Encoding of Tactile Context in the Mouse Visual Cortex

Steffen Kandler,[1,2,7] Dun Mao,[1,3,7] Bruce L. McNaughton,[1,3,4] and Vincent Bonin[1,5,6,8,*]

[1]Neuro-Electronics Research Flanders (NERF), Kapeldreef 75, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

[2]Imec, Kapeldreef 75, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

[3]Canadian Centre for Behavioral Neuroscience (CCBN), University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta T1K 3M4, Canada

[4]Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California Irvine, USA

[5]Vlaams Instituut voor Biotechnologie (VIB), 3001 Leuven, Belgium

[6]Department of Biology, KU Leuven, Naamsestraat 61, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

[7]These authors contributed equally

[8]Lead Contact

*Correspondence: vincent.bonin@nerf.be

SUMMARY

Primary sensory cortices have been linked to the processing and perception of signals from non-preferred sensory modalities. The cellular activity patterns underlying these cross-modal influences, however, are not known and measurements in intact animals during ethologically relevant behaviours are lacking. We examined the hypothesis that, during real-world behaviour, tactile inputs are encoded in the rodent primary visual cortex (V1) enabling the contextualisation of visual signals. We studied cellular activity in mouse V1 during active exploration of a controlled tactile environment. We identified a population of V1 neurons that specifically encodes tactile stimuli sensed by the whiskers. The neurons show activation in response to tactile stimuli independent of visual inputs. The responses are diverse and selective providing diverse contextual and locational information. In addition, V1 visually responsive cells show response modulations linked to tactile stimuli suggesting they receive subthreshold inputs from tactile neurons. These results indicate that mouse V1 encodes functionally diverse cross-modal signals during real-world behaviour. They suggest a coding strategy whereby signals from distant brain regions converge onto functionally distinct cell groups in primary sensory areas to mediate contextual modulations of primary sensory activity. This convergence may enable early multisensory associations in aid of navigation or exploration behaviours.

Keywords

Visual cortex; Tactile activity; Cross-modal; Calcium imaging; Two-photon

Highlights

• Head-fixed exploration assay to study influence of tactile context on V1 processing.

• V1 subpopulation preferentially encodes somatosensory inputs from the whiskers.

• V1 tactile responses carry diverse contextual and locational information.

• V1 visual responses show modulations linked to tactile inputs.

In Brief

By imaging mouse V1 neurons during head-fixed exploration of a controlled tactile environment,

Kandler et al. show that V1 neurons exhibit diverse cross-modal contextual activity modulations

and identify a cell subpopulation that preferentially encodes somatosensory inputs from the

whiskers.

INTRODUCTION

There is a growing body of data linking primary sensory cortices to multisensory processing

(Driver and Noesselt, 2008; Ghazanfar and Schroeder, 2006; Schroeder and Foxe, 2005; Stein

and Stanford, 2008). The primary visual cortex (V1) receives abundant multimodal projections

from other sensory cortical areas (Charbonneau et al., 2012; Falchier et al., 2002; Kim et al.,

2015; Masse et al., 2016; Rockland and Ojima, 2003; Stehberg et al., 2014; Van Brussel et al.,

2011). EEG recordings and functional imaging studies show that V1 is subject to cross-modal

influences of its neural activity at the level of aggregate neural ensembles (De Meo et al., 2015;

Murray et al., 2015). Similar effects are observed in blind and sighted subjects (Amedi et al.,

2010; Merabet and Pascual-Leone, 2010; Sathian, 2005) suggesting a role for this activity in

normal sensory function.

In vivo physiological studies in monkeys and rodents also indicate influences of cross-modal

stimuli on the activity of individual V1 neurons. Cross-modal stimulation can produce

subthreshold inputs and modulate neuronal outputs (Bieler et al., 2017; Ibrahim et al., 2016;

Iurilli et al., 2012; Kayser et al., 2008, 2009; Lakatos et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2008) and even

activate cortical neurons (Bieler et al., 2017; Bizley et al., 2007; Ibrahim et al., 2016; Newton et

al., 2002; Wallace et al., 2004). Activation of a specific neuronal population by auditory

stimulation has been reported (Ibrahim et al., 2016). However, there is little data on the tuning of

cross-modal signals in V1 and other primary sensory cortices.

Animals make use of visual and somatosensory information to move through their environment.

Vision and touch provide distinct yet complementary information about objects (Kleinfeld et al.,

2006), obstacles (Sofroniew et al., 2014), and self-motion (Jenks et al., 2010). Studies in

humans and monkeys have shown that V1 can respond to tactile stimuli and is involved in

tactile perception (Guipponi et al., 2015; Murray et al., 2015; Pascual-Leone et al., 2005;

Sathian and Zangaladze, 2002; Zangaladze et al., 1999). Studies in rodents show that passive

mechanical stimulation of the whiskers can hyperpolarize V1 membrane potentials and

suppress neural firing (Iurilli et al., 2012). While tactile stimuli provide subthreshold inputs

whether they can activate V1 neurons is debated (Bieler et al., 2017; Newton et al., 2002;

Vasconcelos et al., 2011; Wallace et al., 2004). Studies in freely-moving rats, however, reported

activity linked to location (Haggerty and Ji, 2015 1508; Ji and Wilson, 2007), objects and tactile

behaviour (Vasconcelos et al., 2011), which could reflect tactile inputs. Precisely what tactile

information is encoded in V1 during behaviour is unclear and how signals from preferred and

non-preferred sensory modalities are encoded in V1 populations is not well understood.

We investigated the impact of tactile inputs on activity in the mouse primary visual cortex during

head-fixed active whisking and locomotor behaviour using controlled sensory stimuli. We

identified a population of neurons that show specific responses to tactile stimuli encoding

somatosensory inputs from the whiskers. These neurons showed diversely tuned responses to

stimuli and location in the tactile environment. Visual responsive cells showed response

modulations that could be traced back to tactile stimuli in the environment. These results

indicate that mouse V1 encodes functionally diverse cross-modal signals during navigation or

exploration behaviours. This convergence of cross-modal information onto a functionally distinct

cell group may enable early multisensory associations in aid of ethological relevant behaviours.

RESULTS

To investigate the encoding of tactile information in the mouse primary visual cortex (V1), we combined cellular imaging with a head-fixed treadmill locomotion assay. C57Bl/6j mice (n = 23 animals) were implanted with a head fixation plate and trained to voluntarily run on a low-friction 150-cm linear treadmill for a water reward (Fig. 1A; Suppl. Figs S1A–C, S2). After 2-3 weeks of training (typ. 10 to 15 sessions), the animals were highly engaged during the task (Suppl. Fig. S1D), running at high speed (typ. 20 cm/s, range 10 to 50 cm/s) for sessions lasting 1 hour, generating hundreds of laps per session.

To probe the neurons' sensory response properties, animals were head-fixed under a two-photon microscope and visual and tactile stimuli were delivered as animals ran on the treadmill (Fig. 1A; Suppl. Fig. S1A–C,G). Somatic calcium signals were imaged from GCaMP6-labeled neurons in V1 layer 2/3 (125 to 350 μm below pia) through a glass window implanted over left posterior cortex. Sensory stimuli were delivered upon treadmill movement. For visual stimulation, pseudo-random stimuli were shown to the contralateral right eye as the animal ran across fixed locations on the treadmill (see Experimental Procedures). For tactile stimulation, strips of material attached to the treadmill belt surface were used to periodically contact the whiskers as the animals moved the belt (Suppl. Fig. S1H; Suppl. Figs S2B). A shield positioned just above the treadmill blocked the belt and approaching tactile stimuli from the animal's line of sight (Fig. 1A; Suppl. Fig. S1A-B). Taking movement speed into account, each strip was within the whiskers' reach for only a few tens of milliseconds. Cellular imaging in the S1 barrel field of the somatosensory cortex confirmed robust responses from somatosensory neurons (Suppl. Fig. S3C). To examine the responses, calcium time courses were deconvolved and expressed as a function of location on the treadmill in 1-cm intervals, normalizing activity by time spent at individual intervals (Gothard et al., 1996; Mao et al.). Only activity during locomotion epochs (> 2 cm/s) was considered. The resulting position related activity profiles allowed relating the neurons' firing responses to tactile stimuli on the treadmill (Suppl. Fig. S3D).

Activation of V1 Neurons by Tactile Stimuli

To determine whether V1 neurons show somatosensory responses to tactile stimuli during locomotion on the treadmill, we compared activity in darkness and at a photopic light level on treadmills with or without stimuli (Fig. 1B; Suppl. Fig. S2B). Animals were trained on a treadmill

devoid of stimuli (blank belt, Suppl. Fig. S2A). Activity from the same population of neurons was

measured in consecutive blocks of trials on a treadmill devoid of stimuli and on a treadmill with

two identical sets of stimuli (Fig. 1B,C; see Experimental Procedures, Experiment 1). To test for

inputs from the whiskers or the paws, we measured, in a separate block of trials, V1 activity on

a treadmill with tactile stimuli in presence of a barrier preventing whiskers from touching the belt

and stimuli (Fig. 1B).

We obtained the calcium activity courses of 2,925 layer 2/3 neurons from monocular V1 (n = 7

mice). For all experiments, the two-photon microscope was centred on the retinotopic subregion

of V1 activated by a monocular visual stimulus of 60 deg. width centred at 45 deg. azimuth and

0 deg. elevation. We focused our analyses on neurons that showed one or more calcium

transients in at least 25 % of the laps (> baseline dF/F_0 + 3-times s.d.) (2,925 / 3,317 cells). To

identify cells responding to the tactile stimuli, we compared calcium activity in a time window

preceding the animals reaching the tactile stimuli to a similarly sized window centred on the

stimuli. We identified cells that showed a pronounced increase in fluorescence at least at one of

the tactile stimuli (1-way ANOVA, $p < 0.01$; > 10 % dF/F_0) and examined their activities in the

blank belt and whisker barrier conditions.

About 9 % of the layer 2/3 neurons active during the task showed robust responses to the tactile

stimuli (n = 112/1,270 cells) (Fig. 1C–F). As can be appreciated from the computed position

related activity profiles (Fig. 1C, middle; Suppl. Fig. S5D, right), these cells showed a sharp

increase in calcium fluorescence at the tactile stimuli but little indication of activation elsewhere.

The responses were specific to the stimuli; no position-locked calcium transients were observed

on the belt devoid of stimuli (Fig. 1C, left, Suppl. Fig. S5D, left). Consistent with responses

encoding touches between the whiskers and the stimuli, no responses to the tactile stimuli were

observed from these neurons in the barrier condition (Fig. 1C, right). Responses were abolished

even though the animals' paws had full access to the stimuli and running behaviour maintained

(Fig. 1C, bottom).

Across the population of neurons activated by tactile stimuli, the average response across trials

was 16.1 ± 1.8 % dF/F_0 (mean $\pm$ s.e.m.). This is several-fold the activity at matched locations on

the belt devoid of stimuli (1.1 ± 0.8 % dF/F_0, mean $\pm$ s.e.m.) (n = 112/1,270 cells) and in the

barrier condition (dF/F$_0$: 15.4 ± 3.1 % vs. 2.3 ± 1.1 %, mean ± s.e.m, p < 1.5e-6, paired sign

test) (Fig. 1D,F). In presence of the barrier, responses were close to those observed on the

blank belt (dF/F$_0$: p < 0.1; paired sign test) (Fig. 1F, 2B) and to those of the unresponsive

population (Suppl. Fig. S5A). Importantly, these responses to tactile stimuli were observed both

in darkness (< 0.01 cd/m^2) (n = 4 animals) and under photopic illumination (59 cd/m^2) (n = 7

animals). The fraction of activated neurons and the amplitudes of responses were similar across

illumination conditions (Fig. 1D–F).

Somatosensory Inputs vs. Neuromodulatory Influences

These activity patterns were inconsistent with general neuromodulatory or motor influences.

Activation by tactile stimuli was restricted to a small subset of neurons (Suppl. Fig. S5C). No

calcium transients linked to tactile stimuli were observed in the remainder of the population

(Suppl. Fig. S5D). Pronounced responses were observed in absence of concomitant changes in

movement speed and pupil size (Fig. 1C, bottom; Suppl. Fig. S1E). Finally, both locomotion and

tactile stimuli had little impact on pupil size (Suppl. Fig. S1F).

To directly examine the possibility that the activity reflects motor output, we related the activity

to movement onsets and offsets (Suppl. Fig. S4). Neither pupil size nor locomotion onsets and

offsets explained the calcium transients observed at the tactile stimuli (Suppl. Fig. S4). This

excludes roles for arousal and motor influences in the observed responses to tactile stimuli.

Single Trial Responses to Tactile Stimuli

Responses to tactile stimuli were discernible in individual trials (Fig. 2). The amplitude of single

trial calcium transients of neurons activated by tactile stimuli was 105.1 ± 66.5 % dF/F$_0$ (n =

1,345; > baseline dF/F$_0$ + 3-times s.d.). Transients of such magnitudes correspond to neurons

firing multiple action potentials in V1 layer 2/3 (Chen et al., 2013). The responses to tactile

stimuli occurred from the first trials the animals ran on the belt with stimuli (Fig. 2A,C,D).

Responses were in fact observed in naïve animals that were never exposed to the belt with

tactile stimuli (Fig. 2C). Responses showed no sign of abating as the animal ran multiple laps on

the treadmill with stimuli (Fig. 2A,C,D) and disappeared from the first trials with the barrier (Fig.

2A, bottom).

Consistency of Activity Across Trials

Transient onsets were consistently aligned to the stimuli across trials (Fig. 2A,C,D; Suppl. Fig.

S4). The trial-to-trial alignment led to strong position related dependence seen in the average

activity (Fig. 1C; Suppl. S5D). To examine this dependency, calcium time courses were

deconvolved and expressed as a function of position. To quantify consistency of activity across

trials, we calculated the fraction of variance in the single-lap, data that is explained by the

average across laps (EV position; two-fold cross-validation, see Experimental Procedures).

On the belt with tactile stimuli, the average across laps explained 17.4 ± 1.3 % of the variance in

the deconvolved single trial data (mean $\pm$ s.e.m.; n = 112/1,270 cells). On the belt lacking tactile

stimuli, EV position was lower (4.5 ± 0.6 %) close to that observed in the broader population (EV

position: 5.1 ± 0.2 % vs. 3.1 ± 0.1 % mean $\pm$ s.e.m.) (n = 1158/1,270 cells). Similar results were

obtained in experiments with the barrier (EV position: 15.1 ± 2.0 % vs. 2.3 ± 0.3 %, mean $\pm$

s.e.m., $p < 5.6e-8$, paired sign test) (n = 25/390 cells). In presence of the barrier, EV position

was close to that observed on the blank belt (dF/F_0: $p < 0.1$, EV position: $p < 0.42$; paired sign

test) (Fig. 1F, 2B) and that of the unresponsive population (Suppl. Fig. S5A). Amongst neurons

activated by tactile stimuli, EV position was similar in 'naïve' animals never exposed to a belt

with stimuli and previously-exposed animals (Fig. 2E).

Tactile Stimuli Activate a Distinct Neural Population

Do tactile activated neurons form a functionally distinct population? To determine whether

neurons activated by tactile stimuli respond to visual stimulation and vice versa, we measured

responses to tactile or visual stimuli from the same neural population (Fig. 3; Suppl. Figs S5,

S2C). For tactile stimulation, repeated strips of material were placed at two locations on the

treadmill belt. For visual stimulation, a brief, 1-sec visual stimulus was presented in lockstep

with locomotion as the animal ran across either of two treadmill locations. The responses to the

visual stimuli were assessed by comparing average calcium activities in 0.5-sec and 1.5-sec

windows before and after stimulus onsets. Responses to the tactile stimuli were assessed as

described above. Neurons were deemed responsive if activity was increased at either one of the

two stimulus locations (1-way ANOVA, $p < 0.01$).

We found no evidence of bimodal activation in the populations of layer 2/3 neurons we examined (Fig. 3A; Suppl. Fig. S5C–G) (n = 7 animals). Neurons activated by the tactile stimuli (n = 51/716 cells) showed no noticeable responses to the visual stimulus (Fig. 3A, cells 1,2; Suppl. Fig. S5F,G). Conversely, the neurons that showed activation by the visual stimulus (n = 97/716 cells) showed no responses to the tactile stimuli (Fig. 3A, cells 3,4; Suppl. Fig. S5F,G). The lack of bimodal activation is likely not a consequence of the neurons' low probability of activation. Assuming independent sampling, taking the number of responsive cells and sample size into account, the mean number of bimodal neurons expected is 6.9 ± 2.6 (mean ± s.d.) and the probability of no activation is less than one in one thousand (p < 9.6e-4). The lack of bimodal activation is likely not a consequence of the specific visual stimulus tested. Similar results were obtained in a separate group of mice using prolonged visual stimuli that covered a broad range of spatiotemporal frequencies and orientations (Suppl. Fig. S6) (n = 3 animals). Tactile neurons showed no activation to the broad range of visual stimuli (Suppl. Fig. S6B,D), providing further evidence of their functional distinct properties.

V1 Activity on the Treadmill with Diverse Stimuli

Cross-modal tactile activity could either be indiscriminate or tuned to specific tactile inputs encoding specific information about the stimuli in the tactile environment. To distinguish between these possibilities, we examined, in a separate group of animals (n = 4 mice), activity of layer 2/3 neurons while animals ran in a feature-rich tactile environment composed of strips of material of different heights and orientations placed at distinct treadmill locations (Fig. 4A; Suppl. Fig. S2D; see Experimental Procedures, Experiment 3). The 1-sec visual stimulus was presented as the animal ran across four distinct locations on the treadmill. No visual stimulus was presented during stationary epochs. To cast a wide net for cells that encode tactile information, we selected cells that showed repeated position-related activity patterns across trials (EV position > 10 %, two-fold cross-validation, see Experimental Procedures) (Fig. 4C) excluding cells that showed responses to the visual stimulus (EV visual stim. ≤ 0 %, two-fold cross-validation) (Figs 1–3). There was a general association between tactile stimuli on the belt and the number of V1 neurons that met these criteria (Fig. 4H). We therefore henceforth refer to these neurons as 'tactile' or 'putative tactile'.

To study the diversity of V1 tactile activity, we examined the calcium time courses of a total of

1,878 V1 layer 2/3 neurons (n = 4 animals) on the treadmill with diverse stimuli. We followed

through on the 1,345 cells that showed calcium transients in over 25 % of laps (transients larger

than baseline raw dF/F_0 + 3-times s.d.) excluding the remainder from further analysis. To

examine the tuning of the activity, calcium time courses were deconvolved and expressed as a

function of treadmill location, divided in 1-cm intervals.

About a quarter of active neurons (n = 353/1,345 cells) showed position-related responses (Fig.

4C, blue traces; EV position > 10 %, EV visual stim. ≤ 0 %, Fig. 4D,E) consistent with the

activity of tactile neurons (Figs 1–3). This fraction of cells was on par with the fraction of cells

entrained by the visual stimulus presented simultaneously as the animal ran on the treadmill

with diverse stimuli (28 %, n = 378/1,345 cells, including responses locked to visual stimulus

onset and offset) (Fig. 4B, red traces; EV visual stim. > 10 %) (Suppl. Fig. S7B,C). However, the

fraction was two to several-fold larger than the fraction observed on treadmills with fewer tactile

stimuli including the treadmill devoid of purposefully salient stimuli (Fig. 4H). These putative

tactile neurons showed robust activity showing calcium transients of similar amplitudes as

visually-responsive cells (tactile dF/F_0: 71.9 ± 61.4 %, visual dF/F_0: 69.8 ± 59.9.0 %, mean ±

s.d.; transients larger than 3-times s.d. above baseline dF/F_0). The neurons showed similar

activity levels during locomotion and stationary epochs (Fig. 4G). However, they were more

active during stationary epochs than the visually-responsive cells (Fig. 4F, compare with Fig.

4G, no visual stimuli were presented during stationary epochs),

Far from indiscriminate, however, tactile activity was diversely tuned, with different neurons

showing activity at specific locations in the tactile environment. Simultaneously imaged neurons

showed distinct activity time courses (Fig. 4C, blue traces) and position-related activity patterns

(Fig. 5A,B). Within a same imaging experiment, patterns with sharp and narrow-peak tuning to

different locations were observed simultaneously with patterns showing more gradual activity

changes with belt location. The patterns were repeatable. The average position-related activity

across laps explained up to 50% of the variance in the single-trial data (EV position: 15.7 ± 10.9

%, mean ± s.d., two-fold cross-validation) (n = 353/1,345 cells) (Fig. 4E). The cross-correlation

of activity across laps often showed a narrow peak of a few centimetres in width (8.2 ± 2.9 cm,

half-width at half-maximum, mean ± s.d.) (Fig. 5C). Across the population, nonvisual neurons

showed location selectivity and position preferences that were distributed over the length of the
treadmill belt (Fig. 5D).
290
While correlated with location, the activity of V1 tactile neurons did not bear the features of a
place code (Suppl. Fig. S8). The activity of individual neurons showed considerable redundancy
in time and space (Suppl. Fig. S8A,B). This high redundancy differs markedly from the sparse
activity of hippocampal CA1 neurons measured during the same task (n = 3 animals) (Mao et
al., 2017) (Suppl. Fig. S8C,D). During locomotion on the treadmill with diverse stimuli,
hippocampal place cells showed low probability of activation (Suppl. Fig. S8C), sequential
activation during movement (Suppl. Fig. S8C), and spatially-localized firing fields uniformly
distributed over the treadmill (Suppl. Fig. S8D).
299
By comparison, V1 neurons showing tactile-like activity responded at multiple locations (Suppl.
Fig. S8A,B) and showed no indication of sequential activation of V1 neurons during movement
(Suppl. Fig. S8A). Furthermore, while robust hippocampal place cell activity was observed in
absence of tactile stimuli (Suppl. Fig. S8E), the V1 neurons showed little spatial modulations of
their activity on treadmills devoid of tactile stimuli (Fig. 4H). These properties are consistent with
V1 neurons activity encoding low-level sensory features of the tactile environment.
306
307   We conclude that, rather than showing indiscriminate responses to tactile stimuli, V1 nonvisual
308   neurons have diversely tuned response properties potentially encoding specific information
309   about the tactile environment.
310
Modulations of Visual Responses by Tactile Context
Do tactile inputs sensed by the whiskers also influence V1 visual activity? While we found no
indication of activation by tactile stimuli in visually-responsive cells (Figs 3–5), the neurons may
receive subthreshold inputs that could influence responses to visual stimulation. To test for this
possibility, we examined how responses to the repeated visual stimulus change as animals run
across distinct sets of stimuli on the treadmill with diverse tactile stimuli (Fig. 6, same data as
Figs 4,5). To study modulations across layers of V1, we complemented the layer 2/3 cellular
imaging data (n = 4 + 3 mice) with acute single-unit electrophysiological recordings with
multisite silicon probes in the same task (n = 5 animals).

Concomitant to the pronounced nonvisual activity (Figs 4C, 5B,C), V1 neurons showed marked

trial-to-trial variability of visual responses during locomotion on the treadmill with diverse stimuli

(Fig. 4B). In the cellular imaging data, variability was often not shared between simultaneously

imaged neurons (Fig. 4B). In both cellular imaging data and acute recordings, variability was

often linked to the location of visual stimulus onset (Fig. 6A; Suppl. Fig. S9A). To quantify these

modulations, we sorted responses by treadmill location of stimulus onsets and averaged them

across trials (Fig. 6A; Suppl. Fig. S9A). We focused on the three locations away from the reward

site which had comparable pupil size, movement speed and eye position (Fig. 6B; Suppl. Fig.

S9A). We computed for each cell a modulation index (MI) and effect size (ES), providing

measures of the magnitude of the modulation and its difference in mean to the position-shuffled

data (Suppl. Fig. S9B) (see Experimental Procedures). We excluded responses to the stimulus

presented at the reward site which showed correlated eye movements and changes in

movement speed (Suppl. Fig. S9A). Cellular imaging calcium time courses was deconvolved to

estimate firing rates.

Although simultaneously imaged neurons responded, on average, similarly across the three

locations (Fig. 6A, bottom), a subset showed pronounced and diverse activity differences across

the three locations (Fig. 6A, cells 1 to 8). These differences were observed although pupil size

and movement speed were similar at those locations (Fig. 6B; Suppl. Fig. S9A). Over two thirds

of visually-responsive cells in layer 2/3 measured with cellular imaging showed clear location

modulations (81 %, n = 306/378 cells in 4 animals, ES > 1) (Fig. 6E; Suppl. Fig. S9C). The

neurons showed an average modulation index of 14.6 ± 13.9 % (mean ± s.d., n = 378 cells).

Modulations by location were also observed in the electrophysiological recordings across layers,

with two third of the cells showing modulations with an effect size > 1 (Fig. 6C; Suppl. Fig. S9D)

(67 %, n = 98/146 cells in 5 animals, ES > 1). The average modulation index in the recordings

across layers was 9.2 ± 7.3 % (mean ± s.d., n = 146 cells). Interestingly, modulations were

observed at all depths of V1 (Fig. 6D).

To test whether modulations reflect behavioural variables correlated with location, we computed

the Pearson correlation coefficient between the responses and behavioural variables over the

time scale of the visual stimulus (Suppl. Fig. S9E,G). We considered movement speed (Suppl.

Fig. S9E, top; S9G, left), interval between visual stimulus events (visual stimulation rate, Suppl.

Fig. S9E, middle; Suppl. Fig. S9G, right), and eye position (Suppl. Fig. S9E, bottom). The

neurons' behavioural activity correlations were largely statistically independent from modulation

indices, as evidenced by their separable joint histograms (Suppl. Fig. S9E,G). This held for

electrophysiological recordings (Suppl. Fig. S9E) and the calcium imaging data (Suppl. Fig.

S9E). One exception was movement speed, whereby highest correlated cells showed lower

modulations. This was not observed in the spike recordings (Suppl. Fig. S9E, top). To test the

impact of time spent at reward on modulations, we compared modulation indices across the

slowest and fastest laps in the experiments measured around the reward site (bottom vs. top 25

% of trials) but found that it had weak impact on magnitude of modulations and effect size

(Suppl. Fig. S9F). These results suggest that it is unlikely that location modulations of visual

responses reflect correlations of behavioural variables with location.

To directly test the role of tactile stimuli on the belt, we imaged, in a separate group of animals

(n = 3 mice), location modulation of visual responses in consecutive blocks of trials in presence

of the barrier preventing access of the whiskers to tactile stimuli. Neurons showed weaker

modulations in the presence of the barrier, with a mean modulation index of 19.1 ± 2.1 % (mean

± s.e.m., barrier placed, n = 83 cells) and 35.6 ± 4.6 % (mean ± s.e.m., barrier absent, p < 9.0e-

4, 1-way ANOVA) and mean effect size of 1.5 ± 0.14 (mean ± s.e.m., barrier placed) and 2.3 ±

0.15 (barrier absent, p < 3.0e-4) (Fig. 6F).

Thus, during locomotion on the treadmill with diverse stimuli, V1 neurons show location-related

modulations of visual responses that likely reflect tactile context.

DISCUSSION

We used a head-fixed active whisking and locomotion assay with controlled sensory stimuli to

study contextual modulations by tactile inputs in the mouse primary visual cortex during

behaviour. We found that during locomotion and exploration mouse V1 neurons encode rich

information about tactile environment, encoding somatosensory inputs from the whiskers and

partaking in visuo-tactile integration. These results indicate that mouse V1 encodes functionally

diverse cross-modal signals during real-world behaviour.

We observed activation of mouse V1 layer 2/3 neurons by tactile stimuli (Figs 1–5) as well as

modulations of visual responses by tactile context (Fig. 6). The tactile activity resembled the

responses of neurons in the S1 barrel field of the somatosensory cortex (Suppl. Fig. S3), was

observed both in darkness and under photopic illumination, and was disrupted by a barrier

placed between the whiskers and the stimuli (Figs 1,2). Interestingly, the activity was carried by

a cell population that show little responses to visual stimuli (Figs 3,4; Suppl. Fig. S6). This raises

the interesting possibility that the neurons form a functionally distinct cell type. The responses

were diverse and tuned to features and locations in the tactile environment (Figs 4,5).

Cross-Modal Activity in Primary Visual Cortex

Prior to this study, cellular level evidence of cross-modal activity in the rodent visual cortex

stemmed primarily from electrical recordings of neuronal responses to passive stimulation

(Bieler et al., 2017; Ibrahim et al., 2016; Iurilli et al., 2012; Newton et al., 2002; Wallace et al.,

2004). These studies concluded that, in intact animals, cross-modal stimulation induces

subthreshold inputs and modulates visual responses but seldom leads to neuronal activation

without inputs from the primary sensory modality. A study of auditory stimulation (Ibrahim et al.,

2016) reported activation of layer 1 inhibitory neurons but only modulations in layer 2/3 neurons.

These studies used single or a few stimuli and could not address the degree to which V1

neurons encode diverse information about the cross-sensory modality. One study in freely

moving rats (Vasconcelos et al., 2011) showed, in darkness, V1 activity linked to location and

objects as well as modulations correlated with tactile discrimination behaviour. This study,

however, could not distinguish between sensory or motor influences on V1 activity and did not

investigate the tuning of individual V1 neurons.

A number of factors might have contributed to our observation of neuronal activation in

response to tactile stimuli. First and foremost is the cellular imaging which has high yield and

sensitivity and allows investigation of sparse firing patterns that could easily be overlooked with

electrical recordings techniques. Our findings could be also influenced by the elevated

excitability of V1 neurons during treadmill locomotion, which is associated with elevated arousal.

However, a study in head-fixed mice reported similar subthreshold cross-modal responses to

auditory stimulation during anaesthesia, wakefulness and movement (Iurilli et al., 2012).

Accordingly, we observed similar levels of activity in tactile V1 neurons during locomotion and

stationary epochs (Fig. 4G). A third factor could be the active whisking paradigm.

Somatosensory responses in S1 during active whisking are stronger and longer lasting than

during passive stimulation (Krupa et al., 2004).

Function of V1 Tactile Signals

Our results indicate that diverse tactile signals are represented at the level of neuronal

populations within mouse V1. The function of these signals remains to be elucidated.

Convergence of complementary inputs from the eyes and the whiskers suggests integration of

information from the animals' proximal tactile environment. This may be useful in exploratory or

navigation behaviours such as object exploration (Vasconcelos et al., 2011) or obstacle

avoidance (Sofroniew et al., 2014). While we observed no multimodal activity that would support

multisensory associations, the modulations may serve contextualisation of visual inputs.

While correlated with location on the linear treadmill, the activity comprised the properties of a

low-level sensory code. The activity was concentrated at the tactile stimuli (Figs 1–3, 5H) and

had limited spatial selectivity (Fig. 5E, Suppl. Fig. S8A,B), with individual neurons responding at

different times and showing responses at multiple locations during locomotion on the treadmill

with diverse stimuli. This activity differs strikingly from the sparse and spatially localized activity

we observed in hippocampus (Suppl. Fig. S8C,D) and in retrosplenial cortex (Mao et al., 2017)

during the same task. We speculate that the diversity of the activity (Figs 4,5) reflects distinct

whiskers brushing different tactile stimuli. Our experiments, however, do not rule out a

contribution of stereotyped whisking patterns in generating the activity. Importantly, we note that

position-related patterns provide only a lower bound on diversity and ignore the rich temporal

dynamics of tactile activity (Suppl. Fig. S4). Understanding the precise whisker movements and

touch patterns that lead to V1 tactile responses requires future investigation.

Origins of V1 Tactile Signals

The anatomical origins of V1 tactile signals are unknown. The activity could originate in long-

range cortical inputs from S1 barrel field (Charbonneau et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2015; Masse et

al., 2016; Stehberg et al., 2014; Van Brussel et al., 2011). Anterior visual cortical areas A and

RL of the posterior parietal cortex are also interconnected with S1 barrel field (Wang et al.,

2012). Neurons in area RL have been shown to respond to both visual and tactile stimulation

(Olcese et al., 2013). Neurons in these areas could send tactile information directly to V1 or

relay tactile signals through any one of the higher visual cortical areas projecting to V1.

Relation to Cross-Modal Plasticity

There is a striking parallel between our results and the cross-modal plasticity that occurs in V1

following the loss of visual inputs (Amedi et al., 2010; Klinge et al., 2010; Lee and Whitt, 2015;

Merabet et al., 2007; Sathian, 2005). Human neuroimaging studies have shown V1 activation in

blind subjects during braille reading and other tactile paradigms (Merabet et al., 2007; Sadato et

al., 1996). Cross-modal activity in V1 is also observed after short-term visual deprivation and is

causally linked to tactile behaviour (Merabet et al., 2008; Merabet et al., 2007). In rodents,

recovery of activity from loss of visual inputs depends on the inputs from the whiskers (Newton

et al., 2002; Van Brussel et al., 2011). Following loss of visual inputs, the tactile activity we

observe in intact animals may be amplified, which could explain the whisker-dependent,

progressive recovery of activity in V1 that is observed weeks after removal of visual inputs.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes nine figures.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.K., D.M., B.L.M and V.B. designed the experiments. D.M. and S.K. devised the behavioural

assay. S.K. performed the experiments in the visual cortex. D.M. performed the dual recordings

in cortex and hippocampus and the imaging in the hippocampus. S.K. and D.M. analysed the

data with guidance from V.B. S.K. and V.B. wrote the manuscript with input from D.M. and

B.L.M. The authors declare no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Karel Svoboda, Judith Hirsch, Georg Keller, Thomas Mrsic-Flogel, Mark L.

Andermann, Karl Farrow, Fabian Kloosterman, João Couto, Michał Ślęzak, and all members of

the Bonin laboratory for feedback, discussions and comments on the manuscript. João Couto

acquired the cellular imaging data set in the somatosensory cortex. Anna Pitas, Çağatay Aydın,

and Xu Han assisted in experiments. Thanks to Molly J. Kirk and Alexandra Emmendorfer for

surgical help. We thank Adrienne Caiado, Jordyn Hanover, Jake T. Jordan, Jessica Mitchell,

Frederique Ooms, Ben Puccio, and Daniel Tovbin for animal training. We thank Adrian Cheng

for technical advice with the two-photon microscopes. This work was supported by Neuro-

Electronics Research Flanders (B.L.M. and V.B.), AIHS Polaris award (B.L.M.), AIHS graduate

studentship (D.M.), NSERC funding (B.L.M.), NSF grant 1631465 (B.L.M.), Research

Foundation—Flanders (FWO) grant G0D0516N (V.B.), and KU Leuven Research Council grant

C14/16/048 (V.B.).

REFERENCES

490   Amedi, A., Raz, N., Azulay, H., Malach, R., and Zohary, E. (2010). Cortical activity during tactile
491   exploration of objects in blind and sighted humans. Restor Neurol Neurosci *28*, 143-156.

492   Bieler, M., Sieben, K., Schildt, S., Roder, B., and Hanganu-Opatz, I.L. (2017). Visual-tactile processing in
493   primary somatosensory cortex emerges before cross-modal experience. Synapse *71*.

494   Bizley, J.K., Nodal, F.R., Bajo, V.M., Nelken, I., and King, A.J. (2007). Physiological and anatomical
495   evidence for multisensory interactions in auditory cortex. Cereb Cortex *17*, 2172-2189.

496   Bonin, V., Histed, M.H., Yurgenson, S., and Reid, R.C. (2011). Local diversity and fine-scale organization
497   of receptive fields in mouse visual cortex. J Neurosci *31*, 18506-18521.

498   Charbonneau, V., Laramee, M.E., Boucher, V., Bronchti, G., and Boire, D. (2012). Cortical and
499   subcortical projections to primary visual cortex in anophthalmic, enucleated and sighted mice.
500   Eur J Neurosci *36*, 2949-2963.

501   Chen, T.W., Wardill, T.J., Sun, Y., Pulver, S.R., Renninger, S.L., Baohan, A., Schreiter, E.R., Kerr, R.A.,
502   Orger, M.B., Jayaraman, V.*, et al.* (2013). Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging
503   neuronal activity. Nature *499*, 295-300.

504   Dana, H., Chen, T.W., Hu, A., Shields, B.C., Guo, C., Looger, L.L., Kim, D.S., and Svoboda, K. (2014).
505   Thy1-GCaMP6 transgenic mice for neuronal population imaging in vivo. PLoS ONE *9*, e108697.

506   De Meo, R., Murray, M.M., Clarke, S., and Matusz, P.J. (2015). Top-down control and early multisensory
507   processes: chicken vs. egg. Front Integr Neurosci *9*, 17.

508   Driver, J., and Noesselt, T. (2008). Multisensory interplay reveals crossmodal influences on 'sensory-
509   specific' brain regions, neural responses, and judgments. Neuron *57*, 11-23.

510   Falchier, A., Clavagnier, S., Barone, P., and Kennedy, H. (2002). Anatomical evidence of multimodal
511   integration in primate striate cortex. J Neurosci *22*, 5749-5759.

512   Ghazanfar, A.A., and Schroeder, C.E. (2006). Is neocortex essentially multisensory? Trends in cognitive
513   sciences *10*, 278-285.

514   Goldey, G.J., Roumis, D.K., Glickfeld, L.L., Kerlin, A.M., Reid, R.C., Bonin, V., Schafer, D.P., and
515   Andermann, M.L. (2014). Removable cranial windows for long-term imaging in awake mice. Nat
516   Protoc *9*, 2515-2538.

517   Gothard, K.M., Skaggs, W.E., and McNaughton, B.L. (1996). Dynamics of mismatch correction in the
518   hippocampal ensemble code for space: interaction between path integration and environmental
519   cues. J Neurosci *16*, 8027-8040.

Guipponi, O., Clery, J., Odouard, S., Wardak, C., and Ben Hamed, S. (2015). Whole brain mapping of
visual and tactile convergence in the macaque monkey. Neuroimage *117*, 93-102.

Haggerty, D.C., and Ji, D. (2015). Activities of visual cortical and hippocampal neurons co-fluctuate in
freely moving rats during spatial behavior. Elife *4*.

Hazan, L., Zugaro, M., and Buzsaki, G. (2006). Klusters, NeuroScope, NDManager: a free software suite
for neurophysiological data processing and visualization. J Neurosci Methods *155*, 207-216.

Ibrahim, L.A., Mesik, L., Ji, X.Y., Fang, Q., Li, H.F., Li, Y.T., Zingg, B., Zhang, L.I., and Tao, H.W. (2016).
Cross-Modality Sharpening of Visual Cortical Processing through Layer-1-Mediated Inhibition
and Disinhibition. Neuron *89*, 1031-1045.

Iurilli, G., Ghezzi, D., Olcese, U., Lassi, G., Nazzaro, C., Tonini, R., Tucci, V., Benfenati, F., and Medini,
P. (2012). Sound-driven synaptic inhibition in primary visual cortex. Neuron *73*, 814-828.

Jenks, R.A., Vaziri, A., Boloori, A.R., and Stanley, G.B. (2010). Self-motion and the shaping of sensory
signals. J Neurophysiol *103*, 2195-2207.

Ji, D., and Wilson, M.A. (2007). Coordinated memory replay in the visual cortex and hippocampus during
sleep. Nat Neurosci *10*, 100-107.

Kadir, S.N., Goodman, D.F., and Harris, K.D. (2014). High-dimensional cluster analysis with the masked
EM algorithm. Neural Comput *26*, 2379-2394.

Kayser, C., Petkov, C.I., and Logothetis, N.K. (2008). Visual modulation of neurons in auditory cortex.
Cereb Cortex *18*, 1560-1574.

Kayser, C., Petkov, C.I., and Logothetis, N.K. (2009). Multisensory interactions in primate auditory cortex:
fMRI and electrophysiology. Hear Res *258*, 80-88.

Kim, E.J., Juavinett, A.L., Kyubwa, E.M., Jacobs, M.W., and Callaway, E.M. (2015). Three Types of
Cortical Layer 5 Neurons That Differ in Brain-wide Connectivity and Function. Neuron *88*, 1253-
1267.

Kleinfeld, D., Ahissar, E., and Diamond, M.E. (2006). Active sensation: insights from the rodent vibrissa
sensorimotor system. Curr Opin Neurobiol *16*, 435-444.

Klinge, C., Eippert, F., Roder, B., and Buchel, C. (2010). Corticocortical connections mediate primary
visual cortex responses to auditory stimulation in the blind. J Neurosci *30*, 12798-12805.

Krupa, D.J., Wiest, M.C., Shuler, M.G., Laubach, M., and Nicolelis, M.A. (2004). Layer-specific
somatosensory cortical activation during active tactile discrimination. Science *304*, 1989-1992.

Lakatos, P., O'Connell, M.N., Barczak, A., Mills, A., Javitt, D.C., and Schroeder, C.E. (2009). The leading
sense: supramodal control of neurophysiological context by attention. Neuron *64*, 419-430.

Lee, H.K., and Whitt, J.L. (2015). Cross-modal synaptic plasticity in adult primary sensory cortices. Curr
Opin Neurobiol *35*, 119-126.

Mao, D., Kandler, S., McNaughton, B.L., and Bonin, V. (2017). Sparse orthogonal population
representation of spatial context in the retrosplenial cortex. Nat Commun *8*, 243.

Masse, I.O., Ross, S., Bronchti, G., and Boire, D. (2016). Asymmetric Direct Reciprocal Connections
Between Primary Visual and Somatosensory Cortices of the Mouse. Cereb Cortex.

Merabet, L.B., Hamilton, R., Schlaug, G., Swisher, J.D., Kiriakopoulos, E.T., Pitskel, N.B., Kauffman, T.,
and Pascual-Leone, A. (2008). Rapid and reversible recruitment of early visual cortex for touch.
PLoS ONE *3*, e3046.

Merabet, L.B., and Pascual-Leone, A. (2010). Neural reorganization following sensory loss: the
opportunity of change. Nat Rev Neurosci *11*, 44-52.

Merabet, L.B., Swisher, J.D., McMains, S.A., Halko, M.A., Amedi, A., Pascual-Leone, A., and Somers,
D.C. (2007). Combined activation and deactivation of visual cortex during tactile sensory
processing. J Neurophysiol *97*, 1633-1641.

Murray, M.M., Thelen, A., Thut, G., Romei, V., Martuzzi, R., and Matusz, P.J. (2015). The multisensory
function of the human primary visual cortex. Neuropsychologia.

Newton, J.R., Sikes, R.W., and Skavenski, A.A. (2002). Cross-modal plasticity after monocular
enucleation of the adult rabbit. Exp Brain Res *144*, 423-429.

Niell, C.M., and Stryker, M.P. (2008). Highly selective receptive fields in mouse visual cortex. J Neurosci
28, 7520-7536.

Olcese, U., Iurilli, G., and Medini, P. (2013). Cellular and synaptic architecture of multisensory integration
in the mouse neocortex. Neuron *79*, 579-593.

Pascual-Leone, A., Amedi, A., Fregni, F., and Merabet, L.B. (2005). The plastic human brain cortex. Annu
Rev Neurosci *28*, 377-401.

Rockland, K.S., and Ojima, H. (2003). Multisensory convergence in calcarine visual areas in macaque
monkey. Int J Psychophysiol *50*, 19-26.

Royer, S., Zemelman, B.V., Losonczy, A., Kim, J., Chance, F., Magee, J.C., and Buzsaki, G. (2012).
Control of timing, rate and bursts of hippocampal place cells by dendritic and somatic inhibition.
Nat Neurosci *15*, 769-775.

Sadato, N., Pascual-Leone, A., Grafman, J., Ibanez, V., Deiber, M.P., Dold, G., and Hallett, M. (1996).
Activation of the primary visual cortex by Braille reading in blind subjects. Nature *380*, 526-528.

Sathian, K. (2005). Visual cortical activity during tactile perception in the sighted and the visually
deprived. Dev Psychobiol *46*, 279-286.

Sathian, K., and Zangaladze, A. (2002). Feeling with the mind's eye: contribution of visual cortex to tactile
perception. Behav Brain Res *135*, 127-132.

Schroeder, C.E., and Foxe, J. (2005). Multisensory contributions to low-level, 'unisensory' processing.
Curr Opin Neurobiol *15*, 454-458.

Smith, S.L., and Hausser, M. (2010). Parallel processing of visual space by neighboring neurons in
mouse visual cortex. Nat Neurosci *13*, 1144-1149.

Sofroniew, N.J., Cohen, J.D., Lee, A.K., and Svoboda, K. (2014). Natural whisker-guided behavior by
head-fixed mice in tactile virtual reality. J Neurosci *34*, 9537-9550.

Stehberg, J., Dang, P.T., and Frostig, R.D. (2014). Unimodal primary sensory cortices are directly
connected by long-range horizontal projections in the rat sensory cortex. Front Neuroanat *8*, 93.

Stein, B.E., and Stanford, T.R. (2008). Multisensory integration: current issues from the perspective of the
single neuron. Nat Rev Neurosci *9*, 255-266.

Terrazas, A., Krause, M., Lipa, P., Gothard, K.M., Barnes, C.A., and McNaughton, B.L. (2005). Self-
motion and the hippocampal spatial metric. J Neurosci *25*, 8085-8096.

Thevenaz, P., Ruttimann, U.E., and Unser, M. (1998). A pyramid approach to subpixel registration based
on intensity. IEEE Trans Image Process *7*, 27-41.

Van Brussel, L., Gerits, A., and Arckens, L. (2011). Evidence for cross-modal plasticity in adult mouse
visual cortex following monocular enucleation. Cereb Cortex *21*, 2133-2146.

Vasconcelos, N., Pantoja, J., Belchior, H., Caixeta, F.V., Faber, J., Freire, M.A., Cota, V.R., Anibal de
Macedo, E., Laplagne, D.A., Gomes, H.M.*, et al.* (2011). Cross-modal responses in the primary
visual cortex encode complex objects and correlate with tactile discrimination. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A *108*, 15408-15413.

Vogelstein, J.T., Packer, A.M., Machado, T.A., Sippy, T., Babadi, B., Yuste, R., and Paninski, L. (2010).
Fast nonnegative deconvolution for spike train inference from population calcium imaging. J
Neurophys *104*, 3691-3704.

Wallace, M.T., Ramachandran, R., and Stein, B.E. (2004). A revised view of sensory cortical parcellation.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *101*, 2167-2172.

Wang, Q., and Burkhalter, A. (2007). Area map of mouse visual cortex. J Comp Neurol *502*, 339-357.

Wang, Q., Sporns, O., and Burkhalter, A. (2012). Network analysis of corticocortical connections reveals
ventral and dorsal processing streams in mouse visual cortex. J Neurosci *32*, 4386-4399.

Wang, Y., Celebrini, S., Trotter, Y., and Barone, P. (2008). Visuo-auditory interactions in the primary
visual cortex of the behaving monkey: electrophysiological evidence. BMC Neurosci *9*, 79.

Zangaladze, A., Epstein, C.M., Grafton, S.T., and Sathian, K. (1999). Involvement of visual cortex in
tactile discrimination of orientation. Nature *401*, 587-590.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. V1 Neurons Show Whisker-Related Responses to Tactile Stimuli

(A) Assay to study multisensory activity in primary visual cortex (V1) of awake mice. Mice run

head-fixed on a 150-cm treadmill while tactile stimuli (blue) are delivered to the whiskers and

visual stimuli (red) to the contralateral eye. A water reward is delivered at the end of each lap.

Cellular activity is measured in monocular V1 layer 2/3 using a two-photon microscope. A shield

(black) blocks the approaching tactile stimuli from eyesight. Treadmill position, movement

speed, eye position and pupil size are monitored. Bottom: A removable barrier placed between

the animals' snout and treadmill belt prevents the whiskers from contacting tactile stimuli.

Bottom right: GCaMP6 labelled neurons in superficial V1 imaged through a cranial window

(scale bar, 20 μm). See also Suppl. Figs S1A–C, S2, S3.

(B) Experiment to identify tactile responsive V1 neurons. Top to bottom: Experiments consist of

three blocks of trials: First, mice run on a belt without tactile stimuli. Subsequently, the belt is

replaced with one containing tactile stimuli (blue, T1,T2), while head-fixation and the microscope

field-of-view are maintained. Tactile stimuli comprise two discrete 10-cm stretches of four thin,

5-mm wide foam strips. Finally, whisker contacts with the stimuli are blocked with a removable

whisker barrier (orange). Experiments are performed without visual stimuli in darkness. See also

Suppl. Figs S1H, S2B.

(C) Trial average calcium fluorescence as a function of treadmill position of two simultaneously

imaged V1 L2/3 neurons. These neurons show sharp calcium increases at tactile stimuli

locations (centre, blue) but not in the no-stimulus condition (left). The whisker barrier disrupts

tactile activity (right, yellow) Top: Illustration of treadmill belts. Shaded areas indicate treadmill

position of tactile stimuli. Shaded curves represent s.e.m. computed across trials. Locomotion

behaviour shown below (mean ± s.d.; blank: n=69 laps, tactile: n=76 laps, barrier: n=58 laps).

Activity is measured without visual inputs and in darkness (< 0.01 cd/m^2).

(D) Normalized calcium activity of 25 cells with significant responses at tactile stimuli location (1-

way ANOVA, $p < 0.01$) in presence and absence of tactile stimuli. Tactile responses are largely

disrupted by the whisker barrier. Experiments are done in darkness (< 0.01 cd/m^2).

(E) Normalized activity of 112 cells with responses at tactile stimuli location (1-way ANOVA, $p <$

0.01) in presence and absence of stimuli. Experiments are done under photopic condition (grey

screen illumination). See also Suppl. Fig. S2B.

(F) Cumulative distributions of responses for tactile responsive cells in the three experimental

conditions (no. stim., tactile stim. and barrier) in darkness (solid curves) and in the two

conditions (no stim. and tactile stim.) in photopic conditions (dashed curves). See also Suppl.

Fig. S5A.

Figure 1.

A

V1 layer 2/3

Whisker barrier

M
A

20 μm

B

Treadmill lap

Screen
No stimulus
Reward

Tactile stim.
T1   T2

Tactile stim.
With barrier
T1   T2

C

	No stimulus	Tactile stim.	Tactile stim. With barrier
Screen			
Belt			

< 0.01 cd/m²

T1   T2   T1   T2

Cell 1

Cell 2

50% raw dF/F₀

Locom.

20 cm/s

0   75   150

Lap distance (cm)

D

< .01 cd/m²
n=25/390 cells
4 animals

Norm. response

No stimulus
Tactile stim.
Barrier

E

59 cd/m²
n=112/1270 cells
7 animals

Norm. response

No stimulus
Tactile stim.

F

No stim.
Tactile stim.
Barrier

< .01 cd/m²
59 cd/m²

CDF

0   50   100

Response (% dF/F₀)

Figure 2. Prior Exposure Is Not Required for V1 Tactile Activity

(A) Trial-to-trial activity of two neurons with and without tactile stimuli and with the whisker barrier. Top: Block of trials on the treadmill without tactile stimuli. Centre: Block of trials with stimuli, without the barrier. Bottom: Block of trials with the barrier preventing contacts between whiskers and tactile stimuli. Note how tactile responses are observed from the first laps on the treadmill with tactile stimuli (centre) and how they are abolished from the first laps with the barrier (bottom). For visualization, a subset of the laps is omitted for the no-stimulus condition. See also Suppl. Fig. S4A.

(B) Population quantification of entrainment of activity of cells by tactile stimuli in presence and absence of the whisker barrier. Note the activity entrainment without stimuli and in presence of the whisker barrier matches the unresponsive population.

(C) Trial-to-trial activity of two V1 neurons in an animal that was never exposed to a treadmill with tactile stimuli. Top: Block of trials on treadmill without stimuli. Bottom: Block of trials on treadmill with tactile stimuli. Note how tactile responses are observed from the first laps on the treadmill with stimuli and how tactile neurons can show haphazard ongoing activity in absence of tactile stimuli. See also Suppl. Fig. S4B.

(D) Trial-to-trial activity of two V1 neurons from an animal with prior experience on a treadmill with tactile stimuli. Note the lack of activity in absence of tactile stimuli despite prior exposure to the stimuli. For visualization, a subset of the laps is omitted for the no-stimulus condition. See also Suppl. Fig. S4C.

(E) Cumulative distributions of tactile responding cells for the two experimental conditions (no stim. and tactile stim.) in naïve (dashed curves) and experienced (solid curves) animals for their responses (left) and entrainment of activity (right). See also Suppl. Fig. S5B.

Figure 2.

Figure 3. Visual and Tactile Stimuli Activate Non-Overlapping V1 Populations

Experiment demonstrating how visual and tactile stimuli activate distinct populations of V1 neurons.

(A) Trial average calcium fluorescence as a function of treadmill position of four V1 L2/3 neurons for a trial block on a treadmill without stimuli (left, n=24 laps), a block with unimodal tactile stimulation (middle, n=23 laps), and a block with unimodal visual stimulation (right, n=17 laps). Tactile stimuli are as in Fig. 1. The visual stimulus is a 1-sec epoch of noise shown to the right eye in a 60-by-60 deg. square window with onset triggered by treadmill locations. Cell activated by tactile stimuli (cells 1,2) do not respond to visual stimulation, and vice versa (cells 3,4). See also Suppl. Figs S5, S6.

(B) Scatter plot shows visual vs. tactile responses for sensory responsive (n= 148, dark markers) and nonresponsive (n = 568, grey markers) L2/3 neurons (1-way ANOVA, $p < 0.01$). There is a complete dissociation by sensory modality. Visual activity is defined as calcium activity in 1.5-sec windows after stimulus onset, tactile activity is defined as activity in 2-sec windows after tactile stimuli.

(C) Scatter shows responses normalized to maximum response amplitude during either visual or tactile stimulation.

Figure 3.

A

| No stimulus | Visual stim. | Tactile stim. |

Screen
Belt

V1   V2   T1   T2

Cell 1

Cell 2

Cell 3

Cell 4

50% raw dF/F$_0$

0   75   150
Lap distance (cm)

B

● $p < .01$, n=148/716 cells
○ $p \geq .01$, n=568/716 cells

Response (% dF/F$_0$)
Tactile stim.

0   75   150
Response (% dF/F$_0$)
Visual stim.

C

$p < .01$, n=148/716 cells

Norm. activity

0.5

Visual stim.   Tactile stim.

Figure 4. Diversity of Nonvisual V1 Activity in a Feature-Rich Tactile Environment

(A) Experiment to test for interactions between visual and tactile activity. A brief visual stimulus (top) is presented and diverse tactile stimuli of different heights and spatial configurations (middle and bottom) are placed at different locations on the treadmill.

(B,C) Example calcium time courses of ten simultaneously imaged V1 layer 2/3 neurons identified as visual (B, cells 1 to 4, red traces) or putative tactile (C, cells 5 to 10, blue traces) based on explained variance by position and visual stimulation (visual cells: EV visual stim. > 10 %; tactile cells: EV visual stim. ≤ 0 % and EV position > 10 %; see Experimental Procedures).

(D) Fraction of variance in calcium time courses explained by the visual stimulus. In contrast to visually neurons (n = 378 cells, red), other cells, including tactile activated neurons (n = 353 cells, blue), show no entrainment of activity by the visual stimulus (EV visual stim. ≤ 0 %). Red and blue markers indicate fraction of explained variance for example neurons in B,C.

(E) Fraction of variance in calcium activity explained by position for cells showing tactile activity (n = 353 cells; EV position > 10 %, EV visual stim. ≤ 0 %). Blue markers indicate fraction of explained variance for example neurons in C.

(F) Activity of visual neurons during still (dashed line) and running epochs (solid line). No visual stimulus is presented during stillness which leads to increased activity during running.

(G) Activity of putative tactile neurons during still (dashed line) and running epochs (solid line). Tactile neurons show similar activity during running and stillness, likely reflecting active whisking behaviour.

(H) Cumulative distribution of activity entrainment by treadmill position for cells imaged on the belt with diverse tactile stimuli (solid curve, see A), two stimuli (dashed curve, see Fig. 3) and without tactile stimuli (dotted curve, see Fig. 3). With diverse tactile stimuli, n = 686/1345 cells pass an EV threshold of 10 %, with two stimuli n = 144/716 cells, and without stimuli n = 38/716 cells. Dashed line indicates EV threshold.

Figure 4.

Figure 5. V1 Tactile Activity is Diverse and Selective

(A) Raster plots of calcium activity as a function of position of six simultaneously-imaged L2/3 neurons (cells 5 to 10 in Fig. 4C) on the treadmill with diverse tactile stimuli (top). The neurons show diverse and selective activity. Dashed lines (red) indicate visual stimulus onset. For contrasting visually evoked activity of cells 1 to 4 (Fig. 4) see raster plots in Suppl. Fig. S7.

(B) Trial average activity histogram of the activities in A.

(C) Auto-correlation half-width (correlation length) of position-related activity of the tactile V1 neurons. Blue markers indicate cells in A,B.

(D) Preferred position vs. selectivity index for neurons in C. Preferred position defined as belt position of peak amplitude. Selectivity index defined as circular variance. Blue markers indicate cells in A,B.

(E) Mean spatial population vector correlation matrix of the tactile V1 population activity. Note how activity is clustered at specific belt positions visible in clustering of correlation coefficients. See also Supp. Fig. S8.

Figure 5.

A

Visual stim.
Tactile stim.

Cell 5

Lap #
1
56

Cell 6

Cell 7

Cell 8

Cell 9

Cell 10

Reward

Lap distance (cm)

Norm. deconv. activity
1
0

B

1% deconv. dF/F·s⁻¹

Lap distance (cm)

C

Fraction of cells
0.25

n=353/1345 cells
Cells in A,B

Correlation length (cm)
0   75   150

D

Selectivity index
1

Cells in A,B

Peak activity (cm)
0   75   150

E

Lap distance (cm)
150
75

n=353 cells
4 animals

Corr. coeff.
1
0

Lap distance (cm)
0   75   150

Figure 6. Evidence that Tactile Context Modulates V1 Visual Responses

(A) Visual response modulations. Top: The three visual stimulus windows A, B and C not accompanied by reward delivery are considered for investigating visual response modulations while the animal moves across locally distinct tactile stimuli (blue) on the belt. Bottom: Visual responses to identical noise stimulation of eight simultaneously imaged V1 cells while the animals move across treadmill positions A, B and C. Note how individual cells show distinct preferences to the stimuli presented at either treadmill position. Shaded curves represent s.e.m. MI indicates visual response modulation index. See also Suppl. Fig. S9A,B.

(B) Left: Average pupil dilation during visual stimulus windows A, B, C and R (reward). Right: Average locomotion speed during visual stimulus windows.

(C) Electrophysiology data. Histogram of response modulation index (MI) of the visually activated V1 population (all cells, grey; MI with effect size > 1, black). See also Suppl. Fig. S9A,B,D.

(D) Visual modulations extent across V1 layers. Left: Scatter of MI of electrophysiology data vs. cortical depth of recording site shows that visual response modulations. Right: Scatter of effect size (ES) vs. cortical depth. Black markers indicate MI with ES > 1.

(E) Same depiction as B for imaging data. See also Suppl. Fig. S9C.

(F) Visual response modulations depend on sensory information from tactile stimuli. Modulation index (left) and effect size (right) are decreased when contacts between whiskers and tactile stimuli are prevented (yellow curves).

Figure 6.

A

Tactile stim.

Visual stim.

1 s

Cell 1
MI=21%

Cell 2
14%

Cell 3
22%

Cell 4
34%

Cell 5
32%

Cell 6
17%

Cell 7
32%

Cell 8
19%

Cell avg.

5% deconv. dF/F$_0$

0.5 s

B

Norm. pupil dilat.

Time (s)

Speed (cm/s)

Time (s)

A
B
C
R

C Electrophysiology

n=146 cells

Fraction of cells

ES > 1

Modulation index (%)

D

Cortical depth

n=146 cells

Pia
L2/3
L4
L5

Modulation index (%)

Effect size

100 µm

E Calcium imaging

n=378 cells

Fraction of cells

ES > 1

Modulation index (%)

F

CDF

n=83 cells

With barrier
No barrier

Modulation index (%)

Effect size

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animals

All animal procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of KU Leuven. We report

on twenty-three normally-reared, single-housed male mice. Of these, nineteen were C57Bl/6j

mice (22 to 30 gr, 2 to 5 months) and four were Thy1-GCaMP6 mice ((Dana et al., 2014). Mice

were implanted with a head plate and trained to move on a linear, 150-cm treadmill belt for a

periodic water reward (Royer et al., 2012). Eighteen mice were implanted with a cranial window

for chronic cellular imaging (Goldey et al., 2014) in monocular V1 (n = 14), in CA1 pyramidal

layer in dorsal hippocampus (n = 3 Thy1), or in S1 barrel cortex (n = 1 Thy1). Five mice were

craniotomised for acute electrophysiological recordings with multi-site silicon probes.

Surgical Procedures

Mice were injected with dexamethasone (3.2 mg/kg I.M., 4 h before surgery), anesthetised with

isoflurane (induced 3 %, 0.8 L/min O_2; sustained 1–1.5 %, 0.5 L/min O_2), and implanted with a

titanium head plate.

For cellular imaging in V1 and S1, mice were craniotomised and implanted with a 5-mm cranial

glass window centred over left visual cortex (1.6 mm anterior to lambda, 3.1 mm lateral to

midline). Head plate and cranial windows were affixed with dental cement (Metabond, Crown &

Bridge and Kerr Tab, Kerr Dental) mixed with black tempera pigment to provide light shielding

during fluorescence imaging.

For cellular imaging in CA1, mice were craniotomised (2 mm anterior to lambda, 1.8 mm lateral

to midline). A 3-mm-diameter slab of visual cortex above CA1 was ablated manually under

visual guidance until cortical white matter was exposed. Mice were implanted with a 3-mm

cranial window holding a 1.5-mm long glass tube, following surgical procedures as described.

For acute recordings, a 1-mm craniotomy was made above V1 (3.8 mm posterior to bregma, 2.5

mm lateral to midline). Two stainless steel screws used as reference and ground electrodes

were implanted above cerebellum. Craniotomies were covered with a cover glass and protected

with fast-curing silicone (Kwik-Cast, WPI).

All mice received post-operative treatment for 60 hours (buprenorphine 0.2 mg/kg I.M. and

cefazolin 15 mg/kg I.M. in 12-hour intervals) and were given five days to recover.

Viral Vector Injections

Mice were injected with dexamethasone (3.2 mg/kg I.M., min. 4 h before surgery), anesthetized as described, and cranial windows were removed. An adeno-associated virus (AAV) construct containing GCaMP6m (n = 11 animals) or GCaMP6f (n = 3 animals) and the synapsin promotor (AAV1.Syn.GCaMP6m/f.WPRE.SV40, U Penn Vector Core) (Chen et al., 2013) was injected to monocular V1 or dorsal CA1. In V1, 500 nL AAV solution were injected at cortical depths of 250 to 450 μm. The AAV solution contained 25 % D-Mannitol solution (10 % in PBS) to increase transfection efficacy and 0.25 μL sulforhodamine SR101 for fluorescent monitoring of the loading (green light excitation, 560 nm; red light emission, 630 nm).

In CA1, 200 nL AAV solution were injected at depths of 1,500 μm before ablation of visual cortex (2 mm anterior to lambda, 1.8 mm lateral to midline).

Injections were performed using bevelled glass capillaries (~20 μm tip diameter, Drummond Sci.) at low injection rates (50 or 100 nL/min) using a microliter injection system (Nanoject II, Drummond Sci.). Cranial windows were replaced and mice recovered as described.

Treadmill Assay

The treadmill assay was adapted from Royer et al. (Royer et al., 2012) (Fig. 1A; Suppl. Fig. S1A). Two 3D-printed 10-cm diameter lightweight treadmill wheels mounted on a custom frame (Thorlabs) held a 150-cm long, 50-mm wide belt made of Velcro (Country Brook; experiments 1,2) or velvet fabric (McMaster-Carr; experiment 3) (Suppl. Fig. S2A–D). Strips of materials attached to the belt formed the tactile stimuli. The tactile stimuli were covered from the animals' eyesight by a shield mounted 10 to 15 mm in front of the animals' nose and 1 cm above the belt (–45 to 45 deg. azimuth, –30 deg. elevation) (Fig. 1A; Suppl. Fig. S1B,C). Teflon tape (CS Hyde) was adhered to the platform to reduce friction.

A rotary encoder (Avago Tech) attached to treadmill shaft was used to monitor treadmill rotation and belt position at a resolution of 3.14 mm. Once per treadmill rotation, for reward delivery, a photoelectric sensor (Omron) detected a reflective strip attached to the underside of the belt triggering opening of an electromagnetic pinch valve (MSscientific) and controlling water delivery through a spout. A custom circuit board with a microcontroller (AT89LP52, Atmel) monitored encoder and sensor signals and controlled valve opening. All signals were acquired by a personal computer via a USB data acquisition board (MCC) and were sampled at 10 kHz and recorded with Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems).

Behavioural Training

Mice were habituated to handling for three days prior to all procedures. Five days after surgery, water intake was scheduled (1 mL per day), and animals were trained to head-fixed treadmill locomotion on a copy of the experimental apparatus equipped with a belt without tactile stimuli (Suppl. Fig. S2A). Mice were rewarded with tap water or 7.5 % sucrose solution, either manually after habituation using a pipette or automated at the end of each lap (10 μL drop size). No visual stimuli were presented during training (isoluminent grey screen or ambient illumination). Training duration increased gradually from a few minutes to 1 hour per day over a period of two weeks. Training was completed when animals reached desired levels of locomotor activity (~3 laps/min.).

Flavoprotein Imaging

Retinotopic mapping with flavoprotein imaging was used to determine the monocular subregion of V1 that was targeted for chronic cellular imaging. Blue LED light (470 nm, Thorlabs) was shone onto cortex and green light emission collected (510/84 nm filter, Semrock) at a frame rate of 5 fps using a 2x wide-field lens (NA = 0.055, Edmund Optics) and EMCCD camera (EM-C^2, QImaging; 1004 by 1002 pixels, 4 by 4 binning). Fractional changes in fluorescence were normalised to baseline and averaged across 4-sec intervals to capture the slow time course of the flavoprotein auto-fluorescence signal. The location of monocular V1 was identified by eye to guide targeted viral vector delivery of the genetically encoded calcium indicator GCaMP6 at retinotopic locations corresponding to monocular V1.

Two-Photon Imaging

A custom-built two-photon microscope (Neurolabware) was used to image somatic calcium signals of V1 neurons in layer 2/3 (125 to 350 μm below the pial surface) and CA1 neurons in dorsal hippocampus, pyramidal cell layer (100 to 150 μm below window) at frame rates of ~30 fps (1154 by 512 pixel, 620 by 380 μm field-of-view). Excitation light of a MaiTai DeepSee laser with group-delay dispersion (Spectra Physics / Newport) was scanned by galvo (Cambridge 6215H) and resonant scanners (Cambridge CRS 8k) through a 16x lens (NA = 0.8, Nikon). Max. laser power output at the objective was limited to 20 to 60 mW, depending on the depth of field-of-view. GCaMP6 was excited at 920 nm and green light emission was collected using a green

863   filter (510/84 nm, Semrock) with a GaAsP photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu). We used a black

864   imaging chamber and blackout material (Thorlabs) to block stray light from the visual display

865   (Goldey et al., 2014). Any leftover stray light (e.g. through the eyes and the brain) was

866   subtracted out in the extraction of calcium time courses (Bonin et al., 2011).

Electrophysiology

Electrophysiology

A 256-channel DigiLynx system (Neuralynx) was used to record electrophysiological signals

(sampling rate 32 kHz). A linear multi-site silicon probe (A16, 50 μm electrode spacing,

NeuroNexus) was lowered perpendicularly into V1 at stereotactic coordinates (3.8 mm posterior

to bregma, 2.5 mm lateral to midline) using a micromanipulator (Scientifica) at 10-μm steps.

Once the probe penetrated the dura, the tip was lowered to cortical depths of 700 to 900 μm at

1-μm steps. The procedures were monitored with a surgical stereoscope. Recordings started 20

to 30 min after probes were in position and craniotomies were covered with 2.5 % agarose

solution warmed to 37ºC. Silicon probes had impedances ranging from 1 to 2 MΩ.

Visual Stimulation

Visual Stimulation

For visual stimulation, a calibrated 22-inch LCD monitor (Samsung 2233RZ, 1680 by 1050 pixel

resolution, 60 Hz refresh rate, average luminance 59 cd/m^2) was positioned 18 cm in front of the

right eye, covering 120 by 80 degree in the right visual field (0 to 120 deg. central to peripheral

and ±40 deg. lower to upper visual field). Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems) was

used to control visual stimulation, synchronised to the respective imaging frame rates of either

one-photon (frame rate: 5 fps, EMCCD camera frame trigger) or two-photon (frame rate: ~30

fps, slow-axis galvanometer scan pulses) imaging. Visual stimulus frames were updated at 30

fps. Visual stimuli were interleaved by a static grey screen stimulus (50 % luminance).

For retinotopic mapping, six 8-sec stimuli were presented in the right visual field in 10 to 20

trials, covering a total of 0 to 120 deg. along the horizontal and ±40 deg. along the vertical axis.

Stimuli were comprised of horizontally and vertically oriented, 40 by 40 deg. wide square-wave

gratings moving in four cardinal directions (0.08 cpd spatial freq., 4 Hz temporal freq.). Stimuli

were interleaved by a static, 16-sec grey screen stimulus.

For testing the visual responsiveness of V1 neurons, two sets of visual stimuli were presented:

A brief, repeating 1-sec visual noise stimulus or prolonged, 7.8-sec filtered noise stimuli of

broader visual stimulus space (Suppl. Fig. S6A). The brief noise stimulus was composed of

drifting oriented edges of different scales and orientations (Bonin et al., 2011) covering upper

and lower sections of the right visual field (60 by 60 deg., centred at 45 deg. azimuth, 0 deg.

elevation; Suppl. Fig. S1C). Stimulus presentation was triggered by the animals' movement

across discrete belt positions. The prolonged stimuli were composed of filtered noise containing

logarithmic increases in spatial frequency (0.05 to 0.4 cpd) in two temporal frequency bands

(0.5 to 1 Hz and 1 to 2 Hz) and eight orientations (0 to 157.5 deg.) covering the full screen (120

by 80 deg.). Stimuli were interspersed by static, 50 % luminance grey screen stimuli.

For the experiment in darkness, ambient light sources were switched off or covered with

blackout material. Light levels were at the detection threshold of our luminance meter (< 0.01

cd/m^2).

Eye Tracking

Eye position and pupil size were measured with an infrared eye tracking camera placed in front

of the right eye. Infrared light was focused on the eye with a far-red LED (735 nm, Thorlabs) and

collimated lens (Thorlabs). Data was acquired at 30 fps with a CCD camera (AVT Prosilica

GC660; Navitar Zoom 6000 lens) and StreamPix software (Norpix) and segmented using

custom software.

Experimental Design

Experiment 1: Mice (n = 7) first moved head-fixed on a treadmill belt without tactile stimuli for

durations of 8 to 10 min. Subsequently, the belt was replaced with one containing two identical

tactile stimuli at a distance from reward (Suppl. Fig. S2B) with the animals held in place

maintaining the same imaging field-of-views. Tactile stimuli comprised four stereotyped foam

material strips (2.5 cm spacing). The animals ran on the tactile belt for another 8 to 10 min. For

a subset of animals (n = 4), experiments were performed in darkness in combination with a 3D-

printed, removable barrier placed between the whiskers and the treadmill belt that prevented the

whiskers from contacting the tactile stimuli. This approach was chosen over trimming the

whiskers to avoid any form of plasticity that may occur upon whisker ablation. It was ensured

the barrier did not contact the animal's nose or mouth to not impair receiving water reward or

locomotion. The animals moved on the tactile belt with the barrier for another 8 to 10 min. An

unconditional sucrose water reward (2.5 μL) was delivered in all conditions at the end of each

lap.

Experiment 2: Mice (n = 7, same animals as in experiment 1) moved on a belt without tactile
stimuli for 8 to 10 min. (Suppl. Fig. S2C). To elicit visual responses, the same 1-sec visual noise
stimulus (Suppl. Fig. S1C; S2C,D) was triggered in every treadmill lap as the animals reached
two discrete belt positions. Stimuli were interspersed by static grey screen stimuli of variable
duration. The animals moved on the treadmill under visual stimulation for another 8 to 10 min.
The belt was then replaced with the tactile belt described in experiment 1 and the animals
moved for another 8 to 10 min.
To test visual responsiveness to a broader visual stimulus space, a separate group of animals
(n = 3) moved on the treadmill with the prolonged filtered noise stimuli. Stimuli were presented
for 7 to 10 trials and were not triggered by the animal's movement. Stimuli were interspersed by
static grey screen stimuli of fixed duration (2 sec) (Suppl. Fig. S6A).
In both sets of runs, reward was delivered as described.
Experiment 3: A group of nine mice (4 used in V1 imaging, 5 in electrophysiology experiments)
moved on a feature-rich tactile treadmill belt endowed with diverse tactile stimuli made from
foam material, duct tape, and hot clue, attached to distinct belt positions (Suppl. Fig. S2D). The
same brief visual noise stimulus was presented at four equidistant belt positions, thereby
creating distinct combinations of the visual stimulus and varied tactile stimuli. Mice moved on
the feature-rich belt for periods of 20 to 30 min. A group of three mice, moved on a feature-rich
tactile belt in hippocampal imaging experiments without visual stimuli, in ambient light condition.
946
947 **DATA ANALYSIS**
948 All data were analysed in MATLAB (The Mathworks, Natick, MA).
949
Calcium Imaging Data
Images were registered using TurboReg (Thevenaz et al., 1998). Regions of interest (ROIs) of
active neural cell bodies were identified manually using a pixelwise local spatiotemporal
correlation criterion (3 by 3 pixels neighbourhood, thresholded at correlation coefficients > 0.95)
(Smith and Hausser, 2010). Raw calcium time courses were calculated by averaging pixel
intensities over each ROI and subtracting an estimate of neuropil contamination. The neuropil
signal was computed by averaging a ring of pixels around ROIs and using a low-rank SVD
approximation (Bonin et al., 2011). Raw calcium time courses were expressed as fractional
changes above baseline fluorescence (dF/F_0). Baselines were computed by linear regression to

the lowest 10 % of the raw time courses. dF/F_0 time courses were deconvolved to estimate firing

rates (Vogelstein et al., 2010).

Electrophysiology

Raw voltage signals were high-pass filtered (0.8 to 5 kHz) for spike detection. All 16 channels

were grouped into four groups with each group containing four channels. Spike sorting was

done for each group. Spike waveforms were extracted, principal components computed and

automatically clustered with KlustaKwik (Kadir et al., 2014). Cluster quality was manually

verified or corrected using Klusters (Hazan et al., 2006). Only clusters with stable features

through time and clear refractory periods were included. We classified regular and fast spiking

neurons based on the peak-to-trough amplitude ratio and the end slope of their spike

waveforms (Niell and Stryker, 2008).

Behavioural Data

Rotary encoder increments were used to calculate treadmill position at centimetre precision and

instantaneous treadmill speed in cm/s. After every completed lap, encoder increments were

reset to zero to prevent potential accumulation of treadmill slip.

Camera frames from the eye tracker were smoothed with a 2D Gaussian filter, contrast-

thresholded, and binarised, resulting in black-and-white images. For every image, eye position

and pupil diameter were detected by fitting an ellipsis to the pupil. Pupil size was calculated

from the equivalent diameter of the ellipsis and expressed in mm^2. Eye position was expressed

as relative change in degree relative to the average eye centre position within individual

experiments. Artefacts in the data resulting from e.g. eye blinks were removed using a threshold

criterion (mean ± 2-times s.d.).

Encoder and eye data were resampled at the frame rate of the two-photon microscope.

Selection of Active Neurons

986　V1 neurons were selected if they exhibited one or more calcium transients in at least 25 % of

987　the laps (> baseline dF/F_0 + 3-times s.d.). This approach restricted analyses to neurons showing

988　a minimum of repeatable activation across laps.

989　CA1 neurons were selected if they exhibited position-normalized activity > .03 % dF/F_0 (see

990　Position Related Analysis).

Visual Response Analysis

Visual responsiveness (Fig. 4) was measured by computing the fraction of variance in the calcium time courses that is explained by event-triggered averaged response models derived from the neuron's deconvolved time courses at stimulus onsets (0 to 1 sec window) and offsets (1 to 1.5 sec window), thereby including visual ON and OFF responsive cells. EV was two-fold cross-validated (100-times) estimating how a random half of the trials predict the other half. EV visual stim. is the sum of EVs calculated for stimulus onsets and offsets.

Position-Related Analysis

A standard position-related procedure was used to relate calcium time courses to location on the treadmill (Gothard et al., 1996). The treadmill lap was divided in 150 1-cm intervals for V1 data and 100 1.5-cm intervals for CA1 data. Average deconvovled calcium activity was computed for each interval for each lap and normalized by the time the animal spent at each interval, resulting in position-related activity profiles. Accordingly, raw calcium time courses and locomotion speed were normalized to treadmill location with the same procedure (see Figs 1–3). Trial-to-trial reliability of activity profiles was measured by computing the fraction of variance in single trials that is explained by the average across laps. Formally, the measure of explained variance follows EV position = $(P_r-P_e)/P_r*100$, where P_r is the variance of the single trial responses and P_e is the mean square distance between single trial responses and the across trial. EV was two-fold cross-validated (100-times) estimating how a random half of the trials predicts the other half.

1013

Tactile Position Preference and Selectivity

A circular analysis was used to calculate for each tactile neuron the preferred belt position and position selectivity. Circular variance is commonly used to quantify tuning for circular variables (e.g. orientation selectivity). As the treadmill belt is circular this analysis is warranted here. Preferred position was determined by mapping the neurons' lap-to-lap activity average to a polar coordinate system with 1-cm intervals and calculating the angle of the vector sum of calcium activity. Position selectivity was defined as the circular variance of the polar representation of the average activity.

1022   For each neuron, the auto-correlation of the position-normalized activity profiles was computed.

1023   The spatial extent of tactile activity was defined as the half-width of the auto-correlation function

1024   around zero lag at half-maximum amplitude.

1025

Visual Response Modulations

For each visual neuron (EV visual stim. > 10 %), responses to the visual probe stimuli were

sorted by onset location (Fig. 4A, Suppl. Fig. S9A). A modulation index (MI) was derived by

projecting visual responses onto a polar 3-axes coordinate system (Suppl. Fig. S9B), with each

axis shifted by 120 degrees and corresponding to one of the stimulus locations A to C. MI was

computed by dividing the vector sum by the average response across stimuli, using the formula:

$MI = [P_A+P_B+P_C]/\sum(P_i)*100$.

The effect size (ES), or d-prime – a measure quantifying differences in mean, of the response

modulation was computed from the distributions of the data and a response shuffle across

stimulus locations (Suppl. Fig. S9B).

MI and ES analyses were restricted to the stimuli triggered at track locations A, B and C to

exclude potential bias from reward context (location R) (Suppl. Fig. S9A).

1038

Correlation with Behavioural Variables

Spike responses of visual V1 neurons were correlated with behavioural variables locomotion

speed (instantaneous speed at stimulus onset), eye position (relative position of pupil centre),

and visual stimulation rate (stimulus frequency in the past 10 sec). Visual responses and

behavioural variables were averaged within visual stimulus windows (0 to 1 sec after stimulus

onset). Results were plotted in joint histograms with 10 equal-sized bins for the Pearson

correlation coefficients between neural activity and behavioural variable, and 20 bins for the

neuron's modulation indices (Suppl. Fig. S9E).

Joint histograms of modulation indices and effect sizes were generated for calcium imaging data

for lap durations with the bottom and top 25 % of laps, averaged from a window centred around

the reward location (-5 to 10 cm) (Suppl. Fig. S9F).

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054 **Population Vector Analysis**

1055 A standard spatial analysis was used to relate calcium activity to treadmill location (Terrazas et

1056 al., 2005). Mean population vector correlation matrices for CA1 and V1 data were computed

1057 from the averaged position-normalized activity profiles of individual neurons (Suppl. Fig. S8).