A helicase-containing module defines a family of pCD630-like plasmids in *Clostridium difficile*

Wiep Klaas Smits[1,2,*], J. Scott Weese[3], Adam P. Roberts[4,5],

Céline Harmanus[1], Bastian Hornung[1]

[1] Department of Medical Microbiology, Leiden University Medical Center, PO Box 9600, 2300RC, Leiden, The Netherlands

[2] Netherlands Centre for One Health

[3] Department of Pathobiology, Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

[4] Department of Parasitology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom

[5] Research Centre for Drugs and Diagnostics, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom

Running title: pCD630 plasmids in *C. difficile*

* Corresponding author: Department of Medical Microbiology, Leiden University Medical Center, PO Box 9600, 2300RC, Leiden, The Netherlands

phone: +31-71-526 1229

fax: +31-71-526 6761

E-mail: w.k.smits@lumc.nl

Abstract

Clostridium difficile is a Gram-positive and sporulating enteropathogen that is a major

cause of healthcare-associated infections. Even though a large number of genomes of

this species have been sequenced, only a few plasmids have been described in the

literature. Here, we use a combination of *in silico* analyses and laboratory experiments

to show that plasmids are common in *C. difficile*. We focus on a group of plasmids that

share similarity with the plasmid pCD630, from the reference strain 630. The family of

pCD630-like plasmids is defined by the presence of a conserved putative helicase that

is likely part of the plasmid replicon. This replicon is compatible with at least some other

C. difficile replicons, as strains can carry pCD630-like plasmids in addition to other

plasmids. We find two distinct sub-groups of pCD630-like plasmids that differ in size

and accessory modules. This study is the first to describe a family of plasmids in *C.*

difficile.

Keywords: Plasmid, replicon, helicase, replication

Introduction

Clostridium difficile (*Clostridioides difficile* [1]) is a Gram-positive, anaerobic and spore-forming bacterium that can asymptomatically colonize the human gut [2]. It is ubiquitous in the environment, and can also be found in the gastrointestinal tract of many animals. The bacterium gained notoriety when it was identified as the causative agent of health care associated diarrhea, and is increasingly implicated in community-associated disease in many countries [2]. In hosts with a dysbiosis of the microbiome, such as patients treated with broad-spectrum antimicrobials, conditions are favorable for *C. difficile* germination and outgrowth [3]. *C. difficile* produces one or more toxins, that cause symptoms ranging from diarrhea to potentially fatal toxic megacolon [2, 4].

Over the past two decades, genetic studies have of *C. difficile* have become possible due to the generation of shuttle plasmids that can be transferred by conjugation from *Escherichia coli* to *C. difficile* [5]. These plasmids mostly employ a replicon derived from plasmid pCD6 for replication in *C. difficile* [6]. In 2006, the first genome sequence of *C. difficile* became available, revealing the presence of another plasmid, pCD630 [7].

Despite a great number of strains having been whole genome sequenced since then, plasmid biology of *C. difficile* has been poorly explored. One reason is that plasmid content is variable, and most studies on the evolution and/or transmission of *C. difficile* focus on those genes conserved between all strains (the core genome) [8-11]. However, there is reason to assume that plasmids are common in *C. difficile*; for instance, before the advent of the currently common typing schemes [12], plasmid

isolation had been proposed as an epidemiological tool [13]. The ratio of plasmid-

containing to plasmid-free strains in this study was found to be approximately 1:2,

suggesting that around 30% of strains of *C. difficile* may carry a plasmid. Furthermore,

hybridization-based analyses of total DNA from a collection of *C. difficile* strains suggest

the presence of DNA with significant similarity to pCD630 open reading frames (ORFs)

[14, 15].

Here, we define a family of plasmids that share a conserved helicase-containing

module and demonstrate that these plasmids are common in a diverse set of *C. difficile*

strains.

Materials and methods

Strains and growth conditions

Strains used in this study are listed in **Table 1**. For DNA isolation, strains were grown on

Clostridium difficile agar (CLO) plates (bioMérieux) and a single colony was inoculated

into pre-reduced brain-heart infusion broth (Oxoid) supplemented with 0.5 % w/v yeast

extract (Sigma-Aldrich) and *Clostridium difficile* selective supplement (Oxoid). Strains

were PCR ribotyped [16] in-house for this study. For some strains a PCR ribotype could

not be assigned (**Table 1**).

Isolation of plasmid DNA from C. difficile

Plasmids were isolated from 2 mL of *C. difficile* overnight culture using NucleoSpin

Plasmid Easypure columns (Macherey-Nagel); to increase yield, 10mg/mL lysozyme to

buffer A1 was added, as recommended by the manufacturer. Using PCR and

sequencing, we found that the DNA isolated using this kit is heavily contaminated with

chromosomal DNA. To isolate pure plasmid DNA, aliquots of the DNA were incubated

with PlasmidSafe ATP-dependent DNase (Epicentre) that digests linear, but not circular

double stranded DNA. After purification with a Nucleospin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit

(Macherey-Nagel), the absence of genomic DNA was confirmed by PCR using primers

targeting *gluD* (**Table 2**). Yields were generally very low, but the plasmid was readily

detectable by PCR.

Reannotation of pCD630 and identification of a pCD630-like plasmid

The pCD630 sequence was obtained from GenBank (AM180356.1). CDP01 and

CDP11 form a single open reading frame (ORF) and were treated as a single ORF in

our analyses. Protein sequences encoded by the ORFs of pCD630 were used as

BLAST queries against the non-redundant protein sequences database, limited to

taxid:1496 (*Clostridium difficile*). This identified the 8089 bp *Peptoclostridium difficile*

genome assembly 7032985, scaffold BN1096_Contig_85 (LK932541.1). To reconstitute

the plasmid from this contig, the DNA was circularized and a single copy of the 98 bp

direct repeat that was present at the terminus of the original contig was removed using

Geneious R10. The resulting 7991 bp sequence now encodes a full copy of a sequence

homologous to CDP07 of pCD630. Reannotation of plasmids was performed using

using an in-house pipeline. This pipeline incorporates the gene caller Prodigal (version

2.6.3) [17], RNAmmer (version 1.2) [18], Aragorn (version 1.2.38) [19], the CRISPR

recognition tool (version 1.2) [20], dbCAN (version 5.0) [21] and PRIAM (version March

2015) [22]. The plasmid derived from LK932541 was submitted to GenBank as pCD-

ISS1 (GenBank: pending accessioning).

Identification of pCD630-like plasmids in short read archives

In order to identify other pCD630-like plasmids in sequence databases, paired end

Illumina sequences from study PRJEB2101 (ERR017367-ERR017371, ERR022513,

ERR125908-ERR125911) were downloaded from the short read archive of the

European Nucleotide Archive (ENA). Short reads were assembled and visualized in

PLACNETw [23] to determine likely replicons. The contigs corresponding to pCD630-

like plasmids were downloaded and imported into Geneious R10 software (Biomatters

Ltd) for circularization and removal of terminal repeats; afterwards all plasmids which

could be circularized were compared with BLASTN (version 2.40) [24] to pCD630 and

the sequences were restructured to start at the base corresponding to base 2903 in

pCD630. Afterwards the plasmids were annotated using the in-house pipeline as

described above, and submitted to GenBank as pCD-WTSI1 (GenBank: MG019959),

pCD-WTSI2 (GenBank: MG019960), pCD-WTSI3 (GenBank: MG019961), pCD-WTSI4

(GenBank: MG019962). Alignments of the pCD630-like plasmids were performed in

Geneious R10 (Biomatters Ltd) and the alignment figure was prepared using Adobe

Illustrator CC (Adobe Systems Inc).

Polymerase chain reaction

Oligonucleotides used in this work are listed in **Table 2**. To confirm the presence of

pCD630 in derivatives of *C. difficile* strain 630, PCR was performed with oWKS-1629

and oWKS-1630 (targeting CDP04); oWKS-1631 and oWKS-1632 (targeting CDP07);

oWKS-1633 and oWKS-1634 (targeting CDP10). As a control for chromosomal DNA, a

PCR was performed targeting the *gluD* gene that is used as a target for *C. difficile*

identification, using primers oWKS-1070 and oWKS-1071. To screen a collection of *C.*

difficile strains for the presence of pCD630-like plasmids, a PCR was performed with

primers oWKS-1651 and oWKS-1652 that targets a region of CDP07 conserved among

the 6 full length plasmids identified in this work. Fragments were separated on 0.5x

TAE (20 mM Tris, 10mM acetic acid, 0.5mM EDTA) agarose, stained with ethidium

bromide and imaged on a Gel Doc XR system (BioRad). Images were captured using

QuantityOne (BioRad) and prepared for publication using Adobe Photoshop CC (Adobe

Systems Inc) and CorelDRAW X8 (Corel Corporation).

Results and discussion

pCD630 is present in C. difficile strain 630 and some of its derivatives

The most commonly used laboratory strains of *C. difficile* are derived from the reference

strain 630 (PCR ribotype 012 [7]) by serial passaging and screening for loss of

resistance to the antimicrobial erythromycin [25]). It was generally assumed that during

this passaging the plasmid pCD630 that is present in strain 630 was lost. Indeed, our *de*

novo assembly of the 630Δ*erm* genome sequence using single molecule real-time

(SMRT) sequencing did not report the presence of the plasmid [26]. Recently, however,

one study showed the presence of reads mapping to pCD630 in a genome

resequencing project of another isolate of 630Δ*erm* [27]. This prompted us to revisit our

whole genome sequencing data (ENA:PRJEB7326). If the plasmid was maintained in

630Δ*erm*, we expected to be able to find reads mapping back to the pCD630 reference

sequence (GenBank: AM180356.1) in this dataset. Indeed, when we performed a

reference assembly of the short reads (ENA: ERR609091) against the pCD630, we

found approximately 0.8% of the reads mapping to the plasmid. The original *de novo*

assembly overlooked the plasmid due to a low number of plasmid-mapping reads as the

result of a size fractionation step (the plasmid is <8kb, and SMRT sequencing was

performed on high MW DNA). Notably, both a *de novo* assembly of the plasmid based

on a small number of SMRT reads, as well as the reference assembly using a large

number of Illumina reads shows a 100% congruence with the published reference

sequence for pCD630 (data not shown). This indicates that, despite the lack of selective

pressure and repeated culturing under laboratory conditions, the plasmid has remained

unchanged.

We confirmed the presence of pCD630 and the extrachromosomal nature of the

plasmid. To do so, we performed a miniprep on a *C. difficile* liquid culture and treated

the resulting DNA with PlasmidSafe DNase, that selectively removes linear double

stranded (sheared) but not circular DNA. A PCR using primers against three ORFs of

pCD630 (*cdp04, cdp07 and cdp10*) and one chromosomal locus (*gluD*) showed that the

DNase treated samples were negative for the *gluD* PCR, but positive for all three

plasmid loci (**Figure 1A**).

The results above suggest that pCD630 is stably maintained

extrachromosomally. Next, we wanted to verify the presence of the plasmid in multiple

derivatives of strain 630, to see if plasmid-loss could be documented. We previously

analyzed 630Δ*erm* from our laboratory as well as from the laboratory where it was

generated to determine the chromosomal location of the mobile element CTn*5*, in

comparison with the ancestral strain 630 and the independently derived 630E strain

[26]. We found that pCD630 was readily detected on total genomic DNA from all these

strains, with the exception of the 630E isolate in our collection (**Figure 1B**). 630E and

630Δ*erm* demonstrate notable phenotypic differences [25, 28] and we wondered

whether these might be in part due to loss of the pCD630 plasmid. We performed a

reference assembly using the whole genome sequencing data available from the study

by Collery *et al* (ENA: PRJNA304508), that compares 630Δ*erm* and 630E [28]. The

assembly showed that both these strains contain pCD630 and indicate that the loss of

plasmid is not a general feature of 630E strains. We conclude that the observed

phenotypic differences are not likely due to loss of the plasmid. It was reported that the

isolate of *C. difficile* 630 stored at in the collection of the DSMZ (www.dsmz.de) lacks

the pCD630 plasmid [27, 29]. We requested both 630 (DSMZ 26845) and 630Δ*erm*

(DSMZ 27543) and checked for the presence of the plasmid. Our results confirm the

absence of pCD630 from DSMZ 26485 (**Figure 1B**), in line with the analysis of

Dannheim *et al* [27].

In other organisms, the presence of certain replicons can negatively affect the

maintenance of other replicons (plasmid incompatibility); this has not been documented

for *C. difficile* to date. If pCD630 would be incompatible with other replicons (such as the

pCB102 and pCD6) [5, 30], this could result in loss of the pCD630 plasmid in genetically

modified *C. difficile*. We therefore tested whether pCD630 was lost in strains

chromosomally modified using Clostron mutagenesis [30, 31], Allele Coupled Exchange

[32, 33] or carrying a replicative plasmid [34, 35]. We found that all of these carried

pCD630, suggesting that pCD630 is compatible with pCB102 and pCD6-based

replicons (**Figure 1C**). Similar results were obtained with multiple mutants (data not

shown).

Together, our data clearly shows that pCD630 persists in the absence of

selection, but also that pCD630 <u>can</u> be lost. Thus, care should be taken to verify

plasmid content when comparing presumed isogenic laboratory strains even when they

are derived from the same isolate.

A pCD630-like plasmid is present in a strain with reduced metronidazole susceptibility

We wondered whether there are more pCD630-like plasmids. As a first step, we set out

to identify coding sequences with homology to pCD630 ORFs in GenBank. Using

default settings, we identified a single 8089 bp contig that encodes proteins with

homology to CDP01, CDP04-6 and CDP08-11 (*Peptoclostridium difficile* genome

assembly 7032985, scaffold BN1096_Contig_85; GenBank: LK932541) (**Figure 2**).

This sequence stems from a study that compares three non-toxigenic PCR

ribotype 010 strains of *C. difficile*, with differing susceptibility to metronidazole [36].

Strain 7032985 was classified as intermediate resistant to metronidazole. If we assume

that the contig represents a pCD630-like plasmid, we expect DNA from this strain to

remain positive in a PCR that targets the plasmid upon treatment with PlasmidSafe

DNase. We found that the PCR targeting *cdp07*, but not chromosomal locus *gluD,*

results in a clear signal when using a template treated with PlasmidSafe DNase (**Figure**

1D). Having confirmed that the contig is extrachromosomal in nature, we will refer to the

putative plasmid as pCD-ISS1 hereafter (**Table 3**).

To further analyze pCD-ISS1, we circularized the LK932451 contig to yield a

putative plasmid of 7991bp, performed an automated annotation (GenBank: pending

accessioning) and compared the annotated pCD-ISS1 sequence to that of pCD630

(**Figure 2**).Overall, the two plasmids are highly similar. Of note, the ORF that

corresponds to the DEAD/DEAH helicase like protein (CDP07 in pCD630) was not

annotated in the LK932541 contig due to its linear nature, but is evident in the pCD-

ISS1 sequence. Similarly, we found that CDP1 (gene remnant) and CDP11 (doubtful

CDS) of pCD630 are in fact a single 201bp ORF, as annotated in the LK932541 contig.

A revised annotation of pCD630 has been submitted to ENA (AM180356) to reflect this.

Though the pCD-ISS1 and pCD630 plasmids are co-linear, there is a single region that

is divergent. The region of pCD630 encompassing the ORFs encoding CDP02 and

CDP03 is absent from pCD-ISS1; the latter contains an ORF encoding a RNA

polymerase sigma factor protein (Interpro:IPR013324) in this region. The pCD-ISS1

annotation does not identify an ORF encoding a homolog of CDP05 of pCD630. This is

the result of a 2bp deletion; it suggests that CDP05 (previously annotated as a doubtful

CDS) may not be a true coding sequence. Both pCD630 and pCD-ISS1 encode phage-

related functions. Most notably, CDP04 and its homolog encode a phage capsid protein

with similarity to the HK97-like major capsid proteins of tailed phages of the

Caudovirales order. Caudovirales are common *C. difficile* phages [37-40]. However,

beside the phage capsid, pCD630 and pCD-ISS11 lack genes encoding other proteins

required for virion formation, such as the large terminase subunit and the portal protein.

Therefore, it is highly unlikely that phage particles can be produced from these

plasmids. In line with this, we find that the genes encoding the phage proteins are

poorly, if at all, expressed (unpublished observations). It appears therefore that (part of)

a viral genome has been incorporated into the plasmid, or that the viral genome has

been transformed into a plasmid.

Together, these data suggest the existence of plasmids closely related to

pCD630 in at least two different PCR ribotypes (010 and 012).

pCD630-like plasmids can be identified in short reads from whole genome sequence

projects

Above, we showed the existence of at least one pCD630-like plasmid. We wondered if

we could extend the family by interrogating the wealth of raw, non-annotated, sequence

data in the public domain. We downloaded a selection of sequence reads from ENA,

corresponding to 10 different strains (see Materials and Methods). To identify

extrachromosomal replicons, we used graph-based tool for reconstruction of plasmids

[23]. We validated this tool on our short read sequence data from our 630Δ*erm*

sequence (ERR609091)[26] and found that is readily identifies the pCD630 plasmid

(data not shown).

Surprisingly, we found only two strains with a single replicon (i.e. only the

chromosome). The other 8 analyzed datasets suggested the presence of at least one

other replicon. Strikingly, 6 contained a replicon that shared similarity to pCD630. Of

these, 4 could be circularized due to the presence of direct repeats at the ends of the

contig and therefore likely represent complete plasmid sequences, as was the case for

pCD-ISS1 (**Table 3**). These plasmids - hereafter referred to as pCD-WTSI1, pCD-

WTSI2, pCD-WTSI3 and pCD-WTSI4 – are all significantly larger than pCD630 and

pCD-ISS1 (**Figure 2**). The smaller pCD630-like contigs without flanking repeats (that

may represent either complete, or incomplete plasmids) were not further studied.

To gain further insight in the group of large pCD630-like plasmids, we performed

an automated annotation of plasmids pCD-WTSI1 (GenBank: MG019959), pCD-WTSI2

(GenBank: MG019960), pCD-WTSI3 (GenBank: MG019961) and pCD-WTSI4

(GenBank: MG019962). The homology with the small pCD630-like plasmids is confined

to the region encoding CDP6-CDP10 of pCD630. Within this region, it is noteworthy that

the ORF encoding the Arc-type ribbon-helix-helix protein (Pfam: PF12651) CDP09 of

pCD630 appears to be replaced with another putative DNA binding protein, a helix-turn-

helix XRE protein (InterPro:IPR010982) in the pCD-WTSI group of plasmids. Further,

we noted that the CDP06, that encodes a truncated homolog of CDP07, appears to be

fused with CDP07 to form a hybrid protein nearly identical in size to CDP07. This

suggests that the CDP06-07 arrangement may be the result of an (incomplete) gene

duplication event. The proteins are putative superfamily 2 helicase fused to an N-

terminal CHC2 zinc finger domain, with homology to the corresponding TOPRIM

domain of DnaG-like primases. They also contains a third domain of unknown function

C-terminal of the helicase domain.

The pCD-WTSI plasmids all contain a highly similar accessory module of ~8kb.

Within this module, notable functions include an integrase (Pfam: PF00589), a

recombinase (Pfam: PF00239), a Cro-C1-type HTH protein (Pfam: PF01381), a

penicillinase repressor (Pfam: PF03965), and an RNA polymerase sigma factor (Pfam:

PF08281 & Pfam: PF04542). The combination is suggestive of integration of mobile

genetic element(s) into the plasmid backbone.

In the short read archive, we only identified large pCD630-like plasmids so far.

Though we cannot exclude the existence of more small pCD630-like plasmids, we

consider it likely that the pCD-WTSI plasmids represent a more widely distributed form

of the pCD630-like plasmid family.

pCD630-like plasmids have a modular organization

Above, we have identified 6 plasmids sharing significant homology in a region that

encompasses an ORF encoding a putative helicase. Moreover, we have shown that the

large and small pCD630-like plasmids are remarkably similar, but that certain genes

appear to have been exchanged. Thus, the organization of these plasmids, like those of

mobile elements in *C. difficile* [41, 42] and plasmids in other organisms [43], is modular.

None of the pCD630-like plasmids encodes a previously characterized replication

protein; yet, it is clear that the plasmid is efficiently maintained in the absence of

obvious selection (**Figure 1**). Based on the finding that all 6 plasmids contain homologs

of the pCD630 CDP6-10, we propose that this region (or part of it) forms the replicon of

the plasmids. The DEAD-DEAH family helicase CDP07 and its homologs, that also

contain a CHC2 zinc finger domain (InterPro: IPR002694) that aligns with the

corresponding domain in DnaG-like DNA primases, appear to be the most likely

candidate to be the replication proteins for this family of plasmids. As noted above, in

the large pCD630-like plasmids the helicase is a CDP06-7 hybrid protein; this may

underlie the signals corresponding to these ORFs in microarray and comparative

genome hybridization studies, but also suggests that CDP06 itself is probably

dispensable for plasmid maintenance. CDP09 is likely also not crucial for the function of

the replicon, as it is replaced by another protein in the group of large pCD630-like

proteins. It is conceivable that CDP09 and the HTH XTRE proteins serve a regulatory

function for instance in controlling the copy number of the plasmids. The small pCD630-

like plasmids have an estimated copy number of 4-5, based on average read coverage

for chromosomal loci and the plasmid contigs. For the large plasmids, this is 9-10.

Consistent with a regulatory rather than an essential function, we noted that in a

previous microarray identification more strains appear to contain homologs of CDP6-10

than any of the other pCD630 genes, and that several strains harboring CDP6-8 and

CDP10 do not contain CDP09 [14]. The same study also found strains that carry

homologs of CDP02-03, but not any of the other genes of pCD630. Combined with our

observation that this particular region is replaced with a single ORF in pCD-ISS1,

suggest that CDP02-03 have been horizontally acquired. In line with this notion, we

found that CDP02 has homology to HNH endonucleases (PFAM01844.17), and genes

encoding these homing endonucleases are considered as selfish genetic elements [44].

pCD630-like plasmids are common in diverse ribotypes

The identification of 6 plasmids carrying a conserved putative replication module,

allowed us to determine the most conserved regions within this module. We designed

primers against one such region, to be able to identify pCD630-like plasmids by PCR.

We tested these primers in a PCR reaction on chromosomal DNA from strains 630Δ*erm*

(WKS1241), yielding a positive signal (**Figure 3**). Next, we tested a collection of 43

strains of diverse PCR ribotypes to see if pCD630-like plasmids could be identified. We

found DNA from 11 isolates gave a signal similar or greater than our positive control,

630Δ*erm* (32.6%); this includes strains of PCR ribotypes 012, 015, 017, and 081

(**Figure 3**). Interestingly, strain 630 and derivatives are PCR ribotype 012 as well [7].

Those samples that were weakly positive on total DNA, appear negative on

PlasmidSafe DNase treated DNA and are therefore likely false positives. Alternatively,

these could represent isolates in which the plasmid is integrated into the chromosome.

Isolating and characterizing these plasmids is part of our ongoing work. We noted that

strain EK29, that presumably contains a pCD630-like plasmid [15], appears negative in

this PCR. We interpret this to mean that the PCR likely fails to detect certain pCD630-

like plasmids, suggesting that the actual number of strain containing pCD630-like

plasmids may be even higher. Our data suggests that pCD630-like plasmids are

common, and not limited to PCR ribotype 010 (strain 7032985) and 012 (strains 630

and derivatives).

The high prevalence of pCD630-like plasmids in these strains raises some

interesting questions. There is little to no information on the function of these plasmids

in *C. difficile* cells. The plasmids from the pCD630-family lack characterized

determinants for antimicrobial resistance and are therefore unlikely to play a major role

in drug resistance. Instead, they appear to harbor phage remnants or (partial) mobile

genetic elements. It is documented that (pro)phages can modulate the expression of the

major toxins [45, 46], affect the expression of cell wall proteins [47] and are up-

regulated during infection [48]; a role in virulence of *C. difficile* is therefore certainly

conceivable.

This study has only looked at plasmids of the pCD630 family and found that it

occurs among diverse *C. difficile* strains. Based on our limited survey, we found

plasmids in 5 different PCR ribotypes, and in strains of different toxinotypes (including

both toxigenic and non-toxigenic strains). It will be of interest to see if the pCD630-

family of plasmids is the most common, or that other plasmids are equally widely

distributed. A broad survey of available genome sequences will likely reveal other

families of plasmids and some of these may be limited to specific strains or clades of *C.*

difficile.

The distribution of pCD630-like plasmids suggests that this family was acquired

early during the evolution of *C. difficile*, or that the plasmid is capable of horizontal

transfer. The pCD630-like plasmids do not encode any characterized conjugation

proteins (**Figure 2**); however, they might be transferable dependent on other mobile

elements or conjugative plasmids. Of note in this respect is that the mobile element

ICE*Bs1* (which is related to Tn*916*, a conjugative transposon common in *C. difficile*) can

mobilize plasmids [49], the pathogenicity locus of *C. difficile* can get transferred by a so

far unidentified mechanism likely to rely on integrated conjugative elements [50] and in

archaea vesicle-mediated plasmid transfer has been documented [51].

We found that pCD630-like plasmids are compatible with different replicons

(**Figure 1C**). To our knowledge, no plasmid incompatibility has been described for *C.*

difficile and sequence analysis did not reveal clear candidate genes for an

incompatibility system in the plasmids analyzed. Considering the high plasmid

prevalence (**Figure 3**), and the fact that existing genetic tools for *C. difficile* depend on

the conjugative transfer of shuttle plasmids with a pCB102 or pCD6 replicon [5], one

can wonder whether some strains are refractory to genetic manipulation due to the

presence of plasmids from an incompatible plasmid group.

Conclusions

In this study we showed that plasmid pCD630 from strain 630 is the paradigm of a

family of plasmids that is defined by a module that encodes a conserved helicase. Most

of the family members belong to a group that is larger than pCD630, and that differ in

their accessory module. Plasmids from the pCD630-family are present in diverse *C.*

difficile strains. Our data warrant further investigation of the role of pCD630-like

plasmids – and plasmids in general - in *C. difficile* biology.

Acknowledgements

The following people are acknowledged for helpful discussions: Y. Anvar, W. Meijer, M.

Krupovic and the Experimental Bacteriology laboratory of E.J. Kuijper at the LUMC. We

thank the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute for sharing unpublished raw data that was

used in this work via the European Nucleotide Archive. The European Nucleotide

Archive support desk is acknowledged for updating the AM180356 record to reflect the

findings of this study. This work was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for

Scientific Research [VIDI 016.141.310] and Departmental Funds to W.K.S.

References

[1]   P.A. Lawson, D.M. Citron, K.L. Tyrrell, S.M. Finegold. Reclassification of Clostridium difficile as Clostridioides difficile (Hall and O'Toole 1935) Prevot 1938. Anaerobe 40 (2016) 95-9.
[2]   W.K. Smits, D. Lyras, D.B. Lacy, M.H. Wilcox, E.J. Kuijper. Clostridium difficile infection. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2 (2016) 16020.
[3]   C.M. Theriot, A.A. Bowman, V.B. Young. Antibiotic-Induced Alterations of the Gut Microbiota Alter Secondary Bile Acid Production and Allow for Clostridium difficile Spore Germination and Outgrowth in the Large Intestine. mSphere 1 (2016).
[4]   K. Aktories, C. Schwan, T. Jank. Clostridium difficile Toxin Biology. Annu Rev Microbiol (2017).
[5]   N. Minton, G. Carter, M. Herbert, T. O'Keeffe, D. Purdy, M. Elmore, et al. The development of Clostridium difficile genetic systems. Anaerobe 10 (2004) 75-84.
[6]   D. Purdy, T.A. O'Keeffe, M. Elmore, M. Herbert, A. McLeod, M. Bokori-Brown, et al. Conjugative transfer of clostridial shuttle vectors from Escherichia coli to Clostridium difficile through circumvention of the restriction barrier. Mol Microbiol 46 (2002) 439-52.
[7]   M. Sebaihia, B.W. Wren, P. Mullany, N.F. Fairweather, N. Minton, R. Stabler, et al. The multidrug-resistant human pathogen Clostridium difficile has a highly mobile, mosaic genome. Nat Genet 38 (2006) 779-86.
[8]   M. He, F. Miyajima, P. Roberts, L. Ellison, D.J. Pickard, M.J. Martin, et al. Emergence and global spread of epidemic healthcare-associated Clostridium difficile. Nat Genet 45 (2013) 109-13.
[9]   N. Kumar, F. Miyajima, M. He, P. Roberts, A. Swale, L. Ellison, et al. Genome-Based Infection Tracking Reveals Dynamics of Clostridium difficile Transmission and Disease Recurrence. Clin Infect Dis 62 (2016) 746-52.
[10]   D.W. Eyre, M.L. Cule, D.J. Wilson, D. Griffiths, A. Vaughan, L. O'Connor, et al. Diverse sources of C. difficile infection identified on whole-genome sequencing. N Engl J Med 369 (2013) 1195-205.
[11]   X. Didelot, D.W. Eyre, M. Cule, C.L. Ip, M.A. Ansari, D. Griffiths, et al. Microevolutionary analysis of Clostridium difficile genomes to investigate transmission. Genome Biol 13 (2012) R118.
[12]   C.W. Knetsch, T.D. Lawley, M.P. Hensgens, J. Corver, M.W. Wilcox, E.J. Kuijper. Current application and future perspectives of molecular typing methods to study Clostridium difficile infections. Euro Surveill 18 (2013) 20381.
[13]   C. Clabots, S. Lee, D. Gerding, M. Mulligan, R. Kwok, D. Schaberg, et al. Clostridium difficile plasmid isolation as an epidemiologic tool. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 7 (1988) 312-5.
[14]   T. Janvilisri, J. Scaria, A.D. Thompson, A. Nicholson, B.M. Limbago, L.G. Arroyo, et al. Microarray identification of Clostridium difficile core components and divergent regions associated with host origin. J Bacteriol 191 (2009) 3881-91.
[15]   G.L. Marsden, I.J. Davis, V.J. Wright, M. Sebaihia, E.J. Kuijper, N.P. Minton. Array comparative hybridisation reveals a high degree of similarity between UK and European clinical isolates of hypervirulent Clostridium difficile. BMC Genomics 11 (2010) 389.
[16]   W.N. Fawley, C.W. Knetsch, D.R. MacCannell, C. Harmanus, T. Du, M.R. Mulvey, et al. Development and validation of an internationally-standardized, high-resolution capillary gel-based electrophoresis PCR-ribotyping protocol for Clostridium difficile. PLoS One 10 (2015) e0118150.
[17]   D. Hyatt, G.L. Chen, P.F. Locascio, M.L. Land, F.W. Larimer, L.J. Hauser. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics 11 (2010) 119.

[18]   K. Lagesen, P. Hallin, E.A. Rodland, H.H. Staerfeldt, T. Rognes, D.W. Ussery.
RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 35
(2007) 3100-8.
[19]   D. Laslett, B. Canback. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes
in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 32 (2004) 11-6.
[20]   C. Bland, T.L. Ramsey, F. Sabree, M. Lowe, K. Brown, N.C. Kyrpides, et al. CRISPR
recognition tool (CRT): a tool for automatic detection of clustered regularly interspaced
palindromic repeats. BMC Bioinformatics 8 (2007) 209.
[21]   Y. Yin, X. Mao, J. Yang, X. Chen, F. Mao, Y. Xu. dbCAN: a web resource for automated
carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 40 (2012) W445-51.
[22]   C. Claudel-Renard, C. Chevalet, T. Faraut, D. Kahn. Enzyme-specific profiles for
genome annotation: PRIAM. Nucleic Acids Res 31 (2003) 6633-9.
[23]   L. Vielva, M. de Toro, V.F. Laza, F. de la Cruz. PLACNETw: a web-based tool for
plasmid reconstruction from bacterial genomes. Bioinformatics btx462 (2017) 1-3.
[24]   S.F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller, E.W. Myers, D.J. Lipman. Basic local alignment search
tool. J Mol Biol 215 (1990) 403-10.
[25]   W.K. Smits. SNP-ing out the differences: Investigating differences between Clostridium
difficile lab strains. Virulence (2016) 1-5.
[26]   E. van Eijk, S.Y. Anvar, H.P. Browne, W.Y. Leung, J. Frank, A.M. Schmitz, et al.
Complete genome sequence of the Clostridium difficile laboratory strain 630Deltaerm reveals
differences from strain 630, including translocation of the mobile element CTn5. BMC Genomics
16 (2015) 31.
[27]   H. Dannheim, T. Riedel, M. Neumann-Schaal, B. Bunk, I. Schober, C. Sproer, et al.
Manual curation and reannotation of the genomes of Clostridium difficile 630Deltaerm and C.
difficile 630. J Med Microbiol 66 (2017) 286-93.
[28]   M.M. Collery, S.A. Kuehne, S.M. McBride, M.L. Kelly, M. Monot, A. Cockayne, et al.
What's a SNP between friends: The influence of single nucleotide polymorphisms on virulence
and phenotypes of Clostridium difficile strain 630 and derivatives. Virulence (2016) 1-15.
[29]   T. Riedel, D. Wetzel, J.D. Hofmann, S. Plorin, H. Dannheim, M. Berges, et al. High
metabolic versatility of different toxigenic and non-toxigenic Clostridioides difficile isolates. Int J
Med Microbiol 307 (2017) 311-20.
[30]   J.T. Heap, S.T. Cartman, S.A. Kuehne, C. Cooksley, N.P. Minton. ClosTron-targeted
mutagenesis. Methods Mol Biol 646 (2010) 165-82.
[31]   D. Bakker, A.M. Buckley, A. de Jong, V.J. van Winden, J.P. Verhoeks, O.P. Kuipers, et
al. The HtrA-like protease CD3284 modulates virulence of Clostridium difficile. Infect Immun 82
(2014) 4222-32.
[32]   S.T. Cartman, M.L. Kelly, D. Heeg, J.T. Heap, N.P. Minton. Precise manipulation of the
Clostridium difficile chromosome reveals a lack of association between the tcdC genotype and
toxin production. Appl Environ Microbiol 78 (2012) 4683-90.
[33]   P.T. van Leeuwen, J.M. van der Peet, F.J. Bikker, M.A. Hoogenkamp, A.M. Oliveira
Paiva, S. Kostidis, et al. Interspecies Interactions between Clostridium difficile and Candida
albicans. mSphere 1 (2016).
[34]   R.P. Fagan, N.F. Fairweather. Clostridium difficile has two parallel and essential Sec
secretion systems. J Biol Chem 286 (2011) 27483-93.
[35]   A.M. Oliveira Paiva, A.H. Friggen, S. Hossein-Javaheri, W.K. Smits. The Signal
Sequence of the Abundant Extracellular Metalloprotease PPEP-1 Can Be Used to Secrete
Synthetic Reporter Proteins in Clostridium difficile. ACS Synth Biol 5 (2016) 1376-82.
[36]   I. Moura, M. Monot, C. Tani, P. Spigaglia, F. Barbanti, N. Norais, et al. Multidisciplinary
analysis of a nontoxigenic Clostridium difficile strain with stable resistance to metronidazole.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58 (2014) 4957-60.

[37]   K.R. Hargreaves, M.R. Clokie. A Taxonomic Review of Clostridium difficile Phages and
Proposal of a Novel Genus, "Phimmp04likevirus". Viruses 7 (2015) 2534-41.
[38]   K.R. Hargreaves, M.R. Clokie. Clostridium difficile phages: still difficult? Front Microbiol 5
(2014) 184.
[39]   O. Sekulovic, L.C. Fortier. Characterization of Functional Prophages in Clostridium
difficile. Methods Mol Biol 1476 (2016) 143-65.
[40]   O. Sekulovic, J.R. Garneau, A. Neron, L.C. Fortier. Characterization of temperate
phages infecting Clostridium difficile isolates of human and animal origins. Appl Environ
Microbiol 80 (2014) 2555-63.
[41]   M.S. Brouwer, A.P. Roberts, P. Mullany, E. Allan. In silico analysis of sequenced strains
of Clostridium difficile reveals a related set of conjugative transposons carrying a variety of
accessory genes. Mob Genet Elements 2 (2012) 8-12.
[42]   M.S. Brouwer, P.J. Warburton, A.P. Roberts, P. Mullany, E. Allan. Genetic organisation,
mobility and predicted functions of genes on integrated, mobile genetic elements in sequenced
strains of Clostridium difficile. PLoS One 6 (2011) e23014.
[43]   R. Fernandez-Lopez, M.P. Garcillan-Barcia, C. Revilla, M. Lazaro, L. Vielva, F. de la
Cruz. Dynamics of the IncW genetic backbone imply general trends in conjugative plasmid
evolution. FEMS Microbiol Rev 30 (2006) 942-66.
[44]   D.R. Edgell. Selfish DNA: homing endonucleases find a home. Curr Biol 19 (2009)
R115-7.
[45]   R. Govind, G. Vediyappan, R.D. Rolfe, B. Dupuy, J.A. Fralick. Bacteriophage-mediated
toxin gene regulation in Clostridium difficile. J Virol 83 (2009) 12037-45.
[46]   O. Sekulovic, M. Meessen-Pinard, L.C. Fortier. Prophage-stimulated toxin production in
Clostridium difficile NAP1/027 lysogens. J Bacteriol 193 (2011) 2726-34.
[47]   O. Sekulovic, L.C. Fortier. Global transcriptional response of Clostridium difficile carrying
the CD38 prophage. Appl Environ Microbiol 81 (2015) 1364-74.
[48]   M. Meessen-Pinard, O. Sekulovic, L.C. Fortier. Evidence of in vivo prophage induction
during Clostridium difficile infection. Appl Environ Microbiol 78 (2012) 7662-70.
[49]   C.A. Lee, J. Thomas, A.D. Grossman. The Bacillus subtilis conjugative transposon
ICEBs1 mobilizes plasmids lacking dedicated mobilization functions. J Bacteriol 194 (2012)
3165-72.
[50]   M.S. Brouwer, A.P. Roberts, H. Hussain, R.J. Williams, E. Allan, P. Mullany. Horizontal
gene transfer converts non-toxigenic Clostridium difficile strains into toxin producers. Nat
Commun 4 (2013) 2601.
[51]   S. Erdmann, B. Tschitschko, L. Zhong, M.J. Raftery, R. Cavicchioli. A plasmid from an
Antarctic haloarchaeon uses specialized membrane vesicles to disseminate and infect plasmid-
free cells. Nat Microbiol (2017).
[52]   J.R. O'Connor, D. Lyras, K.A. Farrow, V. Adams, D.R. Powell, J. Hinds, et al.
Construction and analysis of chromosomal Clostridium difficile mutants. Mol Microbiol 61 (2006)
1335-51.
[53]   H.A. Hussain, A.P. Roberts, P. Mullany. Generation of an erythromycin-sensitive
derivative of Clostridium difficile strain 630 (630Deltaerm) and demonstration that the
conjugative transposon Tn916DeltaE enters the genome of this strain at multiple sites. J Med
Microbiol 54 (2005) 137-41.
[54]   L.J. Deakin, S. Clare, R.P. Fagan, L.F. Dawson, D.J. Pickard, M.R. West, et al. The
Clostridium difficile spo0A gene is a persistence and transmission factor. Infect Immun 80
(2012) 2704-11.
[55]   K.E. Rosenbusch, D. Bakker, E.J. Kuijper, W.K. Smits. C. difficile 630Deltaerm Spo0A
regulates sporulation, but does not contribute to toxin production, by direct high-affinity binding
to target DNA. PLoS One 7 (2012) e48608.

[56]   T. Riedel, B. Bunk, A. Thurmer, C. Sproer, E. Brzuszkiewicz, B. Abt, et al. Genome
Resequencing of the Virulent and Multidrug-Resistant Reference Strain Clostridium difficile 630.
Genome Announc 3 (2015).
560

Figure Legends

Figure 1. 630 and derivatives can contain pCD630. A. *C. difficile* 630Δ*erm* [26]

contains the pCD630 plasmid. **B.** Some, but not all, 630-derived strains contain

pCD630. I=ISS D=DSMZ L=LUMC U=UCL [26]. **C.** Genetically modified 630Δ*erm*

strains still contain pCD630. wt = wild type, CT = Clostron mutant [30, 31], ACE = allelic

coupled exchange mutant [32, 33], p = containing a replicative plasmid [34, 35]. **D.**

Strain 7032985 (intermediate metronidazole susceptible; I) contains a pCD630-like

plasmid but strains 7032994 (metronidazole susceptible; S) and 7032989

(metronidazole resistant; R) do not. For oligonucleotides used, see Materials and

Methods. M = marker.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of an alignment of pCD630-like plasmids. Full-

length plasmids identified in this study were aligned. pCD-ISS1 is based on

GenBank:LK932541. pCD-WTSI-1 to pCD-WTSI4 are based on short read sequences

from ENA:PRJEB2101. The most striking differences are indicated with differently

colored ORFs. The conserved module encompassing the gene encoding a helicase is

boxed, the accessory module is indicated with black ORFs. The gray outline of CDP05

indicates it is annotated in AM180356.1 but is not predicted in our analysis.

592 **Figure 3. pCD630-like plasmids are present in diverse *C. difficile* strains.** A PCR

593 was performed against a conserved target region in the putative helicase protein using

594 oWKS-1651 and oWKS-1652. The presence of a pCD630-like plasmid results in a

595 positive signal in this PCR. M = marker, EK = EK29 [15], Δ*erm* = 630Δ*erm* [26].

598   **Tables.**
599
600   **Table 1. *Strains used in this study.***
601

Strain	Labstock	PCR ribotype	References
630 (ISS)	WKS1705	012	P. Mastrantonio lab collection; [7]
630E	WKS1706	012	R. Britton lab collection; [52]
630Δ*erm* (LUMC)	WKS1241	012	[26, 53]
630Δ*erm* (UCL)	WKS1707	012	[53]
spo0A::CT	WKS1242	012	[54, 55]
pAP24	AP34	012	[35]
Δ*hpd*	AP58	012	[33]
DSMZ27543	WKS1941	012	[7, 27, 56]
DSMZ28645	WKS1943	012	[27, 53]
EK29	WKS1914	078	[15]
7032994	WKS1935	010	P. Spigaglia lab collection; [36]
7032985	WKS1937	010	P. Spigaglia lab collection; [36]
7032989	WKS1939	010	P. Spigaglia lab collection; [36]
100	WKS1950	002	J.S. Weese lab collection
101	WKS1951	258	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
102	WKS1952	002	J.S. Weese lab collection
104	WKS1953	137	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
109	WKS1954	085	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
112	WKS1955	009	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
113	WKS1956	002	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
114	WKS1957	015	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
115	WKS1958	015	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
121	WKS1959	001	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
123	WKS1960	039	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
124	WKS1961	002	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
125	WKS1962	081	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
126	WKS1963	010	J.S. Weese lab collection
486	WKS1964	Unknown	J.S. Weese lab collection
492	WKS1965	719	J.S. Weese lab collection
538	WKS1967	009	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
539	WKS1968	017	J.S. Weese lab collection
543	WKS1969	009	J.S. Weese lab collection

553	WKS1970	015	J.S. Weese lab collection
567	WKS1971	020	J.S. Weese lab collection
572	WKS1972	027	J.S. Weese lab collection
573	WKS1973	001	J.S. Weese lab collection
600	WKS1974	137	J.S. Weese lab collection
624	WKS1975	001	J.S. Weese lab collection
626	WKS1976	046	J.S. Weese lab collection
650	WKS1977	012	J.S. Weese lab collection
656	WKS1978	017	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
658	WKS1979	012	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
660	WKS1980	012	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
662	WKS1981	012	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
664	WKS1982	017	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
668	WKS1983	012	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
670	WKS1984	012	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
672	WKS1985	012	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
674	WKS1986	012	J.S. Weese lab collection; [14]
729	WKS1987	078	J.S. Weese lab collection
733	WKS1988	288	J.S. Weese lab collection
742	WKS1989	Unknown	J.S. Weese lab collection
749	WKS1990	001	J.S. Weese lab collection
1619	WKS1991	Unknown	J.S. Weese lab collection
1628	WKS1992	Unknown	J.S. Weese lab collection

604   **Table 2. Oligonucleotides used in this study.**

Name	Sequence (5' > 3')	Target
oWKS-1070	GTCTTGGATGGTTGATGAGTAC	Forward primer on *gluD*
oWKS-1071	TTCCTAATTTAGCAGCAGCTTC	Reverse primer on *gluD*
oWKS-1629	CTCGAGCGAATGCAAGAG	Forward primer on *cdp04*
oWKS-1630	CCAGTCACCTATGTGCATACC	Reverse primer on *cdp04*
oWKS-1631	ACCTACACAGATGCGTTCAG	Forward primer on *cdp07*
oWKS-1632	AAAGCACCTCATAGCCTTCC	Reverse primer on *cdp07*
oWKS-1633	AAAGTAGTTACGGGCGACAC	Forward primer on *cdp10*
oWKS-1634	TCACAGAAGGCTGCAAACTC	Reverse primer on *cdp10*
oWKS-1651	TAGTCTACCTCTGCACTTATTAG	Forward primer on pCD630-like helicase genes (including *cdp07*)
oWKS-1652	CATTAAAAGAGCTGGATATAAAAGC	Reverse primer on pCD630-like helicase genes (including *cdp07*)

607 **Table 3. Full length pCD630-like plasmids.**

Name	Source	Accessions	Size	Reference
pCD630	Strain 630	GenBank: AM180356	7881 bp	[7]; this study
pCD-ISS1	Strain 7032985	GenBank: LK932541 (contig) GenBank: pending accessioning (plasmid)	7991 bp	[36]; this study
pCD-WTSI1	Not specified	ENA: ERR017368 (Illumina reads) GenBank: MG019959	11777 bp	This study
pCD-WTSI2	Not specified	ENA:ERR022513 (Illumina reads) GenBank: MG019960	12526 bp	This study
pCD-WTSI3	Not specified	ENA: ERR125910 (Illumina reads) GenBank: MG019961	12525 bp	This study
pCD-WTSI4	Not specified	ENA: ERR125911 (Illumina reads) GenBank: MG019962	12488 bp	This study

608