1 **Assembly of a young vertebrate Y chromosome reveals convergent signatures of sex**
2 **chromosome evolution**
3
4

Catherine L. Peichel[1,2]
Shaugnessy R. McCann[1]
Joseph A. Ross[1,3]
Alice F. S. Naftaly[4]
James R. Urton[1,3]
Jennifer N. Cech[1,3]
Jane Grimwood[5]
Jeremy Schmutz[5]
Richard M. Myers[5]
David M. Kingsley[6]
Michael A. White[1,4]
16
[1]Divisions of Human Biology and Basic Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center,
Seattle, Washington 98109, USA
[2]Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
[3]Graduate Program in Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Washington, Seattle,
Washington 98195, USA
[4]Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens 30602, Georgia, USA
[5]HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, Alabama 35806, USA
[6]Department of Developmental Biology and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University
School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, USA
26
27
28 Corresponding authors:
29
Catherine L. Peichel
Institute of Ecology and Evolution
University of Bern
Baltzerstrasse 6
3012 Bern, Switzerland
catherine.peichel@iee.unibe.ch
36
Michael A. White
Department of Genetics
University of Georgia
120 Green St.
Athens, GA 30601
USA
whitem@uga.edu
44
45

46 **Running title**: Threespine stickleback Y chromosome assembly
47
48 **Keywords:** threespine stickleback, Y chromosome, gene duplication, sex determination
49

Abstract

Heteromorphic sex chromosomes have evolved repeatedly across diverse species. Suppression of recombination between X and Y chromosomes leads to rapid degeneration of the Y chromosome. However, these early stages of degeneration are not well understood, as complete Y chromosome sequence assemblies have only been generated across a handful of taxa with ancient sex chromosomes. Here we describe the assembly of the threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) Y chromosome, which is less than 26 million years old. Our previous work identified that the non-recombining region between the X and the Y spans ~17.5 Mb on the X chromosome. Here, we combined long-read PacBio sequencing with a Hi-C-based proximity guided assembly to generate a 15.87 Mb assembly of the Y chromosome. Our assembly is concordant with cytogenetic maps and Sanger sequences of over 90 Y chromosome clones from a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library. We found three evolutionary strata on the Y chromosome, consistent with the three inversions identified by our previous cytogenetic analyses. The young threespine stickleback Y shows convergence with older sex chromosomes in the retention of haploinsufficient genes and the accumulation of genes with testis-biased expression, many of which are recent duplicates. However, we found no evidence for large amplicons found in other sex chromosome systems. We also report an excellent candidate for the master sex-determination gene: a translocated copy of *Amh* (*Amhy*). Together, our work shows that the same evolutionary forces shaping older sex chromosomes can cause remarkably rapid changes in the overall genetic architecture on young Y chromosomes.

Introduction

Sex chromosomes evolve from autosomal ancestors when recombination is suppressed between the homologous pairs (reviewed in Bachtrog 2013). Thus, sex chromosomes are an intriguing region of the genome to understand how mutations and repetitive DNA accumulate in the absence of recombination and how gene content evolves once a chromosome becomes sex-

limited. Y chromosomes were once thought to be an evolutionary dead end, inevitably losing

functional gene copies across the entire chromosome as deleterious mutations quickly

accumulate (Griffin 2012). Contrary to this expectation, assembly of multiple mammalian Y

chromosome sequences (Skaletsky et al. 2003; Hughes et al. 2010; 2012; Bellott et al. 2014; Soh

et al. 2014), the chicken W chromosome (Bellott et al. 2017), and an invertebrate Y chromosome

(Mahajan et al. 2018) has revealed that the sequence of the sex-limited chromosome is much

more dynamic, punctuated by gene gains and losses, rather than becoming entirely degenerated.

Although short-read sequencing of sex chromosomes has yielded insight into how

ancestral single-copy genes have evolved between X and Y chromosomes (e.g. Zhou et al. 2014;

Papadopulos et al. 2015; White et al. 2015), these approaches cannot be used to study how Y

chromosomes have structurally evolved. Short-reads cannot span many of the lengthy repeat

units characteristic of Y chromosomes, leading to a collapse of these regions during the assembly

process. Because of the inherent difficulty in assembling these highly repetitive regions of the

genome, Y chromosomes have been omitted from many reference genome assemblies. The few

existing reference Y chromosome assemblies were constructed through labor intensive, iterative

Sanger sequencing of large inserts from bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries (Skaletsky

et al. 2003; Hughes et al. 2010; 2012; Soh et al. 2014), recently supplemented by a combination

of Pacific Biosciences long-read sequencing, chromatin interaction maps, and optical mapping

(Mahajan et al. 2018).

Through these assemblies, two classes of genes have been identified on ancient sex

chromosomes. The first are dosage-sensitive genes that were present in the common ancestor

of both chromosomes and have been maintained as single copies on the Y chromosome across

multiple mammalian lineages (Bellott et al. 2014; Cortez et al. 2014) as well as on the

degenerating W chromosome of birds (Bellott et al. 2017). The second are genes that exist in

high copy number families on Y chromosomes and generally have gene expression patterns

restricted to the testes, suggesting roles in spermatogenesis (Skaletsky et al. 2003; Murphy et al.

2006; Hughes et al. 2010; Paria et al. 2011; Soh et al. 2014; Janečka et al. 2018). It is clear that

the genetic architecture of sex chromosomes can be shaped by multiple processes over long

evolutionary time scales. However, in many species sex chromosomes can be much younger,

due to the frequent turnover of the linkage groups controlling sex determination (e.g. Ross et al.

2009; Kitano and Peichel 2012; Bachtrog et al. 2014; Blackmon and Demuth 2014; Myosho et al.

2015; Jeffries et al. 2018). Reference assemblies of young sex chromosomes are largely absent,

with the exception of the young neo-Y chromosome assembly of *Drosophila miranda* (Mahajan et

al. 2018; Ellison and Bachtrog 2019), making it unclear whether the genetic architecture of newly

evolving sex chromosomes is rapidly shaped by these evolutionary forces or if it is a phenomenon

unique to ancient sex chromosomes.

The threespine stickleback fish (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) is an excellent model system to

explore the early structural evolution of sex chromosomes. Although the threespine stickleback

has a high-quality reference genome assembly (Jones et al. 2012) that has gone through multiple

iterations of refinement (Roesti et al. 2013; Glazer et al. 2015; Peichel et al. 2017), the assembly

was derived from a female fish, precluding the Y chromosome from assembly. The threespine

stickleback has a relatively young X/Y sex chromosome system that is shared across the

Gasterosteus genus but not with other species in the Gasterosteidae family and therefore evolved

less than 26 million years ago (Bell et al. 2009; Kitano et al. 2009; Ross et al. 2009; Varadharajan

et al. 2019) (compared to the Y chromosome of mammals that evolved ~180 million years ago

(Bellott et al. 2014; Cortez et al. 2014)). Crossing over is suppressed between the X and Y

chromosomes over a majority of their length, resulting in an approximately 2.5 Mb

pseudoautosomal region of the 20.6 Mb X chromosome (Roesti et al. 2013). The region of

suppressed crossing over is coincident with three pericentric inversions that differentiate the X

and Y chromosomes (Ross and Peichel 2008). Illumina-based sequencing suggested the non-

crossover region on the Y chromosome was composed of two differently aged evolutionary strata,

the oldest of which retained genes that were predicted to be haploinsufficient (White et al. 2015),

similar to mammals. However, all studies in threespine stickleback have relied on mapping short-

reads to the reference X chromosome, limiting our understanding to regions conserved between

the X and Y. It has not yet been possible to explore how unique structure and sequence is evolving

across this young Y chromosome.

Here, we report the first high-quality reference assembly of a young vertebrate Y

chromosome. We combined high-coverage, long-read sequencing with chromatin conformation

capture sequencing (Hi-C) to assemble a full scaffold of the threespine stickleback Y

chromosome. Our assembly is completely concordant with more than 90 Sanger sequenced

inserts from a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library and with a known cytogenetic map

(Ross and Peichel 2008). Throughout the male-specific region we have identified several novel

sequence and structural characteristics that parallel patterns observed on more ancient sex

chromosome systems. The young sex chromosome of threespine stickleback is a useful model

system to understand how the genetic architecture of sex-limited chromosomes initially evolves.

Results

De novo assembly of the threespine stickleback Y chromosome

We used high-coverage PacBio long-read sequencing to assemble a threespine

stickleback genome from a male fish of the Paxton Lake Benthic population (British Columbia,

Canada). Raw read coverage was approximately 75.25x across the genome (34.84 Gb total

sequence) (Supplemental Table 1). The longest raw PacBio reads were assembled using the

Canu pipeline, refined by Arrow, resulting in a primary contig assembly of 622.30 Mb across 3,593

contigs (Supplemental Table 1). This assembly size was considerably larger than the Hi-C revised

threespine stickleback female genome assembly (463.04 Mb including autosomes and X

chromosome) (Jones et al. 2012; Glazer et al. 2015; Peichel et al. 2017). The increased assembly

length was largely due to heterozygous loci being separated into individual alleles (haplotigs).

3,134 contigs (574.67 Mb) of the total Canu assembly aligned to 442.41 Mb of autosomes in the

reference assembly. Only 129 contigs partially aligned to the genome (less than 25% of the contig

length aligned; 10.15 Mb) and 148 contigs did not align at all to the genome (3.58 Mb). We

collapsed 118.89 Mb of haplotigs, reducing the 574.67 Mb alignment to 455.78 Mb of non-

redundant sequence across the autosomes, an estimate closer to the 442.41 Mb of autosomes

in the female reference genome assembly.

We targeted Y-linked contigs in the Canu assembly by identifying contigs that shared

sequence homology with the reference X chromosome or did not align to the autosomes. In the

youngest region of the threespine stickleback sex chromosomes (the previously identified stratum

two), the X and Y chromosomes still share considerable sequence homology. However, within

this stratum, heterozygosity is even higher than what is observed across the autosomes (White

et al. 2015). Based on this divergence, Canu should separate X- and Y-linked contigs during the

initial assembly process. Contigs aligned to the X chromosome formed a distribution of sequence

identity that was not unimodal, reflecting the presence of both X- and Y-linked contigs

(Supplemental Figure 1). Setting a sequence identity threshold of 96% resulted in a set of 114 X-

linked contigs that totaled 21.26 Mb, compared to the previous 20.62 Mb X chromosome

reference assembly. There were 68 putative Y-linked contigs that had a sequence identity less

than or equal to 96%, totaling 12.64 Mb. The oldest region of the Y chromosome (stratum 1)

contains many regions that have either been deleted or have diverged to such an extent that

sequencing reads cannot be mapped to this region (White et al. 2015). Consequently, there may

be contigs unique to the Y chromosome that cannot be captured through alignments to the

reference X chromosome. To account for these loci, we also included the contigs that only partially

aligned to the genome (less than 25% of the contig length aligned; 129 contigs; 10.15 Mb) or did

not align at all to the genome (148 contigs; 3.58 Mb) in the set of putative Y-linked contigs (345

total contigs).

Hi-C proximity-guided assembly yielded contiguous scaffolds of the sex chromosomes

We used chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) sequencing and a proximity-guided

method to assemble the set of putative X- and Y-linked contigs into scaffolds. Using the 3D-DNA

assembler (Dudchenko et al. 2017), 105 of the 114 X-linked contigs were combined into three

main scaffolds that totaled 20.78 Mb. The scaffolds were largely colinear with the reference X

chromosome, with scaffolds one and two aligning to the pseudoautosomal region and scaffold

three mostly aligning to the remainder of the X chromosome that does not recombine with the Y

(Supplemental Figure 2).

We assembled the putative Y-linked contigs using the same process. Of the 345 total

contigs, 115 were initially combined into a single primary scaffold that totaled 17.15 Mb. We

visually inspected the Hi-C interaction map for any sign of misassembled contigs. There was a

clear mis-joining of contigs near one end of the primary scaffold, where there were fewer short-

range Hi-C interactions at the diagonal combined with an overall absence of long-range Hi-C

interactions between all of the contigs in this region and the remainder of the Y scaffold

(Supplemental Figure 3). We manually removed this cluster of contigs from the primary scaffold

(45 contigs; 1.86 Mb), resulting in an initial Y chromosome scaffold totaling 15.28 Mb across 70

contigs (Figure 1A).

Bacterial artificial chromosome library sequences are concordant with the assembled Y

chromosome

To assess the overall accuracy of our assembly, we compared our assembly to Sanger

sequenced inserts from a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library constructed from males

from the same population. Mean insert size among the 101 sequenced BAC clones was 168.13

kb, similar in size to the average contig length within the Y chromosome scaffolds (217.85 kb).

Using the BAC sequences, we were able to identify whether any of the contigs within the scaffold

contain collapsed haplotigs between the X and Y chromosome (mosaic contigs should contain

A

B

Figure 1. Hi-C chromosome conformation capture sequencing generated a single Y chromosome scaffold. (A) The contact matrix shows an enrichment of interactions between contigs in close proximity along the diagonal. Contig boundaries in the assembly are denoted by the black triangles along the diagonal. (B) Sanger sequenced BAC inserts that align concordantly throughout the scaffold are shown, with BACs that spanned gaps between contigs in orange, BACs that extended into, but did not span gaps in purple, and BACs that were contained completely within an individual contig in green.

205   reduced sequence identity when aligned to known Y chromosome BAC contigs). In addition, the

206   contig ordering across the scaffold was verified by BAC contig sequences that spanned gaps in

207   the assembly. We aligned all 101 sequenced BAC contigs to the Y chromosome scaffold and

208   found 92 of the BAC contigs aligned concordantly with the assembly (Figure 1B). These BACs

209   aligned to 40 of the 70 contigs in the assembly with a high sequence identity (7.72 Mb of non-

overlapping sequence in the 15.28 Mb assembly aligned concordantly to the BAC contigs). The remaining 9 BAC contigs that did not align concordantly indicate there are small-scale structural differences between the Canu Y chromosome assembly and the BAC clones derived from a separate Paxton Lake male threespine stickleback, either reflecting errors in the Y chromosome assembly, rearrangements in the BAC clone sequences, or true polymorphisms segregating in the Paxton Lake benthic population. Four of the discordant BACs aligned to regions of the reference Y that were greater than the Sanger sequenced length of the BAC insert, suggesting possible indels. The remaining five discordant BACs contained sub alignments with mixed orientations, suggesting possible small-scale inversions not present in our assembly.

Among the aligned BAC contigs, many provided additional sequence information, either spanning gaps between contigs in the Y chromosome assembly or extending from contigs into gaps in the assembly. Of the 92 BAC contigs that aligned concordantly, seven BAC contigs extended into five different gaps in the assembly and 35 BAC contigs spanned 18 different gaps in the assembly (26% of the total gaps in the assembly) (Figure 1B). The remainder of the aligned BAC contigs aligned completely within an individual contig in the Y assembly. We merged this additional sequence into the initial Y chromosome assembly, resulting in a merged Y chromosome scaffold that contained 52 contigs, totaling 15.78 Mb.

The Y chromosome assembly is concordant with known cytogenetic maps

The threespine stickleback Y chromosome has undergone at least three pericentric inversions relative to the X chromosome, forming a non-crossover region that spans a majority of the chromosome in males (Ross and Peichel 2008). These inversions were mapped by ordering a series of cytogenetic markers along both the X and Y chromosomes (Figure 2A). To determine whether our Y chromosome assembly was consistent with the known cytogenetic marker ordering, we used BLAST to locate the position of each marker within the assembly. We were able to locate four of the five markers used from the male-specific region in our assembly. The

position of these cytogenetic markers was concordant with our assembly (Figure 2B). The missing

marker in the non-crossover region (*STN235*) likely reflects a region of our Y reference that is not

fully assembled or it is a true deletion within the Paxton Lake benthic population, relative to the

Pacific Ocean marine population used for the cytogenetic map (Ross and Peichel 2008).

The location of the oldest region within the Y chromosome (the previously identified

stratum one) had been ambiguous. Cytogenetic markers from this region could not be hybridized

Figure 2. The threespine stickleback Y chromosome assembly is concordant with cytogenetic maps. (A) The Y chromosome has diverged from the X chromosome through a series of inversions determined through ordering of cytogenetic markers (dashed lines indicate rearrangements of the linear order of markers (Ross and Peichel 2008)). (B) Alignments of the assembled Y chromosome (left) to the X chromosome (right) reveal the same inversions in the *de novo* assembly. Stratum one is indicated by orange, stratum two is indicated by dark purple, stratum three is indicated by light purple, and the pseudoautosomal region is indicated by yellow. A majority of the pseudoautosomal region is not included in the reference Y chromosome assembly because this region was not targeted (see methods). The location of the candidate sex determination gene *(Amhy)* is indicated by the black arrow. Centromeres are shown by black circles. Positions are shown in megabases.

to the Y chromosome (Ross and Peichel 2008), suggesting this region may be largely deleted or

highly degenerated. Subsequent work using Illumina short-read sequencing revealed that some

genes from this region were still present on the Y chromosome under strong purifying selection,

but the location of these genes within the Y could not be determined by mapping reads to the X

chromosome (White et al. 2015). The cytogenetic marker, *Idh,* is located at the distal end of our

Y chromosome assembly, remarkably consistent with the placement of *Idh* in the cytogenetic map

(Ross and Peichel 2008), indicating stratum one is no longer located at the distal end of the Y

chromosome as it is on the X chromosome. Instead, we found a high density of stratum one

alignments near the boundary of the pseudoautosomal region at the opposite end of the

chromosome (Figure 2B). Within this stratum, there was an overall lower density of alignments

between the X and Y chromosome, consistent with previous patterns mapping Illumina short

reads to the reference X chromosome (White et al. 2015). The placement of stratum one in the

assembly was consistent with the hybridization of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization probes,

designed from stratum one BAC inserts. These probes clearly hybridized to the chromosome end

opposite of *Idh* (Supplemental Figure 4).

Because we were primarily focused on sequences that were highly divergent from the X

chromosome or absent from the female reference genome entirely, our strategy did not target the

pseudoautosomal region for assembly into the Y chromosome. Nevertheless, our assembly did

place a small fraction of the ~2.5 Mb pseudoautosomal region on the distal end of the male-

specific Y chromosome, adjacent to stratum one. The cytogenetic marker *STN303* was included

in this region, which is located on the opposite end of the pseudoautosomal region on the X

chromosome (Figure 2). This discordance in marker placement within the pseudoautosomal

region likely indicates a mis-assembly of the region. The pseudoautosomal region contains

repetitive sequence, complicating overall assembly of the region (see transposable elements

section). Indeed, the contigs spanning this region and *STN303* have a smaller size (five contigs;

median: 88,098 bp) than the remaining contigs within the Y chromosome or X chromosome,

consistent with highly heterozygous, repetitive sequence.

The location of centromeric repeats are concordant with a metacentric chromosome

A 186 bp centromeric AT-rich alpha satellite repeat was previously identified in female fish

by chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) (Cech and Peichel 2015).

Although this repeat hybridized strongly to autosomes and the X chromosome, there was only

weak hybridization of the probe to the Y chromosome, suggesting the Y chromosome might have

a divergent centromeric repeat and/or contain substantially less satellite DNA than the autosomes

(Cech and Peichel 2015). We used ChIP-seq with the same antibody against centromere protein

A (CENP-A) in males to identify any Y chromosome repeats. Relative to the input DNA, we found

strong enrichment of reads from the immunoprecipitation mapping to the center of the Y

chromosome assembly, indicative of CENP-A binding (Figure 3A; Supplemental Figure 5). The

enrichment was located between cytogenetic markers *STN187* and *WT1A*, consistent with the

predicted location of the centromere in the cytogenetic map and the metacentric chromosome

morphology in karyotypes (Ross and Peichel 2008). These results further confirm the ordering of

contigs within our Y chromosome scaffold.

Underlying the CENP-A peak, we found a core centromere AT-rich repeat. We identified

14 copies of the repeat in our Y chromosome assembly, which shared an average pairwise

sequence identity of 84.6% with the core repeat that hybridized to the remainder of the genome

(Cech and Peichel 2015) (Supplemental Figure 6). The repeats fell at the edges of a gap,

indicating that a majority of the repeats were not assembled into our primary scaffold. Uneven

coverage signal in Hi-C libraries from repetitive DNA can trigger the 3D-DNA assembler to remove

these regions from contigs during the editing step (Durand et al. 2016; Dudchenko et al. 2017).

Consistent with this, both contigs that flanked the centromere gap in the Y chromosome assembly

had additional sequence that was removed by the 3D-DNA pipeline as "debris." The first contig

that was adjacent to the gap (contig 11894) contained six copies of the repeat and had an

additional 57,692 bp that was removed as "debris." The second contig on the opposite side of the

Figure 3. Sequences immunoprecipitated with CENP-A are enriched at the center of the chromosome. (A) Short-read sequences from a chromatin-immunoprecipitation (ChIP-seq) with CENP-A were aligned to the reference Y chromosome assembly. There is a prominent peak between markers STN187 and WT1A where the centromere is located in cytogenetic maps (Ross and Peichel 2008). CENP-A enrichment from a second male fish is shown in Supplemental Figure 5. (B) Alpha satellite monomeric repeats are organized into higher order repeats (HORs). Sequence identity is shown in 100 bp windows across the centromere sequence of the Y chromosome. 87 kb of sequence containing the monomeric repeat was rejoined (crosshatched) to contigs that were previously fragmented in the scaffolding process (orange contig: 11894; yellow contig: 11839). The gap between the two contigs is shown in grey.

gap (contig 11839) had eight copies of the repeat and an additional 29,308 bp of sequence that

was removed as "debris." We used BLAST to search for additional repeats in the debris using the

majority consensus sequence of the 14 previously identified centromere repeats in the Y

assembly. There were an additional 304 repeats in the debris sequence from contig 11894, and

163 repeats in the debris sequence from contig 11839. We added the debris sequence back into

the total Y chromosome assembly, increasing the assembled centromere size by 87 kb (total Y

chromosome length: 15.87 Mb) (Figure 3B). Average pairwise percent sequence identity among

all monomeric repeats in the Y chromosome assembly was 89.5%. Compared to the core

threespine stickleback centromere repeat previously identified, the Y chromosome centromere

repeat was more divergent. Average pairwise percent sequence identity between all the motifs in

the Y chromosome assembly and the centromere repeat identified from female fish was only

86.8%.

Centromeres are often composed of highly similar blocks of monomeric repeats,

organized into higher order repeats (HORs) (Alexandrov et al. 1993; McNulty and Sullivan 2018;

Hartley and O'Neill 2019). Previous characterization of the monomeric centromere repeat in

threespine stickleback did not reveal a HOR organization; however, this analysis was limited by

the identification of only a few short stretches of the monomeric repeat on each autosome (Cech

and Peichel 2015). The ~87 kb of assembled centromere on the Y shows a clear higher order

patterning around the centromeric region, consistent with complex HORs (Figure 3B).

The Y chromosome has three evolutionary strata

Previous estimates of synonymous site divergence (d_S) in coding regions have indicated

there are two evolutionary strata on the threespine stickleback sex chromosomes (White et al.

2015), despite the presence of at least three major inversion events in the cytogenetic map of the

sex chromosomes (Ross and Peichel 2008). Because these estimates relied on aligning short-

read Illumina sequences to the reference X chromosomes, overall divergence could have been

biased by mapping artifacts, especially in the oldest region of the Y chromosome. We investigated

whether our Y chromosome assembly supported the earlier model of two evolutionary strata or

whether there could be additional strata uncovered in the current *de novo* assembly. We aligned

all ENSEMBL predicted X chromosome coding regions outside of the pseudoautosomal region to

the Y chromosome reference assembly to estimate divergence. Of the 1187 annotated coding

sequences, we were able to align 504 (42.5%) to the male-specific region of the Y chromosome.

We found a clear signature of three evolutionary strata, consistent with inversion breakpoints

within the cytogenetic map as well as within our *de novo* reference assembly. The oldest stratum

Figure 4. The sex chromosomes have three distinct evolutionary strata. Synonymous divergence (d_S) between the X and Y chromosome was estimated for every annotated transcript on the X chromosome. Genes are ordered by position on the X chromosome (Mb). Median divergence across each region is shown by the red line; values are given in Table 2. Strata breakpoints are indicated by the vertical dashed lines. The centromere is indicated by a black circle.

(stratum one) encompassed the same region of the X chromosome as previously described in

the Illumina-based study and had highly elevated d_S (stratum one median d_S: 0.155). In contrast

to the Illumina-based estimates, our new assembly revealed that the remainder of coding regions

across the X chromosome formed two distinct strata, with different estimates of d_S (Figure 4**;**

Table 1). We also investigated whether the older strata had increased non-synonymous

divergence (d_N) consistent with inefficient selection from the lack of crossing over between the

chromosomes (Charlesworth 1978; Rice 1987). As predicted, stratum one had a significantly

higher d_N than strata two and three (Table 1). Stratum two had a significantly lower d_N than the

other strata. This was also reflected by a significantly lower d_N/d_S ratio (Table 1), suggesting genes

in stratum two are under stronger purifying selection.

The Y chromosome is evolving a unique genetic architecture

Haploinsufficient genes have been repeatedly retained on degenerating sex

chromosomes of mammals and birds (Bellott et al. 2014; 2017), and may be enriched in stratum

one of the stickleback Y chromosome (White et al. 2015). We explored whether our expanded set

of annotated genes exhibited signatures of haploinsufficiency by identifying orthologs between

the X-annotated genes and human genes ranked for haploinsufficiency (Decipher

Haploinsufficiency Predictions (DHP) v. 3) (Firth et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2010). Within strata one

and two, we found orthologs with a retained Y-linked allele had lower DHP scores than genes

without a Y ortholog, indicating that retained genes were more likely to exhibit haploinsufficiency

Table 1. Nucleotide divergence between X and Y chromosome homologs.

	X genes	Y genes	Percent remaining on Y	d_S	d_N	d_N/d_S
Stratum 1	612	110	18.0%	0.155[a]	0.030[a]	0.287[a]
Stratum 2	243	179	73.7%	0.042[b]	0.008[b]	0.198[b]
Stratum 3	332	215	64.8%	0.033[c]	0.011[c]	0.338[a]

[a,b,c] Groups significantly different by a pairwise Mann-Whitney U Test; $P < 0.05$

(Figure 5; Mann-Whitney U Test; stratum one $P < 0.001$; stratum two $P = 0.035$). We found a

similar trend for genes retained on the Y chromosome in stratum three, but this result was not

significant (Figure 5; Mann-Whitney U Test; $P = 0.085$). Nevertheless, this lower score suggests

enrichment for haploinsufficient genes may already be underway within the youngest region of

the Y chromosome.

Genes can be acquired on the Y chromosome through duplications from autosomes

(reviewed in Gvozdev et al. 2005), a process that has had a prominent impact on the overall gene

content of ancient sex chromosomes (Saxena et al. 1996; Lahn and Page 1999; Carvalho et al.

2001; Skaletsky et al. 2003; Mahajan and Bachtrog 2017; Tobler et al. 2017; Chang and

Larracuente 2018), but the overall influence of this process on the genetic architecture of newly

evolving sex chromosomes has not been documented. To identify whether the young stickleback

Figure 5. Genes retained on the Y chromosome in strata one and two are more likely to exhibit haploinsufficiency. Human proteins with predicted haploinsufficiency indexes, in which a lower value indicates that a gene is more likely to be haploinsufficient, were matched to one-to-one human-threespine stickleback fish orthologs from the X chromosome. Haploinsufficiency indexes were significantly lower for genes retained on both the X and Y chromosomes than for genes present only on the X chromosome (i.e. lost from the Y chromosome) in both strata one and two. Asterisks indicate $P < 0.05$ (Mann-Whitney U test).

Y chromosome also contained genes shared with autosomes, but not the X chromosome, we first

used the MAKER gene annotation pipeline (Cantarel et al. 2008; Holt and Yandell 2011) to

assemble a complete set of coding regions across the Y chromosome reference sequence. We

identified a total of 605 genes across the male-specific region of the Y chromosome, 30 of which

had paralogs on autosomes, but not on the X chromosome (4.8%) (Table 2). A majority of these

genes (22 of 30; 73.3%) appeared to have undergone additional duplications within the Y

chromosome following translocation from the autosomes (genes had copy numbers ranging from

two to five). Gene translocation onto sex chromosomes can occur through RNA-mediated

mechanisms (retrogenes) or through DNA-based translocations (reviewed in Long et al. 2013).

Of the stickleback genes that had multiple introns within the autosomal homolog (25 of 30 genes),

we did not detect a single paralog on the Y chromosome that had a complete loss of introns.

Genes that accumulate on Y chromosomes are predicted to have male beneficial

functions. On many ancient sex chromosomes, genes that have translocated to the Y

chromosome from autosomes exhibit testis-biased expression (Saxena et al. 1996; Lahn and

Page 1999; Skaletsky et al. 2003). suggesting important roles in spermatogenesis. To determine

whether the translocated genes on the threespine stickleback Y chromosome are enriched for

male functions, we looked for testis-biased gene expression between testis tissue and three other

tissues (liver, brain, and larvae). Compared with all tissues, we found stronger testis-biased

expression among the genes that translocated to the Y chromosome, compared to the single-

copy genes with a homolog on the X chromosome (Figure 6; Mann-Whitney U Test*; P* < 0.001).

Because DNA-based translocations of genes often contain their native regulatory elements, we

Table 2. Origin of genes in each stratum on the Y chromosome.

	X ancestral, Y single copy	X ancestral, Y duplicated	Autosomal, Y single copy	Autosomal, Y duplicated	Unknown origin, Y single copy	Unknown origin, Y duplicated	Total
Stratum 1	107 (71.3%)	16 (10.7%)	3 (2.0%)	7 (4.7%)	16 (10.7%)	1 (0.6%)	150
Stratum 2	149 (80.5%)	11 (6.0%)	2 (1.1%)	8 (4.3%)	14 (7.6%)	1 (0.5%)	185
Stratum 3	229 (84.8%)	17 (6.3%)	3 (1.1%)	7 (2.6%)	13 (4.8%)	1 (0.4%)	270

Figure 6. Genes present on the Y that have been translocated from the autosomes or genes that have been duplicated on the Y and are derived from ancestral X-linked homologs show testis-biased gene expression. Log_2 fold change between testis tissue and three other tissues (brain, larvae, and liver) is shown. For each tissue comparison, asterisks denote groups with significantly different expression from single-copy genes present on the Y that are derived from X-linked homologs (Mann-Whitney U Test; $P < 0.05$).

examined whether the autosomal paralogs also exhibited testis-biased expression to a similar

degree as the Y-linked paralogs. Consistent with this pattern, we observed a similar degree of

testis-biased expression in brain tissue (Median translocated genes Log_2 fold change: -3.250;

Median ancestral autosomal paralog Log_2 fold change: -2.167; Mann-Whitney U Test P = 0.187)

and liver tissue (median translocated genes Log$_2$ fold change: -0.869; median ancestral

autosomal paralog Log$_2$ fold change: -0.798; Mann-Whitney U test, P = 0.477) compared to the

ancestral autosomal paralogs. This pattern did not hold for comparisons between testis and larvae

(Median translocated genes Log$_2$ fold change: -5.382; Median ancestral autosomal paralog Log$_2$

fold change: -1.172; Mann-Whitney U Test P < 0.001). Combined, our results indicate that the

genes which translocated to the Y chromosome and were retained often had testis-biased

expression ancestrally.

392   Duplicated genes on the Y chromosome can also be derived from ancestral genes shared

between the X and Y. Of the 605 genes annotated across the Y chromosome, 44 (7.3%) had

greater than one copy on the Y chromosome and also had an X-linked allele (Table 2). None of

these genes were structured within large amplicons, which are characteristic of many mammalian

Y chromosomes (Skaletsky et al. 2003; Hughes et al. 2010; 2012; Li et al. 2013; Soh et al. 2014;

Skinner et al. 2016; Brashear et al. 2018; Janečka et al. 2018). Instead, copy number ranged from

two to seven copies total. We explored if this duplicated class of genes also exhibited testis-based

expression similar to what we observed with the autosome translocated genes. Consistent with

the previous patterns, we found strong testis-biased expression between testis and all other

tissues among duplicated genes that have an X-linked homolog (Figure 6; Mann-Whitney U Test;

P < 0.002, all comparisons). Similar to the ancestral autosomal paralogs, we found that genes

exhibited testis-biased expression ancestrally on the X chromosome before duplicating on the Y

(Supplemental Table 2). Our results indicate that retained duplications on the Y chromosome may

be enriched for genes that ancestrally have testis-biased functions.

Transposable elements have accumulated throughout the Y chromosome

408   Transposable elements also rapidly accumulate on sex chromosomes once

recombination is suppressed (reviewed in Bachtrog 2013). The threespine stickleback Y

chromosome has a higher density of transposable elements throughout the male-specific region

of the Y chromosome, compared to the X chromosome (Supplemental Figure 7). We found the

highest densities within stratum one, consistent with recombination being suppressed in this

region for the greatest amount of time. We also found a high density of transposable elements

within the recombining pseudoautosomal region (Supplemental Figure 7).

Stratum one contains a candidate sex determination gene

The master sex determination gene has not been identified in the threespine stickleback.

Although master sex determination genes can be highly variable among species (Bachtrog et al.

2014; Capel 2017), many species of fish share some common genes that have been co-opted

into this role during the independent evolution of Y chromosomes. For instance, orthologs of both

the anti-Müllerian hormone (*Amhy)* (Hattori et al. 2012; Li et al. 2015; Pan et al. 2019) as well as

the anti-Müllerian hormone receptor (*Amhr2)* (Kamiya et al. 2012) have been used as the master

sex determination gene in multiple species of fish. We searched for evidence of these genes

among the annotated transcripts on the Y chromosome. We found the complete coding sequence

of the anti-Müllerian hormone on the Y chromosome (hereafter referred to as *Amhy*), located

within the oldest stratum adjacent to the pseudoautosomal region boundary (positions 817,433 -

821,194) We did not locate an allele on the X chromosome, suggesting *Amhy* is an ancient

duplication and translocation from autosome eight.

We explored whether *Amhy* had divergence patterns and expression patterns consistent

with a functional role in sex determination. We aligned the protein coding sequence of AMHY to

the threespine stickleback AMH paralog on autosome eight as well as to other vertebrate AMH

proteins. We observed conservation of amino acids in the AMH and TGF-β domains of the protein

sequence on the Y chromosome paralog that are conserved across vertebrates (Supplemental

Figure 8), suggesting the Y chromosome paralog is under selection in these regions to preserve

function. We surveyed expression patterns of *Amhy* across the six tissues used in the gene

annotations, including a larval tissue collected around the time sex determination is believed to

occur (stages 22-26 (Swarup 1958; Lewis et al. 2008)). Although, we detected *Amhy* in larval

tissue, expression was lower when compared to brain (Log_2 fold change: 2.014; FDR = 0.009).

Expression was statistically indistinguishable when compared to liver (Log_2 fold change: 2.040;

FDR = 0.051) or testis (Log_2 fold change: 0.308; FDR = 0.913). Additional functional genetics

work is currently underway to test if this gene is necessary and sufficient for initiating male

development.

Discussion

Evolution of the threespine stickleback Y chromosome

Using a combination of long-read sequencing and chromosome conformation capture (Hi-

C) sequencing for scaffolding, we were able to assemble a highly accurate Y chromosome

reference assembly for the threespine stickleback, concordant with sequenced BAC inserts and

known cytogenetic markers (Ross and Peichel 2008). Our new reference assembly revealed

several patterns of sequence evolution that were not accurately resolved using short-read

sequencing (White et al. 2015). First, synonymous divergence was underestimated throughout

the Y chromosome by relying on single nucleotide polymorphisms ascertained through short-read

sequencing. This effect was greatest in the oldest region of the Y chromosome (stratum one).

Median d_S was approximately 8.7-fold greater within stratum one when long-read sequences were

used. Synonymous divergence was approximately 2.8-fold greater across the younger strata in

the new reference assembly compared to the d_S estimates from short-read sequencing. The short-

read sequencing was also unable to distinguish two independent strata within this region, likely

from an insufficient signal caused by underestimating the true number of SNPs in the region. Our

results argue for caution in using short-read sequencing technologies to characterize sex-specific

regions of Y or W chromosomes.

Divergence times for each of the strata can be approximated based on divergence rates

between the threespine stickleback fish and the ninespine stickleback fish (*Pungitius pungitius*),

which last shared a common ancestor as many as 26 million years ago (Bell et al. 2009; Ross et

al. 2009; Varadharajan et al. 2019). Combined with a mean genome-wide estimate of

synonymous divergence between the two species (0.184; Guo et al. 2013), we determined

stratum one likely arose less than 21.9 million years ago, close to when the two species diverged.

Using the same calibration, stratum two formed less than 5.9 million years ago and stratum three

formed less than 4.7 million years ago.

Our complete scaffold of the Y chromosome allowed us to refine the previous evolutionary

model that suggested the Y chromosome diverged from the X chromosome through three

pericentric inversions (Ross and Peichel 2008). Our new model requires four independent

inversions to incorporate the placement of stratum one that was unaccounted for in the original

cytogenetic map (Supplemental Figure 9). The first inversion in our model involved only stratum

one at the end of the sex chromosomes, whereas the final inversion in our model occurred after

all the strata had formed, inverting the entire male-specific region of the Y chromosome. This final

inversion moved the candidate sex determination gene, *Amhy*, to its current position, adjacent to

the pseudoautosomal region.

Y chromosome centromere evolution

Due to their highly repetitive nature, centromeric arrays have been challenging to

sequence and assemble using traditional approaches. However, long-read technologies have

shown recent promise in traversing these inaccessible regions (Jain et al. 2018; Mahajan et al.

2018; Bracewell et al. 2019). Using long-read sequencing, we were also able to recover two

contigs in our assembly that contained arrays of an alpha satellite monomeric repeat that had

sequence similarity to a monomeric repeat isolated from the remainder of the genome (Cech and

Peichel 2015). Centromeres across species are highly variable both at the level of the individual

monomer and how monomers are organized at a higher level (Henikoff et al. 2001; Malik and

Henikoff 2002; Alkan et al. 2011; Melters et al. 2013; McNulty and Sullivan 2018; Hartley and

O'Neill 2019). This incredible variability can even occur within species. For example, in humans

centromeric HORs are not identical between nonhomologous chromosomes (Manuelidis 1978;

Willard 1985), and the Y chromosomes of mouse and humans contain divergent or novel

centromeric repeats relative to the autosomes (Wolfe et al. 1985; Pertile et al. 2009; Miga et al.

2014). Consistent with these patterns, we observed a decrease in sequence similarity between

the Y chromosome monomeric repeat and the consensus repeat identified from the remainder of

the threespine stickleback genome (Cech and Peichel 2015). We found the Y chromosome was

also ordered into a complex HOR; however, we cannot determine if the structure of the Y

chromosome HOR is similar or dissimilar from other threespine stickleback chromosomes. The

centromere sequence from other chromosomes is currently limited to short tracts of monomeric

repeats (Cech and Peichel 2015).

Cytogenetic work has shown the threespine stickleback Y chromosome centromere may

contain a divergent satellite repeat relative to the X chromosome and autosomes (Cech and

Peichel 2015; 2016). This hypothesis was based on a weak fluorescent *in situ* hybridization signal

on the Y chromosome from DNA probes designed from the consensus repeat. Our Y chromosome

assembly indicates a mechanism driving this pattern may be the reduced sequence identity

shared between the Y chromosome monomeric repeat and the consensus monomeric repeat. An

alternative explanation is that the weak hybridization signal is not due to the differences in

monomeric repeat sequence, but it is actually caused by a reduction in overall size of the Y

chromosome centromere. Although we isolated ~87 kb of centromere sequence, we did not

identify a contig that spans the complete centromere, leaving the actual size of the centromere

unknown. Additional sequencing work is necessary to test this alternative model.

The genetic architecture of the threespine stickleback Y chromosome is rapidly evolving

Despite the young age of the threespine stickleback Y chromosome relative to mammals,

we found acquisition of novel genes throughout all strata of the Y chromosome. We did not detect

massive amplification of gene families as observed on mammalian sex chromosomes (Skaletsky

et al. 2003; Murphy et al. 2006; Hughes et al. 2010; Paria et al. 2011; Soh et al. 2014; Janečka

et al. 2018), but many genes that had translocated from the autosomes or were present in the

common ancestor of the sex chromosomes had multiple copies on the Y chromosome. The copy

numbers we observed are on the same order as the duplicated genes on the sex chromosomes

of multiple species of *Drosophila* (Chang and Larracuente 2018; Ellison and Bachtrog 2019). The

gene duplications on the threespine stickleback sex chromosomes may reflect selection on the

early amplification of genes important for male fertility (Gvozdev et al. 2005) or to prevent

degradation by providing a repair template through gene conversion (Rozen et al. 2003; Skaletsky

et al. 2003; Backström et al. 2005; Bhowmick et al. 2007; Connallon and Clark 2010; Davis et al.

2010; Marais et al. 2010; Hallast et al. 2013; Soh et al. 2014; Skinner et al. 2016; Peneder et al.

2017; Trombetta and Cruciani 2017; Chang and Larracuente 2018). Alternatively, the duplications

we observe on the threespine stickleback Y chromosome may simply reflect recent translocations

and duplications that have yet to degenerate and pseudogenize.

Gene expression patterns of duplicated and translocated genes suggest this process is

not entirely neutral. We observed strong testis-biased expression among genes that had

duplicated and translocated to the Y chromosome, similar to patterns observed on other Y

chromosomes (Carvalho et al. 2000; 2001; Skaletsky et al. 2003; Murphy et al. 2006; Hughes et

al. 2010; Paria et al. 2011; Soh et al. 2014; Mahajan and Bachtrog 2017; Janečka et al. 2018).

Interestingly, we observed multiple ways that testis-biased genes can accumulate on the Y

chromosome. For one, many genes exhibit ancestral testis-biased expression. Genes that have

translocated from the autosomes to the Y chromosome had a similar degree of testis-biased

expression as the ancestral autosomal gene. The X-linked homologs of genes that are duplicating

on the Y chromosome also had testis-biased expression ancestrally. This suggests genes can be

selected to be retained on the Y chromosome because of existing male-biased expression

patterns. Our observations mirror translocations on the ancient human Y chromosome; the

amplified *DAZ* genes arose from an autosomal paralog that was expressed in the testis (Saxena

et al. 1996). Examples of autosome-derived translocations to the Y chromosome also exist in

Drosophila and can have ancestral testis-biased functions (Carvalho et al. 2001). On the other

hand, we also found that autosome-derived translocated genes evolved stronger testis-biased

expression in a tissue specific-context compared to ancestral expression. The variation in testis-

biased expression observed among tissue comparisons suggests the acquisition of testis

functions for many genes is incomplete. This makes the threespine stickleback Y chromosome a

useful system to understand the regulatory changes required for genes to evolve novel functions

in the testis.

Genes that translocate to the Y chromosome either arise through RNA-mediated

mechanisms or through DNA-based translocations (reviewed in Long et al. 2013). Of the

translocations we observed, we only detected DNA-based translocations. Work in other species

has shown that DNA-based duplications occur more frequently than RNA-mediated mechanisms

(Zhang et al. 2010a; 2010b; Long et al. 2013; Chang and Larracuente 2018). Our results support

this bias on young sex chromosomes. It is possible that the frequency of DNA-based duplications

is even higher on young sex chromosomes compared to ancient sex chromosomes. DNA-based

duplications are driven by erroneous double strand break repair. On the ancient sex

chromosomes of rodents, double strand break initiation is suppressed on the sex chromosomes

of males (Moens et al. 1997; Lange et al. 2016). This would limit the opportunity for DNA-based

translocations to occur due to aberrant double strand break repair during meiosis. However, on

young sex chromosomes double strand break frequencies may still be occurring at an appreciable

frequency. Coupling a diverging Y chromosome with accumulating repetitive DNA would create

additional opportunities for double strand break repair through non-allelic processes, increasing

the number of duplications and translocations (Sasaki et al. 2010).

Amhy is a candidate sex determination gene

We identified the *Amhy* gene as a candidate for male sex determination in the threespine

stickleback. *Amh* has been co-opted as a male sex determination gene in multiple species of fish

(Hattori et al. 2012; Li et al. 2015; Pan et al. 2019). The master sex determination gene is one of

the primary genes that initiates evolution of a proto-Y chromosome (reviewed in Bachtrog 2013).

Consistent with this, *Amhy* is located in the oldest region of the stickleback Y chromosome

(stratum one), adjacent to the pseudoautosomal region. The gene is expressed in developing

stickleback larvae, consistent with a role in early sex determination. Finally, amino acids that are

highly conserved across vertebrates in the functional domains of the protein are also conserved

on the Y chromosome paralog in stickleback fish, suggesting *Amhy* is functional. Based on the

known role of AMH signaling in sex determination in other fish, and the location, expression, and

sequence of the Y chromosome paralog in stickleback fish, we propose that *Amhy* is the

threespine stickleback master sex determination gene. Additional functional genetics work is

underway to test this hypothesis.

Conclusions

Our threespine stickleback Y chromosome assembly highlights the feasibility of combining

PacBio long-read sequencing with Hi-C chromatin conformation scaffolding to generate a high-

quality reference Y chromosome assembly. With the reduction in per base pair cost associated

with the newest generation of sequencers, the comparative genomics of sex chromosomes will

be more accessible. This will be especially useful for taxa like stickleback fish that have multiple

independently derived sex chromosome systems among closely related species (Ross et al.

2009). This provides a unique opportunity to understand the convergent evolution of sex

chromosome structure as well as the diversity of sex determination mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All procedures using animals were approved by the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research

Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol 1575), the Veterinary Service of

the Department of Agriculture and Nature of the Canton of Bern (protocol BE17/17), and the

University of Georgia Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol A2018 10-003-R1).

DNA isolation and PacBio Sequencing

Total DNA was isolated from a single adult male threespine stickleback that was the

laboratory-reared offspring of wild-caught fish collected from the Paxton Lake benthic population

(Texada Island, British Columbia). Nucleated erythrocytes were isolated from blood (extracted by

repeated pipetting in bisected tissue with 0.85x SSC buffer). High molecular weight DNA was

isolated by centrifuging blood for 2 minutes at 2000 xg, followed by resuspension of cells in 5 ml

of 0.85x SSC and 27 µl of 20 µg/ml proteinase K. Cells were lysed by adding 5 mL of 2x SDS

buffer (80 mM EDTA, 100mM Tris pH 8.0, 1% SDS), followed by incubation at 55ºC for 2 minutes.

DNA was isolated from the lysate by adding 10 mL of buffered phenol/chloroform/isoamyl-alcohol,

rotating slowly at room temperature for 30 minutes, followed by centrifuging at 4ºC for 1 minute

at 2000 xg. Two further extractions were performed by adding 10 mL of chloroform, rotating slowly

at room temperature for 1 hour, followed by centrifuging at 4ºC for 1 minute at 2000 xg. DNA was

precipitated using 1 mL of 3M sodium-acetate (pH 6.0) and 10 mL of cold 100% ethanol. The

pellet was washed with cold 70% ethanol and resuspended in 100 µl of 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0). DNA

quality was assessed on a FEMTO Pulse (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA); the peak size was

132,945 bp. Size selection, library preparation and sequencing on a PacBio Sequel platform was

conducted at the Next Generation Sequencing Platform at the University of Bern (Bern,

Switzerland). 37.69 Gb was sequenced across seven SMRT cells, resulting in approximately

75.25x coverage across the genome.

PacBio assembly

Canu (v 1.7.1) (Koren et al. 2017) was used to error correct, trim, and assemble the raw

PacBio reads into contigs. Default parameters were used except corOutCoverage was increased

to 50 (from the default of 40) to target a larger number of reads for assembly of the sex

chromosomes (the X and Y chromosomes in males have only half the available read coverage,

relative to the autosomes). Increasing corOutCoverage did not decrease the N50 read size for

the assembly (default 40x coverage N50: 31,494 bp; 50x coverage N50: 22,133 bp). The Canu

assembly was polished using Arrow (v. 2.2.2). Raw PacBio reads were first aligned to the

assembled Canu contigs using pbalign (v. 0.3.1) with default parameters. Arrow was run on the

subsequent alignment also using default parameters. We identified redundancy between

haplotigs of the autosomal contigs by aligning all the contigs to each other using nucmer (Kurtz

et al. 2004) and filtering for alignments between contigs that were at least 1 kb in length and had

at least 98% sequence identity (to account for the elevated heterozygosity).

Hi-C proximity guided assembly

The X and Y chromosomes of threespine stickleback share a considerable amount of

sequence homology (White et al. 2015). In order to differentiate X-linked and Y-linked Canu

contigs for scaffolding, we aligned the contigs to the revised reference X chromosome sequence

(Peichel et al. 2017), using nucmer in the MUMmer package (v. 4.0) (Kurtz et al. 2004). Putative

X- and Y-linked contigs were separated by overall sequence identity. Putative X-linked contigs

were defined as having more than 25% of the contig length aligned to the reference X

chromosome with a sequence identity greater than 96%, whereas putative Y-linked contigs were

defined as having a sequence identity with the reference X chromosome of less than 96%. Contigs

which had less than 25% of the length aligning to the reference genome or did not align at all

were retained as putative Y-linked unique sequence. Selection of the sequence identity threshold

was guided by our overall ability to re-assemble the known X chromosome sequence from the set

of putative X-linked PacBio Canu contigs. We tested thresholds from 92% sequence identity to

98% sequence identity and chose the threshold that resulted in the smallest size difference

between the PacBio assembly and the X chromosome sequence from the reference assembly

(Peichel et al. 2017) (Supplemental Table 3). We wrote custom Perl scripts to separate the X-

and Y-linked contigs.

To scaffold the contigs, we used chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) sequencing

and proximity-guided assembly. Hi-C sequencing was previously conducted from a lab-reared

adult male also from the Paxton Lake benthic population (Texada Island, British Columbia) (NCBI

SRA database: SRP081031) (Peichel et al. 2017). Hi-C reads were aligned to the complete set

of contigs from the Canu assembly using Juicer (v. 1.5.6) (Durand et al. 2016a; Dudchenko et al.

2017). 3D-DNA (v. 180114) was used to scaffold the putative X- and Y-linked contigs separately

(Durand et al. 2016a; Dudchenko et al. 2017). Default parameters were used except for --editor-

repeat-coverage, which controls the threshold for repeat coverage during the misjoin detector

step. Because Y chromosomes often have more repetitive sequence than the remainder of the

genome, we scaffolded the X- and Y-linked contigs using --editor-repeat-coverage thresholds that

ranged from 8 to 18. We chose the minimum threshold that resulted in a Y chromosome scaffold

that maximized the total number of Y chromosome Sanger sequenced BACs that either aligned

concordantly within contigs included in the scaffold or correctly spanned gaps between contigs in

the scaffold (--editor-repeat-coverage 11; Supplemental Table 4) (see Alignment of BAC

sequences and merging assemblies).

BAC isolation and Sanger sequencing

Y-chromosome specific BACs were isolated from the CHORI-215 library (Kingsley et al.

2004), which was made from two wild-caught males from the same Paxton Lake benthic

population (Texada Island, British Columbia, Canada) used for the PacBio and Hi-C sequencing.

The Y-chromosome specific BACs were identified using a variety of approaches. Initially,

sequences surrounding known polymorphic markers (*Idh*, *Stn188*, *Stn194*) on linkage group 19

were used as probes to screen the CHORI-215 BAC library filters, and putative Y-specific BACs

were identified by the presence of a Y-specific allele at that marker (Peichel et al. 2004; Ross and

Peichel 2008). In addition, all CHORI-215 BAC end sequences (Kingsley and Peichel 2006) were

used in a BLAST (blastn) search of the threespine stickleback genome assembly, which was

generated from an XX female (Jones et al. 2012). All BACs for which neither end mapped to the

genome or had elevated sequence divergence from the X chromosome were considered as

candidate Y-chromosome BACs. These candidate BACs were verified to be Y-specific using

fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) on male metaphase spreads, following previously

described protocols (Ross and Peichel 2008; Urton et al. 2011). The hybridizations were

performed with CHORI-213 BAC 101E08 (*Idh*), which clearly distinguishes the X and Y

chromosomes (Ross and Peichel 2008) labeled with ChromaTide Alexa Fluor 488-5-dUTP, and

the putative Y-specific BAC labeled with ChromaTide Alexa Fluor 568-5-dUTP (Invitrogen,

Carlsbad, CA, USA). Starting with these verified Y-specific BACs, we then used the CHORI-215

BAC end sequences to iteratively perform an *in silico* chromosome walk. At each stage of the

walk, BACs were verified as Y-specific using FISH. In total 102 BACs were sequenced.

BAC DNA was isolated from a single bacterial colony and purified on a Qiagen MaxiPrep

column. DNA was sheared to 3-4kb using Adaptive Focused Acoustics technology (Covaris,

Woburn, MA, USA) and cloned into the plasmid vector pIK96 as previously described (Ferris et

al. 2010). Universal primers and BigDye Terminator Chemistry (Applied Biosystems) were used

for Sanger sequencing randomly selected plasmid subclones to a depth of 10x. The

Phred/Phrap/Consed suite of programs were then used for assembling and editing the sequence

(Ewing et al. 1998; Ewing and Green 1998; Gordon et al. 1998). After manual inspection of the

assembled sequences, finishing was performed both by resequencing plasmid subclones and by

walking on plasmid subclones or the BAC clone using custom primers. All finishing reactions

were performed using dGTP BigDye Terminator Chemistry (Applied Biosystems, USA). Finished

clones contain no gaps and are estimated to contain less than one error per 10,000 bp.

Alignment of BAC sequences and merging assemblies

Sequenced BAC inserts were aligned to the scaffolded Y chromosome using nucmer (v. 4.0) (Kurtz et al. 2004). A BAC was considered fully concordant with the PacBio Y chromosome scaffold if the following conditions were met: both ends of the alignment were within 1 kb of the actual end of the Sanger sequenced BAC, the full length of the alignment was within 10 kb of the actual length of the Sanger sequenced BAC, and the total alignment shared a sequence identity with the PacBio Y chromosome scaffold of at least 99%. BAC alignments were also identified that spanned gaps between contigs in the scaffold. An alignment that spanned gaps was considered valid if the following conditions were met: both ends of the alignment were within 1 kb of the actual end of the Sanger sequenced BAC, the total alignment length was not greater than the actual length of the Sanger sequenced BAC, and the total alignment shared a sequence identity with the PacBio Y chromosome scaffold of at least 99%. Finally, BACs were identified that extended from contigs into gaps within the scaffold but did not completely bridge the gaps. BACs that extended into gaps were identified if one end of the alignment was within 1 kb of the actual end of the Sanger sequenced BAC, the alignment extended completely to the end of a contig in the scaffold, and the total alignment shared a sequence identity with the PacBio Y chromosome scaffold of at least 99%. We wrote custom Perl scripts to identify concordant BACs, BACs that spanned gaps in the scaffold, and BACs that extended into gaps within the scaffold.

Sanger sequenced BACs that spanned gaps and extended into gaps provided additional sequence that was not originally present in the PacBio scaffolded Y chromosome. We merged this additional sequence into the PacBio scaffold using custom Perl scripts. If multiple Sanger sequenced BACs spanned a gap or extended into a gap, the BAC with the highest percent sequence identity was used.

Repetitive element annotation

Repetitive elements were first modeled together on the PacBio scaffolded X and Y

chromosomes using RepeatModeler (v. 1.0.11) (Smit et al. 2013) with default parameters.

Repeats were masked across both scaffolds using RepeatMasker (v. 4.0.7) (Smit et al. 2013) with

default parameters and the custom database created by RepeatModeler. Sequence identity

among family members within each class of transposable elements was estimated with BLAST.

Repeat families were first summarized from the RepeatMasker output using the Perl tool, "one

code to find them all" (Bailly-Bechet et al. 2014). Pairwise alignments were then conducted within

each chromosome across the genome. For each chromosome, pairwise blastn alignments were

conducted for each repeat family. If multiple alignments were found between family members only

the alignment with the highest score was retained (the score was calculated as alignment length

– number of mismatches – number of gap openings). Percent identity as reported by blastn was

used for each retained alignment.

Identification of the Y centromere

The Y chromosome centromere was localized using chromatin immunoprecipitation

targeting centromere protein A (CENP-A) as previously described (Cech and Peichel 2015).

Immunoprecipitated and input DNA from two males from the Japanese Pacific Ocean population

(Akkeshi, Japan) were 150-bp paired-end sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2500. Reads were

quality trimmed with Trimmomatic (v. 0.36) (Bolger et al. 2014) using a sliding window of 4 bases,

trimming the remainder of the read when the average quality within a window dropped below 15.

Trimmed paired-end reads were aligned to the scaffolded Y chromosome assembly with Bowtie2

(v. 2.3.4.1) (Langmead and Salzberg 2012), using default parameters. This resulted in an overall

alignment rate of 83.9% (chromatin only input) and 81.0% (immunoprecipitation) for the first male

and 82.3% (chromatin only input) and 79.8% (immunoprecipitation) for the second male. We

quantified the read depth of aligned reads at every position across the Y chromosome using the

genomecov package of BEDTools (v. 2.28.0) (Quinlan and Hall 2010). We calculated fold-

enrichment of reads in the immunoprecipitation versus the input DNA at every position across the

Y chromosome. Each position was normalized by the total number of reads in the respective

sample before calculating the immunoprecipitation to input DNA ratio. The mean fold-enrichment

was calculated every 1 kb across the Y chromosome. Fold-enrichment was quantified using a

custom Perl script.

The autosomal core centromere repeat (GenBank accession KT321856) (Cech and

Peichel 2015) was aligned to the Y centromere region using BLAST (blastn) (Altschul et al. 1990).

Only hits that had an alignment length ±10 bp of the core 187 bp repeat were retained. Average

percent identity was calculated among the remaining BLAST hits. We determined a majority

consensus sequence from the core 14 centromere repeat units from the initial Y chromosome

assembly. The majority consensus was used to identify additional repeats in the "debris"

fragments that flanked the gap in the scaffold where the Y centromere was originally identified.

The majority consensus was aligned to the debris fragments using BLAST (blastn), retaining

alignments that had an alignment length ±10 bp of the core 187 bp repeat. Pairwise alignments

between all repeats within the Y chromosome was conducted using BLAST (blastn). Average

percent identity among all pairwise alignments was calculated using a custom Perl script.

Molecular evolution of genes on the Y chromosome

To characterize divergence between ancestral genes shared by the X and Y

chromosomes, we aligned the coding sequence of each ENSEMBL predicted gene to the Y

chromosome using Exonerate (v. 2.4.0) (Slater and Birney 2005) using the parameters --model

est2genome --bestn 15. Only coding sequences for which at least 95% of its sequence length

aligned to the Y chromosome were retained for further analysis. d_S and d_N were quantified for

each pairwise alignment using the codeml module of PAML (phylogenetic analysis by maximum

likelihood) (runmode = 2) (Yang 2007). If an X coding sequence aligned to multiple locations on

the Y chromosome, only the alignment with the lowest d_S was retained. In addition, all alignments

with d_S greater than two were removed. These stringent filtering steps aimed to limit alignments

to the true homolog, rather than to distantly related paralogs of genes that are present in greater

than one copy on the sex chromosomes. For estimating d_N/d_S, transcripts with a value of 99 were

omitted. Strata breakpoints were broadly based upon the inversion breakpoints in the cytogenetic

map (Ross and Peichel 2008), adjusted at a fine-scale by the inversion breakpoints in the

alignments between the assembled Y chromosome and the reference X chromosome

(breakpoints on the Y chromosome: PAR/stratum one: 0.34 Mb; stratum one/stratum two: 4.67

Mb; stratum two/stratum three: 9.67 Mb).

To characterize whether there were any novel genes acquired by the Y chromosome as

well as any duplicated genes, we aligned each MAKER annotated gene on the PacBio assembled

Y chromosome to the whole genome as well as back to the Y chromosome, following the same

exonerate procedure. If a homolog was identified on an autosome, we only retained the homolog

if d_S was lower than the median d_S across the oldest region of the Y chromosome (stratum one:

0.101). Using this stringent filter avoids incorrectly assigning ancient paralogs on the autosomes

as the homolog. If multiple alignments were identified on the X chromosome, only the alignment

with the lowest d_S was retained. If multiple overlapping paralogs from a single gene were identified

on the Y chromosome, only the paralog with the lowest d_S was retained. Alignments to the

unassigned contigs (ChrUn) were ignored because these contigs cannot be unambiguously

assigned to the X chromosome or to the autosomes.

Gene annotation across the PacBio assembled Y chromosome

Genes were annotated on the repeat masked Y chromosome scaffold using the MAKER

genome annotation pipeline (v. 3.01.02) (Cantarel et al. 2008; Holt and Yandell 2011) using

evidence from multiple RNA-seq transcriptomes, all predicted protein sequences from ENSEMBL

(release 95), and *ab initio* gene predictions from SNAP (Korf 2004) and Augustus (Stanke et al.

2006). RNA-seq from was conducted on multiple tissue samples. RNA from adult male whole

brains was previously extracted and sequenced from wild-caught fish from the Japanese Pacific

Ocean population, Akkeshi, Japan (NCBI BioProject accession: PRJNA277770) (White et al.

2015). Male larval tissue was collected from stages 22-26 (Swarup 1958) when sex determination

is believed to occur (Lewis et al. 2008). Larvae were collected from laboratory-reared progeny of

wild-caught fish from the Lake Washington population (Seattle, Washington). Larvae were pooled

into two samples, one consisting of five males and the other consisting of six males. Total RNA

was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) following standard protocols. Library

preparation and sequencing was conducted by the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

Genomics Shared Resource. Single-end sequencing was carried out on a Genome Analyzer II

for 72 cycles. Liver and testis tissues were also collected from adult and juvenile fish from

laboratory-reared progeny of wild-caught fish from the Japanese Pacific Ocean population

(Akkeshi, Japan). Livers and testes were collected from two males and pooled. Three juvenile

samples and three adult samples were collected. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent

(Invitrogen, USA) following standard protocols. Library preparation and sequencing was

conducted by the Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core at the University of Georgia. Paired-

end sequencing was carried out on a NextSeq 500 for 150 cycles. All reads were quality trimmed

with Trimmomatic (v. 0.36) (Bolger et al. 2014) using a sliding window of 4 bases, trimming the

remainder of the read when the average quality within a window dropped below 15.

We aligned sequences to the masked revised whole-genome reference assembly (Peichel

et al. 2017) using Tophat (v. 2.3.4.1) (Kim et al. 2013). Default parameters were used except for

the liver and testis tissues. For these tissues, we used --read-mismatches 4 and --read-edit-dist

4 to account for the greater number of SNPs in the 150 bp reads. These alignment parameters

produced an overall alignment rate to the masked genome of 80.4% for the brain tissue, 68.0%

in the adult liver tissue, 64.5% in the juvenile liver tissue, 64.7% for adult testis tissue, 65.5% for

the juvenile testis tissue, and 68.9% for the larval tissue. Aligned reads from all samples within a

tissue were pooled to construct a single tissue-specific set of transcripts using Cufflinks (v. 2.2.1)

(Roberts et al. 2011) with default parameters. Exons from the GTF file were converted to FASTA

sequences with gffread.

MAKER was run over three rounds. For the first round of MAKER, we only used evidence

from the RNA-seq transcripts and all annotated protein sequences from ENSEMBL (release 95)

using default parameters and est2genome=1, protein2genome=1 to infer gene predictions directly

from the transcripts and protein sequences. We used these gene models to train SNAP. In

addition, Augustus was trained using gene models from BUSCO conserved orthologs found on

the PacBio scaffolded Y chromosome and the revised reference assembly (Glazer et al. 2015;

Peichel et al. 2017) with the Actinopterygii dataset and default BUSCO (v. 3.0.2) parameters

(Simão et al. 2015; Waterhouse et al. 2017). MAKER was run using the new SNAP and Augustus

models with est2genome=0 and protein2genome=0. For the third round of MAKER, SNAP was

retrained with the updated gene models and MAKER was run again with the updated SNAP

model, the previous Augustus model, and est2genome=0 and protein2genome=0. The threespine

stickleback repeat library derived using RepeatModeler was used during the annotation pipeline

using the rmlib option.

Identification of haploinsufficient genes

One-to-one human-threespine stickleback fish orthologs were identified from the

ENSEMBL species comparison database. Orthologs were restricted to those with a human

orthology confidence of 1. The high confidence orthologs were matched to the human

haploinsufficiency predictions from the DECIPHER database (v. 3) (Firth et al. 2009; Huang et al.

2010).

Differential expression of genes on the Y chromosome

For each tissue used in the gene annotations, the total number of RNA reads that mapped

to the reference Y chromosome was counted using htseq-count (HTSeq software package; v.

0.9.1) (Anders et al. 2015). Read counts were obtained across all 605 MAKER identified genes

on the Y chromosome plus all additional paralogs (118 paralogs). Default parameters were used

with the addition of --stranded=no and --nonunique all. Ambiguous reads were included in the

counts because of the large number of paralogs on the Y chromosome with high sequence

identity. In the case a read could not be unambiguously mapped it was assigned to all features to

which it matched. Genes were removed from the analysis if they had a read count of zero in all

samples. Scaling factors for normalization were calculated using the trimmed mean of M-values

(TMM) method in the Bioconductor package, edgeR (Robinson et al. 2010). The TMM method

minimizes the log-fold changes between samples for most genes. This approach may not be

appropriate for a Y chromosome, which is expected to be enriched for male-biased gene

expression. Therefore, we calculated scaling factors for all autosomal transcripts and normalized

the Y chromosome transcripts using these scaling factors. ENSEMBL annotated transcripts were

used for the autosomes. Replicates were grouped based on tissue (testis: six samples; liver: six

samples; brain: three samples; larvae: two samples). Log$_2$ fold-change was calculated for each

gene in each tissue comparison using edgeR.

Characterization of *Amhy*

The protein sequence of AMHY was aligned to AMH sequences from human (GenBank

AAH49194.1), mouse (GenBank NP_031471.2), chicken (GenBank NP_990361.1), zebrafish

(GenBank AAX81416.1), and the paralog of AMH on threespine stickleback chromosome eight

(ENSGACP00000016697) using CLUSTALW with default parameters in Geneious Prime (v.

2019.1.1) (https://www.geneious.com). Gene expression level was quantified for *Amhy* in the six

different tissues used for gene annotation. Read counts per million (CPM) for each tissue was

calculated from the TMM-scaled samples from the differential expression analysis.

Data Access

All raw sequencing data and the Y chromosome reference sequence generated in this

study have been submitted to the NCBI BioProject database

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/) under accession number PRJNA591630.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the following funding sources: NIH R01 GM071854 (CLP), NIH

P50 HG002568 (RMM, DMK, CLP), NIH R01 GM116853 (CLP), Fred Hutchinson Cancer

Research Center (CLP), Swiss National Science Foundation 31003A_176130 (CLP),

Evolutionary, Ecological, or Conservation Genomics Research Award from the American Genetic

Association (MAW), Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the Life Sciences Research

Foundation (MAW), NIH Cell and Molecular Biology Training Grant T32 GM07270 (JAR), NIH

Genome Training Grant T32 HG00035 (JRU), NIH Chromosome Metabolism and Cancer Training

Grant T32 CA009657 (JNC), National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship DGE

1256082 (JNC), Office of the Vice President of Research at the University of Georgia (MAW), and

National Science Foundation IOS 1645170 (MAW). The authors also acknowledge Ivan Liachko

and Shawn Sullivan (Phase Genomics) for assistance with Hi-C scaffolding, and the following

core facilities for sequencing: Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Genomics Shared

Resource, Next Generation Sequencing Platform of the University of Bern, The Georgia

Genomics and Bioinformatics Core at the University of Georgia. Arne Nolte, Joana Meier, and

Marcel Häsler provided advice on the isolation of high molecular weight DNA. Amanda Bruner

provided guidance in staging the threespine stickleback embryos.

Disclosure Declaration

902   The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

Alexandrov IA, Medvedev LI, Mashkova TD, Kisselev LL, Romanova LY, Yurov YB. 1993. Definition of a new alpha satellite suprachromosomal family characterized by monomeric organization. *Nucleic Acids Res* **21**: 2209–2215.

Alkan CC, Cardone MM, Catacchio CC, Antonacci FF, O'Brien SJ, Ryder OA, Purgato SS, Zoli MM, Valle GG, Eichler EE, et al. 2011. Genome-wide characterization of centromeric satellites from multiple mammalian genomes. *Genome Res* **21**: 137-145.

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* **215**: 403–410.

Anders S, Pyl P, Huber W. 2015. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 166–169.

Bachtrog D. 2013. Y-chromosome evolution: emerging insights into processes of Y-chromosome degeneration. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **14**: 113-124.

Bachtrog D, Mank JE, Peichel CL, Kirkpatrick M, Otto SP, Ashman T-L, Hahn MW, Kitano J, Mayrose I, Ming R, et al. 2014. Sex determination: why so many ways of doing it? *PLoS Biology* **12**: e1001899.

Backström N, Ceplitis H, Berlin S, Ellegren H. 2005. Gene conversion drives the evolution of HINTW, an campliconic gene on the female-specific avian W chromosome. *Mol Biol Evol* **22**: 1992-1999.

Bailly-Bechet M, Haudry A, Lerat E. 2014. "One code to find them all": a Perl tool to conveniently parse RepeatMasker output files. *Mobile DNA* **5**: 13.

Bell M, Stewart J, Park P. 2009. The world's oldest fossil threespine stickleback fish. *Copeia* **2**: 256-265.

Bellott DW, Bellott DW, Hughes JF, Hughes JF, Skaletsky H, Skaletsky H, Brown LG, Brown LG, Pyntikova T, Pyntikova T, et al. 2014. Mammalian Y chromosomes retain widely expressed dosage-sensitive regulators. *Nature* **508**: 494-499.

Bellott DW, Skaletsky H, Cho T-J, Brown L, Locke D, Chen N, Galkina S, Pyntikova T, Koutseva N, Graves T, et al. 2017. Avian W and mammalian Y chromosomes convergently retained dosage-sensitive regulators. *Nature Genetics* **49**: 387-394.

Bhowmick B, Satta Y, Takahata N. 2007. The origin and evolution of human campliconic gene families and campliconic structure. *Genome Res* **17**: 441-450.

Blackmon H, Demuth JP. 2014. Estimating Tempo and Mode of Y Chromosome Turnover: Explaining Y Chromosome Loss With the Fragile Y Hypothesis. *Genetics* **197**: 561-572.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.

954 Bracewell R, Chatla K, Nalley MJ, Bachtrog D. 2019. Dynamic turnover of centromeres drives
955 karyotype evolution in Drosophila. *Elife* **8**: e49002.
956
957 Brashear WA, Raudsepp T, Murphy WJ. 2018. Evolutionary conservation of Y Chromosome
958 ampliconic gene families despite extensive structural variation. *Genome Res* **28**: 1841-1851.
959
960 Cantarel B, Cantarel BL, Korf I, Korf I, Robb S, Robb SM, Parra G, Parra G, Ross E, Ross E, et
961 al. 2008. MAKER: an easy-to-use annotation pipeline designed for emerging model organism
962 genomes. *Genome Res* **18**: 188-196.
963
964 Capel B. 2017. Vertebrate sex determination: evolutionary plasticity of a fundamental switch.
965 *Nature Reviews Genetics* **18**: 675-689.
966
967 Carvalho A, Dobo BA, Vibranovski MD, Clark AG. 2001. Identification of five new genes on the
968 Y chromosome of Drosophila melanogaster. *Proc National Acad Sci* **98**: 13225–13230.
969
970 Carvalho A, Lazzaro BP, Clark AG. 2000. Y chromosomal fertility factors kl-2 and kl-3 of
971 Drosophila melanogaster encode dynein heavy chain polypeptides. *Proc National Acad Sci* **97**:
972 13239–13244.
973
974 Cech JN, Peichel CL. 2016. Centromere inactivation on a neo-Y fusion chromosome in
975 threespine stickleback fish. *Chromosome Res* **24**: 437–450.
976
977 Cech JN, Peichel CL. 2015. Identification of the centromeric repeat in the threespine stickleback
978 fish (Gasterosteus aculeatus). *Chromosome Research* **23**: 767-779.
979
980 Chang C-H, Larracuente AM. 2018. Heterochromatin-enriched assemblies reveal the Sequence
981 and organization of the *Drosophila melanogaster* Y chromosome. *Genetics* **211**: 333-348.
982
983 Charlesworth B. 1978. Model for evolution of Y chromosomes and dosage compensation. *Proc
984 National Acad Sci* **75**: 5618-5622.
985
986 Connallon T, Clark AG. 2010. Gene duplication, gene conversion and the evolution of the Y
987 chromosome. *Genetics* **186**: 277-286.
988
989 Cortez D, Cortez D, Marin R, Marin R, Toledo-Flores D, Toledo-Flores D, Froidevaux L,
990 Froidevaux L, Liechti A, Liechti A, et al. 2014. Origins and functional evolution of Y
991 chromosomes across mammals. *Nature* **508**: 488-493.
992
993 Davis JK, Thomas PJ, Program N, Thomas JW. 2010. A W-linked palindrome and gene
994 conversion in New World sparrows and blackbirds. *Chromosome Research* **18**: 543-553.
995
996 Dudchenko O, Batra SS, Omer AD, Nyquist SK, Hoeger M, Durand NC, Shamim MS, Machol I,
997 Lander ES, Aiden A, et al. 2017. De novo assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-C
998 yields chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science* **356**: 92–95.
999
1000 Durand NC, Robinson JT, Shamim MS, Machol I, Mesirov JP, Lander ES, Aiden E. 2016a.
1001 Juicebox provides a visualization system for Hi-C contact Maps with unlimited zoom. *Cell Syst*
1002 **3**: 99-101.
1003

Durand NC, Shamim MS, Machol I, Rao S, Huntley MH, Lander ES, Aiden E. 2016b. Juicer
provides a one-click system for analyzing loop-resolution Hi-C experiments. *Cell Syst* **3**: 95–98.

Ellison C, Bachtrog D. 2019. Recurrent gene co-amplification on Drosophila X and Y
chromosomes. *PLOS Genetics* **15**: e1008251.

Ewing B, Green P. 1998. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using Phred. II. Error
probabilities. *Genome Res* **8**: 186–194.

Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P. 1998. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces
using Phred. I. Accuracy assessment. *Genome Res* **8**: 175–185.

Ferris P, Olson BJ, Hoff PL, Douglass S, Casero D, Prochnik S, Geng S, Rai R, Grimwood J,
Schmutz J, et al. 2010. Evolution of an expanded sex-determining locus in *Volvox*. *Science* **328**:
351–354.

Firth HV, Richards SM, Bevan PA, Clayton S, Corpas M, Rajan D, Vooren S, Moreau Y, Pettett
RM, Carter NP. 2009. DECIPHER: database of chromosomal imbalance and phenotype in
humans using Ensembl resources. *Am J Hum Genetics* **84**: 524–533.

Glazer AM, Killingbeck EE, Mitros T, Rokhsar DS, Miller CT. 2015. Genome assembly
improvement and mapping convergently evolved skeletal traits in sticklebacks with genotyping-
by-sequencing. *G3* **5**: 1463-1472.

Gordon D, Abajian C, Green P. 1998. Consed: A graphical tool for sequence finishing. *Genome
Res* **8**: 195–202.

Griffin DK. 2012. Is the Y chromosome disappearing?—Both sides of the argument.
Chromosome Res **20**: 35–45.

Guo B, Chain FJ, Bornberg-Bauer E, Leder EH, Merilä J. 2013. Genomic divergence between
nine- and three-spined sticklebacks. *BMC Genomics* **14**: 756.

Gvozdev VA, Kogan GL, Usakin LA. 2005. The Y chromosome as a target for acquired and
amplified genetic material in evolution. *Bioessays* **27**: 1256–1262.

Hallast P, Balaresque P, Bowden GR, Ballereau S, Jobling MA. 2013. Recombination dynamics
of a human Y-chromosomal palindrome: rapid GC-biased gene conversion, multi-kilobase
conversion tracts, and rare inversions. *PLoS Genetics* **9**: e1003666.

Hartley G, O'Neill RJ. 2019. Centromere repeats: hidden gems of the genome. *Genes* **10**: 223.

Hattori RS, Murai Y, Oura M, Masuda S, Majhi SK, Sakamoto T, Fernandino JI, moza G, Yokota
M, Strüssmann CA. 2012. A Y-linked anti-Müllerian hormone duplication takes over a critical
role in sex determination. *Proc National Acad Sci* **109**: 2955-2959.

Henikoff S, Ahmad K, Malik HS. 2001. The centromere paradox: stable inheritance with rapidly
evolving DNA. *Science* **293**: 1098-1102.

Holt C, Yandell M. 2011. MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database management
tool for second-generation genome projects. *BMC bioinformatics* **12**: 491.

Huang N, Lee I, Marcotte EM, Hurles ME. 2010. Characterising and predicting
haploinsufficiency in the human genome. *PLoS Genetics* **6**: e1001154.
1057
1058   Hughes JF, Skaletsky H, Brown LG, Pyntikova T, Graves T, Fulton RS, Dugan S, Ding Y, Buhay
1059   CJ, Kremitzki C, et al. 2012. Strict evolutionary conservation followed rapid gene loss on human
1060   and rhesus Y chromosomes. *Nature* **483**: 82-86.
1061
1062   Hughes JF, Skaletsky H, Pyntikova T, Graves TA, van Daalen SK, Minx PJ, Fulton RS, McGrath
1063   SD, Locke DP, Friedman C, et al. 2010. Chimpanzee and human Y chromosomes are
1064   remarkably divergent in structure and gene content. *Nature* **463**: 536-539.
1065
1066   Jain M, Olsen HE, Turner DJ, Stoddart D, Bulazel KV, Paten B, Haussler D, Willard HF, Akeson
1067   M, Miga KH. 2018. Linear assembly of a human centromere on the Y chromosome. *Nat*
1068   *Biotechnol* **36**: 321.
1069
1070   Janečka JE, Davis BW, Ghosh S, Paria N, Das PJ, Orlando L, Schubert M, Nielsen MK, Stout
1071   TA, Brashear W, et al. 2018. Horse Y chromosome assembly displays unique evolutionary
1072   features and putative stallion fertility genes. *Nat Communications* **9**: 2945.
1073
1074   Jeffries DL, Lavanchy G, Sermier R, dl MJ, Miura I, Borzée A, Barrow LN, Canestrelli D,
1075   Crochet P-A, Dufresnes C, et al. 2018. A rapid rate of sex-chromosome turnover and non-
1076   random transitions in true frogs. *Nature Communications* **9**: 4088.
1077
1078   Jones FC, Grabherr MG, Chan Y, Russell P, Mauceli E, Johnson J, Swofford R, Pirun M, Zody
1079   MC, White S, et al. 2012. The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks.
1080   *Nature* **484**: 55-61.
1081
1082   Kamiya T, Kai W, Tasumi S, Oka A, Matsunaga T, Mizuno N, Fujita M, Suetake H, Suzuki S,
1083   Hosoya S, et al. 2012. A trans-species missense SNP in *Amhr2* is associated with sex
1084   determination in the tiger pufferfish, *Takifugu rubripes* (Fugu). *PLoS Genetics* **8**: e1002798.
1085
1086   Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. 2013. TopHat2: accurate
1087   alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome*
1088   *Biology* **14**: R36.
1089
1090   Kingsley D, Zhu B, Osoegawa K, Jong PJ, Schein J, Marra M, Peichel CL, Amemiya C, Schluter
1091   D, Balabhadra S, et al. 2004. New genomic tools for molecular studies of evolutionary change in
1092   threespine sticklebacks. *Behaviour* **141**: 1331-1344.
1093
1094   Kingsley DM, Peichel CL. 2006. The molecular genetics of evolutionary change in sticklebacks.
1095   *Biology of the Three-Spined Stickleback* 41–81.
1096
1097   Kitano J, Peichel CL. 2012. Turnover of sex chromosomes and speciation in fishes. *Environ Biol*
1098   *Fish* **94**: 549–558.
1099
1100   Kitano J, Ross JA, Mori S, Kume M, Jones FC, Chan YF, Absher DM, Grimwood J, Schmutz J,
1101   Myers RM, et al. 2009. A role for a neo-sex chromosome in stickleback speciation. *Nature* **461**:
1102   1079-1083.
1103
1104

Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. 2017. Canu: scalable and
accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome Res*
27: 722-736.

Korf I. 2004. Gene finding in novel genomes. *Bmc Bioinformatics* **5**: 59.

Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. 2004.
Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol* **5**: R12.

Lahn BT, Page DC. 1999. Retroposition of autosomal mRNA yielded testis-specific gene family
on human Y chromosome. *Nat Genet* **21**: 429-433.

Lange J, Yamada S, Tischfield SE, Pan J, Kim S, Zhu X, Socci ND, Jasin M, Keeney S. 2016.
The landscape of mouse meiotic double-strand break formation, processing, and repair. *Cell*
167: 695-708.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature methods* **9**:
357-359.

Lewis ZR, McClellan MC, Postlethwait JH, Cresko WA, Kaplan RH. 2008. Female-specific
increase in primordial germ cells marks sex differentiation in threespine stickleback
(*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Journal of morphology* **269**: 909-921.

Li G, Davis BW, Raudsepp T, Wilkerson AJ, Mason VC, Ferguson-Smith M, O'Brien PC, Waters
PD, Murphy WJ. 2013. Comparative analysis of mammalian Y chromosomes illuminates
ancestral structure and lineage-specific evolution. *Genome Res* **23**: 1486-1495.

Li M, Sun Y, Zhao J, Shi H, Zeng S, Ye K, Jiang D, Zhou L, Sun L, Tao W, et al. 2015. A
Tandem duplicate of anti-müllerian hormone with a missense SNP on the Y chromosome Is
essential for male sex determination in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Plos Genet* **11**:
e1005678.

Long M, VanKuren NW, Chen S, Vibranovski MD. 2013. New gene evolution: little did we know.
Annual review of genetics **47**: 307–33.

Mahajan S, Bachtrog D. 2017. Convergent evolution of Y chromosome gene content in flies.
Nature communications **8**: 785.

Mahajan S, Wei K, Nalley MJ, Gibilisco L, Bachtrog D. 2018. De novo assembly of a young
Drosophila Y chromosome using single-molecule sequencing and chromatin conformation
capture. *PLOS Biology* **16**: e2006348.

Malik HS, Henikoff S. 2002. Conflict begets complexity: the evolution of centromeres. *Curr Opin*
Genet Dev **12**: 711–718.

Manuelidis L. 1978. Chromosomal localization of complex and simple repeated human DNAs.
Chromosoma **66**: 23–32.

Marais GA, Marais G, Campos P, Campos PR, Gordo I, Gordo I. 2010. Can intra-Y gene
conversion oppose the degeneration of the human Y chromosome? A simulation study.
Genome biology and evolution **2**: 347-357.

McNulty SM, Sullivan BA. 2018. Alpha satellite DNA biology: finding function in the recesses of the genome. *Chromosome Res* **26**: 115–138.

Melters DP, Bradnam KR, Young HA, Telis N, May MR, Ruby GJ, Sebra R, Peluso P, Eid J, Rank D, et al. 2013. Comparative analysis of tandem repeats from hundreds of species reveals unique insights into centromere evolution. *Genome Biology* **14**: R10.

Miga KH, Newton Y, Jain M, Altemose N, Willard HF, Kent JW. 2014. Centromere reference models for human chromosomes X and Y satellite arrays. *Genome Res* **24**: 697–707.

Moens P, Chen D, Shen Z, Kolas N, Tarsounas M, Heng H, Spyropoulos B. 1997. Rad51 immunocytology in rat and mouse spermatocytes and oocytes. *Chromosoma* **106**: 207-215.

Murphy WJ, Wilkerson PA, Raudsepp T, Agarwala R, Schäffer AA, Stanyon R, Chowdhary BP. 2006. Novel gene acquisition on carnivore Y chromosomes. *Plos Genet* **2**: e43.

Myosho T, Takehana Y, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M. 2015. Turnover of sex chromosomes in celebensis group medaka fishes. *G3* **5**: 2685-2691.

Pan Q, Feron R, Yano A, Guyomard R, Jouanno E, Vigouroux E, Wen M, Busnel J-M, Bobe J, Concordet J-P, et al. 2019. Identification of the master sex determining gene in Northern pike (Esox lucius) reveals restricted sex chromosome differentiation. *Plos Genet* **15**: e1008013.

Papadopulos AS, Chester M, Ridout K, Filatov DA. 2015. Rapid Y degeneration and dosage compensation in plant sex chromosomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. **112**: 13021-13026.

Paria N, Raudsepp T, Wilkerson AJ, O'Brien PC, Ferguson-Smith MA, Love CC, Arnold C, Rakestraw P, Murphy WJ, Chowdhary BP. 2011. A gene catalogue of the euchromatic male-specific region of the horse Y chromosome: comparison with human and other mammals. *Plos One* **6**: e21374.

Peichel CL, Ross JA, Matson CK, Dickson M, Grimwood J, Schmutz J, Myers RM, Mori S, Schluter D, Kingsley DM. 2004. The master sex-determination locus in threespine sticklebacks is on a nascent Y chromosome. **14**: 1416 -1424.

Peichel CL, Sullivan ST, Liachko I, White MA. 2017. Improvement of the threespine stickleback genome using a Hi-C-based proximity-guided assembly. *Journal of Heredity* **108**: 693-700.

Peneder P, Wallner B, Vogl C. 2017. Exchange of genetic information between therian X and Y chromosome gametologs in old evolutionary strata. *Ecol Evol* **7**: 8478-8487.

Pertile MD, Graham AN, Choo KH, Kalitsis P. 2009. Rapid evolution of mouse Y centromere repeat DNA belies recent sequence stability. *Genome Res* **19**: 2202–2213.

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 841–842.
Rice W. 1987. Genetic hitchhiking and the evolution of reduced genetic activity of the Y sex chromosome. *Genetics* **116**: 161-167.

1206 Roberts A, Pimentel H, Trapnell C, Pachter L. 2011. Identification of novel transcripts in
1207 annotated genomes using RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2325–2329.

1208
1209 Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
1210 expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 139-140.

1211
1212 Roesti M, Moser D, Berner D. 2013. Recombination in the threespine stickleback genome-
1213 patterns and consequences. *Molecular Ecology* **22**: 3014-3027.

1214
1215 Ross JA, Peichel CL. 2008. Molecular cytogenetic evidence of rearrangements on the Y
1216 chromosome of the threespine stickleback fish. *Genetics* **179**: 2173-2182.

1217
1218 Ross JA, Urton JR, Boland J, Shapiro MD, Peichel CL. 2009. Turnover of sex chromosomes in
1219 the stickleback fishes (gasterosteidae). *PLoS Genetics* **5**: e1000391.

1220
1221 Rozen S, Skaletsky H, Marszalek JD, Minx PJ, Cordum HS, Waterston RH, Wilson RK, Page
1222 DC. 2003. Abundant gene conversion between arms of palindromes in human and ape Y
1223 chromosomes. *Nature* **423**: 873-876.

1224
1225 Sasaki M, Lange J, Keeney S. 2010. Genome destabilization by homologous recombination in
1226 the germ line. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* **11**: 182-195.

1227
1228 Saxena R, Brown LG, Hawkins T, Alagappan RK, Skaletsky H, Reeve M, Reijo R, Rozen S,
1229 Dinulos M, Disteche CM, et al. 1996. The DAZ gene cluster on the human Y chromosome arose
1230 from an autosomal gene that was transposed, repeatedly amplified and pruned. *Nat Genet* **14**:
1231 292.

1232
1233 Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO:
1234 assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.
1235 *Bioinformatics* **31**: 3210–3212.

1236
1237 Skaletsky H, Kuroda-Kawaguchi T, Minx PJ, Cordum HS, Hillier L, Brown LG, Repping S,
1238 Pyntikova T, Ali J, Bieri T, et al. 2003. The male-specific region of the human Y chromosome is
1239 a mosaic of discrete sequence classes. *Nature* **423**: 825-837.

1240
1241 Skinner BM, Sargent CA, Churcher C, Hunt T, Herrero J, Loveland JE, Dunn M, Louzada S, Fu
1242 B, Chow W, et al. 2016. The pig X and Y Chromosomes: structure, sequence, and evolution.
1243 *Genome Res* **26**: 130–139.

1244
1245 Smit A, Hubley R, Green P. 2013. RepeatMasker Open-4.0. http://www.repeatmasker.org.

1246
1247 Soh SY, Alföldi J, Pyntikova T, Brown LG, Graves T, Minx PJ, Fulton RS, Kremitzki C, Koutseva
1248 N, Mueller JL, et al. 2014. Sequencing the mouse Y chromosome reveals convergent gene
1249 acquisition and amplification on both sex chromosomes. *Cell* **159**: 800-813.

1250
1251 Stanke M, Tzvetkova A, Morgenstern B. 2006. AUGUSTUS at EGASP: using EST, protein and
1252 genomic alignments for improved gene prediction in the human genome. *Genome Biol* **7 Suppl**
1253 **1**: S11.1 8.

1254
1255 Swarup H. 1958. Stages in the development of the stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus* (L.).
1256 *Journal Of Embryology And Experimental Morphology* **6**: 373-383.

1257 Tobler R, Nolte V, Schlötterer C. 2017. High rate of translocation-based gene birth on the
1258 Drosophila Y chromosome. *Proc National Acad Sci* **114**: 11721–11726.
1259
1260 Trombetta B, Cruciani F. 2017. Y chromosome palindromes and gene conversion. *Human*
1261 *genetics* **136**: 605-619.
1262
1263 Urton J, McCann S, Peichel C. 2011. Karyotype differentiation between two stickleback species
1264 (Gasterosteidae). *Cytogenet Genome Res* **135**: 150-159.
1265
1266 Varadharajan, Rastas P, Löytynoja A, Matschiner M, Calboli FC, Guo B, Nederbragt AJ,
1267 Jakobsen KS, Merilä J. 2019. A high-quality assembly of the nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius*
1268 *pungitius*) genome. *Genome Biol Evol* **11**: 3291–3308.
1269
1270 Waterhouse RM, Seppey M, Simão FA, Manni M, Ioannidis P, Klioutchnikov G, Kriventseva EV,
1271 Zdobnov EM. 2017. BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction and
1272 phylogenomics. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**: 543-548.
1273
1274 White MA, Kitano J, Peichel CL. 2015. Purifying selection maintains dosage-sensitive genes
1275 during degeneration of the threespine stickleback Y chromosome. *Mol Biol Evol* **32**: 1981-1995.
1276
1277 Willard H. 1985. Chromosome-specific organization of human alpha satellite DNA. *Am J Hum*
1278 *Genet* **37**: 524–32.
1279
1280 Wolfe J, Darling SM, Erickson RP, Craig IW, Buckle VJ, Rigby PWJ, Willard HF, Goodfellow
1281 PN. 1985. Isolation and characterization of an alphoid centromeric repeat family from the human
1282 Y chromosome. *J Mol Biol* **182**: 477–485.
1283
1284 Zhang Y, Vibranovski, Krinsky B, Long M. 2010a. Age-dependent chromosomal distribution of
1285 male-biased genes in Drosophila. *Genome Res* **20**: 1526-1533.
1286
1287 Zhang YE, Vibranovski MD, Landback P, Marais GA, Long M. 2010b. Chromosomal
1288 redistribution of male-biased genes in mammalian evolution with two bursts of gene gain on the
1289 X chromosome. *PLoS Biology* **8**: e1000494.
1290
1291 Zhou Q, Zhou Q, Zhang J, Zhang J, Bachtrog D, Bachtrog D, An N, An N, Huang Q, Huang Q,
1292 et al. 2014. Complex evolutionary trajectories of sex chromosomes across bird taxa. *Science*
1293 **346**: 1246338-1246338.
1294