**Integration of immunome with disease-gene network reveals common cellular**

**mechanisms between IMIDs and drug repurposing strategies**

Abhinandan Devaprasad[1,2], Timothy RDJ Radstake[1,2], Aridaman Pandit[1,2*]

[1] Division Internal Medicine and Dermatology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht,

The Netherlands

[2] Center for Translation Immunology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The

Netherlands

**\*Correspondence:** Aridaman Pandit: a.pandit@umcutrecht.nl

**Abstract**

Objective

Development and progression of immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs) involve

intricate dysregulation of the disease associated genes (DAGs) and their expressing immune

cells. Due to the complex molecular mechanism, identifying the top disease associated cells

(DACs) in IMIDs has been challenging.  Here, we aim to identify the top DACs and DAGs to

help understand the cellular mechanism involved in IMIDs and further explore therapeutic

strategies.

Method

Using transcriptome profiles of 40 different immune cells, unsupervised machine learning,

and disease-gene networks, we constructed the Disease-gene IMmune cell Expression

(DIME) network, and identified top DACs and DAGs of 12 phenotypically different IMIDs.

We compared the DIME networks of IMIDs to identify common pathways between them.

We used the common pathways and publicly available drug-gene network to identify

promising drug repurposing targets.

Result

We found CD4$^+$Treg, CD4$^+$Th1, and NK cells as top DACs in the inflammatory arthritis such

as ankylosing spondylitis (AS), psoriatic arthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis (RA); neutrophils,

granulocytes and BDCA1$^+$CD14$^+$ cells in systemic lupus erythematosus and systemic

scleroderma; ILC2, CD4$^+$Th1, CD4$^+$Treg, and NK cells in the inflammatory bowel diseases

(IBDs). We identified lymphoid cells (CD4$^+$Th1, CD4$^+$Treg, and NK) and their associated

pathways to be important in HLA-B27 type diseases (psoriasis, AS, and IBDs) and in

primary-joint-inflammation-based inflammatory arthritis (AS and RA). Based on the

common cellular mechanisms, we identified lifitegrast as potential drug repurposing

candidate for Crohn's disease, and other IMIDs.

Conclusion

Our method identified top DACs, DAGs, common pathways, and proposed potential drug

repurposing targets between IMIDs. To extend our method to other diseases, we built the

DIME tool. Thus paving way for future (pre-)clinical research.

**Keywords**

IMIDs; Immune cells; Disease associated cells; Disease associated genes; Drug repurposing;

Machine learning

## 1. Introduction

The genetic and epigenetic heterogeneity has been known to play a major role in the development and progression of complex diseases. The past two decades has seen a major surge in studies that characterize genes and loci associated with diseases [1]. The use of high-throughput omics technology and functional screenings have boosted our knowledge about genetic, epigenetic and metabolic factors underlying complex diseases [1]. As a result of these genetic and epigenetic screenings, we now know that the majority of complex diseases and genes/loci have a many-to-many relationship meaning that a complex disease is linked to many different genes and a gene/loci might be associated with many different diseases [2].

Large high-throughput screening studies have typically used bulk tissue or whole blood to study disease associated genes (DAGs). However, the expression of each gene is known to vary between tissues and cell types [3,4]. Thus, bulk tissue- or blood-based studies on DAGs do not consider the role played by different cells and tissues in the disease biology. To improve the understanding and molecular basis of complex diseases, a large number of research groups and consortiums have started to functionally identify disease associated cells (DACs) or tissue types [3–7]. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) is one such valuable project, which maps gene expression profiles of 54 different human tissue types and the corresponding expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) [5–7]. Furthermore, the growth of single cell technologies have advanced our understanding of DACs and have helped in identifying cell types associated with complex diseases including cancer [8], Alzheimer's [9], rheumatoid arthritis [10], among others.

The immune system is known to play a key role in the development and progression of immune-mediated as well as non-immune mediated chronic diseases. A large number of association and functional studies have shown that multiple DAGs are expressed in immune cells and perturbing these DAGs can modulate immune cell functions [11]. However, very few studies have explored the impact of DAGs on specific cell types and even fewer on immune cells, many of which focus on limited number of cell subsets [12–16]. Recently Schmiedel *et al*. studied the effect of genetic variants on the expression of genes in 13 different immune cell types [17]. However, this study largely focused on the analysis of genetic variants and their impact on a total of 13 immune cell types: monocytes (classical and non-classical), NK cells, naïve B-cells and nine sub-populations of T-cells.

Immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs) are complex in nature, with the
involvement of several different types of immune cells. For example, in rheumatoid arthritis,
the immune cells such as B-cells, T-cells, macrophages, mast cells, dendritic cells, and NK
cells are known to play a major role in the pathogenesis of the disease [18]. Insights on the
exact mechanism of action is crucial for developing successful therapies for the disease. This
becomes particularly challenging for IMIDs due to the involvement of several cell types. The
massive undertaking of GWAS for the IMIDs have enabled mapping of some of the
molecular mechanisms of the IMIDs [19–22]. However, most of these have uncovered only
the tip of the iceberg and further research is required to understand the etiology of these
diseases with respect to the several different immune cells at play, and to identify any
mechanistic overlap between the IMIDs. This approach of identifying the key immune cells
at play and their mechanism in the IMIDs would set a robust rationale for exploring
therapeutic strategies.

In this study, we mapped the largest available and expert curated disease-gene network (from
the DisGeNet curated from 16 different databases) [23] on the largest *immunome* data
comprising gene expression profiles of 40 different immune cell types, curated by us. We
further built a tool using an unsupervised machine learning algorithm , the disease-gene
network, and the *immunome* to create the Disease-gene IMmune cell Expression (DIME)
network. Hereby, the tool is referred to as the DIME; the analysis using this tool is referred to
as the DIME analysis. Using DIME, we then quantified the effects of 3957 DAGs on the
*immunome*, to identify DACs for 12 phenotypically different IMIDs. We used the DIME to:
(1) study the underlying cell-specific mechanisms; (2) identify common DACs and their top
weighted DAGs (hereby referred to as common cell-gene network) between different pairs of
diseases; and (3) identify drug repurposing targets using the common cell-gene network. The
DIME is available as a user-friendly R tool (https://bitbucket.org/systemsimmunology/dime),
to identify the top genes and cells associated with the disease of interest for: (1) diseases from
the DisGeNet, (2) diseases from the EBI genome wide association study (GWAS) catalogue,
or (3) custom set of genes defined by the user.
103

**2. Methods**

**2.1. Transcriptome data - *Immunome***

The transcriptome data consists of RNA-sequencing datasets of 40 different immune cell

types curated using 316 samples from a total of 27 publicly available datasets (see

Supplementary Table 1 for list of GEO datasets and samples used). The 40 different immune

cells cover the entire hematopoietic stem cell differentiation tree comprising of 9 progenitors,

19 lymphoid, and 12 myeloid cell types. The samples used here were manually curated

considering only the unstimulated (except for macrophages, that were monocyte derived)

immune cells that were sorted using Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and were

isolated from either blood, bone marrow or cord blood from healthy donors. The processed,

batch corrected, and normalized data of the 40 immune cells is referred here as the

*immunome* (see Supplementary methods for details).

**2.2. Disease-gene network from DisGeNet**

The disease-gene network from DisGeNet [23] was downloaded from the DisGeNet database

(www.disgenet.org/downloads). All HLA associated genes was removed from the network,

this was done to ensure that bias towards myeloid cells and B cells are removed, since the

HLA genes are largely expressed by these cells. The resulting network was further filtered to

include only those genes that were present in the *immunome*.

**2.3. IMID disease-gene network**

To study and identify the DACs of the IMIDs, the DAGs of 12 IMIDs were extracted from

the above DisGeNet. The IMID gene network for the 12 diseases comprised of 3579 DAGs.

The 12 diseases that broadly represent the IMIDs in this study include: ankylosing spondylitis

(CUI: C0038013), arthritis (CUI: C0003864), Crohn's disease (CUI: C0010346), diabetes

mellitus - non-insulin-dependent (CUI: C0011860), systemic lupus erythematosus (CUI:

C0024141), multiple sclerosis (CUI: C0026769), psoriasis (CUI: C0033860), psoriatic

arthritis (CUI: C0003872), rheumatoid arthritis (CUI: C0003873), Sjogren's syndrome (CUI:

C1527336), systemic scleroderma (CUI: C0036421), and ulcerative colitis (CUI: C0009324).

CUI, used in DisGeNet, is the concept unique identifier for the disease term as defined by

unified medical language system [25]. The disease term arthritis (CUI: C0003864) comprises

DAGs that pan over several arthropathies such as spondyloarthropathy, osteoarthritis, gout,

allergic arthritis, etc., that fall under the broad arthritis MeSH term.

**2.4. Identification of top DAC and DAG using machine learning**

We used an unsupervised machine learning algorithm called non-negative matrix
factorization (NMF) to map the disease-gene network to the *immunome*, and identify the top
DACs and DAGs of the 12 IMIDs. The NMF algorithm clusters the input gene expression
data into 'k' clusters, such that the DAGs of a cluster are expressed by the DACs of the same
cluster, thus forming DAC-DAG pairs in each cluster [24]. We used the coefficients and
weights identified by the NMF algorithm as the DAC and DAG scores respectively. The
scores were scaled between 0 and 1, with 1 being the highest score. Those in the top 25
percentile of the scores were regarded as the top DACs and DAGs respectively. We
calculated the Frobenius norm for each cluster to weigh and rank the clusters, the rank 1
cluster is referred to as the top cluster. The top cluster comprise the DAC-DAG pair that
which maximally captures/represents the input gene expression matrix. Using the top DAC-
DAG pairs of all clusters, we constructed the Disease-gene IMmune cell Expression (DIME)
network for the 12 IMIDs (see Supplementary methods for details).

**2.5. Common cell-gene network between diseases**

To identify common cell-gene network between two diseases, we looked at their overlapping
DAC-DAG pairs in their corresponding DIME networks. These overlapping DAC-DAG pairs
are referred to as the common cell-gene network between the two diseases. Jaccard index (JI)
was used to measure the overlap between the two diseases with Fisher's exact test (FET) used
to obtain confidence p-value for the given overlap.

**2.6. Integrating drug-gene network**

The drug-gene target network was curated from (1) DGIdb with the filter set to contain
CHEMBL interactions pertaining to the drugs approved by the food and drug administration
(FDA) of USA [26] ; (2) all drug-gene of CLUE  database [27] and ; (3) all drug-gene of
hPDI  [28]. The genes that had drugs associated to them are labelled in the common cell-gene
networks to highlight druggability (Figure 5C-E).

**2.7. Statistical analysis**

We performed 1000 jackknife simulations to assess the consistency of the results from the
DIME (Supplementary methods, and Supplementary figure 1-3). Pearson correlation

coefficient and p-value were computed to measure significance of the jackknife simulations
in comparison to the original run (Supplementary figure 3).

**3. RESULTS**

**3.1. Disease-gene network of the 12 IMIDs reveal several common DAGs**

In this study, we analyzed different types of IMIDs that include inflammatory arthropathies,
spondyloarthropathies, rheumatic diseases, systemic IMIDs, and inflammatory bowel
diseases (IBDs). The 12 different IMIDs include: ankylosing spondylitis (DAGs:298),
arthritis (DAGs:567), Crohn's disease (DAGs:786), diabetes mellitus - non-insulin-dependent
(DAGs:1415), systemic lupus erythematosus (DAGs:963), multiple sclerosis (DAGs:961),
psoriasis (DAGs:689), psoriatic arthritis (DAGs:177), rheumatoid arthritis (DAGs:1612),
Sjogren's syndrome (DAGs:229), systemic scleroderma (DAGs:494), and ulcerative colitis
(DAGs:796) (Figure 1 A-B). In total, 3957 DAGs were linked to the 12 IMIDs. Among
which, several genes were found to be linked to several IMIDs, for example, 74 DAGs were
linked to only Crohn's disease (CD) and to ulcerative colitis (UC), both IBDs (Figure 1A).
Calculating the Jaccard index and Fisher's exact test (FET) on all the overlapping DAGs
between all IMIDs revealed that CD and UC had the highest significant overlap (Figure 1C).
Interestingly, genes associated with CD had significant overlap (FET p-value $\leq$ 0.05) with all
diseases except psoriatic arthritis and diabetes mellitus non-insulin dependent (T2D).
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) had significant overlap of DAGs with all IMIDs except T2D. T2D
did not have significant overlap of DAGs with any of the IMIDs. Arthritis, psoriasis, CD, and
RA had significant overlap of DAGs between each other. We found 12 DAGs that were
associated with all the 12 IMIDs (Figure 1A, E). These DAGs were related to processes
typically associated with inflammation such as: cytokine signaling (GO:0001817;
GO:0019221), regulation of inflammatory response (GO:0050727), and regulation of
interleukin-6 (GO:0032675; GO:0032635). We further explored the expression of these
DAGs in the *immunome* and found the expression of TNFAIP3 to be the highest in CD8^{+} T-
cells, ILC3 and CD4^{+} T-cells (Figure 1D, E). Likewise, IL1B was expressed by myeloid and
progenitor cells; TNF was expressed by lymphoid and myeloid cells. Overall, certain myeloid
cells and lymphoid cells, specifically expressed some of the 12 genes that were linked to all
the 12 IMIDs. This intrigued us to identity the key immune cell types and genes that are
important for the 12 IMIDs. Hence, we used the DIME on the 12 IMIDs to identify their top

DACs and DAGs. Briefly, DIME uses the *immunome*, input disease-gene network and an
unsupervised machine learning algorithm (NMF) to identify the clusters of top DACs and
DAGs, see methods.

**3.2. Top immune cells of inflammatory arthritis**

Inflammatory arthritis is characterized by joint inflammation due to autoimmunity. Joint
inflammation is the primary clinical feature as observed in ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and
RA. However, in other inflammatory arthritis such as the psoriatic arthritis, inflammation is
present in both the skin and joints. Interestingly, AS and psoriatic arthritis are both
seronegative spondyloarthropathies (negative for rheumatoid factor and auto nuclear
antibodies) that are characterized by enthesitis and also have a predominant HLA-B27
genotype [29,30]. We questioned if the inflammatory arthritis shared molecular mechanism,
in addition to sharing clinical features. So, we performed DIME on the different types of
inflammatory arthritis to identify the important DACs and DAGs, and compare the molecular
mechanism shared between them. As a reference, we used the broader arthritis disease term
that encompassed (including inflammatory arthritis) several different kinds of arthropathies,
see methods for disease description.

The DIME analysis of ankylosing spondylitis revealed lymphoid cells such as NK cells,
ILC3, CD4$^+$ T-cells (Th1, Treg, TEMRA) as the top DACs in the top cluster (Figure 2A).
The top DAGs of the top cluster were associated with pathways such as interleukin signaling,
antigen presentation, regulation of RUNX3, and BCR signaling (Figure 2E). The role of
RUNX3 in NK cells, CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T-cells has been reported to be important in AS [31]. In
the second cluster, the top DACs included myeloid cells and the top DAGs were associated
with pathways such as interleukin (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13) signaling, MAPK3 activation and
MyD88 (Figure 2A, E). Thus, the key DACs of AS were found to be diverse as reported in
the literature, however the top DACs according to DIME were NK cells, ILC3, CD4$^+$ T-cells
(Th1, Treg, TEMRA) [32].

The DIME analysis of psoriatic arthritis revealed lymphoid cells such as NK cells, ILC3 and
myeloid cells like the macrophages and BDCA1$^+$ DC as the top DACs in the top cluster
(Figure 2B). Likewise, T-cells, NK cells and antigen presenting cells have been reported to
play a role in the pathology of psoriatic arthritis [33]. The top DAGs of the top cluster were

associated with pathways such as interleukin (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13) signaling, activation of

PI3K, and NF-KB. (Figure 2F). S100 calcium binding proteins like S100A8 and S100A9 are

known to play a role in the regulation of inflammation in psoriatic arthritis [34]. In the second

cluster, we found the top DAGs included the S100 calcium binding proteins, such as S100A9,

and S100A8 that were highly expressed by the granulocytes, neutrophils, monocytes and

dendritic cells (Figure 2B, F).

The major immune cells involved in RA are T-cells, B-cells, and APCs [35]. While activation

of $CD4^+$ Th1 and impairment of $CD4^+$ Tregs have been reported to be important for

rheumatoid arthritis [36], the DIME analysis of RA revealed several lymphoid cells such as

$CD4^+$ Tregs, $CD4^+$ Th1, NK cells, etc., as the top DACs in the top cluster (Figure 2C). The

top DAGs of the top cluster were associated with pathways such as interleukin, TCR, FCERI,

and BCR signaling (Figure 2G). In the second cluster, the top DACs included myeloid cells

and the top DAGs were associated with pathways such as interleukin (IL-10, IL-13)

signaling, neutrophil degranulation, and ECM organization (Figure 2C, G). Evidently,

activation, recruitment and apoptosis of neutrophils is altered in RA and under the chronic

inflammatory conditions they release protease-rich granules [37].

The DIME analysis of the broader arthritis disease term, revealed macrophages as the top

DAC in the top cluster (Figure 2D). Macrophages play a central role in arthropathies, where

they release cytokines and activate several immune cells such as T-cells, monocytes,

neutrophils, and synovial fibroblasts. In addition, they are also the most abundant cells at the

site of inflammation [38]. The top DAGs of the top cluster were associated with pathways

such as interleukin (IL-4, IL-13) signaling, extracellular matrix (ECM) related pathways,

neutrophil degranulation and toll-like receptor (TLR) cascades (Figure 2H). In the second

cluster, the top DACs comprise of neutrophils, granulocytes and the top DAGs were

associated to pathways similar to the top cluster, and also included inflammasomes related

pathways (Figure 2D, H).

**3.3. Top immune cells of systemic IMIDs**

We performed the DIME analysis on the systemic IMIDs such as systemic lupus

erythematosus (SLE) and systemic scleroderma (SSc) (Figure 3). SLE and SSc are type I

interferon-mediated systemic autoimmune diseases, that unlike RA, primarily affects not just

the joints, but also the skin, kidney, heart, and other organs [39].In SLE, the continuous IFN

production by pDC and neutrophils leads to activation of monocytes, T-cells, and B-cells

[40]. The DIME analysis of SLE revealed the myeloid cells (granulocytes, macrophages,

BDCA1$^+$ CD14$^+$, monocytes) as the top DACs in the top cluster (Figure 3A). The top DAGs

in the top cluster were associated with pathways such as interleukin signaling (IL-4, IL-13),

neutrophil degranulation, cell surface interactions at the vascular wall and the TLR cascades

(Figure 3C). Incidentally, the neutrophils in SLE undergo spontaneous NETosis (a form of

suicidal cell death) and this process is dependent on TLR signaling [40]. Additionally, T-cells

in SLE are found to have altered cytokine production with higher levels of IL6, IL7, and IL10

secretions [40]. In the second cluster, we found the top DACs included CD4$^+$ T-cells

(TEMRA, TEM, TCM) and the top DACs were associated with pathways such as

immunoregulatory interactions, Nef-associated factors (TNIP1, TNFAIP3), ZAP-70, VAV1

pathway (Figure 3A, C). Nef-associated factors (TNIP1, TNFAIP3) is known to play a role in

activation of T-cell via TCR signaling in SLE [41].

The DIME analysis of SSc revealed myeloid cells (neutrophils, granulocytes, BDCA1$^+$

CD14$^+$ cells) and lymphoid cells (NK cells and CD4$^+$ Treg) as the top DACs in the top cluster

(Figure 3B). The top DAGs in the top cluster were associated with pathways such as

interleukin signaling (IL-4, IL-13), TGF beta signaling, NLR signaling, etc. (Figure 3D). In

the second cluster, the top DACs included macrophages and the top DAGs were associated to

pathways that included IL-10 signaling and degradation of ECM (Figure 3B, D). As

described in the review by Caam et al., several studies have shown neutrophils, macrophages,

NK cells, and Tregs to play a role in the profibrotic events in SSc by the production of

profibrotic cytokines such as TGF beta, IL-4, IL-10, IL-13, etc., thus corroborating our

findings [42].

**3.4. Top immune cells in Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs)**

We then looked at IMIDs that involve chronic inflammation of the digestive system, these are

categorized as IBDs. The two major forms of IBDs are CD and UC. CD is known to be

driven by CD4$^+$ Th1 cells, with a dominant Th1 cytokine profile leading to pro-inflammatory

effect [43]. The DIME analysis of CD revealed lymphoid cells (CD4$^+$ Treg, ILC2, CD4$^+$

TEMRA, CD4$^+$ Th1) as the top DACs in the top cluster (Figure 4A). The top DAGs of the

top cluster were associated with pathways such as interleukin (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13) signaling,

TLR (TLR-5, TLR-10) signaling, MyD88 and neutrophil degranulation (Figure 4C). In the

second cluster, the top DACs included granulocytes, neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages,

etc., and the top DAGs were associated with pathways such as interleukin signaling,
neutrophil degranulation and TLR cascades (Figure 4A, C).

The T-cell profile of UC has been difficult to categorize due to discrepancies in its response
among patients. However, there is evidence of Th2 cells, NK cells, macrophages, and
neutrophils to be involved in the pathogenesis of UC [43]. The DIME analysis of UC
revealed lymphoid cells (ILC2, NK, ILC3, CD4$^+$ Th1, etc.) as the DACs in the top cluster
(Figure 4B). The top DAGs of the top cluster were associated with pathways such as
interleukin (IL-4, IL-13) signaling, TLR cascades, NLR signaling, neutrophil degranulation,
etc. (Figure 4D). In the second cluster, the top DACs included granulocytes, BDCA1$^+$ CD14$^+$
cells, etc., and the top DAGs were associated with pathways such as interleukin signaling
(IL-4, IL-10, IL-13), neutrophil degranulation and TLR cascades (Figure 4B, D).

**3.5. Statistically significance of DIME results**

To evaluate the consistency of results from DIME, we performed 1000 Jackknife simulations
with random subsampling of DAC/DAG and re-identified the top DAC/DAG for all IMIDs
(see Supplementary methods). The jackknife simulations revealed that the top DACs
identified across all clusters in the simulations (Supplementary figure 1A) showed similar
pattern when compared to top DACs identified in the original run (Supplementary figure 1C).
For the top DACs of the top cluster, the pattern from the simulations (Supplementary figure
1B) were comparable to the DAC score of the original run (Supplementary figure 1D). We
used Pearson correlation to compare the pattern observed between the simulations and the
original run, see Supplementary methods. The Pearson correlation between the pattern
observed in simulated run (Supplementary figure 1B), and the DAC scores of the original run
for the top cluster revealed that the top DACs in top cluster were significantly correlated (p-
value ≤ 0.05) for all the IMIDs except ulcerative colitis (Supplementary figure 3A). This
shows that the top DACs of the top cluster identified by DIME are statistically significant for
all IMIDs, except UC.

Likewise, we evaluated the consistency of the top DAGs. In all simulations, the top 10 DAGs
of top cluster of the original run were present as the top DAG in any of the clusters of the
simulated run (Supplementary figure 2). The presence of the top 10 DAGs of top cluster of
the original run as the top DAG in the top cluster of the simulations was also found to be
high. The Pearson correlation between the pattern observed in the simulated run and the DAG
scores of the original run for the top cluster were found to be significantly correlated for all

the IMIDs (Supplementary figure 3B, see Supplementary methods). This shows that the top

DAGs of the top cluster identified by DIME are statistically significant for all IMIDs.

**3.6. Why are the top DACs of UC insignificant?**

In the case of UC, the top DACs was found to be statistically insignificant from our 1000

jackknife simulations, the top DAGs however, were significant (Supplementary figure 1-3).

We found from 1000 simulations that the lymphoid cells identified by the original run (Figure

4B) were indeed present in the simulations, and in addition, the myeloid cells were also part

of the top DACs of the top cluster in the simulations (Supplementary figure 1B).

Furthermore, we found that the top DAGs of the top cluster included genes associated to

neutrophil degranulation pathways and other myeloid cell related pathways (Figure 4B, D),

thus, owing to the non-convergence of the NMF algorithm in accurately predicting the top

DACs of the top cluster in UC. The top DACs of the top cluster of UC was found to be

ambiguous as has been reported in the literature [43]. From our simulations, we propose the

inclusion of the myeloid cells in the top DACs of the top cluster in addition to the lymphoid

cells previously identified (Figure 4B).

**3.7. Common mechanisms in IMIDs**

The DIME analysis revealed that several top DAGs along with their corresponding DACs

were present in many IMIDs. For example, in many IMIDs, the gene FOS was present as top

DAG in the cluster typically containing myeloid cells (granulocytes, neutrophils and dendritic

cells) as the top DACs. We found several genes like FOS, that were present as the top DAG

in the same top DAC cluster between different pairs of diseases. We refer to these top DACs

and DAGs that are present between the two diseases as the common cell-gene network,

represented schematically in Figure 5A, see methods. Using, the common cell-gene network,

we suggest that these diseases may have similar mechanism of action. Such common

mechanisms can be exploited to gain mechanistic insights between diseases and to identify

drug repurposing targets. Hence, we integrated the drug-gene networks (see methods) to

identify and reinforce drug repurposing targets based on the common mechanisms (cell-gene

networks) identified from the DIME analysis, Figure 5A.

To identify the common mechanisms across the 12 IMIDs, we identified the common cell-

gene networks between all disease comparisons (Figure 5B). Jaccard index and FET was used

to measure the extent and significance of the overlap in the common cell-gene networks

between the different pairs of diseases, respectively, see methods. In comparison to the

analysis that looked at all DAGs, which showed several diseases to be statistically significant

in the overlap (Figure 1C), the common cell-gene network overlap was restricted to fewer

diseases (Figure 5B).

The comparative analysis revealed that CD had statistically significant common cell-gene

networks with several diseases such as AS, psoriasis, RA, and UC (Figure 5B). Among

which, the common cell-gene network of CD and UC had the highest Jaccard index among

all the IMIDs, both being IBDs with aggressive T-cell response [43]. The common cell-gene

network of CD and UC revealed that the top DACs included the lymphoid cells such as CD4$^+$

T-cell, CD4$^+$ Th1, CD4$^+$ Treg, ILC1, ILC2, ILC3 and NK cells in one cluster (Figure 5C).

CD4$^+$ Th1 and NK cells are known to be implicated in both CD and UC [43]. The top DAGs

such as CXCR4, IL10RA, IL7R, ETS1, TNFAIP3, PTPRC, SELL, etc., were highly

expressed by cells of the lymphoid cluster. These DAGs were enriched in pathways

associated with interleukin signaling (IL-4 and IL-13), NLR signaling, etc (Supplementary

figure 4A). The other clusters comprised of myeloid cells such as the granulocytes, dendritic

cells, monocytes and macrophages, among which dendritic cells have been crucial for

regulating the T-cell responses in IBDs. The top DAGs such as IL6R, CXCL8, ITGAX,

S100A9, FOS, etc., were highly expressed by the cells of the myeloid cluster. These DAGs

were enriched in pathways associated with interleukin signaling (IL-10), TLR signaling,

ECM degradation, etc (Supplementary figure 4A).

We next explored the common cell-gene network of the two distinct IMIDs that belonged to

different pathophysiology, namely CD and RA. The common cell-gene network of CD and

RA revealed that the top DACs comprised of the lymphoid cells that included all CD4$^+$ T-

cells and NK cells in one cluster (Figure 5D). The top DAGs such as CD69, PTPRC,

CXCR4, etc., were highly expressed by the cells of this cluster. These DAGs were enriched

for pathways associated with interleukin, TLR, MyD88 signaling, etc. (Supplementary figure

4B). The other clusters comprised of myeloid cells such as the granulocytes, dendritic cells,

monocytes and macrophages. The top DAGs such as CTSS, ITGB2, ITGAX, MCL1, FOS,

etc., were highly expressed by the cells of this cluster. These DAGs were enriched for

pathways associated with interleukin (IL-4, IL-13) signaling, neutrophil degranulation, etc.

(Supplementary figure 4B).

In addition to the common cell-gene networks of CD, we also found statistically significant
common cell-gene network between the two inflammatory arthropathies that has joint pain as
the primary feature, namely AS and RA. The common cell-gene network of AS and RA
revealed that the top DACs comprised of the lymphoid cells that included all the T-cells, and
NK cells in one cluster (Figure 5E). The top DAGs such as ITGAL, ETS1, IL2RG,
TNFAIP3, etc., were highly expressed by the cells of this cluster. These DAGs were enriched
for pathways associated with interleukin (IL-1) signaling, FCERI mediated NF–kB
activation, TCR signaling, etc. The other clusters comprised of myeloid cells such as the
granulocytes, dendritic cells, monocytes and macrophages. The top DAGs such as
TNFRSF1B, STAT6, TYK2, were highly expressed by the cells of this cluster. These DAGs
were enriched for pathways associated with interleukin (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13) signaling
(Supplementary figure 4C).

Thus, using the common cell-gene networks we were able to uncover the common
mechanisms in accordance to the top DACs and DAGs (Figure 5). This revealed several
pathways that are common between the different IMIDs (Supplementary figure 4). Our next
question was to see if these common mechanisms comprised of any drug targets (genes that
are druggable or have drugs that target them). The idea was to identify targets for drug
repurposing based on the drug targets in the common cell-gene networks, this novel method
of computational drug repurposing is a combination of target-based and mechanism-based
drug repurposing strategies [44]. To perform this, we then used the common cell-gene
networks identified here and the drug-gene networks from literature to explore the common
DAGs that were also drug targets (Figure 5C-E, DAGs highlighted by green border), see
methods. We found several DAGs such as IL1B, IL6R, ITGAL, PTGS2, TYK2, NFKB1,
NLRP3, PRKCQ, PTGER4, PTPN2, RELA, SH2B3, SMAD3, TLR2, TLR4, and TREM1,
that were drug targets and present in all the common cell-gene networks shown in Figure 5C-
E. Interestingly, ITGAL was found to be the only DAG that was a drug target and present as
the top DAG of the top cluster (lymphoid cell cluster) in the DIME networks of CD, UC, AS
and RA. Using the drugs associated to these drug targets specifically for these diseases (CD,
UC, AS, and RA) in therapy would require extensive experimental validation and clinical
trials. Therefore, we explored (in the next section) the possibility of using some of these drug
targets for repurposing based on existing studies. Thus, reinforcing and strengthening these
targets and also the validity of our approach in identifying them.

**3.8 Common cell-gene networks from DIME reveals drug targets for repurposing**

To explore and validate the drug targets for repurposing, we focused on the top DAGs of the

statistically significant (FET p-value ⬚ 0.05, Figure 5B) common cell-gene networks of all

IMIDs. To identify drug targets that were targets of FDA approved drugs, we used the drug-

gene network of CHEMBL, see methods. We found several drug targets (Table 1) such as

IL1B, IL6R, ITGAL, and TYK2 to be present in all the statistically significant common cell-

gene networks. Anti-IL1 therapy is used for psoriasis and RA [45–47]. Preliminary studies

indicate that anti-IL1 therapy has shown promising clinical response for treating AS, CD, and

UC [48,49]. Anti-IL6 therapy (tocilizumab) shows positive clinical response in small group

of  patients in AS [50], CD [51] and in RA [52]. However, anti-IL6 therapy was found to

have  side effects in smaller studies on psoriasis and UC [53,54]. Integrin based therapies

(such as natalizumab and vedolizumab that targets ITGB2) are already in use for CD [55].

Exploring other integrin based therapies (such as Lifitegrast that targets ITGAL and also

ITGB2) for CD may be beneficial since both ITGAL and ITGB2 are top DAGs and are also

implicated in CD  [56,57]. Lifitegrast is a promising drug repurposing candidate for CD and

also perhaps for UC, AS, and RA, since its target gene ITGAL, was the only top DAG of the

top cluster (lymphoid cell cluster) that was also a drug target in the DIME networks of these

diseases (Figure 2, 4, 5C-E). Thereby, targeting the same mechanism implicated in these

diseases.

Tofacitinib, a TYK2 and JAK2 inhibitor developed for RA is now making way to treatment

options in other diseases such as AS, CD, UC and psoriasis [58–61]. Corticosteroids (drug

target: NR3C1) and the aminosalicylates (drug target: PTGS2 and ALOX5)  are current line

of drugs used in treatment of several IMIDs [62]. Plerixafor (drug target : CXCR4) is a drug

currently used in cancer (lymphoma and multiple myeloma), after stem cell transplantation to

initiate migration of stem cells in the bloodstream [63]. This drug is now in clinical trials

(NCT01413100) to be evaluated for use after autologous transplant in patients with SSc. Such

trials may potentially be extended to other IMIDs like psoriasis, CD, RA, and UC, that are

driven by CXCR4 mediated dysregulation of immune system.

**4.  Discussion**

Despite decades of experimental data, the knowledge on key cell types that are involved in

pathogenesis of the disease still remains limited. To address this gap, we used the *immunome*

comprising 40 immune cells, the disease-gene network and computational methods to

identify the important DACs and DAGs of the disease. The integration of these parts resulted
in the novel mechanisms being captured by our method, using which we built a tool called
the DIME.  Here, we highlight the important DACs, DAGs, and common mechanisms
captured using DIME for 12 phenotypically different IMIDs. Using DIME, the top DACs
were found to be $CD4^+$ Treg, $CD4^+$ Th1, and NK in the inflammatory arthritis (AS, PsA, and
RA); neutrophils, granulocytes and $BDCA1^+CD14^+$ cells in SLE and SSc; ILC2, NK, $CD4^+$
Th1, and $CD4^+$ Treg in the IBDs.
Lymphoid cells such as $CD4^+$ Th1, $CD4^+$ Treg and NK cells were found to be the key players
in inflammatory arthritis (AS, PsA and RA) and IBD (CD and UC). These diseases have been
reported to have an intricate cross play of the above lymphoid cells, where the NK cells
influence the differentiation of $CD4^+$ Th cells into $CD4^+$ Th1 and $CD4^+$ Tregs; $CD4^+$ Th1
plays a key role in initiation of inflammation by cytokine production; the $CD4^+$ Tregs are
crucial for immune response modulation [64]. Interestingly, the top DAGs of these diseases
show pathways associated to signaling of IL-4 and IL-13 that are crucial in this cross play,
thus corroborating the results from DIME.
Although, our analysis excluded HLA genes to avoid myeloid and B cell bias, the IMIDs
associated with the HLA-B27, such as psoriasis, AS, and IBDs were found to have
statistically significant common-cell gene networks. However, PsA (also associated with
HLA-B27) is not included here, since it did not have statistically significant common-cell
gene network with any of the IMIDs (Figure 5B). Additionally, AS and RA, the two
inflammatory arthritis with joint inflammation as the primary feature, also had statistically
significant common-cell gene network. Thus, showing that the diseases with these shared
clinical features also had common mechanisms as identified by DIME. The common
mechanisms from these networks revealed several lymphoid and myeloid cells, and their
expressing DAGs. The lymphoid cells such as $CD4^+$ Th1, $CD4^+$ Treg, and NK was
predominant in all the statistically significant common-cell gene networks, showing that these
diseases were indeed driven largely by the aggressive T-cell response [31–33,43]. Pathways
such as interleukin (IL-4 and IL-13), TLR, TCR signaling, etc., was found to be enriched in
the top DAGs of the common cell-gene networks of these IMIDs. Thus, the common cell-
gene network revealed several common mechanisms between the diseases in accordance to
the top DACs, DAGs, and their associated pathways.
We used the information of the common mechanism from the common cell-gene network and
the drug-gene networks to propose potential drug targets for repurposing. This novel
computational drug repurposing strategy, a combination of target-based (literature drug-gene

network) and mechanism-based (inferred from DIME) revealed several potential drug targets
such as IL1B, IL6R, ITGAL, PTGS2, TYK2, NFKB1, NLRP3, PRKCQ, PTGER4, PTPN2,
RELA, SH2B3, SMAD3, TLR2, TLR4, and TREM1. Further, we used these mechanism-
based drug targets from DIME and the FDA approved drug-gene network to propose several
drug targets and their drugs that could expedite the drug repurposing process (Table 1). Thus,
we were able to capture drugs targets and their drugs that are currently being targeted or
being explored for use in therapy for the IMIDs. We also found a few novel targets such as
the drug lifitegrast (used for dry eyes) for CD, UC, AS and RA as an alternative to other
integrin-based therapies already in use for CD. Lifitegrast is particularly interesting because it
targets ITGAL, which was found to be important in the lymphoid cell cluster of CD, UC, AS
and RA. Thus, effectively targeting the same mechanism. Perhaps the effect of lifitegrast on
down-regulating lymphoid cell mediated inflammation [65] could be used in these diseases.
Although, Lifitegrast is currently available as eye drops and used to treat only eye
complications, different formulations of this drug can be explored to treat CD, UC, AS and
RA. So far, to our knowledge, the use of drug lifitegrast in the axis of ITGAL, for the
treatment of CD, UC, AS and RA has not been explored. Thus, using DIME, we were able to
propose a novel drug repurposing strategy from the analysis of the 12 IMIDs.

**5. Conclusions**

Thus, DIME was helpful in identifying: 1. top DACs, DAGs of the IMIDs, 2. Common
mechanisms between the IMIDs, and 3. drug targets for repurposing. To enable DIME
analysis for other diseases from the DisGeNet, the GWAS network and also for user defined
set of genes, we built the DIME tool as a user-friendly shinyapp. We believe that this tool
will aid scientist to increase the understanding of disease pathology and facilitate drug
development by better determining drug targets, thereby mitigating risk of failure in late
clinical development.

**Data availability**

All data presented here are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Code availability**

DIME tool is available on https://bitbucket.org/systemsimmunology/dime.

**Author contributions**

AD and AP were involved in the conception of the study. AD was involved in the data curation, visualization and R shiny package development. AD and AP were involved in the data analysis and interpretation. AD and AP drafted the manuscript. TR helped in writing and revising the manuscript, and discussions about clinical perspective. All the authors revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content and approved the submitted version.

**Declaration of competing interests**

The authors declare no potential competing interests.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors thank Ajinkya Kadu for sharing his expertise in linear algebra and multivariate analysis. AP would like to acknowledge the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO; Grant number 016.Veni.178.027) for financial support.

**References**

[1]   Y. V Sun, Y.-J. Hu, Integrative Analysis of Multi-omics Data for Discovery and Functional Studies of Complex Human Diseases., Adv. Genet. 93 (2016) 147–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.adgen.2015.11.004.

[2]   Y. Li, P. Agarwal, A Pathway-Based View of Human Diseases and Disease Relationships, PLoS One. 4 (2009) e4346. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004346.

[3]   J.G. Camp, R. Platt, B. Treutlein, Mapping human cell phenotypes to genotypes with single-cell genomics, Science (80-. ). 365 (2019) 1401–1405. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax6648.

[4]   O. Rozenblatt-Rosen, M.J.T. Stubbington, A. Regev, S.A. Teichmann, The Human Cell Atlas: from vision to reality, Nature. 550 (2017) 451–453. https://doi.org/10.1038/550451a.

[5]   J. Lonsdale, J. Thomas, M. Salvatore, R. Phillips, E. Lo, S. Shad, et al., The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project, Nat. Genet. 45 (2013) 580–585. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2653.

[6]   K.G. Ardlie, D.S. Deluca, A. V. Segre, T.J. Sullivan, T.R. Young, E.T. Gelfand, et al., The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans, Science (80-. ). 348 (2015) 648–660. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1262110.

[7]   GTEx Consortium, Laboratory, Data Analysis &Coordinating Center (LDACC)—Analysis Working Group, Statistical Methods groups—Analysis Working Group, Enhancing GTEx (eGTEx) groups, NIH Common Fund, NIH/NCI, et al., Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues, Nature. 550 (2017) 204–213. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24277.

[8]   I. Tirosh, M.L. Suvà, Deciphering Human Tumor Biology by Single-Cell Expression Profiling, Annu. Rev. Cancer Biol. 3 (2019) 151–166. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cancerbio-030518-055609.

[9]   H. Keren-Shaul, A. Spinrad, A. Weiner, O. Matcovitch-Natan, R. Dvir-Szternfeld, T.K. Ulland, et al., A Unique Microglia Type Associated with Restricting Development of Alzheimer's Disease, Cell. 169 (2017) 1276-1290.e17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.018.

[10]  D. Kuo, J. Ding, I.S. Cohn, F. Zhang, K. Wei, D.A. Rao, et al., HBEGF+ macrophages in rheumatoid arthritis induce fibroblast invasiveness., Sci. Transl. Med. 11 (2019)

eaau8587. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aau8587.

[11]   J.C. Knight, Genomic modulators of the immune response., Trends Genet. 29 (2013)

74–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2012.10.006.

[12]   T. Raj, K. Rothamel, S. Mostafavi, C. Ye, M.N. Lee, J.M. Replogle, et al., Polarization

of the Effects of Autoimmune and Neurodegenerative Risk Alleles in Leukocytes,

Science (80-. ). 344 (2014) 519–523. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1249547.

[13]   H. Quach, M. Rotival, J. Pothlichet, Y.-H.E. Loh, M. Dannemann, N. Zidane, et al.,

Genetic Adaptation and Neandertal Admixture Shaped the Immune System of Human

Populations, Cell. 167 (2016) 643-656.e17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.024.

[14]   M.N. Lee, C. Ye, A.-C. Villani, T. Raj, W. Li, T.M. Eisenhaure, et al., Common

Genetic Variants Modulate Pathogen-Sensing Responses in Human Dendritic Cells,

Science (80-. ). 343 (2014) 1246980–1246980.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246980.

[15]   B.P. Fairfax, P. Humburg, S. Makino, V. Naranbhai, D. Wong, E. Lau, et al., Innate

Immune Activity Conditions the Effect of Regulatory Variants upon Monocyte Gene

Expression, Science (80-. ). 343 (2014) 1246949–1246949.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246949.

[16]   L. Chen, B. Ge, F.P. Casale, L. Vasquez, T. Kwan, D. Garrido-Martín, et al., Genetic

Drivers of Epigenetic and Transcriptional Variation in Human Immune Cells, Cell.

167 (2016) 1398-1414.e24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.026.

[17]   B.J. Schmiedel, D. Singh, A. Madrigal, A.G. Valdovino-Gonzalez, B.M. White, J.

Zapardiel-Gonzalo, et al., Impact of Genetic Polymorphisms on Human Immune Cell

Gene Expression, Cell. 175 (2018) 1701-1715.e16.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2018.10.022.

[18]   H.-Y. Yap, S. Tee, M. Wong, S.-K. Chow, S.-C. Peh, S.-Y. Teow, Pathogenic Role of

Immune Cells in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Implications in Clinical Treatment and

Biomarker Development, Cells. 7 (2018) 161. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells7100161.

[19]   J. Lim, K. Kim, Genetic variants differentially associated with rheumatoid arthritis and

systemic lupus erythematosus reveal the disease-specific biology, Sci. Rep. 9 (2019)

1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39132-2.

[20]   B. Verstockt, K.G. Smith, J.C. Lee, Genome-wide association studies in Crohn's

disease: Past, present and future: Past, Clin. Transl. Immunol. 7 (2018).

https://doi.org/10.1002/cti2.1001.

[21]   J. Bentham, D.L. Morris, D.S. Cunninghame Graham, C.L. Pinder, P. Tombleson,

623  T.W. Behrens, et al., Genetic association analyses implicate aberrant regulation of

624  innate and adaptive immunity genes in the pathogenesis of systemic lupus

625  erythematosus, Nat. Genet. 47 (2015) 1457–1464. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3434.

626 [22] Y. Okada, D. Wu, G. Trynka, T. Raj, C. Terao, K. Ikari, et al., Genetics of rheumatoid

627  arthritis contributes to biology and drug discovery, Nature. 506 (2014) 376–381.

628  https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12873.

629 [23] J. Piñero, À. Bravo, N. Queralt-Rosinach, A. Gutiérrez-Sacristán, J. Deu-Pons, E.

630  Centeno, et al., DisGeNET: a comprehensive platform integrating information on

631  human disease-associated genes and variants, Nucleic Acids Res. 45 (2017) D833–

632  D839. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw943.

633 [24] J.-P. Brunet, P. Tamayo, T.R. Golub, J.P. Mesirov, Metagenes and molecular pattern

634  discovery using matrix factorization., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101 (2004) 4164–

635  9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308531101.

636 [25] O. Bodenreider, The Unified Medical Language System (UMLS): Integrating

637  biomedical terminology, Nucleic Acids Res. 32 (2004) D267.

638  https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh061.

639 [26] K.C. Cotto, A.H. Wagner, Y.-Y. Feng, S. Kiwala, A.C. Coffman, G. Spies, et al.,

640  DGIdb 3.0: a redesign and expansion of the drug–gene interaction database, Nucleic

641  Acids Res. 46 (2018) D1068–D1073. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1143.

642 [27] A. Subramanian, R. Narayan, S.M. Corsello, D.D. Peck, T.E. Natoli, X. Lu, et al., A

643  Next Generation Connectivity Map: L1000 Platform and the First 1,000,000 Profiles,

644  Cell. 171 (2017) 1437-1452.e17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.049.

645 [28] Z. Xie, S. Hu, S. Blackshaw, H. Zhu, J. Qian, hPDI: A database of experimental

646  human protein-DNA interactions, Bioinformatics. 26 (2010).

647  https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp631.

648 [29] R.D. Armstrong, G.S. Panayi, K.I. Welsh, Histocompatibility antigens in psoriasis,

649  psoriatic arthropathy, and ankylosing spondylitis, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 42 (1983) 142–

650  146. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.42.2.142.

651 [30] D. McGonagle, W. Gibbon, P. Emery, Classification of inflammatory arthritis by

652  enthesitis, Lancet. 352 (1998) 1137–1140. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-

653  6736(97)12004-9.

654 [31] M. Vecellio, C.J. Cohen, A.R. Roberts, P.B. Wordsworth, T.J. Kenna, RUNX3 and T-

655  bet in immunopathogenesis of ankylosing spondylitis - Novel targets for therapy?,

656  Front. Immunol. 10 (2019) 3132. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.03132.

[32]  A. Rezaiemanesh, M. Abdolmaleki, K. Abdolmohammadi, H. Aghaei, F.D. Pakdel, Y.
Fatahi, et al., Immune cells involved in the pathogenesis of ankylosing spondylitis,
Biomed. Pharmacother. 100 (2018) 198–204.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.01.108.

[33]  D.J. Veale, C. Ritchlin, O. FitzGerald, Immunopathology of psoriasis and psoriatic
arthritis, in: Ann. Rheum. Dis., BMJ Publishing Group Ltd, 2005: pp. ii26–ii29.
https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2004.031740.

[34]  M. Fröhling, T. Vogl, K. Loser, P. Paruzel, P. Blackshear, D. Stumpo, et al., A1.30 A
key role of S100A9 in the pathogenesis of psoriatic arthritis in TTP/S100 deficient
mice, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 75 (2016) A13.1-A13. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-
2016-209124.30.

[35]  X.X. Hu, Y. jing Wu, J. Zhang, W. Wei, T-cells interact with B cells, dendritic cells,
and fibroblast-like synoviocytes as hub-like key cells in rheumatoid arthritis, Int.
Immunopharmacol. 70 (2019) 428–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2019.03.008.

[36]  A.P. Cope, H. Schulze-Koops, M. Aringer, The central role of T cells in rheumatoid
arthritis, Clin. Exp. Rheumatol. 25 (2007).

[37]  R. Cascão, H.S. Rosário, M.M. Souto-Carneiro, J.E. Fonseca, Neutrophils in
rheumatoid arthritis: More than simple final effectors, Autoimmun. Rev. 9 (2010) 531–
535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2009.12.013.

[38]  I.A. Udalova, A. Mantovani, M. Feldmann, Macrophage heterogeneity in the context
of rheumatoid arthritis, Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 12 (2016) 472–485.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2016.91.

[39]  S. Assassi, M.D. Mayes, F.C. Arnett, P. Gourh, S.K. Agarwal, T.A. McNearney, et al.,
Systemic sclerosis and lupus: Points in an interferon-mediated continuum, Arthritis
Rheum. 62 (2010) 589–598. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.27224.

[40]  B. Matta, B.J. Barnes, Coordination between innate immune cells, type I IFNs and
IRF5 drives SLE pathogenesis, Cytokine. 132 (2020) 154731.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2019.05.018.

[41]  S.E. Vaughn, L.C. Kottyan, M.E. Munroe, J.B. Harley, Genetic susceptibility to lupus:
the biological basis of genetic risk found in B cell signaling pathways, J. Leukoc. Biol.
92 (2012) 577–591. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0212095.

[42]  A. Van Caam, M. Vonk, F. Van Den Hoogen, P. Van Lent, P. Van Der Kraan,
Unraveling SSc pathophysiology; The myofibroblast, Front. Immunol. 9 (2018) 2452.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02452.

[43]   R.B. Sartor, Mechanisms of disease: Pathogenesis of Crohn's disease and ulcerative
colitis, Nat. Clin. Pract. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 3 (2006) 390–407.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncpgasthep0528.

[44]   K. Park, A review of computational drug repurposing, Transl. Clin. Pharmacol. 27
(2019) 59. https://doi.org/10.12793/tcp.2019.27.2.59.

[45]   H. Ito, M. Takazoe, Y. Fukuda, T. Hibi, K. Kusugami, A. Andoh, et al., A pilot
randomized trial of a human anti-interleukin-6 receptor monoclonal antibody in active
Crohn's disease, Gastroenterology. 126 (2004) 989–996.
https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2004.01.012.

[46]   Y.-C. Tsai, T.-F. Tsai, Anti-interleukin and interleukin therapies for psoriasis: current
evidence and clinical usefulness., Ther. Adv. Musculoskelet. Dis. 9 (2017) 277–294.
https://doi.org/10.1177/1759720X17735756.

[47]   R. Alten, J. Gomez-Reino, P. Durez, A. Beaulieu, A. Sebba, G. Krammer, et al.,
Efficacy and safety of the human anti-IL-1beta monoclonal antibody canakinumab in
rheumatoid arthritis: Results of a 12-week, phase II, dose-finding study, BMC
Musculoskelet. Disord. 12 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-12-153.

[48]   H. Haibel, M. Rudwaleit, J. Listing, J. Sieper, Open label trial of anakinra in active
ankylosing spondylitis over 24 weeks, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 64 (2005) 296–298.
https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2004.023176.

[49]   B. Hügle, F. Speth, J.-P. Haas, Inflammatory bowel disease following anti-interleukin-
1-treatment in systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis, Pediatr. Rheumatol. 15 (2017) 16.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12969-017-0147-3.

[50]   M. Merashli, G. De Marco, M. Podgorski, D. McGonagle, H. Marzo-Ortega, Evidence
of response to IL-6 inhibition in some cases of refractory spondyloarthritis associated
peripheral synovitis, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 75 (2016) 1418–1420.
https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-209275.

[51]   S. Danese, S. Vermeire, P. Hellstern, R. Panaccione, G. Rogler, G. Fraser, et al.,
Randomised trial and open-label extension study of an anti-interleukin-6 antibody in
Crohn's disease (ANDANTE I and II), Gut. 68 (2019) 40–48.
https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314562.

[52]   N. Nishimoto, J. Hashimoto, N. Miyasaka, K. Yamamoto, S. Kawai, T. Takeuchi, et
al., Study of active controlled monotherapy used for rheumatoid arthritis, an IL-6
inhibitor (SAMURAI): Evidence of clinical and radiographic benefit from an x ray
reader-blinded randomised controlled trial of tocilizumab, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 66 (2007)

1162–1167. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2006.068064.

[53]    A. Blauvelt, IL-6 Differs from TNF-α: Unpredicted Clinical Effects Caused by IL-6

Blockade in Psoriasis, J. Invest. Dermatol. 137 (2017) 541–542.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2016.11.022.

[54]    R. Atreya, U. Billmeier, T. Rath, J. Mudter, M. Vieth, H. Neumann, et al., First case

report of exacerbated ulcerative colitis after anti-interleukin-6R salvage therapy, World

J. Gastroenterol. 21 (2015) 12963–12969. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i45.12963.

[55]    K. Ley, J. Rivera-Nieves, W.J. Sandborn, S. Shattil, Integrin-based therapeutics:

biological basis, clinical use and new drugs., Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 15 (2016) 173–

83. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd.2015.10.

[56]    D. Ellinghaus, L. Jostins, S.L. Spain, A. Cortes, J. Bethune, B. Han, et al., Analysis of

five chronic inflammatory diseases identifies 27 new associations and highlights

disease-specific patterns at shared loci, Nat. Genet. 48 (2016) 510–518.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3528.

[57]    C.N. Bernstein, M. Sargent, E. Rector, Alteration in expression of beta 2 integrins on

lamina propria lymphocytes in ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease., Clin. Immunol.

104 (2002) 67–72. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12139949 (accessed

November 5, 2019).

[58]    D. Van Der Heijde, A. Deodhar, J.C. Wei, E. Drescher, D. Fleishaker, T. Hendrikx, et

al., Tofacitinib in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: A phase II, 16-week,

randomised, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 76 (2017)

1340–1347. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210322.

[59]    G. Rogler, JAK efficacy in Crohn's disease, J. Crohn's Colitis. (2019).

https://doi.org/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjz186.

[60]    S. Dhillon, Tofacitinib: A Review in Rheumatoid Arthritis, Drugs. 77 (2017) 1987–

2001. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-017-0835-9.

[61]    F. Tian, Z. Chen, T. Xu, Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib for the treatment of chronic

plaque psoriasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis, J. Int. Med. Res. 47 (2019)

2342–2350. https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060519847414.

[62]    A.K. Akobeng, Crohn's disease: current treatment options., Arch. Dis. Child. 93

(2008) 787–92. https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2007.128751.

[63]    K. Hübel, M.M. Fresen, H. Salwender, N. Basara, R. Beier, S. Theurich, et al.,

Plerixafor with and without chemotherapy in poor mobilizers: results from the German

compassionate use program, Bone Marrow Transplant. 46 (2011) 1045–1052.

759            https://doi.org/10.1038/bmt.2010.249.

760   [64]  E. Gianchecchi, D.V. Delfino, A. Fierabracci, NK cells in autoimmune diseases:

761            Linking innate and adaptive immune responses, Autoimmun. Rev. 17 (2018) 142–154.

762            https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2017.11.018.

763   [65]  V.L. Perez, S.C. Pflugfelder, S. Zhang, A. Shojaei, R. Haque, Lifitegrast, a Novel

764            Integrin Antagonist for Treatment of Dry Eye Disease, Ocul. Surf. 14 (2016) 207–215.

765            https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtos.2016.01.001.

**Figure legends**

**Figure 1**. DAGs of IMIDs: **A**. UpSet plot showing the intersection of DAGs of all

comparisons of IMIDs. Comparisons shown only for those disease that have at least 1

intersecting DAG between them. **B**. Barplot showing number of DAGs in each IMID. **C**.

Heatmap depicting Jaccard index and Fisher exact test (FET) p-value calculated for each

IMID comparison. Fisher exact test (FET) p-value denoted by * ( *** ≤ 0.001, ** ≤ 0.01, and

* ≤ 0.05). **D**. Gene expression of TNFAIP3. **E**. Heatmap depicting gene expression of the 12

genes common to all 12 IMIDs. Gene expression values are measured in log2(cpm+1), cpm

denotes counts per million.

**Figure 2**. DIME analysis of inflammatory arthritis: DIME heatmaps and pathway enrichment

analysis for the top 25 percentile DAG identified by DIME for ankylosing spondylitis (**A, E**),

psoriatic arthritis (**B, F**), rheumatoid arthritis (**C, G**) and arthritis (**D, H**) respectively. The top

10 DAGs based on the DAG score are labelled in the DIME heatmap.

**Figure 3**. DIME analysis of systemic diseases: DIME heatmaps and pathway enrichment

analysis for the top 25 percentile DAG identified by DIME for SLE (**A, C**), and SSc (**B, D**)

respectively.

**Figure 4**. DIME analysis of IBDs: DIME heatmaps and pathway enrichment analysis for the

top 25 percentile DAG identified by DIME for Crohn's disease (**A, C**), and ulcerative colitis

(**B, D**) respectively.

**Figure 5**. Common mechanisms between IMIDs. **A**. Steps involved in DIME based drug

repurposing using the common cell-gene network. **B**. Jaccard index and FET calculated for

the common cell-gene between two diseases for all disease comparisons. Fisher exact test

(FET) p-value denoted by * ( *** ≤ 0.001, ** ≤ 0.01, and * ≤ 0.05). Common cell-gene

network of **C**. Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. **D**. Crohn's disease and rheumatoid

arthritis. **E**. ankylosing spondylitis and rheumatoid arthritis. The cells are shown in blue.

Color of the DAG is based on the mean gene expression of the DAG in the corresponding

DACs. DAGs that are drug targets have a green border.

**Table 1**: The top DAGs (from **Figure 5E-F**) that are drug targets along with their FDA

approved drugs.

| Drug targets (DAGs) | Diseases | Drugs |
|---|---|---|
| IL1B | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | canakinumab, rilonacept, anakinra |
| IL6R | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | tocilizumab |
| ITGAL | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | lifitegrast |
| TYK2 | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | tofacitinib_citrate |
| PSMB9 | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis | bortezomib, carfilzomib, ixazomib_citrate |
| DNMT3A | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Ulcerative colitis | azacitidine, decitabine |
| HDAC7 | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Ulcerative colitis | belinostat, panobinostat_lactate, romidepsin |
| JAK2 | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Ulcerative colitis | baricitinib, ruxolitinib_phosphate, tofacitinib_citrate |
| PTGS2 | Ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn disease, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | acetaminophen, aminosalicylate_potassium, aminosalicylate_sodium, aspirin, balsalazide_disodium, bismuth_subsalicylate, bromfenac_sodium, carprofen, diclofenac, diclofenac_epolamine, diclofenac_potassium, diclofenac_sodium, diflunisal, etodolac, etoricoxib, fenoprofen_calcium, flurbiprofen, flurbiprofen_sodium, ibuprofen, ibuprofen_lysine, ibuprofen_sodium, indomethacin, indomethacin_sodium, ketoprofen, ketorolac_tromethamine, meclofenamate_sodium, meloxicam, mesalamine, nabumetone, naproxen, naproxen_etemesil, naproxen_sodium, nepafenac, olsalazine_sodium, oxaprozin, oxaprozin_potassium, piroxicam, sulfasalazine, sulindac, tolmetin_sodium |
| BCL2 | Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | venetoclax |
| CXCR4 | Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | plerixafor |
| IL4R | Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | dupilumab |
| IL17RA | Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis | brodalumab |
| ITGB2 | Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis | lifitegrast |

| PSMD7 | Crohn disease, Psoriasis, Rheumatoid arthritis | bortezomib, carfilzomib, ixazomib_citrate |
|---|---|---|
| CD86 | Crohn disease, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | abatacept, belatacept |
| CSF2RA | Crohn disease, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | sargramostim |
| NR3C1 | Crohn disease, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | alclometasone_dipropionate, amcinonide, beclomethasone_dipropionate, betamethasone, betamethasone_acetate, betamethasone_benzoate, betamethasone_dipropionate, betamethasone_sodium_phosphate, betamethasone_valerate, budesonide, ciclesonide, clobetasol_propionate, clocortolone_pivalate, cortisone_acetate, deflazacort, desonide, desoximetasone, dexamethasone, dexamethasone_acetate, dexamethasone_sodium_phosphate, diflorasone_diacetate, difluprednate, flumethasone_pivalate, flunisolide, fluocinonide, fluorometholone, fluorometholone_acetate, fluprednisolone, flurandrenolide, fluticasone_furoate, fluticasone_propionate, halcinonide, hydrocortamate_hydrochloride, hydrocortisone, hydrocortisone_acetate, hydrocortisone_butyrate, hydrocortisone_cypionate, hydrocortisone_probutate, hydrocortisone_sodium_phosphate, hydrocortisone_sodium_succinate, hydrocortisone_valerate, loteprednol_etabonate, medrysone, meprednisone, methylprednisolone, methylprednisolone_acetate, methylprednisolone_sodium_succinate, mifepristone, mometasone_furoate, paramethasone_acetate, prednicarbate, prednisolone, prednisolone_acetate, prednisolone_sodium_phosphate, prednisolone_tebutate, prednisone, rimexolone, triamcinolone, triamcinolone_acetonide, triamcinolone_diacetate, triamcinolone_hexacetonide |
| P4HB | Crohn disease, Rheumatoid arthritis, Ulcerative colitis | lomitapide_mesylate |
| IL2RB | Ankylosing spondylitis, Rheumatoid arthritis | basiliximab, daclizumab |
| IL2RG | Ankylosing spondylitis, Rheumatoid arthritis | basiliximab, daclizumab |
| PSMB8 | Ankylosing spondylitis, Rheumatoid arthritis | bortezomib, carfilzomib, ixazomib_citrate |
| ALOX5 | Crohn disease, Rheumatoid arthritis | balsalazide_disodium, meclofenamate_sodium, mesalamine, olsalazine_sodium, sulfasalazine, zileuton |

800    **Supplementary Table 1**: GEO datasets and samples used to construct the immunome.

**A** Lupus erythematosus, systemic

**B** Systemic scleroderma

**C** Lupus erythematosus, systemic

Signaling by Interleukins
Interleukin-4 and Interleukin-13 signaling
Neutrophil degranulation
Cell surface interactions at the vascular wall
Toll-like Receptor Cascades
Immunoregulatory interactions between a Lymphoid and a non-Lymphoid cell
The role of Nef in HIV-1 replication and disease pathogenesis
Translocation of ZAP-70 to Immunological synapse
CD28 dependent Vav1 pathway

Rank_1 (197)   Rank_2 (47)

p.adjust
GeneRatio

**D** Systemic scleroderma

Signaling by Interleukins
Interleukin-4 and Interleukin-13 signaling
TGF-beta receptor signaling activates SMADs
Nucleotide-binding domain, leucine rich repeat containing receptor (NLR) signaling pathways
Inflammasomes
Interleukin-10 signaling
Extracellular matrix organization
Degradation of the extracellular matrix

Rank_1 (83)   Rank_2 (68)

p.adjust
GeneRatio

**A** Crohn's disease

**B** Ulcerative colitis

**C** Crohn's disease

**D** Ulcerative colitis