Langerhans cells immunocompetency is critical for IDO1-dependent ability to induce tolerogenic T cells.

James Davies#[1], Sofia Sirvent#[1], Andres F. Vallejo[1], Kalum Clayton[1], Gemma Porter[1], Patrick Stumpf[2], Jonathan West[3,4], Michael Ardern-Jones[1], Harinder Singh[5], Ben MacArthur[3,4], Marta E Polak[1,4]

1. Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Sir Henry Wellcome Laboratories, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, SO16 6YD, Southampton, UK

2. Human Development and Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

3. Cancer Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, SO16 6YD, Southampton, UK

4. Institute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK.

5. Center for Systems Immunology, Departments of Immunology and Computational and Systems Biology, The University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Correspondence to:
Dr. Marta E Polak
Systems Immunology Group
Clinical and Experimental Sciences
Faculty of Medicine
University of Southampton,
SO16 6YD, Southampton, UK
e-mail: m.e.polak@soton.ac.uk
phone: +44 (0) 20815727

Abstract

Human epidermal Langerhans cells (LCs) can coordinate both immunogenic and tolerogenic immune responses, creating an attractive opportunity for immunomodulation strategies. To investigate transcriptional determinants of human primary LC tolerance we applied single cells RNA-sequencing combined with transcriptional network modelling and functional analysis. Unsupervised clustering of single cell transcriptomes revealed that steady-state LCs exist in immature and immunocompetent states, and become fully immunocompetent on migration. Interestingly, LC migration, which has been shown to result in upregulation of the transcription factor IRF4, led in parallel to increased expression of a tolerogenic gene module including *IDO1*, *LGALS1*, *LAMTOR1* and *IL10RA,* which translated to efficient induction of regulatory T cells in co-culture assays by immunocompetent LCs. Using protein expression analysis and perturbation with inhibitors, we confirmed the role of IDO1 as a mediator of LC tolerogenic responses induced during LC migration. Computational analysis of regulons and Partial Information Decomposition analyses identified *IRF4* as a key driver for LC tolerogenic programmes. The predicted IRF4-regulated genes were confirmed by analysis of CRISPR-Cas9 edited LCs. These findings suggest that efficient priming of tolerogenic responses by LCs requires upregulation of a migration-coupled maturation program which is superimposed with a tolerance-inducing genomic module.

Introduction

Langerhans cells (LCs) reside in the epidermis as a dense network of immune system sentinels, capable of initiating potent immune responses to cutaneous pathogens and neoplastic cells[1,2]. As a first line of the cutaneous immune defence system, LCs are uniquely specialised at sensing the environment and extend dendrites through inter-cellular tight junctions to gain access to the outermost part of the skin, the stratum corneum, so that rapid responses can be initiated if a dangerous pathogen is encountered[3]. We and others have shown that LCs are highly capable of priming and augmenting CD4 T cell responses and can induce CD8 T cell activation via antigen cross presentation more effectively than CD11c+, CD141+, CD141- and CD14+ dermal DCs[4,5,6]. However, in the context of infection, LCs can be surprisingly inefficient; LCs fail to induce cytotoxic T cell in response to herpes simplex 1 virus and in the context of *Leishmania major* infection, ablation of LCs reduced the number of activated Treg cells and aided clearance of the disease, therefore questioning the role of LC in immunogenic responses to pathogenic stimuli[7,8].

In contrast, during steady-state (non-dangerous) conditions, LCs selectively induce the activation and proliferation of skin-resident regulatory T cells[9,10] that prevent unwanted immune-mediated reactions. This key role of LCs in the maintenance of cutaneous and systemic homeostasis has been confirmed in many experimental systems. Using a mouse model for investigating LC tolerance, antigen processing and presentation of the keratinocyte protein desmoglein (Dsg3) resulted in efficient regulatory T cell (Treg) induction, whilst ablation of LCs led to increased autoimmunity[11]. LC migration from the epidermis is constantly observed during steady-state and transport of self-antigen derived from melanin to skin draining

lymph nodes results in no abnormal inflammatory disease, nor does the rate of

transport change during induced inflammatory conditions[12,13,14]. In a mouse model of

autoimmune encephalomyelitis, migratory skin LCs and not resident lymph DCs are

required for the induction of Foxp3+ Tregs[15]. Epicutaneous delivery of OVA to OVA-

sensitised mice and peanut protein to peanut-sensitised mice results in uptake and

processing by skin DC and LC, but repeat exposure results in fewer inflammatory

responses and an increase in Treg cells[16,17]. Similarily, LCs mediate murine

tolerance during sensitisation by the hapten DNTB and is reliant on the presence of

Tregs[18].

While LC-induced tolerance appears to be key to cutaneous immune homeostasis,

and understanding of molecular processes underpinning this can open opportunities

for targeted vaccine and immunosuppressive therapeutics, currently little is known

about what biological pathways LC use for directing tolerogenic T cell immune

responses. Even though some comparisons can be drawn from analysis of other

tolerogenic dendritic cell subsets, LC transcriptional programming is distinct from

both dendritic cells and macrophages[19,20,5,21]. Thus, in-depth analysis of human

primary LCs is necessary to expand understanding of their ability to induce

tolerogenic responses. Here, we used single cell RNA-seq and *in vitro*

experimentation to advance understanding of LC heterogeneity and immune

activation at both the steady-state and after migration, revealing important insights

into how LCs mediate tolerogenic T cell responses at the epidermis.

Methods

Human LC and PBMC isolation: Human blood and skin mastectomy and

abdominoplasty samples were collected with written consent from donors with

approval by the South East Coast - Brighton & Sussex Research Ethics Committee

in adherence to Helsinki Guidelines [ethical approvals: REC approval: 16/LO/0999).

Fat and lower dermis was cut away and discarded before dispase (2 U/ml, Gibco,

UK, 20h, +4°C) digestion. For steady-state LC extraction, epidermal sheets were

digested in Liberase Tm (13 U/ml, Roche, UK, 2h, +37°C,) and enriched using

density gradient centrifugation (Optiprep 1:4.2, Axis Shield, Norway). Migrated LCs

were extracted from epidermal explant sheets cultured in media (RPMI, Gibco, UK,

5%FBS, Invitrogen, UK, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin, Sigma,

UK) for 48 hours, with or without dexamethasone (1μM, Hameln, UK).

Dexamethasone stimulated migrated LC were washed with media prior to use in

assays. Steady state and migrated LC were processed through fluorescence-

activated cell sorting (FACS) and Drop-seq or cryopreserved in 90% FBS (Gibco,

UK), 10% DMSO (Sigma, UK). PBMCs were extracted from human blood using

lymphoprep (Stemcell, UK) density gradient separation. Naïve T cells were purified

using the Naïve CD4+ T cell isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec, UK). TRMs were extracted

from epidermal sheets after 48 hour migration, followed by density gradient

separation (Optiprep 1:3).

Flow cytometry/ FACS: Antibodies used for cell staining were pre-titrated and used

at optimal concentrations. A FACS Aria flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, USA) and

FlowJo software was used for analysis. For FACS purification LCs were stained for

CD207 (anti-CD207 PeVio700), CD1a (anti-CD1a VioBlue) and HLA-DR (anti-HLA-

DR Viogreen, Miltenyi Biotech, UK). For T cell staining, antibodies anti-CD3 PerCP,

anti-CD4 Viogreen, anti-CD127 Pe (Miltenyi Biotech, UK) and anti-CD25 PeCy7

(Invitrogen, UK) were used for surface staining. Anti-FOXP3 FITC (eBiosciences,

UK), anti-IL-10 PE (Miltenyi, UK) and anti-IDO1 AlexaFluor647 (Biolegend, UK) antibodies were used for intranuclear and intracellular staining.

Co-culture, suppression and inhibition assays: For co-culture assays, purified LC and naïve CD4+ T cells or TRMs were co-cultured in human serum supplemented media (RPMI, Gibco, UK, 10% human serum, Sigma, UK, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin, Sigma, UK) at a 1:50 ratio for 5-days at 37°C. For intranuclear FOXP3 staining T cells were permeabilised using the FOXP3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (eBiosciences, UK) following the manufacturers protocol, after cell surface marker staining. For IL-10 intracellular staining, T cells were stimulated with cell stimulation cocktail (eBioscience, UK) for 6 hours and Golgi plug (eBioscience, UK) for 5 hours, prior to intracellular staining using Permeabilizing Solution 2 (BD Biosciencies, UK). IDO1 intracellular staining of LCs was performed using Intracellular Fixation & Permeabilization Buffer Set (eBioscience, UK), following kit protocol. IDO1 inhibition experiments were performed using NLG-919 (10μM, Cambridge Bioscience UK) and epacadostat (EPAC, 1μM, Cambridge Bioscience UK) in media during migrated LC and naïve CD4+ T cell co-cultures. Proliferation assays were set up through combining FACS-purified CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+ T cells induced after 5-day naïve CD4+ T cells and FACS-purified LC co-cultures, with autologous CFSE labelled PBMCs. PBMCs were labelled with CFSE using the CellTrace™ CFSE Cell Proliferation Kit (Invitrogen, UK), with ice cold PBS, 0.5% BSA replacing PBS and ice cold media replacing pre-warmed media as described in the protocol.

Drop-seq: After FACS purification, single LCs were co-encapsulated with primer

coated barcoded Bead SeqB (Chemgenes, USA) within 1 nL droplets (Drop-seq[22]).

Drop-seq microfluidic devices according to the design of Macosko *et al* were

fabricated by soft lithography, oxygen plasma bonded to glass and functionalised

with fluorinated silane (1% (v/v) trichloro(1H,1H,2H,**2**H-perfluorooctyl)silane in HFE-

7500 carrier oil). Open instrumentation syringe pumps and microscopes (see

dropletkitchen.github.io) were used to generate and observe droplets, using

conditions and concentrations according to the Drop-seq protocol[22], 700 steady-state

LC and ~300 migrated LC from mastectomy skin were converted into 'STAMPs' for

PCR library amplification (High Sensitivity DNA Assay, Agilent Bioanalyser) and

tagmentation (Nextera XT, Illumina, UK). Sequencing of libraries was executed using

NextSeq on a paired end run ($1.5 \times 10E5$ reads for maximal coverage) at the Wessex

Investigational Sciences Hub laboratory, University of Southampton.

Transcriptomic data analysis: The Drop-seq protocol from the McCarrol lab[22] was

followed for converting sequencer output into gene expression data. The bcl2fastq

tool from Illumina was used to demultiplex files, remove UMIs from reads and

deduce captured transcript reads. Reads were then aligned to human hg19

reference genome using STAR. Analyses of steady-state LCs alone was performed

in an R environment using SCnorm normalisation [23], universal manifold

approximation and projection (UMAP) dimensionality reduction analysis (Scater[24],

singlecellTK[25]) and hierarchical clustering (clust=ward.D2, dist = canberra)[26].

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between cell clusters were identified using

Limma[27] (FDR corrected p-value<0.05, logFC>1). Comparative analyses of steady-

state and migrated was performed using the python-based Scanpy pipeline (version

1.5.0) [28]. High quality barcodes, discriminated from background RNA barcodes, were

selected based on the overall UMI distribution using EmptyDrops [29]. Low quality

cells, with a high fraction of counts from mitochondrial genes (20% or more)

indicating stressed or dying cells were removed. In addition, genes with expression

detected in <10 cells were excluded. Datasets were normalised using Scran, using

rpy2 within python [30]. Highly variable genes (top 2000) were selected using

distribution criteria: min_mean=0, max_mean=4, min_disp=0.1. A single-cell

neighbourhood graph was computed on the first principal components that

sufficiently explain the variation in the data using 10 nearest neighbours. Uniform

Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) was performed for dimensionality

reduction. Leiden algorithm (Traag, Waltman and van Eck, 2019, PMID: 30914743)

was used to identify clusters within cell populations (Leiden r = 0.5, n_pcs=30).

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between cell clusters were identified using T-

test (FDR corrected p-value<0.01, logFC>1). Gene ontology analysis was performed

using Toppgene (FDR corrected p-value<0.05), describing biological pathways

associated with gene lists. Tolerogenic gene signature 1 (tol 1) was curated from

published studies and literature exploring genes associated with DC or macrophage

tolerogenic function. Single cell gene signature enrichment analyses of tol 1 was

performed using Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) [31]. Regulatory network

inference analysis was performed using single-cell regulatory network inference and

clustering (SCENIC) within python (Aibar et al., 2017). Public datasets from GEO

used for analysis included a microarray dataset containing dexamethasone and

vitamin D3 stimulated MoDC (TolMoDC) with unstimulated MoDC (GSE52894) and a

microarray dataset containing trypsinised steady-state LC with unstimulated MoDC

(GSE23618) Normalised count matrices were downloaded from GEO before Limma

DEG analysis in R. DEGs upregulated in LCs and TolMoDCs compared to

unstimulated MoDCs from each respective dataset were anlaysed, with unstimulated

MoDC used as reference for comparison.

Directional PIDC

Notebooks from Chan et al were adapted for the analysis and run using Julia V 1.0.5

in Jupyter Notebook. SCRAN-normalised data for migrated LCs including genes from

the tol1 signatures and selected transcription factors was used for network inference

using PIDC algorithm. Edge weights were exported, and sorted to include only

transcription factors as targets. Hierarchical network was visualised using yED.

<u>**Results**</u>

Steady state LCs exist in a spectrum of immune activation from immaturity to

immunocompetency.

LCs in steady-state healthy skin have been shown to expand skin resident memory

Tregs which are important for mediating immune homeostasis and preventing

unwarranted inflammatory responses[9]. However, when compared to dexamethasone

and vitamin D3 stimulated model tolerogenic dendritic cells (TolMoDC), trypsinised

steady-state LC display unique upregulated biological pathways with no crossover of

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) when compared to unstimulated TolMoDC

(FigureS1A, Figure S1B, Supplementary table 1). To explore the gene expression

profiles underlying healthy LC tolerogenic function and to evaluate population

heterogeneity *in situ* we performed single cell RNAseq on "steady-state LC"

dissociated from healthy skin using the dispase/liberase protocol, as published

previously[32,33]. UMAP dimensionality reduction analysis of 607 steady-state LCs

revealed heterogeneity was present amongst the population, with LCs transitioning

from one state to another (Figure 1A). Using hierarchical clustering, steady-state LCs

were grouped into two defined clusters (S1 and S2) which separated the population

along the transitional route (Figure 1B). Gene expression comparison after grouping

genes into intervals of increasing expression level, revealed S2 LCs to be more

transcriptionally active than S1 (Figure 1C). Whilst the most highly expressed genes

(>10 normalised expression) in both sub populations were associated with antigen

processing and presentation, the number of genes and range of expression was

highest at all expression intervals in S2 LCs. Differentially expressed gene (DEG)

analysis identified 21 upregulated genes (*CD74, HLA-DRA, HLA-DRB1, B2M) in* S2

LCs compared to S1 LCs, although no DEGs were identified in S1 compared to S2

(Supplementary table 2). Whilst no specific gene ontologies were associated with

tolerogenic pathways, analysis revealed associations with MHC II antigen

presentation, T cell co-stimulation and response to cytokines (Figure 1D). Overall,

steady-state LCs appear to exist in a spectrum of activation from lowly activated

immature LC (S1) to more highly activated immunocompetent LC (S2), which likely

influences their potential for coordinating T cell responses.

Figure 1

Figure 1. Steady state LCs exist in a spectrum of immune activation from immaturity to immunocompetency

A. UMAP plot of 607 steady-state LCs (Scater, R) from 1769 genes following filtering (mitochondrial genes <20%) and SCnorm normalisation.

B. Hierarchical clustering (clust=ward.D2, dist=canberra) of steady-state LCs defining the division of the population into two sub clusters, steady-state 1 (S1) and steady-state 2 (S2).

C. S1 and S2 normalised gene expression values were grouped into expression level intervals (y-axis). The number of genes included in each interval and the top associated biological processes identified using ToppGene gene ontology analysis are displayed with significance values (FDR corrected p-value).

259   D. Gene ontology analysis using ToppGene of 21 DEGs upregulated in S2 LC compared to
260   S1 LC. -log(10) FDR corrected FDR corrected p-values are displayed.

262   Steady state immunocompetent LCs are superior at inducing FOXP3+ Treg

As activation status defined LC subpopulations in the steady-state, the expression of

classical DC activation markers and LC markers amongst the population was

interrogated (Figure 2A, Figure S2A). Whilst *CD83* expression appeared

homogenous, *CD40* was lowly detected in all steady-state LC. High HLA-DRA

expression was detected in LCs from both clusters but *CD86* was predominantly

expressed in S2 LC only. Following confirmation of the differential expression at the

protein level using flow cytometry, CD86 was selected as a marker for distinguishing

the two populations: immunocompetent and immature LCs (Figure 2B). To

investigate the immune potential of these two steady-state LC populations,

CD86High and CD86Low expressing LCs were isolated using FACS (Figure 2B) and

co-cultured with CD4+ naïve T cells for 5-days after which the expansion of

CD25+FOXP3+ Tregs was quantified (Figure 2C, Figure S2B). Suprisingly,

CD86Low immature LCs did not increase the number of CD4+CD25+FOXP3+

regulatory T cells compared to control. In contrast, CD86High immunocompetent LC

significantly expanded the number of CD25+FOXP3+ Tregs compared to control

(p=0.0143) and CD86Low LC (p=0.0129, n=3 independent skin donors), revealing

that the state of immunocompetence associates with LC ability to promote T cell-

mediated immune tolerance.

Figure 2. Steady state immunocompetent LCs are superior at inducing FOXP3+ Tregs

A. UMAP plots displaying markers: *CD86, CD83*, *HLA-DRA* and *CD40* expression amongst the steady-state LC population displaying low (grey) to high (dark red) SCnorm normalised expression.

B. Flow cytometry assessment of steady-state LCs identified as CD207/CD1a high cells. LC populations were separated into CD86Low and CD86High by FACS. Representative example from n=3 independent LC donors.

C. Flow cytometry assessment of CD4+ naive T cells after 5-day co-culture with either CD86Low or CD86High steady-state LC. 5-day cultures of CD4+ naïve T cells alone were used as control. Tregs were identified as CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+FOXP3+ cells. n=3 independent LC donor paired experiments. *p<0.05.

Migration enhances tolerogenic abilities of immunocompetent LCs

To explore further the link between LC activation status and tolerance induction, we sought to investigate the effect of i*n vitro* migration from epidermal sheets on LCs tolerogenic characteristics and their molecular profile. We and others have previously shown that LCs, which have migrated out of the epidermis are more immune activated and primed to mediating T cell responses[6,32,33]. Therefore, we

sought to compare the capability of both steady-state LC and migrated LC to prime

naïve CD4 T cells towards a tolerogenic phenotype. The expansion of

CD25+FOXP3+ Tregs was measured after 5-day co-culture of naïve CD4+ T cells

with LCs extracted at the steady-state via 2 hour enzymatic digestion or through

migration from epidermal explants (Figure 3A). Steady-state and migrated LC both

significantly amplified the percentage of CD25+FOXP3+ Tregs compared to CD4-

only control (n=6 independent skin donors, steady-state LCs p=0.0101, migrated

LCs p=<0.0001). However LCs ability to amplify Tregs was significantly augmented

after migration, with increased percentages of CD25+FOXP3+ Tregs induced

compared to steady-state LCs (Figure 3A, p=<0.0001). When co-cultured with

antiCD3/CD28-stimulated PBMCs, Tregs expanded with migratory LCs potently

inhibited activated CD4 and CD8 T cell proliferation (Figure 3B, C, respectively and

Figure S3A, CD4 1:1 p=0.0088, CD4 1:3 p=0.0277, CD8 1:1 p=0.0007, CD8 1:3

p=0.0111, n=5 from 3 independent LC donors). Similarily, migrated LCs efficiently

expanded autologous epidermal tissue-resident memory T cells (TRMs) isolated

from healthy epidermal tissue (Figure 3D, Figure S3B). Co-culture of migrated LCs

with TRMs significantly increased the number of CD25+FOXP3+ Tregs compared to

steady-state control (n=5 steady-state LC independent skin donors, n=4 migrated LC

independent skin donors, p=0.0025). Furthermore, co-culture of migrated LCs with

resident memory T cells also drove expansion of IL-10 producing CD4+ T cells,

highlighting the tolerogenic capabilities of migrated LCs (Figure 3E, n=8 independent

skin donors, p=0.0451).

Figure 3. Migration enhances tolerogenic abilities of immunocompetent LCs

A. Flow cytometry assessment of the percentage of Tregs induced after 5-day co-culture of steady-state LC and migrated LC with CD4+ naïve T cells. 5-day cultures of CD4+ naïve T cells alone were used as control. Tregs were identified as CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+FOXP3+ cells. n=8 control, 5 steady-state and 6 migrated independent LC donors. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

B. CFSE labelled PBMCs gated on CD4+ T cells proliferation measurements after 3-day co-culture with autologous purified CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+ Tregs. The percentage of proliferating CD4+ cells stimulated with plate bound anti-CD3 and soluble anti-CD28 is

displayed at ratios of 1:1 and 1:3 Treg:PBMC (n=5 from 3 independent LC donors).
*p<0.05, **p<0.01.
C. CFSE labelled PBMCs, gated on CD8+ T cells, proliferation measurements after 3-day
co-culture with autologous purified CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+ Tregs. The percentage of
proliferating CD8+ cells stimulated with plate bound anti-CD3 and soluble anti-CD28 is
displayed at ratios of 1:1 and 1:3 Treg:PBMC (n=5 from 3 independent LC donors).
*p<0.05, ***p<0.001.
D. Flow cytometry assessment of the percentage of Tregs induced after 5-day co-culture of
migrated LC with autologous T resident memory cells (TRMs) extracted from human
epidermis. 5-day cultures of TRMs alone were used as control. Tregs were identified as
CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+FOXP3+ cells. n=5 independent LC donors. **p<0.01.
E. Percentage of IL-10 producing CD4+ cells after co-culture of TRMs with or without
migrated LC. n=8. *p<0.05.

Migration from the epidermis enhances LCs tolerogenic programming.

The induction of tolerance by LC is believed to be critical for maintaining

homeostasis at the skin [9,20,34]. To investigate transcriptional programmes encoding

ability of LCs to induce immunotolerance, we first assembled a tolerogenic DC gene

signature panel, based on literature review (tol 1, Supplementary Table 3). We

confirmed that the signature was significantly enriched in LCs, either at the steady-

state or migrated, with LCs expressing 30/64 genes of the tol1 signature (Figure

4A,B, Supplementary Figure 4A,B). Surprisingly, the signature had significantly

higher enrichment in migrated LCs (tol1= 1.26E-14), compared to steady state LCs,

with 11/30 genes significantly upregulated in migrated LC (p<0.05, logFC>1, Figure

4B,C , Supplementary Table 4).

Figure 4

Figure 4. Migration from the epidermis enhances LCs tolerogenic programming.

A. Venn diagram displaying the number of genes from tolerogenic gene signature 1 (tol 1), curated from literature exploring genes associated with DC or macrophage tolerogenic function, within the whole LC single cell dataset.
B. Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) displaying enrichment of tol 1 in the LC populations. FDR corrected p-values and logFC are displayed.
C. Violin plots and UMAP marker plots displaying the expression of genes within tol 1 amongst steady-state and migrated LCs (FDR corrected p-values <0.01, logFC>1).

LC-induced tolerance is mediated by IDO1 and can be enhanced by immunotherapeutic intervention.

Among all potential mediators of immunotolerance in immunocompetent LCs, *IDO1* was the most extensively expressed in migrated LC, and homogenous in this population. Consistent with the single cell RNA-seq data, the level of IDO1 protein expression was considerably and significantly higher in migrated LCs compared to steady-state LC (Figure 5A, Figure S5A, n=5 independent skin donors, p=0.0002), indicating that this molecule can be critical to migrated LC tolerogenic function. Blocking of IDO1 signalling with NLG-919, an immune checkpoint inhibitor,

significantly impaired LCs ability to expand tolerogenic T cells (Figure 5B,

p=0.0354). Interestingly, interference with IDO1 using epacadostat (EPAC), another

selective inhibitor of tryptophan catabolism was less potent (Figure 5B, p=0.0583).

LCs ability to prime and expand tolerogenic T cells creates an exciting opportunity

for therapeutic interventions. Since steady-state LCs exist in a spectrum of

immunocompetence, with a subpopulation of LCs already poised for tolerance

induction, we hypothesised that *in situ* treatment can further potentiate their

tolerogenic behaviour upon migration. To test this, we treated LCs with

dexamethasone during migration from the epidermis. Indeed, dexamethasone

migrated LCs were significantly more potent in expanding CD25+FOXP3+ Tregs

(Figure 5C, n=4 independent skin donors, p=0.0271) in comparison to their untreated

migrated counterparts. Additionally, CD4+ T cells expanded by migratory DexLCs

(n=5 independent skin donors, p=0.0061) produced more IL10 than untreated

migrated LC (p=0.028), consistent with their tolerogenic phenotype (Figure 5D).

Importantly, the presence of dexamethasone during LC migration further increased

the expression of IDO1 protein and therefore enhanced the tolerogenic LC

phenotype (n=4 independent skin donors, p=0.0142), supporting the importance of

IDO1 for LC tolerogenic function (Figure 5A, Figure S5A).

Figure 5. LC-induced tolerance is mediated by IDO1 and can be enhanced by immunotherapeutic intervention.

A. Flow cytometry assessment of the percentage of IDO1 expression in steady-state LC and migrated LC extracted by 48 hour culture of epidermal sheets with and without 1M dexamethasone. n=5 steady-state and migrated independent LCs, n=4 migrated dexamethasone independent LCs. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

B. Flow cytometry analysis of the percentage of Tregs induced after 5-day co-culture of migrated LC with CD4+ naïve T cells in the presence of IDO1 inhibitors NLG-919 (NLG) and epacadostat (EPAC). 5-day cultures of CD4+ naïve T cells alone were used as control. Tregs were identified as CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+FOXP3+ cells. n=4 independent LC donors. *p<0.05.

C. Flow cytometry assessment of the percentage of Tregs induced after 5-day co-culture of migrated LC with and without dexamethasone stimulation, with CD4+ naive T cells. Tregs were identified as CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+FOXP3+ cells. n=4 independent LC donors. *p<0.05.

D. Flow cytometry analysis of the percentage of CD4+IL10+ T cells after 5-day co-culture of migrated LC with and without dexamethasone stimulation, with CD4+ naïve T cells. 5-day cultures of CD4+ naïve T cells alone were used as control. n=5 independent LC donors. *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

LC tolerogenic function depends on induction of IRF4-regulated

transcriptional programme.

We next sought to identify the mechanisms regulating transcriptional programming of

immunotolerance in LCs. Single cell regulatory network inference analysis (SCENIC)

in steady state and migrated LCs from the same skin donor identified 16 regulons in

the steady state and 26 in migrated LCs (Aibar et al., 2017) (z-score enrichment

>0.4, Figure 6A). In agreement with the observed induction of immunocompetence,

regulons identified in migrated LCs were reported in immune cell activation (*JUND,*
STAT1, RELA, IRF4, Figure 6A) . To identify transcription factors important for the
immune tolerance programming in LCs, 5 transcription factors with the highest
changes in gene expression level were selected for partial information
decomposition analysis in context (Figure 6B PIDC,[35]). PIDC was designed and
benchmarked for GRN inference from single cell RNA-seq data, and is an extended
formalism using multivariate information measures for each triplet of gene in the
context of every cell in the dataset. However, since the information flow in a GRN
flows from transcription factors to target genes, which expression transcription
factors regulate, we decided to restrict direction of the edges within the inferred
network, including only interaction edges consistent with the information flow (TF ->
target gene, directional PIDC). The resulting network comprised 70 edges with
weight higher than 1, and when hierarchically organised, predicted distinct regulatory
modules for genes in the tol1 programme (Figure 6C, Supplementary Figure 6A-C).
Interestingly, directional PIDC network analysis indicated combinatorial regulation of
the majority of genes, with a single transcription factor implicated only for 3 targets. 6
target genes and 1 transcription factor were predicted to be regulated by *IRF4.*
Analysis of our existing data from LCs with IRF4 expression edited by CRISPR-Cas9
confirmed, that expression of 3 out of the predicted genes was indeed compromised
in IRF4 knock-down LCs (Figure 6D). Thus, LC migration from the epidermis results
in a switching their transcriptional state resulting in the enhanced expression of a
tolerogenic module that is dependent on *IRF4* regulation and underpins priming of
Treg responses.

Figure 6. LC tolerogenic function depends on induction of IRF4-regulated transcriptional module.

    A. SCENIC regulatory network and inference clustering analysis revealed TF regulons which were enriched in steady-state and migrated LCs. Z-score heatmap of enriched regulons are displayed (z-score>0.4).

    B. Violin plots displaying the transcriptomic expression of TFs identified to be enriched in migrated LCs from SCENIC analysis. UMAP marker plots showing TF regulon enrichment Z-scores in each cell, across the two LC populations are displayed.

    C. Network displaying IRF4 with 6 target genes and 1 transcription factor as predicted by PIDC.

    D. 3 predicted IRF4 regulated genes (*IL4I1*, *LGALS1, LAMTOR1*) were identified to be downregulated in CRISPR-Cas9 IRF4 knock-down LCs.

Discussion

While LC-mediated immunotolerance appears critical for cutaneous and systemic

immune homeostatis, research into molecular mechanisms initiating and maintaining

tolerogenic behaviour in human LCs has been significantly affected by the lack of

appropriate experimental models and limitations of available technologies. Here, we

applied a microfluidic-based single cell and single bead co-encapsulation (Drop-seq,

[22]) followed by high throughput sequencing of individual transcriptomes, to

investigate transcriptional programmes in human LCs. This method allowed us to

document heterogeneity in steady-state LC transcriptomes, displaying a spectrum of

immune activation, previously, to the best of our knowledge, unobserved. Within this

spectrum two subpopulations of steady-state LC could be identified: S1,

characterised by low RNA content and immature state, and S2, which could be

distinguished by high levels of CD86 expression at both mRNA and protein level,

and displayed upregulated expression of antigen presenting genes, T cell co-

stimulatory genes and genes generally associated with innate immune responses.

Surprisingly, this latter population of LCs was characterised by ability to induce a

tolerogenic T cell phenotype. Studies have previously shown that DCs in an

immature and lowly activated state, expressing low levels of antigen presenting and

co-stimulatory molecules, can drive tolerogenic responses by inducing anergy of

antigen-specific T cells and expanding Tregs[36,37]. However, consistent with our

findings, a study by Yamazaki et al. demonstrated that mature CD86High DCs were

able to expand CD4+CD25+ T cells more effectively than CD86Low immature

DCs[38]. Similarly, epicutaneous immunisation of mice increased migratory LC

expression of CD80 and CD86 signature markers of activation, but did not result in

efficient generation of effector memory CD4 T cells[39]. Our results indicate that while

low expression levels of co-stimulatory molecules on immature DC reported by

others may result in impaired generation of T cell activation, induction of tolerance

requires delivering of efficient signal 2 through co-stimulation. Indeed, a study of

transcriptional determinants of tolerogenic and immunogenic states in murine

dendritic cells, highlighted that the DC antigen presentation gene module is overlaid

by an IRF4-dependent regulatory programme in a tolerance-induction setting[40].

Supporting the association between immunocompetence and ability to induce

tolerance, LC migration out of the epidermis resulted in an even greater ability to

induce Tregs than immunocompetent (S2) steady-state LCs. Analysis of single LC

transcriptomes confirmed that migratory LCs are mature and characterised by

upregulation of MHC II molecules, co-stimulatory molecules (CD80 and CD86) and

chemokine receptors (CCR7), indicating readiness for migration to local lymph

nodes, mediating interaction with T cells to promote adaptive immune

responses[41,42,43,19,32,33].

The increase in T cell co-stimulatory genes, such as CD86 and MHC class II genes

in the immunocompetent S2 cluster, and in migrated LCs, suggest that physical

interaction with T cells is necessary for LCs to coordinate Treg induction. Indeed,

CD86 activity itself has been implicated in DC-mediated tolerance induction through

interaction with CTLA4 receptors on T cells[44,45]. However, since LC ability to induce

tolerogenic responses is greatly enhanced with immunocompetence status upon

migration, it is likely to be governed by additional factors complementing immune

activation, the capacity to process and present antigen and interact with T cells via

co-stimulatory molecules. Consistent with this possibility, we observed the presence

of a tolerogenic gene module of 30 genes, including *IDO1*, *LAMTOR1, IL4L1* and

LGALS1, expressed by LCs. Galectin-1 encoded by the *LGALS1* gene has been

shown to promote the generation of tolerogenic DCs and to enable Tr1 type Tregs to

supress Th1- and Th17-mediated inflammation[46,46]. Thus, Galectin-1 secreted by

LCs could function in an autocrine as well as paracrine manner to promote Treg

responses. The enzymes *IL4I1*, a mediator of H_2O_2 production and *HMOX1*, which

degrades haem to carbon monoxide, have been shown to be expressed by DC and

are implicated in the suppression of effector T cell activation and the induction of

Tregs [47–49]. Additionally, *LAMTOR1* is implicated in macrophage polarization towards

an immunoregulatory M2 phenotype [50]. Interestingly, PIDC analyses points to

combinatorial regulation of the tolerogenic transcriptional programme in LCs. This is

in concordance with the current understanding of gene transcription regulation, and

highlights the importance of tolerance for LCs. While IRF4[51,52], KLF6[53] RELB[54,55],

and ELK1[56] have been previously implicated in regulation of immunity or tolerance,

HMGN3 binds to nucleosomes and regultes chromatin organisation [57]. Implication of

its function in LCs are certainly intriguing, and warrant further detailed investigations.

Importantly, analyses of IRF4 CRISPR-Cas9 knock-down in LCs confirm the

dependence of several tolerance-related genes, including *IL4L1* and *LGALS1* and

LAMTOR1 on IRF4. Interestingly, IRF4 did not seem to regulate expression of

IDO1, a classical tolerogenic mediator, which catabolises tryptophan leading to

skewing of T cell differentiation towards Tregs[58] directly. Identified as an interferon

stimulated gene (ISG), IDO1 has been previously associated with DC activation by

IFNs and TNF[59,60] and has been implicated in a number of regulatory feedback loops

in cross-talk with other cell types – e.g. activation of CTLA4 receptors on T cells in

turn induces IDO1 expression in DCs[45]. Two studies in human LCs previously

demonstrated induction of IDO1 steady-state LCs, and its importance for inhibition of

effector T cell proliferation on stimulation with IFN-γ[61] and for FcϵRI signalling[62]. Our

study confirms and extends these findings, highlighting IDO1 as a key regulator of

LC tolerogenic responses induced during LC migration. Consistently, steady-state

LCs, which do not express high levels of IDO1, have limited ability to activate

regulatory T cells, are perhaps sufficient to maintain tolerogenic memory T cells *in*

situ. In contrast, high levels of IDO1 induced by migration promote LC ability to prime

naïve T cells for tolerance towards autoantigens. . Intrestingly, recent study

documents, that IRF4 can form multipartite transcriptional complex with AHR, a well

established inducer of IDO1 [63,64], binding to promoter elements of tolerance

associated genes[65]. High levels of IRF4 expression would thus potentially promote

more efficient AHR action, and increase in IDO1 expression. Induction of *AHR, IRF4*

and *IDO1 axis* upon migration provides a mechanism for inducible IDO1 expression

upon activation, and suggests the existence of a reinforcement loop for *in situ*

tolerogenic responses through AHR-IRF4 signalling. This was previously observed in

other DCs, where kynurenine metabolites, produced during IDO-mediated

catabolism of tryptophan, feedback to AHRs to sustain IDO expression[66,63].

Our extensive analysis of human primary steady-state and migrated LCs indicates

that while LCs with tolerogenic ability exist *in situ*, migration greatly enhances LC

tolerogenic potential. We postulate, that efficient priming of tolerogenic responses by

LCs requires upregulation of a migration-coupled maturation program superimposed

with a tolerance-inducing gene module. While the induction of this tolerogenic

programme in LCs is complex, IRF4 is likely to act as a pivotal switch regulating LC

immune function, and orchestrating complementary modules in LC transcriptional

programming. The enhancement of LC tolerogenic abilities on maturation could be

explored therapeutically to reinstate tolerance in the skin during inflammatory

conditions.

Acknowledgments:
We are grateful to the subjects who participated in this study. We would like to thans
Prof Peter Friedmann for in-depth review of the manuscript. We acknowledge the
use of the IRIDIS High Performance Computing Facility and Flow Cytometry Core
Facilities, together with support services at the University of Southampton. The study

was funded by a Sir Hendy Dale Fellowship from Wellcome Trust, 109377/Z/15/Z.
Development of single cell Drop-Seq technology was funded by MRC grant
MC_PC_15078.
571
572
Authorship Contributions:
MEP, SS and JD: intellectually conceived and wrote the manuscript, planned the
experiments and analysed the results
SS, JD,KC,GP,AV: run functional experiments, flow cytometry, single-cell
sequencing,
AV, JD, PS, JW: developed and optimised scRNA-sequencing
JD, BMA, AV, PS, MEP: analysis and meta-analysis of scRNA-seq data
MEP, MAJ, HS: discussions, data analysis, reviewing of the manuscript
581
582 **Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Authors declare no conflict of interest
583
584 **References**
585

1. Mutyambizi, K., Berger, C. L. & Edelson, R. L. The balance between immunity and

tolerance: the role of Langerhans cells. *Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS* **66**,

831–40 (2009).

2. Deckers, J., Hammad, H. & Hoste, E. Langerhans Cells: Sensing the Environment in

Health and Disease. *Frontiers in Immunology* **9**, 93 (2018).

3. Kubo, A., Nagao, K., Yokouchi, M., Sasaki, H. & Amagai, M. External antigen uptake by

Langerhans cells with reorganization of epidermal tight junction barriers. *Journal of*

Experimental Medicine **206**, 2937–2946 (2009).

4. Polak, M. E. *et al.* CD70-CD27 interaction augments CD8+ T-cell activation by human

epidermal Langerhans cells. *The Journal of investigative dermatology* **132**, 1636–44

(2012).

5. Artyomov, M. N. *et al.* Modular expression analysis reveals functional conservation

between human Langerhans cells and mouse cross-priming dendritic cells. *The Journal*

of experimental medicine **212**, 743–57 (2015).

6. Klechevsky, E. *et al.* Functional specializations of human epidermal Langerhans cells and
CD14+ dermal dendritic cells. *Immunity* **29**, 497–510 (2008).

7. Allan, R. S. *et al.* Epidermal viral immunity induced by CD8alpha+ dendritic cells but not
by Langerhans cells. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **301**, 1925–8 (2003).

8. Ritter, U., Meißner, A., Scheidig, C. & Körner, H. CD8α- and Langerin-negative dendritic
cells, but not Langerhans cells, act as principal antigen-presenting cells in leishmaniasis.
European Journal of Immunology **34**, 1542–1550 (2004).

9. Seneschal, J. *et al.* Human Epidermal Langerhans Cells Maintain Immune Homeostasis in
Skin by Activating Skin Resident Regulatory T Cells. *Immunity* **36**, 873–884 (2012).

10. van der Aar, A. M. G. *et al.* Langerhans Cells Favor Skin Flora Tolerance through Limited
Presentation of Bacterial Antigens and Induction of Regulatory T Cells. *Journal of*
Investigative Dermatology **133**, 1240–1249 (2013).

11. Kitashima, D. Y. *et al.* Langerhans Cells Prevent Autoimmunity via Expansion of
Keratinocyte Antigen-Specific Regulatory T Cells. *EBioMedicine* **27**, 293–303 (2018).

12. Ghigo, C. *et al.* Multicolor fate mapping of Langerhans cell homeostasis. *The Journal of*
experimental medicine **210**, 1657–64 (2013).

13. Hemmi, H. *et al.* Skin antigens in the steady state are trafficked to regional lymph nodes
by transforming growth factor-β1-dependent cells. *International Immunology* **13**, 695–
704 (2001).

14. Yoshino, M., Yamazaki, H., Shultz, L. D. & Hayashi, S.-I. Constant rate of steady-state self-
antigen trafficking from skin to regional lymph nodes. *International Immunology* **18**,
1541–1548 (2006).

15. Idoyaga, J. *et al.* Specialized role of migratory dendritic cells in peripheral tolerance
induction. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **123**, 844–54 (2013).

16. Dioszeghy, V. *et al.* Epicutaneous Immunotherapy Results in Rapid Allergen Uptake by

Dendritic Cells through Intact Skin and Downregulates the Allergen-Specific Response in

Sensitized Mice. *The Journal of Immunology* **186**, 5629–5637 (2011).

17. Dioszeghy, V. *et al.* Differences in phenotype, homing properties and suppressive

activities of regulatory T cells induced by epicutaneous, oral or sublingual

immunotherapy in mice sensitized to peanut. *Cellular & Molecular Immunology* **14**, 770–

782 (2017).

18. Gomez de Agüero, M. *et al.* Langerhans cells protect from allergic contact dermatitis in

mice by tolerizing CD8(+) T cells and activating Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells. *The Journal of*

clinical investigation **122**, 1700–11 (2012).

19. Polak, M. E. *et al.* Distinct Molecular Signature of Human Skin Langerhans Cells Denotes

Critical Differences in Cutaneous Dendritic Cell Immune Regulation. *Journal of*

Investigative Dermatology **134**, 695–703 (2014).

20. Clayton, K., Vallejo, A. F., Davies, J., Sirvent, S. & Polak, M. E. Langerhans Cells—

Programmed by the Epidermis. *Frontiers in Immunology* **8**, 1676 (2017).

21. Duluc, D. *et al. Transcriptional fingerprints of antigen-presenting cell subsets in the*

human vaginal mucosa and skin reflect tissue-specific immune microenvironments.

(2014) doi:10.1186/s13073-014-0098-y.

22. Macosko, E. Z. *et al.* Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells

Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* **161**, 1202–1214 (2015).

23. Bacher, R. *et al.* SCnorm: robust normalization of single-cell RNA-seq data. *Nat Methods*

14, 584–586 (2017).

24. McCarthy, D. J., Campbell, K. R., Lun, A. T. L. & Wills, Q. F. Scater: pre-processing, quality

control, normalization and visualization of single-cell RNA-seq data in R. *Bioinformatics*

347, btw777 (2017).

25. Jenkins, D., Faits, T., Khan, M., Carrasco Pro, S. & Johnson, W. singleCellTK: Interactive

Analysis of Single Cell RNA-Seq Data. (2018).

26. Satija, R., Farrell, J. A., Gennert, D., Schier, A. F. & Regev, A. Spatial reconstruction of

single-cell gene expression data. *Nature Biotechnology* **33**, 495–502 (2015).

27. Ritchie, M. E. *et al.* limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing

and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Research* **43**, e47–e47 (2015).

28. Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: Large-scale single-cell gene expression

data analysis. *Genome Biology* **19**, 15 (2018).

29. Lun, A. T. L. *et al.* EmptyDrops: Distinguishing cells from empty droplets in droplet-based

single-cell RNA sequencing data. *Genome Biology* **20**, 63 (2019).

30. Lun, A. T. L., Bach, K. & Marioni, J. C. Pooling across cells to normalize single-cell RNA

sequencing data with many zero counts. *Genome Biology* **17**, 75 (2016).

31. Hänzelmann, S., Castelo, R. & Guinney, J. GSVA: gene set variation analysis for

microarray and RNA-seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **14**, 7 (2013).

32. Polak, M. *et al.* Genomic programming of antigen cross-presentation in IRF4-expressing

human Langerhans cells. *bioRxiv* (2019) doi:10.1101/541383.

33. Sirvent, S. *et al.* Genomic programming of IRF4-expressing human Langerhans cells.

Nature Communications **Pre-print**, (2019).

34. Deckers, J., Hammad, H. & Hoste, E. Langerhans Cells: Sensing the Environment in

Health and Disease. *Front Immunol* **9**, 93 (2018).

35. Chan, T. E., Stumpf, M. P. H. & Babtie, A. C. Gene Regulatory Network Inference from

Single-Cell Data Using Multivariate Information Measures. *Cell Syst* **5**, 251-267.e3

(2017).

36. Mahnke, K., Schmitt, E., Bonifaz, L., Enk, A. H. & Jonuleit, H. Immature, but not inactive:

the tolerogenic function of immature dendritic cells. *Immunology and Cell Biology* **80**,

477–483 (2002).

37. Hasegawa, H. & Matsumoto, T. Mechanisms of Tolerance Induction by Dendritic Cells In

Vivo. *Frontiers in immunology* **9**, 350 (2018).

38. Yamazaki, S. *et al.* Direct Expansion of Functional CD25 CD4 Regulatory T Cells by

Antigen-processing Dendritic Cells. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine J. Exp. Med.* ▯

The **198**, 235–247 (2003).

39. Shklovskaya, E. *et al.* Langerhans cells are precommitted to immune tolerance induction.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **108**,

18049–54 (2011).

40. Vander Lugt, B. *et al.* Transcriptional determinants of tolerogenic and immunogenic

states during dendritic cell maturation. *The Journal of cell biology* **216**, 779–792 (2017).

41. Shklovskaya, E., Roediger, B. & Fazekas de St Groth, B. Epidermal and dermal dendritic

cells display differential activation and migratory behavior while sharing the ability to

stimulate CD4+ T cell proliferation in vivo. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md.▯:*

1950) **181**, 418–30 (2008).

42. Villablanca, E. J. & Mora, J. R. A two-step model for Langerhans cell migration to skin-

draining LN. *European Journal of Immunology* **38**, 2975–2980 (2008).

43. Cumberbatch, M., Dearman, R. J., Griffiths, C. E. M. & Kimber, I. Langerhans cell

migration. Experimental dermatology . Review article. *Clinical and Experimental*

Dermatology **25**, 413–418 (2000).

44. Mellor, A. L. *et al.* Specific subsets of murine dendritic cells acquire potent T cell

regulatory functions following CTLA4-mediated induction of indoleamine 2,3

dioxygenase. *International Immunology* **16**, 1391–1401 (2004).

45. Obregon, C., Kumar, R., Pascual, M. A., Vassalli, G. & Golshayan, D. Update on Dendritic

Cell-Induced Immunological and Clinical Tolerance. *Frontiers in Immunology* **8**, 1514

(2017).

46. Martinez Allo, V. C. *et al.* Suppression of age-related salivary gland autoimmunity by

glycosylation-dependent galectin-1-driven immune inhibitory circuits. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

U S A (2020) doi:10.1073/pnas.1922778117.

47. Wong, T.-H., Chen, H.-A., Gau, R.-J., Yen, J.-H. & Suen, J.-L. Heme Oxygenase-1-

Expressing Dendritic Cells Promote Foxp3+ Regulatory T Cell Differentiation and Induce

Less Severe Airway Inflammation in Murine Models. *PLoS One* **11**, e0168919 (2016).

48. Boulland, M.-L. *et al.* Human IL4I1 is a secreted L-phenylalanine oxidase expressed by

mature dendritic cells that inhibits T-lymphocyte proliferation. *Blood* **110**, 220–227

(2007).

49. Lasoudris, F. *et al.* IL4I1: an inhibitor of the CD8^{+} antitumor T-cell response in vivo. *Eur J*

Immunol **41**, 1629–1638 (2011).

50. Kimura, T. *et al.* Polarization of M2 macrophages requires Lamtor1 that integrates

cytokine and amino-acid signals. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13130 (2016).

51. Sirvent, S. *et al.* Genomic programming of IRF4-expressing human Langerhans cells. *Nat*

Commun **11**, 313 (2020).

52. Vander Lugt, B. *et al.* Transcriptional determinants of tolerogenic and immunogenic

states during dendritic cell maturation. *J Cell Biol* **216**, 779–792 (2017).

53. Date, D. *et al.* Kruppel-like transcription factor 6 regulates inflammatory macrophage

polarization. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 10318–10329 (2014).

54. Azukizawa, H. *et al.* Steady state migratory RelB+ langerin+ dermal dendritic cells

mediate peripheral induction of antigen-specific CD4+ CD25+ Foxp3+ regulatory T cells.

Eur J Immunol **41**, 1420–1434 (2011).

55. Clark, G. J., Gunningham, S., Troy, A., Vuckovic, S. & Hart, D. N. Expression of the RelB

transcription factor correlates with the activation of human dendritic cells. *Immunology*

98, 189–196 (1999).

56. Maurice, D., Costello, P., Sargent, M. & Treisman, R. ERK Signaling Controls Innate-like

CD8(+) T Cell Differentiation via the ELK4 (SAP-1) and ELK1 Transcription Factors. *J*

Immunol **201**, 1681–1691 (2018).

57. West, K. L. *et al.* HMGN3a and HMGN3b, two protein isoforms with a tissue-specific

expression pattern, expand the cellular repertoire of nucleosome-binding proteins. *J Biol*

Chem **276**, 25959–25969 (2001).

58. Curti, A., Trabanelli, S., Salvestrini, V., Baccarani, M. & Lemoli, R. M. The role of

indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in the induction of immune tolerance: focus on

hematology. *Blood* **113**, 2394–2401 (2009).

59. Mellor, A. L., Lemos, H. & Huang, L. Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase and Tolerance: Where

Are We Now? *Frontiers in Immunology* **8**, 1360 (2017).

60. Braun, D., Longman, R. S. & Albert, M. L. A two-step induction of indoleamine 2,3

dioxygenase (IDO) activity during dendritic-cell maturation. *Blood* **106**, 2375–2381

(2005).

61. von Bubnoff, D. *et al.* Human Epidermal Langerhans Cells Express the Immunoregulatory

Enzyme Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* **123**, 298–

304 (2004).

62. Koch, S. *et al.* AhR mediates an anti-inflammatory feedback mechanism in human

Langerhans cells involving FcεRI and IDO. *Allergy* **72**, 1686–1693 (2017).

63. Nguyen, N. T. *et al.* Aryl hydrocarbon receptor negatively regulates dendritic cell

immunogenicity via a kynurenine-dependent mechanism. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences **107**, 19961–19966 (2010).

64. Vogel, C. F. A., Goth, S. R., Dong, B., Pessah, I. N. & Matsumura, F. Aryl hydrocarbon

receptor signaling mediates expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. *Biochem*

Biophys Res Commun **375**, 331–335 (2008).

65. Tousa, S. *et al.* Activin-A co-opts IRF4 and AhR signaling to induce human regulatory T

cells that restrain asthmatic responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E2891–E2900

(2017).

66. Li, Q., Harden, J. L., Anderson, C. D. & Egilmez, N. K. Tolerogenic Phenotype of IFN-γ-

Induced IDO+ Dendritic Cells Is Maintained via an Autocrine IDO-Kynurenine/AhR-IDO

Loop. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md.⊡: 1950)* **197**, 962–70 (2016).

756
757
758
759

761 # Langerhans cells immunocompetency is critical for IDO1-
762 # dependent ability to induce tolerogenic T cells.
763

764 **James Davies#[1], Sofia Sirvent#[1], Andres F. Vallejo[1], Kalum**
765 **Clayton[1], Gemma Porter[1], Patrick Stumpf[2], Jonathan West[3,4],**
766 **Michael Ardern-Jones[1], Harinder Singh[5], Ben MacArthur[3,4], Marta E**
767 **Polak[1,4]**

768
769
770 **Supplementary Table 1. Trypsinised steady-state LC and TolMoDC DEGs**
771 DEGs comparing trypsined steady-state LC to unstimulated MoDC (GSE23618) and
772 TolMoDC to unstimulated MoDC (GSE52894) were indentified using Limma (FDR
773 corrected p-value<0.05, logFC>1). Biological pathways associated with DEGs were
774 identified in Toppgene (FDR corrected p-value<0.05).
775
776 **Supplementary Table 2. Steady state LC DEG analysis**
777 DEGs comparing steady-state S1 and S2 LC using Limma (FDR corrected p-
778 value<0.05, logFC>1). Biological pathways associated with DEGs were identified in
779 Toppgene (FDR corrected p-value<0.05).
780
781 **Supplementary Table 4. Tolerogenic DC gene signature 1.**
782 Literature reviews and experimental papers referencing genes associated with DC
783 and macrophage tolerogenic function were summarised into a 64 gene signature.
784
785 **Supplementary Table 3. Migrated and Steady state LC DEG analysis**
786 DEGs comparing migrated and steady-state LC using Limma (FDR corrected p-
787 value<0.05, logFC>1). Biological pathways associated with DEGs were identified in
788 Toppgene (FDR corrected p-value<0.05).
789
790
791
792

793
794

795 **Supplementary Figures**
796

797
798
Figure S1. Steady state LCs exist in a spectrum of immune activation from immaturity
to immunocompetency
A. Biological pathways identified from gene ontology analysis (ToppGene) of 86s DEGs
upregulated in trypsinised steady-state LC compared unstimulated MoDC (GSE23618)
and 171 DEGs upregulated in Dexamethasone and Vitamin D3 treated MoDC
(TolMoDC) compared to unstimulated MoDC (GSE52894) identified using Limma (FDR
corrected p-value<0.05, logFC>1). -log(10) FDR corrected p-values are displayed.
B. Venn diagram displaying crossover between upregulated DEGs identified comparing in
trypsinised steady-state LC to unstimulated MoDC (GSE23618), and TolMoDC to
unstimulated MoDC (GSE52894).
809

811
812
813
Figure S2. Steady state immunocompetent LCs are superior at inducing FOXP3+
Tregs
A. UMAP markers plots displaying *CD207* and *IL1B* expression amongst the steady-state
LC population displaying low (grey) to high (dark red) SCnorm normalised expression.
B. Gating strategy for investigating the quantity of CD3+CD4+CD127-CD25+FOXP3+
Tregs after co-culture of CD4 naïve T cells with LC for 5-days.
820
821

822
823
Figure S3. Migration enhances tolerogenic abilities of immunocompetent LCs
A. Gating strategy for investigating CFSE labelled PBMC proliferation, selecting for CD4+
and CD8+ T cell populations. CFSE measurement gating was applied to responder cell
population only, excluding unlabelled CFSE negative Tregs.
B. Gating strategy for investigating the quantity of Tregs induced after co-culture of
autologous TRMs with migrated LC for 5-days.
830
831
832
833

Supplementary Figure 4.

Figure S4. Migration from the epidermis enhances LCs tolerogenic programming.

A. UMAP markers plots displaying steady state (blue) and migrated (orange) LC. SCnorm normalised expression.

B. Trackplot of all 30 genes from the tol 1 signature which are within the whole LC single cell transcriptomic dataset.

846
847
848 **Figure S5. LC-induced tolerance is mediated by IDO1 can be enhanced by**
849 **immunotherapeutic intervention.**
850 A. Gating strategy to define the percentage of IDO1 expression in steady-state LC,
851   migrated, and dexamethasone migrated LC. n=5 steady-state and migrated LC
852   experiments, n=4 migrated dexamethasone experiments.
853
854

856
Figure S6. LC tolerogenic function depends on induction of IRF4-regulated
transcriptional module.
A. PIDC network graph comprising 70 edges with weight >1, hierarchically organized,
displaying predicted regulatory modules for the top 5 enriched TFs with genes within the tol
1 signature.
B. Violin plots displaying the transcriptomic expression of migrated LC upregulated TFs
(FDR corrected p-values<0.01, logFC>1) identified to be enriched in migrated LCs from
SCENIC analysis (z-score>0.4). UMAP marker plots showing TF regulon enrichment Z-
scores in each cell, across the two LC populations are displayed alongside.
C. Violin plots displaying the transcriptomic expression of steady-state LC upregulated TFs
(FDR corrected p-values<0.01, logFC>1) identified to be enriched in steady-state LCs from
SCENIC analysis (z-score>0.4). UMAP marker plots showing TF regulon enrichment Z-
scores in each cell, across the steady-state and migrated LC populations and datasets (D1-
D4) are displayed alongside.