**The effect of feeding behavior of *Monochamus alternatus* (Coleoptera:**

**Cerambycidae) on the departure of pine wood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus***

***xylophilus* (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae)**

Yang Wang[1,2], Fengmao Chen[1,2*], Lichao Wang[1,2], Lifeng Zhou[1,2] and Juan Song[1,2]

[1]Collaborative Innovation Center of Sustainable Forestry in Southern China, College of Forestry, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China;

[2]Institute of Forest Protection, College of Forestry, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China;

[*]E-mail: cfengmao@njfu.edu.cn (for correspondence)

## Abstract

In order to study the causes of pine wood nematode (PWN) departure from *Monochamus alternatus*, the effects of the feeding behavior of *M. alternatus* on the start date of the departure of PWN were studied. The start date of the departure of PWN carried by the directly fed *M. alternatus* was 5–13 d after beetle emergence, mainly concentrated within 6–10 d, with a mean ($\pm$SD) of 8.02 $\pm$ 1.96 d. The start date of the departure of PWN carried by the *M. alternatus* fed after starvation was 5–14 d after beetle emergence, mainly concentrated within 6–9 d, with a mean of 7.76 $\pm$ 2.28 d. The results show that there was no significant difference in the start departure date of PWN between the two treatments. This shows that the feeding behavior of *M. alternatus* is not the trigger for PWN departure. At the same time, it was found that the motility of the PWN carried by *M. alternatus* at 8 d after emergence was significantly greater than that of the PWN carried by the newly emerged *M. alternatus*. And the PWN carried by *M. alternatus* at 8 d after emergence was extracted more easily than the PWN carried by newly emerged beetles. These results show that greater motility was associated with easier departure of PWN from *M. alternatus*. In addition, transcriptome sequencing found that the level of oxidative phosphorylation metabolism of PWN carried by beetles at 8 d after emergence was

30 significantly higher than that in the PWN carried by newly emerged beetle. High

31 oxidative phosphorylation was associated with increased energy production and

32 motility by the PWN and were the internal cause of the start of nematode departure.

33 **Keywords:** departure; feeding; lipid; *Monochamus alternatus*; motility; pine wood

34 nematode

## Introduction

Pine Wilt Disease (PWD) constitutes one of the most serious conifer diseases

worldwide, affecting *Pinus* spp. from the Far East forestlands (Japan, China and

Korea) (Cheng et al., 1983; Yi et al., 1989), and from Europe (Portugal and Spain)

(Abelleira et al., 2011; Fonseca et al., 2012; Mota et al., 1999; Robertson et al., 2011).

This disease causes significant economic and environmental damage to the countries

affected, with large annual losses of timber (Mamiya et al., 2004), increased cost in

management procedures, including disease and pest control (Mamiya et al., 2004;

Yang et al., 2004). This disease is caused by the pine wood nematode (PWN),

*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Steiner & Buhrer) Nickle, and PWN transmission is

dependent on vector insects, of which the main vector insect in East Asia is

*Monochamus alternatus* Hope (Linit, 1989; Morimoto and Iwasaki, 1972). There are

two developmental forms in the life cycle of the juvenile PWN, namely the

propagative and the dispersal forms. Under favorable conditions, PWN molt into their

propagative form, which then reproduce rapidly (Mamiya, 1984; Wingfield, 1983).

However, under unfavorable conditions, e.g., high population density, nutritive

deficiency, desiccation, and low temperature, the propagative second-stage juveniles

will molt to produce dispersal third-stage juveniles, which will aggregate around the

pupal chamber of the vector insects (Linit, 1988; Zhao et al., 2014). The dispersive

third-stage juveniles molt to produce fourth-stage juveniles, which enter the tracheal

system of the vector as the adult beetles emerge (Linit, 1988,1990; Necibi and Linit,

1998; Zhao et al., 2014); when the adult beetles feed on healthy pine trees, the

fourth-stage dispersive juveniles to be transmitted to the healthy pine trees through

wounds caused by the vector (Niu et al., 2012; Stamps and Linit,1988,2001; Zhao et

al., 2007).

The PWN cannot be transmitted immediately after the emergence of *M. alternatus*. A number of studies have investigated the time after *M. alternatus* emergence when PWN transmission starts. Fourth-stage dispersive juveniles exit the tracheal system of *M. alternatus* at 3–5 d (Hosoda and Kobayashi, 1977), at 5 d (Jikumaru and Togashi, 2000), at 7 d (Aikawa, 2008), at 7–12 d (Wang et al. 2019), at 10 d (Togashi, 1985), or within 10 d after the emergence of the vector beetles (Enda, 1972).

What triggers the departure of PWN from its insect host? A number of studies have investigated various factors: the degradation of neutral storage lipid was correlated with nematode exit (Stamps and Linit, 1988); assumed *β*-myrcene to play an important role in the transmigration of the PWN from the sawyer to the pine tree (Ishkawa et al., 1986); PWN has a trait of spontaneous departure from *M. alternatus,* and pine volatiles repress PWN departure from *M. alternatus*(Aikawa and Togashi, 1998); PWN departure behavior possibly endogenous natural factors (Aikawa and Togashi, 1998; Stamps and Linit, 1988); when the $CO_2$ concentration in the trachea of vector beetle reaches a certain critical value, PWN begins to escape from the beetle (Wu et al., 2019). The feeding period is an important stage in the life cycle of the vectors, as well as a key step in PWN transmission (Togashi and Shigesada, 2006; Yoshimura et al., 1999), although whether the feeding behavior of vector is the factor triggering PWN departure has not been studied. In order to further study the causes of PWN departure from vector, we investigated the effect of the feeding behavior of *M. alternatus* on PWN departure, the differences in motility and difficulty level of extraction of the PWN carried by *M. alternatus* at 8 d after emergence and newly emerged, and differences in the PWN transcriptome at these two time nodes.

## Materials and methods

### Collection of infected wood and *M. alternatus*

In March 2019, dead specimens of *Pinus massoniana* trees, infested by *M. alternatus* larvae and PWN, were collected at Bocun Forest Farm, Huangshan City,

Anhui Province in eastern China. The pine trees were cut into logs (1.0–1.2 m in

length) and maintained in outdoor insect cages (0.5 m × 0.5 m × 1.2 m), as shown in

Fig. 1 A. *M. alternatus* adults were collected daily (every 6 h) during the period of

adult beetle emergence from the logs.

The collected *M. alternatus* were divided into two groups and maintained in

culture flasks at 25°C. One group was fed (with fresh one-year-old pine twigs

collected from healthy *P. massoniana* trees, with the needles removed) immediately

after collection ("direct feeding"), whereas the second group was fed after a starvation

period of 4 d (in a preliminary experiment, no nematodes departure from beetles

within 4 days). In the present study, 41 *M. alternatus* were subjected to direct feeding

and 37 were fed after a starvation period; the feeding of the beetles is shown in Fig. 1

B.

**Fig. 1 Collection and feeding of *Monochamus alternatus***

A: The dead pine trees were cut into logs and maintained in outdoor insect cages. *Monochamus*

*alternatus* adults were collected daily (every 2 h) during the period of adult beetles emergence. B: The

collected *M. alternatus* adults were divided into two groups and maintained in culture flasks at 25°C,

one of which was fed (with fresh one-year-old pine twigs with the needles removed) immediately after

collection, whereas the second group was fed after a starvation period of 4 d.

**Extraction, counting and identification of PWN**

The twigs of *P. massoniana* were replaced daily. The twigs had been fed by the

beetles were cut into pieces and the PWN were extracted using the Bellman funnel

method ( Baermann, 1917). Twenty-four hours after extraction, the liquid at the

bottom of the funnel was collected in a 10-mL centrifuge tube. The number of PWN

was counted under a microscope (Zeiss Axio Lab. A1; Carl Zeiss, Göttingen,

Germsny) under 10×4 field.

The molecular identification of nematodes was carried out to confirm that the

extracted nematodes were PWN. 40 µL nematode liquid was transferred to a 1.5-mL

Eppendorf tube with 40 µL of nematode lysis solution (Zhang et al., 2017) and 5 µL

of protease K (Takara, Dalian, China). The mixture was then subjected to

centrifugation at 2,000 ×g for 1 min before heating for 45 min at 65 °C in a constant

temperature water bath, with the temperature then being raised to 95 °C for 10 min.

Samples were then centrifuged at 12,000 ×g for 3 min, before collecting the

supernatant and storing it at 4°C (Yang et al., 2020).

The 10-µL detection mixture consisted of 3.5 µL of the supernatant, 5 µL of

Premix Ex Taq (Takara, Dalian, China), and 1.5 µL of a mixture of probe (5'-TGCAC

GTTGT GACAG TCGT-3') and primers (F: 5'-GAGCA GAAAC GCCGA CTT-3', R:

5'-CGTAA AACAG ATGGT GCCTA-3') in a PCR tube which was centrifuged at

2,000 ×g for 30 s. The detection mixture was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR

(qPCR) with the LineGene K Plus Real-Time PCR Detection System (FQD-48A;

Hangzhou Bioer Technology Co. Ltd. Hangzhou, China) for the presence of PWN

(constant temperature: 95 °C for 20 s; 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s, and 60 °C 129 for

20 s) (Yang et al., 2020).

**PWN motility and extraction percentage**

PWN were extracted for a 4-h period from newly emerged *M. alternatus* and

from beetles at 8 d after emergence, using the Bellman funnel method ( Baermann,

1917). The PWN were washed with sterile water and treated in the dark for 10

minutes. Then, the motility of PWN was observed under a light microscope (Zeiss

Axio lmager. M2; Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germsny) and videos were taken (Zeiss

AxioCam HRc). The motility of the PWN carried by the beetles is shown in

supplementary video A (the motility of the PWN carried by the newly emerged *M.*

*alternatus*) and video B (the motility of the PWN carried by the beetles at 8 d after

emergence).

PWN were extracted from ten newly emerged *M. alternatus* and from ten beetles

at 8 d after emergence, with PWN being collected and counted every 6 h. The

percentage of PWN for the total extraction was determined over different extraction

periods (0–12 h, 12–24 h, 24–36 h).

**Transcriptome sequencing (Biomarker Technologies, Beijing, China)**

*RNA quantification and qualification*

The total RNAs (three biological replicates of each of the two groups) of PWN

were extracted, using the TRIzol Plus RNA Purification kit (Invitrogen, Waltham,

MA, USA). RNA concentration was measured using NanoDrop$^{TM}$ 2000

spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). RNA integrity

was assessed using the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100

system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA).

A total amount of 1 μg RNA per sample was used as input material for the RNA

sample preparations. Sequencing libraries were generated using NEBNext®Ultra$^{TM}$

RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) following

manufacturer's recommendations and index codes were added to attribute sequences

to each sample.

*Clustering and sequencing*

The clustering of the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot Cluster

Generation System using TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v4-cBot-HS (Illumia) according to

the manufacturer's instructions. After cluster generation, the library preparations were

sequenced on an Illumina platform and paired-end reads were generated.

*Quality control*

Raw data (raw reads) of fastq format were firstly processed through in-house perl

scripts. In this step, clean data (clean reads) were obtained by removing reads

containing adapter, reads containing ploy-N and low quality reads from raw data. At

the same time, Q20 (%), Q30 (%), GC-content (%) and sequence duplication level of

the clean data were calculated. All the downstream analyses were based on clean data

with high quality.

*Comparative analysis*

The adaptor sequences and low-quality sequence reads were removed from the

data sets. Raw sequences were transformed into clean reads after data processing.

These clean reads were then mapped to the reference genome sequence

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/?term=Bursaphelenchus+xylophilus).     Only

reads with a perfect match or one mismatch were further analyzed and annotated

based on the reference genome. HISAT2 tools soft were used to map with reference

genome.

*Gene functional annotation*

Gene function was annotated based on the following databases: Nr (NCBI

non-redundant protein sequences); Nt (NCBI non-redundant nucleotide sequences);

Pfam (Protein family); KOG/COG (Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins);

Swiss-Prot (A manually annotated and reviewed protein sequence database); KO

(KEGG Ortholog database); GO (Gene Ontology).

*Differential expression analysis*

Differential expression analysis of two conditions/groups was performed using

the DEseq software. DEseq provide statistical routines for determining differential

expression in digital gene expression data, using a model based on the negative

binomial distribution. The resulting P values were adjusted using the Benjamini and

Hochberg's approach for controlling the false discovery rate (FDR). Genes with an

adjusted *P*-value < 0.01 found by DEseq were assigned as differentially expressed.

*KEGG pathway enrichment analysis*

KEGG (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) was used to identify biological pathways that

were enriched in a gene list more than would be expected by chance. KOBAS (Mao et

al., 2005) software was used to test the statistical enrichment of differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) in KEGG pathways.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPASS 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the differences of the

start departure time of PWN from *M. alternatus* feeding after starvation and direct

feeding. Mann-Whitney test was performed to analysis the differences of difficulty

level of extracted PWN from *M. alternatus* at 8 d after emergence and from newly

emerged beetles.

## Results

**Effect of *M. alternatus* feeding behavior on PWN departure from beetle**

After molecular identification, it was confirmed that the nematodes extracted in
this study were all pine wood nematodes.

Data from the study into the time after *M. alternatus* emergence required for
PWN start departure from the beetles are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that the
mean (± standard deviaton, SD) start time for PWN departure from directly fed *M.*
*alternatus* was 8.02 ± 1.96 d after beetle emergence, and there was no significant
difference between male and female beetles fed directly (*P*=0.47). The mean start
time for PWN departure from *M. alternatus* fed after starvation was 7.76 ± 2.28 d
after beetle emergence, with no significant difference between male and female
beetles (*P*=0.19). There was also no significant difference in the time that PWN
started to departure from the beetles under the two feeding regimes (*P*=0.34), with no
significant differences in the start time of PWN departure from female (*P*=0.58) or
male (*P*=0.12) beetles under the two feeding regimes.

Table 1. The start date of pine wood nematode (PWN) departure from *Monochamus alternatus*

| Treatment | Direct feeding [a] | | Feeding after starvation [b] | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sex of beetles | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ |
| *Days after emergence of Monochamus alternatus* | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 |
| 7 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| 7 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| 8 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| 8 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| 9 | 10 | 9 | 12 |
| 9 | 11 | 10 | |
| 9 | 12 | 11 | |
| 10 | 12 | 12 | |
| 10 | | 13 | |
| 10 | | 14 | |
| 13 | | | |
| Average (mean ± SD) | 7.82±1.82 | 8.26±2.13 | 8.19±2.54 | 7.19±1.80 |
| | 8.02±1.96 | | 7.76±2.28 | |

a: The date of PWN start departure from *Monochamus alternatus* which was fed directly after

emergence, with 41 beetles. b: The date of PWN start departure from *Monochamus alternatus* was

which fed after a 40-d starvation period, with 36 beetles. There was no significant difference in the

start date of PWN departure from beetles between the two treatments ($P=0.34$), with no significant

differences of PWN departure from female ($P=0.58$) or male ($P=0.12$) beetles.

The start date of PWN departure from *M. alternatus* fed directly was mainly

concentrated in the period 6–10 d after emergence, with the 36 *M. alternatus* in this

category accounting for 87.8% of the total. The start date of departure of PWN from

*M. alternatus* fed after the 4-d starvation treatment was mainly concentrated in the

period 6–9 d after emergence, with the 29 *M. alternatus* in this category accounting

for 78.4% of the total. There was no significant difference between the two treatments

in peak period of PWN start departure from beetles ($P = 0.078$).

These results indicated that the feeding behavior of *M. alternatus* had no

significant effect on the departure of PWN. It also suggest that the consequent

metabolic changes caused by different feeding treatments had no effect on the

departure of PWN, and volatiles from pine twigs also had no effect on the departure

of PWN (when the beetles were exposed to starvation, they were not stimulated by

volatiles.).

**Comparisons of PWN motility**

After dark treatment for 10 minutes (to simulate conditions in the body of the

beetle), the PWN carried by the newly emerged beetles were less motile than the

PWN which carried by the beetles at 8 d after emergence (supplementary videos A

and B). At the same time, it was found that the PWN carried by *M. alternatus* at 8 d

after emergence were easier to extract than the PWN carried by the newly emerged

beetles (Table 2). A higher proportion of PWN being collected within 12 h from the

beetles at 8 d after emergence than from the newly emerged beetles ($P < 0.01$). These

findings suggest that the higher motility PWN were easier extracted from *M.*

*alternatus* was associated with their departure from the vectors.

Table 2 The percentage of pine wood nematodes (PWN) collected in different extraction periods

|  | NO. | The time of PWN collected | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  | 0-12 h | 12-24 h | 24-36 h |
| Newly emerged beetles | 1 | 29% | 50% | 21% |
|  | 2 | 35% | 53% | 12% |
|  | 3 | 26% | 49% | 25% |
|  | 4 | 38% | 49% | 13% |
|  | 5 | 25% | 61% | 14% |
|  | 6 | 29% | 46% | 25% |
|  | 7 | 41% | 40% | 19% |
|  | 8 | 27% | 51% | 22% |
|  | 9 | 33% | 51% | 16% |
|  | 10 | 31% | 55% | 14% |
| Beetles at 8 day after | 11 | 80% | 15% | 5% |
|  | 12 | 84% | 11% | 5% |
|  | 13 | 91% | 6% | 3% |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 14 | 81% | 13% | 6% |
| | 15 | 77% | 16% | 7% |
| | 16 | 75% | 17% | 9% |
| | 17 | 72% | 20% | 8% |
| | 18 | 81% | 15% | 4% |
| | 19 | 83% | 12% | 5% |
| | 20 | 70% | 23% | 7% |
| Mean ±SD | a | 31%±5.2% | 51%±6.0% | 18%±4.9% |
| | b | 79%±6.2% | 15%±4.8% | 6%±1.8% |

The mean ±SD percentage of PWN collected during different extraction periods relative to
the total extraction number (a: extracted from newly emerged *M. alternatus*. b: extracted from *M.*
*alternatus* at 8 d after emergence.). within 0-12 hours, the proportion of PWN extracted from
beetles at 8 d after emergence was significant higher than that from newly emerged beetles ($P <$
0.01).

**Analysis of the PWN transcriptome from *M. alternatus* of different ages**

Analysis of transcriptome sequencing results found that expression of the
oxidative phosphorylation pathway genes of PWN, which extracted from their vector
beetles at 8 d after emergence, was greatly up-regulated, compared with PWN which
extracted newly emerged beetles (Fig. 2). A total of 36 differentially expressed genes
were enriched in this pathway, 35 of which were up-regulated; this pathway was
enriched with the most abundant up-regulated genes (Details: Supplementary material
S1).  This indicated that PWN carried by the beetles at 8 d after emergence were in an
oxygen-rich environment. At the same time, the expression of genes associated with
fatty acid degradation (fatty acid *β*-oxidation) in PWN extracted from the beetles at 8
d after emergence was also significantly up-regulated (**Fig. 3**) (Details: Supplementary
material S2). The increase in fatty acid degradation and oxidative phosphorylation
would generate a considerable amount of energy, which promote the enhancement of
PWN motility.

**Fig. 2 Oxidative phosphorylation pathway and gene expression profiles in pine wood nematodes, showing genes up-regulated or down-regulated in PWN extracted from 8-d beetles relative to newly emerged beetles**

There were 36 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the oxidative pathway (in the analysis of protein subunits at the bottom half of the picture), the red block containing up-regulated genes (n=34), the blue block contains up-regulated genes and down-regulated genes. The two genes, encoding NADH hydrolase Ndufv2, was one down-regulated and another up-regulated (Details: Supplementary material S1).

**Fig. 3 Fatty acid degradation pathway and gene expression profiles in pine wood nematodes,**

**showing genes up-regulated (red) or down-regulated (blue) in PWN extracted from 8-d**

**beetles relative to newly emerged beetle.**

The important genes (encoding long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase [EC:6.2.1.3], acetyl-CoA

C-acetyltransferase [EC:2.3.1.9] and 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase [EC:1.1.1.35]) for fatty

acid $\beta$-oxidation were all up-regulated. Expression of the gene encoding acyl-CoA oxidase

[EC:1.3.3.6], which inhibits fatty acid $\beta$-oxidation, was down-regulated.

# Discussion

A number of studies have investigated the factors which affect PWN departure

from vectors, although differences in results have been reported. Stamps and Linit

(1988) reported that neutral storage (NS) lipid was correlated with PWN exit and may

contribute to PWN departure from vectors. A rolling fulcrum model of the integration

of intrinsic (NS lipid) and extrinsic (volatiles) cues is proposed to explain the

behavioral ontogeny of fourth-stage dispersive juveniles in relation to the beetle

vector (Stamps and Linit, 2001). In the rolling fulcrum model, NS lipid content is

proposed as a modifier of PWN response to beetle and tree produced volatiles, with

juveniles with the lower NS lipid content being attracted to *β*-myrcene, a pine volatile,

whereas PWN with the higher NS lipid content were attracted to toluene, a beetle

cuticular hydrocarbon (Stamps and Linit, 1998, 2001). Ishkawa et al. (1986)

examined the attractant effect of volatile components in *Pinus densiflora,* to the PWN

(dispersive PWN that extracted from *M. alternatus*), and assumed that *β*-myrcene

played an important role in the transmigration of the PWN from the vector to the pine

tree and for the movement of the PWN inside the pine wood. However, in the present

study, we believe that volatiles were not the cause of PWN departure from *M.*

*alternatus*.

Provided autoclaved (without natural volatiles) and fresh pine twigs to *M.*

*alternatus* respectively, the results suggested that PWN had a trait of spontaneous

departure from *M. alternatus* and the volatiles from fresh *P. densiflora* twigs

repressed PWN departure from beetles (Aikawa and Togashi, 1998). Stamps and Linit

(1988) examined the effects of various pine volatiles on PWN departure, suggested

that PWN departure behavior possibly controlled by endogenous nature factors.

Volatiles, previously thought to be attractants, may actually act more as aggregation

stimulants than as attractants, with PWN moving randomly until the desired chemical

is reached, whereupon movement decreases or stops (Futai, 1980; Stamps and Linit,

1998). Volatiles may only play a role in fourth-stage dispersal juveniles movement

from beetles into pine wood (Stamps and Linit, 1988, 1998).

In the present study, feeding behavior of the beetles had no significant effect on

the time of PWN departure from *M. alternatus*. The results also indicated that

volatiles (starvation-treated beetles were not stimulated by volatiles) of pine and the

metabolic changes of *M. alternatus* caused by feeding behavior had no effect on the

departure date of PWN. The two main behaviors of *M. alternatus* following

emergence are feeding and breathing. Have study reported that, after emergence, the

respiratory rates of *M. alternatus* increased, which led to a rise in $CO_2$ production rate

(Wu et al., 2019). When the $CO_2$ concentration in trachea reached a certain critical

level, PWN began to escape from the beetle (Wu et al., 2019), with to avoid $CO_2$

(Bretscher et al., 2008). In the present study, we observed that the PWN carried by the

newly emerged beetles exhibited less motility than the PWN carried by the beetles at
8 d after emergence, with the PWN carried by *M. alternatus* at 8 d after emergence
were easier to extract than the PWN carried by newly emerged beetles, suggest that
the date of departure of PWN from vector might be related to their motility. PWN
movement requires energy, lipid degradation provides the energy needed for exercise
(Stamps and Linit, 1988,1998), with lipid fully degradation to produce large amounts
of energy ($\beta$-oxidation) requiring sufficient $O_2$. Respiratory behavior leads to a critical
level of $CO_2$ in the trachea of *M. alternatus* (Wu et al., 2019), with the $O_2$
concentration in the beetle trachea also reaching a critical level when breathing (the
concentration of O2 will increase when inhaling).

Tian et al. (2017) carried out analysis of metabolism-related genes and showed that
the metabolic level of fourth-stage dispersive juveniles (carried by newly emerged
beetles) was much lower than that in third-stage dispersive juveniles (in dead pine).
This was due to the decreases in enzymatic activity in those pathways involving
metabolism of glycolysis, oxidative phosphorylation, tricarboxylic acid cycle,
gluconeogenesis, etc. (O'Riordan and Burnell, 1989). The expression of the sorbitol
dehydrogenase gene in the fourth-stage dispersal juveniles (carried by newly emerged
beetles) was up-regulated (Tian et al., 2017), this enzyme may be involved in ethanol
fermentation under hypoxic conditions (Mcelwee et al., 2006), providing basic energy
for PWN. These findings indicated that the PWN were in a hypoxic environment in
the early stage after entering the trachea of *M. alternatus* (Tian et al., 2017). In the
present study, the low motility of PWN carried by newly emerged beetles also
supported that PWN are in a state of low oxygen and low energy.

In the present study, the greater motility of PWN (carried by *M. alternatus* at 8 d
after emergence) were easier departure from *M. alternatus*. The greater motility
showed that, under these circumstances, PWN had a strong metabolism and abundant
energy. A large amount of lipid degradation in PWN, after a period of emergence of
the beetle (Stamps and Linit, 1988), and oxidative phosphorylation requires sufficient
oxygen sustained stimulation. In the present study, transcriptome sequencing found
that expression of the genes associated with oxidative phosphorylation level of PWN

carried by the beetles at 8 d after emergence was significantly higher than that in PWN carried by newly emerged beetles. The thermodynamic power of the oxidative phosphorylation electron transfer chain comes from the strong affinity of oxygen for electrons (Wang et al., 2002), indicating that PWN in the trachea of beetles (at 8 d after emergence) obtained sufficient oxygen, with the oxygen obtained by PWN can only come from the respiration of the beetles.

In summary, our view is that the increased of respiration after adult vector emergence (Wu et al., 2019) causes an increase in $O_2$ concentration in the trachea, which causes the increase of oxidative phosphorylation level in PWN, which, in turn, then causes the degradation of lipid (oxidative phosphorylation and $\beta$-oxidation are coupled (Wang et al., 2002)). This increase in oxidative phosphorylation in PWN releases energy, which increases the motility of PWN, and is the direct internal cause of their departure from the vector beetle, with the increased motility being the factor necessary for PWN departure from the vector.

## Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the Funding information the National Key R&D Program of China, Grant/Award Number: 2017YFD0600104.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The sponsors had no role in the design, execution, interpretation, or writing of the study.

## References

Abelleira, A., Picoaga, A., Mansilla, J. P., and Aguin, O, 2011. Detection of *Bursaphelenchus Xylophilus*, Causal Agent of Pine Wilt Disease on Pinus pinaster in Northwestern Spain. *Plant Dis.* 95(6), 776.

Aikawa,T, 2008. Transmission biology of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* in relation to its insect vector. Pine Wilt Disease, pp 123-138. Springer.

Aikawa, T. and Togashi, K, 1998. An effect of pine volatiles on departure of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae) from *Monochamus alternatus* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). *Appl. Entomol. Zoolog.* 33(2), 231-237.

Baermann, G, 1917. Eine eifache methode Zur Auffindung von Anklyostomum
(Nematoden) larven in Erdproben. *Geneesk. Tydschr. Nederl. Indie*. 57, 131-137.

Bretscher, A. J., Busch, K. E. and De Bono, M, 2008. A carbon dioxide avoidance
behavior is integrated with responses to ambient oxygen and food in
*Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A*. 105(23), 8044-8049.

Cheng, H., Lin, M., Li, W. and Fang, Z, 1983. The occurrence of a pine wilting
disease caused by a nematode found in Nanjing. *For Pest Dis*. 4, 1-5.

Enda, N, 1972. Removing dauer larvae of *Bursaphelenchus lignicolus* from the body
of *Monochamus alternatus*. *Kanto. Branch. Jpn. For. Soc.* 32.

Fonseca, L., Cardoso, J., Lopes, A., Pestana, M., Abreu, F., Nunes, N., et al, 2012. The
pinewood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*, in Madeira Island.
*Helminthologia*. 49(2), 96-103.

Futai, K, 1980. Host Preference of *Bursaphelenchus lignicolus* (Nematoda :
Aphelenchoididae) and *B. mucronatus* Shown by their aggregation to Pine Saps.
*Appl. Entomol. Zoolog*. 15(3), 193-197.

Hosoda, R. and Kobayashi, K, 1977. Drop off procedures of the pine wood nematode
from the pine sawyer.   *Kanto. Branch. Jpn. For. Soc.* 255-258.

Ishkawa, M., Shuto, Y. and Watanabe, H, 1986. β-Myrcene, a potent attractant
component of pine wood for the pine wood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus*
*xylophilus*. *Agric. Bioi. Chem*. 50(7), 1863-1866.

Jikumaru, S. and Togashi, K, 2000. Temperature effects on the transmission of
*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Nemata: Aphelenchoididae) by *Monochamus*
*alternatus* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). *J. Nematol*. 32(1), 110-116.

Kanehisa, M., Araki, M., Goto, S., et al., 2008. KEGG for linking genomes to life and
the environment. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36:D480-D484.

Linit, M. J, 1988. Nematode-vector relationships in the pine wilt disease system. *J.*
*Nematol*. 20(2), 227-235.

Linit, M. J, 1989. Temporal pattern of pinewood nematode exit from the insect vector
*Monochamus carolinensis*. *J. Nematol*. 21(1), 105.

Linit, M. J, 1990. Transmission of pinewood nematode through feeding wounds of

*Monochamus carolinensis* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). *J. Nematol.* 22(2), 231.

Mamiya, Y., Mota, M. and Vieira, P, 2004. Pine wilt disease in Japan. The pinewood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* an international workshop, University of Évora, Portugal, August.

Mamiya, Y, 1984. The pine wood nematode. *Helminthologia*. 49(2), 96-103.

Mao, X., Cai, T., Olyarchuk, J.G., et al., 2005. Automated genome annotation and pathway identification using the KEGG Orthology (KO) as a controlled vocabulary. *Bioinformatics* 21, 3787-3793.

Mcelwee, J.J., Eugene, S., Eric, B., Janet, T. and David, G, 2006. Diapause-associated metabolic traits reiterated in long-lived daf-2 mutants in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mech. Ageing. Dev.* 127(5), 458-472.

Mota, M. M., Braasch, H., Bravo, M. A. and Penas, A.C., Burgermeister, W., Metge K., et al., 1999. First report of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* in Portugal and in Europe. *Nematology*. 1(1), 727-734.

Morimoto, K., Iwasaki, A, 1972. Role of *Monochamus alternatus* (Coleoptera : Cerambycidae) as a vector of *Bursaphelenchus lignicolus* (Nematoda : Aphelenchoididae). *J. Jpn. For. Soc.* 54(6), 177-183.

Necibi, S., Linit, M. J, 1988. Effect of *Monochamus carolinensis* on *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* dispersal stage formation. *J. Nematol.* 30(2), 246-254.

Niu, H., Zhao, L., Lu, M., Zhang, S. and Sun J, 2012. The ratio and concentration of two monoterpenes mediate fecundity of the pinewood nematode and growth of its associated fungi. *Plos. One*. 7(2), e31716.

O'Riordan, V. B. and Burnell, A. M, 1989. Intermediary metabolism in the dauer larva of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*-1. Glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, oxidative phosphorylation and the tricarboxylic acid cycle. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B-Comp. Biol.* 92(2), 233-238.

Robertson, L., Arcos, S. C., Escuer, M., Merino, R. S., Esparrago, G., Abelleira, A., et al., 2011. Incidence of the pinewood nematode *Bursaphelenchus xylophlius* Steiner & Buhrer, 1934 (Nickle, 1970) in Spain. *Nematology*. 13(6), 755-757.

Stamps, W. T. and Linit, M. J, 1998. Chemotactic response of propagative and

dispersal forms of the pinewood nematode *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* to beetle

and pine derived compounds. *Fundam. appl. Nematol.* 21(3), 243-250.

Stamps, W. T. and Linit, M. J, 2001. Interaction of intrinsic and extrinsic chemical

cues in the behaviour of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Aphelenchida :

Aphelenchoididae) in relation to its beetle vectors. *Nematology*. 3(4), 295-301.

Stamps, W. T. and Linit, M. J, 1988. Neutral storage lipid and exit behavior of

*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* fourth- stage dispersal juveniles from their beetle

vectors. *J. Nematol.* 30(2), 255-261.

Tian, H., Zhang, S., Liu, X., Zhang, Z. and Zhao, L, 2017. Comparative analysis of

dispersal and propagative larval stages in the pinewood nematode

(*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*) based on a digital gene expression library. *J. Bios.*

26(2), 111-121.

Togashi, K, 1985. Transmission curves of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Nematoda:

Aphelenchoididae) from its vector, *Monochamus alternatus* (Coleoptera:

Cerambycidae), to pine trees with reference to population performance. *Appl.*

*Entomol. Zoolog.* 20, 246-251.

Togashi, K. and Shigesada, N, 2006. Spread of the pinewood nematode vectored by

the Japanese pine sawyer: modeling and analytical approaches. *Popul. Ecol.*

48(4), 271-283.

Wang, J. Y., Zhu, S. G., Xu, C. F, 2002. Biochemistry (third edition volume II). Higher

education press, Beijing.

Wang, Y., Chen, F. M., Zhou, Q., Zhou, L. F. and Sun, S. H, 2019. Transmission of the

pine wood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Nematoda:

Aphelenchoididae), through feeding activity of *Monochamus alternatus*

(Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). *J. Nanjing. For. Univ.* 43(6), 1-10.

Wingfield, M. J, 1983. Transmission of pine wood nematode to cut timber and girdled

trees. *Plant Dis*. 67(1), 35-37.

Wu, Y. X., Wickham, J. D., Zhao, L. L. and Sun, J. J, 2019. $CO_2$ drives the pine wood

nematode off its insect vector. *Curr. Biol.* 29(13), R619-R620.

Yang, B. J., Mota, M. and Vieira, P, 2014.The history, dispersal and potential threat of

pine wood nematode in China. The pinewood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus*

*xylophilus* Nematology Monographs and Perspectives, pp. 21-24. The

Netherlands: Brill Academica Publishers.

Yang, W., Fengmao, C., Lichao, W., Min, L, 2020. Investigation of beetle species that

carry the pine wood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Steiner and Buhrer)

Nickle, in China. *J. For. Res*. doi: 10.1007/s11676-020-01146-2.

Yi, C. K., Byun, B. H., Park, J. D., Yang, S. I. and Chang, K. H, 1989. First finding of

the pine wood nematode, *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Steiner et Buhrer) Nickle

and its insect vector in Korea. *Res. Rep. For. Res. Ins. Seoul.* 38, 141-149.

Yoshimura, A., Kawasaki, K., Takasu, F., Togashi, K., Futai, K. and Shigesada, N,

1999. Modeling the spread of pine wilt disease caused by nematodes with pine

sawyer as vector. *Ecology*. 80(5), 1691-1702.

Zhang, S., Lin, S. Q., Meng, F. X., Wu, M., Wang, C. S, 2017. Nematode (Enoplida)

diversity in sediment samples collected from the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture

Zone.   Axta Ecol Sinica 37(5):1630-1638.

Zhao, L. L., Wei, W., Kang, L. and Sun, J. H, 2007. Chemotaxis of the pinewood

nematode, *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*, to volatiles associated with host pine,

Pinus massoniana, and its vector *Monochamus alternatus*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 33(6),

1207-1216.

Zhao, L., Mota, M., Vieira, P., Butcher, R. A. and Sun, J, 2014. Interspecific

communication between pinewood nematode, its insect vector, and associated

microbes. *Trends Parasitol.* 30(6), 299.