Genomic evidence for population specific selection in Nilo-Saharan and Niger-

Congo linguistic groups in Africa

Julius Mulindwa[1], Harry Noyes[2], Hamidou Ilboudo[3], Oscar Nyangiri[1], Mathurin

Koffi[4], Dieudonne Mumba[5], Gustave Simo[6], John Enyaru[1], John Chisi[7], Martin

Simuunza[8], Pius Alibu[1], Veerle Lejon[9], Vincent Jamonneau[9], Annette Macleod[10],

Bruno Bucheton[9.11], Christiane Hertz-Fowler[2], Issa Sidibe[3], Enock Matovu[1] for the

TrypanoGEN Research Group, as members of The H3Africa Consortium.

[1]Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso; [2]Centre for Genomic

Research, University of Liverpool, UK; [3]Centre International de Recherche-Développement sur

l'Elevage en zone Subhumide (CIRDES); [4]Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé (UJLoG), Daloa, Côte

d'Ivoire; [5]Institut National de Recherche Biomedicale, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo;

[6]Faculty of Science, University of Dschang, Cameroon; [7]University of Malawi, College of Medicine,

Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Blantyre, Malawi; [8]Department of Disease Control, School of

Veterinary Medicine, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia; [9]Institut de Recherche pour le

Développement (IRD), IRD-CIRAD 177, Montpellier, France; [10]Wellcome Center for Molecular

Parasitology, University Place, Glasgow, UK; [11]Programme National de Lutte contre la

Trypanosomose Humaine Africaine, Conakry, Guinea

1.0 Abstract

Background: There are over 2000 genetically diverse ethno-linguistic groups in

Africa that could help decipher human evolutionary history and the genetic basis of

phenotypic variation. We have analysed 298 genomes from Niger-Congo populations

from six sub-Saharan African countries (Uganda, Democratic Republic of Congo,

Cameroon, Zambia, Ivory Coast, Guinea) and a Nilo-Saharan population from

Uganda. These samples were collected as part of the TrypanoGEN consortium project

http://www.trypanogen.net.

Results: The population genetic structure of the 298 individuals revealed four clusters

which correlated with ethno-linguistic group and geographical latitude, that is, West

African Niger-Congo A, Central African Niger Congo, East African Niger-Congo B

and the Nilo-Saharan.

We observed a spatial distribution of positive natural selection signatures in genes

associated with AIDS, Tuberculosis, Malaria and Human African Trypanosomiasis

among the TrypanoGEN samples. Having observed a marked difference between the

Nilo-Saharan Lugbara and Niger-Congo populations, we identified four genes

[APOBEC3G, TOP2B, CAPN9, LANCL2, (_iHS $-\log p > 3.0$, Rsb $-\log p > 3.0$, Fst

> 0.1 bonferroni $p > 1.8 \times 10e4$)], which are highly differentiated between the two

ethnic groups and under positive selection in the Lugbara population.

Conclusion: The signatures that differentiate ethnically distinct populations provide

information on the specific ecological adaptations with respect to disease history and

susceptibility/ resistance; as demonstrated in this study where *APOBEG3G* is believed

to be involved in the susceptibility of the Nilo-Saharan Lugbara population to

Hepatitis B virus infection.

2.0 Background

The African continent's ethno-lingual groups have been classified into four major

families, Afro-Asiatic, Nilo-Saharan, Niger-Congo, and Khoisan (Blench 2006). The

Afro-Asiatic which includes the Semitic, Cushitic, and ancient Egyptian languages, is

spoken predominantly by northern and eastern African pastoralists and agro-

pastoralists; the Nilo-Saharan, which includes the Central Sudanic and Eastern

Sudanic (Nilotic) languages, is spoken predominantly by eastern and central Saharan pastoralists; the Niger-Congo languages are subdivided into the Niger-Congo A in West Africa and the Niger-Congo B or Bantu in Central, Southern and Eastern Africa (Greenberg 1963; Lewis et al. 2009). Fourteen ancestral population clusters have been identified amongst these groups that correlate with shared cultural and linguistic affiliations (Tishkoff et al. 2009). These 14 ancestral populations break down further into over 2000 ethnically diverse linguistic groups (Bryc et al. 2010; Tishkoff and Williams 2002).

The diversity of ethno-linguistic groups can be used to study human evolutionary history and the genetic basis of phenotypic variation (Tishkoff et al. 2009), complementing studies of African genotype variations (Tishkoff et al. 2009; Gurdasani et al. 2015; Busby et al. 2016; Patin et al. 2017) which have contributed to the understanding of human origins and disease susceptibility markers.

However, samples from sufficient individuals for population analysis have been sequenced from relatively few African populations. The 1000 genome project generated data from five Niger-Congo populations, The African Variome project added Afro-Asiatic populations and there have been small scale studies of the Khoisan hunter-gatherers (Kim et al. 2014; Mallick et al. 2016; Tishkoff et al. 2009; Gurdasani et al. 2015). However no sequences of Nilotic populations have been published to date although one previous study used 200,000 SNP loci to examine genetic diversity of the Nilo-Saharan speaking population of southern Sudan Darfurian and Nuba people (Dobon et al. 2015). In the present study we present the first genome sequences of a Nilo-Saharan population and genome sequences from six new Niger-Congo populations.

3.0 Results

3.1 Samples and sequencing

The samples used for this study were collected by the TrypanoGEN consortium and consisted of 298 individuals from 19 linguistic groups residents of Guinea, Ivory Coast, Cameroon, Democratic Republic of Congo, Uganda and Zambia (Table1). The DNA from the study participant's blood samples was extracted and genomes were sequenced on the Illumina 2500 at 10X coverge, except for the Zambia and Cameroon samples that were sequenced at 30X coverage.

Following mapping and SNP calling, we identified approximately 34.1 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and 5.3 million insertion/deletion polymorphisms (Table 2). We identified 2.02 million variants that did not have rsIDs and we hence consider them 'novel'. The SNPs had a transition-transversion ratio of 2.0 (Supplementary figure S1), implying good quality SNP calls (DePristo et al. 2011). Prior to population analysis, variants (SNPs and Indels) were filtered by removing loci with >10% missing data, MAF < 0.05 or Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) P-value < 0.01. 13 individuals with > 10% SNP loci missing were removed from the data (Table 2). To our 298 samples, 504 additional samples from five African populations from the 1000 genomes project (Esan and Yoruba from Nigeria, Mende from Sierra Leone, Mandinka from Gambia and Luhya from Kenya), were included in some of our analyses.

3.2 Population stratification by Multiple Dimensional Scaling

Multiple Dimensional Scaling (MDS) implemented in Plink 1.9 was used to help visualise genetic distances between samples (Figure 1). All TrypanoGEN samples

clustered by country except those in Uganda, where the Nilo-Saharan Lugbara

samples formed a distinct cluster from the Basoga samples. When the samples from

the six TrypanoGEN and the four African 1000 genomes project countries were

merged, five groups representing five major geographic groups were observed (Figure

1B): the Uganda Nilo-Saharan; East African Bantu speakers from Uganda and

Kenya; Central African Bantu speakers from Cameroon, DRC and Zambia; Nigerian

Niger-Congo A speakers (Esan and Yoruba);. West African Niger-Congo A speakers

from the Ivory Coast, Gambia, Sierra Leone and Guinea. The African and European

samples were very distinct (Figure 1C). Since all samples except Ugandan Bantu and

Nilo-Saharan clustered by country by MDS, samples were grouped by country for

subsequent analyses, except for the Uganda samples which were grouped by both

country and linguistic group.

3.3 Population Admixture and differentiation

The amount of shared genetic ancestry within the samples was estimated using

Admixture (Alexander et al. 2009). Admixture was run on 2-8 population clusters (K)

in triplicate; with K=4, K=5 and K=6 having the lowest cross validation errors and

hence the most probable numbers of ancestral components represented in the data

(Supplementary Figure S2). At K=6 The Niger-Congo populations exhibited 17-60%

admixture with minor ancestries, whilst the Ugandan Nilo-Saharan population had 7%

admixture with Niger Congo ancestries (Figure 2A).

At K4 one European and three ancestral African populations were observed which

corresponded to Nilo-Saharan, Niger-Congo-B (East African) and Niger-Congo-A

(West African). At K5 a homogeneous group of seven samples emerged within the

Zambia population with no admixture with other populations in our data set and were

also outliers on the MDS plot (Figure 1B). These seven were recorded as

Soli/Chikunda speakers, which are Bantu languages but they had no admixture at

(K=5 and K=6) with the other speakers of this language group from Zambia or any

other group included in this study, suggesting that they were from a quite distinct

population. At K6, a major group appeared that contributed ancestry to both East

African Niger-Congo B and West African Niger-Congo A but does not correspond to

any existing linguistic group.

The genetic variation within the populations that are part of the TrypanoGEN project

was estimated using the pairwise F_{ST} (Wright 1949) (Figure 2B, supplementary fig

S3). F_{ST} was relatively high between the Nilo-Shaharan Lugbara samples and the

African Bantu populations (Figure 2B) except the East African Basoga (population

mean $F_{ST} = 0.012$) and Luhya (population mean $F_{ST} = 0.011$), presumably due to the

30% admixture of Nilo-Saharan origin within these populations. The pattern of the

observed genetic variation was consistent with the relative geographic distance from

the Nilo-Saharan population (Figure 2C). In addition, a phylogenetic tree based on the

genetic distances between populations (F_{ST}) showed clustering of populations by

geographic region on the African continent (Figure 2D).

3.4 Population size over time and timing of population isolation.

The multiple sequentially Markovian coalescent (MSMC) was used to estimate

population sizes over time and times at which populations became isolated (Figure 3).

Effective population sizes (N_e) were relatively stable at around 13,000 in all

populations tested from 100 thousand years ago (kya) until about 50kya when they

started to decline reaching a nadir of about 8,000 about 13kya coinciding with the dry

period at the end of the last ice age (Figure 3A, Supplementary table S6). All

population sizes increased rapidly thereafter but the Niger-Congo populations

increased to an N_e of around 200,000, whilst the Nilotic population only increased to

60,000. The Ugandan Bantu population was intermediate in N_e presumably due to

admixture with the Nilotics. This post glacial population increase was briefly reversed

in the Central and West African populations which suffered declines of 6-23%

between 1500 and 750 years ago before recovering to even higher levels at the present

time. This decline in N_e was not observed in the Ugandan Bantu population, although

the growth rate declined, and in the Nilotic population a decline was observed at a

later time point after 750 years ago.

Population separation data is less clear and may be more sensitive to admixture

(Figure 3B). The Guinea and Ivory Coast populations were the least admixed and

appeared panmictic until about 10kya, and had become isolated by about 3kya. The

Ugandan Bantu and Ugandan Nilotic appeared to begin separating from other

populations about 23 and 47kya, respectively and became isolated about 3kya but

these estimations may be confounded by admixture.

3.5 Genome-wide screen for extended haplotypes under selection

Signatures within population

In order to identify alleles under selection pressure, we used the within population

Extended Haplotype Homozygosity (EHH) test (Sabeti et al. 2002). Similar patterns

of loci with extreme positive and negative iHS scores were observed across all groups

(Supplementary Figure S4A). The iHS values for all groups had an approximate

normal distribution (Supplementary Figure S5) implying that the sizes of iHS signals

from different SNPs in all the populations were comparable (Voight et al. 2006). The

mean number of loci with extreme positive and negative iHS score (–log p > 3) from

all groups was 8,984, Guinea had the largest number of loci with extreme iHS score

(11,401) and Zambia had the least (5,570) (Table 3, Supplementary Table S1). These

extreme loci were classified by the Ensembl annotation of the nearest gene.

Approximately 34% of these annotations were for protein coding genes; a mean of

3,058 SNPs in protein coding genes per population were associated with extreme iHS

scores. Some protein coding genes with extreme iHS SNP loci were shared between

different Countries whereas some occurred only in a single Country population

(Supplementary Table S1, sheet 'ALLpop.protein_coding'). We observed strong iHS

signatures in genes that have been previously identified in other African populations

as being under strong selection (Voight et al. 2006; Gurdasani et al. 2015; Sabeti et al.

2007). These included *SYT1,* a synaptosomal protein implicated in Alzheimer's

disease (Yoo et al. 2001) was found in all Country populations, *LARGE* a glycosylase

involved in Lasser fever virus binding (Andersen et al. 2012) (Zambia, Cameroon,

Ivory Coast), *CDK5RAP2,* a microcephaly gene controlling brain size (Bond et al.

2005) (Ugandan Bantu), *NCOA1* a transcriptional co-activator associated with

Lymphoma (Guinea, Ivory Coast, DRC), *SIGLEC12* involved in immune responses

(Crocker et al. 2007) (Zambia, Cameroon). Using the DAVID annotation (Huang et

al. 2008) we observed that all of the Country populations had strong signals that have

been implicated in communicable diseases such as HIV/AIDS, Malaria and

Tuberculosis that have the highest burden on the African continent (Bhutta et al.

2014) (Table 4), suggesting an adaptive role of these genes to infection.

Having collected samples from Human African Trypanosomiasis endemic regions, we

identified signatures that have been implicated in Trypanosome infection. These

signatures were observed in genes overlapping the KEGG calcium signalling pathway

(http://www.kegg.jp/)(Kanehisa et al. 2016); *F2RL1* (Guinea, Ivory Coast), *GNA14*

(Zambia), *GNAQ* (Cameroon), *GNAL* (Guinea, Cameroon), *GNAS* (Zambia),

identified mainly from mice studies (Grab 2009). The calcium signalling pathway

regulates permeability of the blood brain barrier to trypanosome parasites during CNS

disease (Nikolskaia et al. 2006). In addition, we observed signatures in genes

overlapping the Mitogen-activated protein kinase MAPK pathway *MAPK1*

(Cameroon), *MAPK10* (Ugandan Nilo-Saharan, DRC, Ugandan Bantu), *MAPK9*

(Zambia); which is targeted by trypanosomatids in order to modulate the host's

immune response (Soares-Silva et al. 2016). These host signalling pathways have

been shown to play a role in host immunity against trypanosome infection in mice and

cattle (Noyes et al. 2011).

Signatures unique to Nilo-Saharans

In order to determine which signatures are unique to the Nilo-Saharan Lugbara, we

first ascertained which extreme iHS loci (-log p > 3) were common to the Nilo-

Saharan and one or more Niger-Congo groups. We observed that approximately 15%

of the protein coding gene associated extreme iHS SNPs of the Ugandan Bantu, DRC,

Ivory Coast and Guinea groups were common with the Nilo-Saharan group, whereas

Cameroon and Zambian groups had 2.7% in common (Table 3, supplementary figure

S4B). 149 extreme SNPs associated with protein coding genes were unique to the

Uganda Nilo-Saharan (Supplementary table S2). Using the PANTHER Gene ontology

database (Thomas et al. 2003), we observed that these unique genes were mainly

enriched for cellular and metabolic process proteins (approximately 50.8%)

(Supplementary figure S6). Amongst these were SNPs associated with genes that

have also been shown by other studies to be under positive selection including,

APOBEC3G, which is involved in innate anti-viral immunity (Sawyer et al. 2004;

Zhang and Webb 2004), has protective alleles against HIV-1 in Biaka and Mbuti

pygmies of Central African Republic and DRC respectively (Zhao et al. 2012); *IFIH1*

(also called *MDA5*) is a cytoplasmic RNA receptor that mediates antiviral responses

by activating type I interferon signalling (Rice et al. 2014) but is also implicated in

protection against type 1 diabetes ((Nejentsev et al. 2009; Fumagalli et al. 2010);

OR2L13 olfactory receptor involved in activation of signal transduction pathway for

odorant recognition and discrimination (Sharon et al. 1999), and is associated with

Diabetic nephropathy in African Americans (Bailey et al. 2014).

Nilo-Saharan versus Niger-Congo cross population signatures

There were 299 SNP with high F_{ST} (above 99th percentile) and XPEHH (Rsb –log p

> 3) in the regions of protein coding genes that were also highly differentiated

between the Nilo-Saharan and Niger-Congo populations (Supplementary table S3).

We then compared SNP loci with derived alleles that are unique to the Nilo-Saharans

and occur in highly differentiated genes (extreme Rsb, high Fst) between the Nilo-

Saharan and Niger-Congo groups. From this we identified 12 genes (Table 5,

Supplementary figure S8B) including the *APOBEC3G* gene that are highly

differentiated between the Nilo-Saharan and Niger-Congo groups (mean F_{ST} 0.11,

Rsb –log p 4.1). *APOBEC3G* also contains the SNP rs112077004, which was

observed to be under positive selection in the Nilo-Saharans (Figure 4, Supplementary

figure S9).

4. Discussion

We have analysed the genomes of 298 individuals from seven major groups of

samples from six Sub-Saharan Africa Countries, investigating their admixture profile,

demographic histories and signatures of selection that differentiate the major

linguistic groups. The MDS analysis identified five major clusters: Nilo-Saharan, two

Niger-Congo A groups from Nigeria and West Africa and two groups of Niger-Congo

B (Bantu) speakers from Central and East Africa, which were consistent with

previous studies (Tishkoff et al. 2009; Gomez et al. 2014; Gurdasani et al. 2015). The

samples represented three of the five major linguistic groups in Africa. Afro-Asiatic

speakers are found across North and North-East Africa in regions adjacent to Nilo-

Sharan and Bantu speakers. Afro-Asiatic reference populations were not included in

this study and we are therefore not able to detect any admixture from this source.

However a SNP genotype based analysis of Nilotic populations indicated that Nilotic

populations only contain a trace of Afro-Asiatic ancestry and therefore our

observations on East African populations may not be significantly limited by the

absence of Afro-Asiatic data (Dobon et al. 2015).

Admixture: Niger-Congo speaking hunter-gathers are believed to have originated

from the Kordofanian speakers of the Nuba mountains of Sudan and then traversed

the Sahel to Mali (Figure 5). They then colonised the coast from Senegal to Nigeria

and Cameroon, over several thousand years forming multiple linguistic groups. The

Bantu (Niger-Congo-B) speaking people emerged as another linguistic group amongst

the greater than 60 Niger-Congo-A groups in the Nigeria/Cameroon region about

3,000 years ago. Bantu speaking peoples then spread South and East along savannah

corridors through the Congo basin and emerged in the Great Lakes region and spread

North to the Lake Victoria region and South down the East Side of Africa

(Grollemund et al. 2015; Patin et al. 2017). This rapid expansion is believed to have

been enabled by the development of agriculture and later enhanced by the acquisition

of iron tools (Tishkoff et al. 2009).

The admixture analysis at K=4 is consistent with this linguistic history and recent

genetic analyses (Patin et al. 2017; Gurdasani et al. 2015) with three African

Ancestral allele clusters (AAC) which can be interpreted as representing Niger-Congo

A languages in West Africa, Niger-Congo B (Bantu) in Central and East Africa and

Nilo-Saharan in Northern Uganda. The Niger-Congo-A speakers in extreme West

Africa appear to have approximately 10% Nilo-Saharan ancestry, consistent with an

ancestral relationship with Nilo-Saharans and this declines towards the East. The

Bantu speakers are a mix of Niger-Congo-A and a distinct putative Bantu ancestral

cluster that it at highest frequency in Nigeria and Cameroon, the Niger-Congo-A

component is displaced by a Nilotic component with easterly latitude whilst the

"Bantu" component remains constant. At K=5 a small AAC of 7 Bantu speakers from

Zambia emerges, who evidently have a genetic heritage that does not match their self-

declared linguistic affiliation, and may be of Khoisan descent. At K=6 a fourth major

African AAC appears (green in Figure 2) with strongest representation in the Nigerian

Yoruba and Esan then tapering off east and west into Central and West Africa. This

does not correspond to any linguistic group and displaces the Niger-Congo-A ancestry

to the east of Nigeria and Niger-Congo-B (Bantu) in Nigeria and to the West. This

ancestral cluster could represent a secondary movement out of Nigeria of migrants

who adopted their hosts language. One possible driver for such a migration, if it

occurred, was the development of iron smelting which may have originated in Nigeria

about 2,500 years ago (Vansina 2006). Irrespective of the true number of ancestral

allele clusters there is evidence of back migration of people with Bantu ancestral

alleles into West Africa as has been observed before (Gomez et al. 2014). This

migration to the west was not accompanied by language expansion as it was to the

east.

Population History: The estimates of current N_e obtained from our data with MCMS

(Fig 3A) of around 200,000 in West and Central Africa and 57,000-125,000 in East

Africa (Supplementary Table S6) was consistent with previous observations on other

African samples using the same method (Schiffels and Durbin 2014) but ten times

higher than the estimates of around 20,000 obtained from SNP chip genotype data

(Shriner et al. 2014). The faster growth in the Niger-Congo A and B than the Nilotic

populations appears to predate the Bantu expansion. The Niger-Congo A population

was believed to be expanding through West Africa as the climate became wetter after

10kya, consistent with the separation times between the Guinea and Ivory Coast

populations observed on the Cross-Coalescence Plot (Figure 3B). The Nilotics

population developed a pastoralist economy probably after 6kya but their expansion

into the tsetse belt may have been delayed by trypanosomiasis and other diseases until

the cattle developed tolerance (Gifford-Gonzalez 2000) (Smetko et al. 2015) (Chritz

et al. 2015) and the effective population size did not grow so fast as that of the Niger-

Congo-A populations. The brief population decline dated at ~1340CE by MSMC

coincides with the timing of the Black Death (1343-1353), however time resolution is

low and the decrease was only observed at a single time point. There is evidence of

abandonment of multiple large settlements throughout West Africa around the time of

the Black Death and there is speculation that this was caused by the disease (Chouin

2015). The decrease at this time appears to have impacted the West and Central

African Niger-Congo but not the East African populations. Both Bantu and Nilotic

populations in East Africa were cattle keepers and pastoralists to varying degrees

(Chritz et al. 2015) and the concomitant lower population density and mobile lifestyle

may have made them less vulnerable than the more settled and urbanised West

Africans to plague infection. The more recent decline in the Nilotic Lugbara effective

population size is unexplained, but the catastrophic Rinderpest outbreak in the 1880's

and 1890's that killed up 90% of indigenous cattle, which lead to the depopulation of

the East African savannahs and may have ended the dominance of the Nilotic

speaking Maasai over the Bantu Kikuyu could have been a contributory factor (Mack

1970).

The Cross-Coalescence plots for comparison between populations other than the

Guinea and Ivory Coast Niger-Congo-A show long periods of separation. This is not

consistent with the Ugandan Bantu populations having separated from Niger-Congo-

A populations even more recently than the separation between Guinea and Ivory

Coast populations, and is presumably due to the extensive admixture with the Nilotics

observed in this population. The Central African cross-coalescence data also indicated

older separation times than linguistic evidence suggests (not shown) and although

there was less evidence of admixture in this population these data should be treated

with caution.

Selective Sweeps: We identified selective sweeps in genes that have been associated

with HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria. Given the high prevalence of these

infections on the continent (Bhutta et al. 2014), there is increased frequency of these

beneficial heritable traits hence positive natural selection. However not all these genes

occurred in all the populations demonstrating spatially varying selection probably due

to differing environmental pressures (Gillespie 1994; Thorne et al. 1998).

We identified signatures in genes that are involved in pathways implicated in

trypanosome infection: calcium signalling, (Grab et al. 2009; Nikolskaia et al. 2006),

the MAPK pathway (Noyes et al. 2011), *HPR, APOL1, IL6 and HLAG,* (Hardwick et

al. 2013; Genovese et al. 2010; Cooper et al. 2017; Courtin et al. 2013; 2007)

(Supplementary figure S10, Supplementary table S5). We only found evidence for

selection for the calcium signalling and MAPK pathway genes. This suggests that

HAT may have had a selective force in these populations.,

In order to determine signatures of selection unique to the Nilo-Saharan population,

we used a combination of linkage disequilibrium-based method (iHS and Rsb) and

population differentiation based method (F_{ST}) (2013a). Using this approach we

identified 12 loci associated with coding genes, which are unique to the Nilo-Saharan

Lugbara population and highly differentiated from the Niger-Congo population.

Among these was the variant associated with *APOBEC3G* that demonstrated

significant positive selection in the Lugbara Nilo-Saharan population. This protein is

involved in viral innate immunity (2003a), by inducing a high rate of dC to dU

mutations in the nascent reverse transcripts leading to the degradation of the viral

genome (2004c; 2004a). The Lugbara have relatively low prevalence of HIV (4%) in

comparison to the Basoga (6.4%) and Baganda (10.7%) Bantu groups of Uganda but

relatively high prevalence of Hepattis B suggesting that either *APOBEC3G* does not

control both these viruses or it has different effects on each (2011b)(2003b; 2003c)

(2009a; 2013b). (2017).

We also identified the missense variant rs10930046 (T/C) located in the *IFIH1* CDS,

which was unique to the Nilo-Saharan Lugbara and highly selected (iHS –log p-value

3.264). This gene is associated with up regulation of type I interferon signalling

occurring in a spectrum of human diseases (2014a) and is believed to be involved in

the suppression of Hepatitis B viral replication (2013d). Being a nonsynonymous

variant, rs10930046 could alter the functioning of IFIH1 and thus increase

susceptibility to HBV in the Lugbara population, something that could be tested by a

candidate gene study for DNA virus infections. Northern Uganda is considered to

have one of the highest prevalence of Hepatitis B virus in the world (2015a) which

has perhaps resulted in a unique adaption of the Lugbara Nilo-Saharan population to

infection.

5. Conclusion

We have incorporated a Nilo-Saharan population into a analysis of genomic

sequences of Niger-Congo populations for the first time and show extensive

admixture between Nilo-Saharan ancestry and Niger-Congo B (Bantu) populations.

We show evidence for signatures of selection the Nilo-Saharan population in genes

associated with communicable diseases that have different prevalences from

surrounding Bantu (Niger-Congo B) populations.

6. Materials and Methods

Ethical approval and sample collection

The samples used for this study are part of the TrypanoGEN biobank (Ilboudo et al.

2017), which describes ethics approval, recruitment, sample processing and the meta

data collected. The ethical approval for the study was provided by the national ethics

councils of the TrypanoGEN consortium countries involved in the sample collection

which are: Uganda (HS 1344), Zambia (011-09-13), Democratic Republic of Congo

(No 1/2013), Cameroon (2013/364/L/CNERSH/SP), Cote d'Ivoˆıre (2014/No

38/MSLS/CNER-dkn), and Guinea (1-22/04/2013). All the participants in the study

were guided through the consent forms, and written consent was obtained to collect

biological specimens. Peripheral blood was collected from the participants at the field

sites, transported to reference laboratories from where DNA extraction was carried

out using the Whole blood MidiKit (Qiagen). The DNA was quantified using the

Qubit (Qiagen) and approximately 1μg was shipped from each country to the

University of Liverpool, UK except for Cameroon and Zambia from where DNA was

shipped to Baylor College, USA.

6.1 Sequencing and SNP calling

The whole genome sequencing libraries were prepared using the Illumina Truseq

PCR-free kit and sequencing done using the Illumina Hiseq2500. The samples from

Guinea, Cote D'Ivoire, Uganda and DRC were sequenced to 10x coverage at the

Center for Genomic Research at the University of Liverpool. The samples from

Zambia and Cameroon were sequenced to 30X at the Baylor College of Medicine

Sequencing Facility.

The sequenced reads were mapped onto the 1000 genomes project

human_g1k_v37_decoy reference genome using BWA. The SNP calling on all the

samples was done using the genome analysis tool kit GATK v3.4. The SNPs were

then filtered by; a) removing loci with > 10% missing SNP, b) removing individuals

with > 10% missing SNP loci and c) removing loci with Hardy Weinberg P value <

0.01. In addition, loci with MAF < 0.05 were also removed for the PCA and

Admixture analysis. The variant annotation was done using snpEff

(www.snpeff.sourceforge.net).

6.2 PCA analysis

The principal component analyses (PCA) were performed using Plink 1.9 and R v

3.2.1. Data were filtered using the following criteria: a) removing loci with > 10%

missing SNP, b) removing individuals with > 10% missing SNP loci and c) removing

loci with Hardy Weinberg P value < 0.01, removing loci with minor allele frequencies

(MAF) < 0.05. SNP loci less than 2000bp apart were removed in order to reduce the

linkage disequilibrium (LD) between adjacent SNP. PCA was carried out for (i) all

TrypanoGEN data , (ii) all TrypanoGEN data plus African 1000 genome data, (iii) all

TrypanoGEN data including 50 European and all African 1000 genome data

excluding African Caribbean in Barbados (ACB) and African Southwest USA (ASW)

populations.

6.3 Population Admixture

The population ancestry of each individual was obtained using Admixture 1.23

(Alexander et al., 2009) on the filtered PLINK .bed files on the same TrypanoGEN,

one thousand genome African and European population data sets analysed by PCA.

Admixture was run on K1 to K8 for which three replicates were done for each run.

The Admixture plots were drawn using the R tool 'strplot' (Ramasamy et al. 2014).

6.4 Genetic diversity: Fst

The genetic diversity due to difference in allele frequency among populations was

analysed by the inter-population Wright's Fst (Wright, 1951) in PLINKv1.9. The Fst

estimates were made between TrypanoGEN (UGN, UGB, DRC, CIV, GUI) and one

thousand genome African (LWK, YRI, ESN, MSL, GWD) populations. The F_{ST}

dendrogram was generated using Fitch in Phylip3.685 (1993). The geographic

distance matrix between populations was calculated based on their global position

system (GPS) coordinates (2011a).

6.5 Population History.

Population sizes and divergence times were calculated using MSMC (Schiffels and

Durbin 2014). Since PCA and Admixture analysis had indicated little difference

between linguistic groups in each country with the exception of the Ugandan Bantu

and Nilotic populations, samples from each country with highest coverage were

analysed together except for Uganda where Bantu and Nilotic samples were analysed

as separate populations. For population size estimates output from 3 independent runs

each using 8 different haplotypes were combined. Using 8 haplotypes rather than 4

gives higher resolution at more recent time points. For estimates of relative cross

coalescence rate, three replicate runs were done, each using 2 different samples (4

haplotypes) from each pairwise comparison between populations. Results presented

are the means of the replicates.

6.6 Signatures of selection

The estimation of haplotypes was carried out by Phasing of the genotyped SNPs using

SHAPEIT v2.2 software (Delaneau et al., 2013). The extended haplotype

homozygosity (EHH) was then analysed using the R software package *rehh* (Gautier

et al., 2012). Two main EHH derived statistics were calculated from the phased

haplotype data, that is, intra-population integrated haplotype Score (iHS) (Voight et

al., 2006) and inter-population Rsb (Tang et al, 2007). Bedtools v2.26.0 was used to

identify the intersection of the Fst and Rsb loci.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to acknowledge the study participants who donated their

specimen, the personnel involved in the community engagement and coordinating

sample collection and processing, the National sleeping sickness control programes of

the participating Countries. Z Lombard (University of Witwatersrand) and D

Adeyemo (NHGRI) for facilitating sequencing of samples from Zambia and

Cameroon at Baylor College of Medicine. NIH grant XXXX for sequencing at

Baylor.

Disclosure declaration:

The authors declare no competing interests.

References:

Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry
in unrelated individuals. *Genome Res* **19**: 1655–1664.

Busby G, Band G, Si Le Q, Jallow M, Bougama E, Mangano V, Amenga-Etego L,
Emil A, Apinjoh T, Ndila C, et al. 2016. *Admixture into and within sub-Saharan*
Africa.

Chouin GLF. 2015. Fossés, enceintes et peste noire en Afrique de l'Ouest forestière
(500-1500 AD). *Afrique : Archeologie et Arts* 43–66.

Chritz KL, Marshall FB, Zagal ME, Kirera F, Cerling TE. 2015. Environments and
trypanosomiasis risks for early herders in the later Holocene of the Lake Victoria
basin, Kenya. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 201423953–6.

Cooper A, Ilboudo H, Alibu VP, Ravel S, Enyaru J, Weir W, Noyes H, Capewell P,
Camara M, Milet J, et al. 2017. APOL1 renal risk variants have contrasting
resistance and susceptibility associations with African trypanosomiasis. *Elife* **6**:
56.

Courtin D, Milet J, Jamonneau V, Yeminanga CS, Kumeso VKB, Bilengue CMM,
Betard C, Garcia A. 2007. Association between human African trypanosomiasis
and the IL6 gene in a Congolese population. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* **7**:
60–68.

Courtin D, Milet J, Sabbagh A, Massaro JD, Castelli EC, Jamonneau V, Bucheton B,
Sese C, Favier B, Rouas-Freiss N, et al. 2013. HLA-G 3′ UTR-2 haplotype is
associated with Human African trypanosomiasis susceptibility. *Infection,*
Genetics and Evolution **17**: 1–7.

DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, Philippakis
AA, del Angel G, Rivas MA, Hanna M, et al. 2011. A framework for variation
discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat*
Genet **43**: 491–498.

Dobon B, Hassan HY, Laayouni H, Luisi P, Ricaño-Ponce I, Zhernakova A,

Wijmenga C, Tahir H, Comas D, Netea MG, et al. 2015. The genetics of East
African populations: a Nilo-Saharan component in the African genetic landscape.
Sci Rep **5**: 9996.

Genovese G, Friedman DJ, Ross MD, Lecordier L, Uzureau P, Freedman BI, Bowden
DW, Langefeld CD, Oleksyk TK, Knob AU, et al. 2010. Association of
Trypanolytic ApoL1 Variants with Kidney Disease in African-Americans.
Science (New York, NY).

Gifford-Gonzalez D. 2000. Animal Disease Challenges to the Emergence of
Pastoralism in Sub-Saharan Africa. *African Archaeological Review* **17**: 95–139.

Gomez F, Hirbo J, Tishkoff SA. 2014. Genetic Variation and Adaptation in Africa:
Implications for Human Evolution and Disease. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives*
in Biology **6**: a008524–a008524.

Grollemund R, Branford S, Bostoen K, Meade A, Venditti C, Pagel M. 2015. Bantu
expansion shows that habitat alters the route and pace of human dispersals. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **112**: 13296–13301.

Gurdasani D, Carstensen T, Tekola-Ayele F, Pagani L, Tachmazidou I, Hatzikotoulas
K, Karthikeyan S, Iles L, Pollard MO, Choudhury A, et al. 2015. The African
Genome Variation Project shapes medical genetics in Africa. *Nature* **517**: 327–
332.

Hardwick RJ, Ménard A, Sironi M, Milet J, Garcia A, Sese C, Yang F, Fu B, Courtin
D, Hollox EJ. 2013. Haptoglobin (HP) and Haptoglobin-related protein (HPR)
copy number variation, natural selection, and trypanosomiasis. *Human Genetics*
133: 69–83.

Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. 2008. Systematic and integrative analysis of
large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols* **4**: 44–
57.

Ilboudo H, Noyes H, Mulindwa J, Kimuda MP, Koffi M, Kabore JW, Ahouty B,
Ngoyi DM, Fataki O, Simo G, et al. 2017. Introducing the TrypanoGEN biobank:
A valuable resource for the elimination of human African trypanosomiasis. *PLoS*
Negl Trop Dis **11**: e0005438.

Kim HL, Ratan A, Perry GH, Montenegro A, Miller W, Schuster SC. 2014. Khoisan
hunter-gatherers have been the largest population throughout most of modern-
human demographic history. *Nat Commun* **5**: 5692–8.

Mack R. 1970. The great African cattle plague epidemic of the 1890's. *Tropical*
animal health and production.

Mallick S, Li H, Lipson M, Mathieson I, Gymrek M, Racimo F, Zhao M, Chennagiri
N, Nordenfelt S, Tandon A, et al. 2016. The Simons Genome Diversity Project:
300 genomes from 142 diverse populations. *Nature* **538**: 201–206.

Noyes H, Brass A, Obara I, Anderson S, Archibald AL, Bradley DG, Fisher P,
Freeman A, Gibson J, Gicheru M, et al. 2011. Genetic and expression analysis of

cattle identifies candidate genes in pathways responding to *Trypanosoma*
congolense infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**: 9304–9309.

Patin E, Lopez M, Grollemund R, Verdu P, Harmant C, Quach H, Laval G, Perry GH,
Barreiro LB, Froment A, et al. 2017. Dispersals and genetic adaptation of Bantu-
speaking populations in Africa and North America. *Science (New York, NY)* **356**:
543–546.

Ramasamy RK, Ramasamy S, Bindroo BB, Naik VG. 2014. STRUCTURE PLOT: a
program for drawing elegant STRUCTURE bar plots in user friendly interface.
Springerplus **3**: 431.

Sabeti PC, Fry B, Lohmueller J, Hostetter E, Cotsapas C, Xie X, Byrne EH,
McCarroll SA, Gaudet R, Schaffner SF, et al. 2007. Genome-wide detection and
characterization of positive selection in human populations. *Nature* **449**: 913–918.

Schiffels S, Durbin R. 2014. Inferring human population size and separation history
from multiple genome sequences. *Nat Genet* **46**: 919–925.

Shriner D, Tekola-Ayele F, Adeyemo A, Rotimi CN. 2014. Genome-wide genotype
and sequence-based reconstruction of the 140,000 year history of modern human
ancestry. *Sci Rep* **4**.

Smetko A, Soudre A, Silbermayr K, Müller S, Brem G, Hanotte O, Boettcher PJ,
Stella A, Mészáros G, Wurzinger M, et al. 2015. Trypanosomosis: potential
driver of selection in African cattle. *Front Gene* **6**: 1–8.

Tishkoff SA, Reed FA, Friedlaender FR, Ehret C, Ranciaro A, Froment A, Hirbo JB,
Awomoyi AA, Bodo JM, Doumbo O, et al. 2009. The Genetic Structure and
History of Africans and African Americans. *Science (New York, NY)* **324**: 1035–
1044.

Vansina J. 2006. Linguistic Evidence for the Introduction of Ironworking into Bantu-
Speaking Africa. *History in Africa* **33**: 321–361.

Voight BF, Kudaravalli S, Wen X, Pritchard JK. 2006. A Map of Recent Positive
Selection in the Human Genome ed. L. Hurst. *PLoS Biol* **4**: e72.

Tables

Table 1. Table showing the Ethnic groups and number of individual from each
Country that were used for Whole genome sequencing
585

Country	District(s)	Ethno-linguistic group(s)	No. of samples	Branch	Family
Uganda (UGN)	Maracha	Lugbara	50	Central Sudanic	Nilo-Saharan
Uganda (UGB)	Iganga	Basoga	33	Bantu	Niger-Congo B
Zambia (ZAM)	Chama, Rufunsa	Soli/Chikunda (28), Tumbuka (14), Bemba(8)	41	Bantu	

Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)	Bandundu	Ngongo, Songo, Yansi, Mbala	50	Bantu	
Cameroon (CAM)	Campo, Fontem, Bipindi	Bamilike(6), Mundani(8)	26	Bantoid	
		Ngoumba(12)		Bantu	
Ivory Coast (CIV)	Bonon, Sinfra	Baoule (11),	50	Kwa	
		More (12), Senoufo (4),		Gur	Niger Congo A
		Gouro (21), Malinke (1), Koyaka (1)		Mande	
Guinea (GUI)	Forecariah, Boffa, Dubreka	Soussou	48	Mande	

586
587

Table 2. The number of SNPs and Indels obtained from the mapping and variant calling pipeline. The SNPs were filtered for HWE, MAF and missing genotypes

* *Identified 2,023,049 SNPs without rsIDs {Total SNPs (30,591,165) – SNPs with rsIDs (28,568,116)}*

Table 3. Extreme iHS loci that overlap with the UGN population

Population	Number	SNPs before filtering	SNPs after filtering	Indels before filtering	Indels after filtering
CIV	50	18,780,913	16,066,827	3,069,408	1,583,594
DRC	50	19,188,537	16,449,696	3,146,802	1,626,826
GUI	48	18,831,834	16,075,002	3,063,080	1,579,352
UGB	33	17,671,306	14,987,699	2,889,915	1,426,646
UGN	50	18,986,243	15,598,629	3,130,979	1,536,490
CAM	26	17,183,994	14,579,603	3,283,543	1,539,459
ZAM	41	18,232,386	15,548,110	3,448,501	1,651,467
Total	298	**34,116,333**	**30,591,165**	**5,336,622**	**3,166,196**

CIV=Côte d'Ivoire, DRC= democratic republic of Congo, GUI= Guinea, UGB= Uganda Bantu, UGN= Uganda Nilotic, CAM = Cameroun, ZAM= Zambia,

Pop	Extreme iHS SNPs (–log p > 3.0)	Extreme iHS SNPS associated with protein coding genes	Extreme iHS SNPs overlapping with UGN
UGN	8454	2613	2613
UGB	9617	3326	512
DRC	10037	3790	535
ZAM	5570	1990	86
CIV	10129	3541	534
CAM	7686	2597	82
GUI	11401	3741	382

598 **Table 4**. DAVID (Huang et al., 2009) analysis of Genes that are highly selected within TrypanoGEN population and associated with HIV,
599 Tuberculosis, and Malaria. The Fisher's exact test *P*-values indicate significant gene enrichment in the associated disease (1991; 2010; 2001;
600 2015b; 2014b; 2004b; 2009b; 2016c; 2015c; 2005a; 2013c)
601

Gene	Chr	Populations affected	Associated Disease	P value	Reference
HLA-DRB1	6p21.32	ZAM,CAM,CIV,DRC,UGB	HIV/TB/Malaria	1.63E-09	Ranasinghe et al., 2013, Hill et al., 1991
NLRP1	17p13.2	ZAM,CIV,DRC,GUI,UGB	HIV	2.42E-07	Pontillo et al., 2010
VPRBP	3p10.6	UGB,CIV,DRC,GUI	HIV	2.42E-07	Zhang et al, 2001
TRIM5	11p15.4	UGN,CAM,GUI	HIV	7.30E-07	Deng et al., 2015
ANKRD30A	10p11.21	DRC,CIV	HIV	2.42E-07	Meyerson et al., 2014
HLA-A	6p22.1	ZAM,CAM	HIV/TB	4.70E-06	Louie et al., 2004
HLA-DQA1	6p21.32	UGB,DRC	HIV/TB	4.70E-06	Louie et al., 2004
HLA-DQB1	6p21.32	UGB,DRC	HIV/TB	4.70E-06	Louie et al., 2004
KIR3DL1	19q13.42	UGN,CIV	Malaria	1.63E-09	Taniguchi et al., 2009, Norman et al., 2013
CD36	7q21.11	UGN,CAM,CIV	Malaria	1.55E-06	Hsieh et al., 2016
DDC	7p12.2	UGB,DRC,GUI	Malaria	1.63E-09	Manjurano et al., 2015
HBE1	11p15.4	UGB,CAM,DRC	Malaria	5.48E-07	Patrinos et al., 2005
ADCY9	16p13.3	UGN,CIV	Malaria	5.48E-07	Maiga et al., 2013

Table 5. Genes that are highly differentiated between the Nilo-Saharan and Trypanogen Niger congo populations that contain SNPs unique to UGN population

Chr	Gene	Position of Unique SNP	UGN unique SNP	iHS pvalue	Differentiated loci	Mean Fst	Bonferroni pvalue	Rsb pvalue
22	APOBEC3G	22:39453783	rs112077004	4.002	rs5757467	0.110	8.17E-23	4.116
3	TOP2B	3:25670166	rs11712723	3.000	rs6786520	0.115	4.80E-11	4.151
1	CAPN9	1:230886378	rs113802713	3.362	rs16852681	0.105	1.89E-08	5.632
7	LANCL2	7:55476708	rs62457872	3.019	rs3807360	0.109	1.89E-08	3.269
3	NEK4	3:52762698	rs11130321	3.101	rs6445535	0.111	1.51E-04	6.871
20	GDAP1L1	20:42907542	rs1884607	3.187	rs4810417	0.114	0.003070493	3.931
2	NBAS	2:15527280	rs6723183	3.763	rs4668447	0.123	0.053555484	3.020
3	PBRM1	3:52698560	rs12488527	3.130	rs2878632	0.113	0.053555484	6.742
17	ZPBP2	17:38031164	rs11658278	3.115	rs9903250	0.113	0.053555484	3.384
12	MGAT4C	12:86435551	rs11513957	3.134	rs1502802	0.113	0.060467781	3.520
11	FAT3	11:92291634	rs675654	3.063	rs2852859	0.112	0.061504234	3.582
9	MEGF9	9:123462573	rs75959206	3.046	rs1530370	0.113	0.061504234	4.958

Figures

Figure 1. Principal component analysis (PCA) of the sequenced TrypanoGEN samples, Guinea (GUI), Ivory Coast (CIV), Cameroon (CAM), Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Uganda (Nilotics, UGN, Bantu, UGB) and Zambia (ZAM), (**A**); **B**, TrypanoGEN and selected 1000 genomes African samples Nigeria (ESN, YRI), Sierra Leone (MSL), Gambia (GWD), Kenya (LWK); **C,** 1000 genomes samples from Africa and the rest of the world. AAM, African Americans; AMR, indigenous Americans; CAF, Central Africa; EAF, East Africa; EAS, East Asia; EUR, Europe; NSA, Nilo-Saharan; SAS, South Asia; WAF, West Africa;

Figure 2. Genetic admixture and diversity between TrypanoGEN and selected 1000 genome populations. **A**. Admixture plot of the K populations of the TrypanoGEN, 1000 genome African and European populations. **B**. Heatmap of mean Fst between TrypanoGEN and 1000 genome African populations. **C**. Polynomial regression plot of the mean Fst against the relative geographical distance of the African Niger-Congo populations from the Uganda Nilotic population. **D**. Phylogeographic plot of the mean Fst distances on the Trypanogen populations and selected 1000 kgenome African populations; the pie charts represent the population sample size and admixture.

Figure 3. Population sizes and cross-coalescence rates compiled by MSMC. **A** Effective population sizes for each population since 75kya. The Ugandan Bantu and Nilotic populations have grown continuously but at a slower rate than the West and Central African populations. These latter populations experienced and declines of 6-23% between 1500 and 800 years ago. **B** Cross-coalescence rates for pairs of populations. At 1.0 populations are panmictic and at 0.0 there is no gene flow. The Guinea and Ivory Coast populations were panmictic until about 10 kya and then became separated by 3kya. Other populations appear to have separated more gradually but these may be confounded by admixture.

Figure 4. Illustration of signatures unique to the Uganda Nilotic population. Signal of positive selection within the *APOBEC3G* gene on Chromosome 22 at the rs112077004 loci of the Uganda Nilo-saharan Lugbara population, in comparison with the Niger-Congo B populations of Uganda (UGB) and Niger-Congo A population of Guinea (GUI). **A**. The calculated site specific extended haplotype homozygosity (EHH) within a population. **B**. Between population Fst analysis. **C.** Across population (XPEHH) analysis.

Figure 5. Migrations of Niger-Congo speakers. Map colours show vegetation
coverage approximately 10kya (Adams, 1998). Colours for linguistic groups as for fig
4. Blue Nilo-Saharan; Yellow, Niger-Congo A; Red, Niger-Congo-B (Bantu); Green
putative expansion of an ancestral group out of modern Nigeria. Blue dotted arrow,
suspected route of proto-Niger-Congo-A speakers from Nuba mountains of Sudan to
Senegal across the Sahel (1966) when it was much wetter than at present.

664
665