Deformed Wing Virus spillover from honey bees to bumble bees: a reverse genetic study.

Running title: Deformed Wing Virus spillover in bumble bees.

Olesya N Gusachenko[1], Luke Woodford[1], Katharin Balbirnie-Cumming[1],

Ryabov Eugene V Ryabov[2], David J Evans[1]

[1]Evans laboratory, Biomedical Sciences Research Complex, University of St. Andrews, North

Haugh, St. Andrews, UK; [2]USDA-ARS Bee Research Laboratory, Beltsville Agricultural

Research Center, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA.

Abstract

Deformed wing virus (DWV) is a persistent pathogen of European honey bees and the major

contributor to overwintering colony losses. The prevalence of DWV in honey bees has led to

significant concerns about spillover of the virus to other pollinating species. Bumble bees are

both a major group of wild and commercially-reared pollinators. Several studies have reported

pathogen spillover of DWV from honey bees to bumble bees, but evidence of a true sustained

viral infection has yet to be demonstrated. Here we investigate the infectivity and transmission

of DWV in bumble bees using the buff-tailed bumble bee *Bombus terrestris* as a model. We

apply a reverse genetics approach combined with controlled laboratory conditions to detect and

monitor DWV infection. A novel reverse genetics system for three representative DWV variants,

including the two master variants of DWV - type A and B - was used. Our results directly confirm

DWV replication in bumble bees but also demonstrate striking resistance to infection by certain

routes. Bumble bees may support DWV replication but it is not clear how infection could occur

under natural environmental conditions.

Introduction

Deformed wing virus (DWV) is a widely established pathogen of the European honey bee, *Apis*

mellifera. In synergistic action with its vector — the parasitic mite *Varroa destructor* — it has had

a devastating impact on the health of honey bee colonies globally. As the primary managed

insect pollinator, honey bees are of high ecological and economic value and contribute an

estimated 30-50% of mobile pollination activity [1, 2]. Two-thirds of all colonies in the USA (~1.6

million hives) are transported to California in February/March for almond pollination [3].

Inevitably, transporting bees also transports their pathogens. This, coupled with the local

pathogen density associated with ~50 000 bees in a single hive, has raised concerns about

pathogen spillover from managed honey bees to other pollinators [4]. DWV was found as a

frequent component of pollen pellets [5] and is also present in bee faeces [6], suggesting honey

bee foragers and colonies could facilitate horizontal virus transmission to the wider pollinator

community. Significantly, DWV RNA has been detected in many insects sharing the

environment with managed honey bees, including Asian bee species and wild bees,

cockroaches, ants, wasps, and bumble bees [4, 5, 7–19]. Due to their extended activity at lower

temperatures (compared to honey bees) bumble bees are considered particularly important

pollinators in temperate and subarctic climates [20, 21] and are the only other insect managed

for commercial-scale pollination [21]. As a consequence, the potentially negative impact of

extensive honey bee management and failing pathogen control on co-located *Bombus* species

has received significant attention.

Following a report that DWV was detected in symptomatic *Bombus terrestris* and *Bombus*

pascuorum individuals with deformed wings [7] there have been several studies of DWV

prevalence in a wide range of *Bombus* species [4, 5, 11, 12, 22–24]. In *Varroa*-infested honey

bee colonies DWV levels can exceed 10^{11} genome copies per bee [25], with considerable

potential for environmental contamination. DWV-positive *Bombus sp.* have been shown to

correlate to areas with high DWV prevalence in *Apis* [4, 23, 24]. The majority of screening

studies have used RT-PCR for DWV detecting both positive and negative strand DWV RNA in

environmental bumble bee samples (reviewed in [26]). However, the near ubiquitous presence

of managed hives, the honey bee – and consequently pathogen – density around hives, and the

foraging range of *Apis* mean that DWV is likely widespread [5]. Although detailed analysis of

DWV replication requires detection of the negative strand intermediate of replication it should be

noted that this alone is not formal proof that the virus is replicating. There remains the possibility

that negative strands are present as carry-over in *Apis*-derived cellular material ingested by

bumble bees.

The name DWV is currently attributed to an evolving complex of closely related viruses, which

includes DWV-A [27], Kakugo virus [28], Varroa destructor virus-1 (VDV-1; also referred to as

DWV-B [29–31]) and a range of recombinants between DWV-A and -B [32–35]. All viruses

exhibit at least 84% identity at the nucleotide level and 95% identity at the protein level [32, 35,

36]. The sensitivity of current diagnostic methods means DWV detection in environmental

samples is regularly reported, with different DWV variants identified in *Bombus* [4]. Far less

frequent are studies investigating potential routes of transmission from *Apis* to *Bombus*, or the

subsequent replication of DWV in bumble bees. Due to the absence of a suitable cell line for *in*

vitro propagation, laboratory-based assays have been limited to the application of field-sourced

virus. Infectivity of DWV obtained from field honey bee samples was tested via inoculations of

adult *Bombus terrestris* [4, 22]. It was reported that a DWV complex containing both DWV-A and

-B is infectious when fed at high concentrations - 10^9 GE of virus per bee [4].

We have used a reverse genetic (RG) approach to generate near-clonal populations of

genetically tagged DWV-A, -B and a B/A hybrid after transfection of honey bees with *in vitro*

transcribed RNA. A similar system has recently been reported for DWV-A [37–39]. Using RG-

derived DWV inocula we address the question of DWV pathogenesis and likely transmission

routes in *Bombus terrestris* at both the individual and colony level. Using this strategy we

provide direct evidence of DWV replication in this pollinator via virus feeding and injection.

Importantly, adult *Bombus terrestris* appear resistant to productive infection by DWV orally and

do not exhibit the developmental defects characteristic of DWV infection and replication in

honey bees.

Results

<u>Infectivity of DWV RNA and virus in injected honey bee and bumble bee pupae</u>

In order to test infectivity of DWV variants in controlled laboratory experiments we have

developed RG systems for DWV-A and -B master variants. DWV cDNAs were built using gene

synthesis based upon published sequences [27, 40, 41] and that of a recombinant DWV

reported earlier [25]. The RG constructs design is similar to a recently reported system for

DWV-A [37] with a full-length DWV cDNA placed under transcriptional control of a T7 promoter.

RNA generated *in vitro* is directly injected into honey bee pupae from which infectious virus is

recovered. To allow discrimination from any endogenous DWV we engineered synonymous

mutations creating new restriction sites, allowing the unambiguous identification of the RG

genomes. The following nomenclature was used for the generated viruses: "VDD" - type A

DWV, "VVV" - type B, "VVD" - B/A recombinant (Figure 1A).

In temperate climates honey bee brood is only available for ~50% of the year and *in vivo*

research is of necessity seasonal. Although the DWV IRES retains partial activity in at least one

cell line of non-honey bee origin (*Lymanthria dispar* LD652Y cells) [42], attempted infection of

those cells with DWV or transfection of *in vitro*-generated DWV RNA did not result in virus

replication (OG, unpublished data). This impediment to DWV studies prompted us to investigate

the recovery of clonal stocks of DWV in bumble bees (*Bombus terrestris audax*) injected with

viral RNA. Commercially farmed bumble bee colonies are available year-round and, in our

preliminary studies, are free of DWV RNA (data not shown). Four of six RNA-injected bumble

bee pupae tested positive for DWV, while all honey bee pupae injected with full-length RNA

were shown to be DWV-positive (Figure 1B, C). The RG origin of DWV in injected samples was

confirmed by restriction digest of PCR products using endonucleases specific for the introduced

genetic tag (Figure 1B,C - restriction digest). The remaining tissue from RNA-injected samples

was used to prepare crude DWV stocks. RT-qPCR analysis showed that the *Apis*-derived

stocks contained between 10^7-10^9 genome equivalents (GE) of DWV/µl, while *Bombus*-derived

inoculum contained 3.75×10^5 GE/µl. For comparison, DWV levels in mock-injected *Apis* pupae

did not exceed 10^5 GE DWV/µg of RNA (representing the endogenous viral load) and *Bombus*

pupae had no detectable DWV sequences in mock-inoculated samples.

DWV extracted from *Bombus* was infectious when re-inoculated by injection to *Bombus* pupae.

We observed no differences in the infectivity of *Apis*- or *Bombus*-derived DWV (Figure S1) and,

at the genome level, no obvious signs of adaptation following comparison of the parental cDNA

sequence with NGS data from the second passage of *Bombus*-derived DWV (Figure S2).

In honey bees, both DWV-A and -B are pathogenic when inoculated, though recent studies

have produced contradictory results when comparing their relative virulence [29, 41, 43]. We

therefore tested infectivity of DWV types A, B and a B/A hybrid in bumble bee brood and adults.

As our primary interest was to investigate the potential for DWV spillover from infected honey

bees we used DWV inoculates prepared from RNA-injected honey bee pupae for all further

experiments.

White-eyed (1 day post molting) or purple-eyed (5.5 days) bumble bee pupae were injected with

10^3 or 10^6 GE of each DWV variant, and virus levels quantified 48 hours post-inoculation. In all

cases we observed a 10^2-10^4 increase in total DWV load compared to the inoculated amount,

providing clear evidence for replication (Figure 2A). More DWV accumulated in older pupae but

this was only statistically significant for 10^6 GE of the VVV variant (Tukey's multiple comparisons

test, P=0.03).

Bombus larvae can be infected with DWV *per os*

Since bumble bee pupae are not parasitised by *Varroa* naturally-infected pupae must acquire

the virus by prior exposure of larvae. We therefore investigated virus infection after feeding

DWV to 1st and 2nd instar *Bombus* larvae (age 1 and 2 on Figure 2B respectively). Each larva

individually received a single dose of diet containing 10^8 GE of DWV on the first day of the

experiment. DWV was detectable in all fed larvae on the 5th day post inoculation, although virus

levels were comparable to the amount administered in the diet. When analysed ~50% of fed

larvae had detectable levels of DWV negative strand RNA, absent from the inocula and

indicative of virus replication.

<u>Investigation of colony scale transmission of DWV and adult infection *per os*</u>

In honey bees, horizontal transmission of DWV occurs *per os* in larvae or adults, or when

Varroa feed on pupae and adults. Whilst we show here that DWV can replicate in *Bombus sp.*

pupae after direct injection, and in virus fed larvae, the route by which adult bumble bees could

become infected remains unclear. We reasoned that two likely routes would be via direct oral

exposure of adult *Bombus* to virus in the environment or indirectly following larval infection with

virus carried by adult bees.

Groups of adult bumble bees received 10^7 or 10^8 DWV GE per bee via feeding with virus-

supplemented sucrose solution. All control group bees remained viable during the experiment,

and only one dead bee was found in each of the virus fed groups. Bees were analyzed 7 days

after DWV feeding when none of the virus-fed samples tested positive for DWV (Figure 3).

According to qPCR analysis of DWV-fed bees no sample contained greater than 10^5 GE DWV

per 1 μg of total RNA. Average RNA yield per bee did not exceed 50 μg and therefore the level

of virus detected was 100-200-fold lower than the amount received. Hence, despite evidence

that bumble bee larvae displayed susceptibility to DWV infection *per os*, feeding of adult bumble

bees up to 10^8 GE DWV per bee did not lead to infection or detectable replication.

We extended this study to investigate whole-nest inoculation with DWV. Three individual

bumble bee nests were fed for 4-6 weeks with a sucrose solution supplemented with $2x10^8$

GE/adult bee/day of VVD, VVV or VDD DWV. All brood (pooled egg samples, 30 larvae, 47

pupae) and 30 adults from virus-exposed nests were screened for DWV using end-point RT-

PCR assay and showed no positive results (data not shown).

Direct inoculation of adult bumble bees

With no evidence that adult bumble bees could be infected when fed a DWV-supplemented diet,

or that they were able to transfer virus to larvae, we performed direct virus injections of adult

bumble bees to determine whether adults could support DWV replication. Two groups of adult

bumble bees were intra-abdominally injected with 10^4 or 10^8 DWV GE per bee. Envisaging a

possible impact of injection on viability, an additional group of bumble bees was injected with

PBS solution only. 20-30% of injected bees died before termination of the experiment in each

group. The remaining bumble bees were screened for DWV RNA 8 days post-inoculation. End-

point PCR analysis indicated that 40% and 100% were DWV positive in the 10^4-injected and

10^8-injected groups respectively (Figure 3A), with qPCR analysis showing that the virus levels in

these groups ranged between 2.74×10^4-1.39×10^7 and 4.82×10^6-2.13×10^8 GE/μg of total RNA

respectively. Accumulation of DWV (-)RNA in DWV-positive samples was confirmed by strand-

specific RT-PCR assay (Figure 3B). This demonstrates that DWV can replicate in adult *Bombus*

terrestris after direct injection at 10^8 GE per bee. We therefore proceeded to investigate if there

were any pathogenic consequences of virus infection and replication.

Pathogenicity of DWV in *Bombus terrestris*

DWV produces characteristic pathogenicity in honey bees and similar symptoms have been

reported in bumble bees [7]. We injected 43 white-eyed bumble bee pupae with 10^6 GE of DWV

and maintained them through development in an incubator. In parallel, a group of 37 similarly-

aged pupae were injected with PBS. All fully-developed bumble bees could be classified into

one of three groups: normal phenotype, discolored with normal wings and non-viables, which

did not eclose (Figure 4). Analysis by qPCR demonstrated that all DWV-inoculated bumble bees

contained high levels of virus (8.8×10^{8}-2.2×10^{10} GE/µg of RNA) with no significant differences in

viral load between the three phenotypic groups (ANOVA, P=0.21) (Figure 4). DWV levels were

1-2 $\log_{10}$ greater than in pupae analysed 48 hours post-inoculation (Figure 2A) reflecting the

additional time the virus had to replicate. This further supports the conclusion that pupae can be

infected with DWV by direct inoculation. Strikingly, none of the eclosed bumble bees showed

any signs of wing deformities that are characteristic of DWV infection of honey bees. Inspection

of PBS-injected pupae showed that they could be separated into the same phenotypical groups;

normal, discoloured and dead. There was no statistical difference between the proportions in

each group of virus- or PBS-injected bumble bees (ANOVA, P>0.999). Upon analysis, none of

the mock inoculated group samples showed evidence of DWV infection.

Discussion

The term "pathogen spillover" describes the transmission of a pathogen from a reservoir host to

a different species in a shared environment [44]. To achieve this, several conditions must be

fulfilled; the reservoir host must be sufficiently abundant to guarantee exposure, pathogen

prevalence must be high enough to ensure direct or environmental transmission, and the

recipient host must be susceptible to infection via direct or indirect transmission [45].

Managed honey bee colonies are near-ubiquitous in many environments, including both rural

and urban locations. On agricultural crops that require commercial pollination very high colony

densities are achieved through migratory beekeeping. The combination of colony movements

following the introduction of *Varroa destructor* resulted in the near-global distribution of the

ectoparasite, with the concomitant spread of a range of honey bee viruses that are detrimental

to colony survival [29]. Most important of these viruses is DWV which likely accounts for the

majority of overwintering colony losses [46, 47]. DWV causes overt and lethal developmental

defects after pupal inoculation and reduces the longevity of bees that do successfully emerge.

Colonies that collapse due to high *Varroa*/DWV levels may be robbed-out by other insects

including bumble bees, ants and wasps.

In addition to the cocktail of *Varroa* and DWV, honey bees are increasingly subject to stresses

through a combination of limited dietary variation, repeated transportation to new pollination

sites and exposure to agrochemicals, all of which are associated with increased susceptibility to

pathogens and potential colony failure [48]. As a consequence, honey bees readily fulfill two of

the requirements needed for pathogen spillover *i.e.* abundance in the environment and

pathogen prevalence. In addition, due to the extensive foraging range of honey bees and the

excretion of viable DWV in faeces [6], a high density of hives ensures widespread

environmental contamination.

Notwithstanding the likely exposure of other species to the pathogen-laden environment,

spillover also requires susceptibility of the species exposed and pathogen infectivity via a

relevant transmission route. We exploited commercially available colonies of *Bombus terrestris*

to determine if and how they could support infection by DWV. The buff-tailed bumble bee is a

relevant model system in which to explore pathogen spillover; it is naturally found in the same

environment as honey bees [4], and there are reports of DWV infection of wild-caught *B.*

terrestris and several related species [4, 5, 11, 12, 22–24].

We developed a RG system for the two prototype strains of DWV (type A and B) and a hybrid

previously reported to predominate in *Varroa*-infested colonies [32]. The synthesized virus

genomes contain silent restriction sites that unambiguously distinguish the three types and –

since they are unique to the RG cDNAs – allow discrimination from endogenous DWV. Direct

inoculation of honey bee pupae with *in vitro* generated RNA resulted in DWV replication (Figure

2). Virus purified from these pupae caused symptomatic infection when reinoculated into honey

bee pupae and accumulated to 10^{10} GE/µg of RNA (Figure S4), a level similar to that observed

in *Varroa*-exposed pupae [25].

Using a similar strategy we demonstrated that bumble bee pupae could be infected when

inoculated with *in vitro* generated DWV RNA. The resulting virus was purified, shown to be

infectious for naïve bumble bee pupae when re-injected and deep sequencing of the virus

population indicated no significant sequence changes from the originating cDNA (Figure S2).

Infected pupae reached up to ~10^9 GE of DWV/μg of RNA two days post-injection (Figure 2A)

and accumulation of the negative strand DWV RNA was confirmed by strand-specific qPCR

(Figure S3). Therefore DWV is infectious for both honey bee and bumble bee pupae and

adaptive changes are unlikely to create a bottleneck in any potential transmission between the

species.

Direct recovery and amplification of DWV in bumble bees offers advantages to virologists and

entomologists attempting to determine the significance of the limited differences between the

reported strains. Since essentially all honey bee pupae are infected with DWV, perhaps with the

exclusion of those from Australia [49], it is impossible to obtain truly clonal virus preparations.

By recovering virus after RNA inoculation in bumble bees pure populations of DWV strains can

be prepared for subsequent studies of virus pathogenesis and evolution.

Since *Varroa* does not parasitise bumble bee pupae it is difficult to rationalise direct injection as

a potential transmission route. Therefore, considering robbing by bumble bees of collapsing

honey bee colonies and the likely widespread DWV contamination in environments with large

numbers of honey bees, we reasoned that oral transmission was a more likely route for virus

acquisition. We therefore investigated oral susceptibility of larvae and adult bumble bees, fed

directly or by extended exposure of bumble bee nests to virus-supplemented diet.

Direct feeding with 10^8 GE of DWV enabled the detection of the negative strand of DWV, absent

from the input virus preparation and indicative of virus replication, in ~50% of larvae (Figure 2).

In contrast, DWV fed adult bumble bees, or larvae and pupae harvested from nests

supplemented with diet containing 10^8 GE of DWV per bee, failed to provide any evidence for

virus acquisition and transmission *per os*. Therefore, whilst larvae exhibit some susceptibility to

infection by orally acquired DWV, it is clear that the threshold for infection may be high and that

it cannot be achieved by extended feeding by adult bees throughout larval development.

Although we found no evidence for oral infection of adult bumble bees with DWV, we were able

to demonstrate the presence of DWV in adult *Bombus* after direct virus injections. Adult bumble

bees are able to support replication of DWV though, as with pupal inoculation with RNA, there

may be a threshold (exceeded at 10^8 GE) needed to achieve 100% infection.

In this study we allowed pupae to complete development and scored them phenotypically upon

eclosion. Bumble bee pupae are susceptible to handling and survival rates (~50%) were similar

in virus- or mock-inoculated samples (Figure 4). Amongst the three phenotypically-distinct

groups there was no difference in viral load in virus inoculated samples. Strikingly, the same

three groups and the proportion of each were present in the mock-inoculated samples. No wing

deformities were detected in eclosed bumble bees from either group.

It has previously been reported that DWV-positive field isolates of both *B. terrestris* and *B.*

pascuorum have been identified with wing deformities characteristic of those seen in DWV-

infected honey bees [7]. However, these studies did not demonstrate DWV replication or

reproduce symptoms by DWV inoculation. In honey bees apart from DWV infection, wing

malformation can be caused during development by injury, hormonal disorders, intoxication or

absence of cocoon [37]. While laboratory inoculations represent the gold standard for virus

infectivity assays this approach probably does not recapitulate an environmentally meaningful

infection route. Compared to their independent effect a combination of stressors is suggested to

introduce a greater threat to wild pollinators in their native environment [50]. For example,

exposure to clothianidin - a neonicotinoid insecticide - was found to have a negative impact on

honey bee immune status and promote DWV infection [51]. In *Bombus terrestris* condition

mediated virulence of Slow bee paralysis virus upon starvation has been demonstrated [52].

This study uses a reverse genetic approach to investigate pathogen spillover from honey bees

to bumble bees. Whilst clear evidence is obtained to confirm DWV replication in bumble bee

larvae, pupae and adults, we were unable to demonstrate a compelling route by which

transmission would likely occur in the natural environment. The levels of virus required to orally

infect bumble bee larvae are significantly higher than have been reported in environmental

pollen samples [53]. Indeed, the levels required are likely higher than larvae are ever exposed

to. In contrast, adult bumble bees may experience very high virus levels in collapsing honey bee

colonies while robbing. However, adult bumble bees feeding on virus-supplemented syrup

remain uninfected and – importantly – are unable to transmit infectious virus to their developing

larvae. Further studies will be required to determine whether the gut environment of adult

bumble bees is sufficiently hostile to DWV that the virus is inactivated *e.g* by gut proteases, or if

there are other factors that restrict infection and replication of DWV in bumble bees.

Previous reports strongly underline the haplotype identity of DWV between honey bee and

bumble bee populations [4, 24]. Published data show that majority of the virus is located in the

gut, which can be interpreted either as a restriction of infection to the digestive tract or as

presence of DWV in the ingested material [22]. The results obtained from this study provides a

strong indication that oral acquisition of virus from a contaminated environment does not

represent an effective DWV transmission route between *Apis* and *Bombus*. Bumble bees are

known to carry their own mite parasites, with *Apicystis bombi* and *Crithidia bombi* found in

honey bee collected pollen [54]. However, these mites do not parasitise honey bees and lack

feeding behaviour similar to Varroa or the capacity for virus vectoring. Therefore, a route for

productive DWV spillover to bumble bees from infected honey bees remains to be determined.

We propose that ubiquitous detection of DWV RNA in geographically related bumble bees

primarily reflects the carryover material originating from virus prevalence in the abundant honey

bee population, whereas incidence of pathogen spillover is limited to the cases of conditioned

infection. Further studies on defining the stressors causing DWV infectivity in bumble bees are

required in order to estimate the actual impact of DWV on this important group of pollinators.

Materials and Methods

<u>Honey bees</u>

All honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) brood were collected from the University of St Andrews research

apiary. All hives used for sampling were routinely treated for *Varroa* with an appropriate miticide.

Pupae were extracted from the comb and maintained in the incubator set at 34.5°C with 90%

relative humidity.

<u>Bumble bees</u>

Bumble bees *(Bombus terrestris audax*; Biobest, Belgium) were maintained in the laboratory in

isolated nests supplemented with feeders containing 50% aqueous sucrose solution. Nests

were regularly fed with bee pollen from a DWV-free region (Saxonbee, Australia). Separately

treated adult bumble bees were maintained in cages at RT and fed *ad libidum* on pollen/syrup.

Pupae and larvae were extracted from the brood cells and transferred into the incubator set at

30.5°C with 90% relative humidity. Larvae were fed a diet consisting of 25% (v:v) ground pollen

and 25% sucrose solution (w:v) in H_2O.

<u>RG system and *in vitro* RNA synthesis</u>

All RG constructs in this study were based on a cDNA clone of a recombinant DWV variant

VDV-1$_{VVD}$ (GenBank HM067438.1). The initial pVVD construct was made using a similar

protocol described for previously published reverse genetics systems of DWV [37, 39]. Briefly,

the full-length cDNA was cloned in a pBR-derived plasmid vector, flanked at the 5' end by a T7

promoter and hammerhead ribozyme [55], and at the 3' end by a *Pme* I restriction site following

the poly-A tail. VDD (DWV-A) and VVV (DWV-B) constructs were built by substituting parts of

pVVD, with the DWV-A capsid-coding and DWV-B non-structural protein-coding cDNA

sequences based on published data [27, 40, 41] and produced by custom gene synthesis (IDT,

Leuven, Belgium). Unique synonymous restriction sites were introduced into each cDNA

sequence. All resulting plasmids were verified by Sanger sequencing.

RNA was generated *in vitro* from linearised templates, using the T7 RiboMAX^{TM} Express Large

Scale RNA Production System (Promega). Full length and truncated templates were linearised

with *Pme* I or *Nru* I (nt 9231) respectively. Truncated RNA transcripts were polyadenylated

using the poly(A) Tailing Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to ensure their stability. After purification,

RNA transcripts were eluted in RNAse free H$_2$O, their integrity confirmed by gel electrophoresis,

and stored at -80°C.

<u>RNA and virus injections</u>

RNA and virus injections of 5 and 10 µl were performed with insulin syringes (BD Micro Fine

Plus, 1 ml, 30 G) into honey bee and bumble bee pupae respectively. Up to 10 µg (equivalent to

$1.7{\times}10^{12}$ of DWV RNA copies) of *in vitro* transcribed RNA was injected individually into pupae.

Truncated VVD transcript injections were used as a negative control. All RNA-injected pupae

were analyzed at 72 h post injection. Injections of pupae or low temperature-immobilized adult

bees with virus stocks diluted in PBS were performed using the same technique as for RNA

transcripts.

<u>Virus stocks</u>

DWV stocks were prepared from pupae injected with *in vitro* transcribed RNA. Homogenized

tissue was diluted with sterile PBS in 1:1 (w:v) ratio and centrifuged at 13 000 g, 4°C for 10 min.

Supernatant was filter sterilized with 0.22 µM filter (PES, Millipore) and treated with RNase A to

destroy all unencapsidated RNA. RNA was extracted from 100 µl of the virus stock using

RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and analyzed by RT-qPCR. Apart from the virus inoculum used for testing

the infectivity of the *Bombus*-derived DWV, all virus stocks were prepared from honey bee

pupae.

<u>Oral inoculation and bumble bee nest feeding</u>

Individual bumble bee larvae were placed into 96 well plates containing 2 µl of pollen/syrup

mixed with DWV inoculum. DWV feeding was delivered once on the first day of the experiment.

Fresh diet without virus was added individually after virus-containing food had been consumed.

On the fifth day larvae were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Adult bumble bees were fed with virus in groups of 20 on the first and on the second day of the

experiment. Total amount of DWV supplied with each of the two virus feedings was 2.2×10^9 and

2.2×10^8 DWV GE for 10^8 and 10^7 groups respectively. Bumble bees were snap-frozen in liquid

nitrogen and analyzed for the presence of DWV RNA 7 days after DWV feeding.

For the colony-scale inoculations three bumble bee nests each containing a mated queen and

120-125 adult bees were used. Prior to the start of the experiment all brood was removed from

the nests. Virus feeding was delivered daily by replacing the nest feeder with a tube containing

2 ml of sucrose solution supplemented with DWV, previously confirmed to be infectious for

bumble bee pupae by direct injection. Each nest received a daily dose of the virus

corresponding to 2.4×10^{10} DWV GE. The virus-containing solution was fully consumed (<3-4 h)

before replacement with the regular sucrose feeder. DWV feeding continued for 4-6 weeks and

stopped when the first group of larvae developed during the virus-feeding period approached

pupation. Upon termination of the experiment all brood (eggs, larvae and pupae) and 10 adult

workers were sampled from each nest and tested for the presence of DWV.

<u>RNA extraction, reverse transcription and PCR</u>

Samples were homogenized with a Precellys Evolution homogenizer (Bertin Instruments,

France). Total RNA was extracted using the GeneJet RNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific).

cDNA was prepared with qScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta Biosciences) from 1 µg of total

RNA following the manufacturer's protocol. Detection of DWV and actin mRNA, used as an

internal RNA quality control, was carried out by end-point PCR with *Taq* DNA polymerase (New

England Biolabs) and 2 µl of cDNA. DWV_RTPCR primers were designed to detect all three

DWV variants under study (Table S1). To amplify the regions containing restriction site tags in

VDD and VVV Kpn2I_F/R and PflFI_F/R primer pairs were used respectively. PCR cycling

conditions were 30 cycles of 95°C (15 seconds), 55°C (15 seconds), 68°C (2 minutes) with an

initial 95°C step (30 seconds) and a final extension at 68°C (5 minutes). PCR samples were

analyzed on a 1% agarose gel and when required, PCR products were subjected to restriction

digest prior to loading on the gel.

The quantification of DWV genome copies was performed by SYBR-Green Real-Time

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) using Luna Universal qPCR master mix (New England Biolabs), 0.25

µM forward and reverse DWV_qPCR primers and 2 µl of cDNA. The following thermal profile

was used: 1 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 30 seconds at 60°C

with a final post-amplification melting curve analysis step. DWV titres were calculated by

comparison of the resulting Ct value to the standard curve generated from a serial dilution of the

VVD cDNA qPCR standard prepared by reverse transcribing the RNA transcript.

<u>Negative strand assay</u>

Strand-specific detection of DWV RNA was performed as described earlier [25]. Briefly, 1 µg of

total RNA was used in reverse transcription reaction carried out with Superscript III reverse

transcriptase (Invitrogen) and the adapter extended primer designed to anneal to the negative

strand RNA of DWV. The PCR step was carried out by *Taq* DNA polymerase (NEB) using

forward primer identical to the adapter sequence (Table S1) and DWV_RTPCR_R primer. PCR

was run for 35 cycles in the same conditions as described above.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to Robert J Paxton for providing a reference sequence

for the construction of DWV-B RG system. This work was supported by grant funding from

BBSRC BB/M00337X/2 and BB/I000828/1.

1. Gallai N, Salles JM, Settele J, Vaissière BE. Economic valuation of the vulnerability of world

agriculture confronted with pollinator decline. *Ecol Econ* 2009; **68**: 810–821.

2. Potts SG, Imperatriz-Fonseca V, Ngo HT, Aizen MA, Biesmeijer JC, Breeze TD, et al.

Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human well-being. *Nature* 2016; **540**: 220–229.

3. Cavigli I, Daughenbaugh KF, Martin M, Lerch M, Banner K, Garcia E, et al. Pathogen

prevalence and abundance in honey bee colonies involved in almond pollination.

Apidologie 2016; **47**: 251–266.

4. Fürst M a., McMahon DP, Osborne JL, Paxton RJ, Brown MJF. Disease associations

between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators. *Nature* 2014; **506**:

364–366.

5. Singh R, Levitt AL, Rajotte EG, Holmes EC, Ostiguy N, Vanengelsdorp D, et al. RNA

viruses in hymenopteran pollinators: Evidence of inter-taxa virus transmission via pollen

and potential impact on non-Apis hymenopteran species. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**.

6. Chen YP, Pettis JS, Collins A, Feldlaufer MF. Prevalence and transmission of honeybee

viruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006; **72**: 606–611.

7. Genersch E, Yue C, Fries I, De Miranda JR. Detection of Deformed wing virus, a honey bee

viral pathogen, in bumble bees (Bombus terrestris and Bombus pascuorum) with wing

deformities. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2006; **91**: 61–63.

8. Loope KJ, Baty JW, Lester PJ, Wilson Rankin EE. Pathogen shifts in a honeybee predator

following the arrival of the Varroa mite. *Proc Biol Sci* 2019; **286**: 20182499.

9. Zhang X, He SY, Evans JD, Pettis JS, Yin GF, Chen YP. New evidence that deformed wing

virus and black queen cell virus are multi-host pathogens. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*

. 2012. , **109**: 156–159

10.   Forsgren E, Wei S, Guiling D, Zhiguang L, Van Tran T, Tang PT, et al. Preliminary

observations on possible pathogen spill-over from Apis mellifera to Apis cerana. *Apidologie*

. 2015. , **46**: 265–275

11.   Levitt AL, Singh R, Cox-Foster DL, Rajotte E, Hoover K, Ostiguy N, et al. Cross-species

transmission of honey bee viruses in associated arthropods. *Virus Res* 2013; **176**: 232–

240.

12.   Reynaldi FJ, Sguazza GH, Albicoro FJ, Pecoraro MR, Galosi CM. First molecular detection

of co-infection of honey bee viruses in asymptomatic Bombus atratus in South America.

Braz J Biol 2013; **73**: 797–800.

13.   Gamboa V, Ravoet J, Brunain M, Smagghe G, Meeus I, Figueroa J, et al. Bee pathogens

found in Bombus atratus from Colombia: A case study. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2015; **129**: 36–

39.

14.   Graystock P, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Goulson D, Hughes WOH. The effects of single and

mixed infections of Apicystis bombi and deformed wing virus in Bombus terrestris.

Parasitology . 2016. , **143**: 358–365

15.   Sachman-Ruiz B, Narváez-Padilla V, Reynaud E. Commercial Bombus impatiens as

reservoirs of emerging infectious diseases in central México. *Biological Invasions* . 2015. ,

17: 2043–2053

16.   Sébastien A, Lester PJ, Hall RJ, Wang J, Moore NE, Gruber MAM. Invasive ants carry

novel viruses in their new range and form reservoirs for a honeybee pathogen. *Biology*

Letters . 2015. , **11**: 20150610

17.   Guzman-Novoa E, Hamiduzzaman MM, Anguiano-Baez R, Correa-Benítez A, Castañeda-

Cervantes E, Arnold NI. First detection of honey bee viruses in stingless bees in North

America. *Journal of Apicultural Research* . 2015. , **54**: 93–95

18.   Ravoet J, De Smet L, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Wenseleers T, de Graaf DC. Widespread

occurrence of honey bee pathogens in solitary bees. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2014; **122**: 55–58.

19. Lucia M, Reynaldi FJ, Sguazza GH, Abrahamovich AH. First detection of deformed wing

virus in Xylocopa augusti larvae (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in Argentina. *Journal of Apicultural*

Research . 2014. , **53**: 466–468

20. Bingham RA, Orthner AR. Efficient pollination of alpine plants. *Nature* . 1998. , **391**: 238–

239

21. Velthuis HHW, van Doorn A. A century of advances in bumblebee domestication and the

economic and environmental aspects of its commercialization for pollination. *Apidologie* .

2006. , **37**: 421–451

22. Li J, Peng W, Wu J, Strange JP, Boncristiani H, Chen Y. Cross-Species Infection of

Deformed Wing Virus Poses a New Threat to Pollinator Conservation. *J Econ Entomol*

2011; **104**: 732–739.

23. Radzevičiūtė R, Theodorou P, Husemann M, Japoshvili G, Kirkitadze G, Zhusupbaeva A, et

al. Replication of honey bee-associated RNA viruses across multiple bee species in apple

orchards of Georgia, Germany and Kyrgyzstan. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2017; **146**: 14–23.

24. Alger SA, Burnham PA, Boncristiani HF, Brody AK. RNA virus spillover from managed

honeybees (Apis mellifera) to wild bumblebees (Bombus spp.). *PLoS One* 2019; **14**:

e0217822.

25. Ryabov EV, Wood GR, Fannon JM, Moore JD, Bull JC, Chandler D, et al. A Virulent Strain

of Deformed Wing Virus (DWV) of Honeybees (Apis mellifera) Prevails after Varroa

destructor-Mediated, or In Vitro, Transmission. *PLoS Pathog* 2014; **10**.

26. Tehel A, Brown MJF, Paxton RJ. Impact of managed honey bee viruses on wild bees. *Curr*

Opin Virol 2016; **19**: 16–22.

27. Lanzi G, Miranda JRD, Boniotti MB, Cameron CE, Lavazza A, Capucci L, et al. Molecular

and Biological Characterization of Deformed Wing Virus of Honeybees (Apis mellifera L .).

Society 2006; **80**: 4998–5009.

28. Fujiyuki T, Ohka S, Takeuchi H, Ono M, Nomoto A, Kubo T. Prevalence and Phylogeny of

Kakugo Virus, a Novel Insect Picorna-Like Virus That Infects the Honeybee (Apis mellifera

L.), under Various Colony Conditions. *J Virol* 2006; **80**: 11528–11538.

29. Martin SJ, Highfield AC, Brettell L, Villalobos EM, Budge GE, Powell M, et al. Global Honey

Bee Viral Landscape Altered by a Parasitic Mite. *Science* 2012; **336**: 1304–1306.

30. Mordecai GJ, Wilfert L, Martin SJ, Jones IM, Schroeder DC. Diversity in a honey bee

pathogen: first report of a third master variant of the Deformed Wing Virus quasispecies.

ISME J 2015; **10**: 1–10.

31. Ongus JR, Peters D, Bonmatin JM, Bengsch E, Vlak JM, van Oers MM. Complete

sequence of a picorna-like virus of the genus Iflavirus replicating in the mite Varroa

destructor. *J Gen Virol* 2004; **85**: 3747–3755.

32. Moore J, Jironkin A, Chandler D, Burroughs N, Evans DJ, Ryabov EV. Recombinants

between Deformed wing virus and Varroa destructor virus-1 may prevail in Varroa

destructor-infested honeybee colonies. *J Gen Virol* 2011; **92**: 156–161.

33. Zioni N, Soroker V, Chejanovsky N. Replication of varroa destructor virus 1 (VDV-1) and a

varroa destructor virus 1-deformed wing virus recombinant (VDV-1-DWV) in the head of the

honey bee. *Virology* 2011; **417**: 106–112.

34. Ryabov EV, Childers AK, Chen Y, Madella S, Nessa A, Vanengelsdorp D, et al. Recent

spread of Varroa destructor virus - 1 , a honey bee pathogen , in the United States. *Sci Rep*

2017; 1–10.

35. Dalmon A, Desbiez C, Coulon M, Thomasson M, Le Conte Y, Alaux C, et al. Evidence for

positive selection and recombination hotspots in Deformed wing virus (DWV). *Nature*

Publishing Group 2017; 1–12.

36. Baker AC, Schroeder DC. The use of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase for the taxonomic

assignment of Picorna-like viruses (order Picornavirales) infecting Apis mellifera L.

populations. *Virol J* 2008; **5**: 10.

37.   Lamp B, Url A, Seitz K, Rgen Eichhorn J, Riedel C, Sinn LJ, et al. Construction and Rescue

of a Molecular Clone of Deformed Wing Virus (DWV). 2016; 1–18.

38.   Posada-Florez F, Childers AK, Heerman MC, Egekwu NI, Cook SC, Chen Y, et al.

Deformed wing virus type A, a major honey bee pathogen, is vectored by the mite Varroa

destructor in a non-propagative manner. *Sci Rep* 2019; **9**: 12445.

39.   Ryabov EV, Childers AK, Lopez D, Grubbs K, Posada-Florez F, Weaver D, et al. Dynamic

evolution in the key honey bee pathogen deformed wing virus: Novel insights into virulence

and competition using reverse genetics. *PLoS Biol* 2019; **17**: e3000502.

40.   McMahon DP, Natsopoulou ME, Doublet V, Fürst M, Weging S, Brown MJF, et al. Elevated

virulence of an emerging viral genotype as a driver of honeybee loss. *Proc Biol Sci* 2016;

283: 443–449.

41.   Mordecai GJ, Brettell LE, Martin SJ, Dixon D, Jones IM, Schroeder DC. Superinfection

exclusion and the long-term survival of honey bees in Varroa-infested colonies. *ISME J*

2015; **10**: 1–10.

42.   Ongus JR, Roode EC, Pleij CWA, Vlak JM, van Oers MM. The 5??? non-translated region

of Varroa destructor virus 1 (genus Iflavirus): Structure prediction and IRES activity in

Lymantria dispar cells. *J Gen Virol* 2006; **87**: 3397–3407.

43.   Tehel A, Vu Q, Bigot D, Gogol-Döring A, Koch P, Jenkins C, et al. The Two Prevalent

Genotypes of an Emerging Infectious Disease, , Cause Equally Low Pupal Mortality and

Equally High Wing Deformities in Host Honey Bees. *Viruses* 2019; **11**.

44.   Becker DJ, Washburne AD, Faust CL, Pulliam JRC, Mordecai EA, Lloyd-Smith JO, et al.

Dynamic and integrative approaches to understanding pathogen spillover. *Philos Trans R*

Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2019; **374**: 20190014.

45.   Plowright RK, Parrish CR, McCallum H, Hudson PJ, Ko AI, Graham AL, et al. Pathways to

zoonotic spillover. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2017; **15**: 502–510.

46.   Möckel N, Gisder S, Genersch E. Horizontal transmission of deformed wing virus:

Pathological consequences in adult bees (Apis mellifera) depend on the transmission route.

J Gen Virol 2011; **92**: 370–377.

47. Dainat B, Evans JD, Chen YP, Gauthier L, Neumanna P. Dead or alive: Deformed wing

virus and varroa destructor reduce the life span of winter honeybees. *Appl Environ*

Microbiol 2012; **78**: 981–987.

48. Goulson D, Nicholls E, Botías C, Rotheray EL. Bee declines driven by combined stress

from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. *Science* 2015; **347**: 1255957.

49. Roberts JMK, Anderson DL, Durr PA. Absence of deformed wing virus and Varroa

destructor in Australia provides unique perspectives on honeybee viral landscapes and

colony losses. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 6925.

50. Belsky J, Joshi NK. Impact of Biotic and Abiotic Stressors on Managed and Feral Bees.

Insects 2019; **10**.

51. Di Prisco G, Cavaliere V, Annoscia D, Varricchio P, Caprio E, Nazzi F, et al. Neonicotinoid

clothianidin adversely affects insect immunity and promotes replication of a viral pathogen

in honey bees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2013; **110**: 18466–

18471.

52. Manley R, Boots M, Wilfert L. Condition-dependent virulence of slow bee paralysis virus in

Bombus terrestris: are the impacts of honeybee viruses in wild pollinators underestimated?

Oecologia 2017; **184**: 305–315.

53. Mazzei M, Carrozza ML, Luisi E, Forzan M, Giusti M, Sagona S, et al. Infectivity of DWV

associated to flower pollen: Experimental evidence of a horizontal transmission route. *PLoS*

One 2014; **9**.

54. Graystock P, Goulson D, Hughes WOH. The relationship between managed bees and the

prevalence of parasites in bumblebees. *PeerJ* 2014; **2**: e522.

55. Herold J, Andino R. Poliovirus requires a precise 5' end for efficient positive-strand RNA

synthesis. *J Virol* 2000; **74**: 6394–6400.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. **Reverse genetics (RG) system for three DWV variants. A**. Diagram showing

identical regions between genomic RNA sequences of master DWV variants (DWV-A -

GenBank AJ489744 and DWV-B - GenBank AY251269.2) and three RG clones (VVV, VDD

and VVD) derived from the cloned cDNA of the recombinant DWV - VVD (GenBank

HM067438.1); identical sequence regions are shown in black, restriction sites tags introduced

into cDNA of each variant are indicated in italics, DWV genomic RNA organization is presented

below to help interpretation. **B**. Detection of RG DWV RNA by RT-PCR in honey bee pupae

injected with *in vitro* synthesized RNA transcripts: "VDD", "VVV", and "VVD" - PCR products

from pupae injected with corresponding full-length RNA, "VVDtr" - PCR from pupae, injected

with truncated VVD RNA, "+" and "-" - PCR controls, "M" - molecular weight DNA marker;

restriction digest - verification of the RG origin of detected DWV by the digest of the PCR

products at artificially introduced restriction sites. **C.** Detection of RG DWV in bumble bee

pupae injected with VVD RNA and with virus stock obtained from RNA-injected pupae -

"VVDvir"; "VVD RNAtr" - RT-PCR from pupae injected with truncated RNA, "Mock" - PBS-

injected pupae, "+" - positive PCR control for DWV, "M" - molecular weight DNA marker; RG

origin of the PCR products for all DWV-positive samples was confirmed by digest with *HpaI*

restriction enzyme, amplification of the actin mRNA product was used as an indicator of RNA

integrity and loading control.

Figure 2. Inoculation of bumble bee pupae and larvae with RG-DWV. A. RT-qPCR analysis

of DWV accumulation in bumble bee pupae injected with VVV, VDD and VVD DWV. Pupae

were injected at white-eyed - "we" - and purple-eyed - "pe" - stages and analyzed 48 h post

injection. Each value corresponds to an individual sample analyzed, black lines show mean

±SD. **B.** Detection of DWV RNA in bumble bee larvae from two different age groups fed with 10^8

GE VVD DWV: qPCR analysis of DWV levels in individual larvae samples, black-circled values

correspond to samples which produced a positive result in (-)RNA assay; each value

corresponds to an individual sample analyzed, error bars show mean ±SD. DWV (-)RNA assay -

strand-specific RT-PCR products run in 1% agarose gel, "+" - positive PCR control for DWV,

"M" - molecular weight DNA marker, V - PCR from the DWV inoculum used for larvae feeding.

Figure 4. Morbidity of DWV in bumble bees. A. RT-qPCR analysis of DWV level in developed

579 bumble bees injected with 10^6 GE of DWV at white-eyed pupa stage. Individual values for each

580 sample are shown with dots, lines represent mean ±SD. **B.** Percentage of visually normal

581 ("*norm*"), discolored ("*disc*") and non-viable ("*nv*") bumble bees developed from pupae in VVD-

582 injected (n=43) and PBS-injected ("Mock", n=37). **C.** Phenotype of bumble bees developed from

583 pupae in the incubator.

Figure 3. **Detection of DWV in adult bumble bees. A**. Detection of DWV RNA by RT-PCR in

adult bumble bees after inoculation with VVD DWV via feeding or injections (10 samples from

each group shown): "Mock" – non-treated bumble bees from the same colony, "PBS" - PBS-

injected group (no virus), "10^7 and 10^8 fed" - bumble bees fed with sucrose solution containing

10^7 or 10^8 DWV GE of DWV per bee, "10^4 and 10^8 injected" - bumble bees injected with 10^4 or

10^8 DWV GE of DWV respectively. **B.** Detection of the DWV (-)RNA strand in adult bumble bees

injected with 10^8 DWV GE. "+" and "-" - positive and negative PCR controls, "M" - molecular

weight DNA marker. Detection of the bumble bee actin RNA was used to assay the quality of

extracted RNA. RG origin of the PCR products for all DWV-positive samples was confirmed by

restriction enzyme digest.

Figure 4. Morbidity of DWV in bumble bees. A. RT-qPCR analysis of DWV level in developed

595 bumble bees injected with 10^6 GE of DWV at white-eyed pupa stage. Individual values for each

596 sample are shown with dots, lines represent mean ±SD. **B.** Percentage of visually normal

597 ("*norm*"), discolored ("*disc*") and non-viable ("*nv*") bumble bees developed from pupae in VVD-

598 injected (n=43) and PBS-injected ("Mock", n=37). **C.** Phenotype of bumble bees developed from

599   pupae in the incubator.

a. *DWV qPCR*

DWV GE / µg of RNA

10^{11}

10^{10}

10^{9}

10^{8}

n=22   n=15   n=5

○ *norm*
○ *disc*
○ *nv*

b. *Symptoms*

%

VVD   Mock

■ *norm*
■ *disc*
■ *nv*

c.

norm

disc

nv

a.

DWV
Actin

b.

M   10⁸ injected   +   -   -