Increasing Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 6 (eIF6) Gene Dosage Stimulates Global Translation and Induces a Transcriptional and Metabolic Rewiring that Blocks Programmed Cell Death

Arianna Russo[1,2], Guido Gatti[1,3], Roberta Alfieri[1], Elisa Pesce[1], Kelly Soanes[4], Sara Ricciardi[1,3], Cristina Cheroni[1], Thomas Vaccari[3], Stefano Biffo[1,3]*, Piera Calamita[1,3]*

[1] INGM, National Institute of Molecular Genetics, "Romeo ed Enrica Invernizzi", Milan, Italy

[2] DiSIT, University of Eastern Piedmont, Alessandria, Italy

[3] DBS, Università degli Studi di Milano

[4] Aquatic and Crop Resource Development - National Research Council of Canada

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Address correspondence to Piera Calamita or Stefano Biffo Via Francesco Sforza 35 20122 Milano Italia. calamita@ingm.org; biffo@ingm.org

Short Title: DeIF6 regulates translation, PCD and 20-HE signaling

ABSTRACT

Increases in ribosomal proteins and initiation factors are often observed in tumours and required during development. Haploinsufficient models have shown that such elevation is essential for tumour growth. Models with increased gene dosage of initiation factors, addressing the effects of their forced overexpression are lacking. The eukaryotic Initiation Factor 6 (eIF6) gene is amplified in some cancers and overexpressed in most, while it has been demonstrated that eIF6 haploinsufficiency protects mice from lymphomagenesis. eIF6 is necessary for ribosome biogenesis and efficient translation, and is present as a single gene in all animal species. Taking advantage of genetic tractability of *Drosophila melanogaster*, we generated an *in vivo* model of eIF6 upregulation, in order to assess the early effects of increased gene dosage of this initiation factor. eIF6 overexpression increases the general rate of translation, both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Organ specific overexpression in the eye causes a rough phenotype. The increase of translation driven by eIF6 is accompanied by a complex transcriptional rewiring and a modulation of histone acetylation activity. Gene expression changes caused by eIF6 include a dominant upregulation of ribosome biogenesis, a shift in Programmed Cell Death (PCD) and inhibition of ecdysteroids biosynthesis. Administration of 20-HydroxyEcdysone or expression of p35 apoptotic modulator reverts some of the effects driven by high eIF6 levels. We conclude that the increased translation driven by high levels of eIF6 generates a transcriptional and hormonal rewiring that evidences the capability of the translational machinery to regulate specific gene expression and metabolism. In addition, our *in vivo* model could be useful to screen potential drugs to treat cells with altered *eIF6* gene dosage.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

The eukaryotic Initiation Factor eIF6 is necessary for ribosome biogenesis and

translation initiation and is upregulated or amplified at genetic level in some cancers.

Mice haploinsufficient for eIF6 are protected from lymphomagenesis, but a model

with increased gene dosage of this initiation factor was still lacking. We present here

a thorough analysis on the early effects due to amplified *DeIF6* in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. We show that an increase of *DeIF6* gene dosage results in an

increase of general translation able to modulate transcription of genes involved in

ribosome biogenesis, programmed cell death and ecdysone biosynthesis.

INTRODUCTION

Ribosomal Proteins (RPs) and eukaryotic Initiation Factors (eIFs) are necessary for two major cellular processes: ribosome biogenesis and translation (1-5). It has been now widely established that downregulation of RPs and eIFs can protect from cancer (6).

Proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis do not usually have a role in the translational control and *vice versa* (7). However, the eukaryotic Initiation Factor 6 (eIF6) is remarkably unique (8): around 20% is essential for nucleolar maturation of the 60S large subunit of the ribosome (9), while cytoplasmic eIF6 acts as a translation factor necessary for fatty acid synthesis and glycolysis through translation of transcription factors such as CEBP/β, ATF4 and CEBP/δ containing G/C rich or uORF sequences (10, 11). Mechanistically, eIF6 is an anti-association factor: by binding to the 60S subunit, it prevents its premature joining with a 40S not loaded with the preinititiaton complex. Release of eIF6 is then mandatory for the formation of an active 80S (12).

eIF6 is highly conserved in yeast, *Drosophila* and humans (13). During evolution, the *eIF6* gene has not been subjected to gene duplication. Despite ubiquitous expression, eIF6 levels *in vivo* are tightly regulated in physiological conditions, showing considerable variability of expression among different tissues (14). Importantly, high levels of eIF6 or hyperphosphorylated eIF6 are observed in some cancers (15, 16), and are rate limiting for tumour onset and progression in mice (17). In addition, eIF6 amplification is observed in luminal breast cancer patients (18) and may affect also migration (19). However, whether eIF6 overexpression *per se* can change a transcriptional program in the absence of other genetic lesions is unknown.

Taking advantage of the high sequence similarity among *eIF6* homologues, here we

used *Drosophila melanogaster*, an ideal model to manipulate gene expression in a

time- and tissue-dependent manner, using the GAL4/UAS system (19, 20) to study

the effects of *eIF6* increased gene dosage *in vivo*. Such a gain of function approach

allowed us to investigate which are the effects of eIF6 overexpression in the context

of an intact organ. We used the fly eye, an organ not essential for viability, whose

development from epithelial primordia, the larval eye imaginal disc, is well

understood. The adult fly compound eye is a stunningly beautiful structure of

approximately 800 identical units, called ommatidia (21). Each ommatidium is

composed of eight neuronal photoreceptors, four glial-like cone cells and pigment

cells (22, 23). By increasing DeIF6 levels in the eye, we have found alterations in

physiological apoptosis at the pupal stage, correlating with an increase in general

translation. Importantly, we also observed a reshaping of the eye transcriptome that

revealed a coordinated downregulation of the ecdysone biosynthesis pathway.

Overall, our study provides the first *in vivo* evidence of an increase in translation

dependent on a heightened *eIF6* gene dosage, which drives metabolic changes and

a transcriptional rewiring of a developing organ. Our model provides a new and

simple way to screen for therapeutic molecules relevant for cancers with aberrant

eIF6 gene dosage and suggest that overexpression of a translation factor *per se*

may induce a new gene expression program.

RESULTS

Alterations of DeIF6 levels results in early embryonic lethality and aberrant organ morphology

We first assessed the effects caused by the loss of the *Drosophila* homologue of *eIF6*, *DeIF6*. To this end, we used the *P* element allele *eIF6^{k13214}* (24), inducing mitotic clones homozygous for *eIF6^{k13214}* in first instar larvae by heat shock-induced FLIP/*FLP*-mediated homologous recombination (25). We did not observe clones of eIF6 mutant cells with the exception of small ones in the wing margin. Similar results were obtained in a *minute* (M) background that provides a growth advantage to mutant cells, or by targeted expression of FLP in the wing margin (S1A Fig). Together, these results indicate that eIF6 is required for cell viability in *Drosophila*, as previously observed in yeast (16) and mammals (9) and preclude significant studies on the value of its inhibition, a phenomenon absent in physiological conditions.

To assess the effects of the gain of function, which is often observed *in vivo* in cancer, we overexpressed *DeIF6* ubiquitously using the *TubGAL4* driver. Ectopic expression resulted in late embryonic lethality (S1B Fig), suggesting that increased levels of eIF6 disrupt gene expression. To circumvent early lethality, we focused on a non-essential fly organ, the eye. DeIF6 overexpression during late larval eye disc development, by the *GMRGAL4* driver (*GMR>DeIF6*), caused the formation of a rough adult eye (Fig 1A-B). Eye roughness is often observed in mutants that alter the fine structure of the compound eye (26-29). Indeed, SEM analysis showed severe disruption of the stereotypic structure of the wild-type eye, with flattened ommatidia and bristles arranged in random patterns (Fig 1C). Semithin sections evidenced that the roughness was due to an aberrant arrangement and morphology of cells (Fig 1D).

These data show that elevated *DeIF6* gene dosage in the *Drosophila* eye causes a

strong phenotype, that we further chatacterized.

Increased *DeIF6* gene dosage results in elevated translation

eIF6 binds free 60S *in vitro* and *in vivo* affecting translation (8). In order to assess

the effects of overexpressed DeIF6 *in vivo* on the translational machinery, we first

investigated whether it led to a change in free 60S subunits, *in vivo*. To this end, we

used a recently developed assay, the *in vitro* Ribosome Interaction Assay (iRIA) (30).

We found that the expression of DeIF6 in larval eye discs (*GMR>DeIF6*) led to 25%

reduction of free 60S sites when compared to control (*GMRGAL4/+*) (Fig 1E). Next,

using a modified SUnSET assay (31), we measured translation in eye imaginal discs

treated *ex vivo* with puromycin, incorporated in protein nascent chains by ribosomes.

Remarkably, *GMR>DeIF6* eye discs incorporated almost twice the amount of

puromycin of controls (Fig 1F-G). Taken together, high levels of eIF6 increase the

free 60S pool *in vivo*, and increase puromycin incorporation, i.e. translation.

To evaluate whether increased puromycin incorporation upon eIF6 overexpression

was specific to *Drosophila*, we overexpressed human eIF6 in HEK293T cells, grown

upon serum stimulation, and examined puromycin incorporation with a

cytofluorimeter. Upon eIF6 2-fold expression relative to control (S1C Fig), we

observed an increase in puromycin incorporating cells (S1D-E Fig). These data

demonstrate that increased eIF6 leads, in the presence of appropriate extracellular

signals or growth factors, to an elevation of the general translational rate, both *in vivo*

and *in vitro*.

Increased *DeIF6* gene dosage alters physiological apoptosis

To understand how deregulated protein synthesis leads to the tissue defects

observed upon DeIF6 overexpression, we first performed a thorough analysis of eye

development. Larval eye discs revealed no differences in morphology or cell identity

compared to controls (S2A-C Fig). Then, we analyzed the effect of *DeIF6* increased

gene dosage during pupal development. We found that at 40h after puparium

formation (APF) both neuronal and cone cells were present in the correct number.

Conversely, we observed that the ommatidial morphology was altered (Fig 2). One of

the fundamental events controlling ommatidial morphology is a developmentally-

controlled wave of PCD, sweeping the tissue from 25h to 42h APF (23). At 28h APF,

TUNEL assay showed the absence of apoptotic nuclei in the *GMR>DeIF6* retinae,

whereas *GMRGAL4/+* retinae showed many of them (S3A Fig). Next, we analyzed

the *Drosophila* effector caspase Dcp-1 by immunostaining at 40h APF. Compared to

control retinae showing the presence of apoptotic cells, these were completely

absent in *GMR>DeIF6* retinae (Fig 3A). Next, 60h APF *GMR>DeIF6* retinae showed

Dcp-1 positive cells. In contrast, 60h APF wild-type retinae did not show any

apoptotic cell, confirming that developmental PCD had correctly ended by then (Fig

3B). We determined the number of Dcp-1 positive cells at 40h APF and 60h APF

(Fig 3C), revealing a striking 75% reduction in the number of apoptotic cells at 40h

APF in *GMR>DeIF6*, and an 80% increase at 60 hours APF. A change in apoptosis

dynamics was confirmed by staining for the *Drosophila* β-catenin homologue

Armadillo (Fig 4). Armadillo localizes to membranes of cells surrounding

photoreceptors, providing an indication of their number. At 40h APF, we observed

that control retinae presented the typical staining expected for Armadillo, while

GMR>DeIF6 retinae showed the presence of extra-numerary cells around the

ommatidial core (Fig 4A), in line with the possibility that these were not removed by

PCD. By counting the number of cells in each ommatidium, we determined that

GMR>DeIF6 retinae possessed more than 15 cells, corresponding to approximately

30% more than that of a wild-type ommatidium (S4A Fig). Later in development, both

at 60h and 72h APF, while in wild-type retinae the pattern of Armadillo was

maintained, in *GMR>DeIF6* retinae Armadillo was no longer detectable (Fig 4B and

S4B Fig), indicating the late PCD inappropriately removes most inter-ommatidial

cells (IOCs). In conclusion, the early effect of eIF6 high levels is a block of apoptosis

that may then in turn lead to a disrupted developmental program.

Restricting the increase of *DeIF6* gene dosage to cone and IOCs is sufficient to

alter PCD

Both cone and inter-ommatidial cells (IOCs) signal for the correct removal of extra-

numerary cells by PCD to determine the correct number of ommatidial cells (32).

Thus, to determine which cell type is responsible for the altered PCD, we increased

DeIF6 levels in either one of these two cell types, with the *spaGAL4* or *54CGAL4*

drivers, respectively. Here, the increased expression of DeIF6 resulted in a rough

eye phenotype, albeit a milder one with respect to the one observed with the

GMRGAL4 driver (Fig 5A, S5A Fig). Semithin sections of *spa>DeIF6* adult eyes

confirmed the loss of the eye structure and, similarly to *GMR>DeIF6*, revealed that

cellular arrangement and morphology were altered (Fig 5B). Characterization of

pupal *spa>DeIF6* retinae confirmed that DeIF6 expression was confined to cone

cells (Fig 5C). In addition, similar to *GMR>DeIF6* retinae, the staining of the markers

ELAV and CUT demonstrated that cell identity was maintained, but that arrangement

of cells on the plane of the tissue was disrupted (S5B Fig). Finally, Dcp-1 staining

was completely absent in 40h APF retinae expressing DeIF6 in the cone cells (S5C

Fig) confirming a block in apoptosis. Apoptosis was then observed at 60h APF (Fig

5D), in line with what we observed in *GMR>DeIF6* retinae.

These results indicate that the expression of DeIF6 in cone cell is sufficient to alter

PCD and further show that aberrant PCD is one cause of the eye roughness.

High DeIF6 levels effects are not tissue specific

Once determined that the rough eye phenotype induced by DeIF6 overexpression

correlated with an increase in general translation and with altered PCD, we asked

whether such defects were specific for the eye, or a more general effect associated

with increased *DeIF6* gene dosage. Thus, we overexpressed DeIF6 in a different

epithelial organ, the wing, using the *bxMS1096GAL4* driver (*MS>DeIF6*). Such

manipulation led to complete disruption of the adult wing structure (Fig 6A).

Moreover, we performed the SUnSET assay on wing imaginal discs, and, as in eye

discs, we observed a two-fold increase in puromycin incorporation in *MS>DeIF6*

wing discs with respect to the controls (Fig 6B-C). Furthermore, DeIF6

overexpression in wing discs led to the presence of apoptotic cells in the dorsal

portion of the disc (Fig 6D).

Gene expression analysis reveals that DeIF6 expression reshapes the
transcriptome, resulting in altered ribosome maturation and ecdysone
signalling

Because it was not clear how increased translation could lead to changes in cell

viability, we asked whether DeIF6 was able to induce a transcriptional rewiring that

preceded the phenotypic effects. To this end, we performed a comprehensive gene

expression analysis by RNA-Seq of two distinct stages of eye development, by

comparing larval eye imaginal discs and pupal retinae of *GMRGAL4/+* and

GMR>DeIF6 genotypes (Fig 7). At both developmental stages, in *GMR>DeIF6*

samples, we observed an upregulation of genes related to ribosome biogenesis (S1

File, Fig 7A and S6A Fig). GSAA analysis revealed also an increase in mRNAs of

genes involved in rRNA processing (S6A Fig). These data, together with the

increased puromycin incorporation before described, suggest that eIF6 is a dominant

inducer of ribosomal activity. Consistent with our phenotypic analysis, *GMR>DeIF6*

retinae displayed variations also in genes involved in eye development and in PCD

(Fig 7 A,C and S1 File). Notably, mRNAs encoding specialized eye enzymes, such

as those of pigment biosynthetic pathways, were downregulated in *GMR>DeIF6*

samples (S1 File), preceding the altered adult eye morphology.

Surprisingly, the most coordinated changes induced by DeIF6 in eye imaginal discs

clustered into the ecdysone pathway, with a striking downregulation of many

enzymes involved in 20-HydroxyEcdysone (20-HE) biosynthesis (Fig 7 A-B). For

example, *phm*, *sad* and *nvd* (S6B Fig) were virtually absent in *GMR>DeIF6* eye

imaginal disc, whereas early (*rbp*) and late (*ptp52f*) responsive genes belonging to

the hormone signaling cascade were downregulated (S1 File). These results indicate

the silencing of ecdysone pathway is one of the early events occurring upon

increased *DeIF6* gene dosage.

Chromosome organization gene sets were found upregulated in our larval GSAA

analysis in *GMR>DeIF6* samples (S6C Fig, S1 File), a result similar to mammalian

cells where eIF6 manipulation leads to changes in this set and in histone acetylation

(11). We found a two-fold reduction in HDACs activity in *GMR>DeIF6* adult heads

when compared to control (Fig 7D), in line with the observed expression changes.

Taken together our gene expression analysis identifies a complex rewiring of

transcription induced by increased dosage of eIF6 that could explain some of the

alterations observed.

Ecdysone treatment or block of PCD partially rescue adult eye defects induced

by increased *DeIF6* gene dosage

To understand whether the eye roughness that we observed was related to a defect

in PCD, experimentally observed and backed up by gene expression studies, and to

ecdysone signalling, predicted by gene expression studies, we administrated the

active form of the hormone 20-HE or we blocked PCD and evaluated the effect on

adult eye morphology.

To activate ecdysone signalling we fed *GMR>DeIF6* third instar larvae with 20-HE,

and we analyzed apoptosis at 40h APF and adult eye morphology. We found a

partial rescue of the apoptotic phenotype (Fig 7E). Indeed, immunofluorescence

staining for Dcp-1 showed the presence of apoptotic cells in 40h APF *GMR>DeIF6*

retinae treated with 20-HE, while *GMR>DeIF6* untreated retinae did not show any

Dcp-1 positive cell (Fig 7E). Accordingly, following 20-HE treatment, we observed a

partial recovery of adult eye morphology. Notably, *GMR>DeIF6* larvae fed with 20-

HE showed eyes 20% larger than untreated controls, although they remained

smaller with respect to *GMRGAL4/+* (Fig 7F).

To block the late PCD we co-expressed the Baculovirus caspase inhibitor p35 and

DeIF6, under the control of the *GMRGAL4* driver. Strikingly, we observed an almost

complete suppression of the rough eye phenotype (Fig 7G-H), indicating that the

loss of cells due the late onset of the PCD is the main cause for the alteration of

adult eye morphology.

In conclusion, these data indicate that the apoptotic defect and eye roughness

caused by increased *DeIF6* gene dosage depend on ecdysone signalling and on

dysregulated PCD.

DISCUSSION

eIF6 gene is evolutionarily conserved and necessary for ribosome biogenesis and translation. In addition, it is a single gene which is overexpressed or amplified in some tumour cells (8). Our analysis in *Drosophila* confirms that eIF6 is necessary at the single cell level. Moreover, ubiquitous overexpression of eIF6 is lethal at the organism level in spite of the observation that overexpression of eIF6 increases anabolism and protects from apoptosis at the cellular level, causing a surprisingly high extent of gene modulation (Fig 8).

Translation is the most energy consuming process in cells (33) and thus is tightly regulated, mostly at its initiation step. Recently, many studies have highlighted how alterations in the ribosomal machinery and/or in translational control are involved in several pathologies (34). Increased protein synthesis was often interpreted as a general by-product of increased proliferation. eIF6 has been found upregulated in many cancers, including mesothelioma, breast and colorectal cancer (15, 18, 35). Conversely, eIF6-haploinsufficiency protects mice from lymphomagenesis in an Eμ-Myc model (17). In our eIF6 overexpressing model, we observe an increase in mRNAs encoding for rRNA processing factors, suggesting that ribosome biogenesis is upregulated when eIF6 levels are heightened. Interestingly, we also show that the overexpression of DeIF6 causes a two-fold increase in general translation both in the developing eye and wing. These data show that *in vivo* eIF6 can act in a feed-forward loop that amplifies the efficiency of the translational machinery.

How could an increase in translation dictated by excess eIF6 may impact tumour cell fate? A clue to this is represented by the changes in physiological PCD in the fly eye during the pupal stage (32) upon DeIF6 overexpression. A similar alteration was previously observed in *X. laevis* (36). The developmental defects driven by increased

DeIF6 gene dosage are consistent with two scenarios: excess DeIF6 could delay

developmental PCD. Alternatively, PCD could be repressed at the correct

developmental time and apoptotic elimination of defective cells overexpressing

DeIF6 could be triggered later independently of developmental signals. The fact that

overexpression of DeIF6 in wing discs, which are not subjected to developmental

apoptosis, leads to cell death supports the latter hypothesis. Overall, these

considerations indicate that the advantage provided by excess of eIF6 to tumour

cells might consist at least initially in escaping apoptotic clearance. However, the

following increase in apoptosis that we observe later in development is the main

cause of alteration of eye development, since this can be rescued by co-expression

of an apoptotic inhibitor. Thus, cell expressing excess DeIF6 but otherwise wild-type

might not resist to apoptotic elimination if not endowed by further mutations.

Nonetheless, there is also the possibility that the inability to remove extra-numerary

cells could result in a defective organogenesis, leading to aberrant apoptosis in a

later developmental window. On the contrary, tumours, by being intrinsically

disorganized, and relying on the host supply for nutrients, may therefore have an

advantage from eIF6 overexpression, which is always limited to the tumour and

absent from the surrounding stroma (35).

Interestingly, changes in apoptosis are mirrored in our transcriptome analysis of

apoptotic genes. This observation suggests that protein synthesis can acquire a

driver role in transcription. In this context, it is intriguing to note that increased gene

dosage of *eIF4E*, another rate-limiting player of translation, resulted in a similar

disruption of *Drosophila* eye development (37). It would be interesting to know

whether similar genes are affected by eIF6 and eIF4E. Thus, initiation activity is not

simply rate limiting for protein synthesis, but rather it is capable to affect directly or

indirectly specific gene expression pathways.

Importantly, gene expression analysis performed in the larvae reveals a strong

reduction of ecdysone biosynthesis and signaling. Furthermore, 20-HE treatment

leads to a partial rescue of the pupal apoptotic defect and consequently of the rough

eye phenotype. Therefore, our data place DeIF6 upstream of ecdysone regulation.

The precise mechanism by which eIF6 expression leads to the shut-off of ecdysone

biosynthetic enzymes remains to be established, but confirm the extending

evidences that show that translation acts upstream of metabolism (38).

It was intriguing to observe that DeIF6 expression leads to changes that alter

chromosome and chromatin organization and a decrease in HDACs activity. Thus,

translation factors may causes a transcriptional reshaping that could involve

epigenetic changes. Consistent, we previously found that, in mammals, eIF6

haploinsufficiency caused a gene signature that mimicked alterations obtained by

histones acetylation inhibitors (10). In addition, years ago a mammalian-based

screening for modulators of chromatin structure, surprisingly identified as a major

player another initiation factor, eIF3h (39). We therefore suggest that translational

control is, as recently proposed, a major controller of gene expression (40).

Remarkably, epigenetic changes have been reported to control the transcription of

ecdysone biosynthetic enzymes (41, 42), suggesting that alterations of

transcriptional regulation, perhaps at epigenetic level may be responsible for the

observed phenotypes.

In summary, our study demonstrates that overexpression of eIF6 in developing

organs is sufficient to induce an increase in ribosome biogenesis and translation that

correlates with complex transcriptional and metabolic changes leading to apoptotic

and hormonal defects. It will be interesting to further dissect the relationship between

epigenetic, metabolic, and transcriptional changes in the *Drosophila* model. Our

model may be useful for *in vivo* screenings of compounds that suppress the effect of

eIF6 overexpression in order to isolate useful therapeutics that might be relevant to

the protumourigenic role of mammalian eIF6, and for defining novel genetic

modulators of eIF6 function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetics

Fly strains were maintained on standard cornmeal food at 18°C. Genetic crosses were performed at 25°C, with the exception of *GMRGAL/+* and *GMR>DeIF6*, performed at 18°C. The following fly mutant stocks have been used: *GMRGAL4/CTG* was a gift from Manolis Fanto (King's College, London); *UAS-DeIF6* was a gift from William J Brook (Alberta Children's Hospital, Calgary) (43). Lines obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC): *spaGAL4* (26656), *54CGAL4* (27328), *w1118*, *UAS-p35* (5072), *UAS-mCD8GFP* (32184), *bxMS1096GAL4* (8860).

Mosaic analysis

The *DeIF6^{k13214}* mutant clones were created by *Flippase* (*FLP*) mediated mitotic recombination (25). The *DeIF6^{k13214}* (*P(w[+mC]=lacW) eIF6[k13214]ytr[k13214]*) *P* element allele was recombined onto the right arm of chromosome two with the homologous recombination site (FRT) at 42D using standard selection techniques. Briefly, to create the FRT *y$^+$ pwn, DeIF6^{k13214}* chromosomes, *DeIF6^{k13214}* was recombined onto the FRT chromosome originating from the *y; P{FRT}42D pwn[1] P{y+}44B/CyO* parental stock. The *yellow$^+$ pwn DeIF6^{k13214}G418* resistant flies were selected to create stocks for clonal analysis. Similarly, stocks used for generating unmarked *DeIF6^{k13214}* clones were created by recombining *DeIF6^{k13214}* with the 42D FRT chromosome using the *w[1118]; P{FRT}42D P{Ubi-GFP}2R/CyO* parental line. Targeted mitotic wing clones were generated by crossing flies with *UAS-FLP*, the appropriate GAL4 driver and the suitable *42D* FRT second chromosome with the *42D* FRT *DeIF6^{k13214}*. The *hs* induced *DeIF6^{k13214}* mitotic clones were created by

following standard techniques. Briefly, 24 and 48 hours larvae with the appropriate genotypes were heat shocked for 1 hour at 37°C followed by incubation at 25°C.

Cell culture and transfections

HEK293T cells were grown in DMEM (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin, streptomycin, L-glutamine (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Mycoplasma testing was performed before experiments. Cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1-eIF6 (44), or an empty vector, with Lipofectamine® 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA, #11668019) following manufacturer protocol.

RNA isolation and RNA sequencing

Total RNA was extracted with mirVana™ isolation kit according to the manufacturer protocols (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, #AM 1560) from 10 eye imaginal discs (larval stage) or 10 retinae (pupal stage). RNA quality was controlled with BioAnalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Libraries for Illumina sequencing were constructed from 100 ng of total RNA with the Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 (Set A) (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The generated libraries were loaded on to the cBot (Illumina) for clustering on a HiSeq Flow Cell v3. The flow cell was then sequenced using a HiScanSQ (Illumina). A paired-end (2×101) run was performed using the SBS Kit v3 (Illumina). Sequence deepness was at 35 million reads.

Bioinformatic Analysis

Read pre-processing and mapping

Three biological replicates were analyzed for *GMRGAL4/+* and *GMR>DeIF6* larval

eye imaginal discs and four biological replicates were analyzed for *GMRGAL4/+* and

GMR>DeIF6 pupal retinae, for a total of 14 samples. Raw reads were checked for

quality by FastQC software (version 0.11.2, S., A. FastQC: a quality control tool for

high-throughput sequence data. 2010; Available from:

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc), and filtered to remove

low quality calls by Trimmomatic (version 0.32) (45) using default parameters and

specifying a minimum length of 50. Processed reads were then aligned to *Drosophila*

melanogaster genome assembly GRCm38 (Ensembl version 79) with STAR

software (version 2.4.1c) (46).

Gene expression quantification and differential expression analysis.

HTSeq-count algorithm (version 0.6.1, option -s = no, gene annotation release 79

from Ensembl) (47) was employed to produce gene counts for each sample. To

estimate differential expression, the matrix of gene counts produced by HTSeq was

analyzed by DESeq2 (version DESeq2_1.12.4) (48).

The differential expression analysis by the DeSeq2 algorithm was performed on the

entire dataset composed by both larvae and pupae samples. The two following

comparisons were analyzed: *GMR>DeIF6 versus GMRGAL4/+* larval eye imaginal

discs (6 samples overall) and *GMR>DeIF6 versus GMRGAL4/+* pupal retinae (8

samples in total). Reads counts were normalized by calculating a size factor, as

implemented in DESeq2. Independent filtering procedure was then applied, setting

the threshold to the 62 percentile; 10886 genes were therefore tested for differential

expression.

Significantly modulated genes in *GMR>DeIF6* genotype were selected by

considering a false discovery rate lower than 5%.

Regularized logarithmic (rlog) transformed values were used for heat map representation of gene expression profiles.

Analyses were performed in R version 3.3.1 (2016-06-21, Computing, T.R.F.f.S. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Available from: http://www.R-project.org/).

Functional analysis by topGO

The Gene Ontology enrichment analysis was performed using topGO R Bioconductor package (version topGO_2.24.0). The option *nodesize = 5* is used to prune the GO hierarchy from the terms which have less than 5 annotated genes and the *annFUN.db* function is used to extract the gene-to-GO mappings from the genome-wide annotation library *org.Dm.eg.db* for *D. melanogaster*. The statistical enrichment of GO was tested using the Fisher's exact test. Both the "classic" and "elim" algorithms were used.

Gene set association analysis

Gene set association analysis for larvae and pupae samples was performed by GSAA software (version 2.0) (49). Raw reads for 10886 genes identified by Entrez Gene ID were analyzed by GSAASeqSP, using gene set C5 (*Drosophila* version retrieved from http://www.go2msig.org/cgi-bin/prebuilt.cgi?taxid=7227) and specifying as permutation type 'gene set' and as gene set size filtering min 15 and max 800.

Western blotting and antibodies

Larval imaginal discs, pupal retinae and adult heads were dissected in cold Phosphate Buffer Saline (Na_2HPO_4 10 mM, KH_2PO_4 1.8 mM, NaCl 137 mM, KCl 2.7 mM, pH 7.4) (PBS) and then homogenized in lysis buffer (HEPES 20 mM, KCl 100

mM, Glycerol 5%, EDTA pH 8.0 10 mM, Triton-X 0.1%, DTT 1mM) freshly

supplemented with Protease Inhibitors (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA, #P8340).

Protein concentration was determined by BCA analysis (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA,

#23227). Equal amounts of proteins were loaded and separated on a 10% SDS-

PAGE, then transferred to a PVDF membrane. Membranes were blocked in 10%

Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) in PBS-Tween (0.01%) for 30 minutes at 37°C. The

following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-eIF6 (1:500, this study), rabbit

anti-β-actin (1:4000, CST, Danvers, MA, USA, #4967). To produce the anti-eIF6

antibody used in this study, a rabbit polyclonal antiserum against two epitopes on

COOH-terminal peptide of eIF6 (NH2-CLSFVGMNTTATEI-COOH eIF6 203-215 aa;

NH2-CATVTTKLRAALIEDMS-COOH eIF6 230-245 aa) was prepared by

PrimmBiotech (Milan, Italy, Ab code: 201212-00003 GHA/12), purified in a CNBr-

Sepharose column and tested for its specificity against a mix of synthetic peptides

with ELISA test. The following secondary antibodies were used: donkey anti-mouse

IgG HRP (1:5000, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK, Amersham #NA931) and

donkey anti-rabbit IgG HRP (1:5000, GE Healthcare, Amersham #NA934).

SUnSET Assay

Larval imaginal eye and wing discs were dissected in complete Schneider medium

(Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) and treated *ex vivo* with puromycin (50 µg/mL) for 30

minutes at room temperature, then fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 1 hour at

room temperature. Immunofluorescences were then performed as described below,

using a mouse anti Puromycin (1:500, Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA,

#MABE343) as a primary antibody. Discs were then examined by confocal

microscope (Leica SP5, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and fluorescence intensity was

measured with ImageJ software. For protein synthesis measurement in HEK293T cells, after 48 hours of transfection with the pcDNA3.1-eIF6 or the empty vector, we followed the adapted SUnSET protocol described in (50).

Cells count

GMRGAL4/+ and *GMR>DeIF6* pupal retinae at 40h APF were dissected, fixed, and stained with anti-Armadillo to count cells, as previously described (51). Cells contained within a hexagonal array (an imaginary hexagon that connects the centres of the surrounding six ommatidia) were counted; for different genotypes, the number of cells per hexagon was calculated by counting cells, compared with corresponding control. Cells at the boundaries between neighbouring ommatidia count half. At least 3 hexagons (equivalent to 9 full ommatidia) were counted for each genotype, and phenotypes were analysed. Standard Deviation (SD) was used as statistical analysis.

Immunofluorescences, antibodies and TUNEL Assay

Larval imaginal discs and pupal retinae were dissected in cold PBS and fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 1 hour at room temperature, then washed twice with PBS and blocked in PBTB (PBS, Triton 0.3%, 5% Normal Goat Serum and 2% Bovine Serum Albumin) for 3 hours at room temperature. Primary antibodies were diluted in PBTB solution and incubated O/N at 4°C. After three washes with PBS, tissues were incubated O/N at 4°C with secondary antibodies and DAPI (1:1000, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA, #D3571) in PBS. After three washes with PBS, eye imaginal discs and retinae were mounted on slides with ProLong Gold (LifeTechnologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA, #P36930). The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-eIF6 (1:50, this study), rat anti-ELAV (1:100, Developmental

Study Hybridoma Bank DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA, #7E8A10), mouse anti-CUT (1:100, DSHB, #2B10), mouse anti-Rough (1:100, DSHB, #ro-62C2A8), mouse anti-Armadillo (1:100, DSHB, #N27A), mouse anti-Chaoptin (1:100, DSHB, #24B10), rabbit anti- Dcp-1 (1:50, CST, #9578), mouse anti-Puromycin (1:500, Merck Millipore, #MABE343). The following secondary antibodies were used: donkey anti-rat, donkey anti-mouse, donkey anti-rabbit (1:500 Alexa Fluor® secondary antibodies, Molecular Probes). Dead cells were detected using the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit TMR Red (Roche, Basel, Switzerland, #12156792910) as manufacturer protocol, with some optimization. Briefly, retinae of the selected developmental stage were dissected in cold PBS and fixed with PFA 3% for 1 hour at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, retinae were permeabilized with Sodium Citrate 0.1%-Triton-X 0.1% for 2 minutes at 4°C and then incubated overnight at 37°C with the enzyme mix. Retinae were then rinsed three times with PBS, incubated with DAPI to stain nuclei and mounted on slides. Discs and retinae were examined by confocal microscopy (Leica SP5) and analysed with Volocity 6.3 software (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

Semithin sections

Semithin sections were prepared as described in (52). Adult eyes were fixed in 0.1 M Sodium Phosphate Buffer, 2% glutaraldehyde, on ice for 30 min, then incubated with 2% OsO_4 in 0.1 M Sodium Phosphate Buffer for 2 hours on ice, dehydrated in ethanol (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, and 100%) and twice in propylene oxide. Dehydrated eyes were then incubated O/N in 1:1 mix of propylene oxide and epoxy resin (Sigma, Durcupan™ ACM). Finally, eyes were embedded in pure epoxy resin and baked O/N at 70°C. The embedded eyes were cut on a Leica UltraCut UC6 microtome using a

glass knife and images were acquired with a 100X oil lens, Nikon Upright XP61 microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Ecdysone treatment

For ecdysone treatment, 20-HydroxyEcdysone (20HE) (Sigma, #H5142) was dissolved in 100% ethanol to a final concentration of 5 mg/mL; third instar larvae from different genotypes (*GMRGAL4/+* and *GMR>DeIF6*) were collected and placed in individual vials on fresh standard cornmeal food supplemented with 240 μg/mL 20-HE. Eye phenotype was analyzed in adult flies, and images were captured with a TOUPCAM™ Digital camera. Eye images were analyzed with ImageJ software.

In vitro **Ribosome Interaction Assay (iRIA)**

iRIA assay was performed as described in (30). Briefly, 96-well plates were coated with a cellular extract diluted in 50 μL of PBS, 0.01% Tween-20, O/N at 4°C in humid chamber. Coating solution was removed and aspecific sites were blocked with 10% BSA, dissolved in PBS, 0.01% Tween-20 for 30 minutes at 37 °C. Plates were washed with 100 μL/well with PBS-Tween. 0.5 μg of recombinant biotinylated eIF6 were resuspended in a reaction mix: 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 2% DMSO and PBS-0.01% Tween, to reach 50 μL of final volume/well, added to the well and incubated with coated ribosomes for 1 hour at room temperature. To remove unbound proteins, each well was washed 3 times with PBS, 0.01% Tween-20. HRP-conjugated streptavidin was diluted 1:7000 in PBS, 0.01% Tween-20 and incubated in the well, 30 minutes at room temperature, in a final volume of 50 μL. Excess of streptavidin was removed through three washes with PBS-Tween. OPD (o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol (Sigma-Aldrich)

as a soluble substrate for the detection of streptavidin peroxidase activity. The signal

was detected after the incubation, plates were read at 450 nm on a multiwell plate

reader (Microplate model 680, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

HDACs activity

HDACs activity was measured with the fluorometric HDAC Activity Assay kit (Sigma,

#CS1010-1KT) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were lysed

with a buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Triton X-100

supplemented with fresh protease inhibitors. 20 µg of cell lysates were incubated

with assay buffer containing the HDACs substrate for 30 minutes at 30°C. The

reaction was terminated, and the fluorescence intensity was measured in a

fluorescence plate reader with Ex. = 350-380 nm and Em. = 440-460 nm.

Statistical Analysis

Each experiment was repeated at least three times, as biological replicates; means

and standard deviations between different experiments were calculated. Statistical *p*-

values obtained by Student *t-test* were indicated: three asterisks *** for *p*-values less

than 0.001, two asterisks ** for *p*-values less than 0.01 and one asterisks * for *p*-

values less than 0.05.

ACCESSION NUMBER

ArrayExpress ID will be provided upon acceptance for publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data will be available on ----- online. For review, Supplementary

Figures and Supplementary Files are available.

FUNDING

This work was supported by ERC TRANSLATE 338999 and FONDAZIONE

CARIPLO to SB.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank William Brook (Alberta Children's Hospital, Calgary) for UASDeIF6 stocks

and Manolis Fanto (King's College, London) for stocks and suggestions. We thank

Valeria Berno for imaging help and Vera Giulia Volpi for semithin sections

preparation.

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1.   Hershey JW, Sonenberg N, Mathews MB. Principles of translational control: an overview.

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2012 Dec 01;4(12).

2.   Kressler D, Hurt E, Bassler J. A Puzzle of Life: Crafting Ribosomal Subunits. Trends Biochem

Sci. 2017 Aug;42(8):640-54.

3.   Kressler D, Linder P, de La Cruz J. Protein trans-acting factors involved in ribosome

biogenesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol. 1999 Dec;19(12):7897-912.

4.   Venema J, Tollervey D. Ribosome synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Annu Rev Genet.

1999;33:261-311.

5.   Warner JR, Vilardell J, Sohn JH. Economics of ribosome biosynthesis. Cold Spring Harb Symp

Quant Biol. 2001;66:567-74.

6.   Robichaud N, Sonenberg N. Translational control and the cancer cell response to stress. Curr

Opin Cell Biol. 2017 Apr;45:102-9.

7.   Miluzio A, Beugnet A, Volta V, Biffo S. Eukaryotic initiation factor 6 mediates a continuum

between 60S ribosome biogenesis and translation. EMBO Rep. 2009 May;10(5):459-65.

8.   Brina D, Miluzio A, Ricciardi S, Biffo S. eIF6 anti-association activity is required for ribosome

biogenesis, translational control and tumor progression. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015

Jul;1849(7):830-5.

9.   Gandin V, Miluzio A, Barbieri AM, Beugnet A, Kiyokawa H, Marchisio PC, et al. Eukaryotic

initiation factor 6 is rate-limiting in translation, growth and transformation. Nature. 2008 Oct

02;455(7213):684-8.

10.   Brina D, Miluzio A, Ricciardi S, Clarke K, Davidsen PK, Viero G, et al. eIF6 coordinates insulin

sensitivity and lipid metabolism by coupling translation to transcription. Nat Commun. 2015 Sep

18;6:8261.

11.   Miluzio A, Ricciardi S, Manfrini N, Alfieri R, Oliveto S, Brina D, et al. Translational control by

mTOR-independent routes: how eIF6 organizes metabolism. Biochem Soc Trans. 2016 Dec

15;44(6):1667-73.

12.   Ceci M, Gaviraghi C, Gorrini C, Sala LA, Offenhauser N, Marchisio PC, et al. Release of eIF6

(p27BBP) from the 60S subunit allows 80S ribosome assembly. Nature. 2003 Dec 04;426(6966):579-

84.

13.   Biffo S, Sanvito F, Costa S, Preve L, Pignatelli R, Spinardi L, et al. Isolation of a novel beta4

integrin-binding protein (p27(BBP)) highly expressed in epithelial cells. J Biol Chem. 1997 Nov

28;272(48):30314-21.

14.   Donadini A, Giodini A, Sanvito F, Marchisio PC, Biffo S. The human ITGB4BP gene is

constitutively expressed in vitro, but highly modulated in vivo. Gene. 2001 Mar 21;266(1-2):35-43.

15.   Miluzio A, Oliveto S, Pesce E, Mutti L, Murer B, Grosso S, et al. Expression and activity of eIF6

trigger malignant pleural mesothelioma growth in vivo. Oncotarget. 2015 Nov 10;6(35):37471-85.

16.   Sanvito F, Piatti S, Villa A, Bossi M, Lucchini G, Marchisio PC, et al. The beta4 integrin

interactor p27(BBP/eIF6) is an essential nuclear matrix protein involved in 60S ribosomal subunit

assembly. J Cell Biol. 1999 Mar 08;144(5):823-37.

17.   Miluzio A, Beugnet A, Grosso S, Brina D, Mancino M, Campaner S, et al. Impairment of

cytoplasmic eIF6 activity restricts lymphomagenesis and tumor progression without affecting normal

growth. Cancer Cell. 2011 Jun 14;19(6):765-75.

18.   Gatza ML, Silva GO, Parker JS, Fan C, Perou CM. An integrated genomics approach identifies

drivers of proliferation in luminal-subtype human breast cancer. Nat Genet. 2014 Oct;46(10):1051-9.

19.   Brand AH, Perrimon N. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and

generating dominant phenotypes. Development. 1993 Jun;118(2):401-15.

20.   del Valle Rodriguez A, Didiano D, Desplan C. Power tools for gene expression and clonal

analysis in Drosophila. Nat Methods. 2011 Dec 28;9(1):47-55.

21.   Kumar JP. Building an ommatidium one cell at a time. Dev Dyn. 2012 Jan;241(1):136-49.

22.   Cagan RL, Reh TA. Preface. Aspects of eye development: advances over the past twenty

years. Curr Top Dev Biol. 2010;93:xi-xii.

23.   Ready DF, Hanson TE, Benzer S. Development of the Drosophila retina, a neurocrystalline

lattice. Dev Biol. 1976 Oct 15;53(2):217-40.

24.   Spradling AC, Stern D, Beaton A, Rhem EJ, Laverty T, Mozden N, et al. The Berkeley

Drosophila Genome Project gene disruption project: Single P-element insertions mutating 25% of

vital Drosophila genes. Genetics. 1999 Sep;153(1):135-77.

25.   Harrison DA, Perrimon N. Simple and efficient generation of marked clones in Drosophila.

Curr Biol. 1993 Jul 01;3(7):424-33.

26.   Basler K, Hafen E. Specification of cell fate in the developing eye of Drosophila. Bioessays.

1991 Dec;13(12):621-31.

27.   Tomlinson A, Bowtell DD, Hafen E, Rubin GM. Localization of the sevenless protein, a

putative receptor for positional information, in the eye imaginal disc of Drosophila. Cell. 1987 Oct

09;51(1):143-50.

28.   Tomlinson A, Kimmel BE, Rubin GM. rough, a Drosophila homeobox gene required in

photoreceptors R2 and R5 for inductive interactions in the developing eye. Cell. 1988 Dec

02;55(5):771-84.

29.   Van Vactor DL, Jr., Cagan RL, Kramer H, Zipursky SL. Induction in the developing compound

eye of Drosophila: multiple mechanisms restrict R7 induction to a single retinal precursor cell. Cell.

1991 Dec 20;67(6):1145-55.

30.   Pesce E, Minici C, Babetaler J, Hurt E, Degano M, Calamita P, et al. Direct and high

throughput (HT) interactions on the ribosomal surface by iRIA. Sci Rep. 2015 Oct 21;5:15401.

31.   Schmidt EK, Clavarino G, Ceppi M, Pierre P. SUnSET, a nonradioactive method to monitor

protein synthesis. Nat Methods. 2009 Apr;6(4):275-7.

32.   Rusconi JC, Hays R, Cagan RL. Programmed cell death and patterning in Drosophila. Cell

Death Differ. 2000 Nov;7(11):1063-70.

33.   Buttgereit F, Brand MD. A hierarchy of ATP-consuming processes in mammalian cells.

Biochem J. 1995 Nov 15;312 (Pt 1):163-7.

34.   Shenoy N, Kessel R, Bhagat TD, Bhattacharyya S, Yu Y, McMahon C, et al. Alterations in the

ribosomal machinery in cancer and hematologic disorders. J Hematol Oncol. 2012 Jun 18;5:32.

35.   Sanvito F, Vivoli F, Gambini S, Santambrogio G, Catena M, Viale E, et al. Expression of a

highly conserved protein, p27BBP, during the progression of human colorectal cancer. Cancer Res.

2000 Feb 01;60(3):510-6.

36.   De Marco N, Iannone L, Carotenuto R, Biffo S, Vitale A, Campanella C. p27(BBP)/eIF6 acts as

an anti-apoptotic factor upstream of Bcl-2 during Xenopus laevis development. Cell Death Differ.

2010 Feb;17(2):360-72.

37.   Hernandez G, Altmann M, Sierra JM, Urlaub H, Diez del Corral R, Schwartz P, et al. Functional

analysis of seven genes encoding eight translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) isoforms in Drosophila.

Mech Dev. 2005 Apr;122(4):529-43.

38.   Biffo S, Manfrini N, Ricciardi S. Crosstalks between translation and metabolism in cancer.

Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2017 Nov 15;48:75-81.

39.   Daxinger L, Oey H, Apedaile A, Sutton J, Ashe A, Whitelaw E. A forward genetic screen

identifies eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3, subunit H (eIF3h), as an enhancer of variegation

in the mouse. G3 (Bethesda). 2012 Nov;2(11):1393-6.

40.   Schwanhausser B, Busse D, Li N, Dittmar G, Schuchhardt J, Wolf J, et al. Global quantification

of mammalian gene expression control. Nature. 2011 May 19;473(7347):337-42.

41.   Borsos BN, Pankotai T, Kovacs D, Popescu C, Pahi Z, Boros IM. Acetylations of Ftz-F1 and

histone H4K5 are required for the fine-tuning of ecdysone biosynthesis during Drosophila

metamorphosis. Dev Biol. 2015 Aug 01;404(1):80-7.

42.   Pankotai T, Popescu C, Martin D, Grau B, Zsindely N, Bodai L, et al. Genes of the ecdysone

biosynthesis pathway are regulated by the dATAC histone acetyltransferase complex in Drosophila.

Mol Cell Biol. 2010 Sep;30(17):4254-66.

43.   Ji Y, Shah S, Soanes K, Islam MN, Hoxter B, Biffo S, et al. Eukaryotic initiation factor 6

selectively regulates Wnt signaling and beta-catenin protein synthesis. Oncogene. 2008 Jan

31;27(6):755-62.

44.   Benelli D, Cialfi S, Pinzaglia M, Talora C, Londei P. The translation factor eIF6 is a Notch-

dependent regulator of cell migration and invasion. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e32047.

45.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.

Bioinformatics. 2014 Aug 1;30(15):2114-20.

46.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal

RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013 Jan 01;29(1):15-21.

47.   Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput

sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2015 Jan 15;31(2):166-9.

48.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-

seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550.

49.   Xiong Q, Mukherjee S, Furey TS. GSAASeqSP: a toolset for gene set association analysis of

RNA-Seq data. Sci Rep. 2014;4:6347.

50.   Calamita P, Miluzio A, Russo A, Pesce E, Ricciardi S, Khanim F, et al. SBDS-Deficient Cells Have

an Altered Homeostatic Equilibrium due to Translational Inefficiency Which Explains their Reduced

Fitness and Provides a Logical Framework for Intervention. PLoS Genet. 2017 Jan;13(1):e1006552.

51.   Cordero J, Jassim O, Bao S, Cagan R. A role for wingless in an early pupal cell death event

that contributes to patterning the Drosophila eye. Mech Dev. 2004 Dec;121(12):1523-30.

52.   Montrasio S, Mlodzik M, Fanto M. A new allele uncovers the role of echinus in the control of

ommatidial rotation in the Drosophila eye. Dev Dyn. 2007 Oct;236(10):2936-42.

 FIGURES LEGENDS

Fig 1. Increased DeIF6 levels in the developing eye result in a rough eye

phenotype, reduced free 60S, and increased translation. (A) Stereomicroscope

images of *GMRGAL4/+* and *GMR>DeIF6* eyes, showing a noteworthy rough eye

phenotype. **(B)** Representative western blot showing the levels of DeIF6 expression

in *GMRGAL4/+* and *GMR>DeIF6* adult eyes. **(C)** Representative SEM images of

GMRGAL4/+ and *GMR>DeIF6* adult eyes. DeIF6 overexpressing eyes have an

aberrant morphology, showing flattened ommatidia and randomly arranged bristles.

Scale bar, respectively for A-C, 10 µm, 5 µm, 2.5 µm **(D)** Representative tangential

sections of *GMRGAL4/+* and *GMR>DeIF6* adult eyes indicating that photoreceptors

are still present in *GMR>DeIF6* eyes, even if their arrangement is lost. Scale bar 10

µm. **(E)** iRIA assay showing that DeIF6 increased dosage reduce the number of free

60S subunits, *in vivo* **(F)** Quantification of SUnSET assay using ImageJ software.

Graph represents mean ± SD. Statistic applied was *t-test*, paired, two tails. **(G)**

Representative SUnSET assay performed using immunofluorescence experiments,

indicating a two-fold increase in general translation when DeIF6 levels are increased

in eye imaginal discs. Scale bar 10 µm.

Fig 2. *GMR>DeIF6* retinae retain cell identity but show an aberrant morphology.

(A) Mid-pupal stage retinae (40h APF) stained for eIF6 confirming protein expression.

(B) Staining for ELAV (neuronal cells marker) and Cut (cone cells marker) showing

that both neurons and cone cells retain their identity. Noteworthy, neural and cone

cells show an incorrect arrangement on the plane in association with increased

DeIF6 levels. **(C)** Chaoptin (intra-photoreceptor membranes marker) staining

confirms the aberrant morphology of *GMR>DeIF6* retinae. Scale bar 10 µm.

Fig 3. The apoptotic wave is delayed when *DeIF6* gene dosage is increased. (A) Mid-pupal stage retinae (40h APF) stained for the *Drosophila* caspase Dcp-1. *GMRGAL4/+* retinae show Dcp-1 positive cells, indicating that PCD is ongoing at this developmental stage. On the contrary, *GMR>DeIF6* retinae do not show Dcp-1 positive cells, indicating a block in PCD. **(B)** Late-pupal stage (60h APF) retinae stained for the *Drosophila* caspase Dcp-1. *GMRGAL4/+* retinae show the absence of Dcp-1 positive cells, as expected (PCD already finished at this developmental stage). On the contrary, *GMR>DeIF6* retinae, show Dcp-1 positive cells, indicating a delay in PCD associated to more DeIF6 levels. **(C)** Dcp-1 positive cells counts indicate an overall delay and increase in PCD when *DeIF6* gene dosage is increased during eye development. Graph represents mean ± SD. Statistic applied was *t-test*, paired, two tails. Scale bar 10 μm.

Fig 4. Cell number is altered during pupal stage in *GMR>DeIF6*. (A) Mid-pupal stage (40h APF) retinae stained for Armadillo, the *Drosophila* β-catenin homologue, showing that when DeIF6 is increased there are extra-numerary cells (indicated as *) around each ommatidium. **(B)** Late-pupal stage (60h APF) retinae stained for Armadillo, showing the loss of all cells around ommatidia upon DeIF6 overexpression. Scale bar 10 μm.

Fig 5. A specific increase of *DeIF6* gene dosage in cone cells results in a rough eye phenotype. (A-B) Overexpression of DeIF6 in cone cells results in rough eye phenotype. **(A)** Representative stereomicroscope images of *spaGAL4/+* and *spa>DeIF6* eyes showing a rough eye phenotype. **(B)** Representative tangential

semithin sections of *spaGAL4/+* and *spa>DeIF6* adult eyes showing disruption of the structure upon DeIF6 overexpression in cone cells. **(C)** Mid-pupal stage (40h APF) retinae stained for eIF6 confirming that overexpression of DeIF6 is restricted only to cone cells. **(D)** Late-pupal stage (60h APF) retinae of *spaGAL4/+* and *spa>DeIF6* genotypes stained for Dcp-1 confirming the delayed and increased apoptosis already observed in *GMR>DeIF6* flies. (C-D) Scale bar 10 µm.

Fig 6. Altered *DeIF6* gene dosage affects the wing. (A) Adult wings *MS>DeIF6* have a completely aberrant phenotype. **(B)** SUnSET assay quantification of an immunofluorescence experiment, indicating again a two-fold increase in general translation when in *MS>DeIF6* wing discs. Graph represents mean ± SD. Statistic applied was *t-test*, paired, two tails. **(C)** Representative SUnSET assay performed using immunofluorescence experiment, indicating again a two-fold increase in general translation when in *MS>DeIF6* wing discs. For each genotype, two magnifications are compared: 63x (scale bar 50 µm) and, in the small squares, 252x (scale bar 10 µm). **(D)** Apoptosis is increased in *MS>DeIF6* wing imaginal disc. Wing discs stained for Dcp-1 and DeIF6 in control flies (*MSGAL4/+*) and in in *MS>DeIF6*. In *MS>DeIF6* there is a striking increase in apoptotic events, compared to the control. Scale bar 50 µm.

Fig 7. DeIF6 induces a reshaping of transcription, resulting in rRNA processing alteration and in a gene signature specific for the eye, unveiling a role of 20-HE in defective apoptosis. (A) Venn Diagram indicating genes differentially expressed in *GMR>DeIF6* larval eye imaginal discs and *GMR>DeIF6* retinae with respect to controls (*GMRGAL4/+).* **(B)** The Ecdysone Biosynthetic Pathway is shut off when

DeIF6 is upregulated. Heat Map representing absolute gene expression levels in *GMR>DeIF6* and *GMRGAL4/+* eye imaginal disc samples for the subset of gene sets involved in Ecdysone Biosynthesis by Gene Ontology analysis. **(C)** mRNAs involved in Programmed Cell Death and in Eye Differentiation are upregulated in *GMR>DeIF6* retinae. Heat Map representing absolute gene expression levels in *GMR>DeIF6* and *GMRGAL4/+* retinae samples for the subset of gene sets involved in Programmed Cell Death and Eye Differentiation by Gene Ontology Analysis. **(D)** High levels of DeIF6 are associated to lower HDAC activity. Representative graph showing a lower HDACs activity in association to high DeIF6 protein levels. The assay has been performed on total protein extracts from *GMRGAL4/+* and *GMR>DeIF6* adult heads. **(E-F)** 20-HE treatment partially rescue the rough eye phenotype and the delay in apoptosis in 40h APF retinae **(E)** Immunofluorescence images showing that 20-HE treatment (240 µg/mL in standard fly food) rescues the apoptotic delay observed in *GMR>DeIF6* 40h APF retinae. **(F)** Representative graph showing the *GMR>DeIF6* adult fly eye size with or without treatment with 20-HE. As indicated in the graph, the fly eye size is partially rescued when the hormone is added to the fly food. Graphs represent mean ± SD. Statistic applied was *t-test*, paired, two tails. **(G-H)** Blocking apoptosis rescues the rough eye phenotype. **(G)** Representative stereomicroscope images of *GMR>DeIF6; p35* and *GMR>DeIF6* adult eyes. **(H)** Western blot showing eIF6 levels in *GMR>DeIF6; p35* and *GMR>DeIF6* eyes. For each genotype, the densitometric ratio (DeIF6/β-actin) was analysed with ImageJ and reported.

Fig 8. Model for eIF6 function. We demonstrated that increased levels of eIF6 are associated to increased general translation, resulting in a transcription rewiring.

RNASeq analysis confirms that altering *DeIF6* gene dosage modulates apoptosis during development and interestingly, reveals a shutdown of genes involved in 20-HydroxyEcdysone biosynthesis. In addition, we found an upregulation of genes related to chromatin organization.

S1 Fig. Strong alteration of *DeIF6* gene dosage is incompatible with life and is associated with increased general translation when overexpressed in mammalian cells. (A) *DeIF6^{k13214}* mosaic analysis. (A-F) Wild type (Oregon R) wing margin and *DeIF6* mutant clones. (A and B) Wild type control anterior wing margin. (C and D) Wing margin clones induced in *Minute/DeIF6^{k13214}* flies according the crosses outlined in Materials and Methods. (E and F) Mutant clones induced along the wing margin by using UA*S-Flp*; *C96*-GAL4; FRT *DeIF6^{k13214}*/FRT *y+ pwn* flies. (D and F) Arrows and arrowheads indicate *pwn DeIF63214* homozygous mutant and heterozygous *Minute* (*M/pwn DeIF6^{k13214}*) tissues, respectively. Asterisks denote *y* twin cells and the "^" highlights heterozygous wild type bristles. **(B)** Ectopic embryonic *DeIF6* phenotypes. (A-B) Embryonic cuticle preparations in *TubGAL4/+* (A) and *Tub>DeIF6* (B) evidencing that DeIF6 gain of function is embryonic lethal. **(C-E)** eIF6 overexpression results in translation increase in mammalian cells. **(C)** Representative western blot showing the levels of DeIF6 expression in empty vector- and eIF6-pcDNA3.1 transfected cells. **(D)** SUnSET assay quantification of FACS analysis performed on HEK293T cells showing the same increase in general translation observed in *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs. Graph represents mean ± SD. Statistic applied was *t-test*, paired, two tails. **(E)** Dot plot of FACS analysis performed in HEK293T cells of overexpressing and control cells' populations.

S2 Fig. *GMR>DeIF6* eye imaginal discs retain cell identity and morphology. (A) *GMR>DeIF6* and *GMRGAL4/+* eye imaginal discs stained for eIF6 confirm the protein overexpression. **(B)** *GMR>DeIF6* and *GMRGAL4/+* eye imaginal discs stained for ELAV and Cut show that both neurons and cone cells preserve their identities. **(C)** *GMR>DeIF6* and *GMRGAL4/+* eye imaginal discs stained for Rough (R2-R5 marker) show the same pattern in both genotypes. Scale bar 50 µm.

S3 Fig. PCD is delayed when *DeIF6* gene dosage is increased. TUNEL assay on early (28h APF) **(A)** and mid-pupal (40h APF) stages **(B)** retinae indicate that PCD is blocked at these developmental stages when DeIF6 is overexpressed. Scale bar 50 µm.

S4 Fig. Cell number is altered in *GMR>DeIF6* retinae. (A) Comparison of cells number across two genotypes, *GMRGAL4/+* and *GMR>DeIF6*, shows that there is an increase in *GMR>DeIF6* with respect to control. 'Δ cells per ommatidium' refers to the number of cells gained or lost within a ommatidia (number of cells in hexagon divided by 3). Results in the third column represent the mean ± SD. **(B)** Late-pupal stage (72h APF) retinae stained for Armadillo, the *Drosophila* β-catenin homologue, showing that when DeIF6 is overexpressed cells around ommatidia are lost. Scale bar 10 µm.

S5 Fig. Increasing *DeIF6* gene dosage only in pigment cells or in cone cells results in a rough eye phenotype and in an aberrant morphology associated with a block in apoptosis (A) Overexpression of DeIF6 only in pigment cells results in rough eye phenotype. **(B)** Mid-pupal stage (40h APF) retinae of *spaGAL4/+* and

spa>DeIF6 genotypes stained for ELAV and CUT confirm that neural and cone cell

identity is retained, whereas cell arrangement is altered. **(C)** Mid-pupal stage (40h

APF) retinae of *spaGAL4/+* and *spa>DeIF6* genotypes stained for Dcp-1 confirm the

block in apoptosis already demonstrated in *GMR>DeIF6* flies. Scale bar 10 µm.

S6 Fig. RNASeq analysis reveals an upregulation in genes belonging to

ribosome biogenesis and chromosome organization gene sets and a strong

downregulation of genes related to 20-HydroxyEcdysone biosynthesis. (A)

Gene Set Association Analysis (GSAA) indicates a significative upregulation of

ribosomal machinery. Representative Enrichment Plots indicating a striking

upregulation of genes involved in rRNA Processing and Ribosome Biogenesis in

both *GMR>DeIF6* eye imaginal discs and *GMR>DeIF6* retinae with respect to their

controls (*GMRGAL4/+*). **(B)** 20-HydroxyEcdysone biosynthetic pathway scheme.

Genes involved in 20-HE biosynthesis are strongly downregulated in *GMR>DeIF6*

eye imaginal disc, with respect to control. **(C)** GSAA shows an upregulation of genes

related to chromosome organization gene set in *GMR>DeIF6* eye imaginal disc, with

respect to control.

A

Larva Pupa

555 67 173

↓ Ecdysone Biosynthesis
Ecdysteroid Metabolic Process
Lipid Biosynthetic Process

Compound Eye Photoreceptor ↑
Photoreceptor Cell Fate Commitment
Retinal Cell Programmed Cell Death

↑ Ribosome Biogenesis
Nucleolus
rRNA Processing
Large Ribosomal Subunit

B

Ecdysone Related Genes

Color Key
-2 0 1 2
Row Z-Score

dib
EcR
Fbp1
Fbp2
Lsp1alpha
Lsp1beta
Lsp1gamma
Pcp
sad
spr
tor
Eip63F-1
phm
Ets21C
Nos
Cp1
Npc1a
Hug
phamt
Sclp
CG9509
Npc2a
GstE14
Pig52F
ETHR
Npc2g
vvl
spok
nvd
mmy
sro
Spn42Da

GMR>DeIF6 GMRGAL4/+

C

PCD and Eye Differentiation

Color Key
-2 0 1 2
Row Z-Score

GMR>DeIF6 GMRGAL4/+

D

HDAC Activity

Arbitrary Units (x 1000)

***

GMRGAL4/+ GMR>DeIF6

E

40h APF

Dcp-1 DAPI MERGE

GMR>DeIF6 Treated

GMR>DeIF6 Untreated

F

20-HE TREATMENT

EYE SIZE (mm²)

**
***

GMRGAL4/+
GMRGAL4/+ 20HE
GMR>DeIF6
GMR>DeIF6 20HE

G

GMR>DeIF6, p35 GMR>DeIF6

H

GMR>DeIF6, p35 GMR>DeIF6 GMRGAL4/+ kDa

DeIF6 28

β-actin 42

Ratio 2.3 1.9 0.4

DeIF6 levels

Increased Translation

Transcriptional Rewiring

**Ecdysone
Biosynthesis**

HDAC activity → **Apoptosis**

A

GMRGAL4/+   GMR>DeIF6

B

kDa	GMR>DeIF6	GMRGAL4/+	
28			DeIF6
42			β-actin

C

2400x   5000x   10000x

GMRGAL4/+

GMR>DeIF6

D

GMRGAL4/+

GMR>DeIF6

E

iRIA

Arbitrary units

GMRGAL4/+   GMR>DeIF6

*

F

SUnSET assay

Fluorescence intensity

GMRGAL4/+   GMR>DeIF6

**

G

DeIF6   Puromycin   MERGE

GMRGAL4/+

GMR>DeIF6