# α-Phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase attenuates Notch signaling by competing with Notch through its N-terminal domain

Manh Tin Ho[1], Jiongming Lu[2], Beat Suter[1]

**Affiliations**:

[1]Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 4, Bern 3012, Switzerland.

[2]Present address: Max Planck Institute for Biology of Ageing, 50931 Köln, Germany.

Corresponding author: beat.suter@izb.unibe.ch

**Keywords**: aminoacyl tRNA synthetase, Su(H), Notch signaling, JAK/STAT signaling, stem cell, differentiation, proliferation, intestinal tumor

**Author contributions**: T.H., J.L., and B.S. conceived the ideas and designed the experiments. T.H. conducted most experiments and performed the analysis of the results. J.L. performed the loss-of-function experiments of PheRS and the mTOR signaling tests, the adult wing measurements and the FACS analysis, including analyzing their data. T.H., J.L., and B.S. wrote the manuscript.

## Summary

The alpha subunit of the cytoplasmic Phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase (α-PheRS) displays cell growth and proliferation activities and its elevated levels can induce cell fate changes and tumor-like phenotypes that do neither dependent on the canonical function of charging tRNA$^{Phe}$ with phenylalanine nor on stimulating general translation. In intestinal stem cells of Drosophila midguts, α-PheRS levels are naturally slightly elevated and in multiple human cancers the mRNA levels of the human homolog, *FARSA,* are also elevated. In the Drosophila midgut model, even moderately elevating α-PheRS levels caused the accumulation of many additional proliferating cells resembling intestinal stem cells (ISCs) and enteroblasts (EBs). This phenotype resembles the tumor-like phenotype described as *Notch* RNAi phenotype for the same cells. Genetic interactions between *α-PheRS* and *Notch* suggest that their activities neutralize each other and that elevated α-PheRS levels attenuate Notch signaling whether Notch induces differentiation into enterocytes, neuroblast stem cell proliferation, or transcription of a Notch reporter. These non-canonical functions all map to the N-terminal part of α-PheRS. An N-terminal α-PheRS polypeptide of approximately 200 amino acids (α-S) accumulates also naturally in the intestine and displays a weak sequence similarity to the Notch intracellular domain (NICD), suggesting that α-S competes with the NICD for binding to the BTD domain of the transcription factor Su(H)/CSL. Supporting this hypothesis, the key tryptophan (W) residue for this suggested interaction is not only conserved in α-PheRS and α-S, but also essential for attenuating Notch signaling.

# Introduction

Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) are essential enzymes that act by charging transfer RNAs (tRNAs) with their cognate amino acid, a key process for protein synthesis (Schimmel and Soll, 1979). Besides their well-known role in translation, aaRSs perform additional functions in the cytoplasm, the nucleus, and even outside of the cell (Guo and Schimmel, 2013; Nathanson and Deutscher, 2000; Smirnova et al., 2012) (Lee et al., 2004) (Casas-Tinto et al., 2015; Gomard-Mennesson et al., 2007; Greenberg et al., 2008; Otani et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2014). Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase (PheRS, FARS, or FRS) displays elevated expression levels in many cancers compared to their healthy counterparts according to the database "Gene Expression across Normal and Tumor tissue" (GENT2; (Park et al., 2019)). Such a correlation had been reported already two decades earlier and it was suggested that an alternative activity of PheRS might contribute to the tumorigenic events (Sen et al., 1997) (Rodova et al., 1999). A moonlighting function of the α subunit of Drosophila PheRS has recently been found to promote growth and proliferation in different tissues (Ho et al., 2020). Despite this, a possible mechanism explaining the connection between elevated PheRS levels and tumor formation had so far not been reported and, to our knowledge, also not been studied.

Cytoplasmic PheRS is the most complex member of the aaRSs family, a heterotetrameric protein consisting of 2 alpha- (α) and 2 beta- (β) subunits responsible for charging tRNA$^{Phe}$ for translation (Roy and Ibba, 2006). The α subunit includes the catalytic core of the tRNA synthetase and the β subunit has structural modules with a wide range of functions, including tRNA anticodon binding, hydrolyzing misactivated amino acids, and editing misaminoacylated tRNA$^{Phe}$ species (Ling et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2014; Roy and Ibba, 2006). Importantly, both subunits are needed for aminoacylation of tRNA$^{Phe}$.

We set out to address the question of whether and how the elevated levels of α–PheRS

that induce growth and proliferation (Ho *et al.*, 2020) might contribute to tumor formation. To

test for this activity, we studied the role of α–PheRS levels in the Drosophila model system to

dissect the molecular mechanism of such a moonlighting role of *α–PheRS*. Tissue growth and

homeostasis play important roles in developing and outgrown animals, and they require tight

control of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation into daughter cells. The Drosophila midgut

is a powerful model to analyze these mechanisms and their interplay. Adult intestinal stem cells

(ISCs) and adult midgut progenitors (AMPs) in the larval gut can either divide asymmetrically

or symmetrically (Jiang and Edgar, 2011; Micchelli, 2012). Asymmetric divisions of ISCs give

rise to a new ISC and a Su(H)GBE$^+$ enteroblast (EB) for the enterocyte (EC) lineage

differentiation, or a pre-enteroendocrine cell (pre-EE) for the enteroendocrine (EE) cell lineage

differentiation (Furriols and Bray, 2001; Guo et al., 2016). Differential Delta/Notch signaling

between the ISC and its daughter causes the latter to either differentiate into an absorptive EC

lineage or a secretory EE lineage by distinct mechanisms (Beehler-Evans and Micchelli, 2015;

Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2007; Zeng and Hou, 2015). EBs are

intermediate differentiating cells that differentiate into ECs in a Notch-dependent manner

(Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006), while the production of EEs has not been molecularly

characterized. However, the pre-EEs require only low levels of Notch signaling to differentiate

into EEs (Guo *et al.*, 2016). Intestinal homeostasis is an interesting system to elucidate the

control of continuous replenishment of lost cells and the maintenance of stem cells.

Additionally, it turned out to be also a very dynamic process that can react to the loss of large

numbers of differentiated cells in response to injuries and bacterial infections.

We found that in different tissues and cell types, α-PheRS levels in stem and progenitor

cells regulate cell proliferation, cell differentiation, or both. Interestingly, a proteolytic α-

PheRS fragment that can neither interact with β-PheRS nor perform aminoacylation, promotes

these growth, proliferation, and differentiation functions. Although the consequences of altered

levels vary to some degree between tissues, we found that even in tissues with the most

divergent consequences, α-PheRS levels act by attenuating Notch signaling. Sequence analysis

combined with genetic and biochemical tests points to a mechanism whereby the N-terminal

"DNA binding domain" of α-PheRS competes with the NICD for the binding to the

transcription factor Su(H)/CSL. Such a regulative mechanism can explain all moonlighting

activities of α-PheRS described here.

## Results

**PheRS is enriched in Drosophila gut stem cells**

PheRS promotes growth and proliferation in different tissues (Ho *et al.*, 2020). In the Drosophila midgut, intestinal stem cells (ISCs) display very high proliferation rates (Nagy et al., 2018) due to a high turnover of the cells with a nutrient absorption mission. In addition, the GENT2 database also reports highly elevated PheRS levels in many malignant cells compared to normal tissues, and among these are also intestinal cancers (Park *et al.*, 2019). To investigate the role of PheRS in intestinal cells, we examined the PheRS expression levels in wild-type fly guts. ISCs and AMPs are ideal to study the novel PheRS activity because they can both undergo either symmetric or asymmetric divisions and differentiate to maintain the cell population in the fly gut. We observed that adult midguts express naturally elevated levels of α-PheRS in the diploid wild-type ISC/EB cells compared to the enterocytes in the same tissue (Fig 1 A-A", B). Similarly, a Myc-tagged α-PheRS under the normal genomic *α-PheRS* promoter is expressed at higher levels AMPs than in enterocytes of larval guts (Fig 1 C-C", D). Therefore, α-PheRS levels are generally higher in midgut progenitors than in differentiated cells of this tissue.

**Elevated α-PheRS levels promote ISC and EE accumulation and altered EB morphology**

We previously constructed a mutant *α-PheRS* gene, that encodes an aminoacylation-dead subunit (*α-PheRS^{Cys}*) that still displays the non-canonical activity of promoting growth and proliferation (Ho *et al.*, 2020). This mutant allows us to specifically study the activities of *α-PheRS* that are not dependent on the aminoacyl tRNA synthetase activity of PheRS. To investigate the effect of elevated levels of α-PheRS and α-PheRS^{Cys} (α-PheRS^{(Cys)}) on adult midgut ISCs and EBs, we used *α-PheRS^{(Cys)}* under UAS control together with the *esg-Gal4, tub-Gal80^{ts}, UAS-2XeYFP* system (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006). In the following text,

we will refer to this as the *esg^ts* driver or system. The *esg^ts* driver induces a specific and conditional activation of *α-PheRS^(Cys)* expression in ISCs and EBs once the temperature is shifted to 29°C. Co-expression of *UAS-2XEYFP* allowed us to monitor the activity of the *esg-Gal4* driver and to label ISCs and EBs. Upon controlled upregulation of α-PheRS^(Cys) levels, we observed two cellular changes in the intestines as early as 2 days after induction and the phenotypes became more pronounced until day 5 (Fig 1E). The first phenotype was a *Notch* (*N*) knockdown-like phenotype with a 4.3 and 4.7 fold, respectively, increase of the total numbers of YFP^+ cells upon additional expression of α-PheRS and α-PheRS^Cys, respectively (Fig 2A-C"', G). The increase of these midgut progenitor cells (ISCs and EBs) could be a consequence of a differentiation problem of ISCs (Lian et al., 2018; Mundorf et al., 2019). Indeed, we also observed an increase in the proportion of EEs from 8% in the control to 12% in the posterior midguts with elevated *α-PheRS* expression (Fig 2H), indicating that elevated expression of *α-PheRS* promotes ISC proliferation and EE accumulation.

The controlled upregulation of *α-PheRS^(Cys)* levels also led to a second phenotype, an altered morphology of EBs. We observed a hyperaccumulation of YFP^+ polyploid cells that resembled the ones that have been referred to in other studies as "differentiating" EBs (Loza-Coll et al., 2014; Zhai et al., 2017). Because these cells express ISC/EB characteristics (YFP^+) and EC characteristics (polyploid) at the same time, it appears that they arrested development between these two fates. Differentiating EBs were rarely observed in wild-type midguts (3% of progenitor cells; Fig 2A-A"', I) and if they were present, they retained only weak YFP signals. In midguts expressing elevated levels of α-PheRS^(Cys), we observed much higher levels of "differentiating" EBs (20% and 22%, respectively, of the YFP^+ progenitor cells), and these cells also displayed a strong YFP signal (Fig 2B-C"', I). We concluded that the elevated levels of α-PheRS in the intestine induce hyperaccumulation of ISCs and EEs, and alterations in EB morphology.

**Similarities between elevated α-PheRS levels and low Notch activity**

Notch signaling is an important signaling pathway that coordinates ISC proliferation and differentiation (Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006) and the above-described changes regarding ISCs and EEs were very similar to the low *N* activity phenotype that had been reported in several studies (Guo and Ohlstein, 2015; Liu et al., 2010; Marianes and Spradling, 2013; Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2007). To confirm this similarity, we knocked down *N* with RNAi treatment with the same *esg^(ts)* system and this, indeed, led to a similar phenotype in ISC proliferation and EE accumulation as elevated *α-PheRS^(Cys)* levels did. The increase in YFP^+ cells (290%; from 27 to 104 cells) was similar to the one observed under elevated *α-PheRS* expression (332%; from 27 to 115 cells), and with 12%, the proportion of EEs was the same under the two conditions (Fig 2D-D''', G, H). In contrast, using the *esg^(ts)* driver in wild-type adult midguts to upregulate Notch signaling by expressing the continuously active form of Notch, the Notch intracellular domain (NICD), drives ISCs to differentiate into ECs, thereby depleting 80% of the ISC pool (Fig 2E-E''', G) and inhibiting EE differentiation (Fig 2E-E''', H) as it has been reported in previous studies (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Zhai *et al.*, 2017).

To test whether α-PheRS^(Cys) interferes with Notch signaling, we simultaneously elevated levels of *α-PheRS^(Cys)* and NICD. This schema had a rescuing effect on both phenotypes. On the one hand, it rescued the ISC pool and proportion of EEs depleted by NICD expression even beyond the wild-type levels (Fig 2F-F''', G). On the other hand, the phenotype caused by the additional expression of the NICD can also be interpreted as a partial rescue of the phenotype caused by elevated α-PheRS^(Cys) levels. The numbers of YFP^+ cells and differentiating EBs dropped considerably, even though they remained still higher than in the wild type (Fig 2D-F''', G, I). These results suggest that the two phenotypes observed when α-

*PheRS^(Cys)* expression was elevated in ISCs and EBs arise because *α-PheRS^(Cys)* and Notch

signaling counteract each other for the control of proliferation and differentiation. They might

for instance either neutralize each other or compete for common downstream targets.

**Elevated α-PheRS levels induce AMP proliferation and interfere with gut homeostasis**

Larval Drosophila midguts possess AMPs as the temporary reserve for adult ISCs. AMPs go

through several divisions to form imaginal midgut islets that include AMPs enclosed by a

peripheral cell (PC) (Mathur et al., 2010). Due to the transient period of the larval stage, larval

midguts do not require EC renewal under normal conditions (Lee and Lee, 2019). However,

Houtz et al. reported recently that larval guts can respond to certain damages and injuries by

triggering a regenerative process that compensates for depleted ECs in the epithelium and also

allows reconstituting the AMP pool (Houtz et al., 2019). We investigated the effect of elevated

*α-PheRS* levels on larval AMPs by using the *esg-Gal4, UAS-2XEYFP* driver, which is

specifically expressed in AMPs. Co-expression of *UAS-2XEYFP* allowed us to monitor the

activity of the *esg-Gal4* driver and to label AMPs. The results demonstrated that elevated

Myc::α-PheRS in the larval midgut significantly increased the proportion of PH3 positive cells

(mitotic index) in the posterior midgut (Fig 3A-B', E-E", F). Driving the expression of the α-

PheRS^Cys mutant in AMPs also produced a higher mitotic index (Fig 3C-C', F), indicating that

the aminoacylation function is not required for this activity. Due to the lack of epithelial

renewal in normal larval guts, the cell density of larval midguts is usually stable (Lee and Lee,

2019; Mathur *et al.*, 2010). It is therefore surprising that elevated α-PheRS^(Cys) levels increase

the cell density in larval midguts (Fig 3G). Altogether, these results show that elevated α-

PheRS^(Cys) levels cause AMPs to proliferate and to differentiate, producing additional larval

midgut cells.

Strikingly, elevated α-PheRS$^{Cys}$ levels induced tumor-like phenotypes in both anterior

and posterior areas of the larval midgut (outlined with white dashed lines, Fig 3C-C') while the

elevation of wild-type Myc::α-PheRS only gave rise to high numbers of AMPs in the posterior

larval midgut (Fig 3B-B'). Interestingly, elevated α-PheRS$^{Cys}$ levels also caused the

appearance of a more severe tumor-like phenotype, where individual AMP islets could not be

discerned anymore (Fig 3C-C'). Wild-type larval guts contain ECs with large nuclei and

interspersed occasional AMP islets with smaller nuclei (as seen in the YFP overexpression

control, Fig 3A-A'). In contrast, we observed a phenotype where ECs and AMPs could not be

distinguished based on the size of their nuclei but emerged as a larger cell population with

intermediate size nuclei (Fig 3C'). Many of these cells expressed the *esg*>YFP stem cell marker

at high levels, but others displayed only a very weak YFP signal. This result is comparable to

the EB phenotype in adult midguts where the progenitor cells remain in an intermediate

differentiation state (Fig 2 B''', C'''). Notably, this phenotype was also observed when Notch

was downregulated by RNAi using the same induction system (Fig 3D-D').

We next tested whether α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ is able to downregulate *Notch* signaling in adult

midguts using the *Notch* reporter NRE-eGFP (Notch response element promoter driving the

expression of eGFP; (Saj et al., 2010)).  As seen in Fig 3H, the expression of either of them

clearly reduced the *N*-driven expression of the N-signaling reporter. We concluded that

elevated α-PheRS levels in midgut stem cells cause misregulation of gut homeostasis, possibly

by downregulating Notch signaling and inhibiting the terminal differentiation of the progenitor

cells (EBs).

### *α-PheRS* is a novel general repressor of Notch signaling

Developing larval brains contain neuroblasts (NBs), neuronal stem cells that divide asymmetrically with one daughter keeping the stemness and the other differentiating into a neuronal cell. Notch signaling plays a crucial role in this process (Ables et al., 2011; Giachino and Taylor, 2014), but in contrast to the situation in the gut, loss of *Notch* prevents NB self-renewal, whereas ectopic expression of activated Notch leads to tumor formation (de la Pompa et al., 1997; Grandbarbe et al., 2003; Hatakeyama et al., 2004). This opposite role of *Notch* makes the NB lineage an ideal complementary system to test whether α-PheRS is a general component of the *Notch* pathway. Driving α-PheRS or the α-PheRS$^{Cys}$ expression in NBs with the *inscutable-Gal4 (incs-Gal4)* driver resulted in significantly smaller central brains (CB), the region where the Type I and II NBs are located (Fig 4A-A'', B). In contrast, this treatment had little or no effect on the size of the optic lobes (OL). Importantly, the phenotype was virtually indistinguishable from the phenotype caused by *N* knock-down, although the phenotype of the latter might show slightly higher expressivity. Analogous to the situation in the gut, co-expression of NICD together with *α-PheRS$^{Cys}$* in neuroblasts rescued the brains to wild-type size (Fig 4B).

Type II neuroblasts are particularly suited to analyze effects on neuroblast differentiation. Targeting specifically the 8 type II neuroblasts in central brain lobes with *α-PheRS* or *α-PheRS$^{Cys,}$* overexpression, resulted in half of the central brains in a strong reduction of the number of neuroblasts, and the resulting central brain lobes ended up smaller (Fig 4C-F, I). Knocking down *Notch* in type II NBs with RNAi and the same driver combination resulted in a similar phenotype, but with a higher expressivity (Fig 4F). On the other hand, overexpression of α-PheRS in type II neuroblasts where Notch signaling was also over-activated by the co-expression of NICD and α-PheRS, partially rescued the tumor phenotype of type II neuroblasts to wild-type numbers and it restored the normal size of the brain (Fig

4G). Because the genetic interaction with *Notch* shows that the two activities counteract one

another in guts and brains, two tissues where *Notch* has opposing functions on cellular

differentiation, it appears that the interaction between *α-PheRS* and *Notch* is not mediated

indirectly through a primary effect on division or differentiation but is likely to be a more direct

one. In any case, this interaction appears to modulate tissue homeostasis in different organs.

To further address the role of *α-PheRS* on Notch signaling, we also studied its effect on

the larval wing disc and the adult wing. Using the *en-Gal4*, *tub-Gal80$^{ts}$* (referred to as *en$^{ts}$*

system) to elevate *α-PheRS* expression allowed us to specifically and conditionally activate *α-*

*PheRS$^{(Cys)}$* expression in the posterior compartment of the wing disc by temperature-shift

control. Reduced Notch signaling in the posterior wing disc can cause the notched wing

phenotype at the distal end of the wing and the emergence of an ectopic partial vein in the

center of the posterior compartment. The additional expression of *α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$* in the posterior

compartment did not produce the distal phenotype and we will discuss possible reasons for this

in the Discussion. However, elevated *α-PheRS* or *α-PheRS$^{Cys}$* levels induced the central ectopic

vein phenotype also observed under low Notch signaling conditions (Fig 5A; (Johannes and

Preiss, 2002). The new vein branches off from the connecting vein between the L4 and L5 vein

(arrowhead, Fig 5A', A") and is indistinguishable from the one obtained by downregulating

*Notch* by RNAi treatment using the same expression system (Fig 5A'''). Again, these findings

suggest that *α-PheRS* might be a more general, novel regulator of Notch signaling.

To test directly for changes in *Notch* activity in response to altered levels of *α-*

*PheRS$^{(Cys)}$* in imaginal wing discs, we monitor Notch activity with the NRE-eGFP reporter and

used the *en$^{ts}$* system together with *UAS-mRFP* to conditionally express *α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$* in the

posterior compartment. In this system, *eGFP* expression marks the cells with active Notch

signaling, which normally happens along the dorsal-ventral (D/V) boundary of the larval wing

disc (Fig 5B"), and it marks the posterior, en-Gal4$^+$, compartment of the wing disc with mRFP,

allowing us to assess *N* activity in this compartment (black arrowhead, Fig 5B''') while using

the anterior compartment as the internal control. In wild-type wing discs, the NRE-eGFP signal

is as strong in the posterior compartment as in the anterior one (Fig 5C-C'''). Knockdown of

*Notch* in the posterior compartment resulted in the exclusion of the NRE-eGFP signal from the

mRFP-marked posterior compartment (white arrow, Fig 5F-F'''). Importantly, elevated *α-*

*PheRS$^{(Cys)}$* levels also caused a loss of eGFP signal in the mRFP-marked posterior

compartments (Fig 5D-D''', E-E'''). These observations demonstrate that elevated *α-PheRS*

levels downregulate *Notch* activity in larval wing discs. Taken together, the results from

midguts, brains, and wing discs demonstrate that *α-PheRS* is a novel general repressor of *Notch*

signaling.

**The N-terminal 200 amino acids of α-PheRS (α-S) are sufficient to repress Notch activity**

Having shown that the growth and proliferation function and the counteracting of the Notch

signaling are independent of the aminoacylation activity of α-PheRS, we wanted to find out

whether this activity can be separated from the catalytic domain. As shown in Fig 6A,B, the C-

terminal catalytic domain is separated by a linker region from the N-terminal part. We,

therefore, cloned the first 200 codons of the α-PheRS ORF under UAS control and added a

Myc tag at its N-term, giving rise to the *Myc::α-S (α-S)* gene (Fig 6B). To test whether this C-

terminally truncated isoform was able to repress Notch signaling, too, we expressed *α-S* with

the esg$^{ts}$ driver in larval and adult guts and found that it produced an excessive number of Esg$^{+}$

cells (Fig 6C-C''', D-D'''), an increase in mitotic cells (Fig 6F), and that it diverted ISC

differentiation toward the EE fate (Fig 6E, E', G). Evidently, this truncated isoform, α-S, is

capable of inducing the production of many cells with stem cell characteristics and it directs

their differentiation towards an EE fate, just like α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ does. Similarly, expressing *α-S*

in the posterior compartment of wing discs induced the ectopic vein formation between the L4

and L5 veins in the adult wing (black arrowhead, Fig 6H-H'). Additionally, this *α-S* expression

caused the same loss of the Notch reporter signal (NRE-eGFP) in the posterior compartments

of wing discs as full-length *α-PheRS(Cys)* did (Fig 6I-J'). These observations demonstrated that

elevated *α-S* levels downregulate *Notch* and that the α-S polypeptide sequence contains the

inhibitory activity of *α-PheRS* on Notch signaling.

Expressing transgenic Myc::α-PheRS under the control of the *Tub-Gal4* driver revealed

beside the full-length α-PheRS isoform (55kDa) also one stable isoform around 40 KDa and

another around 25KDa (Fig 6K). To study the expression pattern of these isoforms, we

dissected different larval tissues and found that the 40 KDa isoform was present in larval heads

and fat bodies, while larval guts showed predominantly the 25 KDa isoform (Fig 6L). The 25

KDa isoform might either arise if Myc::α-PheRS is cleaved in the linker domain or if the pre-

mRNA is processed in a different way to give rise to a shorter, but stable isoform. Because

there is no evidence for alternatively processed mRNAs of α-PheRS in wild-type animals

(flybase.org version FB2019_04; (Thurmond et al., 2019), we tested whether PheRS might get

cleaved *in vivo* to produce an isoform that resembles Myc::α-S. Myc-tagged isoforms were

isolated from total larvae by immunoprecipitation and gel purification, and their polypeptides

were identified by mass spectrometry (MS). The MS data shows the peptide coverage of the

25KDa isoform according to the score of Peptide Spectrum Matches (PSM; Fig S1). The 25

KDa isoform contains the peptides of the N-terminal 28% of the full-length α-PheRS. Even

though we identified two more C-terminal peptides, which could not be rejected based on the

PSM interpretations, these two peptides were likely recovered due to a "memory effect" of the

column. This indicates that the 25 KDa isoform is a C-terminally truncated version of α-PheRS

that strongly resembles the α-S that performs the non-canonical functions.

**Mechanism of downregulating Notch signaling by α-S**

Given the competitive interaction between *α-PheRS* and *Notch* and the effect of the α-S isoform on downregulating N activity, we aligned the polypeptide sequence of α-S and Notch, and this revealed similarities between α-S and the RAM domain (RBP-Jκ-associated molecule) of Notch (Fig 7A). To transmit the Notch activity, the RAM domain of the NICD binds through the tetra-peptide motif ΦWΦP to the beta-trefoil domain (BTD) of Suppressor of Hairless (Su(H)), the Drosophila CSL/RBPJ. This allows the complex to interact with specific DNA sequences in the promoter region of the target genes to activate transcription (Barrick and Kopan, 2006; Borggrefe and Oswald, 2009; 2016; Gordon et al., 2008; Kovall, 2007). Mammals can repress Notch activity with proteins containing the tetra-peptide motif ΦWΦP. These compete with NICD to bind to CSL/RBPJ and form the Complex of Repressor (CoR) that inhibits Notch target gene activation (Bray and Furriols, 2001; Fortini and Artavanis-Tsakonas, 1994; Nagel et al., 2017; Praxenthaler et al., 2017). Even though the tetrapeptide is only partially conserved in α-S, the similarities between α-S and the RAM domain of Notch, and the presence of the tryptophan residue (W) flanked by Φ (Fig 7A) led us to hypothesize that α-S and NICD compete for binding to Su(H) to downregulate Notch activity.

To test this hypothesis, we mutated the conserved "W" (tryptophane) codon in *α-S* (*Myc::α-S^{W112A}*) and analyzed the effect of this mutation on Notch signaling. As opposed to the wild-type version, expressing *Myc::α-S^{W112A}* with the *esg^{ts}* driver in adult guts did not induce the proliferation phenotypes, such as excessive numbers of Esg⁺ cells and EE fate changes as the wild-type *α-PheRS* did (Fig 7B, C, G, H). These results could also be confirmed when a full-length version of *α-PheRS* with the mutation W112A was expressed with the same driver (Fig 7D, G, H). To further test whether α-PheRS with the W112A mutation lost its ability to interfere with Notch signaling, we simultaneously elevated levels of Myc::α-S^{W112A} or α-PheRS^{W112A} together with  NICD levels. Indeed, the mutated Myc::α-S^{W112A} or α-PheRS^{W112A}

did not rescue the ISC pool or the EEs, the two cell types that are depleted by NICD over-expression (Fig 7F-F"', G, H). Furthermore, because a genomic construct expressing this mutant version of $\alpha$-*PheRS*$^{W112A}$ (g$\alpha$-*PheRS*$^{W112A}$) under its native promoter rescued the $\alpha$-*PheRS* null mutant, the W112A mutation does not prevent the canonical activity of $\alpha$-*PheRS* and produces sufficient protein that can perform the aminoacylation reaction. These results, therefore, show that W$^{112}$ in $\alpha$-*PheRS* plays an important role in counteracting Notch signaling in ISCs and EBs and they suggest a mechanism by which $\alpha$-*PheRS* acts to attenuate Notch signaling. It seems to compete with the RAM domain of NICD for the binding to the downstream target, the transcription factor of the Notch pathway.

## Discussion

Notch signaling regulates diverse cellular behaviors during tissue growth in a context-dependent manner. Most prominently, these are proliferation and differentiation (Henrique and Schweisguth, 2019; Hori et al., 2013). In this study, we presented the effect of α-PheRS on downregulating Notch signaling activity in different tissues where Notch induces diverse outcomes. In the larval brain, Notch signaling promotes NB self-renewal, and ectopic expression of NICD leads to tumor formation (de la Pompa *et al.*, 1997; Grandbarbe *et al.*, 2003; Hatakeyama *et al.*, 2004). Here, elevated α-PheRS showed an inhibitory effect on Notch signaling, preventing NB self-renewal and thereby reducing the size of the brain lobe (Fig 4A-A",B). Accordingly, elevated α-PheRS in this situation also rescued the tumor phenotype caused by the expression of the activated form of Notch, NICD (Fig 3 G,H). Also in this situation, expressing only the N-terminal part of α-PheRS, the region that does not contain any catalytical domains and that does not interact with the β-PheRS subunit (Fig 6C; (Finarov et al., 2010)) was just as effective in producing the same phenotypes as α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$.

Elevated levels of α-PheRS reproduced the "vein formation" phenotype in the adult wings caused by loss-of-Notch (Fig 6A-A'") (Johannes and Preiss, 2002) and its elevated levels also downregulated Notch activity at the D/V boundary in larval wing discs (Fig 6D-E'"). Reminiscent of its function in neuroblasts, Notch signaling at the D/V boundary region of the wing disc promotes proliferation (de Celis et al., 1996). Upon additional expression of α-PheRS in this region, not only Notch activity (Fig 5), but also PH3$^+$ cells became absent from this region (Ho *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, however, in regions of the posterior wing disc compartment where there is no Notch activity, elevated α-PheRS caused the appearance of additional pH3$^+$ cells (Ho *et al.*, 2020). Because knockdown of *N* causes the notched wing phenotype but increased α-PheRS levels do not (Fig 6A), it would be interesting to find out

whether the proliferation of these additional pH3$^+$ cells that reside outside of the region with

*Notch* activity can compensate for the cells along the D/V boundary that depend on Notch

signaling to be mitotically active. Moreover, cell proliferation in the distal wing margin is

regulated not only by Notch signaling but also by epidermal growth factor (EGF) or

decapentaplegic (Dpp)/bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling (Biehs et al., 1998;

Teleman and Cohen, 2000). While Notch restricts the vein fate and affects cell proliferation at

the D/V boundary, EGF maintains and induces them (Shimmi et al., 2014). The lack of a

notched wing phenotype might also indicate that the repression of Notch signaling by α-PheRS

might depend on the presence or absence of a not yet identified co-factor. In any case, we

conclude that even in the wing, α-PheRS represses Notch signaling, but different regions of

the wing disc respond differently to α-PheRS levels, making the wing disc a more complex

system to study the responses to elevated α-PheRS levels.

In ovarian follicle cells, the elevated levels of α-PheRS led to an increase in clone size

with more cells per clone (Ho *et al.*, 2020). This could theoretically also be explained by an

inhibitory effect of α-PheRS on Notch signaling, because Notch is required for the follicle cells

to exit the mitotic cycle and switch to the endocycle (Lopez-Schier and St Johnston, 2001) and

loss of Notch signaling prevents the epithelial cells from switching from the mitotic cell cycle

to the endocycle, leading to over-proliferation of follicle cells (Deng et al., 2001; Shcherbata

et al., 2004). Similarly, in adult midguts, elevated α-PheRS interfered with normal homeostasis

of ISCs by inducing a typical low Notch signaling phenotype with many more ISCs, EBs, and

EEs (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2007; Zhai et al., 2015). Because

α-PheRS levels attenuate Notch signaling in different situations where Notch causes diverse

effects, α-PheRS (or a part of it) seems to be a general component of Notch signaling.

Repression of Notch signaling through competition with the NICD has been described

in different systems and has recently been reviewed (Maier, 2019; Oswald and Kovall, 2018).

Best studied is probably the competition involving the factors binding to the C-terminal domain

(CTD) of Su(H) (CSL in vertebrates and RBPJ in mammals) that lead to the formation of the

Complex of Repressor (CoR) that inhibits Notch target gene activation. A less well-studied

group of competitors compete directly with the binding of the RAM domain of the NICD to

the BTD of the Su(H) ortholog CSL/RBPJ (Maier, 2019; Oswald and Kovall, 2018). This

competition involves the 20 N-terminal amino acids of the RAM domain, which contain the

hydrophobic tetrapeptide with the key tryptophane residue (ΦWΦP). So far, this competition

was only seen in mammals and, therefore, considered to be specific for vertebrate Notch

signaling. These competitors are Epstein-Barr nuclear antigen 2 (EBNA2), mouse

KyoT2/FHL1, and human RITA (RBPJ interacting and tubulin associated) (Collins et al., 2014;

Johnson et al., 2010; Tabaja et al., 2017; Taniguchi et al., 1998; Wacker et al., 2011; Zimber-

Strobl and Strobl, 2001). Our results now show that a naturally occurring fragment of a

Drosophila household protein functions through this mechanism to modulate or attenuate

Notch signaling and that this is only possible if this invariant W is present. The immediately

adjacent hydrophobic residues are also present in the alpha-S tetrapeptide sequence. However,

the residue in position four, a proline, is substituted by another hydrophobic residue, leucine.

Interestingly, even in mammals, this residue is less conserved than the W in position two

(Maier, 2019). Two additional elements have been discussed to affect the affinity of the

interaction between the RAM domain and the transcription factor (CSL, RBPJ, or Su(H))

(Oswald and Kovall, 2018). The dipeptides -HG– and –GF–. Interestingly, both dipeptides are

also present in the N-terminal 20 amino acids of the RAM domain of Drosophila Notch, α-

PheRS, and α-S even though they are found in different positions (Fig 7A). Their contribution

to the binding affinity would therefore have to be further evaluated. Additionally, the α-S

polypeptide also contains a KKRK sequence element that might function as a nuclear localization sequence (NLS). It might therefore allow α-S or α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ to enter the nucleus and compete with the NICD for the binding to Su(H). Finally, α-S encodes also the DBD-1,2, and 3 (Fig 6B) with helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA binding motifs, which might also contribute to the function of the complex with Su(H). Further investigations should determine whether such an interaction between α-S and Su(H) leads to the formation of a transcriptional repressor or even to the degradation of the Su(H) transcription factor. Unfortunately, the functional Su(H) antibody that has been used so far is not available anymore and, in our hands, the new one is too unspecific to draw conclusions.

A correlation between elevated levels of α-PheRS or FARSA has been noted some time ago (Sen *et al.*, 1997) and is also suggested by the data published in the GENT2 database (Park *et al.*, 2019). Not the least because colon cancers are among the ones that express higher levels of α-PheRS in the tumor tissue than in the healthy counterpart, modeling the effect of elevated α-PheRS levels in *Drosophila* ISCs and EBs might reveal mechanisms contributing to tumor development. In the gut tissue, elevated α-PheRS and α-PheRS$^{Cys}$ levels produced 12-14 times as many tumors and these showed mostly a more severe phenotype (Fig 3H). Such tumors were composed of ISCs and differentiating EBs. Similarly, guts also contained 5-8 times as many mitotic cells when α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ levels were elevated (Fig 3E). Together, these results strongly suggest that higher levels of α-PheRS can induce strong cell proliferation. Additionally, because these over-proliferation cells display stem cell characteristics and changes in cell fate, we suggest that elevated α-PheRS levels should indeed be considered a risk factor for tumor formation.

The hyperaccumulation of cells with stem cell characteristics is mediated by high α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ or α-S repressing Notch signaling. In mammals, Notch signaling is essential for

maintaining the homeostasis of cell proliferation and differentiation (Qiao and Wong, 2009)

similar to the function of Notch signaling in the Drosophila gut that is needed to prevent the

induction of tumors in the adult midgut (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling,

2007). Because in humans, misregulation of Notch signaling in these processes has been

suggested to trigger the development of colon cancer, *Notch* has been proposed as a molecular

target for cancer therapy (Yin et al., 2010). The results presented here provide new and

unexpected insights into the regulation of Notch signaling in the context of gut tumorigenesis

and they suggest new opportunities to target these mechanisms.

# Materials and Methods

## Key Resources Table

| Reagent or Resource | Sources | Identifier | Additional information |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Antibodies** | | | |
| Anti phospho-Histone H3-rabbit | Cell signaling | 9701S | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti phospho-Histone H3-mouse | Cell signaling | 9706S | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti *α-PheRS* | Genescript | 4668 | Customized product (1:200 v/v) |
| Anti *α-PheRS* | Genescript | 4669 | Customized product (1:200 v/v) |
| Anti Myc-mouse | Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) | 9E10 | Supernatant (1:3 v/v) |
| Anti Puromycin | DSHB | PMY-2A4 | 1:100 v/v |
| Anti Prospero | DSHB | MR1A | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti Delta | DSHB | C594.9B | 1:10 v/v |
| Anti V5 tag-rabbit | Cell signaling | 13202 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti Cy3 rabbit | Jackson Immuno Research | 115-165-146 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 488 | Molecular Probes | A-11008 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 488 | Molecular Probes | A-11034 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti-mouse Alexa Flour 488 | Molecular Probes | A-11029 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 488 | Life technology | A-21206 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 594 | Invitrogen | A-11037 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti-mouse Alexa Flour 594 | Molecular Probes | A-11032 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti-mouse Alexa Flour 568 | Life technology | A-10037 | 1:200 v/v |
| Anti α-tubulin | Abcam | Ab18251 | 1:1,000 v/v |
| Anti GFP | ImmunoKontact | 042704 | 1:1,000 v/v |
| Anti Myc-rabbit | Santa Cruz | Sc-789 | A-12 (1:1,000 v/v) |
| HRP Anti rabbit IgG antibody (Peroxidase) | Vector | PI-1000 | 1:10,000 v/v |
| HRP Anti rabbit IgG antibody (Peroxidase) | Vector | PI-2000 | 1:10,000 v/v |

| Fly stocks and genetics | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| α-PheRS$^{G2060}$/FM6 | Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC) | 26625 | |
| RNAi- α-PheRS | Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center (VDRC) | 33514 | |
| RNAi- β-PheRS | VDRC | 42046 | |
| gα-PheRS$^{Cys}$ | | | Transgenic construct |
| UAS-α-PheRS$^{Cys}$ | | | Transgenic construct |
| hspFLP ; Act-Gal4/CyO ; neoFRT82B,tub-Gal80/TM3,Sb | | | |
| w ; If/CyO ; neoFRT82B,UAS- α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ | | | |
| engrailed-Gal4 | BDSC | 30564 | |
| engrailed-Gal4,NRE-EGFP,UAS-myrRFP | BDSC | 30730 | |
| UAS-GFP | BDSC | 6658 | |
| w; UAS-Myc::MYC | BDSC | 9674 | |
| hspFLP/y ; + ; UAS-Myc::MYC | BDSC | 9675 | |
| hspFLP/y ; UAS-NICD /CyO ; MKRS/TM2 | BDSC | 52008 | |
| NRE-EGFP | BDSC | 30727 | |
| UAS-N RNAi | BDSC | 7078 | |
| neoFRT82B Sb1/TM6 | BDSC | 2051 | |
| NRE-eGFP | BDSC | 30728 | |
| UAS-Dl | BDSC | 5614 | |
| tub-Gal4/TM3,Sb | BDSC | 5138 | |
| w*, Insc-Gal4 | BDSC | 8751 | |
| w*,UAS-Dicer2 ; wor-Gal4, ase-Gal80; UAS-mCD8::GFP | IMBA | | A gift from Juergen A. Knoblich, IMBA |
| y w att2A[vas-φ]; +; attP-86F | ETH Zurich | | A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH |
| esg-Gal4,UAS-2XEYFP;MKRS/TM6B,Tb | ETH Zurich | | A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH |
| esg-Gal4,UAS-2XEYFP;tub-Gal80$^{ts}$/TM3,Sb | ETH Zurich | | A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH |
| yw; esg-Gal4, UAS-GFP/TM6B,Tb,Hu | ETH Zurich | 2400 | A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH |
| NP1-Gal4 (Myo31DF or Myo1A-Gal4)/CyO, y+ | ETH Zurich | 2398 | A gift from Hugo Stocker, ETH |
| esg-Gal4, UAS-mCherry-CD8, tub-gal80$^{ts}$/CyO | | | A gift from Péter Nagy, Cornell University |
| yw;UAS-cyto-gars-myc/CyO | | | A gift from Albena Jordanova, VIB-U Antwerp Center for Molecular Neurology |

| Bacteria strains and vectors | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| XL1 blue | Aligent | 200249 | |
| Rosseta – Novagen | Merckmilipore | 70954 | |
| pET-28a – Novagen | Merckmilipore | 69864 | |
| pET LIC (2A-T) | Addgene | 29665 | |
| pUASattB | Drosophila Genomics Resource Center | 1419 | |
| pw+SNattB | (Koch et al., 2009) | | |
| **Commercial assay or kit** | | | |
| Pierce® Silver Stain kit | Thermo Scientific | 24612 | |
| Pierce® BCA Protein Assay kit | Thermo Scientific | 23227 | |
| ReliaPrep™ DNA CleanUp and Concentration System | Promega | A2893 | |
| GeneElute™ HP Plasmid miniprep kit | Sigma | NA0160 | |
| Qiagen® Plasmid Plus Midi kit | Qiagen | 12943 | |
| Ni-NTA affinity resin | Qiagen | 30210 | |
| ECL™ Prime Western Blotting System | GE Healthcare | RPN2232 | |
| RNAMaxx™ High Yield Transcription Kit | Aligent | 200339 | |
| | | | |
| **Software, algorithm** | | | |
| Leica Application Suite X (LAS X) | Leica | https://www.leica-microsystems.com/products/microscope-software/p/leica-las-x-ls/ | |
| FIJI | ImageJ | https://fiji.sc/ | |
| GraphPad Prism | GraphPad | https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/ | |
| FlowJo™ | BD Biosciences | https://www.flowjo.com/ | |
| Microsoft Excel | Microsoft | https://products.office.com/en-us/excel | |

473    **Buffers**

| Lysis buffer for Drosophila tissue | Lysis buffer for bacteria |
|---|---|
| 20 mM Tris HCl pH7.4<br>150uM NaCl<br>2 mM EDTA<br>50 mM NaF<br>10% Glycerol<br>1% Triton X100<br>1 Protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche-4693159001)<br>1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride | 20 mM Tris HCl pH7.4<br>150uM NaCl<br>2 mM EDTA<br>50 mM NaF<br>10% Glycerol<br>1% Triton X100<br>4mM Imidazole 1M<br>0.6% Lysozyme<br>1 Protease inhibitor cocktail tablet<br>1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride |
| **4% PFA** | **1X PBST** |
| 1X PBST<br>4% (w/v) Paraformaldehyde | 0.2 % (v/v) Tween 20<br>1X PBS |
| **Blocking buffer** | **Fly food recipe** |

| 5% (w/v) non-fat dry milk<br>0.1% (v/v) Triton X100 | 20.4 l H$_2$0<br>1,680 g Maize flour<br>720 g Yeast |
|---|---|
| **10X PBS pH 7.4** | 1,800 g Syrup<br>192 g Potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate |
| 10.6 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$<br>1.5 M NaCl<br>30 mM Na$_2$PO$_{4.}$7H$_2$0 | 36 g Nipagin<br>120 ml Propionic acid |
| **10X SDS running buffer** | **10X Transfer buffer** |
| 30 g Tris base<br>144 g Glycine<br>10 g SDS<br>dH$_2$O to 1 | 30 g Tris base<br>144 g Glycine<br>dH$_2$O to 1 |
| **10X TBS pH to 7.6** | **1X TBST** |
| 24 g of Tris Base<br>88 g of NaCl<br>dH$_2$O to 1 | 100 mL 10X TBS<br>900 mL dH$_2$O<br>0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 |

475 **Primers**

| Name | Sequence (5' to 3') | Application |
|---|---|---|
| rc2263f | CGCGGATCCATCCGGCGAGAGAGTGTCTTTG | Genomic genomic construct of *α-PheRS* |
| rc2263r | CGGGGTACCTATGCCTGGCGATAATCGTG | |
| Tyr412Cys & Phe438Cys-F | TCAAGCCGGCGTACAATCCGTGTACCGAGCCCAG | Construct of *α-PheRS^Cys* mutation |
| Tyr412Cys & Phe438Cys-R | CTCCGGCCGACAGACGCCCGAGTTGCCC | |
| *α-PheRS* RNAi 11f | TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGCAAGAAACGCAAGCTCCTC | *α-PheRS* ds RNA synthesis |
| *α-PheRS* RNAi 11r | TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGGAACTCCGCCAGATGTGTG | |
| *β-PheRS* RNAi 10f | TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGGCCAATCATTCGGGAATCA | *β-PheRS* ds RNA synthesis |
| *β-PheRS* RNAi 10r | TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGAGGCAGGGACTTCTTAATGT | |
| seq r6 | GCTCCCATTCATCAGTTCC | Sequencing |
| seqA r1 | CATTTCCACCGTGAGATCCGTC | Sequencing |
| seqA r2 | AACTCTTGTGGGTGACCGTTTC | Sequencing |
| seqA f1 | GTTCTCGAAGTGAATGTTCTGG | Sequencing |
| seqA f2 | TTTAGCCACCGTCGTCGTTTC | Sequencing |
| seqA r3 | TCCAGCGACGATGACGAATTTG | Sequencing |
| seqA f3 | CAAATGGATTGTGGGACCAGC | Sequencing |
| seqA r4 | GCCCTCCTCCACCATCTTTAG | Sequencing |

**Fly genetics and husbandry**

All *Drosophila melanogaster* fly stocks were kept for long term storage at 18°C in glass or

plastic vials on standard food with day/night (12h/12h) light cycles. All experiments were

performed at 25°C unless specifically mentioned. A UAS-GFP element was added in

experiments that tested for rescue and involved Gal4-mediated expression of the rescue gene.

This construct served to even out the number of UAS sites in each Gal4 expressing cell. Origins

of all stocks are noted in the *Key Resource Table*.

**DNA cloning and generation of transgenic flies**

Sequence information was obtained from Flybase. All mutations and the addition of the Myc-

tag to the N-terminus of *α-PheRS* were made by following the procedure of the QuickChange®

Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). The genomic *α-PheRS* rescue construct (*Myc::α-*

*PheRS*) codes for the entire coding region and an additional Myc tag at the N-terminal end. In

addition, it contains ~1kb of up- and down-stream sequences and it was cloned into the

*pw⁺SNattB* transformation vector (Koch *et al.*, 2009; Lu *et al.*, 2014). The *α-PheRS* and *β-*

*PheRS* cDNAs were obtained by RT-PCR from mRNA isolated from 4-8 days old *OreR* flies

(Lu *et al.*, 2014). Transgenic flies were generated by applying the $\phi$ C31-based integration

system with the stock (*y w att2A[vas-ϕ]; +; attP-86F*) (Bischof et al., 2007).

**Western blotting**

Protein was extracted from tissues, whole larvae, or flies using lysis buffer. 25 guts were

analyzed for each genotype. Protein lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto

PVDF membranes (Milipore, US). The blocking was performed for 1h at room temperature

(RT) with non-fat dry milk (5%) in TBST solution. Blots were probed first with primary

antibodies (diluted in blocking buffer) overnight at 4°C and then with secondary antibodies

(diluted in TBST) 1h at RT. The signal of the secondary antibody was detected by using the

detect solution mixture (1:1) (ECL™ Prime Western Blotting System, GE Healthcare Life

Science) and a luminescent detector (Amersham Imager 600, GE Healthcare Life Science).

Origins and recipes of all buffers and reagents are noted in the *Key Resource Table*.

## Immunofluorescent staining and confocal microscopy

Adult midguts were dissected from each female fly after 5 days at 29°C (unless stated

otherwise) or at different time points after 2, 3, 4 days of heat treatment for the time-course

experiment. A total of 10 guts were analyzed for each genotype. In the larval experiments, the

animals were mated at 25°C on fresh, rich diet food and set up for egg-laying during a 2-hour

window. 120 hours after egg-laying, larval midguts were dissected from wandering third instar

larvae and a total of 10 guts were analyzed for each genotype. Dissections were performed in

1X PBS on ice and tissues were collected within a maximum of one hour. Fixation with 4%

PFA in PBS-T 0.2% at RT was done for different durations depending on the different tissues:

two hours (guts), 40 minutes (brains), 30 minutes (wing discs, ovaries). Then the samples were

blocked overnight with a blocking buffer at 4°C. Primary antibodies (diluted in blocking buffer)

were incubated with the samples for 8h at RT. The samples were rinsed 3 times and washed 3

times (20 minutes/wash) with PBST. Secondary antibodies (diluted in PBST) were incubated

overnight at 4°C. The samples were then rinsed 3 times and washed 2 times (20 minutes/wash)

with PBST. Hoechst 33258 (2.5 µg/ml) was added in PBST before the third and last washing

step and the samples were mounted with Aqua/Poly Mount solution (Polysciences Inc., US).

For the anti-Delta labeling, the samples were blocked for 3h at RT with a blocking buffer. The

primary anti-Delta antibody (1:10 v/v) was incubated with the samples overnight at 4°C and

then the secondary antibody was incubated overnight at 4°C. Origins and diluted concentrations

of all buffers and antibodies are noted in the *Key Resource Table*.

**Image acquisition and processing**

Imaging was carried out with a Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with

a 405 nm diode laser, a 458, 476, 488, 496, and 514 nm Argon laser, a 561 nm diode pumped

solid-state laser, and a 633 nm HeNe laser. Images were obtained with 20x dry and 63x oil-

immersion objectives and 1024x1024 pixel format. Images were acquired using LAS X

software. The images of the entire gut were obtained by imaging at the standard size and then

merging maximal projections of Z-stacks with the Tiles Scan tool. Fluorescent intensity was

determined from FIJI software.

**Quantification of cell numbers per posterior midgut**

Z stack images through the width of the posterior midgut were acquired along the length of the

posterior midgut from the R4a compartment to the midgut-hindgut junction. Maximum

projections of each Z stack were obtained, and the total numbers of each cell type were counted

manually and exported to Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism for further statistical analysis.

**Quantification and statistical analysis**

For quantifications of all experiments, *n* represents the number of independent biological

samples analyzed (the number of guts, the number of wing discs, the number of twin spots),

error bars represent standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was determined using the

t-test or ANOVA as noted in the figure legends. They were expressed as P values. (*) denotes

$p < 0.05$, (**) denotes $p < 0.01$, (***) denotes $p < 0.001$, (****) denotes $p < 0.0001$. (*ns*)

denotes values whose difference was not significant.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Peter Nagy, Hugo Stocker, Albena Jordanova, Erik Storkebaum, and the

Bloomington Stock Center for fly stocks. We are also grateful to Rohan Chippalkatti for

sharing his knowledge about the larval brain. This work was supported by the Novartis

Foundation for medical-biological Research (#18A050), the Swiss National Fund (project

grant 31003A_173188), and the University/Canton of Bern.

# References

Ables, J.L., Breunig, J.J., Eisch, A.J., and Rakic, P. (2011). Not(ch) just development: Notch
signalling in the adult brain. Nature reviews. Neuroscience *12*, 269-283. 10.1038/nrn3024.

Barrick, D., and Kopan, R. (2006). The Notch transcription activation complex makes its
move. Cell *124*, 883-885. 10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.028.

Beehler-Evans, R., and Micchelli, C.A. (2015). Generation of enteroendocrine cell diversity
in midgut stem cell lineages. Development *142*, 654-664. 10.1242/dev.114959.

Biehs, B., Sturtevant, M.A., and Bier, E. (1998). Boundaries in the Drosophila wing imaginal
disc organize vein-specific genetic programs. Development *125*, 4245-4257.

Bischof, J., Maeda, R.K., Hediger, M., Karch, F., and Basler, K. (2007). An optimized
transgenesis system for Drosophila using germ-line-specific phiC31 integrases. Proceedings
of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *104*, 3312-3317.
10.1073/pnas.0611511104.

Borggrefe, T., and Oswald, F. (2009). The Notch signaling pathway: transcriptional
regulation at Notch target genes. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS *66*, 1631-1646.
10.1007/s00018-009-8668-7.

Borggrefe, T., and Oswald, F. (2016). Setting the Stage for Notch: The Drosophila Su(H)-
Hairless Repressor Complex. PLoS biology *14*, e1002524. 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002524.

Bray, S., and Furriols, M. (2001). Notch pathway: making sense of suppressor of hairless.
Current biology : CB *11*, R217-221. 10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00109-9.

Casas-Tinto, S., Lolo, F.N., and Moreno, E. (2015). Active JNK-dependent secretion of
Drosophila Tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase by loser cells recruits haemocytes during cell
competition. Nature communications *6*, 10022. 10.1038/ncomms10022.

Collins, K.J., Yuan, Z., and Kovall, R.A. (2014). Structure and function of the CSL-KyoT2
corepressor complex: a negative regulator of Notch signaling. Structure *22*, 70-81.
10.1016/j.str.2013.10.010.

de Celis, J.F., de Celis, J., Ligoxygakis, P., Preiss, A., Delidakis, C., and Bray, S. (1996).
Functional relationships between Notch, Su(H) and the bHLH genes of the E(spl) complex:
the E(spl) genes mediate only a subset of Notch activities during imaginal development.
Development *122*, 2719-2728.

de la Pompa, J.L., Wakeham, A., Correia, K.M., Samper, E., Brown, S., Aguilera, R.J.,
Nakano, T., Honjo, T., Mak, T.W., Rossant, J., and Conlon, R.A. (1997). Conservation of the
Notch signalling pathway in mammalian neurogenesis. Development *124*, 1139-1148.

Deng, W.M., Althauser, C., and Ruohola-Baker, H. (2001). Notch-Delta signaling induces a
transition from mitotic cell cycle to endocycle in Drosophila follicle cells. Development *128*,
4737-4746.

Finarov, I., Moor, N., Kessler, N., Klipcan, L., and Safro, M.G. (2010). Structure of human
cytosolic phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase: evidence for kingdom-specific design of the active
sites and tRNA binding patterns. Structure *18*, 343-353. 10.1016/j.str.2010.01.002.

Fortini, M.E., and Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. (1994). The suppressor of hairless protein
participates in notch receptor signaling. Cell *79*, 273-282. 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90196-1.

Furriols, M., and Bray, S. (2001). A model Notch response element detects Suppressor of
Hairless-dependent molecular switch. Current biology : CB *11*, 60-64. 10.1016/s0960-
9822(00)00044-0.

Giachino, C., and Taylor, V. (2014). Notching up neural stem cell homogeneity in
homeostasis and disease. Frontiers in neuroscience *8*, 32. 10.3389/fnins.2014.00032.

Gomard-Mennesson, E., Fabien, N., Cordier, J.F., Ninet, J., Tebib, J., and Rousset, H. (2007).
Clinical significance of anti-histidyl-tRNA synthetase (Jo1) autoantibodies. Annals of the
New York Academy of Sciences *1109*, 414-420. 10.1196/annals.1398.047.

Gordon, W.R., Arnett, K.L., and Blacklow, S.C. (2008). The molecular logic of Notch
signaling--a structural and biochemical perspective. Journal of cell science *121*, 3109-3119.
10.1242/jcs.035683.

Grandbarbe, L., Bouissac, J., Rand, M., Hrabe de Angelis, M., Artavanis-Tsakonas, S., and
Mohier, E. (2003). Delta-Notch signaling controls the generation of neurons/glia from neural
stem cells in a stepwise process. Development *130*, 1391-1402.

Greenberg, Y., King, M., Kiosses, W.B., Ewalt, K., Yang, X., Schimmel, P., Reader, J.S., and
Tzima, E. (2008). The novel fragment of tyrosyl tRNA synthetase, mini-TyrRS, is secreted to
induce an angiogenic response in endothelial cells. FASEB journal : official publication of
the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology *22*, 1597-1605.
10.1096/fj.07-9973com.

Guo, M., and Schimmel, P. (2013). Essential nontranslational functions of tRNA synthetases.
Nature chemical biology *9*, 145-153. 10.1038/nchembio.1158.

Guo, Z., Lucchetta, E., Rafel, N., and Ohlstein, B. (2016). Maintenance of the adult
Drosophila intestine: all roads lead to homeostasis. Current opinion in genetics &
development *40*, 81-86. 10.1016/j.gde.2016.06.009.

Guo, Z., and Ohlstein, B. (2015). Stem cell regulation. Bidirectional Notch signaling
regulates Drosophila intestinal stem cell multipotency. Science *350*.
10.1126/science.aab0988.

Hatakeyama, J., Bessho, Y., Katoh, K., Ookawara, S., Fujioka, M., Guillemot, F., and
Kageyama, R. (2004). Hes genes regulate size, shape and histogenesis of the nervous system
by control of the timing of neural stem cell differentiation. Development *131*, 5539-5550.
10.1242/dev.01436.

Henrique, D., and Schweisguth, F. (2019). Mechanisms of Notch signaling: a simple logic
deployed in time and space. Development *146*. 10.1242/dev.172148.

Ho, M.T., Lu, J., and Suter, B. (2020). An aminoacylation independent activity of
PheRS/FARS promotes growth and proliferation. bioRxiv, 2020.2010.2029.360420.
10.1101/2020.10.29.360420.

Hori, K., Sen, A., and Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. (2013). Notch signaling at a glance. Journal of
cell science *126*, 2135-2140. 10.1242/jcs.127308.

Houtz, P., Bonfini, A., Bing, X., and Buchon, N. (2019). Recruitment of Adult Precursor
Cells Underlies Limited Repair of the Infected Larval Midgut in Drosophila. Cell host &
microbe *26*, 412-425 e415. 10.1016/j.chom.2019.08.006.

Jiang, H., and Edgar, B.A. (2011). Intestinal stem cells in the adult Drosophila midgut.
Experimental cell research *317*, 2780-2788. 10.1016/j.yexcr.2011.07.020.

Johannes, B., and Preiss, A. (2002). Wing vein formation in Drosophila melanogaster:
hairless is involved in the cross-talk between Notch and EGF signaling pathways.
Mechanisms of development *115*, 3-14. 10.1016/s0925-4773(02)00083-7.

Johnson, S.E., Ilagan, M.X., Kopan, R., and Barrick, D. (2010). Thermodynamic analysis of
the CSL x Notch interaction: distribution of binding energy of the Notch RAM region to the
CSL beta-trefoil domain and the mode of competition with the viral transactivator EBNA2. J
Biol Chem *285*, 6681-6692. 10.1074/jbc.M109.019968.

Koch, R., Ledermann, R., Urwyler, O., Heller, M., and Suter, B. (2009). Systematic
functional analysis of Bicaudal-D serine phosphorylation and intragenic suppression of a
female sterile allele of BicD. PloS one *4*, e4552. 10.1371/journal.pone.0004552.

Kovall, R.A. (2007). Structures of CSL, Notch and Mastermind proteins: piecing together an
active transcription complex. Current opinion in structural biology *17*, 117-127.
10.1016/j.sbi.2006.11.004.

Lee, J.H., and Lee, W.J. (2019). Stealing from the Future: Injured Larvae Spend Stem Cell
Deposits. Cell host & microbe *26*, 301-303. 10.1016/j.chom.2019.08.013.

Lee, S.W., Cho, B.H., Park, S.G., and Kim, S. (2004). Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase
complexes: beyond translation. Journal of cell science *117*, 3725-3734. 10.1242/jcs.01342.

Lian, T., Wu, Q., Hodge, B.A., Wilson, K.A., Yu, G., and Yang, M. (2018). Drosophila Gut-
A Nexus Between Dietary Restriction and Lifespan. International journal of molecular
sciences *19*. 10.3390/ijms19123810.

Ling, J., Yadavalli, S.S., and Ibba, M. (2007). Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase editing defects
result in efficient mistranslation of phenylalanine codons as tyrosine. RNA *13*, 1881-1886.
10.1261/rna.684107.

Liu, J., Sato, C., Cerletti, M., and Wagers, A. (2010). Notch signaling in the regulation of
stem cell self-renewal and differentiation. Current topics in developmental biology *92*, 367-
409. 10.1016/S0070-2153(10)92012-7.

Lopez-Schier, H., and St Johnston, D. (2001). Delta signaling from the germ line controls the
proliferation and differentiation of the somatic follicle cells during Drosophila oogenesis.
Genes & development *15*, 1393-1405. 10.1101/gad.200901.

Loza-Coll, M.A., Southall, T.D., Sandall, S.L., Brand, A.H., and Jones, D.L. (2014).
Regulation of Drosophila intestinal stem cell maintenance and differentiation by the
transcription factor Escargot. The EMBO journal *33*, 2983-2996. 10.15252/embj.201489050.

Lu, J., Bergert, M., Walther, A., and Suter, B. (2014). Double-sieving-defective aminoacyl-
tRNA synthetase causes protein mistranslation and affects cellular physiology and
development. Nature communications *5*, 5650. 10.1038/ncomms6650.

Maier, D. (2019). The evolution of transcriptional repressors in the Notch signaling pathway:
a computational analysis. Hereditas *156*, 5. 10.1186/s41065-019-0081-0.

Marianes, A., and Spradling, A.C. (2013). Physiological and stem cell compartmentalization
within the Drosophila midgut. eLife *2*, e00886. 10.7554/eLife.00886.

Mathur, D., Bost, A., Driver, I., and Ohlstein, B. (2010). A transient niche regulates the
specification of Drosophila intestinal stem cells. Science *327*, 210-213.
10.1126/science.1181958.

Micchelli, C.A. (2012). The origin of intestinal stem cells in Drosophila. Developmental
dynamics : an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists *241*, 85-91.
10.1002/dvdy.22759.

Micchelli, C.A., and Perrimon, N. (2006). Evidence that stem cells reside in the adult
Drosophila midgut epithelium. Nature *439*, 475-479. 10.1038/nature04371.

Mundorf, J., Donohoe, C.D., McClure, C.D., Southall, T.D., and Uhlirova, M. (2019). Ets21c
Governs Tissue Renewal, Stress Tolerance, and Aging in the Drosophila Intestine. Cell
reports *27*, 3019-3033 e3015. 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.025.

Nagel, A.C., Auer, J.S., Schulz, A., Pfannstiel, J., Yuan, Z., Collins, C.E., Kovall, R.A., and
Preiss, A. (2017). Phosphorylation of Suppressor of Hairless impedes its DNA-binding
activity. Scientific reports *7*, 11820. 10.1038/s41598-017-11952-0.

Nagy, P., Sandor, G.O., and Juhasz, G. (2018). Autophagy maintains stem cells and intestinal
homeostasis in Drosophila. Scientific reports *8*, 4644. 10.1038/s41598-018-23065-3.

Nathanson, L., and Deutscher, M.P. (2000). Active aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases are present
in nuclei as a high molecular weight multienzyme complex. The Journal of biological
chemistry *275*, 31559-31562. 10.1074/jbc.C000385200.

Ohlstein, B., and Spradling, A. (2006). The adult Drosophila posterior midgut is maintained
by pluripotent stem cells. Nature *439*, 470-474. 10.1038/nature04333.

Ohlstein, B., and Spradling, A. (2007). Multipotent Drosophila intestinal stem cells specify
daughter cell fates by differential notch signaling. Science *315*, 988-992.
10.1126/science.1136606.

Oswald, F., and Kovall, R.A. (2018). CSL-Associated Corepressor and Coactivator
Complexes. Adv Exp Med Biol *1066*, 279-295. 10.1007/978-3-319-89512-3_14.

Otani, A., Slike, B.M., Dorrell, M.I., Hood, J., Kinder, K., Ewalt, K.L., Cheresh, D.,
Schimmel, P., and Friedlander, M. (2002). A fragment of human TrpRS as a potent
antagonist of ocular angiogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America *99*, 178-183. 10.1073/pnas.012601899.

Park, S.J., Yoon, B.H., Kim, S.K., and Kim, S.Y. (2019). GENT2: an updated gene
expression database for normal and tumor tissues. BMC medical genomics *12*, 101.
10.1186/s12920-019-0514-7.

Praxenthaler, H., Nagel, A.C., Schulz, A., Zimmermann, M., Meier, M., Schmid, H., Preiss,
A., and Maier, D. (2017). Hairless-binding deficient Suppressor of Hairless alleles reveal
Su(H) protein levels are dependent on complex formation with Hairless. PLoS genetics *13*,
e1006774. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006774.

Qiao, L., and Wong, B.C. (2009). Role of Notch signaling in colorectal cancer.
Carcinogenesis *30*, 1979-1986. 10.1093/carcin/bgp236.

Rodova, M., Ankilova, V., and Safro, M.G. (1999). Human phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase:
cloning, characterization of the deduced amino acid sequences in terms of the structural
domains and coordinately regulated expression of the alpha and beta subunits in chronic
myeloid leukemia cells. Biochemical and biophysical research communications *255*, 765-
773. 10.1006/bbrc.1999.0141.

Roy, H., and Ibba, M. (2006). Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase contains a dispensable RNA-
binding domain that contributes to the editing of noncognate aminoacyl-tRNA. Biochemistry
*45*, 9156-9162. 10.1021/bi060549w.

Saj, A., Arziman, Z., Stempfle, D., van Belle, W., Sauder, U., Horn, T., Durrenberger, M.,
Paro, R., Boutros, M., and Merdes, G. (2010). A combined ex vivo and in vivo RNAi screen
for notch regulators in Drosophila reveals an extensive notch interaction network.
Developmental cell *18*, 862-876. 10.1016/j.devcel.2010.03.013.

Schimmel, P.R., and Soll, D. (1979). Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases: general features and
recognition of transfer RNAs. Annual review of biochemistry *48*, 601-648.
10.1146/annurev.bi.48.070179.003125.

Sen, S., Zhou, H., Ripmaster, T., Hittelman, W.N., Schimmel, P., and White, R.A. (1997).
Expression of a gene encoding a tRNA synthetase-like protein is enhanced in tumorigenic
human myeloid leukemia cells and is cell cycle stage- and differentiation-dependent.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *94*, 6164-
6169.

Shcherbata, H.R., Althauser, C., Findley, S.D., and Ruohola-Baker, H. (2004). The mitotic-
to-endocycle switch in Drosophila follicle cells is executed by Notch-dependent regulation of
G1/S, G2/M and M/G1 cell-cycle transitions. Development *131*, 3169-3181.
10.1242/dev.01172.

Shimmi, O., Matsuda, S., and Hatakeyama, M. (2014). Insights into the molecular
mechanisms underlying diversified wing venation among insects. Proceedings. Biological
sciences *281*, 20140264. 10.1098/rspb.2014.0264.

Smirnova, E.V., Lakunina, V.A., Tarassov, I., Krasheninnikov, I.A., and Kamenski, P.A.
(2012). Noncanonical functions of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. Biochemistry. Biokhimiia
*77*, 15-25. 10.1134/S0006297912010026.

Tabaja, N., Yuan, Z., Oswald, F., and Kovall, R.A. (2017). Structure-function analysis of
RBP-J-interacting and tubulin-associated (RITA) reveals regions critical for repression of
Notch target genes. J Biol Chem *292*, 10549-10563. 10.1074/jbc.M117.791707.

Taniguchi, Y., Furukawa, T., Tun, T., Han, H., and Honjo, T. (1998). LIM protein KyoT2
negatively regulates transcription by association with the RBP-J DNA-binding protein. Mol
Cell Biol *18*, 644-654. 10.1128/MCB.18.1.644.

Teleman, A.A., and Cohen, S.M. (2000). Dpp gradient formation in the Drosophila wing
imaginal disc. Cell *103*, 971-980. 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)00199-9.

Thurmond, J., Goodman, J.L., Strelets, V.B., Attrill, H., Gramates, L.S., Marygold, S.J.,
Matthews, B.B., Millburn, G., Antonazzo, G., Trovisco, V., et al. (2019). FlyBase 2.0: the
next generation. Nucleic acids research *47*, D759-D765. 10.1093/nar/gky1003.

Wacker, S.A., Alvarado, C., von Wichert, G., Knippschild, U., Wiedenmann, J., Clauss, K.,
Nienhaus, G.U., Hameister, H., Baumann, B., Borggrefe, T., et al. (2011). RITA, a novel
modulator of Notch signalling, acts via nuclear export of RBP-J. EMBO J *30*, 43-56.
10.1038/emboj.2010.289.

Yin, L., Velazquez, O.C., and Liu, Z.J. (2010). Notch signaling: emerging molecular targets
for cancer therapy. Biochemical pharmacology *80*, 690-701. 10.1016/j.bcp.2010.03.026.

Zeng, X., and Hou, S.X. (2015). Enteroendocrine cells are generated from stem cells through
a distinct progenitor in the adult Drosophila posterior midgut. Development *142*, 644-653.
10.1242/dev.113357.

Zhai, Z., Boquete, J.P., and Lemaitre, B. (2017). A genetic framework controlling the
differentiation of intestinal stem cells during regeneration in Drosophila. PLoS genetics *13*,
e1006854. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006854.

Zhai, Z., Kondo, S., Ha, N., Boquete, J.P., Brunner, M., Ueda, R., and Lemaitre, B. (2015).
Accumulation of differentiating intestinal stem cell progenies drives tumorigenesis. Nature
communications *6*, 10219. 10.1038/ncomms10219.

Zhou, J.J., Wang, F., Xu, Z., Lo, W.S., Lau, C.F., Chiang, K.P., Nangle, L.A., Ashlock,
M.A., Mendlein, J.D., Yang, X.L., et al. (2014). Secreted histidyl-tRNA synthetase splice
variants elaborate major epitopes for autoantibodies in inflammatory myositis. The Journal of
biological chemistry *289*, 19269-19275. 10.1074/jbc.C114.571026.

Zimber-Strobl, U., and Strobl, L.J. (2001). EBNA2 and Notch signalling in Epstein-Barr
virus mediated immortalization of B lymphocytes. Semin Cancer Biol *11*, 423-434.
10.1006/scbi.2001.0409.

 **Figure legends:**

 **Figure 1: α-PheRS is enriched in *Drosophila* intestinal stem cells and elevated α-PheRS**
 **levels change midgut development.**

 (A-A", B, C-C", D) α-PheRS accumulation is higher in small, diploid progenitor cells in wild-
 type adult and larval midguts. Signal intensity values in the graphs (right column) were
 measured from left to right along the lines in B and D. (E) *α-PheRS* or *α-PheRS^{Cys}* expression
 was increased by using the *esg-Gal4,UAS-2XEYFP;tub-Gal80^{ts}* (=*esg^{ts}*) system that allowed
 us to control the expression time to study the kinetics of the appearance of the phenotypes. The
 kinetics of the *Notch* knockdown was similar to the one of *PheRS^{(Cys)}* expression *(esg^{ts} / UAS-*
 *α-PheRS^{(Cys)})*. Animals were mated at 18°C and adults of the required genotypes were collected
 and shifted to 29°C to inactivate Gal80^{ts}. Adult midguts were dissected from female flies after
 the indicated induction times.

 **Figure 2: Elevated α-PheRS levels affect gut homeostasis, leading to additional progenitor**
 **cells, EEs, and differentiating EBs.**

 (A-C"') Elevated α-PheRS or α-PheRS^{Cys} levels induced by the *esg^{ts}* system (see Fig 1E for
 details) led to additional YFP-positive cells and also to the accumulation of EEs *(esg^{ts}/+;UAS-*
 *α-PheRS^{(Cys)}/+)*. (D-D"') Knockdown of *Notch (N)* with the same *esg^{ts}* system led to a similar
 phenotype as elevation of α-PheRS levels *(UAS-N^{RNAi}/+; esg^{ts}/+)*. (E-F"') Elevation of α-
 PheRS levels rescued the depleted ISC pool caused by Notch over-activation (induced by
 expressing the NICD under *esg^{ts}* control). (G) Quantification of YFP^+ cell numbers. (H)
 Proportional contribution of EEs to the total number of gut cells in the intestinal region
 analyzed. (I) Percentage of differentiating EBs among YFP^+ cells. In all experiments, EEs were
 visualized with the anti-Prospero antibody, YFP^-/Hoechst^+ polyploid cells were counted as
 ECs. Animals were mated at 18°C and adults of the required genotypes were collected and
 shifted to 29°C to inactivate Gal80^{ts}. Adult midguts were dissected from female flies after 5
 days of induction at 29°C. At least 10 guts were analyzed for each genotype. n=10, **p<0.01,
 ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 in ANOVA tests.

 **Figure 3: Elevated α-PheRS levels lead to a *Notch*-like phenotype in larval guts.**

 (A-D) Whole gut images and (A'-D') high power micrographs of the posterior midgut area
 marked with a white square in the overview picture. The expression of the different genes
 indicated was driven with the *esg-Gal4,UAS-2XEYFP* system. The YFP signal displayed in
 green marks AMPs and EBs, Hoechst (blue) marks the nuclear DNA. (C) Elevated *α-PheRS^{Cys}*
 expression gave rise to tumor-like areas in both the anterior and the posterior midgut (outlined
 with dashed lines) *(esg-Gal4,UAS-2XEYFP/+;UAS-α-PheRS^{(Cys)}/+)*. (E-E", F) Mitotic cells
 were identified with anti-phospho-Histone H3 (PH3) antibodies (red channel). n=10,

**p<0.01, ***p<0.001 in t-tests. (G) Total cells per intestinal region were measured by
counting Hoechst 33258 labeled cells manually. n=10, **p<0.01,****p<0.0001. (H) The
Notch activity reporter (NRE-eGFP) expresses GFP under the control of a Notch response
element. Its levels drastically decreased upon elevated expression of $\alpha$-PheRS or $\alpha$-PheRS$^{Cys}$
in adult midgut ISCs (*esg-Gal4,UAS-2XEYFP/+;tub-Gal80$^{ts}$/UAS-$\alpha$-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$,NRE-eGFP*).
Female flies were collected 3 days after eclosure and cultured at 29$^o$C for 5 days before
dissecting and harvesting their midguts.

**Figure 4: $\alpha$-PheRS counteracts *Notch* activity in neuroblast proliferation.**

(A-A") Additional expression of $\alpha$-PheRS or $\alpha$-PheRS$^{Cys}$ in neuroblasts reduced the ratio of
central brain (CB) size to optic lobe (OL) size. The *insc-Gal4,UAS-GFP* system was used to
drive the expression of the test genes in neuroblasts (NBs) (*w$^*$, Insc-Gal4/UAS-GFP;UAS-$\alpha$-
PheRS$^{(Cys)}$/+*). NBs were labeled by GFP expression. CBs are shown on the right side (area
with GFP$^+$ NBs and outlined by white dashed lines) and the OL area on the left. (B) Effect of
increased expression of $\alpha$-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$and *NICD,* and of *N* knockdown on the CB/OL size ratio.
n=20, ***p<0.001, *ns*: not significant. (C-H, I) Elevated $\alpha$-PheRS or $\alpha$-PheRS$^{Cys}$ levels
reduced the number of Type II NBs per brain lobe, and less than half of the lobes contained the
normal 7-8 NBs. *Notch* RNAi caused the same phenotype with higher expressivity. Additional
expression of $\alpha$-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ rescued the tumor phenotype caused by ectopic Notch activation in
NB to normal wild-type levels. The larval brains were dissected from third instar larvae, and
at least 20 brains were analyzed for each genotype (*w$^*$,UAS-Dicer2/+;wor-Gal4,ase-
Gal80/+;UAS-mCD8::GFP/UAS-$\alpha$-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$*). Each brain lobe was classified according to
the number of Type II NBs per brain lobe. n = 25.

**Figure 5: Elevated $\alpha$-PheRS levels repress Notch signaling in wing discs and induce a
*Notch* phenotype in adult wings.**

(A-A"') The ectopic wing venation phenotype resulting from elevated levels of $\alpha$-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$
is similar to the phenotype of $N^{RNAi}$ treatment. An ectopic vein branches from the connecting
vein between the L4 and L5 vein (arrowhead). Knockdown of *Notch* shows this phenotype and
the classical notched phenotype in the distal region of L3, L4, and near the L5 vein. The *en-
Gal4,tub-Gal80$^{ts}$* (hereby *en$^{ts}$*) system was used to drive transgene expression in the posterior
compartment of developing wing discs (*en$^{ts}$/UAS-$\alpha$-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$*). Animals were initially kept at
18$^o$C for 3 days and then shifted to 29$^o$C to inactivate Gal80$^{ts}$ until adult flies hatched, enabling
expression of $\alpha$-PheRS, $\alpha$-PheRS$^{Cys}$ or $N^{RNAi}$. (B) Schematic illustration of wild-type wing
imaginal discs showing the position of the different compartments; (B') the expression domain
of *en$^{ts}$*>mRFP and (B") the expression domain of *NRE-eGFP* along the dorsal/ventral (D/V)
boundary. (B"') *en-Gal4,NRE-eGFP,UAS-mRFP;tub-Gal80$^{ts}$* was used to drive transgene
expression in the posterior compartment of developing wing discs and to evaluate the Notch
activity with the reporter *NRE-eGFP* (black arrowhead) (*w,UAS-Dcr2/+;en-Gal4,UAS-
mRFP,NRE-eGFP/+;tub-Gal80$^{ts}$/UAS-$\alpha$-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$*). (C-F"') Elevated $\alpha$-PheRS or $\alpha$-

PheRS$^{Cys}$ leads to the loss of NRE-eGFP expression in the posterior compartment, similar to the phenotype of *Notch* knockdown *(w,UAS-Dcr2/UAS-N$^{RNAi}$;en-Gal4,UAS-mRFP,NRE-eGFP/+;tub-Gal80$^{ts}$/+)*. After egg-laying and being kept at 18°C for 6 days, the animals were shifted to 29°C for 1 day to inactivate Gal80$^{ts}$ and to enable expression of α-PheRS, α-PheRS$^{Cys}$, or $N^{RNAi}$.

**Figure 6: The N-terminal 200 amino acids of α-PheRS (α-S) are sufficient to repress Notch activity.**

(A) 3D model of the α-PheRS structure (suggested by SWISS MODEL tool https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) also shows that the catalytic module and the winged DBDs are separated from each other by a linker strand. (B) Schematic illustration of the α-PheRS polypeptide with its ATP and phenylalanine binding residues. α-PheRS has the catalytic domains located in the C-terminal part, and the DNA binding domains (DBD-1,2,3) located in the N-terminal region. The Myc tagged α-S construct lacks all core domains of α-PheRS but encodes the first 200 codons of the α-PheRS ORF under UAS control. (C,D) *Myc::α-S* was expressed using the *esg$^{ts}$* system in adult and larval midguts and this led to similar phenotypes as elevated expression of α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ in the same system *(esg$^{ts}$/UAS-Myc::α-S)*. (E-E',F,G) Quantification of PH3$^+$ cell numbers (F) and the ratio of EE/EC (G) in the intestinal region analyzed. In all experiments, EEs were visualized with the anti-Prospero antibody, YFP$^-$/Hoechst$^+$ polyploid cells were counted as ECs. Animals were mated at 18°C and adults of the required genotypes were collected and shifted to 29°C to inactivate Gal80$^{ts}$. Adult midguts were dissected from female flies after a 5 days induction at 29°C. At least 10 guts were analyzed for each genotype. n=10, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 in ANOVA tests. (H, H') The ectopic wing venation phenotype resulting from elevated levels of Myc::α-S was similar to the phenotype of elevated α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$ (shown in Fig 5A). An ectopic vein branches from the connecting vein between the L4 and L5 veins (arrowhead). The same system and experimental settings were used as in Fig 5A *(en$^{ts}$/+;UAS-Myc::α-S/+)*. (I-J') Elevated Myc::α-S leads to the loss of NRE-eGFP expression in the posterior compartments, similar to the effect of α-PheRS$^{(Cys)}$. The same system and experimental setup were used as in Fig 5B-F *(w,UAS-Dcr2/+;en-Gal4,UAS-mRFP,NRE-eGFP/+;tub-Gal80$^{ts}$/UAS-Myc::α-S)*. (K, L) Besides the full-length α-PheRS isoform (55kDa), one stable Myc-tag containing isoform around 40 KDa and another around 25KDa appear when expressing transgenic Myc::α-PheRS under the control of the *Tub-Gal4* driver *(w; +/+ ; Tub-Gal4/UAS-Myc::α-PheRS)*. The 40 KDa isoform was present in larval heads and fat bodies, while the 25 KDa isoform was predominantly expressed in larval guts.

**Figure 7: Similarity between the Notch RAM domain and α-S suggests competition for a common target.**

The alignment of Notch and α-PheRS shows that moderately conserved motives are present in the RAM domain and the N-terminal sequence of α-PheRS (A). (C-C''',D-D''') Elevated *Myc::α-S^{W112A}* or *α-PheRS^{W112A}* levels induced by the *esg^{ts}* system (see Fig 1E for details) could not lead to additional YFP-positive cells and also not to the accumulation of EEs *(esg^{ts}/+;UAS-α-PheRS/+)*. (E-E''',F-F''') Neither elevated Myc::α-S^{W112A} nor α-PheRS^{W112A} levels rescued the depleted ISC pool caused by Notch over-activation (induced by expressing the NICD under the same control). Note that F shows only 2 Pros^+ cells, the weaker signals are caused by autofluorescence from some fibers (G) Quantification of YFP^+ cell numbers. (H) Proportional contribution of EEs to the total number of gut cells in the intestinal region analyzed. Animals were mated at 18°C and adults of the required genotypes were collected and shifted to 29°C to inactivate Gal80^{ts}. Adult midguts were dissected from female flies after 5 days of induction at 29°C. At least 10 guts were analyzed for each genotype. n=10, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 in ANOVA tests.

**Supplementary figure legends**

**Figure S1:** The Myc-tagged isoforms from whole larvae were purified by immunoprecipitation and gel purification. The tryptic peptide fragments of the 25kDa band were subsequently analyzed by mass spectrometry (MS). The MS data analysis revealed the peptide coverage of the 25KDa isoform according to the score of Peptide Spectrum Matches (PSM). The 25 KDa isoform contains the peptides of the N-terminal 28% of the full-length α-PheRS.

**Figure 1**

Adult midgut

Larval midgut

**Figure 2**

# Figure 3

A — Anterior / Posterior — *esg>YFP*
A' — DNA / Esg>YFP

B — Anterior / Posterior — *esg>Myc::α-PheRS*
B' — DNA / Esg>YFP

C — Anterior / Posterior — *esg>α-PheRS^{Cys}*
C' — DNA / Esg>YFP

D — Anterior / Posterior — *esg>N^{RNAi}*
D' — DNA / Esg>YFP

YFP | α-PheRS | α-PheRS^{Cys}

E | E' | E''

DNA / esg>YFP / PH3

**F**

Mitotic index

*** **

Control | α-PheRS | α-PheRS^{Cys}

**G**

Total cells per intestinal region analyzed

** ****

Control | α-PheRS | α-PheRS^{Cys}

**H**

*Tub>*

| | Control | α-PheRS | α-PheRS Cys |
|---|---|---|---|
| NRE-eGFP | | | |
| α-PheRS | | | |
| α-Tubulin | | | |

**Figure 4**

# Figure 5

# Figure 6

## Figure 7

### A

### Alignment of Notch and α-S polypeptide sequence

```
P07207 NOTCH_DROME   1681 GIQIYLEIDNRKCTECFTHAVEAAEFLAATAAKHQLRNDFQIHSVRGIKNPGDE--DNGE 1738
Q9W3J5 SYFA_DROME      25 --AALFAEDHQKIVGSLKSIQAHGELVTAE---------TVTHKSLGLTDEGRAVVENGS   73
                          :  *::*  . .:.    .*:::*            *. *:.:  *  .**
                                                         [RAM]
P07207 NOTCH_DROME   1739 PPANVKYVI--TGIILVIIALAFFGMVLSTQRKRAHGVTWFPEGFRAPAAVMSRRRRDPH 1796
Q9W3J5 SYFA_DROME      74 HEALVYDLVPPEGIAQAA-LMAAGGANAKVGFSKAMSHGWILVDKSVTPP-LVRRKVDTI  131
                          * *  ::    **  . :* *   ..  .:* .  .  .  : **: *
                          [RAM]
P07207 NOTCH_DROME   1797 GQEMRNLNKQVAMQSQGVGQPGAHWSDDESDMPLPKRQRSDPVSGVGLGNNGGYASDHTM 1856
Q9W3J5 SYFA_DROME     132 TDVVRNQLQQVALGK-GDQLPAKEVAD----FKKRKLLQETTTKSFVLARGPEFATTLTK  186
                          : :**  :***:  *  . :    *     ..  .*...  *:.  :*:   *
                          [RAM]
P07207 NOTCH_DROME   1857 ---VSEYEEADQRVWSQAHLDVVDVRAIMTPPAHQDGGKHDVDARGPCGLTPLMIAAVRG 1913
Q9W3J5 SYFA_DROME     187 LETDLTVEMLANGLWDQLKFKAYNFDALGAPPTRGH-------------LHPLLK-----  228
                          *   :  :*.* ::.. :.  *: *: :**::  .       * **:
```