

Distribution of potential Neanderthal signatures in modern human mitochondrial genomes

Renata C. Ferreira^{1,2*}, Camila R. Rodrigues^{3*}, James R. Broach⁴ and Marcelo R. S. Briones^{1**}

¹Center for Medical Bioinformatics, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, CEP 04039032.

²Epigene LLC, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

³Graduate Program in Microbiology and Immunology, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, CEP 04039032.

⁴Institute for Personalized Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, 500 University Drive, 17033, Hershey PA, USA.

*Both share first author status

**Corresponding author: Marcelo R. S. Briones

Escola Paulista de Medicina – UNIFESP, Edifício de Pesquisas II, L4E, Rua Pedro de Toledo, 669, CEP 04039-032, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. Tel: +55 11 5576-4537. E-mail: marcelo.briones@unifesp.br and briones.marcelo.rs@gmail.com

Abstract

Genetic contributions of Neanderthals to the modern human genome have been evidenced by comparative analyses of present-day human genomes and paleogenomes. Current data indicate that Neanderthal introgression is higher in Asians and Europeans and lower in African lines of descent, Neanderthal signatures in extant human genomes are attributed to intercrosses between Neanderthals and ancient Homo sapiens lineages, or archaic Anatomically Modern Humans (AMH) that migrated from Africa into the Middle East and Europe in the last 50,000 years. It has been proposed however that there is no contribution of Neanderthal mitochondrial DNA to contemporary human genomes. Here we show that the modern human mitochondrial genome might contains potential 66 Neanderthal signatures, or Neanderthal single nucleotide variants (N-SNVs) being 36 in coding regions (7 nonsynonymous), 5 in SSU rRNA, 4 in LSU rRNA, 3 in tRNAs and 18 in the D-loop. Also, 7 N-SNVs are associated with traits such as cycling vomiting syndrome and Alzheimers' and Parkinsons' diseases and 2 N-SNVs associated with intelligence quotient. Based on our results with bootscan recombination tests, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and the complete absence of these N-SNVs in 41 archaic AMH mitogenomes recombination events cannot explain the presence of N-SNVs in present-day human mitogenomes. These results suggest that homoplasies alone and convergent evolution can explain N-SNVs. Our data also shows that African mtDNAs have Neanderthal SNVs as has been suggested for nuclear genome. Based on our data we conclude that most intercrosses might have occurred between Neanderthal males and archaic AMH females, crosses between archaic AMH males and Neanderthal females would be extremely rare and recombination was negligible, which explains the few Neanderthal marks (66 out of 16,569bp) in present day mitochondrial genomes of human populations. These 66 signatures are probably the result of homoplasies and convergent evolution.

Keywords

Mitochondrial Genome, Neanderthal admixture, SNPs, Introgression, human evolution.

Introduction

Comparative analyses of present day human genomes and paleogenomes led to the proposal that Neanderthals contributed to the modern makeup of the human genome [1–4]. The current interpretation of genomic signatures is that Neanderthal contributions are different in European, East Asian and African lines of descent, with a higher frequency of Neanderthal segments in Asians and Europeans and lower frequencies in Africans [1]. Intercrosses between Neanderthals and ancient *Homo sapiens* lineages, or archaic Anatomically Modern Humans (AMH) who migrated from Africa into the Middle East and Europe in the last 50,000 years might explain the presence of Neanderthal signatures in extant human genomes [3,4]. The spatio-temporal overlap of Neanderthals and AMH is estimated to be approximately 22,000 years since the first AMH arrived in Europe around 50,000 years ago and the last Neanderthal remains (in Spain) date back to 28,000 years [5,6].

Although there is evidence for Neanderthal contributions to the modern nuclear genome, it has been proposed that there is no Neanderthal contribution to contemporary human mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) [7]. Because of mitogenome matrilineal inheritance this implies that the intercrosses occurred exclusively between Neanderthal males and AMH females or

that crosses between AMH males and Neanderthal females were extremely rare. Another possibility is that crosses between AMH males and Neanderthal females produced such unfavorable trait combinations, due to mitonuclear incompatibility [8], that none of their descendants left marks in present day human populations.

To address this question, we searched for mitochondrial single nucleotide variants (SNVs), or signatures, in contemporary human mitogenomes that were also present in Neanderthals but not in archaic AMH mitogenomes. For this we selected archaic AMH samples with approximately the same age as Neanderthal mitogenomes, thus representing, in theory, an archaic AMH ensemble that could have overlapped with Neanderthals in Europe, Middle East and Central Asia. This also might alleviate the effect of homoplasies because Neanderthal and archaic AMH sequences have approximately the same age.

Material and Methods

Mitochondrial genome sequences

Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial DNA from present day humans (52 sequences), ancient *Homo sapiens*, or archaic AMH (41 sequences) and Neanderthals (9 sequences) were aligned to identify single nucleotide variants. Paleogenomes mtDNA sequences were downloaded from GenBank for 42 archaic AMH (*H. sapiens*) and 9 Neanderthal mtDNA (Table 1). Neanderthal signatures, or N-SNVs, were determined as positions that were identical between present day sequences and Neanderthal sequences at the exclusion of archaic AMH. The 52 sequences of present day human mtDNA, representing all major mitochondrial haplogroups (Table 2), were selected from the PhyloTREE database [9] and downloaded from GenBank.

Sequence assembly and alignments

The Ust-Ishim sequence was assembled using reads downloaded from Study PRJEB6622 at the European Nucleotide Archive (EMBL-EBI) and assembled using the CLC Genomics Workbench 7 program (https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com). To maintain the reference numbering, sequences were aligned to the revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rRCS; GenBank accession number NC012920) [10], totalizing 103 sequences using the map to reference option implemented in Geneious 10 program [11]. Variants were called using Geneious 10 program. A total of 918 polymorphic positions were found. Neanderthals, ancient and modern humans were screened for disease associations at MitoMap (http://www.mitomap.org/MITOMAP) [12].

Phylogenetic inference

Position specific similarities between modern haplogroups and Neanderthals were depicted by cladograms for each of the single 66 variant positions present only in Neanderthals and modern humans and excluding archaic AMH, were generated using parsimony heuristic search implemented in PAUP v4.1a152 with the default parameters [13]. Proximity of mitochondrial haplogoups in Ancient *H. sapiens* and Neanderthals were inferred using Haplogrep 2.1.0 [14]. All 66 cladograms, corresponding to each N-SNV, are available upon request.

Recombination analysis

Potential recombination between Neanderthals and ancient *H. sapiens* sequences was inferred by a phylogenetic based method implemented by manual bootscan in the Recombination Detection Program (RDP) v.4.87. Parameters for bootscan analysis were: window size = 200; step size = 20; bootstrap replicates = 1,000; cutoff percentage = 70; use neighbor joining trees; calculate binomial p-value; model option = Kimura 1980 [15]. For each analysis, a single alignment was created which included the modern haplogroup, all 9 Neanderthal and all 6 Ancient *H. sapiens* sequences. When rCRS was used as query, two sets of possible parental sequences were selected: either Neanderthals Mezmaiskaya and Altai and ancient *H. sapiens* Fumane and Ust Ishim or only Neanderthals Feldhofer1, Mezmaiskaya and Vindija 33.16. For haplogroups LOd1a and L3d3b possible parental sequences were Neanderthals Feldhofer1, Mezmaiskaya and Vindija 33.16 and Ancient *H. sapiens* Kostenki 14, Fumane, Doni Vestonice 14 and Tianyuan. For haplogroups M29a and R0a possible parental sequences were Neanderthals Mezmaiskaya and Altai and Ancient *H. sapiens* Kostenki 14 and Doni Vestonice 14. For haplogroup N1b1a3 possible parental sequences were Neanderthals Mezmaiskaya and Altai and Ancient *H. sapiens* Kostenki 14 and Doni Vestonice 14.

Statistical analysis

For variants (SNVs) calling three different datasets were used: (1) the whole mitogenome from the 102 sequences alignment; (2) the 128 to 315bp fragment and (3) the 6,950 to 7,660 fragment of the same alignment. All fasta alignments were processed using the MSA2VCF software to generate the VCF files [16]. The options used on msa2vcf were: --haploid --output. To convert the VCF files to Plink format we used the vcftools package [17]. Whole mitogenome alignment with 103 sequences had 785 SNPs (positions containing gaps in at least one sequence were excluded from the analysis). Both 128 – 315 and 6,950-7,660 fragments had 24 SNPs.

Principal component analysis was performed using the PLINK software v1.90b4 [18,19]. PCA figure plotting was made using

Genesis PCA and admixture plot viewer (http://www.bioinf.wits.ac.za/software/genesis/). The first two principal components were chosen for the Neanderthal - *H. sapiens* comparison.

Results

Distribution of Neanderthal SNVs

An alignment of 102 sequences was produced which contained the mitogenomes of 41 archaic AMH (Table 1), 9 *Homo sapiens neanderthalensis* (Table 1) and 52 contemporary *Homo sapiens sapiens* with representatives of the major worldwide mitochondrial haplogoups (Table 2).

Table 1. Archaic AMH and Neanderthal mitogenomes used in this study. Haplogroup inference quality*	* as calculated by
Haplogrep 2 [14].	

Archaic AMH	Haplogroup	Quality** (%)	Age (years)	Location	Reference	GenBank/ENA*
Berry Au Bac 1	U5b1a	98.78	7,160-7,319	France	[36]	KU534977
Bockstein	U5b1d1	98.72	8,016-8,329	Germany	[36]	KU534973
Cuiry Les Chaudardes 1	U5b1b	97.01	8,050-8,360	France	[36]	KU534975
Ofnet	U5b1d1	98.72	8,159-8,424	Germany	[36]	KU534974
Felsdach	U5a2c	97.43	8,380-8,980	Germany	[36]	KU534954
Hohlenstein Stadel	U5b2c1	94.77	8,446-8,809	Germany	[36]	KU534979
Falkenstein	U5b2a	92.19	8,993-9,409	Germany	[36]	KU534980
Mareuil Les Meaux 1	U5a2+16362	100	9,080-9,500	France	[36]	KU534959
Les Closeaux 3	U5a2	98.27	9,580-10,230	France	[36]	KU534958
Ranchot 88	U5b1	95.95	9,933-10,235	France	[36]	KU534978
Iboussieres 31-2	U5b1+16189	99.01	10,140	France	[36]	KU534976
Iboussieres 25-1	U5b2a	93.92	10,140	France	[36]	KU534981
Iboussieres 39	U5b2b	92.40	11,600-12,040	France	[36]	KU534972
Hohle Fels 10	U8a	96.92	12,700	Germany	[36]	KU534961
Rochedane	U5b2b	97.01	12,830-13,090	France	[36]	KU534971
Burkhardtshohle	U8a	96.92	14,150-15,080	Germany	[36]	KU534960
Hohle Fels 79	U8a	96.92	14,270-15,070	Germany	[36]	KU534962
Brillenhohle	U8a	97.66	14,400-15,120	Germany	[36]	KU534947
Oberkassel 998	U5b1+	99.45	14,000	Germany	[50]	KC521457
Goyet Q-2	U8a	96.92	14,780-15,230	Belgium	[36]	KU534963
Rigney 1	U2'3'4'7'8'9	87.68	15,240-15,690	France	[36]	KU534957
Hohle Fels 49	U8a	96.92	15,568-16,250	Germany	[36]	KU534964
Paglicci 71	U5b2b	97.97	18,197-18,973	Italy	[36]	KU534950
Dolni Vestonice 43	U5	97.44	25,000	Czech Rep.	[36]	KU534970
Goyet Q56-16	U2	91.40	26,040-26,600	Belgium	[36]	KU534965
Goyet 2878-21	U5	92.05	26,269-27,055	Belgium	[36]	KU534955
Goyet Q376-19	U2	89.43	27,310-27,720	Belgium	[36]	KU534967
Goyet Q55-2	U2	85.86	27,310-27,730	Belgium	[36]	KU534948
La Rochette	М	92.38	27,400-27,784	France	[36]	KU534951
Goyet Q53-1	U2	93.23	27,720-28,230	Belgium	[36]	KU534966
Paglicci 108	U2'3'4'7'8'9	92.40	27,831-28,961	Italy	[36]	KU534968
Paglicci 133	U8c	99.41	28,000-29,000	Italy	[36]	KU534956
Dolni Vestonice 16	U5	97.94	29,386-30,567	Czech Rep.	[36]	KU534949
Doni Vestonice 14	U5	100	31,000	Czech Rep.	[51]	KC521458
Cioclovina 1	U	96.72	32,519-33,905	Romania	[36]	KU534969
Goyet Q376-3	М	88.67	33,140-33940	Belgium	[36]	KU534953
Goyet Q116-1	М	93.64	34,430-35,160	Belgium	[36]	KU534952
Kostenki 14	U2	92.69	36-39,000	Russia	[50]	FN600416*
Fumane 2	R	94.43	39-41,000	Italy	[50]	KP718913
Tianyuan	B4'5	91.17	40,000	China	[34]	KC417443
Ust-Ishim	R	87.89	45,000	West Siberia	[50]	PRJEB6622*
Neanderthals						
Vindija 33.16	H1e	52.74	38,000	Croatia	[52]	AM948965

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/190363; this version posted September 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was Coldnot certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed with the preprint Server for Biology CCH Server S

H1e	52.75	Not dated	Croatia	[53]	KJ533544
H1e	52.74	Not dated	Croatia	[53]	KJ533545
H1as	52.84	Not dated	Croatia	[54]	FM865410
H1e	52.81	39,000	Spain	[50,54]	FM865409
H1as	52.84	40,000	Germany	[54]	FM865407
H1e	52.81	40,000	Germany	[54]	FM865408
L1'2'3'4'5'6	55.96	50,000	Siberia	[3]	KC879692
L1'2'3'4'5'6	52.12	65,000	Russia	[54]	FM865411
	H1e H1e H1as H1e H1as H1e L1'2'3'4'5'6 L1'2'3'4'5'6	H1e52.75H1e52.74H1as52.84H1e52.81H1as52.81L1'2'3'4'5'655.96L1'2'3'4'5'652.12	H1e52.75Not datedH1e52.74Not datedH1as52.84Not datedH1e52.8139,000H1as52.8440,000H1e52.8140,000L1'2'3'4'5'655.9650,000L1'2'3'4'5'652.1265,000	H1e52.75Not datedCroatiaH1e52.74Not datedCroatiaH1as52.84Not datedCroatiaH1e52.8139,000SpainH1as52.8440,000GermanyH1e52.8140,000GermanyL1'2'3'4'5'655.9650,000SiberiaL1'2'3'4'5'652.1265,000Russia	H1e52.75Not datedCroatia[53]H1e52.74Not datedCroatia[53]H1as52.84Not datedCroatia[54]H1e52.8139,000Spain[50,54]H1as52.8440,000Germany[54]H1e52.8140,000Germany[54]L1'2'3'4'5'655.9650,000Siberia[3]L1'2'3'4'5'652.1265,000Russia[54]

This alignment contained the revised Cambrige Reference Sequence (rCRS) used as numbering reference for all polymorphisms identified [10]. We found 66 positions in which contemporary *Homo sapiens sapiens* were identical with at least one *Homo sapiens neanderthalensis* sequence position (Figure 1, Tables 3 and 4). In 13 positions just a subset of contemporary *Homo sapiens sapiens* were identical with at least one *Homo sapiens sapiens* were identical with at least one *Homo sapiens sapiens* were identical with at least one *Homo sapiens neanderthalensis* sequence position and at least one sequence of archaic AMH. In 175 positions *Homo sapiens neanderthalensis* differed from contemporary *Homo sapiens sapiens* and archaic AMH. In 11 positions the archaic AMH differed from other sequences and in 653 positions the contemporary *Homo sapiens sapiens* presented expected variants among haplogroups that were not relevant for this analysis.

Mitochondrial Haplogroup	GenBank	Mitochondrial Haplogroup	GenBank		
L0a1b1	AF381988	\$1	AF346963		
L1c3a	AF381992	Q1	AY289090		
L2a1f	AY195776	Z1	AY519493		
L3d3b	AF381998	0	AY289059		
L4a1	FJ460531	Y1	KF540727		
L5a1a	DQ341060	R0a	JX153281		
L6a	EU092773	R0a1a3	GU592021		
N1b1a3	AY195756	R1a	KC985147		
N9a1	HM589048	11	JQ245776		
N2a	JF904935	W1	EU558696		
Α	AP013225	X1a	EU600318		
B2	EF648602	Х3	EF177437		
F1a1	NA17963	U1a1d	EF692533		
M29a	DQ137407	U2c	AY714010		
M2b	EU443512	U6a7a2	AF382008		
M3b	FJ383523	к	AF382005		
M8a1	KF148510	К1	EU073969		
M9a	HM346891	K2a2a	EU327986		
M20	JX289112	H1a1	EU007858		
G1a1	HM460792	H3	EU150187		
E1	KF540505	H15	KC911292		
D4	JQ704974	J1c	EU547187		
C1a	EU007858	J2a2a	EF660967		
C4	FJ951604	T1	JQ797976		
C7	FJ951594	V1a	JQ702026		
P2	AY289088	V2	JQ703647		

 Table 2. Haplogroups of present day Homo sapiens sapiens mitogenomes used in this study.

Position	Modern haplogroups	Neanderthals
146	L2a1f, L6a, K, K2a2a, R0a1a3, X1a, X3, Y1, M3b, N1b1a3, Q1	Altai, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
152	L1c3a, L2a1f, L3d3b, L6a, l1, K1, K2a2a, T1, U2c, U6a7a2, N1b1a3, M29a, M2b, M20, C7, S1, Z1	El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
185	LOa1b1	Altai, Mezmaiskaya 1
189	L0a1b1, L5a1a, W1, C4	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
195	L2a1f, L4a1, L5a1a, L6a, W1, K, J2a2a, M2b	Altai Neanderthal
247	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L5a1a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
709	L5a1a, L6a, W1, K2a2a, T1, Y1, N2a, G1a1, S1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
769	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L4a1, L5a1a, L6a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
825	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L5a1a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
827	ROala3, B2	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
1018	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L4a1, L5a1a, L6a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
2706	U6a7a2, rCRS, H1a1, H3, H15	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
2758	LOa1b1, L1c3a	Altai Neanderthal, Mezmaiskaya 1
2885	LOa1b1, L1c3a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
3010	H1a1, J1c, D4	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
3594	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L5a1a, L6a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
4104	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L5a1a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
4312	LOa1b1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25

Table 3. Complete list of mitogenome positions where present day sequences are identical with Neanderthals.

4688	L3d3b	Feldhofer 1, Vindija 33.25
E460	1021b1 1421 W1 01	Altai Naandarthal El Sidron 1252 Ealdhafar 1
5400	LUalD1, L4a1, W1, Q1	Aildi Nedhuerthal, El Siuron 1253, Felunoler 1,
		22 17 Vindija 22 10 Vindija 22 25
E 4 7 1	U62722 N16122	Altai Naandarthal, El Sidran 1252, Foldhafar 1
5471		Foldbofor 2 Mozmaiskava 1 Vindija 22.16 Vindija
		33 17 Vindija 33 10 Vindija 33 25
5821	C7	Altai Neanderthal El Sidron 1253 Eeldhofer 1
5021		Feldhofer 2 Mezmaiskava 1 Vindija 33 16 Vindija
		33.17. Vindija 33.19. Vindija 33.25
6962	F1a1	Mezmaiskava 1
/146	LUalbl, LC3a	Altai Neanderthal, Mezmaiskaya 1
7256	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L5a1a, L6a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
7424	L3d3b, L5a1a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
7521	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L5a1a, R0a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
7650	ROa	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija
		33.19, Vindija 33.25
8386	J2a2a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
8468	LOa1b1, Lc3a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
0.055		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
8655	LUaldi, Licsa, Lsala	Altal Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
0701	10-161 11-2- 12-11 12-20 14-1 15-1- 16- M20- M26	Altai Naandarthal El Sidran 1252 Ealdhafar 1
8701	$M_{20} = M_{20} = M$	Foldbofor 2 Mozmaiskava 1 Vindija 22 16 Vindija
	10150, 101601, 10150, 10120, G1a1, L1, Q1, Z1, C1a, C4, C7	33 17 Vindija 33 19 Vindija 33 25
9053	F1a1	Altai Neanderthal El Sidron 1253 Feldhofer 1
5055	1101	Feldhofer 2 Mezmaiskava 1 Vindija 33 16 Vindija
		33.17. Vindija 33.19. Vindija 33.25
9090	71	Altai Neanderthal
05.40		
9540	LUALDI, LZAIT, L303D, L4AI, L5AIA, L6A, CIA, C4, C7, D4, E1,	Aitai iveanderthai, Ei Sidron 1253, Feidhoter 1,
	GTAT, MIZO, MIZO, MIZO, MISO, MISAT, MISA, QT, ZT	relationer 2, iviezmaiskaya 1, vindija 33.16, vindija
0755	(0-1b1	35.17, VINUIJa 33.19, VINUIJa 33.25
3122		Altai weanuerthal, El Siuron 1253, Felanorer I, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskava 1, Vindija 22,16, Vindija
		33 17 Vindija 33 19 Vindija 22 25
10310	F1a1 A	Altai Neanderthal El Sidron 1252 Eeldhofer 1
10310		Feldhofer 2. Mezmaiskava 1. Vindija 33.16. Vindija
		33.17. Vindija 33.19. Vindija 33.25

10373	L4a1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
10398	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L3d3b, L4a1, L5a1a, L6a, J1c, I1,	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
	J2a2a, K, K1, C1a, C4, C7, D4, E1, G1a1, M20, M29a, M2b,	Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
	M3b, M8a1, M9a, Q1, Y1, Z1	33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
10586	11c3a	Feldhofer 2
10000		
10664	LOa1b1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
10688	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L5a1a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
10810	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L5a1a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
10873	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L3d3b, L4a1, L5a1a, L6a, Q1, Z1, C1a,	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
	C4, C7, M29a, M2b, M3b, M8a1, M9a, M20, G1a1, E1, D4	Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
10915	LOa1b1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
11914	L0a1b1, L2a1f, K2a2a, C1a, C4, C7, M20	Altai Neanderthal. El Sidron 1253. Feldhofer 1.
		Feldhofer 2. Vindija 33.16. Vindija 33.17. Vindija
		33.19. Vindija 33.25
12366	M29a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1.
11000		Feldhofer 2 Mezmaiskava 1 Vindija 33.16 Vindija
		33 17 Vindija 33 19 Vindija 33 25
12/06	F1a1	Altai Neanderthal El Sidron 1253 Feldhofer 1
12400	1101	Feldhofer 2 Mezmaiskava 1 Vindija 33.16 Vindija
		33 17 Vindija 33 19 Vindija 33 25
12705	10a1b1 11c3a 12a1f 13d3b 14a1 15a1a 16a W1 X1a 11	Altai Neanderthal El Sidron 1253 Feldhofer 1
12705	$X_3 \land C_{12} \land C_4 \land C_7 \land D_4 \land F_1 \land G_{12} \land M_{20} \land M_{29} \land M_{20} $	Feldhofer 2 Mezmaiskava 1 Vindija 33.16 Vindija
	M_{23} M	33 17 Vindija 33 19 Vindija 33 25
12810		Mezmaiskava 1
12010		
13105	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L3d3b, L5a1a, V2	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
13276	LOa1b1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
14178	L1c3a, Y1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
14560	L1c3a, X3	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1,
		Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija
		33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
15043	U6a7a2, U1a1d, I1, C1a, C4, C7. D4. E1. G1a1. M20. M29a.	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253. Feldhofer 1.
	M2b. M3b. M8a1. M9a. Q1. Z1	Feldhofer 2. Mezmaiskava 1. Vindija 33.16. Vindija
	, , , ,	33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
15148	U1a1d. M8a1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253. Feldhofer 1
		Feldhofer 2. Mezmaiskava 1. Vindija 33.16. Vindija
		33.17. Vindija 33.19. Vindija 33.25
L		

15244	L6a, V2	Altai Neanderthal
15301	L2a1f, L3d3b, L4a1, L6a, K C1a, C4, C7, D4, E1, G1a1, M20, M29a, M2b, M3b, M8a1, M9a, Q1, Z1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
15355	M8a1, B2	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16086	M8a1, M20	Mezmaiskaya 1
16093	L4a1, R0a, K1, H3, T1, A, C1a	Feldhofer 1, Vindija 33.25
16148	L0a1b1, L5a1a, Q1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16170	U2c	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16182	X1a, X3, U1a1d, M2b, M29a, O	Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.19
16183	X1a, X3, U1a1d, B2, M2b, M29a, O	El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16209	H1a1, S1, M20	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16223	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L3d3b, L4a1, L5a1a, L6a, X3, l1, W1, X1a, A, C1a, C4, C7, D4, E1, G1a1, M20, M29a, M2b, M3b, M8a1, M9a, N1b1a3, N2a, N9a1, O, Q1, S1, Z1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16230	LOa1b1	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16234	U2c, M9a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16278	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L2a1f, L5a1a, L6a, X3, U2c, P2	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16298	V1a, V2, Z1, M8a1, C1a, C4, C7	Altai Neanderthal
16311	L0a1b1, L1c3a, L4a1, l1, L5a1a, L6a, V1a, K, K1, K2a2a, R1a, O, Q1, M29a	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16320	L0a1b1, M2b	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16362	L4a1, L5a1a, L6a, V2, K1, U1a1d, I1, R0a, R0a1a3, R1a, G1a1, E1, D4, M9a, A	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25
16519	L1c3a, L2a1f, L6a, H15, H1a1, H3, I1, K, K1, K2a2a, R0a1a3, R1a, U2c, V1a, W1, X1a, X3, B2, C4, C7, E1, F1a1, G1a1, M20, M29a, M2b, M3b, N1b1a3, N2a, O, P2	Altai Neanderthal, El Sidron 1253, Feldhofer 1, Feldhofer 2, Mezmaiskaya 1, Vindija 33.16, Vindija 33.17, Vindija 33.19, Vindija 33.25

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/190363; this version posted September 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was coldnot certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed with the provision of THE PREPRINT SERVER FOR BIOLOGY

Cong	Harbor
band a	Laboratory
000	

Table 4. Full list of all mitogenome N-SNVs analysed in this study. Ts=transition, Tv=transversion, AA=amino acid, Nt=nucleotide, Freq=Frequency, Alig.=alignment, 1K Gen.=1000 genomes project database, Prot. Effect=Protein effect.

Position	DbSNP	Change	Change	Change	Туре	Prot. Effect	Alignment.	1K Gen.	Gene	Codon
	rs	AA	Nt	Codon			Freq (%)	Freq (%)		Position
146	rs370482130	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	28.40%	21.40%	-	-
150	rs62581312	-	C > T	-	Ts	-	20.90%	19.10%	-	-
152	rs117135796	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	38.80%	33%	-	-
185	rs879015046	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	4.50%	3.70%	-	-
189	rs371543232	-	A>G	-	Ts	-	19.40%	7.70%	-	-
195	rs2857291	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	13.40%	24.70%	-	-
247	rs41334645	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	17.90%	7.00%	-	-
709	rs2853517	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	26.90%	16.70%	-	-
769	rs2853519	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	22.40%	16.40%	-	-
825	rs2853520	-	T>A	-	Τv	-	17.90%	7.10%	-	-
827	rs28358569	-	A>G	-	Ts	-	16.40%	4.30%	-	-
1018	rs2856982	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	22.40%	16.50%	-	-
2706	rs2854128	-	A>G	-	Ts	-	80.60%	89.00%	-	-
2758	rs2856980	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	6.00%	6.60%	-	-
2885	rs2854130	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	16.40%	6.80%	-	-
3010	rs3928306	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	17.90%	11.20%	-	-
3594	rs193303025	-	C>T	GTC>GTT	Ts	No change	20.90%	15.90%	ND1	3
4104	rs1117205	-	A>G	CTA>CTG	Ts	No change	19.40%	15.80%	ND1	3
4312	rs193303033	-	C>T	-	Ts	-	14.90%	1.80%	tRNA	-
4688	rs878853056	-	T>C	GCT>GCC	Ts	No change	4.50%	0.40%	ND2	3
5460	rs3021088	A>T	G>A	GCC>ACC	Ts	AA change	19.40%	7.50%	ND2	1
5471	rs879108598	-	G>A	ACG>ACA	Ts	No change	16.40%	0.50%	ND2	3
5821	rs56133209	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	14.90%	0.50%	tRNA	-
6962	rs1970771	-	G>A	CTG>CTA	Ts	No change	3.00%	3.00%	COX1	3
7146	rs372136420	T>A	A>G	ACT>GCT	Ts	AA change	6.00%	6.10%	COX1	1
7256	-	-	C>T	AAC>AAT	Ts	No change	20.90%	15.80%	COX1	3
7424	-	-	A>G	GAA>GAG	Ts	No change	16.40%	2.30%	COX1	3
7521	rs199474817	-	G>A	-	Ts	-	20.90%	16.00%	tRNA	-
7650	-	T>I	C>T	ACC>ATC	Ts	AA change	13.40%	0.00%	COX2	2
8386	-	-	C>T	ACC>ACT	Ts	No change	14.90%	0.00%	ATP8	3
8468	rs1116907	-	C>T	CTA>TTA	Ts	No change	16.40%	6.70%	ATP8	1
8655	-	-	C>T	ATC>ATT	Ts	No change	17.90%	7.10%	ATP6	3
9053	rs199646902	S>N	G>A	AGC>AAC	Ts	AA change	14.90%	2.60%	ATP6	2
9090	rs386829064	-	T>C	TCT>TCC	Ts	No change	3.00%	0.30%	ATP6	3
9755	rs2856985	-	G>A	GAG>GAA	Ts	No change	14.90%	2.00%	СОХЗ	3
10310	rs41467651	-	G>A	CTG>CTA	Ts	No change	16.40%	4.00%	ND3	3
10373	rs28358277	-	G>A	GAG>GAA	Ts	No change	14.90%	3.00%	ND3	3
10586	rs28358281	-	G>A	TCG>TCA	Ts	No change	3.00%	2.60%	ND4L	3

THE PREPRINT SERVER FOR BIOLOGY

10664	-	-	C>T	GTC>GTT	Ts	No change	14.90%	1.80%	ND4L	3
10688	rs2853488	-	G>A	GTG>GTA	Ts	No change	17.90%	7.00%	ND4L	3
10810	rs28358282	-	T>C	CTT>CTC	Ts	No change	17.9%	7.10%	ND4	3
10915	rs2857285	-	T>C	TGT>TGC	Ts	No change	14.90%	2.30%	ND4	3
11914	rs2853496	-	G>A	ACG>ACA	Ts	No change	23.90%	12.70%	ND4	3
12366	-	-	A>G	CTA>CTG	Ts	No change	14.90%	0.20%	ND5	3
12810	rs28359174	-	A>G	TGA>TGG	Ts	No change	4.50%	2.40%	ND5	3
13105	rs2853501	I>V	A>G	ATC>GTC	Ts	AA change	20.90%	13.70%	ND5	1
13276	rs2853502	M>V	A>G	ATA>GTA	Ts	AA change	14.90%	1.80%	ND5	1
14178	rs28357671	I>V	T>C	ATT>GTT	Ts	AA change	16.40%	5.20%	ND6	1
14560	rs28357676	-	G>A	GTC>GTT	Ts	No change	16.40%	5.40%	ND6	3
15148	rs527236206	-	G>A	CCG>CCA	Ts	No change	16.40%	1.10%	СҮТВ	3
15244	rs28357369	-	A>G	GGA>GGG	Ts	No change	4.50%	2.10%	СҮТВ	3
15355	rs527236181	-	G>A	ACG>ACA	Ts	No change	16.40%	0.60%	СҮТВ	3
16086	rs386420030	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	4.50%	2.10%	-	-
16093	rs2853511	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	13.40%	5.60%	-	-
16148	rs201893071	-	C>T	-	Ts	-	17.90%	3.10%	-	-
16169	rs879121566	-	C>T	-	Ts	-	1.50%	0.80%	-	-
16182	rs879044186	-	A>C	-	Τv	-	15.20%	2.70%	-	-
16183	rs28671493	-	A>G	-	Ts	-	1.50%	0.40%	-	-
16209	rs386829278	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	17.90%	3.00%	-	-
16230	rs2853514	-	A>G	-	Ts	-	14.90%	2.10%	-	-
16234	rs368259300	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	16.40%	1.90%	-	-
16278	rs41458645	-	C>T	-	Ts	-	25.40%	20.80%	-	-
16298	rs148377232	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	11.90%	5.00%	-	-
16311	rs34799580	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	34.30%	18.70%	-	-
16320	rs62581338	-	C>T	-	Ts	-	16.40%	5.20%	-	-
16519	rs3937033	-	T>C	-	Ts	-	61.20%	62.30%	-	-



signature (Neanderthal signature 2706G) is present in all Neanderthal sequences (Red branches) and in European haplogroups U (\underline{U} 5a7a2) and H (\underline{H} 1a1, \underline{H} 3, \underline{H} 15), including the Revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rCRS, haplogroup \underline{H} 2a2a1). The Neanderthal signature 2706G is absent in all of the archaic AMH (Anatomically Modern Humans from Europe in temporal overlap with Neanderthals - Blue branches) and other modern mitochondrial haplogroups. Position numbering corresponds to rCRS positions. This cladogram is representative of the 66 cladograms generated for each N-SNV giving similar topologies and which are available upon request.

Figure 1. Cladogram of mitochondrial genome position 2,706. This N-SNV is a G-A transition in the 16S rRNA gene. This genetic

To depict the distribution of Neanderthal SNVs, or N-SNVs, in different human mitochondrial haplogroups, we constructed a heat map of N-SNVs (Figure 2). Most N-SNVs are concentrated in the D-loop, followed by 12SrDNA and 16SrDNA. Among tRNAs N-SNVs were found only in isoleucine, asparagine and cysteine tRNAs. In *COX2* only one N-SNV was found in haplogroup R0a.



Figure 2. Heatmap of Neanderthal signatures along the mitochondrial genome in different haplogroups. The color scale indicates the number of Neanderthal signatures (N-SNVs) present in modern human mitochondrial haplogroups. These signatures are absent in Ancient *H. sapiens* whose time range overlapped with Neanderthals in Europe (from approximately 50,000 to 28,000 years ago, Supplementary Table S2). AFR=African, ASI=Asian, MDE=Middle East, NAM=Native American, OCE=Oceania and EUR=European.

Of the 66 N-SNVs identified, 20 are common to modern African and Eurasian haplogroups, 25 are exclusive to African haplogroups and 21 are exclusive to Eurasian haplogroups. In Figure 3 the distribution of N-SNVs is depicted in the human mitogenome map. The distribution reveals that 11 Eurasian N-SNVs are in coding regions, 3 in rRNA genes and 1 in a tRNA gene.



The distribution of N-SNVs in modern haplogroups and archaic AMH can be summarized as possible six introgression routes with predicted consequences (Figure 4). In hypothesis (1) SNVs conserved between Neanderthals, archaic AMH and present-day humans should be observed in all sequences; in (2) if the introgression crosses occurred between Neanderthals and archaic AMH prior to divergence that separated archaic AMH from lineages of African and Eurasian haplogroups it is expected that N-SNVs would be present in all sequences, as observed for 13 N-SNVs. In (3) the crosses would have occurred only with archaic AMH who did not contribute to present day haplogroups while hypotheses (4), (5) and (6) would represent crosses between Neanderthals and lineages who contributed to present day haplogroups. The 66 N-SNVs are consistent with these hypotheses, which exclude their presence in archaic AMH and thus are likely signals of crosses between these two subspecies of humans. More importantly no SNVs are identical between Neanderthals and archaic AMH at the exclusion of present day mitogenomes of either African and Eurasian haplogroups which suggests that the presence of N-SNVs must be a signal of horizontal transfer or a very high number of reverse/convergent substitutions.



Figure 4. Possible Neanderthal introgression routes and mitogenome SNVs distribuitons. The diagram depicts six possible introgression routes, or intercross events, between Neanderthals, archaic AMH and lineages leading to present day *Homo sapiens* haplogroups. Hypotheses 1 and 2 would produce plesiomorphic SNVs while hypothesis 3 would exclude present day haplogroups. Hypotheses 4, 5 and 6 would produce patterns in present day haplogroups consistent with more recent crosses between Neanderthals and ancestors of present day haplogroups. Numbers above gray boxes indicate the number of specific N-SNVs in that particular clade. Plus (+) and minus (-) signs within blue and red boxes indicate N-SNVs presence or absence in that particular clade.

Disease associated N-SNVs

Among the 66 N-SNVs, 7 are associated with diseases as depicted in Table 5. Of note 4 of these disease-associated N-SNVs were observed in African haplogroups (L0, L1, L2, L3, L4, L5 and L6) and 3 were observed exclusively in Eurasian haplogroups. The most common diseases associated with N-SNVs are neurological disorders and tumors. One N-SNVs, in position 15,043 and associated with depression, was also found in one archaic AMH. Although not considered a *bona fide* N-SNV based on our exclusion criteria, it is relevant because chronic depression has been associated with Neanderthal introgression [20]. Among protein coding genes an important N-SNV was found in *ND2* (position 5,460) causing an amino acid change from alanine to threonine that has been associated with Alzheimers' and Parkinsons' diseases due to its high prevalence in the brains of Alzheimers' and Parkinsons' patients [21–23]. This amino acid substitution changes a nonpolar amino acid changes are associated with amyloid diseases [24–26]. Other disease associated N-SNVs are located in the D-loop, 16S rRNA and tRNA-Cys (Table 2). The prevalence of diseases associated with N-SNVs in Table 2 are: Bipolar disorder = 596 cases in 100,000 persons (596/100,000) [27], Cycling vomiting syndrome 3.2/100,000 [28], Parkinsons' disease = 111/100,000 [27], Alzheimers' disease = 588/100,000 [27], Melanoma = 30.42/100,000 [27], Deafness = 110/1,000 [29], Glioblastoma = 10 /100,000 [30], Ovarian cancer = 17.71/100,000 [27], Lung cancer = 43/100,000 [27], Prostate cancer = 129/100,000 [27], Stomach cancer = 36.9/100,000 [27].

Position	195	3,010	5,460	5,821	16,093	16,183	16,519
Region / gene	D-loop	16S rRNA	ND2	tRNA-Cys	D-loop	D-loop	D-loop
dbSNP rs	rs2857291	rs3928306	rs3021088	rs56133209	rs2853511	rs28671493	rs3937033
DNA change	T>C	G>A	G>A	G>A	T>C	A>C	T>C
Туре	Transition	Transition	Transition	Transition	Transition	Transversion	Transition
Codon position -		-	1	-	-	-	-
Codon effect	-	-	Non Syn.	-	-	-	-
Codon change	-	-	GCC>ACC	-	-	-	-
Protein change -		-	Ala>Thr	-	-	-	-
Disease	Bipolar	Cyclic	Alzheimer`s	Deafness	Cyclic	Melanoma	Cyclic Vomiting
associations	disorder /	Vomiting	disease /	helper	Vomiting	patients	Syndrome with
	melanoma	Syndrome with	Parkinson`s	mutation	Syndrome		Migraine /metastasis /
	patients	Migraine	disease				glioblastoma, gastric,
							lung, ovarian, prostate
							tumors
Modern	L2a1f,	H1a1, J1c, D4	L0a1b1, L4a1,	C7	L4a1, R0a,	X1a, X3,	L1c3a, L2a1f, L6a,
haplogroups	L4a1,		W1, Q1		K1, H3, T1,	U1a1d, B2,	H15, H1a1, H3, I1, K,
	L5a1a, L6a,				A, C1a	M2b, M29a, O	K1, K2a2a, R0a1a3,
	W1, K,						R1a, U2c, V1a, W1,
	J2a2a, M2b						X1a, X3, B2, C4, C7,
							E1, F1a1, G1a1, M20,
							M29a, M2b, M3b,
							N1b1a3, N2a, O, P2
Neanderthal	Altai	Altai	Altai	Altai	Feldhofer1	El Sidron 1253	Altai
		El Sidron 1253	El Sidron 1253	El Sidron 1253	Vin. 33.25	Feldhofer 1	El Sidron 1253
		Feldhofer 1	Feldhofer 1	Feldhofer 1		Feldhofer 2	Feldhofer 1
		Feldhofer 2	Feldhofer 2	Feldhofer 2		Mezmaiskaya1	Feldhofer 2
		Mezmaiskaya1	Mezmaiskaya1	Mezmaiskaya1		Vindija 33.16	Mezmaiskaya1
		Vindija 33.16	Vindija 33.16	Vindija 33.16		Vindija 33.17	Vindija 33.16
		Vindija 33.17	Vindija 33.17	Vindija 33.17		Vindija 33.19	Vindija 33.17
		Vindija 33.19	Vindija 33.19	Vindija 33.19		Vindija 33.25	Vindija 33.19
		Vindija 33.25	Vindija 33.25	Vindija 33.25			Vindija 33.25

Haplogroups of paleogenomes

Mitogenomes of Neanderthals and archaic AMH were classified in haplogroups according to sequence similarity with extant human mitogenomes using Haplogrep 2 [14] (Table 1). 83% of archaic AMH mitogenomes belong to haplogroup U (45% haplogroup U5 and 16% to haplogroup U2) which is consistent as U being the oldest European haplogroup. Among the 9 Neanderthal mitogenomes, the 7 more recent genomes can be classified as haplogroup H1 (European) while the two oldest can be classified as haplogroup L (African) (Table 1). N-SNVs at positions 16,278 and 16,298 are associated with intelligence quotient [31]. N-SNV 16,278 is found in African haplogroups (L0, L1, L2, L5 and L6) and two Eurasian haplogroups (X3, U2c and P2) and in all Neanderthal sequences while N-SNV 16.298 is found only in Eurasian-Native American haplogroups (V1, V2, M8, C1, C4, C7 and Z1) and only in the Altai Neanderthal.

PCAs of Neanderthal and Human mitogenomes

Principal component analysis (PCA) of the whole mitochondrial genome shows four clusters: (1) the modern haplogroups including the ancient *H. sapiens* (Table 1), (2) the L haplogroup cluster, (3) the Neanderthal Altai-Mezmaskaya L-like cluster (Table 1) and (4) the Neanderthal H-like group (Figure 5). However, the PCA of the segment corresponding to the ribosomal RNA gene proximal half produces a pattern that approximates the L haplogroup cluster to the Neanderthal Altai-Mezmaskaya L-like cluster suggesting the introgression point. The PCA of ribosomal RNA gene distal half suggests an opposite pattern with L cluster closer to Neanderthal although not as close as shown in Figure 5B.



Figure 5. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of Human and Neanderthal mitochondrial genomes. In (A) PCA results for all 16,569 positions of 103 mitogenomes being 9 Neanderthals (green squares for H-like sequences and purple squares for L-like sequences), 42 archaic AMH (blue circles) and 53 modern *H. sapiens* haplogroups (red triangles). X-Axis denotes the value for PC1, while y-Axis denotes values for PC2. Each dot in the figure represents one or more individuals. In (B) PCA results for the segment comprising positions 128 to 315 extracted from the 103 mitogenomes alignment and in (C) PCA results for the segment comprising positions 6,950 to 7,660 extracted from the 103 mitogenomes alignment.

Bootscan analysis of mitogenomes

We tested potential recombination in our dataset with bootscan. We used a different set of parental sequences depending on the query mitogenome (Figure 6). The bootscan analysis indicates that there are potential recombination points. Upon deeper analysis we observed that bootscan considers the Neanderthal specific signatures, such as in L haplogroups, as recombination points. Although the bootscan putative recombination segments are above the bootstrap threshold we do not consider this as definitive evidence of recombination since the segments between the Neanderthal signatures are almost identical. Bootscan analysis did exclude Human-Neanderthal recombination in rCRS sequence (Figure 7). Sensivity of bootscan to substitution models and alignment methods was assessed by comparing the same set query-parentals with different parameters (Figure 8), revealing minor profile alterations. The alignment parameters are not so critical in this case because the sequences are extremely conserved (918 polymorphic positions in 16,565bp). Although indels are present in the alignments, 99% are located near the H promoter in the D-loop region. These are automatically excluded in phylogeny inference algorithms and therefore have no weight in bootscan results. The "positional homology" is therefore solid, particularly in coding domains and regions without repeats in non-coding domains. The Neanderthal signatures are in unambiguously aligned segments.







Discussion

In this present study we propose the hypothesis that there are Neanderthal signatures in modern human mitochondrial genome and our recombination test data (bootscan analysis) suggests that recombination might explain the presence of these SNVs, which we called N-SNVs in these genomes. The topic of recombination in mtDNA is hotly debated and evidence supporting is as well as evidence denying it are published [32,33]. Recombination in human mtDNA is extremely rare, just sufficient for mtDNA to escape the Muller's ratchet, but not enough to distinguish clear recombination blocks. We construed our hypothesis based on an alignment of 102 mitogenomes which contained 918 polymorphic positions and raised our hypothesis based on bootscan tests for possible recombination and PCA. The idea was to evaluate whether the presence of N-SNVs could be explained solely by homoplasies or a combination of mutation and rare recombination. It is reasonable to argument that regarding the Dloop N-SNVs it is very difficult to provide absolute definitive evidence discriminating recombination from simple accumulation of homoplasies. Nevertheless, this is a hypothesis paper, where we show that there are Neanderthal-like SNVs in present day human mtDNA that are *completely absent* in archaic modern Human mtDNA (41 mitogenomes). From our data we raise the possibility that rare recombination events might, at least in part, explain these results. Although an intense homoplasy rate could explain the N-SNVs in the D-loop, it is no plausible to invoke a homoplasies only to explain the N-SNVs in coding regions, especially the nonsynonymous changes in positions 5460 (ND2, rs3021088), 7146 (COX1, rs372136420), 7650 (COX2, no rs, ACC>ATC), 9053 (ATP6, rs199646902), 13105 (ND5, rs2853501), 13276 (ND5, rs2853502) and 14178 (ND6, rs28357671). To assume that all these N-SNVs could be explained only by homoplasies in the last 40,000 years and that these homoplasies occurred only in the present day lineages and not in a single archaic modern human mitogenomes seems very unlikely.





Figure 8. Bootscan/RDP analysis of haplogroup L0d1a showing the consistency of the recombination profile regardless the alignment algorithm or bootscan model option. (A) Map to reference aligment and Felsenstein model on bootscan; (B) MAFFT alignment with Kimura two parameters model on bootscan; (C) Map to reference aligment and Kimura two parameters model on bootscan; (C) Map to reference aligment and Kimura two parameters model on bootscan; (C) Map to reference aligment and Kimura two parameters model on bootscan. Neanderthal sequences from haplogroup L (black line) and haplogroup H (blue line) and Ancient *H. sapiens* from haplogroup R (green line), haplogroup U (red line) and haplogroup B (orange line) were used as parentals. Neanderthal sequences were Feldhofer 1, Mezmaiskaya and Vindija33_16 and ancient *H. sapiens* sequences were Kostenki, Fumane, Doni Vestonice 14 and Tianyuan.

The mitogenomes analysed were from modern *Homo sapiens*, Archaic Anatomically modern humans and Neanderthals as detailed above. Based on populational data Sykes (2001) estimated that a single observed change in comparative mitogenomics corresponds to 10,000 years of divergence. More modern estimates of the mitogenome clock, based on ancient DNA data, ranges from 2.14×10⁻⁸ to 2.74×10⁻⁸ substitutions per site per year [34–37], which gives approximately 4.14 substitutions in mitogenomes in 10,000 years. Therefore, according to populational estimates the 918 polymorphisms would have occurred in a period of 9.18 million years and the 66 N-SNVs during 660,000 years. If ancient DNA estimates are considered the 918 polymorphisms would correspond to 2.21 million years of evolution and the 66 N-SNVs would have occurred in the last 159,420 years. The problem here is that even if we assume that all 66 N-SNVs are a product of random changes or simple homoplasies how come they did not occur in any of the 41 samples of archaic AMH? We would have to assume that only in the modern lineage homoplasies, parallel with Neanderthals, would be observed and none of those observed in archaic AMH. Therefore, we tested for recombination using boostscan (Figure 6). These tests indicate that in 11 positions the boostrap support is significant for recombination. Therefore, the hypothesis alternative to homoplasies-only hypothesis is supported by data. It is important to notice that Posada and Crandall [38] explicitly tested how homoplasies could "confound" recombination tests and concluded that

only in extreme levels of rate variation (α =0.05) recombination tests would produce false positives. Our work is a hypothesis and theory study and does not aim to provide a final answer to the 66 positions that are identical in modern humans and Neanderthal at the complete exclusion of all 41 archaic AMH here analysed. We believe that assuming that all the 66 N-SNVs are purely a product of homoplasies is simplistic and places the "burden of the proof" in the proponents of the homoplasies-only hypothesis to demonstrate that all 66 positions are homoplasic and that exactly how these homoplasies would have produced artifacts in the bootscan analysis. *H. sapiens* emerged around 300,000 years ago, likely derived from *Homo heidelbergensis*, which is consistent with the divergence time frames estimated from our mitogenome data.

Also, the analysis of Neanderthal mitochondrial genomes revealed four derived amino acid changes that modern humans carry in the COX2 gene as compared to Neanderthals and other Ape outgroups (Green et al., 2008). However, the same four amino acid changes can also be found in macaques. From our data it seems that there is no need to invoke mitochondrial recombination, which is exceedingly rare, if present at all, or interbreeding events to explain the presence of N-SNVs in modern human mitochondrial genomes and therefore recurrent mutations would be sufficient to explain N-SNVs. It is important to consider however that a homoplasies-only scenario, is problematic because the divergence between the H. sapiens lineage and macaques lineage is 30.5 (26.9-36.4) million years [39] while the divergence here analyzed is between 300,000 to 28,000 years. Homoplasies significantly increase with long divergence times which produces the effect of long branch attraction in phylogenies [40]. For example, one of the mutations would be the parallel mutation in COX2 gene m.7650C>T, observed in macaques. This mutation is found in Neanderthals (except Mezmaskaya), Denisovans, modern R0 haplogroup, Gorilla, Chimpanzee and Bonobo. It was not found in any archaic AMH samples. This pattern more likely suggests that it is highly conserved, inherited by early hominins from apes and secondarily lost in one Neanderthal lineage and in almost all Homo sapiens lineages, expect for the RO haplogroup. The reappearance of this mutation in the very old R0 haplogroup has two possible explanations: (1) a back mutation reverting to exact the same ancestral condition instead of changing to any of the other three possible bases or (2) acquired by recombination via a Neanderthal female with introgression in one of the Homo sapiens lineages. There is no strong support for a hypothesis based solely on an inherited macaque mutation, in other words, no quantitative data are presented to show that hypothesis H₍₁₎ (macaque homoplasises) has more likelihood than hypothesis H₍₂₎ (mutation and rare recombination). In our study we present a bootstrap recombination analysis (bootscan) that shows (Figure 6A, 6E and 6F) that a recombination point has bootstrap support in the region encompassing m.7650C>T. This test suggests that that hypothesis H₍₂₎ has more statistical support than H₍₁₎. However, it is important to notice that the present work shows that only small segments with support for recombination are significant, not large extended blocks, as shown by bootscan and clusters identified by PCA (Figure 5 and 6).

Another possible argument is that the Neanderthal signatures are in fact character states conserved since the last common ancestor of Neanderthals and present-day *Homo sapiens* (e.g. *Homo erectus* but this would not be consistent with the absence of these signatures in ancient *H. sapiens* mitochondrial genomes (Figure 1). Alternatively, the Neanderthal signatures here described could be a consequence of random events. The chance that the 66 positions are simultaneously identical by chance alone would be roughly 0.25^{66} (1.84×10^{-40}) which is far less than observed here.

In regard to presence of N-SNVs in African haplogroups it is interesting to note that a back to Africa hypothesis has been proposed in which humans from Eurasia returned to Africa and impacted a wide range of sub-Saharan populations [41]. Our data suggest that Neanderthal signatures might be present in all major African haplogroups which is consistent with the "Back to Africa" contribution to the modern mitochondrial African pool. The preponderance of N-SNVs in the D-loop is observed mostly in African haplogroups. In Eurasian haplogroups we observe important changes in coding regions as demonstrated in Figure 2 and 3. Also the recombination tests here used were demonstrated to provide false positives only when rate heterogeneity is higher than the observed in our data. Mitochondrial recombination is not so rare as to completely exclude it as a potential mechanism to explain the 66 N-SNVs here observed [42,43].

Our observations suggest that crosses between AMH males and Neanderthal females left significantly less descendants than the reverse crosses (Neanderthal males and AMH females), which seems to be the dominant pattern. Although it has been generally accepted that recombination does not occur in the human mitochondrial genome, evidence of mitochondrial recombination has been reported [32,44]. A scenario with complete absence of recombination presents a problem to explain how the human mitochondrial genome would escape the Muller's ratchet and therefore avoiding its predicted "genetic meltdown" [45]. It has been shown that even minimal recombination is sufficient to allow the escape from the Muller's ratchet [46] and this could be the case of the human mitochondrial genome. For example, recombination has been simulated along a chromosome of 1000 loci to estimate the amounts of recombination required to halt Muller's ratchet and the drift-catalyzed fixation of deleterious mutations. It has been found that for a population size of N<100, a recombination rate equivalent to one crossover per chromosome per 100 generations (10^{-5} /locus/generation) countered Muller's ratchet effectively. This is much lower than the minimum of one crossover per chromosome arm per generation that is assumed to occur in sexual taxa. A higher recombination rate of 10^{-4} can impede the selective interference that would otherwise enhance the fixation of deleterious mutations due to genetic drift [47].

Our data is compatible with a scenario in which the AMH-Neanderthal crosses occur in Europeans, East Asians and African lines of descent which is consistent with recent findings in nuclear genomes [48]. However, in the African haplogroups the crosses between AMH males and Neanderthal females would have a higher frequency than in European lines of descent, where the reverse crosses would be predominant. Based on the comparison of Neanderthal signatures in nuclear and mitochondrial genome haplogroups we hypothesize that the African lines of descent would have a higher female Neanderthal contribution whereas European lines of descent would have higher male Neanderthal contribution. The fact that AMH and Neanderthals crossed and produced fertile descendants is evidence that they belong to the same species [2] and thus indicate that *Homo sapiens* emerged independently in Africa, Europe and Asia [49]. The intercrosses of these three *Homo sapiens* subgroups, and other even deeper ancestors such as Denisovans, in its different proportions and specific signatures, would have produced the extant human genomes.

Conclusion

The analyses here presented suggest that Neanderthal genomic signatures might have been a product of rare mtDNA recombination events. Although there is evidence for mtDNA recombination, its weight in phylogenies and mutational patterns in comparative analysis remain controversial. Some authors contend that due to its high mutation rate reverse compensatory mutations can be confounded with recombination in mtDNA. Our data is consistent with a scenario that does not require recombination in human mtDNA because homoplasies and convergent mutations can explain the mutational pattern. These recombination events were probably extremely rare, just sufficient to escape the Muller's ratchet, which might explain the observed small number of potential Neanderthal signatures in modern human mitochondrial genomes.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Dajiang Liu (Dept. Public Health Sciences, Penn State College of Medicine) and Prof. Rongling Wu (Center for Statistical Genetics, Penn State University) for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by grants to M.R.S.B. from FAPESP, Brazil (2013/07838-0 and 2014/25602-6) and CNPq, Brazil (303905/2013-1). R.C.F received a CNPq postdoctoral fellowship (206445/2014-8) and C.R.R. a CAPES (Brazil) MSc fellowship.

Author contributions

R.C.F. and C.R.R. planned and performed analyses. M.R.S.B. performed preliminary analysis and wrote the manuscript. R.C.F., C.R.R., J.R.B and M.R.S.B. discussed data and analyses and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

All data and files of analyses here presented are available upon request to the corresponding author.

References

- [1] Green RE, Krause J, Briggs AW, Maricic T, Stenzel U, Kircher M, et al. A Draft Sequence of the Neandertal Genome. Science 2010;328:710–22. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188021.
- [2] Ko KH. Hominin interbreeding and the evolution of human variation. J Biol Res-Thessalon 2016;23:17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40709-016-0054-7.
- [3] Prüfer K, Racimo F, Patterson N, Jay F, Sankararaman S, Sawyer S, et al. The complete genome sequence of a Neanderthal from the Altai Mountains. Nature 2014;505:43–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12886.
- [4] Simonti CN, Vernot B, Bastarache L, Bottinger E, Carrell DS, Chisholm RL, et al. The phenotypic legacy of admixture between modern humans and Neandertals. Science 2016;351:737–41. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad2149.
- [5] Gibbons A. Close Encounters of the Prehistoric Kind. Science 2010;328:680–4. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.328.5979.680.
- [6] Higham T, Douka K, Wood R, Ramsey CB, Brock F, Basell L, et al. The timing and spatiotemporal patterning of Neanderthal disappearance. Nature 2014;512:306–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13621.
- [7] Serre D, Langaney A, Chech M, Teschler-Nicola M, Paunovic M, Mennecier P, et al. No Evidence of Neandertal mtDNA Contribution to Early Modern Humans. PLOS Biol 2004;2:e57. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020057.
- [8] Hill GE, Johnson JD. The mitonuclear compatibility hypothesis of sexual selection. Proc R Soc B 2013;280:20131314.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/190363; this version posted September 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was Coldnot certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed with the preprint Server for Biology COLDNOT CERTIFICATION CONTRACT OF BIOLOGY

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1314.

- [9] Oven M van, Kayser M. Updated comprehensive phylogenetic tree of global human mitochondrial DNA variation. Hum Mutat 2009;30:E386–94. https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.20921.
- [10] Andrews RM, Kubacka I, Chinnery PF, Lightowlers RN, Turnbull DM, Howell N. Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridge reference sequence for human mitochondrial DNA. Nat Genet 1999;23:147. https://doi.org/10.1038/13779.
- [11] Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, et al. Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 2012;28:1647–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199.
- [12] Lott MT, Leipzig JN, Derbeneva O, Xie HM, Chalkia D, Sarmady M, et al. mtDNA Variation and Analysis Using Mitomap and Mitomaster. Curr Protoc Bioinforma 2013;44:1.23.1-1.23.26. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi0123s44.
- [13] Swofford DL. PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (and other methods) 4.0.b5. 2001.
- [14] Weissensteiner H, Pacher D, Kloss-Brandstätter A, Forer L, Specht G, Bandelt H-J, et al. HaploGrep 2: mitochondrial haplogroup classification in the era of high-throughput sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res 2016;44:W58–63. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw233.
- [15] Kimura M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 1980;16:111–20.
- [16] Lindenbaum P. JVarkit: java-based utilities for Bioinformatics 2015. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1425030.v1.
- [17] Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 2011;27:2156–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.
- [18] Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience 2015;4:7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8.
- [19] Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81:559–75.
- [20] Racimo F, Marnetto D, Huerta-Sánchez E. Signatures of Archaic Adaptive Introgression in Present-Day Human Populations. Mol Biol Evol 2017;34:296–317. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw216.
- [21] Lin F-H, Lin R, Wisniewski HM, Hwang Y-W, Grundke-Iqbal I, Healy-Louie G, et al. Detection of point mutations in codon 331 of mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 in alzheimer's brains. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1992;182:238–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(05)80136-6.
- [22] Petruzzella V, Chen X, Schon EA. Is a point mutation in the mitochondrial ND2 gene associated with alzheimer's disease? Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1992;186:491–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(05)80834-4.
- [23] Schnopp NM, Kösel S, Egensperger R, Graeber MB. Regional heterogeneity of mtDNA heteroplasmy in parkinsonian brain. Clin Neuropathol 1996;15:348–52.
- [24] Alvares RDA, Tulumello DV, Macdonald PM, Deber CM, Prosser RS. Effects of a polar amino acid substitution on helix formation and aggregate size along the detergent-induced peptide folding pathway. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA -Biomembr 2013;1828:373–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2012.09.024.
- [25] Podoly E, Hanin G, Soreq H. Alanine-to-threonine substitutions and amyloid diseases: Butyrylcholinesterase as a case study. Chem Biol Interact 2010;187:64–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2010.01.003.
- [26] Volkenstein MV. Coding of Polar and Non-polar Amino-acids. Nature 1965;207:294–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/207294a0.
- [27] GBD Compare | IHME Viz Hub n.d. http://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare (accessed January 31, 2019).
- [28] Fitzpatrick E, Bourke B, Drumm B, Rowland M. The incidence of cyclic vomiting syndrome in children: population-based study. Am J Gastroenterol 2008;103:991–5; quiz 996. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01668.x.
- [29] Lancet T. Hearing loss: an important global health concern. The Lancet 2016;387:2351. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30777-2.
- [30] Hanif F, Muzaffar K, Perveen K, Malhi SM, Simjee SU. Glioblastoma Multiforme: A Review of its Epidemiology and Pathogenesis through Clinical Presentation and Treatment. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev APJCP 2017;18:3–9. https://doi.org/10.22034/APJCP.2017.18.1.3.
- [31] Thomas MG, Miller KWP, Mascie-Taylor CGN. Mitochondrial DNA and IQ in Europe. Intelligence 1998;26:167–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-2896(99)80060-9.
- [32] Kraytsberg Y, Schwartz M, Brown TA, Ebralidse K, Kunz WS, Clayton DA, et al. Recombination of Human Mitochondrial DNA. Science 2004;304:981–981. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1096342.
- [33] Piganeau G, Eyre-Walker A. A reanalysis of the indirect evidence for recombination in human mitochondrial DNA. Heredity 2004;92:282–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800413.
- [34] Fu Q, Mittnik A, Johnson PLF, Bos K, Lari M, Bollongino R, et al. A Revised Timescale for Human Evolution Based on Ancient Mitochondrial Genomes. Curr Biol 2013;23:553–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.02.044.
- [35] Fu Q, Li H, Moorjani P, Jay F, Slepchenko SM, Bondarev AA, et al. Genome sequence of a 45,000-year-old modern human from western Siberia. Nature 2014;514:445–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13810.
- [36] Posth C, Renaud G, Mittnik A, Drucker DG, Rougier H, Cupillard C, et al. Pleistocene Mitochondrial Genomes Suggest a

Single Major Dispersal of Non-Africans and a Late Glacial Population Turnover in Europe. Curr Biol 2016;26:827–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.01.037.

- [37] Rieux A, Eriksson A, Li M, Sobkowiak B, Weinert LA, Warmuth V, et al. Improved Calibration of the Human Mitochondrial Clock Using Ancient Genomes. Mol Biol Evol 2014;31:2780–92. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu222.
- [38] Posada D, Crandall KA. Evaluation of methods for detecting recombination from DNA sequences: Computer simulations. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2001;98:13757–62. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.241370698.
- [39] Steiper ME, Young NM. Primate molecular divergence dates. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2006;41:384–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.05.021.
- [40] Bergsten J. A review of long-branch attraction. Cladistics 2005;21:163–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2005.00059.x.
- [41] Henn BM, Botigué LR, Gravel S, Wang W, Brisbin A, Byrnes JK, et al. Genomic Ancestry of North Africans Supports Backto-Africa Migrations. PLOS Genet 2012;8:e1002397. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002397.
- [42] D'Aurelio M, Gajewski CD, Lin MT, Mauck WM, Shao LZ, Lenaz G, et al. Heterologous mitochondrial DNA recombination in human cells. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13:3171–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddh326.
- [43] Mita S, Rizzuto R, Moraes CT, Shanske S, Arnaudo E, Fabrizi GM, et al. Recombination via flanking direct repeats is a major cause of large-scale deletions of human mitochondrial DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 1990;18:561–7.
- [44] Schwartz M, Vissing J. Paternal Inheritance of Mitochondrial DNA. N Engl J Med 2002;347:576–80. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa020350.
- [45] Felsenstein J. The evolutionary advantage of recombination. Genetics 1974;78:737–56.
- [46] Dokianakis E, Ladoukakis ED. Different degree of paternal mtDNA leakage between male and female progeny in interspecific Drosophila crosses. Ecol Evol 2014;4:2633–41. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1069.
- [47] Neiman M, Taylor DR. The causes of mutation accumulation in mitochondrial genomes. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 2009;276:1201–9. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1758.
- [48] Chen L, Wolf AB, Fu W, Li L, Akey JM. Identifying and Interpreting Apparent Neanderthal Ancestry in African Individuals. Cell 2020:S0092867420300593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.012.
- [49] Horai S, Hayasaka K, Kondo R, Tsugane K, Takahata N. Recent African origin of modern humans revealed by complete sequences of hominoid mitochondrial DNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1995;92:532–6.
- [50] Hervella M, Svensson EM, Alberdi A, Günther T, Izagirre N, Munters AR, et al. The mitogenome of a 35,000-year-old Homo sapiens from Europe supports a Palaeolithic back-migration to Africa. Sci Rep 2016;6:25501. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep25501.
- [51] Formicola V, Pontrandolfi A, Svoboda J. The Upper Paleolithic triple burial of Dolní Věstonice: Pathology and funerary behavior. Am J Phys Anthropol 2001;115:372–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1093.
- [52] Green RE, Malaspinas A-S, Krause J, Briggs AW, Johnson PLF, Uhler C, et al. A Complete Neandertal Mitochondrial Genome Sequence Determined by High-Throughput Sequencing. Cell 2008;134:416–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.021.
- [53] Gansauge M-T, Meyer M. Selective enrichment of damaged DNA molecules for ancient genome sequencing. Genome Res 2014:gr.174201.114. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.174201.114.
- [54] Briggs AW, Good JM, Green RE, Krause J, Maricic T, Stenzel U, et al. Targeted Retrieval and Analysis of Five Neandertal mtDNA Genomes. Science 2009;325:318–21. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1174462.