The interplay between host genetics and the gut microbiome reveals common

and distinct microbiome features for human complex diseases

Fengzhe Xu[1#], Yuanqing Fu[1#], Ting-yu Sun[2], Zengliang Jiang[1,3], Zelei Miao[1], Menglei

Shuai[1], Wanglong Gou[1], Chu-wen Ling[2], Jian Yang[4,5], Jun Wang[6*], Yu-ming Chen[2*],

Ju-Sheng Zheng[1,3,7*]

[#]These authors contributed equally to the work

[1] School of Life Sciences, Westlake University, Hangzhou, China.

[2] Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food, Nutrition and Health; Department

of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou,

China.

[3] Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study,

Hangzhou, China.

[4] Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD,

Australia.

[5] Institute for Advanced Research, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang

325027, China

[6] CAS Key Laboratory for Pathogenic Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of

Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

[7] MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

Short title: Interplay between and host genetics and gut microbiome

*Correspondence to

Prof Ju-Sheng Zheng

School of Life Sciences, Westlake University,18 Shilongshan Rd, Cloud Town,

Hangzhou, China. Tel: +86 (0)57186915303. Email: zhengjusheng@westlake.edu.cn

And

Prof Yu-Ming Chen

Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food, Nutrition and Health; Department of

Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.

Email: chenyum@mail.sysu.edu.cn

And

Prof Jun Wang

CAS Key Laboratory for Pathogenic Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of

Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

Email: junwang@im.ac.cn

Abstract

There is increasing interest about the interplay between host genetics and gut microbiome on human complex diseases, with prior evidence mainly derived from animal models. In addition, the shared and distinct microbiome features among human complex diseases remain largely unclear. We performed a microbiome genome-wide association study to identify host genetic variants associated with gut microbiome in a Chinese population with 1475 participants. We then conducted bi-directional Mendelian randomization analyses to examine the potential causal associations between gut microbiome and human complex diseases. We found that *Saccharibacteria* (also known as *TM7* phylum) could potentially improve renal function by affecting renal function biomarkers (i.e., creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate). In contrast, atrial fibrillation, chronic kidney disease and prostate cancer, as predicted by the host genetics, had potential causal effect on gut microbiome. Further disease-microbiome feature analysis suggested that gut microbiome features revealed novel relationship among human complex diseases. These results suggest that different human complex diseases share common and distinct gut microbiome features, which may help re-shape our understanding about the disease etiology in humans.

Introduction

Ever-increasing evidence has suggested that gut microbiome is involved in many physiological processes, such as energy harvest, immune response, and neurological function[1-3]. With successes of investigation into the clinical application of fecal transplants, modulation of gut microbiome has emerged as a potential treatment option for some complex diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease and colorectal cancer [4,5]. However, it is still unclear whether the gut microbiome has the potential to be clinically applied for the prevention or treatment of many other complex diseases. Therefore, it is important to clarify the bi-directional causal association between gut microbiome and human complex diseases or traits.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a method that uses genetic variants as instrumental variables to investigate the causality between an exposure and outcome in observational studies[6]. Prior literature provides evidence that the composition or structure of the gut microbiome can be influenced by the host genetics[7-10]. On the other hand, host genetic variants associated with gut microbiome were rarely explored in Asian populations, thus we are still lacking instrument variables to perform MR for gut microbiome in Asians. This calls for novel microbiome genome-wide association study (GWAS) in Asian populations.

Along with the causality issue between the gut microbiome and human complex diseases, it is so far unclear whether human complex diseases had similar or unique

gut microbiome features. Identifying common and distinct gut microbiome features

across different diseases might shed light on novel relationships among the complex

diseases and update our understanding about the disease etiology in humans. However,

the composition and structure of gut microbiome are influenced by a variety of factors

including environment, diet and regional variation [11-13], which posed a key challenge

for the description of representative microbiome features for a specific disease.

Although there were several studies comparing disease-related gut microbiome [14-16],

few of them has examined and compared the microbiome features across different

human complex diseases.

In the present study, we performed a microbiome GWAS in a Chinese cohort study:

the Guangzhou Nutrition and Health Study (GNHS)[17], including 1475 participants.

Subsequently, we applied a bi-directional MR method to explore the genetically

predicted relationship between gut microbiome and human complex diseases. To

explore novel relationships among human complex diseases based on gut microbiome,

we investigated the shared and distinct gut microbiome features across diverse human

complex diseases [18].

Result

Overview of the study

Our study was based on the GNHS, with 4048 participants (40-75 years old) living in

urban Guangzhou city recruited during 2008 and 2013 [17]. In the GNHS, stool samples

were collected among 1937 participants during follow-up visits, among which 1475

unrelated participants without taking anti-biotics were included in our discovery

microbiome GWAS. We then included additional 199 participants with both genetic

and gut microbiome data as a replication cohort, which belonged to the control arm of

a case-control study of hip fracture in Guangdong Province, China [19].

For both discovery and replication cohorts, genotyping was carried out with Illumina

ASA-750K arrays. Quality control and relatedness filters were performed by

PLINK1.9 [20]. We conducted the genome-wide genotype imputation with 1000

Genomes Phase3 v5 reference panel by Minimac3 [21-23]. HLA region was imputed with

Pan-Asian reference panel and SNP2HLA v1.0.3 [24-26].

Association of host genetics with gut microbiome features

We performed a series of microbiome GWAS with PLINK 1.9 based on logistic

models for binary variables [20]. For continuous variables, we used GCTA with mixed

linear model-based association (MLMA) method [27,28]. We also analyzed categorical

variable enterotypes of the participants based on genus-level relative abundance of gut

microbiome, using the Jensen-Shannon Distance (JSD) and the Partitioning Around

Medoids (PAM) clustering algorithm [29]. The participants were subsequently clustered

into two groups according to the enterotypes (*Prevotella* vs *Bacteroides*). Thereafter,

we performed GWAS for enterotypes using logistic regression model to explore

potential associations between host genetics and enterotypes. However, we did not

find any genome-wide significant locus with $p<5\times10^{-8}$. Furthermore, we used a

restricted maximum likelihood analysis (REML) with GCTA to estimate the

SNP-based heritability, and the estimate heritability of the enterotype was 0.055

(SE=0.19, Supplementary Table S2) [30].

To examine the association of host genetic variants with alpha diversity, we performed

GWAS for three indices (Shannon diversity index, Chao1 diversity indices and

observed OTUs index), but again no genome-wide significant signal ($p<5\times10^{-8}$) was

found. In the discovery cohort, the heritability of alpha diversity ranged from 0.054 to

0.14 (SE=0.20 for all indices, Supplementary Table S2). To further investigate if there

is host genetic basis underlying alpha diversity, we constructed a polygenic score for

each alpha diversity indicator in the replication cohort, using the genetic variants

which showed suggestive significance ($p<5\times10^{-5}$) in the discovery GWAS. The

polygenic score was not significantly associated with its corresponding alpha diversity

index in our replication cohort. Meanwhile, none of the associations with alpha

diversity indices reported in the literature could be replicated (Supplementary Table

S7) [7].

We performed a beta diversity GWAS using a tool called MicrobiomeGWAS [31], and

found that one locus at *SMARCA2* gene (rs6475456) was associated with

beta-diversity at a genome-wide significance level ($p=3.96\times10^{-9}$). However, we could

not replicate the results in the replication cohort, which may be due to the limited

sample size of the replication cohort. In addition, prior literature had reported 73

genetic variants that were associated with beta diversity [8,13,32,33], among which we

found that 3 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP, *UHRF2* gene-rs563779, *LHFPL3*

gene-rs12705241, *CTD-2135J3.4*-rs11986935) had nominal significant (p<0.05)

association with beta-diversity in our cohort (Supplementary Table S6), although none

of the association survived Bonferroni correction. These studies used various methods

for the sequencing and calculation of beta diversity, which raised challenges to verify

and extrapolate results across populations.

We then took the genetic loci reported to be associated with individual taxa in prior

studies [7,8,13,33] for replication in our GNHS dataset. Although there are still some

signals with nominal significance (p<0.05) in our study (e.g., 7 loci associated with

Lachnospiraceae, *Coprococcus* or *Bacteroides* with p<0.05; Supplementary Table S5),

none of the associations of these genetic variants with taxa survived the Bonferroni

correction ($p<1\times10^{-4}$). The null results may be because of various clustering

similarities, classifiers or reference databases to annotate taxa and different

sequencing methods used in these studies.

We subsequently performed GWAS discovery for individual gut microbes in our own

GNHS discovery dataset. For the taxa present at more than ninety percent of

participants and alpha diversity, we used Z-score normalization to transform the

distribution and carried out analysis based on a log-normal model. A MLMA test in

the GCTA was used to assess the association, with the first five principal components,

age, sex and sequencing batch fitted as fixed effects and the effects of all the SNPs

fitted as random effects [27,28,34]. For other taxa present at fewer than ninety percent, we

transformed the absence/presence of the taxon into binary variables and used

PLINK1.9 to perform a logistic model, adjusted for the first five principal components,

age, sex and sequencing batch.

For all the gut microbiome taxa, the significant threshold was defined as 5×10^{-8} in the

discovery stage. As some taxa were correlated with each other, we also used an

eigendecomposition analysis to calculate the effective number of independent taxa on

each taxonomy level (phylum level: 2.3, class level: 2.9, order level: 2.9, family level:

5.5, genus level: 5.6, species level: 3.2) [35,36]. We found that 6 taxa were associated

with host genetic variants in the discovery cohort ($p<5\times10^{-8}/n$, n is the effective

number of independent taxa on each taxonomy level, Supplementary Table S4);

however, these associations were not significant ($p>0.05$) in the replication cohort. We

then used a threshold of $p<5\times10^{-5}$ at the GWAS discovery stage to incorporate

additional genetic variants which may explain a larger proportion of heritability for

taxa, based on which we constructed a polygenic score for each taxon in the

replication. We found that the polygenic scores were significantly associated with 5

taxa including *Saccharibacteria* (also known as *TM7* phylum), *Clostridiaceae*,

Comamonadaceae, *Klebsiella* and *D168* species (belong to *Desulfovibrio* genus) in

the replication set ($p<0.05$, Methods, see also Figure 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E).

Genetic correlation of gut microbiome and traits

We used GCTA to perform a bivariate GREML (genomic-relatedness-based restricted

maximum-likelihood) analysis to estimate the genetic correlation between gut

microbiome and traits in the GNHS [27,37]. The traits included BMI, fasting blood sugar

(FBS), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic

blood pressure (DBP), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density

lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), total cholesterol (TC) and triglyceride (TG), none of

which could pass Bonferroni correction. Additionally, HDL-C was the only trait that

had nominal genetic correlation (p<0.05) with gut microbes (specifically,

Desulfovibrionaceae and *[Prevotella]*, Supplementary Table S3).

Bi-directional assessment of the genetically predicted association between gut

microbiome and complex diseases/traits

Using genetic variants-composed polygenic scores as genetic instruments, we

performed MR analysis to assess the putative causal effect of microbiome

(*Saccharibacteria*, *Clostridiaceae*, *Comamonadaceae*, *Klebsiella* and *D168* species)

on human complex diseases or traits. Inverse variance weighted (IVW) method was

used for the MR analysis, while other three methods (Weighted median, MR-Egger

and MR-PRESSO) [38,39] were applied to confirm the robustness of results. The

horizontal pleiotropy was assessed using MR-PRESSO Global test and MR-Egger

Regression. For the analysis of gut microbiome on complex traits, we downloaded

public available GWAS summary statistics of complex traits (n=58) and diseases

(type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), atrial fibrillation (AF), colorectal cancer (CRC)

and prostatic cancer (PCa)) reported by BioBank Japan [40-44]. The result suggested that

Saccharibacteria could potentially decrease the concentration of serum creatinine

(p=0.008, Supplementary Table S9) and increase estimated glomerular filtration

rate(eGFR)(p=0.003, Supplementary Table S9), which helps improve renal function.

We did not find evidence of pleiotropic effect: genetic variants associated with

Saccharibacteria were not associated with any of the above traits (58 complex traits

and 4 disease outcomes, p<0.05/62). These taxa were not causally associated with

other human complex diseases or traits in our MR analyses, which may be due to the

limited genetic instruments discovered in our present study.

We subsequently performed a reserve MR analysis to assess the potential causal effect

of human complex diseases on gut microbiome features. For the reserve MR analyses,

the diseases of interests included T2DM, AF, coronary artery disease (CAD), chronic

kidney disease (CKD), Alzheimer's disease (AD), CRC and PCa, and their

instrumental variables for the MR analysis were based on the previous large-scale

GWAS in East Asians[40,45-50]. The results suggested that AF and CKD were causally

associated with gut microbiome (See also Figure 2A, 2B, Supplementary Table S10).

Genetically predicted higher risk of AF was associated with lower abundance of

Coprophilus, *Lachnobacterium*, *Barnesiellaceae*, *Veillonellaceae* and *Mitsuokella*,

and higher abundance of *Alcaligenaceae*. Additionally, genetically predicted higher

risk of CKD could increase *Anaerostipes* abundance and higher risk of PCa could

decrease *[Prevotella]*.

To further investigate the potential complex diseases that may be correlated with the

taxa affected by AF, we applied Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by

Reconstruction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt) to predict the disease pathway

abundance [51]. We used Spearman's rank-order correlation to test whether 22 human

complex diseases were associated with the aforementioned AF-associated taxa (See

also Figure 2C). The heatmap indicated that cancers and neurodegenerative diseases

including Parkinson's disease (PD), AD, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) as well

as AF were correlated with similar gut microbiome. Although the association among

these diseases are highly supported by previous studies [52-54], no study has compared

common gut microbiome features across these different diseases.

Microbiome features of human complex diseases

To compare gut microbiome features across human diseases, we chose 22 human

complex diseases from predicted abundance and performed k-medoids clustering [18].

We used an m × n matrix to perform the cluster analysis, where m is the number of

participants and n is the number of selected diseases. According to optimum average

silhouette width [55], we chose optimal number of clusters for further analysis (See also

Figure 3A). The plot showed that neurological diseases including ALS and AD

belonged to the same cluster, while PD and CRC had much similarity in gut

microbiome. The results also suggested that systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and

chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) shared similar gut microbiome features. Moreover,

we could replicate these clusters in our replication cohort, which suggested that the

clustering results were robust (See also Figure 3B).

We further asked whether gut microbiome contributed to the novel clustering. To this

end, we repeated the analysis among participants who took antibiotic less than two

weeks before stool sample collection, considering that antibiotic treatments were

believed to cause microbiome imbalance, and the clusters were totally different in this

group (See also Figure 3C). The results indicated a totally different clustering, which

suggested that gut microbiome indeed contributed to the correlations among diseases.

To further demonstrate common microbiome features across different diseases, we

examined the correlation of the predicted diseases with genus-level taxa. The results

showed that human complex diseases had shared similar gut microbiome features, as

well as distinct features on their own (See also Figure 4).

To validate the accuracy of the association between the predicted disease-related gut

microbiome features and the corresponding disease, we used T2DM as an example,

examining the association of predicted T2DM-related microbiome features with

T2DM risk in our GNHS samples. We constructed a microbiome risk score (MRS)

based on 16 selected taxa with predicted correlation coefficient with T2DM greater

than 0.2. A logistic regression was used to examine the above MRS with T2DM risk

in the GNHS (n=1886, with 217 T2DM cases). The results showed that higher MRS

was associated with lower risk of T2DM (odds ratio: 0.850, 95% confidence interval:

0.804 to 0.898, p=8.75×10^{-9}).

Based on the above results, we proposed a hypothesis that related diseases might

share similar gut microbiome features. To test for this hypothesis, we performed

validation analysis by including GNHS participants who had one of the following

self-reported diseases: stroke (n=8), chronic hepatitis (n=19), coronary heart diseases

(CHD) (n=40), cataract (n=124) and insomnia (n=68). The results of k-medoids

clustering suggested that CHD, cataract and insomnia shared common gut

microbiome features, which was supported by the prior research reporting that both

patients suffering insomnia and women receiving cataract extraction had increased

risks of CHD [56-58].

Discussion

Our study is among the first to investigate the host genetics-gut microbiome

association in East Asian populations and reveals that several microbiome species

(e.g., *Saccharibacteria* and *Klebsiella*) are influenced by host genetics. We then show

that *Saccharibacteria* might causally improve renal function by affecting renal

function biomarkers (i.e., creatinine and eGFR). On the other hand, complex diseases

such as atrial fibrillation, chronic kidney disease and prostate cancer, have potential

causal effect on gut microbiome. More interestingly, our results indicate that different

human complex diseases may be mechanically correlated by sharing common gut

microbiome features, but also maintaining their own distinct microbiome features.

Previous studies and our study showed that gut microbiome had an inclination to be

influenced by host genetics [8,10,33,59], although the successful replication tends to be

rare. We could not validate any of the reported genetic variants that were significantly

associated with gut microbiome features in prior reports, which may reflect the

difference in population and heterogeneity between study but also raise concerns

about the reproducibility. Many factors including ethnic differences,

gene-environment interaction and dissimilarity in sequencing methods may make it

hard to extrapolate results from microbiome GWAS across populations in the

microbiome field. Nevertheless, we successfully replicate several polygenic scores of

gut microbiome, and the current study represent the largest dataset in Asian

populations and would be a unique resource to be used in large-scale trans-ethnic

meta-analysis of microbiome GWAS in future.

The MR analysis of gut microbiome on diseases or traits found that *Saccharibacteria*

might decrease the concentration of serum creatinine and increase eGFR. Little is

known about the *Saccharibacteria* as one of the uncultivated phyla, and it might be

essential for the immune response, oral inflammation and inflammatory bowel disease

as shown previously[60-62]. Our results also provide genetic instrument of

Saccharibacteria for further causal analysis with other complex diseases. The reverse

MR analysis provided evidence that AF, CKD and PCa could causally influence gut

microbiome. As our study is among the first to investigate gene-microbiome

association in East Asians, we need further study in this region to confirm our results.

Additionally, rare and low-frequency variants may have an important impact on

common diseases[63], thus it will be of interest to clarify the effects of low-frequency

variants on gut microbiome in cohorts with large sample sizes in future.

Our results indicate that gut microbiome helps reveal novel and interesting

relationships among human complex diseases, suggesting that different diseases may

have common and distinct gut microbiome features. A prior study including

participants from different countries identified three microbiome clusters[29]. Notably,

this study focused on classifying the individuals into distinct enterotypes regardless of

the individuals' health status, while in the present study we described representative

microbiome features for diseases of interest. We provide an approach to interpret the

data from mechanistic studies based on microbiome. The microbiome features

revealed a close association of AF with neurodegenerative diseases as well as cancers,

which was supported by prior studies showing that AF had correlation with AD and

PD[52,53], and AF patients had relatively higher risks of several cancers including lung

cancer and CRC[54,64]. We also observed that microbiome features of SLE and CML

were highly similar. Interestingly, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor of platelet-derived

growth factor receptor, imatinib, was widely used to treat CML and significantly

ameliorated survival in murine lupus autoimmune disease[65]. In addition, association

between CRC and PD has been reported in several observational cohorts[66,67].

Collectively, these findings strongly support our hypothesis that human complex

diseases sharing similar microbiome features might be mechanically correlated.

Furthermore, from the perspectives of risk genes of AF and neurodegenerative

diseases, previous GWAS for AF have identified two loci at *PITX2* gene-rs6843082

and *C9orf3* gene-rs7026071, which were also associated with the risk of ALS

(p=0.0138 and p=0.049, respectively) [68-70].

In summary, we perform bi-directional MR analyses and reveal some causal

relationships between abundance of gut microbiome and human complex diseases or

traits. The disease and gut microbiome feature analysis from population studies

reveals novel relationships among human complex diseases, which may help re-shape

our understanding about the disease etiology, as well as extending clinical indications

of existing drugs for different diseases.

Method

Study participants and sample collection

Our study was based on the Guangzhou Nutrition and Health Study (GNHS), with

4048 participants (40-75 years old) living in urban Guangzhou city recruited during

2008 and 2013 [17]. We followed up participants every three years. In the GNHS, stool

samples were collected among 1937 participants during follow-up visits. Among

those with stool samples, 1717 participants had genetic data and 1475 participants

with identical by decent (IBD) less than 0.185.

We included 199 participants with both genetic and gut microbiome data as a

replication cohort, which belonged to the control arm of a case-control study of hip

fracture with the participants (52-83 years old) recruited between June 2009 and

August 2015 in Guangdong Province, China [19].

Blood samples of all participants were collected after an overnight fasting and buffy

coat was separated from whole blood and stored at -80℃. Stool samples were

collected during the on-site visit of the participants at Sun Yat-sen University. All

samples were manually stirred, separated into tubes and stored at -80℃ within four

hours.

Genotyping data

For both discovery and replicattion cohorts, DNA was extracted from leukocyte using

the TIANamp® Blood DNA Kit as per the manufacturer's instruction. DNA

concentrations were determined using the Qubit quantification system (Thermo

Scientific, Wilmington, DE, US). Extracted DNA was stored at -80°C. Genotyping

was carried out with Illumina ASA-750K arrays. Quality control and relatedness

filters were performed by PLINK1.9 [20]. Individuals with high or low proportion of

heterozygous genotypes (outliers defined as 3 standard deviation) were excluded[71].

Individuals who had different ancestries (the first two principal components ±5

standard deviation from the mean) or related individuals (IBD>0.185) were

excluded[71]. Variants were mapping to the 1000 Genomes Phase3 v5 by SHAPEIT [23,72]

and then we conducted the genome-wide genotype imputation with 1000 Genomes

Phase3 v5 reference panel by Minimac3[21,22]. Genetic variants with imputation

accuracy RSQR > 0.3 and MAF > 0.05 were included in our analysis. We used

Pan-Asian reference panel consist of 502 participants and SNP2HLA v1.0.3 to impute

HLA region [24-26].

Sequencing and processing of 16S rRNA data

Microbial DNA was extracted from fecal samples using the QIAamp® DNA Stool

Mini Kit per the manufacturer's instruction. DNA concentrations were determined

using the Qubit quantification system. The V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was

amplified from genomic DNA using primers 341F and 805R. Sequencing was

performed using MiSeq Reagent Kits v2 on the Illuimina MiSeq System.

Fastq-files were demultiplexed by the MiSeq Controller Software. Ultra-fast sequence

analysis (USEARCH) was performed to trim the sequence for amplification primers,

diversity spacers, sequencing adapters, merge-paired and quality filter[73]. Operational

taxonomic units (OTUs) were clustered based on 97% similarity using UPARSE[74].

OTUs were annotated with Greengenes 13_8

(https://greengenes.secondgenome.com/). After randomly selecting 10000 reads for

each sample, Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) software version

1.9.0 was used to calculate alpha diversity (Shannon diversity index, Chao1 diversity

indices and observed OTUs index) based on the rarefied OTU counts[75].

Statistical analysis

Genome-wide association analysis of gut microbiome features

For each of the GNHS participants and the replication cohort, we clustered

participants based on genus-level relative abundance, estimating the JSD distance and

PAM clustering algorithm, and then defined two enterotypes according to

Calinski-Harabasz Index[29,76]. PLINK 1.9 was used to perform a logistic regression

model for enterotypes and taxa present at fewer than ninety percent, adjusted for age,

sex and the first five principal components.

For beta diversity, the analyses for the genome-wide host genetic variants with beta

diversity was performed using MicrobiomeGWAS[31], adjusted for covariates including

the first five principal components, age and sex. We filtered OTUs present at fewer

than ten percent of participants to calculate Bray–Curtis dissimilarity.

Alpha diversity was calculated after randomly sampling 10000 reads per sample. For

the taxa present at more than ninety percent of participants and alpha diversity, we

used Z-score normalization to transform the distribution and carried out analysis

based on a log-normal model. A mixed linear model based association (MLMA) test

in GCTA was used to assess the association, fitting the first five principal components,

age, sex and sequencing batch as fixed effects and the effects of all the SNPs as

random effects [27,28,34]. For other taxa present at fewer than ninety percent, we

transformed the absence/presence of the taxon into binary variables and used

PLINK1.9 to perform a logistic model, adjusted for the first five principal components,

age, sex and sequencing batch. For all the gut microbiome features, the significant

threshold was defined as 5×10^{-8} /n (n is the effective number of independent taxa on

each taxonomy level) in the discovery stage. We estimated genomic inflation factors

with LDSC v1.0.1 at local server [77].

Proportion of variance explained by all SNPs

We used the GREML method in GCTA to estimate the proportion of variance

explained by all SNPs [30]. The taxa were divided into two groups based on whether the

taxa were present in the ninety percent of participants or not. For alpha diversity and

taxa, our model was adjusted for constrain covariates including sex and sequencing

batch, as well as quantitative covariates including the first five principal components

and age. The model was adjusted for the same covariates except for sequencing batch

for analysis of enterotype.

Genetic correlation of gut microbiome and traits

We used GCTA to perform a bivariate GREML analysis to estimate the genetic

correlation between gut microbiome and traits in the GNHS[27,37]. The gut microbiome

was divided into two groups according to the previous description. We used

continuous variables to taxa present at more than ninety percent of participants and

traits. For taxa present at fewer than ninety percent of participants, we used binary

variables according to the absence/presence of taxa. This analysis included traits such

as BMI, FBS, HbA1c, SBP, DBP, HDL-C, LDL-C, TC and TG.

Constructing polygenic scores for taxa and alpha diversity

We selected lead SNPs using PLINK v1.9 with the '——clump' command to clump

SNPs that p value $< 5 \times 10^{-5}$ and $r^2 < 0.1$ within 0.1 cM. We used beta coefficients as

weight to construct polygenic scores for taxa and alpha diversity. For alpha diversity

and taxa present at more than ninety percent participants, we constructed weighted

polygenic scores and performed the analysis on a general linear model with a negative

binomial distribution to test for association between the polygenic scores and taxa,

adjusted for the first five principal components, age, sex and sequencing batch. We

used weighted polygenic scores and logistic regression to the absence/presence taxa,

adjusted for the same covariates as in the above analysis. Taxa with significance

(p<0.05) in the replication cohort were included for the further analysis.

The effective number of independent taxa

As some taxa were correlated with each other, we used an eigendecomposition

analysis to calculate the effective number of independent taxa on each taxonomy level

[35,36]. Matrix M is an m×n matrix, where m is the number of participants and n is the

number of taxa on the corresponding taxa level. Matrix A is the variance-covariance

475   matrix of matrix M. P is the matrix of eigenvectors. $\text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n\}$ is the diagonal

476   matrix comprised of the ordered eigenvalues, which can be calculated as:

$$\text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n\} = P^{-1}AP$$

477   The effective number of independent taxa can be calculated as:

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2}$$

Bi-directional MR analysis

In the analysis of potential causal effect of gut microbiome features on diseases, we

used independent genetic variants (selected as part of the polygenic score analysis) as

the instrument variables. For each trait, we excluded instrument variables that showed

significant association with the trait ($p<0.05/n$, n is number of independent genetic

variants). In the analysis of potential causal effect of diseases on gut microbiome

features, we selected genetic variants that were replicated in East Asian populations as

instrument variables. As all instrument variables were from East Asian populations,

we chose independent genetic variants (r2<0.1) based on GNHS cohort. We identified

the best proxy (r2 > 0.8) based on GNHS cohort or discarded the variant if no proxy

was available. We used inverse variance weighted (IVW) method to estimate effect

size. To confirm the robustness of results, we performed other three MR methods

including weighted median, MR-Egger and MR-PRESSO. To assess the presence of

horizontal pleiotropy, we performed MR-PRESSO Global test and MR-Egger

Regression. Effect sizes of gut microbiome on traits were dependent on units of

traits[43] (Supplementary table S1). Results of human complex diseases on the

absence/presence gut microbiome were presented as risk of presence (vs absence) of

the microbiome per log odds difference of the disease. Results of diseases on other

gut microbiome and alpha diversity were presented as changes in abundance of taxa

(10-SD of log transformed) per log odds difference of the respective disease.

The statistical significance of gut microbiome on traits and diseases was defined as

$p < 0.0008$ (0.05/62). In addition, the statistical significance of diseases on gut

microbiome features was defined as $p < 0.05/n$ (n is the effective number of

independent taxa on the corresponding taxonomy level). Results that could not pass

Bonferroni adjustment but $p < 0.05$ in all four MR methods were considered as

potential causal relationships. We performed MR analyses on R v3.5.3.

Pathway analysis

We used OTUs by QIIME and annotated the variation of functional genes with

Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States

(PICRUSt) [51]. The pathways and diseases were annotated using KEGG [78-80]. We used

Spearman's rank-order correlation to investigate association of predicted pathway or

diseases abundance with taxa. In the heatmap, diseases were clustered with 'hcluster'

function on R. To test whether non-normalized pathway or disease abundance was

associated with each other, we used SPIEC-EASI to test the interaction relationship,

and then used Cytoscape v3.7.2 to visualize the interaction network [81,82].

Construction of the microbiome risk score

To construct a microbiome risk score for T2DM, we used a Spearman's rank-order

correlation to select taxa with the absolute value of correlation coefficient higher than

0.2. Score for each taxon abundance <5% quantile in our study was defined as 0. For

those above 5%, score for each taxon showing negative association with T2DM was

defined as 1; score for each taxon showing positive association with T2DM was

defined as -1. We then summed up values from all taxa. We selected logistic

regression model to estimate association of the MRS with T2DM risk, and linear

model to estimate the association of the MRS with the continuous variables, adjusted

for age, sex, dietary energy intake, alcohol intake and BMI at the time of sample

collection.

Clustering diseases

The clustering analysis was carried out with 'cluster' and 'factoextra' for plot on R.

We performed PAM algorithm based on predicted abundance of diseases or average

relative abundance after Z-score normalization [83]. PAM algorithm searches k medoids

among the observations and then found nearest medoids to minimize the dissimilarity

among clusters [18]. Given a set of objects $x = (x_1, x_2,...,x_n)$, the dissimilarity between

objects x_i and x_j is denoted by $d(i,j)$. The assignment of object i to the

representative object j is denoted by z_{ij}. z_{ij} is a binary variable and is 1 if object i

belongs to the cluster of the representative object j. The function to minimize the

model is given by:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} d(i,j)z_{ij}$$

To identify the optimal cluster number, we used 'pamk' function in R to determine the

optimum average silhouette width. For each object i, we defined N_i as the average

dissimilarity between object i and all other objects within its cluster. For the

remaining clusters, $b(i,w)$ represents the average dissimilarity between i and all

objects in cluster w. The minimum dissimilarity M_i can be calculated by:

$$M_i = min\forall w(b(i,w)).$$

The silhouette width for object i can be calculated by:

$$sw_i = \frac{M_i - N_i}{max(M_i, N_i)}$$

Then we calculated the average of silhouette width for each object. The cluster

number is determined by the number of which the average silhouette width is

maximum.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81903316,

81773416), Westlake University (101396021801) and the 5010 Program for Clinical

Researches (2007032) of the Sun Yat-sen University (Guangzhou, China). The

authors declare no conflict of interest. We thank the Westlake University

Supercomputer Center for providing computing and data analysis service for the

present project.

Data availability

The raw data for 16 S rRNA gene sequences are available in the CNSA

(https://db.cngb.org/cnsa/) of CNGBdb at accession number CNP0000829.

References

1.	Awany, D. *et al.* Host and Microbiome Genome-Wide Association Studies: Current State and Challenges. *Front Genet* **9**, 637 (2018).
2.	Bull, M.J. & Plummer, N.T. Part 1: The Human Gut Microbiome in Health and Disease. *Integr Med (Encinitas)* **13**, 17-22 (2014).
3.	Lynch, J.B. & Hsiao, E.Y. Microbiomes as sources of emergent host phenotypes. *Science* **365**, 1405 (2019).
4.	Allegretti, J.R., Mullish, B.H., Kelly, C. & Fischer, M. The evolution of the use of faecal microbiota transplantation and emerging therapeutic indications. *The Lancet* **394**, 420-431 (2019).
5.	Wong, S.H. & Yu, J. Gut microbiota in colorectal cancer: mechanisms of action and clinical applications. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology* (2019).
6.	Davies, N.M., Holmes, M.V. & Davey Smith, G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ* **362**, k601 (2018).
7.	Turpin, W. *et al.* Association of host genome with intestinal microbial composition in a large healthy cohort. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1413-1417 (2016).
8.	Wang, J. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis identifies variation in vitamin D receptor and other host factors influencing the gut microbiota. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1396-1406 (2016).
9.	Goodrich, J.K. *et al.* Human genetics shape the gut microbiome. *Cell* **159**, 789-99 (2014).
10.	Goodrich, J.K. *et al.* Genetic Determinants of the Gut Microbiome in UK Twins. *Cell Host Microbe* **19**, 731-43 (2016).
11.	Ganesan, K., Chung, S.K., Vanamala, J. & Xu, B. Causal Relationship between Diet-Induced Gut Microbiota Changes and Diabetes: A Novel Strategy to Transplant Faecalibacterium prausnitzii in Preventing Diabetes. *Int J Mol Sci* **19**(2018).
12.	He, Y. *et al.* Regional variation limits applications of healthy gut microbiome reference ranges and disease models. *Nat Med* **24**, 1532-1535 (2018).
13.	Rothschild, D. *et al.* Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature* **555**, 210-215 (2018).
14.	Duvallet, C., Gibbons, S.M., Gurry, T., Irizarry, R.A. & Alm, E.J. Meta-analysis of gut microbiome studies identifies disease-specific and shared

responses. *Nature communications* **8**, 1784-1784 (2017).

15.   Cheng, S. *et al.* Identifying psychiatric disorder-associated gut microbiota
using microbiota-related gene set enrichment analysis. *Briefings in*
Bioinformatics (2019).

16.   Jackson, M.A. *et al.* Gut microbiota associations with common diseases and
prescription medications in a population-based cohort. *Nature*
Communications **9**, 2655 (2018).

17.   Cao, Y. *et al.* Association of magnesium in serum and urine with carotid
intima-media thickness and serum lipids in middle-aged and elderly Chinese: a
community-based cross-sectional study. *European journal of nutrition*
55(2015).

18.   Kaufman, L. & Rousseeuw, P. Partitioning Around Medoids (Program PAM).
68-125 (1990).

19.   Sun, L.-L. *et al.* Associations between the dietary intake of antioxidant
nutrients and the risk of hip fracture in elderly Chinese: A case-control study.
The British journal of nutrition **112**, 1-9 (2014).

20.   Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and
population-based linkage analyses. *American journal of human genetics* **81**,
559-575 (2007).

21.   Das, S. *et al.* Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods.
Nature Genetics **48**, 1284 (2016).

22.   Clarke, L. *et al.* The international Genome sample resource (IGSR): A
worldwide collection of genome variation incorporating the 1000 Genomes
Project data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**, D854-D859 (2016).

23.   Delaneau, O. *et al.* Integrating sequence and array data to create an improved
1000 Genomes Project haplotype reference panel. *Nature Communications* **5**,
3934 (2014).

24.   Okada, Y. *et al.* Risk for ACPA-positive rheumatoid arthritis is driven by
shared HLA amino acid polymorphisms in Asian and European populations.
Hum Mol Genet **23**, 6916-26 (2014).

25.   Pillai, N.E. *et al.* Predicting HLA alleles from high-resolution SNP data in
three Southeast Asian populations. *Hum Mol Genet* **23**, 4443-51 (2014).

26.   Jia, X. *et al.* Imputing Amino Acid Polymorphisms in Human Leukocyte
Antigens. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e64683 (2013).

27.   Yang, J., Lee, S.H., Goddard, M.E. & Visscher, P.M. GCTA: a tool for
genome-wide complex trait analysis. *Am J Hum Genet* **88**, 76-82 (2011).

28.   Yang, J., Zaitlen, N.A., Goddard, M.E., Visscher, P.M. & Price, A.L.
Advantages and pitfalls in the application of mixed-model association
methods. *Nat Genet* **46**, 100-6 (2014).

29.   Arumugam, M. *et al.* Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* **473**,
174-80 (2011).

30.   Lee, S.H., Wray, N.R., Goddard, M.E. & Visscher, P.M. Estimating missing
heritability for disease from genome-wide association studies. *Am J Hum*
Genet **88**, 294-305 (2011).

31. Hua, X. *et al.* MicrobiomeGWAS: a tool for identifying host genetic variants associated with microbiome composition. *bioRxiv*, 031187 (2015).

32. Ruhlemann, M.C. *et al.* Application of the distance-based F test in an mGWAS investigating beta diversity of intestinal microbiota identifies variants in SLC9A8 (NHE8) and 3 other loci. *Gut Microbes* **9**, 68-75 (2018).

33. Bonder, M.J. *et al.* The effect of host genetics on the gut microbiome. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1407-1412 (2016).

34. Yang, J. *et al.* Common SNPs explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height. *Nature Genetics* **42**, 565 (2010).

35. Bretherton, C.S., Widmann, M., Dymnikov, V.P., Wallace, J.M. & Bladé, I. The Effective Number of Spatial Degrees of Freedom of a Time-Varying Field. *Journal of Climate* **12**, 1990-2009 (1999).

36. Wang, H. *et al.* Genotype-by-environment interactions inferred from genetic effects on phenotypic variability in the UK Biobank. *Science Advances* **5**, eaaw3538 (2019).

37. Lee, S.H., Yang, J., Goddard, M.E., Visscher, P.M. & Wray, N.R. Estimation of pleiotropy between complex diseases using single-nucleotide polymorphism-derived genomic relationships and restricted maximum likelihood. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 2540-2 (2012).

38. Sanna, S. *et al.* Causal relationships among the gut microbiome, short-chain fatty acids and metabolic diseases. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 600-605 (2019).

39. Verbanck, M., Chen, C.-Y., Neale, B. & Do, R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nature Genetics* **50**, 693-698 (2018).

40. Low, S.K. *et al.* Identification of six new genetic loci associated with atrial fibrillation in the Japanese population. *Nat Genet* **49**, 953-958 (2017).

41. Suzuki, K. *et al.* Identification of 28 new susceptibility loci for type 2 diabetes in the Japanese population. *Nat Genet* **51**, 379-386 (2019).

42. Akiyama, M. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 112 new loci for body mass index in the Japanese population. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1458-1467 (2017).

43. Kanai, M. *et al.* Genetic analysis of quantitative traits in the Japanese population links cell types to complex human diseases. *Nat Genet* **50**, 390-400 (2018).

44. Matoba, N. *et al.* GWAS of smoking behaviour in 165,436 Japanese people reveals seven new loci and shared genetic architecture. *Nat Hum Behav* **3**, 471-477 (2019).

45. Lu, X.F. *et al.* Genome-wide association study in Han Chinese identifies four new susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. *Nature Genetics* **44**, 890-+ (2012).

46. Marzec, J. *et al.* A genetic study and meta-analysis of the genetic predisposition of prostate cancer in a Chinese population. *Oncotarget* **7**, 21393-403 (2016).

47. Okada, Y. *et al.* Meta-analysis identifies multiple loci associated with kidney

function-related traits in east Asian populations. *Nat Genet* **44**, 904-9 (2012).

48.   Zeng, C. *et al.* Identification of Susceptibility Loci and Genes for Colorectal
Cancer Risk. *Gastroenterology* **150**, 1633-1645 (2016).

49.   Zhou, X. *et al.* Identification of genetic risk factors in the Chinese population
implicates a role of immune system in Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **115**, 1697 (2018).

50.   Gan, W. *et al.* Evaluation of type 2 diabetes genetic risk variants in Chinese
adults: findings from 93,000 individuals from the China Kadoorie Biobank.
Diabetologia **59**, 1446-1457 (2016).

51.   Langille, M.G.I. *et al.* Predictive functional profiling of microbial
communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nature Biotechnology*
31, 814 (2013).

52.   Canga, Y. *et al.* Assessment of Atrial Conduction Times in Patients with
Newly Diagnosed Parkinson's Disease. *Parkinsons Dis* **2018**, 2916905 (2018).

53.   Ihara, M. & Washida, K. Linking Atrial Fibrillation with Alzheimer's Disease:
Epidemiological, Pathological, and Mechanistic Evidence. *J Alzheimers Dis*
62, 61-72 (2018).

54.   Conen, D. *et al.* Risk of Malignant Cancer Among Women With New-Onset
Atrial FibrillationAtrial Fibrillation and Risk of CancerAtrial Fibrillation and
Risk of Cancer. *JAMA Cardiology* **1**, 389-396 (2016).

55.   Rousseeuw, P.J. Silhouettes: A graphical aid to the interpretation and
validation of cluster analysis. *Journal of Computational and Applied*
Mathematics **20**, 53-65 (1987).

56.   Hu, F.B. *et al.* Prospective Study of Cataract Extraction and Risk of Coronary
Heart Disease in Women. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **153**, 875-881
(2001).

57.   Javaheri, S. & Redline, S. Insomnia and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease. *Chest*
152, 435-444 (2017).

58.   Strand, L.B. *et al.* Self-reported sleep duration and coronary heart disease
mortality: A large cohort study of 400,000 Taiwanese adults. *International*
Journal of Cardiology **207**, 246-251 (2016).

59.   Blekhman, R. *et al.* Host genetic variation impacts microbiome composition
across human body sites. *Genome Biol* **16**, 191 (2015).

60.   Kuehbacher, T. *et al.* Intestinal TM7 bacterial phylogenies in active
inflammatory bowel disease. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **57**, 1569-1576
(2008).

61.   He, X. *et al.* Cultivation of a human-associated TM7 phylotype reveals a
reduced genome and epibiotic parasitic lifestyle. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **112**, 244-249 (2015).

62.   Bor, B., Bedree, J.K., Shi, W., McLean, J.S. & He, X. Saccharibacteria (TM7)
in the Human Oral Microbiome. *Journal of Dental Research* **98**, 500-509
(2019).

63.   Cirulli, E.T. & Goldstein, D.B. Uncovering the roles of rare variants in
common disease through whole-genome sequencing. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**,

415-25 (2010).

64. Vinter, N., Christesen Amanda, M.S., Fenger-Grøn, M., Tjønneland, A. & Frost, L. Atrial Fibrillation and Risk of Cancer: A Danish Population-Based Cohort Study. *Journal of the American Heart Association* **7**, e009543 (2018).

65. Zoja, C. *et al.* Imatinib ameliorates renal disease and survival in murine lupus autoimmune disease. *Kidney International* **70**, 97-103 (2006).

66. Boursi, B., Mamtani, R., Haynes, K. & Yang, Y.-X. Parkinson's disease and colorectal cancer risk-A nested case control study. *Cancer epidemiology* **43**, 9-14 (2016).

67. Xie, X., Luo, X. & Xie, M. Association between Parkinson's disease and risk of colorectal cancer. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders* **35**, 42-47 (2017).

68. van Rheenen, W. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify new risk variants and the genetic architecture of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1043-8 (2016).

69. Lambert, J.C. *et al.* Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **45**, 1452-8 (2013).

70. Pankratz, N. *et al.* Meta-analysis of Parkinson's disease: identification of a novel locus, RIT2. *Annals of neurology* **71**, 370-384 (2012).

71. Anderson, C.A. *et al.* Data quality control in genetic case-control association studies. *Nat Protoc* **5**, 1564-73 (2010).

72. Delaneau, O., Marchini, J. & Zagury, J.-F. A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes. *Nature Methods* **9**, 179 (2011).

73. Edgar, R.C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2460-2461 (2010).

74. Edgar, R.C. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nat Methods* **10**, 996-8 (2013).

75. Caporaso, J.G. *et al.* QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods* **7**, 335-6 (2010).

76. Caliński, T. & Harabasz, J. A dendrite method for cluster analysis. *Communications in Statistics* **3**, 1-27 (1974).

77. Bulik-Sullivan, B.K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics* **47**, 291-295 (2015).

78. Kanehisa, M. & Goto, S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **28**, 27-30 (2000).

79. Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Furumichi, M., Morishima, K. & Tanabe, M. New approach for understanding genome variations in KEGG. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D590-D595 (2019).

80. Kanehisa, M. Toward understanding the origin and evolution of cellular organisms. *Protein Sci* (2019).

81. Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome research* **13**, 2498-2504 (2003).

82. Kurtz, Z.D. *et al.* Sparse and Compositionally Robust Inference of Microbial Ecological Networks. *PLOS Computational Biology* **11**, e1004226 (2015).

776   83.   Reynolds, A.P., Richards, G., de la Iglesia, B. & Rayward-Smith, V.J.
777   Clustering Rules: A Comparison of Partitioning and Hierarchical Clustering
778   Algorithms. *Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Algorithms* **5**, 475-504
779   (2006).
780

Figure legends

Figure 1 Association of host polygenic score with gut microbiome. The participants were divided into high and low polygenic score group according to median levels of the polygenic score. The dots on the right of the box represent the distribution of polygenic score. The dash line in the box is the position of median line and the solid line is the position of mean line. The length of box depends on upper quartile and lower quartile of datum. Sample size at the discovery stage is 1475, and that at replication stage is 199. **(A).** Correlation of *Saccharibacteria* abundance with the polygenic score (including 51 lead SNPs, Supplementary Table S8). **(B).** Correlation of *Clostridiaceae* abundance with the polygenic score (including 37 lead SNPs, Supplementary Table S8). **(C).** Correlation of *Klebsiella* abundance with the polygenic score (including 47 lead SNPs, Supplementary Table S8). **(D).** Correlation of *Comamonadaceae* presence with the polygenic score (including 37 lead SNPs, Supplementary Table S8). **(E).** Correlation of *D168* species presence with the polygenic score (including 34 lead SNPs, Supplementary Table S8).

Figure 2 Effect of host genetically predicted higher atrial fibrillation risk on gut microbiome. (A). Causal association of atrial fibrillation with abundance of *Burkholderiales*, *Alcaligenaceae*, *Lachnobacterium* and *Coprophilus*. The effect sizes of atrial fibrillation on taxa are changes in abundance of bacteria (10-SD of log-transformed) per genetically determined higher log odds of atrial fibrillation. **(B)**. Causal association of atrial fibrillation with presence of *Barnesiellaceae*, *Veillonellaceae_undefined* and *Mitsuokella*. The effect size of atrial fibrillation on taxa are present as odds ratio increase in log odds of atrial fibrillation. **(C)**. The heat map shows correlation of AF-associated taxa with predicted diseases. The grey components show no significance of correlation with Bonferroni correction (p>0.05/ (5.6*22), p>0.0004).

Figure 3 Association and cluster of diseases predicted by the gut microbiome. (A). Plot of clusters in Guangzhou Nutrition and Health Study (GNHS) cohort (n=1919). **(B)**. Plot of cluster results in the replication cohort (n=217). **(C)**. Plot of 5 clusters in antibiotic-taking participants (n=18). The optimal cluster is 5 in GNHS cohort and 6 in the replication. The clusters share consistent components between two studies. In contrast, components are different between antibiotic-taking participants and control groups. Dimension1 (Dim1) and dimension2 (Dim2) can explain 40.1% and 13.1% variance, respectively in GNHS cohort. The annotation for variables is as following. AT: African trypanosomiasis, AD: Alzheimer's disease, V1: Amoebiasis, ALS: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, BC: Bladder cancer, CD: Chagas disease, CML: Chronic myeloid leukemia, CRC: Colorectal cancer, V2: Hepatitis C, HD: Huntington's disease, HCM: Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, V3: Influenza A, PD: Parkinson's disease, V4: Pathways in cancer, V5: Prion disease, PCa: Prostate cancer, RCC: Renal cell carcinoma, SLE: Systemic lupus erythematosus, V6: Tuberculosis, T1DM: Type I diabetes mellitus, T2DM: Type II diabetes mellitus, V7: Vibrio

824 cholerae infection. **(D)**. Plot of clusters in GNHS patients. Patients get only one of the
825 follow diseases: stroke (n=8), chronic hepatitis (n=19), coronary heart diseases (n=40),
826 cataract (n=124) and insomnia (n=68). **(E)**. Gut microbiome-predicted network of
827 relationship among different human complex diseases. The interaction is determined
828 by SPIEC-EASI with non-normalized predicted abundance data.
829
830 **Figure 4 Correlation of the human complex diseases with gut microbiome on**
831 **genus level.** The heat map shows correlation of predicted diseases and gut
832 microbiome on genus level. The grey components show no significance of correlation
833 with Bonferroni correction ($p > 0.05$/ (5.6×22), $p > 0.0004$).
834

Figure 1 Association of host polygenic score with gut microbiome. The participants
were divided into high and low polygenic score group according to median levels of
the polygenic score. The dots on the right of the box represent the distribution of
polygenic score. The dash line in the box is the position of median line and the solid
line is the position of mean line. The length of box depends on upper quartile and
lower quartile of datum. Sample size at the discovery stage is 1475, and that at
replication stage is 199. **(A).** Correlation of *Saccharibacteria* abundance with the
polygenic score (including 51 lead SNPs, Supplementary Table S8). **(B).** Correlation
of *Clostridiaceae* abundance with the polygenic score (including 37 lead SNPs,
Supplementary Table S8). **(C).** Correlation of *Klebsiella* abundance with the
polygenic score (including 47 lead SNPs, Supplementary Table S8). **(D).** Correlation
of *Comamonadaceae* presence with the polygenic score (including 37 lead SNPs,
Supplementary Table S8). **(E).** Correlation of *D168* species presence with the
polygenic score (including 34 lead SNPs, Supplementary Table S8).

Figure 2 Effect of host genetically predicted higher atrial fibrillation risk on gut
microbiome. (A). Causal association of atrial fibrillation with abundance of
Burkholderiales, *Alcaligenaceae*, *Lachnobacterium* and *Coprophilus*. The effect sizes
of atrial fibrillation on taxa are changes in abundance of bacteria (10-SD of
log-transformed) per genetically determined higher log odds of atrial fibrillation. **(B)**.
Causal association of atrial fibrillation with presence of *Barnesiellaceae*,
Veillonellaceae_undefined and *Mitsuokella*. The effect size of atrial fibrillation on
taxa are present as odds ratio increase in log odds of atrial fibrillation. **(C)**. The heat
map shows correlation of AF-associated taxa with predicted diseases. The grey
components show no significance of correlation with Bonferroni correction (p>0.05/
(5.6*22), p>0.0004).
862

863
864

Figure 3 Association and cluster of diseases predicted by the gut microbiome. (A).
Plot of clusters in Guangzhou Nutrition and Health Study (GNHS) cohort (n=1919).
(B). Plot of cluster results in the replication cohort (n=217). **(C)**. Plot of 5 clusters in
antibiotic-taking participants (n=18). The optimal cluster is 5 in GNHS cohort and 6
in the replication. The clusters share consistent components between two studies. In
contrast, components are different between antibiotic-taking participants and control
groups. Dimension1 (Dim1) and dimension2 (Dim2) can explain 40.1% and 13.1%
variance, respectively in GNHS cohort. The annotation for variables is as following.
AT: African trypanosomiasis, AD: Alzheimer's disease, V1: Amoebiasis, ALS:
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, BC: Bladder cancer, CD: Chagas disease, CML:
Chronic myeloid leukemia, CRC: Colorectal cancer, V2: Hepatitis C, HD:
Huntington's disease, HCM: Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, V3: Influenza A, PD:
Parkinson's disease, V4: Pathways in cancer, V5: Prion disease, PCa: Prostate cancer,
RCC: Renal cell carcinoma, SLE: Systemic lupus erythematosus, V6: Tuberculosis,
T1DM: Type I diabetes mellitus, T2DM: Type II diabetes mellitus, V7: Vibrio
cholerae infection. **(D)**. Plot of clusters in GNHS patients. Patients get only one of the
follow diseases: stroke (n=8), chronic hepatitis (n=19), coronary heart diseases (n=40),
cataract (n=124) and insomnia (n=68). **(E)**. Gut microbiome-predicted network of
relationship among different human complex diseases. The interaction is determined
by SPIEC-EASI with non-normalized predicted abundance data.
885
886

E

887
888

889 **Figure 4 Correlation of the human complex diseases with gut microbiome.** The
890 heat map shows correlation of predicted diseases and gut microbiome on genus level.
891 The grey components show no significance of correlation with Bonferroni correction
892 (p>0.05/ (5.6*22), p>0.0004).