A sulfoglycolytic Entner-Doudoroff pathway in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* SRDI565

Jinling Li,[1] Ruwan Epa,[1] Nichollas E. Scott,[3] Dominic Skoneczny,[4] Mahima Sharma,[5] Alexander J.D. Snow,[5] James P. Lingford,[2] Ethan D. Goddard-Borger,[2] Gideon J. Davies,[5] Malcolm J. McConville,[4] Spencer J. Williams[1]*

[1]School of Chemistry and Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute and University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

[2]ACRF Chemical Biology Division, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia and Department of Medical Biology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

[3] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Parkville, VIC, 3010, Australia

[4]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

[5]York Structural Biology Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

Keywords

Sulfoglycolysis; metabolomics; sulfur cycle; rhizobia; carbohydrates

E-mail: sjwill@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract

Rhizobia are nitrogen fixing bacteria that engage in symbiotic relationships with plant hosts but can also persist as free-living bacteria with the soil and rhizosphere. Here we show that free living *Rhizobium leguminosarum* SRDI565 can grow on the sulfosugar sulfoquinovose (SQ) using a sulfoglycolytic Entner-Doudoroff (sulfo-ED) pathway resulting in production of sulfolactate (SL) as the major metabolic end-product. Comparative proteomics supports the involvement of a sulfo-ED operon encoding an ABC transporter cassette, sulfo-ED enzymes and an SL exporter. Consistent with an oligotrophic lifestyle, proteomics data revealed little change in expression of the sulfo-ED proteins during growth on SQ versus mannitol, a result confirmed through biochemical assay of sulfoquinovosidase activity in cell lysates (data are available via ProteomeXchange with identifier PXD015822). Metabolomics analysis showed that growth on SQ involves gluconeogenesis to satisfy metabolic requirements for glucose-6-phosphate and fructose-6-phosphate. Metabolomics analysis also revealed the unexpected production of small amounts of sulfofructose and 2,3-dihydroxypropanesulfonate, which are proposed to arise from promiscuous activities of the glycolytic enzyme phosphoglucose isomerase and a non-specific aldehyde reductase, respectively. This work shows that rhizobial metabolism of the abundant sulfosugar SQ may contribute to persistence of the bacteria in the soil and to mobilization of sulfur in the pedosphere.

Introduction

Sulfur is essential for plant growth and is the fourth most important macronutrient after nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Up to 10 kg/ha/y of sulfur is deposited in rain, especially near industrialized areas.[1] However, sulfur dioxide emissions from industrial sources have decreased in recent decades as a result of pollution mitigation and the move to low sulfur fuels and renewable energy sources, and quantities received from atmospheric sources is now at levels below that required by most crops.[2] Sulfur deficiency in soils is primarily combated by application of sulfur-containing fertilizers such as superphosphate, ammonium sulfate and gypsum,[3] which are applied across all major cropping and pasture areas worldwide.[4] Soils contain significant amount of sulfur, yet plants can only use sulfur in the form of sulfate and it has been shown that 95-98% of sulfur in soils is in the form of unavailable biological sulfur.[4] Thus, effective microbial cycling of sulfur from biological to inorganic forms within the soil is important[5] and has the potential to enhance crop yields and reduce reliance on fertilizers.

It is estimated that around 10 billion tonnes per annum of the sulfosugar sulfoquinovose (SQ) is produced annually by photosynthetic organisms, including plants, cyanobacteria and algae.[6] SQ is primarily found as the glycerolipid sulfoquinovosyl diacylglycerol (SQDG), and land plants can contain as much as 10% SQDG in their thylakoid membrane glycerolipids.[7] Very little is known about how SQ is metabolized within soils, although it has been shown to undergo very rapid mineralization to inorganic sulfate.[8] based on X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy measurements, it is estimated that 40% of sulfur within various sediments and humic substances exist as sulfonate.[9]

Bacteria are likely to be primarily responsible for the biomineralization of SQ, possibly by using SQ as a carbon source and catabolizing it via a modified version of glycolysis, termed sulfoglycolysis.[10] Two sulfoglycolytic processes have been described: the sulfoglycolytic Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (sulfo-EMP) pathway,[11] and the sulfoglycolytic Entner-Doudoroff (sulfo-ED) pathway (Fig. 1).[12] The sulfo-ED pathway was first reported in *Pseudomonas putida* strain SQ1, a bacterium isolated from freshwater sediment, catabolised of SQ with excretion of equimolar amounts of sulfolactate (SL).[12] The sulfo-ED operon of *P. putida* SQ1 contains 10 genes including a transcriptional regulator, an SQ importer and SL exporter, a sulfoquinovosidase, SQ mutarotase, SQ dehydrogenase, SL lactonase, SG dehydratase, KDSG aldolase and SLA dehydrogenase enzymes. Based on genome-wide annotation studies, the sulfo-ED pathway is predicted to occur in a range of alpha-, beta- and

gamma-proteobacteria.[12] However, no direct evidence for this pathway has been reported for

any organism other than *P. putida* SQ1. Other members of the microbial community can

catabolize SL and 2,3-dihydroxypropanesulfonate (DHPS; the product of the sulfo-EMP

pathway) to inorganic sulfur,[13] completing the biomineralization of SQ.

Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. *trifolii* SRDI565 (syn. N8-J), hereafter *Rl*-SRDI565,

was isolated from a soil sample collected in western New South Wales but has the capacity to

colonize *Trifolium subterraneum* subsp. *subterraneum* (subterranean clover) and other

Trifolium spp.[14] Colonization of trifolium species with *Rl*-SRDI565 results in suboptimal

nodulation and nitrogen fixation in some species and ineffective nitrogen fixation in others,

leading to reduced shoot nitrogen content relative to other commercial strains.[15] Interestingly,

the genome of *Rl*-SRDI565 encodes all the genes needed for a functional sulfo-ED

pathway,[16] although there is no evidence to show that this is operative and/or that *Rl*-

SRDI565 can use SQ as a major carbon source.

Rhizobia participate in sophisticated symbiotic relationships with leguminous host

plants that allow them to fix atmospheric dinitrogen to provide a growth advantage to the

host.[17] Symbiosis is triggered by molecular communication between the bacterium and the

host resulting in nodule formation on the root and colonization by the bacterium. Within

nodule bacteroids the energy intensive fixation of nitrogen is supported by C_4-dicarboxylates

(primarily malate, fumarate, and succinate) sourced from glycolysis of sucrose photosynthate

within the plant host.[17] Owing to the importance of biological nitrogen fixation for input of

nitrogen into the biosphere, the symbiosis of rhizobia and leguminous hosts has been well

studied. However, rhizobia can also exist as free-living bacteria within the soil and

rhizosphere.[18] Here, like other soil bacteria, they adopt a saprophytic and oligotrophic

lifestyle where they utilize a variety of alternative carbon sources, including a wide range of

carbohydrates.[19] Most likely, the ability of various rhizobia to persist in the pedosphere

depends upon their ability to utilize diverse carbohydrate and non-carbohydrate substrates

and establish an appropriate niche. SQ or its glycosides are likely to be a common soil

constituent and nutrient given its ubiquitous production by plants. Possibly, the sulfo-ED

pathway in *Rl*-SRDI565 might provide it with the capacity to survive on plant derived SQ or

SQDG in the rhizosphere and in the soil.

Here we investigated whether the sulfo-ED pathway is active in *Rl*-SRDI565 and its

potential role in utilizing plant-derived SQ or SQDG in the rhizosphere and in the soil. We

show that *Rl*-SRDI565 can grow on SQ and sulfoquinovosyl glycerol (SQGro) as sole carbon

source. Growth on SQ leads to excretion of SL into the growth media indicating active

sulfoglycolysis. This was supported by proteomic analyses, which showed that several genes
in the sulfo-ED operon are upregulated when bacteria are grown on SQ, while metabolomic
analyses confirm the presence of characteristic intermediates of the sulfo-ED pathway, as
well as the unexpected production of intracellular DHPS. Overall, we show that *Rl*-SRDI565
has an active pathway for SQ utilization which may support growth of this bacterium in the
environment, and in turn provides a new model organism for the study of the sulfo-ED
pathway.
126
127

Results

Analysis of the genome of *Rl*-SRDI565 revealed a sulfo-ED operon that had the same

genes, but no synteny with the *P. putida* SQ1 operon (Figure 1). Genes with high sequence

identity to the *P. putida* proteins included a putative SQase, SQ dehydrogenase, SL lactonase,

SG dehydratase, KDSG aldolase and SLA dehydrogenase, and an SL exporter (see Figures

S1-S6). The *Rl*-SRDI565 operon contains some important differences compared to that of *P.*

putida SQ1. In particular, it lacks a putative SQ mutarotase,[20] and appears to use an ABC

transporter cassette to import SQ/SQGro in place of an SQ/SQGro importer/permease. The

putative sulfo-ED pathway in *Rl*-SRDI565 is consistent with the proposed protein functions

outlined in Figure 1b, with a comparison to the classical ED pathway in Figure 1c.

Initial attempts were made to grow *Rl*-SRDI565 in completely defined medium, such

as M9 minimal media containing 125 μg mL^{-1} biotin [21], to allow assessment of different

carbon sources on bacterial growth. However, optimal growth could only be achieved using a

yeast extract-based medium [15]. In particular robust growth was achieved using a 5% dilution

of 1 g L^{-1} yeast extract (Y$_{5\%}$ media) containing 5 mmol mannitol (Y$_{5\%}$M), while no

detectable bacterial growth was observed on Y$_{5\%}$ media alone. Significantly, *Rl*-SRDI565

also grew robustly on Y$_{5\%}$ media containing 5 mM SQ (Y$_{5\%}$SQ) and reached the same final

OD$_{600}$ value as in Y$_{5\%}$M (Figure 2a). *Rl*-SRDI565 also grew on Y$_{5\%}$ media containing

glucose, although to a lower final OD$_{600}$ than in Y$_{5\%}$M or Y$_{5\%}$SQ. ^{13}C NMR spectroscopic

analysis of the culture media of stationary phase *Rl*-SRDI565 grown in Y$_{5\%}$SQ revealed the

presence of three major signals corresponding to SL (Figure 2b). A fourth signal was also

observed but not assigned and was also present in stationary phase media of cells grown on

Y$_{5\%}$M, suggesting it is derived from other carbon sources in the yeast extract. *Rl*-SRDI565

also grew on Y$_{5\%}$ containing SQGro, but less robustly than on SQ.

We next examined changes in the proteome of *Rl*-SRDI565 cultivated on mannitol

versus SQ. Label-free based quantitative proteomic analysis of five experimental replicates

of *Rl*-SRDI565 cultivated on each carbon source, identified 2954 proteins, with 1943 proteins

quantified in at least 3 experimental replicates under each growth condition (Supplementary

Table 1). Expression levels of 30 proteins potentially associated with SQ metabolism were

significantly elevated (-log$_{10}$(p)>2 and a fold change greater than 2 log$_2$ units) in bacteria

cultivated in Y$_{5\%}$SQ (Figures 3a and b). In particular, a suspected KDSG aldolase (annotated

as alpha-dehydro-beta-deoxy-D-glucarate aldolase, WP_017967308.1), a member of the

proposed sulfo-ED pathway, was significantly increased (-log$_{10}$(p)= 4.74429 and a fold

change of 2.38 $\log_2$). Consistent with the involvement of this pathway we also observed a

significant yet less dramatic increase in the proposed SQase (annotated alpha-glucosidase,

WP_017967311.1) (-$\log_{10}$(p)= 1.43643 and a fold change of 1.02 $\log_2$). Additional members

of the predicted pathway expressed at higher levels in SQ-fed bacteria included the suspected

SQ dehydrogenase (annotated as SDR family oxidoreductase, WP_017967310.1) identified

by MS/MS events in 4 out of 6 SQ experiments compared to 1 mannitol experiment and the

suspected SG dehydratase (annotated as dihydroxy-acid dehydratase, WP_017967307.1)

identified by MS/MS events in 3 out of 6 SQ experiments compared to 0 mannitol

experiments (Figure S7). However, owing to their low abundance they could not be

accurately quantified (Figure S8).

Other proteins that were significantly increased in SQ-fed bacteria included a

NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase (WP_017965793.1), NADH-quinone oxidoreductase

subunit NuoH (WP_017963854.1), a NAD-dependent succinate-semialdehyde

dehydrogenase (WP_017967313.1) and a citrate synthase/methylcitrate synthase

(WP_017964386.1) supporting an alteration with the TCA cycle and oxidative

phosphorylation under conditions of growth on SQ (Figure 3b).

To demonstrate activity for a representative sulfo-ED enzyme from *Rl*-SRDI565, we

cloned and expressed the gene encoding the putative SQase. To support future structural

studies, we expressed the N-terminal hexahistidine tagged K375A/K376A variant, termed

*Rl*SQase*, a mutant enzyme whose design was guided by the Surface Entropy Reduction

prediction (SERp) server (Figure S9).[22] Size exclusion chromatography-multiple angle light

scattering (SEC-MALS) analysis of *Rl*SQase* revealed that the protein exists as a dimer in

solution (Figure S10). Enzyme kinetics were performed using the chromogenic SQase

substrate 4-nitrophenyl α-sulfoquinovoside (PNPSQ). *Rl*SQase* exhibited a bell-shaped pH

profile with optimum at pH 7-8 and consistent with titration of catalytically important

residues of pKa1 = 6.5 ± 0.4 and pKa2 = 8.6 ± 0.3. The enzyme displayed saturation kinetics

with Michaelis-Menten parameters k_{cat} = 1.08 ± 0.17 s^{-1}, K_M = 0.68 ± 0.25 mM, and k_{cat}/K_M =

1.59 ± 0.83 s^{-1} mM^{-1} (Figure 4a and 4b).

Direct evidence for enzymatic activity associated with the sulfo-ED operon in *Rl*-

SRDI565 was obtained by measuring SQase enzyme activity in cell lysates. The chromogenic

substrate 4-nitrophenyl α-sulfoquinovoside (PNPSQ), which was designed as an analogue of

the natural substrate SQGro, results in release of the chromophore 4-nitrophenolate, which

can be detected using UV-visible spectrophotometry at 400 nm.[23, 24] *Rl*-SRDI565 was grown

to mid-logarithmic phase in $Y_{5\%}M$ and $Y_{5\%}SQ$ media, and the harvested cells used to prepare

a cell-free lysate containing soluble proteins. Incubation of $Y_{5\%}M$ and $Y_{5\%}SQ$-derived lysates

with PNPSQ both resulted in production of 4-nitrophenolate at similar rates. The activity in

the YSQ-derived lysate was inhibited by the addition of IFG-SQ, an azasugar inhibitor of

SQases that makes key interactions in the active site that mimic those required for substrate

recognition (Figure 4c).[24] The similar levels of activity of SQase in both mannitol and SQ

grown Rl-SRDI565 is consistent with the abundance of the putative SQase WP_017967311.1

detected by proteomic analysis.

202   To further confirm that a sulfo-ED pathway was operative in cells, a targeted

metabolomics approach was used to detect expected intermediates in bacteria grown on

$Y_{5\%}SQ$ media. Detected intermediates were identified based on their LC-MS/MS retention

time and mass spectra with authentic reference standards of the sulfo-EMP and sulfo-ED

pathway that were synthesized in-house. Sulfogluconate (SG) was synthesized by oxidation

of SQ with iodine[25] (Figures S11 and S12), while SQ, SF, SFP, DHPS, SLA and SL were

prepared as previously reported.[26] Rl-SRDI565 was grown to mid-log phase in $Y_{5\%}M$ or

$Y_{5\%}SQ$, metabolically quenched and extracted polar metabolites analyzed by LC/MS-MS.

SQ-grown bacteria contained SQ, SF, SG, SL and DHPS, while SFP and SLA could not be

detected (Figures 5a-e, Figure S14). The detection of SG is characteristic for a sulfo-ED

pathway, and presumably arises from the action of the putative SQ dehydrogenase and SGL

lactonase. The identification of DHPS and SF was unexpected, as these

intermediates/products of the sulfo-EMP pathway.[11] BLAST analysis of the genome of Rl-

SRDI565 did not identify putative genes for the sulfo-EMP pathway. SF may therefore be

formed by the action of phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI), while DHPS could be the product

of a promiscuous aldehyde reductase. Rl-SRDI565 was unable to utilize DHPS or SL as sole

carbon source in $Y_{5\%}$ medium, supporting the absence of an alternative pathway of

sulfoglycolysis that utilizes these intermediates. Unexpectedly, cytosolic levels of DHPS

were 20-fold higher than SL, suggesting that cells may lack a membrane transporter to export

accumulated DHPS, in contrast to the SL transporter.

222   NMR and LC-MS/MS analysis of the culture supernatant of both unlabeled and

($^{13}C_6$)-labelled SQ-cultivated Rl-SRDI565 confirmed that the substrate is almost completely

consumed by the time bacteria reach stationary growth (final concentration of 0.006±0.001

mM compared to 5.0±0.5 mM SQ in starting medium) (Figure S15). Using a highly sensitive

cryoprobe ^{13}C NMR spectroscopic analysis revealed that both DHPS and SG were present in

culture supernatant of $^{13}C_6$-SQ-cultivated Rl-SRDI565. Quantitative LC-MS/MS analysis

showed that consumption of SQ was associated with production of SL (5.70±0.12 mM), and
low levels of DHPS (0.081±0.010 mM), (SG 0.172±0.006 mM) and SF (0.002±0.0001 mM)
(Table S2). This experiment was repeated to assess the effect of growth of *Rl*-SRDI565 but
using SQGro as carbon source. As noted previously, *Rl*-SRDI565 grows inconsistently on
SQGro and complete consumption of SQGro could not be achieved. However, the results of
partial consumption broadly agreed with the results for growth on SQ, namely that SL is the
major terminal metabolite detected in the culture media, with much lower amounts of SF, SG
and DHPS (Table S2).
236
237

Discussion

We demonstrate here that *Rl*-SRDI565 has a functional sulfo-ED pathway that allows these bacteria to utilize SQ as their major carbon source. Catabolism of SQ is primarily or exclusively mediated by a sulfo-ED pathway with production of SL as the major end-product, similar to the situation in *P. putida* SQ1, the only other experimentally described exemplar of this pathway.[12] In contrast to *P. putida* SQ1, *Rl*-SRDI565 also produces trace amounts of DHPS which could reflect the presence of enzymes which exhibit promiscuous activities similar to those in the conventional sulfo-EMP pathway. This observation is reminiscent of *Klebsiella* sp. strain ABR11 isolated from soil[27] that is also able to grow on SQ with production of both SL and DHPS. *Klebsiella* sp. strain ABR11 possesses an NAD^+-specific sulfoquinovose-dehydrogenase activity,[28] suggesting it has an operative sulfo-ED pathway.

Various bacteria that can metabolize SQ have been isolated from soil including *Agrobacterium* sp.,[28] *Klebsiella* sp.,[28] and *Flavobacterium* sp.,[29] as well as *P. putida* SQ1,[12] which was isolated from a freshwater littoral sediment. These bacteria may work cooperatively with species such as *Paracoccus pantotrophus* NKNCYSA that can convert SL to mineral sulfur, leading to stoichiometric recovery of sulfite/sulfate.[13] Together these bacterial communities achieve the complete mineralization of SQ to sulfate, which is available for use by plants.

Proteomic and biochemical evidence suggests that the sulfo-ED pathway is constitutively expressed in *Rl*-SRDI565, and is subject to only limited up-regulation in the presence of SQ. As *Rl*-SRDI565 in the soil is likely to be oligotrophic, constitutive expression of the sulfo-ED pathway may allow simultaneous usage of multiple non-glycolytic substrates without requirement for significant transcriptional changes. Consistent with this view, the proteomic abundance of the putative LacI-type regulator WP_017967302.1 was unchanged between mannitol and SQ grown *Rl*-SRDI565. The sulfo-ED operon in *Rl*-SRDI565 differs from that described for *P. putida* SQ1 through the absence of a putative SQ mutarotase. SQ undergoes mutarotation with a half-life of approximately 6 h, which is much slower than for the glycolytic intermediate Glc-6-P, which has a half-life of just seconds.[20] Aldose mutarotases are often relatively non-specific and possibly a constitutive mutarotase not in the sulfo-ED operon expressed by the cell provides this catalytic capacity. Alternatively, the SQ dehydrogenase may not be stereospecific, with the ability to act on both anomers of SQ, or even that it acts on α-SQ (the product released from SQGro by an SQase) at a high rate such that mutarotation to β-SQ is of insignificant

importance. A second difference in the sulfo-ED operon lies in the presence of an ABC

transporter cassette. ABC transporter cassettes are the most common solute transporters, and

can translocate their substrates in either a forward or reverse direction.[30] While we propose

that the ABC transporter cassette operates in the forward direction, based on the presence of a

signal sequence in the putative solute binding domain targeting it to the periplasm, and

consistent with a wide range of sugar import systems, the directionality of transport and thus

the choice of substrate (SQ/SQGro versus SL) may depend on the relative abundance of these

metabolites intra and extracellularly.

Sulfoglycolysis in *Rl*-SRDI565 leads to production of pyruvate and the excretion of

the C3-organosulfonate SL (Figure 6). In order to satisfy the demands of the pentose

phosphate pathway and cell wall biogenesis, sulfoglycolytic cells must synthesize glucose-

based metabolites such as glucose-6-phosphate and glucose-1-phosphate. Gluconeogenesis

has been studied in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* strain MNF3841, and operates through a

classical pathway involving fructose bisphosphate aldolase.[31] Action of phosphoglucose

isomerase on SQ might lead to production of SF, thereby explaining the observation of this

metabolite in *Rl*-SRDI565. This is not likely to be consequential, as the reversibility of this

reaction will ultimately allow complete consumption of any SF through isomerization back to

SQ. The formation of DHPS may result from a promiscuous aldehyde reductase. Analysis of

spent culture media reveals that the production of DHPS is minor in terms of total carbon

balance. However, within the cytosol, DHPS accumulates to levels much higher than SL,

presumably because of the absence of a dedicated exporter for the former. Possibly, reduction

of SLA to DHPS is reversible and enables conversion of this metabolite to SL and subsequent

excretion from the cell. The observation of SG, SF and DHPS in the spent culture media at

low levels is suggestive of low levels of leakage of these metabolites from the cell, either

through cell lysis or leaky export systems.

Given that SQ contains a significant portion of organic sulfur within plants, the

pathways of SQ catabolism leading to release of its sulfur may be important to enable

recycling of this important macronutrient. Plants can only use sulfate, which is poorly

retained by most soils. Biomineralization of organic sulfur to sulfate is important to allow

plants to access this element. As one of just two known pathways for the catabolism of SQ,

the sulfo-ED pathway is likely to be an important part of environmental breakdown of SQ

and may contribute to the persistence of symbiotic rhizobia within the pedosphere. The

present work lays the groundwork for a more detailed investigation of sulfoglycolysis in a

well-characterized bacterium with an established capability for symbiosis of a leguminous

plant host.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

SQ, $(^{13}C_6)$-SQ, SF, SFP, SLA, SL, and DHPS were synthesized as described previously.[26] IFG-SQ was prepared as described.[24]

Bacteria and culture conditions

Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. *trifolii* SRDI565 was a gift from Dr Ross Ballard, (South Australian Research and Development Institute, Adelaide, South Australia). Minimal salts media consists of 0.5 g·L^{-1} K$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.2 g·L^{-1} MgSO$_4$, 0.1 g·L^{-1} NaCl, 1 M CaCl$_2$ 3 mL·L^{-1}, adjusted to pH 7.0. YM media consists of minimal salts media plus 1 g·L^{-1} yeast extract, 10 g·L^{-1} mannitol. Y$_{5\%}$M consists of minimal salts media plus 50 mg·L^{-1} yeast extract, 5 mM mannitol. Y$_{5\%}$SQ consists of minimal salts media plus 50 mg·L^{-1} yeast extract, 5 mM SQ.

Growth curves were determined in a MicrobeMeter built in-house according to published plans[32] and blueprints available at https://humanetechnologies.co.uk/ The MicrobeMeter was calibrated by performing serial 2-fold dilutions across the detection range of the MicrobeMeter (0-1023 units), starting with an OD$_{600}$ approx. 1 culture of *Rl*-SRDI565. OD$_{600}$ measurements were made with a UV/Vis spectrophotometer and plotted against the reading of the MicrobeMeter. The data was fit to a polynomial to obtain a calibration curve.

Proteomic sample preparation: Cells were washed 3 times in PBS and collected by centrifugation at 10,000 x g at 4°C then snap frozen. Frozen whole cell samples were resuspended in 4% SDS, 100 mM Tris pH 8.0, 20 mM DTT and boiled at 95°C with shaking at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes. Samples were then clarified by centrifugation at 17,000 x g for 10 minutes, the supernatant collected, and protein concentration determined by bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Scientific Pierce). 100 μg of protein from each sample cleaned up using SP3 based purification according to previous protocols.[33] Briefly, reduced samples were cooled and then alkylated with 40 mM 2-chloroacetamide (CAA) for 1 hour at RT in the dark. The alkylation reactions were then quenched with 40mM DTT for 10 minutes and then samples precipitated on to SeraMag Speed Beads (GE Healthcare, USA) with ethanol (final concentration 50% v/v). Samples were shaken for 10 minutes to allow complete precipitation onto beads and then washed three times with 80% ethanol. The precipitated protein covered beads were then resuspended in 100mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 2μg trypsin (1/50

w/w) and allowed to digest overnight at 37 °C. Upon completion of the digests samples were

spun down at 14000 g for 5 minutes to pellet the beads and the supernatant collected and

desalted using homemade C18 stage tips,[34] then was dried down and stored till analysed by

LC-MS.

Proteomics analysis using reversed phase LC-MS: Purified peptides prepared were re-

suspend in Buffer A* (2% ACN, 0.1% TFA) and separated using a two-column

chromatography set up composed of a PepMap100 C18 20 mm x 75 μm trap and a PepMap

C18 500 mm x 75 μm analytical column (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were

concentrated onto the trap column at 5 μL/min for 5 minutes and infused an Orbitrap Elite™

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). 120 minute gradients were run altering the buffer composition

from 1% buffer B (80% ACN, 0.1% FA) to 28% B over 90 minutes, then from 28% B to

40% B over 10 minutes, then from 40% B to 100% B over 2 minutes, the composition was

held at 100% B for 3 minutes, and then dropped to 3% B over 5 minutes and held at 3% B for

another 10 minutes. The Elite Orbitrap Mass Spectrometers was operated in a data-dependent

mode automatically switching between the acquisition of a single Orbitrap MS scan (120,000

resolution) and a maximum of 20 MS-MS scans (CID NCE 35, maximum fill time 100 ms,

AGC $1*10^4$).

Mass spectrometry data analysis. Proteomic comparison of growth with and without

sulfoquinovose was accomplished using MaxQuant (v1.5.5.1).[35] Searches were performed

against *Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii* SRDI565 (NCBI Taxonomy ID: 935549,

downloaded 01-08-2019, 6404 entries) with carbamidomethylation of cysteine set as a fixed

modification. Searches were performed with Trypsin cleavage allowing 2 miscleavage events

and the variable modifications of oxidation of methionine and acetylation of protein N-

termini. The precursor mass tolerance was set to 20 parts-per-million (ppm) for the first

search and 10 ppm for the main search, with a maximum false discovery rate (FDR) of 1.0%

set for protein and peptide identifications. To enhance the identification of peptides between

samples the Match Between Runs option was enabled with a precursor match window set to 2

minutes and an alignment window of 10 minutes. For label-free quantitation, the MaxLFQ

option within MaxQuant[36] was enabled in addition to the re-quantification module. The

resulting peptide outputs were processed within the Perseus (v1.4.0.6)[37] analysis environment

to remove reverse matches and common protein contaminates with missing values imputed.

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD015822.

Chemical synthesis of 6-deoxy-6-sulfo-D-gluconate (SG)

NaOH in methanol (4% w/v, 4 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of sulfoquinovose (100 mg, 0.410 mmol) and iodine (209 mg, 1.65 mmol) in water (1 mL) and methanol (4 mL) held at 40 °C. As the sodium hydroxide was added the color of iodine dissipated. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude residue was subjected to flash chromatography (EtOAc/MeOH/H_2O, 4:2:1 to 2:2:1, then water) to give the 6-deoxy-6-sulfogluconate sodium salt (89.2 mg). An aqueous solution of the sodium salt was eluted through a column of Amberlite IR120 (H^+ form) resin. The acidic eluate was collected and concentrated under reduced pressure give SG (71.3 mg, 67%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, D_2O): δ 4.23–4.15 (1 H, m, H2), 4.13 (1 H, d, J = 3.3 Hz, H3), 4.05 (1 H, t, J = 3.2 Hz, H5), 3.74 (1 H, dd, J = 6.5, 3.4 Hz, H4), 3.35 (1 H, d, J = 14.6 Hz, H6a), 3.05 (1 H, dd, J = 14.6, 9.7 Hz, H6b); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, D_2O) δ 178.7 (C1), 74.2 (C4), 73.8 (C2), 70.8 (C3), 67.8 (C5), 53.4 (C6); HRMS (ESI$^-$) calcd for $C_6H_{11}O_9S$ [M$^-$] 259.0129, found 259.0131.

Metabolite analysis of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* cell extracts

Metabolic quenching and extraction. *Rl*-SRDI565 was grown on $Y_{5\%}$SQ or $Y_{5\%}$ containing 35 mM glucose to mid-logarithmic phase (approx. 0.15), as calculated based on the OD_{600} measured by Cary 50 UV/visible spectrophotometer, and were rapidly quenched in a prechilled 15 mL Falcon tube containing phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at 4 °C. Ice-cold PBS (11 mL) was infused into cell culture media (4 mL). The Falcon tubes were mixed by inversion and incubated in ice/water slurry for 5 min then were centrifuged at 2000 × g at 1 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was removed by aspiration and cell pellets were washed twice with 1 mL of ice-cold PBS (with resuspension each time) and transferred into 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 14000 rpm and residual solvent was carefully removed. Cell pellets were stored at -80°C until extraction. Cells were extracted in 200 μL of extraction solution (methanol/water, 3:1 v/v) containing an internal standard, 5 μM $^{13}C_4$-aspartate (Cambridge Isotopes), and subjected to 10 freeze-thaw cycles to facilitate cell lysis (30 s in liquid nitrogen, followed by 30 s in dry ice/ethanol bath). Debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 14000 rpm, 5 min, 1°C and cell lysate was transferred into a HPLC vial insert for LC/MS analysis.

LC/MS analysis and identification of sulfonate metabolites. Separation and detection of
polar metabolites was performed using an Agilent Technologies 1200 series high
performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled to a quadrupole time-of-flight mass
spectrometer (6545 QTOF, Agilent Technologies) using a method modified from
Masukagami et al.[38] Metabolite extracts were transferred into 2 mL auto sampler vials with
glass inserts and placed in the auto sampler kept at 4 °C prior to analysis. Metabolite
separation was performed by injecting 7 µL of the extract into a SeQuant® ZIC-pHILIC
PEEK coated column (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm polymer, Merck Millipore) maintained at
25°C, with a gradient of solvent A (20 mM ammonium carbonate, pH 9.0, Sigma-Aldrich)
and solvent B (100% acetonitrile, Hypergrade for LCMS LiChrosolv, Merck) at a flow rate
of 0.3 mL/min. A 33.0 min gradient was setup with time (t) = 0 min, 80% B; t = 0.5 min,
80% B; t = 15.5 min, 50% B; t = 17.5 min, 30% B; t = 18.5 min, 5% B; t = 21.0 min, 5% B; t
= 23.0 min, 80% B.

The LC flow was directed into an electrospray ionization (ESI) source with a capillary
voltage of 2500 V operating in negative ionization mode. Drying nitrogen gas flow was set to
10 L/min, sheath gas temperature and nebulizer pressure were set to 300 °C and 20 psi,
respectively. The voltages of fragmentor and skimmer were set at 125 V and 45 V,
respectively. Data was acquired in MS and MS/MS mode, with a scan range of 60 to 1700
m/z and 100 to 1700 *m/z* respectively, at a rate of 1.5 spectra/sec. MS/MS acquisition was
performed with four collision energies (0, 10, 20 and 40 V). The mass spectrometer was
calibrated in negative mode prior to data acquisition and mass accuracy during runs was
ensured by a continuous infusion of reference mass solution at a flow rate of 0.06 mL/min
(API-TOF Reference Mass Solution Kit, Agilent Technologies). Data quality was ensured by
multiple injections of standards (with 1.5 µM concentration each) and pooled biological
sample (a composite of cell extracts) used to monitor the instrument performance. Samples
were randomized prior to metabolite extraction and LC/MS analysis.

Standard preparation. Standards of selected metabolites (Supplementary Table 1) were
prepared at 10 µM in 80% acetonitrile (Hypergrade for LCMS LiChrosolv, Merck) and
injected separately into a column connected to mass spectrometer interface. Retention time
and detected molecular ion were used to create a targeted MS/MS acquisition method. The
spectra, mass to charge (*m/z*) and retention time (RT) were imported into a personal
compound database and library (PCDL Manager, version B.07.00, Agilent Technologies)
used in data processing workflow.

Data analysis. Data were analysed using MassHunter Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis software (version B.07.00, Agilent Technologies). Identification of metabolites was performed in accordance with metabolite identification (Metabolomics Standard Initiative, MSI) level 1 based on the retention time and molecular masses matching to authentic standards included in the personal database and library. Peak integration was performed in MassHunter quantitative software (version B.07.00, Agilent Technologies) on the spectra from identified metabolites.

Cloning, expression and kinetic analysis of *Rl*-SRDI565 sulfoquinovosidase (*Rl*SQase*)

The gene sequence coding for *Rl*SQase* SERp mutant was synthesised with codon optimisation for expression in *E. coli* and was cloned within a pET-28a(+) vector with C-terminal His-tag through GenScript. The plasmid His$_6$-*Rl*SQase*-pET-28a(+) containing the gene for target *Rl*SQase* was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells for protein expression. Pre-cultures were grown in LB-medium (5 mL) containing 30 µg/mL for 18 h at 37 °C, 200 rpm. Cultures (1 L LB-medium supplemented with kanamycin 30 µg/mL) were inoculated with the pre-culture (5 mL) and incubated at 37 °C, 200 rpm until an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6-0.8 was achieved. Protein expression was induced by addition of IPTG (1 mM) and shaking was continued overnight (20-22 h) at 18 °C, 200 rpm. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000 rpm, 4 °C, 20 min), resuspended in 50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl pH 7.5 buffer and were subjected to further cell lysis. Cells were disrupted using French press under 20 k Psi pressure and the lysate was centrifuged at 50,000 g for 30 min.

The N-terminal His$_6$-tagged protein was purified by immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography, followed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (Figure S10a). The lysate was loaded onto a pre-equilibrated Ni-NTA column, followed by washing with load buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole pH 7.5). The bound protein was eluted using a linear gradient with buffer containing 500 mM imidazole. Protein containing fractions were pooled, concentrated and loaded onto a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 gel filtration column pre-equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl pH 7.5 buffer. The protein was concentrated to a final concentration of 60 mg mL^{-1} using a Vivaspin® 6 with a 300 kDa MW cut-off membrane for characterization and enzyme assays.

SEC-MALS analysis

Experiments were conducted on a system comprising a Wyatt HELEOS-II multi-angle light scattering detector and a Wyatt rEX refractive index detector linked to a Shimadzu HPLC

system (SPD-20A UV detector, LC20-AD isocratic pump system, DGU-20A3 degasser and

SIL-20A autosampler). Experiments were conducted at room temperature (20 ± 2°C).

Solvents were filtered through a 0.2 µm filter prior to use and a 0.1 µm filter was present in

the flow path. The column was equilibrated with at least 2 column volumes of buffer (50 mM

Tris, 300 mM NaCl pH 7.5) before use and buffer was infused at the working flow rate until

baselines for UV, light scattering and refractive index detectors were all stable. The sample

injection volume was 100 µL *Rl*SQase* at 6 mg/mL in 50 mM Tris buffer, 300 mM NaCl pH

7.5. Shimadzu LC Solutions software was used to control the HPLC and Astra V software for

the HELEOS-II and rEX detectors (Figure S10b). The Astra data collection was 1 min shorter

than the LC solutions run to maintain synchronisation. Blank buffer injections were used as

appropriate to check for carry-over between sample runs. Data were analysed using the Astra

V software. Molar masses were estimated using the Zimm fit method with degree 1. A value

of 0.158 was used for protein refractive index increment (dn/dc).

489   Enzyme kinetics of *Rl*SQase

Michaelis Menten plot. Kinetic analysis of *Rl*SQase* was performed using PNPSQ as

substrate, using a UV/visible spectrophotometer to measure the release of the 4-

nitrophenolate (λ = 348 nm). Assays were carried out in 50 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM

NaCl, pH 7.2 at 30 °C using 212 nM *Rl*SQase* at substrate concentrations ranging from 0.05

µM to 4 mM. Using the extinction coefficient for 4-nitrophenolate of 5.125 mM^{-1} cm^{-1},

kinetic parameters were calculated using Prism.

pH profile. For the determination of pH profile, specific activities of *Rl*SQase* were

monitored by measuring absorbance changes at λ = 348 nm in the presence of the following

buffers: sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.6, sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0–8.5), and glycine

NaOH buffer (pH 8.8–9.2). The assays were performed at 30 °C in duplicates and specific

activities determined using extinction coefficient of PNP at isobestic point (348 nM) as 5.125

mM^{-1} cm^{-1} (Supplementary Figure Sx). One unit of SQase activity is defined as the amount

of protein that releases 1 µmol PNP per minute.

504   Detection of SQase activity in cell lysates

Rl-SRDI565 was grown in 50 mL Y$_{5\%}$M and Y$_{5\%}$SQ media at 30 □ to mid log phase,

approximately OD$_{600}$ = 0.2, measured using a Varian Cary50 UV/visible spectrophotometer.

Cells were harvested by adding 3× volume of ice-cold PBS to metabolically quench the

samples then centrifuged at 2000 *g*, 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the

cells were washed 3 times with ice-cold PBS, with each wash involving resuspension and
centrifugation at 2000 g, 4 ℃ for 10 min. The cells were collected once more by
centrifugation at 10,000 g, 4 ℃, for 1 min then snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -
80 ℃.

Cells were lysed by addition of 1000 μL pre-chilled PBS, 1 μL RNaseA, 1 μL DNase,
1 μL 100 mg·mL^{-1} hen egg white lysozyme (Sigma), and a 1× final concentration of
cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) to the cell pellet. The cells were
gently resuspended and mixed at 4 ℃ for 10 min. The suspension was placed on ice and
irradiated with a Sonoplus HD3200 MS 73 sonicator probe (Bandelin) at a frequency of 20
kHz, 20% amplitude, pulse 2s on 8s off, repeated for a total time of the sonication to 150 s,
then incubated on ice for 5 min. The suspension was clarified by centrifuging at 14,000 g, 4
☐ for 1 min and the supernatant was filtered through a Nanosep mini centrifugal spin column
with a 0.2 μm filter (Pall) into a 1.5mL Eppendorf tubes and stored at 4 ℃. Protein
concentration was determined using a BCA assay.

SQase activity was measured in triplicate using PNPSQ and an Agilent Cary UV
Workstation (G5191-64000) at 30☐. Reactions contained buffer consisted of 50 mM NaPi
and 150 mM NaCl, pH=7.4, and 2.5 mM PNPSQ. Reactions were initiated by addition of
SQ- or mannitol-derived lysate to a final concentration of 43.7 μg·mL^{-1} protein, and
absorbance was monitored at 400 nm for 3 h. After 3 h, IFGSQ was added to a final
concentration of 6.25 mM to the SQ-lysate sample, and absorption monitored for 3 h.

Quantitation of metabolite levels in spent culture media
The metabolites (DHPS, SF, SQ, SL and SG) present in spent culture media were quantified
against standard solutions of pure metabolites by HPLC-ESI-MS/MS. Quantification was
done with the aid of calibration curves generated by dissolving the pure standards in spent
media from Rl-SRDI565 grown on Y$_{5\%}$M. Spiked spent media was diluted 100-fold with
water and then analysed by LC-MS/MS with α-MeSQ as internal standard. For experimental
determination of metabolites, spent culture media from Rl-SRDI565 grown in Y$_{5\%}$SQ or
Y$_{5\%}$SQGro were diluted 100-fold with water and analysed by LC-MS/MS with α-MeSQ as
internal standard.

HPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis was performed using a TSQ Altis triple quadrupole mass
spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled with a Vanquish Horizon UHPLC system
(Thermo Fisher Scientific). The column was a ZIC-HILIC column (5 μm, 50 × 2.1 mm;

Merck). The HPLC conditions were: from 90% B to 40% B over 15 min; then 40% B for 5

min; back to 90% B over 1 min (solvent A: 20 mM NH_4OAc in 1% acetonitrile; solvent B:

acetonitrile); flow rate, 0.30 ml min^{-1}; injection volume, 1 μl. The mass spectrometer was

operated in negative ionization mode. Quantitation was done using the MS/MS selected

reaction monitoring (SRM) mode using Thermo Scientific XCalibur software and normalized

with respect to the internal standard, α-MeSQ. Prior to analysis, for each analyte, the

sensitivity for each SRM-MS/MS transition was optimized.

DHPS: ESI–MS/MS *m/z* of [M-H]⁻ 155, product ions 137, 95; retention time: 4.91 min

α-MeSQ (internal standard): ESI–MS/MS *m/z* of [M-H]⁻ 257, product ions 166, 81;

retention time: 6.31 min

SF: ESI–MS/MS *m/z* of [M-H]⁻ 243, product ions 207, 153; retention time: 6.81 min

SQ: ESI–MS/MS *m/z* of [M-H]⁻ 243, product ions 183, 123; retention time: 7.58 and 7.89

min for α / β

SL: ESI–MS/MS *m/z* of [M-H]⁻ 169, product ions 107, 71; retention time: 9.26 min

SG: ESI–MS/MS *m/z* of [M-H]⁻ 259, product ions 241, 161; retention time: 9.66 min

SQGro: ESI–MS/MS *m/z* of [M-H]⁻ 317, product ions 225, 165; retention time: 7.15 min

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Australian Research Council (DP180101957),

the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (APP1100164,

GNT1139549) and the Leverhulme Trust; support from The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of

Medical Research, the Australian Cancer Research Fund, and a Victorian State Government

Operational Infrastructure support grant. MJM is an NHMRC Principal Research Fellow,

G.J.D. is a Royal Society Ken Murray Research Fellow. JL is supported by a PhD scholarship

from the Chinese Scholarship Council. We thank Humane Technologies for support with the

MicrobeMeter, the Melbourne Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Facility of the Bio21

Institute at the University of Melbourne, Palika Abayakoon and Janice Mui for reagents, and

Dr Shuai Nie, Yunyang Zhang and Alex Chen (Thermo Fisher) for technical support. Thermo

Fisher Scientific Australia are acknowledged for access to the TSQ Altis triple quadrupole

mass spectrometer.

References

1.   Hu ZY, Zhao, F.J. & McGrath, S.P. Sulphur fractionation in calcareous soils and bioavailability to plants. *Plant Soil* **268**, 103-109 (2005).

2.   Wilhelm Scherer H. Sulfur in soils. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **172**, 326-335 (2009).

3.   Scherer HW. Sulphur in crop production — invited paper. *Eur. J. Agronomy* **14**, 81-111 (2001).

4.   Tabatabai MA. Importance of Sulphur in Crop Production. *Biogeochemistry* **1**, 45-62 (1984).

5.   Kertesz MA, Mirleau P. The role of soil microbes in plant sulphur nutrition. *J. Exp. Bot.* **55**, 1939-1945 (2004).

6.   Harwood JL, Nicholls RG. The plant sulpholipid - a major component of the sulphur cycle. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **7**, 440-447 (1979).

7.   Goss R, Nerlich J, Lepetit B, Schaller S, Vieler A, Wilhelm C. The lipid dependence of diadinoxanthin de-epoxidation presents new evidence for a macrodomain organization of the diatom thylakoid membrane. *J. Plant Physiol.* **166**, 1839-1854 (2009).

8.   Strickland TC, Fitzgerald JW. Mineralization of sulphur in sulphoquinovose by forest soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **15**, 347-349 (1983).

9.   Kertesz MA. Riding the sulfur cycle - metabolism of sulfonates and sulfate esters in Gram-negative bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **24**, 135-175 (2000).

10.   Goddard-Borger ED, Williams SJ. Sulfoquinovose in the biosphere: occurrence, metabolism and functions. *Biochem. J.* **474**, 827–849 (2017).

11.   Denger K, Weiss M, Felux AK, Schneider A, Mayer C, Spiteller D, Huhn T, Cook AM, Schleheck D. Sulphoglycolysis in *Escherichia coli* K-12 closes a gap in the biogeochemical sulphur cycle. *Nature* **507**, 114-117 (2014).

12.   Felux AK, Spiteller D, Klebensberger J, Schleheck D. Entner-Doudoroff pathway for sulfoquinovose degradation in *Pseudomonas putida* SQ1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E4298-4305 (2015).

13.   Denger K, Huhn T, Hollemeyer K, Schleheck D, Cook AM. Sulfoquinovose degraded by pure cultures of bacteria with release of C_3-organosulfonates: complete degradation in two-member communities. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **328**, 39-45 (2012).

14.   Drew EA, Ballard RA. Improving N2 fixation from the plant down: Compatibility of *Trifolium subterraneum* L. cultivars with soil rhizobia can influence symbiotic performance. *Plant Soil* **327**, 261-277 (2010).

15.   Melino VJ, Drew EA, Ballard RA, Reeve WG, Thomson G, White RG, O'Hara GW. Identifying abnormalities in symbiotic development between *Trifolium* spp. and *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. trifolii leading to sub-optimal and ineffective nodule phenotypes. *Ann. Bot.* **110**, 1559-1572 (2012).

16.   Reeve W, Drew E, Ballard R, Melino V, Tian R, De Meyer S, Brau L, Ninawi M, Teshima H, Goodwin L, Chain P, Liolios K, Pati A, Mavromatis K, Ivanova N, Markowitz V, Woyke T, Kyrpides N. Genome sequence of the clover-nodulating Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii strain SRDI565. *Stand. Genomic Sci.* **9**, 220-231 (2013).

619   17.   Udvardi M, Poole PS. Transport and metabolism in legume-rhizobia symbioses. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **64**, 781-805 (2013).

621   18.   Poole P, Ramachandran V, Terpolilli J. Rhizobia: from saprophytes to endosymbionts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **16**, 291-303 (2018).

623   19.   Stowers MD. Carbon metabolism in Rhizobium species. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **39**,
624   89-108 (1985).

625   20.   Abayakoon P, Lingford JP, Jin Y, Bengt C, Davies GJ, Yao S, Goddard-Borger ED,
626   Williams SJ. Discovery and characterization of a sulfoquinovose mutarotase using
627   kinetic analysis at equilibrium by exchange spectroscopy. *Biochem. J.* **475**, 1371-
628   1383 (2018).

629   21.   Bergersen FJ. The growth of rhizobium in synthetic media. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* **14**, 349-
630   360 (1961).

631   22.   Goldschmidt L, Cooper DR, Derewenda ZS, Eisenberg D. Toward rational protein
632   crystallization: A Web server for the design of crystallizable protein variants. *Protein*
633   *Sci.* **16**, 1569-1576 (2007).

634   23.   Speciale G, Jin Y, Davies GJ, Williams SJ, Goddard-Borger ED. YihQ is a
635   sulfoquinovosidase that cleaves sulfoquinovosyl diacylglyceride sulfolipids. *Nat.*
636   *Chem. Biol.* **12**, 215-217 (2016).

637   24.   Abayakoon P, Jin Y, Lingford JP, Petricevic M, John A, Ryan E, Wai-Ying Mui J,
638   Pires DEV, Ascher DB, Davies GJ, Goddard-Borger ED, Williams SJ. Structural and
639   Biochemical Insights into the Function and Evolution of Sulfoquinovosidases. *ACS*
640   *Cent. Sci.* **4**, 1266-1273 (2018).

641   25.   Roy AB, Hewlins MJE. Sulfoquinovose and its aldonic acid: their preparation and
642   oxidation to 2-sulfoacetaldehyde by periodate. *Carbohydr. Res.* **302**, 113-117 (1997).

643   26.   Abayakoon P, Epa R, Petricevic M, Bengt C, Mui JWY, van der Peet PL, Zhang Y,
644   Lingford JP, White JM, Goddard-Borger ED, Williams SJ. Comprehensive synthesis
645   of substrates, intermediates and products of the sulfoglycolytic Embden-Meyerhoff-
646   Parnas pathway. *J. Org. Chem.* **84**, 2910-2910 (2019).

647   27.   Roy AB, Ellis AJ, White GF, Harwood JL. Microbial degradation of the plant
648   sulpholipid. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **28**, 781-783 (2000).

649   28.   Roy AB, Hewlins MJ, Ellis AJ, Harwood JL, White GF. Glycolytic breakdown of
650   sulfoquinovose in bacteria: a missing link in the sulfur cycle. *Appl. Environ.*
651   *Microbiol.* **69**, 6434-6441 (2003).

652   29.   Martelli HL, Benson AA. Sulfocarbohydrate metabolism. I. Bacterial production and
653   utilization of sulfoacetate. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **93**, 169-171 (1964).

654   30.   Davidson AL, Dassa E, Orelle C, Chen J. Structure, Function, and Evolution of
655   Bacterial ATP-Binding Cassette Systems. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **72**, 317-364
656   (2008).

657   31.   McKay IA, Glenn AR, Dilworth MJ. Gluconeogenesis in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*
658   MNF3841. *Microbiology* **131**, 2067-2073 (1985).

659   32.   Sasidharan K, Martinez-Vernon AS, Chen J, Fu T, Soyer OS. A low-cost DIY device
660   for high resolution, continuous measurement of microbial growth dynamics. *bioRxiv*,
661   407742 (2018).

662   33.   Hughes CS, Moggridge S, Müller T, Sorensen PH, Morin GB, Krijgsveld J. Single-
663   pot, solid-phase-enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. *Nat.*
664   *Protoc.* **14**, 68-85 (2019).

665   34.   Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-
666   fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. *Nat. Protoc.* **2**,
667   1896-1906 (2007).

668   35.   Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized
669   p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat.*
670   *Biotechnol.* **26**, 1367-1372 (2008).

671   36.   Cox J, Hein MY, Luber CA, Paron I, Nagaraj N, Mann M. Accurate Proteome-wide
672   Label-free Quantification by Delayed Normalization and Maximal Peptide Ratio
673   Extraction, Termed MaxLFQ. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **13**, 2513 (2014).
674   37.   Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, Mann M, Cox J. The
675   Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. *Nat.*
676   *Methods* **13**, 731 (2016).
677   38.   Masukagami Y, Nijagal B, Mahdizadeh S, Tseng CW, Dayalan S, Tivendale KA,
678   Markham PF, Browning GF, Sansom FM. A combined metabolomic and
679   bioinformatic approach to investigate the function of transport proteins of the
680   important pathogen Mycoplasma bovis. *Vet. Microbiol.* **234**, 8-16 (2019).
681
682

Figure 1: Proposed sulfoglycolytic Entner-Doudoroff (sulfo-ED) pathway in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. t*rifolii* SRDI565. (a) Operon encoding sulfo-ED pathway. (b) Proposed sulfo-ED pathway. (c) Comparison with the Entner-Doudoroff pathway.

Figure 2: Growth of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. t*rifolii* SRDI565 on SQ produces SL as the major terminal metabolite. a) Growth of *Rl*-SRDI565 on 5% yeast extract media containing 5 mM SQ (●) or 5 mM mannitol (○). This data is representative of 2 independent experiments. b) ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz) spectra of (top) SQ, (middle) 5 mM SQ in 5% yeast extract media and (bottom) spent culture media from growth of *Rl*-SRDI565 on 5 mM SQ. c) ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz) spectrum of spent culture media from growth of *Rl*-SRDI565 on 5 mM ([13]C$_6$)-SQ. The signal at δ 38.7 ppm is present in control experiments of *Rl*-SRDI565 grown on mannitol and is believed to derive from yeast extract. d) Tabulated ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz) data for [13]C$_3$-SL from (c). All samples contain 10% D$_2$O, added to allow frequency lock.

Figure 3: Proteomic analysis of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* SRDI565 growth in

sulfoquinovose. Quantitative proteomics was undertaken to identify proteins associated with

sulfoquinovose catabolism versus mannitol. A) Examination of proteins observed to increase

in abundance greater than four-fold revealed 30 proteins including alpha-dehydro-beta-

deoxy-D-glucarate aldolase (WP_017967308.1). B) Growth in sulfoquinovose leads to the

increase of multiple proteins associated with the TCA cycle including NAD(P)-dependent

oxidoreductase (WP_017965793.1), NADH-quinone oxidoreductase subunit NuoH

(WP_017963854.1), NAD-dependent succinate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase

(WP_017967313.1) and citrate synthase/methylcitrate synthase (WP_017964386.1)

highlighted in blue.

Figure 4: *Rhizobium leguminosarum* SRDI565 produces a functional sulfoquinovosidase

that can be detected in cell lysates. a) pH profile of *Rl*SQase*. Specific activities were

determined for hydrolysis of PNPSQ. b) Michaelis Menten plot of kinetic parameters for

*Rl*SQase* for hydrolysis of PNPSQ. c) Analysis of sulfoquinovosidase activity of *Rl*-

SRDI565 lysate grown on sulfoquinovose and mannitol. Cell lysates of soluble proteins

derived from growth on SQ or mannitol was standardized for equal protein and SQase

activity measured using the chromogenic substrate PNPSQ. SQase activity was confirmed by

inhibition by the azasugar inhibitor SGIFG. Error bars denote standard error of the mean.

Figure 5: Detection of sulfoglycolytic intermediates and end-products in cytosolic extracts of *Rl*-SRDI565. Rl-SRDI565 was grown on $Y_{5\%}SQ$ media and metabolically-quenched by rapid cooling to 4 C, followed by extraction of cellular metabolites and lc/ms analysis. Detection of sulfoglycolytic and glycolytic/neoglucogenic intermediates A) SQ, B) SG, C) SL, D) SF, E) DHPS. In each case the upper panel corresponds to the collision-induced dissociation mass spectrum of chemically-synthesized standard, while the lower panel is the equivalent mass spectrum for the metabolite identified in the cytosolic extract. F) Relative mass spectrometric intensities of metabolites from cells grown on Glc or SQ.

734   **Figure 6: Proposed pathway for SQ metabolism in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* SRDI565.**

735