1   **Title:** Empirical dynamic modeling reveals ecological drivers of dengue dynamics

2   **Authors:** Nicole Nova[1*], Ethan R. Deyle[2,3], Marta S. Shocket[1,4],

3   Andrew J. MacDonald[1,5], Marissa L. Childs[6], Martin Rypdal[7],

4   George Sugihara[2], and Erin A. Mordecai[1]

5   **Affiliations:** [1]Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.

6   [2]Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San

7   Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

8   [3]Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA.

9   [4]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of

10   California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

11   [5]Earth Research Institute & Bren School of Environmental Science and

12   Management, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara,

13   CA, USA.

14   [6]Emmett Interdisciplinary Program in Environment and Resources,

15   Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.

16   [7]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, UiT The Arctic University

17   of Norway, Tromsø, Norway.

18   [*]Correspondence email: nicole.nova@stanford.edu

19   **Keywords:** Arbovirus, causality, climate, dengue, empirical dynamic modeling,

20   mosquito-borne disease, rainfall, susceptible population size, temperature.

Abstract

Understanding ecological drivers of mosquito-borne disease is an ongoing public health priority. Previous experiments and mechanistic models suggest mosquito-borne disease transmission involves complex nonlinear interactions between climate and population dynamics. This makes detecting environmental disease drivers at the population level challenging. By analyzing incidence data, estimated susceptible population size, and climate data with methods based on nonlinear time series analysis, collectively referred to as empirical dynamic modeling (EDM), we identified drivers and their interactive effects on dengue dynamics in San Juan, Puerto Rico. Estimated susceptible population size was the strongest causal driver of dengue incidence, and climatic forcing became important above a certain susceptible population size (temperature and rainfall having net positive and negative effects, respectively). Our EDM protocol for measuring and predicting how climate and population dynamics interact to drive epidemics adds to a growing body of empirical studies of complex, nonlinear systems embedded in changing environments.

INTRODUCTION

Mosquito-borne diseases, and dengue in particular, are (re)emerging globally and spreading to higher latitudes in concert with globalization and climate change (Kilpatrick & Randolph 2012; Ryan *et al.* 2019). Dengue virus—transmitted primarily by urban *Aedes aegypti* (Kraemer *et al.* 2015b)—places half of the global human population in 128 countries at infection risk (Brady *et al.* 2012; Kraemer *et al.* 2019) and causes an estimated 390 million annual cases of dengue fever worldwide (Bhatt *et al.* 2013). In the absence of effective vaccines or treatments (Katzelnick *et al.* 2017b, a; Sridhar *et al.* 2018), public health agencies rely on vector control to reduce dengue transmission (Erlanger *et al.* 2008). Effective vector control measures require understanding the mechanisms linking vector ecology and epidemics to better predict disease outbreaks—a major research challenge. Previous prediction models used phenomenological and mechanistic equation-based approaches (Johansson *et al.* 2009; Hii *et al.* 2012; Tran *et al.* 2013; Liu-Helmersson *et al.* 2014; Morin *et al.* 2015; Mordecai *et al.* 2017; Johnson *et al.* 2018), which may not fully capture interdependence between predictors. Here, we used a mechanistic, equation-free, data-driven approach that accounts for interdependence to determine ecological drivers and predict dengue outbreaks in an urban environment.

Since mosquitoes have been shown to be sensitive to climate variables, such as temperature and rainfall (Ibarra *et al.* 2013; Mordecai *et al.* 2019), it is reasonable to ask whether temperature and rainfall are important drivers of dengue outbreaks.

Although temperature directly affects mosquito and viral traits in laboratory

experiments (Watts *et al.* 1987; Lambrechts *et al.* 2011), the relationship between

temperature and dengue incidence in the field has been ambiguous. Thus,

temperature-dependent models have had mixed success predicting the timing and

magnitudes of epidemics (Hii *et al.* 2012; Johansson *et al.* 2016; Johnson *et al.* 2018).

Similarly, the rainfall–dengue relationship is complex, because the effect of rainfall

on mosquitoes depends on local breeding habitat and human behavior. In some

settings, rainfall provides more container breeding habitat for mosquitoes, thus

increasing mosquito abundance and dengue incidence (Ibarra *et al.* 2013). By

contrast, low rainfall levels could also facilitate dengue transmission by promoting

water storage that serves as standing-water habitat for mosquito breeding

(Oliveira-lima *et al.* 2000). Further, heavy rainfall can reduce mosquito abundance

by flushing out larvae (Koenraadt & Harrington 2008). The net effect of climate on

dengue is a convolution of many different mechanisms of action, and the net

outcome depends on specific context details. Moreover, as these details change, the

climate–dengue relationship can change.

Previous studies using statistical (Johansson *et al.* 2009; Hii *et al.* 2012; Liu-

Helmersson *et al.* 2014; Johnson *et al.* 2018) and mechanistic models (Tran *et al.*

2013; Morin *et al.* 2015; Mordecai *et al.* 2017) suggest that temperature and rainfall

drive dengue transmission in the field. However, these models rely on parameter

estimates from laboratory studies that are engineered to isolate single mechanisms

of action, hence producing separable relationships between drivers and dengue,

eliminating the complex interdependence at the population level. While laboratory

studies provide robust validation of a mechanism (Lambrechts *et al.* 2011), the fixed

relationships (correlations) taken from them do not necessarily translate into

proper causal inference for nonlinear field systems (Sugihara *et al.* 2012). Even if

causality exists between two variables in such a system, their correlation can switch

signs during different time periods, resulting in a net correlation of zero (Deyle *et al.*

2016b). This temporal variation in the direction of correlation results from the

nonlinear, state-dependent relationship between the variables (i.e., the importance

or direction of one effect depends on the state of another variable). Conversely, even

if two variables are consistently correlated, the association could be spurious due to

a confounder—a third variable that drives two otherwise unrelated variables. Thus,

covariation among variables poses a problem for identifying causal drivers. Both

temperature and rainfall follow seasonal patterns in most regions of the world and

often covary, making it difficult to separate their effects. Since ecological systems

are often nonlinear with covarying drivers, it is difficult to isolate causality from

field data.

Disease incidence also depends nonlinearly on (potentially climate-driven)

transmission rates, because epidemic growth slows as the population of susceptible

individuals is exhausted (Rypdal & Sugihara 2019). Thus, susceptible population

size is an important driver of infectious disease dynamics (Anderson & May 1979;

Kraemer *et al.* 2015a; Rypdal & Sugihara 2019). Variation in susceptible host

availability may influence the effects of climate on dengue dynamics, but such

interactive effects are difficult to detect in observational data. A lack of rigorous

methods has hindered research on potential interdependence of climate and

susceptible population size as drivers of dengue incidence. Because correlations are

unreliable and variables are interdependent in nature, disentangling climate from

other drivers in disease systems remains challenging (Thai & Anders 2011; Morin *et*

al. 2013).

To overcome these challenges, we used empirical dynamic modeling (EDM)

(Sugihara *et al.* 2012) to identify and model causal mechanisms driving dengue

epidemics (see http://tinyurl.com/EDM-intro for an introduction). EDM differs from

statistical and equation-based mechanistic models in two key ways. First, unlike

statistical approaches where inferences about cause-and-effect relationships are

based on fixed independent pairwise associations between system variables, EDM is

based on reconstructing the system *dynamics*. This allows relationships among

system variables to change through time to reflect that interactions among variables

are changing. Second, unlike equation-based mechanistic models, EDM does not

require assumptions about the functional form of the model, but instead

reconstructs the dynamic attractor empirically from time series observations. An

attractor is a geometric object (i.e., curve or manifold) that embodies the rules for

how relationships among variables change with respect to each other through time

depending on system state (specific location on the attractor). Like a set of

equations, the geometric attractor encompasses the dynamics of a system, and thus

can provide an agnostic (without an assumed set of equations) yet mechanistic

understanding of the system that is empirically based. Although traditional

equation-based mechanistic models can be constructed to account for nonlinearity,

these approaches require *a priori* assumptions about the identity and the form of

the causal relationships between variables that may not hold; however, EDM does

not rely on such assumptions.

Here, we use EDM and a recent approach for inferring the susceptible population

size from incidence data (Rypdal & Sugihara 2019) to answer three questions: (1)

Do temperature, rainfall and/or inferred susceptible population size drive dengue

incidence? (2) Can we predict dengue dynamics using climate data and inferred

susceptible population size? (3) What is the approximate pattern of each causal

relationship, and how is this relationship influenced by the other drivers? We

examine the hypothesis that temperature and rainfall drive dengue incidence in

complex ways that depend on susceptible population size. The EDM protocol

presented here—identifying drivers, their predictive power, and state-dependent

functional responses—can be applied to other complex, ecological systems.

METHODS

Data and proxy for susceptible population size

We obtained time series of weekly observations of dengue incidence (total number
of new dengue cases of all serotypes), temperature (°C), and rainfall (mm) in San
Juan, Puerto Rico, 1990–2009 (Figure 1a–c) from the National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration on November 10, 2016
(http://dengueforecasting.noaa.gov/). Direct measurement of susceptible
populations is not feasible, so we used a recently developed method for inferring a
proxy for the susceptible population size (Rypdal & Sugihara 2019). This method
uses incidence data during the inter-outbreak period to construct a time series for
the susceptible population. Although few dengue cases occur during the inter-
outbreak period, incidence during this time contains information about the
susceptible population size in the next outbreak. Because during an inter-outbreak
period the disease system fluctuates around a disease-free equilibrium, a linear
approximation of the incidence rate can be made where the coefficient, i.e., the
leading eigenvalue, λ, scales linearly with the susceptible population size. If we
assume a simple Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR) model (Kermack &
McKendrick 1927) for the disease system, then

$$\lambda = \beta \tilde{S} - \gamma \tag{1}$$

where $\tilde{S}$ is a parameter representing the susceptible population size, β is the force of
infection, and γ is the recovery rate. Thus, λ is linearly related to the proportion of

the population that is susceptible over time, and can be used as a proxy (see

Supporting Information for details).

Since the weekly incidence data are discrete we need to obtain the discrete-time

eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda} = e^{\lambda \Delta t}$. To infer $\tilde{\lambda}$ from the weekly incidence data $I(t)$, we performed

linear regression by fitting the statistical model $I(t + \Delta t) = \tilde{\lambda} I(t)$ for 12 time

points in a 12-week running window ($\Delta t = 1$ week). The model is robust to the

window size (Rypdal & Sugihara 2019). In the discrete case, when $\tilde{\lambda} < 1$ the system

is stable (inter-outbreak period) and when $\tilde{\lambda} \geq 1$ then the system is unstable

(outbreak period). Here, we treated the resulting time series of $\tilde{\lambda}$ as a proxy for the

susceptible population size, or "susceptibles index" for short (Figure 1d).

Statistical analyses

All analyses were conducted in R version 3.5.1 (R Development Core Team 2018).

We performed pairwise cross-correlations on the time series to investigate time-

lagged relationships between potential drivers (i.e., temperature, rainfall, and

susceptibles index) and dengue incidence using the tseries package version 0.10-

45 (Trapletti & Hornik 2018). We calculated the interannual mean to obtain the

seasonal variability for each variable. Determining whether the variables follow

seasonal trends is important for EDM analyses. Before performing EDM, we

normalized each time series to zero mean and unit variance to remove

measurement unit bias, ensuring the variables would be comparable and the

attractor would not be distorted.

Empirical dynamic modeling (EDM)

All EDM analyses were performed using package *r*EDM version 0.7.1 (Ye *et al.* 2018).

EDM includes approaches to infer a system's mechanistic underpinnings and predict

its dynamics. EDM uses time series data of one or more variables to construct an

attractor in state space (Figure S1). This is called univariable or multivariable state

space reconstruction (SSR) for an attractor built using a single or multiple variables,

respectively. Properties of the attractor are assessed to examine characteristics of

the system (Deyle & Sugihara 2011).

EDM is sensitive to stochasticity, and should be applied in systems where there is

evidence of deterministic dynamics (Cummins *et al.* 2015). Some stochasticity is

allowed (e.g., there can be stochastic drivers in the system), but the system cannot

be entirely stochastic (i.e., there must be low-dimensional deterministic structure to

most variables). To test for low-dimensional deterministic dynamics we performed

univariable SSR for each variable and used *simplex projection* (Sugihara & May

1990)—a type of nearest neighbor regression—to check that the prediction skill

decreases with time to prediction, an indicator of deterministic dynamics (Figures

S2a and S4; see Supporting Information for details). To test for nonlinear state

dependence of a variable—the motivation behind EDM—we used the *S-map* test for

nonlinearity (Sugihara 1994) (Figures S2b,c and S5; see Supporting Information for

details).

EDM: Convergent cross-mapping

We used an EDM approach called convergent cross-mapping (CCM) (Sugihara *et al.*

2012) to identify drivers of dengue incidence. If two variables are causally related,

then a multivariable attractor—where each variable in the system represents a

dimension that traces the dynamics of the system—can be semi-reconstructed using

lagged versions of just one of the variables (Figure S1). Based on Takens' Theorem,

this univariable "shadow attractor" preserves the structural and dynamic properties

of the original multivariable attractor (Takens 1981) [see video

https://youtu.be/QQwtrWBwxQg (Sugihara *et al.* 2012)]. The concept behind CCM

is that if temperature causes dengue incidence, then information about temperature

will be embedded in the dynamics of dengue, such that the shadow attractor

produced using only dengue dynamics allows us to accurately reconstruct

temperature in the past. However, the converse scenario would not be true: since

dengue does not cause temperature, the shadow attractor constructed using

temperature data should not contain information to accurately reconstruct dengue

incidence (see Supporting Information for details).

The critical criterion for testing the existence of causality using CCM is checking that

the cross-mapping skill monotonically increases and plateaus (i.e., converges) with

the length of the response variable data series used in cross-mapping. The cross-

mapping skill, ρ, is the Pearson's correlation coefficient between predicted driver

values using the univariable SSR of the response variable, and the observed driver

values. We used the Kendall's τ test as a significance test for convergence using the

`Kendall` package version 2.2 (McLeod 2011). This test checks whether cross-

mapping skill has a significant monotonic increasing trend. If $\tau > 0$ then there is

convergence (Grziwotz *et al.* 2018).

Based on the cross-correlation analyses (Figure S6), we applied a 9-week time lag

between temperature and incidence, an averaged lag of 3–9 weeks for rainfall, and a

5-week lag for the susceptibles index. The rainfall variable is a proxy for standing

water as mosquito breeding habitat, and thus we were interested in the

accumulation of water over time. We refer to these as "ecological lags" and treat

them as proxies for the time delay of cause-and-effect, since they account for the

ecological processes in the causal chain of events (ecological lags are separate from

lags used in SSR). These ecological time lags are consistent with results from other

field studies, which showed that temperature and rainfall predict dengue cases 6–12

weeks ahead (Chen *et al.* 2010; Ibarra *et al.* 2013).

EDM: Null models

For CCM, we assessed the strength of the evidence for causal effects of potential

drivers on dengue using two null models that control for the seasonal trend

observed in all variables (Figure 2). These null models address the sensitivity of

CCM to periodic fluctuations (i.e., seasonality), which can make two variables appear

to be causally linked when instead they are simply synchronized by an unobserved

seasonal variable (Cobey & Baskerville 2016; Deyle *et al.* 2016a). In the first null

model ("seasonal null model"), we preserved the seasonal signal in the null, but

randomized the interannual anomalies, and compared model performance with the

true time series (Deyle *et al.* 2016a). In the second, more conservative null model

("Ebisuzaki null model"), we conserved any serial correlation (beyond seasonal) and

randomized the phases of Fourier transformed time series, and compared model

performance with the true time series (Ebisuzaki 1997).

We also repeated the CCM method in the nonsensical, reverse-causal direction (e.g.,

to test whether incidence drives climate) as a control for potential spurious

relationships generated by non-causal covariation (e.g., due to seasonality). This

addresses the issue of synchrony, in which CCM can indicate bidirectional causality

when one direction is false or nonsensical (Baskerville & Cobey 2017; Sugihara *et al.*

2017).

EDM: Forecast improvement

We examined the predictive power of each driver—or combination of drivers—on

dengue incidence by assessing how well we can predict dengue dynamics using

temperature, rainfall, and susceptibles index. We used a combination of univariable

SSR (i.e., with incidence data) and multivariable SSR to determine the improvement

of forecasting (using simplex projection) when including each driver or combination

of drivers (Dixon *et al.* 1999; Deyle *et al.* 2013, 2016a).

We investigated the potential forecast improvement of dengue incidence using

temperature, rainfall, susceptibles index, and their combined effect. In addition, we

investigated the predictive power of dengue incidence using multivariable SSR with

just the potential driver variables: temperature, rainfall, and susceptibles index (see

Supporting Information).

EDM: Scenario exploration

In nonlinear systems, drivers generally do not have a constant effect. The effect is

state-dependent—the strength and possibly the direction of the effect depends on

the current state of the system. Scenario exploration with multivariable EDM

allowed us to assess the effect of a small change in temperature or rainfall on

dengue incidence, across different states of the system. The outcome of these small

changes allowed us to deduce the relationship between each climate driver and

dengue incidence and how they depend on the system state. For each time step t we

used S-maps (Sugihara 1994; Deyle *et al.* 2016a) to predict dengue incidence using a

small increase $(+\Delta X/2)$ and a small decrease $(-\Delta X/2)$ of the observed value of driver

$X(t)$ (temperature or rainfall). For each putative climate driver, the difference in

dengue predictions between these small changes is $\Delta Y = Y(t+1)\left[X(t) + \frac{\Delta X(t)}{2}\right] -$

$Y(t+1)\left[X(t) - \frac{\Delta X(t)}{2}\right]$, where $Y(t+1)$ is a function of X and all other state variables,

and we used $\Delta Y/\Delta X$ to approximate the effect of driver X at time t. We repeated this

over all time steps in our time series data for both temperature and rainfall to

recover their approximate relationships with dengue incidence at different states of

the system. Scenario exploration analyses were repeated across several model

parameterizations to address potential sensitivity to parameter settings (see

Supporting Information).

RESULTS

Detecting drivers

EDM showed that temperature, rainfall, and susceptibles index drive dengue incidence since the convergence criterion was met (Kendall's $\tau > 0$, $p < 0.01$) in all three CCM cases (Figure 3a–c). Rainfall and susceptibles index were significant drivers of dengue incidence beyond seasonality, as their effects were distinguishable from seasonal and Ebisuzaki null models (Figures 3b, c and S8b, c; $p < 0.05$). This implies significant causal effects of both rainfall and susceptible population size on dengue, which are not obscured by a confounder with a periodic signal. However, temperature was not a significant driver beyond seasonality (Figures 3a and S8a; $p > 0.05$). This implies we cannot rule out the possibility that the apparent forcing of temperature on dengue is due to a confounder with a seasonal signal. However, if there is no such confounder, then the seasonal trend in temperature, which accounts for most temperature variation in San Juan, drives the seasonal trend observed in dengue incidence (i.e., seasonality of temperature drives seasonality of dengue). Compared to the other drivers, the predictive skill of the temperature null model was relatively high (Figure 3a), suggesting that temperature seasonality in the null model was predictive. This further supports the notion that seasonal temperature may be driving dengue dynamics.

As expected, EDM tests for causality in the nonsensical directions—incidence driving temperature or rainfall—were not significant (i.e., no convergence; Figure

S7, black lines). This further supports that temperature and rainfall drive dengue

incidence, because their causal relationships were not confounded by spurious

bidirectionality. Further, the null models for the nonsensical directions of causality

(Figure S7, grey lines) also displayed no convergence (completely flat), as expected

(i.e., seasonality of dengue incidence does not drive seasonality of temperature or

rainfall). However, seasonality (or any periodicity) of temperature, rainfall and

susceptibles index drive dengue dynamics, shown by convergence of the seasonal

and Ebisuzaki null models (grey lines in Figures 3 and S8).

Predictive power of drivers

Dengue incidence was highly predictable using univariable SSR of incidence data

alone (Adjusted R^2 = 0.8922, ρ = 0.9446; Figure 4a). The predictive power of dengue

incidence improved only slightly when temperature, rainfall, and susceptibles index

were added in a multivariable SSR model (Adjusted R^2 = 0.8927, ρ = 0.9448; Figure

4d). These results suggest that dengue incidence time series contain information

about these drivers, resulting in the high predictability of the attractor in

univariable SSR (Figure 4a).

Dengue dynamics were also highly predictable using only the driver time series (i.e.,

temperature, rainfall, and susceptibles index) in a multivariable SSR model

(Adjusted R^2 = 0.5044, ρ = 0.7102; Figure 4c), where timing and magnitude of

epidemics were captured reasonably well. However, the model using only

temperature and rainfall data did not predict dengue incidence as well (Adjusted R^2

= 0.0533, ρ = 0.2309; Figure 4b). Thus, adding the susceptibles index increases

predictive power compared to climate variables alone, particularly for predicting

the magnitude of outbreaks (Figure 4c). However, temperature and rainfall did

capture the timing (seasonality) of the epidemics (Figure 4b). This supports the

notion that seasonality of temperature and rainfall is important for explaining the

seasonality of dengue, and that susceptible population size is important for

determining epidemic size. All SSR models (Figure 4a–d) had significant ($p < 0.001$)

F statistics >> 1 from ANOVA, rejecting the null hypothesis (i.e., no relationship

between predicted and observed dengue incidence).

State-dependent functional responses

By investigating the rate of change of dengue incidence as a function of climate

across system states, we found that temperature had a small *positive* median effect

(0.0035, Wilcox $p < 0.001$) on dengue incidence. A positive effect is expected

(Mordecai *et al.* 2017) for the temperature range in Puerto Rico (Figure S9, black

dashed lines), although the effect was occasionally much stronger, both positive and

negative (Figure 5a, b). The large negative effects occurred only at the highest

temperature values (as predicted by mechanistic models of temperature-dependent

transmission), reinforced by a lower quantile regression with a strongly negative

slope (Figure 5b, bottom dashed red line). However, positive effects occurred across

the whole temperature range.

Rainfall had a small *negative* median effect (−0.0022, Wilcox $p < 0.001$), but

occasionally had very large negative effects (Figure 5a, c). These large, negative

effects of rainfall on dengue occurred when there was less than 100 mm of rain per

week (Figure 5c), in line with expectations that *low* amounts of rainfall could lead to

high number of dengue cases, since people tend to store water in containers during

periods of drought, enabling mosquitoes to breed (Oliveira-lima *et al.* 2000). There

are also small positive effects of rainfall on dengue (Figure 5c), suggesting that

overall the results showed competing effects of low–moderate rain providing

standing water for mosquito breeding and humans storing standing water where

mosquitoes can breed when there is drought or low rain.

The results on climate effects suggest that the strength and direction of the effects of

climate on dengue dynamics depend on the state of the system. A potential cause of

state-dependent climate effects on dengue dynamics is the variation in the

susceptible population size over time (Figure 6a, b). Outbreaks do not occur when

there are too few susceptible people to get infected. As expected, when the

susceptible population size was small ($\tilde{\lambda} < 0.85$) incidence was insensitive to

climate (Figure 6c, e). By contrast, when the susceptible population size was large

($\tilde{\lambda} > 0.85$), temperature and rainfall effects on dengue incidence appeared (Figure

6d, f). The gradual increase and decrease of the rate of change of dengue as a

function of temperature (Figure 6d, red solid lines) aligned well with the sigmoidal

part (Figure S9, black dashed lines representing the temperature range in our

study) of the unimodal temperature response curve (assuming transmission

primarily by *Ae. aegypti*) developed previously (Mordecai *et al.* 2017). This is an

important finding, since evidence of climate functional responses for disease

dynamics is rare due to the difficulty of obtaining appropriately informative field

data. It is possible that if we had temperature data ranging across a larger

spectrum—possibly by assembling data across multiple climates—that the

empirical functional response derived from EDM would also look unimodal.

DISCUSSION

EDM can identify causal drivers, quantify their predictive power, and approximate

functional responses in nonlinear, state-dependent ecological systems, which few

other methods can do. Infectious disease dynamics are often seasonal, adding

another layer of complexity. Here, we used seasonal null models and a well-studied

ecological system to disentangle nonlinear and interactive mechanisms driving

disease dynamics. First, EDM detected rainfall, susceptible population size, and

plausibly temperature (mostly via its seasonality) as drivers of dengue incidence.

The seasonal variation in incidence was more attributed to climate, while the

interannual variation in incidence was more explained by the susceptible

population (Figure 3). Second, EDM provided a predictive model based on these

three drivers that had a reasonably good fit to dengue incidence data ($R^2 = 0.50$, $\rho =$

0.71; Figure 4c). Dengue dynamics were also highly predictable from incidence data

alone ($R^2 = 0.89$, $\rho = 0.94$; Figure 4a); thus, robust to missing state variables. This

implies that EDM methods could be powerful for forecasting epidemics, provided

that surveillance efforts continue to report weekly case data. Third, EDM revealed

that climate effects on dengue appeared once the susceptible population size

exceeded a threshold ($\tilde{\lambda} > 0.85$; Figure 6).

The fact that climate effects are observed before the onset of an outbreak, when $\tilde{\lambda} =$

1 (Rypdal & Sugihara 2019), suggests that rainfall, and possibly temperature, have

an effect on the timing of an impending epidemic. Thus, although rainfall and

temperature might not influence the dynamics of dengue during an inter-outbreak

period when the susceptible population size is small, climate could act as a catalyst

to spark an epidemic once the susceptible population size is large enough. This

resonates with the notion that climate could drive the force of infection, β, thus

influencing the susceptibles index, λ (Rypdal & Sugihara 2019). The timing of an

outbreak, when $\lambda \geq 0$ (or in the discrete case when $\tilde{\lambda} \geq 1$), could be attributed to

the changes in β caused by seasonal climatic drivers (Rypdal & Sugihara 2019).

Further, seasonality of temperature and rainfall had higher predictive skill than

seasonality of susceptibles index (Figures 3 and S8, grey solid lines); however,

adding susceptibles index dramatically improved dengue forecasts due to more

accurate epidemic magnitudes (Figure 4b, c). Thus, climate may be mostly

responsible for the *timing* of seasonal epidemics, while susceptible population size

may mostly determine the epidemic *magnitude*. Using the same dataset, Johnson *et*

al. (2018) found that mechanistic models could predict the timing of seasonal

epidemics, but that a phenomenological machine learning component was needed to

capture interannual variation in epidemic magnitude. Our work suggests that the

unobserved size of the susceptible population was a key missing link for predicting

magnitude variation across years.

We showed that susceptible population size is an important modifier of climate

effects on dengue (Figure 6). This climate–susceptible population interdependence

might be generalizable across other infectious diseases. For example, for influenza

dynamics, population density in cities—potentially a proxy for susceptible

population density—modulated climate effects on disease transmission (Dalziel *et*

al. 2018). Given complex immune and serotype dynamics of dengue (Katzelnick *et*

al. 2017b), total population density might not work as a proxy for the susceptible

population density in dengue dynamics. It has been difficult for previous

mechanistic models to capture susceptible dynamics for dengue. By inferring the

susceptibles index from incidence data (Rypdal & Sugihara 2019), we were able to

capture the strong influence of the susceptible population size on dengue dynamics,

which in turn moderated the effect of climate drivers on dengue dynamics. We

showed that climate–dengue relationships were only detectable once we accounted

for seasonal and interannual variation in susceptible availability (Figure 6d, f). This

is expected from theory (Kermack & McKendrick 1927; Xu *et al.* 2017), but

demonstrating it empirically is a unique contribution of this study.

Even when accounting for susceptible availability, the effects of temperature and

rainfall on dengue were still strongly state-dependent (Figure 6d, f). The remaining

variation in temperature and rainfall effects on dengue—given that the susceptible

population is large enough for an outbreak—may be partially explained by variation

in temperature and rainfall over time and space that is not captured by weekly

climate averages, and by interactions between temperature and rainfall. Further,

any subtle lagged effects of temperature or rainfall on dengue are not captured by a

single ecological lag (a 9-week or an averaged 3–9-week lag, respectively). We

expect multiple time lags to operate based on the different ecological processes that

generate reported cases: mosquito population growth, mosquitoes getting infected

and biting susceptible humans, development of symptoms, and care-seeking. The

ecological lags are simple proxies for this complex set of ecological processes.

EDM is like any other quantitative method in that it is appropriately applied when

its assumptions are met. EDM is sensitive to stochasticity and synchrony (e.g., via

seasonality), so it is important to first determine whether a system is governed by

deterministic dynamics, and then to use null models that account for synchrony

(Ebisuzaki 1997; Deyle *et al.* 2016a; Chang *et al.* 2017). Nevertheless, EDM is a

useful approach for testing causality between hypothesized drivers and response

variables in a dynamic system, and recent work allows EDM to perform well with

stochasticity (Cenci & Saavedra 2018; Cenci *et al.* 2019). To infer strongly supported

causal relationships, it is also important to consider the mechanistic hypotheses

underlying the system. For dengue, there are complementary lines of evidence

about the drivers of incidence from experimental studies (Watts *et al.* 1987;

Lambrechts *et al.* 2011), mechanistic models parameterized with data (Otero *et al.*

2006; Mordecai *et al.* 2017), phenomenological studies across settings (Johansson *et

al.* 2009; Hii *et al.* 2012; Ibarra *et al.* 2013), and EDM from this study. In particular,

the 19-year weekly time series dataset used here has been investigated using

multiple methods (Johansson *et al.* 2019); however, most of these methods are

either not mechanistic or include simple mechanisms that do not account for the

joint influence of climate and susceptible dynamics. EDM gives us the opportunity to

infer mechanisms, and assess their support in other studies using different

approaches. In sum, EDM has limitations, but the limitations of other approaches are

at least as great, and may not capture state-dependent effects. When combined with

supporting evidence from other approaches, EDM can be a powerful test for

causality, prediction, and functional relationships between drivers and response

variables.

Connecting climate and dengue at the population level is challenging, because causal

relationships are likely to be nonlinear and state-dependent. Thus, a toolbox of

rigorous methods for testing hypotheses, understanding mechanisms, and making

predictions is essential for understanding disease dynamics in complex, natural

populations. Ultimately, understanding how climate-driven vector-borne diseases

are influenced by other variables, such as susceptible population size, is important

for optimizing vector control under critical conditions where climate might spark

epidemics. EDM is most appropriate for deterministic systems, which are common

in disease ecology. The mechanisms inferred from EDM could be applied to

understand and predict future ecological responses to changing environments,

including dengue epidemics in a world undergoing global change.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Giulio De Leo, Marcus Feldman, Dmitri Petrov, and members of the Fukami, Mordecai, Peay, and Sugihara labs for helpful feedback. NN was supported by the Bing Fellowship in Honor of Paul Ehrlich. ERD and GS were supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) DEB-1655203, NSF-ABI-1667584, DoD-Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) 15 RC-2509; Lenfest Foundation Award 00028335 and the McQuown Chair in Natural Sciences, University of California, San Diego. MSS and EAM were supported by an NSF Ecology and Evolution of Infectious Diseases grant (DEB-1518681). EAM was also supported by an NSF Rapid Response Research grant (RAPID 1640780), the NIH (R35GM133439), the Stanford University Woods Institute for the Environment Environmental Ventures Program, the Hellman Faculty Fellowship, and the Terman Fellowship. AJM was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship in Biology (1611767). MLC was supported by the Lindsay Family E-IPER Fellowship and Illich-Sadowsky Interdisciplinary Graduate Fellowship.

AUTHORSHIP

NN, EAM, GS, and MR conceived the idea for the study and designed the analyses. MR inferred the proxy for susceptible population size time series. NN, ERD, MSS, AJM, and MLC performed the analyses. NN wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revisions and gave approval for publication.

REFERENCES

Anderson, R.M. & May, R.M. (1979). Population biology of infectious diseases: Part I.

Nature.

Baskerville, E.B. & Cobey, S. (2017). Does influenza drive absolute humidity? *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci., 114, 201700369.

Bhatt, S., Gething, P.W., Brady, O.J., Messina, J.P., Farlow, A.W., Moyes, C.L., *et al.*

(2013). The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature*, 496, 504–507.

Brady, O.J., Gething, P.W., Bhatt, S., Messina, J.P., Brownstein, J.S., Hoen, A.G., *et al.*

(2012). Refining the Global Spatial Limits of Dengue Virus Transmission by

Evidence-Based Consensus. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 6, e1760.

Cenci, S. & Saavedra, S. (2018). Uncertainty quantification of the effects of biotic

interactions on community dynamics from nonlinear time-series data. *J. R. Soc.*

Interface, 15.

Cenci, S., Sugihara, G. & Saavedra, S. (2019). Regularized S-map for inference and

forecasting with noisy ecological time series. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, 2041–

210X.13150.

Chang, C.W., Ushio, M. & Hsieh, C. hao. (2017). Empirical dynamic modeling for

beginners. *Ecol. Res.*, 32, 785–796.

Chen, S.C., Liao, C.M., Chio, C.P., Chou, H.H., You, S.H. & Cheng, Y.H. (2010). Lagged

temperature effect with mosquito transmission potential explains dengue

variability in southern Taiwan: Insights from a statistical analysis. *Sci. Total*

Environ., 408, 4069–4075.

Cobey, S. & Baskerville, E.B. (2016). Limits to causal inference with state-space

reconstruction for infectious disease. *PLoS One*, 11, 1–22.

Cummins, B., Gedeon, T. & Spendlove, K. (2015). On the Efficacy of State Space

Reconstruction Methods in Determining Causality. *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.*, 14,

335–381.

Dalziel, B.D., Kissler, S., Gog, J.R., Viboud, C., Bjørnstad, O.N., Metcalf, C.J.E., *et al.*

(2018). Urbanization and humidity shape the intensity of influenza epidemics

in U.S. cities. *Science (80-.).*, 362, 75–79.

Deyle, E.R., Fogarty, M., Hsieh, C., Kaufman, L., Maccall, A.D. & Munch, S.B. (2013).

Predicting climate effects on Pacific sardine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 110,

6430–6435.

Deyle, E.R., Maher, M.C., Hernandez, R.D., Basu, S. & Sugihara, G. (2016a). Global

environmental drivers of influenza. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 113, 13081–13086.

Deyle, E.R., May, R.M., Munch, S.B. & Sugihara, G. (2016b). Tracking and forecasting

ecosystem interactions in real time. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 283.

Deyle, E.R. & Sugihara, G. (2011). Generalized theorems for nonlinear state space

reconstruction. *PLoS One*, 6.

Dixon, P.A., Milicich, M.J. & Sugihara, G. (1999). Episodic fluctuations in larval supply.

Science (80-.)., 283, 1528–1530.

Ebisuzaki, W. (1997). A method to estimate the statistical significance of a

correlation when the data are serially correlated. *J. Clim.*, 10, 2147–2153.

Erlanger, T.E., Keiser, J. & Utzinger, J. (2008). Effect of dengue vector control

interventions on entomological parameters in developing countries: A

systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med. Vet. Entomol.*

Grziwotz, F., Strauß, J.F., Hsieh, C. & Telschow, A. (2018). Empirical Dynamic

Modelling Identifies different Responses of Aedes Polynesiensis

Subpopulations to Natural Environmental Variables. *Sci. Rep.*, 8, 16768.

Hii, Y.L., Zhu, H., Ng, N., Ng, L.C. & Rocklöv, J. (2012). Forecast of Dengue Incidence

Using Temperature and Rainfall. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 6, e1908.

Ibarra, A.M.S., Ryan, S.J., Beltrá N, E., Mejía, R.L., Silva, M. & Muñ Oz, N. (2013).

Dengue Vector Dynamics (Aedes aegypti) Influenced by Climate and Social

Factors in Ecuador: Implications for Targeted Control. *PLoS One*, 8.

Johansson, M.A., Apfeldorf, K.M., Dobson, S., Devita, J., Buczak, A.L., Baugher, B., *et al.*

(2019). An open challenge to advance probabilistic forecasting for dengue

epidemics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 116, 201909865.

Johansson, M.A., Dominici, F. & Glass, G.E. (2009). Local and global effects of climate

on dengue transmission in Puerto Rico. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 3, e382.

Johansson, M.A., Reich, N.G., Hota, A., Brownstein, J.S. & Santillana, M. (2016).

Evaluating the performance of infectious disease forecasts: A comparison of

climate-driven and seasonal dengue forecasts for Mexico. *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 33707.

Johnson, L.R., Gramacy, R.B., Cohen, J., Mordecai, E., Murdock, C., Rohr, J., *et al.*

(2018). Phenomenological forecasting of disease incidence using

heteroskedastic gaussian processes: A dengue case study. *Ann. Appl. Stat.*, 12,

27–66.

Katzelnick, L.C., Coloma, J. & Harris, E. (2017a). Dengue: knowledge gaps, unmet

needs, and research priorities. *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, 17, e88–e100.

Katzelnick, L.C., Gresh, L., Halloran, M.E., Mercado, J.C., Kuan, G., Gordon, A., *et al.*

(2017b). Antibody-dependent enhancement of severe dengue disease in

humans. *Science (80-.).*, 358, 929–932.

Kermack, W.O. & McKendrick, A.G. (1927). A Contribution to the Mathematical

Theory of Epidemics. *Proc. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 115, 700–721.

Kilpatrick, A.M. & Randolph, S.E. (2012). Drivers, dynamics, and control of emerging

vector-borne zoonotic diseases. *Lancet*.

Koenraadt, C.J.M. & Harrington, L.C. (2008). Flushing effect of rain on container-

inhabiting mosquitoes Aedes aegypti and Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae). *J.*

Med. Entomol., 45, 28–35.

Kraemer, M.U.G., Perkins, T.A., Cummings, D.A.T., Zakar, R., Hay, S.I., Smith, D.L., *et al.*

(2015a). Big city, small world: Density, contact rates, and transmission of

dengue across Pakistan. *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 12.

Kraemer, M.U.G., Reiner, R.C., Brady, O.J., Messina, J.P., Gilbert, M., Pigott, D.M., *et al.*

(2019). Past and future spread of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and

Aedes albopictus. *Nat. Microbiol.*, 4, 854–863.

Kraemer, M.U.G., Sinka, M.E., Duda, K.A., Mylne, A.Q.N., Shearer, F.M., Barker, C.M., *et*

al. (2015b). The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and

Ae. Albopictus. *Elife*, 4.

Lambrechts, L., Paaijmans, K.P., Fansiri, T., Carrington, L.B., Kramer, L.D., Thomas,

M.B., *et al.* (2011). Impact of daily temperature fluctuations on dengue virus

transmission by Aedes aegypti. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 108, 1–6.

Liu-Helmersson, J., Stenlund, H., Wilder-Smith, A. & Rocklöv, J. (2014). Vectorial

capacity of Aedes aegypti: Effects of temperature and implications for global

dengue epidemic potential. *PLoS One*, 9.

McLeod, A.I. (2011). Kendall: Kendall rank correlation and Mann-Kendall trend test.

Mordecai, E.A., Caldwell, J.M., Grossman, M.K., Lippi, C.A., Johnson, L.R., Neira, M., *et*

al. (2019). Thermal biology of mosquito-borne disease. *Ecol. Lett.*, ele.13335.

Mordecai, E.A., Cohen, J.M., Evans, M. V., Gudapati, P., Johnson, L.R., Lippi, C.A., *et al.*

(2017). Detecting the impact of temperature on transmission of Zika, dengue,

and chikungunya using mechanistic models. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 11,

e0005568.

Morin, C.W., Comrie, A.C. & Ernst, K. (2013). Climate and dengue transmission:

Evidence and implications. *Environ. Health Perspect.*

Morin, C.W., Monaghan, A.J., Hayden, M.H., Barrera, R. & Ernst, K. (2015).

Meteorologically driven simulations of dengue epidemics in San Juan, PR. *PLoS*

Negl. Trop. Dis., 9, e0004002.

Oliveira-lima, W., Hodgson, J.C., Pontes, R.J.S. & Freeman, J. (2000). Vector densities

that potentiate dengue outbreaks in a Brazilian city. *Trop. Med.*, 62, 378–383.

Otero, M., Solari, H.G. & Schweigmann, N. (2006). A stochastic population dynamics

model for Aedes aegypti: Formulation and application to a city with temperate

climate. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 68, 1945–1974.

Ryan, S.J., Carlson, C.J., Mordecai, E.A. & Johnson, L.R. (2019). Global expansion and

redistribution of Aedes-borne virus transmission risk with climate change.

PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis., 13, e0007213.

Rypdal, M. & Sugihara, G. (2019). Inter-outbreak stability reflects the size of the

susceptible pool and forecasts magnitudes of seasonal epidemics. *Nat.*

Commun., 10, 2374.

Sridhar, S., Luedtke, A., Langevin, E., Zhu, M., Bonaparte, M., Machabert, T., *et al.*

(2018). Effect of Dengue Serostatus on Dengue Vaccine Safety and Efficacy. *N.*

Engl. J. Med., 379, 327–340.

Sugihara, G. (1994). Nonlinear Forecasting for the Classification of Natural Time

Series. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 348, 477–495.

Sugihara, G., Deyle, E.R. & Ye, H. (2017). Reply to Baskerville and Cobey:

Misconceptions about causation with synchrony and seasonal drivers. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci., 114, 201700998.

Sugihara, G., May, R., Ye, H., Hsieh, C., Deyle, E., Fogarty, M., *et al.* (2012). Detecting

Causality in Complex Ecosystems. *Science (80-.).*, 338, 496–500.

Sugihara, G. & May, R.M. (1990). Nonlinear forecasting as a way of distinguishing

chaos from measurement error in time series. *Nature*.

Takens, F. (1981). Detecting strange attractors in turbulence. In: *Dynamical Systems*

and Turbulence, Warwick 1980, Lecture Notes in Mathematics (eds. Rand, D. &

Young, L.-S.). Springer-Verlag, pp. 366–381.

Thai, K.T.D. & Anders, K.L. (2011). The role of climate variability and change in the

transmission dynamics and geographic distribution of dengue. *Exp. Biol. Med.*,

236, 944–954.

Tran, A., L'Ambert, G., Lacour, G., Benoît, R., Demarchi, M., Cros, M., *et al.* (2013). A

rainfall- and temperature-driven abundance model for Aedes albopictus

populations. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 10, 1698–1719.

Trapletti, A. & Hornik, K. (2018). tseries: Time Series Analysis and Computational

Finance.

Watts, D.M., Burke, D.S., Harrison, B.A., Whitmire, R.E. & Nisalak, A. (1987). Effect of

temperature on the vector efficiency of Aedes aegypti for dengue 2 virus. *Am. J.*

Trop. Med. Hyg., 36, 143–152.

Xu, L., Stige, L.C., Chan, K.-S., Zhou, J., Yang, J., Sang, S., *et al.* (2017). Climate variation

drives dengue dynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 114, 113–118.

Ye, H., Clark, A., Deyle, E., Munch, S., Cai, J., Cowles, J., *et al.* (2018). rEDM:

Applications of Empirical Dynamic Modeling from Time Series. R package

version 0.7.1.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Time series data. Time series (1990–2009) of (a) weekly dengue incidence (i.e., total number of cases per week), (b) weekly average temperature, (c) total weekly rainfall, and (d) a proxy for susceptible population size (see Supporting Information for details) in San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Figure 2. **Seasonal trends and lags of dengue incidence and its drivers.** The strong seasonal signal of dengue cases and other variables suggests potential causal lags between dengue incidence and temperature, rainfall, or proxy for the susceptible population size. The lines represent interannual averages for each week-of-year of dengue incidence (black), temperature lagged 9 weeks forward in time (red), average rainfall over the preceding 3–9 weeks and lagged 3 weeks forward in time (blue), and a proxy for susceptible population size lagged 5 weeks forward in time (purple).

Figure 3. Susceptible population size and climate drive dengue incidence.

Cross-mapping between dengue incidence and potential drivers—temperature with

a 9-week lag (a; red), rainfall with an averaged 3–9-week lag (b; blue), and proxy for

susceptible population size ($\tilde{\lambda}$) with a 5 week lag (c; purple)—display significant

(Kendall's test $\tau > 0$; $p < 0.01$) convergence in cross-mapping skill (i.e., ρ increases

and reaches a flat asymptote) as the number of time series data points increases

(sign of causality). Cross-mapping skill is the Pearson's correlation coefficient, ρ,

between predicted driver values using the univariable state space reconstruction of

the response variable, and the observed driver values. Rainfall and susceptibles

index showed significant forcing above and beyond seasonal signal ($p < 0.05$),

because cross-mapping of the true time series (blue and purple) are distinguishable

from their respective null models (grey). The red, blue and purple shaded regions

represent the 0.025 and 0.975 quantiles of bootstrapped time series segments. The

grey shaded regions represent the 0.025 and 0.975 quantiles of the seasonal null

distributions obtained from 500 runs of randomized time series with conserved

seasonal trends (Deyle *et al.* 2016a). The grey line represents the median of the null

distribution.

Figure 4. Predictive power of climate and susceptibles index ($\tilde{\lambda}$). Forecasting

results showing observed (black) and predicted (green) values of dengue incidence

(cases per week) using state space reconstruction (SSR). Univariable SSR with just

incidence data (a) illustrates that dengue incidence is strongly predictable ($R^2 =$

0.8922, $\rho = 0.9446$). Multivariable SSR using only temperature and rainfall (b)

predicts dengue incidence less well ($R^2 = 0.0533$, $\rho = 0.2309$), especially the

magnitudes of the outbreaks, but the seasonal trend is captured. Multivariable SSR

using temperature, rainfall, and the proxy for susceptible population size $\tilde{\lambda}$ (c)

predicts incidence well ($R^2 = 0.5044$, $\rho = 0.7102$). Forecast predictions improve

slightly ($R^2 = 0.8927$, $\rho = 0.9448$) when the drivers temperature, rainfall, and

susceptibles index are added to incidence SSR (d). All R^2 values represent adjusted

R^2. All SSR models (Figure 4a–d) had significant ($p < 0.001$) F statistics >> 1 based

on ANOVA and the null hypothesis was rejected (H_0: there is no relationship

between predicted and observed dengue incidence).

Figure 5. Temperature and rainfall show mixed functional responses of

dengue incidence. Scenario exploration quantifies the variable effect of changes in

drivers on dengue. Boxplots show that the median effects of rainfall (Rain) and

temperature (Temp) are small (close to zero), but drivers occasionally have strong

impacts (a). To investigate climate driver functional responses, we plotted the rate

of change of dengue incidence as a function of temperature (b) and the rate of

change of dengue incidence as a function of rainfall (c). Red and blue lines represent

regression on the median for temperature and rainfall, respectively. The dashed red

and blue lines represent regression on the 0.05 and 0.95 quantiles of temperature

and rainfall, respectively. Temperature has an overall positive effect on dengue

incidence (median regression line of the rate of change is positive), but can also

have large negative and positive effects (a, b). Rainfall has an overall negative effect

(median regression line of the rate of change is negative), but can also have small

positive effects (a, c). Some of this effect variation can be explained by the

susceptible population size (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Temperature and rainfall effects on dengue vary depending on the

susceptible population size. Effects of climate drivers (i.e., temperature and

rainfall) are investigated in relation to the proxy for susceptible population size, $\tilde{\lambda}$.

Plotting the effect of changes in temperature (a) and rainfall (b) against the

susceptible population size shows that driver effects are split around the threshold

of $\tilde{\lambda} = 0.85$ (purple dashed line). The red and blue lines represent the median

regression of temperature and rainfall effects, respectively (a–f). The dashed red

and blue lines represent the 0.5 and 0.95 quantile regressions of temperature and

rainfall effects, respectively (a–f). Neither driver has an effect when there is not a

sufficiently large susceptible population size ($\tilde{\lambda} < 0.85$) for an outbreak (c, e).

However, above a certain susceptible population size the climate effects are

observed: temperature with mostly a (possibly sigmoidal, see Figure S9) positive

effect (d) and rainfall with a negative effect (f). However, even when driver effects

are split at the evident threshold of $\tilde{\lambda} = 0.85$ (c–f), there are still many occurrences

when the susceptible population size is sufficient large ($\tilde{\lambda} > 0.85$) but temperature

and rainfall have no effect. In certain cases, temperature has even a negative effect

on dengue (d).