Combined salinity and acidity stressors alter *Daphnia magna* population growth and

structure under prolonged absence of photoperiod

Mouhammad Shadi Khudr[1]¶, Samuel Alexander Purkiss[1]¶, Reinmar Hager[1]

[1] Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, The

University of Manchester, Michael Smith Building, M13 9PT, Manchester, UK

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Running title: Combined physico-chemical stress affect *Daphnia* fitness

Corresponding author   Reinmar Hager   Reinmar.Hager@manchester.ac.uk

Corresponding author   Mouhammad Shadi Khudr   scholia_1@tutanota.com

Statement of contribution

All authors wrote the article. **MSK** conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments,

analysed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, enriched and

approved the final draft. **SAP** contributed to the extension of the concept of the experiment, performed the

experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft. **RH** authored or reviewed

drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

Abstract

Daphnia represent a model keystone organism in the study of phenotypic plasticity and ecosystem health. Although natural and anthropogenic influences affect freshwater ecosystems globally at unprecedented levels, investigations of the effects of co-occurring physico-chemical stress on zooplankton phenotypic plasticity and population dynamics under disrupted photoperiod are surprisingly rare. The ability of *Daphnia* populations to reproduce, develop, and survive under these complex conditions remains largely unknown.

We exposed a laboratory-raised clonal population of *Daphnia magna* to different stress levels of acidity and/or salinity undergoing complete constant light or darkness over 30 days. Overall, in experiment 1, under 24h light, population size at day 10 day, considerably differed between specific stress conditions, with varying age structures. Nevertheless, all populations expanded compared to the starting population on day 1. On day 30, population size increased across treatments but showed significant differences between treatment groups in terms of population size and age structure. Surprisingly, *Daphnia* performed better under combined stress of salinity and acidity than under acidity alone as the extra salinity in the medium may have counterbalanced sodium loss caused by lower pH. Under complete darkness (experiment 2), significant mortality occurred by day 30 across all treatments, indicating a far more negative impact of constant light absence than presence on *D. magna* populations subject to chemical stress. Our findings reveal a considerable degree of differential reproductive and ontogenetic plasticity in response to combined light and environmental stressors that do not follow simple predictions about additive effects of individual stressors. Exposure to constant light led to increased population size, which may be a result of supercharged ion regulation that enables zooplankton to survive better under specific levels of chemical stress. Overall, the results of our work merit further molecular investigation of phenotypic plasticity of congeners across severe combined physical and chemical ecological stress conditions.

Introduction

Co-occurring chemical stressors

Natural and anthropogenic influences on aquatic ecosystems have increased the effects and occurrences of salinisation and acidification of freshwater ecosystems in a number of ways including seawater intrusions, mining, urbanisation and agricultural as well as industrial processes [1-5]. Daphnids are keystone cladoceran zooplankton and important models in the study of environmental stress and biodiversity in aquatic ecosystems [6]. Daphnids produce genetically identical daughters under temperate conditions through parthenogenesis. The genome of *Daphnia* may express different phenotypes in reaction to different environmental conditions; a phenomenon referred to as phenotypic plasticity [7]. Rapid responses to environmental stressors, such as ionic and osmotic regulation [8], which may be due to transgenerational epigenetic effects [9-10], are associated with high energetic costs [2,11], and may enable *Daphnia* to occupy a wider niche range compared to other fresh water inhabitants [12]. However, low pH levels have been shown to reduce zooplankton species richness and alter the structure of cladoceran populations [13-14], leading to diminished survival and growth of *D. magna* below pH 5 [15]. For example, *Daphnia* species are less abundant in acidified lakes compared to other arthropods (*e.g.*, calanoid copepods and insects), which may therefore dominate the ecosystem instead of *Daphnia* [2,16].

Like acidification, salinisation of aquatic habitats presents a key stressor of aquatic life. Some species, such as *Daphnia exilis* (Herrick) and *Daphnia pulex* (Leydig), can maintain a positive and constant osmolality difference with the environment at higher salinities via an osmoregulatory response [12]. In particular, *Daphnia magna* (Straus) is a generalist whose niche is defined by a much higher range of salinity tolerance than other aquatic *Daphnia* species. Populations of *D. magna* have been documented to inhabit both fresh and slightly salty waters [17], with strong evidence of their ability to adjust ion regulation (osmoregulation and osmoconformance) to cope with various levels of salinity [18]. This spans fresh to brackish waters, up to 10 gL^{-1}

salinity [19], with the upper limit of their tolerance depending on population life history and within species genetic variation [17,20, 21].

A further ecological challenge arises when changes in salinity may become coupled with water acidification [22]. For instance, osmoregulation in *Daphnia* is pH dependent as low pH is thought to inhibit sodium uptake and increase sodium loss much as it does in freshwater fish [21,22]. The negative effects of increased salinisation and acidification on freshwater zooplankton result from impairing the organism's osmoregulatory system; a compromised ion-regulation can lead to higher sensitivity to low pH, and the latter may alter tolerance to lethal and sub-lethal levels of salinity and *vice versa* [22]. Natural and anthropogenic causes lead to increasing levels of salinity and varying levels of acidity in many zooplankton habitats and thus increasingly create adverse conditions for these organisms [22]. This highlights the need to investigate the effects of co-occurring physical and chemical stressors on keystone species, such as *D. magna*, in freshwater ecosystems [23].

Lack of photoperiod as a novel environmental challenge

The duration and intensity of light exposure can have a strong influence on many organisms and research on zooplankton has studied effects on diapause [24,25]; egg hatching [26], circadian clock, diel vertical migration [27] and ontogenesis [28] in response to photoperiod and light quantity [29]. Somewhat surprisingly then, investigations of the effect of exposure to elevated light at night, constant light, or lack of light, on plastic responses of zooplankton in general, and *D. magna* in particular, has been overlooked for decades except for the area of diapause and sexual morph production [25], and alteration of their phototaxis [30]. The negative effects of too little or too much light extend beyond the direct effect on circadian rhythms. For instance, exposure to complete darkness for 7 days significantly reduced the survival of *Daphnia parvula* compared to exposure to 14:10 light/dark cycle by a mechanism that is not yet completely understood [31]. On the other end of the spectrum, testing zooplankton responses to constant light exposure remains unresolved.

Meyer & Sullivan (2013) demonstrated that ecological light pollution through increased

night lighting modifies community composition, structure, and characteristics and thus ecosystem

functioning via alteration of nutrient exchange and aquatic–terrestrial fluxes of invertebrates [32].

These altered fluxes may have cascading effects through riparian and aquatic food webs [33,34].

However, although a number of studies endeavoured to shed light on the effects of darkness and

light at night on the physiology, behaviour, growth and development, and fitness of a range of

aquatic organisms [34-36], the effect of lack of photoperiod, on daphnid phenotype, fitness and

survival is surprisingly poorly studied. To date, very little is known about those effects on

zooplankton [30,37] and their implications for ecosystems subject to light pollution which are

simultaneously vulnerable to salinisation and acidification. This also includes waters trapped

underground or in caves following storms, tsunamis, and floods [38-41, or in areas close to the

earth's polar circles [36,42] that experience both extended periods of Siberian and Nordic nights or

midnight sun (*e.g.,* [43]), while being subject to natural and man-made environmental changes.

These patterns and types of complex physico-chemical stress are predicted to lead to a

reduction in biodiversity, especially when accompanied by upsetting the thermal regimes in aquatic

and freshwater ecosystems because of climate change and environment modification (*e.g.,* arboreal

and riparian vegetation alteration) by humans [34,44,45]. There is a significant gap in current

knowledge about how the genotype of organisms in general, and zooplankton of economic

importance in particular, would respond, in terms of reproductions and development, to the absence

of photoperiod, let alone the combination of such physical stress with composite chemical stress

(salinisation and acidification of water). In this work, we exposed a clone of *D. magna* to different

stressors (salinity and/or acidity subject to constant exposure to light or dark conditions for 30 days

in two separate experiments). We sought to answer the following specific questions: First, how does

Daphnia reproductive success and age structure differ across varying levels of salinity and acidity,

and their combinations, under constant light exposure over time? Second, how do these traits vary in response to the effects of salinity and/or acidity under continuous darkness?

Our findings show that exposure to physico-chemical stress (absence of photoperiod combined with salinity and/or acidity) can differentially alter the reproductive success and age structure of the he zooplankton population over time.

Materials and Methods

Model organism

The *Daphnia magna* (Straus) clonal population used in this experiment was established from a single female sampled from a population purchased from Sciento©, Manchester UK. The resulting clonal population was reared in a growth chamber, at the Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, under a 16h:8h light/dark cycle at 23°C. Under these conditions, daphnids reproduce asexually by parthenogenesis resulting in a female-only population. The ability to endure a range of abiotic stressors or sensitivity to contaminants made daphnids a key model to study tolerance [2,46], phenotypic plasticity [47], and toxicity in aquatic environments [48].

Feeding occurred every two days by injecting a diet mix into the medium. The diet consisted of 1ml of 'Allinson dried active yeast' (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), purchased from a local store, and 2ml of alga *Scenedesmus quadricauda* (sourced from Sciento ©, Manchester UK) in Artificial *Daphnia* Medium (ADaM), *sensu* Ebert (2013) [49], at pH ~7 and 0.33 gL^{-1} salinity. One litre of ADaM consists of 0.33 g sea salt, 2.3 ml $CaCl_2$ x $2H_2O$ (117.6 gL^{-1}), 2.2 ml $NaHCO_3$ (2.52 gL^{-1}), and SeO_2 (0.007 gL^{-1}), all dissolved and homogenised in ultra-pure deionised Milli-Q water.

Experimental design

Daphnia magna population size (total number of daphnids in the beaker at each census, as a proxy of reproductive success) and the proportion of juveniles relative to the total population in the beaker per census (age structure, hereafter) were examined. The experimental conditions were based on

extensive pilot studies during which we established different levels of pH and salinity that were lethal and sub-lethal to our clone of *D. magna* [47]. The pH treatment levels were 5, 5.5 and 6 and the salinity treatment levels (plus the above-mentioned ADaM salinity) were 1.33, 3.33, and 6.33 gL^{-1}. The investigation of salinity and/or acidity stressors, as detailed below, was done in two separate experiments of exposure to physical stress, *i.e.,* lack of photoperiod (constant light or constant darkness). The negative control for all parts of the work was the standard ADaM with optimal conditions (photoperiod of 16h light:8h darkness, salinity of 0.33 gL^{-1}, and pH = ~7).

We used Sigma Aldrich (USA) 'sea salts', and distilled acetic acid (Sarson's ©) procured from a local supplier. To lower the pH in the treatment conditions the acetic acid was added drop-wise to the medium in the beaker and measured continuously using a 'Mettler Toledo™ FE20 FiveEasy™ benchtop pH meter' until the desired pH was reached. Here, acetic acid provided a practical way of acidifying the volume of water in question. Acetic acid can have extensive effects on the metabolism of living organisms and it can be found in nature and also close to anthropogenic activity such as bioethanol industry [50], pond preparation [51], as a product of anaerobic activity associated with biological decay [52], waste decomposition and processing [53], biodegradation of petroleum and hydrocarbon contaminants [54,55], ocean water, oilfield waters, and both cloud and rain waters [56-60]. Furthermore, adjusting water pH using vinegar is applied in studies on the effects of complex natural organic acids on larval stages of animals (*e.g.,* amphibians [61]). Additionally, the application of vinegar is commonplace in fish farming to neutralise water alkalinity or increase water acidity, owing to proton donation into the aqueous solution ($CH3COOH_{(aq)} \rightleftharpoons CH3COO^-_{(aq)} + H^+$).

The salinity conditions were achieved by dissolving the correct weight of sea salts in the beaker using a magnetic stirrer. All experimental beakers were kept in a dedicated growth chamber at 23°C. Further, there were two light regimes: constant light (24h), and constant complete darkness (24h).

In the first experiment, when photoperiod was lacking (constant light exposure), we randomly selected 329 age-synchronised early nymphs from the stock clone reared in the above-mentioned optimal conditions for 20 generations, and allocated from this population 7 nymphs to 9 different treatment groups (with 5 replicates each) plus a negative control (the standard ADaM under 16h:8h light/dark cycle) and a positive control (the standard ADaM under 24h:0h light/dark cycle). The treatment groups were as follows: Salinity1, Salinity2, Salinity3, Acidity1, Acidity2, Acidity3, Salinity1 & Acidity1, Salinity2 & Acidity2, Salinity3 & Acidity3). Our pilot study showed that survival was extremely low under severe experimental conditions as the exposed *Daphnia* clone was not trained/acclimated prior to the exposure. This was done to imitate abrupt as well as extreme environmental change. By Day 10 of the experiment, due to high mortality under severe conditions, we ended up with 26 beakers as follows: *Salinity1* [1.33 gL^{-1} , 3 replicates], *Salinity2* [3.33 gL^{-1} , 3 replicates], *Salinity3* [6.33 gL^{-1} , 2 replicates], *Acidity1* [pH 6 , 3 replicates], *Acidity2* [pH 5.5 , 3 replicates], *Acidity3* [pH 5 , 2 replicates], *Salinity1 & Acidity1* [3 replicates], *Salinity2 & Acidity2* [3 replicates], and *Salinity3 & Acidity3* [2 replicates]) plus the controls. The beakers (12.5 cm x 10 cm x 10 cm) were cuboid and transparent, each filled with 600 ml of adjusted ADaM. We randomised the positions of the beakers within the growth chamber upon every feeding time.

Daylight LED bulbs (2800 K Warmwhite 230VAC [NorthLight] purchased from Clas Ohlson ©, Manchester, UK) were used and the light in the growth chamber was surrounding all sides except the base of each beaker, which was placed on a white base. The bulb is a daylight mimic emitting warm soft yellowish light of more than 1100 lumens, which is equivalent to a typical overcast midday in the UK and northern Europe. A 75% media change was conducted weekly, in the light exposed *Daphnia* only, to refresh ADaM.

In the second experiment (complementary to experiment 1), when photoperiod was lacking (constant absence of light), we repeated the above-mentioned 9 stress combinations plus a positive

control (the standard ADaM under 0h:24h light/dark cycle), with 7 early nymphs in the beaker, and 5 replicates per treatment combination. This resulted in 210 daphnids compartmentalised into the combinations under 24h darkness conditions. We also used the negative control defined above as reference frame. To ensure complete darkness, each beaker was completely double-wrapped with foil, with an obscured sealable opening for feeding with a pipette every other day. The media was not changed during the course of this part of the work.

Analysis of *Daphnia* traits

All statistical analyses were conducted in R [62] via RStudio [63]. The data were collected on Day 10 and Day 30 in the 24h light experiment and on day 30 for the 24h darkness experiment.

Experiment 1: Constant light (24h)

A generalised mixed effects model (GLMM), family 'Poisson', model optimiser 'bobyqa' [64], R packages 'lme4' [65], was applied to test *Daphnia* population size (as defined above) throughout the experiment duration (GLMM 1). There were two censuses. The census (count day) was randomised. This was followed by a posteriori test (Tukey), R package 'multcomp' [66], to examine multiple pairwise comparisons of the stressors in question. The explanatory variable (fixed effects) was stressor (salinity, acidity, salinity & acidity) under 24h light comprising the following levels: Baseline = positive control , *Salinity1* was 1.33 gL^{-1}, *Salinity2* was 3.33 gL^{-1}, and *Salinity3* was 6.33 gL^{-1}; *Acidity1* was pH 6, *Acidity2* was pH 5.5, and *Acidity3* was pH 5; *Salinity1 & Acidity1*, *Salinity2 & Acidity2*, and *Salinity3 & Acidity3*. Due to high mortality over time, several treatment combinations and/or replicates were omitted from the analysis. In other words, treatments/replicates without *Daphnia* on Day10 were excluded.

Another model (GLMM 2) was used to analyse age structure (the proportion of juveniles to total daphnid numbers in the beaker per census) with the same set up as above. Besides the model

summaries, the main effects of both models are respectively shown via analysis of deviance by

using the Anova (Type II) command in R, package 'car' [67].

Experiment 2: Constant darkness (24h)

Data collection for this part of the experiment took place only at Day 30 because we could not count

the *Daphnia* without exposing them to light and it was essential to eliminate all exposure to light

during this part of the work. The same data were collected: *Daphnia* population size and age

structure. As so few daphnids were able to survive the extreme conditions to the 30-day data

collection, we provide descriptive statistics only.

Results and Discussion

Lack of photoperiod (constant light, 24h), acidity and/or salinity

Daphnia population size and age structure

Under constant light, 24h, *Daphnia* population size was significantly affected by overall

physicochemical stress treatment ($X^2 = 1117.3$, Df = 9, P <0.0001), and specifically by each of the

stress levels as follows: *Salinity1* (**P < 0.0001**), *Salinity2* (**P < 0.0001**), *Salinity3* (**P = 0.03**),

Acidity1 (**P < 0.0001**), *Acidity2* (**P < 0.0001**), Acidity3 (**P < 0.0001**), *Salinity1 & Acidity1* (**P <**

0.0001), *Salinity2 & Acidity2* (**P = 0.047**), *Salinity3 & Acidity*3 (**P < 0.0001**); (Fig 1); there were 35

daphnids on Day 10 in the negative control and 16 daphnids in the positive control, on Day 30 there

were 119 daphnids in the negative control and 328 daphnids in the positive control, Supporting

Information (Tables S1, S2, and S3) for multiple pairwise contrasts of the stress levels).

Fig 1. *Daphnia* **population sizes and age structure under chemical stress in the absence of photoperiod (constant light).** The bars illustrate the changes in *Daphnia* population (mean of total daphnid numbers in the beaker per treatment) ± SEM. The bar parts display the rounded proportions of juveniles against adults relative to the beaker population (*Daphnia* age structure). There were two counts on Day 10 and Day 30. The conditions were as follows: constant light (24h day:0h night), *Salinity1* [1.33 gL⁻¹ , 3 replicates], *Salinity2* [3.33 gL⁻¹ , 3 replicates], *Salinity3* [6.33 gL⁻¹ , 2 replicates], *Acidity1* [pH 6 , 3 replicates], *Acidity2* [pH 5.5 , 3 replicates], *Acidity3* [pH 5 , 2 replicates], *Salinity1 & Acidity1* [3 replicates], *Salinity2 & Acidity2* [3 replicates], and *Salinity3 & Acidity3* [2 replicates]); Sal = Salinity, Acid = Acidity, Sal & Acid = Salinity & Acidity.

Compared to Day 1, overall population sizes were considerably larger on Day 10 under stress combinations; notable examples of average percentage of increase/decrease were as follows: *Salinity1* (495% larger population), *Salinity3* (279% larger); *Acidity1* (176% larger), *Acidity2* (5%

smaller); *Salinity1 & Acidity1* (362% larger); *Salinity2 & Acidity2* (67% larger), (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Table S4). Comparing population sizes on Day 30 to Day 10 reveals that *D. magna* was able to dramatically increase population size over time. However, this differed in magnitude contingent on the population ability to respond to different stress scenarios, with the highest increases displayed under combined stress of *Salinity2 & Acidity2* (2400% larger), followed by *Acidity2* (1865% larger), *Acidity3* (1030% larger) and *Salinity2* (965% larger), contrary to the smallest increases reported under *Salinity1* (144% larger) and *Acidity1* (171% larger). The highest level of combined stress, *Salinity3 & Acidity3*, corresponded with remarkable 352% larger population size. Further, compared to the population at Day 1, the maximal population size, by Day 30, was reached in the combined stress of *Salinity2 & Acidity2* (4067% larger), and (3764% larger) in *Salinity3*. By contrast, the minimal population increase, by Day 30, was observed under *Acidity1* (648% larger) followed by combined stress of *Salinity3 & Acidity3* (857% larger), Supporting Information (Table S4).

In the short run, acidity stress had a clear negative impact on *Daphnia* population size markedly in *Acidity3* (Fig 1). The poor performance up to Day 10 is attributable to what is known as pH shock [68] characterised by rapid harmful change in acidity from the culture's semi-neutral pH to the severe experimental acidic conditions. The pH levels of the current study strongly limited *Daphnia* reproductive success and resulted in delayed development to maturity (see age structure below). But, *Daphnia* were able to acclimate to a degree to acidity as the population showed variable resilience through time. By Day 30, the population emphatically recovered in *Acidity3*, but the population was almost completely juvenile. *Daphnia* numbers burgeoned in *Acidity2* (mostly juvenile population), whilst the margins of population growth and juvenility were comparatively smaller in *Acidity1* (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Table S4). This indicates that the surviving daphnids were more reproductive at pH 5.5 (*Acidity2*) over time than at pH 6 (*Acidity1*) and pH 5 (*Acidity3*). Our findings are in line with the observations documented by Weber & Pirow (2009) in

Daphnia pulex, reporting that tolerance and acclimation at pH 5.5 can be high and plausibly be linked to an induced compensatory active ion transport at this particular level of acidity, owing to *Daphnia*'s ability to lower sodium efflux and increase its influx [2]. It has been shown that in the long run, exposure to pH 5.5 may correspond with better survival and reproduction rates than exposure to pH 5, as values less than 5 can lead to extinction of *Daphnia* [15].

Low pH levels are known to reduce survival and growth in *D. magna* due to sodium deficiency [21,22], a sharp decline in respiratory rates, oxygen uptake depression, and impairment of ion-regulation [15,69]. On the one hand, levels of free-form carbon dioxide (CO_2) in the surroundings of *Daphnia* concomitantly increase in acidified freshwater, *e.g.*, due to acid spills and acid rain akin to the pH levels tested in this work. At pH 6 the level of free-form CO_2 is usually more than 60% and at pH 4 this rises to 100% [69]. As such, an external increase in water acidity accompanied by abundant CO_2 will disturb the internal acid-base balance in *Daphnia;* a degree of haemolymph acidosis that occurs interdependently with decreased CO_2 diffusion through the gills leading to impeded oxygenation [2], in accordance with the Bohr effect [69]. In fact, CO2-dependent freshwater acidification is a major new challenge for zooplankton; shown by Weiss et al. (2018) to lead to impairment of *Daphnia* detection of predators with consequences for the entire freshwater ecosystem [70]. Those impacts may worsen when 'acidified' saltwater intrudes the land by rising sea levels [71,72].

On the other hand, sodium uptake and osmoregulation in *Daphnia* becomes rapidly destabilised and compromised in acidified water [2,73,74]. The consequence of the above complex interdependence for the functioning of the organism will be energetically costly due to hyper-metabolism caused by increased stress [2]. Daphnids, therefore, may survive the acidity stress dependent on their acidity sensitivity/tolerance [2,74,75]. In sum, daphnid acid-sensitivity and shortage of ambient calcium in acidified water [76] with subsequent sodium deficit [2], oxidative stress, increased maintenance under stress [8], and the resulting costly bioenergetics [2,11] were the

likely reasons behind the poor daphnid performance under the acidity levels of the current work. These findings contribute to our understanding of the damaging effects of low pH levels on aquatic systems that are presently vulnerable to constant change worldwide [5,45]. Like changes in ion concentration in water [5,77], freshwater acidification is caused by multiple natural and anthropogenic, direct and indirect, short- and long-term causes [78-80], *e.g.,* acid rain [81] alongside with organic acids from land catchments and acid deposition on a local scale [78], pond draining [82] and drainage waters particularly in areas with soils originated from granite or weathering-resistant mineral aggregates [77,83], atmospheric and soil deposition of sulphur [78,80, 84], land use and management policies [80,83], afforestation [80] and mobilisation of acid anions, via heavy rain, following forest fires and draughts [80,85-87], use of nitrogen-based fertilisers [88], melt of snow rich in sulphuric and nitric compounds [81,83,84], and increased levels of carbon dioxide and pCO_2 in water [70,89,90]. Indeed, water acidification are projected to lead to alarming ecological consequences overtime [70-72,75,89-91].

For each level of comparison, the differences in population sizes between salinity and acidity stressors were large but then decreased over time; for the contrast *Salinity2 vs Acidity2* the margin of difference between Day 10 (55% larger in salinity) and Day 30 (16% smaller in salinity) is nearly twofold, whilst the difference margin for the contrasts *Salinity1 vs Acidity1* or *Salinity3 vs Acidity3* between Day10 and Day 30 is evidently smaller, Supporting Information (Table S5). Overall, *Daphnia* population size was notably larger under each level of salinity stress compared to acidity (Day 10: 116%, 55%, and 430%, respectively) and on Day 30 (94%, 16%, and 379%, respectively). Thus, it is clear that salinity was far less damaging to the population than acidity. This relates to halotolerance in *D. magna* [92] which may have contributed to resilience in the *Daphnia* lineage to the severity of salinity over time in this experiment. However, as freshwater salinisation significantly contributes to biodiversity loss and alteration of ecosystem functioning [93,94], acute and elevated levels of salinity can be detrimental to *Daphnia* [92].

Both above and below ground freshwater resources are threatened around the globe by

elevated levels of salinity within and away from coastal regions due to primary (natural) and

secondary (anthropogenic) causes [95]. This includes runoff associated with road-treating salts with

consequent groundwater salinisation [93,96], hydrological alterations of waterways and changes in

water quality [4,97,98], mining and agriculture [4], and movement of saltwater inland following

storm surges, flash floods, rising sea level, and tsunamis [38-41]. For example, the consequences of

tsunamis may extend to include the salinisation of coastal/inland fresh surface water, groundwater,

and aquifers by means of intrusion, infiltration, and inundation [39,99,100]. It should be noted that

our knowledge of both ecosystem and organism responses to alterations of water ionic quality and

strength is not comprehensive [4,101] although increased salt toxicity is predicted to intensify

[4,102,103].

Under normal photoperiods, water salinisation negatively affects the performance and

survival of aquatic organisms due to osmotic stress and ion cytotoxicity [46]. Osmotic stress occurs

when the salt concentration of the environment is higher than the intracellular salt concentration and,

as a result, water moves out of the cells. By contrast, cytotoxicity refers to the disruption of the

hydrophobic and electrostatic forces that maintain the structure of proteins and can inhibit the

normal functioning of enzymes [46]. Our findings show that *Daphnia* was prolific under the highest

level of salinity (*Salinity3*) on Day 30 with a population size ~169% larger than the observation

under *Salinity*1, and ~161% larger than that under *Salinity2* (Fig 1) and Supporting Information

(Table S4). This can be attributed to *Daphnia*'s ability to acclimate to a range of salinity levels by

altering their osmotic and ionic regulation when water acidity and salinity levels are sub-lethal [8].

To our surprise, the effect of the chemical stressors under constant-light stress led to

unexpected results. *Daphnia magna* showed the same or greater population growth under combined

salinity and acidity. On Day10, there was greater growth in 1 (*vs Salinity2*) out of 3 scenarios

compared to salinity alone; and in 3 out of 3 compared to acidity alone. On Day 30, the population size under combined salinity and acidity size was larger in 1 (*vs Salinity2*) out of 3 scenarios compared to salinity, and in 2 out of 3 compared to acidity (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Table S6). In contrast to our prediction, across all treatment groups, population size was the largest in the combined stress, *Salinity2 & Acidity2*. In this group, there were on average 291.66 individuals, generated from as little as 12 individuals on Day 10. The value of ~292 individuals on Day 30 was 165% and 123%, respectively, larger than what was achieved by *D. magna* in *Salinity2* and *Acidity2*. Further, the population size under combined stress, *Salinity2 & Acidity2*, was respectively ~8%, ~187%, ~416%, ~457%, ~201%, and ~335% larger than what were achieved in *Salinity3*, *Salinity1*, *Acidity3*, *Acidity1*, *Salinity1 & Acidity1*, and *Salinity3 & Acidity3*; see (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Table S4). As highlighted above, the uptake of sodium is reduced in acidic conditions as sodium uptake takes place in exchange for hydrogen ions [73]. Moreover, the cited authors found that the rate of sodium loss, in their *Daphnia magna* isolates, increased fourfold between pH 7 and pH 3, and suggested that the increased loss and reduced uptake of sodium could lead to death by sodium loss, especially when the concentrations of environmental sodium were low [73]. This might explain why *D. magna* in *Salinity2 & Acidity2* obviously outperformed the reproductive success in *Salinity1 & Acidity1* and *Salinity3 & Acidity3*. This could be associated with the effects of the following factors: First, the ability of *D. magna* to regulate osmolarity in the face of external ionic challenge is a result of the remarkable capacity of this organism to counterbalance the effects of salinity beyond 5 gL^{-1} by switching from osmoregulation to osmoconformance which enabled daphnids to cope with increased salinisation [12,47,104]. Our results clearly demonstrate that the largest population sizes were generally under osmoregulation in the short run; that was the case, as well, in the long run for combined stress *Salinity2 & Acidity2*; but then there was a shift to osmoconformance in *Salinity3,* which increased survival and reproduction in the longer term (Day 30). The second factor is tied to halotolerance as over time *D.*

magna may acclimate to the upper boundary of salinity, just below lethal levels contingent on the acidity of the system. Such effects may occur within the 30-day period of our study. The third is the capacity of the daphnid genotype to rapidly respond to ecological challenge and precondition the phenotype of the offspring through adapting different strategies [9,10,105]. This ensures prioritising energy allocation towards reproduction as opposed to growth and survival under stress [11,94]. The fourth is an under-examined side effect of constant light exposure on the onset of increased *Daphnia* reproduction under certain levels of salinity and/or acidity stress that are considered otherwise unfavourable for exponential reproduction. Light pollution effects, in terms of artificial light, sky glow and interference with daylight-night-time patterns, which increasingly affect aquatic ecosystems and ecological interactions [34,106], are becoming a serious concern associated with urbanisation and industrialised zones with increasing human population around the globe [30,34,107-110]. The far-reaching effects of extended light exposure [34] may not only lead to changes in vital phototactic behaviours with disruptive impacts on the aquatic system [30,34], but may also extend to how zooplankton respond to a cocktail of stressors [77,111].

Further, the combined effect of salinity and acidity under constant light on population sizes in our work was more severe than each of the individual stressors on Day 10. However, by Day 30, the combined stress effect became more than additive for level 3 of salinity or acidity, considerably less than additive for level 2, and almost equal to the total effect of salinity for level 1 (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Table S6). This is in line with the findings documented by Zalizniak et al. (2009) who experimented on low pH effect on lethal and sub-lethal salinity tolerance in macro-invertebrates [22]. They found that abundant ambient salinity may offset the negative effect of acidity perhaps through exchanging the excess protons in the haemolymph with sodium. In our study, however, the fact that population size at *Salinity3 & Acidity3* was larger than the population size under *Acidity3*, at the first census by 11% then smaller by the same percentage at the second census, but in both cases smaller than under salinity alone, is partly in accord with the common

view that increased acidity should aggravate salinity effects [22] and may be very well linked to the physical-chemical process of response to combined stress associated with constant exposure to light through a mechanism that is still nebulous. This becomes more intriguing given the fact that higher sodium concentration in the ambient medium can aid sodium turnover [22]. Overall, our results corroborate the view advocated by Altshuler et al. (2011) that a comprehensive understanding of the combined effects of multiple stressors will require the specific assessment of the effects of these stressors than only individually examining the effects of each stressor [23]. Further, a causative relationship between physico-chemical stressors may exist as changes in one stressor can lead changes in other stressors, *e.g.*, freshwater salinisation syndrome [4,77,112]. Hence, one could argue that complex toxic effects of stressor interactions may underlie our results. To date, a comprehensive understanding of the physiological responses to ionic changes (alone and accompanied with pH changes) is still developing [4,103].

Under lack of photoperiod (constant light, 24h), *Daphnia* juvenile proportions were significantly affected by overall physicochemical stress treatment ($X^2 = 119.89$, Df = 9, **P <0.0001**), and specifically only by the following stress levels: *Acidity2* (**P = 0.035**) and *Acidity3* (**P < 0.0001**); (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Table S7) for details, and Table S8 for multiple pairwise contrasts of the stress levels). There was an abrupt decrease in adult proportion from 67% adults on Day 10 to 0% on Day 30 in *Acidity3*, and a sharp drop from 46% to 20% in *Salinity2*. Interestingly, only in 2 out of all the 10 stress treatments were there more adults than juveniles on Day 10 with respect to *Acidity2* (63% adults) and *Acidity3* (67% adults); this was markedly reversed on Day 30 as significantly more juveniles were recorded then under each of these stress scenarios, (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Table S9). Conversely, only two cases of the remainder (7 scenarios out of 10), *Salinity1* and combined stress *Salinity1 & Acidity1*, led to noticeable increases in adults on Day 30 compared to Day 10, (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Table S9). Moreover, it is worth pointing out that the proportions of juveniles (84%) and adults (16%), on Day 30 under constant

light for *Salinity1*, *Acidity1*, and combined stress *Salinity2 & Acidity2*, were identical despite the very different stress conditions in these treatments, and the respective differences in average population size at Day 30 (~102 individuals, ~52 individuals, and ~292 individuals, respectively). However, overall, there were more adults on Day 10 in the acidity than in the salinity levels. In contrast, the opposite was observed on Day 30 except for *Salinity1 vs Acidity1* where the adult proportions were identical irrespective of the larger population size achieved under *Salinity1*, (Fig 1) and Supporting Information (Tables S4 and S9).

Daphnia showed the greatest resilience under *Salinity2*, *Salinity3*, *Acidity2* (pH 5.5), and combined stress *Salinity2 & Acidity2* as the population increased from means of (~10, ~27, ~7, and ~12 individuals, respectively) on Day 10 to means of (110, 271, 131, and 292, individuals, respectively) on Day 30, with large proportions of juveniles. Having a prolonged juvenile period seemed to help *D. magna* in coping, as a form of resilience. Note that by Day 30 under the highest level of water acidification in *Acidity3* the entire population consisted of juveniles. However, such resilience was less apparent at Day 30 in *Acidity1* and combined stress, *Salinity1 & Acidity1*, as more adults were found there in the population. At any rate, there were more juveniles with delayed maturation when the photoperiod was lacking (constant light), but generally the population on Day 30 was more juvenile under acidity stress than salinity or the combination of both stressors. This corresponds with the findings reported by De Coen & Janssen (2003) [113] that exposure to sub-lethal chemical stressors might cause a decreased 'net energy budget' in *D. magna,* which means that the physiological energetics of the stressed daphnids will be shifted more towards costly compensatory metabolic mechanisms [11], such as respiration and exoskeleton formation [76] rather than growth and reproduction. This view is supported by Glover & Wood (2005) who suggested that *D. magna* sensitivity to acidic environments can be explained by a dependency of sodium influx on sodium-proton exchange system and co-occurrent remineralisation of the exoskeleton [74]. Acidic media (with low levels of sodium and calcium), accompanied by

exhausted respiration and ion-regulation, may slow metamorphosis, which occurs approximately twice per week in optimal conditions. In turn, this may benefit *D. magna* in mitigating the flux deficit of essential minerals with less allocation of minerals to re-mineralise the exoskeleton. Such a survival strategy of more investment in longevity may be advantageous until conditions become more hospitable [11]. Furthermore, the rates of sodium turnover in *Daphnia* are high, when compared to those of other fresh water animals. However, the rate of sodium turnover is related to their small size and greater surface area to volume ratio [73], which is even larger in juveniles than adults [73]. Moreover, Na^+ uptake in *D. magna* is ATP-dependent (Na^+/K^+ pump), which is different in juveniles compared to adults due to developmentally dependent biochemical differences [114]. The affinity constant for sodium transport is much lower in adults, and juveniles have a lower affinity for sodium, which is counterbalanced by a higher maximum capacity of sodium uptake [114]. It can be argued that delayed maturation is a plastic trait governed by maternal preconditioning of offspring phenotype [9,10,105], akin to diapause, *i.e.*, resting [28], which will favour survival rather than reproduction in adverse environmental conditions. This may be beneficial when accompanied by the ability of the preconditioned neonates to alter their ionic and osmotic regulation [8]. In this respect, delay of reproduction for the advantage of investment in energy accumulation to be available for sequent reproduction when the severity of conditions lessens, has been shown as a survival tactic by *Daphnia* on deficient/limited resources [115,116]. However, these survival strategies may be context-dependent, clone-specific [17] and again affected by transgenerational effects [9,10]. Overall, the excess ambient amount of Na^+ in combined stress (*Salinity2 & Acidity2* and *Salinity3 & Acidity3*) could have played a vital role in mitigating the negative impact of acidification on exhausting the haemolymph content of sodium [74]. This could also explain the high mortality in acidity alone treatments in our study. Both weak and strong acidification are known to directly and indirectly negatively affect the physiology, fitness, and

survival of freshwater biota [70,117] and thus leading to mortality and biodiversity loss [75,118],

especially for taxa such as *Daphnia* that are sensitive to pH changes [74,75].

Lack of photoperiod (constant darkness, 24h), acidity and/or salinity

Exposure to the same salinity and acidity levels, but under 24-hour darkness, caused all but very

few individuals to survive to the 30-Day census. The only treatment in the 24-hour dark phase

where individuals in all three replicates survived was *Salinity1*; the average population increased by

only 43% at Day 30 in comparison with Day 1. The negative effects of constant darkness were

further pronounced in the treatments *Acidity1* and combined stress *Salinity1 & Acidity1*; only one

replicate in each contained surviving daphnids on Day 30 with their population changes being a

decrease of 95% (the population comprised only 0.33 individuals on average) and an increase of

48% (the population comprised only 10.33 individuals on average), respectively, Supporting

Information (Table S10).

Under constant darkness, we made three major observations. First, the population under

Salinity1 with adult majority (56% of the population), was ~90% smaller than it was under constant

light where juveniles made up the majority (84%), Supporting Information (Table S10). Second, the

population under *Acidity1* was ~99% smaller than its counterpart treatment in constant light, and

contained no adult individuals. And third, the population under combined stress *Salinity1 &*

Acidity1, which had similar proportions of adults and juveniles, was ~89% smaller than its more

juvenile counterpart treatment in constant light. This suggests that the lack of photoperiod may have

a significant impact on the ability to tolerate and survive chemical stressors in *D. magna.* Survival

in the darkness was associated with a specific single level of salinity, acidity, and their combination,

hinting at certain thresholds beyond which *D. magna* seemed to fail to survive in the dark.

Moreover, the effects of chemical stressors under constant light are clearly less negative than those

under constant darkness. Our findings receive support from Connelly et al. (2016) asserting that

poor or abnormal light conditions can compromise *Daphnia* survival [31]. Furthermore, amid the

variety of adverse effects of freshwater acidification [70,75], disruption of olfactory and

chemosensory perception, which are crucial especially under low light conditions, has been shown

to result in maladaptive response to conspecific and heterospecific cues by aquatic organisms [5,81].

Notably, the ADaM medium in this experiment did not show any signs of foulness or turbidity in

any of the beakers. This suggests that there were no major negative effects of decaying organic

material behind the observed high mortality after the 30 day-spell of constant darkness. However,

the lack of water exchange, mimicking entrapment in water in cavities or underground, might have

led to poorer water quality that could explain the extremely low survival in the dark. Nevertheless,

our results imply that specific levels of chemical stress might have contributed to the ability of

small parts of the population to survive as all daphnids failed to survive onstant darkness that was

the only stressor applied. Finally, we observed no egg production under any conditions although

daphnids are known to produce diapausing eggs during periods of environmental stress induced by

natural and anthropogenic factors (*e.g.*, [104,119]). This further suggests that our results may be

reflective of plasticity found in *Daphnia magna* [47,120]; Supporting Information (*Note 1*) for

further information.

All individuals used in our experiments were genetically identical as they came from a

single mother and comprised the stock culture of the parthenogenetic clone. It is plausible, therefore,

that the variable population dynamics and age structure across treatments can be characterised by

within-clone ecological differentiation [17] arising from stress-induced phenotypic plasticity of the

particular *D. magna* genotype used here [7,20], but see evidence provided by Jose et al. (2009) who

found only small differences in the metabolic capacity across different clones of *Daphnia pulex* in

response to pH and thermal treatments [121]. We, nevertheless, speculate that different clones with

different life histories or communities of mixed clones, or colonies with sexual morphs, would

show differential responses as governed by the contexts they are embedded in, their tolerance, and their life histories [17,20,21].

Conclusion

The extent to which freshwater ecosystems are now exposed to environmental stress is unprecedented and whilst the effects of individual or pairs of stressors are well studied, few studies have explored the effects of abnormal photoperiods on the survival and population dynamics of aquatic organisms challenged by variable levels of salinisation, acidification and their combinations. This work is the first to demonstrate that exposure to physical stress (constant light) combined with chemical stresses (salinity and/or acidity) can differentially alter *Daphnia* population growth and age structure over time. Our findings, therefore, warrant further research on phenotypic plasticity (reproductive and ontogenetic) of important extremely plastic organisms in response to extreme conditions. Specific stress combinations counter-intuitively led to thriving populations, and delayed maturity was also widely observed. In the long run, *under constant light, D. magna may* considerably acclimate more to severe salinisation and acidification and their combination. By contrast, constant darkness had a much greater negative impact on population growth than constant light with differential effects on age structure. Our findings are a first step to a more comprehensive understanding of how aquatic organisms adapt to complex chemical conditions caused by anthropogenic activities, under prolonged light/night periods. Exploration of the metabolomic regulation of uptake and efflux of haemolymph ions needs to be the next step to test the organism's response and adaptability under combinations of multiple physical and chemical stressors.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alice de Sampaio Kalkuhl for her help in the maintenance of the system and in data collection.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Data Availability: The data analysed in this work are available at the figshare data repository via

the URL: https://figshare.com/s/34d8a98c279b2dc2cb81

References

1. Hall C, Burns C. Mortality and growth responses of *Daphnia carinata* to increases in

temperature and salinity. *Freshw. Biol.* 2002; 47: 451-458. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2427.2002.00815.x

2. Weber A, Pirow R. Physiological responses of *Daphnia pulex* to acid stress. *BMC Physiol.* 2009;

9: 9. doi: 10.1186/1472-6793-9-9

3. Hoomehr S, Akinola A, Wynn-Thompson T, Garnand W, Eick M. Water temperature, pH, and

road salt impacts on the fluvial erosion of cohesive streambanks. *Water* 2018; 10: 302. doi:

10.3390/w10030302

4. Cañedo-Argüelles M, Kefford B, Schäfer R. Salt in freshwaters: causes, effects and prospects -

introduction to the theme issue. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 2019; 374: p.20180002.

doi: 10.1098/rstb.2018.0002

5. Ross E, Behringer D. Changes in temperature, pH, and salinity affect the sheltering responses of

Caribbean spiny lobsters to chemosensory cues. *Sci. Rep.* 2019; 9. doi:

10.1038/s41598-019-40832-y

6. Gannon J, Stemberger R. Zooplankton (especially Crustaceans and Rotifers) as indicators of

water quality. *Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc.* 1978; 97: 16-35. doi: 10.2307/3225681

7. West-Eberhard M. *Developmental plasticity and evolution.* Oxford: Oxford University Press;

2003.

8. Baillieul M, Selens M, Blust R. Scope for growth and fitness of *Daphnia magna* in

salinity-stressed conditions. *Funct. Ecol.* 1996; 10: 227-233. doi: 10.2307/2389847

9. Youngson N, Whitelaw E. Transgenerational epigenetic effects. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum.*

Genet. 2008; 9: 233-257. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genom.9.081307.164445

10. Vandegehuchte M, Lemière F, Vanhaecke L, Berghe W, Janssen C. Direct and

transgenerational impact on *Daphnia magna* of chemicals with a known effect on DNA

methylation. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2010; 151: 278-285. doi:

10.1016/j.cbpc.2009.11.007

11. Rivera-Ingraham G, Lignot J. Osmoregulation, bioenergetics and oxidative stress in coastal

marine invertebrates: raising the questions for future research. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2017; 220: 1749-1760.

doi: 10.1242/jeb.135624

12. Heine-Fuster I, Vega-Retter C, Sabat P, Ramos-Jiliberto R. Osmoregulatory and demographic

responses to salinity of the exotic cladoceran *Daphnia exilis*. *J. Plankton Res.* 2010; 32: 1405-1411.

doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbq055

13. Locke A, Sprules W. Effects of acidic pH and phytoplankton on survival and condition of

Bosmina longirostris and *Daphnia pulex*. *Hydrobiologia* 2000; 437: 187-196. doi:

10.1023/A:102656310

14. Pollard H, Colbourne J, Wendel K. Reconstruction of centuries-old *Daphnia* communities in a

lake recovering from acidification and metal contamination. *Ambio* 2003; 32: 214-218. doi:

10.1579/0044-7447-32.3.214

15. Ghazy M, Habashy M, Mohammady E. Effects of pH on Survival, Growth and Reproduction

Rates of The Crustacean, *Daphnia magna*. *Aust. J. Basic. Appl. Sci.* 2011; 5: 1-10.

16. Brönmark C, Hansson L. *The biology of ponds and lakes*. Oxford: Oxford University Press;

1998.

17. Teschner M. Effects of salinity on the life history and fitness of *Daphnia magna*: variability

within and between populations. *Hydrobiologia* 1995; 307: 33-41. doi: 10.1007/BF00031995

18. Martínez-Jerónimo F, Martínez-Jerónimo L. Chronic effect of NaCl salinity on a freshwater

strain of *Daphnia magna* Straus (Crustacea: Cladocera): A demographic study. *Ecotoxicol. Environ.*

Saf. 2007; 67: 411-416. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2006.08.009

19. Schuytema G, Nebeker A, Stutzman T. Salinity tolerance of *Daphnia magna* and potential use

for estuarine sediment toxicity tests. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 1997; 33: 194-198. doi:

10.1007/s002449900242

20. Carvalho, G. The Clonal Ecology of *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea: Cladocera): II. Thermal

differentiation among seasonal clones. *J. Animal. Ecol.* 1987; 56, 469-478. doi: 10.2307/5061

21. Aladin N, Potts W. Osmoregulatory capacity of the Cladocera. *J. Comp. Physiol. B.* 1995; 164:

671-683. doi: 10.1007/BF00389810

22. Zalizniak, L, Kefford, B, Nugegoda D. Effects of pH on salinity tolerance of selected

freshwater invertebrates. *Aquatic Ecol.* 2009; 43: 135-144. doi: 10.1007/s10452-007-9148-5

23. Altshuler I, Demiri B, Xu S, Constantin A, Yan N, Cristescuet M. An integrated

multi-disciplinary approach for studying multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: *Daphnia* as a

model organism. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 2011; 51: 623-633. doi: 10.1093/icb/icr103

24. Stross R. Photoperiod control of diapause in *Daphnia*. IV. light and CO_2-sensitive phases within the cycle of activation. *Biol. Bull.* 1971; 140: 137-155. doi: 10.2307/1540033

25. Roulin A, Bourgeois Y, Stiefel U, Walser J, Ebert, D. A photoreceptor contributes to the natural variation of diapause induction in *Daphnia magna*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2016; 33: 3194-3204. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw200

26. Shan R. Influence of light on hatching resting eggs of chydorids (Cladocera). *Int. Rev. gesamten Hydrobiol.* 1970; 55: 295-302. doi: 10.1002/iroh.19700550209

27. Häfker N, Meyer B, Last K, Pond D, Hüppe L, Teschke M. Circadian clock involvement in zooplankton diel vertical migration. *Curr. Biol.* 2017; 27: 2194-2201.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.06.025

28. Toyota K, Cambronero Cuenca M, Dhandapani V, Suppa A, Rossi V, Colbourne J, Orsini L. Transgenerational response to early spring warming in *Daphnia*. *Sci. Rep.* 2019; 9. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40946-3

29. Ślusarczyk M, Flis S. Light quantity, not photoperiod terminates diapause in the crustacean *Daphnia*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2019; 64: 124-130. doi: 10.1002/lno.11023

30. Moore M, Pierce S, Walsh H, Kvalvik S, Lim J. Urban light pollution alters the diel vertical migration of *Daphnia*. *SIL Proceedings 1922-2010* 2000; 27: 779-782. doi: 10.1080/03680770.1998.11901341

31. Connelly S, Stoeckel J, Gitzen R, Williamson C, González M. Effect of clonal selection on *Daphnia* tolerance to dark experimental conditions. *PLoS ONE* 2016; 11: p.e0159628. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159628

32. Meyer L, Sullivan S. Bright lights, big city: influences of ecological light pollution on reciprocal stream–riparian invertebrate fluxes. *Ecol. Appl.* 2013; 23:1322-1330. doi: 10.1890/12-2007.1

33. Manfrin A, Singer G, Larsen S, Weiß N, van Grunsven R, Weiß N-S, et al. Artificial light at night affects organism flux across ecosystem boundaries and drives community structure in the recipient ecosystem. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 2017; 5. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2017.00061

34. Zapata M, Sullivan S, Gray, S. Artificial lighting at night in estuaries—implications from individuals to ecosystems. *Estuaries Coasts* 2018; 42: 309-330. doi: 10.1007/s12237-018-0479-3

35. Rich C, Longcore T. *Ecological consequences of artificial night lighting*. Washington, D.C.: Island Press; 2006.

36. Hammerschlag N, Meyer C, Grace M, Kessel S, Sutton T, Harvey E, et al. Shining a light on fish at night: An overview of fish and fisheries in the dark of night, and in deep and polar seas. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 2017; 93: 253-284. doi: 10.5343/bms.2016.1082

37. Moore M, Kohler S, Cheers M. Artificial light at night in freshwater habitats and its potential ecological effects. In: Richand C, Longcore T, editors. *Ecological consequences of artificial night lighting.* Washington, D.C., USA: Island Press; 2006. pp. 365-384.

38. Frazer T, Notestein S, Jacoby C, Jones Little C, Keller S, Swett R. Effects of storm-induced salinity changes on submersed aquatic vegetation in Kings Bay, Florida. *Estuaries Coasts* 2006; 29: 943-953. doi: 10.1007/BF02798655

39. Villholth K, Neupane B. 2011. Tsunamis as long-term hazards to coastal groundwater resources and associated water supplies. In: Mokhtari M, editor, *Tsunami—A Growing Disaster*. InTech: Rijeka, Croatia.

40. Schumacher R. Heavy rainfall and flash flooding. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Natural*

Hazard   Science.   USA: Oxford   University   Press;   2017.   doi:

10.1093/acrefore/9780199389407.013.132

41. World Health Organization. Chemical releases associated with floods. World Health

Organization. 2018. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/272392.

42. Bekker E, Karabanov D, Galimov Y, Haag C, Neretina T. Phylogeography of *Daphnia magna*

Straus (Crustacea: Cladocera) in Northern Eurasia: Evidence for a deep longitudinal split between

mitochondrial lineages. *PLOS ONE* 2018; 13: p.e0194045. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194045

43. thisisFINLAND. 2019. *Finland's weather and light - thisisFINLAND*. [online] Available from:

https://finland.fi/life-society/finlands-weather-and-light/

44. Allan J. Landscapes and Riverscapes: The influence of land use on stream ecosystems. *Annu.*

Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 2004; 35: 257-284. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.120202.110122

45. Heino J., Virkkala R., Toivonen H. Climate change and freshwater biodiversity: detected

patterns, future trends and adaptations in northern regions. *Biol. Rev.* 2009; 84: 39-54. doi:

10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.00060.x

46. Latta L, Weider L, Colbourne J, Pfrender M. The evolution of salinity tolerance in *Daphnia*: a

functional   genomics   approach.   *Ecol.   Lett.*   2012;   15:   794-802.   doi:

10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01799.x

47. Khudr MS, Purkiss S, de Sampaio Kalkuhl A, Hager R. Novel resilience in response to

revitalisation after exposure to lethal salinity causes differential reproductive success in an

extremely plastic organism. *PeerJ* 2018; 6: p.e5277. doi: 10.7717/peerj.5277

48. Le Q, Sekhon S, Lee L, Ko J, Min J. *Daphnia* in water quality biomonitoring - "omic"

approaches. *Toxicol. Environ. Health Sci.* 2016; 8: 1-6. doi: 10.1007/s13530-016-0255-3

49. Ebert, D. *Web-guide to Daphnia parasites: artificial Daphnia medium (ADaM)* [Online]. 2013.

Available from http://evolution.unibas.ch/ebert/lab/adam.htm

50. Yang X, Wang K, Wang H, Zhang J, Tanga L, Mao Z. Control of pH by acetic acid and its

effect on ethanol fermentation in an integrated ethanol–methane fermentation process. *RSC Adv.*

2016; 6: 57902-57909. doi: 10.1039/C6RA04129A

51. Nash H. *The Complete Pond Builder: Creating a Beautiful Water Garden*. New York: Sterling

Publishing Company, Inc.; 1997.

52. Howard P. *Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data For Organic Chemicals,*

Volume 2. USA: CRC Press LLC; 1990.

53. Awasthi, M., Chen, H., Awasthi, S., Liu, T., Wang, M., Duan, Y., Li, J. Greenhouse gas

emissions through biological processing of solid waste and their global warming potential. In:

Kumar S, Zhang Z, Awasthi MK, Li R, editors. *Biological Processing of Solid Waste*. USA: CRC

Press; 2019. pp. 111-127.

54. Meckenstock R, Safinowski M, Griebler C. Anaerobic degradation of polycyclic aromatic

hydrocarbons. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 2004; 49: 27-36. doi: 10.1016/j.femsec.2004.02.019

55. Das N, Chandran P. Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants: An

Overview. *Biotechnol. Res. Int.* 2011; 2011: 1-13. doi: 10.4061/2011/941810

56. Collins A. Chapter 7. Origin of Oilfield Waters. In Collins A, editor. *Developments in*

Petroleum Science, Volume 1. Elsevier; 1975. pp. 193-252. doi: 10.1016/S0376-7361(08)70200-0

57. Sanhueza E, Elbert W, Rondón A, Arias M, Hermoso M. Organic and inorganic acids in rain from a remote site of the Venezuelan savannah. *Tellus B Chem. Phys. Meteorol.* 1989; 41: 170-176. doi: 10.3402/tellusb.v41i2.15066

58. Khare P, Kumar N, Kumari K, Srivastava S. Atmospheric formic and acetic acids: An overview. *Rev. Geophys.* 1999; 37: 227-248. doi: 10.1029/1998RG900005

59. Wagner F. Acetic Acid. *Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology.* 4th ed. USA: John Wiley & Sons; 2002. doi: 10.1002/0471238961.0103052023010714.a01.pub2

60. Sun X, Wang Y, Li H, Yang X, Sun L, Wang T, Wang W. Organic acids in cloud water and rainwater at a mountain site in acid rain areas of South China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. R.* 2016; 23: 9529-9539. doi: 10.1007/s11356-016-6038-1

61. Barth B, Wilson R. Life in acid: interactive effects of pH and natural organic acids on growth, development and locomotor performance of larval striped marsh frogs (*Limnodynastes peronii*). *J. Exp. Biol.* 2010; 213: 1293-1300. doi: 10.1242/jeb.028472

62. R Development Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* Vienna, Austria: *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*, ISBN 3-900051-07-0; 2017. Available from http://www.R-project.org

63. RStudio Team. *RStudio: Integrated development for R*. Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc.; 2015. Available from http://www.rstudio.com/

64. Powell M. The bobyqa algorithm for bound constrained optimization without derivatives. Tech. rep., Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Cambridge University; 2009. Available from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/0d2e/dc46f81f9a0b0b62937507ad977b46729f64.pdf

65. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J.*
Stat. Softw. 2015; 67. doi: 10.18637/jss.v067.i01

66. Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P. Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biom. J.*
2008; 50: 346-363. doi: 1002/bimj.200810425

67. Fox J, Weisberg W. *An {R} Companion to applied regression*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage;
201. Available from http://socserv.socsci.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion

68. Bulkowski L, Krise W, Kraus K. Purification of *Cyclops* cultures by pH shock (Copepoda).
Crustaceana 1985; 48: 179–182. doi: 10.1163/156854085X00864

69. Alibone M, Fair P. The effects of low pH on the respiration of *Daphnia magna*
Straus. *Hydrobiologia* 1981; 85: 185-188. doi: 10.1007/BF00006629

70. Weiss, L, Pötter L., Steiger, A., Kruppert, S., Frost, U., Tollrian, R. Rising pCO2 in freshwater
ecosystems has the potential to negatively affect predator-induced defenses in *Daphnia*. *Curr.*
Biology 2018; 28: 327-332.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.12.022

71. Turley C, Gattuso J. Future biological and ecosystem impacts of ocean acidification and their
socioeconomic-policy implications. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* 2012; 4: 278-286. doi:
10.1016/j.cosust.2012.05.007

72. Hall-Spencer J, Harvey B. Ocean acidification impacts on coastal ecosystem services due to
habitat degradation. *Emerg. Top. Life Sci.* 2019; 3: 197-206. doi: 10.1042/ETLS20180117

73. Potts W, Fryer G. The effects of pH and salt content on sodium balance in *Daphina magna* and
*Acantholeberis curvirostri*s (Crustacea: Cladocera). *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 1979; 129: 289-294. doi:
10.1007/BF00686983

74. Glover C, Wood C. Physiological characterisation of a pH- and calcium-dependent sodium

uptake mechanism in the freshwater crustacean, *Daphnia magna*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2005; 208: 951-959.

doi: 10.1242/jeb.01426

75. Moiseenko T. Effects of acidification on aquatic ecosystems. *Russ. J. Ecol.* 2005; 36: 93-102.

doi: 10.1007/s11184-005-0017-y

76. Pérez-Fuentetaja A, Goodberry F. *Daphnia*'s challenge: survival and reproduction when

calcium and food are limiting. *J. Plankton Res.* 2016; 38: 1379-1388.

77. Kaushal S, Likens, G., Pace, M., Haq, S., Wood, K., Galella, J, et al. Novel 'chemical cocktails'

in inland waters are a consequence of the freshwater salinization syndrome. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*

Lond., B, Biol. Sci. 2018a; 374: p.20180017. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2018.0017

78. Gorham E, Underwood J, Martini F, Ogden J. Natural and anthropogenic causes of lake

acidification in Nova Scotia. *Nature* 1986; 324: 451-453. doi: 10.1038/324451a0

79. Gee A, Stoner J. A review of the causes and effects of acidification of surface waters in Wales

and potential mitigation techniques. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 1989; 18: 121-130. doi:

10.1007/BF01056196

80. Battarbee R, Howells G, Skeffington R, Bradshaw A. The causes of lake acidification, with

special reference to the role of acid deposition. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 1990; 327:

339-347. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1990.0071

81. Leduc A, Munday P, Brown G, Ferrari M. Effects of acidification on olfactory-mediated

behaviour in freshwater and marine ecosystems: a synthesis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol.*

Sci. 2013; 368: p.20120447. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2012.0447

82. Usio N, Imada M, Nakagawa M, Akasaka M, Takamura N. Effects of pond draining on

biodiversity and water quality of farm ponds. *Conserv. Biol.* 2013; 27: 1429-1438. doi:

10.1111/cobi.12096

83. Cresser M, Edwards A. Natural processes in freshwater acidification. *Endeavour* 1988;

12:16-20. doi: 10.1016/0160-9327(88)90205-0

84. Galloway J, Hendrey G, Schofield C, Peters N, Johannes, A. Processes and causes of lake

acidification during spring snowmelt in the west-central Adirondack mountains, New York. *Can. J.*

of Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1987; 44: 1595-1602. doi: 10.1139/f87-193

85. Bayley S, Schindler D, Parker B, Stainton M, Beaty K. Effects of forest fire and drought on

acidity of a base-poor boreal forest stream: similarities between climatic warming and acidic

precipitation. *Biogeochemistry* 1992; 17: 191-204. doi: 10.1007/BF00004041

86. Brown L, Johnston K, Palmer S, Aspray K, Holden J. River ecosystem response to prescribed

vegetation   burning   on   blanket   peatland.   *PLoS   ONE*   2013;   8:   p.e81023.   doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0081023

87. Lydersen E, Høgberget R., Moreno C, Garmo Ø, Hagen P. The effects of wildfire on the water

chemistry of dilute, acidic lakes in southern Norway. *Biogeochemistry* 2014; 119: 109-124. doi:

10.1007/s10533-014-9951-8

88. Schroder J, Zhang, H., Girma, K., Raun, W., Penn, C., Payton, M. Soil acidification from

long-term use of nitrogen fertilizers on winter wheat. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 2011; 75: 957. doi:

10.2136/sssaj2010.0187

89. Phillips, J., McKinley, G., Bennington, V., Bootsma, H., Pilcher, D., Sterner, R., Urban, N. The

potential for CO2-induced acidification in freshwater: A great lakes case study. *Oceanography*

2015; 25: 136-145. doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2015.37

90. Haines T. Acidic precipitation and its consequences for aquatic ecosystems: A review. *Trans.*

Am. Fish. Soc. 1981; 110: 669-707. doi: 10.1577/1548-8659(1981)110<669:APAICF>2.0.CO;2

91. Beaune D, Sellier Y, Luquet G, Grandjean F. Freshwater acidification: an example of an

endangered crayfish species sensitive to pH. *Hydrobiologia* 2018; 813: 41-50. doi:

10.1007/s10750-018-3504-4

92. Gonçalves A, Castro B, Pardal M., Gonçalves F. Salinity effects on survival and life history of

two freshwater cladocerans (*Daphnia magna* and *Daphnia longispina*). *Ann. Limnol. - Int. J. Lim.*

2007; 43: 13-20. doi: 10.1051/limn/2007022

93. Tiwari A, Rachlin J. A review of road salt ecological impacts. *Northeast Nat.* 2018; 25:

123-142. doi: 10.1656/045.025.0110

94. Venâncio, C., Castro, B., Ribeiro, R., Antunes, S., Abrantes, N., Soares, A., Lopes, I.

Sensitivity of freshwater species under single and multigenerational exposure to seawater intrusion.

Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci. 2018; 374: p.20180252. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2018.0252

95. Greene R, Timms W, Rengasamy P, Arshad M, Cresswell R. Soil and aquifer salinization:

Toward an integrated approach for salinity management of groundwater. In: Jakeman A, Barreteau

O, Hunt R, Rinaudo J, Ross A, editors. *Integrated Groundwater Management*. Cham: Springer;

2016. pp. 377-412. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-23576-9_15

96. NH Department of Environmental Services. *Water Quality Impacts - Environmental, Health*

and Economic Impacts of Road Salt - Salt Reduction - Watershed Assistance Section - NH

808 *Department of Environmental Services*. [online] Des.nh.gov. 2017. Available from:

809 https://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/was/salt-reduction-initiative/impacts.ht

810 m

811 97. Arora, M., Casas-Mulet, R., Costelloe, J., Peterson, T., McCluskey, A., Stewardson, M.

812 Chapter 6 - Impacts of hydrological alterations on water quality. In: Horn A, Webb A, Stewardson

813 M, Richter B, Acreman M, editors, *Water for the environment: From policy and science to*

814 *implementation and management*. Academic Press; 2017. pp. 101-126. doi:

815 10.1016/B978-0-12-803907-6.00006-1

816 98. Entrekin S, Clay N, Mogilevski A, Howard-Parker B, Evans-White M. Multiple

817 riparian–stream connections are predicted to change in response to salinization. *Philos. Trans. R.*

818 *Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 2018; 374: p.20180042. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2018.0042

819 99. Illangasekare, T., Tyler, S., Clement, T., Villholth, K., Perera, A., Obeysekera, J. et al. Impacts

820 of the 2004 tsunami on groundwater resources in Sri Lanka. *Water Resour. Res.* 2006; 42. doi:

821 10.1029/2006WR004876

822 100. Roy K, Sasada K, Kohno E. Salinity status of the 2011 Tohoku-oki tsunami affected

823 agricultural lands in Northeast Japan. *Int. Soil Water Cons. Res.* 2014; 2: 40-50. doi:

824 10.1016/S2095-6339(15)30005-8

825 101. Cormier S, Suter G, Zheng L. Derivation of a benchmark for freshwater ionic strength.

826 *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 2012; 32: 263-271. doi: 10.1002/etc.2064

827 102. Kaushal S, Groffman, P., Likens, G., Belt, K., Stack, W., Kelly, V., Band, L., Fisher, G. From

828 The Cover: Increased salinization of fresh water in the Northeastern United States. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

829 *Sci. U.S.A.* 2005; 102: 13517-13520. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506414102

103. Le, T., Kattwinkel, M., Schützenmeister, K., Olson, J., Hawkins, C., Schäfer, R. Predicting current and future background ion concentrations in German surface water under climate change. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 2018; 374: p.20180004. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2018.0004

104. Weider L, Lampert W, Wessels M, Colbourne J, Limburg P. Long–term genetic shifts in a microcrustacean egg bank associated with anthropogenic changes in the Lake Constance ecosystem. *Royal Soc. B* 1997; 264: 1613-1618. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1997.0225

105. Harris K, Bartlett N, Lloyd V. *Daphnia* as an emerging epigenetic model organism. *Gen. Res. Int.* 2012; 2012: 1-8. doi: 10.1155/2012/147892

106. Perkin, E., Hölker, F., Richardson, J., Sadler, J., Wolter, C., Tockner, K. The influence of artificial light on stream and riparian ecosystems: questions, challenges, and perspectives. *Ecosphere* 2011; 2: 122. doi: 10.1890/ES11-00241.1

107. Gaston K, Duffy J, Gaston, S, Bennie J, Davies, T. Human alteration of natural light cycles: causes and ecological consequences. *Oecologia* 2014; 176: 917-931. doi: 10.1007/s00442-014-3088-2

108. Kaniewska P, Alon S, Karako-Lampert S, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Levy O. Signaling cascades and the importance of moonlight in coral broadcast mass spawning. *eLife* 2015; 4. doi: 10.7554/eLife.09991

109. Kurvers R, Holker F. Bright nights and social interactions: a neglected issue. *Behav. Ecol.* 2015; 26: 334-339. doi: 10.1093/beheco/aru223

110. Tamir R, Lerner A, Haspel C, Dubinsky Z, Iluz D. The spectral and spatial distribution of light pollution in the waters of the Northern Gulf of Aqaba (Eilat). *Sci. Rep.* 2017; 7. doi: 10.1038/srep42329

111. Compton P, Devuyst D, Hens L, Nath B. *Environmental Management in Practice: Vol 1:*

Instruments for Environmental Management. London: Routledge; 2013.

112. Kaushal, S., Likens, G., Pace, M., Utz, R., Haq, S., Gorman, J., Grese, M. Freshwater

salinization syndrome on a continental scale. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2018b; 115: E574-E583.

doi: 10.1073/pnas.1711234115

113. De Coen W, Janssen C. The missing biomarker link: Relationships between effects on the

cellular energy allocation biomarker of toxicant-stressed *Daphnia magna* and corresponding

population   characteristics.   *Environ.   Toxicol.   Chem.*   2003;   22:   1632-1641.   doi:

10.1002/etc.5620220727

114. Bianchini A, Wood C. Sodium uptake in different life stages of crustaceans: the water flea

Daphnia magna Straus. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2008; 211: 539-547. doi: 10.1242/jeb.009175

115. Kozłowski J. Measuring fitness in life, history studies. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 1993; 8: 84-85. doi:

10.1016/0169-5347(93)90056-U

116. Pietrzak, B., Bednarska, A., Markowska, M., Rojek, M., Szymanska, E. and Slusarczyk, M.

Behavioural and physiological mechanisms behind extreme longevity in *Daphnia*. *Hydrobiologia*

2012; 715: 125-134. doi: 10.1007/s10750-012-1420-6

117. Hasler, C., Jeffrey, J., Schneider, E., Hannan, K., Tix, J., Suski, C. Biological consequences of

weak acidification caused by elevated carbon dioxide in freshwater ecosystems. *Hydrobiologia*

2018; 806: 1-12. doi: 10.1007/s10750-017-3332-y

118. Muniz I. The effects of acidification on Scandinavian freshwater fish fauna. *Philos. Trans. R.*

Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci. 1984; 305: 517-528. Available from http://www.jstor.org/stable/2396102

119. Paes T, Rietzler A, Pujoni D, Maia-Barbosa P. High temperatures and absence of light affect

the hatching of resting eggs of *Daphnia* in the tropics. *An. Acad. Bras. Ciênc.* 2016; 88: 179-186.

doi: 10.1590/0001-3765201620140595

120. Simon J, Pfrender M, Tollrian R, Tagu D, Colbourne K. Genomics of environmentally

induced phenotypes in 2 extremely plastic arthropods. *J. Hered.* 2011; 102: 512-525. doi:

10.1093/jhered/esr020

121. Jose C, Chatelain É, Dufresne F. Low flexibility of metabolic capacity in subarctic and

temperate cytotypes of *Daphnia pulex*. *J. Therm. Biol.* 2009; 34: 70-75. doi:

10.1016/j.jtherbio.2008.11.002

Supporting information

Table S1. Effects of stress treatment levels on population size in chemical stress under constant light (24h).

Table S2. Posteriori contrasts, *Daphnia* population size.

Table S3. Matrix of multiple pairwise comparisons, *Daphnia* population size.

Table S4. *Daphnia* population size differences.

Table S5. Meta-comparisons of *Daphnia* reproductive success under salinity and acidity in constant light.

Table S6. Meta-comparisons of *Daphnia* reproductive success under salinity, acidity, and their combination in constant light.

Table S7. Effects of stress treatment levels on age structure in chemical stress under constant light (24h).

Table S8. Posteriori contrasts, *Daphnia* age structure.

Table S9. *Daphnia* age structure.

Table S10. *Daphnia population* size and age structure differences in salinity, acidity, and their combination under constant darkness.

Note 1: Remarks on the relevance of the study's design and findings with regard to the multifaceted environmental challenge of today's and tomorrow's worlds