

A core signaling mechanism at the origin of animal nociception

Oscar M. Arenas¹, Emanuela E. Zaharieva¹, Alessia Para¹, Constanza Vásquez-Doorman²,
Christian P. Petersen² and Marco Gallio^{1*}.

Affiliations:

¹Department of Neurobiology,

²Department of Molecular Biosciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA.

*Correspondence to: marco.gallio@northwestern.edu

All animals must detect noxious stimuli to initiate protective behavior, but the evolutionary origin of nociceptive systems is not well understood. Here, we show that a remarkably conserved signaling mechanism mediates the detection of noxious stimuli in animals as diverse as flatworms and humans. Planarian flatworms are amongst the simplest bilateral animals with a centralized nervous system, and capable of directed behavior. We demonstrate that noxious heat and irritant chemicals elicit robust escape behaviors in the planarian *Schmidtea mediterranea*, and that the conserved ion channel TRPA1 is required for these responses. TRPA1 mutant fruit flies (*Drosophila*) are also defective in the avoidance of noxious heat¹⁻³. Unexpectedly, we find that either the planarian or the human TRPA1 can restore noxious heat avoidance to TRPA1 mutant *Drosophila*, even though neither is directly activated by heat. Instead, our data suggest that TRPA1 activation is mediated by H₂O₂/Reactive Oxygen Species, early markers of tissue damage rapidly produced as a result of heat exposure. Together, our data reveal a core function for TRPA1 in noxious heat transduction, demonstrate its conservation from planarians to humans, and imply that human nociceptive systems may share a common ancestry with those of most extant animals, tracing back their origin to a progenitor that lived more than 500 million years ago.

1 Each animal group uses specialized sensory systems to detect and avoid predators, find food
2 sources and mates. Due to specific demands, sensory systems evolve independently in different
3 species. Yet, while each animal group lives in a sensory world that is essentially unique, most of what
4 we know about sensory representation comes from a very limited number of species - a handful of
5 vertebrate and invertebrate model systems.

6 The detection of potentially harmful conditions is a core sensory task. The ion channel TRPA1
7 is remarkably conserved across animal evolution and has been implicated in the response to a broad
8 range of electrophilic irritant chemicals as well as to noxious hot or cold temperature in humans ⁴,
9 mice ⁵⁻¹¹, and flies ^{2,3,12}. Interestingly, while TRPA1's sensitivity to irritant chemicals has been widely
10 conserved (¹³, in all but the *C. elegans* homolog ¹⁴), its temperature gating appears to have changed
11 repeatedly during evolution ¹⁵. *In vitro*, some mammalian TRPA1 homologs are activated by noxious
12 cold ¹⁶, while others are insensitive to temperature (reviewed in ¹⁵). In contrast, TRPA1 from chicken
13 ¹⁷, various reptiles, and *Xenopus* frogs are activated by warm temperatures ^{18,19}, and the zebrafish
14 genome encodes two distinct paralogs, only one of which shows thermosensory responses ^{20,21}. The
15 situation in invertebrates is also complex: while *C. elegans* TRPA1 is activated by cold ¹⁴, insect
16 TRPA1s (honeybee ²², mosquito ²³ etc.) are activated by warm temperatures, and the fruit fly
17 homolog is spliced into at least four variants ³, including both heat-sensitive and heat-insensitive ones
18 ^{3,12,24}.

19 What is the ancestral function of TRPA1? How ancient is its association with nociceptors?
20 Planarian flatworms are an attractive system in which to study evolutionary origins of sensory
21 transduction. As members of the phylum Platyhelminths, planarians are considered among the
22 simplest animals with bilateral symmetry and a centralized nervous system. From an evolutionary
23 perspective, they are nearly equally distant from taxa that include species extensively studied such as
24 nematodes, flies and mice (²⁵ and **Figure 1a**). Furthermore, recent work on regeneration has led to
25 the development of RNAi protocols to systemically knock-down the expression of selected genes *in*

26 *vivo*²⁶. Here, we use these tools to investigate the function of TRPA1 in the freshwater planarian
27 *Schmidtea mediterranea*.

28 A fragment of the *S. mediterranea* TRPA1 gene has been previously used in *in situ*
29 hybridization experiments as a marker for a subset of differentiated neurons²⁷. Starting from it, we
30 cloned a full-length coding sequence for the gene (see methods for details), henceforth referred to as
31 *Smed-TRPA1* (**Figure 1b**). To test whether *Smed-TRPA1* mediates the avoidance of noxious heat in
32 *S. mediterranea*, we designed a two-choice avoidance assay (**Extended Data Figure 1**) based on
33 the one we previously developed for fruit flies²⁸. Animals were introduced into a small circular
34 chamber covered by a thin film of water, and tracked while making a choice between floor tiles kept at
35 moderate (24°C) or hot (32°C) temperatures; the time spent in each quadrant was then quantified to
36 calculate an avoidance index (AI). In this assay, *S. mediterranea* showed robust avoidance of heat
37 (32°C, AI~1), manifested as sharp turns away from the hot quadrants (**Figure 1c**). This is consistent
38 with a nocifensive behavior, and indeed with the fact that *S. mediterranea* comes from cool water
39 environments and can die from brief exposure to 35°C (^{29,30} and data not shown).

40 Remarkably, the avoidance of hot quadrants was severely disrupted by RNAi knock-down of
41 *Smed-TRPA1* (**Figure 1c-d** and see **Extended Data Video 1**). *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi animals glided
42 around the chamber without turning at the hot-cold boundaries (see tracks in **Figure 1c**), and ended
43 up spending nearly equal time in hot as in cool quadrants. This is in sharp contrast with the behavior
44 of both untreated and control worms (i.e. worms fed dsRNA targeted to a sequence not present in the
45 worm genome, **Figure 1c-d**). Importantly, *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi worms glided around the chamber at
46 comparable speed as controls (**Figure 1e**), and displayed robust negative phototaxis when given a
47 choice between light and dark (in an independent assay, see **Extended Data Figure 2**), indicating
48 that *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi does not mar gross locomotor functions, nor does it impact all aversive
49 behavior.

50 RNAi knock-down of the transcription factor AP2 has been previously shown to impair the
51 expression of TRPA1 in *S. mediterranea*²⁷. Based on this, we reasoned that *ap2* RNAi could provide
52 an independent means to assess the role of TRPA1 in heat nociception. Unexpectedly, *ap2* RNAi
53 animals did not display an avoidance defect, and instead avoided the hot quadrants as robustly as
54 controls (**Figure 1c-d**). *In situ* hybridization revealed that *ap2* RNAi was effective in knocking-down
55 *Smed-TRPA1* expression only within the brain, and not in peripheral neurons (**Figure 1 f-k**). The fact
56 that animals with significantly reduced *Smed-TRPA1* expression within the brain behave normally
57 suggests that *Smed-TRPA1* is required at the periphery for the detection or responses to noxious
58 heat.

59 Next, we tested *Smed-TRPA1* knock-down animals for potential defects in chemical
60 nociception, by assaying behavioral responses to Allyl isothiocyanate (AITC). AITC is the agent
61 responsible for the pungent taste of mustard and wasabi, and a well-known chemical agonist of
62 TRPA1^{6,7,11}. We developed an arena consisting of circular chambers interconnected by small
63 corridors that are not readily traversed by the worms (**Figure 2a**). Animals fed control dsRNA (see
64 above) or *Smed-TRPA1* dsRNA were introduced in the first chamber in the presence of a mock agar
65 pellet, or -alternatively- of an agar pellet laced with AITC; their behavior was then monitored for 5
66 minutes. Mock pellets were readily explored by untreated animals as well as by RNAi controls, which
67 as a result remained in their vicinity. In contrast, AITC produced strong aversive responses including
68 rapid withdrawal and abrupt turns. The worms ultimately escaped away from the chamber containing
69 the pellet traversing the narrow corridors (**Figure 2b** and **Extended Data Video 2**). Again in sharp
70 contrast to controls, *Smed-TRPA1* knock-down animals did not display aversive responses and
71 instead remained in the first chamber, in the vicinity of the AITC-laced pellet (**Figure 2b**).

72 Our experiments show that *Smed-TRPA1* is a key mediator of both heat avoidance and
73 chemical nociception *in vivo* in *S. mediterranea*. To begin studying the biophysical properties of the
74 channel *in vitro*, we next performed whole cell patch-clamp electrophysiology on heterologously

75 expressing cells. To achieve functional expression of Smed-TRPA1, we chose *Drosophila* S2 cells, a
76 system previously used for *Drosophila* TRPA1³¹. Our recordings show that, in S2 cells, Smed-TRPA1
77 was activated by AITC (**Figure 3**). In contrast, the channel was not directly gated by heat (**Figure 3a-**
78 **d**). Even when mis-expressed *in vivo*, in transgenic *Drosophila* (i.e. in all fly neurons), Smed-TRPA1
79 could be readily activated by AITC, but not heat (**Figure 4**). As a control, the *Drosophila* TRPA1-A
80 variant³ was activated by both AITC and heat in both contexts (**Figure 3c-d** and **Figure 4**, and³²).

81 The lack of thermal sensitivity of *Smed-TRPA1 in vitro* (vis-à-vis the effect of RNAi on noxious
82 heat avoidance) appears puzzling. However, TRPA1 is well known to function both as a primary
83 temperature receptor as well as a signal transduction component, i.e. *downstream* of diverse
84 signaling events^{10,33}. Interestingly, both the heat-nociception phenotype of TRPA1 mutant fly larvae
85 and heat-entrainment defects of adults were readily rescued by a non-heat-sensitive variant of the fly
86 TRPA1 (TRPA1-C,^{3,34}). These observations led us to test directly the possibility that the non-heat-
87 sensitive Smed-TRPA1 may also be able to substitute for the fly TRPA1 –i.e. to attempt across-
88 phylum rescue of a *Drosophila* TRPA1 mutant by expression of the planarian homolog.

89 First, we used a rapid 2-choice assay for temperature preference²⁸ (similar to that described
90 above), and probed the responses of wild type and TRPA1 *Drosophila* mutants to both innocuous
91 (30°C) and noxious heat (40°C, **Figure 5a**). Consistent with previous reports², in our assay TRPA1
92 mutant flies showed a clear defect in the avoidance of noxious heat (**Figure 5a,c**; note that residual
93 heat avoidance is likely mediated by *GR28b.d* -a distinct molecular hot receptor³⁵). Much like in the
94 larva, this nociceptive phenotype could be significantly rescued by ubiquitous expression of *TRPA1-*
95 *C*, a TRPA1 variant not directly activated by heat³ (**Figure 5b,c**). Strikingly, a comparable amount of
96 rescue could be achieved by ubiquitous expression of the planarian *Smed-TRPA1* (34% identical and
97 53% similar to the fly TRPA1 in amino-acidic sequence; **Figure 5b,c**), and even of a human *TRPA1*
98 cDNA (36% and 31% identical, and 57% and 49% similar to fly and Smed-TRPA1, respectively;
99 **Figure 5b,c**). The rescue of the fly phenotype by an evolutionary distant ‘heat-insensitive’ homolog

such as *Smed-TRPA1*, and the human TRPA1 (activated by cold rather than heat), argues that the function of TRPA1 in heat nociception is unlikely to be fully explained by direct heat gating.

Instead, a number of observations point towards early markers of tissue damage as potential mediators of TRPA1 activation during nociceptive heat responses. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), is amongst the earliest known markers of mechanical tissue damage in vertebrates³⁶, *Drosophila*³⁷ as well as *Planarians*³⁸. H_2O_2 is a well-known activator of mammalian and *Drosophila* TRPA1 (³⁹⁻⁴³, together with additional Reactive Oxygen Species –ROS⁴⁴), and recent work suggest that responses to potentially damaging short-wavelength UV light occurs through photochemical production of H_2O_2 , and requires TRPA1 in both flies^{41-43,45} and Planarians⁴⁶. Thus, if noxious heat were to cause rapid, localized, production of H_2O_2 /ROS, this could provide the direct signal for TRPA1 activation that mediates nociceptive responses.

For this hypothesis to be correct, a number of conditions have to be met: (1) *SmedTRPA1* (like human and *Drosophila*) should be activated by H_2O_2 ; (2) *In vivo*, heat stimulation in the appropriate range should cause rapid H_2O_2 /ROS production (on a timescale compatible with the animal's escape behaviors) and, (3) if indeed nociceptive heat responses are mediated by H_2O_2 /ROS, an acute increase in H_2O_2 /ROS levels should sensitize the animal's behavioral responses to noxious heat, and this sensitization should depend on TRPA1. Our experiments confirm each of these predictions.

First, we tested *Smed-TRPA1* for potential responses to H_2O_2 *in vitro* in our cell expression system (see above). Our recordings showed that, in S2 cells, *Smed-TRPA1* was indeed activated by a range of H_2O_2 concentrations (**Figure 6a,b**), as was the *Drosophila* counterpart TRPA1-C (**Figure 6b**; i.e. the 'heat insensitive' fly variant which also supported behavioral rescue in our experiment). We note that, while it is difficult to speculate on the H_2O_2 /ROS concentration that TRPA1 may encounter during a heat challenge *in vivo*, secondary modifications (such as prolyl hydroxylation⁴⁷) have been shown to dramatically increase TRPA1 responses to H_2O_2 /ROS, potentially expanding the effective sensitivity of the channel.

125 Next, we tested if heat stimulation in the appropriate range (i.e. in the 'noxious' range for each
126 species) may lead to the rapid production of H₂O₂/ROS in both *Schmidtea* and *Drosophila* tissue. For
127 this experiment, we loaded living samples with the dye 5-(and 6)-carboxy-2',7'-
128 dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (carboxy-H₂DCFDA, a widely used fluorogenic ROS marker for
129 live cells⁴⁸), and monitored potential fluorescence changes in response to heat exposure by confocal
130 microscopy. As previously reported, live planarian worms could be directly loaded with the dye, and
131 displayed H₂O₂/ROS induced fluorescence at sites of physical wounding (³⁸ and data not shown; and
132 see **ED Figure 3** for additional controls). Fast H₂O₂/ROS production was also detected when the
133 worms were submitted to rapid heating while under the microscope (i.e. by using a heating stage;
134 $\Delta t = 20 > 35^\circ\text{C}$, speed = $\sim 1^\circ\text{C}/4$ seconds). Consistent with the 'noxious' range for this animal (30-35°C),
135 we observed rapid H₂O₂/ROS increases starting above 23-25°C, and culminating in widespread
136 fluorescence around 30-35°C (**Figure 6c-e**). *Drosophila* tissues also displayed rapid H₂O₂/ROS
137 increases in response to heating, but this time the increase in fluorescence started around 30°C, and
138 culminated around 40-45°C (**Figure 6f-g**), consistent with the 'noxious' range for *Drosophila* (35-
139 40°C). Importantly, in both planarians and fly tissues, we recorded fluorescence changes rapid
140 enough to be compatible with the timescale that would be required to trigger/modulate behavioral
141 responses: for example between two imaging frames (**Figure 6d**, i.e. separated by $\sim 300\text{ms}$), or after
142 as little as one second of exposure to heat (**Figure 6h**).

143 Carboxy-H₂DCFDA is a general oxidative stress indicator, and does not discriminate amongst
144 different reactive oxygen species. To directly test if H₂O₂ in particular may be amongst the species
145 produced during a noxious heat challenge, we turned to the genetically encoded H₂O₂ indicator
146 roGFP2-Orp1. This indicator couples the redox-sensitive green fluorescent protein 2 (roGFP2) with
147 the yeast H₂O₂ sensor Orp1⁴⁹, allowing the measurement of changes in H₂O₂ levels in intact living
148 animals⁵⁰. Our results show that, in transgenic *Drosophila* larvae, roGFP2-Orp1 reported a significant

149 increase in H₂O₂ upon brief (~5 seconds) exposure to noxious heat (**Figure ED4**, see legend and
150 methods for details).

151 Finally, we tested the notion that –if noxious temperatures are indeed sensed at least in part
152 through H₂O₂/ROS production- an acute, systemic increase in H₂O₂/ROS levels may sensitize the
153 animal’s behavioral responses to heat. Here, we tested adult *Drosophila* for heat avoidance using our
154 2-choice assay (see above), but this time the flies’ performance in the arena was preceded by a short
155 feeding with H₂O₂⁵¹ or Paraquat (a potent pro-oxidant⁵²). Strikingly, pro-oxidant feeding significantly
156 increased heat avoidance scores in both wild type and control flies (to both 30° and 35°C) but not in
157 TRPA1 mutant flies (**Figure 6i**). This result directly demonstrates that H₂O₂/ROS can sensitize
158 aversive responses to heat in *Drosophila*, and that this sensitization requires functional TRPA1
159 channels.

160 Planarian flatworms are a powerful, and yet underutilized model for behavioral research. They
161 are capable of active hunting behavior, and possess simple sensory systems^{30,53} and a simple brain
162 that operates using synaptic and neurotransmitter principles similar to those of the more complex
163 insect or mammalian brains⁵⁴.

164 Here, we have shown that the ion channel TRPA1 functions as a key transduction component
165 for nociceptive signals in *Schmidtea mediterranea*. In insects and many vertebrates (snakes, frogs
166 etc.), TRPA1 channels can be directly gated by temperature changes, but our work in *Schmidtea* and
167 *Drosophila* suggests that TRPA1’s function in nociceptive heat sensing goes beyond that of a
168 canonical heat-activated ion channel. Instead, our data suggest that H₂O₂/ROS are rapidly produced
169 in response to noxious heat, and that this signal contributes to channel activation to mediate
170 defensive responses. We note that this mechanism provides an especially satisfactory explanation
171 for the remarkable across-phylum rescue of heat-avoidance phenotypes of TRPA1 mutant *Drosophila*
172 by both *Schmidtea* TRPA1 (insensitive to heat), as well as human TRPA1 (activated by cold). The
173 heat range that is expected to produce tissue damage in *Drosophila* (i.e. the “noxious range”, ~35-

174 40°C) is different from that of *Schmidtea* (~30°C) from that of human (~45°C) -and yet transgenic
175 rescue of fly mutants restored heat avoidance to that of the host (*Drosophila*) rather than the channel
176 donor (*Schmidtea* or human). This can be easily accounted for by the fact that the thermal range that
177 causes heat damage (and therefore H₂O₂/ROS production) is determined by the heat tolerance of the
178 host tissue.

179 Finally, our results suggest that early Bilaterians already possessed a polymodal
180 (chemical/thermal) nociceptive system that relied on H₂O₂/ROS-mediated TRPA1 activation. This
181 core function has been conserved in extant lineages, and may have placed TRPA1 in a key position
182 to undergo the additional transitions into a hot- or cold-activated channel that have been documented
183 in different animal groups. Our results also imply that human pain systems may share a common
184 ancestry with the nociceptive systems of extant bilateral animals, tracing back their origin to the
185 common 'Urbilaterian' progenitor that lived more than 500 million years ago.

Methods

Cloning of a *Smed-TRPA1* full length coding sequence

A full-length *Smed-TRPA1* coding sequence was amplified by PCR starting from a *Schmidtea mediterranea* cDNA library. The library was generated by Superscript III reverse transcription (Life Technologies) from total RNA, purified from whole animals using Trizol followed by DNase treatment with DNA-free (Ambion/ThermoFisher). The following primers were used for PCR: FWD 5'-CAaaacATGAAATAAAATTTCTAAAAACCGAAAAACCTC-3' and REV 5'-TTAAAAATTGTTATCTGGTTTGACAGATTTCTG -3' (Kozak sequence for *Drosophila* in lower case letters). Analysis of 5'- and 3'-RACE libraries produced with SMARTer® RACE 5'/3' Kit (Clontech) confirmed that the identified sequence includes the appropriate ATG and stop codons and represents a single, full-length *Smed-TRPA1* coding sequence (3510 bp). Analysis of available RNAseq data (SmedGD, <http://smedgd.neuro.utah.edu/>; see Robb et al., Genesis. 2015 Aug;53(8):535-46) indicates that *Smed-TRPA1* likely produces a single transcript, encoding a protein of 1169 amino acids, which contains 14 N-terminal ankyrin repeats (Interpro database entry: IPR002110) followed by an ion transporter domain (Interpro database entry: IPR005821).

Phylogenetic analysis of TRPA1 homologs

A neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed using the full sequence of *bona fide* (experimentally validated) TRPA1 proteins from 25 organisms: *A. gambiae* (ACC86138), *A. aegypti* (AAEL009419), *D. melanogaster* (AEU17952), *B. mori* (NP_001296525), *C. elegans* (ABQ15208), *C. brevicauda* (AEL30802), *C. porcellus* (NP_001185699), *C. hortulanus* (ADD82932), *C. atrox* (ADD82930), *D. rerio* (NP_001007066 and NP_001007067), *D. rotundus* (AEL30803), *G. gallus* (NP_001305389), *H. armigera* (AHV83756), *H. sapiens* (NP_015628), *M. mulatta* (XP_001083172), *M. musculus* (NP_808449), *P. obsoletus lindheimeri* (ADD82929), *P. jerdonii* (AEW26660), *P. regius*

(ADD82928), *R. norvegicus* (NP_997491), *T. rubripes* (XP_003968031), *T. castaneum* (LOC658860), *V. destructor* (BAO73033 and BAO73034), *X. tropicalis* (BAM42680).

Planarian RNAi

The CIW4 asexual laboratory strain of *Schmidtea mediterranea* was used for all experiments. Animals were kept in plastic containers filled with 1x artificial planarian water (APW) that contained: 1.6mM NaCl, 1mM CaCl₂, 1mM MgSO₄, 0.1mM MgCl₂, 0.1mM KCl, 1.2mM NaHCO₃. Planarians were fed with homogenized calf liver for stock maintenance. The containers were cleaned two days after feeding or once a week if starved. Templates for RNA synthesis were generated by PCR from pGEM-t vectors (Promega) harboring 1.5kb fragments of Smed-TRPA1 or Smed-AP2 cDNA; a 0.8kb UNC22 PCR product was used to generate UNC22 template as an RNAi control (UNC22 is a *C. elegans* gene not present in the *S. mediterranea* genome). The T7 RNA polymerase promoter was introduced to the 5'end or 3'end of the corresponding fragment, and 2 subsequent PCR reactions were performed to generate sense and antisense RNA strands. Sense and antisense strands were pooled together, purified by phenol-chloroform extraction, and resuspended in 16µl of water before being annealed by incubating at 72°C then 37°C and finally on ice. dsRNA was mixed with 80µl of homogenized calf liver and 2µl of red food coloring to assess food intake. Planarians were starved for at least a week and then fed the dsRNA every other day 3-4 times (15µl of food for 10-15 animals). Animals that did not feed were discarded. For behavioral experiments animals were used between one day and four days after last feeding, phototaxis was used at the end of each experiment to ensure viability.

Expression analysis by Q-PCR

Total RNA from UNC22, Smed-AP2 and Smed-TRPA1 knockdown planarians was purified using Trizol reagent (Life Technologies). First strand cDNA was synthesized using MultiScribe Reverse

Transcriptase (Fisher Scientific) from DNase-treated (TURBO DNase, Ambion) total RNA. qPCR reactions were performed using the EvaGreen dye (Biotium). 4 biological replicates were run for each treatment, with clathrin mRNA detected as reference gene for quantifying expression changes using the delta-delta Ct method and normalizing to the expression obtained in the control RNAi treatment. *Smed-TRPA1* was detected using the primers 5'-ACTCTCATCAACAGACAGACTTGT-3' and 5'-ATTCAGCCTCTGGATCCATTTCC-3' and *clathrin* primers were 5'-GACTGCGGGCTTCTATTGAG-3' and 5'-GCGGCAATTCTTCTGAACTC-3'. Results were compared using Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA.

Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH)

Smed-TRPA1 riboprobes were generated from a PCR fragment flanked by T7 promoter sequences using RNA DIG-labeling mix (Sigma-Aldrich). After *in vitro* transcription, antisense probes were precipitated with 100% ethanol and resuspended in 25 μ L of deionized formamide. Planarians were killed in 5% N-Acetyl cysteine, fixed in 4% formaldehyde, followed by dehydration and overnight bleaching in 6% H₂O₂ on a light box. Animals were preserved in 100% methanol and stored at -20°C. For FISH, planarians were re-hydrated with a methanol:PBST (PBS, 0.1% triton X-100) dilution series; next, animals were treated with 10mg/ml proteinase K, post-fixed in 4% formaldehyde, and incubated at 56°C for 2 hours in pre-hybridization solution (50% of de-ionized formamide, 5x SSC, 0.1 mg/ml yeast RNA, 1% Tween-20 in DEPC-treated water). Hybridization with riboprobes was conducted for 16h in hybridization solution (same as pre-hybridization solution plus 5% dextran sulfate). Then animals were washed in pre-hybridization solution, and then subjected to a dilution series of 2X SSC, then 0.2X SSC, and finally TNTx (0.1 M Tris pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.3% Triton X-100). Animals were blocked in TNTx plus 5% horse serum and 5% Western Blocking Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2h at RT, and then labeled with a sheep anti-DIG-POD antibody (1:2000, Sigma-Aldrich) in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Animals were washed 8X in TNTx, incubated in

Tyramide solution with rhodamine (1:500) and H₂O₂ for 10 minutes with shaking. Finally, animals were rinsed 6X in TNTx. ISH experiments were performed four times with similar results. To quantify TRPA1+ cells in various groups (Figure 1F-K), ten worms per treatment were imaged with a Leica DM 2500 confocal microscope with a 10X objective and 1.5 digital zoom, and Z-stacks encompassing the thickness of each animal were acquired at 5 μm intervals, using constant laser and PMT settings. Z-stacks were analyzed using ImageJ: Brightness/Contrast was adjusted in batch using identical settings and max projection images through the animal were produced. From these, the number of fluorescent cells in a defined ROI in the brain region and a defined ROI at the periphery (each of constant size, shown as yellow boxes in Figure 1) were counted. The number of fluorescent cells was then plotted and compared by unpaired t-test.

Planarian behavioral assays: heat avoidance

Heat avoidance was measured in the “Planariometer” (see Supplementary Figure 1). The Planariometer consisted in four independent tiles covered by thin anodized aluminum foil. A hydrophobic ink pen (Super PAP pen – ThermoFisher) was used to create a circular barrier (55mm of diameter) to allow a thin film of water (1-2 mm) to form a central pool in which the worms can move freely. In each experiment, 2 opposite tiles were set at 32°C and 2 at 24°C and animal movement was recorded for 4 minutes. The spatial configuration of hot and cool tiles was then reversed for 4 additional minutes (and a second movie acquired) to control for potential spatial biases. Experiments were conducted in the dark with infrared (IR) LED illumination and videos were recorded with an IR-sensitive CCD camera (Basler). Five independent groups of 10 animals per treatment were used. The heat avoidance index ($AI = \#worms \text{ at } 24^{\circ}C - \#worms \text{ at } 32^{\circ}C / \text{total } \#worms$) was calculated from the last 120 frames of each video (last minute of the video) by measuring the positions of the worms every 3 frames using a custom-made Matlab script. Avoidance index values were compared using Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA followed by Tukey's honest significant difference test.

Planarian behavioral assays: AITC avoidance

Five independent groups of 10 animals per treatment were tested in an arena composed of two circular chambers connected by a narrow corridor. At the beginning of the experiment, all animals were placed in chamber 1 together with a small block of control agar (1% agarose dissolved in 1x APW) or AITC laced agar. The AITC laced agar was made with 1% agarose in 1x APW and 50mM AITC. The chamber was placed in the dark, animals were illuminated with IR light and their behavior was recorded for 5 minutes with a IR-sensitive CCD camera. Videos were analyzed and the number of planarians in each chamber was quantified every 10 frames for the last 125 frames of the video (last minute). The fraction of animals in each chamber was counted after each treatment and compared using Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA followed by Tukey's honest significant difference test.

Planarian behavioral assays: Negative phototaxis

Four independent groups of 10 worms per treatment were placed in chamber 1 of the arena as described above (AITC avoidance experiments). The arena was either kept completely dark (control condition), alternatively, chamber 1 was exposed to bright light while chamber 2 was kept dark. Animals were allowed to distribute for 2 minutes before the number of worms in each chamber was counted.

Cell transfections

pAC-GFP, pAC-Smed-TRPA1 and pAC-dTRPA1-A were generated by cloning GFP, *Smed-TRPA1* ORF (see above) and a dTRPA1-A cDNA (a gift of Dan Tracey) into pCR™8/GW/TOPO® TA (ThermoFisher) and then transferring them into pAC-GW expression vector [created by ligating the Gateway cassette from pMartini Gate C R2-R1 (Addgene plasmid #36436) cut with XhoI and XbaI into pAc5.1/V5-His A (ThermoFisher)]. S2R+ cells (a gift from R. Carthew) were cultured in

Schneider's Drosophila Medium (Lonza) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-Streptomycin mixture (100units/mL and 100µg/mL respectively, Fisher Scientific). For electrophysiological recordings, S2R+ cells were grown on coverslips in Schneider's Drosophila Medium supplemented with 50µM LaCl₃ and transfected with 50ng of pAC-GFP vector and 500ng of either pAC-dTRPA1-A or pAC-Smed-TRPA1 vectors mixed with 4µl of enhancer and 150µl of buffer EC. After 5 min, 6.5µl of Effectene® Transfection Reagent (Qiagen) was added and the mix was incubated for 10min before being dispensed to the cells. Transfected cells were incubated at RT for at least 36h to allow gene expression.

Electrophysiological recordings

Whole cell voltage clamp was performed on S2R+ transfected cells identified by GFP fluorescence. The intracellular solution contained: 140mM methanesulfonic acid, 2mM MgCl₂, 1mM EGTA, 5 mM HEPES, 1mM Na₂ATP; pH was adjusted to 7.3 with CsOH and the osmolarity was adjusted to 315 ± 5mOsm with sucrose. The extracellular solution contained: 140mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 1mM CaCl₂, 1mM HEPES, 10mM Glucose; pH was adjusted to 7.2 with NaOH and the osmolarity was adjusted to 310 ± 5 mOsm with sucrose. Patch pipettes resistance ranged from 5 to 10MΩ. Recordings were obtained with an AxoPatch 200b amplifier (Axon Instruments), and analyzed with AxoGraph software and custom-made Matlab scripts. Recordings were made with 1x output gain and 5KHz low pass filter. Bath offset and capacitance were compensated; series resistance was 9.5±5.5MΩ without compensation. Recordings were made at RT (22-23°C) and temperature stimulation was achieved by raising the temperature of the bath solution via an inline heater (HPT-2A, ALA Scientific Instruments) and a TC-20 temperature controller (NPI Electronics). Temperature was monitored with a T-384 thermocouple (Physitemp Instruments) tethered to the electrode holder, so that the tip of the thermocouple was approximately at a constant distance from the tip of the recording electrode (1-2 mm). Chemical stimulation was achieved by bath perfusion of extracellular solution containing 500µM

of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC, Sigma). Cells were held at -60mV and currents were monitored during heat and chemical stimulation. Current-voltage relationships were constructed by averaging three step protocols consisting of 100ms steps of 20mV from -100 to 100mV separated by 400ms. These IV relationships were made at RT, during the heat stimulation, and at the end of a 3min AITC application. Note that *Smed-TRPA1* did not appear to respond to cooling. For the AITC and Hydrogen peroxide dose responses (H_2O_2 , Sigma, 30% w/w) we used 1 min stimulation at each concentration. Recordings were performed as described above with the exception that the intracellular solution contained 140mM K-gluconate instead of Cesium.

Fly strains and transgenes

Flies were reared on standard cornmeal agar medium at room temperature (RT). The following fly strains were used: Canton-special, isogenic w^{1118} (a gift from Marcus C. Stensmyr); *elav-Gal4/CyO*; *trpA1¹* (BDSC#26504, backcrossed 5 times); 5xUAS-TRPA1-C (a gift from D. Tracey); tub-cyto-roGFP2-Orp1 (ref #50). To generate *UAS-SmedTRPA1* flies, *Smed-TRPA1* cDNA (see above) was cloned into pCR™8/GW/TOPO® TA (Invitrogen) and then transferred into a 40xUAS destination vector created introducing the Gateway® cassette into pJFRC8-40XUAS- IVS-mCD8::GFP (Addgene #26221) via the XhoI/ XbaI restriction sites. This construct was then used for embryo injection by BestGene Inc. to generate P[40XUAS::Smed-TRPA1]attP40 flies. Similarly, *UAS-humanTRPA1* flies were obtained starting from a human TRPA1 cDNA (NP_015628; a gift from Mark Hoon) to generate P[40XUAS::hTRPA1]attP40 flies. Expression of the transgenes was confirmed by RT PCR. Full genotypes of fly stocks used in Figure 5: w^{1118} . w^{1118} ; *TRPA1¹*. w^{1118} ; *elav-Gal4/+*; *TRPA1¹/TRPA1¹*. w^{1118} ; +/*UAS-TRPA1-C*; *TRPA1¹/TRPA1¹*. w^{1118} ; *elav-Gal4/UAS-TRPA1-C*; *TRPA1¹/TRPA1¹*. w^{1118} ; +/*UAS-Smed-TRPA1*; *TRPA1¹/TRPA1¹*. w^{1118} ; *elav-Gal4/UAS-Smed-TRPA1*; *TRPA1¹/TRPA1¹*. w^{1118} ; +/*UAS-human TRPA1*; *TRPA1¹/TRPA1¹*. w^{1118} ; *elav-Gal4/UAS-human TRPA1*; *TRPA1¹/TRPA1¹*.

Drosophila behavioral assays

Temperature preference assays were performed as previously described (Gallio et al., 2011). In brief, Avoidance Index (AI) values for the test temperatures (TT) are calculated as follows: $AI = \frac{\text{\# flies at } 25^{\circ}\text{C} - \text{\# flies at TT}}{\text{total \# flies}}$. AI values were compared using ANOVA or 2-way ANOVA as previously described. Avoidance index values for experiments with Gal4 and UAS lines were compared by two-way ANOVA (threshold $P = 0.01$). Kolmogorov Smirnov tests were used to test for a normally distributed sample. Homogeneity of variance for each data set was confirmed by calculating the Spearman correlation between the absolute values of the residual errors and the observed values of the dependent variable (threshold $P = 0.05$). Statistical analysis was carried out in MATLAB; sample sizes were chosen to reliably measure experimental parameters. Experiments did not involve randomization or blinding. All temperature preference experiments were performed in a custom chamber kept at a constant RH of 40%. Heat and AITC-vapor incapacitation experiments (Figure 4) were performed as follows: Flies of the genotypes *elav/+*, *UAS-Smed-TRPA1/+*, *UAS-TRPA1-1/+* (negative controls), *elav-Gal4>UAS-TRPA1-A* (positive control) and *elav-Gal4>UAS-Smed-TRPA1* (experimental animals), were used to test responses to temperature and AITC. For heat incapacitation, groups of 10 flies were collected in empty vials and placed in a 25°C incubator for at least an hour before the experiments. Next, the vials were submerged in water (pre-heated to 35°C) and kept submerged until the internal air temperature of the tube had been at 35°C for one minute (as measured by a thermocouple). Following this, the number of incapacitated flies (i.e. flies that had dropped to the bottom of the tube) was counted. Vials were then placed at RT for three additional minutes, and the number of incapacitated flies scored every minute to measure recovery. For the exposure to AITC vapors, groups of 10 flies of each genotype (see above), were collected in 15mL tubes for bacterial culture with small holes to allow air flow. These 15mL culture tubes were placed inside a 50mL conical tube containing a small piece of filter paper with 1µl of 2.5M AITC. Flies were exposed to AITC vapors for 10 minutes and then transferred to clean vials for recovery. The number

of incapacitated animals was recorded every minute during AITC exposure and every 5 minutes during recovery. For the pro-oxidant feeding experiments, groups of twenty 3-5 day-old flies of the appropriate genotype were starved for 18 hours in vials with a Kim-wipe saturated by water. Flies were then fed for three hours on Nutri-Fly™ Instant Medium (Genesee Scientific #66-117) prepared with the respective pro-oxidant solution at a ratio of medium to liquid of 1:3. The liquid used contained the pro-oxidant and sucrose, or sucrose alone ('mock'). Final concentrations: all samples=2% sucrose; H₂O₂=5%, Paraquat (Sigma #856177)=50mM. Immediately after, the animals were tested for temperature preference as described above. Food intake was monitored in parallel experiments using green food colorant (25µl for 3ml of solution).

ROS imaging

To evaluate ROS levels in response to heat stimuli in intact live planarians and *Drosophila* tissues, we used the fluorogenic oxidative stress indicator carboxy-H₂DCFDA (Molecular Probes #136007) per the manufacturer's guidelines (and see below). ROS levels were imaged on an LSM510 Zeiss confocal microscope equipped using a 488 argon laser. Temperature stimuli were generated with a Model 5000 KT stage controller (20/20 Technology) and the temperature was recorded using NI USB-TC01 equipped with a thermocouple probe (National Instruments). Intact planarians were incubated for an hour in 25µM carboxy-H₂DCFDA (diluted in APW) and washed briefly in APW prior to imaging. To minimize movements during scanning, animals were placed into a tight-fitting custom made Sylgard frame mounted on a glass slide and filled with APW. The preparation was sealed with a cover slip. For real time ROS detection, planarians were imaged continuously with a 5x/0.16 Zeiss air objective at 256x256 pixel resolution and 2x optical zoom at 0.395msec frame rate during a heat ramp of $\Delta t=20>35^{\circ}\text{C}$, speed= $\sim 1^{\circ}\text{C}/4$ seconds. ROS induced fluorescence was measured from confocal images acquired at low resolution and using a fully open pinhole, from ROIs corresponding to large parts of the head region (512x512 pixel resolution 1x optical zoom with a 5xZeiss air

objective). *Drosophila* salivary glands were dissected in PBS and incubated with 25 μ M carboxy-H2DCFDA (diluted in PBS) for an hour at room temperature prior to heat stimulation. Tissues were then briefly washed in PBS and transferred into a custom made thin Sylgard frame containing PBS and mounted on a glass slide. The preparation was sealed with a cover slip. For real time ROS detection in *Drosophila*, salivary glands were imaged continuously with a 10xZeiss air objective at 256x256 pixel resolution and 2x optical zoom at 0.395msec frame rate during a heat ramp of $\Delta t=20>45^{\circ}\text{C}$, speed= $\sim 1^{\circ}\text{C}/4$ seconds. DF/F analysis was carried out using custom scripts in MATLAB, base fluorescence was calculated using all frames preceding temperature trigger (occurring at 30s). Confocal stacks of about 100 μm at 5 μm steps were obtained at 512x512 pixel resolution 1x optical zoom with a 10x Zeiss air objective.

For in vivo H2O2 detection in intact animals, tub-cyto-roGFP2-Orp1 larvae were placed on a heated surface set to $\sim 35^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 5 seconds. As a positive control, larvae were exposed to 25 μM H2O2 for 10 minutes. Animals were rapidly dissected post treatment in PBS to extract their wing imaginal discs (as described in ref #50). The tissues were mounted in glycerol and immediately imaged on a Leica SP5 inverted confocal microscope equipped with a 405 UV and a 488 Argon laser and a 10x air objective at 512x512 pixel resolution and 400 Hz. Image acquisition and processing were performed as above. We used two-sample T-test to calculate significant difference ($p<0.05$) between treatments and controls. Excitation of the biosensor fluorescence by the 405 nm and 488 nm laser lines was performed sequentially and stack by stack. Emission was detected at 500–570 nm. Image processing was performed with imageJ. Control fluorescence (i.e. of untreated tissue) was set to 1.

References:

- 1 Rosenzweig, M. *et al.* The Drosophila ortholog of vertebrate TRPA1 regulates thermotaxis. *Genes & development* **19**, 419-424, doi:10.1101/gad.1278205 (2005).
- 2 Neely, G. G. *et al.* TrpA1 regulates thermal nociception in Drosophila. *PloS one* **6**, e24343, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024343 (2011).
- 3 Zhong, L. *et al.* Thermosensory and nonthermosensory isoforms of Drosophila melanogaster TRPA1 reveal heat-sensor domains of a thermoTRP Channel. *Cell reports* **1**, 43-55, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2011.11.002 (2012).
- 4 Kremeyer, B. *et al.* A gain-of-function mutation in TRPA1 causes familial episodic pain syndrome. *Neuron* **66**, 671-680, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.04.030 (2010).
- 5 Story, G. M. *et al.* ANKTM1, a TRP-like Channel Expressed in Nociceptive Neurons, Is Activated by Cold Temperatures. *Cell* **112**, 819-829, doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(03\)00158-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00158-2) (2003).
- 6 Jordt, S. E. *et al.* Mustard oils and cannabinoids excite sensory nerve fibres through the TRP channel ANKTM1. *Nature* **427**, 260-265, doi:10.1038/nature02282 (2004).
- 7 Bandell, M. *et al.* Noxious cold ion channel TRPA1 is activated by pungent compounds and bradykinin. *Neuron* **41**, 849-857 (2004).
- 8 Macpherson, L. J. *et al.* The pungency of garlic: activation of TRPA1 and TRPV1 in response to allicin. *Current biology : CB* **15**, 929-934, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.04.018 (2005).
- 9 Bautista, D. M. *et al.* Pungent products from garlic activate the sensory ion channel TRPA1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 12248-12252, doi:10.1073/pnas.0505356102 (2005).
- 10 Bautista, D. M. *et al.* TRPA1 Mediates the Inflammatory Actions of Environmental Irritants and Proalgesic Agents. *Cell* **124**, 1269-1282, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.023> (2006).
- 11 Macpherson, L. J. *et al.* An ion channel essential for sensing chemical damage. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **27**, 11412-11415, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3600-07.2007 (2007).
- 12 Viswanath, V. *et al.* Opposite thermosensor in fruitfly and mouse. *Nature* **423**, 822-823, doi:10.1038/423822a (2003).
- 13 Kang, K. *et al.* Analysis of Drosophila TRPA1 reveals an ancient origin for human chemical nociception. *Nature* **464**, 597-600, doi:10.1038/nature08848 (2010).
- 14 Chatzigeorgiou, M. *et al.* Specific roles for DEG/ENaC and TRP channels in touch and thermosensation in *C. elegans* nociceptors. *Nature neuroscience* **13**, 861-868, doi:10.1038/nn.2581 (2010).
- 15 Laursen, W. J., Bagriantsev, S. N. & Gracheva, E. O. TRPA1 channels: chemical and temperature sensitivity. *Current topics in membranes* **74**, 89-112, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-800181-3.00004-X (2014).
- 16 Chen, J. *et al.* Species differences and molecular determinant of TRPA1 cold sensitivity. *Nature communications* **4**, 2501, doi:10.1038/ncomms3501 (2013).
- 17 Saito, S. *et al.* Heat and noxious chemical sensor, chicken TRPA1, as a target of bird repellents and identification of its structural determinants by multispecies functional comparison. *Molecular biology and evolution* **31**, 708-722, doi:10.1093/molbev/msu001 (2014).
- 18 Gracheva, E. O. *et al.* Molecular basis of infrared detection by snakes. *Nature* **464**, 1006-1011, doi:10.1038/nature08943 (2010).

- 19 Saito, S. *et al.* Analysis of transient receptor potential ankyrin 1 (TRPA1) in frogs and lizards illuminates both nociceptive heat and chemical sensitivities and coexpression with TRP vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) in ancestral vertebrates. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **287**, 30743-30754, doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.362194 (2012).
- 20 Oda, M., Kurogi, M., Kubo, Y. & Saitoh, O. Sensitivities of Two Zebrafish TRPA1 Paralogs to Chemical and Thermal Stimuli Analyzed in Heterologous Expression Systems. *Chemical senses* **41**, 261-272, doi:10.1093/chemse/bjv091 (2016).
- 21 Prober, D. A. *et al.* Zebrafish TRPA1 channels are required for chemosensation but not for thermosensation or mechanosensory hair cell function. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **28**, 10102-10110, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2740-08.2008 (2008).
- 22 Kohno, K., Sokabe, T., Tominaga, M. & Kadowaki, T. Honey bee thermal/chemical sensor, AmHsTRPA, reveals neofunctionalization and loss of transient receptor potential channel genes. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **30**, 12219-12229, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2001-10.2010 (2010).
- 23 Wang, G. *et al.* Anopheles gambiae TRPA1 is a heat-activated channel expressed in thermosensitive sensilla of female antennae. *The European journal of neuroscience* **30**, 967-974, doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06901.x (2009).
- 24 Kang, K. *et al.* Modulation of TRPA1 thermal sensitivity enables sensory discrimination in Drosophila. *Nature* **481**, 76-80, doi:10.1038/nature10715 (2012).
- 25 Dunn, C. W., Giribet, G., Edgecombe, G. D. & Hejnol, A. Animal Phylogeny and Its Evolutionary Implications. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **45**, 371-395, doi:doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091627 (2014).
- 26 Newmark, P. A., Reddien, P. W., Cebria, F. & Sanchez Alvarado, A. Ingestion of bacterially expressed double-stranded RNA inhibits gene expression in planarians. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **100 Suppl 1**, 11861-11865, doi:10.1073/pnas.1834205100 (2003).
- 27 Wenemoser, D., Lapan, S. W., Wilkinson, A. W., Bell, G. W. & Reddien, P. W. A molecular wound response program associated with regeneration initiation in planarians. *Genes & development* **26**, 988-1002, doi:10.1101/gad.187377.112 (2012).
- 28 Gallio, M., Ofstad, T. A., Macpherson, L. J., Wang, J. W. & Zuker, C. S. The coding of temperature in the Drosophila brain. *Cell* **144**, 614-624, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.01.028 (2011).
- 29 Harrath, A. H., Charni, M., Sluys, R., Zghal, F. & Tekaya, S. Ecology and distribution of the freshwater planarian Schmidtea mediterranea in Tunisia. *Italian Journal of Zoology* **71**, 233-236, doi:10.1080/11250000409356577 (2004).
- 30 Inoue, T., Yamashita, T. & Agata, K. Thermosensory signaling by TRPM is processed by brain serotonergic neurons to produce planarian thermotaxis. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **34**, 15701-15714, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5379-13.2014 (2014).
- 31 Wang, H., Schupp, M., Zurborg, S. & Heppenstall, P. A. Residues in the pore region of Drosophila transient receptor potential A1 dictate sensitivity to thermal stimuli. *The Journal of physiology* **591**, 185-201, doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2012.242842 (2013).
- 32 Cordero-Morales, J. F., Gracheva, E. O. & Julius, D. Cytoplasmic ankyrin repeats of transient receptor potential A1 (TRPA1) dictate sensitivity to thermal and chemical stimuli. *Proceedings of the National*

- Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **108**, E1184-1191, doi:10.1073/pnas.1114124108 (2011).
- 33 Kwon, Y., Shim, H.-S., Wang, X. & Montell, C. Control of thermotactic behavior via coupling of a TRP channel to a phospholipase C signaling cascade. *Nature neuroscience* **11**, 871-873, doi:http://www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v11/n8/supinfo/nn.2170_S1.html (2008).
- 34 Lee, Y. & Montell, C. Drosophila TRPA1 functions in temperature control of circadian rhythm in pacemaker neurons. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **33**, 6716-6725, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4237-12.2013 (2013).
- 35 Ni, L. *et al.* A gustatory receptor paralogue controls rapid warmth avoidance in Drosophila. *Nature* **500**, 580-584, doi:10.1038/nature12390 (2013).
- 36 Niethammer, P., Grabher, C., Look, A. T. & Mitchison, T. J. A tissue-scale gradient of hydrogen peroxide mediates rapid wound detection in zebrafish. *Nature* **459**, 996-999, doi:http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v459/n7249/supinfo/nature08119_S1.html (2009).
- 37 Moreira, S., Stramer, B., Evans, I., Wood, W. & Martin, P. Prioritization of Competing Damage and Developmental Signals by Migrating Macrophages in the Drosophila Embryo. *Current Biology* **20**, 464-470, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.01.047 (2010).
- 38 Pirotte, N. *et al.* Reactive Oxygen Species in Planarian Regeneration: An Upstream Necessity for Correct Patterning and Brain Formation. *Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity* **2015**, 392476, doi:10.1155/2015/392476 (2015).
- 39 Andersson, D. A., Gentry, C., Moss, S. & Bevan, S. Transient receptor potential A1 is a sensory receptor for multiple products of oxidative stress. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **28**, 2485-2494, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.5369-07.2008 (2008).
- 40 Bessac, B. F. *et al.* TRPA1 is a major oxidant sensor in murine airway sensory neurons. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **118**, 1899-1910, doi:10.1172/JCI34192 (2008).
- 41 Du, E. J. *et al.* Nucleophile sensitivity of Drosophila TRPA1 underlies light-induced feeding deterrence. *eLife* **5**, doi:10.7554/eLife.18425 (2016).
- 42 Guntur, A. R. *et al.* H₂O₂-Sensitive Isoforms of Drosophila melanogaster TRPA1 Act in Bitter-Sensing Gustatory Neurons to Promote Avoidance of UV During Egg-Laying. *Genetics* **205**, 749-759, doi:10.1534/genetics.116.195172 (2017).
- 43 Guntur, A. R. *et al.* Drosophila TRPA1 isoforms detect UV light via photochemical production of H₂O₂. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **112**, E5753-5761, doi:10.1073/pnas.1514862112 (2015).
- 44 Xu, C., Luo, J., He, L., Montell, C. & Perrimon, N. Oxidative stress induces stem cell proliferation via TRPA1/RyR-mediated Ca²⁺ signaling in the Drosophila midgut. *eLife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.22441 (2017).
- 45 Kim, M. J. & Johnson, W. A. ROS-mediated activation of Drosophila larval nociceptor neurons by UVC irradiation. *BMC neuroscience* **15**, 14, doi:10.1186/1471-2202-15-14 (2014).
- 46 Birkholz, T. R. & Beane, W. S. The planarian TRPA1 homolog mediates extraocular behavioral responses to near ultraviolet light. *The Journal of experimental biology*, doi:10.1242/jeb.152298 (2017).
- 47 Miyake, T. *et al.* Cold sensitivity of TRPA1 is unveiled by the prolyl hydroxylation blockade-induced sensitization to ROS. *Nature communications* **7**, 12840, doi:10.1038/ncomms12840 (2016).

- 48 Kalyanaraman, B. *et al.* Measuring reactive oxygen and nitrogen species with fluorescent probes: challenges and limitations. *Free radical biology & medicine* **52**, 1-6, doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.09.030 (2012).
- 49 Gutscher, M. *et al.* Proximity-based protein thiol oxidation by H₂O₂-scavenging peroxidases. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **284**, 31532-31540, doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.059246 (2009).
- 50 Albrecht, S. C., Barata, A. G., Grosshans, J., Teleman, A. A. & Dick, T. P. In vivo mapping of hydrogen peroxide and oxidized glutathione reveals chemical and regional specificity of redox homeostasis. *Cell metabolism* **14**, 819-829, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2011.10.010 (2011).
- 51 Bayne, A. C., Mockett, R. J., Orr, W. C. & Sohal, R. S. Enhanced catabolism of mitochondrial superoxide/hydrogen peroxide and aging in transgenic *Drosophila*. *The Biochemical journal* **391**, 277-284, doi:10.1042/BJ20041872 (2005).
- 52 Rzezniczak, T. Z., Douglas, L. A., Watterson, J. H. & Merritt, T. J. Paraquat administration in *Drosophila* for use in metabolic studies of oxidative stress. *Analytical biochemistry* **419**, 345-347, doi:10.1016/j.ab.2011.08.023 (2011).
- 53 Inoue, T., Hoshino, H., Yamashita, T., Shimoyama, S. & Agata, K. Planarian shows decision-making behavior in response to multiple stimuli by integrative brain function. *Zoological letters* **1**, 7, doi:10.1186/s40851-014-0010-z (2015).
- 54 Umesono, Y., Tasaki, J., Nishimura, K., Inoue, T. & Agata, K. Regeneration in an evolutionarily primitive brain--the planarian *Dugesia japonica* model. *The European journal of neuroscience* **34**, 863-869, doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07819.x (2011).

Supplementary Information Line

Supplementary information is linked to the on-line version of the paper at www.nature.com

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Tracey and R. Carthew for reagents. Andrew Kuang and Leah Vinson for technical assistance, Lindsey Macpherson, David Yarmolinsky and members of the Gallio Lab for comments on the manuscript, Indira Raman for technical advice and Marcus Stensmyr for the kind gift of the fly drawing in Fig1. Work in the Gallio lab is supported by NIH grant R01NS086859 (to M.G.), the Chicago Biomedical Consortium with support from the Searle Funds at the Chicago Community Trust (to E.E.Z.), and by training grant 2T32MH067564 (to O.M.A.). Work in the Petersen Lab is supported by an NIH Director's New Innovator award (1DP2DE024365-01).

Author contributions

M.G. designed the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper with critical input from all authors; O.M.A. performed all planarian behavioral experiments and electrophysiology and analyzed the corresponding data. E.E.Z. performed all fly rescue experiments and ROS assays and analyzed the corresponding data. A.P. cloned *Smed-TRPA1*, produced rescue constructs and transgenics and analyzed sequences with help from C.P.P; A.P. O.M.A and C.V.D. performed Q-PCR and ISH experiments. E.E.Z and O.M.A generated human-TRPA1 expressing flies.

Author information

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.G. (marco.gallio@northwestern.edu).




Figure 1: *Smed-TRPA1* is required for noxious heat avoidance in the planarian worm *S. mediterranea*. **a)** Phylogeny of Bilateria, showing the position of *Schmidtea* (*C. elegans* is circled). **b)** Phylogenetic tree constructed from an alignment of full-length TRPA1 protein sequences from a variety of species, *Smed-TRPA1* is circled and a model of the channel's structure is shown (circles=ankyrin repeats, cylinders=transmembrane domains). **c)** 2-choice assay for heat avoidance. In each trial two opposing floor tiles are set to 24°C and two to 32°C (noxious heat). Tracks of two worms during one such trial are shown in green and purple. Unlike wild-type, controls (*unc22* RNAi), and *ap2* RNAi, *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi animals were not confined to the cool quadrants. **d)** Avoidance index for 32°C for RNAi animals. *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi animals show a reduced avoidance index for heat (N= 5 groups of 10 animals, *P< 0.05, ANOVA). **e)** *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi does not impact the animal's speed of movement (N=10 animals; n.s. = not significantly different). **f-i)** *In situ* hybridization with a *Smed-TRPA1* probe in **(g)** Control (*unc22*) RNAi, **(h)** *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi and **(i)** *ap2* RNAi animals (head region, see **f**), demonstrates overall reduction of mRNA by *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi (independent quantification by Q-PCR is shown in **j**; N=4 replicates of 3 animals each, * = P<0.05, ANOVA). **k)** In contrast, *ap2* RNAi reduces the number of *Smed-TRPA1*-expressing cells in the brain region, but not in the periphery (N=9 animals, * = P< 0.001, t-test); in all box plots, edges of the boxes = first and third quartiles, line = median, whiskers = data range, crosses = outliers.




Figure 2: *Smed-TRPA1* is required for behavioral avoidance of the irritant chemical AITC. **a)** Two-chamber arena designed to quantify behavioral avoidance of chemical agonists of TRPA1. Planarian worms are introduced in chamber 1 in the presence of a mock Agar pellet (empty squares) or Agar+AITC (50 mM; red squares); their movement is then recorded for 5 minutes. The panels are maximum-projections of 5' movies, illustrating the extent of worm movement (white tracks). **b)** In the presence of agar alone, control (*unc22*), *ap2* and *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi worms do not readily cross the narrow channel connecting chambers 1 and 2. In the presence of AITC, both control (*unc22*) and *ap2* RNAi worms exit chamber 1 and explore chamber 2. In contrast, *Smed-TRPA1* RNAi animals overwhelmingly remain in chamber 1 (N= 5 groups of 10 animals, fraction was calculated on the last 1' of video; * = P<0.01, ANOVA comparing fraction of animals in chamber 1 or 2 across treatments; edges of the boxes = first and third quartiles, line = median, whiskers = data range, crosses = outliers).




Figure 3: *Smed-TRPA1* expressed in *Drosophila* cells is activated by AITC but not by heat. **a)** S2R+ *Drosophila* cells voltage clamped at -60mV were stimulated by heat (red trace) and by bath application of AITC (500μM, grey bar). AITC application (but not heating) resulted in an inward current. **b)** Current/Voltage relationship from averages of three step protocols done at room temperature (blue trace), during the heat stimulation (red trace), and at the end of AITC application (orange trace; note that the timing of each set of measurements is also labeled as **A**, **B** and **C** on the trace shown in panel A). **c)** Current density (Max/capacitance) at 32°C and in the presence of AITC recorded in mock-transfected, *dTRPA1-A* transfected, and *Smed-TRPA1* transfected cells. Black line = mean; Colored boxes = +/- STD; Grey empty boxes = 95% Confidence Interval. **d).** Dose-response for AITC activation of Smed TRPA1 (AV±STD; n=5 cells/condition; mustard flower and seed represent an iconic source of AITC).




Figure 4: Functional expression of Smed-TRPA1 *in vivo* in adult *Drosophila* further demonstrates that the channel is sensitive to AITC but not to heat (°C). **a)** Adult fruit flies expressing either Smed-TRPA1 or –as a control- the intrinsically heat sensitive *Drosophila* TRPA1-A splice variant, throughout the nervous system (under the control of *elav-Gal4*) were subjected to a brief step at 35°C (a temperature which does not normally impair fly activity). TRPA1-A expressing flies are readily and reversibly incapacitated by heat (presumably because of simultaneous depolarization of neurons, caused by channel opening) and fall to the bottom of the tube; Smed-TRPA1 flies appear instead unaffected. **b)** Quantification of the experiment in **a**. Blue trace = pooled controls (*elav/+; UAS-Smed-TRPA1/+; UAS-TRPA1-A/+*; N=4 groups of 10 animals for each, tested separately); purple trace = experimental animals (*elav-Gal4>UAS-Smed-TRPA1*; N=4 groups of 10 animals); orange trace = positive control (*elav-Gal4>UAS-TRPA1-A*; N=4 groups of 10 animals; for all traces shaded area \pm SEM). **c)** Adult flies expressing either Smed-TRPA1 or TRPA1-A were reversibly incapacitated by brief exposure to AITC vapors (see methods for details; Groups and Ns as above; shaded area \pm SEM).




Figure 5: Across-phyllum rescue of *Drosophila* TRPA1 mutant phenotypes by planarian and human TRPA1. **a**) In a 2-choice assay, wild type *Drosophila* flies robustly avoid noxious heat (40°C). In contrast, TRPA1¹ mutants explore more readily the 40°C quadrants (the panels are maximum-projections of 3' movies, illustrating the extent of fly movement, temperature in °C is indicated next to each quadrant). **b**) Schematic of the rescue experiments. **c**) Avoidance index of wild-type (black boxes), TRPA1¹ mutants (red), rescues (yellow, brown, green), and control genotypes (grey). TRPA1¹ mutants display a significantly lower avoidance index for heat. Pan-neural expression (under the control of elav-Gal4) of mRNA encoding *Drosophila* TRPA1-C (a splice variant encoding a channel that is not heat-sensitive, yellow), Smed-TRPA1 (brown), or human TRPA1 (green, each under a UAS- promoter) significantly rescues noxious heat avoidance (40°C). Control genotypes: elav driver/+; TRPA1¹ and UAS-transgene/+; TRPA1¹ (see methods for full genotypes). Avoidance index values for each test temperature were compared by unpaired t-tests (wt vs TRPA1¹, P<0.001) or two-way ANOVAs (for all other genotypes), were asterisks denote a significant interaction between the Gal4 and UAS transgene (* = P<0.01). Thick line = mean; Colored boxes = +/- STD; Grey empty boxes = 95% Confidence Interval.




Figure 6: H₂O₂/ROS as a signal for TRPA1 activation during noxious heat responses. **a)** Heterologously expressed *Smed-TRPA1* is activated by H₂O₂. **b)** Dose-response of H₂O₂ activation for *Drosophila* TRPA1-C (yellow trace and points), and *Smed-TRPA1* (brown trace and points, AV±STD, n=5 cells/condition). **c-e)** The ROS dye Carboxy-H₂DCFDA demonstrates in vivo ROS production in response to heat in living planarians. **c** and **d)** Representative frames of tissues and cells undergoing rapid fluorescent changes in response to heat. **e)** An ROI around the cell in **d** (arrow) and the square, light green box are plotted as traces. **f)** Exposure of planarian worms to 35°C for 30" results in a significant increase in fluorescence. **g)** Carboxy-H₂DCFDA fluorescence in response to heating in *Drosophila* salivary gland tissue (AV±SD). **h)** Exposure of salivary glands to 40°C for 1" results in a significant fluorescence increase (in **f** and **h**, * = P<0.05; n=5/condition). **i)** Acute feeding with pro-oxidants sensitizes adult *Drosophila* to heat. Paraquat (orange bars) or H₂O₂ (yellow bars) feeding, results in increased heat avoidance in a 2-choice behavioral assay in both wild-type and heterozygous TRPA1/+ controls (* = P<0.05). In contrast, heat avoidance does not increase in TRPA1 mutants (n.s. =not significant; n = 11-21. In **f**, **h** and **i**: Red line = mean; Colored boxes = +STD; Grey empty boxes = 95% Confidence Interval).