Human macrophages survive and adopt activated genotypes in living zebrafish.

Colin D Paul[1][#], Alexus Devine[1][#], Kevin Bishop[2], Qing Xu[3], Kathryn M Daly[1],

Chaunte Lewis[1], Daniel S Green[4], Jack R Staunton[1], Swati Choski[3], Zheng-Gang

Liu[3], Raman Sood[2], and Kandice Tanner[1][*]

[1] Laboratory of Cell Biology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National

Institutes of Health

[2] Zebrafish Core, National Human Genomic Research Institute, National Institutes of Health

[3] Laboratory of Immune Cell Biology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute,

National Institutes of Health

[4] Women's Malignancy Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National

Institutes of Health

[#] co-first authors

[*] To whom correspondence should be addressed: Kandice Tanner Ph.D., 37 Convent Dr.,

Bethesda, MD 20852. Email: kandice.tanner@nih.gov

Abstract
The inflammatory response, modulated both by tissue resident macrophages and recruited

monocytes from peripheral blood, plays a critical role in human diseases such as cancer and

neurodegenerative disorders. Here we sought a model to interrogate human immune behavior *in*

vivo. We determined that primary human monocytes and macrophages survive in zebrafish for up

to two weeks. Flow cytometry revealed that human monocytes cultured at the physiological

temperature of the zebrafish survive and differentiate, comparable to cohorts cultured at human

physiological temperature. Human cells migrated within multiple tissues at speeds comparable to

zebrafish macrophages. Analysis of gene expression of *in vivo* educated human macrophages

confirmed expression of activated macrophage phenotypes. Here, human cells adopted phenotypes

relevant to cancer progression, suggesting that we can define the real time immune modulation of

human tumor cells during the establishment of a metastatic lesion in zebrafish.

Keywords
Zebrafish, immune cells, live imaging, flow cytometry, human xenograft, cell migration, brain
microenvironment, M1 and M2 phenotype, gene expression

Introduction

Macrophages represent a mature population of terminally differentiated cells of myeloid-lineage found in all tissues(1, 2). They are often categorized by distinct functional properties, cell surface markers, and the cytokine profile of the microenvironment. Highly plastic, macrophages adopt diverse phenotypic and functional states to regulate tissue homeostasis, tissue patterning, branching morphogenesis, wound repair and immunity(2). They respond to environmental cues within tissues such as damaged cells, activated lymphocytes, or microbial products to differentiate into distinct functional phenotypes(3). However, macrophages may adopt functions that aid and promote disease due to environmental cues that arise as a result of abnormal physiological states such as obesity, fibrosis, brain neurodegenerative disorders and cancer(1, 4-7). In particular, one of the "hallmarks" of cancer and predictors of aggressive metastatic disease is the chronic presence of activated myeloid cells, such as tumor associated macrophages (TAMs), within primary tumors(8-10). Probing the role of the inflammatory response in the earliest stages of malignant transformation remains technically and ethically difficult in human subjects. Nevertheless, the broad importance of immune cell biology necessitates appropriate models to adequately study implications in human disease.

A number of efforts have been made to "humanize" animal model systems to study human homeostasis and disease *in vivo*, especially in the context of blood cancers(11-17). However, the role of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and their derivative lineages, such as myeloid cells, have also been identified as regulators of tumor progression for solid cancers(4, 8). There are several classes of macrophages that may be found around tumors(2, 10, 18). One class of macrophages includes the classical "M1" macrophage phenotype which is characterized by the production and secretion of elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, mediation of resistance to pathogens

with strong microbicidal properties, high production of reactive nitrogen and oxygen

intermediates, and evoking Th1 responses. In contrast, M2 macrophages are characterized by their

involvement in tissue remodeling, immune regulation, tumor promotion and efficient phagocytic

activity(2, 10). A second class of macrophages that mediate metastasis, metastasis-associated

macrophages (MAMs) is thought to drive therapeutic resistance and establish macrometastases at

distant sites(19, 20). However, probing the role of the inflammatory response on the etiology of

metastasis, namely when one or few cells have initiated the formation of a distant lesion in vivo,

is challenging. Of all the animal models of oncoimmunology, mice remain the standard model, as

one can interrogate human cells using immune-compromised strains and similarly examine

syngeneic cancer cell lines in the appropriate immune competent strain(11, 16, 21). However,

directly visualizing the colonization of multiple murine organs is expensive as it requires many

mice to achieve sufficiently powered statistics. Simply put, a model system that recapitulates

physiologically relevant aspects of metastatic disease in which cells can be observed at the single-

cell level would profoundly benefit metastatic cancer research(22).

Zebrafish larvae are optically transparent at larval stages and conducive for non-invasive

imaging *in vivo*. This, coupled with the fact that many processes involved in embryonic

development, cell biology, and genetics are conserved across vertebrates, makes zebrafish an

attractive model to study tumor-immune interactions during early stages of organ colonization(23,

24). In addition, organ architecture, composition and regulatory signaling networks for several

organs, including the brain, are well conserved in embryonic zebrafish(25, 26). As early as the first

few days after fertilization, key components of the Central Nervous System (CNS) such as

neurons, astrocytes, and microglia, as well as specialized structures such as the blood-brain barrier

and choroid plexus, have been identified in larval zebrafish. The innate immune system, comprised

primarily of neutrophils, tissue specific macrophages and peripheral derived

monocytes/macrophages, is also conserved at this early developmental stage (15, 25, 26). Hence,

the embryonic zebrafish offers a system in which cell dynamics can be observed while sharing key

cellular and structural characteristics with the mammalian organs. This model can be used to

delineate tissue resident vs. recruited circulating monocytes in the context of inflammation and

tumor education for either primary brain tumors or in the case of early metastatic colonization.

However, a key feature missing in studies of immune response in zebrafish is a careful

demonstration that human immune cells can be introduced in this system with conserved survival

and cell response.

Here, we introduced human monocytes/macrophages into the zebrafish directly into

circulation and in an organ specific manner. We determined that both monocytes and macrophages

(differentiated in culture) survive in innate immune competent zebrafish for up to two weeks post-

injection despite the lower physiological temperature of the zebrafish (28.5°C). Similar results

were obtained *in vitro*, where flow cytometry analysis revealed that human monocytes cultured at

the physiological temperature of the zebrafish survive, differentiate, and show surface markers

associated with mature macrophages in response to cytokines comparably to cohorts cultured at

human physiological temperature (37°C). We also determined that human cells are transformed

by zebrafish astrocytes by employing transgenic fish where fluorescently tagged astrocytes can be

visualized *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Gene expression comparisons of *in vivo* educated human

macrophages to *in vitro* cytokine-treated human macrophages revealed gene expression associated

with activation. In summary, these results characterized the function of human immune cells in the

in vivo environment and physiological temperature of *Danio rerio*. Thus, this model system allows

for examination of the contribution of specific immune cells within specialized organ

microenvironments.

Results

Zebrafish are reared at the lower temperature of 28.5°C compared to 37°C, the

physiological temperature of mammals(27). Zebrafish also have an innate and adaptive immune

response beginning from two and nine days post fertilization, respectively). We thus asked if

human immune cells can survive at the physiological temperature of zebrafish in the presence of

the innate and immature adaptive immunity in an organ specific way. Human primary monocytes

that were differentiated into macrophages in culture were injected into the hindbrain of the

zebrafish. Longitudinal imaging of the same fish one day and 7 days post injection (3dpf and 9dpf,

respectively) revealed that human macrophages survive for at least one week after injection and

can be found dispersed in the brain **(Figure 1A).** Similar examination of human macrophages

injected into fish where the host microglia are fluorescently tagged revealed survival and

distribution within the brain **(Supplementary Figure 1A).** We next examined the survival of an

immortalized human monocyte cell line under the same conditions. Similar survival and

morphologies were observed within the brain (**Supplemental Figure 1B**). Examination of fish

two weeks post injection revealed that a few human macrophages can persist *in vivo* (**Figure 1B,**

Supplemental Figure 1C). As immune cells are involved in tissue remodeling and surveillance,

we next asked if the introduced monocytes show comparable motilities. We quantified the host

immune cells movement by tracking neutrophils and macrophages in addition to introduced

immune cell within the brain at 3 dpf. We determined that neutrophils move with an average speed

of 7 microns/minute, which was significantly greater than that of either the zebrafish brain resident

macrophages and the introduced monocytes. There was no significant difference between the

slower monocytes/zebrafish macrophages, where an average speed of 0.5-1 microns/minutes was

observed **(Supplemental Figure 2).**

Immune cells are able to move from circulation to different tissues, therefore we asked if

human cells would adopt similar phenotypes and survive in zebrafish. Human macrophages

injected directly to the zebrafish circulation were found throughout the entire fish as early as ~3hrs.

post injection and 1 day post injection **(Supplemental Movie 1, Figure 2A).** They were observed

to be motile and moved within intersegmental vessels and within different tissues **(Supplemental**

Movie 1). Imaging of fish at one day and 7 days post injection (3dpf and 9dpf respectively)

revealed that human macrophages survive for at least one week after injection into the circulation

and can be found to be dispersed in multiple tissues, including the gills, intersegmental vessels and

caudal tissue (data not shown) **(Figure 2B).**

Macrophages represent a class of terminally differentiated monocytes, and we reasoned

that survival may differ as a function of differentiation status when cultured at the physiological

temperature of zebrafish. Thus, we directly compared survival of human monocytes cultured at the

two different temperatures for a period of 7 days. A sub set of human monocytes were injected

into the hind brain of the zebrafish. We imaged larva over the course of 3 days post injection at 24

hour intervals **(Figure 3A).** We determined that ~40% of monocytes from de-identified human

donors survived at the zebrafish physiological temperatures and were viable up to 7 days of *in*

vitro culture in only culture medium. In contrast, these same cells cultured at mammalian

physiological temperatures showed rapid cell death and viability, where ~50% of cells underwent

apoptosis after 2 days of *in vitro* culture in culture medium and only 10% of cells were present 7

days after plating **(Figure 3B).** Similar quantitation of *in vivo* monocyte survival determined that

~40% of monocytes survive up to 3 days post injection. Analysis of fish imaged serially over the

course of 1 day intervals revealed that 25-50% of cells survived in multiple transgenic lines after

2 days post injection (2dpi) **(Figure 3C).** There was no regional preference for survival as cells

were observed throughout the fore, mid and hind brain of each animal **(Supplemental Figure 3)**.

We then investigated whether these human cells cultured at zebrafish physiological

temperature are capable of differentiating into functional macrophages. Human monocytes

differentiate into macrophages in response to *in vitro* addition of human macrophage colony

stimulating factor (H-MCSF)(28). We observed that monocytes cultured in the presence of H-

MCSF at the lower temperature were not adherent and remained spherical in suspension. In

comparison, cells that were cultured at the higher temperature adopted the classical morphology

of adherent elongated cells following treatment of H-MCSF for five days **(Figure 4A).** Immune

cells respond to cytokines secreted by other cell types. To determine if monocytes/macrophages

respond to zebrafish stroma, we co-cultured these immune cells with zebrafish astrocytes using

transwell assays. Quantitation of cells that migrated in transwell assays revealed that a greater

fraction of cells migrated in response to zebrafish astrocytes for each culture condition than under

control conditions **(Figure 4B).** We determined that monocytes cultured in the presence or

absence of differentiation media showed an increased survival at the zebrafish physiological

temperatures, where ~40% of cells without H-MCSF, and ~70% of cells with H-MCSF, were

viable up to 5 days of *in vitro* culture. In contrast, these same cells cultured at mammalian

physiological temperatures showed reduced viability when cultured with or without differentiation

media **(Figure 4C).** Macrophages derived from monocyte precursors adopt specific phenotypes

depending on cues from the local tissue environment. We next analyzed cell surface markers using

flow cytometry to monitor macrophage maturation. Cells that were cultured with M-CSF at both

temperatures showed increased expression for the surface marker CD86 compared to cells that

were cultured in control media **(Figure 4D)(29).**   This surface protein is an indicator of

macrophage maturation. We also observed that monocytes differentiated at lower temperature

were motile *in vivo*. Moreover, these macrophages showed similar motility to macrophages that

were differentiated at the higher temperature **(Supplemental Movies 1 and 2).**

Monocytes that are subjected to M-CSF will differentiate into macrophage populations

marked by expression of CD86 and CD206(30, 31). We thus asked if monocytes differentiated at

the lower temperature will also show functional plasticity. Surface marker expression for

differentiated human macrophages is observed after 5, 8 and 11 days of exposure for both

physiological temperatures. However, when cells are cultured at the lower temperature there is a

temporal delay in the expression of CD86 and CD206, compared to cells cultured at the higher

temperature **(Figure 5).**

Neutrophils are also conserved in the axis of innate immunity in zebrafish(23). Neutrophils

are the first line of defense and produce a sustained inflammatory response following introduction

of foreign entities. In the brain, the resident macrophages are the microglia(26). To determine if

there was a differential zebrafish neutrophil or microglia response, differentiated human

macrophages cultured at each temperature were injected into the hindbrain of 2dpf zebrafish where

either host neutrophils/ macrophages and vasculature were fluorescently labeled. Serial imaging

of the same fish one day and 7 days post injection (3dpf and 9dpf respectively), revealed that

macrophages differentiated at each temperature survive for at least one week after injection and

can be found dispersed in the fish brain as observed in previous transgenic lines. We determined

that similar numbers survived in either line **(Supplemental Figure 4A),** with survival rates

comparable to those observed in previous experiments. However, no swarming of neutrophils was

observed in the vicinity of the human monocytes at 1 day or 7 days post injection. Micrographs

show that a few neutrophils are in close proximity to human macrophages. They adopt elongated

and spherical phenotypes and are seen along blood vessels and in the brain parenchyma **(Figure**

6, Supplemental Figure 4B-C). We observed some co-localization between the host and human

macrophages as determined by overlapping in the micrographs of the fish imaged 1 day post

injection. However, very little overlap is observed one week post injection.

Macrophages can polarize into different phenotypes in response to cues from the local

tissue microenvironment(29). Thus, we compared gene expression of macrophages exposed to

known cytokines *in vitro* at the two temperatures. We determined that similar expression of TNF-

α, CD163 and VEGF for human macrophages cultured *in vitro* in the presence of recombinant

EGF and TNF-α for 24 hours at both physiological temperatures. However, there was a reduced

expression of CCL18 for cells cultured at physiological temperature of zebrafish than at

physiological temperature of humans **(Figure 7A).** We then compared gene expression of human

cells that were injected in the zebrafish brain for 24 hours and revealed similar expression TNF-

α and CD163 for human macrophages cultured *in vitro* at both physiological temperatures.

However, there was a reduced expression of VEGF and CCL18 for cells cultured at physiological

temperature of zebrafish compared to physiological temperature of humans **(Figure 7B).**

Discussion

Here, we humanized the zebrafish microenvironment by introducing human

monocytes/macrophages. We first determined that human monocytes differentiate into functional

macrophages at the physiological temperature of zebrafish. We showed that these human

monocytes are able to survive for at least two-weeks post injection *in vivo* in immune competent

fish. Specifically, in transgenic zebrafish where fluorophores coupled to promoters of either

myeloid-specific peroxidase *(mpx)*, a homologue of myeloperoxidase, or macrophage expressed

223 gene 1 (*mpeg1*), allow specificity in distinguishing neutrophils from macrophages despite their

224 common lineage. We used this distinction in lineage to analyze the survival and response of human

225 immune cells introduced to embryonic zebrafish, demonstrating that human monocytes and

226 macrophages injected into the zebrafish hindbrain or circulation survive and are functional for up

227 to two weeks post-injection.

228   Transplantation of human hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and hematopoietic cancers

229 have been successfully performed in fetal sheep, immunocompromised mice and zebrafish(10, 11,

230 16, 17, 24). In these animal models, the HSCs grafted successfully to the appropriate *in vivo* niche,

231 bone marrow in mammals and kidney in the zebrafish, with varying lengths of survival *in vivo*.

232 Moreover, the HSCs retained the ability to differentiate into multiple blood lineages, allowing the

233 study of normal tissue homeostasis. This has greatly assisted in delineating what goes awry during

234 transformation to cancers of the blood. Tissue grafting becomes more complicated in the case of

235 cross species transplantation due to the mismatch in immune compatibility (11, 32). One solution

236 involves sub-lethal irradiation of immune competent animals allowing for a temporal window

237 whereby the donor components can be introduced (11, 32). However, there may be adverse and

238 off-target effects due to the depletion of the recipient immune response. The zebrafish innate

239 immune system comprised primarily of neutrophils and macrophages shows excellent

240 conservation with mammals (15, 25). Here, we show that human monocytes/macrophages can

241 survive *in vivo* in the larval zebrafish in the presence of innate immunity and immature adaptive

242 immunity (**Figures 1 and 2, Supplemental Figures 1 and 2**). Interestingly, the introduced

243 macrophages migrate through multiple tissues mimicking tissue surveillance, a key aspect of

244 macrophage function **(Supplemental Movies 1 and 2).** Furthermore, they co-exist seemingly

245 unmolested by host neutrophils and macrophages **(Figure 6, Supplementary Figure 4).** Thus,

there is sufficient conservation in signaling proteins that regulate immune survival. This suggests

that this model organism can provide a comparable study of metastases in the same manner as

observed in mice between host tissues of fish and human tumor cells.

In adult mammals, innate immune cells, monocytes and macrophages share a common

myeloid progenitor in the bone marrow (2, 10, 11, 33, 34). These cells differ greatly in their

lifespan, where peripheral blood derived monocytes (PBDM) survive just few days before

undergoing apoptosis. In contrast, differentiated monocytes such as macrophages may have a

lifespan of months. While these immune subsets are conserved in zebrafish innate immunity, the

major difference is that they exist at different physiological temperatures. This study showed that

human monocytes also survive on the order of days at the physiological temperature of zebrafish

and surprisingly, with a greater survival than a cohort cultured at the physiological temperature of

human *in vitro* and *in vivo* **(Figure 3).** Monocytes respond to different chemokines following a

strictly regulated schedule (2, 10, 11, 33, 34). Following dissemination from the bone marrow,

circulating monocytes are CCR2 negative. One example is that they become CCR2 positive

roughly 1- 2 days post release and successive tissue extravasation. Functional analysis revealed

that human monocytes differentiate into M0 macrophages as dictated by high CD86 and CD206

positive expressions at both temperatures in a similar time frame. However, monocytes cultured

at the lower temperature differentiate into mature macrophages with a temporal delay compared

to monocytes culture at human temperatures. In addition, the difference in morphology where

cells remained in suspension and did not adherent indicate that there are subtle differences due to

the mismatch of culture temperature. One reason could be reduced metabolism at the lower

temperature, or that the human cells follow the differentiation steps that zebrafish

monocytes/macrophages adopt. Nevertheless, the functional status is achieved **(Figures 4 and 5).**

Within a growing tumor, resident and recruited monocytes receive chemical cues from

tumor-secreted chemokines and physical cues from the microenvironment such as hypoxia(35).

Consequently, they become tumor-educated and adopt phenotypes that help drive tumor growth

through promotion of neoangiogenesis, aberrant tissue remodeling, and development of

immunosuppressive microenvironments(2, 4, 8). These tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs)

are abundantly found within or adjacent to primary tumors in several types of human cancer.

TAMs are regulated in part by colony stimulating factor (CSF)-1, mediated by the CSF-1 receptor

(CSF1R), which drives macrophage maturation, tissue recruitment, and activation (36). Activated

macrophages in turn release epidermal growth factor (EGF). At the primary tumor, this signaling

pathway leads breast cancer cells and macrophages to form complexes that promote intravasation

into the bloodstream (21, 37). There are several classes of macrophages that may be found around

tumors. M1-type macrophages are thought to antitumorigenic and are characterized by high CD80,

MHC-II, and PDL1 expression and low CD163 and CSF1R expression. In contrast, macrophages

with high expression of CD68, CD163, CD206, and CSF1R are tumorigenic M2-type

macrophages(20, 38) (18). Macrophages have been implicated in the clearance of circulating

tumor cells during early metastatic dissemination (39, 40). Importantly, another class of

macrophages, termed metastasis-associated macrophages (MAMs), may mediate metastasis,

though the origins and recruitment of such macrophages are unclear(19, 20, 41). Here, we

determined that in response to recombinant cytokines and brain-conditioning *in vivo*, human

macrophages adopt gene expression that forms part of the identification of M1 and M2 phenotypes

as measured by TNF-A, CD163 and VEG-F expression **(Figure 7).** M2 macrophages have been

classified into subdivisions, a, b and c(42, 43). The M2a subtype can also be defined as alternative

activated macrophages, the M2b as type 2 macrophages, and the M2c as deactivated macrophages.

The deactivated terminology refers to the *in vitro* ability of macrophages to adopt M2 activation

following M1 activation, thus deactivating the M1-like gene transcription. Interestingly, CCL18 is

differentially expressed as a function of culture conditions *in vivo* an *in vitro* **(Figure 7).** CCL18

production is associated with the M2c for human monocytes and macrophages(43, 44). These data

suggest that human monocytes differentiated at the lower temperature may not show the plasticity

to switch between phenotypes compared to the macrophages differentiated at the human

temperature.

Brain metastasis remains a challenge in clinical settings as patient survival is still measured

in weeks or months (45). Emerging data indicate that immune-mediated signaling plays an

important role in the establishment of brain metastasis (46). However, what is less understood is

the role of tissue specific microenvironment of the immune cells at early stages of colonization

restricted to lesions well below the current methods of detection. In a spontaneous mouse model

of melanoma, not only were disseminated tumor cells detected before clinically detected primary

tumors, it was determined that metastatic growth at distal organs was regulated by a tissue specific

immune response (47). Recently, in studies of mouse models of brain malignancies and confirmed

in patient data, that in addition to tissue resident macrophages microglia, an abundance of bone

marrow derived monocytes (BDMs) can be found even in an immune privileged organ such as the

brain (48). Furthermore, they uncovered that the resultant tumor associated populations were

distinct based on the macrophage ontogeny within the brain. Here, we determined that human

macrophages survive and become activated in the zebrafish brain environment. This model allows

for examination of the contribution of immune signaling in a tissue specific manner. These findings

open up the possibility of studying early metastatic events of human cancers in fish. More

importantly, it allows us to add the complexity of human tumor-human immune interactions whilst

maintaining single cell resolution.

Methods

Cells and Reagents

Animal studies

Animal studies were conducted under protocols approved by the National Cancer Institute, and the

National Institutes of Health Animal Care and Use Committee. In our experiments, we employed

several   transgenic   lines,   i.e.   Tg(mpeg1:GFP),   Tg(gfap:GFP)   and   Tg(mpx:GFP),

Tg(kdrl:GFP)la116 and Tg(kdrl:mCherry-CAAX) [y171], kindly provided by Brant Weinstein

(NICHD, Bethesda, MD), and the Casper strain, a kind gift from David Langenau (Harvard

MGH)(49-51). Dual labeled vasculature and immune/astrocyte cells were generated by crossing

Tg(kdrl:mCherry-CAAX) [y171] and GFAP:GFP , Mpeg:GFP or Mpx:GFP. Progeny were screened

using fluorescence microscopy to identify those that expressed fluorescent markers for vasculature

and astrocytes, macrophages and neutrophils respectively. From this pool, founders were selected

for continuation of the line. Zebrafish were maintained at 28.5°C on a 14-hour light/10-hour dark

cycle according to standard procedures. Embryos obtained from natural spawning, raised at 28.5°C

and maintained in egg water containing 0.6 g sea salt per liter of DI water were checked for normal

development. At day 5 regular feeding commenced.

Primary human monocytes- Maintenance and Macrophage differentiation protocol and

functionality assays

Elutriated monocytes from 8 de-identified healthy human donors were acquired from the NIH,

Department of Transfusion Medicine (DTM) (according to NIH protocol 99CC0168). Donors

within the age group of 21-50 of either sex were selected for all experiments. Primary monocytes

were cultured in DMEM high glucose, 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

Cells were either cultured at physiological temperature of humans (37°C) or at physiological

temperature of zebrafish (28.5°C). These cells were cultured at a concentration of 1.1×10^6 cells

/cm^2 for minimum of five days to facilitate macrophage differentiation in the presence of

recombinant human M-CSF (25 ng/ml). Media was replenished every 3 days. Samples were

prepared for a minimum of three technical replicates for each donor sample. For comparison, a

human monocyte cell line, U937 was cultured in suspension in RPMI media (ThermoFisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA) supplemented with final volume of 10 % (v/v) Fetal Bovine Serum

(FBS), Penicillin (100 U/mL) and Streptomycin (100 µg/mL). Cells were cultured at 37°C with

5% CO_2 and relative humidity maintained at 95%. Media was refreshed every 2- 3 days.

Primary monocytes that had been cultured in the presence of human M-CSF for eight days were

cultured at each temperature (28.5 vs. 37°C). Cells cultured at each temperature were then cultured

for an additional 24hrs with a vehicle control and in the presence of either recombinant EGF at a

concentration of 10ng/ml or TNF-α at a concentration of 20ng/ml. In addition, 2-5nL of a sub set

of the originally differentiated cells were then injected into 2dpf fli:GFP embryos at a

concentration of 1×10^7 cells/mL for comparison. After 24hrs, RNA was extracted for the in vivo

educated macrophages and the cells cultured in the presence of the cytokines. Similarly, RNA was

extracted from pooled samples of 50 3dpf embryos for each temperature (28.5 vs. 37°C) and age

matched uninjected embryos.

Quantification of *in vitro* monocyte survival at different physiological temperatures

For cell survival, human monocytes from 5 donors were seeded at a concentration of 1.1×10^6 cells

/cm^2 and cultured at either 28.5°C or 37°C in base media. Human monocytes were collected and

centrifuged at 1000rpm for 5 minutes. Supernatant was removed and cells were resuspended in

1mL of sterile 1× PBS. Cells were collected at 2, 3, 5 and 7 days after plating and analyzed for cell

number and viability using Nexcelom Bioscience Cell Counter. Technical triplicates were

collected for each donor sample for each temperature and day to obtain an average fraction survival

per donor. Data were then displayed using GraphPad Prism 7.0a to graph cell number and viability

as a function of days and temperature. Two-way ANOVA was used to determine statistical

significance. Bright field and epi-fluorescent images were obtained on a Zeiss Axiovert 200 with

an AxioCam MRm, using AxioVision LE 4.8.2 software. Images were acquired using 10× air

objective with numerical aperture, 0.25, where each individual image had lateral dimensions of

1388×1040 square pixels corresponding to 0.448×0.335 mm^2.

Quantification of *in vivo* monocyte survival

Image processing was performed via ImageJ. Spectral crosstalk was removed by subtracting

contributions of the red channel from the far-red detection channel. Images were then median

(radius of 3 pixels) and Gaussian (sigma of 2) filtered to minimize background noise. Binary masks

of monocytes were generated using Huang and Otsu thresholding methods on corrected images.

The volume occupied by monocytes was then calculated using ImageJ's built in 'analyze particles'

function and the 3D shapes plugin. Number of monocytes was estimated by dividing the total

volume of the binary monocyte mask by the expected average volume of a human monocyte (10

μm in diameter, volume of 104.7 μm^3). Loss of monocytes was calculated for each larva by

subtracting final number of monocytes from the initial number of monocytes (24 hours post

injection) then normalizing by the initial number of monocytes. Percent survival was reported as

1 minus loss of monocytes multiplied by one hundred.

381   As an example:**[100* (1- ((#24hpi - #48hpi) / #24hpi)]**

382   Data were then displayed using GraphPad Prism 7.0a to graph cell number and viability as a

383   function of days. All error bars are 95% confidence intervals where D'Agostino and Pearson

384   normality test was performed and Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to determine statistical

385   analysis.

Flow cytometry to determine cell surface expression to determine phenotype

Cells cultured in the absence and presence of human M-CSF were processed at minimum five days

after plating for flow cytometry. Cells that remained in suspension were collected whereas

adherent cells were detached with 2mM EDTA in PBS. For both conditions, the cell suspension

was centrifuged and the supernatant removed as previously described. The cell pellets were re-

suspended in ice-cold PBS with the following antibodies to assess surface expression for

determination of macrophage polarization. Cells were incubated on ice with CD86-PE (BD), or

CD206-APC (BD) antibodies for 1 hour and cells were washed twice before processing for flow

cytometry. For propidium iodide (11) staining, cells were washed and resuspended in HEPES

buffer containing PI. These markers for surface expression are indicative of M0 and M1

phenotypes and apoptosis, respectively. Samples were processed on a BD Calibur1 (BD

Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star). PE- or APC-conjugated IgG stained

cells were used to indicate background fluorescence and to set quadrants before calculating the

percentage of positive cells.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS).

Embryos at 2 days post fertilization (dpf) with labeled vasculature and astrocytes cells were

dechorionated in pronase solution (Roche Life Science #10165921001) as necessary (less than

5minutes). Embryos were then protease dissociated for FACS as previously described(52). Cell

sorting was performed on a FACSAria Fusion instrument (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) using

85µm nozzle at 45psi pressure. Cells were gated on forward and side light scatter and separated

based on GFP and/or mCherry-positive fluorescence signals defined with non-fluorescent negative

controls.

In vitro **migration assays.**

Astrocytes isolated from FACS were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes, resuspended and

counted.   A 24-well plate was coated with 10 µg/ml laminin (Cytoskeleton Inc.) at room

temperature for 1 h prior to triplicate wash with PBS. Fluorescence-activated cell sorted astrocytes

were then added to the wells at 1 x 10^5 cells per mL (1 ml/well in 24-well plate). Zebrafish

astrocytes were maintained overnight in DMEM (Thermo Fisher)supplemented with 10% fetal

bovine serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 1% L-glut at 28.5°C. The following day, cells were

prepared for transwell assay. Astrocytes were washed once with serum free RPMI medium. 700µl

of serum-free media was placed on top of astrocytes. A sterile transwell membrane with 8 µm

pores (Corning #3422) was inserted and 300µl of human macrophages were placed on top of

membrane at 5 x 10^4 cells per membrane. Transwells were incubated overnight at 33°C. Three

membranes were run in parallel per condition (temperature and presence/absence of zebrafish

astrocytes). Transwells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature.

Membranes were washed twice in 1X PBS. They were permeablized using 0.1% triton-x 100 for

5 minutes at room temperature, followed by a second wash step and then processed for

immunofluorescence. Staining mixture consisted of Hoechst 33258 (10mg/mL, Thermo Fisher)

was used at 1µg/mL in 1% BSA-PBS along with Phalloidin 565 (Sigma) used at 20µl/mL of

Hoechst mixture. The membranes were imaged using Zeiss 780 LSM to acquire 5 x 5 tile scan

images of each membrane, using a 10× air objective of 0.3 NA where each individual image

comprised 2046×2046 square pixels corresponding to 1416×1416 square µm for a total Z

distance of 100 µm with 5 µm step size. Three randomly selected 500 x 500µm fields of view were

used for data analysis. We simultaneously excited our sample with the 405 nm from an argon ion

laser with a power of <3 % (total power 30 mW) and 546 nm from a solid-state laser (power

of <10 %). A secondary dichroic mirror, SDM 560, was employed in the emission pathway to

delineate the red (band-pass filters 560–575 nm) and blue channels (480-495 nm) at a gain of 400

on the amplifier. The laser power for the 543 nm setting was set at <3 % of the maximum power

and the gain on the detectors was set to 450. The Hoechst-stained cell nuclei were counted. The

lower Z represents the bottom of the membrane and higher Z represents the top of the membrane.

Nuclei counted as through were on the bottom or in pores. Nuclei counted on top were used in

total cell count. Data were displayed using GraphPad Prism 7.0a to graph cell migration with

given attractants. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons post-test among all

conditions was used to determine statistical significance.

Zebrafish injections

For all experiments, at 24 hours post fertilization (hpf), embryos were transferred to egg water

supplemented with phenylthiourea (PTU, Sigma P5272), suspended at 7.5% w/v in DMSO, at 1

part in 4500 to inhibit melanin formation for increased optical transparency. Embryos were then

returned to the incubator at 28.5°C and checked for normal development. Zebrafish embryos at 2

days post fertilization (2dpf) were anesthetized using 0.4% buffered tricaine. Human

monocytes/macrophages were labeled with cell tracker (Green or Deep Red, Invitrogen) at a final

concentration of 1 μM for 30 minutes. Cells were then centrifuged and the supernatant removed

and pellet resuspended in PBS with a final cell concentration of 1×10^7 cells/mL before injection.

2-5 nL of labeled cells were injected into the hindbrain of the embryo. Fish were then reared at

28.5°C with 5% CO_2 and relative humidity maintained at 95% for two days. At 5 days post

fertilization, some fish were then returned to system water and regular feeding at 28.5 °C for long-

term survival studies. For injection to the circulation, zebrafish were anesthetized in 0.4% buffered

tricaine and oriented in a lateral orientation on an agarose bed. Cells were injected directly in the

circulation via the posterior cardinal vein using a pulled micropipette. Fish were screened within

24 h of injection to check for successful introduction of cells to the circulatory system

Intravital microscopy

For live cell imaging, embryos were anesthetized using 0.4% buffered tricaine, then embedded in

a lateral orientation in 1% low melting point agarose (NuSieve GTG agarose, Lonza), and allowed

to polymerize in with cover glass (no. 1.5 thickness) as previously described (53). Egg water

supplemented with tricaine was added to the agarose hydrogel for the entire time of data

acquisition. Fish were imaged on Zeiss 710 or 780 laser scanning confocal microscopes. Initial

overview experiments were taken at 20×, with 1 µm Z steps, as tile scans over the entire length

and height of the fish. Images in the head and tail of the zebrafish were acquired at 10×

magnification every 10 min for 14 h. Z stacks were acquired using a tiled approach and a 10× air

objective of 0.3 NA where each individual image comprised 2046×2046 square pixels

corresponding to 1416×1416 square µm for a total Z distance of 276 µm. One-photon, confocal,

2-dimensional images of 512×512 pixels (lateral dimensions) were acquired with a 1.4 NA

$40 \times$ oil-immersion objective. We simultaneously excited our sample with the 405 nm, 488 nm

lines from an argon ion laser with a power of < 3 % (total power 30 mW) and 546 nm from a solid-

state laser (power of < 10 %). A secondary dichroic mirror, SDM 560, was employed in the

emission pathway to delineate the red (band-pass filters 560–575 nm) and green (band-pass filters

505–525 nm) and blue channels (480-495 nm) at a gain of 400 on the amplifier. The laser power

for the 543 nm setting was set at < 3 % of the maximum power and the gain on the detectors was

set to 450.

RNA isolation and Real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted with Trizol according to the manufacturer's guidelines (Invitrogen). Any

remaining DNA was removed with the DNA-free kit (Ambion) and was re-purified with the

RNAeasy kit (Qiagen). Taqman real-time gene expression assays were run on an ABI StepOne

Plus system according to manufacturer's protocol (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was

normalized to that of GAPDH or β-actin. All Taqman real-time primers used for gene-expression

analysis were pre-designed and confirmed either from Integrated DNA Technologies: human

TNFa (Hs.PT.45.14765639), VEGFA (Hs.PT.58.21234833), GAPDH (Hs.PT.39a.22214836) or

from Life Technologies: human CD163 (Hs 00174705), CCL17 (Hs01128674).

Intravital cell tracking

Time-lapse microscopy images were exported to FIJI for analysis. To adjust for fish growth during

imaging, images were first registered using the Correct 3D Drift plugin, with the vasculature of

the fish used as a topographical reference. Cancer cells were then tracked in 3D using the

TrackMate plugin for FIJI. Images were segmented in the cell fluorescence channel in each frame

using a Laplacian of Gaussian (14) detector with a 15 µm estimated particle diameter. An initial

threshold of 1.0 was set, and the sub-pixel localization and median filter options in TrackMate

were activated. Segmentation was then further refined by manual adjustment of the threshold to

minimize the non-macrophage particles selected. Segmented objects were linked from frame to

frame with a Linear Assignment Problem (LAP) tracker with 30 µm maximum frame-to-frame

linking distance. Tracks were visually inspected for completeness and accuracy over the entire

acquisition period and were manually edited to ensure that point-to-point tracks were generated

for the entire time that a cell was in the field of view.

Calculation of Cell Speed

Temporal and spatial information for each cell track was exported to MATLAB. For each cell,

frame-to-frame speed was calculated by dividing the displacement of the cell by the time interval

between frames. An average speed for that cell over the course of imaging was then calculated by

averaging these frame-to-frame speeds. The ensemble of cell speeds in each location (head, tail,

trunk) was compared in GraphPad Prism 7 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple

comparisons post-test.

Author Contribution

K.T., Z.L, S.C, R.S., D.G. designed and discussed experiments, K.T., K.B., C.P., Q.A.D., C.L,

A.W. performed experiments, K.T., C.P., A.D., K.D., Q.X., C.L, J.S, performed data analysis.

K.T, C.P., S.C. wrote the main manuscript text. K.T., C.P, Q.X., K.D., J.S., prepared figures. All

authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of

Health, the National Cancer Institute. We would like to thank Susan Garfield and Langston Lim,

CCR Confocal Microscopy Core Facility, Laboratory of Cancer Biology and Genetics, NCI for

use of the core microscopes. Cell sorting was performed by the NCI LGI Flow Cytometry Core

supported by funds from the Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute. We would

like to thank Ashley Williams for assistance in preliminary monocyte differentiation

experiments.

Figure Legend

Figure 1- Human macrophages survive *in vivo* for up to two weeks post injection following Brain injection

A) Micrographs of 3D projections showing distribution and survival of human primary macrophages (blue) injected into the hind brain of transgenic zebrafish embryos mpx:GFP (neutrophils-green)/flk:mCherry (vessels-red) at 2 days post fertilization (2dpf). Left panel 1 day post injection (1dpi) when embryo is 3dpf and right panel, same fish 7dpi when at 9dpf. Scale bar = 100 µm.

B) Micrographs show that cells can persist for up to 2 weeks after injection at 16dpf. Left panel: micrograph shows tiled image of the 16dpf zebrafish, white square highlights region of interest in the zebrafish brain. Scale bar = 500 µm. Right panel: micrograph of the inset where the white arrows indicate human cells. Scale bar = 100 µm.

Figure 2- Human macrophages survive *in vivo* for up to one week post injection following injection directly into the circulation of the zebrafish

A) Top panel: Schematic showing experimental set up where human macrophages were directly injected into the circulation of 2 days post-fertilization, 2dpf embryos. Middle panels: micrograph shows tiled image of the 3dpf zebrafish, 1 day post injection (1dpi) showing distribution and survival of human primary macrophages

(blue) injected into transgenic zebrafish embryos, fli:GFP (vessels-green). Bottom

panels: Micrographs of 3D projections at higher magnification of insets highlighted

in tiled images showing distribution and survival of human primary macrophages

(blue).

B) Top panel: micrograph shows tiled image of the 9dpf zebrafish, 7 days post

injection (7dpi) showing distribution and survival of human primary macrophages

(blue) injected into transgenic zebrafish embryos, fli:GFP (vessels-green). Bottom

panel: Micrographs of 3D projections at higher magnification of insets highlighted

in tiled image showing distribution and survival of human primary macrophages

(blue).

Figure 3- Primary human monocytes survive at physiological temperature of

the zebrafish *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

A) Primary human monocytes (blue) were injected into the hind brain of transgenic

zebrafish embryos, GFAP:GFP (astrocytes-green)-flk:mCherry (vessels-red) at

2dpf. Micrographs show representative images where fish was imaged serially over

the course of 3 days post injection at interval of 24hrs.

B) Cells were either cultured at physiological temperature of humans (37°C) or at

physiological temperature of zebrafish (28.5°C). Plot (mean±SD) of average

survival calculated for primary human monocytes obtained from 4 donors where the

numbers of cells that survived over the course of 7 days were normalized to the

original seeding density. Days in culture was a statistically significant factor in

survival by two-way ANOVA.

C) Plot (mean±SD) of average *in vivo* survival calculated for primary human

monocytes obtained from 50 larvae where the numbers of cells that survived over

the course of 3 days were normalized to the initial numbers measured one day post

injection. Statistical analysis where ** indicates a p value of $p<0.01$ and ****

indicates a p value of $p<0.0001$ by. Scatter plots show values for individual fish. Not

all fish survived to 5 days post injection.

Figure 4- Flow cytometry determined that primary human monocytes can

survive and differentiate into macrophages at physiological temperature of the

zebrafish *in vitro*

Human primary monocytes were cultured at physiological temperature of zebrafish

(28.5°C) or at physiological temperature of humans (37°C) with or without

differentiation by cytokine human macrophage colony stimulating factor (H-MCSF)

for five days. A) Micrographs show the morphology of cells in the presence or

absence of cytokine. B) Graphs (mean±SD) showing fraction of migrated cells in

response or absence of isolated zebrafish astrocytes in a transwell assay. *, $p<0.05$

by Tukey's multiple comparisons post-test. C) Primary monocytes from 5 donors

were either cultured at physiological temperature of humans (37°C) or at

physiological temperature of zebrafish (28.5°C) with or without H-MCSF. Plot

(mean±SD) of average survival calculated for primary human

monocytes/macrophages that survived over the course of 5 days were normalized to

the original seeding density. Significantly more cells survived at 28.5°C vs. 37°C in

the presence or absence of H-MCSF by two-way ANOVA. D) Flow cytometry

where expression for CD86 was determined for each condition. Red curve indicates

control where shift in blue curve indicates induced expression.

Figure 5- Flow cytometry determined primary human monocytes take a longer

time to differentiate into macrophages at physiological temperature of the

zebrafish *in vitro*

Human primary monocytes were cultured at physiological temperature of zebrafish

(28.5°C,left panels) or at physiological temperature of humans (37°C, right panels)

with cytokine human macrophage colony stimulating factor (H-MCSF) for five,

eight and 11 days. A-B) Flow cytometry where expression for CD86 andCD206were

determined for each condition. Red curve indicates control where shift in blue curve

indicates induced expression.

Figure 6- Primary human macrophages can survive at physiological

temperature of the zebrafish *in vivo* and do not cause a sustained inflammatory

response.

Monocytes that had been cultured in the presence of human macrophage colony

stimulating factor (H-MCSF) for five days A) at physiological temperature of

(28.5°C) and B) at physiological temperature of humans (37°C). Primary human

macrophages (blue) were injected into the hind brain of transgenic zebrafish

embryos, Mpeg:GFP (macrophages-green)/flk:mCherry (vessels-red) or Mpx:GFP

(neutrophils-green)/flk:mCherry (vessels-red) at 2 days post fertilization (2dpf).

Micrographs of 3D projections showing distribution and survival of human primary

macrophages 1 day post injection (1dpi) when larvae are 3dpf and right panel, same

fish at 7dpi when larvae are 9dpf.

Figure 7- Primary human macrophages show gene expression of activated

phenotypes *in vivo* comparable to macrophages cultured *in vitro* at

physiological temperatures of the zebrafish and human

A) Graphs show relative gene expression in specific markers for TNF−α and CD163,

CCL18, VEGF for human macrophages cultured *in vitro* in the presence of

recombinant EGF and TNF−α for 24 hours at physiological temperature of zebrafish

(28.5°C) or at physiological temperature of humans (37°C).

B) Graphs show *in vivo* relative gene expression in specific markers for TNF−α and

CD163, CCL18,VEGF for human macrophages after 24 hours injected into the brain

of the zebrafish.

Supplemental Figure 1- Human immortalized monocytes and primary

macrophages survive *in vivo* for one week

A) Micrographs of 3D projections showing distribution and survival of human

primary macrophages (blue) injected into the hind brain of transgenic zebrafish

embryos, Mpeg:GFP (zebrafish macrophages-green), at 3 days post fertilization

(3dpf). Left panel shows 1 day post injection (1dpi) when larvae is 3dpf and right

panel, same fish 7dpi when at 9dpf. Scale bar = 100 µm.

B) U937 human monocytes (blue) were injected into the hind brain of transgenic

zebrafish embryos, GFAP:GFP (astrocytes-green)/flk:mCherry (vessels-red) at 2

days post fertilization (2dpf). Left panel shows 3D projection of injected cells on the

day of injection, with detail shown in the inset. Right panel illustrates that these cells

can survive over time, showing injected embryo one week after injection at 9 dpf.

C) Micrographs show that cells can persist for up to 2 weeks after injection into

Mpx:GFP (neutrophils-green)/flk:mCherry (vessels- red) at 2 days post fertilization

(2dpf). Left panel: micrograph shows tiled image of the 16dpf zebrafish, white

square highlights region of interest in the zebrafish brain. Scale bar = 500 µm. Right

panel: micrograph of the inset where the white arrow indicates the human cell in

contact with the blood vessel in the mid-hind brain. Scale bar = 100 µm.

Supplemental Figure 2- Human immortalized monocytes show similar

motilities to zebrafish immune cells *in vivo*

A) Plot (mean±SD) of average speeds calculated for brain resident zebrafish macrophages, neutrophils and human monocytes (U937) *in vivo*. Statistical analysis where **** indicates $p<0.0001$ by ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons post-test.

B) Micrographs show initial position of cells and final position of cells for up to 60 minutes where average speed of human monocytes was calculated from 3D images. Top panel- brain resident zebrafish macrophages, middle panel- brain resident zebrafish neutrophils and bottom panel- human monocytes injected into the hind brain.

Supplemental Figure 3- Primary human monocytes show similar survival in different strains *in vivo*.

A) Primary human monocytes (blue) were injected into the hind brain of transgenic zebrafish embryos, GFAP:GFP (astrocytes- green) at 2dpf. Micrographs show representative images where fish was imaged serially over the course of 2 days post injection (2dpi, 4dpf) at interval of 24hrs.

B) Primary human monocytes (blue) were injected into the hind brain of transgenic zebrafish embryos, Mpeg:GFP (zebrafish macrophages- green) at 2dpf. Micrographs show representative images where fish was imaged serially over the course of 2 days post injection (2dpi, 4dpf) at interval of 24hrs.

C) Top panel- Plot (mean±95% CI) of average *in vivo* survival calculated for

primary human monocytes obtained from n=30 larvae for GFAP:GFP and n=7

larvae for Mpeg:GFP, where the numbers of cells that survived over the course of

2days were normalized to the initial numbers measured one day post injection.

Bottom panel- Graph displays relative distribution of survival based on the initial

number of cells.

Supplemental Figure 4- Primary human macrophages differentiated at

physiological temperatures of the zebrafish or human show similar survival in

different strains *in vivo*

Primary human macrophages (blue) were injected into the hind brain of transgenic

zebrafish embryos, Mpeg:GFP (macrophages- green)/flk:mCherry (vessels-red) or

Mpx:GFP (neutrophils-green)/flk:mCherry (vessels-red) at 2 days post fertilization

(2dpf).

A) Plot (mean±SD) of average *in vivo* survival calculated for primary human

macrophages differentiated at physiological temperatures of the zebrafish or human

obtained from 3 larvae each, where the numbers of cells that survived over the course

of 7 days were normalized to the initial numbers measured one day post injection.

Differences in survival were not significant by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's

multiple comparisons post-test.

Same as Figure 6 with no bright-field B-C

Monocytes that had been cultured in the presence of human macrophage colony

stimulating factor (H-MCSF) for five days **B**) at physiological temperature of

zebrafish (28.5°C) and **C**) at physiological temperature of humans (37°C). Primary

human macrophages (blue) were injected into the hind brain of transgenic zebrafish

embryos, Mpeg:GFP (macrophages-green)/flk:mCherry (vessels-red) or Mpx:GFP

(meutrophils-green)/flk:mCherry (vessels-red) at 2 days post fertilization (2dpf).

Micrographs of 3D projections showing distribution and survival of human primary

macrophages 1 day post injection (1dpi) when larvae are 3dpf and right panel, same

fish at 7dpi (9dpf).

Supplemental Movie Legend

Supplemental Movie 1- Monocytes that had been cultured in the presence of human

macrophage colony stimulating factor (H-MCSF) for eight days at physiological

temperature of zebrafish (28.5°C) were directly injected into the circulation of 2 days

post-fertilization (2dpf) embryos. Movie shows average intensity projection

from 3D time-lapse ~3 hours post-injection for 15hours at a frame rate

of 20 seconds/Zstack (33 planes, 2 µm z step) every 10 minutes.

Supplemental Movie 2- Monocytes that had been cultured in the presence of human

macrophage colony stimulating factor (H-MCSF) for eight days at physiological

temperature of human (37°C) were directly injected into the circulation of 2 days

post-fertilization (2dpf) embryos. Movie shows average intensity projection

from 3D time-lapse ~3 hours post-injection for 15hours at a frame rate

of 20 seconds/Zstack (33 planes, 2 µm z step) every 10 minutes.

703
References

1.   Wynn TA, Chawla A, & Pollard JW (2013) Macrophage biology in development,
homeostasis and disease. *Nature* 496(7446):445-455.
2.   Pollard JW (2009) Trophic macrophages in development and disease. *Nat Rev*
Immunol 9(4):259-270.
3.   Murray PJ, *et al.* (2014) Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and
experimental guidelines. *Immunity* 41(1):14-20.
4.   Coussens LM & Werb Z (2002) Inflammation and cancer. *Nature* 420(6917):860-867.
5.   Kingwell K (2012) Neurodegenerative disease: Microglia in early disease stages. *Nat*
Rev Neurol 8(9):475.
6.   Perry VH, Nicoll JA, & Holmes C (2010) Microglia in neurodegenerative disease. *Nat*
Rev Neurol 6(4):193-201.
7.   Lumeng CN & Saltiel AR (2011) Inflammatory links between obesity and metabolic
disease. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 121(6):2111-2117.
8.   DeNardo DG, Johansson M, & Coussens LM (2008) Immune cells as mediators of solid
tumor metastasis. *Cancer Metast Rev* 27(1):11-18.
9.   Hanahan D & Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*
144(5):646-674.
10. Vesely MD, Kershaw MH, Schreiber RD, & Smyth MJ (2011) Natural innate and
adaptive immunity to cancer. *Annu Rev Immunol* 29:235-271.
11. Zitvogel L, Pitt JM, Daillere R, Smyth MJ, & Kroemer G (2016) Mouse models in
oncoimmunology. *Nat Rev Cancer* 16(12):759-773.
12. AlmeidaPorada G, Ascensao JL, & Zanjani ED (1996) The role of sheep stroma in
human haemopoiesis in the human/sheep chimaeras. *Brit J Haematol* 93(4):795-802.
13. Langenau DM & Zon LI (2005) The zebrafish: A new model of T-cell and thymic
development. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 5(4):307-317.
14. Morton JJ, *et al.* (2016) XactMice: humanizing mouse bone marrow enables
microenvironment reconstitution in a patient-derived xenograft model of head and
neck cancer. *Oncogene* 35(3):290-300.
15. Renshaw SA & Trede NS (2012) A model 450 million years in the making: zebrafish
and vertebrate immunity. *Dis Model Mech* 5(1):38-47.
16. Theocharides AP, Rongvaux A, Fritsch K, Flavell RA, & Manz MG (2016) Humanized
hemato-lymphoid system mice. *Haematologica* 101(1):5-19.
17. Zanjani ED, AlmeidaPorada G, & Flake AW (1996) The human/sheep xenograft
model: A large animal model of human hematopoiesis. *Int J Hematol* 63(3):179-192.
18. Ruffell B, Affara NI, & Coussens LM (2012) Differential macrophage programming in
the tumor microenvironment. *Trends Immunol* 33(3):119-126.
19. Joyce JA & Pollard JW (2009) Microenvironmental regulation of metastasis. *Nat Rev*
Cancer 9(4):239-252.
20. Wang J, *et al.* (2015) Novel mechanism of macrophage-mediated metastasis revealed
in a zebrafish model of tumor development. *Cancer Res* 75(2):306-315.
21. Wyckoff JB, *et al.* (2007) Direct visualization of macrophage-assisted tumor cell
intravasation in mammary tumors. *Cancer Res* 67(6):2649-2656.

22. Tanner K & Gottesman MM (2015) Beyond 3D culture models of cancer. *Sci Transl*
Med 7(283):283ps289.
23. Renshaw SA & Trede NS (2012) A model 450 million years in the making: zebrafish
and vertebrate immunity. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* 5(1):38-47.
24. White R, Rose K, & Zon L (2013) Zebrafish cancer: the state of the art and the path
forward. *Nat Rev Cancer* 13(9):624-636.
25. Meeker ND & Trede NS (2008) Immunology and zebrafish: spawning new models of
human disease. *Dev Comp Immunol* 32(7):745-757.
26. Oosterhof N, Boddeke E, & van Ham TJ (2015) Immune cell dynamics in the CNS:
Learning from the zebrafish. *Glia* 63(5):719-735.
27. Sanders GE (2012) Zebrafish housing, husbandry, health, and care: IACUC
considerations. *ILAR J* 53(2):205-207.
28. Gordon S & Martinez FO (2010) Alternative activation of macrophages: mechanism
and functions. *Immunity* 32(5):593-604.
29. Zhang Y*, et al.* (2013) ROS play a critical role in the differentiation of alternatively
activated macrophages and the occurrence of tumor-associated macrophages. *Cell*
Res 23(7):898-914.
30. Tarique AA*, et al.* (2015) Phenotypic, functional, and plasticity features of classical
and alternatively activated human macrophages. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 53(5):676-
688.
31. Weiss M, Blazek K, Byrne AJ, Perocheau DP, & Udalova IA (2013) IRF5 is a specific
marker of inflammatory macrophages in vivo. *Mediators Inflamm* 2013:245804.
32. Macchiarini F, Manz MG, Palucka AK, & Shultz LD (2005) Humanized mice: are we
there yet? *J Exp Med* 202(10):1307-1311.
33. Gordon S & Taylor PR (2005) Monocyte and macrophage heterogeneity. *Nat Rev*
Immunol 5(12):953-964.
34. Montali RJ (1988) Comparative pathology of inflammation in the higher vertebrates
(reptiles, birds and mammals). *J Comp Pathol* 99(1):1-26.
35. DeNardo DG & Coussens LM (2007) Inflammation and breast cancer. Balancing
immune response: crosstalk between adaptive and innate immune cells during breast
cancer progression. *Breast cancer research : BCR* 9(4):212.
36. Sullivan AR & Pixley FJ (2014) CSF-1R signaling in health and disease: a focus on the
mammary gland. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* 19(2):149-159.
37. Wyckoff J*, et al.* (2004) A paracrine loop between tumor cells and macrophages is
required for tumor cell migration in mammary tumors. *Cancer Research* 64(19):7022-
7029.
38. Ries CH*, et al.* (2014) Targeting tumor-associated macrophages with anti-CSF-1R
antibody reveals a strategy for cancer therapy. *Cancer Cell* 25(6):846-859.
39. Gul N*, et al.* (2014) Macrophages eliminate circulating tumor cells after monoclonal
antibody therapy. *J Clin Invest* 124(2):812-823.
40. Pucci F*, et al.* (2016) SCS macrophages suppress melanoma by restricting tumor-
derived vesicle-B cell interactions. *Science* 352(6282):242-246.
41. Quail DF & Joyce JA (2013) Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and
metastasis. *Nat Med* 19(11):1423-1437.
42. Roszer T (2015) Understanding the Mysterious M2 Macrophage through Activation
Markers and Effector Mechanisms. *Mediat Inflamm*.

43. Mantovani A*, et al.* (2004) The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage
activation and polarization. *Trends Immunol* 25(12):677-686.
44. Schraufstatter IU, Zhao M, Khaldoyanidi SK, & Discipio RG (2012) The chemokine
CCL18 causes maturation of cultured monocytes to macrophages in the M2 spectrum.
Immunology 135(4):287-298.
45. Steeg PS (2016) Targeting metastasis. *Nat Rev Cancer* 16(4):201-218.
46. Erez N & Coussens LM (2011) Leukocytes as paracrine regulators of metastasis and
determinants of organ-specific colonization. *International journal of cancer. Journal*
international du cancer 128(11):2536-2544.
47. Eyles J*, et al.* (2010) Tumor cells disseminate early, but immunosurveillance limits
metastatic outgrowth, in a mouse model of melanoma. *J Clin Invest* 120(6):2030-2039.
48. Bowman RL*, et al.* (2016) Macrophage Ontogeny Underlies Differences in Tumor-
Specific Education in Brain Malignancies. *Cell Rep* 17(9):2445-2459.
49. Renshaw SA*, et al.* (2006) A transgenic zebrafish model of neutrophilic inflammation.
Blood 108(13):3976-3978.
50. Ellett F, Pase L, Hayman JW, Andrianopoulos A, & Lieschke GJ (2011) mpeg1 promoter
transgenes direct macrophage-lineage expression in zebrafish. *Blood* 117(4):e49-56.
51. Bernardos RL & Raymond PA (2006) GFAP transgenic zebrafish. *Gene Expr Patterns*
6(8):1007-1013.
52. Manoli M & Driever W (2012) Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of
fluorescently tagged cells from zebrafish larvae for RNA isolation. *Cold Spring Harb*
Protoc 2012(8).
53. Blehm BH, Devine A, Staunton JR, & Tanner K (2016) In vivo tissue has non-linear
rheological behavior distinct from 3D biomimetic hydrogels, as determined by
AMOTIV microscopy. *Biomaterials* 83:66-78.
818

A

Blood vessels
Primary human macrophages

B

Blood vessels
Primary human macrophages

A

| 1 dpi | 3 dpf | 2 dpi | 4 dpf | 3 dpi | 5 dpf |

FORE
BRAIN

HIND
BRAIN

EYE

Glial fibrillary acid protein
Primary human monocytes
Blood vessels

100 μm

B

■ 28.5°C ■ 37°C

% Survival

Day 2   Day 5   Day 7

Days in culture

C

% Survival

****

**

Day 1   Day 2   Day 3

Days Post Injection (dpi)

in vitro
Human Monocyte Cell Survival

in vivo
Human Monocyte Cell Survival

A

Control   37 °C

28.5 °C

H-MCSF

B

Normalized fraction of cells transmigrated

| 28.5°C | 37°C | 28.5°C | 37°C |
| Control | | Zebrafish astrocytes | |

C

■ 28.5°C- Control ▨ 28.5°C- H-MCSF
■ 37°C- Control ▨ 37°C- H-MCSF

Fraction Survival

Day 2   Day 3   Day 5

D

Control   H-MCSF   Control   H-MCSF

IgG
CD86

IgG
CD86

28.5 °C   37 °C

A

28.5 °C 5 Days post H-MCSF

| 1 dpi | 3dpf | 7 dpi | 9dpf | 1 dpi | 3dpf | 7 dpi | 9dpf |

EYE
EYE
100 µm

EYE
EYE
100 µm

Primary human macrophages
Zebrafish macrophages
Blood vessels

Primary human macrophages
Zebrafish neutrophils
Blood vessels

B

37°C 5 Days post H-MCSF

| 1 dpi | 3dpf | 7 dpi | 9dpf | 1 dpi | 3dpf | 7 dpi | 9dpf |

EYE
EYE
100 µm

EYE
EYE
100 µm

A

B

A

28.5°C ■ 37°C ■

Fraction cell survival

MPX   MPEG

B   28.5 °C 5 Days post H-MCSF

1 dpi   3dpf   7 dpi   9dpf   1 dpi   3dpf   7 dpi   9dpf

HIND BRAIN   FORE BRAIN   MID BRAIN   EYE   EYE   MID BRAIN   MID BRAIN   HIND BRAIN   EYE

Primary human macrophages
Zebrafish macrophages
Blood vessels
100 μm

Primary human macrophages
Zebrafish neutrophils
Blood vessels
100 μm

C   37°C 5 Days post H-MCSF

1 dpi   3dpf   7 dpi   9dpf   1 dpi   3dpf   7 dpi   9dpf

HIND BRAIN   FORE BRAIN   MID BRAIN   EYE   MID BRAIN   EYE   MID BRAIN   EYE

Primary human macrophages
Zebrafish macrophages
Blood vessels
100 μm

Primary human macrophages
Zebrafish neutrophils
Blood vessels
100 μm