Long title: Human demographic history has amplified the effects of background selection across the genome

Short title: Background selection and demography in humans

Raul Torres[1], Zachary A. Szpiech[2], and Ryan D. Hernandez[2,3,4,5*]

[1] Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States of America

[2] Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States of America

[3] Institute for Human Genetics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States of America

[4] Institute for Computational Health Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States of America

[5] Quantitative Biosciences Institute, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States of America

* Corresponding author

E-mail: ryan.hernandez@ucsf.edu (RDH)

Abstract

Natural populations often grow, shrink, and migrate over time. Demographic processes such as these can impact genome-wide levels of genetic diversity. In addition, genetic variation in functional regions of the genome can be altered by natural selection, which drives adaptive mutations to higher frequencies or purges deleterious ones. Such selective processes impact not only the sites directly under selection but also nearby neutral variation through genetic linkage through processes referred to as genetic hitchhiking in the context of positive selection and background selection (BGS) in the context of purifying selection. While there is extensive literature examining the impact of selection at linked sites at demographic equilibrium, less is known about how non-equilibrium demographic processes impact the effects of hitchhiking and BGS. Utilizing a global sample of human whole-genome sequences from the Thousand Genomes Project and extensive simulations, we investigate how non-equilibrium demographic processes magnify and dampen the consequences of selection at linked sites across the human genome. When binning the genome by inferred strength of BGS, we observe that, compared to Africans, non-African populations have experienced larger proportional decreases in neutral genetic diversity in such regions. We replicate these findings in admixed populations by showing that non-African ancestral components of the genome have also been impacted more severely in these regions. We attribute these differences to the strong, sustained/recurrent population bottlenecks that non-Africans experienced as they migrated out of Africa and throughout the globe. Furthermore, we observe a strong correlation between F_{ST} and inferred strength of BGS, suggesting a stronger rate of genetic drift. Forward simulations of human demographic history with a model of BGS

support these observations. Our results show that non-equilibrium demography signifi-

cantly alters the consequences selection at linked sites and support the need for more

work investigating the dynamic process of multiple evolutionary forces operating in con-

cert.

Author summary

Patterns of genetic diversity within a species are affected at broad and fine

scales by population size changes ("demography") and natural selection. From both

population genetics theory and observation of genomic sequence data, it is known that

demography can alter genome-wide average neutral genetic diversity. Additionally, nat-

ural selection can affect neutral genetic diversity regionally across the genome via se-

lection at linked sites. During this process, natural selection acting on adaptive or dele-

terious variants in the genome will also impact diversity at nearby neutral sites due to

genetic linkage. However, less is well known about the dynamic changes to diversity

that occur in regions impacted by selection at linked sites when a population undergoes

a size change. We characterize these dynamic changes using thousands of human ge-

nomes and find that the population size changes experienced by humans have shaped

the consequences of linked selection across the genome. In particular, population con-

tractions, such as those experienced by non-Africans, have disproportionately de-

creased neutral diversity in regions of the genome inferred to be under strong back-

ground selection (i.e., selection at linked sites that is caused by natural selection acting

on deleterious variants), resulting in large differences between African and non-African

populations.

Introduction

Genetic diversity in a species is determined through the complex interplay of mu-

tation, demography, genetic drift, and natural selection. These evolutionary forces oper-

ate in concert to shape patterns of diversity at both the local scale and genome-wide

scale. For example, in recombining species, levels of genetic diversity are distributed

heterogeneously across the genome as peaks and valleys that are often correlated with

recombination rate and generated by past or ongoing events of natural selection [1].

But at the genome-wide scale, average levels of genetic diversity are primarily impacted

by population size changes, yielding patterns of diversity that are a function of a popula-

tion's demographic history [2]. These patterns of diversity may also yield information for

inferring past events of natural selection and population history, giving valuable insight

into how populations have evolved over time [3–8]. With recent advances in sequencing

technology yielding whole-genome data from thousands of individuals from species with

complex evolutionary histories [9,10], formal inquiry into the interplay of demography

and natural selection and testing whether demographic effects act uniformly across the

genome as a function of natural selection is now possible.

In the past decade, population genetic studies have shed light on the pervasive-

ness of dynamic population histories in shaping overall levels of genetic diversity across

different biological species. For example, multiple populations have experienced major

population bottlenecks and founder events that have resulted in decreased levels of ge-

nome-wide diversity. Evidence for population bottlenecks exists in domesticated species

such as cattle [11], dogs [12], and rice [13], and in natural populations such as *Drosoph-*

ila melanogaster [14–16], rhesus macaque [17], and humans [18,19]. Notably, popula-

tion bottlenecks leave long lasting signatures of decreased diversity, which may be de-

pressed even after a population has recovered to or surpassed its ancestral size

[20,21]. Such examples are evident in humans, where non-African populations exhibit a

lower amount of genetic diversity compared to Africans [9], despite the fact that they

have been inferred to have undergone a greater population expansion in recent times

[22,23].

Locally (i.e., regionally) across the genome, the action of natural selection can

also lead to distinct signatures of decreased genetic diversity (although some forms of

selection, such as balancing selection, can increase genetic diversity [24]). For exam-

ple, mutations with functional effects may be removed from the population due to purify-

ing selection or fix due to positive selection, thereby resulting in the elimination of genet-

ic diversity at the site. But while sites under direct natural selection in the genome rep-

resent only a small fraction of all sites genome-wide, the action of natural selection on

these selected sites can have far-reaching effects across neutral sites in the genome

due to linkage. Under positive selection, genetic hitchhiking [25] causes variants lying

on the same haplotype as the selected allele to rise to high frequency during the selec-

tion process (note that we will use the term "genetic hitchhiking" here only in the positive

selection context of selection at linked sites). Conversely, under purifying selection,

background selection (BGS) [26] causes linked neutral variants to decrease in frequen-

cy or be removed from the population. Both of these processes of selection at linked

sites result in decreased neutral genetic diversity around the selected site. Recombina-

tion can decouple neutral sites from selected sites in both cases and neutral diversity

tends to increase toward its neutral expectation as genetic distance from selected sites

increases [27].

Evidence for genetic hitchhiking and BGS has been obtained from the genomes

of several species, including *Drosophila melanogaster* [28–33], wild and domesticated

rice [34,35], nematodes [36,37], humans [3,6,38–42], and others (see [1] for a review).

While the relative contributions of genetic hitchhiking and BGS to shaping patterns of

human genomic diversity have been actively debated [40,43–45], the data strongly sup-

port a large role for BGS in shaping genome-wide patterns of neutral genetic variation

[41,42]. Indeed, recent arguments have been made in favor of BGS being treated as the

null model when investigating the impact of selection at linked sites across recombining

genomes [1,32,45–48], with one study in humans showing that BGS has decreased ge-

netic diversity by 19-26% if other modes of selection at linked sites are assumed to be

minor [6].

Although the effects of selection at linked sites across the genome have been

described in a multitude of studies, it is still less obvious whether populations that have

experienced different demographic histories, such as African and non-African human

populations, should exhibit similar relative effects in those regions. In the context of

BGS, early work resulted in the expression $\pi \approx 4f_0 N_e \mu$ [26], which suggests that the ex-

pected level of diversity with BGS is simply proportional to the neutral expectation (with

proportionality constant f_0 being a function of the rates of deleterious mutation and re-

combination). Importantly, while populations with different N_e are expected to have dif-

ferent levels of π in the context of BGS, the proportionality constant f_0 is assumed to be

independent of population history (so long as the recombination landscape and delete-

rious mutation rates remain constant). Much of the theory developed in the context of

BGS has been developed under the assumption that the population is at demographic

equilibrium, and recent work has demonstrated that this assumption likely holds under

changing demography if selection is strong enough (or populations are large enough)

such that mutation-selection balance is maintained [49,50]. However, strong, sustained

population bottlenecks may lead to violations of that assumption. Thus, in humans and

other natural populations experiencing non-equilibrium demography, genetic drift may

perturb genetic diversity at neutral sites under BGS that are unaccounted for in these

models.

While little attention has been given to the potential consequences of demogra-

phy on patterns of neutral variation in regions experiencing selection at linked sites (but

see [51,52] for how selection at linked sites may impact the inference of demography

itself), recent studies have suggested that alleles directly under natural selection experi-

ence non-linear dynamics in the context of non-equilibrium demography. For the case of

purifying selection, the equilibrium frequency of an allele is dependent on its fitness ef-

fect, with deleterious alleles having lower equilibrium frequencies than neutral alleles.

After a population size change, deleterious alleles tend to change frequency faster than

neutral alleles, allowing them to reach their new equilibrium frequency at a faster rate

[53,54]. This can result in relative differences in deleterious allele frequencies among

populations with different demographic histories. Such effects are especially apparent in

populations suffering bottlenecks [55] and have been tested and observed between dif-

ferent human populations with founder populations exhibiting a greater proportion of

non-synonymous variation relative to synonymous variation [56–58]. We hypothesized

that these non-equilibrium dynamics could also perturb nearby neutral variants due to

the effects of selection at linked sites. In support of our hypothesis, a recent simulation

study modeling *Drosophila* observed that population bottlenecks can result in different

rates of recovery of neutral genetic diversity depending on the strength of BGS [48].

Another recent study [59] analyzed neutral diversity surrounding putatively deleterious

loci in domesticated versus wild maize. They found that the extreme domestication bot-

tleneck reduced the efficiency of purifying selection, which has resulted in higher rela-

tive diversity in the domesticated population compared to the wild population (which has

likely experienced a much more stable demographic history). Together, these studies

provide further evidence that non-equilibrium demography should have a strong effect

on patterns of diversity in the presence of selection at linked sites.

To investigate the impact of non-equilibrium dynamics in regions experiencing

selection at linked sites, we measure patterns of average pairwise neutral genetic diver-

sity (π), or neutral heterozygosity if the population was admixed, as a function of the

strength of BGS, *B* (inferred by Ref. [6]), within a global set of human populations from

phase 3 of the Thousand Genomes Project (TGP) [9]. We focus on the ratio of neutral

diversity in regions of strong BGS (low *B*) to regions of weak BGS (high *B*, the closest

proxy available for neutral variation in humans), which we term "relative diversity." While

there are many caveats that may plague the direct interpretation of specific *B* values

(e.g., positive selection is not modeled, the distribution of fitness effects are inconsistent

with other studies, and the deleterious mutation rate exceeds the per base pair mutation

rate of other studies), we argue below that *B* scores nevertheless provide a decent

proxy for ranking sites from most closely linked to deleterious loci (low *B*) to most un-

linked from deleterious loci (high *B*) in humans.

We find substantial differences in relative diversity between populations, which

we attribute to their non-equilibrium demographics. We confirm that the interplay of de-

mography and selection at linked sites can explain the differences of relative diversity

across human populations with simulations incorporating a parametric demographic

model of human history [7] with and without a model of BGS. We also investigate how

genetic differentiation between TGP populations (as measured by F_{ST}) is shaped by se-

lection at linked sites by measuring F_{ST} as a function of *B*. Finally, we demonstrate that

back migration from Europeans and Asians into Africa re-introduces sufficient deleteri-

ous variation to impact patterns of BGS, leading to decreased relative diversity in Afri-

cans. Our results demonstrate the strong impact that changing demography has on per-

turbing levels of diversity in regions experiencing selection at linked sites and have im-

plications for population genetic studies seeking to characterize linked selection across

any species or population that is not at demographic equilibrium.

Results

Differential impact of selection at linked sites across human populations

We measured mean pairwise genetic diversity (π) in the autosomes (we ignore

the sex chromosomes and the mitochondrial genome for all analyses) among the 20

non-admixed populations from the phase 3 TGP data set, consisting of 5 populations

each from 4 continental groups: Africa (AFR), Europe (EUR), South Asia (SASN), and

East Asia (EASN; population labels and groupings reported in S12 Table in Supporting

information). A set of stringent filters, including the masking of sites inferred to be under

selective sweeps, were first applied to all 20 populations to identify a high-quality set of

putatively neutral sites in the genome (see Materials and Methods). Sites were then di-

vided into quantile bins based on B [6]. For our initial set of analyses, we focused on the

bins corresponding to the 1% of sites inferred to be under the strongest amount of BGS

(i.e., sites having the lowest inferred B values) and the 1% of sites inferred to be under

the weakest amount BGS (i.e., sites having the highest inferred B values). Mean diversi-

ty was normalized by divergence with rhesus macaque within these bins for each popu-

lation and is shown in Figs 1A-B. As expected, normalized diversity was highest in Afri-

can populations and lowest in East Asian populations across both 1% B quantile bins.

To estimate the impact that selection at linked sites has had on neutral diversity,

we calculated a statistic called "relative diversity" (analogous to π/π_0 in the BGS litera-

ture; [26,60]) for each population. We define relative diversity as the ratio of normalized

diversity in the lowest 1% B bin to normalized diversity in the highest 1% B bin, which

should capture the relative impact of selection at linked sites within the genome. Fig 1C

shows that relative diversity was lower in non-African populations (0.348-0.365 for non-

Africans, 0.396-0.408 for Africans), suggesting that these populations have experienced

a greater reduction in diversity due to selection at linked sites than can be explained by

demography alone.

To characterize these effects across a broader distribution of sites experiencing

selection at linked sites, we grouped populations together according to their continental

group (i.e., African, European, South Asian, and East Asian, see S12 Table in Support-

ing information for a detailed description) and estimated relative diversity at neutral sites

for each of the continental groups in bins corresponding to the lowest 1%, 5%, 10%,

and 25% quantiles of B (note these partitions were not disjoint). As expected, relative

diversity increased for all continental groups as the bins became more inclusive (Fig

2B), reflecting a reduced impact on the reduction of diversity due to selection at linked

sites. We also observed that non-African continental groups consistently had a lower

relative diversity compared to African groups, demonstrating that the patterns we ob-

served in the most extreme regions experiencing selection at linked sites also held for

broader regions. Interestingly, we observed a consistent trend of rank order for relative

diversity between the different continental groups for each quantile bin, with the East

Asian group experiencing the greatest reduction of relative diversity, followed by the

South Asian, European, and African groups. This result suggested a stronger effect for

demography on the diversity-reducing effect selection at linked sites for those popula-

tions experiencing the strongest bottlenecks. However, the observed differences in rela-

tive diversity between non-African and African continental groups became less pro-

nounced as the bins became more inclusive (Fig 2B). These effects remained even af-

ter we controlled for the effects of GC-biased gene conversion and recombination

hotspots (S2 and S4 Figs) or if we did not normalize diversity by divergence (S3 and S5

Figs). Patterns of relative diversity in regions of local ancestry (i.e., African, European,

or Native American) across admixed TGP populations also largely recapitulated the pat-

terns observed in their continental group counterparts across B quantile bins, with the

largest reductions in relative diversity occurring for the Native American and European

ancestral segments (S11 Fig, S1 Supporting information).

To test if demography has impacted selection at linked sites more recently in

time, we also calculated the number of singletons observed per site (normalizing by di-

vergence and using the same set of neutral filters as was used for the calculations of π)

across the lowest and highest 1% B quantile bins. Singletons are, on average, the

youngest variants within the genome and should better capture signals about more re-

cent population history. We took the ratio of singletons observed per-site across these

extreme B quantile bins to create a statistic called relative singleton density, which we

term "ψ/ψ_0." We accounted for differences in population sample size by first projecting

down all populations to 2N=170 (Materials and methods). Qualitatively, our measure-

ments of ψ/ψ_0 showed patterns in the opposite direction of our calculations of π/π_0, with

Africans exhibiting a lower ratio of ψ/ψ_0 when compared to non-Africans (0.665-0.695

for Africans, 0.733-0.804 for non-Africans; Fig 3). These patterns suggest that the im-

pact of demography on regions experiencing selection at linked sites is transient, with

patterns of relative diversity between populations dependent on the time frame in which

they are captured (see Discussion).

Selection at linked sites has shaped patterns of population differentiation

We have shown that selection at linked sites increases the rate of drift at neutral

loci, and that this process is amplified by demographic changes like population bottle-

necks. One might then expect that selection could also amplify population differentiation

at linked neutral loci. This outcome is obvious in the context of hitchhiking (where linked

neutral loci sweep to high frequency) but is also expected with BGS [61,62], since de-

creases in diversity can accelerate differentiation. Here we quantify the magnitude of

the effect of BGS on population differentiation in humans, and find that population dif-

ferentiation at neutral loci is indeed highly correlated with B (the inferred strength of

BGS; Fig 4 and Table 1). Specifically, we divided the genome into 2% quantile bins

based on the genome-wide distribution of B and measured F_{ST} in each bin for all pairs

of populations from different continental groups [63]. We then performed simple linear

regression using B as an explanatory variable and F_{ST} as our dependent variable with

the linear model $F_{ST} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 B + \varepsilon$. We found that across all 150 population compari-

sons (i.e., the "Global" estimate in Table 1), B explained 26.9% of the change in F_{ST}

across the most extreme B values, was robust to outliers [64] (S6 Table in Supporting

information), and dominated the effects of local recombination rate (see Supporting in-

formation).

Table 1. Regression coefficient estimates for linear regression of F_{ST} on 2% quan-
tile bins of B.

	AFR vs. EASN	AFR vs. EUR	AFR vs. SASN	EUR vs. SASN	EUR vs. EASN	SASN vs. EASN	Global
β_0 ± SEM (*p*)	0.2044 ± 0.0039 (< 1e-04)	0.1716 ± 0.0031 (< 1e-04)	0.1596 ± 0.0029 (< 1e-04)	0.0455 ± 0.0011 (< 1e-04)	0.1216 ± 0.0029 (< 1e-04)	0.0903 ± 0.0023 (< 1e-04)	0.1322 ± 0.0019 (< 1e-04)
β_1 ± SEM (*p*)	-0.0434 ± 0.0046 (< 1e-04)	-0.0358 ± 0.0037 (< 1e-04)	-0.0355 ± 0.0034 (< 1e-04)	-0.0098 ± 0.0013 (< 1e-04)	-0.0173 ± 0.0035 (< 1e-04)	-0.0261 ± 0.0027 (< 1e-04)	-0.0280 ± 0.0022 (< 1e-04)
r ± SEM	-0.8363 ± 0.0295	-0.7441 ± 0.0362	-0.7794 ± 0.0332	-0.3847 ± 0.0414	-0.6220 ± 0.0785	-0.5968 ± 0.0348	-0.1292 ± 0.0098

265
The first two rows give the regression coefficients for the linear model $F_{ST} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 B +$
ε, where B represents the mean background selection coefficient for the bin being test-
ed and F_{ST} is the estimated F_{ST} for all population comparisons within a particular pair of
continental groups (given in the column header). The final column, "Global", gives the
regression coefficients for the linear model applied to all pairwise population compari-
sons (150 total). The correlation coefficient, *r*, between B and F_{ST} for each comparison
is shown in the bottom row. Standard errors of the mean (SEM) for β_0, β_1, and *r* were
calculated from 1,000 bootstrap iterations (see Materials and Methods). P-values are
derived from a two-sided t-test of the t-value for the corresponding regression coeffi-
cient.
276

Demographic inference in putatively neutral regions of the genome

One consequence of BGS and hitchhiking in driving patterns of neutral variation

within and between human populations is that demographic inference could be substan-

tially biased [51,52,65]. To assess the degree of bias in the context of human data, we

fit a 13-parameter demographic model of African, European, and East Asian demogra-

phy using only putatively neutral regions of the genome under the weakest effects of

selection at linked sites ($B \geq 0.994$) from a subset of TGP individuals with high coverage

whole genome sequence data (see Materials and Methods). Our demographic model

followed that of Gutenkunst et al. [7], with an ancient human expansion in Africa and a

single out-of-Africa bottleneck followed by European- and East Asian-specific bottle-

necks, as well as exponential growth in both non-African populations and migration be-

tween all populations. To make comparisons to previous studies that have used se-

quence data from coding regions or genes [7,22,23], which may be under strong BGS

or hitchhiking effects, we also inferred demographic parameters using coding four-fold

degenerate synonymous sites. Our inferred parameters for human demography were

strikingly different between the two sets of sequence data (S1 Fig, S1 Table in Support-

ing information). Notably, inferred effective population size parameters were larger for

contemporary population sizes when using four-fold degenerate synonymous sites ver-

sus ascertained neutral regions with $B \geq 0.994$, with N_e inferred to be 22%, 23%, and

29% larger for AFR, EUR, and EASN populations, respectively. This is despite the fact

that the ancestral N_e was inferred to be lower for four-fold degenerate synonymous sites

(N_e = 18,449 and 17,118, for neutral regions with $B \geq 0.994$ and four-fold degenerate

sites, respectively). This result may stem from the expected decrease in N_e going into

the past in regions of strong BGS, which can lead to inflated estimates of recent popula-

tion growth [52] and has also been shown in simulation studies of synonymous sites

under BGS [65]. Put more simply, the skew of the site-frequency spectrum towards rare

variants in regions experiencing selection at linked sites mimics a population expansion,

thus leading to erroneous inference.

Simulations confirm that demographic effects can impact background selection

Using the demographic parameters inferred from neutral regions where $B \geq$

0.994, we simulated patterns of neutral diversity with and without the effects BGS (see

Materials and Methods). To measure the relative impact of BGS for each population, we

then took the ratio of neutral diversity from BGS simulations (π) and neutral diversity

from simulations without BGS (π_0) to calculate relative diversity (π/π_0). As expected, we

found that BGS reduced relative diversity ($\pi/\pi_0 < 1$) for all three populations in our simu-

lations. However, non-African populations experienced a proportionally larger decrease

in π/π_0 compared to the African population ($\pi/\pi_0 = 0.43, 0.42, 0.41$ in AFR, EUR, and

EASN respectively). These results are comparable to (but not quite as extreme as) the

effects we observed in the regions of the genome with the strongest effects of BGS for

these population groups (Fig 1C). To understand how this dynamic process occurs, we

sampled all simulated populations every 100 generations through time to observe the

effect of population size change on π, π_0, and the ratio π/π_0 (Fig 5). We observed that

there is a distinct drop in π and π_0 at each population bottleneck experienced by non-

Africans, with East Asians (who had a more severe bottleneck) experiencing a larger

drop than Europeans. Fig 5C shows that the population bottlenecks experienced by

non-African populations also reduces π/π_0. Surprisingly, Africans also experienced a

large drop in π/π_0 (but less than non-Africans) even though they did not experience any

bottlenecks. This was attributable to migration between non-Africans and Africans and

this pattern disappeared when we ran simulations using an identical demographic mod-

el with BGS but without migration between populations (S7 Fig). This finding highlights

an evolutionary role that deleterious alleles can play when they are transferred across

populations through migration (see Discussion).

We also calculated ψ/ψ_0 across these simulations and again qualitatively ob-

served patterns similar to, but not as extreme, as our empirical calculations of ψ/ψ_0. As

with pairwise neutral diversity, BGS predictably decreased the density of singletons

across all populations (S12 Fig A). However, Africans exhibited a lower ψ/ψ_0 when

compared to non-Africans (ψ/ψ_0 = 0.79, 0.89, 0.91 in AFR, EUR, and EASN respective-

ly). Calculating ψ and ψ_0 through time showed that the population bottlenecks experi-

enced by non-Africans led to strong decreases in both ψ and ψ_0, with recent expansion

in these populations then leading to large, rapid recoveries. Strong decreases in ψ/ψ_0

after each population bottleneck were also observed, including a slight decrease in ψ/ψ_0

in Africans that disappeared in the simulations without migration (S12 Fig B). While ψ/ψ_0

for the European/East Asian ancestral population in the simulations with migration re-

mained below that of Africans during the course of the Out-of-Africa bottleneck, we ob-

served a rapid recovery in ψ/ψ_0 for this population in the simulations without migration

(compare bottoms panels, S12 Fig A and B). This suggests that for populations experi-

encing a sustained population bottleneck, the response of singletons to changes in the

weakened intensity of BGS is quite rapid, especially when compared to patterns of π/π_0

(compare Fig S7 C to Fig S12 B bottom panel). However, population migration mitigates

this pattern. However, regardless of whether migration between populations was simu-

lated, BGS seemed to have little effect on singleton density recovery in Europeans and

Asians once population expansion occurred.

Our simulations were based on the functional density found in a 2 Mb region of

the human genome with the lowest *B* values and, thus, where BGS was inferred to be

strongest (chr3: 48,600,000-50,600,000). There, 20.46% of sites were either coding or

conserved non-coding (see Materials and Methods). Thus, the fraction of the genome

experiencing deleterious mutation in our simulations of strong BGS was 0.2046. The

patterns we observed in these simulations likely represent an upper bound on the

strength of BGS in the human genome. Since the strength of BGS is dependent upon

the density of sites experiencing deleterious mutation within a given region (or more

formally, *U*, which is the product of the per-site deleterious mutation rate and the num-

ber of sites experiencing deleterious mutation [66]), we simulated weaker effects of

BGS by reducing the fraction of sites experiencing purifying selection (keeping the dis-

tribution of selective effects constant, see Materials and Methods). When the fraction of

sites experiencing selection was decreased 2-4 fold in our simulations, we continued to

observe a stepwise decrease in π/π_0 while maintaining the specific rank order of African,

followed by European, and then East Asian populations (S8 Fig). As expected, π/π_0 in-

creased for all populations as the fraction of sites that were simulated as deleterious

decreased (π/π_0 = 0.641 vs. 0.802, 0.62 vs. 0.777, and 0.611 vs. 0.777 for AFR, EUR,

and EASN when the fraction of sites experiencing selection was reduced to 0.1023 and

0.05115, respectively). These simulations resulted in π/π_0 values much larger than we

observed in data (Figs 1C, 2B).

Discussion

In our analyses of thousands of genomes from globally distributed human popu-

lations, we have confirmed that the processes of demography and selection at linked

sites govern neutral variation across the genome. While this observation is not unex-

pected, we have characterized the dynamic consequence of non-equilibrium demo-

graphic processes in regions experiencing selection at linked sites in humans. We find

that demography (particularly population bottlenecks) can amplify the consequences of

selection at linked sites. To remove any possible biases that would influence our results,

we controlled for functional effects of mutations, variability in mutation along the ge-

nome, potential sequencing artifacts, GC-based gene conversion, the potential muta-

genic effects of recombination hotspots, and also removed regions of the genome with

signatures of positive selection. None of these factors qualitatively affected our results.

We do recognize that one caveat of our controls is the fact that divergence itself

is not independent of BGS [67], and this may present biases when using divergence to

control for variation in mutation rate along the genome. This is because the rate of coa-

lescence in the ancestral population of two groups will be faster in regions of strong

BGS compared to regions of weak BGS due to the lower N_e of the former, leading to a

decrease in overall divergence in those regions. To limit the contribution of such biases

in ancestral N_e to divergence, we use rhesus macaque since it is more distantly related

to humans than other primate species such as orangutan or chimpanzee (human-

rhesus divergence: 29.6 MYA; [68]). Furthermore, if *B* truly captures the effects of BGS,

then normalizing by the lower divergence that is characteristic of strong BGS bins and

the higher divergence that is characteristic of weak BGS bins should make any differ-

ences between the two smaller, not greater. In fact, for our calculations of relative diver-

sity in which we skip the normalization step, the differences in diversity between the

lowest 1% and highest 1% *B* value bins are greater and give a lower ratio of relative di-

versity (π/π_0 for AFR is 0.373 without the divergence step and 0.402 with the divergence

step). A similar pattern is also observed for other continental groups (compare Fig 2 and

S5 Fig). More importantly though, we should not expect the potential biases of our di-

vergence step to contribute to the differences in relative diversity between each of the

continental groups since biases in divergence estimates across the genome are based

on the human reference sequence and are therefore identical across all human popula-

tions.

We also note that the estimates of *B* by McVicker et al. [6] may be biased by

model assumptions concerning mutation rates and the specific sites subject to purifying

selection, with the exact values of *B* unlikely to be precisely inferred. In fact, the *B* val-

ues provided by McVicker et al. range from 0 to 1, suggesting that some regions of the

genome should be essentially devoid of diversity (but we do not observe this to be the

case). Since our own analyses show that relative diversity has a lower bound at only

~0.35 in humans, the exact value of *B* itself should not be taken at face value. Rather,

our primary motivation for using *B* was to ascertain regions that should be on the ex-

treme ends of the genome-wide distribution of regions experiencing selection at linked

sites, for which *B* should provide a good assessment. A study by Comeron et al. [32]

that investigated BGS in *Drosophila* and utilized the same model of BGS as McVicker et

al. found that biases presented by model assumptions or mis-inference on the exact

value of *B* do not significantly change the overall rank order for the inferred strength of

BGS across the genome. Thus we, expect McVicker et al.'s inference of *B* to provide

good separation between the regions experiencing the weakest and strongest effects of

selection at linked sites within the human genome, with model misspecification unlikely

to change our empirical results.

While the effects of selection at linked sites in humans captured in our analyses

could in principle include the consequences of positive selection, such as soft-sweeps

and classic selective sweeps, we applied stringent filters to remove any such regions

before our analysis (Materials and methods, S1 Appendix). We therefore expect that

most of the resulting patterns we observe can be attributed to the consequences of

BGS. Recently, a joint model of classic selective sweeps and BGS was applied to *Dro-*

sophila and predicted that BGS has had a ~1.6 to 2.5-fold greater effect on neutral ge-

netic diversity than classic selective sweeps [33], with another study giving similar re-

sults [69]. We should expect this magnitude to be even greater for humans, since clas-

sic selective sweeps were found to be rare in recent human evolution [41] and adaptive

substitutions in the human genome are much less frequent than in *Drosophila* [5,70,71].

Nonetheless, we cannot rule out all contributions from hitchhiking to our results. In fact,

our own simulations of BGS fail to capture the complete effects of linked selection on

reducing π/π_0 in different human populations (compare Figs 1C and 5C) and the addi-

tional contribution of hitchhiking to humans, which we did not simulate, may explain this

discrepancy.

Non-equilibrium demography has also been of recent interest in regards to its

impact on patterns of deleterious variation across human populations (often referred to

as genetic load), with initial work showing that non-African populations have a greater

proportion of non-synonymous deleterious variants that are homozygous compared to

synonymous variants [56]. Similar results in human founder populations [57,72],

Arabadopsis [73], and domesticated species such as dogs [12] and sunflowers [74]

further demonstrate the pervasive impact that demography has on influencing the

relative amount of deleterious variation across a variety of populations and species.

Since BGS is a function of deleterious variation, it is not surprising that we also witness

differences in π/π_0 across human populations that have experienced different

demographic histories. These effects are likley ubiquitous across other species as well.

However, there has been recent contention about whether the previously described

patterns of increased deleterious variation are driven by a decrease in the efficacy of

natural selection (thus resulting in increased genetic load) or are solely artifacts of the

response of deleterious variation to demographic change [58,75–78]. Recently, Koch et

al. [55] investigated the temporal dynamics of demography on selected sites within

humans and observed that after a population contraction, heterozygosity at selected

sites can undershoot its expected value at equilibrium as low-frequency variants are lost

at a quicker rate before the recovery of intermediate frequency variants can occur. In

the context of both BGS and hitchhiking, which skew the site frequency spectrum of

linked neutral mutations towards rare variants [26,66,79,80], we also expect a transient

decrease in diversity as low-frequency variants are lost quickly during a population

contraction. Indeed, as evident from our simulations of BGS and demography,

immediatley after a population bottleneck, rapid losses in singleton density can occur,

leading to transient decreases in ψ/ψ_0. However, the recovery in singleton density is

also quite rapid, while the recovery in π and π/π_0 is quite slow. This is due to the fact that

higher frequency variants, which contribute a greater amount to π, take a longer amount

of time to recover after a population contraction compared to lower-frequency variants

such as singletons. However, Koch et al. also demonstrated that the effect of

demography on diversity is only temporary and that long-term diversity at selected sites

approaches greater values once equilibrium is reached. We also stress these temporal

effects on the patterns of relative neutral diversity that we observe here. In the context

of BGS, if no population recovery occurs following a contracation, deleterious variants

may behave more neutrally, weaking the overall effect of BGS and causing π/π_0 to

become larger than in the ancestral, pre-bottleneck population.

The temporal effects of non-equilbrium demographics on patterns of π/π_0 and

ψ/ψ_0 may also explain the conflicting results obtained in a similar study of selection at

linked sites in teosinte and its domesticated counterpart, maize [59]. In that study, the

authors observed that π/π_0 was higher in maize, which underwent a population bottle-

neck during domestication (no bottleneck event was inferred for the teosinte population)

but that ψ/ψ_0 was also lower. This result is contrary to what we observed qualitatively

between non-African and African human populations. However, the demographic mod-

els that have been inferred for maize and humans are quite different. Maize is inferred

to have had a recent, major domestication bottleneck that was essentially instantaneous

and followed by rapid exponential growth [59]. In contrast, demographic models for non-

African humans suggest a much more distant bottleneck that was sustained over a

longer period of time, and only recently have non-African populations experienced ram-

pant growth (coinciding with the advent of agriculture). Given the vastly different demo-

graphic models of the two species, it is therefore not surprising that patterns of variation

would be different.

The greater contemporary N_e of non-Africans could theoretically result in a great-

er efficacy of purifying selection and, consequently, a stronger efficacy of BGS, leading

to their observed lower π/π_0 relative to Africans. However, it is very unlikely that this

explains the observed patterns of relative diversity that we see. The greater contempo-

rary population size of non-Africans has transpired only in the very recent past, with ac-

celerated growth in Europeans occurring within the last few hundred generations

[23,81–84]. Rather, our simulations indicate that the response of π in regions under

BGS is driven by the ancient, sustained population contractions humans have experi-

enced, with reductions in π/π_0 occurring concomitantly with the out-of-Africa bottleneck

and European-East Asian split bottleneck events (Fig 5) and continuing even after the

European and East Asian expansion events. In addition, our empirical measurements of

ψ/ψ_0 demonstrate that in recent time, BGS has had a lower effect in non-Africans com-

pared to Africans. Our simulations further corroborate this result. The greater sensitivity

of regions under BGS to population contractions and accelerated genetic drift is a more

likely explanation. Broadly, our results show that contemporary patterns of neutral di-

versity cannot easily be attributable to contemporary forces of selection but instead may

be exhibiting signatures that are still dominated by older demographic events. Interest-

ingly though, our simulations reveal an additional factor that can influence the impact of

BGS within populations – migration between populations. We observe that the ex-

change of deleterious variants from populations that have experienced extensive bottle-

necks to populations with a more stable demography can magnify the strength of selec-

tion at linked sites. In particular, our simulations show that both π/π_0 and ψ/ψ_0 decrease

in Africans despite the fact that they are inferred to have been constant in size in their

recent evolutionary history (Fig 5B). These patterns disappear when migration is re-

moved (Fig S7, S12 Fig B), however more work is needed to definitively test this.

While we describe here the differential effects of non-equilibrium demography on

neutral diversity in regions under strong and weak BGS, it is worth mentioning that dif-

ferences in the reduction of neutral diversity in the genome between different popula-

tions have also been investigated at the level of entire chromosomes. In particular,

analyses of neutral diversity comparing autosomes to non-autosomes (i.e., sex chromo-

somes and the mitochondrial genome [mtDNA]) have been conducted. Interestingly,

these studies have shown that population contractions have impacted the relative re-

duction of neutral diversity between non-autosomes and autosomes in a similar fashion

to what we have observed between regions of strong BGS and weak BGS, with the

greatest losses occurring in bottlenecked populations. This was demonstrated in hu-

mans [85] and later modeled and shown in other species [86], with the explanation that

stronger genetic drift due to the lower N_e of non-autosomes causes diversity to be lost

more quickly in response to population size reductions. Recent work in humans has

confirmed such predictions by showing that relative losses of neutral diversity in the

non-autosomes are greatest for non-Africans [87–89]. These studies, plus others [90],

have also shown that there is strong evidence for a more dominant effect of linked se-

lection on the sex chromosomes relative to the autosomes in humans.

Since linked selection is a pervasive force in shaping patterns of diversity across

the genomes in a range of biological species [1], it has been provided as an argument

for why neutral diversity and estimates of N_e are relatively constrained across species in

spite of the large variance in census population sizes that exist [47,91]. However, since

population bottlenecks are common among species and have an inordinate influence on

N_e [20], demography has also been argued as a major culprit for constrained diversity

[2,91–93]. Yet, as we show in humans, it is likely that patterns of neutral diversity are in

fact jointly impacted by both of these forces, magnifying one another to deplete levels of

diversity beyond what is expected by either one independently. This may play an even

larger role in higher N_e species such as *Drosophila*, where the overall distribution of *B*

was inferred to be even smaller (i.e., exhibiting stronger BGS) than in humans [32]. In

our work, we also identify a potentially substantial role for migration from smaller popu-

lations that harbor more strongly deleterious alleles on patterns of linked neutral diversi-

ty in large populations. Together, these combined effects may help provide additional

clues for the puzzling lack of disparity in genetic diversity among different species [94].

Finally, our results also have implications for medical genetics research, since

selection may be acting on functional regions contributing to disease susceptibility.

Since different populations will have experienced different demographic histories, the

action of linked selection may result in disparate patterns of genetic variation (with ele-

vated levels of drift) near causal loci. Recent work has already demonstrated that BGS's

consequence of lowering diversity impacts power for disease association tests [95]. Our

results indicate that this impact may be even further exacerbated by demography in bot-

tlenecked populations, leading to potentially larger discrepancies in power between dif-

ferent populations. Overall, this should encourage further scrutiny for tests and SNP

panels optimized for one population since they may not be easily translatable to other

populations. It should also further motivate investigators to simultaneously account for

demography and linked selection when performing tests to uncover disease variants

within the genome [95–97].

Materials and methods

Data

2,504 samples from 26 populations in phase 3 of the Thousand Genomes Project

(TGP) [9] were downloaded from ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1000genomes/. vcftools

(v0.1.12a) [98] and custom python scripts were used to gather all bi-allelic SNP sites

from the autosomes of the entire sample set.

A subset of TGP samples that were sequenced to high coverage (~45X) by

Complete Genomics (CG) were downloaded from

ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1000genomes/. After filtering out related individuals via pedi-

gree analyses, we analyzed 53 YRI, 64 CEU, and 62 CHS samples (S2 Table). The

cgatools (v1.8.0) listvariants program was first used to gather all SNPs from the 179

samples using their CG ASM "Variations Files" (CG format version 2.2). Within each

population, the number of reference and alternate allele counts for each SNP was then

calculated using the cgatools testvariants program and custom python scripts. Only al-

lele counts across high quality sites (i.e., those classified as VQHIGH variant quality by

CG) were included. Low quality sites (i.e., those with VQLOW variant quality) were

treated as missing data. Only autosomes were kept. Non-bi-allelic SNPs and sites vio-

lating Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) (p-value < 0.05 with a Bonferroni correction

for multiple SNP testing) were also removed.

We collected 13 whole-genome sequenced KhoeSan samples (sequence-

coverage: 2.5-50X, see S3 Table in Supporting information) from 3 studies [99–101]

and used the processed vcf files from each of those respective studies to gather all bi-

allelic polymorphic SNPs (i.e., the union of variants across all vcf files). SNPs were only

retained if they were polymorphic within the 13 samples (i.e., sites called as alternate

only within the sample set were ignored).

Filtering and ascertainment scheme

Positions in the genome were annotated for background selection by using the

background selection coefficient, B, which was inferred by McVicker et al. [6] and down-

loaded from http://www.phrap.org/othersoftware.html. B was inferred by applying a clas-

sical model of BGS [60], which treats its effects as a simple reduction in N_e at neutral

sites as a function of their recombination distance from conserved loci, the strength of

purifying selection at those conserved loci, and the deleterious mutation rate. B can be

interpreted as the reduced fraction of neutral genetic diversity at a particular site along

the genome that is caused by BGS, with a value of 0 indicating a near complete remov-

al of neutral genetic diversity due to BGS and a B value of 1 indicating little to no effect

of BGS on neutral genetic diversity ($B = \pi/\pi_0 = N_e/N_0$). Positions for B were lifted over

from hg18 to hg19 using the UCSC liftOver tool. Sites that failed to uniquely map from

hg18 to hg19 or failed to uniquely map in the reciprocal direction were excluded. Sites

lacking a B value were also ignored. We focused our analyses on those regions of the

genome within the top 1%, 5%, 10%, and 25% of the genome-wide distribution of *B* and

within the bottom 1% of the genome-wide distribution of *B*. These quantiles correspond

to the *B* values 0.095, 0.317, 0.463, 0.691, and 0.994, respectively.

A set of 13 filters (referred to as the "13-filter set") were used to limit errors from

sequencing and misalignments with rhesus macaque and to remove regions potentially

under the direct effects of natural selection and putative selective sweeps (we ignore

the linked selection effects of background selection). These filters were applied to all

samples in phase 3 TGP (all filters are in build hg19) for all sets of analyses (see S4

Table in Supporting information for the total number of Mb that passed the described

filters below for each particular *B* quantile):

1. Coverage/exome: For phase 3 data, regions of the genome that were part of

the high coverage exome were excluded (see

ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1000genomes/ftp/technical/reference/exome_pull_do

wn_targets/20130108.exome.targets.bed.README). This was done to limit

biases due to differing levels of coverage across the genome and to remove

likely functional sites within the exome.

2. phyloP: Sites with phyloP [102] scores > 1.2 or < -1.2 were removed to limit

the effects of natural selection due to conservation or accelerated evolution.

Scores were downloaded from

http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/phyloP46way/.

3. phastCons: Regions in the UCSC conservation 46-way track (table:

phastCons46wayPlacental) [103] were removed to limit the effects of natural

selection due to conservation.

4. CpG: CpG islands in the UCSC CpG islands track were removed because of their potential role in gene regulation and/or being conserved.

5. ENCODE blacklist: Regions with high signal artifacts from next-generation sequencing experiments discovered during the ENCODE project [104] were removed.

6. Accessible genome mask: Regions not accessible to next-generation sequencing using short reads, according to the phase 3 TGP "strict" criteria, were removed (downloaded from ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1000genomes/ftp/release/20130502/supporting/acce ssible_genome_masks/StrictMask/).

7. Simple repeats: Regions in the UCSC simple repeats track were removed due to potential misalignments with outgroups and/or being under natural selection.

8. Gaps/centromeres/telomeres: Regions in the UCSC gap track were removed, including centromeres and telomeres.

9. Segmental duplications: Regions in the UCSC segmental dups track [105] were removed to limit potential effects of natural selection and/or misalignments with rhesus macaque.

10. Transposons: Active transposons (HERVK retrotransposons, the AluY subfamily of Alu elements, SVA elements, and L1Ta/L1pre-Ta LINEs) in the human genome were removed.

11. Recent positive selection: Regions inferred to be under hard and soft selective sweeps (using iHS and iHH12 regions from selscan v1.2.0 [106]; S1 Ap-

645   pendix) within each phase 3 population were removed.

646   12. Non-coding transcripts: Non-coding transcripts from the UCSC genes track

647   were removed to limit potential effects of natural selection.

648   13. Synteny: Regions that did not share conserved synteny with rhesus macaque

649   (rheMac2) from UCSC syntenic net filtering were removed (downloaded from

650   http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/vsRheMac2/syntenicNet/).

651 Additionally, an extra set of filters was applied, but only for those estimates of diversity

652 that controlled for GC-biased gene conversion and recombination hotspots:

653   14. GC-biased gene conversion (gBGC): Regions in UCSC phastBias track [107]

654   from UCSC genome browser were removed to limit regions inferred to be un-

655   der strong GC-biased gene conversion.

656   15. Recombination hotspots: All sites within 1.5 kb (i.e., 3 kb windows) of sites

657   with recombination rates $\geq$ 10 cM/Mb in the 1000G OMNI genetic maps for

658   non-admixed populations (downloaded from ftp://ftp-

659   trace.ncbi.nih.gov/1000genomes/ftp/technical/working/20130507_omni_reco

660   mbination_rates/) and the HapMap II genetic map [108] were removed. 1.5 kb

661   flanking regions surrounding the center of hotspots identified by Ref. [109]

662   (downloaded from

663   http://science.sciencemag.org/content/sci/suppl/2014/11/12/346.6211.125644

664   2.DC1/1256442_DatafileS1.txt) were also removed, except for the cases in

665   which the entire hotspot site was greater than 3 kb in length (in which case

666   just the hotspot was removed).

To generate a set of four-fold degenerate synonymous sites, all polymorphic

sites that we retained from the high-coverage Complete Genomic samples were anno-

tated using the program ANNOVAR [110] with Gencode V19 annotations. ANNOVAR

and Gencode V19 annotations were also used to gather an autosome-wide set of four-

fold degenerate sites, resulting in 5,188,972 total sites.

Demographic inference

The inference tool dadi (v1.6.3) [7] was used to fit, via maximum likelihood, the 3-

population 13-parameter demographic model of Gutenkunst et al. [7] to the 179 YRI,

CEU, and CHS samples from the high coverage CG dataset of TGP. This sample set

consisted of 53 YRI (African), 64 CEU (European), and 62 CHS (East Asian) samples.

The demographic model incorporates an ancient human expansion in Africa and a sin-

gle out-of-Africa bottleneck followed by European- and East Asian-specific bottlenecks,

as well as exponential growth in both non-African populations and migration between

populations. During the inference procedure, each population was projected down to

106 chromosomes, corresponding to the maximum number of chromosomes available

in the CG YRI population. Sites were polarized with chimpanzee to identify putative an-

cestral/derived alleles using the chain and netted alignments of hg19 with panTro4

(http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/vsPanTro4/axtNet/), and the correc-

tion for ancestral misidentification [111] option in dadi was used. The 13-filter set de-

scribed previously was applied to the CG data set, and an additional filter keeping only

the autosomal sites in the top 1% of B ($B \geq 0.994$) was also applied in order to mitigate

potential biases in inference due to BGS [52,65] or other forms of linked selection [51].

After site filtering and correction for ancestral misidentification, a total of 110,582 segre-

gating sites were utilized by dadi for the inference procedure. For optimization, grid

points of 120, 130, and 140 were used, and 15 independent optimization runs were

conducted from different initial parameter points to ensure convergence upon a global

optimum. An effective sequence length (L) of 7.15 Mb was calculated from the input se-

quence data after accounting for the fraction of total sites removed due to filtering. In

addition to the 13-filter set, this filtering included sites violating HWE, sites without B

value information, sites that did not have at least 106 sampled chromosomes in each

population, sites with more than two alleles, sites that did not have tri-nucleotide infor-

mation for the correction for ancestral misidentification step, and sites treated as miss-

ing data. For calculating the reference effective population size, a mutation rate (μ) of

1.66 x 10^{-8} (inferred from Ref. [112]) was used. Using the optimized θ from dadi after

parameter fitting, the equation $\theta = 4N_e\mu L$ was solved for N_e to generate the reference

effective population size, from which all other population N_e's were calculated. This

same procedure was also used to infer demographic parameters from four-fold degen-

erate synonymous sites across the same set of samples. After site filtering (note that B

and the 13-filter set were not included in the filtering step for four-fold degenerate syn-

onymous sites), 41,260 segregating sites were utilized by dadi for the inference proce-

dure, and an effective sequence length of 2.37 Mb was used for calculating the refer-

ence effective population size.

Simulations

Forward simulations incorporating the results from the demographic inference

procedure described above and a model of background selection were conducted using

SFS_CODE [113]. For the model of background selection, the recombination rate, ρ,

and the fraction of the genome experiencing deleterious mutation were calculated using

the 2 Mb region of chr3: 48,600,000-50,600,000, which has been subject to the strong-

est amount of BGS in the human genome (mean B = 0.002). A population-scaled re-

combination rate (ρ) of 6.0443 x 10^{-5} was calculated for this region using the HapMap II

GRCh37 genetic map [108]. For ascertaining the fraction of sites experiencing deleteri-

ous mutation, the number of non-coding "functional" sites in this region was first calcu-

lated by taking the union of all phastCons sites and phyloP sites with scores > 1.2 (indi-

cating conservation) that did not intersect with any coding exons. This amount totaled to

270,348 base pairs. Additionally, the number of coding sites was calculated by summing

all coding exons within this region from GENCODE v19, which totaled to 138,923 base

pairs. From these totals, the total fraction of deleterious sites, 0.2046, was generated.

The background selection model was simulated using a middle 30 kb neutral re-

gion flanked by two 1 Mb regions under purifying selection. From the calculated fraction

of deleterious sites described above, 20.46% of sites in the two 1 Mb flanking regions

were simulated as being deleterious. The mutation rate in our simulations for the delete-

rious sites and for neutral sites were both set to 1.66 x 10^{-8} [112]. Two distributions of

fitness effects were used for the deleterious sites, with 66.06% of deleterious sites using

the gamma distribution of fitness effects inferred across conserved non-coding regions

by Ref. [114] (β = 0.0415, α = 0.00515625) and 33.94% of deleterious sites using the

gamma distribution of fitness effects inferred across coding regions by Ref. [5] (β =

0.184, α = 0.00040244). The relative number of non-coding "functional" sites and coding

exons described above determined the relative number of sites receiving each distribu-

tion of fitness effects in our simulations. Gamma distribution parameters were scaled to

the ancestral population size of the demographic models used in Refs. [5,114]. An ex-

ample of the SFS_CODE command for our simulations is in S1 Supporting information.

To simulate varying levels of background selection strength, different total fractions of

our original calculated deleterious fraction of 0.2046 were used (i.e., 5%, 10%, 25%,

50%, and 100% of 0.2046). However, the same relative percentage of non-coding and

coding sites and mutation rate were used. These different simulated fractions of delete-

rious sites resulted in a reduced total deleterious mutation rate, U, which is the product

of the per-site deleterious mutation rate and the total number of sites experiencing dele-

terious mutation [66]. Thus, weaker effects of BGS were simulated. To simulate only the

effects of demography without background selection, only the 30 kb neutral region was

simulated. 2,000 independent simulations were conducted for each particular set of the

deleterious site fraction (2,000 x 6 = 12,000 total). Simulations output population genetic

information every 100 generations and also at each generation experiencing a popula-

tion size change (22,117 total generations were simulated), from which mean pairwise

nucleotide diversity (π) and singleton density (ψ) was calculated across the 2,000 simu-

lations.

Population-specific calculations of diversity and singleton density

Mean pairwise genetic diversity (π) and singleton density (ψ) was calculated as a

function of the B quantile bins described in "Filtering and ascertainment scheme" for

each of the 20 non-admixed populations in phase 3 TGP and, for π, across 4 broad

populations that grouped the 20 non-admixed populations together by continent (Afri-

can, European, South Asian, and East Asian, see S12 Table in Supporting information).

Additionally, only regions of the genome passing the 13-filter set were used in the calcu-

lations of π and ψ (see S4 Table in Supporting information for total number of Mb used

in diversity calculations for each *B* quantile). When calculating ψ for each non-admixed

phase 3 TGP population, the site-frequency spectrum was first projected down to 2N =

170 samples (the number of chromosomes in MSL, the smallest phase 3 population

sample) using a hypergeometric distribution [7] from each population's full site-

frequency spectrum. This allowed for unbiased comparisons of singleton density be-

tween all populations. For estimates of diversity controlling for gBGC or recombination

hotspots, the additional corresponding filters described in "Filtering and ascertainment

scheme" were also used. Only 100 kb regions of the genome with at least 10 kb of di-

vergence information with Rhesus macaque were used in π and ψ calculations (see

"Normalization of diversity and divergence calculations with Rhesus macaque" below).

Normalization of diversity/singleton density and divergence calculations with

Rhesus macaque

To calculate human divergence with Rhesus macaque, we downloaded the

syntenic net alignments between hg19 and rheMac2 that were generated by blastz from

http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenpath/hg19/vsRheMac2/syntenicNet/. We binned

the human genome into non-overlapping 100 kb bins and calculated divergence within

each bin by taking the proportion of base pair differences between human and Rhesus

macaque. Gaps between human and Rhesus macaque, positions lacking alignment in-

formation, and positions that did not pass the 13-filter set described in "Filtering and

ascertainment scheme" were ignored in the divergence estimate. Additionally, a sepa-

rate set of divergence estimates were also made using the additional set of filtering cri-

teria that removed those regions under gBGC or in recombination hotspots and were

used for normalizing diversity in those measurements that controlled for gBGC and

hotspots.

When normalizing diversity and singleton density by divergence, only 100 kb bins

that had at least 10 kb of divergence information were used (21,100 bins total for 13-

filter set, 20,935 bins total for the 13-filter set plus the additional gBGC and hotspot fil-

ters). Bins with less than 10 kb of divergence information were ignored. To make esti-

mates comparable, in those measurements of diversity that did not normalize by diver-

gence, diversity was still calculated using the same set of 100 kb bins that had at least

10 kb for estimating divergence.

Calculations of population differentiation (F_{ST}) and linear regression

F_{ST} calculations were performed as a function of B between every pair of non-

admixed phase 3 TGP populations not belonging to the same continental group (150

pairs total). We followed the recommendations in Bhatia et al. [63] to limit biases in F_{ST}

due to 1) type of estimator used, 2) averaging over SNPs, and 3) SNP ascertainment.

Specifically, we 1) used the Hudson-based F_{ST} estimator [115], 2) used a ratio of

averages for combining F_{ST} estimated across different SNPs, and 3) ascertained SNPs

based on being polymorphic in an outgroup (i.e., the KhoeSan). For ascertaining SNPs

in the KhoeSan, we also performed filtering according to the filtering scheme described

under "Filtering and ascertainment scheme." For a position to be considered

polymorphic in the KhoeSan, at least one alternate allele and one reference allele had

to be called across the 13 genomes we utilized (see "Data"). These criteria left

3,497,105 total sites in the genome in the phase 3 dataset for F_{ST} to be estimated

across.

F_{ST} was calculated across 2% quantile bins of B (based on the genome-wide

distribution of B) for all pairwise comparisons of populations between a specific pair of

continental groups (25 pairs total) or across all pairwise comparisons using all continen-

tal groups (150 pairs total). Simple linear regression was performed with the model F_{ST}

$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 B + \varepsilon$. The mean of the bounds defining each quantile bin was used when de-

fining the explanatory variables for the regression. Linear regression, robust linear re-

gression [64], and simple correlation were performed using the lm(), rlm(), and cor()

functions, respectively, in the R programming language (www. r-project.org). To gener-

ate standard errors of the mean, this same procedure was performed on F_{ST} results

generated from each of 1,000 bootstrapped iterations of the data.

Bootstrapping

Diversity Estimates. To control for the structure of linkage disequilibrium and correla-

tion between SNPs along the genome, we partitioned the human genome into non-

overlapping 100 kb bins (these bins were identical to the 100 kb bins used for estimat-

ing divergence) and calculated mean pairwise diversity (π) or heterozygosity within each

bin. We also normalized the diversity estimates by divergence within each bin. We then

bootstrapped individual genomes by sampling, with replacement, the 100 kb bins until

the number of sampled bins equaled the number of bins used for calculating the diversi-

ty point estimates (i.e., 21,100 bins or 20,935 bins total, depending on whether filters for

gBGC and hotspots were applied). 1,000 total bootstrap iterations were completed and

standard errors of the mean were calculated by taking the standard deviation from the

resulting bootstrap distribution.

F_{ST}. For bootstrapping F_{ST}, the human genome was partitioned into non-overlapping

100 kb bins and were sampled with replacement until 28,823 bins were selected (the

total number of non-overlapping 100 kb bins in the human autosomes). F_{ST} was then

calculated genome-wide for the bootstrapped genome as a function of B for every pair-

wise comparison of non-admixed phase 3 TGP populations not belonging to the same

continental group. 1,000 total bootstrap iterations were completed and standard errors

of the mean were calculated by taking the standard deviation from the F_{ST} distribution

calculated from all 1,000 iterations.

Acknowledgements

843 We thank Lawrence Uricchio, Dominic Tong, Melissa Spear, Nicolas Strauli and three

844 anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on the manuscript. The computations in this

845 paper were run on the QB3 Shared Cluster at the University of California, San Francis-

846 co.

References

1.   Cutter AD, Payseur BA. Genomic signatures of selection at linked sites: unifying
the disparity among species. Nat Rev Genet. 2013;14: 262–274.
doi:10.1038/nrg3425
2.   Ellegren H, Galtier N. Determinants of genetic diversity. Nat Rev Genet. 2016;17:
422–433. doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.58
3.   Sabeti PC, Reich DE, Higgins JM, Levine HZP, Richter DJ, Schaffner SF, et al.

855   Detecting recent positive selection in the human genome from haplotype
856   structure. Nature. 2002;419: 832–837. doi:10.1038/nature01027.1.

857   4.   Williamson SH, Hernandez R, Fledel-Alon A, Zhu L, Nielsen R, Bustamante CD.
858   Simultaneous inference of selection and population growth from patterns of
859   variation in the human genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2005;102: 7882–7887.
860   doi:10.1073/pnas.0502300102

861   5.   Boyko AR, Williamson SH, Indap AR, Degenhardt JD, Hernandez RD, Lohmueller
862   KE, et al. Assessing the evolutionary impact of amino acid mutations in the
863   human genome. PLoS Genet. 2008;4: e1000083.
864   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000083

865   6.   McVicker G, Gordon D, Davis C, Green P. Widespread genomic signatures of
866   natural selection in hominid evolution. PLoS Genet. 2009;5: e1000471.
867   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000471

868   7.   Gutenkunst RN, Hernandez RD, Williamson SH, Bustamante CD. Inferring the
869   joint demographic history of multiple populations from multidimensional SNP
870   frequency data. PLoS Genet. 2009;5: e1000695.
871   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000695

872   8.   Li H, Durbin R. Inference of human population history from individual whole-
873   genome sequences. Nature. 2011;475: 493–496. doi:10.1038/nature10231

874   9.   Auton A, Abecasis GR, Altshuler DM, Durbin RM, Abecasis GR, Bentley DR, et al.
875   A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature. 2015;526: 68–74.
876   doi:10.1038/nature15393

877   10.   Lack JB, Lange JD, Tang AD, Corbett-Detig RB, Pool JE. A thousand fly
878   genomes: An expanded Drosophila genome nexus. Mol Biol Evol. 2016;33: 3308–
879   3313. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw195

880   11.   Gibbs RA, Taylor JF, Van Tassell CP, Barendse W, Eversole KA, Gill CA, et al.
881   Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the genetic structure of cattle
882   breeds. Science. 2009;324: 528–532. doi:10.1126/science.1167936

883   12.   Marsden CD, Ortega-Del Vecchyo D, O'Brien DP, Taylor JF, Ramirez O, Vilà C,
884   et al. Bottlenecks and selective sweeps during domestication have increased
885   deleterious genetic variation in dogs. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2016;113: 152–157.
886   doi:10.1073/pnas.1512501113

887   13.   Caicedo AL, Williamson SH, Hernandez RD, Boyko A, Fledel-Alon A, York TL, et
888   al. Genome-wide patterns of nucleotide polymorphism in domesticated rice. PLoS
889   Genet. 2007;3: 1745–1756. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030163

890   14.   Begun DJ, Aquadro CF. African and North American populations of Drosophila
891   melanogaster are very different at the DNA level. Nature. 1993;365: 548–550.
892   doi:10.1038/365548a0

893   15.   Haddrill PR, Thornton KR, Charlesworth B, Andolfatto P. Multilocus patterns of
894   nucleotide variability and the demographic and selection history of Drosophila
895   melanogaster populations. Genome Res. 2005;15: 790–799.
896   doi:10.1101/gr.3541005

897   16.   Ometto L, Glinka S, De Lorenzo D, Stephan W. Inferring the effects of
898   demography and selection on Drosophila melanogaster populations from a
899   chromosome-wide scan of DNA variation. Mol Biol Evol. 2005;22: 2119–2130.
900   doi:10.1093/molbev/msi207

901   17.   Hernandez RD, Hubisz MJ, Wheeler DA, Smith DG, Ferguson B, Rogers J, et al.
902   Demographic histories and patterns of linkage disequilibrium in Chinese and
903   Indian rhesus macaques. Science. 2007;316: 240–243.
904   doi:10.1126/science.1140462

905   18.   Ramachandran S, Deshpande O, Roseman CC, Rosenberg NA, Feldman MW,
906   Cavalli-Sforza LL. Support from the relationship of genetic and geographic
907   distance in human populations for a serial founder effect originating in Africa. Proc
908   Natl Acad Sci. 2005;102: 15942–15947. doi:10.1073/pnas.0507611102

909   19.   Henn BM, Cavalli-Sforza LL, Feldman MW. The great human expansion. Proc
910   Natl Acad Sci. 2012;109: 17758–17764. doi:10.1073/pnas.1212380109

911   20.   Charlesworth B. Effective population size and patterns of molecular evolution and
912   variation. Nat Rev Genet. 2009;10: 195–205. doi:10.1038/nrg2526

913   21.   Nei M, Maruyama T, Chakraborty R. The bottleneck effect and genetic variability
914   in populations. Evolution (N Y). 1975;29: 1–10. doi:10.2307/2407137

915   22.   Gravel S, Henn BM, Gutenkunst RN, Indap AR, Marth GT, Clark AG, et al.
916   Demographic history and rare allele sharing among human populations. Proc Natl
917   Acad Sci. 2011;108: 11983–11988. doi:10.1073/pnas.1019276108

918   23.   Tennessen JA, Bigham AW, O'Connor TD, Fu W, Kenny EE, Gravel S, et al.
919   Evolution and functional impact of rare coding variation from deep sequencing of
920   human exomes. Science. 2012;337: 64–69. doi:10.1126/science.1219240

921   24.   Charlesworth D. Balancing selection and its effects on sequences in nearby
922   genome regions. PLoS Genet. 2006;2: 379–384.
923   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020064

924   25.   Maynard Smith J, Haigh J. The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene. Genet
925   Res. 1974;23: 23–35. doi:10.1017/S0016672308009579

926   26.   Charlesworth B, Morgan MT, Charlesworth D. The effect of deleterious mutations
927   on neutral molecular variation. Genetics. 1993;134: 1289–1303.

928   27.   Kim Y, Stephan W. Joint effects of genetic hitchhiking and background selection
929   on neutral variation. Genetics. 2000;155: 1415–1427.

930   28.   Begun DJ, Aquadro CF. Levels of naturally occurring DNA polymorphism
931   correlate with recombination rates in D. melanogaster. Nature. 1992;356: 519–
932   520. doi:10.1038/356519a0

933   29.   Charlesworth B. Background selection and patterns of genetic diversity in
934   Drosophila melanogaster. Genet Res. 1996;68: 131–149.
935   doi:10.1017/S0016672300034029

936   30.   Andolfatto P. Hitchhiking effects of recurrent beneficial amino acid substitutions in
937   the Drosophila melanogaster genome. Genome Res. 2007;17: 1755–1762.
938   doi:10.1101/gr.6691007

939   31.   Sella G, Petrov DA, Przeworski M, Andolfatto P. Pervasive natural selection in the
940   Drosophila genome? PLoS Genet. 2009;5: e1000495.
941   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000495

942   32.   Comeron JM. Background selection as baseline for nucleotide variation across
943   the drosophila genome. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004434.
944   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004434

945   33.   Elyashiv E, Sattath S, Hu TT, Strutsovsky A, McVicker G, Andolfatto P, et al. A
946   genomic map of the effects of linked selection in Drosophila. PLoS Genet.

2016;12: e1006130. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006130

34.   Flowers JM, Molina J, Rubinstein S, Huang P, Schaal BA, Purugganan MD.
Natural selection in gene-dense regions shapes the genomic pattern of
polymorphism in wild and domesticated rice. Mol Biol Evol. 2012;29: 675–687.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msr225

35.   Xu X, Liu X, Ge S, Jensen JD, Hu F, Li X, et al. Resequencing 50 accessions of
cultivated and wild rice yields markers for identifying agronomically important
genes. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30: 105–111. doi:10.1038/nbt.2050

36.   Andersen EC, Gerke JP, Shapiro JA, Crissman JR, Ghosh R, Bloom JS, et al.
Chromosome-scale selective sweeps shape Caenorhabditis elegans genomic
diversity. Nat Genet. 2012;44: 285–290. doi:10.1038/ng.1050

37.   Cutter AD, Payseur BA. Selection at linked sites in the partial selfer
Caenorhabditis elegans. Mol Biol Evol. 2003;20: 665–673.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msg072

38.   Reed FA, Akey JM, Aquadro CF. Fitting background-selection predictions to
levels of nucleotide variation and divergence along the human autosomes.
Genome Res. 2005;15: 1211–1221. doi:10.1101/gr.3413205

39.   Voight BF, Kudaravalli S, Wen X, Pritchard JK. A map of recent positive selection
in the human genome. PLoS Biol. 2006;4: 0446–0458.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0040072

40.   Cai JJ, Macpherson JM, Sella G, Petrov DA. Pervasive hitchhiking at coding and
regulatory sites in humans. PLoS Genet. 2009;5: e1000336.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000336

41.   Hernandez RD, Kelley JL, Elyashiv E, Melton SC, Auton A, McVean G, et al.
Classic selective sweeps were rare in recent human evolution. Science.
2011;331: 920–924. doi:10.1126/science.1198878

42.   Lohmueller KE, Albrechtsen A, Li Y, Kim SY, Korneliussen T, Vinckenbosch N, et
al. Natural selection affects multiple aspects of genetic variation at putatively
neutral sites across the human genome. PLoS Genet. 2011;7: e1002326.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002326

43.   Alves I, Šrámková Hanulová A, Foll M, Excoffier L. Genomic data reveal a
complex making of humans. PLoS Genet. 2012;8: e1002837.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002837

44.   Granka JM, Henn BM, Gignoux CR, Kidd JM, Bustamante CD, Feldman MW.
Limited evidence for classic selective sweeps in African populations. Genetics.
2012;192: 1049–1064. doi:10.1534/genetics.112.144071

45.   Enard D, Messer PW, Petrov DA. Genome-wide signals of positive selection in
human evolution. Genome Res. 2014;24: 885–895. doi:10.1101/gr.164822.113

46.   Bank C, Ewing GB, Ferrer-Admettla A, Foll M, Jensen JD. Thinking too positive?
Revisiting current methods of population genetic selection inference. Trends
Genet. 2014;30: 540–546. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2014.09.010

47.   Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl DL, Sackton TB. Natural selection constrains neutral
diversity across a wide range of species. PLoS Biol. 2015;13: e1002112.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002112

48.   Comeron JM. Background selection as null hypothesis in population genomics:
insights and challenges from Drosophila studies. Philos Trans R Soc B. 2017;372:

993   20160471. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0471

994 49. Zeng K. A coalescent model of background selection with recombination,
995   demography and variation in selection coefficients. Heredity (Edinb). 2013;110:
996   363–371. doi:10.1038/hdy.2012.102

997 50. Nicolaisen LE, Desai MM. Distortions in genealogies due to purifying selection
998   and recombination. Genetics. 2013;195: 221–230.
999   doi:10.1534/genetics.113.152983

1000 51. Schrider DR, Shanku AG, Kern AD. Effects of linked selective sweeps on
1001   demographic inference and model selection. Genetics. 2016;204: 1207–1223.
1002   doi:10.1534/genetics.116.190223

1003 52. Ewing GB, Jensen JD. The consequences of not accounting for background
1004   selection in demographic inference. Mol Ecol. 2016;25: 135–141.
1005   doi:10.1111/mec.13390

1006 53. Pennings PS, Kryazhimskiy S, Wakeley J. Loss and recovery of genetic diversity
1007   in adapting populations of HIV. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004000.
1008   doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004000

1009 54. Brandvain Y, Wright SI. The limits of natural selection in a nonequilibrium world.
1010   Trends Genet. 2016;32: 201–210. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2016.01.004

1011 55. Koch E, Novembre J. A temporal perspective on the interplay of demography and
1012   selection on deleterious variation in humans. G3. 2017;7: 1027–1037.
1013   doi:10.1534/g3.117.039651

1014 56. Lohmueller KE, Indap AR, Schmidt S, Boyko AR, Hernandez RD, Hubisz MJ, et
1015   al. Proportionally more deleterious genetic variation in European than in African
1016   populations. Nature. 2008;451: 994–997. doi:10.1038/nature06611

1017 57. Casals F, Hodgkinson A, Hussin J, Idaghdour Y, Bruat V, de Maillard T, et al.
1018   Whole-exome sequencing reveals a rapid change in the frequency of rare
1019   functional variants in a founding population of humans. PLoS Genet. 2013;9:
1020   e1003815. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003815

1021 58. Simons YB, Sella G. The impact of recent population history on the deleterious
1022   mutation load in humans and close evolutionary relatives. Curr Opin Genet Dev.
1023   2016;41: 150–158. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2016.09.006

1024 59. Beissinger TM, Wang L, Crosby K, Durvasula A, Hufford MB, Ross-Ibarra J.
1025   Recent demography drives changes in linked selection across the maize genome.
1026   Nat Plants. 2016;2: 16084. doi:10.1038/nplants.2016.84

1027 60. Nordborg M, Charlesworth B, Charlesworth D. The effect of recombination on
1028   background selection. Genet Res. 1996;67: 159–174.
1029   doi:10.1017/S0016672300033619

1030 61. Charlesworth B, Nordborg M, Charlesworth D. The effects of local selection,
1031   balanced polymorphism and background selection on equilibrium patterns of
1032   genetic diversity in subdivided populations. Genet Res. 1997;70: 155–174.
1033   doi:10.1017/S0016672397002954

1034 62. Hu XS, He F. Background selection and population differentiation. J Theor Biol.
1035   2005;235: 207–219. doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2005.01.004

1036 63. Bhatia G, Patterson N, Sankararaman S, Price AL. Estimating and interpreting
1037   FST: The impact of rare variants. Genome Res. 2013;23: 1514–1521.
1038   doi:10.1101/gr.154831.113

64. Yu C, Yao W. Robust linear regression: A review and comparison. Commun Stat -
Simul Comput. 2017;46: 6261–6282. doi:10.1080/03610918.2016.1202271
65. Messer PW, Petrov DA. Frequent adaptation and the McDonald-Kreitman test.
Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2013;110: 8615–8620. doi:10.1073/pnas.1220835110
66. Charlesworth B. The effects of deleterious mutations on evolution at linked sites.
Genetics. 2012;190: 5–22. doi:10.1534/genetics.111.134288
67. Phung TN, Huber CD, Lohmueller KE. Determining the effect of natural selection
on linked neutral divergence across species. PLoS Genet. 2016;12: e1006199.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006199
68. Burgess R, Yang Z. Estimation of hominoid ancestral population sizes under
Bayesian coalescent models incorporating mutation rate variation and sequencing
errors. Mol Biol Evol. 2008;25: 1979–1994. doi:10.1093/molbev/msn148
69. Campos JL, Zhao L, Charlesworth B. Estimating the parameters of background
selection and selective sweeps in Drosophila in the presence of gene conversion.
Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2017;114: E4762–E4771. doi:10.1073/pnas.1619434114
70. Eyre-Walker A, Keightley PD. Estimating the rate of adaptive molecular evolution
in the presence of slightly deleterious mutations and population size change. Mol
Biol Evol. 2009;26: 2097–2108. doi:10.1093/molbev/msp119
71. Galtier N. Adaptive protein evolution in animals and the effective population size
hypothesis. PLoS Genet. 2016;12: e1005774. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005774
72. Lim ET, Würtz P, Havulinna AS, Palta P, Tukiainen T, Rehnström K, et al.
Distribution and medical impact of loss-of-function variants in the Finnish founder
population. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004494. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004494
73. Cao J, Schneeberger K, Ossowski S, Günther T, Bender S, Fitz J, et al. Whole-
genome sequencing of multiple Arabidopsis thaliana populations. Nat Genet.
2011;43: 956–963. doi:10.1038/ng.911
74. Renaut S, Rieseberg LH. The accumulation of deleterious mutations as a
consequence of domestication and improvement in sunflowers and other
compositae crops. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32: 2273–2283.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msv106
75. Balick DJ, Do R, Cassa CA, Reich D, Sunyaev SR. Dominance of deleterious
alleles controls the response to a population bottleneck. PLoS Genet. 2015;11:
e1005436. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005436
76. Do R, Balick D, Li H, Adzhubei I, Sunyaev S, Reich D. No evidence that selection
has been less effective at removing deleterious mutations in Europeans than in
Africans. Nat Genet. 2015;47: 126–131. doi:10.1038/ng.3186
77. Simons YB, Turchin MC, Pritchard JK, Sella G. The deleterious mutation load is
insensitive to recent population history. Nat Genet. 2014;46: 220–224.
doi:10.1038/ng.2896
78. Gravel S. When is selection effective? Genetics. 2016;203: 451–462.
doi:10.1534/genetics.115.184630
79. Braverman JM, Hudson RR, Kaplan NL, Langley CH, Stephan W. The hitchhiking
effect on the site frequency spectrum of DNA polymorphisms. Genetics.
1995;140: 783–796.
80. Stephan W. Genetic hitchhiking versus background selection: the controversy and
its implications. Philos Trans R Soc B. 2010;365: 1245–1253.

doi:10.1098/rstb.2009.0278

81. Coventry A, Bull-Otterson LM, Liu X, Clark AG, Maxwell TJ, Crosby J, et al. Deep resequencing reveals excess rare recent variants consistent with explosive population growth. Nat Commun. 2010;1: 131. doi:10.1038/ncomms1130

82. Keinan A, Clark AG. Recent explosive human population growth has resulted in an excess of rare genetic variants. Science. 2012;336: 740–743. doi:10.1126/science.1217283

83. Nelson MR, Wegmann D, Ehm MG, Kessner D, St. Jean P, Verzilli C, et al. An abundance of rare functional variants in 202 drug target genes sequenced in 14,002 people. Science. 2012;337: 100–104. doi:10.1126/science.1217876

84. Gazave E, Ma L, Chang D, Coventry A, Gao F, Muzny D, et al. Neutral genomic regions refine models of recent rapid human population growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2014;111: 757–762. doi:10.1073/pnas.1310398110

85. Fay JC, Wu CI. A human population bottleneck can account for the discordance between patterns of mitochondrial versus nuclear DNA variation. Mol Biol Evol. 1999;16: 1003–1005. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026175

86. Pool JE, Nielsen R. Population size changes reshape genomic patterns of diversity. Evolution (N Y). 2007;61: 3001–3006. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00238.x

87. Gottipati S, Arbiza L, Siepel A, Clark AG, Keinan A. Analyses of X-linked and autosomal genetic variation in population-scale whole genome sequencing. Nat Genet. 2011;43: 741–743. doi:10.1038/ng.877

88. Arbiza L, Gottipati S, Siepel A, Keinan A. Contrasting X-linked and autosomal diversity across 14 human populations. Am J Hum Genet. 2014;94: 827–844. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.04.011

89. Wilson Sayres MA, Lohmueller KE, Nielsen R. Natural selection reduced diversity on human Y chromosomes. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004064. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004064

90. Hammer MF, Woerner AE, Mendez FL, Watkins JC, Cox MP, Wall JD. The ratio of human X chromosome to autosome diversity is positively correlated with genetic distance from genes. Nat Genet. 2010;42: 830–831. doi:10.1038/ng.651

91. Leffler EM, Bullaughey K, Matute DR, Meyer WK, Ségurel L, Venkat A, et al. Revisiting an old riddle: What determines genetic diversity levels within species? PLoS Biol. 2012;10: e1001388. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001388

92. Vucetich JA, Waite TA, Nunney L. Fluctuating population size and the ratio of effective to census population size. Evolution (N Y). 1997;51: 2017–2021. doi:10.2307/2411022

93. Coop G. Does linked selection explain the narrow range of genetic diversity across species? bioRxiv. 2016; doi:10.1101/042598

94. Lewontin RC. The genetic basis of evolutionary change. New York and London: Columbia University Press; 1974.

95. Uricchio LH, Torres R, Witte JS, Hernandez RD. Population genetic simulations of complex phenotypes with implications for rare variant association tests. Genet Epidemiol. 2015;39: 35–44. doi:10.1002/gepi.21866

96. Maher MC, Uricchio LH, Torgerson DG, Hernandez RD. Population genetics of rare variants and complex diseases. Hum Hered. 2012;74: 118–128.

doi:10.1159/000346826

97.   Uricchio LH, Zaitlen NA, Ye CJ, Witte JS, Hernandez RD. Selection and explosive
growth alter genetic architecture and hamper the detection of causal rare variants.
Genome Res. 2016;26: 863–873. doi:10.1101/gr.202440.115

98.   Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The
variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011;27: 2156–2158.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

99.   Henn BM, Botigué LR, Peischl S, Dupanloup I, Lipatov M, Maples BK, et al.
Distance from sub-Saharan Africa predicts mutational load in diverse human
genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2016;113: E440-449.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1510805112

100.   Kidd JM, Sharpton TJ, Bobo D, Norman PJ, Martin AR, Carpenter ML, et al.
Exome capture from saliva produces high quality genomic and metagenomic
data. BMC Genomics. 2014;15: 262. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-262

101.   Kim HL, Ratan A, Perry GH, Montenegro A, Miller W, Schuster SC. Khoisan
hunter-gatherers have been the largest population throughout most of modern-
human demographic history. Nat Commun. 2014;5: 5692.
doi:10.1038/ncomms6692

102.   Pollard KS, Hubisz MJ, Rosenbloom KR, Siepel A. Detection of nonneutral
substitution rates on mammalian phylogenies. Genome Res. 2010;20: 110–121.
doi:10.1101/gr.097857.109

103.   Siepel A, Bejerano G, Pedersen JS, Hinrichs AS, Hou M, Rosenbloom K, et al.
Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast
genomes. Genome Res. 2005;15: 1034–1050. doi:10.1101/gr.3715005

104.   Bernstein BE, Birney E, Dunham I, Green ED, Gunter C, Snyder M, et al. An
integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature.
2012;489: 57–74. doi:10.1038/nature11247

105.   Bailey JA, Yavor AM, Massa HF, Trask BJ, Eichler EE. Segmental duplications:
Organization and impact within the current human genome project assembly.
Genome Res. 2001;11: 1005–1017. doi:10.1101/gr.187101

106.   Szpiech ZA, Hernandez RD. selscan: An efficient multithreaded program to
perform EHH-based scans for positive selection. Mol Biol Evol. 2014;31: 2824–
2827. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu211

107.   Capra JA, Hubisz MJ, Kostka D, Pollard KS, Siepel A. A model-based analysis of
GC-biased gene conversion in the human and chimpanzee genomes. PLoS
Genet. 2013;9: e1003684. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003684

108.   Frazer KA, Ballinger DG, Cox DR, Hinds DA, Stuve LL, Gibbs RA, et al. A second
generation human haplotype map of over 3.1 million SNPs. Nature. 2007;449:
851–861. doi:10.1038/nature06258

109.   Pratto F, Brick K, Khil P, Smagulova F, Petukhova G V, Camerini-Otero RD.
Recombination initiation maps of individual human genomes. Science. 2014;346:
1256442. doi:10.1126/science.1256442

110.   Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: Functional annotation of genetic
variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:
e164. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq603

111.   Hernandez RD, Williamson SH, Zhu L, Bustamante CD. Context-dependent

mutation rates may cause spurious signatures of a fixation bias favoring higher
GC-content in humans. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24: 2196–2202.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msm149

112.   Palamara PF, Francioli LC, Wilton PR, Genovese G, Gusev A, Finucane HK, et
al. Leveraging distant relatedness to quantify human mutation and gene-
conversion rates. Am J Hum Genet. 2015;97: 775–789.
doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.10.006

113.   Hernandez RD. A flexible forward simulator for populations subject to selection
and demography. Bioinformatics. 2008;24: 2786–2787.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn522

114.   Torgerson DG, Boyko AR, Hernandez RD, Indap A, Hu X, White TJ, et al.
Evolutionary processes acting on candidate cis-regulatory regions in humans
inferred from patterns of polymorphism and divergence. PLoS Genet. 2009;5:
e1000592. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000592

115.   Hudson RR, Slatkin M, Maddison WP. Estimation of levels of gene flow from DNA
sequence data. Genetics. 1992;132: 583–589.

1193
1194

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. Soft sweep detection and implementation in selscan v1.2.0.

S1 Supporting information.

S1 Fig. Inference models inferred from TGP CG high *B* neutral regions and coding four-fold degenerate sites. Solid lines are the inference results from running dadi on 53 YRI (African), 64 CEU (European), and 62 CHS (East Asian) TGP CG samples (projected down to 106 chromosomes during inference procedure) across neutral regions in the highest 1% *B* bin ($B \geq 0.994$). Broken lines represent the inference results using the same CG samples, but with sequence data only from coding four-fold degenerate synonymous sites**.**

S2 Fig. Diversity for TGP non-admixed populations while controlling for GC-biased gene conversion and recombination hotspots. (A) Normalized diversity (π/divergence) measured across the lowest 1% *B* quantile bin (strong BGS). (B) Normalized diversity measured across the highest 1% *B* quantile bin (weak BGS). (C) Rela-

tive diversity: the ratio of normalized diversity for the lowest 1% B bin to normalized di-

versity for the highest 1% B bin (π/π_0). Error bars represent ±1 SEM calculated from

1,000 bootstrapped datasets.

S3 Fig. Diversity for TGP non-admixed populations without normalizing by diver-

gence with Rhesus macaque. (A) Diversity (π) measured across the lowest 1% B

quantile bin (strong BGS). (B) Diversity measured across the highest 1% B quantile bin

(weak BGS). (C) Relative diversity: the ratio of diversity for the lowest 1% B bin to diver-

sity for the highest 1% B bin (π/π_0). Error bars represent ±1 SEM calculated from 1,000

bootstrapped datasets.

S4 Fig. Diversity for TGP continental groups while controlling for GC-biased gene

conversion and recombination hotspots. (A) Normalized diversity (π/divergence)

measured across the lowest 1%, 5%, 10% and 25% B quantile bins (strong BGS) and

the highest 1% B quantile bin (weak BGS). (B) Relative diversity (π/π_0) for the lowest

1%, 5%, 10%, and 25% B bins. Error bars represent ±1 SEM calculated from 1,000

bootstrapped datasets.

S5 Fig. Diversity for TGP continental groups without normalizing by divergence

with Rhesus macaque. (A) Diversity (π) measured across the lowest 1%, 5%, 10% and

25% B quantile bins (strong BGS) and the highest 1% B quantile bin (weak BGS). (B)

Relative diversity (π/π_0) for the lowest 1%, 5%, 10%, and 25% B bins. Error bars repre-

sent ±1 SEM calculated from 1,000 bootstrapped datasets.

S6 Fig. F_{ST} measured across joint bins of B and recombination rate for different

TGP continental groups. The left panels of S6 Figs A-E show F_{ST} measured as a func-

tion of 25 4% recombination rate quantile bins conditional on three 2% B quantile bins

(note log scale of x-axis for recombination rate). The right panels of S6 Figs A-E show

F_{ST} measured as a function of 25 4% *B* quantile bins conditional on three 2% recombi-

nation rate quantile bins. The following continental group comparisons are shown for

each plot: (A) African vs. European, (B) African vs. East Asian, (C) European vs. South

Asian, (D) European vs. East Asian, (E) South Asian vs. East Asian. Smaller transpar-

ent points and lines show the F_{ST} estimates and corresponding lines of best fit (using

linear regression) for each of the pairwise population comparisons within a particular

pair of continental groups (25 comparisons total). Larger opaque points are mean F_{ST}

estimates across all pairwise comparisons within a particular pair of continental groups

(with bold lines showing their corresponding lines of best fit).

S7 Fig. Simulations of diversity and relative diversity under BGS using a human

demographic model without migration. (A) Inferred demographic model from Com-

plete Genomics TGP data. The demographic model used for the simulations in S7 Fig

are identical to those used for Fig 5, except that migration parameters between all popu-

lations are set to 0. (B) Simulated diversity at neutral sites across populations as a func-

tion of time under our inferred demographic model without BGS (π_0 - dashed colored

lines) and with BGS (π - solid colored lines). (C) Relative diversity (π/π_0) measured by

taking the ratio of diversity with BGS (π) to diversity without BGS (π_0) at each time point.

Note that the x-axes in all three figures are on the same scale. Time is scaled using a

human generation time of 25 years per generation. Simulation data was sampled every

100 generations.

S8 Fig. Simulations of diversity and relative diversity under BGS using various

fractions of sites experiencing deleterious mutation. Values for the deleterious site

fraction are provided in the header for each set of plots. Left column plots show results

of simulations under a demographic model with migration between all human popula-

tions. Right column plots show results of simulations under a demographic model with

no migration. Colored lines represent different populations though time and are identical

to those in Fig 5 and S7 Fig The demographic model used is also identical to that in Fig

5 (for simulations with migration) and S7 Fig (for simulations without migration). Simula-

tion data was sampled every 100 generations.

S9 Fig. F_{ST} is not correlated with recombination rate.

F_{ST} measured across 2% recombination rate quantile bins. The right panel of S9 Fig

displays a narrower range of recombination rates to show detail. Smaller transparent

points and lines show the estimates and corresponding lines of best fit (using linear re-

gression) for F_{ST} between every pairwise population comparison for a particular pair of

continental groups (25 pairwise comparisons each). Larger opaque points and lines are

mean F_{ST} estimates and lines of best fit across all Thousand Genomes Project (TGP)

population comparisons between a particular pair of continental groups. Error bars rep-

resent ±1 SEM calculated from 1,000 bootstrapped datasets.

S10 Fig. F_{ST} between African (AFR) and South Asian (SASN) populations jointly

across *B* and recombination rate.

(A) F_{ST} as a function of 25 recombination rate bins (4% quantile bins) conditional on

three different 2% *B* quantile bins (note log scale of x-axis for recombination rate). (B)

F_{ST} as a function of 25 *B* bins (4% quantile bins) conditional on three different 2% re-

combination rate quantile bins. Smaller transparent points and lines show the F_{ST} esti-

mates and corresponding lines of best fit (using linear regression) for each of the pair-

wise comparisons of AFR vs. SASN Thousand Genomes Project (TGP) populations (25

comparisons total). Larger opaque points are mean F_{ST} estimates across all pairwise

comparisons of AFR vs. SASN TGP populations (with bold lines showing their corre-

sponding lines of best fit).

S11 Fig. Comparing patterns of diversity between local ancestry segments of ad-

mixed samples and continental groups.

(A) Normalized diversity (heterozygosity/divergence) and (B) Relative diversity: the ratio

of normalized diversity in the lowest B quantile bins (strong BGS) in (A) to normalized

diversity in the highest 1% B quantile bin (weak BGS). Local ancestry segments include

African, European, and Native American ancestries. Continental groups include African,

European, and East Asian populations. Error bars represent ±1 SEM calculated from

1,000 bootstrapped datasets.

S12 Fig. Simulations of singleton density and relative singleton density

A) Results of simulations under a demographic model with migration between all human

populations. B) Results of simulations under a demographic model with no migration.

The second row of A) and B) shows measurements of singleton density (i.e., number of

singletons observed per site) from simulations without BGS (ψ_0 - dashed colored lines)

and with BGS (ψ - solid colored lines). The bottom row of A) and B) shows correspond-

ing relative singleton density (ψ/ψ_0) measured by taking the ratio of singleton density

with BGS (ψ) to singleton density without BGS (ψ_0) at each sampled generation time

point. The simulation data used for these measurements is identical to that of Fig 5 (for

simulations with migration) and S7 Fig (for simulations without migration).

1300

1301

1302

Fig 1. Normalized diversity and relative diversity for non-admixed populations of

the Thousand Genomes Project (TGP).

(A) Normalized diversity (π/divergence) measured across the lowest 1% *B* quantile bin

(strong BGS). (B) Normalized diversity measured across the highest 1% *B* quantile bin

(weak BGS). (C) Relative diversity: the ratio of normalized diversity in the lowest 1% *B*

bin to normalized diversity in the highest 1% *B* bin (π/π_0). TGP population labels are in-

dicated below each bar (see S12 Table in Supporting information for population label

descriptions), with African populations colored by gold shades, European populations

colored by blue shades, South Asian populations colored by violet shades, and East

Asian populations colored by green shades. Error bars represent ±1 SEM calculated

from 1,000 bootstrapped datasets.

1314

1315

Fig 2. Normalized and relative diversity for Thousand Genomes Project (TGP)

continental groups.

(A) Normalized diversity (π/divergence) measured across the lowest 1%, 5%, 10% and

25% B quantile bins (strong BGS) and the highest 1% B quantile bin (weak BGS). (B)

Relative diversity: the ratio of normalized diversity in the lowest B quantile bins (strong

BGS) in (A) to normalized diversity in the highest 1% B quantile bin (weak BGS). Error

bars represent ±1 SEM calculated from 1,000 bootstrapped datasets.

1323

1324

Fig 3. Relative singleton density for non-admixed populations of the Thousand

Genomes Project (TGP).

Relative singleton density measured by taking the ratio of singleton density in the lowest

1% B quantile bin to singleton density in the highest 1% B quantile bin (ψ/ψ_0). Singleton

density was normalized by divergence with Rhesus macaque. TGP population labels

are indicated below each bar (see S12 Table in Supporting information for population

label descriptions), with African populations colored by gold shades, European popula-

tions colored by blue shades, South Asian populations colored by violet shades, and

East Asian populations colored by green shades. Error bars represent ±1 SEM calculat-

ed from 1,000 bootstrapped datasets.

1335

1336

Fig 4. F_{ST} is correlated with B

F_{ST} measured across 2% quantile bins of B. Smaller transparent points and lines show

the estimates and corresponding lines of best fit (using linear regression) for F_{ST} be-

tween every pairwise population comparison for a particular pair of continental groups

(25 pairwise comparisons each). Larger opaque points and lines are mean F_{ST} esti-

mates and lines of best fit across all Thousand Genomes Project (TGP) population

comparisons between a particular pair of continental groups. Error bars represent ±1

SEM calculated from 1,000 bootstrapped datasets.

1345

1346

Fig 5. Simulations confirm that demographic events shape the impact of back-

ground selection (BGS).

(A) Inferred demographic model from Complete Genomics Thousand Genomes Project

(TGP) data showing population size changes for Africans (AFR), Europeans (EUR), and

East Asians (EASN) as a function of time that was used for the simulations of BGS. (B)

Simulated diversity at neutral sites across populations as a function of time under our

inferred demographic model without BGS (π_0 - dashed colored lines) and with BGS (π -

1354   solid colored lines). (C) Relative diversity (π/π_0) measured by taking the ratio of diversity

1355   with BGS (π) to diversity without BGS (π_0) at each time point. Note that the x-axes in all

1356   three figures are on the same scale. Time is scaled using a human generation time of

1357   25 years per generation. Simulation data was sampled every 100 generations.

1358