the motor column compared to 241 non-transfected side. These results were consistent with the expected ones, indicating 242 that the Shh overexpression, rather than inhibiting the expression of MAP-2, affected 243 the migration of motor neurons, which led to the absence of MAP-2 expression in the 244 motor column region. Besides Shh, factors such as HB9, Islet1, Islet2, Lim1, and 245 Foxp1, if misexpressed, could also induce defective motor neuron positioning within 246 the spinal cord during embryo development (Bréjot et al., 2006; Odden et al., 2002; 247 Hutchinson and Eisen, 2006; Kania et al., 2000; Otaegi et al., 2011; Rousso et al., 248 2008). The mechanisms by which different molecules affect the migration of motor 249 neurons are different. Shh acts in a graded fashion to pattern the dorsal-ventral axis of 250 the vertebrate spinal cord. This is a dynamic process in which increasing 251 concentrations and the duration of exposure to Shh generate neurons with 252 successively more ventral identities (Ribes and Briscoe, 2009). Thus, Shh ligand 253 secreted by the notochord induces distinct ventral cell identities in the adjacent spinal 254 cord by a concentration-dependent mechanism (Chamberlain et al., 2009). Normally, 255 the concentration of Shh increases gradually from dorsal to ventral regions. The

256	highest concentrations of the Shh ligand are found in the most ventral regions of the
257	neural tube and notochord, while lower concentrations are found in the more dorsal
258	regions of the neural tube (Ribes et al., 2009). In our experiment, the overexpression
259	of Shh in the spinal cord induced structural abnormalities in the nerve column. One
260	possibility is that motor neurons failed to migrate to the right position, possibly due to
261	high concentrations of Shh while another is that the structural abnormalities are a
262	result of the inhibition of neuroepithelial cell differentiation into motor neurons.
263	Therefore, in this study, the proliferation of neuroepithelial cells was investigated
264	using labeling with BrdU. BrdU is incorporated into newly synthesized DNA in the
265	replicating cells during the S phase of the cell cycle, as a substitute for thymidine.
266	Antibodies specific for BrdU can be used to detect this compound incorporated into
267	the DNA, thus indicating the cells with actively replicating DNA during BrdU
268	administration (proliferating cells). The results of our study show that cell
269	
	proliferation in the early stage (stage 18-24, E3-E4) was higher than in the late stage
270	proliferation in the early stage (stage 18-24, E3-E4) was higher than in the late stage (stage 27-29, E5-E6). Does this mean that the overexpression of Shh promotes the
270 271	
	(stage 27-29, E5-E6). Does this mean that the overexpression of Shh promotes the
271	(stage 27-29, E5-E6). Does this mean that the overexpression of Shh promotes the proliferation of neuroepithelial cells in the early stage (stage 18-24, E3-E4), but
271 272	(stage 27-29, E5-E6). Does this mean that the overexpression of Shh promotes the proliferation of neuroepithelial cells in the early stage (stage 18-24, E3-E4), but inhibits proliferation in the late stage (stage 27-29, E5-E6)? Studies have shown that
271 272 273	(stage 27-29, E5-E6). Does this mean that the overexpression of Shh promotes the proliferation of neuroepithelial cells in the early stage (stage 18-24, E3-E4), but inhibits proliferation in the late stage (stage 27-29, E5-E6)? Studies have shown that Shh acts in a concentration-dependent manner (Placzek et al., 1990) so that lower
271 272 273 274	(stage 27-29, E5-E6). Does this mean that the overexpression of Shh promotes the proliferation of neuroepithelial cells in the early stage (stage 18-24, E3-E4), but inhibits proliferation in the late stage (stage 27-29, E5-E6)? Studies have shown that Shh acts in a concentration-dependent manner (Placzek et al., 1990) so that lower concentrations of Shh promote cellular proliferation and induction of various ventral

278 neural precursor cell differentiation. In the early stage (stage 18-24), Shh promotes the 279 neural precursor cell differentiation into neurons, and these neurons then lose the 280 ability to proliferate. Shh affected the formation of motor neurons by inducing a 281 defect in their migration to the motor column and, consequently, altering their 282 distribution in the border of the gray matter and leading to the formation a band. The 283 ultimate result was the abnormal structure of the motor column. The effect of Shh on 284 neural precursor cell differentiation requires further research.

285 It is thought that Shh gradient determines multiple different cell fates by a 286 concentration and time-dependent mechanism that induces the expression of several 287 transcription factors in ventral progenitor cells (Chamberlain et al., 2008). In this 288 study, we examined the expression of dorsal transcription factors Pax3 and Pax7. Our 289 results showed that the expression of Pax3 and Pax7 was inhibited in the regions of 290 Shh overexpression. Pax3 and Pax7 participate in the sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling 291 pathway and are inhibited by Shh overexpression (Lin et al., 2016). Our previous 292 study indicated that the transcription factors Pax3 and Pax7 play important roles in 293 regulating morphogenesis and cell differentiation in the developing spinal cord (Lin et 294 al., 2016). Shh has also been shown to act as an axonal guidance molecule. Studies 295 have demonstrated that Shh attracts commissural axons at the ventral midline of the 296 developing spinal cord (Charron et al., 2003). In this study, we also showed that the 297 overexpression of Shh significantly inhibited the commissural axons from projecting 298 to the contralateral side. Our previous study indicated that the transcription factors 299 Pax3 play important roles in induces cell aggregation and perturbs commissural axon

300 projection during embryonic spinal cord development (Lin et al., 2017). In this study 301 showed that the shh overexpression inhibited the expression of Pax3 and Pax7. 302 Therefore, the effect of Shh on the commissural axon projection may be related to the 303 effects of Shh on the expression of Pax3 and Pax7. 304 The current study provided evidence that Shh affects motor neuron positioning in the 305 spinal cord during chicken embryo development. The overexpression of Shh in the 306 spinal cord not only altered the positioning of the motor neurons, but also resulted in 307 the abnormal structure of the motor column. At the same time, Shh misexpression 308 inhibited the expression of genes related to the dorsal development and further

309 perturbed commissural axon projections during chicken embryo development.

310

#### 311 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 312 Embryo and tissue preparation

Fertilized eggs of Sea blue brownhad, obtained from a local farm (HWS-150, JingHong, China) were incubated at 37.8 °C and 65% humidity. The Hamburger and Hamilton (Hamburger V and Hamilton HL, 1992) system was used to stage the embryos. The embryos were studied at stage 18 (E2.5) to stage 29 (E6), with at least three embryos at each stage.

#### 318 In ovo electroporation

319 The Shh overexpression plasmid was a gift by Redies (Prof. Christoph Redies,

- 320 Institute of Anatomy I, Jena University Hospital, Teichgraben 7, D-07743 Jena,
- 321 Germany). The plasmid pCAGGS-GFP (green fluorescent protein) was derived by our
- 322 laboratory. All plasmids used were extracted with a kit (Cwbio, Beijing. China) and

323 diluted in water.

324	The in ovo electroporation protocol was modified from our previous publications
325	(Luo et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2016). A stereomicroscope was used in
326	all the steps of the procedure. In brief, fertilized eggs were incubated until stage 18
327	(E2.5). Then, 3-4 mL of albumin was removed from the egg without disrupting the
328	yolk. Further, an incision into the shell was performed carefully using a pair of curved
329	scissors to obtain a 1-2-cm diameter window without touching the embryo. A mixture
330	of 4 $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L of pCAGGS-Shh, 0.25 $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L of pCAGGS-GFP plasmid, and Fast Green
331	dye (0.01%) or that of 0.25 $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L of pCAGGS-GFP plasmid as a control and Fast
332	Green dye (0.01%), were injected and loaded into the neural tube lumen using a
333	mouth pipette until the dye filled the entire space. The electrodes were then
334	immediately placed on both sides of the embryonic neural tube in parallel. A total of
335	six 18-volt pulses, which lasted for 60 ms, with a pause of 100 ms between each pulse,
336	were delivered. Bubbles near the electrodes indicated that the technique was
337	successfully performed. After the electroporation (CUY-21, Nepa Gene, Japan), the
338	electrodes were carefully removed, and the egg was sealed with a tape. The treated
339	eggs were then placed back in the incubator until they reached the desired stage for
340	sample collection, fixation, and analysis. For bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) labeling, 5
341	$\mu g/\mu L$ of BrdU was added into the embryo 24 hours prior to fixation.

342 Tissue section

343 When the embryos were at E6 (stage 26), samples of the spinal cord tissue were 344 collected. The embryos were fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution for 6-24 h,

depending on their size. After fixation, the tissue was immersed in 18% sucrose
solution, embedded in Tissue-Tec O.C.T. compound (Sakura Finetek, USA), frozen in
liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C until required. Samples were sectioned using a
cryotome (Leica 1850, Germany) and 20-µm thick sections were mounted on
Poly-L-lysine coated slides.

# 350 cRNA probe synthesis and in situ hybridization

Digoxigenin-labeled sense and antisense cRNA probes were transcribed in vitro using purified PBS-SK plasmids containing the full-length Shh according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche, Germany). Sense cRNA probes were used as negative controls for in situ hybridization.

355 For in situ hybridization, 20-µm thick cryosections were fixed with 4% formaldehyde 356 in PBS and pretreated with proteinase K and acetic anhydride. The sections were 357 hybridized overnight with a cRNA probe at a concentration of about 3 ng/ $\mu$ L at 70°C 358 in hybridization solution (50% formamide, 3× SSC, 10 mM EDTA, 10% dextran 359 sulfate, 1× Denhardt's solution, 42  $\mu$ g/mL yeast transfer RNA and 42  $\mu$ g/mL salmon sperm DNA; Roche, Germany). The sections were washed to remove unbound cRNA 360 361 by RNase reaction, and then incubated with alkaline phosphatase-coupled 362 anti-digoxigenin Fab fragments (Roche, Germany) at 4°C overnight. For the 363 visualization of labeled mRNA, a substrate solution of nitroblue tetrazolium salt (NBT, 364 Fermentas, Lithuania) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl phosphate (BCIP, Fermentas, 365 Lithuania) were added.

366

## 367 Immunohistochemistry

368 For immunohistochemistry, sections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS 369 for 15 min at 37°C. Following another TBS wash, a blocking solution (2% sheep 370 serum, 4% bovine serum albumin, 0.3% Triton X-100, and 0.1% sodium azide in 371 Tris-buffered saline, TBS, sheep serum and bovine serum albumin; Beijing Dingguo 372 co. LTD, China) was applied to tissue sections, for 1 h at room temperature. The 373 primary antibodies were then applied overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies used 374 in the present study were rabbit anti Map2 polyclonal antibody (Abcam, United 375 Kingdom, 1:500 dilution), mouse anti chicken MNR2 monoclonal antibody (DSHB, 376 USA; 1:100 dilution), mouse anti Pax3 monoclonal antibody (DSHB, USA; 1:100 377 dilution), mouse anti Pax7 monoclonal antibody (DSHB, USA; 1:100 dilution), and 378 mouse anti BrdU monoclonal antibody (ZSGB-BIO, China; 1:100 dilution). For BrdU 379 detection, sections were incubated in 2 N HCl for 30 minutes followed by 0.1 M 380  $Na_2B_4O_7$  (pH 8.5), and then rinsed several times in TBS before the incubation with 381 anti-BrdU. Next, the appropriate goat-anti-rabbit Cy3-labeled (Jackson Immuno 382 Research, Europe Ltd, 1:1000 dilution), goat-anti-mouse Cy3-labeled (Jackson 383 Immuno Research, Europe Ltd, 1:1000 dilution) or goat-anti-rabbit FITC-labeled 384 (ZSGB-BIO, China; 1:100 dilution) secondary antibodies were applied for 2 h at 25°C. 385 employed for double staining. Finally, DAPI A similar process was 386 (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, DAPI, Roche, Germany) was used to stain all cell 387 nuclei.

#### 388 Antibody characterization

389 See Table 1 for a list of all antibodies used. This study were used antibodies has been 390 described extensively. The staining patterns produced by all of the antibodies were 391 similar to those described previously.

392 Mouse monoclonal antibody against Pax3 was obtained from the Developmental 393 Studies Hybridoma Bank (catalogue no. pax3, RRID:AB\_528426, mouse, monoclonal antibody, IgG2a). To generate this antibody, the cDNA region that corresponded to 394 395 amino acids 298-481 of the C.terminal region of quail Pax3 was cloned by PCR into 396 the E. coli expression vector (Joven et al., 2013). Venters et al. (2004) confirmed that 397 the Pax3 antibody stains an single band of about 60 kDa on Western blots of extract 398 from E3 chicken neural tube and notochord. In addition, the expression pattern of 399 Pax3 protein obtained in the present study was similar to that reported previously for 400 Pax3 protein (Lin et al., 2017).

The mouse monoclonal antibody against Pax7 (DHSB, Catalogue No. pax7, RRID: AB\_528428) was generated by Dr. Atsushi Kawakami (Kawakami et al., 1997). The DNA region corresponding to amino acids 352-523 of chick Pax7 was cloned by PCR into the E. coli expression vector (Joven et al., 2013). Anti Pax7 antibody detects three bands on Western blots of chicken brain tissue (Ferran et al., 2009). The staining pattern of the Pax7 antibody obtained in the present study was the same as that reported previously (Kobayashi et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2016).

The specificity of anti-MNR2 (81.5C10) was determine by comparison of the labeling patterns obtained by immunohistochemistry and by in situ hybridization in the chick embryo spinal cord (Tanabe et al., 1998). The staining pattern of the antibody in the 411 this study was consistent with previous reports (Tanabe et al., 1998; Kobayashi et al.,

412 2013).

- 413 Anti-MAP2 was raised against rat microtubule-associated protein 2. Synthetic peptide
- 414 conjugated to KLH derived from within residues 1-100 of Rat MAP2. Anti MAP2
- antibody detects two bands on Western blots of mouse brain tissue lysate total protein
- 416 (260,280 kDa). Additional bands at: 110 kDa,199 kDa,65 kDa are unsure as to the

417 identity of these extra bands (Sigma Product Sheet).

418 Monoclonal mouse anti-BrdU IgG1 (1:100; ZSGB-BIO, China, (ZM-0013), mouse,

419 monoclonal antibody, IgG1), recognizes BrdU. This antibody reacts with BrdU

420 incorporated into single-stranded DNA, attached to a protein carrier and free BrdU.

Table 1. Antibodies Used in This Study

422

421

Antigen	Immunogen	Detail of antibodies	Working dilution
Pax3	Chicken PAX3 (aa 298-481),	DSHB, USA, (PAX3),	1:100
	recombinant protein made in	mouse, monoclonal antibody,	
	E. coli	IgG2a	
Pax7	Chicken PAX7 (aa 352-523),	DSHB, USA, (PAX7),	1:100
	recombinant protein made in	mouse, monoclonal antibody,	
	E. coli	IgG1	
MNR2	C-terminal portion of chicken	DSHB, USA, (81.5C10)	1:100
	MNR2-GST fusion protein	mouse, monoclonal antibody,	
	made in E. coli	IgG1	
Map2	Rat microtubule associated	Abcam, United Kingdom,	1:500
	protein 2	(ab32454), Rabbit, polyclonal	
BrdU		ZSGB-BIO, China,	1:100
	BrdU (Bromodeoxyuridine)	(ZM-0013), mouse,	
		monoclonal antibody, IgG1	

423

# 424 Microscopy

425 The whole embryo was imaged under a stereo fluorescence microscope (LEICA

	426	M205FA,	Germany)	equipped	with a	digital	camera	(LEICA	DFC42	5C, (	Germany)	). Ir
--	-----	---------	----------	----------	--------	---------	--------	--------	-------	-------	----------	-------

- 427 situ hybridization sections were viewed under a microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE 80i,
- 428 Japan), which was equipped with a digital camera (LEICA DFC300FX, Germany).
- 429 Immunohistochemistry sections were imaged under a confocal microscope (Olympus
- 430 ix81, Japan).

# 431 Statistical analysis

- 432 The average optical density and area of fluorescence intensity were calculated using
- 433 Plus Image-Pro 6 software (Media Cybernetics, USA), and the data were analyzed by
- 434 Statistics 17.0 SPSS software (IBM, USA). All data are presented as the mean  $\pm$
- 435 standard deviation (S.D.), of at least three independent experiments. The significance
- 436 of differences among the transfection groups was determined using ANOVA, where
- 437 p-value <0.05 was considered as significant.
- 438

#### 439 **Competing interests**

440 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

#### 441 Author contributions

- 442 Conceived and designed the experiments: Juntang Lin. Performed the experiments:
- 443 Ciqing Yang, Xiaoying Li, Qiuling Li, Qiong Li, Bichao Zhang. Analyzed the data: Han
- 444 Li, Ciqing Yang. Wrote the paper: Ciqing Yang.

# 445 Funding

- 446 This work was supported by the Henan Province University youth researcher support
- 447 program project (2015GGJS-133), the Henan Province Natural Science Foundation

448	(162300410214; 162300410232; 162300410134), the support project for the
449	Disciplinary group of Psychology and Neuroscience, Xinxiang Medical University
450	(2016PN-KFKT-03), the Science and Technology Innovation Talents Support
451	Program of Henan Universities and Xinxiang City (14HASTIT032, CXRC16003), the
452	PhD Research Startup Foundation (505090) of Xinxiang Medical University, Henan
453	Key Laboratory of Medical Tissue Regeneration Open Project (KFKT15002) and
454	USM fellowship.
455	References
456	Bonanomi, D. and Pfaff, S. L. (2010). Motor axon pathfinding. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol
457	<b>2</b> :a001735.
458	Bréjot, T., Blanchard, S., Hocquemiller, M., Haase, G., Liu, S., Nosjean, A., Heard, J. M. and
459	Bohl, D. (2006). Forced expression of the motor neuron determinant HB9 in neural stem cells
460	affects neurogenesis. Exp Neurol 198, 167-82.
461	Briscoe, J. and Ericson, J. (2001). Specification of neuronal fates in the ventral neural tube. Curr.
462	Opin. Neurobiol 11, 43-49.
463	Caceres, A., Mautino, J. and Kosik, K. S. (1992). Suppression of MAP2 in cultured cerebellar
464	macroneurons inhibits minor neurite formation. Neuron 9, 607-618.
465	Cayuso, J., Ulloa, F., Cox, B., Briscoe, J. and Martí, E. (2006). The Sonic hedgehog pathway
466	independently controls the patterning, proliferation and survival of neuroepithelial cells by
467	regulating Gli activity. Development <b>133</b> , 517-528.
468	Chamberlain, C. E., Jeong, J., Guo, C., Allen, B. L. and McMahon, A. P. (2008).
469	Notochord-derived Shh concentrates in close association with the apically positioned basal
470	body in neural target cells and forms a dynamic gradient during neural patterning.
471	Development <b>135</b> , 1097-1106.
472	Charron, F., Stein, E., Jeong, J., McMahon, A. P. and Tessier-Lavigne, M. (2003). The
473	morphogen sonic hedgehog is an axonal chemoattractant that collaborates with netrin-1 in
474	midline axon guidance. Cell <b>113,</b> 11-23.
475	Chiang, C., Litingtung, Y., Lee, E., Young, K. E., Corden, J. L., Westphal, H. and Beachy, P.
476	A. (1996). Cyclopia and defective axial patterning in mice lacking Sonic hedgehog gene
477	function. Nature <b>383</b> , 407-413.
478	Echelard, Y., Epstein, D. J., St-Jacques, B., Shen, L., Mohler, J., McMahon, J. A. and
479	McMahon, A. P. (1993). Sonic hedgehog, a member of a family of putative
480	signalingmolecules, is implicated in the regulation of CNS polarity. Cell <b>75</b> ,1417-1430.
481	Ericson, J., Morton, S., Kawakami, A., Roelink, H. and Jessell, T. M. (1996). Two critical
482	periods of Sonic Hedgehog signaling required for the specification of motor neuron identity.
483	Cel <b>87,</b> 661-673.
484	Ferran, J. L., de Oliveira, E. D., Merchan, P., Sandoval, J. E., Sanchez-Arrones, L.,

485 Martinez-De-La-Torre, M. and Puelles, L. (2009). Genoarchitectonic profile of developing
 486 nuclear groups in the chicken pretectum. J Comp Neurol 517,405-451.

- Hutchinson, S. A. and Eisen, J. S. (2006). Islet1 and Islet2 have equivalent abilities to promote
  motoneuron formation and to specify motoneuron subtype identity. Development 133,
  2137-2147.
- Jessell, T. M. (2000). Neuronal specification in the spinal cord: inductive signals and
   transcriptional codes. Nat. Rev. Genet 1, 20-29.
- Joven, A., Morona, R., González, A. and Moreno, N. (2013). Spatiotemporal patterns of Pax3,
  Pax6, and Pax7 expression in the developing brain of a urodele amphibian, *Pleurodeles waltl*.
  J Comp Neurol 521, 3913-3953.
- Kalcheva, N., Albala, J., O'Guin, K., Rubino, H., Garner, C. and Shafit-Zagardo, B. (1995).
  Genomic structure of human microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP-2) and characterization
  of additional MAP-2 isoforms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92, 10894-10898.
- Kania, A., Johnson, R. L. and Jessell, T. M. (2000). Coordinate roles for LIM homeobox genes
  in directing the dorsoventral trajectory of motor axons in the vertebrate limb. Cell
  102,161-173.
- Kobayashi, N., Homma, S., Okada, T., Masuda, T., Sato, N., Nishiyama, K., Sakuma, C.,
  Shimada, T. and Yaginuma, H. (2013). Elucidation of target muscle and detailed development of dorsal motor neurons in chick embryo spinal cord. J Comp Neurol 521, 2987-3002.
- 505 Krauss, S., Concordet, J. P. and Ingham, P. W. (1993). A functionally conserved homologue of
   506 the Drosophila segment polarity gene hedgehog is expressed in tissues with polarizing activity
   507 in zebrafish embryos. Cell 75, 1431-1444.
- Lee, J. J, von Kessler, D. P, Parks, S. and Beachy, P. A. (1992). Secretion and localized
  transcription suggest a role in positional signaling for products of the segmentation gene
  hedgehog. Cell 71, 33-50.
- Lehner, B., Sandner, B., Marschallinger, J., Lehner, C., Furtner, T., Couillard-Despres, S.,
  Rivera, F. J., Brockhoff, G., Bauer, H. C., Weidner, N., et al. (2011). The dark side of BrdU
  in neural stem cell biology: detrimental effects on cell cycle, differentiation and survival. Cell
  Tissue Res 345, 313-328.
- Lin, J., Fu, S., Yang, C. and Redies, C. (2017). Pax3 overexpression induces cell aggregation
  and perturbs commissural axon projection during embryonic spinal cord development. J Comp
  Neurol 525,1618-1632.
- Lin, J., Wang, C., Yang, C., Fu, S. and Redies, C. (2016). Pax3 and Pax7 interact reciprocally
  and regulate the expression of cadherin-7 through inducing neuron differentiation in the
  developing chicken spinal cord. J Comp Neurol 524, 940-962.
- Luo, J., Ju, M. J. and Redies, C. (2006). Regionalized cadherin-7 expression by radial glia is
  regulated by Shh and Pax7 during chicken spinal cord development. Neuroscience
  142,1133-1143.
- Luxey, M., Laussu, J. and Davy, A. (2015). EphrinB2 sharpens lateral motor column division in
   the developing spinal cord. Neural Dev 10,25. doi: 10.1186/s13064-015-0051-9.
- Martí, E., Bumcrot, D. A., Takada, R. and McMahon, A. P. (1995). Requirement of 19K form
  of Sonic hedgehog for induction of distinct ventral cell types in CNS explants. Nature
  375,322-325.

- NUsslein-Volhard, C. and Wieschaus, E. (1980). Mutations affecting segment number and
   polarity in Drosophila. Nature 287,795-801.
- Odden, J. P., Holbrook, S. and Doe, C. Q. (2002). Drosophila HB9 is expressed in a subset of
   motoneurons and interneurons, where it regulates gene expression and axon pathfinding. J
   Neurosci 22, 9143-9149.
- 534 Otaegi, G., Pollock, A., Hong, J. and Sun, T. (2011). MicroRNA miR-9 modifies motor neuron
  535 columns by a tuning regulation of FoxP1 levels in developing spinal cords. J Neurosci
  536 31,809-818.
- 537 Patten, I. and Placzek, M. (2000). The role of Sonic hedgehog in neural tube patterning. Cell
  538 Mol Life Sci 57,1695-1708.
- Peterson, K. A., Nishi, Y., Ma, W., Vedenko, A., Shokri, L., Zhang, X., McFarlane, M.,
  Baizabal, J. M., Junker, J. P., van Oudenaarden, A. et al. (2012). Neural-specific Sox2
  input and differential Gli-binding affinity provide context and positional information in
  Shh-directed neural patterning. Genes Dev 26,2802-2816.
- 543 Placzek, M., Tessier-Lavigne, M., Yamada, T., Jessell, T. and Dodd, J. (1990). Mesodermal
  544 control of neural cell identity: floor plate induction by the notochord. Science 250,985-988.
- 545 Pringle, N. P., Yu, W. P., Guthrie, S., Roelink, H., Lumsden, A., Peterson, A. C. and
  546 Richardson, W. D. (1996). Determination of neuroepithelial cell fate: induction of the
  547 oligodendrocyte lineage by ventral midline cells and sonic hedgehog. Dev Biol 177,30-42.
- 548 Ribes, V. and Briscoe, J. (2009). Establishing and interpreting graded Sonic Hedgehog signaling
  549 during vertebrate neural tube patterning: the role of negative feedback. Cold Spring Harb
  550 Perspect Biol 1,a002014. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002014.
- Riddle, R., Johnson, R. L., Laufer, E. and Tabin, C. (1993). Sonic hedgehog mediates the
   polarizing activity of the ZPA. Cell 75,1401-1416.
- Roelink, H., Augsberger, A., Heemskerk, J., Korzh, V., Norlin, S. and Ruiz iAltaba, A. (1994).
  Floor plate and motor neuron induction by Shh, avertebrate homolog of hedgehog expressed
  by the notochord. Cell 76,761-775.
- Rousso, D. L., Gaber, Z. B., Wellik, D., Morrisey, E. E. and Novitch, B. G. (2008).
  Coordinated actions of the forkhead protein Foxp1 and Hox proteins in the columnar organization of spinal motor neurons. Neuron 59,226-240.
- Santiago, C., Labrador, J. P. and Bashaw, G. J. (2014). The homeodomain transcription factor
  Hb9 controls axon guidance in Drosophila through the regulation of Robo receptors. Cell Rep
  7,153-165.
- 562 Tanabe, Y., Roelink, H. and Jessell, T. M. (1995). Induction of motor neurons by sonic
  563 hedgehog is independent of floor plate differentiation. Curr Biol 5, 651–658.
- Tanabe, Y., William, C. and Jessell, T. M. (1998). Specification of motor neuron identity by the
   MNR2 homeodomain protein. Cell 95,67-80.
- Tucker, R. P. (1990). The roles of microtubule-associated proteins in brain morphogenesis: a
   review. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 15,101-120.
- Venters, S. J., Argent, R. E, Deegan, F. M, Perez-Baron, G., Wong, T. S., Tidyman, W. E.,
  Denetclaw, W. F Jr, Marcelle, C., Bronner-Fraser, M. and Ordahl, CP. (2004). Precocious
  terminal differentiation of premigratory limb muscle precursor cells requires positive
  signalling. Dev Dyn 229,591-599.
- 572 Vermot, J., Schuhbaur, B., Le, Mouellic, H., McCaffery, P., Garnier, J. M., Hentsch, D.,

573 Brûlet, P., Niederreither, K., Chambon P, Dollé, P. and Le, Roux, I. (2005)	5). Retinaldehyde
574 dehydrogenase 2 and Hoxc8 are required in the murine brachial spin	nal cord for the
575 specification of Lim1+ motoneurons and the correct distribution of Islet	1+ motoneurons.
576 Development <b>132</b> ,1611-1621.	
577 Wilson, L. and Maden, M. (2005). The mechanisms of dorsoventral patterning	; in the vertebrate
578 neural tube. Dev Biol <b>282</b> ,1-13.	
579 Yamada, T., Pfaff, S. L., Edlund, T. and Jessell, T. M. (1993).Control of c	ell pattern in the
580 neural tube: Motor neuron induction by diffusible factors from notochord and	d floor plate. Cell
<b>581 73,</b> 673-686.	
582 Yamada, T., Placzek, M., Tanaka, H., Dodd, J. and Jessell, T. M. (1991). Cont	-
<ul><li>in the developing nervous system: Polarizing activity of the floor plate and no</li><li>635-647.</li></ul>	otochord. Cell 64,
585 Yang, C., Li, X., Liu, Y., Li, H., Guo, Z. and Lin, J. (2015). Sonic hedgeho	og overexpression
586 regulates the neuroepithelial cells proliferation in the spinal cord of dorsa	al regions during
587 chicken embryo development. Neuro Endocrinol Lett <b>36</b> , 380-386.	
588	
589 Figure legends:	
590 Fig. 1 In situ hybridization demonstrates the overexpression of Shh	I
591 A-C: Shh overexpression following pCAGGS-Shh and pCAGGS-GFP co-tr	ransfection; D-F:
592 Control group after pCAGGS-GFP transfection; A: at stage 24 (E4), B: at stage	ge 27 (E5), C: at
593 stage 28 (E6), D: at stage 24 (E4), E: at stage 27 (E5), and F: at stage 28 (E	E6), Arrows $(\rightarrow)$
indicate the areas of Shh overexpression. fp, floor plate; nc, notochord; sp, spinal	cord; Scale bar =
595 100 μm in F for A-F.	
596	
597 Fig. 2 The effect of Shh overexpression on microtubule-associa	ated protein-2
598 (Map2) expression within the motor column in the developing	chicken spinal
599 cord	
600 A-L: Shh overexpression following pCAGGS-Shh and pCAGGS-GFP co-tran	sfection at 84 h.
601 DAPI nuclear staining (A, higher magnification of the ventral areas in E and I).	, GFP expression

- 602 (B, higher magnification of the ventral areas in F and J, green), Map2 expression (C, higher
- 603 magnification of the ventral areas in G and K, red), and merged images (D, higher magnification
- 604 of the ventral areas in H and L). M-X: Control group after pCAGGS-GFP transfection at 84 h.
- 605 DAPI nuclear stain (M, higher magnification of the ventral areas in Q and U), GFP expression (N,
- higher magnification of the ventral areas in R and V), Map2 expression (O, higher magnification

607	of the ventral	areas in S	S and W.	red), and the	merged image (	P. higher m	agnification of	f the ventral
-----	----------------	------------	----------	---------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------	---------------

- 608 areas in T and X). mc, motor column, sp, spinal cord. Arrows  $(\rightarrow)$  indicate the areas of Map2
- 609 expression. Scale bars, 100 µm in A, E, I, M, Q, U for A-X, respectively.
- 610

#### 611 Fig. 3 The effect of Shh overexpression on motor neuron (MNR2) positioning

612 within the motor column in the chicken spinal cord

613 A-H: Shh overexpression following pCAGGS-Shh and pCAGGS-GFP co-transfection at 84 h. 614 DAPI nuclear staining (A, higher magnification of the ventral areas in E), GFP expression (B, 615 higher magnification of the ventral areas in F, green), MNR2 expression (C, higher magnification 616 of the ventral areas in G, red), and merged images (D, higher magnification of the ventral areas in 617 H). I-P: Control group after pCAGGS-GFP transfection at 84 h. DAPI nuclear stain (I, higher 618 magnification of the ventral areas in M), GFP expression (J, higher magnification of the ventral 619 areas in N), MNR2 expression (K, higher magnification of the ventral areas in O, red), and the 620 merged image (L, higher magnification of the ventral areas in P). mc, motor column, Arrows  $(\rightarrow)$ 621 indicate the areas of MNR2 expression. Scale bars, 100 µm in A, E, I, M for A-P, respectively.

622

## 623 Fig. 4 The effect of Shh overexpression on neuroepithelial cell proliferation in the

#### 624 spinal cord during chicken embryo development

625 A-D: Shh overexpression following pCAGGS-Shh and pCAGGS-GFP co-transfection for 36 h. 626 DAPI nuclear staining (A), GFP expression (B, green), BrdU expression (C, red), and merged 627 images (D). E-H: Control group after pCAGGS-GFP transfection at 36 h. DAPI nuclear stain (E), 628 GFP expression (F), BrdU expression (red; G), and merged image (H). I-L: Shh overexpression 629 following pCAGGS-Shh and pCAGGS-GFP co-transfection at 84 h. DAPI nuclear staining (I, 630 higher magnification of the ventral areas in Q), GFP expression (J, higher magnification of the 631 ventral areas in R, green,), BrdU expression (K, higher magnification of the ventral areas in S, 632 red), and merged images (L, higher magnification of the ventral areas in T). M-P: Control group 633 after pCAGGS-GFP transfection at 84 h. DAPI nuclear stain (M, higher magnification of the 634 ventral areas in U), GFP expression (N, higher magnification of the ventral areas in V), BrdU 635 expression (O, higher magnification of the ventral areas in W, red), and merged image (P, higher 26 / 28

magnification of the ventral areas in X). a, the pattern of spinal tissue slice section at 36 h. b, the ratio of BrdU-positive cell numbers on the experimental side to those on the control side (E/C) at 24 h; c, the pattern of spinal tissue slice section at 84 h. d, the ratio of BrdU-positive cell numbers on the experimental side to those on the control side (E/C) at 84 h; e, the pattern of spinal tissue slice section in ventral areas at 84 h. f, the ratio of BrdU-positive cell numbers on the experimental side to those on the control side (E/C) in ventral areas at 84 h. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  S.D. \*\*p<0.01. ne, neuroepithelial cells. Scale bars, 100 µm in A, E, I, M, Q, U for A-X, respectively.

# 644 Fig. 5 The effect of Shh overexpression on Pax3 and Pax7 expression in the spinal

#### 645 cord during chicken embryo development

646 A-F: Shh overexpression group with pCAGGS-Shh and pCAGGS-GFP plasmid co-transfection, 647 showing GFP (A, higher magnification in D), Pax3 (B, higher magnification in E) expression, and 648 merged image (C, higher magnification in F). G-L: Control group with pCAGGS-GFP plasmid 649 transfection, showing GFP (G, higher magnification in J), Pax3 (H, higher magnification in K) 650 expression, and merged image (I, higher magnification in L). M-R: Shh overexpression group with 651 pCAGGS-Shh and pCAGGS-GFP plasmid co-transfection, showing GFP (M, higher 652 magnification in P), Pax7 (N, higher magnification in Q) expression, and merged image (O, higher 653 magnification in R). S-X: Control group with pCAGGS-GFP plasmid transfection, showing GFP 654 (S, higher magnification in V), Pax7 (T, higher magnification in W) expression, and merged image 655 (U, higher magnification in X). a, the pattern of spinal tissue slice section. b, the mean optical 656 density ratio of the experimental side to the control side; c, the mean optical density ratio of the 657 experimental side to the control side; d, percentage of GFP positive axonal area projecting to the 658 contralateral side (%). Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  S.D. \*\*p<0.01. drg, dorsal root ganglion; sp, 659 spinal cord. Arrows ( $\rightarrow$ ) indicate the areas of Pax3 or Pax7 expression. Scale bars, 100 µm in A, D, 660 G, J for A-L. 100 µm in M, P, S, V for M-X, respectively.

#### 661 Fig. 6 The effect of shh overexpression on commissural axons projection in the

#### 662 spinal cord during chicken embryo development

663 Rostro-caudal series of transverse sections after electroporation of the shh overexpression (shh

664 overexpression; A-G); H, the pattern of commissural axons projection. The GFP alone expression 27 / 28

- 665 (control; I-O); P, the pattern of commissural axons projection. In ovo electroporation was
- 666 performed at E2.5 (2.5 days' incubation) and the positive embryos were collected at E6.
- 667 Abbreviations: fp, floor plate; ilc, intermediate longitudinal commissural axons; mlc, medial
- longitudinal commissural axons; rp, roof plate. Scale bar: 100µm in O for A-G and I-O.

669











