Moonlighting α-PheRS connects JAK/STAT with Notch signaling for intestinal homeostasis

Manh Tin Ho[1], Jiongming Lu[2], Beat Suter[1]

Affiliations:

[1]Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 4, Bern 3012, Switzerland.

[2]Present address: Max Planck Institute for Biology of Ageing, 50931 Köln, Germany.

Corresponding author: beat.suter@izb.unibe.ch

Running title: Proliferation and homeostasis function of α-PheRS

Keywords: aminoacyl tRNA synthetase, Notch signaling, JAK/STAT signaling, stem cell, differentiation, proliferation, intestinal tumor, Drosophila

Abstract

Phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase (PheRS) levels are elevated in multiple cancers and, interestingly, also in normal stem cells. Our results show that elevated levels of the *α-PheRS* subunit stimulate cell proliferation in different tissues, while downregulation of *α-PheRS* reduces organ size. Furthermore, overexpression of *α-PheRS* in stem- and progenitor cells caused over-proliferation in the intestine, a phenotype indistinguishable from the *Notch* RNAi phenotype in the same cells. Importantly, the phenotype caused by high levels of α-PheRS can be rescued by simultaneously overexpressing Notch, suggesting that *α-PheRS* induces this phenotype by downregulating *Notch*. High levels of α-PheRS in neuroblasts also cause the same phenotype as knocking down *Notch* in these cells, even though Notch signaling in the neuroblast lineage serves an opposite function by promoting neuroblast proliferation and maintenance. α-PheRS might, therefore, act as a general novel regulator of Notch signaling. α-PheRS levels, in turn, are controlled by Stat92E, the transcription factor of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway that is needed for the differentiation of intestinal stem cells during normal tissue homeostasis. From this, we conclude that the α-PheRS subunit can transmit the activity status of the JAK/STAT pathway to the Notch pathway as a mechanism to coordinate stem cell proliferation with differentiation. In this process, α-PheRS levels balance between tissue development and tissue growth to regulate tissue homeostasis. For its established essential function as an aminoacyl tRNA synthetase, α-PheRS needs to bind to β-PheRS in every cell to form the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramer that loads the amino acid phenylalanine onto the cognate tRNA$^{\text{Phe}}$. Here we also demonstrate that the newly identified activities of α-PheRS are moonlighting functions, independent of the aminoacylation activity of PheRS, and they do not visibly stimulate translation.

Introduction

Many cancer tissues display higher levels of Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase (PheRS, FARS or FRS) than their healthy counterparts according to the database "Gene Expression across Normal and Tumor tissue" (GENT2; published 11 July 2019). Interestingly, a correlation between tumorigenic events and PheRS expression levels had been noted already much earlier for the development of myeloid leukemia (Sen et al., 1997). Despite this, a possible causative connection between elevated PheRS levels and tumor formation had so far not been reported and, to our knowledge, also not been studied. This might have been due to the assumption that higher PheRS levels could simply reflect the demand of tumorigenic cells for higher levels of translation, or it could have to do with the difficulty of studying the moonlighting function of a protein that is essential in every cell for basic cellular functions such as translation.

Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) are important enzymes that act by charging transfer RNAs (tRNAs) with their cognate amino acid, a key process for protein translation. This activity makes them essential for accurately translating the genetic information into a polypeptide chain (Schimmel and Soll, 1979). Besides their well-known role in translation, an increasing number of aaRSs have been found to perform additional functions in the cytoplasm, the nucleus and even outside of the cell (Guo and Schimmel, 2013; Nathanson and Deutscher, 2000; Smirnova et al., 2012) (Casas-Tinto et al., 2015; Gomard-Mennesson et al., 2007; Greenberg et al., 2008; Otani et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2014). Moonlighting aaRSs regulate alternative splicing, RNA processing and angiogenesis (Lee et al., 2004). For example, the amino-acid binding site of LysRS has an immune response activity; or TrpRS inhibits the vascular endothelial (VE)-cadherin, which elicits an anti-angiogenesis activity (Tzima et al., 2005; Yannay-Cohen et al., 2009).

Cytoplasmic PheRS is one of the most complex members of the aaRSs family, a

heterotetrameric protein consisting of 2 alpha- (α) and 2 beta (β)-subunits responsible for

charging tRNA^{Phe} during translation (Roy and Ibba, 2006). The α subunit includes the catalytic

core of the tRNA synthetase and the β subunit has structural modules with a wide range of

functions, including tRNA anticodon binding, hydrolyzing mis-activated amino acids, and

editing misaminoacylated tRNA^{Phe} species (Ling et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2014; Roy and Ibba,

2006). Precision of the initial charging reaction and proper editing are both important for the

cell and the organism because mutations in the amino-acid recognition and editing sites of

Drosophila *PheRS* cause sieving defects that lead to mis-incorporation of amino acids into

proteins, ER stress, apoptosis, shortened life span, as well as neural degeneration (Lu et al.,

2014).

We set out to address the question whether and how elevated levels of PheRS can

contribute to tumor formation. To test for this activity, we studied the role of PheRS levels in

the Drosophila model system with the goal of dissecting the molecular mechanism of such a

moonlighting role of *PheRS*. We found that α-PheRS levels regulate cell proliferation, cell

differentiation or both in different tissues and cell types. We now show that elevated levels of

α-PheRS do not simply act to allow higher levels of translation, but control signaling

mechanisms involved in differentiation and proliferation control. Although the consequences

of altered levels vary to some degree between tissues, we found that even in two tissues with

the most divergent consequences, PheRS levels acted by repressing the Notch signaling

pathway, suggesting that this regulative mechanism is responsible for all moonlighting

activities of α-PheRS described here. α-PheRS levels, in turn, are controlled by JAK/STAT

signaling in the intestinal system, placing α-PheRS at the intersection between two signaling

pathways for the fine tuning of normal tissue homeostasis in the midgut. Focusing on the

intestine and intestinal stem cells revealed that elevated α-PheRS levels are tumorigenic in the

intestinal model. Given the high demand for research on intestinal diseases and cancer

(Markowitz and Bertagnolli, 2009) our work now opens new avenues to test ways to control

tissue homeostasis and tumor formation.

Results

A non-canonical α-PheRS activity is sufficient to induce additional M-phase cells

To test whether elevated levels of PheRS can stimulate growth or proliferation when other aaRSs are not overexpressed, we overexpressed α-PheRS, β-PheRS, and both subunits together in the posterior compartment (P) of wing discs using the *engrailed-Gal4* (*en-Gal4*) driver. In this assay the anterior compartment expresses normal endogenous levels and serves as an internal control. When α-PheRS was overexpressed in the posterior compartment either alone or together with β-PheRS, the mitotic marker phospho-histone H3 (PH3) revealed a 40% increase in mitotic cells in the posterior (P) compartment relative to the anterior (A) one of the same disc (Fig 1A-C). Because elevated levels of the α-PheRS subunit alone are sufficient for the increase in mitotic cells, this effect is unlikely caused by increased tRNA^{Phe} aminoacylation activity and translational activity, which requires both subunits.

To test this interpretation, we made a mutant version of α-PheRS in which Tyr412 and Phe438 are replaced by Cysteins. These substitutions are predicted to block the entrance into the phenylalanine binding pocket, preventing binding of Phe and aminoacylation of tRNA^{Phe} by the mutant PheRS^{Cys} (Finarov et al., 2010). To test whether the PheRS^{Cys} substitution indeed reduces the aminoacylation activity of PheRS, we expressed mutant and wild-type α-PheRS subunits together with β-PheRS subunits in E. coli, purified them and performed aminoacylation assays. As opposed to the strong enzymatic activity of the wild-type α-PheRS plus β-PheRS subunits, the α-PheRS^{Cys} together with wild-type β-PheRS produced only the same background signal as the α-PheRS subunit alone (Supplementary Fig S1). The same mutations were also introduced into a genomic clone and the resulting transgenic *α-PheRS^{Cys}* was not able to rescue the *α-PheRS^{G2060}* mutant, indicating that the Cys mutant is indeed not

functional in aminoacylation in vivo in Drosophila. Overexpressing a transgenic α-$PheRS^{Cys}$

in the posterior compartment of the wing disc also caused a 67% increase in the number of

mitotic cells in the above assay (Fig 1C). The fact the mutant α-$PheRS^{Cys}$ version caused an

increase in mitotic cells at least as strongly as the wild-type α-$PheRS$, together with the fact

that β-PheRS overexpression was not needed for this effect, clearly demonstrates that the

canonical function of $PheRS$ is not required to cause the elevated frequency of mitotic cells.

We also tested directly whether PheRS overexpression is unable to cause elevated

translation as we expected. For this, we analyzed general protein synthesis activity in the two

wing compartments by puromycin staining using the ribopuromycylation method (RPM)

(Deliu et al., 2017). Overexpression of the transcription factor dMyc increases protein synthesis

activity and was therefore used as a positive control for detection of elevated translation and

PMY labeling (Deliu et al., 2017). Indeed, when comparing signal intensity in the posterior

compartment to the intensity in the anterior compartment of the same disc, dMyc

overexpression significantly increased the anti-PMY signal in the expressing posterior

compartment. In contrast, neither overexpression of α-PheRS alone nor combined with β-

PheRS increased the puromycin labeling in the overexpressing compartment (Fig 1D-E'', F).

The combined results therefore demonstrate unambiguously that elevated α-PheRS levels

cause additional cells to be in mitosis through an aminoacylation- and translation-independent,

non-canonical activity. α-PheRS levels might specifically slow down progression through M-

phase, causing higher numbers of cells to remain in the PH3-positive state. Alternatively, they

might either promote over-proliferation of mitotic cells or induce proliferation in non-cycling

cells.

High α-PheRS tolerance in an organ with tight size control

To find out whether the increased number of mitotic cells in the region expressing higher α-

PheRS levels leads to a different compartment size, we measured the size of two clearly defined

regions in the posterior (P) and the anterior (A) compartment of the adult wing as shown in Fig

2A. Normalizing the size of the posterior region (P) to the size of the anterior region (A), we

calculated the P/A ratio (Fig 2B). Even though α-PheRS alone was sufficient to induce

additional mitotic cells in larval discs, this did not cause the formation of a larger wing in the

adult. Co-overexpression of both subunits was needed to cause a small, but significant increase

of the posterior region of the wing (Fig 2B). This size increase does not appear to be a general

property of elevated aaRS levels because overexpression of Glycyl-tRNA synthetase (GlyRS;

(Niehues et al., 2015)) with the same driver did not increase wing size (Fig 2B). The final size

of this particular organ is tightly controlled by different mechanisms that are only partially

understood, but are capable of compensating for differences in growth and proliferation such

that the compartments reach their correct final size even if they grew at different rates at an

earlier stage (Hariharan, 2015; Martin and Morata, 2006). To better understand the

consequences of high PheRS levels in the discs, we studied its effect on dissociated cells and

found that elevated PheRS levels primarily affected cell size, whereas proliferation remained

controlled or was compensated for (Supplementary data, Fig S2A,B).

We considered the possibility that PheRS might signal availability of Phe to TORC1,

which links cell growth to amino acid availability (Laplante and Sabatini, 2012; Wullschleger

et al., 2006). Such a mechanism would be analogous to the function of LeuRS in this pathway

(Bonfils et al., 2012; Han et al., 2012). However, experimental testing of this hypothesis did

not uncover any evidence for such a signaling function (Supplementary data, Fig S3A,B).

The *α-PheRS* subunit accelerates proliferation in different tissues and its knockdown

reduces organ size

To test the effects of PheRS levels on proliferation directly and in a different organ and cell type, we set up a mosaic analysis with repressible cell marker (MARCM; (Wu and Luo, 2006) assay in the follicle cells. Twin spot clones were generated with one clone overexpressing PheRS and the GFP marker, and its twin clone expressing normal endogenous levels of PheRS and serving as an internal control (Fig 3A). The results of this experiment showed that clonal overexpression of both subunits of PheRS accelerated the proliferation of the overexpressing cells on average by 32% (Fig 3B). Overexpression of GFP with only the β-PheRS subunit or with GlyRS did not significantly promote clonal expansion (Fig 3B), confirming that the activity of stimulating growth and proliferation is specific for PheRS and not a general role of aaRSs. Interestingly, overexpression of GFP with the α-PheRS subunit alone also stimulated cell proliferation autonomously by 30% (Fig 3B) and, intriguingly, this was very close to the 32% increase calculated for the clone overexpressing both PheRS subunits (Fig 3B). Remarkably, the higher number of mitotic cells observed upon α-PheRS overexpression in the posterior compartment of the larval wing discs (Fig 1C) was in a comparable range as the proliferation increase in the follicle cell assay (Fig 3B). These results therefore show that α-PheRS levels promote cell proliferation and they suggest that it has this activity in different tissues.

Overexpression of *α-PheRS^{Cys}* alone and *α-PheRS^{Cys}* together with *β-PheRS (PheRS^{Cys})* stimulated clonal growth and cell proliferation in the follicle cell twin spot experiment by 28% and 25%, respectively (Fig 3B), again confirming that this is an aminoacylation-independent activity. It is tempting to speculate that the slightly lower increase in proliferation upon

overexpressing the mutant *α-PheRS^{Cys}* (28%) in twin spot clones compared to wild-type *α-*

PheRS (30%) could be due to reduced translation caused by the overexpression of an inactive

α subunit that is predicted to partially act as a dominant negative subunit for the aminoacylation

function. If this proliferation function is important for development and homeostasis, reduced

α-PheRS activity should lead to problems in these processes. Because *α-PheRS* and *β-PheRS*

are both essential genes in Drosophila (Lu et al., 2014), we used RNAi to reduce their activity

in specific tissues by RNAi (Fig S4A,B). Indeed, knock down in the developing eye reduced

the adult eye, whereas knockdown in the larval fat body reduced the size of the entire pupae.

Furthermore, RNAi knockdown *α-PheRS* or *β-PheRS* in Kc cells caused these cells to

proliferate more slowly than the control cells (Fig S4C). Although it is not clear from these

results, which activity of PheRS causes this effect, the phenotypes observed are consistent with

a direct function in regulating proliferation.

α-PheRS promotes stem cell proliferation in the midgut and high expression leads to

hyper- and dysplasia

Tissue growth and homeostasis play important roles in developing and outgrown animals, and

they require tight control of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation of daughter cells. The

Drosophila midgut is a powerful model to analyze these mechanisms and their interplay.

Intestinal stem cells (ISCs), also referred to as adult midgut progenitors (AMPs) in the larval

gut, can either divide asymmetrically or symmetrically. After an asymmetric division, one

daughter cell differentiates into an absorptive enterocyte (EC) or a secretory enteroendocrine

(EE) cell, the other keeps its stem cell identity (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and

Spradling, 2007). We investigated the effect of overexpression of each PheRS subunit in larval

ISCs by using the *esg-Gal4* driver, which expresses specifically in ISCs. Co-expression of

UAS-YFP allowed us to monitor the *esg-Gal4* activity. The results demonstrated that

overexpression of Myc::α-PheRS alone in the larval midgut caused the numbers of YFP

positive ISC cell clusters in the posterior midgut to increase. In addition, the number of YFP

positive cells per cluster increased as well (Fig 4A, B, D-D'). The increase of both numbers

was significant when compared to posterior midguts expressing normal levels of α-PheRS (Fig

4A, B, C-C'). Overexpression of β-PheRS::V5 alone did not produce such a phenotype (Fig

4A, B, E-E'). Interestingly, when both PheRS subunits were overexpressed, the guts also

showed an increase in the number of YFP positive ISC clusters. However, the increase was

less pronounced and the number of YFP positive cells per cluster remained unchanged (Fig

4A, B, F-F').

232   Driving the expression of the α-PheRS^{Cys} mutant alone in ISCs also produced

additional ISCs, indicating again that the aminoacylation function is not required for this

activity (Fig 4G). Surprisingly, however, this treatment induced the over-proliferative

phenotype in both anterior and posterior areas of the larval midgut (outlined with white dashed

lines, Fig 4G, G') while the overexpression of wild-type Myc::α-PheRS gave rise to high

numbers of ISCs only in the posterior midgut (Fig 4D, D'). Interestingly, elevated α-PheRS^{Cys}

levels also caused the appearance of a more severe, tumor-like phenotype, where individual

ISC clusters could not be discerned anymore. Furthermore, instead of the wild-type gut

phenotype, characterized by a majority of ECs with large nuclei, interspersed with occasional

ISC clusters with smaller nuclei (as seen in the YFP overexpression control, Fig 4C, C'), we

observed a phenotype where ECs and ISCs could not be distinguished based on the size of their

nuclei, but emerged as a larger cell population with intermediate size nuclei (Fig 4G'). Many

of these cells expressed the *esg*>YFP stem cell marker at high levels, but others displayed only

a very weak YFP signal. One possible interpretation of this phenotype could be that α-PheRS^{Cys}

overexpressing ISCs progress through the cell cycle more rapidly such that they are not able to

grow to their proper size and do not have sufficient time to turn over the YFP. Staining these

guts for the mitotic marker PH3 demonstrated that the posterior midgut contained clearly more

and a higher proportion of PH3 positive cells. Significantly, more cells were labelled by anti

PH3 staining in the posterior midgut when α-PheRS or the α-PheRS^{Cys} mutant were

overexpressed alone in ISCs (Fig 4H, I). Again, this suggests that cells in these areas display

an elevated proliferation rate.

In a normal adult midgut, ISCs are found as characteristic single cells or as pairs with

their daughter enteroblast (EB). Both cell types are labeled with YFP when their expression is

driven by *esg*-Gal4 (Fig 4J). Overexpression of only α-PheRS or α-PheRS^{Cys} in these adult

ISCs caused a strong phenotype, too. It induced hyperplasia and dysplasia in regions R4-R5 of

the posterior midgut. Similar to the hyperplasia phenotype observed in the larval gut, we

observed cells adjacent to ISC clusters displaying the YFP stem cell marker, even though they

contained large nuclei (Fig 4J'-J''), indicative of a dysplasia phenotype. We conclude that in

the larval and adult guts, elevated α-PheRS expression can elevate the proliferation rate of stem

cells and lead to hyper- and dysplasia.

Elevated α-PheRS levels prevent proper differentiation and gut homeostasis by

downregulating Notch signaling

Asymmetric divisions of ISCs give rise to a new ISC and an undifferentiated EB. Differential

Delta/Notch signaling between the new ISC and the EB causes the latter to either differentiate

into an absorptive EC or a secretory EE (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling,

2007). To investigate how α-PheRS affects the fate decision in this lineage, we studied the cell

population in the posterior midgut. In larval and adult guts, the over-proliferation phenotype

caused by α-PheRS^{Cys} overexpression showed a significantly elevated ratio between EEs and

ECs (Fig 5A-A', B-B', C). The overexpression of *α-PheRS* alone with the *esg-Gal4* driver

caused the same changes, albeit with a slightly lower expressivity (Fig 5C). These observations

indicate that overexpression of α-PheRS or α-PheRS^{Cys} directs EB differentiation to the EE

fate and interferes with EC differentiation.

275   Differentiating ISC daughter cells, EBs, adopt the EE fate when their *Notch* activity is

low and the EC fate if their *Notch* activity is high (Takashima et al., 2011). Furthermore,

because *Notch* activity is needed for EB differentiation, reduced *Notch* activity leads to EE-

like and ISC-like tumors (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Patel et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015;

Yin and Xi, 2018). Indeed, in regions where the normal cellular composition of the larval gut

was transformed, we observed an increase in the number of cells and in particular a cell

population with intermediate size nuclei upon RNAi treatment of *Notch* with the *esg-Gal4*

driver (white dashed line, Fig 5D-D'). The similarity between the two phenotypes suggests that

overexpression of *α-PheRS* or *α-PheRS^{Cys}* might cause this phenotype by reducing *Notch*

activity. Indeed, as seen in Fig 5F, overexpression of *α-PheRS* and *α-PheRS^{Cys}* downregulates

the *Notch* activity reporter NRE-EGFP (Notch response element promoter driving the

expression of eGFP; (Housden et al., 2012) and overexpressing *Notch* together with *α-*

PheRS^{Cys} in the same midgut ISCs rescued the tumor-like midgut phenotype, giving rise to

midguts with a wild-type appearance (Fig 5A", B", E-E'). This shows that α-PheRS levels

control *Notch* activity and that high α-PheRS levels cause the tumor-like phenotype in the

midgut by downregulating *Notch* activity.

α-PheRS is a novel general repressor of Notch signaling

Developing larval brains contain neuroblast (NB) stem cells that divide asymmetrically to

either keep the stemness and or to differentiate into neuronal cells. *Notch* signaling plays a

crucial role in this process (Ables et al., 2011; Giachino and Taylor, 2014), but in contrast to

the situation in the gut, loss of *Notch* prevents NB self-renewal, and ectopic expression of *Notch*

leads to tumor formation (de la Pompa et al., 1997; Grandbarbe et al., 2003; Hatakeyama et al.,

2004). This opposite role of *Notch* makes the NB lineage an ideal complementary system to

test whether α-PheRS is a general component of the *Notch* pathway. Driving α-PheRS or the

α-PheRS^{Cys} expression in NBs with the *inscutable-Gal4 (incs-Gal4)* driver resulted in

significantly smaller central brains (CB), the region where the NBs are located (Fig 6A-A'',

B). In contrast, this treatment had little or no effect on the size of the optic lobes (OL). The

phenotype was indistinguishable from the phenotype caused by *Notch* knock-down (Fig 6B),

and co-overexpression of Notch with α-PheRS^{Cys} in neuroblasts rescued the brains to wild-

type size (Fig 6B).

Type II neuroblasts are particularly suited to analyze effects on neuroblast

differentiation. Targeting specifically the 8 type II neuroblasts in central brain lobes with *α-*

PheRS or *α-PheRS^{Cys,}* overexpression, resulted in half of the central brains in a strong reduction

of the number of neuroblasts, and the resulting central brain lobes ended up smaller (Fig 6C

and Supplementary Fig S5). Knocking down *Notch* in type II NBs with RNAi and the same

driver combination resulted in a similar phenotype, but with a higher expressivity. On the other

hand, overexpression of Notch in α-PheRS overexpressing type II neuroblasts partially rescued

the number of type II neuroblasts to wild-type numbers and it restored the normal size of the

brain (Fig 6C and Supplementary Fig S5). Because the effect on Notch signaling is the same

in guts and brains, two tissues where *Notch* has opposing functions on cellular differentiation,

we conclude that *α-PheRS* is a novel general repressor of Notch signaling.

Transcription factor Stat92E of the JAK/STAT pathway regulates α-PheRS levels

Under normal conditions, the JAK/STAT signaling pathway induces progenitor differentiation into ECs via regulating Notch activity (Herrera and Bach, 2019). Under stress conditions like bacterial infection, secreted Unpaired3 (Upd3) acts as a cytokine that activates JAK/STAT signaling, leading to ISC proliferation and differentiation to repair the damaged parts of the gut (Buchon et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2010). Upd3 not only activates JAK/STAT signaling, but also *Notch* activity to enhance ISC proliferation and to promote EC differentiation, respectively (Jiang et al., 2009). Because α-PheRS levels affect Notch signaling, too, we therefore tested whether α-PheRS could possibly coordinate JAK/STAT with Notch signaling. The *Stat92E* gene encodes the transcription factor at the downstream end of the JAK/STAT pathway. Using the hypomorphic *Stat92E^{HJ}* allele, we tested whether suppressing JAK/STAT signaling affects α-PheRS expression. Indeed, *Stat92E^{HJ}* mutants displayed elevated levels of α-PheRS in Delta-positive ISC cells (Fig 7A-B"). Consistent with this result, *Stat92E* knock-down by RNAi with the *esg-Gal4* driver also showed elevated α-PheRS levels in ISC cells (Fig7C-C"). As shown in Fig 7 D-D', E-E', F-F', G, the levels of α-PheRS significantly increased in ISCs/EBs. The fact that two different approaches to reduce *Stat92E* activity lead to higher α-PheRS levels in ISCs/EBs clearly demonstrates that *Stat92E* regulates α-PheRS levels in these cells.

Surprisingly, RNAi knock down of *Stat92E* with the *esg-Gal4* driver not only affected α-PheRS levels in ISCs, but also in some neighboring polyploid ECs, but not in distant ECs (arrows in Fig7C", F) (Fig7G). Because we also observed this phenotype in *Stat92E^{HJ}* mutants (arrows in Fig 7E) (Fig 7G), this is unlikely to be an off-target effect of the RNAi. It thus appears that *Stat92E* can normally control α-PheRS levels also in a cell non-autonomous way. To test if *Stat92E* is not only required for downregulation of α-PheRS, but also sufficient, we overexpressed *Stat92E* in ISCs and EBs. Indeed, elevated-Stat92E levels reduced the α-PheRS signal in ISCs and EBs (Fig 7H-I"). On the other hand, high levels of α-PheRS did not affect

343 *Stat92E* activity when assayed with the *10X STAT92E-GFP* reporter (Bach et al., 2007) (Fig

344 7J-K'''). We conclude that *Stat92E* can regulate α-PheRS levels specifically in EBs and ISCs

345 to maintain stem cell homeostasis. Together with the result that α-PheRS regulates Notch

346 signaling, we therefore identified α-PheRS as an intermediate factor that links the JAK/STAT

347 pathway to Notch signaling to regulate gut homeostasis.

Discussion

Our work revealed that *PheRS* not only charges tRNAs with their cognate amino acid Phe, but that it also performs moonlighting functions in regulating cell proliferation and differentiation in different tissues. Levels of α-PheRS are critical for these regulative processes and these levels are generally elevated in healthy stem cells compared to differentiated cells. Similarly, many tumor cells show elevated α-PheRS levels compared to their healthy counterparts and a positive correlation between these levels and tumorigenic events had been noted quite some time ago (Sen et al., 1997). Several circumstantial and direct evidence show that elevated α-PheRS levels do not simply allow higher translational activity to overcome a growth rate restriction imposed by hypothetically limiting levels of PheRS. In fact, PheRS is unlikely to be rate-limiting for cellular growth. because animals with only one copy of the *α-PheRS* or *β-PheRS* gene do not show a phenotype (Lu et al., 2014) and tissue culture cells can be stimulated to grow more rapidly without stimulating the expression of the two PheRS genes (Chen et al., 2003) (Lu et al., 2014). Indeed, directly measuring translational activity *in situ* (Fig 1D-D") showed the same levels of translation whether *α-PheRS* was overexpressed or not. Furthermore, aminoacylation of tRNA^{Phe} requires the tetrameric protein *α$_2$β$_2$-PheRS*. However, overexpression of an aminoacylation-dead *α-PheRS^{Cys}* mutant subunit alone, (without simultaneous overexpression of the β-PheRS subunit) already lead to the accumulation of numerous additional dividing cells, closely resembling the phenotype observed when the wild-type gene was expressed in the same way. We therefore conclude that this activity of *α-PheRS* is independent of the translational function of *PheRS*.

The notion that the α-PheRS subunit can be stable and it functions independently of the β-subunit was surprising because previous results showed that the two subunits were dependent on the presence of the other subunit for their stability (Antonellis et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2014).

Our results now show that this requirement does not apply to all cell types. In young follicle

cells, ISCs and possibly other dividing cells, the overexpression of the α-PheRS subunit alone

results in higher levels of α-PheRS accumulation and, in particular when elevated levels were

induced in gut ISCs and EBs, this produced a strong phenotype. This suggests that the α- and

β-PheRS subunits function together in every cell to aminoacylate tRNA^{Phe}, but in addition, the

α-subunit can be stable in specific cell types, such as stem cells, where it assumed a novel

function in regulating cell proliferation and differentiation.

PheRS is not the only aaRS family member for which roles beyond charging tRNAs

have been identified (Dolde et al., 2014). For instance, MetRS/MRS is capable of stimulating

the rRNA synthesis (Ko et al., 2000), GlnRS/QRS can block the kinase activity of apoptosis

signal-regulating kinase 1 (ASK1) (Ko et al., 2001) and a proteolytically processed form of

YARS/TyrRS acts as a cytokine (Casas-Tinto et al., 2015; Greenberg et al., 2008). aaRSs are,

however, also not the only protein family which evolved to carry out more than one function.

In recent years it has become increasingly evident that many if not most proteins have evolved

to carry out not only one, but two or more functions, providing interesting challenges to figure

out, which of their activities are important for the individual functions of a protein (Dolde et

al., 2014).

We found that elevated levels of α-PheRS promote cell proliferation in different cell

types. In follicle cells, more cells were produced in the α-PheRS overexpressing clones

compared to wild-type clones. In wing disc, more mitotic cells were detected in the α-PheRS

overexpressing compartments. In the gut tissue, elevated α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ levels produced 2-5

times as many cells with intermediate size nuclei that stained positive for stem cell markers.

Similarly, these guts also contained 5-8 times as many mitotic cells when α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ was

overexpressed. Together, these results strongly suggest, that also in this situation the higher

levels of α-PheRS induced over-proliferation of ISCs. These phenotypes are not only

independent of the aaRS activity (Fig 1D-F), they also do not reflect a function in sensing the

availability of its enzymatic substrate Phe and transmitting this information to the major growth

controller, the TOR pathway (Fig S3). The proliferation activity of α-PheRS is therefore

fundamentally different from the growth supporting activity of the aaRS members TrpRS or

LeuRS (Adam et al., 2018; Bonfils et al., 2012; Han et al., 2012).

Overexpression of α-PheRS in the gut ISCs additionally interfered with cell fate

decisions by driving ISCs to duplicate and to differentiate into EEs. The combination of these

effects results in a "tumor-like" phenotype, that had been described as ISC/EE tumor phenotype

that results from downregulation of *Notch* activity (Korzelius et al., 2014; Micchelli and

Perrimon, 2006). Interestingly, mis-regulating proteins involved in EE fate specification, like

downregulation of Tramtrack69 or upregulation of its adaptor protein Phyllopod, also results

in this phenotype (Wang et al., 2015; Yin and Xi, 2018), suggesting that α-PheRS levels,

through their activity on Notch signaling, induce the "tumor-like" phenotype in the gut tissue

not only by increasing stem cell proliferation, but also by driving differentiating cells into an

EE fate.

The gut phenotype caused by elevated levels of α-PheRS points to the importance of

controlling and fine tuning these levels. We found that the JAK/STAT pathway has the

capability of modulating cellular α-PheRS levels. Interestingly, JAK/STAT signaling, which

stimulates progenitor cell differentiation during normal tissue homeostasis (Herrera and Bach,

2019), downregulates *α-PheRS* levels, which consequently allows higher Notch signaling to

promote differentiation of the EB progenitor cells into ECs. Therefore, regulation of α-PheRS

levels links the two signaling pathways and implicates α-PheRS not only in promoting cell

proliferation, but also in regulating stem cell and tissue homeostasis by connecting the Notch

to the JAK/STAT signaling pathway. Stat92E is a transcription factor, but none of the

published reports on Stat92E targets lists the *α-PheRS* gene (Bina et al., 2010; Muller et al.,

2005; Wang et al., 2013). A direct repression of *α-PheRS* gene expression by Stat92E appears

therefore unlikely, even though it is also possible that this interaction escaped detection because

it happens in too few cells of the analyzed cell types.

An interplay between the JAK/STAT and the Notch signaling pathways to maintain

ISC homeostasis has been noted before in regenerating midguts. In this situation, damaged ECs

release Upd cytokines to activate JAK/STAT signaling in ISCs and to promote ISC division

(Jiang et al., 2009). This also stimulates Delta/Notch activity to promote EC differentiation

(Jiang et al., 2009). Knocking down *Stat92E* reduced Notch signaling, and Notch target genes

were downregulated when *Stat92E* activity was abolished in progenitor cells (Jiang et al.,

2009). JAK/STAT signaling therefore feeds into the downstream Notch signaling pathway

under these conditions, too. Our work revealed an unexpected missing link between the two

signaling pathways in normal tissue homeostasis and it would therefore be interesting to also

explore the function of *α-PheRS* in these pathways during tissue regeneration.

Relevance of moonlighting function of α-PheRS for tumor formation

Several aaRSs have come into the focus of cancer research (Kim et al., 2014). For instance,

LeuRS senses the availability of the amino acid Leu and if these levels are sufficient to support

growth, it signals a growth readiness to the key growth controller TORC1/mTORC1 (Bonfils

et al., 2012; Han et al., 2012). Our results suggest that PheRS does not serve an analogous

function as a Phe sensor (Suppl. Figure S3). Phe binding appears to be dispensable to activate

proliferation and to repress *Notch* signaling because the α-PheRS[Cys] mutant, in which two

essential residues in the Phe binding pocket were replaced by Cys is unable to perform

aminoacylation (presumably because it cannot bind Phe), but still able to induce the non-

canonical activity.

Improper expression of PheRS was suspected long ago to promote carcinogenesis, but

till now the mechanisms behind this effect remind unknown. Elevated levels of *FARSA/CML33*

(human α-PheRS) during the development of myeloid leukemia have been demonstrated to

directly correlate with tumorigenic events (Sen et al., 1997). The GENT2 database published

in 2019 describes also strong positive correlations between PheRS subunit levels and

tumorigenic events in several tissues and cancers, including colon cancer, which mostly seems

to originate from intestinal stem cells (ISCs) (Barker et al., 2009). Modelling the effect of

elevated α-PheRS levels in *Drosophila* ISCs and CBs, we found that these levels lead to over-

proliferation of cells with stem cell characteristics and to changes in cell fate, indicating that

elevated α-PheRS levels can indeed be a risk factor for tumor formation.

Modeling the effects of elevated α-PheRS levels in ISCs revealed that

hyperaccumulation of stem cells, a tumor risk, is mediated by high α-PheRS repressing Notch

signaling. In mammals, Notch signaling is essential for maintaining the homeostasis of cell

proliferation and differentiation (Qiao and Wong, 2009), similar to the function of Notch

signaling in the Drosophila gut that is needed to prevent the induction of enteroendocrine

tumors characterized by excessive EEs and ISCs in the adult midgut (Micchelli and Perrimon,

2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2007). Because in human, mis-regulation of Notch signaling in

these processes has been suggested to trigger the development of colon cancer, *Notch* has been

proposed as a molecular target for cancer therapy (Yin et al., 2010). The results presented here

provide new and unexpected insights into the communication between two major signaling

pathways involved in gut tumorigenesis and they suggest new opportunities to target these

mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

Key Resources Table

Reagent or Resource	Sources	Identifier	Additional information
Antibodies			
Anti phospho-Histone H3-rabbit	Cell signaling	9701S	1:200 v/v
Anti phospho-Histone H3-mouse	Cell signaling	9706S	1:200 v/v
Anti *α-PheRS*	Genescript	4668	Customized product (1:200 v/v)
Anti *α-PheRS*	Genescript	4669	Customized product (1:200 v/v)
Anti Myc-mouse	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)	9E10	Supernatant (1:3 v/v)
Anti Puromycin	DSHB	PMY-2A4	1:100 v/v
Anti Prospero	DSHB	MR1A	1:200 v/v
Anti Delta	DSHB	C594.9B	1:10 v/v
Anti V5 tag-rabbit	Cell signaling	13202	1:200 v/v
Anti Cy3 rabbit	Jackson Immuno Research	115-165-146	1:200 v/v
Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 488	Molecular Probes	A-11008	1:200 v/v
Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 488	Molecular Probes	A-11034	1:200 v/v
Anti-mouse Alexa Flour 488	Molecular Probes	A-11029	1:200 v/v
Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 488	Life technology	A-21206	1:200 v/v
Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 594	Invitrogen	A-11037	1:200 v/v
Anti-mouse Alexa Flour 594	Molecular Probes	A-11032	1:200 v/v
Anti-mouse Alexa Flour 568	Life technology	A-10037	1:200 v/v
Anti α-tubulin	Abcam	Ab18251	1:1,000 v/v
Anti GFP	ImmunoKontact	042704	1:1,000 v/v
Anti Myc-rabbit	Santa Cruz	Sc-789	A-12 (1:1,000 v/v)
HRP Anti rabbit IgG antibody (Peroxidase)	Vector	PI-1000	1:10,000 v/v
HRP Anti rabbit IgG antibody (Peroxidase)	Vector	PI-2000	1:10,000 v/v

Fly stocks and genetics			
α-PheRS^{G2060}/FM6	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC)	26625	
RNAi- α-PheRS	Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center (VDRC)	33514	
RNAi- β-PheRS	VDRC	42046	
eyeless-Gal4	BDSC	5535	
engrailed-Gal4	BDSC	30564	
UAS-GFP	BDSC	6658	
STAT92E[HJ]/TM3,Sb	BDSC	24510	
w; UAS-Myc::MYC	BDSC	9674	
hspFLP/y ; + ; UAS-Myc::MYC	BDSC	9675	
hspFLP/y ; UAS-N^{ICD} /CyO ; MKRS/TM2	BDSC	52008	
10X STAT92E GFP	BDSC	26197	
UAS-N RNAi	BDSC	33611	
UAS-N RNAi	BDSC	7078	
neoFRT82B Sb1/TM6	BDSC	2051	
NRE-EGFP	BDSC	30728	
UAS-Dl	BDSC	5614	
tub-Gal4/TM3,Sb	BDSC	5138	
y w att2A[vas-ϕ]; +; attP-86F	ETH Zurich		A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH
esg-Gal4,UAS-2XEYFP;MKRS/TM6B,Tb	ETH Zurich		A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH
yw; esg-Gal4, UAS-GFP/TM6B,Tb,Hu	ETH Zurich	2400	A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH
NP1-Gal4 (Myo31DF)/CyO y+	ETH Zurich	2398	A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH
esg-Gal4, UAS-mCherry-CD8, tub-gal80^{ts}/CyO			A gift from Péter Nagy, Cornell University
yw;UAS-cyto-gars-myc/CyO			A gift from Albena Jordanova, VIB-U Antwerp Center for Molecular Neurology

Bacteria strains and vectors		
XL1 blue	Aligent	200249
Rosseta – Novagen	Merckmilipore	70954
pET-28a – Novagen	Merckmilipore	69864
pET LIC (2A-T)	Addgene	29665
pUASattB	Drosophila Genomics Resource Center	1419
pw+SNattB	(Koch et al., 2009)	
Commercial assay or kit		
Pierce® Silver Stain kit	Thermo Scientific	24612
Pierce® BCA Protein Assay kit	Thermo Scientific	23227
ReliaPrep™ DNA CleanUp and Concentration System	Promega	A2893
GeneElute™ HP Plasmid miniprep kit	Sigma	NA0160
Qiagen® Plasmid Plus Midi kit	Qiagen	12943
Ni-NTA affinity resin	Qiagen	30210
ECL™ Prime Western Blotting System	GE Healthcare	RPN2232
RNAMaxx™ High Yield Transcription Kit	Aligent	200339
Software, algorithm		
Leica Application Suite X (LAS X)	Leica	https://www.leica-microsystems.com/products/microscope-software/p/leica-las-x-ls/
FIJI	ImageJ	https://fiji.sc/
GraphPad Prism	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/
FlowJo™	BD Biosciences	https://www.flowjo.com/
Microsoft Excel	Microsoft	https://products.office.com/en-us/excel

474   **Buffers**

Lysis buffer for Drosophila tissue	Lysis buffer for bacteria
20 mM Tris HCl pH7.4	20 mM Tris HCl pH7.4
150uM NaCl	150uM NaCl
2 mM EDTA	2 mM EDTA
50 mM NaF	50 mM NaF
10% Glycerol	10% Glycerol
1% Triton X100	1% Triton X100
1 Protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche-4693159001)	4mM Imidazole 1M
	0.6% Lysozyme

1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride	1 Protease inhibitor cocktail tablet 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride
4% PFA	**1X PBST**
1X PBST 4% (w/v) Paraformaldehyde	0.2 % (v/v) Tween 20 1X PBS
Blocking buffer	**Fly food recipe**
5% (w/v) non-fat dry milk 0.1% (v/v) Triton X100	20.4 l H_2O 1,680 g Maize flour 720 g Yeast 1,800 g Syrup 192 g Potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate 36 g Nipagin 120 ml Propionic acid
10X PBS pH 7.4	
10.6 mM KH_2PO_4 1.5 M NaCl 30 mM $Na_2PO_4.7H_2O$	
10X SDS running buffer	**10X Transfer buffer**
30 g Tris base 144 g Glycine 10 g SDS dH_2O to 1ℓ	30 g Tris base 144 g Glycine dH_2O to 1ℓ
10X TBS pH to 7.6	**1X TBST**
24 g of Tris Base 88 g of NaCl dH_2O to 1ℓ	100 mL 10X TBS 900 mL dH_2O 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20

476   **Primers**

Name	Sequence (5' to 3')	Application
rc2263f	CGCGGATCCATCCGGCGAGAGAGTGTCTTTG	Genomic genomic construct of *α-PheRS*
rc2263r	CGGGGTACCTATGCCTGGCGATAATCGTG	
Tyr412Cys & Phe438Cys-F	TCAAGCCGGCGTACAATCCGTGTACCGAGCCCAG	Construct of *α-PheRS^Cys* mutation
Tyr412Cys & Phe438Cys-R	CTCCGGCCGACAGACGCCCGAGTTGCCC	
α-PheRS RNAi 11f	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGCAAGAAACGCAAGCTCCTC	*α-PheRS* ds RNA synthesis
α-PheRS RNAi 11r	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGGAACTCCGCCAGATGTGTG	
β-PheRS RNAi 10f	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGGCCAATCATTCGGGAATCA	*β-PheRS* ds RNA synthesis
β-PheRS RNAi 10r	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGAGGCAGGGACTTCTTAATGT	
seq r6	GCTCCCATTCATCAGTTCC	Sequencing
seqA r1	CATTTCCACCGTGAGATCCGTC	Sequencing
seqA r2	AACTCTTGTGGGTGACCGTTTC	Sequencing
seqA f1	GTTCTCGAAGTGAATGTTCTGG	Sequencing

seqA f2	TTTAGCCACCGTCGTCGTTTC	Sequencing
seqA r3	TCCAGCGACGATGACGAATTTG	Sequencing
seqA f3	CAAATGGATTGTGGGACCAGC	Sequencing
seqA r4	GCCCTCCTCCACCATCTTTAG	Sequencing

Fly genetics and husbandry

479 All *Drosophila melanogaster* fly stocks were kept for long term storage at 18°C in glass or

480 plastic vials on standard food with day/night (12h/12h) light cycles. All experiments were

481 performed at 25°C unless specifically mentioned. A UAS-GFP element was added in the

482 crosses of all rescue experiments to even out the effect of Gal4 by providing the same number

483 of UAS constructs. Origins of all stocks are noted in the *Key Resource Table*.

DNA cloning and generation of transgenic flies

Sequence information was obtained from Flybase. All mutations and the addition of the Myc-

tag to the N-terminus of *α-PheRS* were made by following the procedure of the QuickChange®

Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). The genomic *α-PheRS* rescue construct (*Myc::α-*

PheRS) codes for the entire coding region and for an additional Myc tag at the N-terminal end.

In addition, it contains ~ 1kb of up- and down-stream sequences and it was cloned into the

pw+SNattB transformation vector (Koch et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2014). The *α-PheRS* and *β-*

PheRS cDNAs were obtained by RT-PCR from mRNA isolated from 4-8 days old *OreR* flies

(Lu et al., 2014). The Tyr412Cys and Phe438Cys mutations in the *α-PheRS* sequence were

created by site directed mutagenesis. Like the wild-type cDNA, they were cloned into the

pUASTattB transformation vector to generate the pUAS-α-PheRS and pUAS-α-PheRS^{Cys}.

Before injecting these constructs into fly embryos, all plasmids were verified by sequencing

(Microsynth AG, Switzerland). Transgenic flies were generated by applying the ϕ C31-based

integration system with the stock (*y w att2A[vas-ϕ]; +; attP-86F*) (Bischof et al., 2007).

Western blotting

Protein was extracted from tissues, whole larvae, or flies using the lysis buffer. Protein lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes (Milipore, US). The blocking was performed for 1h at room temperature (RT) with non-fat dry milk (5%) in TBST solution. Blots were probed first with primary antibodies (diluted in blocking buffer) overnight at 4°C and then with secondary antibodies (diluted in TBST) 1h at RT. The signal of the secondary antibody was detected by using the detect solution mixture (1:1) (ECL™ Prime Western Blotting System, GE Healthcare Life Science) and a luminescent detector (Amersham Imager 600, GE Healthcare Life Science). Origins and recipes of all buffers and reagents are noted in *Key Resource Table*.

Immunofluorescent staining and confocal microscopy

Guts were dissected from each female fly 3 days after eclosure, and a total of 10 guts were analyzed for each genotype. Dissections were performed in PBS 1X on ice and tissues were collected within maximum one hour. Fixation with 4% PFA in PBS-T 0.2% at RT was done for different durations depending on the different tissues: two hours (gut), 40 minutes (brain), 30 minutes (wing discs, ovary). Then the samples were blocked overnight with blocking buffer at 4°C. Primary antibodies (diluted in blocking buffer) were incubated with the samples for 8h at RT. The samples were rinsed 3 times and washed 3 times (20 minutes/wash) with PBST. Secondary antibodies (diluted in PBST) were incubated overnight at 4°C. The samples were then rinsed 3 times and washed 2 times (20 minutes/wash) with PBST. Hoechst 33258 (2.5 µg/ml) was added in PBST before the last washing step and the samples were mounted with Aqua/Poly Mount solution (Polysciences Inc., US). For the anti-Delta labeling, the samples were blocked for 3h at RT with blocking buffer. The primary anti-Delta antibody (1:10 v/v)

523   was incubated with the samples overnight at 4ᵒC and then the secondary antibody was

524   incubated overnight at 4ᵒC. Origins and diluted concentrations of all buffers and antibodies are

525   noted in *Key Resource Table*.

Protein synthesis measurements using the ribopuromycylation method (RPM)

For puromycin labeling experiments, tissues were dissected in Schneider's insect medium

(Sigma, US) supplement with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS, Sigma, US) at 25ᵒC. They were then

incubated with Schneider's insect medium containing puromycin (5 µg/ml, Sigma, US) and

cycloheximine (CHX, 100 µg/ml, Sigma, US) for 2 hours at RT. Then the samples were fixed

with 4% PFA in PBS-T 0.2% at RT and blocked overnight with blocking buffer at 4ᵒC. Primary

anti-Puromycin antibody (diluted in PBST) was incubated with the samples for 8h at RT. The

samples were rinsed 3 times and washed 3 times (20 minutes/wash) with PBST. Secondary

antibodies (diluted in PBST) were incubated overnight at 4ᵒC. The samples were then rinsed 3

times and washed 2 times (20 minutes/wash) with PBST. Hoechst 33258 (2.5 µg/ml) was added

in PBST before the last washing step and the samples were mounted with Aqua/Poly Mount

solution (Polysciences Inc., US).

In vitro **aminoacylation assay**

Recombinant α-PheRS and β-PheRS proteins were expressed in the *E. coli* strain Rosetta

(Novagen) and then purified (Moor et al., 2002). For this, the *α-PheRS* or *α-PheR^{Cys}* mutant

cDNAs were cloned with His tags at the N-terminal end into the pET-28a plasmid expression

vector (Novagen). Wild-type *β-PheRS* cDNAs were cloned into the pET LIC (2A-T) plasmid

(Addgene). Then, His-α-PheRS or the His-α-PheR^{Cys} mutant and β-PheRS were co-expressed

in the *E. coli* strain Rosetta with isopropylthiogalactoside (IPTG, 1mM) induction at 25 °C for

6 hours. Proteins were purified with Ni-NTA affinity resin (Qiagen). The aminoacylation assay

protocol from Jiongming Lu was then followed (Lu et al., 2014). This assay was performed at

25 °C in a 100μl reaction mixture containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 4 mM

ATP, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 100 μg/ml BSA, 3 U/ml *E. coli* carrier tRNA, 5 μM [^{3}H]-

amino acid (L-Phe) and 1 μM tRNA^{Phe} from brewer's yeast (Sigma, US). In each experiment,

a 15-μl aliquot was removed at four different incubation time points, spotted on a Whatman

filter paper discs and washed three times with ice-cold 5% trichloroacetic acid and once with

ice-cold ethanol. A blank paper disc without spotting and another with spotting the enzyme-

free reaction were used for detecting background signals. After filter discs were dried, they

were immersed into PPO Toluol (Sigma, US) solution in plastic bottles and the radioactivity

was measured by scintillation counting.

559   Wing disc dissociation and FACS analysis

Wandering larvae derived from 2-4 hours egg collections were dissected in PBS during a

maximal time of 30 minutes. Around twenty wing discs were incubated with gentle agitation

at 29°C for around 2-hours in 500μl 10× Trypsin-EDTA supplemented with 50 μl 10×Hank's

Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) (Sigma, US) and 10 μl Vybrant DyeCycle Ruby stain

(Molecular Probes, US). Dissociated cells from wing discs were directly analyzed by FACS-

Calibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, US).

Drosophila tissue culture cells were harvested and fixed in 70% ethanol and stained

with a staining solution containing 1mg/ml propidium iodide, 0.1% Triton and 10 mg/ml RNase

A. The cells were then subjected to FACS-Calibur cytometry and data were analyzed with the

FlowJo software.

Drosophila cell culture and RNAi treatment

The protocols for in vitro cell culture and RNAi treatment was described in the PhD thesis of Jiongming Lu (Lu, 2013). *Drosophila* Kc cells were incubated at 25°C in Schneider's *Drosophila* medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) and 50 μg/ml Penicillin/Streptomycin. To induce RNAi knockdown in *Drosophila* cells, dsRNA treatment was performed (Clemens et al., 2000). dsRNAs around 500bp in length were generated with the RNAMaxx^{TM} High Yield Transcription Kit (Agilent, US). Cells were diluted to a concentration of 10^6 cells/ml in serum-free medium, and dsRNA was added directly to the medium at a concentration of 15 μg/ml. The cells were incubated for 1 hour followed by addition of medium containing FCS. Then the cells were kept in the incubator and were harvested at different time points (1-5 days) after dsRNA treatment.

Clonal assay and twin spot data analysis

For twin spot tests, we used the Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker (MARCM) system. Twin spots were generated with the progenitor genotype *hs-flp; tub-Gal4/UAS-β-PheRS ; FRT82B, ubiGFP, UAS-α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$/FRT82B Tub-Gal80.* In twin spots, the internal control clone was GFP-minus and the sister clone with the red signal generated by the antibody against the overexpressed protein. We induced the *hs-FLP, FRT82B* system at 37°C for 1h on the third day post-eclosure and dissected the animals 3 days post-induction. Confocal imaging detected non-green clones (without ubiGFP) and red clones (stained with Myc antibody-red) (Fig 9A).

In twin spots, cell numbers per clone were counted and the numbers of cell division per clone were calculated as $\log_2$(cell numbers per clone). This represents the logarithm of the cell

numbers per clone to the base 2. The increase of cell proliferation (%) was analyzed by

comparing the number of cell divisions of the two clones in the same twin spot.

Image acquisition and processing

Imaging was carried out with a Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with

a 405 nm diode laser, a 458, 476, 488, 496 and 514 nm Argon laser, a 561 nm diode pumped

solid state laser and a 633 nm HeNe laser. Images were obtained with 20x dry and 63x oil-

immersion objectives and 1024x1024 pixel format. Images were acquired using LAS X

software. The images of the entire gut were obtained by imaging at the standard size and then

merging maximal projections of Z-stacks with the Tiles Scan tool. Fluorescent intensity was

determined from FIJI software.

Quantification of cell numbers per posterior midgut

Z stack images through the width of the posterior midgut were acquired along the length of the

posterior midgut from the R4a compartment to midgut-hindgut junction. Maximum projections

of each Z stack were obtained, and the total number of each cell type was counted manually

and exported to Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism for further statistical analysis.

Quantification and statistical analysis

For quantifications of all experiments, *n* represents the number of independent biological

samples analyzed (the number of guts, the number of wing disc, the number of twin spots),

error bars represent standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was determined using the

t-test or ANOVA as noted in the figure legends. They were expressed as P values. (*) denotes

p < 0.05, (**) denotes p < 0.01, (***) denotes p < 0.001, (****) denotes p < 0.0001. (*ns*)

denotes values whose difference was not significant.

Acknowledgements

622 We thank Peter Nagy, Hugo Stocker, Albena Jordanova, Erik Storkebaum and the

623 Bloomington Stock Center for fly stocks. We are also grateful to Mark Safro for suggesting

624 mutations that disrupt the phenylalanine binding site of α-PheRS. This work was supported

625 by the Novartis Foundation for Medical-Biological Research (#18A050), the Swiss National

626 Fund (project grant 31003A_173188) and the University/Canton of Bern.

Author contributions

630 T.H., J.L. and B.S. conceived the ideas and designed the experiments. T.H. conducted most

631 experiments and performed the analysis of the results. J.L. performed the loss-of-function

632 experiments of PheRS and the mTOR signaling tests, the adult wing measurements and the

633 FACS analysis, including analyzing their data. T.H., J.L. and B.S. wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of interests

637 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Ables, J.L., Breunig, J.J., Eisch, A.J., Rakic, P., 2011. Not(ch) just development: Notch signalling in the adult brain. Nature reviews. Neuroscience 12, 269-283.

Adam, I., Dewi, D.L., Mooiweer, J., Sadik, A., Mohapatra, S.R., Berdel, B., Keil, M., Sonner, J.K., Thedieck, K., Rose, A.J., Platten, M., Heiland, I., Trump, S., Opitz, C.A., 2018. Upregulation of tryptophanyl-tRNA synthethase adapts human cancer cells to nutritional stress caused by tryptophan degradation. Oncoimmunology 7, e1486353.

Antonellis, A., Oprescu, S.N., Griffin, L.B., Heider, A., Amalfitano, A., Innis, J.W., 2018. Compound heterozygosity for loss-of-function FARSB variants in a patient with classic features of recessive aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase-related disease. Human mutation 39, 834-840.

Bach, E.A., Ekas, L.A., Ayala-Camargo, A., Flaherty, M.S., Lee, H., Perrimon, N., Baeg, G.H., 2007. GFP reporters detect the activation of the Drosophila JAK/STAT pathway in vivo. Gene expression patterns : GEP 7, 323-331.

Barker, N., Ridgway, R.A., van Es, J.H., van de Wetering, M., Begthel, H., van den Born, M., Danenberg, E., Clarke, A.R., Sansom, O.J., Clevers, H., 2009. Crypt stem cells as the cells-of-origin of intestinal cancer. Nature 457, 608-611.

Bina, S., Wright, V.M., Fisher, K.H., Milo, M., Zeidler, M.P., 2010. Transcriptional targets of Drosophila JAK/STAT pathway signalling as effectors of haematopoietic tumour formation. EMBO reports 11, 201-207.

Bischof, J., Maeda, R.K., Hediger, M., Karch, F., Basler, K., 2007. An optimized transgenesis system for Drosophila using germ-line-specific phiC31 integrases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104, 3312-3317.

Bonfils, G., Jaquenoud, M., Bontron, S., Ostrowicz, C., Ungermann, C., De Virgilio, C., 2012. Leucyl-tRNA synthetase controls TORC1 via the EGO complex. Molecular cell 46, 105-110.

Buchon, N., Broderick, N.A., Chakrabarti, S., Lemaitre, B., 2009. Invasive and indigenous microbiota impact intestinal stem cell activity through multiple pathways in Drosophila. Genes & development 23, 2333-2344.

Casas-Tinto, S., Lolo, F.N., Moreno, E., 2015. Active JNK-dependent secretion of Drosophila Tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase by loser cells recruits haemocytes during cell competition. Nature communications 6, 10022.

Chen, J., Larochelle, S., Li, X., Suter, B., 2003. Xpd/Ercc2 regulates CAK activity and mitotic progression. Nature 424, 228-232.

Clemens, J.C., Worby, C.A., Simonson-Leff, N., Muda, M., Maehama, T., Hemmings, B.A.,
Dixon, J.E., 2000. Use of double-stranded RNA interference in Drosophila cell lines to
dissect signal transduction pathways. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97,
6499-6503.

de la Pompa, J.L., Wakeham, A., Correia, K.M., Samper, E., Brown, S., Aguilera, R.J.,
Nakano, T., Honjo, T., Mak, T.W., Rossant, J., Conlon, R.A., 1997. Conservation of the
Notch signalling pathway in mammalian neurogenesis. Development 124, 1139-1148.

Deliu, L.P., Ghosh, A., Grewal, S.S., 2017. Investigation of protein synthesis in Drosophila
larvae using puromycin labelling. Biology open 6, 1229-1234.

Dolde, C., Lu, J., Suter, B., 2014. Cross Talk between Cellular Regulatory Networks
Mediated by Shared Proteins. Advances in Biology 2014, 12.

Finarov, I., Moor, N., Kessler, N., Klipcan, L., Safro, M.G., 2010. Structure of human
cytosolic phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase: evidence for kingdom-specific design of the active
sites and tRNA binding patterns. Structure 18, 343-353.

Giachino, C., Taylor, V., 2014. Notching up neural stem cell homogeneity in homeostasis and
disease. Frontiers in neuroscience 8, 32.

Gomard-Mennesson, E., Fabien, N., Cordier, J.F., Ninet, J., Tebib, J., Rousset, H., 2007.
Clinical significance of anti-histidyl-tRNA synthetase (Jo1) autoantibodies. Annals of the
New York Academy of Sciences 1109, 414-420.

Grandbarbe, L., Bouissac, J., Rand, M., Hrabe de Angelis, M., Artavanis-Tsakonas, S.,
Mohier, E., 2003. Delta-Notch signaling controls the generation of neurons/glia from neural
stem cells in a stepwise process. Development 130, 1391-1402.

Greenberg, Y., King, M., Kiosses, W.B., Ewalt, K., Yang, X., Schimmel, P., Reader, J.S.,
Tzima, E., 2008. The novel fragment of tyrosyl tRNA synthetase, mini-TyrRS, is secreted to
induce an angiogenic response in endothelial cells. FASEB journal : official publication of
the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology 22, 1597-1605.

Guo, M., Schimmel, P., 2013. Essential nontranslational functions of tRNA synthetases.
Nature chemical biology 9, 145-153.

Han, J.M., Jeong, S.J., Park, M.C., Kim, G., Kwon, N.H., Kim, H.K., Ha, S.H., Ryu, S.H.,
Kim, S., 2012. Leucyl-tRNA synthetase is an intracellular leucine sensor for the mTORC1-
signaling pathway. Cell 149, 410-424.

Hariharan, I.K., 2015. Organ Size Control: Lessons from Drosophila. Developmental cell 34,
255-265.

Hatakeyama, J., Bessho, Y., Katoh, K., Ookawara, S., Fujioka, M., Guillemot, F., Kageyama,
R., 2004. Hes genes regulate size, shape and histogenesis of the nervous system by control of
the timing of neural stem cell differentiation. Development 131, 5539-5550.

Herrera, S.C., Bach, E.A., 2019. JAK/STAT signaling in stem cells and regeneration: from
Drosophila to vertebrates. Development 146.

Housden, B.E., Millen, K., Bray, S.J., 2012. Drosophila Reporter Vectors Compatible with
PhiC31 Integrase Transgenesis Techniques and Their Use to Generate New Notch Reporter
Fly Lines. G3 (Bethesda) 2, 79-82.

Jiang, H., Patel, P.H., Kohlmaier, A., Grenley, M.O., McEwen, D.G., Edgar, B.A., 2009.
Cytokine/Jak/Stat signaling mediates regeneration and homeostasis in the Drosophila midgut.
Cell 137, 1343-1355.

Kim, D., Kwon, N.H., Kim, S., 2014. Association of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases with
cancer. Topics in current chemistry 344, 207-245.

Ko, Y.G., Kang, Y.S., Kim, E.K., Park, S.G., Kim, S., 2000. Nucleolar localization of human
methionyl-tRNA synthetase and its role in ribosomal RNA synthesis. The Journal of cell
biology 149, 567-574.

Ko, Y.G., Kim, E.Y., Kim, T., Park, H., Park, H.S., Choi, E.J., Kim, S., 2001. Glutamine-
dependent antiapoptotic interaction of human glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase with apoptosis
signal-regulating kinase 1. The Journal of biological chemistry 276, 6030-6036.

Koch, R., Ledermann, R., Urwyler, O., Heller, M., Suter, B., 2009. Systematic functional
analysis of Bicaudal-D serine phosphorylation and intragenic suppression of a female sterile
allele of BicD. PloS one 4, e4552.

Korzelius, J., Naumann, S.K., Loza-Coll, M.A., Chan, J.S., Dutta, D., Oberheim, J., Glasser,
C., Southall, T.D., Brand, A.H., Jones, D.L., Edgar, B.A., 2014. Escargot maintains stemness
and suppresses differentiation in Drosophila intestinal stem cells. The EMBO journal 33,
2967-2982.

Laplante, M., Sabatini, D.M., 2012. mTOR signaling in growth control and disease. Cell 149,
274-293.

Lee, S.W., Cho, B.H., Park, S.G., Kim, S., 2004. Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase complexes:
beyond translation. Journal of cell science 117, 3725-3734.

Lin, G., Xu, N., Xi, R., 2010. Paracrine unpaired signaling through the JAK/STAT pathway
controls self-renewal and lineage differentiation of drosophila intestinal stem cells. Journal of
molecular cell biology 2, 37-49.

Ling, J., Yadavalli, S.S., Ibba, M., 2007. Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase editing defects result
in efficient mistranslation of phenylalanine codons as tyrosine. RNA 13, 1881-1886.

Lu, J., 2013. Phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase: functions in and beyond aminoacylation,
Institute of Cell Biology. University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

Lu, J., Bergert, M., Walther, A., Suter, B., 2014. Double-sieving-defective aminoacyl-tRNA
synthetase causes protein mistranslation and affects cellular physiology and development.
Nature communications 5, 5650.

Markowitz, S.D., Bertagnolli, M.M., 2009. Molecular origins of cancer: Molecular basis of
colorectal cancer. The New England journal of medicine 361, 2449-2460.

Martin, F.A., Morata, G., 2006. Compartments and the control of growth in the Drosophila
wing imaginal disc. Development 133, 4421-4426.

Micchelli, C.A., Perrimon, N., 2006. Evidence that stem cells reside in the adult Drosophila
midgut epithelium. Nature 439, 475-479.

Moor, N., Linshiz, G., Safro, M., 2002. Cloning and expression of human phenylalanyl-tRNA
synthetase in Escherichia coli: comparative study of purified recombinant enzymes. Protein
expression and purification 24, 260-267.

Muller, P., Kuttenkeuler, D., Gesellchen, V., Zeidler, M.P., Boutros, M., 2005. Identification
of JAK/STAT signalling components by genome-wide RNA interference. Nature 436, 871-
875.

Nathanson, L., Deutscher, M.P., 2000. Active aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases are present in
nuclei as a high molecular weight multienzyme complex. The Journal of biological chemistry
275, 31559-31562.

Niehues, S., Bussmann, J., Steffes, G., Erdmann, I., Kohrer, C., Sun, L., Wagner, M.,
Schafer, K., Wang, G., Koerdt, S.N., Stum, M., Jaiswal, S., RajBhandary, U.L., Thomas, U.,
Aberle, H., Burgess, R.W., Yang, X.L., Dieterich, D., Storkebaum, E., 2015. Impaired protein
translation in Drosophila models for Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy caused by mutant
tRNA synthetases. Nature communications 6, 7520.

Ohlstein, B., Spradling, A., 2007. Multipotent Drosophila intestinal stem cells specify
daughter cell fates by differential notch signaling. Science 315, 988-992.

Otani, A., Slike, B.M., Dorrell, M.I., Hood, J., Kinder, K., Ewalt, K.L., Cheresh, D.,
Schimmel, P., Friedlander, M., 2002. A fragment of human TrpRS as a potent antagonist of
ocular angiogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
of America 99, 178-183.

Patel, P.H., Dutta, D., Edgar, B.A., 2015. Niche appropriation by Drosophila intestinal stem
cell tumours. Nature cell biology 17, 1182-1192.

Qiao, L., Wong, B.C., 2009. Role of Notch signaling in colorectal cancer. Carcinogenesis 30,
1979-1986.

Roy, H., Ibba, M., 2006. Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase contains a dispensable RNA-binding
domain that contributes to the editing of noncognate aminoacyl-tRNA. Biochemistry 45,
9156-9162.

Schimmel, P.R., Soll, D., 1979. Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases: general features and
recognition of transfer RNAs. Annual review of biochemistry 48, 601-648.

Sen, S., Zhou, H., Ripmaster, T., Hittelman, W.N., Schimmel, P., White, R.A., 1997.
Expression of a gene encoding a tRNA synthetase-like protein is enhanced in tumorigenic
human myeloid leukemia cells and is cell cycle stage- and differentiation-dependent.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 94, 6164-
6169.

Smirnova, E.V., Lakunina, V.A., Tarassov, I., Krasheninnikov, I.A., Kamenski, P.A., 2012.
Noncanonical functions of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. Biochemistry. Biokhimiia 77, 15-
25.

Takashima, S., Adams, K.L., Ortiz, P.A., Ying, C.T., Moridzadeh, R., Younossi-Hartenstein,
A., Hartenstein, V., 2011. Development of the Drosophila entero-endocrine lineage and its
specification by the Notch signaling pathway. Developmental biology 353, 161-172.

Tzima, E., Reader, J.S., Irani-Tehrani, M., Ewalt, K.L., Schwartz, M.A., Schimmel, P., 2005.
VE-cadherin links tRNA synthetase cytokine to anti-angiogenic function. The Journal of
biological chemistry 280, 2405-2408.

Wang, C., Guo, X., Dou, K., Chen, H., Xi, R., 2015. Ttk69 acts as a master repressor of
enteroendocrine cell specification in Drosophila intestinal stem cell lineages. Development
142, 3321-3331.

Wang, H., Chen, X., He, T., Zhou, Y., Luo, H., 2013. Evidence for tissue-specific Jak/STAT
target genes in Drosophila optic lobe development. Genetics 195, 1291-1306.

Wu, J.S., Luo, L., 2006. A protocol for mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker
(MARCM) in Drosophila. Nature protocols 1, 2583-2589.

Wullschleger, S., Loewith, R., Hall, M.N., 2006. TOR signaling in growth and metabolism.
Cell 124, 471-484.

Yannay-Cohen, N., Carmi-Levy, I., Kay, G., Yang, C.M., Han, J.M., Kemeny, D.M., Kim,
S., Nechushtan, H., Razin, E., 2009. LysRS serves as a key signaling molecule in the immune
response by regulating gene expression. Molecular cell 34, 603-611.

Yin, C., Xi, R., 2018. A Phyllopod-Mediated Feedback Loop Promotes Intestinal Stem Cell
Enteroendocrine Commitment in Drosophila. Stem cell reports 10, 43-57.

Yin, L., Velazquez, O.C., Liu, Z.J., 2010. Notch signaling: emerging molecular targets for
cancer therapy. Biochemical pharmacology 80, 690-701.

Zhou, J.J., Wang, F., Xu, Z., Lo, W.S., Lau, C.F., Chiang, K.P., Nangle, L.A., Ashlock,
M.A., Mendlein, J.D., Yang, X.L., Zhang, M., Schimmel, P., 2014. Secreted histidyl-tRNA
synthetase splice variants elaborate major epitopes for autoantibodies in inflammatory
myositis. The Journal of biological chemistry 289, 19269-19275.

Figure legends:

Figure 1: *α–PheRS* overexpression increases numbers of mitotic cells without stimulating translation.

(A-B''',C) Wing disc phenotypes induced by the overexpression of α-*PheRS* or α-*PheRS*^{Cys}. *en-Gal4* was used to drive transgene expression in the posterior compartment of developing wing discs. Mitotic cells were labelled with anti-phospho-Histone H3 (PH3) antibodies (A: anterior compartment; P: posterior compartment). n=10, *p<0.05, **p<0.01 in t-test. (D-E'',F) Protein synthesis did not increase upon overexpression of *α-PheRS* or *α-PheRS* and *β-PheRS* together. *en-Gal4* was used to drive the overexpression of the transgenes in the posterior compartment of wing discs. dMyc was used as positive control. Protein synthesis was measured by the mean intensity of the puromycin (PMY) signal labelling the nascent polypeptides. n=15, ****p<0.0001, *ns:* not significant.

Figure 2: PheRS overexpression in wing discs induces excessive cell growth.

(A,B) PheRS overexpression increases wing size. One wing was chosen from each female fly, and a total of 20 wings were analyzed for each genotype. Quantification of wing size was performed by counting the pixels in both anterior (A) and posterior (P) compartments of the wing and calculating their ratio (P/A). PheRS overexpression of both subunits, but not a single subunit alone, increases wing size. GlyRS overexpression did not increase wing size, but slightly decreased it. Note that only the upper section of the y axis is shown. n=20, ∗∗, p<0.01 in t-test.

Figure 3: The proliferative activity of the *α-PheRS* subunit is independent of PheRS complex formation and tRNA^{Phe} aminoacylation.

Clonal analysis (twin spot) experiment of the effect of overexpression of the PheRS subunits in follicle

cells by the MARCM technique. After inducing mitotic recombination by expressing the flippase

under the control of the heat-shock (*hs*) promoter at 37°C for 40 minutes, a recombining cell will

divide and give rise to two proliferating clones. (A) One clone (red) overexpresses PheRS and its twin

clone (GFP⁻ signal) does not and expresses normal levels (internal control, outlined with yellow line).

(B) Three days after inducing the recombination, the average number of cell divisions were calculated

for each clone and compared to its twin spot to obtain the proliferation increase (%). n= 30, **$p<0.01$,

***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$ in ANOVA test.

Figure 4: α-PheRS promotes ISC proliferation in the midgut.

(A) The number of ISC clusters increased upon overexpression of *Myc::α-PheRS* in ISCs and EBs

(Esg⁺-cells) of the larval gut. n=10, *$p<0.05$,****$p<0.0001$. (B) The cell number per Esg⁺ cluster

increased upon overexpression of *Myc::α-PheRS* with the same *esg-Gal4* driver used in (A). Esg⁺

clusters were categorized according to the number of cells per cluster. The fraction of big clusters (cell

number > 5) increased upon overexpression of *Myc::α-PheRS*. In contrast, the overexpression of *β-*

PheRS::V5 alone or the overexpression of *β-PheRS::V5* together with *Myc::α-PheRS* showed the

same frequency of the different clusters as the wild type. (C-G) Whole gut images and pictures of the

posterior midgut area (C'-G') showing the different cells observed. The expression of the different

genes indicated were driven with the same driver used in (A). The eYFP signal (YFP) displayed in

green marks ISCs and EBs, Hoechst (blue) stains the DNA in the nuclei. Overexpression of the *α-*

PheRS^Cys mutant gave rise to tumor-like areas in both the anterior and the posterior midgut (outlined

with dashed lines). (H) Mitotic cells were labelled with anti-phospho-Histone H3 (PH3) antibodies.

n=10, ****$p<0.0001$ in t-test. (I) Total cells per intestinal region were measured by counting Hoechst

33258 labeled cells manually. n=10, ****$p<0.0001$. (J-J") The overexpression of *α-PheRS* or *α-*

PheRS^Cys with the *esg-Gal4* driver in adult guts also resulted in an over-proliferation phenotype of

Esg⁺ stem cells and progenitor cells.

Figure 5: Elevated α-PheRS levels increase EE differentiation in the gut through downregulating Notch signaling.

(A-B,C) Overexpression of *α-PheRS^{Cys}* using the *esg-Gal4,UAS-2XEYFP* system led to an increase in the number of EEs compared to the ECs in both larval and adult guts. EEs were marked by anti-Prospero antibody, Hoechst-labelled polyploid cells were counted as ECs. Guts were dissected from female flies 3 days after eclosure, and at least 10 guts were analyzed for each genotype. n=10, ****p<0.0001. (A",B") The co-overexpression of *α-PheRS^{Cys}* with *Notch* rescued the over-proliferation of Esg$^+$ cells as well as the EE/EC ratio.

(D-E') Whole adult gut images (D,E) and the posterior midgut area (D',E'). The same *esg-Gal4* driver marks ISCs and EBs (eYFP signal shown in green), Hoechst (blue) stains nuclear DNA. The *Notch* knockdown phenotype in the posterior midgut (outlined with dashed lines) is indistinguishable from the *α-PheRS^{Cys}* overexpression phenotype. Co-overexpression of *α-PheRS^{Cys}* with *Notch* rescued the midgut phenotype. (F) Notch activity reporter (NRE-EGFP) levels drastically decrease upon *α-PheRS* or *α-PheRS^{Cys}* overexpression in adult midgut ISCs that expresses GFP under the control of a Notch response element (NRE). The guts were dissected from female flies 3 days after eclosure. Protein lysates were then extracted and separated by SDS-PAGE gel. 25 guts were analyzed for each genotype.

Figure 6: High levels of *α-PheRS* repress neuroblast proliferation by downregulating the *Notch* pathway.

(A-A") The overexpression of *α-PheRS* or *α-PheRS^{Cys}* in neuroblasts reduced the ratio central brain (CB) size to optic lobe (OL) size. The *insc-Gal4,UAS-GFP* system was used to overexpress proteins in neuroblasts (NBs). NBs were labelled by GFP expression. Central brain (right area with GFP$^+$ NBs) area is outlined by a white dashed line. (B) Effect of overexpression and knock down of *α-*

PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ and *Notch* on the CB/OL size ratio. n=20, ***p<0.001, *ns*: not significant. (C) The larval

brains were dissected from third instar larvae, and at least 20 brains were analyzed for each genotype.

Each brain lobe was classified according to the number of type II NBs per brain lobe. The

overexpression of *α-PheRS* or *α-PheRS^{Cys}* reduced the number of type II NBs per brain lobe, and less

than half of the lobes contained the normal 7-8 NBs. *Notch RNAi* caused the same phenotype with

higher expressivity. Overexpressing *Notch* together with *α-PheRS^{Cys}* rescued the loss of NB phenotype

to normal wild-type levels. n = 25.

Figure 7: The JAK/STAT transcription factor Stat92E regulates α-PheRS levels.

(A-C'') Hypomorphic *Stat92E^{HJ}* mutants contain high levels of α-PheRS in their ISCs (B). Anti-Delta

antibody was used to label ISCs, and anti-α-PheRS was used to stain for α-PheRS. *esg-Gal4,UAS-*

2XEYFP was used to drive YFP expression (A-A'', control) and *Stat92E* RNAi (C-C''), respectively,

in ISCs and EBs. The white arrows indicate polyploid ECs with high levels of α-PheRS. (D-F') The

quantification of the signal in the image (A'',B'',C'') is based on the line across the nucleus of the cell.

The signal intensity of α-PheRS differs between the ISC/EB (high) and distant EC (low) while the

signal intensity of α-PheRS is similar between the ISC/EB (high) and the neighboring EC (high). (G)

Suppressing the JAK/STAT signaling with the hypomorphic *Stat92E^{HJ}* allele or by RNAi significantly

elevated the levels of α-PheRS in ISCs/EBs and neighboring ECs (Nb EC), but not in distant ECs. α-

PheRS levels were measured by the mean intensity of the fluorescent signal. n=10, ****p<0.0001, *ns:*

not significant. (H-I'') The overexpression of Stat92E decreased α-PheRS levels in Esg$^+$ cells (circled

with white dashed lines) in adult guts. The same setting was used as in (A). (J-K''') The *Stat92E*

activity did not alter upon overexpression of α-PheRS. The *10X STAT92E-GFP* reporter was used to

measure *Stat92E* activity. It expresses GFP under the control of 10 Stat92E binding sites. The *esg-*

Gal4,UAS-mCD8:mCherry,tub-Gal80^{ts} system was used to overexpress *α-PheRS* in ISCs and EBs.

The female flies were collected 3 days after eclosure and cultured at 29°C for 7 days before dissecting

and harvesting their midguts.

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

B

Figure 3

A

B

Figure 4

A

Number of ISC clusters

ns
****
*

Control — Esg>Myc::α-PheRS — Esg>β-PheRS::V5 — Esg>Myc::α-PheRS+ β-PheRS::V5

B

Number of cells per ISC cluster

Percentage (%)

	Control	Myc::α-PheRS	β-PheRS::V5	Myc::α-PheRS β-PheRS::V5
1	10.4	8.2	10	9.4
2	20.8	14.8	19.4	25.9
3	26.4	22.1	24.9	22
4	28	18	24.9	21.6
5	9.6	16.4	11.4	10.5
>6	4.8	20.5	9.5	10.7

1 2 3 4 5 >6

C Esg>YFP   **C'** DNA Esg>YFP

Anterior   Posterior

D Esg>α-PheRS   **D'** DNA Esg>YFP

Anterior   Posterior

E Esg>β-PheRS::V5   **E'** DNA Esg>YFP

Anterior   Posterior

F Esg>β-PheRS::V5 and Myc::α-PheRS   **F'** DNA Esg>YFP

Anterior   Posterior

G Esg>α-PheRS^Cys   **G'** DNA Esg>YFP

Anterior   Posterior

H

Mitotic index

**
***

Control — esg>α-PheRS — esg>α-PheRS^Cys

I

Total cells per intestinal region analyzed

****
**

Control — esg>α-PheRS — esg>α-PheRS^Cys

Adult midgut

Control   *Esg>α-PheRS*   *Esg>α-PheRS^Cys*

J DNA Esg>YFP   **J'** DNA Esg>YFP   **J''** DNA Esg>YFP

Figure 5

| | **Esg>YFP** | **Esg>α-PheRS^{Cys}** | **Esg>α-PheRS^{Cys}+Notch** |

Larval midgut: A, A', A''
Adult midgut: B, B', B''

DNA
Esg>YFP (ISC+EB)
Pros

C

EE/EC ratio

Percentage (%)

Control   Esg>α-PheRS   Esg>α-PheRS^{Cys}

****   ****

D Esg-Gal4>Notch^{RNAi} Anterior   Posterior
D' DNA Esg>YFP
E Esg>α-PheRS^{Cys} and Notch   Anterior   Posterior
E' DNA Esg>YFP

F   *Tub>*

Control   α-PheRS   α-PheRS Cys

NRE-EGFP

α-PheRS

α-Tubulin

Figure 6

B

Ratio CB/OL

Central brain/Optic lobe size

Control α-PheRS α-PheRS^{Cys} α-PheRS^{Cys} + Notch Notch^{RNAi}

ns

***

**

**

C

Number of type II neuroblasts per lobe

Control α-PheRS α-PheRS^{Cys} Notch^{RNAi} α-PheRS^{Cys} + Notch

■ 0-3 ■ 4-6 ■ 7-8

Figure 7

Supplementary Figure S1

Figure S1:
The *α–PheRS^Cys* mutant does not support aminoacylation in vitro. The aminoacylation assay was performed with the mixture of the recombinant protein (α–PheRS or α–PheRS^Cys and β-PheRS) produced in E. coli. tRNA^Phe from yeast was aminoacylated with [³H] phenylalanine. The [³H] phenylalanine signal coupled with tRNA^Phe was counted by the scintillation counter. CPM= counts per minute.

Supplementary Figure S2

Analyzing the size of dissociated larval wing disc cells by FACS revealed that the cells from the posterior compartment (GFP-positive compartment), where both PheRS subunits were overexpressed, were on average larger than the ones that overexpressed only GFP or only α-PheRS (A). In contrast, all control cells from the anterior (GFP-negative) compartment of these three lines were of similar, smaller size (A). Cell numbers, on the other hand, did not significantly change upon overexpression of both PheRS subunits (B). We conclude that in larval wing discs with their organ size control mechanism, PheRS overexpression causes primarily an increase in cell size. On the other hand, single overexpression of α-PheRS increases the mitotic index of wing discs (Fig 1A-C).

A

B

Figure S2: PheRS overexpression in wing discs increases cell size, but not cell numbers
(A) Cell size was determined by measuring the Forward Scatter (FSC) in the FACS analysis. Larvae were synchronized by using 2-hours egg lays. Late 3rd instar larvae were dissected and wing discs were dissociated. GFP was used to mark cell populations that express transgenes. The cells of the GFP-negative compartment showed similar cell size in the different lines (left panel). In the GFP positive compartment, where the transgenes were overexpressed, PheRS overexpression gave rise to bigger cells (right panel). The graph represents the results of three independent experiments. (B) Cell numbers are not significantly altered by PheRS overexpression. The experimental set up was the same as in (A). Posterior cell numbers (P; GFP positive) were determined relative to the anterior (A; GFP negative) cell numbers (n=3).

Supplementary Figure S3

Amino acid deprivation downregulates phosphorylation of dS6K in Kc cells, and subsequent stimulation with amino acids can restore this phosphorylation (Kim et al., 2008). The Rag complex is part of a nutrient sensor pathway, and its knockdown prevents the TORC1 complex from sensing the availability of amino acids (Kim et al., 2008; Sancak et al., 2008). In contrast, when β-PheRS was knocked down, amino acids were still able to induce phosphorylation of dS6K to similar levels as in the control. In this case, it did not matter whether all amino acids were added back (A) or only L-Phe (B). These results therefore suggest that PheRS does not serve as an amino acid sensor upstream of the TORC1 complex, although we cannot rule out that the knockdown was not sufficient to induce this effect.

Figure S3: PheRS does not seem to serve as an amino acid sensor upstream of the TORC1 complex. (A) *β-PheRS* knockdown cannot block the TORC1 complex from sensing the availability of amino acids. Phospho-S6K was used as a readout of TORC1 signaling. Starvation (−) was performed by depriving cells from amino acids for 30 mins, and stimulation (+) was performed by adding back amino acids for 30 mins after starvation. Control is a mock RNAi *RagA* RNAi is known to block the sensing of amino acids. The level of phospho-S6K was quantified relative to the Actin level in the same extract. (B) *β-PheRS* knockdown cannot block the TORC1 complex from sensing the availability of L-Phe. The same experiment as in (A) was performed, but using L-Phe and L-Glu for stimulation. L-Glu was reported to be necessary for amino acid transport (Nicklin et al., 2009). Levels of phospho-S6K were quantified relative to the Actin levels in the same extract.

Reference

Kim, E., Goraksha-Hicks, P., Li, L., Neufeld, T.P., Guan, K.L., 2008. Regulation of TORC1 by Rag GTPases in nutrient response. Nature cell biology 10, 935-945.

Nicklin, P., Bergman, P., Zhang, B., Triantafellow, E., Wang, H., Nyfeler, B., Yang, H., Hild, M., Kung, C., Wilson, C., Myer, V.E., MacKeigan, J.P., Porter, J.A., Wang, Y.K., Cantley, L.C., Finan, P.M., Murphy, L.O., 2009. Bidirectional transport of amino acids regulates mTOR and autophagy. Cell 136, 521-534.

Sancak, Y., Peterson, T.R., Shaul, Y.D., Lindquist, R.A., Thoreen, C.C., Bar-Peled, L., Sabatini, D.M., 2008. The Rag GTPases bind raptor and mediate amino acid signaling to mTORC1. Science 320, 1496-1501.

Supplementary Figure S4

Figure S4: PheRS knockdown reduces cell proliferation and tissue size.
(A,B) RNAi knock down of *PheRS* subunits in the fly eyes (A) and fat bodies (B). *ey-Gal4* and *ppl-Gal4* were used to drive RNAi expression, respectively. The controls were GFP RNAi. RNAi knock down of either subunit reduced the eye size (A) and the size of the entire pupae, respectively. (C) Proliferation of Kc cells upon knockdown of the subunits. The control is mock RNAi. RNAi knockdown was carried out by directly adding dsRNA to the medium, and cells were harvested at days 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 after dsRNA treatment. Knockdown of either subunit reduces cell proliferation and downregulates levels of both subunits (Lu et al., 2014).

Reference
Lu, J., Bergert, M., Walther, A., Suter, B., 2014. Double-sieving-defective aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase causes protein mistranslation and affects cellular physiology and development. Nature communications 5, 5650.

Figure S5: Overexpression of *α-PheRS* **or** *α-PheRS*^Cys **in type II NBs reduced the number of type II NBs per central brain.** *wor-Gal4,ase-Gal80,UAS-GFP* was used to overexpress proteins in marked (GFP signal) type II NBs. Type II NBs are pointed out with white arrowheads.