1

PrkA controls peptidoglycan biosynthesis through the essential phosphorylation of ReoM

Sabrina Wamp[a], Zoe J.Rutter[b], Jeanine Rismondo[a,c], Claire E. Jennings[d], Lars Möller[e],

Richard J. Lewis[b] and Sven Halbedel[a,*]

[a] FG11 - Division of Enteropathogenic bacteria and *Legionella*, Robert Koch Institute,
Burgstrasse 37, 38855 Wernigerode, Germany;
[b] Institute for Cell and Molecular Biosciences, Medical School, University of Newcastle,
Catherine Cookson Building, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK
NE2 4HH, United Kingdom;
[c] Department of General Microbiology, GZMB, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen,
Grisebachstraße 8, 37077 Göttingen, Germany;
[d] Newcastle Drug Discovery, Northern Institute for Cancer Research, Paul O'Gorman Building,
Medical School, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK.
[e] ZBS 4 - Advanced Light and Electron Microscopy, Robert Koch Institute, Seestraße 10, 13353
Berlin, Germany;

[*] Corresponding author:

halbedels@rki.de, Robert Koch Institute, FG11 - Division of Enteropathogenic bacteria and

Legionella, Burgstrasse 37, 38855 Wernigerode, Germany; phone: +49-30-18754-4323; fax: +49-

30-18754-4207;

Keywords: PASTA-domain, serine/threonine protein kinase, peptidoglycan, protein degradation,

ClpCP protease

ABSTRACT

Peptidoglycan (PG) is the main component of bacterial cell walls and the target for many antibiotics. PG biosynthesis is tightly coordinated with cell wall growth and turnover, and many of these control activities depend upon PASTA-domain containing eukaryotic-like serine/threonine protein kinases (PASTA-eSTK) that sense PG fragments. However, only a few PG biosynthetic enzymes are direct kinase substrates. Here, we identify the conserved ReoM protein as a novel PASTA-eSTK substrate in the Gram-positive pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes*. Our data show that the phosphorylation of ReoM is essential as it controls ClpCP-dependent proteolytic degradation of the essential enzyme MurA, which catalyses the first committed step in PG biosynthesis. We also identify ReoY as a second novel factor required for degradation of ClpCP substrates. Collectively, our data imply that the first committed step of PG biosynthesis is activated through control of ClpCP protease activity in response to signals of PG homeostasis imbalance.

INTRODUCTION

The cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria is a complicated three-dimensional structure that engulfs

the cell as a closed sacculus. The main component of bacterial cell walls is peptidoglycan (PG), a

network of glycan strands crosslinked together by short peptides (1). PG biosynthesis starts with

the conversion of UDP-Glc*N*Ac into lipid II, a disaccharide pentapeptide that is ligated to a

membrane-embedded bactoprenol carrier lipid (2). This monomeric PG precursor is then flipped

from the inner to the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane by MurJ- and Amj-like enzymes

called flippases (3-5). Glycosyltransferases belonging either to the bifunctional penicillin binding

proteins (PBPs) or the SEDS (shape, elongation, division and sporulation) family, then transfer

the disaccharide pentapeptides to growing PG strands, which are finally crosslinked by a

transpeptidation reaction catalysed by monofunctional (class B) or bifunctional (class A) PBPs

(6-9). Numerous hydrolytic or PG-modifying enzymes are also required to adapt the sacculus to

the morphological changes that occur during bacterial cell growth and division (10, 11) or to alter

its chemical properties for instance for immune evasion (12). A suite of regulators ensure that

spatiotemporal control of PG synthesis is balanced against PG hydrolysis in cycles of bacterial

growth and division (13).

The activity of several key enzymes along the PG biosynthetic pathway is regulated by PASTA

(PBP and serine/threonine kinase associated) domain-containing eukaryotic-like serine/threonine

protein kinases (PASTA-eSTKs) (14-16). These membrane-integral enzymes comprise a

cytoplasmic kinase domain linked to several extracellular PASTA domains (15). These proteins

are stimulated by free muropeptides and lipid II (that accumulate during damage and turnover of

PG) on interaction with their PASTA domains (17-19). PknB, a representative PASTA-eSTK

from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, phosphorylates GlmU, a bifunctional

uridyltransferase/acetyltransferase important for synthesis of UDP-Glc*N*Ac, and in so doing

reduces GlmU activity (20). *M. tuberculosis* MviN, a MurJ-like flippase, is also a substrate of

PknB and, in its phosphorylated state, P-MviN is inhibited by its binding partner, FhaA (21). *M.*

tuberculosis PknB also phosphorylates both the class A PBP PonA1 (22) and the amidase-like

PG-hydrolase CwlM, which is essential for growth (23-25). CwlM is membrane-associated and

interacts with MurJ to control lipid II export (25). However, when phosphorylated, P-CwlM re-

locates from the membrane to the cytoplasm (25) where it allosterically activates MurA 20–40-

fold (24). MurA catalyzes the first committed step of PG biosynthesis by transferring an

enoylpyruvate moiety to UDP-Glc*N*Ac; MurA is essential in *M. tuberculosis* and in many other

bacterial species tested (26-29). Finally, the *Listeria monocytogenes* PASTA-eSTK, PrkA,

phosphorylates YvcK, which is required for cell wall homeostasis in a so far unknown way (30).

Numerous additional proteins acting to coordinate cell wall biosynthesis with cell division are

substrates of PASTA-eSTKs in other Gram-positive bacteria (15), including the late cell division

protein GpsB of *Bacillus subtilis* (31, 32). We have shown previously that GpsB from *L.*

monocytogenes is important for the last two steps of PG biosynthesis, *i. e.* transglycosylation and

transpeptidation, by providing an assembly platform for the class A PBP, PBP A1 (33-36), and

this adaptor function of GpsB is maintained in at least *B. subtilis* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

(35). An *L. monocytogenes* Δ*gpsB* mutant is impaired in PG biosynthesis and cannot grow at

elevated temperatures (33), but this phenotype is readily corrected by a suppressor mutation,

which mapped to *clpC* (29). ClpC is the ATPase subunit of the ClpCP protease that degrades

substrate proteins upon heat stress (37). MurA (*aka* MurAA in *B. subtilis*) is a ClpCP substrate in

both *B. subtilis* and *L. monocytogenes* (27, 29) and strongly accumulates in a *L. monocytogenes*

Δ*clpC* mutant (29). Thus, a deficiency in the final two enzymatic steps of PG biosynthesis in the

absence of GpsB is corrected by mutations in *clpC* that increase the amount of the first enzyme of

the same PG biosynthetic pathway.

We here have isolated further *gpsB* suppressor mutations affecting previously unstudied *Listeria*

genes. We demonstrate that these proteins control the ClpCP-dependent degradation of MurA in

a PrkA-dependent and hitherto unprecedented manner. One of them is phosphorylated by PrkA

and this phosphorylation is essential. Our results represent the first molecular link between PrkA-

dependent protein phosphorylation and control of PG production in low G/C Gram-positive

bacteria and explain how PG biosynthesis is adjusted in these bacteria to meet PG production and

repair needs.

RESULTS

gpsB suppressor mutations in the *lmo1503* (*reoM*) and *lmo1921* (*reoY*) genes

A *L. monocytogenes* Δ*gpsB* mutant is unable to replicate at 42°C, but readily forms suppressors

correcting this defect (29). Previously isolated *gpsB* suppressors carried a mutation in the *clpC*

gene, important for the stability of the UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine 1-carboxyvinyltransferase

MurA (29). We have characterized three more *shg* (suppression of heat sensitive growth)

suppressor mutants (*shg8*, *shg10* and *shg12*) isolated from a Δ*gpsB* mutant incubated on a BHI

agar plate at 42°C. These three *shg* strains grew as fast as the wild type when cultivated at 37°C

or 42°C, whereas the parental Δ*gpsB* mutant grew at a reduced rate at 37°C and did not grow at

42°C (Fig. 1A-B), as shown previously (33).

Sequencing of the *shg8*, *shg10* and *shg12* genomes identified one SNP in each strain that was

absent from the parental Δ*gpsB* mutant. Strain *shg8* carried a mutation in the uncharacterized

lmo1921 gene (herein named *reoY*, see below) that exchanged H87 into tyrosine; the same gene

was affected by the introduction of a premature stop codon after the 73rd *reoY* codon in strain

shg10. Strain *shg12* carried a mutation in the ribosomal binding site (RBS) of the *lmo1503* gene

(renamed *reoM*), encoding an IreB-like protein, the function of which is not understood (38).

Whether the mutation in the RBS of *reoM* in strain *shg12* affected *reoM* expression, was not

clear. Therefore, the *reoM* gene was deleted from the genome of the wild type and the Δ*gpsB*

mutant. While deletion of *reoM* had no effect on growth of wild type bacteria, it completely

suppressed the growth defects of the Δ*gpsB* mutant at both 37°C and 42°C (Fig. 1C-D). It is thus

likely that the mutation in the *reoM* RBS impairs its expression. Likewise, deletion of *reoY*

completely restored growth of the Δ*gpsB* mutant at both temperatures (Fig. 1C-D).

Expression of an additional, plasmid-borne copy of *reoM* impaired growth of the Δ*gpsB* mutant

without affecting the growth of wild type bacteria, whilst expression of a second copy of *reoY*

had no effect (Fig. S1A,B). The expression of *reoM* is thus inversely correlated with the growth

of the Δ*gpsB* mutant. Finally, the physiology of the Δ*reoM* and Δ*reoY* mutants was examined;

their cell lengths were wild type-like and unaffected by the presence or absence of *gpsB*,

suggesting the absence of cell division defects in the Δ*reoM* or Δ*reoY* mutants (Fig. S2A,B).

Scanning electron micrographs of Δ*reoM* and Δ*reoY* single mutants revealed that these bacteria

had a normal rod-shape, but that the Δ*gpsB* Δ*reoM* and Δ*gpsB* Δ*reoY* double mutants were

partially bent (Fig. S2C), implying the presence of some shape maintenance defects along the

lateral cell cylinders.

ReoM and ReoY affect the stability of MurA

Suppression of the Δ*gpsB* phenotype can be achieved by the accumulation of MurA (29).

Consequently, MurA levels were determined in Δ*reoM* and Δ*reoY* mutant strains by Western

blotting. MurA accumulated by at least eight-fold in comparison to the wild type in the absence

of *reoM* or *reoY* (Fig. 2A), and reached similar levels to a mutant lacking *clpC*, which encodes

the ATPase subunit of the ClpCP protease (Fig. 2A). MurAA, the *B. subtilis* MurA homologue, is

degraded by the ClpCP protease *in vivo* (27). In order to test whether *reoM* and *reoY* exert their

effect on MurA in a ClpC-dependent manner in *L. monocytogenes*, MurA levels were determined

in Δ*clpC* Δ*reoM* and Δ*clpC* Δ*reoY* double mutants. The MurA levels in Δ*clpC*, Δ*reoM* and Δ*reoY*

single mutants were the same as in Δ*clpC* Δ*reoM* and Δ*clpC* Δ*reoY* double mutant strains (Fig.

2B). Likewise, the MurA level in a mutant lacking *murZ*, previously shown to contribute to

MurA stability (29), is not additive to the MurA level in Δ*clpC* cells (Fig. 2B). Therefore, ReoM,

ReoY and MurZ likely affect the ClpCP-dependent degradation of MurA. Combinations of

Δ*reoM,* Δ*reoY* and Δ*murZ* deletions did also not exert any additive effect on accumulation of

MurA (Fig. S3A,B), further validating the conclusion that these genes all belong to the same

pathway.

We then tested the hypothesis that ReoM and ReoY control proteolytic stability of MurA and

followed MurA and DivIVA degradation over time in cells that had been treated with

chloramphenicol to block protein biosynthesis. MurA was almost completely degraded in wild

type cells 80 min after chloramphenicol treatment (Fig. 2C), whereas DivIVA was stable (Fig.

S4). By contrast, no MurA degradation was observed in mutants lacking *clpC*, *reoM* or *reoY* (Fig.

2C), which together demonstrates that ReoM and ReoY are as important for MurA degradation as

is ClpC.

The effect of ReoM and ReoY on MurA levels is conserved

Homologues of the 90-residue ReoM protein are found across the entire Firmicute phylum, and

include IreB, a substrate of the protein serine/threonine kinase IreK and its cognate phosphatase

IreP from *Enterococcus faecalis* (38), whereas ReoY homologues are present only in the *Bacilli*

and a *reoY* homologue has been identified as a Δ*ireK* suppressor in *E. faecalis* (39), but the

function of both *E. faecalis* remains unkown. In *B. subtilis*, ReoM corresponds to YrzL (e-value

$3e^{-29}$) and ReoY to YpiB ($4e^{-61}$), but neither protein has been studied thus far. To assess whether

YrzL and YpiB were also crucial for control of MurAA levels in *B. subtilis*, cellular protein

extracts from *B. subtilis* Δ*yrzL* and Δ*ypiB* mutants were probed by Western blot (Fig. 2D).

MurAA accumulated by at least 12-fold in these strains in comparison to the wild type.

Furthermore, the amount of MurAA was also increased by 12-fold in the Δ*clpC* mutant. Taken

together, these data indicate that ReoM and ReoY functions are conserved in both species. We

thus propose to rename *lmo1503* (*yrzL*) as *reoM* (<u>re</u>gulator <u>o</u>f <u>M</u>urA(A) degradation) and

analogously *lmo1921* (*ypiB*) as *reoY*.

Several other ClpC substrates are known in *B. subtilis*, including the glutamine fructose-6-

phosphate transaminase GlmS and the acetolactate synthase subunit IlvB (40). The levels of both

proteins were also significantly increased in *B. subtilis* Δ*reoM* and Δ*reoY* mutants (Fig. S5),

indicating that ReoM and ReoY are required for degradation of ClpC substrates in general.

ReoM and ReoY contribute to PG biosynthesis

In order to test whether MurA accumulation affected PG production, we tested the effect of

enhanced MurA levels on resistance of *L. monocytogenes* against the cephalosporine antibiotic

ceftriaxone. Artificial overproduction of MurA in strain LMJR116, which carries an IPTG-

inducible *murA* gene in addition to the native copy on the chromosome, lead to a 12-fold increase

of ceftriaxone resistance, while MurA depletion lowered ceftriaxone resistance (Tab. 1.). MurA

levels are thus directly correlated with PG production, presumably leading to stimulation or

impairment of PG biosynthesis during overproduction and depletion, respectively. In good

agreement with the overproduction of MurA, ceftriaxone resistance of the Δ*clpC* mutant

increased to the same degree as when MurA was overproduced (Tab. 1). Ceftriaxone resistance

of Δ*reoM*, Δ*reoY* and Δ*murZ* mutants increased two- to three-fold (Tab. 1); this intermediate

resistance level is probably explained by the presence of functional ClpCP in these strains.

Nevertheless, these observations are consistent with a function of ReoM, ReoY and MurZ as

regulators of ClpCP-dependent MurA degradation. Taken together, these results show that

modulation of MurA levels effectively controls PG biosynthesis and also demonstrate that ReoM,

ReoY and MurZ play an important role in its regulation.

Phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of ReoM by PrkA and PrkP i*n vitro*

PrkA (encoded by *lmo1820*) and PrkP (*lmo1821*) are the *L. monocytogenes* homologs of *E. faecalis* IreK and IreP, respectively. Consequently, the pairwise interactions and biochemical properties of ReoM, the PrkA kinase domain (PrkA-KD) and the cognate phosphatase PrkP were investigated. All isolated proteins electrophoresed as single species in non-denaturing PAGE (lanes 1, 2, Fig. 3A; lanes 1-4, Fig. 3B). When ReoM was incubated with PrkA-KD, in the absence of ATP, a slower migrating species was observed and the individual bands corresponding to ReoM and PrkA-KD disappeared indicating that the slower migrating species was a ReoM:PrkA-KD complex (lane 3, Fig. 3A). When ReoM was incubated with PrkA-KD and Mg/ATP under the same conditions, free PrkA-KD was observed but no bands equivalent to ReoM and the ReoM:PrkA-KD complex remained; instead a new species was present, migrating faster in the gel than ReoM (lane 4, Fig. 3A), which is likely to be phosphorylated ReoM (P-ReoM). Intact protein liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis of ReoM isolated from PrkA-KD after phosphorylation revealed the addition of 79.9 Da in comparison to the mass of ReoM (10671.5 Da), which corresponds to the formation of a singly-phosphorylated ReoM product of 10751.4 Da (Fig. 3C, Fig. S6). MS/MS spectra obtained during peptide mass fingerprinting were also consistent with one phosphorylation event per protein chain: the mass of one ReoM peptide, spanning residues Asp5 to Lys22 with mass of 2151.89 Da, was increased by 79.96 Da after incubation with PrkA-KD and Mg/ATP. Analysis of the *y-* and *b-* ions in the MS/MS fragmentation spectrum of this peptide was consistent only with Thr7 as the sole phosphosite in ReoM (Fig. 3D). Finally, mutation of Thr7 to alanine completely abrogated the phosphorylation of ReoM by PrkA-KD when analysed by LC-MS (Fig. S7).

The ability of PrkP, the partner phosphatase to PrkA in *L. monocytogenes,* to interact with and remove phosphoryl groups from PrkA-KD and P-ReoM was also tested *in vitro*. PrkA and

purified P-ReoM were each incubated with PrkP in the absence and presence of $MnCl_2$, since

divalent cations are essential co-factors for the PPM phosphatase family to which PrkP belongs

(41), and the products were analysed by non-denaturing PAGE. Unlike the situation with ReoM

and PrkA-KD, no stable protein:protein complexes were formed either in the presence or absence

of endogenous $MnCl_2$ (Fig. 3B). The incubation of P-ReoM with PrkP and manganese resulted in

the almost complete disappearance of the band corresponding to P-ReoM (lane 6, Fig. 3B) in

comparison to the same reaction conducted without the addition of $MnCl_2$ (lane 5, Fig. 3B). The

new band, corresponding to ReoM alone in lane 6, is masked by that for PrkP which migrates

similarly to ReoM (lanes 1 and 4, Fig. 3B) under these electrophoresis conditions. The presence

of unphosphorylated ReoM and the absence of P-ReoM was confirmed by LC-MS (Fig. S8).

When incubated with PrkP in the presence of manganese ions, the band for PrkA-KD

electrophoresed more slowly than for PrkA-KD in isolation (lanes 3 and 8, Fig. 3B), indicating

that PrkA-KD had been dephosphorylated by PrkP. LC-MS analysis of PrkA-KD that had been

incubated with PrkP/$MnCl_2$ yielded a single major species of 37,413.2 Da, consistent with the

predicted mass of the expressed recombinant construct, and the absence of a peak corresponding

to phosphorylated PrkA-KD, P-PrkA-KD (Fig. S9). Therefore, PrkA-KD is capable of

autophosphorylation even when expressed in a heterologous host, consistent with previous

observations made for similar PASTA-eSTKs from other Gram-positive bacteria (42, 43).

Finally, in the absence of $MnCl_2$ no change in electrophoretic mobility was observed for P-PrkA-

KD (lane 7, Fig. 3B).

Phosphorylation of ReoM at threonine 7 is essential for viability

PrkA phosphorylates ReoM on Thr7 and PrkP reverses this reaction *in vitro*; ReoM

phosphorylation at Thr7 *in vivo* has also been observed by phosphoproteomics (44). In the

absence of molecular details on the impact of Thr7 phosphorylation we determined the

importance of this phosphorylation *in vivo* by engineering a phospho-ablative T7A exchange in

an IPTG-inducible allele of *reoM* and introduced it into the Δ*reoM* mutant background. Deletion,

depletion or expression of wildtype *reoM* had no effect on growth in strains LMSW30 (Δ*reoM*)

and LMSW57 (i*reoM,* i - is used to denote IPTG-dependent alleles throughout the manuscript) at

37°C. Likewise, strain LMSW52 (i*reoM T7A*) grew normally in the absence of IPTG. However,

the *reoM* mutant with the T7A mutation did not grow at all in the presence of IPTG, when

expression of the phospho-ablative *reoM* T7A allele was induced (Fig. 4A), suggesting that

phosphorylation of ReoM at Thr7 is essential for the viability of *L. monocytogenes*. Since ReoM

influences the proteolytic stability of MurA, we determined the cellular amount of MurA in

strains expressing the T7A variant of ReoM. For this purpose, strains LMSW57 (i*reoM*) and

LMSW52 (i*reoM T7A*) were initially cultivated in plain BHI broth. At an OD_{600} of 0.2, IPTG was

added to a final concentration of 1 mM and cells were harvested 2 hours later. Strain LMSW57

(i*reoM*) showed Δ*clpC*-like MurA accumulation (around seven-fold in this experiment) when

cultured in the absence of IPTG, but MurA was present at wild type levels in the presence of

IPTG (Fig. 4C). The strain with the T7A exchange also accumulated MurA to a Δ*clpC*-like extent

in the absence of IPTG. However, around 10% of the wild type MurA levels could be detected in

cells expressing the *reoM T7A* allele (Fig. 4C). These data demonstrate that Thr7 in ReoM is of

special importance for the proteolytic stability of MurA. In agreement with these results, IPTG

was toxic for the i*reoM T7A* mutant in a disc diffusion assay and rendered this strain

hypersensitive to ceftriaxone (Fig. 4D).

Lethality of the *reoM T7A* mutations depends on ClpC

That MurA is rapidly degraded in cells expressing *reoM T7A* implies that phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of ReoM at Thr7 controls ClpCP-dependent MurA degradation. MurA is an essential enzyme in *L. monocytogenes* (29), and stimulation of ClpCP-dependent MurA degradation in the *reoM T7A* mutant would provide an explanation for the lethality of this mutation. In order to address this possibility, we deleted *clpC* in the conditional i*reoM T7A* background. This strain grew even in the presence of IPTG, a compelling demonstration that the removal of *clpC* suppressed the lethality of the *reoM T7A* mutation (Fig. 4B). MurA also accumulated to the same degree as in the $\Delta clpC$ mutant in this strain (Fig. 4C), suggesting that inactivation of the ClpCP-dependent degradation of MurA overcame the lethal effect of the T7 mutation in *reoM* and this suggests that ClpCP acts downstream of ReoM. We next wondered whether deletion of *reoY* and *murZ* would have a similar effect and deleted these genes in the *reoM T7A* mutant. As can be seen in Fig. 4E, deletion of either gene overcame the lethality of *reoM T7A*, indicating that ReoY and MurZ must also act downstream of ReoM.

Crystal structure of ReoM, a homologue of *Enterococcus faecalis* IreB

Purified ReoM yielded crystals that diffracted to a maximum resolution of 1.6 Å. The NMR structure of IreB (PDBid 5US5) (45) was used to solve the structure of ReoM by molecular replacement (Fig. 5A). The data collection and refinement statistics for the ReoM structure are summarised in Tab. 2. ReoM shares the same overall fold as IreB (45), each containing a compact 5-helical bundle (4 standard α-helices and one single-turned 3_{10}-helix between residues 52 and 54) with short loops between the secondary structure elements, which are defined above the sequence alignment in Fig. 5B. Other than IreB (45), there are no structural homologues of ReoM with functional significance in the PDB. The helical bundles in both ReoM and IreB

associate into homodimers with α-helices two and four from each protomer forming the majority

of the homodimer interface (Fig. 5A), and these residues are highlighted in Fig. 5B. In agreement

with the IreB structural analysis, 1200 Å^2 of surface area is buried in the ReoM dimer interface,

representing 9% of the total solvent accessible surface area. The similarity of the monomers and

the dimeric assemblies of ReoM and IreB is underlined by the 1.5 and 1.7 Å r.m.s.d. values,

respectively, on global secondary structure superposition matching 74 Cα atoms from each

protomer in the comparison.

Other than the compact helical bundle of ReoM, there is a ~16 residue-long N-terminal tail, with

B-factors 25% higher than the rest of the protein, prior to the start of α-helix 1 at residue Ile17.

The equivalent N-terminal region is also disordered in the NMR structure of IreB (45). Despite

the absence of secondary structure, the ReoM model covering this region could be built with

confidence from Asp5 in chain A and Asp2 in chain B (Fig. 5C). Consequently, it is possible to

visualise Thr7, the target for phosphorylation by PrkA, in the flexible N-terminal region in both

chains. The side chain of Thr7 in both chains makes no intramolecular interactions and is thus

amenable to phosphorylation by PrkA. The extended N-terminal regions are at least partially

stabilised by crystal lattice interactions that, in chain B, include Phe9 and Tyr10 forming a

network of hydrophobic interactions with other aromatic residues from symmetry equivalent

molecules, including contributions from another copy of Phe9 and Tyr10. Phosphorylation could

force a change in oligomeric state, as observed quite commonly in response regulators in order to

bind more effectively to promoter regions to effect transcription (46). However, analysis of the

oligomeric state of P-ReoM by size exclusion chromatography revealed that the protein behaved

in solution the same as to unphosphorylated ReoM (Fig. S10).

Unlike the packing arrangement of Thr7 in chain B, the local symmetry surrounding Thr7 in

chain A might provide some information of potential functional significance to the

phosphorylated form of ReoM. Here, a sulphate ion (a component of the crystallisation reagent)

is hydrogen bonded to the sidechain of Thr7 and hence mimics, to some degree, what the

phosphorylated protein may look like (Fig. 5C). The sulphate ion is captured by a positively-

charged micro-environment incorporating residues Lys35, Arg57, His58 and Arg62 from a

symmetry-equivalent molecule. ReoM could react to phosphorylation on Thr7 by a substantial

movement of the N-terminal tail to interact with conserved, positively-charged amino acids on

the protein surface. We identified a single cluster of arginines (Arg57 [57% conserved], Arg62

[99%], Arg66 [76%], Arg70 [98%]) in close spatial proximity with levels of conservation

amongst all 2909 ReoM homologues present at NCBI approaching that of Thr7 (96%,) and

replaced them by alanines. Whereas the R66A and R70A mutations were without any effect on

growth (data not shown), expression of ReoM R57A and R62A mutations were as lethal as

expression of ReoM T7A (Fig. S11). Thus, Arg57 and Arg62 might co-ordinate P-Thr7,

stabilising the conformation and position of the flexible N-terminal region (Fig. S12). Despite

multiple attempts, however, no crystals of P-ReoM could be grown and the molecular

consequences of ReoM phosphorylation remain to be determined.

Control of MurA stability and PG biosynthesis by the PrkA/PrkP protein

kinase/phosphatase pair

To study the contribution of the PrkA/PrkP couple to PG biosynthesis in more detail, we aimed to

construct *prkA* and *prkP* deletion mutants, but failed to delete *prkA*. However, *prkA* could be

deleted in the presence of an IPTG-inducible ectopic *prkA* copy and the resulting strain

(LMSW84) required IPTG for growth (Fig. 6A), demonstrating the essentiality of this gene. The

essentiality of *prkA* in our hands is consistent with results by others who have also shown that

prkA can only be inactivated in the presence of a second copy (47). Repeated attempts to delete

prkP finally yielded a single Δ*prkP* clone (LMSW76). Genomic sequencing of this strain, which

grew at a similar rate to wild type (Fig. 6A), confirmed the successful deletion of *prkP* but also

identified a trinucleotide deletion in the *prkA* gene (designated *prkA**), effectively removing the

complete codon of Gly18 that is part of a conserved glycine-rich loop important for ATP binding

(48). Presumably, this mutation reduces the PrkA kinase activity to balance the absence of PrkP.

By contrast, *prkP* could be deleted readily in the presence of a second IPTG-dependent copy of

prkP and growth of the resulting strain (LMSW83) did not require IPTG, most likely explained

by promoter leakiness in the absence of IPTG (Fig. 6A). The viability of the i*prkP* mutant shows

that the *prkP* deletion had no polar effects on the expression of the downstream *prkA*. That *prkA*

and *prkP* are both essential suggests that some of their substrates must be phosphorylated and

unphosphorylated, respectively, to be active. Next, the effect of *prkA* and *prkP* mutations on

MurA accumulation was analyzed by Western blotting. Intermediate MurA accumulation was

evident in the Δ*prkP prkA** strain, while full accumulation of MurA was observed in PrkP-

depleted cells. By contrast, no MurA was detected in cells depleted for PrkA (Fig. 6B).

Therefore, PrkA and PrkP inversely contribute to the accumulation of MurA, suggesting that

phosphorylated ReoM favors MurA accumulation, while un-phosphorylated ReoM counteracts

this process. In good agreement, depletion of PrkA strongly increased ceftriaxone susceptibility,

while inactivation of *prkP* caused increased ceftriaxone resistance (Tab. 1).

Deletion of *reoM*, *reoY* or *clpC* eliminates *prkA* essentiality

In order to test whether the essentiality of *prkA* could be explained by stimulated MurA

degradation through ClpCP, we first tested the effect of *clpC* on the essentiality of *prkA*. For this

purpose, *clpC* was removed from the i*prkA* strain and growth of the resulting strain (LMSW91)

was tested. In contrast to the parental i*prkA* strain (LMSW84), which required IPTG for growth,

strain LMSW91 was viable without IPTG (Fig. 7A) thus confirming that the essentiality of PrkA

depends on ClpC. We next wondered whether ReoM and ReoY were also required for PrkA

essentiality and consequently deleted their genes from the i*prkA* background to test this. Again,

the resulting strains did not require IPTG for growth in contrast to the parental i*prkA* strain (Fig.

7A). In good agreement with these findings, deletion of *clpC*, *reoM* or *reoY* all stabilized MurA

in PrkA-depleted cells (Fig. 7B), showing that the stimulated degradation of MurA that we

observe in cells depleted for PrkA (Fig. 6B) is dependent on any one of these three proteins.

These results together permit a model of genetic interactions to be proposed (Fig. 7C) that starts

with PrkA and its downstream substrate ReoM. ReoY, MurZ and ClpC in turn are positioned

downstream of ReoM (as indicated by the experiments shown in Fig. 4D) to control MurA

stability. To further substantiate this concept, physical interactions between ReoM, ReoY, ClpC,

ClpP and MurA were determined in bacterial two hybrid experiments, which revealed that ReoY

interacted with ClpC, ClpP and ReoM. In turn, ReoM interacted with MurA (Fig. 7C, Fig. S13),

which suggests that ReoM and ReoY could bridge the interaction of ClpCP with its substrate

MurA.

 DISCUSSION

With ReoM we have identified a missing link in a regulatory pathway that enables Firmicute

bacteria to activate PG biosynthesis under conditions damaging their cell walls. In *L.*

monocytogenes, the sensory module of this pathway comprises the membrane integral protein

kinase PrkA and the cognate protein phosphatase PrkP, their newly discovered substrate ReoM

and the associated factors ReoY and MurZ, which together regulate ClpCP activity, the effector

protease that acts on MurA (Fig. 8). It has been demonstrated previously that the kinase activity

of PrkA homologues was activated by muropeptides (17, 49) or the PG precursor lipid II (18).

Muropeptides were released from the cell wall during normal PG turnover, and their release was

intensified when PG hydrolysis prevailed over PG biosynthesis (10, 50), whereas blocking PG

chain elongation by moenomycin treatment caused the accumulation of lipid-linked PG

precursors (51). Thus, both types of molecules accumulated when PG biosynthesis was inhibited

and could represent useful signals for detecting cell wall-damaging situations. Our data are

consistent with a model in which PrkA-phosphorylated ReoM no longer activates ClpCP, which

leads to MurA stabilization and the activation of PG biosynthesis (Fig. 8). In *B. subtilis*, this

effect is supported by stabilization of GlmS (Fig. S4A), another ClpCP substrate but which acts

in front of MurA as the first enzyme of the UDP-Glc*N*Ac-generating GlmSMU pathway.

How ReoM and ReoY exert their effect on ClpCP is currently unknown, but a fascinating

possibility would be a function like to that of an adaptor protein to target protein substrates to

ClpCP for degradation. Several ClpC adaptors for different substrates are known in *B. subtilis*

(52, 53), but an adaptor for *Bs*MurAA is not among them (27, 52). Like ReoM, the ClpC adaptor

McsB from *B. subtilis* is also subject to phosphorylation, but - unlike ReoM - it targets its

substrate CtsR to the ClpCP machinery only when phosphorylated (54). Either ReoM or ReoY

could act as this adaptor, leaving a subsidiary function for the other respective protein.

Alternatively, both proteins could work in tandem, where each of them is equally needed for

ClpCP-dependent MurA degradation since the phenotypes of *reoM* and *reoY* mutants were

identical with respect to MurA stability. However, overexpression or deletion of *reoM* altered the

phenotype of the Δ*gpsB* mutant, but that of *reoY* was without phenotype (Fig. S1, Fig. S2).

ReoY, restricted to the *Bacilli*, also showed a narrower phylogenetic distribution than ReoM,

which is found across different Firmicutes (Fig. 5B). Thus, it seems that ReoM might have a

more generalized role, whereas ReoY could play a subordinate function in control of MurA

degradation by ClpCP. The role of the MurA homologue MurZ in this process is entirely unclear,

but our genetic data now place it downstream of ReoM (Fig. 7C). Furthermore, arginine

phosphorylation targets proteins to ClpCP for degradation (55). *L. monocytogenes* MurA contains

17 arginines and MurAA of *B. subtilis* has been found in complex with the protein arginine

phosphatase YwlE (56). The possibility that MurA proteins could also require arginine

phosphorylation to be accepted as a substrate by ClpCP offers additional control possibilities for

ReoM/ReoY/MurZ to modulate MurA levels.

A screen for *gpsB* suppressors in *S. pneumoniae* did not yield *reoM* mutations (and these strains

do not contain *reoY*, consistent with a subordinate function for this gene), but instead suppressor

mutations were found that affect *phpP*, which encodes a Ser/Thr protein phosphatase that acts in

concert with StkP, the PASTA-eSTK of this organism (57, 58). Absence or inactivation of PhpP

triggered an increase in StkP-dependent protein phosphorylation levels in the pneumococcus (57,

59). It is tempting to speculate that loss of PhpP activity in this suppressor also triggers P-ReoM

formation that, according to our model, would help to stabilize MurA and thus suppress the

Δ*gpsB* phenotype. Interestingly, another *S. pneumoniae gpsB* suppressor was identified that

carries a duplication of a ~150 kb genomic fragment (57), a region that includes the open reading

frame for MurA. Suppression of the *gpsB* phenotype in this instance could also work via MurA

accumulation, but this time due to a gene dosage effect.

It is becoming increasingly evident that control of PG biosynthesis in response to cell wall

derived signals, via PASTA-eSTKs, is a regulatory capacity common to Firmicutes and

Actinobacteria. CwlM is the critical kinase substrate in the actinobacterium *M. tuberculosis* that,

when phosphorylated by PknB, binds to and activates MurA (24). Homologues of CwlM are not

present in *L. monocytogenes* or *B. subtilis* and instead these bacteria adjust their MurA levels by

controlling MurA turnover in response to PrkA-dependent phosphorylation of ReoM.

Consequently, both mechanisms activate PG biosynthesis in a PrkA-dependent manner either by

activation or stabilization of MurA. Presumably *B. subtilis*, and other endospore forming bacteria,

re-start PG biosynthesis at the onset of germination in a similar way. Germination of *B. subtilis*

spores can be triggered by muropeptides in a manner that depends upon PrkC (49), the PASTA-

eSTK of *B. subtilis* (60). Even though *Bs*PrkC phosphorylates multiple substrates (61), whose

individual contribution to germination is not known precisely, phosphorylation of ReoM (*aka*

YrzL) could be required to restart PG biosynthesis in germinating *B. subtilis* cells by stabilizing

MurAA. Moreover, an *E. faecalis* mutant lacking the PASTA-eSTK IreK was more susceptible

to ceftriaxone but overexpression of *Ef*MurAA overcame this defect (62). This implies the

possibility that unphosphorylated IreB together with the ReoY homologue of this organism,

OG1RF_11272 (39), might stimulate MurAA proteolysis in *E. faecalis* as well. Taken together it

seems that observations made in different Firmicutes are in good agreement with the

PrkA→ReoM/ReoY→ClpC→MurA signaling sequence that we propose. The open questions

that remain on the molecular mechanism of ClpCP control by ReoM and ReoY will be addressed

by future experiments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Tab. 3 lists all strains used in this study. Strains of *L. monocytogenes* were cultivated in BHI

broth or on BHI agar plates. *B. subtilis* strains were grown in LB broth at 37°C. Antibiotics and

supplements were added when required at the following concentrations: erythromycin (5 µg/ml),

kanamycin (50 µg/ml), X-Gal (100 µg/ml) and IPTG (as indicated). *Escherichia coli* TOP10 was

used as host for all cloning procedures (63). Minimal inhibitory concentrations against

ceftriaxone were determined as described previously (64) using E-test strips with a ceftriaxone

concentration range of 0.016 - 256 µg/ml.

General methods, manipulation of DNA and oligonucleotide primers

Standard methods were used for transformation of *E. coli* and isolation of plasmid DNA (63).

Transformation of *L. monocytogenes* was carried out as described by others (65). Restriction and

ligation of DNA was performed according to the manufacturer´s instructions. All primer

sequences are listed in Tab. 4.

Construction of plasmids for recombinant protein expression

The plasmids for expressing recombinant versions of ReoM, PrkA-KD and PrkP were prepared

by first amplifying the corresponding genes (*reoM*, *lmo1820* and *lmo1821*) from *L.*

monocytogenes EGD-e genomic DNA using primer pairs Lmo1503F/Lmo1503R, PrkAF/PrkAR,

and PrkPF/PrkPR, respectively. The PCR products were individually ligated between the *Nco*I

and *Xho*I sites of pETM11 (66). All mutagenesis was carried out using the Quikchange protocol

and the correct sequence of each plasmid and mutant constructed was verified by Sanger DNA

sequencing (Eurofins Genomics).

Construction of plasmids for generation of *L. monocytogenes* strains

Plasmid pJR65 was constructed for the inducible expression of *reoM*. To this end, the *reoM* open

reading frame was amplified by PCR using the oligonucleotides JR169/JR170 and cloned into

pIMK3 using NcoI/SalI. The T7A mutation were introduced into *reoM* of plasmid pJR65 by

quickchange mutagenesis using the primer pair SW77/SW78, yielding pSW29. The R57A, R62A

R66A and R70A, mutations were introduced into pJR65 in the same way, but using primer pairs

SW144/SW145, SW146/SW147, SW136/SW137 and SW138/SW139, respectively.

Plasmid pJR70 was constructed for inducible *reoY* expression. For this purpose, *reoY* was

amplified using the primer pair JR163/JR164 and cloned into pIMK3 using NcoI/SalI.

Plasmid pSW38, for IPTG-inducible *prkA* expression, was constructed by amplification of *prkA*

using the oligonucleotides SW112/SW113 and the subsequent cloning of the generated fragment

into pIMK3 using BamHI/SalI. Plasmid pSW39, for IPTG-controlled expression of *prkP*, was

constructed analogously, but using the oligonucleotides SW110/SW111 as the primers.

For construction of plasmid pJR83, facilitating deletion of *reoY*, fragments encompassing ~800

bp up- and down-stream of *reoY* were amplified by PCR with the primer pairs JR197/JR198 and

JR199/JR200. Both fragments were spliced together by splicing by overlapping extension (SOE)

PCR and cloned into pMAD using BamHI/EcoRI.

Plasmid pJR126 was generated for deletion of *reoM*. Fragments up- and down-stream of *reoM*

were PCR amplified using the primers JR264/JR265 and JR266/JR267, repsectively. Both

fragments were cut with BamHI, fused together by ligation and the desired fragment was

amplified from the ligation mixture by PCR using the primers JR264/JR267 and then cloned into

pMAD using BglII/SalI.

Plasmid pSW36 was constructed to delete the *prkA* gene. Fragments up- and down-stream of

prkA were amplified in separate PCRs using the primer pairs SHW819/SHW821 and

SHW820/SHW822, respectively. Both fragments were fused together by SOE-PCR and inserted

into pMAD by restriction free cloning (67). Plasmid pSW37, facilitating deletion of *prkP*, was

constructed in a similar manner. Up- and down-stream fragments of *prkP* were amplified using

the primer pairs SHW815/SHW817 and SHW816/SHW818 and fused together by SOE-PCR.

The resulting fragment was inserted into pMAD by restriction free cloning.

Derivatives of pIMK3 were introduced into *L. monocytogenes* strains by electroporation and

clones were selected on BHI agar plates containing kanamycin. Plasmid insertion at the *attB* site

of the tRNA^{Arg} locus was verified by PCR. Plasmid derivatives of pMAD were transformed into

the respective *L. monocytogenes* recipient strains and genes were deleted as described elsewhere

(68). All gene deletions were confirmed by PCR.

Construction of bacterial two hybrid plasmids

The *reoM* (JR255/JR256), *reoY* (JR253/JR254), *clpC* (SHW830/831) and *clpP* (SHW832/833)

genes were amplified using the primer pairs given in brackets and cloned into pUT18, pUT18C,

pKT25 and p25-N plasmids using XbaI/KpnI. The *murA* gene was amplified using the

oligonucleotides JR249/JR250 for cloning into pKT25 and p25-N using PstI/KpnI or using the

JR257/JR250 primer pair for cloning into pUT18 and pUT18C using the same restriction

enzymes.

Bacterial two hybrid experiments

Plasmids carrying genes fused to T18- or the T25-fragments of the *Bordetella pertussis* adenylate cyclase were co-transformed into *E. coli* BTH101 (69) and transformants were selected on LB agar plates containing ampicillin (100 μg ml^{-1}), kanamycin (50 μg ml^{-1}), X-Gal (0.004%) and IPTG (0.1 mM). Agar plates were photographed after 48 h of incubation at 30°C.

Genome sequencing

A total of 1 ng of genomic DNA was used for library generation by the Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Illumina). Sequencing was carried out on a MiSeq benchtop sequencer and performed in paired-end modes (2 x 300 bp) using a MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 cartridge (600-cycle kit). Sequencing reads were mapped to the reference genome *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e (NC_003210.1) (70) by utilizing the Geneious software (Biomatters Ltd.). Variants, representing putative suppressor mutations, were identified using the Geneious SNP finder tool. Genome sequences of *shg8*, *shg10*, *shg12* and LMSW76 were deposited at ENA under study number PRJEB35110 and sample accession numbers ERS3927571 (SAMEA6127277), ERS3927572 (SAMEA6127278), ERS3927573 (SAMEA6127279), and ERS3967687 (SAMEA6167687) respectively.

Isolation of cellular proteins and Western blotting

Cells were harvested by centrifugation (13,000 rpm, 1 min in a table-top centrifuge), washed with ZAP buffer (10 mM Tris.HCl pH7.5, 200 mM NaCl), resuspended in 1 ml ZAP buffer also containing 1 mM PMSF and disrupted by sonication. Centrifugation was used to remove cellular debris and the supernatant was used as total cellular protein extract. Sample aliquots were separated by standard SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Gels were transferred onto

positively charged polyvinylidene fluoride membranes by semi-dry transfer. ClpC, DivIVA,

GlmS, IlvB and MurA were immune-stained using a polyclonal rabbit antiserum recognizing *B.*

subtilis ClpC (29), DivIVA (71), GlmS, IlvB (40) and MurAA (27) as the primary antibody and

an anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated to horseradish peroxidase as the secondary one. The

ECL chemiluminescence detection system (Thermo Scientific) was used for detection of the

peroxidase conjugates on the PVDF membrane in a chemiluminescence imager (Vilber Lourmat).

For depletion of PrkA, PrkA depletion strains were grown overnight in the presence of 1 mM

IPTG and then again inoculated in BHI broth containing 1 mM IPTG to an OD_{600}=0.05 and

grown for 3 h at 37°C. Subsequently, cells were centrifuged, washed and reinoculated in BHI

broth without IPTG at the same OD_{600} as before centrifugation. Finally, cells were harvested after

3.5 more hours of growth at 37°C and cellular proteins were isolated.

Microscopy

Cytoplasmic membranes of exponentially growing bacteria were stained through addition of 1 µl

of nile red solution (100 µg ml^{-1} in DMSO) to 100 µl of culture. Images were taken with a Nikon

Eclipse Ti microscope coupled to a Nikon DS-MBWc CCD camera and processed using the NIS

elements AR software package (Nikon) or ImageJ. Scanning electron microscopy was performed

essentially as described earlier (64).

Recombinant protein purification

All proteins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells. Cell cultures were grown at 37°C in LB

liquid media supplemented with 50 µg mL^{-1} kanamycin to an OD_{600} 0.6-0.8 before expression

was induced by the addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.4 mM IPTG. The cultures were

incubated at 20°C overnight before the cells from 2 L of cell culture were harvested by

centrifugation at 3500 x g for 30 minutes. The cell pellets were resuspended in 70 mL of buffer A

(50 mM Tris.HCl, pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole) with 500 Kunitz units of DNase I and

1 mL Roche complete protease inhibitor cocktail at 25x working concentration. The cells were

lysed by sonication, centrifuged at 19000 x g for 20 minutes and the supernatant was filtered

using a 0.45 μm filter. The clarified cell lysate was loaded onto a 5 mL Ni-NTA superflow

cartridge (Qiagen), washed with buffer A, and bound proteins were eluted with 50 mM Tris.HCl,

pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole. The His_6-tag of PrkA-KD was cleaved with His-tagged

TEV protease (1 mg TEV for 20 mg of protein) at 4 °C during an overnight dialysis against a

buffer of 50 mM Tris.HCl, pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 1 mM DTT; TEV cleavage

of ReoM was conducted as above except the dialysis was carried out at 20 °C. The proteolysis

reaction products were then passed over a 5 mL Ni-NTA superflow cartridge (Qiagen) to remove

TEV and uncleaved protein. The proteins that did not bind to the Ni-NTA column were

concentrated and loaded onto either a Superdex 75 XK16/60 (GE Healthcare) (ReoM) or a

Superdex 200 XK16/60 (GE Healthcare) (PrkA-KD and PrkP) equilibrated with 10 mM Na-

HEPES, pH 8, 100 mM NaCl for size exclusion chromatography. Fractions from the gel filtration

were analysed for purity by SDS-PAGE, concentrated to 20-40 mg mL^{-1}, and small aliquots were

snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage at -80°C.

X-ray crystallography and ReoM structure determination

For ReoM, 23 mg mL^{-1} of protein in 10 mM Na-HEPES pH 8, 100 mM NaCl was subjected to

crystallisation by sparse matrix screening using a panel of commercial crystallisation screens. 100

and 200 nL drops of protein and 100 nL of screen solution were dispensed into 96 well MRC

crystallization plates (Molecular Dimensions) by a Mosquito (TTP Labtech) liquid handling robot

and the crystallisation plates were stored at a constant temperature of 20°C. The crystals that

grew and were subsequently used for diffraction experiments were formed in 0.1 M phosphate/citrate pH 4.2, 0.2 M lithium sulfate, 20 % w/v PEG 1000 from the JCSG + screen and were mounted onto rayon loops directly from the crystallization drops and cryo-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction data were collected on beamline I03 at the Diamond Light Source (DLS) synchrotron. Diffraction images were integrated in MOSFLM (72) and scaled and merged with AIMLESS (73). The initial model was generated by molecular replacement in PHASER (74) using the dimeric, 20-conformer ensemble model (PDBid 5US5) of IreB solved by nuclear magnetic resonance (45) as a search model. The final model was produced by iterative cycles of model building in COOT (75) with refinement in REFMAC (76) until convergence. The diffraction data collection and model refinement statistics are summarised in Tab. 2.

Protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation

The effect of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation on ReoM and PrkA-KD proteins was analysed by 20% non-denaturing PAGE. Phosphorylation reactions consisted of 18.5 μM ReoM, 3.7 μM PrkA-KD, 5 mM ATP and 5 mM $MgCl_2$, diluted in 10 mM HEPES.HCl pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl. Dephosphorylation reactions consisted of 37 μM P-ReoM, 3.7 μM PrkA-KD, 18.5 μM PrkP and 1 mM $MnCl_2$, diluted in 10 mM HEPES.HCl pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl. In each case controls were loaded at the same concentrations. The reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 20 minutes prior to electrophoresis at 200 V for 2.5 hours on ice.

Isolation of phosphorylated ReoM

Phosphorylation reactions consisted of 37 μM ReoM, 3.7 μM PrkA-KD, 5 mM ATP and 5 mM $MgCl_2$, diluted in 10 mM HEPES.HCl pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl, to a total volume of 5 mL. The

protein mix was loaded onto a PD 10 desalting column to remove excess ATP and protein

fractions were loaded onto a MonoQ 5/50 GL column. Buffer A consisted of 10 mM HEPES.HCl

pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl and buffer B was 10 mM HEPES.HCl pH 8.0 and 1M NaCl. Bound

proteins were eluted over 25 mL with a 15-35% gradient of buffer B.

Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry

All liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analyses were performed using an

Agilent 6530 Q-TOF instrument with electrospray ionisation (ESI) in positive ion mode, coupled

to an Agilent 1260 Infinity II LC system, utilizing mobile phase of 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in LC-

MS grade water (A) and acetonitrile (B). Prior to peptide mapping, 10 μL of purified proteins (~1

mg/ml) were digested using Smart Digest Soluble Trypsin Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Tryptic peptides and intact protein samples were

extracted using HyperSep Spin Tip SPE C18 and C8 tips, respectively (ThermoFisher Scientific)

before analysis. For phosphosite analysis, 10 μL of digest was injected onto an Acclaim RSLC

120 C18 column (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 2.1 x 100mm, 2.2 μm, 120 Å) for reversed phase

separation at 60°C and 0.4 ml/min, over a linear gradient of 5-40% B over 25 min, 40-90% B

over 8 min followed by equilibration at 5% B for 7 min. ESI source conditions were nebuliser

pressure of 45 psig, drying gas flow of 5 L/min and gas temperature of 325°C. Sheath gas

temperature of 275°C and gas flow of 12 L/min, capillary voltage of 4000V and nozzle voltage of

300V were also applied. Mass spectra were acquired using MassHunter Acquisition software

(version B.08.00) over the 100-3000 m/z range, at a rate of 5 spectra/s and 200 ms/spectrum,

using standard mass range mode (3200 m/z) with extended dynamic range (2 GHz) and collection

of both centroid and profile data. MS/MS fragmentation spectra were acquired over the 100-3000

m/z range, at a rate of 3 spectra/s and 333.3 ms/spectrum. For intact protein analysis,10 μL of

desalted protein (~1 mg/ml) was injected onto a Zorbax 300Å Stable Bond C8 column (Agilent Technologies, 4.6 x 50 mm, 3.5 μM) for reversed phase separation at 60°C and 0.4 mL/min, over a linear gradient of 15-75% B over 14 min, 75-100% B over 11 min followed by post-run equilibration at 15% B for 10 min. ESI source conditions were nebuliser pressure of 45 psig, drying gas flow of 5 L/min and source gas temperature of 325°C were applied. Sheath gas temperature of 400°C and gas flow of 11 L/min, capillary voltage of 3500V and nozzle voltage of 2000V were also used. Mass spectra were acquired using MassHunter Acquisition software (version B.08.00) over a mass range of 100-3000 m/z, at a rate of 1 spectra/s and 1000 ms/spectrum in extended mass range (20000 m/z) at 1 GHz. Acquired MS and MS/MS spectra were analysed using Agilent MassHunter BioConfirm software (version B.10.00) for identification of phosphorylated residues and subsequent intact mass determination with processing of raw data using maximum entropy deconvolution.

Analytical size exclusion chromatography

Purified ReoM and P-ReoM proteins were run individually on a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column. 100 μl samples at 1.5 mg/mL were injected onto a column equilibrated in 10 mM HEPES.HCl pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl, with a flow of 0.75 mL/min.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by DFG grants HA 6830/1-1 and HA 6830/1-2 and a grant of the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie to SH. ZR is funded by a UK BBSRC DTP studentship to RJL (BB/M011186/1). We acknowledge Diamond Light Source for time on beamline I03 under proposal MX-18598 and Dr. Arnaud Basle for help with X-ray data collection. We thank Ulrich

Nübel (Braunschweig) and Janina Döhling for help with some experiments and Petra Kaiser for

technical assistance. We would like to thank the National BioResource Project (NIG, Japan): *B.*

subtilis for sharing *B. subtilis* mutant strains. The co-ordinates and structure factors for the crystal

structure of ReoM have been deposited at PDBe with accession code 6TIF.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SW, ZJR, JR, CEJ, LM, RJL and SH designed the experiments. SW, ZJR, JR, CEJ and LM

performed the experimental work. SW, ZJR, JR, CEJ, LM, RJL and SH interpreted the data. RJL

and SH wrote the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

All authors declare that NO conflicting interests exist.

REFERENCES

1.   Vollmer W, Blanot D, de Pedro MA. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. FEMS Microbiol
Rev. 2008;32(2):149-67.
2.   Typas A, Banzhaf M, Gross CA, Vollmer W. From the regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis to
bacterial growth and morphology. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2012;10(2):123-36.
3.   Ruiz N. Bioinformatics identification of MurJ (MviN) as the peptidoglycan lipid II flippase in
Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(40):15553-7.
4.   Sham LT, Butler EK, Lebar MD, Kahne D, Bernhardt TG, Ruiz N. Bacterial cell wall. MurJ is the
flippase of lipid-linked precursors for peptidoglycan biogenesis. Science. 2014;345(6193):220-2.
5.   Meeske AJ, Sham LT, Kimsey H, Koo BM, Gross CA, Bernhardt TG, et al. MurJ and a novel lipid II
flippase are required for cell wall biogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2015;112(20):6437-42.
6.   Sauvage E, Kerff F, Terrak M, Ayala JA, Charlier P. The penicillin-binding proteins: structure and
role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008;32(2):234-58.
7.   Meeske AJ, Riley EP, Robins WP, Uehara T, Mekalanos JJ, Kahne D, et al. SEDS proteins are a
widespread family of bacterial cell wall polymerases. Nature. 2016;537(7622):634-8.
8.   Emami K, Guyet A, Kawai Y, Devi J, Wu LJ, Allenby N, et al. RodA as the missing
glycosyltransferase in *Bacillus subtilis* and antibiotic discovery for the peptidoglycan polymerase
pathway. Nat Microbiol. 2017;2:16253.
9.   Taguchi A, Welsh MA, Marmont LS, Lee W, Sjodt M, Kruse AC, et al. FtsW is a peptidoglycan
polymerase that is functional only in complex with its cognate penicillin-binding protein. Nat Microbiol.
2019.
10.   Vollmer W, Joris B, Charlier P, Foster S. Bacterial peptidoglycan (murein) hydrolases. FEMS
Microbiol Rev. 2008;32(2):259-86.
11.   Uehara T, Bernhardt TG. More than just lysins: peptidoglycan hydrolases tailor the cell wall. Curr
Opin Microbiol. 2011;14(6):698-703.
12.   Moynihan PJ, Sychantha D, Clarke AJ. Chemical biology of peptidoglycan acetylation and
deacetylation. Bioorg Chem. 2014;54:44-50.
13.   Booth S, Lewis RJ. Structural basis for the coordination of cell division with the synthesis of the
bacterial cell envelope. Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society. 2019.
14.   Dworkin J. Ser/Thr phosphorylation as a regulatory mechanism in bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol.
2015;24:47-52.
15.   Manuse S, Fleurie A, Zucchini L, Lesterlin C, Grangeasse C. Role of eukaryotic-like
serine/threonine kinases in bacterial cell division and morphogenesis. FEMS Microbiol Rev.
2016;40(1):41-56.
16.   Egan AJ, Cleverley RM, Peters K, Lewis RJ, Vollmer W. Regulation of bacterial cell wall growth.
FEBS J. 2017;284(6):851-67.
17.   Mir M, Asong J, Li X, Cardot J, Boons GJ, Husson RN. The extracytoplasmic domain of the
Mycobacterium tuberculosis Ser/Thr kinase PknB binds specific muropeptides and is required for PknB
localization. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(7):e1002182.
18.   Hardt P, Engels I, Rausch M, Gajdiss M, Ulm H, Sass P, et al. The cell wall precursor lipid II acts as
a molecular signal for the Ser/Thr kinase PknB of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int J Med Microbiol.
2017;307(1):1-10.
19.   Kaur P, Rausch M, Malakar B, Watson U, Damle NP, Chawla Y, et al. LipidII interaction with
specific residues of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* PknB extracytoplasmic domain governs its optimal
activation. Nature communications. 2019;10(1):1231.

20.   Parikh A, Verma SK, Khan S, Prakash B, Nandicoori VK. PknB-mediated phosphorylation of a novel
substrate, N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase, modulates its acetyltransferase activity. J
Mol Biol. 2009;386(2):451-64.
21.   Gee CL, Papavinasasundaram KG, Blair SR, Baer CE, Falick AM, King DS, et al. A phosphorylated
pseudokinase complex controls cell wall synthesis in mycobacteria. Sci Signal. 2012;5(208):ra7.
22.   Kieser KJ, Boutte CC, Kester JC, Baer CE, Barczak AK, Meniche X, et al. Phosphorylation of the
Peptidoglycan Synthase PonA1 Governs the Rate of Polar Elongation in Mycobacteria. PLoS Pathog.
2015;11(6):e1005010.
23.   Deng LL, Humphries DE, Arbeit RD, Carlton LE, Smole SC, Carroll JD. Identification of a novel
peptidoglycan hydrolase CwlM in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Biochimica et biophysica acta.
2005;1747(1):57-66.
24.   Boutte CC, Baer CE, Papavinasasundaram K, Liu W, Chase MR, Meniche X, et al. A cytoplasmic
peptidoglycan amidase homologue controls mycobacterial cell wall synthesis. eLife. 2016;5.
25.   Turapov O, Forti F, Kadhim B, Ghisotti D, Sassine J, Straatman-Iwanowska A, et al. Two Faces of
CwlM, an Essential PknB Substrate, in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Cell reports. 2018;25(1):57-67 e5.
26.   Brown ED, Vivas EI, Walsh CT, Kolter R. MurA (MurZ), the enzyme that catalyzes the first
committed step in peptidoglycan biosynthesis, is essential in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol.
1995;177(14):4194-7.
27.   Kock H, Gerth U, Hecker M. MurAA, catalysing the first committed step in peptidoglycan
biosynthesis, is a target of Clp-dependent proteolysis in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol. 2004;51(4):1087-
102.
28.   Griffin JE, Gawronski JD, Dejesus MA, Ioerger TR, Akerley BJ, Sassetti CM. High-resolution
phenotypic profiling defines genes essential for mycobacterial growth and cholesterol catabolism. PLoS
Pathog. 2011;7(9):e1002251.
29.   Rismondo J, Bender JK, Halbedel S. Suppressor mutations linking *gpsB* with the first committed
step of peptidoglycan biosynthesis in *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Bacteriol. 2017;199(1).
30.   Pensinger DA, Boldon KM, Chen GY, Vincent WJ, Sherman K, Xiong M, et al. The *Listeria*
monocytogenes PASTA Kinase PrkA and its substrate YvcK are required for cell wall homeostasis,
metabolism, and virulence. PLoS Pathog. 2016;12(11):e1006001.
31.   Macek B, Mijakovic I, Olsen JV, Gnad F, Kumar C, Jensen PR, et al. The serine/threonine/tyrosine
phosphoproteome of the model bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*. Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP.
2007;6(4):697-707.
32.   Pompeo F, Foulquier E, Serrano B, Grangeasse C, Galinier A. Phosphorylation of the cell division
protein GpsB regulates PrkC kinase activity through a negative feedback loop in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol
Microbiol. 2015;97(1):139-50.
33.   Rismondo J, Cleverley RM, Lane HV, Grosshennig S, Steglich A, Möller L, et al. Structure of the
bacterial cell division determinant GpsB and its interaction with penicillin-binding proteins. Mol
Microbiol. 2016;99(5):978-98.
34.   Cleverley RM, Rismondo J, Lockhart-Cairns MP, Van Bentum PT, Egan AJ, Vollmer W, et al.
Subunit arrangement in GpsB, a regulator of cell wall biosynthesis. Microbial drug resistance.
2016;22(6):446-60.
35.   Cleverley RM, Rutter ZJ, Rismondo J, Corona F, Tsui H-CT, Alatawi FA, et al. The cell cycle
regulator GpsB functions as cytosolic adaptor for multiple cell wall enzymes. Nature communications.
2019;10(1):261.
36.   Halbedel S, Lewis RJ. Structural basis for interaction of DivIVA/GpsB proteins with their ligands.
Mol Microbiol. 2019;111(6):1404-15.
37.   Moliere N, Turgay K. Chaperone-protease systems in regulation and protein quality control in
Bacillus subtilis. Res Microbiol. 2009;160(9):637-44.

38.   Hall CL, Tschannen M, Worthey EA, Kristich CJ. IreB, a Ser/Thr kinase substrate, influences
antimicrobial resistance in *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(12):6179-86.
39.   Banla IL, Kommineni S, Hayward M, Rodrigues M, Palmer KL, Salzman NH, et al. Modulators of
Enterococcus faecalis Cell Envelope Integrity and Antimicrobial Resistance Influence Stable Colonization
of the Mammalian Gastrointestinal Tract. Infect Immun. 2018;86(1).
40.   Gerth U, Kock H, Kusters I, Michalik S, Switzer RL, Hecker M. Clp-dependent proteolysis down-
regulates central metabolic pathways in glucose-starved *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol. 2008;190(1):321-31.
41.   Kennelly PJ. Protein phosphatases--a phylogenetic perspective. Chemical reviews.
2001;101(8):2291-312.
42.   Madec E, Stensballe A, Kjellstrom S, Cladiere L, Obuchowski M, Jensen ON, et al. Mass
spectrometry and site-directed mutagenesis identify several autophosphorylated residues required for
the activity of PrkC, a Ser/Thr kinase from *Bacillus subtilis*. J Mol Biol. 2003;330(3):459-72.
43.   Kristich CJ, Little JL, Hall CL, Hoff JS. Reciprocal regulation of cephalosporin resistance in
Enterococcus faecalis. mBio. 2011;2(6):e00199-11.
44.   Misra SK, Milohanic E, Ake F, Mijakovic I, Deutscher J, Monnet V, et al. Analysis of the
serine/threonine/tyrosine phosphoproteome of the pathogenic bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes*
reveals phosphorylated proteins related to virulence. Proteomics. 2011;11(21):4155-65.
45.   Hall CL, Lytle BL, Jensen D, Hoff JS, Peterson FC, Volkman BF, et al. Structure and Dimerization of
IreB, a Negative Regulator of Cephalosporin Resistance in *Enterococcus faecalis*. J Mol Biol.
2017;429(15):2324-36.
46.   Johnson LN, Lewis RJ. Structural basis for control by phosphorylation. Chemical reviews.
2001;101(8):2209-42.
47.   Pensinger DA, Aliota MT, Schaenzer AJ, Boldon KM, Ansari IU, Vincent WJ, et al. Selective
pharmacologic inhibition of a PASTA kinase increases *Listeria monocytogenes* susceptibility to beta-
lactam antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58(8):4486-94.
48.   Rakette S, Donat S, Ohlsen K, Stehle T. Structural analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus*
serine/threonine kinase PknB. PLoS One. 2012;7(6):e39136.
49.   Shah IM, Laaberki MH, Popham DL, Dworkin J. A eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase signals bacteria to
exit dormancy in response to peptidoglycan fragments. Cell. 2008;135(3):486-96.
50.   Irazoki O, Hernandez SB, Cava F. Peptidoglycan Muropeptides: Release, Perception, and
Functions as Signaling Molecules. Frontiers in microbiology. 2019;10:500.
51.   Kohlrausch U, Höltje JV. Analysis of murein and murein precursors during antibiotic-induced lysis
of *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol. 1991;173(11):3425-31.
52.   Kirstein J, Molière N, Dougan DA, Turgay K. Adapting the machine: adaptor proteins for
Hsp100/Clp and AAA+ proteases. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2009;7:589.
53.   Mulvenna N, Hantke I, Burchell L, Nicod S, Bell D, Turgay K, et al. Xenogeneic modulation of the
ClpCP protease of *Bacillus subtilis* by a phage-encoded adaptor-like protein. Journal of Biological
Chemistry. 2019.
54.   Kirstein J, Dougan DA, Gerth U, Hecker M, Turgay K. The tyrosine kinase McsB is a regulated
adaptor protein for ClpCP. The EMBO Journal. 2007;26(8):2061-70.
55.   Trentini DB, Suskiewicz MJ, Heuck A, Kurzbauer R, Deszcz L, Mechtler K, et al. Arginine
phosphorylation marks proteins for degradation by a Clp protease. Nature. 2016;539(7627):48-53.
56.   Elsholz AK, Turgay K, Michalik S, Hessling B, Gronau K, Oertel D, et al. Global impact of protein
arginine phosphorylation on the physiology of *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2012;109(19):7451-6.
57.   Rued BE, Zheng JJ, Mura A, Tsui HT, Boersma MJ, Mazny JL, et al. Suppression and synthetic-
lethal genetic relationships of DeltagpsB mutations indicate that GpsB mediates protein phosphorylation
and penicillin-binding protein interactions in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* D39. Mol Microbiol.
2017;103(6):931-57.

58.   Lewis RJ. The GpsB files: the truth is out there. Mol Microbiol. 2017;103(6):913-8.

59.   Ulrych A, Holečková N, Goldová J, Doubravová L, Benada O, Kofroňová O, et al. Characterization
of pneumococcal Ser/Thr protein phosphatase *phpP* mutant and identification of a novel PhpP substrate,
putative RNA binding protein Jag. BMC Microbiology. 2016;16(1):247.

60.   Madec E, Laszkiewicz A, Iwanicki A, Obuchowski M, Seror S. Characterization of a membrane-
linked Ser/Thr protein kinase in *Bacillus subtilis*, implicated in developmental processes. Mol Microbiol.
2002;46(2):571-86.

61.   Ravikumar V, Shi L, Krug K, Derouiche A, Jers C, Cousin C, et al. Quantitative phosphoproteome
analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* reveals novel substrates of the kinase PrkC and phosphatase PrpC. Molecular
& cellular proteomics : MCP. 2014;13(8):1965-78.

62.   Vesic D, Kristich CJ. MurAA is required for intrinsic cephalosporin resistance of *Enterococcus*
faecalis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(5):2443-51.

63.   Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. Molecular cloning : a laboratory manual. 2nd ed. Cold Spring
Harbor, N.Y.: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1989. 3 v. p.

64.   Rismondo J, Möller L, Aldridge C, Gray J, Vollmer W, Halbedel S. Discrete and overlapping
functions of peptidoglycan synthases in growth, cell division and virulence of *Listeria monocytogenes*.
Mol Microbiol. 2015;95(2):332-51.

65.   Monk IR, Gahan CG, Hill C. Tools for functional postgenomic analysis of *Listeria monocytogenes*.
Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008;74(13):3921-34.

66.   Peränen J, Rikkonen M, Hyvonen M, Kaariainen L. T7 vectors with modified T7lac promoter for
expression of proteins in *Escherichia coli*. Anal Biochem. 1996;236(2):371-3.

67.   van den Ent F, Löwe J. RF cloning: a restriction-free method for inserting target genes into
plasmids. J Biochem Biophys Methods. 2006;67(1):67-74.

68.   Arnaud M, Chastanet A, Debarbouille M. New vector for efficient allelic replacement in naturally
nontransformable, low-GC-content, gram-positive bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004;70(11):6887-
91.

69.   Karimova G, Pidoux J, Ullmann A, Ladant D. A bacterial two-hybrid system based on a
reconstituted signal transduction pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95(10):5752-6.

70.   Glaser P, Frangeul L, Buchrieser C, Rusniok C, Amend A, Baquero F, et al. Comparative genomics
of *Listeria* species. Science. 2001;294(5543):849-52.

71.   Marston AL, Thomaides HB, Edwards DH, Sharpe ME, Errington J. Polar localization of the MinD
protein of *Bacillus subtilis* and its role in selection of the mid-cell division site. Genes Dev.
1998;12(21):3419-30.

72.   Battye TG, Kontogiannis L, Johnson O, Powell HR, Leslie AG. iMOSFLM: a new graphical interface
for diffraction-image processing with MOSFLM. Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological
crystallography. 2011;67(Pt 4):271-81.

73.   Evans PR, Murshudov GN. How good are my data and what is the resolution? Acta
crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 2013;69(Pt 7):1204-14.

74.   McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ. Phaser
crystallographic software. Journal of applied crystallography. 2007;40(Pt 4):658-74.

75.   Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. Features and development of Coot. Acta
crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 2010;66(Pt 4):486-501.

76.   Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the
maximum-likelihood method. Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography. 1997;53(Pt
3):240-55.

77.   Claessen D, Emmins R, Hamoen LW, Daniel RA, Errington J, Edwards DH. Control of the cell
elongation-division cycle by shuttling of PBP1 protein in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol. 2008;68(4):1029-
46.

78.   Koo BM, Kritikos G, Farelli JD, Todor H, Tong K, Kimsey H, et al. Construction and analysis of two
genome-scale deletion libraries for *Bacillus subtilis*. Cell Syst. 2017;4(3):291-305 e7.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Suppression of the growth defects of a *L. monocytogenes* Δ*gpsB* mutant by *reoM* and

reoY mutations.

(A-B) Effect of suppressor mutations on growth of the Δ*gpsB* mutant. Growth of *L.*

monocytogenes strains EGD-e (wt), LMJR19 (Δ*gpsB*), *shg8* (Δ*gpsB reoY* H87Y), *shg10* (Δ*gpsB*

reoY TAA74) and *shg12* (Δ*gpsB reoM* RBS mutation) in BHI broth at 37°C (A) and 42°C (B).

(C-D) Effect of Δ*reoM* and Δ*reoY* deletions on growth of *L. monocytogenes.* Growth of *L.*

monocytogenes strains EGD-e (wt), LMJR19 (Δ*gpsB*), LMSW30 (Δ*reoM*), LMSW32 (Δ*reoY*),

LMJR137 (Δ*gpsB* Δ*reoM*) and LMJR120 (Δ*gpsB* Δ*reoY*) in BHI broth was recorded at 37°C (C)

and 42°C (D). All growth experiments were performed three times and average values and

standard deviations are shown.

Figure 2: Effect of the *reoM, reoY* and *clpC* genes on levels of MurA in *L. monocytogenes* and

MurAA in *B. subtilis.*

(A) Effect of *reoM* and *reoY* deletions (single or when combined with *gpsB* deletion) on MurA

(above) and DivIVA levels (middle) in *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e (wt), LMJR19 (Δ*gpsB*),

LMSW30 (Δ*reoM*), LMSW32 (Δ*reoY*), LMJR137 (Δ*gpsB* Δ*reoM*) and LMJR120 (Δ*gpsB* Δ*reoY*)

and quantification of MurA levels (below). Strain LMJR138 (Δ*clpC*) was included for

comparison. Non-relevant lanes were excised from the blots (dotted lines). Average values ±

standard deviations were shown (n=3). Statistically significant differences compared to wild type

are marked by asterisks (*P*<0.05, *t*-test).

(B) Effect of *reoM*, *reoY* and *murZ* deletions when combined with *clpC* deletion on MurA

(above) and DivIVA levels (middle) in *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e (wt), LMJR138 (Δ*clpC*),

LMJR104 (Δ*murZ*), LMJR171 (Δ*clpC* Δ*murZ*), LMSW30 (Δ*reoM*), LMSW50 (Δ*clpC* Δ*reoM*),

LMSW32 (Δ*reoY*) and LMSW51 (Δ*clpC* Δ*reoY*) and quantification of MurA levels (below).

Strain LMJR123 (I*murA*) grown in the presence or absence of IPTG was included for

comparison. Average values and standard deviations were shown (n=3) and n. s. means not

significant (*P*<0.05, *t*-test).

(C) Western blots following MurA degradation *in vivo*. *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e (wt),

LMJR138 (Δ*clpC*), LMSW30 (Δ*reoM*) and LMSW32 (Δ*reoY*) were grown to an OD_{600} of 1.0

and 100 μg/ml chloramphenicol was added. Samples were taken before chloramphenicol addition

and after several time intervals to analyze MurA levels. MurA signals were quantified by

densitometry and average values and standard deviations are shown (n=3). Statistically

significant differences are marked with asterisks (*P*<0.05, *t*-test).

(D) Effect of the *reoM* and *reoY* homologs *yrzL* and *ypiB*, respectively, on MurAA (above) and

DivIVA levels (middle) of *B. subtilis* and quantification of MurAA levels (below). Strains

BKE00860 (Δ*clpC*), BKE22180 (Δ*gpsB*), BKE22580 (Δ*ypiB*/*reoY*) and BKE27400

(Δ*yrzL*/*reoM*) were grown to mid-logarithmic growth phase before total cellular proteins were

isolated. *B. subtilis* 168 (wt) was included as control. That MurAA is detected in two isoforms

had been observed earlier but the reasons for this are not known (27). Average values and

standard deviations were shown (n=3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences

compared to wild type (*P*<0.05, *t*-test).

Figure 3: The PrkA/PrkP pair controls the phosphorylation status of ReoM.

Non-denaturing, native PAGE analysis of the phosphorylation (A) and dephosphorylation (B) of

ReoM *in vitro*. The components of each lane in the Coomassie-stained gel are annotated above

the image and the position and identity of relevant bands is marked to the side.

(C) LC-MS analysis of intact ReoM. The deconvoluted mass spectrum for non-phosphorylated

ReoM (black) is overlaid over the equivalent spectrum for mono-phosphorylated ReoM, P-ReoM

(red).

(D) LC-MS/MS was used to perform peptide mapping analysis that revealed that Thr7 is the sole

phosphosite of ReoM. The MS/MS fragmentation spectra of the phosphorylated peptide

encompassing Asp5-Lys22 is presented with *b*-ion fragmentation in blue and *y*-ion fragmentation

shown in red, whilst the precursor ion (m/z 1116.86, z=2+) is represented by a blue diamond.

Figure 4: A ReoM T7A exchange affects growth and MurA levels in a ClpC-dependent manner.

(A) Lethality of the *reoM T7A* mutation in *L. monocytogenes*. *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e

(wt), LMSW30 (Δ*reoM*), LMSW57 (i*reoM*) and LMSW52 (i*reoM T7A*) were grown in BHI

broth ± 1 mM IPTG at 37°C. The experiment was repeated three times and average values and

standard deviations are shown. (B) Suppression of *reoM T7A* lethality by deletion of *clpC*. *L.*

monocytogenes strains EGD-e (wt), LMJR138 (Δ*clpC*), LMSW52 (i*reoM T7A*) and LMSW72

(i*reoM T7A ΔclpC*) were grown in BHI broth ± 1 mM IPTG at 37°C. The experiment was

repeated three times and average values and standard deviations are shown. (C) Western blot

showing cellular levels of MurA (top) and ClpC (middle) in the strains included in panels A and

B. For this experiment, strains were grown in BHI broth not containing IPTG at 37°C. IPTG (1

mM) was added to the cultures at an OD_{600} of 0.2 and the cells were collected 2 hours later.

Irrelevant lanes were removed from both blots (dotted lines). Quantification of MurA signals by

densitometry is shown below the Western blots. Average values and standard deviations

calculated from three independent experiments are shown. Asterisks indicate statistically

significant differences ($P<0.05$, *t*-test). (D) ReoMT7A expression sensitizes *L. monocytogenes*

against ceftriaxone. Synergism between ceftriaxone and IPTG in the i*reoMT7A* strain LMSW52

in a disc diffusion assay with filter discs containing 50 mg/ml ceftriaxone (CRO, left) and 1 mM

IPTG (right). For comparison, wild type levels of growth inhibition by ceftriaxone are marked

with black circles. Zone of growth inhibition by IPTG in the i*reoM T7A* mutant is marked with a

white circle. Please note that strain LMSW52 shows hetero-resistance against IPTG (two zones

of growth inhibition with different resistance levels). Arrows mark the zones of synergism

between ceftriaxone and IPTG. (E) Contribution of ReoY and MurZ to the lethal *reoM T7A*

phenotype. *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e (wt), LMSW52 (i*reoM T7A*), LMSW72 (i*reoM T7A*

Δ*clpC*), LMSW123 (i*reoM T7A* Δ*reoY*) and LMSW124 (i*reoM T7A* Δ*murZ*) were grown in BHI

broth containing 1 mM IPTG and growth at 37°C was recorded in a microplate reader. Average

values and standard deviations were calculated from an experiment performed in triplicate.

Figure 5: Crystal structure of ReoM.

(A) The structure of ReoM depicted as a cartoon with each protomer in the dimer coloured

separately (cyan and orange). The secondary structure elements are numbered according to their

position in the amino acid sequence. Thr7 and some of the key amino acids in the dimer interface

and the hydrophobic core are drawn as stick figures. (B) Sequence alignment of ReoM (*Lmo*) and

its homologues from *Bacillus subtilis* (*Bsu*), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (*Spn*), *Clostridium*

difficile (*Cdi*) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*Sau*) with the sequence of IreB from *Enterococcus*

faecalis (*Efa*) underneath. Amino acid sequence numbers pertain to ReoM and the site of

phosphorylation in ReoM (Thr7) and the twin phosphorylations in IreB (minor site: Thr4; major

site: Thr7) are highlighted. Invariant amino acids are shaded black, residues in the ReoM dimer

interface have an asterisk above, and the secondary structure elements are defined by cylinders

above the alignment. Arginine residues mutated in this study are indicated by a hashtag above the

alignment. (C) The final $2F_{obs}$-F_{calc} electron density map, contoured at a level of 0.42 e$^-$/Å^3, of the

N-terminal region in the immediate vicinity of Thr7 in chain A of the ReoM dimer indicates that

the protein model could be built with confidence even though this region contains no secondary

structure elements.

Figure 6: Effect of *prkA* and *prkP* mutations on growth and MurA levels of *L. monocytogenes*.

(A) Contribution of PrkA and PrkP to *L. monocytogenes* growth. *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-

e (wt), LMSW76 (Δ*prkP prkA**), LMSW83 (i*prkP*) and LMSW84 (i*prkA*) were grown in BHI

broth containing or not containing 1 mM IPTG at 37°C in a microtiter plate reader. The

experiment was repeated three times and average values and standard deviations are shown. (B)

Contribution of PrkA and PrkP to MurA stability. Western blots showing cellular levels of MurA

(top) and DivIVA (middle) in the same set of strains as in panel A and quantification of MurA

signals by densitometry (below). Average values and standard deviations calculated from three

independent experiments are shown. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences

(*P*<0.05, *t*-test).

Fig. 7: PrkA essentiality depends on *reoM*, *reoY* and *clpC*.

(A) Effect of *reoM*, *reoY* and *clpC* deletions on *prkA* essentiality. *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-

e (wt), LMSW84 (i*prkA*), LMSW89 (i*prkA* Δ*reoM*), LMSW90 (i*prkA* Δ*reoY*) and LMSW91

(i*prkA* Δ*clpC*) were grown in BHI broth ± 1 mM IPTG at 37°C in a microtiter plate reader. The

experiment was repeated three times and average values and standard deviations are shown. (B)

clpC, reoM and *reoY* deletions overcome MurA degradation in PrkA-depleted cells. Western blot

showing MurA levels in *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e (wt), LMJR138 (Δ*clpC*), LMSW30

(Δ*reoM*), LMSW32 (Δ*reoY*), LMSW84 (i*prkA*), LMSW89 (i*prkA* Δ*reoM*), LMSW90 (i*prkA*

Δ*reoY*) and LMSW91 (i*prkA* Δ*clpC*, top). PrkA wild type strains were grown in BHI broth at

37°C to mid-exponential growth phase before protein isolation. A parallel DivIVA Western blot

was used as loading control (middle). Quantification of MurA signals by densitometry (below).

Average values and standard deviations calculated from three independent experiments are

shown. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$, t-test). (C) Illustrations

summarizing the genetic interactions detected in this work between PrkA, ReoM, ReoY, MurZ,

ClpC and MurA (above) and the physical interactions between them as detected by bacterial two

hybrid analysis (bottom, raw data are shown in Fig. S13).

1002

Fig. 8: ReoM links PrkA-dependent muropeptide sensing with peptidoglycan biosynthesis.

Model illustrating the role of ReoM as substrate of PrkA and as regulator of ClpCP. Cell wall

damage is sensed by PrkA through recognition of free muropeptides upon which PrkA

phosphorylates ReoM. In its unphosphorlyated form, ReoM is an activator of ClpCP-dependent

degradation of MurA, the first enzyme of peptidoglycan biosynthesis, and ReoY and MurZ

contribute to this process. By phosphorylating ReoM, PrkA prevents ClpCP-dependent MurA

degradation so that MurA accumulates and peptidoglycan biosynthesis can occur. Please note that

there is a lesser degree of conservation in the fourth PASTA domain of PrkA.

1011

1012   **Table 1:** Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of ceftriaxone

1013   Average values and standard deviations are calculated from three independent experiments.

strain	genotype	IPTG	MIC ceftriaxone [µg/ml]
EGD-e	wt	-	8±0
LMJR116	wt+*murA*	-	16±0
		+	96±0
LMJR123	i*murA*	-	2±1
		+	14±2
LMJR138	Δ*clpC*	-	96±0
LMSW30	Δ*reoM*	-	19±5
LMSW32	Δ*reoY*	-	21±5
LMJR104	Δ*murZ*	-	24±0
LMSW76	Δ*prkP prkA**	-	21±5
LMSW84[a]	i*prkA*	-	0.05±0
		+	1.5±0

1014   [a] The i*prkA* strain showed residual growth on BHI agar plates not containing IPTG, even though

1015   it required IPTG for growth in BHI broth.

1016 **Table 2:** Summary of the data collection and refinement statistics for ReoM.

Data collection	
Beamline	Diamond I03
Wavelength (Å)	0.976
Resolution (Å)	74.45-1.60 (1.63-1.60)*
Space group	$P\,2_1\,2_1\,2_1$
a, b, c (Å)	38.79, 58.62, 74.45
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90
R_{pim}	0.064 (0.533)
CC (1/2) (%)	98.6 (62.0)
$<I>/<\sigma(I)>$	8.2 (2.2)
Completeness (%)	99.8 (99.8)
Redundancy	4.8 (4.9)
Total observations	111229 (5581)
Unique reflections	23059 (1129)
Refinement	
R_{work} (%)	15.3
R_{free} (%)	21.4
Solvent content (%)	38.0
# atoms	
Protein	1399
Ligand/ion	20
Water	94
B-factors ($Å^2$)	
Protein	26.4
Ligand/ion	50.5
Water	37.7
R.m.s deviations	
Bonds (Å)	0.015
Angles (°)	1.79

1017 *Where values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

1018

1019 **Table 3:** Plasmids and strains used in this study

name	relevant characteristics	source*/ reference
Plasmids		
pIMK3	P$_{help}$-lacO lacI neo	(65)
pMAD	bla erm bgaB	(68)
pUT18	bla P$_{lac}$-cya(T18)	(69)
pUT18C	bla P$_{lac}$-cya(T18)	(69)
pKT25	kan P$_{lac}$-cya(T25)	(69)
p25-N	kan P$_{lac}$-cya(T25)	(77)
pJR127	bla erm bgaB ΔclpC (lmo0232)	(29)
pSH246	bla erm bgaB ΔgpsB (lmo1888)	(33)
pJR68	bla erm bgaB ΔmurZ (lmo2552)	(29)
pJR65	P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM lacI neo	this work
pJR70	P$_{help}$-lacO-reoY lacI neo	this work
pJR83	bla erm bgaB ΔreoY (lmo1921)	this work
pJR101	kan P$_{lac}$-cya(T25)-reoM	this work
pJR102	kan P$_{lac}$-reoM-cya(T25)	this work
pJR103	bla P$_{lac}$-reoM-cya(T18)	this work
pJR104	bla P$_{lac}$-cya(T18)-reoM	this work
pJR109	kan P$_{lac}$-cya(T25)-reoY	this work
pJR111	bla P$_{lac}$-cya(T18)-reoY	this work
pJR116	kan P$_{lac}$-cya(T25)-murA	this work
pJR117	kan P$_{lac}$-murA-cya(T25)	this work
pJR118	bla P$_{lac}$-murA-cya(T18)	this work
pJR119	bla P$_{lac}$-cya(T18)-murA	this work
pJR121	bla P$_{lac}$-reoY-cya(T18)	this work
pJR126	bla erm bgaB ΔreoM (lmo1503)	this work
pSW29	P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM T7A lacI neo	this work
pSW36	bla erm bgaB ΔprkA (lmo1820)	this work
pSW37	bla erm bgaB ΔprkP (lmo1821)	this work
pSW38	P$_{help}$-lacO-prkA lacI neo	this work
pSW39	P$_{help}$-lacO-prkP lacI neo	this work
pSW43	kan P$_{lac}$-cya(T25)-clpC	this work
pSW44	kan P$_{lac}$-cya(T25)-clpP	this work
pSW45	kan P$_{lac}$- clpC-cya(T25)	this work
pSW46	kan P$_{lac}$-clpP-cya(T25)	this work
pSW47	bla P$_{lac}$-clpC-cya(T18)	this work
pSW48	bla P$_{lac}$-clpP-cya(T18)	this work
pSW49	bla P$_{lac}$-cya(T18)-clpC	this work
pSW50	bla P$_{lac}$-cya(T18)-clpP	this work
pSW55	P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM R66A lacI neo	this work
pSW56	P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM R70A lacI neo	this work
pSW58	P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM R57A lacI neo	this work
pSW59	P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM R62A lacI neo	this work
***B. subtilis* strains**		
168	wild type, lab collection	
BKE00860	ΔclpC	(78)
BKE22180	ΔgpsB	(78)
BKE22580	ΔypiB (reoY)	(78)
BKE27400	ΔyrzL (reoM)	(78)
***L. monocytogenes* strains**		
EGD-e	wild-type, serovar 1/2a strain	(70)
LMJR19	ΔgpsB (lmo1888)	(33)
LMJR104	ΔmurZ (lmo2552)	(29)

name	relevant characteristics	source*/ reference
LMJR116	*attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-murA lacI neo*	(29)
LMJR123	*ΔmurA (lmo2526) attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-murA lacI neo*	(29)
LMJR138	*ΔclpC (lmo0232)*	(29)
shg8	*ΔgpsB reoY* H87Y	this work
shg10	*ΔgpsB reoY* TAA74	this work
shg12	*ΔgpsB reoM* RBS mutation	this work
LMJR96	*ΔgpsB attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM lacI neo*	pJR65 → LMJR19
LMJR102	*attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM lacI neo*	pJR65 → EGD-e
LMJR106	*ΔgpsB attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoY lacI neo*	pJR70 → LMJR19
LMJR120	*ΔgpsB ΔreoY*	pJR83 ↔ LMJR19
LMJR137	*ΔgpsB ΔreoM*	pJR126 ↔ LMJR19
LMJR171	*ΔclpC ΔmurZ*	pJR127 ↔ LMJR104
LMSW30	*ΔreoM (lmo1503)*	pJR126 ↔ EGD-e
LMSW32	*ΔreoY (lmo1921)*	pJR83 ↔ EGD-e
LMSW50	*ΔclpC ΔreoM*	pJR127 ↔ LMSW30
LMSW51	*ΔclpC ΔreoY*	pJR127 ↔ LMSW32
LMSW52	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM T7A lacI neo*	pSW29 → LMSW30
LMSW57	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM lacI neo*	pJR65 → LMSW30
LMSW72	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM T7A lacI neo ΔclpC*	pJR127 ↔ LMSW52
LMSW76	*ΔprkP prkA**	pSW37 ↔ EGD-e
LMSW80	*attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-prkA lacI neo*	pSW38 → EGD-e
LMSW81	*attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-prkP lacI neo*	pSW39 → EGD-e
LMSW83	*ΔprkP attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-prkP lacI neo*	pSW37 ↔ LMSW81
LMSW84	*ΔprkA attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-prkA lacI neo*	pSW36 ↔ LMSW80
LMSW89	*ΔprkA attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-prkA lacI neo ΔreoM*	pJR126 ↔ LMSW84
LMSW90	*ΔprkA attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-prkA lacI neo ΔreoY*	pJR83 ↔ LMSW84
LMSW91	*ΔprkA attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-prkA lacI neo ΔclpC*	pJR127 ↔ LMSW84
LMSW117	*ΔreoM ΔreoY*	pJR126 ↔ LMSW32
LMSW118	*ΔreoY ΔmurZ*	pJR68 ↔ LMSW32
LMSW119	*ΔreoM ΔmurZ*	pJR68 ↔ LMSW30
LMSW120	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM R66A lacI neo*	pSW55 → LMSW30
LMSW121	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM R70A lacI neo*	pSW56 → LMSW30
LMSW123	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM T7A lacI neo ΔreoY*	pSW29 → LMSW117
LMSW124	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM T7A lacI neo ΔmurZ*	pSW29 → LMSW119
LMSW125	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM R57A lacI neo*	pSW58 → LMSW30
LMSW126	*ΔreoM attB::P$_{help}$-lacO-reoM R62A lacI neo*	pSW59 → LMSW30

1020   * The arrow (→) stands for a transformation event and the double arrow (↔) indicates gene
1021   deletions obtained by chromosomal insertion and subsequent excision of pMAD plasmid
1022   derivatives (see experimental procedures for details).

1023

1024

1025

 Table 4: Oligonucleotides used in this study.

name	sequence (5´→3´)
JR163	GCGCCCATGGCTAAGGCATCCATTTCAATAGACGAGAAG
JR164	GCGCGTCGACTTATTCTTTTTCCGTATCCATTTGCTGTA
JR169	GCGCCCATGGATTCAAAAGATCAAACAATGTTTTACAACTTC
JR170	GCGCGTCGACTCATTTCTCACCAATTTCGTTATTTTTCAG
JR197	GCGCGGATCCCAATTATTTCGAATGGTGCGGTGTC
JR198	TCCTTATTCGTCGACCATCTTTCCTCAGTCCCTTCCTG
JR199	GGAAAGATGGTCGACGAATAAGGAATAAATCCTAGTTAGTAGGG
JR200	CGCGCGAATTCCCAAGACTCAACCTCTTTCACTC
JR249	GCGCCTGCAGAAAAAATTATTGTACGCGGTGGAAAAC
JR250	GCGCGGTACCGCGAATAAAGACGCTAAGTTTGTTACATCG
JR253	GCGCTCTAGAAAAGGCATCCATTTCAATAGACGAG
JR254	GCGCGGTACCTCTTTTTCCGTATCCATTTGCTG
JR255	GCGCTCTAGATTCAAAAGATCAAACAATGTTTTACAAC
JR256	GCGCGGTACCTTCTCACCAATTTCGTTATTTTTCAG
JR257	GCGCCTGCAGGGAAAAAATTATTGTACGCGGTGGAAAAC
JR264	GCGCAGATCTGGCAAATACAGCATTGAACTATGTG
JR265	GCGCGGATCCAATCGAAGCACCTCATTCCTTC
JR266	GCGCGGATCCATGAGAATAATGGGTTTAGATGTCGGC
JR267	GCGCGTCGACGCTAGGAATGTAGCAAGGATTCTTC
SHW815	GATCTATCGATGCATGCCATGGGCTAAATGACCAAGGAATTACCG
SHW816	CGCGTCGGGCGATATCGGATCCTTTCTTCCGCGTTTTGGTAACG
SHW817	CAATCATCATTTTAAAAGCACCTCACTATTTTTCAG
SHW818	TGCTTTTAAAATGATGATTGGTAAGCGATTAAGC
SHW819	GATCTATCGATGCATGCCATGGAGATAGAGGCAGAATAAGACATC
SHW820	CGCGTCGGGCGATATCGGATCCGGTATTTACAACCACTACGTCG
SHW821	CGTTCTTATTTCATGAAGCATCCCTCCCTTTC
SHW822	TGCTTCATGAAATAAGAACGGAGGAAATGTGCTG
SHW830	GCGCGCTCTAGATGGACGATTTACGCAAAGAGCTCAG
SHW831	GCGCGCGGTACCTTAGCTTTTACTTTTTTAGAGGTTGTTTTC
SHW832	GCGCGCTCTAGAAAATTCCAACAGTAATTGAACAAACTAGC
SHW833	GCGCGCGGTACCCCTTTTAAGCCAGATTTATTAATGATAATATC
SW77	GTAAAACATTGCTTGATCTTTTGAATCCATGGGTTTCAC
SW78	GATCAAGCAATGTTTTACAACTTCGGCGATGATTC
SW110	GCGCGCGGATCCATGCATGCAGAATTTAGAACAGATAG
SW111	GCGCGCGTCGACTCATGAAGCATCCCTCCCTTTC
SW112	GCGCGCGGATCCATGATGATTGGTAAGCGATTAAGCG
SW113	GCGCGCGTCGACTTAATTTGGATAAGGGACTGTACCTTC
SW136	CTAAACGAGCTATCATACTTCTAGCATCCTTGTGAC
SW137	GTATGATAGCTCGTTTAGAACGAGATGAAATTATCGAG
SW138	AATTTCATCTGCTTCTAAACGACGTATCATACTTCTAGC
SW139	GTTTAGAAGCAGATGAAATTATCGAGGAACTTGTCAAAG
SW144	CCTTGTGAGCAGGAATATAAGCAGGATCGCCTG
SW145	TATATTCCTGCTCACAAGGATGCTAGAAGTATGATAC
SW146	GTATCATACTTGCAGCATCCTTGTGACGAGGAATATAAG
SW147	GGATGCTGCAAGTATGATACGTCGTTTAGAACGAG
Lmo1503F	GCTATACCATGGATTCAAAAGATCAAACAATGTTTTACAAC
Lmo1503R	CGATATCTCGAGTCATTTCTCACCAATTTCGTTATTTTTCAG
PrkAF	GCTATACCATGGCAATGATGATTGGTAAGCGATTAAGCG
PrkAR	CGATATCTCGAGTCATTTTTTCTTTTTCTTATCTTTTTTCTCCTCAGG
PrkPF	GCTATACCATGGCAATGCATGCAGAATTTAGAACAGATAGAG
PrkPR	CGATATCTCGAGTCATGAAGCATCCCTCCCTTTC

shg suppressor mutants

reoM and reoY deletion mutants

Wamp *et al.*, Fig. 1

Wamp *et al.*, Fig. 3

Wamp *et al.*, Fig. 4

a

b

```
          10        20        30        40
          |         |         |         |
                         * *      * **  **
                    ━━━━━━━α1━━━━━    ━━━α2━━━
Lmo   MDSKDQTMFYNFGDDSIEEDVKKLMKQVYVALEEKGYNPVNQIVGYLLS
Bsu   MSSFDKTMKFNFSDDSAETNVNEVLITVYDALQEKGYNPINQIVGYLLS
Spn   MSSFDKTMKFNFSDDSAETNVNEVLITVYDALQEKGYNPINQIVGYLLS
Cdi   MGFTDETVRFGF-DDSRKKEVSETLAIVYRALEEKGYNPINQIVGYLLS
Sau   MENFDKTMKFDY-EELPTQDVRDVLNNVYRTLDERGYNAVNQIVGYLLS
Efa   MGLTDETVRFDF-DDNRKKAISETLETVYRALEEKGYNPINQIVGYLLS
          I  I
          P  P
```

```
     50        60        70        80
     |         |         |         |
            #      #    #   #  *  *    *
      ━3₁₀━    ━━α3━━  ━━━━━━α4━━━━━
Lmo   GDPAYIPRHKDARSMIRRLERDEIIEELVKAYLKNNEIGEK
Bsu   GDPAYIPRHRDARNLIRKLERDELIEELVKSYLEQHKEA--
Spn   GDPAYIPRHQDARNLIRKLERDELIEELVKSYLETHKEA--
Cdi   GDPAYIPRYRDARNLIRRHERDEIMEVIVKDYLSNHGVNL-
Sau   GDPAYIPRQNEARNQIRHIDRDVIMEELVSYYLKEQNK---
Efa   GDPAYIPRYQDARNLIRRHERDEIMEELTKYYLANHGIDIK
```

c

Wamp *et al.*, Fig. 5

a

b

c Genetic interactions

B2H interactions

muropeptide sensing by PrkA

muro-peptides

PrkA

ATP

P

ReoM

ReoM

P$_i$

PrkP

+

ReoY

MurZ

MurA

UDP-Glc*N*Ac

peptidoglycan biosynthesis

PBP A1

GpsB