1

Cryo-ET reveals nucleosome reorganization in condensed mitotic chromosomes *in vivo*

Shujun Cai, Chen Chen, Zhi Yang Tan, Jian Shi, and Lu Gan*

Department of Biological Sciences and Centre for BioImaging Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543

* Correspondence: lu@anaphase.org

SUMMARY

At the onset of mitosis, chromosomes condense into discrete bodies. This

transformation involves rearrangement at the nucleosome level and has consequences

for transcription, but the details remain unclear. Here, we use cryo-electron tomography

to determine the 3-D arrangement of nucleosomes and other large nuclear features in

interphase and mitotic fission yeast cells. Nucleosomes can form heterogenous clusters

in both interphase and mitotic cells, but none the size of a Hi-C domain. Furthermore,

the nucleosomes are mingled with two features: nucleosome-free pockets and

ribosome-sized "megacomplexes". Compared to interphase chromatin, the

nucleosomes in mitotic chromatin pack in larger clusters. Furthermore, mitotic chromatin

contained fewer megacomplexes. However, nearest-neighbor distance analysis

revealed that mitotic nucleosome clusters have the same packing density as in

interphase. Therefore, the uneven chromosome condensation helps explain a

longstanding enigma of mitosis: most genes are silenced but a subset is upregulated.

INTRODUCTION

Chromatin structure influences key nuclear activities such as transcription and replication (Dixon et al., 2016). The fundamental unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, which consists of ~147 bp of DNA wrapped around a histone octamer (Luger et al., 1997). In mammalian cells, 2 - 35 nucleosomes pack into heterogeneous "clutches" (Ricci et al., 2015) and more than 500 nucleosomes associate as topologically associating domains (Dixon et al., 2012). Likewise, in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, between 300 - 700 nucleosomes associate as compact globular chromatin bodies called globules (Mizuguchi et al., 2014). These studies suggest that chromatin higher-order structure arises from physical interactions of large groups of nucleosomes.

In mitotic cells, chromosomes condense into discrete chromatids. The factors involved in condensation have been well characterized (Hirano, 2016) and a number of models have been proposed for the large-scale organization of chromatin domains (Maeshima and Eltsov, 2008). However, the molecular details of chromatin reorganisation are still unknown. Knowledge of how chromatin condenses in 3-D at the single-nucleosomes level is needed to explain the nearly global mitotic transcriptional repression observed in many eukaryotes (Struhl, 1998). Likewise, a 3-D model of chromatin could also explain how a subset of genes escape this mitotic repression and get upregulated (Rustici et al., 2004; Oliva et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2005).

Some insights on *in vivo* chromatin organisation were made possible by new methods, including chromatin-conformation capture (Hi-C), super-resolution microscopy, and traditional EM of cells stained with DNA-proximal osmium (Beliveau et

al., 2015; Pombo and Dillon, 2015; Ou et al., 2017). However, the resultant models are

limited because these methods rely on population-averaged data, or have low

resolution, or perturb the sample due to the fixation, dehydration and staining

perturbations, respectively. Electron cryotomography (cryo-ET) complements these

approaches because it enables direct visualization of macromolecular complexes in a

life-like frozen-hydrated state inside cells in 3-D at ~ 4-nm resolution (Gan et al., 2013).

Being a label-free method, cryo-ET image features arise from the molecules

themselves, revealing the positions of all macromolecular complexes in a cell. Using

cryo-ET, we previously showed that nucleosomes in picoplankton and budding yeast

pack irregularly, but we also found that the chromosomes in these cells did not compact

into discrete chromatids (Gan et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2016).

We have now used cryo-ET to directly visualize the mitotically rearranged

chromatin *in vivo* in the fission yeasts *S. pombe* and *S. japonicus*. To obtain

cryotomograms with sufficient contrast to resolve nucleosomes, we imaged cells that

were thinned by cryomicrotomy. To better understand the heterogeneous complexes in

the crowded nucleoplasm, we took advantage of recent advances in phase-contrast

hardware and 3-D classification software (Bharat and Scheres, 2016; Khoshouei et al.,

2017). We found that in interphase, nucleosomes frequently associate as small clusters

or short chains. Nucleosome-free pockets and megadalton-sized "megacomplexes" are

interspersed among these nucleosome clusters. In mitosis, chromosomes condense

unevenly and have slightly larger nucleosome clusters. Mitotic chromosomes also have

nucleosome-free pockets, but fewer megacomplexes. These phenotypes are conserved

in both yeasts and lead to a model that explains how mitotic chromatin is permissive to

some genes but repressive to most others.

RESULTS

S. pombe subcellular structures are revealed at molecular resolution by cryo-ET

To understand how native chromatin organization differs between interphase and

mitosis, we imaged *S. pombe* cells by cryo-ET of frozen-hydrated sections

(cryosections, ~ 90 - 130 nm nominal thickness). Because it is challenging to determine

the cell-cycle stage using the cryo-EM image alone, we arrested temperature-sensitive

cdc25-22 cells in G2 phase (Fantes, 1979) and cold-sensitive *nda3-KM311* cells in

prometaphase (Hiraoka et al., 1984). Fluorescence microscopy confirmed that the latter

cells have the well-known mitotic chromosome-condensation phenotype (Fig. 1A).

In a typical cryotomogram of an *S. pombe* cell, we could recognize organelles by

their size and membrane morphology. Prominent organelles included the endoplasmic

reticulum, vesicles, and the nucleus (Fig. 1B and C). To further assess the quality of the

cryosections and data, we performed subtomogram averaging of cytoplasmic ribosomes

(Fig. S1A, B). The resulting average was similar to a low-pass-filtered budding-yeast

ribosome crystal structure (Fig. S1C) (Ben-Shem et al., 2011). Therefore, the

conformation of large complexes are preserved at the molecular level.

Interphase chromatin is arranged as loosely packed nucleosomes and

heterogeneous nucleosome clusters

G2-phase cell nuclei are filled with many nucleosome-like granular densities, which, for

the sake of brevity, herein we call nucleosomes for brevity due to their size, shape,

abundance, location, and condensation phenotype all being consistent with

nucleosomes (see below). Nucleosomes frequently associate as small heterogeneous

clusters less than 50-nm wide (Fig. 2B). Chain-like nucleosome configurations are also

abundant (Fig. 2C). The remaining nucleosomes are not clustered together (Fig. 2D).

Efforts to automatically identify clusters of nucleosomes did not produce clusters with

distinct motifs due to their heterogeneous positions. Small nucleosome-free "pockets" (<

50 nm) are also abundant (Fig. 2E). Densities much larger than nucleosomes (> 20 nm)

are spread throughout the nucleus (Fig. 2F). These densities are consistent with being

multi-megadalton nuclear assemblies such as pre-ribosomes, spliceosomes, and

transcription preinitiation complexes (Gleizes et al., 2001; Allen and Taatjes, 2015;

Oesterreich et al., 2016); we call these "megacomplexes" for brevity. Therefore, in

interphase, nucleosomes associate into many heterogeneous small clusters,

interspersed among pockets and megacomplexes.

Condensed mitotic chromosomes contain fewer megacomplexes

Unlike in G2-phase cells, in each cryotomogram of a prometaphase cell we found a

single position that has many features consistent with its being a section through a

condensed chromosome. For example, nucleosomes-like densities are abundant within

these positions, but much rarer outside (Fig. 3A-C). Compared to G2-phase cells, in

which abundant megacomplexes are interspersed with the chromatin (Fig. 2A),

megacomplexes are mostly absent from these large contiguous regions in

prometaphase cells (Fig. 3A). These positions are hundreds of nanometers wide,

matching the size of condensed chromosomes seen by fluorescence microscopy (Fig.

1A, S2). If these positions are indeed chromosomes, there should be a large contiguous

volume in the cell with few megacomplexes. To test this hypothesis, we sampled a

larger nuclear volume by cryo-ET of 5 nearly sequential cryosections (summing to ~ 700

nm thick) of the same cell (Fig. S3A). We saw a single megacomplex-poor region in

each of these cryosections, which is consistent with these positions being sections

through one or two of the three fission-yeast chromosomes (Fig. S3B-F). Taken

together, we located mitotic chromosomes and found they have fewer megacomplexes

within.

Nucleosome clusters and loosely packed nucleosomes also coexist within mitotic

condensed chromosomes

In conventional (defocus) cryotomograms, we noticed that most nucleosomes appear

more densely packed in prometaphase cells (Fig. S4). To better understand how

chromatin is organized, we imaged cells by Volta phase contrast cryo-ET (Fig. S5).

Volta cryo-EM data have much more contrast, making it possible to locate and

determine the orientations of smaller protein complexes and to resolve protein

complexes packed to near-crystalline density inside of cells (Engel et al., 2015;

Khoshouei et al., 2017). The Volta data confirmed what we learned from defocus cryo-

ET: nucleosomes more frequently packed into larger clusters in prometaphase cells

than in the G2-phase cells, but the boundary of clusters is less clear (Figs. 4A and B,

S5). Importantly, we also observed some loosely packed nucleosomes in prometaphase

cells (Figs. 4C and S5B, D), meaning that condensation is uneven. In summary, mitotic

chromosomes consist of both densely and loosely packed nucleosomes.

The existence of loosely packed prometaphase nucleosomes suggests that

mitotic chromatin is permissive to transcriptional machines, which is supported by the

observation that some genes are up-regulated during mitosis (Rustici et al., 2004; Oliva

et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2005). However, these earlier studies could not determine if

mitotic cells are undergoing active RNA polymerase II transcription or if they simply

have stable mRNAs leftover from G2 phase. Phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II at

Serine 2 of the carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) heptamer repeat is a conserved marker

of transcription elongation (Komarnitsky et al., 2000; Harlen and Churchman, 2017).

Using immunofluorescence, we confirmed the existence of RNA polymerase II with

phospho-Ser2 CTD, and therefore active transcription, in prometaphase chromosomes

(Fig. S6). To rule out the possibility of abnormal transcriptional activity in the mutants,

we also performed this experiment in asynchronous wild type cells. We also detected

phospho-Ser2 CTD signal in early mitotic cells. Therefore, transcription is not shut-down

globally in mitotic *S. pombe* cells.

To analyse the nucleosome rearrangement more objectively, we performed

template matching to find their 3-D positions in Volta cryotomograms. This analysis

showed that nucleosome formed clusters in both G2-phase and prometaphase cells, but

appear more crowded in the latter (Fig. 5A, B). If chromosomes condense via a uniform

accretion of all nucleosomes, the average nucleosome nearest-neighbor distance

(NND) distribution should shorten. However, the NND distributions of nucleosome hits in

G2-phase and prometaphase cells were indistinguishable (two-tailed t-test, p > 0.05)

(Fig. 5C). While mitotic chromatin might not condense by compaction of nearest-

neighbor nucleosomes, they might condense from changes in interactions between

groups of nucleosomes. We tested this hypothesis by creating histograms of 10th NNDs

and found that the population shifted to shorter distances in prometaphase cells (two-

tailed t-test, p < 0.001) (Fig. 5D), which explains the more-crowded appearance of

prometaphase nucleosomes. Taken together, our analyses reveal that chromosomes

condense by the merging of small nucleosome clusters, resulting in a closer association

between distant nucleosomes (Fig. 5E, F).

As an alternative approach to studying nucleosomes *in vivo*, we performed cryo-

ET on the undigested chromatin from lysates of G2-phase and prometaphase *S. pombe*

cells. Cryotomograms of cell lysis products can reveal more clearly the positions of

nucleosomes (Cai et al., 2017). Subtomogram averages of nucleosome template-

matching hits revealed unambiguous features of mono-nucleosomes including the

groove between two DNA gyres (Fig. S7), demonstrating that our template-matching

approach can pick out most nucleosomes. In the lysates, there are many large

nucleosome-free pockets wider than 50 nm in G2-phase chromatin. In contrast,

prometaphase chromatin remained as compact bodies with only a few smaller pockets

(Fig. S8). Therefore, consistent with our *in vivo* results, prometaphase chromatin also

has denser nucleosome packing *in vitro* (Fig. S8).

***S. japonicus* and *S. pombe* chromosomes condense by similar means**

To test whether the formation of larger nucleosome clusters during condensation is

conserved, we imaged *S. japonicus*, a bigger fission yeast that also undergoes mitotic

condensation (Robinow and Hyams, 1989). *S. japonicus* has the same genome size (~

12Mb) as *S. pombe*, but has a nucleus at least 8-fold more voluminous. Because *S.*

japonicus is a less-developed model organism, we used asynchronous wild-type cells.

These cells were frozen, cryosectioned, and subjected to Volta cryo-ET just like for *S.*

pombe. We used microtubules as cytological markers because a previous study

showed that cells that have cytoplasmic microtubules are in interphase, whereas cells

that have nuclear microtubules are in mitosis (Yam et al., 2013). We found densely

packed nucleosomes in *S. japonicus* mitotic chromosomes (Fig. S9A-D). Furthermore,

NND and 10th-NND analyses show that the nucleosome NND distributions were similar

in interphase and mitosis (two-tailed t-test, $p > 0.05$) , but that the 10th-NND distribution

was shorter in mitosis (two-tailed t-test, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. S9E, F). Therefore, the

formation of larger and closer nucleosome clusters in mitosis is a conserved phenotype

in fission yeasts.

Nucleosomes do not packed in a fixed motif

Our previous study on natural *S. cerevisiae* chromatin *in vitro* showed that pairs of

nucleosomes sometimes packed face-to-face (Cai et al., 2017), which can limit access

to histone motifs like the acidic patch (Luger et al., 1997). However, our 2-D

classification of di-nucleosomes in *S. pombe* did not reveal any classes containing face-

to-face-packed nucleosomes (Fig. S11), meaning that such nucleosome-nucleosome

interactions must be extremely rare. Instead, di-nucleosomes were packed irregularly,

including edge-to-edge packing, with ~ 3-nm gaps in between. Compared with

prometaphase cells (Fig S11B and D), we noticed that G2-phase nucleosomes were

more heterogeneously packed (Fig. S11A and C). Taken together, our 2-D classification

analyses reveal irregular packing of nucleosomes *in vivo*.

A subset of nucleosomes may be partially unwrapped

In a rare instances, we were able to resolve densities that resemble linker DNA

spanning two nucleosome-like densities in *S. pombe* G2-phase chromatin (Fig. S10A,

B). Many of these linker-DNA-like densities were much longer than the average ~ 2 nm

expected from MNase-digestion experiments (Fig. S10A-D) and nucleosome-mapping

studies (Lantermann et al., 2010). Furthermore, in the NND analysis, there are a large

number of nucleosome pairs that have NND values greater than 12 nm, i.e., the gap

between two adjacent nucleosomes are larger than 2 nm (Fig. 5C). One explanation is

that the larger separation reflects the high end of the distribution of linker DNA lengths.

Alternatively, some nucleosomes might be partially unwrapped – such as may be

expected of fragile or prenucleosomes (Fei et al., 2015; Kubik et al., 2015), resulting in

apparently longer linker DNA (Fig. S10E, F). The possibility of partially unwrapped

nucleosomes led us to test whether the nucleosomes *in vivo* resembled

mononucleosomes. To do this, we performed 2-D and 3-D classification of nucleosome

template-matching hits (Bharat and Scheres, 2016). Although 3-D class averages have

the correct size of nucleosomes, none of them resemble the low-pass-filtered crystal

structure of the mono-nucleosome (Fig. S12) (Luger et al., 1997). This difference might

be due to either the insufficient signal-to-noise ratio of our dataset or high heterogeneity

of nucleosome densities.

DISCUSSION

We have directly visualized chromatin in both interphase and mitotic fission-yeast cells

by cryo-ET. Nucleosomes associate into small heterogeneous clusters in interphase.

Mitotic cells have larger nucleosome clusters, but the nearest-neighbor distance does

not shorten. Condensed chromosomes also contain fewer megacomplexes. Following

cell lysis, mitotic chromatin remains more compact than interphase chromatin,

suggesting that mitotic chromatin has properties that make it inherently prone to self-

association. Classification analysis suggests that contrary to universal depictions in the

literature, most nucleosomes *in vivo* are conformationally heterogeneous or bound by

nucleosome-associated proteins, or both. We propose a model of chromosome

condensation at the nucleosome level (Fig. 6). In uncondensed chromosomes,

nucleosomes are loosely packed as small and heterogenous clusters. Upon mitotic

condensation, a subset of nucleosomes become part of large clusters. As a result of this

chromatin reorganization, megacomplexes become mostly absent from the chromatin.

A recent electron tomography study (ChromEMT) showed that mammalian

chromatin could be better visualized when treated with a DNA-binding dye that

generates a local cloud of osmiophilic polymers (Ou et al., 2017). In that study, the cells

were processed by traditional EM methods, meaning that recorded densities were from

osmium deposits in a cell that had been subjected to dehydration, multiple rounds of

chemical fixation, and plastic embedment. Assuming that the osmium stain distribution

remained localized within a few nanometers of the original nucleosome positions, the

study concluded that mammalian chromatin is best explained by a beads-on-a-string

configuration, and that chromosomes condense by these beads folding back upon

themselves. Unlike our study, the ChromEMT study did not report any clusters of

nucleosomes or any megacomplexes. Instead, the space in between the stained

positions appeared like large voids.

Cryo-ET reveals new insights into higher-order chromatin organisation

Our cryotomograms of G2 phase *S. pombe* reveal that at the single-cell level, there are

many small heterogenous clusters (Fig. 2D). However, most clusters are smaller than

the globules inferred from Hi-C experiments (Mizuguchi et al., 2014). This inconsistency

between single-cell and population studies has been reported before -- single-cell Hi-C

studies showed smaller or more disperse chromatin bodies than conventional

(population based) Hi-C studies (Nagano et al., 2013; Flyamer et al., 2017; Nagano et

al., 2017). In light of our direct observation of nucleosome clusters, this difference can

be reconciled as follows. Each cell has different sets of small nucleosome clusters,

probably as a consequence of chromatin dynamics (Nozaki et al., 2017). A large

population of cells, each with a smaller cluster of nucleosomes at a given locus, could

produce the larger globule if their Hi-C signals were summed (Fig. S13). Therefore,

small heterogenous nucleosome clusters are the primary higher-order structure of

chromatin in fission yeast.

In higher eukaryotes, nucleosome arrays are also thought to fold into chromatin

loops, which in human cells can bring together loci that are separated by up to 2 Mb that

correspond to ~10,000 nucleosomes (Rao et al., 2014). Hi-C did not reveal any loops in

S. pombe (Mizuguchi et al., 2014), but we do see curved nucleosome chains, which

might be portions of short loops (Fig. 2C). If these chains were indeed portions of loops,

their loop-like Hi-C signature (off-axis peaks) could have been lost due to the

aforementioned population averaging effect or due to insufficient Hi-C resolution. It is

unknown if short chromatin loops adopt a "Ω" shape or if they are irregular. Such a

question may eventually be addressable once imaging technology improves to a point

where the majority of linker DNAs connecting sequential nucleosomes can be resolved

in frozen-hydrated cells.

The role of uneven condensation in transcriptional regulation

It has long been known that there is a strong correlation between chromosome

condensation and transcriptional repression (Taylor, 1960; Prescott and Bender, 1962).

Mechanistic evidence of mitotic repression came from the observation that mitotic

condensation is coincident with transcription-factor displacement (Martinez-Balbas et

al., 1995). In fission yeast, there is an overall transcriptional repression in mitosis (Oliva

et al., 2005), but many cell-cycle related genes actually get upregulated during mitosis

(Rustici et al., 2004; Oliva et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2005). In agreement, our

immunofluorescence images detected elongating RNA polymerase II in mitotic *S.*

pombe chromosomes. How could such an enigma be explained? Our study shows that

most nucleosomes in mitotic chromosomes do not pack face-to-face, which enables

access to a subset of protein complexes that interact with this nucleosome interphase

(McGinty and Tan, 2015). Mitotic fission yeast nucleosomes are nevertheless densely

packed and generally non-permissive to megacomplexes, including large transcription

machines. However, we find that condensation is uneven because there are a few

nucleosome-free positions in between nucleosome clusters (Figs. 4C, S5D). These

positions would remain permissive to either the passage or assembly of transcription

megacomplexes such as spliceosomes and transcription preinitiation complexes.

Indeed, a few other nucleosome-free positions in mitotic chromatin contain

megacomplexes, but it remains to be determined whether these were pre-formed

complexes that diffused into an already-condensed chromosome or if they assembled

de novo. We favor this latter possibility because the subunits of megacomplexes, which

are much smaller, can pass between the nucleosomes due to transient opening of

chromatin from thermal motions (Hihara et al., 2012). Uneven condensation therefore

offers a molecular explanation for the fine-grained control of mitotic transcription.

METERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

S. pombe cell culture (Forsburg, 2003), G2-phase arrest (Ducommun et al., 1990) and

prometaphase arrest (Hiraoka et al., 1984) were performed as previously reported. *nda3-km311*

cells were incubated overnight in yeast-extract supplemented (YES) medium (30 g/L glucose, 5

g/L yeast extract, 225 mg/L adenine, 225 mg/L histidine, 225 mg/L leucine, 225 mg/L uracil) at

30°C with shaking. When the optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) reached ~0.2, the cultures were

cooled by incubation in a 20°C shaker. After incubation with shaking for 10 hr at this lower

temperature, above 90% of cells were arrested in a prometaphase state. *cdc25-22* cells were

incubated in YES medium at 25°C with shaking overnight. When the OD_{600} reached ~0.2, the

cultures were warmed to a 36°C in a water bath and then transferred to a 36°C shaker. After 4

hr, the majority of cells were arrested at G2 phase. *S. japonicus* cells were cultured in YES

medium at 30°C with shaking overnight until cells reached mid-log phase (OD_{600} = ~0.6) (Yam

et al., 2013).

Fluorescence microscopy

Cells were stained with DAPI following a published protocol (Toda et al., 1981). Cells (1 ml)

were fixed with 2.5% formaldehyde for 60 mins at the restrictive temperature for mutants. The

cells were washed twice by centrifugation at 1500 *x g* for 1 min and resuspension with distilled

water. They were pelleted at 1500 *x g* for 1 min and stained by resuspension in 50 µl PBS, pH

7.4, containing 1 µg/ml DAPI. Ten µl of the sample was then added to the slide and imaged

using a PerkinElmer Ultraview Vox Spinning Disc confocal microscope (PerkinElmer, Waltham,

MA). Images were recorded using an 100x oil-immersion objective.

Immunofluorescence

Log-phase cells (~10 ml) were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 90 min at 30°C (or the

restrictive temperature for mutants). Cells were collected by centrifugation at 1500 $x\ g$ for 5 min.

Cells were then resuspended in 1 ml PEM buffer (0.1 M PIPES pH 6.95, 2 mM EGTA, 1 mM

$MgSO_4$). Cells were washed once with 1 ml PEM this way and then resuspended in 1ml PEMS

(1.2 M sorbitol in PEM). Next, cells were spheroplasted for 15 minutes by incubation with lysis-

enzyme cocktail, diluted 1:1000 in PEMS at 30°C. Cells were washed with PEMS. The pellet

was then resuspended in PEMS with 1% Triton X-100 and incubated at 22°C for 5 min. Cells

were then washed twice with PEM and incubated in PEMBAL (PEM, 1% BSA, 100 mM L-Lysine

hydrochloride) at 22 °C for 1 hour. Cells were then incubated with rabbit anti-RNA polymerase II

CTD repeat YSPTSPS (phospho S2) antibody in PEMBAL (1:1000 dilution) at 22 ºC for 1 hour.

Cells were washed three times with 1 ml PEMBAL and then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-

coupled donkey anti-rabbit antibodies at 22 ºC for 1 hour. Cells were then washed three times

with PEM and resuspended in 50 μl 1 μg/ml DAPI.

Self-pressurized freezing

Self-pressurized freezing was performed based on a previous method, with modifications

(Yakovlev and Downing, 2011). *S. pombe* were grown in YES medium until the OD_{600} reached

0.2 - 0.6. Cells were pelleted by centrifuging at 1500 $x\ g$ for 5 min. Dextran (40 kDa) was added

to the cell pellet as an extracellular cryoprotectant to a final concentration of 30%. The cells

were then quick-spun to remove bubbles and then loaded into a copper tube (0.45 / 0.3 mm

outer / inner diameters) with a syringe-type filler device (Part 733-1, Engineering Office M.

Wohlwend GmbH). The tube was sealed by crimping both ends with flat jaw pliers. The sealed

tube was held horizontally 3 cm above the liquid-ethane cryogen surface, and then dropped into

the cryogen. The flattened ends of the tube were then cut off with a specially designed guillotine

under liquid nitrogen.

Vitreous sectioning

Vitreous sectioning was performed as previously described with modifications (Chen et al.,

2016). A perforated-carbon grid or continuous carbon grid was coated with 10 nm gold colloids

as fiducials for cryotomographic alignment. Gold solution (5 µl at 5.7 x 10^{12} particles/ml) in 0.1

mg/ml BSA was applied to the grid and then air-dried. Frozen-hydrated cells were cut into a 70-

nm thick cryo-ribbon using a 35° diamond knife (Cryo35, Diatome, Nidau, Switzerland) in a

Leica UC7/FC7 cryo-ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria) at -150°C. Once the

ribbon was ~3 mm long, the colloidal-gold-coated EM grid was placed underneath the ribbon.

To minimize occlusion by grid bars at high tilt during cryotomographic imaging, the grid as

aligned so that the ribbon was parallel with and in between the grid bars. The ribbon was then

attached to the grid by operating the Crion in "charge" mode for ~30 seconds. The grid was

stored in liquid nitrogen until imaging.

Cell lysis

Log-phase *S. pombe* cells were collected by centrifuging at 1500 *x g* for 5 min and then

spheroplasted for 15 minutes by incubation with lysis-enzyme cocktail at either 30°C or the

restrictive temperature for mutants. The spheroplasts were pelleted at 1500 *x g* for 2 mins at 22

°C and then lysed in 20 µl lysis buffer (50 mM EDTA and a 1:1000 dilution of protease inhibitor

cocktail) on ice for 15 min.

Plunge freezing

Plunge freezing was done using a Vitrobot Mk IV (Thermo, Waltham, MA) operated at 4°C with

80% humidity. *S. pombe* cell lysates (4 µl) were applied to a freshly glow-discharged perforated-

carbon grid. The grid was blotted once (blot force:1, blot time: 3s) with filter paper and then

plunged into liquid ethane.

Electron cryotomography

Tilt series were collected using FEI TOMO4 on a Titan Krios cryo-TEM (Thermo, Waltham, MA)

operated at 300 KeV and equipped with a field-emission gun, a Volta phase-plate device, and a

Falcon II direct-detection camera. Details of the imaging parameters are shown in Table S1.

Image alignment, CTF correction, low-pass filtration, 3-D reconstruction were done using the

IMOD software package. Note that Volta cryotomograms were not CTF corrected.

Cryotomograms were visualized as tomographic slices with 3dmod and as isosurfaces with

UCSF Chimera.

Template matching

Template matching was done using PEET. A manually-selected subtomogram containing a

nucleosome-like particle served as a template. This template was multiplied with a spherical

mask to suppress the influence of background nucleoplasmic densities. The search grid with a

10-nm spacing was generated within the nucleus. Hits within 6 nm were considered as

duplicates and the extra hit was automatically removed. The criteria of cross-correlation

coefficient cutoff were the same as in our previous report (Cai et al., 2017). Briefly, we first used

the average of cross-correlation coefficients of all template-matching hits as a cutoff. We then

manually inspected the hits in the tomogram and adjusted the cutoff (if needed) to minimize the

number of detectable false positives and false negatives. To further remove false positives from

megacomplexes, another round of template matching was performed using a ribosome-like

density as a template. The nucleosome hits that were within 12.5 nm of these megacomplexes

were then removed with a Matlab script (available upon request; Mathworks, Natick, MA).

For dinucleosome template matching, a manually-selected subtomogram of a di-

nucleosome served as a template. This template was multiplied with a cylindrical mask

(diameter: 15 nm, height: 22 nm) rotated to align with the longitudinal axis of the dinucleosome

template. The search grid with a 25-nm spacing was generated within the chromatin. Due to

missing wedge artifact, nucleosomes have higher resolution in X-Y. Therefore, the angular

search around the X and Y axes was disabled. Hits within 15 nm were considered as duplicates.

The criteria of cross-correlation coefficient cutoff were the same as the one described above for

mononucleosomes. False positives from megacomplexes fell into their own classes in the

subsequent 2-D classification (see below) and were removed at that point.

2-D and 3-D classification

Subtomogram classification and 3-D averaging of nucleosomes were done in RELION 1.4 and

RELION 2.0, following the workflow of our previous study (Cai et al., 2017). The 3-D coordinates

of template-matching hits were imported into RELION with a 15.6 nm box size and 13.5 nm

mask diameter. For 2-D classification, the number of classes was set to 50 and the resolution of

the data was limited to 3 nm. All nucleosome-like classes were selected. For 3-D classification,

the number of classes was set to between 10 and 20, and the resolution limit was set to 2 nm.

Two to three rounds of 3-D classification were performed, with false positive removal in between

rounds.

For di-nucleosome 2-D classification, the box size and the mask diameter were set to 30

nm and 26 nm, respectively. As a default setting, RELION produce projections of all densities

within the boxes, including the nucleoplasmic densities above and below dinucleosomes. To

only include densities of dinucleosomes, a python script (available upon request) was used to

generate projections from thinner boxes (height: 17.5 nm). The resolution of the data was

limited to 2 nm to suppress the effects of high-resolution noise. The number of classes was set

to 100, but many of the classes were very similar and therefore merged.

Nearest-neighbor distance analysis

Nearest-neighbor distance analysis of template-matching hits (Figs. 5 and S9) was performed

as previously described (Cai et al., 2017). The coordinates of the nucleosome hits were

imported into Matlab. NND and 10th NND were calculated using the Matlab function

nearestneighbour.m. The script is available upon request.

Two-tailed t-test

Two-tailed t-tests for NND values were performed in Excel using TTEST function. The number

of NND values analysed for G2-phase and prometaphase *S. pombe*, interphase and mitotic *S.*

japonicus were 10292, 11835, 9397, 4474, respectively.

Data sharing

A cryotomogram, corresponding to Fig. 1, was deposited in the EMDataBank as EMD-####.

The tilt series for all cryotomograms presented in this manuscript were deposited in the Electron

Microscopy Public Image Archive as EMPIAR-####.

Acknowledgements

We thank the CBIS microscopy staff for support and training. We thank Mohan

Balasubramanian and Yinyi Huang for providing *S. pombe* strains and advice on *S.*

pombe culture. We thank Snezhka Oliferenko for advice on *S. japonicus* culture and

manuscript feedback. *S. japonicus* strains were obtained from JapoNet. SC, CC, ZYT

and LG were supported by NUS startups R-154-000-515-133, R-154-000-524-651, and

D-E12-303-154-217, R-154-000-558-133, and MOE T2 R-154-000-624-112.

Contributions

461   S.C - experiments, project design, writing, CC - training, ZT - experiments, JS - training,

462   LG - project design, writing.

REFERENCES

Allen, B.L., and Taatjes, D.J. (2015). The Mediator complex: a central integrator of transcription.

Nature reviews Molecular cell biology *16*, 155-166.

Beliveau, B.J., Boettiger, A.N., Avendano, M.S., Jungmann, R., McCole, R.B., Joyce, E.F., Kim-

Kiselak, C., Bantignies, F., Fonseka, C.Y., Erceg, J.*, et al.* (2015). Single-molecule super-

resolution imaging of chromosomes and in situ haplotype visualization using Oligopaint FISH

probes. Nature communications *6*, 7147.

Ben-Shem, A., Garreau de Loubresse, N., Melnikov, S., Jenner, L., Yusupova, G., and

Yusupov, M. (2011). The structure of the eukaryotic ribosome at 3.0 A resolution. Science *334*,

1524-1529.

Bharat, T.A., and Scheres, S.H. (2016). Resolving macromolecular structures from electron

cryo-tomography data using subtomogram averaging in RELION. Nat Protoc *11*, 2054-2065.

Cai, S., Song, Y., Chen, C., Shi, J., and Gan, L. (2017). Natural Chromatin Is Heterogeneous

And Self Associates In Vitro. bioRxiv, 139543.

Chen, C., Lim, H.H., Shi, J., Tamura, S., Maeshima, K., Surana, U., and Gan, L. (2016).

Budding yeast chromatin is dispersed in a crowded nucleoplasm in vivo. Molecular biology of

the cell *27*, 3357-3368.

Dixon, J.R., Gorkin, D.U., and Ren, B. (2016). Chromatin Domains: The Unit of Chromosome

Organization. Molecular cell *62*, 668-680.

Dixon, J.R., Selvaraj, S., Yue, F., Kim, A., Li, Y., Shen, Y., Hu, M., Liu, J.S., and Ren, B. (2012).

Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions.

Nature *485*, 376-380.

Ducommun, B., Draetta, G., Young, P., and Beach, D. (1990). Fission yeast cdc25 is a cell-

cycle regulated protein. Biochemical and biophysical research communications *167*, 301-309.

Engel, B.D., Schaffer, M., Kuhn Cuellar, L., Villa, E., Plitzko, J.M., and Baumeister, W. (2015).

Native architecture of the Chlamydomonas chloroplast revealed by in situ cryo-electron

tomography. Elife *4*.

Fantes, P. (1979). Epistatic gene interactions in the control of division in fission yeast. Nature

279, 428-430.

Fei, J., Torigoe, S.E., Brown, C.R., Khuong, M.T., Kassavetis, G.A., Boeger, H., and Kadonaga,

J.T. (2015). The prenucleosome, a stable conformational isomer of the nucleosome. Genes &

development *29*, 2563-2575.

Flyamer, I.M., Gassler, J., Imakaev, M., Brandao, H.B., Ulianov, S.V., Abdennur, N., Razin,

S.V., Mirny, L.A., and Tachibana-Konwalski, K. (2017). Single-nucleus Hi-C reveals unique

chromatin reorganization at oocyte-to-zygote transition. Nature *544*, 110-114.

Forsburg, S.L. (2003). Growth and manipulation of S. pombe. Current Protocols in Molecular

Biology, 13.16. 11-13.16. 17.

Gan, L., Ladinsky, M.S., and Jensen, G.J. (2013). Chromatin in a marine picoeukaryote is a

disordered assemblage of nucleosomes. Chromosoma *122*, 377-386.

Gleizes, P.E., Noaillac-Depeyre, J., Leger-Silvestre, I., Teulieres, F., Dauxois, J.Y., Pommet, D.,

Azum-Gelade, M.C., and Gas, N. (2001). Ultrastructural localization of rRNA shows defective

nuclear export of preribosomes in mutants of the Nup82p complex. The Journal of cell biology

155, 923-936.

Harlen, K.M., and Churchman, L.S. (2017). The code and beyond: transcription regulation by

the RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology *18*, 263-

273.

Heumann, J.M. (2016). PEET (University of Colorado Boulder).

Heymann, J.B. (2001). Bsoft: image and molecular processing in electron microscopy. Journal

of structural biology *133*, 156-169.

Hihara, S., Pack, C.G., Kaizu, K., Tani, T., Hanafusa, T., Nozaki, T., Takemoto, S., Yoshimi, T.,

Yokota, H., Imamoto, N.*, et al.* (2012). Local nucleosome dynamics facilitate chromatin

accessibility in living mammalian cells. Cell reports *2*, 1645-1656.

Hirano, T. (2016). Condensin-Based Chromosome Organization from Bacteria to Vertebrates.

Cell *164*, 847-857.

Hiraoka, Y., Toda, T., and Yanagida, M. (1984). The NDA3 gene of fission yeast encodes beta-

tubulin: a cold-sensitive nda3 mutation reversibly blocks spindle formation and chromosome

movement in mitosis. Cell *39*, 349-358.

Khoshouei, M., Radjainia, M., Baumeister, W., and Danev, R. (2017). Cryo-EM structure of

haemoglobin at 3.2 A determined with the Volta phase plate. Nature communications *8*, 16099.

Kimanius, D., Forsberg, B.O., Scheres, S.H., and Lindahl, E. (2016). Accelerated cryo-EM

structure determination with parallelisation using GPUs in RELION-2. Elife *5*.

Komarnitsky, P., Cho, E.J., and Buratowski, S. (2000). Different phosphorylated forms of RNA

polymerase II and associated mRNA processing factors during transcription. Genes &

development *14*, 2452-2460.

Kubik, S., Bruzzone, M.J., Jacquet, P., Falcone, J.L., Rougemont, J., and Shore, D. (2015).

Nucleosome Stability Distinguishes Two Different Promoter Types at All Protein-Coding Genes

in Yeast. Molecular cell *60*, 422-434.

Lantermann, A.B., Straub, T., Stralfors, A., Yuan, G.C., Ekwall, K., and Korber, P. (2010).

Schizosaccharomyces pombe genome-wide nucleosome mapping reveals positioning

mechanisms distinct from those of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nat Struct Mol Biol *17*, 251-257.

Luger, K., Mader, A.W., Richmond, R.K., Sargent, D.F., and Richmond, T.J. (1997). Crystal

structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 A resolution. Nature *389*, 251-260.

Maeshima, K., and Eltsov, M. (2008). Packaging the genome: the structure of mitotic

chromosomes. J Biochem *143*, 145-153.

Martinez-Balbas, M.A., Dey, A., Rabindran, S.K., Ozato, K., and Wu, C. (1995). Displacement of

sequence-specific transcription factors from mitotic chromatin. Cell *83*, 29-38.

Mastronarde, D.N. (1997). Dual-axis tomography: an approach with alignment methods that

preserve resolution. Journal of structural biology *120*, 343-352.

McGinty, R.K., and Tan, S. (2015). Nucleosome structure and function. Chem Rev *115*, 2255-

2273.

Mizuguchi, T., Fudenberg, G., Mehta, S., Belton, J.M., Taneja, N., Folco, H.D., FitzGerald, P.,

Dekker, J., Mirny, L., Barrowman, J*., et al.* (2014). Cohesin-dependent globules and

heterochromatin shape 3D genome architecture in S. pombe. Nature *516*, 432-435.

Nagano, T., Lubling, Y., Stevens, T.J., Schoenfelder, S., Yaffe, E., Dean, W., Laue, E.D.,

Tanay, A., and Fraser, P. (2013). Single-cell Hi-C reveals cell-to-cell variability in chromosome

structure. Nature *502*, 59-64.

Nagano, T., Lubling, Y., Varnai, C., Dudley, C., Leung, W., Baran, Y., Mendelson Cohen, N.,

Wingett, S., Fraser, P., and Tanay, A. (2017). Cell-cycle dynamics of chromosomal organization

at single-cell resolution. Nature *547*, 61-67.

Nozaki, T., Imai, R., Tanbo, M., Nagashima, R., Tamura, S., Tani, T., Joti, Y., Tomita, M.,

Hibino, K., Kanemaki, M.T.*, et al.* (2017). Dynamic Organization of Chromatin Domains

Revealed by Super-Resolution Live-Cell Imaging. Molecular cell *67*, 282-293 e287.

Oesterreich, F.C., Herzel, L., Straube, K., Hujer, K., Howard, J., and Neugebauer, K.M. (2016).

Splicing of Nascent RNA Coincides with Intron Exit from RNA Polymerase II. Cell *165*, 372-381.

Oliva, A., Rosebrock, A., Ferrezuelo, F., Pyne, S., Chen, H., Skiena, S., Futcher, B., and

Leatherwood, J. (2005). The cell cycle-regulated genes of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. PLoS

Biol *3*, e225.

Ou, H.D., Phan, S., Deerinck, T.J., Thor, A., Ellisman, M.H., and O'Shea, C.C. (2017).

ChromEMT: Visualizing 3D chromatin structure and compaction in interphase and mitotic cells.

Science *357*.

Peng, X., Karuturi, R.K., Miller, L.D., Lin, K., Jia, Y., Kondu, P., Wang, L., Wong, L.S., Liu, E.T.,

Balasubramanian, M.K.*, et al.* (2005). Identification of cell cycle-regulated genes in fission yeast.

Molecular biology of the cell *16*, 1026-1042.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and

Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and

analysis. J Comput Chem *25*, 1605-1612.

Pombo, A., and Dillon, N. (2015). Three-dimensional genome architecture: players and

mechanisms. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology *16*, 245-257.

Prescott, D.M., and Bender, M.A. (1962). Synthesis of RNA and protein during mitosis in

mammalian tissue culture cells. Exp Cell Res *26*, 260-268.

Rao, S.S., Huntley, M.H., Durand, N.C., Stamenova, E.K., Bochkov, I.D., Robinson, J.T.,

Sanborn, A.L., Machol, I., Omer, A.D., Lander, E.S.*, et al.* (2014). A 3D map of the human

genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell *159*, 1665-1680.

Ricci, M.A., Manzo, C., Garcia-Parajo, M.F., Lakadamyali, M., and Cosma, M.P. (2015).

Chromatin fibers are formed by heterogeneous groups of nucleosomes in vivo. Cell *160*, 1145-

1158.

Robinow, C.F., and Hyams, J.S. (1989). General Cytology of Fission Yeasts. In Molecular

biology of the fission yeast, A. Nasim, P. Young, and B.F. Johnson, eds. (San Diego: Academic

Press), pp. 273-330.

Rustici, G., Mata, J., Kivinen, K., Lio, P., Penkett, C.J., Burns, G., Hayles, J., Brazma, A., Nurse,

P., and Bahler, J. (2004). Periodic gene expression program of the fission yeast cell cycle. Nat

Genet *36*, 809-817.

Scheres, S.H. (2012). RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure

determination. Journal of structural biology *180*, 519-530.

Struhl, K. (1998). Histone acetylation and transcriptional regulatory mechanisms. Genes &

development *12*, 599-606.

Taylor, J.H. (1960). Nucleic acid synthesis in relation to the cell division cycle. Ann N Y Acad Sci

90, 409-421.

Toda, T., Yamamoto, M., and Yanagida, M. (1981). Sequential alterations in the nuclear

chromatin region during mitosis of the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe: video

fluorescence microscopy of synchronously growing wild-type and cold-sensitive cdc mutants by

using a DNA-binding fluorescent probe. J Cell Sci *52*, 271-287.

Yakovlev, S., and Downing, K.H. (2011). Freezing in sealed capillaries for preparation of frozen

hydratedsections. J Microsc *244*, 235-247.

Yam, C., Gu, Y., and Oliferenko, S. (2013). Partitioning and remodeling of the

Schizosaccharomyces japonicus mitotic nucleus require chromosome tethers. Current biology :

CB *23*, 2303-2310.

Figure 1. Strategy to study chromosome condensation in *S. pombe*.

(A) Fluorescence images of DAPI-stained G2-phase and prometaphase (P) cells.

Dashed lines indicate the cell boundaries. (B) Cryotomographic slice (25 nm) of a G2-

phase cell. NE: nuclear envelope; NP: nuclear pore complex; L: lipid body; ER:

endoplasmic reticulum; CM: cell membrane; CW: cell wall. The wavy features in the

upper portion of the cryotomogram are crevasses from sectioning; the thin parallel lines

running from 2 to 8 O'clock are knife marks. (C) Segmentation of the cryotomographic

slice in panel A, showing the cytological features with the same color scheme as the

text labels.

Figure 2. G2-phase chromatin consists of nucleosomes with heterogeneous

packing motifs and dispersed megacomplexes.

(A) Cryotomographic slices (10 nm) of an interphase nucleus. Yellow circles: a subset of

megacomplexes. (B1 - B4) Four examples of nucleosome clusters. (C1 - C4) Four

examples of nucleosome chains. In panels B and C, the white dashed lines delineate

the approximate boundaries of the nucleosome clusters. Due to their close packing and

particulate nature, it is not possible to annotate the exact "outline" of a cluster. (D1 - D2)

Two examples of loosely packed nucleosomes, which are circled. (E) An example

position that has few nucleosomes. (F) An example megacomplex density.

Figure 3. Condensed mitotic chromosomes contain few large molecular

complexes.

(A) Cryotomographic slice (11 nm) of a prometaphase cell. NE: nuclear envelope.

Yellow circles: megacomplexes. The position circled with dashed line is a condensed

chromosome. Rectangular boxes are enlarged 6-fold in panels B and C. (B) An example

position with many nucleosome-like densities forming a cluster, circled with a dashed

blue line. (C) A representative position without nucleosome-like densities. Yellow circle:

a megacomplex.

Figure 4: Large nucleosome clusters and loosely packed nucleosomes coexist

within mitotic condensed chromosomes

(A) cryotomographic slice (11 nm) of a nucleus in a prometaphase cell, imaged with

Volta phase contrast. White dashed line: approximate chromosome boundary, within

which there are fewer megacomplexes. (B) Five-fold enlargement of a position within

the mitotic chromosome that contains closely packed nucleosomes. (C) Five-fold

enlargement of a position within the mitotic chromosome that contains fewer

nucleosomes. Blue circles: nucleosomes.

Figure 5: Prometaphase clusters grow larger but have constant local packing

density.

(A and B) Template-matching hits of nucleosome positions (blue spheres) in Volta

cryotomograms of (A) G2-phase and (B) prometaphase cells. (C) Nearest-neighbor

distance (NND) analysis of template-matching hits. X-axis: NND, bin = 1 nm, Y-axis:

normalized probability of each distance range. (D) Tenth NND analysis of template-

matching hits. The X- and Y- axes are the same as in panel C. (E, F) Cartoons showing

how a small (E) and a large cluster (F) of nucleosomes (rounded cylinders) could have

the same NND, but different 10th NND. In each panel, the purple and orange

nucleosomes are the respective nearest and 10th-nearest neighbors of the red

nucleosome.

Figure 6: Model for mitotic chromosome condensation.

Interphase chromatin consists of both small nucleosome clusters and loosely packed

nucleosomes. Blue: nucleosome; Gray: megacomplexes; dashed circles: nucleosome

clusters. Note that this is a simplified model in which linker DNA is not shown. However,

the absence of face-to-face interactions does reflect the results of 2-D classification.

Megacomplexes (preribosomes, spliceosomes, transcription preinitiation complexes)

are interspersed between the nucleosomes and nucleosome clusters. During mitotic

chromosome condensation, most nucleosomes form larger clusters, thereby excluding

or inhibiting the assembly of megacomplexes.