1	Abbreviated title: Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	Optogenetic inhibition reveals distinct roles for basolateral amygdala activity at
7	discrete timepoints during risky decision making
8	
9	Caitlin A. Orsini ¹ , Caesar M. Hernandez ² , Sarthak Singhal ³ , Kyle B. Kelly ³ , Charles J. Frazier ³ ,
10	Jennifer L. Bizon ^{1,2,4} , Barry Setlow ^{1,2,4,5*}
11	
12 13 14 15	¹ Department of Psychiatry; ² Department of Neuroscience, ³ Department of Pharmacodynamics, ⁴ Center for Addiction Research Education, ⁵ Department of Psychology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 32610
16 17 18	*Corresponding author. Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Caitlin Orsini or Dr. Barry Setlow, Department of Psychiatry, University of Florida College of Medicine, P.O. Box 100256, Gainesville, FL 32610-0256; email: <u>orsini@ufl.edu</u> ; <u>setlow@ufl.edu</u> ; Tel: 352-294-5203.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Number of figures: 7 Number of tables: 1 Number of pages: 35 Number of words for abstract: 237 Number of words for Significance Statement: 119 Number of words for Introduction: 661 Number of words for Discussion: 1,547
28 29 30 31 32 33	Acknowledgements: We thank Mr. Matthew Bruner and Mrs. Shannon Wall for their technical assistance. We also wish to acknowledge the McKnight Brain Research Foundation for their support of this project. The work was further supported by a Thomas H. Maren Fellowship and K99DA041493 (CAO), a McKnight Predoctoral Fellowship and the Pat Tillman Foundation (CMH), and R01DA036534 (BS).
34	Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

35 Abstract

36 Decision making is a multifaceted process, consisting of several distinct phases that likely 37 require different cognitive operations. Previous work showed that the basolateral amygdala (BLA) 38 is a critical substrate for decision making involving risk of punishment; however, it is unclear how 39 the BLA is recruited at different stages of the decision process. To this end, the current study 40 used optogenetics to inhibit the BLA during specific task phases in a model of risky decision 41 making (Risky Decision-making Task; RDT) in which rats choose between a small, "safe" reward 42 and a large reward accompanied by varying probabilities of footshock punishment. Rats received 43 intra-BLA microinjections of viral vectors carrying either halorhodopsin (eNpHR3.0-mCherry) or 44 mCherry alone (control) followed by optic fiber implants and were trained in the RDT. Laser 45 stimulation during the task occurred during either intertrial interval, deliberation, or reward 46 outcome phases, the latter of which was further divided into the three possible outcomes (small, 47 safe; large, unpunished; large, punished). Inhibition of the BLA selectively during the deliberation 48 phase decreased choice of the large, risky outcome (decreased risky choice). In contrast, BLA 49 inhibition selectively during delivery of the large, punished outcome increased risky choice. 50 Inhibition had no effect during the other phases, nor did it affect performance in control rats. 51 Collectively, these data indicate that the BLA can either inhibit or promote choice of risky options, 52 depending on the phase of the decision process in which it is active.

- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- ---
- 59
- 60

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

61 Significance Statement

To date, most behavioral neuroscience research on neural mechanisms of decision making has employed techniques that preclude assessment of distinct phases of the decision process. Here we show that optogenetic inhibition of the basolateral amygdala (BLA) has opposite effects on choice behavior in a rat model of risky decision making depending on the phase in which inhibition occurs. BLA inhibition during a period of deliberation between small, safe and large, risky outcomes decreased risky choice. In contrast, BLA inhibition during receipt of the large, punished outcome increased risky choice. These findings highlight the importance of temporally targeted approaches to understand neural substrates underlying complex cognitive processes. More importantly, they reveal novel information about dynamic BLA modulation of risky choice.

- -

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

87 Introduction

88 The ability to make adaptive choices requires multiple cognitive operations that work in 89 concert to guide efficient and optimal behavior (Rangel et al., 2008). For example, an organism 90 must calculate the objective and subjective value of the available options, which entails evaluating 91 the relative value of both the benefits and costs associated with each option. This information 92 must be acquired from past experience, such as the contingencies of previous actions and their 93 outcomes, as well as other motivational (e.g., hunger) and environmental (e.g. presence of salient 94 predictive cues) factors. Finally, the organism must determine the value of the actual outcome of 95 its choice, and use this information as feedback to guide future choices. Together, these 96 processes allow an organism to execute or inhibit its choice behavior as appropriate to its past, 97 current, and anticipated future conditions. While the majority of individuals are able to effectively 98 engage these processes and make adaptive decisions, individuals with psychiatric diseases such 99 as substance use disorder, anorexia nervosa, and post-traumatic stress disorder exhibit impaired 100 decision making (Bechara and Damasio, 2002; Crowley et al., 2010; Najavits et al., 2011; 101 Schneider et al., 2012; Kaye et al., 2013; Gonzalez et al., 2015; Dekkers et al., 2016), often 102 resulting in maladaptive choices. The specific components of the decision making process that 103 are perturbed in these pathological conditions, however, are unclear.

104 Decision making is mediated by interconnected brain structures within the 105 mesocorticolimbic circuit (Orsini et al., 2015b). One such structure within this circuit that has 106 received considerable attention in cost/benefit decision making is the basolateral amygdala (BLA) 107 (Winstanley and Floresco, 2016). Using well-validated rodent models of risk-based decision 108 making, previous work has shown that lesions or pharmacological inactivation of the BLA result 109 in disadvantageous choices (Winstanley et al., 2004; Ghods-Sharifi et al., 2009; Zeeb and 110 Winstanley, 2011; Hosking et al., 2014; Tremblay et al., 2014). This is consistent with 111 neuroimaging data showing that the amygdala is activated during assessment of risky choices 112 (De Martino et al., 2006; Roiser et al., 2009) and is hypoactive in individuals with impaired risky

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

decision making (Crowley et al., 2010; Gowin et al., 2013). More recently, the BLA has been implicated in decision making involving risk of explicit punishment (Orsini et al., 2015a). In welltrained rats, BLA lesions increased choice of a large reward associated with risk of footshock punishment. These data suggested that the BLA is critical for the integration of reward- and punishment-related information to guide optimal behavior. Importantly, however, it is unclear how and at what point in the decision-making process this integration occurs.

119 In vivo electrophysiological studies show that BLA neurons do not respond uniformly to 120 salient stimuli but instead mediate different aspects of motivated behavior. For example, different 121 populations of BLA neurons respond differentially to rewarding and aversive outcomes 122 (Schoenbaum et al., 1998, 1999; Paton et al., 2006; Belova et al., 2007; Belova et al., 2008; 123 Shabel and Janak, 2009; Sangha et al., 2013; Gore et al., 2015), and are organized into 124 intrinsically (Zhang et al., 2013) and extrinsically distinct circuits (Namburi et al., 2015; Beyeler et 125 al., 2016). In addition, amygdala neurons differentially contribute to generation of prospective 126 plans to obtain immediate rewards (Grabenhorst et al., 2012) as well as rewards in the distant 127 future (Hernadi et al., 2015). This functional heterogeneity within the BLA supports the hypothesis 128 that the BLA is differentially engaged during decision making involving rewarding and aversive 129 outcomes. How the BLA is recruited, however, may depend on the specific cognitive components 130 of the decision-making process. In other words, how the BLA contributes to the deliberative 131 process of decision making may be distinct from how it contributes to processing the outcomes 132 of past choices.

The advent of optogenetics affords the ability to test this hypothesis by examining BLA involvement in decision making during distinct components of the decision process. Hence, the experiments herein examined the effects of BLA inhibition during the deliberation and outcome phases of a risky decision making task involving risk of explicit punishment.

137

138 Materials and Methods:

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

139 Subjects

Male Long-Evans rats (weighing 250-275 g upon arrival; Charles River Laboratories, Raleigh, NC) were individually housed and kept on a 12 h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water except as indicated below. Upon arrival, rats were handled daily for one week prior to undergoing surgery. During behavioral testing, rats were maintained at 90% of their free-feeding weight, with their target weights adjusted upward by 5 g/week to account for growth. Animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the University of Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and followed guidelines of the National Institutes of Health.

147 Apparatus

148 Behavioral testing was conducted in three computer-controlled operant test chambers 149 (Coulbourn Instruments), each of which was contained in a sound-attenuating cabinet. Chambers 150 were equipped with a centrally located food trough (TAMIC Instruments) that projected 3 cm into 151 the chamber and contained a photobeam to detect trough entries. The trough was connected to 152 a feeder, from which 45 mg food pellets (Test Diet, AIN-76A, 5TUL) were delivered into the trough. 153 A nosepoke hole was located above the food trough and two retractable levers were positioned 154 to the left and right of the trough, 11 cm above the floor of the chamber. A 1.12 W lamp was 155 positioned on the back wall of the sound-attenuating cabinet, and served as a houselight. The 156 floor of the test chamber was comprised of stainless steel rods connected to a shock generator 157 that delivered scrambled footshocks. Each operant test chamber was interfaced with a computer 158 running Graphic State 4.0 software (Coulbourn Instruments), which controlled chamber hardware 159 (e.g., lever insertion, nosepoke illumination, food pellet delivery) and recorded task events.

160 *Laser stimulation*

During behavioral test sessions, laser light (560 nm, 8-10 mW output, Shanghai Laser &
Optics Century Co., Ltd.) was delivered bilaterally into the BLA in rats expressing halorhodopsin

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

(eNpHR3.0 group) or mCherry (control group) in the BLA. To reach the brain, light was passed
from the laser through a patch cord (200 µm core, Thor Labs), a rotary joint (1 X 2, 200 µm core,
Doric Lenses) located above the operant chamber, 2 additional patch cords (200 µm core, 0.22
NA, Thor Labs) and bilateral optic fibers (200 µm core, 0.22 NA, 8.3 mm in length; Precision Fiber
Products) implanted in the BLA. The laser was interfaced with the computer running Graphic State
4.0 software to allow for precise timing of light delivery during different task phases.

169 Surgical procedures

170 Rats were anesthetized with isoflurane gas (1-5% in O₂) and received subcutaneous 171 injections of meloxicam (2 mg/kg), buprenorphine (0.05 mg/kg), and sterile saline (10 mL). Rats 172 were placed into a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf) and the scalp was cleaned with a 173 chlorohexidine/isopropyl alcohol swab. A sterile adhesive surgical drape was subsequently 174 placed over the body.

175 For rats used in *in vitro* electrophysiology experiments, the scalp was incised and retracted 176 and the skull was leveled to ensure that bregma and lambda were in the same horizontal plane. 177 Two burr holes were drilled for bilateral virus injections into the BLA (AP: -3.2, ML: ±4.9, DV: -8.5, 178 -8.1 mm from skull surface). At each site, an injection needle was lowered to the target depth and 179 AAV5-CAMKIIa-eHpNR3.0-mCherry (University of North Carolina Vector Core) was infused into 180 the BLA (0.4 µl at the ventral DV coordinate and 0.2 µl at the dorsal DV coordinate, at a rate of 181 0.5 µl/min). The injection needle was attached to polyethylene tubing, which was connected to a 182 10 µl Hamilton syringe mounted on a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus). After each injection, the 183 needle was left in place for an additional 5 minutes to allow for diffusion of the virus. The incision 184 was then sutured and rats were given an additional 10 mL of saline before being placed on a 185 heating pad to recover from surgery.

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

186 For rats used in behavioral experiments, the scalp was incised and retracted and six small 187 burr holes were drilled into the skull for placement of jeweler's screws. Two screws were placed 188 anterior to bregma, two between bregma and lambda and two posterior to lambda. This 189 configuration was used to ensure that the headcap was secured evenly across the skull surface. 190 After leveling the skull to ensure that bregma and lambda were in the same horizontal plane, two 191 additional burr holes were drilled for bilateral implantation of guide cannulae (22 gauge: Plastics 192 One) above the BLA (AP: -3.3, ML: ±4.9, DV: -7.3 from skull surface). Dental cement was used 193 to anchor the cannulae in place. Once the dental cement was set, an injection needle was lowered 194 into each cannula (the tip of the injection needle extended 1.5 mm beyond the end of the cannula) 195 and AAV5-CAMKIIa-eHpNR3.0-mCherry or AAV5-CAMKIIa-mCherry (University of North 196 Carolina Vector Core) was infused into the BLA (0.6 µl at a rate of 0.5 µl/min). A sterile stylet was 197 inserted into each cannula at the completion of the injections. Rats were given an additional 10 198 mL of saline and were placed on a heating pad to recover from surgery. Rats were allowed to 199 recover for one week before being food restricted in preparation for behavioral testing.

200 In vitro electrophysiology

201 Rats (n = 4) were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a 75-100 mg/kg 202 ketamine and 5-10 mg/kg xylazine solution and were decapitated using a small animal guillotine. 203 Their brains were rapidly extracted and coronal sections containing the BLA (300 μ m thick) were 204 obtained using a Leica VT 1000s vibratome while submerged in ice cold sucrose laden 205 oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing in (mM): 2 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 1 206 MqSO₄, 10 D-glucose, 1 CaCl₂, 206 sucrose, 25 NaHCO₃. Slices were then incubated for 30 207 minutes at 37°C in aCSF which contained in (mM): 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.23 NaH₂PO₄, 3 MgSO₄, 208 10 D-glucose, 1 CaCl₂, and 25 NaHCO₃. Following this incubation period slices were allowed to 209 equilibrate to room temperature for a minimum of 30 minutes prior to being used for experiments. 210 All solutions were saturated with 95 % $O_2/5$ % CO_2 to maintain a pH of 7.3. For whole cell patch

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

211 clamp recordings, slices were transferred to a slice chamber where they were continuously perfused at a rate of 1.5-2ml/min with an aCSF bath solution that contained (in mM): 126 NaCl, 3 212 213 KCI, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 1.5 MgSO₄, 11 D-glucose, 2.4 CaCl₂ and 25 NaHCO₃. This solution was also 214 saturated with 95 % $O_2/5$ % CO_2 to maintain a pH of 7.3 and bath temperature was maintained 215 at 30-32°C. Slices were visualized using infrared differential interference contrast (IR-DIC) 216 microscopy with an Olympus BX51WI upright stereomicroscope, a 12-bit IRC CCD camera 217 (QICAM Fast 1394, QImaging), and a 40x water immersion lens. Patch pipettes were prepared 218 with a Flaming/Brown type pipette puller (Sutter Instrument, P-97) from 1.5 mm/0.8 mm 219 borosilicate glass capillaries (Sutter Instrument) and pulled to a tip resistance of 4-7 MΩ. Whole 220 cell patch clamp recordings were performed using an Axon Mutliclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular 221 Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and data were collected at 20 kHz, filtered at 2 KHz and recorded with 222 a Digidata 1322A using Clampex v. 9 or 10 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). BLA neurons 223 expressing mCherry were identified using an epifluoresence microscopy XF102-2 filter set 224 (Omega Optical, excitation: 540-580 nm, emission: 615-695 nm). The light source for 225 epifluoresence microscopy was an X-Cite Series 120Q (Lumen Dynamics). Whole cell patch 226 clamping was initiated under IR-DIC using a potassium-based internal solution that contained (in 227 mM): 130 K-gluconate, 10 KCl, 5 NaCl, 2 MgCl₂, 0.1 EGTA, 2 Na₂-ATP, 0.3 NaGTP, 10 HEPES 228 and 10 phosphocreatine, pH adjusted to 7.3 using KOH and volume adjusted to 285–300mOsm. 229 Halorhodopsin was activated using 1000 msec light pulses, delivered through the excitation filter 230 in the XF102-2 filter set. Experiments were performed in voltage clamp (at -70 mV), in current 231 clamp (at I=0), or in current clamp during 100-200 pA current injection that was sufficient to drive 232 action potentials. Data were analyzed using custom software written in OriginC (OriginLab, 233 Northampton, MA) by CJF.

234 Behavioral procedures

235 Risky Decision-Making Task

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

236 Rats were initially shaped to perform the various components of the decision-making task 237 (e.g., lever pressing; nosepoking to initiate a trial) as described previously (Orsini et al., 2015a). 238 They then began training in the Risky Decision-Making task (RDT), which was comprised of three 239 28-trial blocks and lasted 56 min in duration [this task design was a modification of a similar design 240 used in our laboratory (Simon et al., 2009; Orsini et al., 2015a)]. Each 40 s trial (Figure 1A) began 241 with illumination of the nosepoke and houselight. Upon nosepoking, the nosepoke light was 242 extinguished and either a single lever (forced choice trials) or both levers (free choice trials) 243 extended into the chamber. If rats failed to nosepoke within 10 s, the trial was considered an 244 omission. A press on one lever (left or right; counterbalanced across rats) always yielded a small, 245 "safe" food reward (one food pellet) and a press on the other lever always yielded a large, "risky" 246 food reward (2 food pellets). Delivery of the large reward was accompanied by a variable 247 probability of punishment in the form of a mild footshock (0.25-0.6 mA). The probability of 248 punishment was contingent on a preset probability specific to each block of trials: the probability 249 in the first block was set to 0% and increased across successive blocks (25%, 75%, respectively). 250 The large food reward was delivered irrespective of punishment delivery. Although the levers 251 were counterbalanced across rats, the identities of the small, "safe" lever and large, "risky" lever 252 remained constant for each rat throughout testing. Each block of trials started with eight forced 253 choice trials in which a single lever was extended into the chamber. It is through these forced 254 choice trials that the punishment contingencies for that block were established (four presentations 255 of each lever, randomly presented). During forced choice trials, the probability of punishment 256 following a press for the large reward was dependent upon the outcomes of the other forced 257 choice trial lever presses in that block. For example, in the 25% block, one and only one of the 258 four forced choice trials (randomly selected) resulted in footshock. Similarly, in the 75% block, 259 three and only three of those forced choice trials resulted in footshock. The forced choice trials 260 were followed by 20 free choice trials in which both levers were extended. If rats failed to lever 261 press within 10 s, the house light was extinguished and the trial was counted as an omission. In

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

contrast to the forced choice trials, the probability of punishment in free choice trials was independent, such that the shock probability on each trial was the same regardless of shock delivery on previous trials in that block. During RDT training, shock intensities were adjusted individually for each rat to ensure that there was sufficient parametric space to observe either increases or decreases in risk taking during optogenetic inhibition of BLA.

267 Upon reaching stable baseline performance (see Experimental design and statistical 268 analysis section for description of stability), rats were lightly anesthetized and optic fibers were 269 inserted into the BLA cannulae such that they extended 1 mm beyond the tips of the cannulae. 270 The fibers were cemented into position and dust caps were placed on the fibers to keep them free 271 from debris. In each subsequent RDT session, spring-insulated patch cords fastened to the rotary 272 joint were attached to the implanted fibers in the rat. Rats were trained in this manner until their 273 performance returned to baseline levels (approximately 3 sessions). Upon reaching this criterion, 274 optogenetic manipulations during test sessions began (note that shock intensities were not 275 adjusted between baseline and laser stimulation sessions). Laser stimulation occurred during 276 three different free choice trial phases (Figure 1B): 1) deliberation 2) reward outcome and 3) 277 intertrial interval (ITI). The deliberation phase consisted of the time between the nosepoke to 278 trigger lever extension and a lever press, and thus captured the period in which rats were 279 presumably deciding between the two available options. Laser stimulation commenced 0.5 s prior 280 to nosepoke illumination and remained on until a lever press occurred or 5 s elapsed, whichever 281 occurred first. For the reward outcome phase, there were three different stimulation conditions: 282 1) delivery of the small safe reward 2) delivery of the large reward without punishment and 3) 283 delivery of the large reward with punishment. During each outcome condition, laser stimulation 284 began as soon as the rat pressed the lever to yield that outcome and lasted for 5 s. Finally, during 285 the ITI phase, laser stimulation (5 s) occurred 8-15 s after each reward delivery. A randomized, 286 within-subjects design was used such that each rat was tested across multiple stimulation phases.

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

Because of attrition due to detachment of headcaps, however, not all rats were tested for all phases. In between each stimulation session, rats were tethered and tested in the RDT until their performance in the task across two consecutive sessions was no different from their original baseline prior to any stimulation. If choice performance shifted during these re-baselining sessions, shock intensities were adjusted until performance was comparable to the original baseline.

293 Determination of Shock Intensity Threshold

294 Upon completion of testing in the RDT, rats in the eNpHR3.0 group underwent test 295 sessions in which their shock reactivity was assessed under stimulation and non-stimulation 296 conditions. The procedures were based on those developed by Bonnet and Peterson (1975) to 297 determine the shock thresholds at which specific motor responses were elicited. These test 298 sessions occurred across two days, with each day consisting of two tests: one with laser 299 stimulation and the other without laser stimulation. The order of the test sessions on each day 300 was counterbalanced across the two days. Irrespective of stimulation condition, each test session 301 began with a 2 min baseline period followed by delivery of an unsignaled footshock (0.4 mA, 1 s), 302 which decreased spontaneous motor activity and facilitated detection of motor responses at 303 subsequent low shock intensities. The shock intensity was then set to 0.05 mA and a series of 304 five footshocks (1 s each), each separated by 10 s, was delivered. After each series of footshocks, 305 the shock intensity was increased by 0.025 mA. The increase in shock intensities continued until 306 all motor responses of interest were observed. The shock intensity threshold for a given motor 307 response was determined by the shock intensity at which the given response was elicited by three 308 out of the five footshocks in a series. The motor responses for which shock thresholds were 309 determined consisted of 1) flinch of a paw or a startle response 2) elevation of one or two paws 310 3) rapid movement of three or all paws. For test sessions with laser stimulation, light was delivered 311 bilaterally (560 nm, 8-10mW) using the same procedures and system used during decision-

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

making sessions. To mimic parameters used for laser stimulation during delivery of the large, punished outcome, laser stimulation and footshock were delivered concomitantly, but the laser remained on for an additional 4 s (total stimulation time of 5 s). Even though no light was delivered during test sessions without laser stimulation, rats were still tethered for the duration of the test.

316 Histology and immunohistochemistry

Upon completion of behavioral testing, rats were overdosed with Euthasol and transcardially perfused with cold 0.1M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) followed by cold 4% paraformaldehyde. Brains were extracted and post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h before being transferred into a 20% sucrose in 0.1M PBS solution. Brains were sectioned on a cryostat (35 μm) maintained at -20°C. Coronal sections (30 μm) were collected in a 1-in-4 series and placed in wells filled with 0.1M PBS.

323 Immunohistochemistry was performed on free-floating tissue sections and began with 324 three 10 min washes in 0.1M Tris-buffered saline (TBS). Tissue was then incubated in 3% normal 325 donkey serum (NDS) and 0.3% Triton-X-100 in 0.1M TBS for 1 h at room temperature. Tissue 326 was then immediately transferred into primary antibody [rabbit anti-mCherry at 1:1000 (ab167453, 327 Abcam solution) in 3% NDS and 0.3% Triton-X-100] for 72 hours at 4°C. After primary antibody 328 incubation, tissue was washed three times in 0.1M TBS for 10 min and then incubated in 329 secondary antibody solution [donkey anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 at 1:300 (A-21206, 330 Invitrogen) in 3% NDS and 0.3% Triton-X-100) for 2 h at room temperature. Finally, tissue was 331 washed three times in 0.1M TBS for 10 min and then mounted onto electrostatic slides 332 (Fisherbrand) in 0.1M TBS. Slides were coverslipped with Prolong Gold Antifade Mountant 333 (P36941, Invitrogen) and sealed with clear nail polish.

334 Experimental design and statistical analyses

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

335 Using pilot data collected from several eNpHR3.0 rats, a power analysis was conducted 336 with G*Power software. This analysis indicated that a sample size of at least 4 rats was required 337 to detect significant differences between baseline and stimulation conditions with effect sizes of 338 0.8 and above, assuming an alpha level of 0.05. To account for possible attrition over the course 339 of the experiment, group sizes were larger than that calculated from the power analysis. A total 340 of 35 male Long-Evans rats were used in these experiments. Twenty-six rats received intra-BLA 341 microinjections of the viral vector containing eNpHR3.0, four of which were used for in vitro 342 electrophysiology experiments. Nine rats received intra-BLA microiniections of the viral vector 343 containing mCherry. Within the eNpHR3.0 group, some rats did not undergo every stimulation 344 session due to illness or detachment of headcaps over the course of the experiment. In addition, 345 only a subset of rats (n=6) was used for shock threshold testing. In the control group, there was 346 attrition due to illness or detachment of headcaps, resulting in only four of the initial nine rats 347 completing the stimulation sessions. All 4 rats, however, completed all stimulation conditions.

348 Raw data files were analyzed using a customized analysis template written in Graphic 349 State 4.0 software. This template extracted data for specific task events of interest: numbers of 350 lever presses during forced and free choice trials, latencies to press levers, latencies to nosepoke, 351 and numbers of omissions during forced and free choice trials. The behavioral and statistical 352 procedures were conducted identically for the eNpHR3.0 and control groups. Choice performance 353 in each block of the RDT was measured as the percentage of free choice trials (each block 354 consisted of 20 free choice trials; excluding omissions) on which rats chose the large, risky 355 outcome. Each rat was trained in the RDT until it reached stable baseline performance. Stable 356 baseline was obtained when the coefficient of variation (CV) for choice of the large, risky outcome 357 was less than 20% in each block for at least two consecutive sessions. Once this criterion was 358 met, stimulation sessions commenced. In between each stimulation session, rats were re-trained 359 in the RDT until their behavior re-stabilized, which was determined using the same criterion. To

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

360 ensure that the baseline after stimulation was similar to the original baseline (before any 361 stimulation sessions took place), the CV of the means of each block between baseline sessions 362 had to fall below 20%. Upon reaching this criterion, rats were advanced to the next stimulation 363 session. Effects of stimulation (i.e., BLA inhibition) on choice performance were determined using 364 a two-factor repeated measures ANOVA with session condition (i.e., baseline vs. inhibition) and 365 trial block as within-subjects factors. In all analyses, a p-value of 0.05 or less was considered 366 statistically significant. Latencies to nosepoke to trigger lever extension were measured as the 367 interval between the illumination of the nosepoke light and a nosepoke response, excluding trials 368 on which the rat failed to nosepoke altogether (omissions). Using a repeated measures ANOVA, 369 nosepoke response latencies were specifically compared between baseline and deliberation 370 stimulation sessions to determine whether laser stimulation (which was initiated 0.5 sec before 371 nosepoke illumination) affected this aspect of behavior. Effects of BLA inhibition on omissions 372 during free and forced choice trials were analyzed using a paired *t*-test with session condition as 373 the within-subjects factor.

374 To better understand the effects of BLA inhibition during task phases in which inhibition 375 significantly affected choice behavior, additional analyses were conducted to determine whether 376 optogenetic manipulations altered the degree to which feedback from past trials influenced 377 subsequent choices. Specifically, this analysis provided a measure of how BLA inhibition affected 378 the likelihood of choosing the large, risky outcome upon receipt of the large reward in the absence 379 of punishment on the previous trial (win-stay performance) vs. the likelihood of choosing the large, 380 risky outcome upon receipt of the large reward accompanied by punishment on the previous trial 381 (lose-shift performance; Bari et al., 2011; St Onge et al., 2011). To perform this analysis, choices 382 were categorized according to the outcome of the previous trial (large, punished outcome vs. 383 large, unpunished outcome). Win-stay performance was calculated as the number of trials within 384 each free choice block in which a rat chose the large, risky lever after receipt of a large,

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

unpunished outcome (win), divided by the total number of free choice trials in which the rat received a large, unpunished outcome. Similarly, lose-shift performance was calculated as the number of trials within each free choice block in which a rat chose the small, safe lever after receipt of a large, punished outcome (lose), divided by the total number of free choice trials in which the rat received a large, punished outcome. Effects of BLA inhibition on the percentage of win-stay and lose-shift trials were each analyzed using paired *t*-tests with session (baseline vs. inhibition) as the within-subjects factor.

392 Shock threshold intensities for the laser stimulation or no laser stimulation sessions were 393 averaged across the two test days. Analysis of shock intensity thresholds was conducted using a 394 two-factor repeated measures ANOVA with stimulation condition (inhibition vs. no inhibition) and 395 motor response as the within-subjects factors. To eliminate the possibility that the order of the 396 test sessions on each day contributed to differences in shock reactivity thresholds, another 397 repeated measures ANOVA was conducted using the same within-subjects factors and also 398 included order of laser stimulation as a between-subjects factor. If either of these parent ANOVAs 399 resulted in main effects or significant interactions, additional repeated measures ANOVA or paired 400 *t*-tests were performed to determine the source of significance.

401

402 Results

403 In vitro electrophysiology

In slices from rats injected with AAV5-CAMKII α -eHpNR3.0-mCherry, BLA neurons expressing mCherry were identified with epifluorescence microscopy and recorded from using conventional whole-cell recording techniques (see *Methods* section). mCherry-positive BLA neurons (n=11) had a mean whole cell capacitance of 149 ± 14.9 pF. A subset of these neurons was filled with biocytin, immunolabeled with Alexa-594, and imaged with 2-photon meditated

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

409 epifluorescence microscopy. Cells examined in this manner were all multipolar and had dense local dendritic branches within the BLA (Figure 2A). Collectively, these features are consistent 410 411 with effective transduction of glutamatergic BLA principal neurons. A 1 s activation of eHpNR3.0 412 in mCherry-positive BLA neurons voltage clamped at -70 mV (see Methods section) produced a 413 clear outward current which had a peak amplitude of 117 ± 29.6 pA, obtained within ~100 msec 414 of activation, and a mean amplitude of 80.0 ± 20.8 pA as observed during the last 200 msec of 415 activation (Figure 2B). Identical stimulation in current clamp (I=0) produced a maximum 416 hyperpolarization of -16 ± 3.1 mV (also obtained within ~100 msec of activation), and a mean 417 hyperpolarization of -9.0 ± 2.0 mV as observed during the last 200 msec of activation (Figure 2C). 418 This hyperpolarization was sufficient to completely silence 9 out of 11 cells tested when firing 419 under a 100-200 pA load (Fig. 1D). Firing rate was slowed, but not eliminated, in the other two 420 cells. Collectively, these results demonstrate that activation of eHpNR3.0 produces robust 421 functional inhibition of BLA principal neurons.

422

423 Histology

Of the 22 rats that received the viral vector containing eNpHR3.0 for optogenetic manipulations, one died during surgery and five were euthanized during training due to detached headcaps. Of the remaining 16 rats, three were excluded due to off-target fiber placements (too ventral; n = 1) or lack of eNpHR3.0 expression in one hemisphere (n = 2). Figure 3A displays the maximum (light gray) and minimum (dark gray) spread of the virus, and Figure 3B depicts the location of optic fiber tips of rats that were included in the final data analysis. A representative placement of a fiber tip in the BLA with eNpHR3.0 expression is shown in Figure 3C.

431 Of the 9 rats that received the viral vector containing mCherry alone, one died during
432 surgery and four were euthanized during training due to detached headcaps, resulting in a final n
433 = 4. Figure 3D displays the maximum (light gray) and minimum (dark gray) spread of the virus

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

434 and Figure 3E shows the location of optic fiber tips of control rats that were included in the final435 data analysis.

436

437 **Optogenetic BLA inhibition during decision making in eNpHR3.0 rats**

438 BLA inhibition during deliberation

Optogenetic inhibition of the BLA during deliberation (n = 12) caused a significant decrease in choice of the large, risky outcome [decreased risky choice; inhibition, F(1, 11) =14.57, p < 0.01; inhibition X trial interaction [F(2, 22) = 10.29, p < 0.01; Figure 4A]. Importantly, this effect was only observed in blocks of trials in which there was a risk of punishment: while there was no effect of inhibition in block 1 [t(11) = -1.27, p = 0.23], BLA inhibition decreased choice of the large, risky outcome in both block 2 [t(11) = 4.51, p < 0.01] and block 3 [t(11) =2.16, p = 0.05].

446 Additional analyses were performed to determine whether BLA inhibition during 447 deliberation affected the percentage of win-stay or lose-shift trials (Figure 4B). There was no effect 448 of inhibition on the percentage of win-stay trials (t (8) = 1.61, p = 0.15), but there was a near 449 significant increase in the percentage of lose-shift trials (t (9) = -1.99, p = 0.08). Note that in the 450 win-stay analysis, three rats were excluded because they either never chose the large, risky 451 outcome or never encountered a trial in which they chose the large, risky outcome and received 452 the large reward without punishment. Similarly, in the lose-shift analysis, two rats were excluded 453 because they never selected the large, risky outcome. This slight increase in lose-shift trials 454 suggests that BLA inhibition slightly increased the likelihood for rats to shift their choice to the 455 small, safe outcome after receiving a large reward accompanied by punishment. Collectively, 456 these results show that BLA inhibition during the period in which rats deliberated between the two 457 available options caused an increase in risk aversion.

Finally, there was no effect of BLA inhibition during deliberation on omissions in either the forced choice trials [t (11) = -0.87, p = 0.44] or the free choice trials [t (11) = -0.26, p = 0.80].

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

460	Additional analyses were conducted to determine whether inhibition affected rats' latency to
461	nosepoke to trigger lever extension. While there was no main effect of inhibition [$F(1, 11) = 0.05$,
462	p = 0.82], there was a trend toward a significant inhibition X block interaction [$F(2, 22) = 3.10$, p
463	= 0.07], with BLA inhibition causing a slight decrease in latency to nosepoke, particularly in block
464	3 [mean of 1.87 (\pm 0.24) s for baseline; mean of 1.48 (\pm 0.15) s for stimulation]. Note, however,
465	that because light onset commenced 0.5 s before the nosepoke was illuminated to signal the
466	beginning of a trial, BLA inhibition should have been maximal prior to the start of the deliberation
467	period.

468

469 BLA inhibition during delivery of the small, safe outcome

Optogenetic inhibition of the BLA during delivery of the small, safe outcome (n = 10) had no effect on choice of the large, risky outcome [inhibition, F(1, 9) = 0.09, p = 0.77; inhibition X block, F(2, 18) = 1.73, p = 0.21; Figure 5A]. Additionally, inhibition had no effect on omissions (Table 1) during forced choice trials [t(9) = -0.32, p = 0.76] or during free choice trials [t(9) = -0.91, p = 0.39]. Hence, BLA inhibition during delivery of the small, safe outcome did not alter choice behavior.

476

477 BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, unpunished outcome

Similarly, there was no effect of BLA inhibition during the large, unpunished outcome (n = 9) on choice behavior [inhibition, F(1, 8) = 0.45, p = 0.52; inhibition X block, F(2, 16) = 0.30, p=0.74; Figure 5B]. There were also no effects of inhibition on omissions (Table 1) during forced choice trials [t(8) = 0.50, p = 0.63] or free choice trials [t(8) = -1.0, p = 0.35]. Collectively, these findings indicate that BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, unpunished outcome did not affect choice behavior.

484

485 BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, punished outcome

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

In contrast to BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, unpunished outcome, optogenetic BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, punished outcome (n = 10) significantly increased choice of the large, risky outcome [inhibition, F(1, 9) = 82.75, p < 0.01; inhibition X block, F(1, 9)= 39.22, p < 0.01; Figure 6A]. It is important to note that this analysis only used choice behavior in the 25% and 75% blocks from baseline and stimulation sessions, as they were the only blocks in which BLA inhibition could occur.

Given the significant effects of BLA inhibition during this phase of the task, additional analyses were performed to determine how this manipulation affected the percentage of win-stay or lose-shift trials (Figure 6B). There was no effect of BLA inhibition on the percentage of win/stay trials [t (9) = -0.44, p = 0.67]; however, there was a significant decrease in the percentage of lose/shift trials [t (9) = 3.02, p = 0.01] compared to baseline. Thus, BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, punished outcome caused rats to increase the likelihood of choosing the large, risky outcome, despite having been punished for this choice on the preceding trial.

Lastly, there were no effects of BLA inhibition on omissions (Table 1) during free choice trials [t (9) = 0.09, p = 0.93], although inhibition did cause a significant decrease in omissions during forced choice trials compared to baseline conditions [t (9) = 2.56, p = 0.03].

502

503 BLA inhibition during shock threshold testing

504 Rather than affecting processes related to risk taking per se, the effects of BLA inhibition 505 during delivery of the large, punished outcome may have been due to an inhibition-induced 506 decrease in shock sensitivity. To address this, a subset of rats (n = 6) was tested in a behavioral 507 assay that evaluates the thresholds at which selective motor responses (as described in the 508 Methods section) are elicited by shock delivery. These thresholds were obtained under stimulation 509 and no stimulation (inhibition vs. no inhibition, respectively) conditions (Figure 6C). A two-factor 510 repeated measures ANOVA revealed neither a main effect of inhibition [F(1, 5) = 4.00, p = 0.10] 511 nor an inhibition X motor response interaction [F(2, 10) = 0.04, p = 0.96]. Thus, the increase in

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

512	risky choice during sessions in which BLA inhibition occurred during delivery of the large,
513	punished outcome cannot be accounted for by a decrease in footshock sensitivity.
514	
515	BLA inhibition during ITIs
516	Optogenetic inhibition of the BLA during the ITI (n = 13) had no effect on choice of the
517	large, risky outcome [inhibition, $F(1, 12) = 0.01$, $p = 0.91$; inhibition X block, $F(2, 24) = 0.02$, $p = 0.02$
518	0.98; Figure 5C]. Similarly, BLA inhibition during ITIs did not affect omissions during forced choice
519	trials [$t(12) = 0.3$, $p = 0.77$], but caused a near significant increase in omissions during free choice
520	trials [$t(12) = -2.04$, $p = 0.06$].

521

522 **Optogenetic BLA stimulation during decision making in control rats**

523 To ensure that the effects of BLA inhibition were not due to light delivery alone, another 524 group of rats received intra-BLA microinjections of a vector carrying mCherry alone and were then 525 trained in the RDT. Because BLA inhibition only altered choice behavior during deliberation and 526 delivery of the large, punished outcome in eNpHR3.0 rats, control rats only received stimulation 527 during these two phases (in separate sessions, in a randomized order across rats).

528

529 BLA stimulation during deliberation

530 BLA stimulation during deliberation (n = 4) had no effect on choice of the large, risky 531 outcome compared to baseline conditions [stimulation, F(1, 3) = 1.00, p = 0.39; stimulation X 532 block, F(2, 6) = 1.00, p = 0.42; Figure 7A]. There was no main effect of BLA stimulation on latency 533 to nosepoke to initiate lever extension [F(1, 3) = 2.33, p = 0.23; Table 1]; however, it appeared 534 that under stimulation conditions, latency to nosepoke did increase across the session [F(2, 6) =535 7.70, p = 0.02]. While there was a trend toward a significant effect of stimulation on omissions 536 (Table 1) during forced choice trials [t(3) = -2.82, p = 0.07], this was due to the fact that there 537 were fewer omissions under stimulation compared to baseline conditions. There were no effects

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

of BLA stimulation on omissions during free choice trials [t(3) = 1.00, p = 0.39]. Collectively, these results indicate that laser stimulation of BLA alone during deliberation did not affect risky decision making in control rats.

541

542 BLA stimulation during delivery of the large, punished outcome

543 There was also no effect of BLA stimulation during delivery of the large, punished outcome 544 (n = 4) on choice of the large, risky outcome [stimulation, F(1, 3) = 1.00, p = 0.39; stimulation X 545 block, F(2, 6) = 1.0, p = 0.42; Figure 7B]. There was a trend toward a significant effect of 546 stimulation on omissions (Table 1) during forced choice trials [t(3) = -2.82, p = 0.07]; however, 547 this again appeared to be due to fewer omissions under stimulation compared to baseline 548 conditions. There were no differences in omissions during free choice trials between stimulation 549 and baseline conditions [t (3) = 1.67, p = 0.19]. Hence, laser stimulation of BLA alone during 550 delivery of the large, punished outcome had no effect on risky decision making in control rats.

551

552 Discussion

553 Decision making involves coordination of multiple cognitive functions to generate choice 554 behavior. While there is a growing body of literature delineating the neural substrates governing 555 decision making, less is known about how and when such brain regions are engaged during the 556 decision process. The current study demonstrates that the BLA plays distinct roles during different 557 components of risky decision making. Whereas optogenetic inhibition of the BLA during 558 deliberation resulted in a decrease in choice of the large, risky outcome (decreased risky choice), 559 BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, punished outcome had the opposite effect (increased 560 risky choice). These effects were specific to the task phase in which inhibition occurred because 561 BLA inhibition had no effect on choice behavior during delivery of the small, safe outcome, the 562 large, unpunished outcome, or the ITI. Further, there were no effects of light delivery into the BLA

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

563 during deliberation or delivery of the large, punished outcome in control rats (in the absence of 564 eNpHR3.0).

565 The overall finding that BLA manipulation alters choice performance during risky decision 566 making is consistent with previous studies implicating this region in cost/benefit decision making. 567 In a risky decision making task involving choices between a small, certain food reward and a 568 large, probabilistic food reward, pharmacological inactivation of BLA decreased choice of the 569 large, probabilistic reward, but only at probabilities at which it was more profitable to choose this 570 reward (Ghods-Sharifi et al., 2009). Consistent with this, BLA lesions induce a pattern of 571 disadvantageous choice behavior in another rodent model of risky decision making designed to 572 simulate the lowa Gambling Task (Zeeb and Winstanley, 2011). More recently, we showed that 573 BLA lesions increase risky choice in the RDT (Orsini et al., 2015a), and control experiments 574 suggested that this increase was due to impaired integration of reward magnitude and 575 punishment-related information. Given the complexity of the decision-making process, however, 576 the use of lesions and pharmacological inactivation, while informative, may obscure a complete 577 understanding of how the BLA is engaged during the course of individual decisions.

578 To circumvent this issue, the current study employed optogenetics to selectively inhibit the 579 BLA during distinct phases of the decision-making process. In contrast to effects of permanent 580 BLA lesions (Orsini et al. 2015a), optogenetic inhibition caused both an increase and decrease in 581 risky choice depending on the timepoint at which inhibition occurred. These results suggest that 582 the contribution of the BLA to risky choice is not uniform, but instead that it may function in different 583 capacities even over the course of a few seconds of a decision-making trial. During deliberation, 584 various sources of information must be assimilated to bias behavior toward a specific choice. In 585 particular, information about the anticipated rewarding aspects of each potential outcome must 586 be integrated and weighed against the negative/adverse aspects of those outcomes. BLA 587 inhibition during this period interfered with this integrative process such that choices were more 588 strongly biased by punishment-related information. One possibility is that this is due to a loss of

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

589 reward magnitude information, although this seems unlikely given that choice behavior was intact 590 in the first block of trials (in which there was no risk of punishment). Alternatively, and consistent 591 with the slight increase in lose-shift trials, BLA inhibition may have augmented the salience of the 592 punishment associated with the large reward. This also seems unlikely, however, given that 593 lesions and pharmacological inactivation of the BLA reduce fear expression in other contexts 594 (Helmstetter and Bellgowan, 1994; Maren et al., 1996). A final, and more likely possibility is that 595 BLA inhibition during deliberation may have attenuated the incentive salience of anticipated 596 outcomes and, consequently, the ability to bias action selection toward more salient rewards. 597 Hence, the BLA may be important for tagging available outcomes based on their incentive 598 salience (i.e., to favor larger, albeit risker, outcomes). In the absence of an intact BLA, the 599 punishment history and/or aversive properties of these outcomes prevail and drive choice 600 behavior.

601 In contrast, the increase in risk-taking following BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, 602 punished outcome suggests that the BLA is engaged in a manner different from that during 603 deliberation. Incorporating feedback about outcomes of past choices to guide future choice is a 604 critical aspect of adaptive decision making. The BLA has long been implicated in encoding and 605 representing aversive properties of stimuli in Pavlovian and instrumental learning tasks (Wassum 606 and Izquierdo, 2015). Thus, inhibition during delivery of the large, punished outcome may have 607 prevented the BLA from encoding the punishing aspects of this outcome and therefore impaired 608 the ability to use this information as feedback to adjust future choice behavior. This would result 609 in choice performance being driven by rewarding properties of this outcome, irrespective of 610 whether its delivery was accompanied by footshock. This is supported by the significant decrease 611 in lose-shift trials such that rats continued to choose the large, risky outcome despite having been 612 punished on the preceding trial. Importantly, the effects of BLA inhibition during this phase were 613 not due to alterations in shock sensitivity, as there were no changes in thresholds at which shock-614 induced motor responses were elicited. This is consistent with previous work showing that BLA

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

615 lesions do not affect discrimination between punished and unpunished rewards of the same 616 magnitude (Orsini et al., 2015a) and when considered together, demonstrates that the BLA is not 617 necessary for encoding shock alone. Collectively, these data suggest that when a rewarding 618 outcome is accompanied by an adverse consequence, the BLA may be responsible for encoding 619 the negative aspects of that outcome that can then be used as feedback during future deliberation.

620 The idea that the BLA functions in a heterogeneous manner during risky decision making 621 is consistent with previous work showing that BLA neurons that encode outcomes of different 622 valences are segregated into distinct populations (Schoenbaum et al., 1998; Paton et al., 2006; 623 Belova et al., 2007; Belova et al., 2008; Shabel and Janak, 2009; Sangha et al., 2013; Zhang et 624 al., 2013; Namburi et al., 2015; Beyeler et al., 2016). Aversive and appetitive outcomes are 625 predominantly represented by separate BLA cell populations (Namburi et al., 2015; Beyeler et al., 626 2016), suggesting that the functional heterogeneity of the BLA during risky decision making could 627 arise from distinct neuronal populations representing incentive salience (positive-value neurons) 628 vs. aversive properties (negative-value neurons) of choice outcomes. The current data further 629 suggest that these separate populations are differentially engaged depending on the phase of the 630 decision process. Thus, positive-value neurons may be important during the deliberative process 631 for signaling the incentive salience of possible outcomes, whereas negative-value neurons may 632 be critical for sensitivity to negative feedback. It is not clear, however, whether these separate 633 populations of neurons interact with one another and if so, when and where this interaction occurs.

If, in fact, these distinct neuronal populations are differentially engaged during decision making, how do they ultimately affect choice behavior? One possibility is that the positive- and negative-value neurons have divergent and non-overlapping downstream targets. Indeed, BLA neurons that project to the nucleus accumbens (NAc) selectively support reward conditioning whereas BLA neurons that project to the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) selectively support fear conditioning (Namburi et al., 2015; Beyeler et al., 2016). While the BLA-NAc projection is implicated in risky decision making (St Onge et al., 2012), the contribution of the

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

641 BLA-CeA circuit is unknown. It is also possible that putative positive- and negative-value BLA neurons modulate risky choice through divergent projections to the core and shell subregions of 642 643 the NAc, respectively. This hypothesis is consistent with the canonical theory that the NAc core 644 (NAcC) is important for facilitating approach behavior whereas the NAc shell (NAcSh) is required 645 for suppressing ongoing behavior (Floresco, 2014). This functional dichotomy extends to 646 instrumental tasks involving conflict or punishment: NAcSh inactivation increases punished 647 responding (Piantadosi et al., 2017) and decreases avoidance responses (Fernando et al., 2014), 648 whereas NAcC inactivation decreases overall reward-seeking, irrespective of accompanying 649 punishment (Piantadosi et al., 2017). Further evidence indicates that these distinct functions are 650 modulated by BLA input. For example, activation of the BLA-NAcC pathway drives reward-651 seeking behavior (Ambroggi et al., 2008; Stuber et al., 2011; Namburi et al., 2015) and interruption 652 of this circuit impairs reward conditioning and decision making (Ambroggi et al., 2008; Stuber et 653 al., 2011; St Onge et al., 2012). In contrast, the BLA-NAcSh, but not the BLA-NAcC, pathway 654 supports active avoidance behavior (Ramirez et al., 2015). Thus, positive-encoding BLA neurons 655 may contribute to the deliberative process via their downstream connections with the NAcC and 656 negative-encoding BLA neurons may provide negative feedback information through their 657 interactions with the NAcSh. Interestingly, it has been proposed that networks of inhibitory BLA 658 interneurons may play a permissive role in determining which neuronal circuits are engaged 659 during motivated behavior (Janak and Tye, 2015), which could allow flexible shifts in choice 660 behavior as reward or punishment contingencies change.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate multiple roles for the BLA in decision making depending on the phase of the decision process engaged. These results highlight the need to use more temporally targeted manipulations to understand the neural circuitry supporting complex cognitive operations. More importantly, these findings provide a more refined understanding of how the BLA contributes to risk-based decision making, and a foundation for future work on development of novel approaches for remediating maladaptive choice behavior.

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

667 Figure Captions:

668 Figure 1: Design of the Risky Decision-Making Task. A. Each block consists of 8 forced choice 669 trials and 20 free choice trials. Each free choice trial consists of a deliberation and outcome phase. 670 Rats must nosepoke for the extension of either one lever (forced choice trial) or both levers (free 671 choice trial). A press on one lever yields a small safe reward and a press on the other yields a 672 large reward accompanied by variable probabilities of footshock punishment. B. Optogenetic 673 stimulation occurred at one of five possible phases during each free choice trial (with stimulation 674 during each test session taking place in only one of the five phases). Green bars indicate periods 675 of laser stimulation.

676

677 Figure 2. Functional validation of eNpHR3.0 in the BLA. A. Two-photon z-series projection of 678 a mCherry-positive BLA neuron filled with biocytin and immunolabeled with Alexa-594. B. 679 mCherry-positive cells (n=11) voltage clamped at -70 mV show an increase in holding current 680 upon light stimulation (yellow line) and return to baseline holding current upon light termination. 681 Black line indicates the mean response and shaded area indicates SEM. C. mCherry-positive 682 cells (n=11) current clamped at 0 pA show hyperpolarization upon light stimulation and return to resting membrane potential upon light termination. Black line indicates the mean response and 683 684 shaded area indicates SEM. D. A representative mCherry-positive cell that was current clamped 685 at 0 pA shows an increase in firing rate upon injection of a +150 pA current pulse, which is 686 effectively suppressed during light delivery.

687

Figure 3. eNpHR3.0 expression and optic fiber placement in the BLA. A. Schematic depicting
the maximum (light gray) and minimum (dark gray) spread of eNpHR3.0 expression in the BLA.
B. Optic fiber placements in the BLA. Black circles represent the tips of the optic fibers. C.
Representative micrograph depicting eNpHR3.0 expression and the tip of the optic fiber in the
BLA. Dashed white line represents the borders of the BLA. D. Schematic depicting the maximum

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

(light gray) and minimum (dark gray) spread of mCherry expression in the BLA of control rats. E.
Optic fiber placements in the BLA in control rats. Black circles represent the tips of the optic fibers.

Figure 4. **BLA** inhibition during deliberation decreases risky choice. **A.** BLA inhibition decreases choice of the large, risky outcome. **B.** There were no effects of BLA inhibition on winstay trials. In contrast, there was a near-significant increase in lose-shift trials upon BLA inhibition. Data are represented as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM). An asterisk indicates a significant difference and a cross indicates a trend (p = 0.08) toward a significant difference between inhibition and baseline (no laser) conditions.

702

Figure 5. BLA inhibition has no effect on risky choice during other task phases. A. BLA inhibition during delivery of the small, safe outcome did not affect choice of the large, risky outcome. B. BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, unpunished outcome had no effect on choice of the large, risky outcome. C. BLA inhibition during the ITI had no effect on choice of the large, risky outcome. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

708

Figure 6. BLA inhibition during delivery of the large, punished outcome increases risky
choice. A. BLA inhibition increased choice of the large, risky outcome. B. There was no effect of
BLA inhibition on win-stay performance. In contrast, BLA inhibition decreased lose-shift
performance. C. BLA inhibition did not alter the intensity thresholds at which shock elicited a flinch,
elevation of 1-2 paws, or rapid movement of 3 or all paws. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.
Asterisks indicate a significant difference between inhibition and baseline (no laser) conditions.

715

Figure 7. Laser stimulation of the BLA has no effect on risky choice in control rats. A. In
rats injected with vectors carrying mCherry alone, BLA stimulation during deliberation did not
affect choice of the large, risky outcome. B. BLA stimulation during delivery of the large, punished

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

- 719 outcome had no effect on choice of the large, risky outcome. Data are represented as mean ±
- 720 SEM.

- . _0

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

745 References

746	Ambroggi F, Ishikawa A, Fields HL, Nicola SM (2008) Basolateral amygdala neurons facilitate
747	reward-seeking behavior by exciting nucleus accumbens neurons. Neuron 59:648-661.
748	Bari A, Mar AC, Theobald DE, Elands SA, Oganya KC, Eagle DM, Robbins TW (2011)
749 750	Prefrontal and monoaminergic contributions to stop-signal task performance in rats. The
750 751	Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 31:9254- 9263.
752	Bechara A, Damasio H (2002) Decision-making and addiction (part I): impaired activation of
753	somatic states in substance dependent individuals when pondering decisions with
754	negative future consequences. Neuropsychologia 40:1675-1689.
755	Belova MA, Paton JJ, Salzman CD (2008) Moment-to-moment tracking of state value in the
756	amygdala. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for
757	Neuroscience 28:10023-10030.
758	Belova MA, Paton JJ, Morrison SE, Salzman CD (2007) Expectation modulates neural
759	responses to pleasant and aversive stimuli in primate amygdala. Neuron 55:970-984.
760	Beyeler A, Namburi P, Glober GF, Simonnet C, Calhoon GG, Conyers GF, Luck R, Wildes CP,
761	Tye KM (2016) Divergent Routing of Positive and Negative Information from the
762	Amygdala during Memory Retrieval. Neuron 90:348-361.
763	Crowley TJ, Dalwani MS, Mikulich-Gilbertson SK, Du YP, Lejuez CW, Raymond KM, Banich MT
764	(2010) Risky decisions and their consequences: neural processing by boys with
765 766	Antisocial Substance Disorder. PloS one 5:e12835. De Martino B, Kumaran D, Seymour B, Dolan RJ (2006) Frames, biases, and rational decision-
767	making in the human brain. Science 313:684-687.
768	Dekkers TJ, Popma A, Agelink van Rentergem JA, Bexkens A, Huizenga HM (2016) Risky
769	decision making in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A meta-regression analysis.
770	Clin Psychol Rev 45:1-16.
771	Fernando AB, Urcelay GP, Mar AC, Dickinson TA, Robbins TW (2014) The role of the nucleus
772	accumbens shell in the mediation of the reinforcing properties of a safety signal in free-
773	operant avoidance: dopamine-dependent inhibitory effects of d-amphetamine.
774	Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of
775	Neuropsychopharmacology 39:1420-1430.
776	Floresco SB (2014) The Nucleus Accumbens: An Interface Between Cognition, Emotion, and
777	Action. Annual review of psychology.
778	Ghods-Sharifi S, St Onge JR, Floresco SB (2009) Fundamental contribution by the basolateral
779 780	amygdala to different forms of decision making. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 29:5251-5259.
781	Gonzalez R, Schuster RM, Mermelstein RM, Diviak KR (2015) The role of decision-making in
782	cannabis-related problems among young adults. Drug and alcohol dependence 154:214-
783	221.
784	Gore F, Schwartz EC, Brangers BC, Aladi S, Stujenske JM, Likhtik E, Russo MJ, Gordon JA,
785	Salzman CD, Axel R (2015) Neural Representations of Unconditioned Stimuli in
786	Basolateral Amygdala Mediate Innate and Learned Responses. Cell 162:134-145.
787	Gowin JL, Mackey S, Paulus MP (2013) Altered risk-related processing in substance users:
788	Imbalance of pain and gain. Drug and alcohol dependence 132:13-21.
789	Grabenhorst F, Hernadi I, Schultz W (2012) Prediction of economic choice by primate amygdala
790	neurons. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
791	America 109:18950-18955.

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

792	Helmstetter FJ, Bellgowan PS (1994) Effects of muscimol applied to the basolateral amygdala
793	on acquisition and expression of contextual fear conditioning in rats. Behavioral
794	neuroscience 108:1005-1009.
795	Hernadi I, Grabenhorst F, Schultz W (2015) Planning activity for internally generated reward
796	goals in monkey amygdala neurons. Nature neuroscience 18:461-469.
797	Hosking JG, Cocker PJ, Winstanley CA (2014) Dissociable contributions of anterior cingulate
798	cortex and basolateral amygdala on a rodent cost/benefit decision-making task of
799	cognitive effort. Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College
800	of Neuropsychopharmacology 39:1558-1567.
801	Janak PH, Tye KM (2015) From circuits to behaviour in the amygdala. Nature 517:284-292.
802	Kaye WH, Wierenga CE, Bailer UF, Simmons AN, Bischoff-Grethe A (2013) Nothing tastes as
803	good as skinny feels: the neurobiology of anorexia nervosa. Trends in neurosciences
804	36:110-120.
805	Maren S, Aharonov G, Fanselow MS (1996) Retrograde abolition of conditional fear after
806	excitotoxic lesions in the basolateral amygdala of rats: absence of a temporal gradient.
807	Behavioral neuroscience 110:718-726.
808	Najavits LM, Meyer T, Johnson KM, Korn D (2011) Pathological gambling and posttraumatic
809	stress disorder: a study of the co-morbidity versus each alone. J Gambl Stud 27:663-
810	683.
811	Namburi P, Beyeler A, Yorozu S, Calhoon GG, Halbert SA, Wichmann R, Holden SS, Mertens
812	KL, Anahtar M, Felix-Ortiz AC, Wickersham IR, Gray JM, Tye KM (2015) A circuit
813	mechanism for differentiating positive and negative associations. Nature 520:675-678.
814	Orsini CA, Trotta RT, Bizon JL, Setlow B (2015a) Dissociable Roles for the Basolateral
815	Amygdala and Orbitofrontal Cortex in Decision-Making under Risk of Punishment. The
816 817	Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 35:1368- 1379.
818	Orsini CA, Moorman DE, Young JW, Setlow B, Floresco SB (2015b) Neural mechanisms
819	regulating different forms of risk-related decision-making: Insights from animal models.
820	Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews.
821	Paton JJ, Belova MA, Morrison SE, Salzman CD (2006) The primate amygdala represents the
822	positive and negative value of visual stimuli during learning. Nature 439:865-870.
823	Piantadosi PT, Yeates DC, Wilkins M, Floresco SB (2017) Contributions of basolateral
824	amygdala and nucleus accumbens subregions to mediating motivational conflict during
825	punished reward-seeking. Neurobiology of learning and memory 140:92-105.
826	Ramirez F, Moscarello JM, LeDoux JE, Sears RM (2015) Active avoidance requires a serial
827	basal amygdala to nucleus accumbens shell circuit. The Journal of neuroscience : the
828	official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 35:3470-3477.
829	Rangel A, Camerer C, Montague PR (2008) A framework for studying the neurobiology of value-
830	based decision making. Nature reviews Neuroscience 9:545-556.
831	Roiser JP, de Martino B, Tan GC, Kumaran D, Seymour B, Wood NW, Dolan RJ (2009) A
832	genetically mediated bias in decision making driven by failure of amygdala control. The
833	Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 29:5985-
834	5991.
835	Sangha S, Chadick JZ, Janak PH (2013) Safety encoding in the basal amygdala. The Journal of
836	neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 33:3744-3751.
837	Schneider S et al. (2012) Risk taking and the adolescent reward system: a potential common
838	link to substance abuse. The American journal of psychiatry 169:39-46.
839	Schoenbaum G, Chiba AA, Gallagher M (1998) Orbitofrontal cortex and basolateral amygdala
840	encode expected outcomes during learning. Nature neuroscience 1:155-159.

Optogenetic BLA inhibition and risky decision making

841 842 843 844 845 845 846 847 848	 Schoenbaum G, Chiba AA, Gallagher M (1999) Neural encoding in orbitofrontal cortex and basolateral amygdala during olfactory discrimination learning. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 19:1876-1884. Shabel SJ, Janak PH (2009) Substantial similarity in amygdala neuronal activity during conditioned appetitive and aversive emotional arousal. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:15031-15036. Simon NW, Gilbert RJ, Mayse JD, Bizon JL, Setlow B (2009) Balancing risk and reward: a rat model of risky decision making. Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the
849	American College of Neuropsychopharmacology 34:2208-2217.
850	St Onge JR, Abhari H, Floresco SB (2011) Dissociable contributions by prefrontal D1 and D2
851	receptors to risk-based decision making. The Journal of neuroscience : the official
852	journal of the Society for Neuroscience 31:8625-8633.
853	St Onge JR, Stopper CM, Zahm DS, Floresco SB (2012) Separate prefrontal-subcortical circuits
854	mediate different components of risk-based decision making. The Journal of
855	neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 32:2886-2899.
856	Stuber GD, Sparta DR, Stamatakis AM, van Leeuwen WA, Hardjoprajitno JE, Cho S, Tye KM,
857	Kempadoo KA, Zhang F, Deisseroth K, Bonci A (2011) Excitatory transmission from the
858	amygdala to nucleus accumbens facilitates reward seeking. Nature 475:377-380.
859	Tremblay M, Cocker PJ, Hosking JG, Zeeb FD, Rogers RD, Winstanley CA (2014) Dissociable
860	effects of basolateral amygdala lesions on decision making biases in rats when loss or
861	gain is emphasized. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci 14:1184-1195.
862	Wassum KM, Izquierdo A (2015) The basolateral amygdala in reward learning and addiction.
863	Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews 57:271-283.
864 865	Winstanley CA, Floresco SB (2016) Deciphering Decision Making: Variation in Animal Models of Effort- and Uncertainty-Based Choice Reveals Distinct Neural Circuitries Underlying
866	Core Cognitive Processes. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the
867	Society for Neuroscience 36:12069-12079.
868	Winstanley CA, Theobald DE, Cardinal RN, Robbins TW (2004) Contrasting roles of basolateral
869	amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex in impulsive choice. The Journal of neuroscience : the
870	official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 24:4718-4722.
871	Zeeb FD, Winstanley CA (2011) Lesions of the basolateral amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex
872	differentially affect acquisition and performance of a rodent gambling task. The Journal
873	of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 31:2197-2204.
874	Zhang W, Schneider DM, Belova MA, Morrison SE, Paton JJ, Salzman CD (2013) Functional
875	circuits and anatomical distribution of response properties in the primate amygdala. The
876	Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 33:722-733.
877	















