sisterless A **is required for activation of** *Sex lethal* **in the** *Drosophila* **germline**

Raghav Goyal[1], Ellen Baxter[1], Mark Van Doren[1,*]

[1]Department of Biology, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD,

21218 USA

[*]Corresponding author: vandoren@jhu.edu

ABSTRACT

Both somatic cells and germ cells must establish their correct sexual identity for proper

gametogenesis. In *Drosophila,* sex determination in somatic cells is controlled by the switch

gene *Sex lethal* (*Sxl*), which is activated in females by the presence of two X chromosomes.

Though germline sex determination is much less well understood, *Sxl* is also essential for the

female identity in germ cells. Loss of *Sxl* function in the germline results in ovarian germline

tumors, a characteristic of male germ cells developing in a female soma. Further, *Sxl* expression

is sufficient for XY (male) germ cells to produce eggs when transplanted into XX (female)

somatic gonads. As in the soma, the presence of two X chromosomes activates *Sxl* in the

germline, but the mechanism for "counting" X chromosomes in the germline is thought to be

different from the soma. Here we have explored this mechanism at both *cis*- and *trans*- levels.

Our data support the model that the *Sxl* "establishment" promoter (*SxlPE*) is activated in a

female-specific manner in the germline, as in the soma, but that the timing of *SxlPE* activation,

and the DNA elements that regulate *SxlPE,* are different in the germline. Nevertheless, we find

that the X chromosome gene *sisterless A (sisA),* which helps activate *Sxl* in the soma, is also

essential for *Sxl* activation in the germline. Loss of *sisA* leads causes of Sxl expression in the

germline, and to ovarian tumors and germline loss. These defects can be rescued by Sxl

expression, demonstrating that *sisA* lies upstream of *Sxl* in germline sex determination. We

conclude that *sisA* acts as an X chromosome counting element in both the soma and the

germline, but that additional factors regulating female-specific expression of *Sxl* in the germline

remain to be discovered.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

The production of sperm and eggs requires proper sexual identity to be established in both

somatic cells and the germ cells, which ultimately produce the gametes. While somatic sex

determination has been well studied in a number of organisms, how germ cells establish their

sexual identity is much less well understood. In Drosophila, the RNA binding protein Sex lethal

(Sxl) is essential for female sexual identity in both the soma and the germline, but its regulation

in the germline is thought to be different than in the soma. Here we explore how *Sxl* is activated

in the germline. We find that the germline uses a different set of DNA elements to control

activation of the key sex-specific *Sxl* promoter. Nonetheless, one of the activators of *Sxl* in the

soma, the transcription factor Sisterless A (SisA)*,* also acts to activate *Sxl* in the germline. Our

data indicate that, while SisA acts as a common activator in both the soma and germline,

additional, germline-specific *Sxl* activators remain to be discovered.

INTRODUCTION

Sex determination influences the development of many different tissues, but is particularly

important in the germline to control the production of either sperm or eggs. While in some

species somatic sex is sufficient to determine germline sex via inductive signaling, in flies and

humans, the sex chromosome karyotype also plays a role intrinsically in the germline. Since a

failure to match germline and somatic sex leads to defects in gametogenesis, understanding how

intrinsic sex determination is regulated in the germline is important for our understanding of

gonad development, reproductive biology, and human health.

Sex determination in *Drosophila* is under the control of the switch gene *Sex lethal* (*Sxl*)

which is activated in females by the presence of two X chromosomes [1– 11]. *Sxl* is both

necessary and sufficient for the female sexual identity of somatic cells [3,6,12–19]. Expression

of *Sxl* in the soma is regulated by two different promoters [20,21]. The *Sxl* "establishment

promoter" (*SxlPE*) is sex-specific and is activated by the presence of two X chromosomes [2].

Default splicing of the *SxlPE* transcript produces a pulse of 'Early' Sxl protein starting at

embryonic nuclear cycle 12. Later, at nuclear cycle 14, dosage compensation equalizes X

chromosome expression in males and females and so X chromosomes can no longer be counted.

Thus, there is a transition to the "maintenance promoter," *SxlPM*, which is activated in both

sexes [20,21]. The transcript from *SxlPM* requires alternative splicing regulated by the early Sxl

protein (only made in females) in order to produce more 'Late' Sxl protein, creating an auto-

regulatory loop that maintains Sxl expression in females [3]. The initial, female-specific

activation of *SxlPE* in the soma is in direct response to a diploid dose of X-linked Signaling

Elements (XSEs) – genes on the X chromosome that activate *SxlPE* when present in two copies,

but not one. The somatic XSEs include the transcription factors Sisterless A (SisA), Sisterless B

(SisB) and Runt, along with the JAK/STAT ligand Unpaired (Upd) [9,22–30].

Unlike primary sex determination in the soma, which is autonomously dependent on a

cell's sex chromosome genotype, sex determination in the *Drosophila* germline is regulated by

non-autonomous signals from the soma in addition to the sex chromosome make-up of the

germline (reviewed in [31]). In order for proper gametogenesis to occur, the "sex" of the germ

cells has to match the sex of the soma. For example, XX germ cells developing in a male soma

result in a testis with a severely atrophic germline [32–45], while XY germ cells developing in a

female soma produce an ovary with a tumorous germline ("ovarian tumor") and a complete

failure to produce eggs [32,46–48]. Similar to the soma, *Sxl* is both necessary and sufficient for

determining the autonomous component of germline sexual identity. *Sxl* loss-of-function in the

germline results in ovarian germline tumors, similar to male germ cells developing in a female

soma [32,33,37,39,42,46,48,49]. Further, XY (male) germ cells expressing *Sxl* are able to

produce eggs when transplanted into XX (female) somatic gonads, demonstrating that *Sxl* is

sufficient for female sexual identity in the germline as long as the surrounding soma is female

[50]. Female-specific Sxl expression in the germline is dependent on the X chromosome dose:

XX germ cells express Sxl while XY germ cells do not [32,39]. Evidence also indicates that

SxlPE is important for female-specific expression of Sxl in the germline. Transcript data from

early germ cells show a *Sxl* RNA that matches the *SxlPE* transcript in the soma [50], and data

indicate that the positive auto-regulation of *Sxl* expression that occurs in the soma also acts in the

germline [49]. However, the mechanism for activating *Sxl* expression in the germline appears to

be different from the soma [33,46,50–54]. When germ cells simultaneously heterozygous for *Sxl*

and the somatic XSEs *sisA*, *sisB*, and *runt*, were transplanted into wildtype female embryos, they

were still able to undergo oogenesis indicating that the germ cells were not masculinized, even

though this same genotype is sufficient to masculinize somatic cells [52]. Further, germ cells

homozygous for mutations in *sisB*, or maternally deficient for the *sisB* co-factor *daughterless*,

can also make normal eggs [33,46]. Thus, different XSEs, or at least a different combination of

XSEs, is required to activate Sxl expression in the germline.

Here we utilize a combination of approaches to investigate the female-specific activation

of *Sxl* in the germline. Using RNA FISH and CRISPR-tagging of specific Sxl isoforms, we show

that the timing of *SxlPE* activation relative to *SxlPM* is different in the germline than in the soma.

Using promoter/enhancer reporter constructs, we demonstrate that the regulatory sequences

required for female-specific activation of *SxlPE* in the germline are different from those required

in the soma. Lastly, we show that the somatic XSE, *sisA,* is also required for female-specific

expression of Sxl in the germline. Together our data support a model in which *sisA* acts as a

germline XSE, but that additional factors, different from the somatic XSEs, are also important

for *Sxl* activation in the female germline.

RESULTS

Analysis of *Sex lethal* transcription in PGCs

Since the activation of *SxlPE* appears to be the key sex-specific decision in germline sex

determination, similar to what is observed in the soma, we first decided to examine when and

how *SxlPE* is activated in the germline. We hypothesized that, as in the soma, *SxlPE* would be

activated prior to *SxlPM*. To study this, we performed RNA fluorescent *in situ* hybridization

(FISH) using oligopaints to examine nascent RNA (nRNA) being transcribed from *SxlPE* and

SxlPM at the *Sxl* locus. As *SxlPM* is upstream of *SxlPE*, we generated one set of probes that

exclusively targets *SxlPM*-derived transcripts (*PM*-probe, Cy5, Green), and another that targets

the common transcript from both *SxlPE* and *SxlPM* (*Com*-probe, Cy3, Red) (Fig. S1A). Thus, if

SxlPE alone is active, we should observe signal from the *Com*-probe (Red) but not the *PM*-probe

(Green), while if *SxlPM* is active we should observe signal from both probes (and cannot make a

conclusion about *SxlPE*).

In the soma, female-specific activation of *SxlPE* occurs prior to expression of *SxlPM*.

Consistent with this, in the female soma we initially observed RNA FISH signals as fluorescent

nuclear foci with the *Com*-probe but not the *PM*-probe, indicating that only *SxlPE* was activated

(Fig. S1C-S1C''). Subsequently, we observed signals from both probes, indicating that *SxlPM*

had been activated (Data not shown). Conversely, in the male soma, we always observed a signal

from both the *PM*- and *Com*-probes simultaneously (Fig. S1B-S1B''), indicating that that *SxlPE*

is not expressed (or does not precede *SxlPM* activation). We observed two *Sxl* foci per nucleus in

females and only one focus in males, which was expected as *Sxl* is an X chromosome locus.

These data are consistent with the previous understanding of *Sxl* activation in the soma - that

SxlPE is on initially only in females followed by *SxlPM* activation in both sexes.

In contrast, in both female and male germ cells, we only observed RNA FISH signals

from both the *PM*-probes and the *Com*-probes simultaneously, and never from the *Com*-probe

alone (Fig. 1A-1B''). This indicates that *SxlPE* is not activated before *SxlPM* in the germline.

(Note: we only observed a single focus in both XX and XY germ cells suggesting that the X

chromosomes may be paired in the germline.) The simultaneous expression of *PM*-probes and

Com-probes occurred in a subset of female germ cells at stage 5 (11.1%), and increased to 100 %

of germ cells by stage 11 (Fig. 1C). Similarly, both probe sets were expressed simultaneously in

a subset of male germ cells, starting at stage 5 (7%) and increased to 100% of germ cells by stage

11 (Fig. 1D). The gradual increase in the number of cells expressing *SxlPM* transcripts is similar

to what is observed in the soma [21]. We conclude that, unlike in female somatic cells, *SxlPE*

does not precede *SxlPM* activity in the germline, but instead is likely activated either

simultaneously with, or later than, *SxlPM*. This is still consistent with a model where 'Early Sxl'

protein produced from default splicing of the *SxlPE*-derived transcript is required for productive

RNA splicing of the *SxlPM*-derived transcript, and thus for the subsequent production of 'Late

Sxl' protein; even if *SxlPM* is active prior to *SxlPE* activation, these transcripts would not be able

to produce Sxl protein until *SxlPE* is activated.

***SxlPE* activity in the germline requires different *cis*-regulatory elements than in the soma**

We next wanted to identify if and when *SxlPE* is activated in the germline, and also to compare

the *cis*-regulatory logic of *SxlPE* activation in the germline to that in the soma. To do this we

used transcriptional reporter constructs specific for *SxlPE*. We first tested a *SxlPE*-EGFP

transcriptional reporter that contains the 1.5kb somatic enhancer immediately upstream of *SxlPE*

(*SxlPE*-1.5kb), which had previously been reported to recapitulate both somatic and germline

SxlPE activity [50,74]. Contrary to previous reports, we did not observe EGFP expression from

SxlPE-1.5kb in developing PGCs, even though *SxlPE*-1.5kb showed sex-specific EGFP

expression in somatic cells (Data not shown). Thus, we conclude that the *cis*-regulatory region

sufficient for sex-specific expression of *SxlPE* in the soma is insufficient for *SxlPE* expression in

the germline. We next extended the *SxlPE* transcriptional reporter to contain the entire 5.2kb

genomic sequence upstream of *SxlPE* (but excluding *SxlPM*) (*SxlPE*-5.2kb, Fig. S2A). Once

again, even though we observed female-specific nuclear EGFP expression from the *SxlPE*-5.2kb

reporter in somatic cells, we found no evidence of EGFP expression in the germline of either

male or female embryos up to stage 15 (Fig. S2B, S2F). To accommodate the possibility of a

delay between the activation of the *SxlPE*-5.2kb transgene and our ability to detect EGFP

expression (as is observed in the somatic cells), we also characterized its expression at later

stages of development. However, we failed to observe any germline EGFP expression at the first,

second, or third larval instar (L1, L2, or L3 respectively) stages (Fig. S2B-S2I). Thus, *SxlPE*-

5.2kb also does not contain the *cis*-regulatory elements sufficient for *SxlPE* activity in the

germline. Interestingly, *SxlPE*-5.2kb exhibited sex-specific EGFP expression generally in the

soma until L1, and this expression persisted in the somatic gonad until L2 (Fig. S2F-S2H). This

was unexpected as *SxlPE* has been reported to shut off in the soma following activation of

dosage compensation in the early embryo. Our observations could be due to the stability of the

EGFP reporter used or may point at a possible mechanism that keeps *SxlPE* active even after X

chromosomes can no longer be counted.

We next generated a *SxlPE*-EGFP reporter that includes the 5.2kb sequence discussed

above, as well as an additional 5kb downstream of *SxlPE* up to the start of Exon 4 (Fig. S2A)

(*SxlPE*-10.2kb). Flies carrying this transgene showed sex-specific nuclear EGFP expression in

the soma by stage 15 of embryogenesis (Fig. S2J-S2K). Excitingly, we also observed sex-

specific nuclear EGFP expression in the female germline during the first larval instar (L1) stage

(GFP immunostaining Fig. 2A-2B', endogenous GFP and quantification, Fig. 6F,G,I). Together,

these data suggest that *SxlPE* activity in the germline is sex-specific as it is in the soma, and that

it requires additional *cis*-regulatory elements that lie downstream of *SxlPE*. Further, germline

SxlPE activation appears to occur later in development than previously thought (L1), although it

is possible that there is a delay between when *SxlPE* is actually activated and when we are first

able to detect EGFP expression.

Late Sex lethal protein is expressed only after *SxlPE* is activated in the germline

Since *SxlPE* is sex-specifically activated in the germline, we hypothesized that, like in the soma,

Early Sxl protein derived from *SxlPE* would be required to produce Late Sxl protein derived

from *SxlPM*. To study expression of the Early and Late Sxl proteins in the germline, we used

CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing to separately tag Sxl's Early and Late protein products. We

inserted a 2x human influenza hemagglutinin (2xHA) epitope at the N-terminus of the coding

sequence of exon E1, which is specific to the mRNA produced by *SxlPE,* to generate a

HA:SxlE1 'Early (E) Sxl' tag (Fig. S3A). Separately, we inserted a 3xFLAG epitope at the N-

terminus of the coding sequence of exon L2, which is specific to the mRNA produced by *SxlPM,*

to generate a FLAG:SxlL2 'Late (L) Sxl' tag (Fig. S3A) (Note: these tags are in separate stocks).

Both of these tagged alleles of *Sxl* appear wildtype for *Sxl* function as homozygous females are

viable and fertile.

Using anti-HA antibody, we were able to observe female-specific Sxl Early protein in

somatic cells beginning at stage 9, and this expression was primarily nuclear, consistent with

Sxl's role in alternative splicing. Early Sxl expression in the soma persisted until the L1 stage

(Fig. S3B-S3G), and no expression was observed after this time. In addition to the somatic

gonadal tissue, we confirmed Early Sxl expression in the L1 stage in another somatic tissue, the

developing gut (Fig. S3H-S3I). The continued presence of Early Sxl protein at the L1 stage is

consistent with what we observed with the *SxlPE*-10.2kb reporter and suggests that Early Sxl

protein is expressed, or at the very least maintained, much later in development than previously

thought, and well after dosage compensation has been initiated. To our surprise, we were unable

to detect anti-HA immunolabeling in the developing germline at any stage examined (embryo,

and L1-L2). A low level of signal was observed in the cytoplasm of the germline (arrows in Fig.

2C-D'), but this was not clearly different between males and females, and may represent

background staining. Detection of Early Sxl in the soma required tyramide amplification of the

antibody signal, and so Early Sxl protein may be expressed at very low levels, which was

undetectable in the germline even after tyramide amplification. Regardless, this prevents us from

making a conclusion about Early Sxl protein expression in the germline.

Using the FLAG-tagged Late Sxl allele (FLAG:SxlL2), we observed anti-FLAG

immunolabeling in female germ cells starting at the second larval instar (L2) stage (Fig. 2E-2F').

Female germ cells remained positive for anti-FLAG labeling through to adulthood and

expression patterns were consistent with anti-Sxl antibody labeling (Fig. S3J-S3M'), which can

be used to visualize Sxl in the germline starting at the third larval instar stage and onwards (Data

not shown). No anti-FLAG immunoreactivity was observed in male germ cells. Though our

RNA FISH analysis indicates that expression of *SxlPM* begins in the germline at stage 5, and is

active in most germ cells by stage 10 (Fig. 1C), the *SxlPE* reporter (*SxlPE*-10.2kb) is only

detectable in L1 germ cells (Fig. 2B), indicating that *SxlPE* expression begins much later in the

germ cells than the soma. This is consistent with our ability to detect Late Sxl protein only in L2

germ cells; if Early Sxl protein is required to splice *SxlPM* transcripts to produce Late Sxl

protein, then we would expect to detect Late Sxl protein only after *SxlPE* is active in the

germline.

***sisterless A* loss-of-function in the female germline results in ovarian tumors and germline**

loss

We next wanted to investigate the *trans*-acting factors that regulate *Sxl* in the germline. As

discussed above, previous work indicates that germ cells use a different combination of XSEs

than the soma. However, it remains possible that some of the individual somatic XSEs contribute

to the X chromosome counting mechanism in the germline, perhaps along with unknown

germline-specific XSEs. To investigate the potential role of the individual somatic XSEs in

germline *Sxl* activation, we knocked down their expression specifically in the germline using

RNAi (*nanos*-GAL4 ; UAS-*sisA* RNAi, UAS-*sisB* RNAi, UAS-*sisC* RNAi, or UAS-*runt* RNAi).

We then immunolabeled adult gonads to look for phenotypes resembling *Sxl* loss of function,

such as the formation of ovarian tumors. We found that RNAi knockdown of *sisB*, *sisC*, or *runt*

in the germline did not result in any aberrant ovarian phenotypes (Fig. S4A-S4D'). Germ cells in

these ovaries appear to differentiate properly as indicated by the progressive increase in the size

of their nuclei, characteristic of polyploid nurse cells during oogenesis.

In contrast, *sisA* germline RNAi (*sisA* RNAi 1) resulted in ovaries that exhibited ovarian

germ cell tumors (Fig. 3C-3C', S5C, S5D) similar to those observed in *Sxl* LOF (Fig. 3B-3B',

S5C) or when XY germ cells develop in an XX soma [32,46–48]. While a high percentage of

ovaries exhibited germline tumors (Fig. S5C), not all ovarioles in each ovary were tumorous. To

confirm that this phenotype was not due to off-target effects sometimes seen with RNAi, we

generated an additional UAS-*sisA* RNAi transgene (*sisA* RNAi 2) (Fig. S5D). Expression of this

RNAi line in the germline also produced ovarian germ cell tumors (Fig. S5B-S5B', S5D), but

these tumors were less severe and occurred with lower frequency (Fig. S5C). An additional

UAS-*sisA* RNAi transgene did not result in any observable phenotypes (Fig. S5D, Data not

shown). When 2 copies of *nanos*-GAL4 were used to drive increased expression of UAS-*sisA*

RNAi 1, we observed severe germline loss resulting in germ cell-less ovaries (Fig. 3D-3D', S5C)

and subsequent infertility. This is similar to what is observed in strong alleles of other sex

determination genes such as *ovo* and *ovarian tumor (otu)*, as well as when XY germ cells

develop in an XX soma [40,75–79].

Next we wanted to investigate the null phenotype of *sisA* in the germline. Since

homozygous *sisA* mutant females and hemizygous *sisA* mutant males are embryonic lethal [57],

we generated *sisA* germline-specific loss of function mutations using tissue-specific CRISPR-

Cas9 genome editing ("G0 CRISPR", [69–71]). Male flies that ubiquitously express either 2 or 4

different guide RNAs (gRNAs) targeting the *sisA* gene region (Fig. S5D) were mated to females

expressing Cas9 in the germline under the *nanos* promoter. F1 females from this cross also

exhibited ovarian tumors as well as germ cell-less ovaries (Fig. 3E-3G', S5C), similar to what

was observed using RNAi (Fig. 3C-D'). Taken together, these data indicate that *sisA* is required

for female germline differentiation and maintenance. Additionally, germline *sisA* loss of function

is similar to *Sxl* loss of function, suggesting *sisA* is a candidate XSE for *SxlPE* activation in the

germline.

***sisterless A* expression in the embryonic germline precedes *Sex lethal* activation**

In order for *sisA* to act as an XSE for activating *Sxl* in the germline, it should be expressed

zygotically in germ cells prior to sex-specific activation of *SxlPE* in the germline. It has been

reported that *sisA* mRNA is excluded from the nuclei that bud off to form pole cells [28].

However, reported microarray expression data demonstrates that *sisA* transcripts are enriched in

PGCs at the 1-to-3 hour time point [80]. To observe zygotic transcription of *sisA* in the germline

we utilized RNA FISH against nRNA being transcribed from the *sisA* locus. As a validation of

our approach, we observed signals from *sisA* RNA FISH probes as fluorescent nuclear foci in

somatic nuclei starting at nuclear cycle 8 (Fig. S6A-S6A') and also at later stages in yolk cell

nuclei, consistent with previous reports (Fig. S6B-S6B') [28,57]. Interestingly, we were able to

detect *sisA* RNA FISH signals in PGCs at stages 3-6 (3-5 shown in Fig. 4A-4C'). At later stages,

the strong expression levels of *sisA* RNA in yolk cell nuclei made it difficult to distinguish

fluorescent nuclear foci in neighboring PGCs. This suggests that *sisA* is indeed zygotically

transcribed in the early embryonic germline. We quantified this expression in sexed embryos and

found that *sisA* is expressed in the PGCs of both sexes (Fig. 4D).

We next wanted to observe SisA protein in the germline. Since no antibodies against

SisA have been generated to date, we used CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing to insert a super-folder

Green Fluorescent Protein (sfGFP) epitope tag at the N-terminus of the SisA protein

(sfGFP:SisA) (Fig. S6C). Importantly, the epitope tag does not appear to affect SisA function as

homozygous females and hemizygous males were viable and had no observable gonad

phenotypes (Fig. S6D-S6E'). Furthermore, anti-GFP immunolabeling is restricted to the nucleus,

which is consistent with the predicted function of SisA as a bZIP transcription factor. In somatic

cells, we observed expression of sfGFP:SisA in pre-blastoderm syncytial nuclei (Fig. S6F-S6F')

and in yolk cell nuclei (Fig. S6G-S6G'), consistent with somatic *sisA* RNA expression.

Excitingly, similar to what we observed using RNA FISH, sfGFP:SisA expression was

also observed in PGCs at stage 3, 4, and 5 (Fig. 4E-4G'). Additionally, this expression persisted

in PGCs until stage 10 (Fig. S6H-S6H'). This late expression of SisA is consistent with its

potential role in acting as an XSE for *SxlPE* activation in the germline. Like with *sisA* RNA, we

were only able to detect sfGFP:SisA in a subset of PGCs in any one embryo. sfGFP:SisA

expression was not observed later in first, second, or third larval instar stages, in either the

germline or the soma (Data not shown). We conclude that SisA is expressed in the embryonic

germline and this expression precedes the activation of *SxlPE*, which is consistent with a role as

a germline XSE for the activation of *Sxl*.

sisterless A is required for expression of Sxl in the female germline

If *sisA* acts as an activator of *Sxl* in the female germline, we would expect a loss of Sxl

expression upon loss of *sisA* function. Strikingly, using anti-Sxl immunolabeling, we observed

that the germ cell tumors in *sisA* RNAi ovaries had a strong reduction in Sxl expression when

compared with controls (Fig. 5A-5C', S7A-S7B'). Tumorous germ cells that were mutant for

sisA using G0 CRISPR also had lower levels of Sxl expression (Fig. S7C-S7D'). Somatic Sxl

expression remained unaffected in both cases. To examine Sxl expression specifically in those

germ cells that express highest levels of Sxl (i.e. the early, undifferentiated germline), we

performed *sisA* RNAi in the germline of *bag of marbles* (*bam*) mutants, which are enriched for

germ cells robustly expressing Sxl (Fig 5D-5D'). We found that knocking down *sisA* using

RNAi led to a dramatic reduction of Sxl antibody labeling in the germ cells of *bam* mutant

ovaries (Fig. 5E-5E'), demonstrating that knocking down *sisA* leads to a loss of Sxl expression in

the germline. To test whether *sisA* acts as a regulator of the Sxl PE promoter, we examined the

effects of knocking down *sisA* function in the germline (*nos>sisARNAi)* on the expression of the

Sxl PE-GFP reporter (*SxlPE*-10.2kb). Indeed, loss of *sisA* caused a significant reduction of

germline GFP expression from the Sxl PE-GFP reporter, but did not reduce expression down to

levels observed in males (Fig. 6F-I). This is consistent with SisA being one of, but not the only,

regulator of Sxl PE expression in the germline.

These data show that *sisA* is necessary for Sxl expression in the germline, and we next

wanted to test whether ectopic expression of *sisA* would be sufficient to promote Sxl expression.

Expression of UAS-*Sxl* in the male germline leads to inhibition of the JAK/STAT pathway and

loss of germline stem cells ([86] and Fig. 6B-6B'). Expression of UAS-*sisA* did not lead to

detectible expression of Sxl in the testis (Fig. 6C-6C'). This indicates that the overexpression of

sisA alone is not sufficient to activate *Sxl* in the germline and that, like in the soma, additional

XSEs are required to activate *SxlPE* in the germline.

If *sisA* is required for *Sxl* expression, and this is its primary role in the germline, then

expression of *Sxl* should bypass the need for *sisA* in the germline and rescue the germline defects

observed with *sisA* LOF. To test this we expressed *Sxl* under the control of a female germline-

specific promoter (*otu*) in a *sisA* LOF background. We used the strongest *sisA* loss of function

condition (2x *nos*-GAL4 > *sisA* RNAi 1) which results in severe germline loss, tumor formation,

and infertility (Fig. 6D-6D', S7E). Excitingly, 50% of *sisA* RNAi + *otu* > *Sxl* ovaries exhibited a

wildtype germline morphology (Fig. 6E-6E', S7E) and were fertile, suggesting *Sxl* was able to

provide a robust rescue. Another 20% of these ovaries showed a partial rescue of the germline,

but contained pervasive germline tumors (Fig. S7E). Taken together, these data indicate that *sisA*

is necessary, but not sufficient, for *Sxl* expression in the female germline and that activation of

Sxl is the primary germline role for *sisA*.

332
DISCUSSION

The sex-specific activation of *Sxl* in the germline

Previous evidence indicated that sex-specific activation of *SxlPE* is important for *Sxl* expression

in germline, as it is in the soma. Transcripts from the *SxlPE* promoter were detected in early

germ cells [50] and positive autoregulation of Sxl was observed in the germline [49], suggesting

that the same mechanism of Early Sxl protein from *SxlPE* being required for splicing of *SxlPM*

transcripts occurs in germ cells and soma. Our data also support this model. Using a *SxlPE*

transcriptional reporter, we found that *SxlPE* is active in female germ cells by L1 (Fig. 2B-2B').

Further, while we observed activation the *SxlPM* promoter in embryonic germ cells (Fig. 1B-

1B'', 1C), we only observed expression of Late Sxl protein in the germ cells at L2 (Fig. 2F-2F'),

after we observed activation of *SxlPE*. These data are consistent with *SxlPM* transcripts being

unable to produce Sxl protein until after sex-specific activation of *SxlPE*. One difference

between the germline and the soma appears to be the timing of *SxlPE* activation relative to that

of *SxlPM*. In the soma, *SxlPE* is activated prior to *SxlPM*, while in the germline, there is no time

at which we detect *SxlPE* alone by RNA FISH (Fig. 1C), and *SxlPE* is activated later in

development, as judged by the *SxlPE* transcriptional reporter. This is still consistent with the

autoregulatory model for *Sxl* activation, but suggests that the control of *SxlPE* activation is

different in the germline than the soma.

We also found that the *cis*-regulatory elements that regulate female-specific *SxlPE*

expression in the germ cells are different from those in the soma. Previously, it was reported that

a 1.5kb genomic fragment just upstream of *SxlPE* was sufficient to regulate female-specific

expression in both the soma [20,74] and the germline [50]. However, we were unable to observe

germline expression from this *SxlPE* reporter or even a larger *SxlPE* reporter containing more of

the upstream DNA (Fig. S2F-I). We only observed activation of *SxlPE* when we included an

even larger genomic region, including sequences both upstream and downstream of *SxlPE* (Fig.

S2A, 2B-2B'). These data do not exclude sequences within the 1.5 kb region upstream of *SxlPE*

being important for its activation in the germline, but indicate that these sequences are not

sufficient for activation, as they are in the soma. Similarly, sequences outside this 1.5 kb region

may also augment expression in the soma. The fact that the *cis*-regulatory logic of *SxlPE* is

different in the germline than the soma is consistent with previous work demonstrating that the

combination of *trans*-acting factors, the XSEs, that activate *SxlPE* in the germline are different

from the soma [33,52,81]. Future work will involve identifying the specific enhancers that

regulate *SxlPE* expression in the germline, which could in turn help identify additional germline

trans-regulators of *Sxl*. In summary, we have shown that the *cis*-regulatory logic of *Sxl* activation

is different between the germline and the soma, however, the key step in determining the sex of

both these cell types is the female-specific activation of *SxlPE*.

369   Finally, a surprising observation from our study was the presence of sex-specific *SxlPE*

transcriptional reporter activity, as well as Early Sxl protein, in somatic cells as late as the L1

larval stage (Fig. S2F-S2G, S3E-S3F, S3I). In order for XSEs to act, they need to be expressed at

higher levels in XX cells than XY cells. Once X chromosome dosage compensation is initiated at

the late blastoderm stage, there should no longer be a difference in XSE expression between XX

and XY cells. This is the entire logic behind the autoregulatory model for Sxl expression; *SxlPE*

is activated by XSEs only in females, and is then turned off prior to the time when dosage

compensation equalizes XSE expression. Why then is Early Sxl protein and *SxlPE* activity

detected at the L1 stage? One possibility is that both the GFP reporter and the Early Sxl protein

are stable and perdure to the L1 stage. An alternative possibility, however, is that some other

mechanism, such as feedback regulation within the sex determination system, maintains *SxlPE*

activity much longer than previously thought. Interestingly, *Sxl* contains a highly-conserved

binding site for the Doublesex transcription factor which could facilitate such an XSE-

independent feedback regulation of *SxlPE* [82].

sisterless A as an activator of *Sxl*

While the combination of XSEs at work in the germline may be different than in the soma, our

data also indicate that at least one XSE, SisA, is shared between them. Loss of *sisA* in the

germline leads to the formation of germline tumors (and germline loss) (Fig. 3C-3D', 3F-3G',

S5B-S5C) as well as a loss of Sxl expression in the female germline (Fig. 5C-5E', S7B-S7D').

Additionally, we report that *sisA* is expressed in the germline prior to *SxlPE* (Fig. 4) and that the

expression of Sxl is able to rescue the *sisA* loss-of-function phenotype in the germline (Fig. 6D-

6E', S7E), indicating that *sisA* lies upstream of *Sxl* in the germline sex determination cascade.

Taken together, these data indicate that SisA is a germline activator of *Sxl* and may act as a

germline XSE.

It remains to be seen whether SisA acts directly on *Sxl* in the germline or acts on other *Sxl*

regulators, such as *ovo* or *otu*. Current thinking is that SisA is a transcriptional activator for

SxlPE in the soma [9,28], and the simplest model would be that it acts similarly in the germline.

However, the gap in timing we observe between *sisA* expression in the germline (Stage 3-10,

Fig. 4E-4G', S6H-S6H') and our ability to detect *SxlPE* activation (L1 larvae, Fig. 2B-2B')

leaves open the possibility that there are intermediate steps between *sisA* and *SxlPE*. SisA is a

somewhat unusual leucine zipper protein and its DNA binding activity and specificity have never

been determined. Thus, there is no evidence that it regulates *SxlPE* directly in the soma or

germline. The transient nature of SisA expression during embryogenesis makes it difficult to

conduct chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments under native conditions. Instead, we

expressed GFP:SisA in *Drosophila* S2 tissue culture cells for ChIP analysis, but this experiment

did not identify SisA genomic binding sites. Lastly, yeast two-hybrid analysis has indicated that

SisA might physically interact with the basic-helix-loop-helix protein Daughterless (Da) [83],

but co-expressing GFP:SisA and Da in S2 cells also did not result in an identifiable ChIP signal.

Thus, while our data clearly indicate that *sisA* is required for activation of *Sxl* in the germline,

and that this is its primary role in the germline, evidence that SisA is a direct, transcriptional

activator of *SxlPE* will require identification and study of a SisA-binding enhancer within the *Sxl*

locus.

It is puzzling that *Sxl* is a critical regulator of both germline and somatic sex

determination, and is regulated by a two-X dose in both cell types, yet its mechanism of

activation and its role in these cell types is so different. It is somewhat simplifying that at least

one factor, *sisA*, is important for activation of *Sxl* in both cell types. Yet evidence still suggests

that other aspects of *Sxl* activation in these cells will be different. Further, the most significant

Sxl targets in the soma, *transformer* for sexual identity and *msl-2* for dosage compensation, do

not play a role in the germline [45,47]. Instead, distinct factors such as *Tdrd5l* and the Jak/Stat

pathway, which controls *Phf7* expression, are regulated by *Sxl* in the germline [67,84-86]. It will

be interesting to examine how these independent roles for *Sxl* came to be, and how conserved

each role is in different species.

422
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly stocks

The following fly stocks were used: *SxlPE-EGFP* (BDSC# 24105), *SxlPE-EGFP* (BDSC#

32565), *vas*-Cas9 (BDSC# 51324), *vas*-Cas9 (BDSC# 56552), alphatub-piggyBac (BDSC#

32070), *nos*-Gal4 ([55]), *nos*-Cas9 (BDSC# 54591), *nos*-Cas9 (BDSC# 78782), UAS-*sisA*-RNAi

1 (TRiP.HMC03864, BDSC# 55181), UAS-*Sxl*-RNAi (TRiP.HMS00609, BDSC# 34393), UAS-

sisB-RNAi (TRiP.GL01130, BDSC# 41594), UAS-*sisC*-RNAi (TRiP.HMS00545, BDSC#

33680), UAS-*run*-RNAi (TRiP.HMS01186, BDSC# 34707), UAS-*mCherry*-RNAi control

(BDSC# 35785), *bam[1]* (Gift from A. Spradling, [56]), *bam[delta86]* (BDSC# 5427), *sisA[5]*

(Gift from J. Erickson, [57]), UAS-*Sxl* (BDSC# 58484), *otu-GFP*.K10 (BDSC# 29727), *otu-*

GFP.SV40 (BDSC# 29729), *otu*-Sxl (BDSC# 58491). Oregon R flies were used as wildtype

flies, w[1118];Sco/CyO;MKRS/TM6B,Tb,Hu double balancers (Gift from X. Chen) were used

for 2nd and 3rd chromosome balancing. FM7c was used for X chromosome balancing.

Antibody staining and Tyramide Signal Amplification (TSA)

Adult testes were dissected in 1X PBS and fixed at room temperature for 20 minutes in 4.625%

formaldehyde in PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBTx). Adult ovaries, and larval gonads

(first, second, and third instar) were dissected in 1X PBS and fixed at room temperature for 20

minutes in 5.25% formaldehyde in PBTx. Blocking and immunostaining was performed as

previously described [58], and samples were mounted in 2.5% DABCO. Embryo collection,

fixing, blocking and staining was performed as described previously [59]. All images were taken

with a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope. The following primary antibodies (sources) and

concentrations were used: chicken anti-Vas 1:10,000 (K. Howard); rabbit anti-Vas 1:10,000 (R.

Lehmann); mouse anti-Sxl 3:100 (M18, DSHB); mouse anti-Fas3 1:50 (7G10, DSHB); rat anti-

NCad 3:100 (DN-Ex #8, DSHB); mouse anti-Lamin B 1:100 (ADL67.10, DSHB); mouse anti-

Pros 1:10 (MR1A, DSHB); guinea pig anti-TJ 1:1,000 (J. Jemc); rabbit anti-GFP 1:1,000 (ab290,

Abcam); mouse anti-FLAG 1:50 (F3165, Millipore Sigma); rat anti-HA 1:100 (ROAHAHA

Clone 3F10, Roche); rabbit anti-HA 1:800 (C29F4 #3724, CST). DSHB: Developmental Studies

Hybridoma Bank; CST: Cell Signaling Technologies. Secondary antibodies were used at 1:500

(Alexa Fluor, Host:Goat, Invitrogen).

TSA was performed using the manufacturer's protocol (Tyramide SuperBoost Kits with

Alexa Fluor Tyramides, Invitrogen). Larval gonads and embryos were fixed as previously

described (See above). Samples were blocked in 10% Goat Serum for 1 hour at room

temperature followed by incubation with a single primary antibody (target of signal

amplification) as previously described [58,59] overnight at 4°C with nutation. Primary antibody

was washed off using 1X PBS and samples were incubated with poly-HRP-conjugated secondary

antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. Secondary antibody was washed off using 1X PBS and

samples were incubated in tyramide working solution for 10 minutes at room temperature before

stopping the reaction. Samples were washed with 1X PBS and immunolabeling with TSA was

multiplexed with other antibodies following the standard immunolabeling protocol.

Developmental Staging and Sexing

To obtain stage-specific embryos, embryos were pre-collected overnight on apple juice plates

with fresh yeast paste and discarded to synchronize egg-laying. Embryos were then collected for

2 hours at 25°C after which the flies were removed and the embryos were aged as required. To

obtain first (L1) and second (L2) instar larvae, embryos were aged 20 hours. The plates were

cleared of any larvae that had hatched early. L1 larvae were collected after 4-8 hours. For L2

larvae, the L1 larvae were transferred to fresh plates with yeast paste and aged for an additional

24 hours. Third instar (L3) larvae were collected directly from vials. Sex of embryos was

determined by counting the number of *Sex lethal* or *sisterless A* RNA FISH signals

corresponding to number of X chromosomes or by somatic EGFP expression using the

transcriptional *SxlP_E-EGFP* reporters. Stage of the embryos was approximately determined by

the position of the primordial germ cells (PGCs). Sex of the first and second larval instar gonads

was determined by looking for presence or absence of a hub using anti-FasIII or anti-NCad

immunolabeling. Sex of the third larval instar gonads was determined by morphology.

Oligopaints Probe Design and Synthesis

Oligopaint probes for RNA FISH were designed using Oligopaints [60–62] by Dr. Kayla Viets

from Dr. Robert Johnston's laboratory, Department of Biology at Johns Hopkins University.

Gene target sequences (*Sex lethal* and *sisterless A*) were run through an open-source

bioinformatics pipeline made available by the Wu lab at Harvard Medical School

(http://genetics.med.harvard.edu/oligopaints/) to identify sets of 50-bp (*Sex lethal*) or 30-bp

(*sisterless A*) optimized probe sequences (libraries) to tile the nascent RNA transcript [63].

Library of Cy3-conjugated oligos against *sisterless A* RNA was ordered individually. Library of

oligos against *Sex lethal* and its sub-libraries (*PM* vs. *PE+PM* probes) were ordered as part of a

90k oligopool including oligos for other gene targets (Gift from R. Johnston, Johns Hopkins

University). To isolate target-specific probes (*Sex lethal*), five 19-bp barcording primers, target F

and R; universal (univ) F and R; and sublibrary (sub) F were appended to the 5' and 3' ends of

each probe.

PCR using target F and R primers allowed amplification of libraries of probes against

target RNA from oligopool. PCR using sub F and target R primers allowed amplification of sub-

libraries from whole target libraries. PCR using univ F and R primers allowed the conjugation of

fluorophores (Cy3, Cy5), generation of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) probes as well as addition

of secondary sequences to allow amplification of RNA FISH signal using secondary probes

(conjugated with fluorophores) that bind to primary probes. RNA FISH probes were generated as

previously described [60–62]). Total number of probes per transcript are as follows: *sisA* RNA –

12 ; *SxlPM* RNA – 19 ; *SxlPE+PM* RNA – 36.

RNA fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) and immunofluorescence

RNA FISH was performed using modified versions of the protocols described previously [60–

63]. Oregon R embryos were collected (after a pre-collection was discarded) on apple juice

plates with fresh yeast paste for 2 hours at 25°C and aged as needed. Embryos were collected,

rinsed with 1X PBTx, and dechorionated using 50% bleach for 90 seconds and washed with 1X

PBTx. Fixing was performed in scintillation vials in 50μL 10X PBS, 100μL 0.25M EGTA,

125μL fresh 16% formaldehyde, 225μL Milli-Q H_2O, 1μL NP-40 (Tergitol solution), and 500μL

heptane. Embryos were shaken vigorously by hand for 1 minute, then fixed for 20 minutes at

room temperature with gentle agitation. The aqueous phase was removed and the embryos were

devitellinized in by adding 500μL methanol and vigorous shaking for 2 minutes. Devitellinized

embryos were collected washed three times in methanol. Embryos were rehydrated via 5-minute

serial single washes in 75%, 50%, and 25% methanol in 1X PBTx. This was followed by three 5-

minute washes in 1X PBTx and two 15-minute washes in 1X PBTx with 0.2U/μL RNase

inhibitor. Embryos were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in blocking buffer (1X PBTx +

Western Blocking Reagent, 1:1) with nutation. They were then incubated in primary antibody

diluted in 1X PBTx with 3% normal goat serum and 0.2U/μL RNase inhibitor overnight at 4°C

with nutation. Primary antibody was rinsed off followed by three 20-minute washes with 1X

PBTx. Embryos were then incubated with secondary antibody diluted in 1X PBTx with 3%

normal goat serum and 0.2U/μL RNase inhibitor for two hours at room temperature. Secondary

antibody was rinsed off followed by two 20-minute washes in 1X PBTx and one 20-minute wash

in 1X PBS. Embryos were then washed as follows: four 5-minute washes in 2X SSCT, one 10-

minute wash in 20% formamide in 2X SSCT, one 10-minute wash in 50% formamide in 2X

SSCT, one 4-hour wash in 50% formamide in 2X SSCT at 37°C with shaking. Embryos were

incubated with primary probe at a concentration of ≥5 pmol fluorophore/μL in hybridization

buffer (50% formamide in 2X SSCT with 10% dextran sulfate (w/v) + 0.2U/µL RNase inhibitor)

for 16-20 hours at 37°C with shaking. Primary probe was rinsed and followed by a 1-hour wash

in 50% formamide in 2X SSCT at 37°C with shaking. Secondary probes were hybridized for 1

hour at 37°C with shaking at a concentration of ≥5 pmol fluorophore/µL in 50% formamide in

2X SSCT and 0.2U/µL RNase inhibitor. This was followed by two 30-minute washes in 50%

formamide in 2X SSCT at 37°C, one 10-minute wash in 20% formamide in 2X SSCT, two 10-

minute washes in 2X SSCT at room temperature, and one 10-minute wash in 2X SSC at room

temperature. Embryos were washed for 10 minutes in 1X PBTx containing DAPI and incubated

at room temperature for 10 minutes in SlowFade Diamond with DAPI, followed by mounting.

All images were taken with a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope.

SxlPE reporter transgenes and constructs

SxlPE-10.2kb, a 10.2kb genomic sequence from a *Sxl* genomic clone BAC# CH321-74P19

(BACPAC Resources Center) cloned into the pJR16 vector in two steps (Gift from R. Johnston,

Johns Hopkins University) using HiFi DNA Assembly (New England Biolabs). pJR16 uses an

EGFP reporter with a nuclear localization sequence (nls). Fragment 1 extended from 116 bp

downstream of *SxlPE* TSS to 5,116 bp upstream of *SxlPE* TSS and was assembled into pJR16

digested with AgeI and AscI to generate *SxlPE*-5.2kb. Fragment 2 extended from 117 bp

downstream of *SxlPE* TSS to 5,108 bp downstream of *SxlPE* TSS and was assembled into

Fragment 1 + pJR16 digested with AgeI.

Fragment 1 *SxlPE*-10.2kb Fw (5'-3') –

CCACCCCGGTGAACAGCTCCTCGCCCTTGCTCACCATGGTGGCGACCGGTAA

TGGGATAATCACAAAGTT

Fragment 1 *SxlPE*-10.2kb Rv (5'-3') –

CATGCTGCAGCAGATCTGGTCTAGAGCCCGGGCGAATTCGCCGGCGCGCCGT

AATTTTTCTTTGCTCCTCCTG

Fragment 2 *SxlPE*-10.2kb Fw (5'-3') –

CAGCTCCTCGCCCTTGCTCACCATGCTGTACGATGAATCGA

Fragment 2 *SxlPE*-10.2kb Rv (5'-3') –

GAAAAACGTAACTTTGTGATTATCCCATTATGGATTTCAATTTTGATAC

The primers ensured that EGFP remained in frame with Exon E1's coding sequence (CDS).

Constructs were injected into embryos and integrated via PhiC31 integrase-mediated

transgenesis (done at BestGene Inc.) into the same genomic location at P{CaryP}attP40 on

Chromosome II.

Generation of sisA RNAi 2 and 3

Transcript-specific knockdown was achieved using the VALIUM vector system (PMID:

21460824). A 21-nucleotide (nt) sequence without any off-targets greater than 16nt was selected

based on the algorithm of [64]. The following sequences were selected:

sisA-RNAi 2 Sense – CGCCGACGAGGAGCAACGCUA

sisA-RNAi 2 Antisense – UAGCGUUGCUCCUCGUCGGCG

sisA-RNAi 3 Sense – CCGGUUCUGGUUCGGAUGUCA

sisA-RNAi 3 Antisense – UGACAUCCGAACCAGAACCGG

The top and bottom strands for the short hairpin were as follows:

sisA-RNAi 2 Top Strand –

CTAGCAGTCGCCGACGAGGAGCAACGCTATAGTTATATTCAAGCATATAGCG

TTGCTCCTCGTCGGCGGCG

sisA-RNAi 2 Bottom Strand –

AATTCGCCGCCGACGAGGAGCAACGCTATATGCTTGAATATAACTATAGCGT

TGCTCCTCGTCGGCGACTG

sisA-RNAi 3 Top Strand –

CTAGCAGTCCGGTTCTGGTTCGGATGTCATAGTTATATTCAAGCATA-

TGACATCCGAACCAGAACCGGGCG

sisA-RNAi 3 Bottom Strand –

AATTCGCCCGGTTCTGGTTCGGATGTCATATGCTTGAATATAACTATGACATC

CGAACCAGAACCGGACTG

The top and bottom strands were annealed and cloned into the VALIUM20 vector #1467

(Drosophila Genomics Resource Center, DGRC). Constructs were injected into embryos and

integrated via PhiC31 integrase-mediated transgenesis (done at BestGene Inc.) into the same

genomic location at P{CaryP}attP40 on Chromosome II. For RNAi-mediated germline-specific

knockdown of *sisA*, *sisB, sisC, runt, Sxl, Ovo,* and *mCherry*, male flies carrying shRNA

transgenes were mated with *nanos*-GAL4:VP16 virgin females [55] and the crosses were

maintained at 29°C. The progeny were reared at 29°C until 3-5 days post-eclosion (unless

otherwise specified).

CRISPR-tagging

sfGFP:sisA, 2xHA:SxlE1, and 3xFLAG:SxlL2 were generated using scarless genome editing

described in [65] to generate N-terminal tags. Guide RNA (gRNA) sequences were selected

using the FlyCRISPR algorithm (http://flycrispr.molbio.wisc.edu) [66], contain 20 nucleotides

each and have no predicted off-targets. The following gRNAs were selected:

sfGFP:sisA gRNA Target (5'-3') – GTCCAATGGCAAGCTACCTG

2xHA:SxlE1 gRNA Target (5'-3') – GCCTCCTTCGATCTTCTACC

3xFLAG:SxlL2 gRNA Target (5'-3') – GACTTGTTGTTGTAGCCATA

The guides were cloned into the pU6-2-BbsI-gRNA vector #1363 (DGRC) using the listed

primers that were phosphorylated using T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK).

sfGFP:sisA gRNA Target sense (5'-3') – CTTCGTCGTTGGCCAATCCGGATGC

sfGFP:sisA gRNA Target antisense (5'-3') – AAACGCATCCGGATTGGCCAACGAC

2xHA:SxlE1 gRNA Target sense (5'-3') – CTTCGCCTCCTTCGATCTTCTACC

2xHA:SxlE1 gRNA Target antisense (5'-3') – AAACGGTAGAAGATCGAAGGAGGC

3xFLAG:SxlL2 gRNA Target sense (5'-3') – CTTCGACTTGTTGTTGTAGCCATA

3xFLAG:SxlL2 gRNA Target antisense (5'-3') –

AAACTATGGCTACAACAACAAGTC

Donor plasmids to facilitate homology dependent repair were generated. The coding sequences

of the different tags are cloned from genomic DNA of *vas*-Cas9 expressing flies (BDSC# 51324,

56552) adjacent to a piggyBac transposon that contained a DsRed expression construct resulting

in a selectable-tagging-cassette in vectors pHD-sfGFP-ScarlessDsRed #1365, pHD-2xHA-

ScarlessDsRed #1366, pHD-3xFLAG-ScarlessDsRed #1367 (DGRC). Approximately 1kb 5' and

3' Homology arms were assembled using HiFi DNA Assembly (New England Biolabs) upstream

and downstream of this cassette with the following primers (5'-3'):

sfGFP:sisA 5' Arm Fw (5'-3') – GAATTCGCCAAAGGGATTTC

sfGFP:sisA 5' Arm Rv (5'-3') –

CCGGAACCTCCAGATCCACCGGTGATTTTTTCGATGTGTG

sfGFP:sisA cassette Fw (5'-3') –

ACACATCGAAAAAAATCACCGGTGGATCTGGAGGTTCC

sfGFP:sisA cassette Rv (5'-3') –

AAGTAAAGATGACTCCGTTCGGAACCTCCTGAACCACC

sfGFP:sisA 3' Arm Fw (5'-3') –

CTGGTGGTTCAGGAGGTTCCGAACGGAGTCATCTTTACTTGCC

sfGFP:sisA 3' Arm Rv (5'-3') – GGTACCGCATTGGCCCAATTC

2xHA:SxlE1 5' Arm Fw (5'-3') – GCAATCTGTGTTCTTGGTATTTTG

2xHA:SxlE1 5' Arm Rv (5'-3') –

GGAACATCGTATGGGTACATAATGGGATAATCACAAAGTTAC

2xHA:SxlE1 cassette Fw (5'-3') –

AACTTTGTGATTATCCCATTATGTACCCATACGATGTTCC

2xHA:SxlE1 cassette Rv (5'-3') –

ACAGTATCAAAATTGAAATCGGAACCTCCTGAACCACC

2xHA:SxlE1 3' Arm Fw (5'-3') –

CTGGTGGTTCAGGAGGTTCCGATTTCAATTTTGATACTGTGAC

2xHA:SxlE1 3' Arm Rv (5'-3') – CGATCGAAGGTGAGTTTC

3xFLAG:SxlL2 5' Arm Fw (5'-3') – CGACCATGTCGTCCTACTATAAC

3xFLAG:SxlL2 5' Arm Rv (5'-3') –

TCATGGTCTTTGTAGTCCATATCCTGAGAGTTGGGAGTG

3xFLAG:SxlL2 cassette Fw (5'-3') –

ACACTCCCAACTCTCAGGATATGGACTACAAAGACCATGAC

3xFLAG:SxlL2 cassette Rv (5'-3') –

CCCGGATTATTGTTGCCGTAGGAACCTCCTGAACCACC

3xFLAG:SxlL2 3' Arm Fw (5'-3') –

CTGGTGGTTCAGGAGGTTCCTACGGCAACAATAATCCG

3xFLAG:SxlL2 3' Arm Rv (5'-3') – GCTAATGAGGGGATTCCTATG

Assembled donor constructs were cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO (TOPO TA Cloning Kit,

ThermoFisher). Site-specific mutagenesis was also used to mutate the PAM sites in each donor

plasmid. For sfGFP:sisA, the included linker sequence between 3' UTR and the start of sfGFP

was removed using site-specific mutagenesis (QuikChange, Agilent). The gRNA expression

plasmid and donor plasmid were injected by BestGene into embryos of Vas-Cas9 flies (#56552,

#51324, BDSC). DsRed positive flies were then crossed to a piggyBac transposase expressing

line (#32070, #32073, BDSC) to excise DsRed resulting in an in-frame fusion of the tags' and

the respective genes' coding sequences. Successful generation of CRISPR-tags was confirmed

by sequencing.

Generation of overexpression constructs

UAS-*sfGFP*, UAS-*sisA*, and UAS-*da* were generated by cloning the ORFs of *sfGFP*, *sisA*, and

da-PD into pUASpB [67] using the listed primers. pUASpB is a modified version of pUASP

[68] including an attB site for phiC31-mediated integration. UAS-*sfGFP:sisA* was generated by

cloning a flexible linker sequence followed by the ORF of *sisA* (lacking a start codon) using the

listed primers into UAS-*sfGFP* that had been linearized with SpeI. Constructs were assembled

using HiFi DNA Assembly (New England Biolabs).

UAS-*sfGFP* Fw (5'-3') –

TACCCGCCCGGGGATCAGATCCGCGGCCGCATGGTGTCCAAGGGCGAG

UAS-*sfGFP* Rv (5'-3') –

GACTCTAGAGGATCCAGATCCACTAGTTCACTTGTACAGCTCATCCATGC

UAS-*sfGFP:sisA* Fw (5'-3') –

GCCGGCATCACCCTGGGCATGGATGAGCTGTACAAGATTAAGGCCGGCGGGT

CG

UAS-*sfGFP:sisA* Rv (5'-3') –

ACGTTAACGTTCGAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGATCCAGATCCATCACTGCTCCATT

TCCAGGC

UAS-*sisA* Fw (5'-3') –

CTGTTCATTGGTACCCGCCCGGGGATCAGATCCGCATGGAACGGAGTCATCTT

TACTTGC

UAS-*sisA* Rv (5'-3') –

ACGTTAACGTTCGAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGATCCAGATCCATCACTGCTCCATT

TCCAGGC

UAS-*da-PD* Fw (5'-3') –

AGGTCCTGTTCATTGGTACCCGCCCGGGGATCAGATCCGCATGGCGACCAGT

GACGATG

UAS-*da-PD* Rv (5'-3') –

ACGTTAACGTTCGAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGATCCAGATCCATTAAAAGTGTTG

TACATTTTGTAGGGG

Constructs flies were injected into embryos and integrated via PhiC31 integrase-mediated

transgenesis (done at BestGene Inc.) into the same genomic location at P{CaryP}attP40 on

Chromosome II. For germline-specific overexpression, male flies carrying UAS transgenes were

mated with *nanos*-GAL4:VP16 virgin females [55] and the crosses were maintained at 29°C.

The progeny were reared at 29°C until 3-5 days post-eclosion (unless otherwise specified).

G0 CRISPR (Tissue-specific CRISPR)

Guide RNA (gRNA) sequences were selected using the FlyCRISPR algorithm

(http://flycrispr.molbio.wisc.edu) [66], contain 20 nucleotides each and have no predicted off-

targets. For *sisA*, four different gRNA sequences were selected, one within the coding region

(Target 4) and one within the 3' UTR (Target 3), and two upstream of the gene locus (Targets 1

and 2). As a control, four different gRNA sequences were selected against GFP. The following

gRNAs were selected:

sisA-G0 gRNA Target 1 (5'-3') – TCGTTGGCCAATCCGGATGCAGG

sisA-G0 gRNA Target 2 (5'-3') – CACTGAGTCTACCTGATAATTGG

sisA-G0 gRNA Target 3 (5'-3') – ATAGTGTAGCTATGTGTCGCAGG

sisA-G0 gRNA Target 4 (5'-3') – GCTGAAAACGGAGCTTGCTATGG

gfp-G0 gRNA Target 1 (5'-3') – CAGGGTCAGCTTGCCGTAGG

gfp-G0 gRNA Target 2 (5'-3') – AGCACTGCACGCCGTAGGTC

gfp-G0 gRNA Target 3 (5'-3') – CGGCCATGATATAGACGTTG

703    gfp-G0 gRNA Target 4 (5'-3') – CATGCCGAGAGTGATCCCGG

704 Four guides (or two) were cloned into the pCFD5 vector #73914 (Addgene) as previously

705 described [69] using the listed primers.

706    sisA-G0-4-PCR1-Fw (5'-3') –

707    GCGGCCCGGGTTCGATTCCCGGCCGATGCATCGTTGGCCAATCCGGATGCGT

708    TTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG

709    sisA-G0-4-PCR1-Rv (5'-3') –

710    ATTATCAGGTAGACTCAGTGTGCACCAGCCGGGAATCGAACCC

711    sisA-G0-4-PCR2-Fw (5'-3') –

712    CACTGAGTCTACCTGATAATGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG

713    sisA-G0-4-PCR2-Rv (5'-3') –

714    GCGACACATAGCTACACTATTGCACCAGCCGGGAATCGAACCC

715    sisA-G0-4-PCR3-Fw (5'-3') –

716    ATAGTGTAGCTATGTGTCGCGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG

717    sisA-G0-4-PCR3-Rv (5'-3') –

718    ATTTTAACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACTAGCAAGCTCCGTTTTCAGCTGC

719    ACCAGCCGGGAATCGAACCC

720    GFP-G0-4-PCR1-Fw (5'-3') –

721    GCGGCCCGGGTTCGATTCCCGGCCGATGCACAGGGTCAGCTTGCCGTAGGGT

722    TTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG

723    GFP-G0-4-PCR1-Rv (5'-3') –

724    GACCTACGGCGTGCAGTGCTTGCACCAGCCGGGAATCGAACCC

GFP-G0-4-PCR2-Fw (5'-3') –

AGCACTGCACGCCGTAGGTCGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG

GFP-G0-4-PCR2-Rv (5'-3') –

CAACGTCTATATCATGGCCGTGCACCAGCCGGGAATCGAACCC

GFP-G0-4-PCR3-Fw (5'-3') –

CGGCCATGATATAGACGTTGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG

GFP-G0-4-PCR3-Rv (5'-3') –

ATTTTAACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACCCGGGATCACTCTCGGCATGTGC

ACCAGCCGGGAATCGAACCC

Constructs were injected into embryos and integrated via PhiC31 integrase-mediated

transgenesis (done at BestGene Inc.) into the same genomic location at P{CaryP}attP40 on

Chromosome II. For germline-specific CRISPR-mediated knockout of *sisA* and *gfp*, male flies

carrying gRNA expressing transgenes were mated with *nanos*-Cas9 virgin females ([70], BDSC#

54591 or [71], BDSC# 78782) and the crosses were maintained at 29°C. The progeny were

reared at 29°C until 3-5 days post-eclosion (unless otherwise specified).

Generating sisA mutant

CRISPR-Cas9 was used as previously described to generate a *sisA* deletion mutant [72,73]. 2

guide RNA (gRNA) sequences were selected flanking the *sisA* gene using the FlyCRISPR

algorithm (http://flycrispr.molbio.wisc.edu) [66], contain 20 nucleotides each, and have no

predicted off-targets. The following gRNAs were selected:

sisA-del gRNA Target 1 (5'-3') – GTCCAATGGCAAGCTACCTG

sisA-del gRNA Target 2 (5'-3') – GATTACCTTCGGCCAGGCGA

The guides were cloned into the pU6-2-BbsI-gRNA vector #1363 (DGRC) using the listed

primers that were phosphorylated using T4 PNK.

sisA-del gRNA Target 1 sense (5'-3') – CTTCGTCGTTGGCCAATCCGGATGC

sisA-del gRNA Target 1 antisense (5'-3') – AAACGCATCCGGATTGGCCAACGAC

sisA-del gRNA Target 2 sense (5'-3') – CTTCGCACTGAGTCTACCTGATAAT

sisA-del gRNA Target 2 antisense (5'-3') – AAACATTATCAGGTAGACTCAGTGC

A donor plasmid to facilitate homology dependent repair was generated. A 5' homology arm and

a 3' homology arm were PCR-amplified from genomic DNA of *vas*-Cas9 expressing flies

(BDSC# 51324, 56552) and cloned into multiple cloning sites found upstream and downstream

of a removable 3xP3-DsRed marker in the pHD-DsRed-attP vector #1361 (DGRC).

sisA-del 5' Arm Fw (5'-3') –

AGCACACCTGCACGACCGATGAAAATGGAGCAAGTGGAAAGCACACCTGCA

CGACCGATGAAAATGGAGCAAGTGGAA

sisA-del 5' Arm Rv (5'-3') –

AGCACACCTGCACGATTAACCTTAGGCAATATGTCAGCCAGCACACCTGCAC

GA TTAACCTTAGGCAATATGTCAGCC

sisA-del 3' Arm Fw (5'-3') –

GGCGGCTCTTCCTAAAAAACGAATGCTTTCTTATTGGCGGCTCTTCCTAA

AAAACGAATGCTTTCTTATT

sisA-del 3' Arm Rv (5'-3') –

GGCGGCTCTTCCCGGTTTAATATACATATATTTGTGGCGGCTCTTCCCGG

TTTAATATACATATATTTGT

The gRNA expression plasmid and donor plasmid were injected by BestGene into embryos of

Vas-Cas9 flies (#56552, #51324, BDSC). DsRed-positive flies were balanced without DsRed

removal to maintain a selectable marker. Successful deletion of the *sisA* gene and replacement

with the DsRed marker was confirmed by sequencing.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Raghav Goyal: Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal Analysis, Writing (original draft and

editing), Funding Acquisition. Ellen Baxter: Investigation, Formal Analysis, Project

Administration. Mark Van Doren: Conceptualization, Writing (review and editing), Supervision,

Project Administration, Funding Acquisition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank VDRC, Vienna, and the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, Indiana, for flies; the

Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank for antibodies; and Flybase (www.flybase.org) for

essential information. We thank Integrated Imaging Center at The Johns Hopkins University,

Baltimore, for imaging. This work was supported by NSF Fellowship DGE-123285 (to R.G.)

NIH grant R01GM113001 (to M.V.D).

787
788

REFERENCES

1. Bridges CB. Direct proof through non-disjunction that the sex-linked genes of drosophila are borne by the x-chromosome. Science. 1914;40: 107–109. doi:10.1126/science.40.1020.107

2. Erickson JW, Quintero JJ. Indirect effects of ploidy suggest X chromosome dose, not the X:A ratio, signals sex in Drosophila. PLoS Biol. 2007;5: e332. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050332

3. Cline TW. Autoregulatory functioning of a Drosophila gene product that establish es and maintains the sexually determined state. Genetics. 1984;107: 231–277.

4. Cline TW. Primary events in the determination of sex in Drosophila melanogaster. Origin and evolution of sex. 1985;

5. Hannal-Alava A, Stern C. The sex combs in males and intersexes of Drosophila melanogaster. J Exp Zool. 1957;134: 533–556. doi:10.1002/jez.1401340307

6. Bell LR, Horabin JI, Schedl P, Cline TW. Positive autoregulation of sex-lethal by alternative splicing maintains the female determined state in Drosophila. Cell. 1991;65: 229–239. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(91)90157-t

7. Barbash DA, Cline TW. Genetic and molecular analysis of the autosomal component of the primary sex determination signal of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics. 1995;141: 1451–1471.

8. Bridges CB. Triploid intersexes in drosophila melanogaster. Science. 1921;54: 252–254. doi:10.1126/science.54.1394.252

9. Cline TW. Evidence that sisterless-a and sisterless-b are two of several discrete "numerator elements" of the X/A sex determination signal in Drosophila that switch Sxl between two alternative stable expression states. Genetics. 1988;119: 829–862.

10. Maine EM, Salz HK, Schedl P, Cline TW. Sex-lethal, a link between sex determination and sexual differentiation in Drosophila melanogaster. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 1985;50: 595–604. doi:10.1101/sqb.1985.050.01.072

11. Bopp D, Bell LR, Cline TW, Schedl P. Developmental distribution of female-specific Sex-lethal proteins in Drosophila melanogaster. Genes Dev. 1991;5: 403–415. doi:10.1101/gad.5.3.403

12. Cline TW. PRODUCT OF THE MATERNALLY-INFLUENCED SEX-LETHAL GENE DETERMINES SEX IN DROSOPHILA-MELANOGASTER. Genetics. 1979;

13. Cline TW. A male-specific lethal mutation in Drosophila melanogaster that transforms sex. Dev Biol. 1979;72: 266–275. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(79)90117-9

14. Sánchez L, Nöthiger R. Sex determination and dosage compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster*: production of male clones in XX females. EMBO J. 1983;2: 485–491. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1983.tb01451.x

15. Sánchez L, Nöthiger R. Clonal analysis ofsex-lethal, a gene needed for female sexual development inDrosophila melanogaster. Wilhelm Roux' Archiv. 1982;191: 211–214. doi:10.1007/BF00848339

16. Cline TW. The interaction between daughterless and sex-lethal in triploids: a lethal sex-transforming maternal effect linking sex determination and dosage compensation in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev Biol. 1983;95: 260–274. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(83)90027-1

17.   Lucchesi JC, Skripsky T. The link between dosage compensation and sex differentiation in
Drosophila melanogaster. Chromosoma. 1981;82: 217–227.
18.   Skripsky T, Lucchesi JC. Intersexuality resulting from the interaction of sex-specific lethal
mutations in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev Biol. 1982;94: 153–162. doi:10.1016/0012-
1606(82)90078-1
19.   Uenoyama T, Fukunaga A, Ioshi K. Studies on the sex-specific lethals of Drosophila
melanogaster. V. Sex transformation caused by interactions between a female-specific
lethal, Sxlf 1, and the male-specific lethals mle(3)132, msl-2(27), and mle. Genetics.
1982;102: 233–243.
20.   Keyes LN, Cline TW, Schedl P. The primary sex determination signal of Drosophila acts at
the level of transcription. Cell. 1992;68: 933–943. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(92)90036-c
21.   González AN, Lu H, Erickson JW. A shared enhancer controls a temporal switch between
promoters during Drosophila primary sex determination. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
2008;105: 18436–18441. doi:10.1073/pnas.0805993105
22.   Duffy JB, Gergen JP. The Drosophila segmentation gene runt acts as a position-specific
numerator element necessary for the uniform expression of the sex-determining gene Sex-
lethal. Genes Dev. 1991;5: 2176–2187. doi:10.1101/gad.5.12a.2176
23.   Jinks TM, Polydorides AD, Calhoun G, Schedl P. The JAK/STAT signaling pathway is
required for the initial choice of sexual identity in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Cell.
2000;5: 581–587. doi:10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80451-7
24.   Sánchez L, Granadino B, Torres M. Sex determination in Drosophila melanogaster: X-
linked genes involved in the initial step of sex-lethal activation. Dev Genet. 1994;15: 251–
264. doi:10.1002/dvg.1020150307
25.   Sefton L, Timmer JR, Zhang Y, Béranger F, Cline TW. An extracellular activator of the
Drosophila JAK/STAT pathway is a sex-determination signal element. Nature. 2000;405:
970–973. doi:10.1038/35016119
26.   Yang D, Lu H, Hong Y, Jinks TM, Estes PA, Erickson JW. Interpretation of X
chromosome dose at Sex-lethal requires non-E-box sites for the basic helix-loop-helix
proteins   SISB   and   daughterless.   Mol   Cell   Biol.   2001;21:   1581–1592.
doi:10.1128/MCB.21.5.1581-1592.2001
27.   Torres M, Sánchez L. The segmentation gene runt is needed to activate Sex-lethal, a gene
that controls sex determination and dosage compensation in Drosophila. Genet Res.
1992;59: 189–198. doi:10.1017/s0016672300030470
28.   Erickson JW, Cline TW. A bZIP protein, sisterless-a, collaborates with bHLH transcription
factors early in Drosophila development to determine sex. Genes Dev. 1993;7: 1688–1702.
doi:10.1101/gad.7.9.1688
29.   Kramer SG, Jinks TM, Schedl P, Gergen JP. Direct activation of Sex-lethal transcription
by the Drosophila runt protein. Development. 1999;126: 191–200.
30.   Avila FW, Erickson JW. Drosophila JAK/STAT pathway reveals distinct initiation and
reinforcement steps in early transcription of Sxl. Curr Biol. 2007;17: 643–648.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.02.038
31.   Grmai, L., Poxmanter, C. and Van Doren, M. The regulation of germline sex determination
in Drosophila by Sex lethal. Sex Dev. 2022;16:323-328. DOI: 10.1159/000521235
32.   Steinmann-Zwicky M, Schmid H, Nöthiger R. Cell-autonomous and inductive signals can
determine the sex of the germ line of drosophila by regulating the gene Sxl. Cell. 1989;57:
157–166. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(89)90181-5

33. Steinmann-Zwicky M. Sex determination in Drosophila: sis-b, a major numerator element
of the X:A ratio in the soma, does not contribute to the X:A ratio in the germ line.
Development. 1993;117: 763–767.

34. Andrews J, Oliver B. Sex determination signals control ovo-B transcription in Drosophila
melanogaster germ cells. Genetics. 2002;160: 537–545.

35. Brown EH, King RC. Studies on the expression of the transformer gene of drosophila
melanogaster. Genetics. 1961;46: 143–156.

36. Hinson S, Nagoshi RN. Regulatory and functional interactions between the somatic sex
regulatory gene transformer and the germline genes ovo and ovarian tumor. Development.
1999;126: 861–871.

37. Horabin JI, Bopp D, Waterbury J, Schedl P. Selection and maintenance of sexual identity
in the Drosophila germline. Genetics. 1995;141: 1521–1535.

38. Nagoshi RN, Patton JS, Bae E, Geyer PK. The somatic sex determines the requirement for
ovarian tumor gene activity in the proliferation of the Drosophila germline. Development.
1995;121: 579–587.

39. Nöthiger R, Jonglez M, Leuthold M, Meier-Gerschwiler P, Weber T. Sex determination in
the germ line of Drosophila depends on genetic signals and inductive somatic factors.
Development. 1989;107: 505–518.

40. Oliver B, Kim YJ, Baker BS. Sex-lethal, master and slave: a hierarchy of germ-line sex
determination in Drosophila. Development. 1993;119: 897–908.

41. Sturtevant AH. A Gene in Drosophila Melanogaster That Transforms Females into Males.
Genetics. 1945;30: 297–299.

42. Staab S, Heller A, Steinmann-Zwicky M. Somatic sex-determining signals act on XX germ
cells in Drosophila embryos. Development. 1996;122: 4065–4071.

43. Seidel S. [experimental studies on the principles of sterility of transformer (tra) males in
drosophila melanogaster]. Z Vererbungsl. 1963;94: 217–241.

44. Van Deusen EB. Sex determination in germ line chimeras of Drosophila melanogaster. J
Embryol Exp Morphol. 1977;37: 173–185.

45. Marsh JL, Wieschaus E. Is sex determination in germ line and soma controlled by separate
genetic mechanisms? Nature. 1978;272: 249–251. doi:10.1038/272249a0

46. Schüpbach T. Normal female germ cell differentiation requires the female X chromosome
to autosome ratio and expression of sex-lethal in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics.
1985;109: 529–548.

47. Schüpbach T. Autosomal mutations that interfere with sex determination in somatic cells
of Drosophila have no direct effect on the germline. Dev Biol. 1982;89: 117–127.
doi:10.1016/0012-1606(82)90300-1

48. Salz HK, Cline TW, Schedl P. Functional changes associated with structural alterations
induced by mobilization of a P element inserted in the Sex-lethal gene of Drosophila.
Genetics. 1987;117: 221–231.

49. Hager JH, Cline TW. Induction of female Sex-lethal RNA splicing in male germ cells:
implications for Drosophila germline sex determination. Development. 1997;124: 5033–
5048.

50. Hashiyama K, Hayashi Y, Kobayashi S. Drosophila Sex lethal gene initiates female
development in germline progenitors. Science. 2011;333: 885–888.
doi:10.1126/science.1208146

51. Cronmiller C, Cline TW. The Drosophila sex determination gene daughterless has different

functions in the germ line versus the soma. Cell. 1987;48: 479–487. doi:10.1016/0092-
8674(87)90198-x

52. Granadino B, Santamaria P, Sánchez L. Sex determination in the germ line of Drosophila
melanogaster: activation of the gene Sex-lethal. Development. 1993;118: 813–816.

53. Steinmann-Zwicky M. Sxl in the germline of Drosophila: a target for somatic late
induction. Dev Genet. 1994;15: 265–274. doi:10.1002/dvg.1020150308

54. Steinmann-Zwicky M. Sex determination of the Drosophila germ line: tra and dsx control
somatic inductive signals. Development. 1994;120: 707–716.

55. Van Doren M, Williamson AL, Lehmann R. Regulation of zygotic gene expression in
Drosophila primordial germ cells. Curr Biol. 1998;8: 243–246. doi:10.1016/s0960-
9822(98)70091-0

56. McKearin DM, Spradling AC. bag-of-marbles: a Drosophila gene required to initiate both
male and female gametogenesis. Genes Dev. 1990;4: 2242–2251.
doi:10.1101/gad.4.12b.2242

57. Walker JJ, Lee KK, Desai RN, Erickson JW. The Drosophila melanogaster sex
determination gene sisA is required in yolk nuclei for midgut formation. Genetics.
2000;155: 191–202.

58. Gönczy P, Matunis E, DiNardo S. bag-of-marbles and benign gonial cell neoplasm act in
the germline to restrict proliferation during Drosophila spermatogenesis. Development.
1997;124: 4361–4371.

59. Casper AL, Van Doren M. The establishment of sexual identity in the Drosophila germline.
Development. 2009;136: 3821–3830. doi:10.1242/dev.042374

60. Beliveau BJ, Boettiger AN, Avendaño MS, Jungmann R, McCole RB, Joyce EF, et al.
Single-molecule super-resolution imaging of chromosomes and in situ haplotype
visualization using Oligopaint FISH probes. Nat Commun. 2015;6: 7147.
doi:10.1038/ncomms8147

61. Beliveau BJ, Joyce EF, Apostolopoulos N, Yilmaz F, Fonseka CY, McCole RB, et al.
Versatile design and synthesis platform for visualizing genomes with Oligopaint FISH
probes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109: 21301–21306. doi:10.1073/pnas.1213818110

62. Beliveau BJ, Apostolopoulos N, Wu C. Visualizing genomes with Oligopaint FISH probes.
Curr Protoc Mol Biol. 2014;105: Unit 14.23. doi:10.1002/0471142727.mb1423s105

63. Viets K, Sauria MEG, Chernoff C, Rodriguez Viales R, Echterling M, Anderson C, et al.
Characterization of Button Loci that Promote Homologous Chromosome Pairing and Cell-
Type-Specific Interchromosomal Gene Regulation. Dev Cell. 2019;51: 341–356.e7.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.09.007

64. Vert J-P, Foveau N, Lajaunie C, Vandenbrouck Y. An accurate and interpretable model for
siRNA efficacy prediction. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006;7: 520. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-
520

65. Bier E, Harrison MM, O'Connor-Giles KM, Wildonger J. Advances in Engineering the Fly
Genome with the CRISPR-Cas System. Genetics. 2018;208: 1–18.
doi:10.1534/genetics.117.1113

66. Gratz SJ, Ukken FP, Rubinstein CD, Thiede G, Donohue LK, Cummings AM, et al. Highly
specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in Drosophila.
Genetics. 2014;196: 961–971. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.160713

67. Yang SY, Baxter EM, Van Doren M. Phf7 controls male sex determination in the
Drosophila germline. Dev Cell. 2012;22: 1041–1051. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.04.013

68.   Rørth P. Gal4 in the Drosophila female germline. Mech Dev. 1998;78: 113–118.

69.   Port F, Bullock SL. Augmenting CRISPR applications in Drosophila with tRNA-flanked
sgRNAs. Nat Methods. 2016;13: 852–854. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3972

70.   Port F, Chen H-M, Lee T, Bullock SL. Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools for efficient germline
and somatic genome engineering in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111:
E2967-76. doi:10.1073/pnas.1405500111

71.   Ren X, Sun J, Housden BE, Hu Y, Roesel C, Lin S, et al. Optimized gene editing
technology for Drosophila melanogaster using germ line-specific Cas9. Proc Natl Acad Sci
USA. 2013;110: 19012–19017. doi:10.1073/pnas.1318481110

72.   Gratz SJ, Rubinstein CD, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM. CRISPR-Cas9
Genome Editing in Drosophila. Curr Protoc Mol Biol. 2015;111: 31.2.1-20.
doi:10.1002/0471142727.mb3102s111

73.   Gratz SJ, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM. Precise Genome Editing of
Drosophila with CRISPR RNA-Guided Cas9. Methods Mol Biol. 2015;1311: 335–348.
doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-2687-9_22

74.   Thompson JGP, Schedl P, Pulak R. Sex-specific GFP-expression in Drosophila embryos
and sorting by Copas flow cytometry technique.

75.   Oliver B, Pauli D. Suppression of distinct ovo phenotypes in the Drosophila female
germline bymaleless− andSex-lethalM. Dev Genet. 1998;23: 335–346.
doi:10.1002/(SICI)1520-6408(1998)23:4<335::AID-DVG8>3.0.CO;2-M

76.   Oliver B, Pauli D, Mahowald AP. Genetic evidence that the ovo locus is involved in
Drosophila germ line sex determination. Genetics. 1990;125: 535–550.

77.   Wei G, Oliver B, Pauli D, Mahowald AP. Evidence for sex transformation of germline
cells in ovarian tumor mutants of Drosophila. Dev Biol. 1994;161: 318–320.
doi:10.1006/dbio.1994.1032

78.   Oliver B, Perrimon N, Mahowald AP. The ovo locus is required for sex-specific germ line
maintenance in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 1987;1: 913–923. doi:10.1101/gad.1.9.913

79.   Oliver B, Singer J, Laget V, Pennetta G, Pauli D. Function of Drosophila ovo+ in germ-
line sex determination depends on X-chromosome number. Development. 1994;120: 3185–
3195.

80.   Siddiqui NU, Li X, Luo H, Karaiskakis A, Hou H, Kislinger T, et al. Genome-wide
analysis of the maternal-to-zygotic transition in Drosophila primordial germ cells. Genome
Biol. 2012;13: R11. doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-2-r11

81.   Cline TW. A female-specific lethal lesion in an X-linked positive regulator of the
Drosophila sex determination gene, Sex-lethal. Genetics. 1986;113: 641–663.

82.   Clough E, Jimenez E, Kim Y-A, Whitworth C, Neville MC, Hempel LU, et al. Sex- and
tissue-specific functions of Drosophila doublesex transcription factor target genes. Dev
Cell. 2014;31: 761–773. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.11.021

83.   Liu Y, Belote JM. Protein-protein interactions among components of the Drosophila
primary sex determination signal. Mol Gen Genet. 1995;248: 182–189.
doi:10.1007/bf02190799

84.   Shapiro-Kulnane L, Smolko AE, Salz HK. Maintenance of Drosophila germline stem cell
sexual identity in oogenesis and tumorigenesis. Development. 2015;142: 1073–1082.
doi:10.1242/dev.116590

85.   Primus S, Pozmanter C, Baxter K, Van Doren M. Tudor-domain containing protein 5-like
promotes male sexual identity in the Drosophila germline and is repressed in females by

Sex lethal. PLoS Genet. 2019;15: e1007617. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007617

86. Bhaskar PK, Southard S, Baxter K, Van Doren M. Germline sex determination regulates
sex-specific signaling between germline stem cells and their niche. Cell Rep. 2022;39. doi:
10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110620

1022

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 Figure 1: *SxlPE* activity does not precede *SxlPM* in the germline

 A-B) RNA-FISH (+ Immunofluorescence) against the transcript from *SxlPM* only (*PM* RNA)

 versus the common transcript from *SxlPE* and *SxlPM* (*PE+PM* RNA) in embryonic stage 5

 primordial germ cells (PGCs). A) Male PGCs with both *PE+PM* and *PM*-probe signals. B)

 Female PGCs with both *PE+PM* and *PM*-probe signals. Arrows mark fluorescent nuclear foci of

 RNA-FISH signals. Note that a single focus is observed in female PGCs suggesting that X

 chromosomes may be paired in the germ cells. VAS stains germ cells. C-D) Graphs showing

 percentage of PGCs with observable RNA-FISH probe signals between stages 3 and 11 of

 embryogenesis.

 Figure 2: *SxlPE* is sex-specifically activated in the germline

 A-B') Immunofluorescence of first instar (L1) gonads from flies bearing *SxlPE*-10.2kb reporter

 transgene. Note the presence of sex-specific nuclear GFP expression in female germ cells only.

 Arrows mark nuclei of germ cells. C-D') Immunofluorescence of first instar (L1) gonads from

 flies bearing the HA:SxlE1 (Early Sxl) tag. Arrows mark cytoplasmic HA-background staining.

 E-F') Immunofluorescence of second instar (L2) gonads from flies bearing the FLAG:SxlL2

 (Late Sxl) tag. Arrows mark FLAG-positive germ cells. Note the presence of FLAG (Late Sxl)

 expression in female germ cells only. The anti-FLAG immunoreactivity in the fat body of both

 sexes is also present in wild-type stocks with no FLAG-tagged proteins, indicating it is antibody

 background. VAS stains germ cells.

Figure 3: *sisA* loss of function in the female germline results in ovarian tumors and germ

cell loss

A-F') Immunofluorescence of adult ovaries to characterize germ cell phenotypes. A-A')

Wildtype ovary from fly with germline-specific *mCherry* RNAi as control. B-B') Ovary with

germ cell tumors from fly with germline-specific *Sxl* RNAi. Knockdown of *Sxl* causes a

germline tumor phenotype. C-C') Ovary with germ cell tumors from fly with germline-specific

sisA RNAi (*sisA* RNAi 1). D-D') Ovary with severe germ cell loss from fly with strong

germline-specific *sisA* RNAi (2x GAL4). E-G) Animals expressing Cas9 in the germline along

with guide RNAs for control (*gfp*) or *sisA* to create *de novo* "G0" mutations in the germline. E-

E') Wildtype ovary from fly with control guide RNAs for *gfp*. F-F') Ovary with germ cell

tumors from fly with guide RNAs generating mutations in *sisA*. G-G') Germ cell-less ovary from

fly with guide RNAs generating mutations in *sisA*. VAS stains germ cells. DAPI stains DNA

(nucleus).

1058

Figure 4: *sisA* is zygotically expressed in the germline

A-C') RNA-FISH (+ Immunofluorescence) against *sisA* in embryonic PGCs (Stages 3-5 shown).

Smaller white dashed boxes specify the region zoomed in on, and presented in larger white

dashed boxes. Arrows mark fluorescent nuclear foci of RNA-FISH signals. Note that a single

focus is observed in female PGCs suggesting that X chromosomes may be paired in the germ

cells. VAS stains germ cells. D) Graph showing percentage of PGCs with observable RNA-FISH

probe signals between stages 3 and 11 of embryogenesis. *sisA* is zygotically expressed in PGCs

of both sexes. E-G') Immunofluorescence of embryonic PGCs (Stages 3-5 shown) from flies

bearing sfGFP:SisA (SisA tag). Note that this expression is nuclear, consistent with SisA's

characterization as a bZIP transcription factor. Arrows mark GFP-positive germ cell nuclei. VAS

stains germ cells.

1070

Figure 5: Sxl expression in the female germline depends on *sisA*

A-E') Immunofluorescence of adult ovaries using anti-Sxl antibody (green). Anti-Vas stains the

germ cells. A-A') Wildtype ovary from fly with germline-specific *mCherry* RNAi. Sxl staining

is highest in the early germ cells and decreases in differentiating germ cells. B-B') Ovary with

germ cell tumors from fly with germline-specific *Sxl* RNAi. Knockdown of *Sxl* causes a

germline tumor phenotype and germ cells lack Sxl staining. C-C') Ovary with germ cell tumors

from fly with germline-specific *sisA* RNAi. Note that tumorous germ cells lack Sxl staining.

Somatic Sxl remains unaffected. D-D') *bam* mutant ovary with germline-specific *mCherry*

RNAi. *bam* mutations cause a germline tumor phenotype and an expansion of germ cells that

highly express Sxl. E-E') *bam* mutant ovary with germline-specific *sisA* RNAi. Sxl staining is

dramatically reduced in the germline. F-G') Visualization of endogenous GFP expression

(Green) from the *SxlPE*-10.2kb reporter in L1 stage males (F, F'), females (G, G') and females

expressing *sisA RNAi* in the germline (*nos>sisARNAi)*. White arrowheads indicate examples of

germ cells and yellow arrowheads indicate somatic gonadal cells. H) Quantification of GFP

fluorescence intensity in germ cells from samples as in F-G.

1086

Figure 6: *sisA* **is necessary but not sufficient to feminize the germline**

A-C') Immunofluorescence of adult testes to characterize anti-Sxl staining. A-A') Wildtype

testis from fly with germline-specific overexpression of *gfp*. B-B') Testis from fly with germline-

specific overexpression of *Sxl*. Sxl staining is observed in the early germ cells. The anterior tip of

the testis looks mildly atrophied. C-C') Testis from fly with germline-specific overexpression of

sisA. No Sxl staining is observed in the germ cells. The testis resembles the wildtype control. D-

E) Immunofluorescence of adult ovaries to characterize rescue of *sisA* loss-of-function by *Sxl*

expression. All animals strongly express UAS-*sisA* RNAi using two copies of *nos*-Gal4. D-D')

Germ cell-less ovary from *sisA*-RNAi flies with ectopic expression of a control protein (GFP)

using the *otu* promoter. Flies of this genotype are sterile. E-E') Ovary from *sisA*-RNAi flies with

ectopic expression of *Sxl* under the control of the *otu* promoter. Note the rescue of the germline

and the wildtype appearance of the ovary, indicating normal oogenesis. VAS stains germ cells.

SXL stains Sxl. DAPI stains DNA (nucleus).

1100

Figure S1: RNA-FISH against nascent RNA can be used to study *Sxl* promoter initiation

A) Cartoon showing relevant portion of the *Sxl* gene locus and span of *SxlPM* and *SxlPE+SxlPM*

transcripts probed by *PM*-probes (Cy5, false colored Green) and *PE+PM*-probes (Cy3, Red)

respectively, using RNA-FISH. Locus is drawn to scale. Size of probes is not drawn to scale.

CDS: Coding sequences. UTR: Untranslated Region. B-C'') RNA-FISH (+

Immunofluorescence) against the transcript from *SxlPM* only (*PM* RNA) versus the common

transcript from *SxlPE* and *SxlPM* (*PE+PM* RNA) in embryonic stage 3 somatic cells. B-B'')

Male somatic cells always show both *PE+PM* and *PM*-probe signals. Note that a single focus is

observed indicating a single X chromosome. C-C'') Female somatic cells first have *PE+PM*-

probe signals, indicative of *SxlPE* activity alone. Note that two foci are observed per nucleus

indicating the presence of two X chromosomes that are unpaired. Arrows mark fluorescent

nuclear foci of RNA-FISH signals except in C'' which has no RNA-FISH signals. *PE+PM* RNA

is probed by *PE+PM*-probes. *PM* RNA is probed by *PM*-probes. DAPI stains DNA (nucleus).

1114

Figure S2: *SxlPE* requires different *cis*-regulatory elements in the soma and the germline

A) Cartoon showing relevant portion of the *Sxl* gene locus and design of transcriptional reporter

constructs specific for *SxlPE*. EGFP reporters include a nuclear localization sequence (nls) to aid

in visualization of expression. The 1.5kb somatic enhancer is illustrated. In *SxlPE*-5.2kb,

EGFPnls replaces the CDS of Exon E1. In *SxlPE*-10.2kb, EGFPnls replaces the CDS of Exon 4.

Locus is drawn to scale. EGFPnls is not drawn to scale. CDS: Coding sequences. UTR:

Untranslated Region. B-I) Immunofluorescence of developing gonads to characterize *SxlPE*-

5.2kb expression from stage 15 of embryogenesis to the third larval instar stage (L3). Note the

presence of sex-specific nuclear GFP expression in female somatic cells. No GFP expression is

observed in the germ cells at any stage. Arrows mark GFP-positive somatic cells. J-K)

Immunofluorescence of embryonic stage 15 gonads to characterize *SxlPE*-10.2kb expression.

Note the absence of GFP expression in female germ cells but presence of GFP expression in

female somatic cells. Arrows mark GFP-positive somatic cells. VAS stains germ cells.

1128

Figure S3: Endogenous tagging of Early and Late Sxl isoforms

A) Cartoon showing relevant portion of the *Sxl* gene locus and location of endogenous tags for

Early and Late Sxl isoforms. A 2X HA tag is inserted at the N-terminus of Exon E1's CDS to

generate the HA:SxlE1 'Early (E) Sxl' tag. A 3X FLAG tag is inserted at the N-terminus of Exon

L2's CDS to generate the FLAG:SxlL2 'Late (L) Sxl' tag. Locus is drawn to scale. Tags are not

drawn to scale. CDS: Coding sequences. UTR: Untranslated Region. B-G) Immunofluorescence

of developing gonads to characterize HA:SxlE1 expression from stage 11 of embryogenesis to

the second larval instar stage (L2). Note the presence of sex-specific Early Sxl expression in the

nuclei of female somatic cells. Arrows mark HA-positive somatic cells. H-I)

Immunofluorescence of developing guts to character HA:SxlE1 expression at the first larval

instar stage (L1). Note the presence of sex-specific Early Sxl expression in the nuclei of female

gut cells. Arrows mark HA-positive gut cells. J-M') Immunofluorescence of developing gonads

to characterize FLAG:SxlL2 expression from the third larval instar stage (L3) to adult stage.

Note the presence of sex-specific Late Sxl expression in the cytoplasm of female germ cells.

Arrows mark FLAG-positive germ cells. VAS stains germ cells. DAPI stains DNA (nucleus).

1144

Figure S4: RNAi against most somatic XSEs does not affect the female germline

A-D') Immunofluorescence of adult ovaries to characterize germ cell phenotypes resulting from

RNAi against somatic XSEs. A-A') Wildtype ovary from fly with germline-specific *mCherry*

RNAi. B-B') Ovary from fly with germline-specific *sisB* RNAi. C-C') Ovary from fly with

germline-specific *sisC* RNAi. D-D') Ovary from fly with germline-specific *run* RNAi. All

ovaries resemble the wildtype control. VAS stains germ cells. DAPI stains DNA (nucleus).

1151

Figure S5: *sisA* loss of function in the female germline

A-B') Immunofluorescence of adult ovaries to characterize germ cell phenotypes. A-A')

Wildtype ovary from fly with germline-specific *mCherry* RNAi. B-B') Ovary with germ cell

tumors from fly with germline-specific *sisA* RNAi (*sisA* RNAi 2). Note that the severity of

tumors is less than those observed with *sisA* RNAi 1. VAS stains germ cells. DAPI stains DNA

(nucleus). C) Graph showing percentage of ovaries exhibiting either wildtype, germ cell tumor,

or germ cell-less phenotypes resultant from different loss of function conditions. D) Cartoon

showing extended *sisA* locus with target sites for RNAi and guide RNA targets for G0 CRISPR.

Locus is drawn to scale. CDS: Coding sequences. UTR: Untranslated Region.

1161

Figure S6: Characterizing *sisA* expression

A-B') RNA-FISH (+ Immunofluorescence) against *sisA* in embryonic somatic cells. A-A')

Female somatic cells at stage 3 with *sisA* RNA-FISH signals. Note that two foci are observed per

nucleus indicating the presence of two X chromosomes that are unpaired. Arrows mark

fluorescent nuclear foci of RNA-FISH signals. B-B') Yolk cell nuclei with *sisA* RNA-FISH

signals. Arrows mark fluorescent nuclear foci of RNA-FISH signals. DAPI stains DNA

(nucleus). C) Cartoon showing the *sisA* gene locus and location of endogenous sfGFP tag. An

sfGFP tag is inserted at the N-terminus of *sisA*'s CDS to generate the sfGFP:SisA tag. Locus is

drawn to scale. Tag is not drawn to scale. CDS: Coding sequences. UTR: Untranslated Region.

D-E') Immunofluorescence of adult gonads to characterize germ cell phenotypes caused by the

N-terminal sfGFP tag on SisA. The gonads resemble wildtype gonads, suggesting that the tag

does not impair SisA function. F-F') Immunofluorescence of female somatic cells at stage 3

expressing sfGFP:SisA. G-G') Immunofluorescence of yolk cell nuclei expressing sfGFP:SisA.

Note that this expression is nuclear, consistent with SisA's characterization as a bZIP

transcription factor. H-H') Immunofluorescence of embryonic stage 10 PGCs from flies bearing

sfGFP:SisA (SisA tag). LAM-B stains nuclear lamina. VAS stains germ cells. DAPI stains DNA

(nucleus).

1179

Figure S7: *sisA* lies upstream of *Sxl* in the female germline

A-D') Immunofluorescence of adult ovaries to characterize Sxl expression. A-A') Wildtype

ovary from fly with germline-specific *mCherry* RNAi. Sxl expression is highest in the early

germ cells and decreases in differentiating germ cells. B-B') Ovary with germ cell tumors from

fly with germline-specific *sisA* RNAi (*sisA* RNAi 2). Note that tumorous germ cells lack Sxl

expression. Somatic Sxl remains unaffected. C-C') Wildtype ovary from fly with mutations in

the germline against *gfp*. Sxl expression is wildtype. D-D') Ovary with germ cell tumors from fly

with mutations in the germline against *sisA*. Note that tumorous germ cells lack Sxl expression.

VAS stains germ cells. SXL stains Sxl. E) Graph showing percentage of ovaries exhibiting either

wildtype, germ cell tumor, or germ cell-less phenotypes, with or without rescue of *sisA* loss of

function by *Sxl*.

1191
1192
1193   Cartoons in Figures S1A, S2A, S3A, S5D, and S6C were created with Biorender.com

1194
1195

Figure 1 - *SxlPE* activity does not precede *SxlPM* in the germline

Stage 5 PGCs

Male

A | VAS *Com* RNA *PM* RNA | 20 μM

A' | *Com* RNA

A'' | *PM* RNA

Female

B

B'

B''

C - % of Female Germ Cells with *Sxl* RNA-FISH signal

% PGCs vs Embryonic Stage (3, 4, 5, 6-8, 9, 10, 11)

D - % of Male Germ Cells with *Sxl* RNA-FISH signal

% PGCs vs Embryonic Stage (3, 4, 5, 6-8, 9, 10, 11)

Legend: No Signal | *SxlPE* ON *SxlPM* OFF | *SxlPM* ON | *SxlPE* ? *SxlPM* ON

Figure 2 - Sex-specific activation of *SxlPE* is also important in the germline

Figure 3 - *sisterless A* loss-of-function in the female germline results in ovarian tumors and germ cell loss

Figure 4 - *sisA* expression in embryonic germline precedes *SxlPE*

Figure 5 Loss of sisA in the germline causes loss of Sxl expression

Figure 6 - *sisA* lies upstream of *Sxl* in the germline sex determination cascade

Figure S1 - RNA-FISH against nascent RNA can be used to study *Sex lethal* promoter initiation

Figure S2 - *SxlPE* reguires different *cis*-regulatory elements in the soma and the germline

Figure S3 - Endogenous tagging of Early and Late Sex lethal isoforms using CRISPR-Cas9

Figure S4 - Most somatic XSEs are not required for female germline sexual identity

Figure S5 - *sisterless A* loss-of-function in the female germline

A *nos > mCherry RNAi* — VAS DAPI, 50 μM
A' VAS
B *nos > sisA RNAi 2*
B'

C Quantification of *sisA* loss-of-function phenotypes

% Ovaries

Legend:
- Germ cell-less
- Germ cell tumor
- No phenotype

X-axis categories:
nos > mCherry RNAi
nos > Sxl RNAi
nos > sisA RNAi 1
nos > sisA RNAi 2
nos (2x) > -
nos (2x) > sisA RNAi 1
nos-Cas9 + *gfp* gRNA
nos-Cas9 + *sisA* gRNA (2)
nos-Cas9 + *sisA* gRNA (4)

D
sisA RNAi 2, *sisA* RNAi 3, *sisA* RNAi 1

5' UTR — SisA CDS — 3' UTR

sisA G0 Target 2, *sisA* G0 Target 1, *sisA* G0 Target 4, *sisA* G0 Target 3

500bp

Figure S6 - SisA expression in soma

Female Embryo (Stage 3)

Yolk Cell Nuclei

A — LAM-B   *sisA* RNA

A' — *sisA* RNA

B — DAPI   *sisA* RNA

B' — *sisA* RNA

20 μM

C — 5' UTR | sfGFP | Flexible Linker | SisA CDS | 3' UTR

Flexible Linker
500bp

Male

Female

D — VAS   DAPI

D' — VAS

50 μM

E

E'

Embryo (Stage 3)

Yolk Cell Nuclei

F — LAM-B   sfGFP:SisA

F' — sfGFP:SisA

20 μM

G — DAPI   sfGFP:SisA

G' — sfGFP:SisA

20 μM

Embryo (Stage 10)

H — VAS   sfGFP:SisA

H' — sfGFP:SisA

20 μM

Figure S7 - *sisA* lies upstream of *Sxl* in the female germline

nos > mCherry RNAi

A VAS SXL   A' SXL 50 μM

nos > sisA RNAi 2

B   B'

nos-Cas9 + *gfp* gRNA

C   C'

nos-Cas9 + *sisA* gRNA

D   D'

E Quantification of *sisA* rescue by *Sxl*

% Ovaries

☐ Germ cell-less
🟥 Germ cell tumor
⬛ No phenotype

nos (x2) > sisA RNAi 1 + *otu-GFP*

nos (x2) > sisA RNAi 1 + *otu-Sxl*