***ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR 115* integrates jasmonate and cytokinin signaling**

machineries to repress adventitious rooting in *Arabidopsis*

Abdellah Lakehal[1], Asma Dob[1], Zahra Rahneshan [1,2,a], Ondřej Novák[3,4], Sacha Escamez[1],

Sanaria Alallaq[1], Miroslav Strnad[3], Hannele Tuominen[1], Catherine Bellini [1, 5] *

[1] Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Plant Physiology, Umeå University, SE-

90736 Umeå, Sweden

[2] Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Shahid Bahonar University, Kerman

76169-14111, Iran

[3] Laboratory of Growth Regulators, Faculty of Science, Palacký University and Institute of

Experimental Botany, The Czech Academy of Sciences, 78371 Olomouc, Czech Republic

[4] Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Forest Genetics and Physiology, Swedish

Agriculture University, SE-90183 Umea, Sweden

5 Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, FR-78000

Versailles, France

[a] Present address: Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Forest Genetics and Physiology,

Swedish Agriculture University, SE-90183 Umea, Sweden

* To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Pr Catherine Bellini (catherine.bellini@umu.se /catherine.bellini@inrae.fr)

Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Plant Physiology,

Umeå University, SE-90736 Umeå, Sweden

Phone: +46907869624

Short title: *ERF115* controls ARI by modulating cytokinin signaling

ABSTRACT

Jasmonate (JA), an oxylipin-derived phytohormone, plays crucial roles not only in plant

immunity and defense against herbivorous insects but also in plant growth and developmental

processes, including regeneration and organogenesis. However, the mechanistic basis of its

mode of action and precise role in integrating other signaling cues are poorly understood. Here

we provide genetic indications that JA signaling acts in both NINJA-dependent and -

independent modulation of the transcriptional activity of MYC transcription factors involved

in the inhibition of adventitious root initiation (ARI). Our data show that NINJA-dependent JA

signaling in pericycle cells blocks early events of ARI. Moreover, transcriptomic comparison

of *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant (which produce extremely few ARs) and wild type

seedlings identified a novel molecular network governed by the APETALA2/ETHYLENE

RESPONSE FACTOR 115 (ERF115) transcription factor. We demonstrate that JA-induced

ERF115 activates the cytokinin signaling machinery and thereby represses ARI. Altogether,

our results reveal a molecular network involving cooperative crosstalk between JA and CK

machineries that inhibits ARI.

Key words:

Jasmonate, cytokinins, adventitious rooting, AP2/ERF transcription factors, *de novo*

organogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

Jasmonate (JA), a stress-induced phytohormone, plays crucial roles in plant immunity and defense against herbivorous insects (Wasternack and Hause, 2013). It also participates in control of diverse developmental processes, including tissue regeneration and rhizotaxis (Wasternack and Hause, 2013; Lakehal et al., 2020). The isomer (+)-7-iso-JA-Ile (JA-Ile), the bioactive form of JA (Fonseca et al., 2009), is perceived by the F-box protein CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1 (COI1), which is an integral component of the Skp-Cullin-F-box (SCF) complex (Xie et al., 1998). The COI1 receptor fine-tunes the function of the JA transcriptional machinery in a simple manner. Briefly, in the resting state, marked by low JA-Ile contents, the transcriptional activity of a number of transcription factors, including the basic-Helix-loop-Helix MYC, is repressed by JASMONATE ZIM DOMAIN (JAZ) repressors through either physical interaction or recruitment of the general co-repressor TOPLESS (TPL) or TPL-related proteins (TPRs) (Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2007). The adaptor NOVEL INTERACTOR OF JAZ (NINJA) mediates interaction of JAZs with TPL or TRPs (Pauwels et al., 2010). During activation, marked by accumulation of JA-Ile, JAZs form co-receptor complexes with COI1. This interaction is facilitated by JA-Ile, which acts as a molecular glue (Sheard et al., 2010). Formation of the co-receptor complexes triggers ubiquitylation and proteasome-dependent degradation of the targeted JAZs, thereby releasing the transcription factors to transcriptionally induce or repress their downstream target genes. Biochemical studies suggest that JAZ-dependent repression machinery can inhibit the transcriptional activity of different MYCs in different ways, depending on the JAZ protein involved (Chini et al., 2016). However, the biological roles of this multilayered regulation are unclear, largely because multiple *jaz* mutations may cause phenotypic deviations, but not single loss-of-function mutations (Campos et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2018).

JA signaling counteracts or cooperates with a number of hormonal and signaling cascades in the control of plant growth and development (Wasternack and Hause, 2013). We have previously shown that the COI1-dependent MYC2-mediated JA signaling inhibited the intact hypocotyl-derived ARI downstream of the auxin signaling machinery (Gutierrez et al., 2012) (Figure 1). Accordingly, in contrast to the *MYC2*-overexpressing line *35S:MYC2*, the loss-of-function mutant *myc2* produces more ARs than wild type plants, indicating that MYC2 plays an important role in inhibition of ARI downstream of auxin (Gutierrez et al., 2012). Recently, we also showed that the TIR1- and AFB2-dependent auxin signaling pathways promote ARI by negatively controlling JA content (Lakehal et al., 2019a). However, despite evidence of its

central role in modulating ARI, the basis (genetic and mechanistic) and downstream targets of

the MYC2-mediated JA signaling involved in this process remained unclear.

Recently, Zhou and collaborators (Zhou et al., 2019) showed that two members of subgroup X

of the *APETALA2/ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR (ERF)* family (*ERF109 and ERF115*)

promote root stem cell niche replenishment and tissue regeneration after excision, and their

expression is directly controlled by MYC2-mediated JA signaling. The *ERF115* transcription

factor and its two closest homologs, *ERF114 (*also known as *ERF BUD ENHANCER* (*EBE*)

and *ERF113* (also known as *RELATED to AP2.6L*, *RAP2.6L)* have been shown to control

various regenerative processes, such as callus formation, tissue repair, root stem cell niche

maintenance and root growth (Che et al., 2006; Nakano et al., 2006; Asahina et al., 2011;

Mehrnia et al., 2013; Heyman et al., 2016; Ikeuchi et al., 2018; Kong et al., 2018; Yang et al.,

2018). The three genes are rapidly induced by mechanical wounding (Ikeuchi et al., 2017),

suggesting that they play an important role in connecting the stress-induced JA signaling

machinery with other signaling cascades in provision of correct cell-fate and/or developmental

inputs for organogenesis processes. However, how these genes coordinate and integrate the

stress-induced hormonal pathways to ensure these multifunctionalities is still largely unclear.

Here we provide evidence that the JA signaling machinery inhibits ARI in both NINJA-

dependent and -independent manners, and the JA-induced *ERF115* transcription factor inhibits

this process in a CK-dependent manner, suggesting that CKs act downstream of JA in ARI

inhibition.

RESULTS

NINJA-dependent and -independent JA signaling repress ARI

To better understand the role of JA signaling during intact hypocotyl-derived ARI (Figure 1), we first analyzed the AR phenotype of multiple *jaz* mutants, under previously described conditions (Sorin et al., 2005; Gutierrez et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2012). The quadruple loss-of-function mutant *jaz7jaz8jaz10jaz13* (Thireault et al., 2015) had the same phenotype as the wild type, whereas the quintuple mutant *jazQ (jaz1jaz3jaz4jaz9jaz10)* (Campos et al., 2016) produced slightly fewer ARs than wild type plants (Figure 2A). These data confirm the high functional redundancy of the 13 *JAZ* genes in the Arabidopsis genome (Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2007; Thireault et al., 2015; Chini et al., 2016), which complicates characterization of their specificity. Therefore, we analyzed the phenotype of the gain-of-function mutant *myc2-322B*, which harbors a point mutation in the transcriptional activation domain (TAD) that changes Glutamate 165 to Lysine. This prevents MYC2's interaction with most JAZ repressor proteins, resulting in almost constitutive MYC2 signaling (Gasperini et al., 2015). We found that *myc2-322B* produced slightly fewer AR than wild type plants (Figure 2B), in accordance with our previous findings that the loss-of-function mutant *myc2* and overexpressing line *35S:MYC2* respectively produced more and less ARs than wild type counterparts (Gutierrez et al., 2012). We also analyzed the AR phenotype associated with two loss-of-function *ninja (ninja-1* and *ninja-2)* alleles (Acosta et al., 2013), because the NINJA adaptor is a central hub in the transcriptional repression machinery that inactivates MYC transcription factors (Pauwels et al., 2010) (Figure 1). *Ninja-1* and *ninja-2* mutants produced significantly fewer ARs than wild type plants (Figure 2B), but their phenotypic deviation is weak, presumably due to presence of a NINJA-independent pathway that continues to repress MYCs and thus allows ARI. Because MYC2 acts additively with MYC3 and MYC4 in the inhibition of ARI (Gutierrez et al., 2012), we hypothesized that removing NINJA in a *myc2-322B* background might abolish the remaining NINJA-dependent repression and hence release activity of the three MYCs. De-repression of these transcription factors would then result in constitutively enhanced MYC-mediated JA signaling and block the ARI process. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed the AR phenotype of two independent double mutants: *ninja-1myc2-322B* and *ninja-2myc2-322B* (Gasperini et al., 2015). We found that ARI was almost completely inhibited in both double mutants, confirming the inhibitory effect of JA (Figure 2B-E). As expected, the double mutants had shorter primary roots (PRs) than wild type plants, due to the inhibitory effect of JA signaling on PR growth (Staswick et al., 1992) and fewer lateral

roots (LRs; Supplemental Figure 1A,B), but the LR density was not affected (Figure 2C). To

get further genetic evidence, we also analyzed the AR phenotype of the gain-of-function mutant

atr2D, which harbors a point mutation in the JAZ interaction domain (JID) of the MYC3 protein

(Smolen et al., 2002) that prevents its interaction with a subset of JAZ repressors (Zhang et al.,

2015). Notably, there was no significant difference in AR numbers of *atr2D* mutants and wild

type plants*,* but the *ninja-1atr2D* double mutant produced far fewer ARs (Figure 2B),

confirming the *atr2D* mutation's additive effect and the role of MYC3 in the control of AR

formation. Collectively, these results genetically confirm the importance of the NINJA-

dependent and -independent pathways in the control of AR initiation.

NINJA and MYC2 are expressed in the etiolated hypocotyl

To examine spatiotemporal expression patterns of the *NINJA* and *MYC2* genes during early

ARI events, we used seedlings harboring *pNINJA:GUS* or *pMYC2:GUS* transcriptional fusions

(Gasperini et al., 2015). The seedlings were grown in ARI-inducing conditions in the dark and

sampled for *pNINJA:GUS* or *pMYC2:GUS* expression analysis at T0, just before some of the

etiolated seedlings were exposed to light. Further samples were collected at T9L and T24L

(after 9 and 24 h growth in long-day conditions, respectively), while controls were sampled at

T9D and T24D (after a further 9 and 24 h growth in the dark, respectively). The two promoters

were shown to be constitutively active in all the organs at all time points, although *MYC2*

promoter activity declined in the cotyledons over time (Figure 3A to E). These data indicate

that *NINJA* and *MYC2* genes have overlapping expression domains in the hypocotyl.

Expressing NINJA in xylem-pole pericycle cells is sufficient to counter JA's negative effect

during ARI

We confirmed that the NINJA protein was broadly expressed in the hypocotyl, including the

xylem-pole pericycle (xpp) cells (Figure 3F) where ARs are initiated (Sorin et al., 2005;

Sukumar et al., 2013). We then assessed whether re-activating the NINJA-dependent JA

repression machinery in those cells would be sufficient to restore ARI in the *ninja1-myc2-322B*

double mutant*.* For this, we produced translational fusions of NINJA with the mCITRINE

reporter driven by two xpp cell-specific promoters, *GATA23* (De Rybel et al., 2010) and *XPP*

(Andersen et al., 2018). The p*GATA23:NINJA:mCITRINE* or p*XPP:NINJA:mCITRINE*

constructs were introduced into the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant, and we confirmed that

the NINJA:mCITRINE protein was specifically present in the hypocotyl xpp cells (Figure 3G

and 3H). We analyzed the AR phenotype of two independent lines carrying each construct and

showed that in both cases the effect of the *ninja-1* mutation was complemented (Figure 3I). These results suggest that expressing NINJA in xpp cells is sufficient to de-repress ARI, and that NINJA-dependent JA signaling acts in early stages of ARI.

Transcriptomic insights into JA's role in ARI

To get mechanistic insights into how JA signaling reprograms the transcriptional machinery during ARI, we compared transcriptomes of *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant and wild-type hypocotyls at three time points: T0, T9 and T24 (Figure 4A). In T0 samples we detected 530 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), of which 462 were upregulated and 68 downregulated in the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant. We detected 671 DEGs at T9, 453 upregulated and 218 downregulated, and 579 at T24, 388 upregulated and 191 downregulated (Figure 4B, Supplemental Figure 2 and Supplemental Table 1).

The *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant has a constitutive JA response signature

MYC transcription factors recognize and bind to hexameric *cis*-regulatory G-box motifs (CACGTG or CACATG), and MYC2 binds to G box-like motifs (AACGTG, CATGTG, CACGAG, CACATG, CACGCG) with differing affinities (Godoy et al., 2011). To get an overview of possible direct targets of MYCs among the DEGs, we searched for these motifs in the 1 kb regions upstream of their ATG translation start codons. We found that DEGs' promoters are highly enriched with MYC binding sites, suggesting that they include potential direct targets of MYC. At T0, T9 and T24, 64% of 520 DEGs (342: 334 upregulated and 8 downregulated), 62% of 671 DEGs (420: 341 upregulated and 79 downregulated), and 67% of 579 DEGs (389: 287 upregulated and 102 downregulated) respectively contained at least one of the six motifs (Figure 4C).

Most of the *JAZ* genes, which are early JA-responsive genes, were highly upregulated in the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant at all sampling time points (Figure 4D), confirming the presence of enhanced, constitutive JA signaling. Accordingly, several genes involved in JA biosynthesis, such as *LIPOXYGENASE 2 (LOX2), ALLENE OXIDE SYNTHASE (AOS), ALLENE OXIDE CYCLASE1 (AOC1), AOC3, AOC4, OXOPHYTODIENOATE-REDUCTASE3 (OPR3) and OPC-8:0 COA LIGASE1 (OPCL1)* were upregulated in the double mutant *ninja-1myc2-322B* (Figure 4E). The biological relevance of this upregulation of gene expression was confirmed by findings that levels of the JA precursor *cis*-12-oxo-phytodienoic acid (*cis*-OPDA), JA and JA-Ile were higher in the double mutant than in wild-type controls at all time points, except that JA-Ile contents did not significantly differ at T0 (Figure 4F-H).

These data highlight a feedforward loop that amplifies the response to JA signaling by
enhancing JA biosynthesis.

JA signaling controls expression of *ERF113*, *ERF114* and *ERF115* transcription factors.
The candidate transcription factor potentiel targets of MYC2 we detected included three closely
related members of subgroup X of the *ERF* family (*ERF113*, *ERF114* and *ERF115*) (Figure
5A,B). Analysis by qRT-PCR confirmed that these three genes were all upregulated in the
hypocotyl of the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant, except *ERF113* at T0 (Figure 5C). These
genes have known involvement in a number of organogenesis and regeneration processes
(Heyman et al., 2018). To address their role in ARI, we analyzed the AR phenotypes of
available single loss of *ERF113* or *ERF115* function mutants (*rap2.6l-1* and *erf115,*
respectively*)* and observed no significant difference in this respect between them and wild-type
controls (Figure 6A). As no loss-of-function T-DNA line for *ERF114* was available, we used
CRISPR-Cas9 technology to delete a ca. 40 bp genomic fragment in the first exon of the
ERF114 gene in the *rap2.6l-1* and the *erf115* backgrounds to obtain *rap2.6l-1erf114C* and
erf115erf114C double mutants, respectively (Supplemental Figure 3). Other multiple mutants
were obtained by genetic crosses. Only the triple mutant *rap2.6l-1erf114Cerf115* produced
significantly more ARs than wild-type controls (Figure 6A), indicating that *ERF113, ERF114*
and *ERF115* act redundantly in the control of ARI.

***ERF115* represses hypocotyl-derived AR initiation downstream of auxin**
Previous findings that *ERF115*'s expression is directly controlled by MYC2 and it plays major
roles in root regeneration and stem cell replenishment (Heyman et al., 2013; Heyman et al.,
2016; Zhou et al., 2019) prompted us to address its function during ARI. First, to overcome
potential functional redundancy with other members of the family, we analyzed the
pERF115:ERF115:SRDX line, which expresses a dominant negative variant of ERF115
(because the *ERF115* coding sequence fused to the ethylene-responsive element binding factor-
associated amphiphilic repression (EAR) domain is driven by the *ERF115* promoter to ensure
repression   in   the   native   expression   domain   (Heyman   et   al.,   2013).   The
pERF115:ERF115:SRDX line produced significantly more ARs than wild-type controls, but
was very similar to the *rap2.6l-1erf114Cerf115* triple mutant (Figure 6A,B). Although we
cannot exclude a potential contribution of other *ERF* genes, these findings suggest that *ERF113,*
ERF114 and *ERF115* are the main transcription factors involved in ARI. Interestingly, the
overexpressing line *35S:ERF115* developed extremely few ARs (Figure 6B) but had only

slightly lower LR density than wild-type plants (Figure 6C and Supplemental Figure 4C,D). Thus, it phenocopied the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant and confirmed that *ERF115* is an ARI repressor. We also characterized *ERF115*'s expression pattern during early ARI events using lines harboring the transcriptional fusion *pERF115:GUS*(Heyman et al., 2013). At T0, GUS staining was mainly detected in vascular tissues of the hypocotyl, and to a lesser extent in the root (Figure 6D). Exposing the seedlings to light for 24 h dramatically decreased the GUS signal (Figure 6D), suggesting that the *ERF115* gene is expressed in vascular tissue and its expression is negatively regulated by light, which we confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 6E).

As JA acts downstream of auxin signaling in ARI inhibition (Gutierrez et al., 2012; Lakehal et al., 2019a), we hypothesized that the *35S:ERF115* line could be insensitive to exogenously applied auxin. To test this hypothesis, we treated *35S:ERF115*-expressing and wild-type pre-etiolated seedlings with the synthetic auxin naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA), and found that 1 μM NAA significantly enhanced AR development in the wild-type seedlings, but did not affect the *35S:ERF115*-expressing seedlings (Figure 6I-K). These data suggest that auxin cannot bypass the inhibitory effect of *ERF115* during ARI. Notably, the PR and LRs of the *35S:ERF115*-expressing seedlings were as sensitive as the wild-type roots to NAA (Figure 6I,J). These data suggest that *ERF115* specifically activates and/or cooperates with other negative regulator(s) of ARI downstream of auxin signaling.

ERF115-mediated ARI repression requires cytokinins (CKs)

CKs, in balance with auxin, are known to promote shoot and callus formation but inhibit root growth and AR formation (Lakehal and Bellini, 2018; Ikeuchi et al., 2019), raising the possibility that modulation of the CK machinery by *ERF115* is involved in this multifunctionality. We confirmed the negative role of CKs in control of ARI as exogenously applied 6-benzyladenine (6-BA) inhibited the process in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7A). We then analyzed the CK-deficient triple loss-of-function mutant *ipt3ipt5ipt7* that lacks three important ATP/ADP ISOPENTENYLTRANSFERASES catalyzing a rate-limiting step in *de novo* CK biosynthesis (Miyawaki et al., 2006), and a line overexpressing *CYOKININ OXIDASE1* (*35S:CKX1)*, which is also deficient in CKs due to their enhanced degradation(Werner et al., 2003). Notably, both the triple loss-of-function mutant *ipt3ipt5ipt7* and the *35S:CKX1*-expressing line produced significantly more ARs than wild-type controls (Figure 7B,C). Similarly, the *arr1-3arr11-2* double mutant and *arr1-3arr11-2arr12-1* triple mutant, which lack the key type-B transcription factors ARR1, ARR11 and ARR12 involved

in CK signaling, produced significantly more ARs than wild-type plants (Figure 7D). These

data genetically confirmed that CKs are repressors of ARI.

To test the hypothesis that *ERF115* inhibits ARI through CKs, we quantified relative amounts

of transcripts of two CK-responsive genes, *ARR5* and *ARR7*, in etiolated hypocotyls of the

overexpressing line *35S:ERF115* and wild-type controls at T0 and T24. Interestingly, at T0

ARR7 was upregulated, and at T24 both *ARR5* and *ARR7* were upregulated in the *35S:ERF115*

line (Figure 7E). These findings suggest that CK responses are enhanced in hypocotyls of

35S:ERF115 plants, and to explore possible causes we quantified active CK bases at T0, T9

and T24. At T0, isopentyladenine (iP), *trans*-Zeatin (*tZ*) and *cis*-Zeatin (*cZ*) contents of

35S:ERF115 and wild-type plants did not significantly differ (Figure 7F). However, at T9,

35S:ERF115 plants had significantly higher iP, *tZ* and *cZ* contents, and at T24 significantly

higher iP and *cZ* contents than wild-type controls (Figure 7F). These data suggest that the

ERF115 inhibits ARI by modulating the CK pool. To test this hypothesis, we generated a

35S:ERF115ipt3ipt5ipt7 multiple mutant and a line overexpressing both *35S:ERF115* and

35S:CKX1 to deplete the CK pool in a *35S:ERF115* background, and confirmed that this was

sufficient to restore ARI to wild-type levels in the *35S:ERF115* line (Figure 7G). These data

indicate that *ERF115* inhibition of ARI is mediated by CKs. Interestingly, our transcriptomic

data showed that several *LONELY GUY* (*LOG*) genes, which control a rate-limiting step in CK

biosynthesis (Kuroha et al., 2009), were slightly upregulated, while several *CKX* genes were

slightly downregulated, in the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant (Supplemental Figure 5A,B).

Altogether, our results strongly suggest that JA inhibits ARI by modulating CK homeostasis

through the action of *ERF115*

DISCUSSION

Plants develop ARs in response to diverse intrinsic and/or extrinsic (stress-induced) cues (Bellini et al., 2014; Steffens and Rasmussen, 2016) that are perceived by competent cells and trigger extensive reprogramming that results in targeted cells acquiring new identities (Bellini et al., 2014; Lakehal and Bellini, 2018). The process has both high fundamental interest and practical importance as adventitious rooting is often a limiting step in clonal propagation. However, very little is known about the mechanism triggering the cell reprogramming that leads to ARI. Fortunately, intact hypocotyl-derived AR provide ideal model systems to unravel the signaling networks involved in this and other *de novo* organogenesis processes. We have previously shown that auxin controls ARI in Arabidopsis hypocotyls by modulating JA homeostasis (Gutierrez et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2012; Lakehal et al., 2019a), but the JA signaling mechanism involved was not clear. Here, we provide detailed genetic and mechanistic insights into the JA signaling involved in ARI. Notably, *ninja-1* and *ninja-2* loss-of-function mutants produce ARs, albeit fewer than wild-type controls, and several lines of evidence indicate that this is possibly due to NINJA-independent repression of MYC-dependent machinery by a subset of JAZ proteins. For example, JAZ5, JAZ6, JAZ7 and JAZ8 can directly recruit TPL through their EAR motifs independently of NINJA (Kagale et al., 2010; Causier et al., 2012; Shyu et al., 2012), while JAZ1, JAZ3 and JAZ9 can directly recruit HISTONE DEACETYLASE6 (HDA6) (Zhu et al., 2011), which participates in repression of various JA-induced genes' expression (Zhu et al., 2011). In addition, yeast two-hybrid experiments have shown that JAZ7, JAZ8 and JAZ13 do not interact with NINJA (Pauwels et al., 2010; Shyu et al., 2012; Thireault et al., 2015), and the Jasmonate-associated (Jas) domain of JAZ directly binds to the region containing the JAZ-interaction domain (JID) and TAD domains of MYC2, MYC3 or MYC4 (Zhang et al., 2015). Moreover, MED25 (one of 29 subunits of the MEDIATOR complex) interacts with MYC proteins and recruits the RNA polymerase II-dependent transcriptional machinery at MYC-target genes (Chen et al., 2012; An et al., 2017). MED25 directly interacts with the TAD domain of MYCs, raising the possibly that it competes with JAZ proteins for access to the TAD domain(Zhang et al., 2015). All these findings suggest that some JAZ proteins might block transcriptional activities of MYC transcription factors involved in ARI in a NINJA-independent manner. Further research is needed to decipher the JAZ-dependent JA perception machinery involved in ARI. For this, combining mutants with potentially complementary functionalities, and/or potentially informative expression patterns, may be more illuminating than generating higher-order multiple mutants based on phylogenetic relationships.

Our results indicate that MYC-mediated JA signaling inhibits ARI in both NINJA-dependent

and -independent manners. Both pathways act synergistically in control of the JA response, as

indicated by the much lower numbers of ARs produced by *ninjamyc2-322B* double mutants

than the parental lines (*ninja* and *myc2-322B*) and wild-type controls. Therefore, the strong

phenotype of *ninjamyc2-322B* double mutants may be due to de-repression of not only MYC2,

MYC3 and MYC4, but also other NINJA-bound transcription factors (if any). Interestingly,

this de-repression results in constitutively enhanced JA signaling. Accordingly, our

transcriptomic analysis revealed that most of the JAZ genes, which are JA response marker

genes (Chini et al., 2007), were highly and constitutively upregulated in *ninja-1myc2-322B*

plants throughout the covered developmental stages. Our results are consistent with previous

report suggesting that MYC2 controls root expansion in NINJA dependent and -independent

manners (Gasperini et al., 2015).

For many years JA was regarded as a solely stress-related plant hormone, but more recently

JA signaling has been implicated in several organogenesis and regenerative processes (Asahina

et al., 2011; Gutierrez et al., 2012; Lakehal et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019),

and attempts to identify its downstream targets have begun. Although its role in adventitious

rooting seems to be species- and context-dependent (Lakehal and Bellini, 2018), our results

indicate that the *ERF115* gene is likely one of the targets acting downstream of JA in this

process. This conclusion is strongly supported by the recent finding that MYC2 induces

expression of *ERF115* by directly binding its promoter (Zhou et al., 2019). The *ERF115* acts

redundantly with its closely-related paralogs *ERF113* and *ERF114,* which have also been

implicated in several organogenesis and regenerative processes (Heyman et al., 2018). Here we

provide evidence that *ERF115*-mediated ARI inhibition involves modulation of the CK

machinery. Physiological approaches have shown that CKs inhibit ARI in several plant species

and model systems (Lakehal and Bellini, 2018). In this study, we genetically demonstrated that

depleting CKs by either blocking their biosynthesis or enhancing their degradation restores the

ARI wild-type phenotype in an *ERF115*-overexpressing line, confirming that *ERF115* represses

ARI through CK signaling. Interestingly, the *ERF115* promoter contains a cytokinin-responsive

motif, and a yeast one-hybrid screen has shown that ARR1 and ARR20 bind to the promoter of

ERF115 (Ikeuchi et al., 2018). Although direct evidence is needed, these data suggest that

cytokinin signaling may also control the abundance of *ERF115* transcripts. The role of this

feedback loop in adventitious rooting, if any, awaits further investigation**.**

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

The quadruple mutant *jaz7jaz8jaz10jaz13* (Thireault et al., 2015) and quintuple mutant *jaz1jaz3jaz4jaz9jaz10*(Campos et al., 2016) were provided by G. Howe (Michigan State University, USA). The single mutants *ninja-1*, *ninja-2* (Acosta et al., 2013), and *myc2-322B* as well as the double mutants *ninja-1myc2-322B*, *ninja-2myc2-322B* and *ninja-1atr2D* (Gasperini et al., 2015) were provided by E.E. Farmer (University of Lausanne, Switzerland). The gain of function allele of *MYC3* (*atr2D*) (Smolen et al., 2002) was provided by J. Bender (Brown University, Rhode Island, USA). The single mutant *erf115* (SALK_021981) and transgenic lines *pERF115:ERF115:SRDX*, and *35S:ERF115* (Heyman et al., 2013) were provided by L. De Veylder (VIB, University of Gent, Belgium). The *rap2-6l-1* mutant (SALK_051006) (Che et al., 2006), *arr1-3arr11-2* (N6980) and *arr1-3arr11-2 arr12-1* (N6986) were provided by the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre. The transgenic line *35S:CKX1* (Werner et al., 2003) and triple mutant *ipt3ipt5ipt7* (Miyawaki et al., 2006) were provided by T. Schmülling (Freie Universität Berlin, Germany). E.E. Farmer and L. De Veylder also respectively provided the reporter lines *pMYC2:GUSplus*, *pNINJA:GUSplus* and *pNINJA:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1* (Gasperini et al., 2015) and *pERF115:GUS* (Heyman et al., 2013).

CRISPR-Cas9 cloning, transformation and mutant screening

To generate the loss-of-function allele *erf114*C, two guide RNAs (ERF114_F and ERF114_R, see Supplemental Table 2) were designed, as previously described (Lakehal et al., 2019b), to target the *ERF114* gene's first exon (Supplemental Figure 3). The guide RNAs were then cloned into the binary vector pHEE401E, the resulting construct was transformed into *Escherichia coli* cells, and the positive clones were selected by PCR, then confirmed by sequencing, following previous protocols (Xing et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015). The *Agrobacterium*-mediated floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998) was used to transform the construct into *rap2-6l-1* or *erf115* mutants. T1 seedlings were screened on Arabidopsis growth medium (Lakehal et al., 2019b) containing 50 µg/ml hygromycin and surviving seedlings were genotyped for deletions in *ERF114* using primers listed in Supplemental Table 2. Several independent homozygous and heterozygous T1 lines were identified. Only homozygous *erf114C* and Cas9-free lines, confirmed by examination of T2 individuals and Cas9-construct genotyping (Xing et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015), were used for further analysis.

Tissue-specific complementation: cloning, transformation and transgenic line screening

The pEN-L4-pGATA23-R1 and pEN-L4-pXPP-R1 plasmids (De Rybel et al., 2010) (Andersen et al., 2018) were gifts from T. Beeckman (VIB, Gent, Belgium) and J. Vermeer (University of Zurich, Switzerland), respectively. Plasmids carrying coding sequences of the *NINJA* gene, pEN-L1-NINJA(noSTOP)-L2, and reporter protein, pEN-R2-mCITRINE-L3 (Gasperini et al., 2015), were gifts from E.E. Framer (University of Lausanne, Switzerland). To generate promoter:NINJA:CT fusion protein constructs, the pEN-L4-promoter-R1, pEN-L1-NINJA(noSTOP)-L2 and pEN-R2-mCITRINE-L3 were recombined into the pB7m34gw vector using LR clonaseII plus (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All the expression vectors were confirmed by colony PCR and sequencing, then transformed into GV3101 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cells, which were used to transform *ninja-1myc2-332B* double mutants using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Single-copy, homozygous lines were selected by cultivating representatives of T2 and T3 generations on Arabidopsis medium (Lakehal et al., 2019b) supplemented with 10 μg/ml DL-phosphinothricin (Duchefa biochemie). At least two lines carrying each construct showing the same phenotype were further characterised.

Growth conditions and root (adventitious and lateral) phenotyping

Previously described adventitious rooting conditions (Sorin et al., 2005; Gutierrez et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2012; Lakehal et al., 2019a) were applied in all the experiments. Seedlings were etiolated in the dark until the hypocotyls were approximatively 6-7 mm long, then were grown in long-day conditions (16 h light 22° C/ 8h dark 17° C cycles, with 130-140 μmol photons/m^2/sec during light phases and constant 65% relative humidity). After 7 days, numbers of primordia and emerged ARs were counted under a binocular stereomicroscope. Numbers of visible LRs were also counted, and the primary root length was measured using ImageJ software (Schindelin et al., 2012). The LR density was calculated by dividing the number of LR by the primary root length.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was prepared using a RNAqueous® Total RNA Isolation kit (Ambion™). Portions (4 μg) of the resulting RNA preparations were treated with DNaseI using a DNA*free* Kit (Ambion™) then cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription using a SuperScript II

433 Reverse transcriptase kit (Invitrogen) with anchored-oligo(dT)$_{18}$ primers, according to the
434 manufacturer's instructions.
435

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) experiments

Transcript levels were assessed by qRT-PCR, in assays with triplicate reaction mixtures (final
volume, 20 μL) containing 5 μL of cDNA, 0.5 μM of both forward and reverse primers, and 1×
LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche) using a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche)
according to the manufacturer's instructions. A melting curve analytical step was added to each
PCR program. The sequences of primers used for all target genes are presented in Supplemental
Table 2. The crossing threshold (CT) values for each sample were acquired with the
LightCycler 480 software (Roche) using the second derivative maximum method. All
quantifications were repeated with at least two independent biological replicates.
445

qRT-PCR data analysis

Reference genes were validated as the most stably expressed genes in our experimental
procedures (Gutierrez et al., 2009) using GenNorm software and the most stable two (*TIP41*
and *EF1A*) were used to normalize the quantitative qPCR data. The data obtained using both
reference genes were similar and only data obtained using *TIP41* are presented here. Relative
transcript amounts were calculated as previously described (Gutierrez et al., 2009), and
considered significant if fold differences were ≥ 1.5 with p-values ≤ 0.05.
453

RNA sequencing and transcriptomic analysis

Total RNA was extracted from etiolated hypocotyls grown in darkness at T0, just before
exposure of some of the etiolated seedlings to light. Further samples were collected after 9 and
24 h in long-day conditions (T9L and T24L, respectively). In each case three biological
replicates were prepared, and the total RNA was treated with DNaseI using a DNA*free* Kit
(Ambion™) to remove any contaminating DNA. The RNA's integrity and quantity were
checked using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent), then it was sequenced by BGI Tech (China) using
an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. The reads were trimmed with SOAPnuke then clean reads
were mapped to the Araport11 reference sequence using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg,
2012). Gene expression was quantified using RSEM (RNA-Seq by Expectation-Maximization)
(Li and Dewey, 2014) and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between *ninja-1myc2-322B*
and wild-type plants at selected time points were detected using NOISeq software (Tarazona et
al., 2011) with fold change ≥ 2 and probability 0.8 settings.

FIMO tools were used, via the http://meme-suite.org/tools/fimo web interface, to scan promoters (1 Kb upstream of ATG translation start codons) of the DEGs for G box and G-box-like motifs with a 1E-4 *p*-value setting.

Spatiotemporal gene expression patterns during AR initiation

The spatiotemporal patterns of *NINJA*, *MYC2* and *ERF115* genes' expression during AR initiation were monitored by GUS-based analysis, as follows. Seedlings expressing *pNINJA:GUSplus*, *pMYC2:GUSplus* or *pERF115:GUS* were grown in AR-inducing conditions as described above, then stained with X-GLCA (Duchefa Biochemie, X1405.1000) as previously described (Sorin et al., 2005). At least 25 seedlings of each genotype sampled at each time point were stained, and one representative seedling of each set was photographed.

Sample preparation for hormone quantification

Hypocotyls were collected from seedlings grown in AR-inducing conditions as described above. The hypocotyls were quickly dried on tissue paper then frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were prepared from six biological replicates.

Quantification of *cis*-OPDA, JA and JA-Ile

Endogenous levels of jasmonates (*cis*-OPDA, free JA, and JA-Ile) were determined in 20 mg samples, as previously described (Floková et al., 2014).

Quantification of endogenous cytokinin bases

Cytokinin metabolites were quantified following published methodology (Svačinová et al., 2012; Antoniadi et al., 2015) Briefly, samples (20 mg FW) were homogenized and extracted in 1 ml of modified Bieleski solvent (60% MeOH, 10% HCOOH and 30% H_2O) together with a cocktail of stable isotope-labelled internal standards (0.25 pmol of CK bases added per sample). The extracts were applied to an Oasis MCX column (30 mg/ml, Waters) conditioned with 1 ml each of 100% MeOH and H_2O, equilibrated sequentially with 1 ml of 50% (v/v) nitric acid, 1 ml of H_2O, and 1 ml of 1 M HCOOH, then washed with 1 ml of 1 M HCOOH and 1 ml 100% MeOH. Analytes were then eluted in two steps with 1 ml of 0.35 M aqueous NH_4OH solution and 2 ml of 0.35 M NH_4OH in 60% (v/v) MeOH solution, evaporated to dryness *in vacuo* and stored at -20°C. Cytokinin levels were determined by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS/MS) using stable

isotope-labelled internal standards as reference compounds (Rittenberg D., 1940). Following separation with an Acquity UPLC® system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an Acquity UPLC BEH Shield RP18 column (150x2.1 mm dimensions, 1.7 μm particles; Waters), the effluent was introduced into the electrospray ion source of a Xevo™ TQ-S MS triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters). Six independent biological replicates of each genotype sampled at each time point were analyzed.

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (cLSM) analysis

Images of the vasculature in *Arabidopsis* hypocotyls at depths up to 150 μm from the epidermal surface were acquired using a Zeiss LSM880 inverted confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a C-Achroplan 32x/0.85 W Corr M27 lens. The seedlings were etiolated in the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long then incubated in liquid medium containing 30 μg/ml propidium iodide (PI) as a cell wall counter-stain to identify the cell layers, and observed while still alive, mounted with the same medium. The PI was excited using a 561 nm laser while expressed reporter protein (mCITRINE) was excited with a 488 nm Argon laser, using a MBS 488/561 Main Beam Splitter. PI Fluorescence from PI and the reporter (mCITRINE) were detected to localize expression with a photomultiplier tube (PMT) detector and a GaAsP (gallium arsenide phosphide photomultiplier tube) 32-channels spectral detector (with about two times higher sensitivity than the PMT, enabling detection of even poorly expressed reporters), respectively. 3D projections and orthogonal views were generated using FIJI/Image J (Schindelin et al., 2012), including image-wide adjustments of brightness and contrast for each channel before merging to ensure that both signals from PI and the fluorescent protein reporter could be easily seen in all displayed images.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (VR), the Swedish Research Council for Research and Innovation for Sustainable Growth (VINNOVA), the K & A Wallenberg Foundation, the Carl Trygger Foundation, and the Carl Kempe Foundation awarded to C.B, together with grants from the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (European Regional Development Fund-Project "Plants as a tool for sustainable global development" No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000827), and the Czech Science Foundation (Project No. 19-00973S) awarded to O.N.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors sincerely thank Hana Martínková and Petra Amakorová for their help with phytohormone analyses, Nicolas Delhomme and Iryna Shutava from the UPSC bioinformatic platform for their advice and help with the RNA-Seq data analysis and *cis*-regulatory motif search.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Methodology, A.L., C.B., A.D. Investigation, A.L., A.D., Z.R., S.A., S.E., O.N. Writing – original Draft, A.L., A.D. Writing –Review & Editing, A.L., C.B.; Conceptualization, A.L. C.B.; Supervision, C.B.; Funding Acquisition, C.B., H.T., O.N. and M.S.

REFERENCES

Acosta, I. F., Gasperini, D., Chételat, A., Stolz, S., Santuari, L., and Farmer, E. E.

(2013). Role of NINJA in root jasmonate signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A

110:15473–15478.

An, C., Li, L., Zhai, Q., You, Y., Deng, L., Wu, F., Chen, R., Jiang, H., Wang, H., Chen,

Q., et al. (2017). Mediator subunit MED25 links the jasmonate receptor to

transcriptionally active chromatin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A **114**:E8930–E8939.

Andersen, T. G., Naseer, S., Ursache, R., Wybouw, B., Smet, W., De Rybel, B., Vermeer,

J. E. M., and Geldner, N. (2018). Diffusible repression of cytokinin signalling produces

endodermal symmetry and passage cells. Nature **555**:529–533.

Antoniadi, I., Plačková, L., Simonovik, B., Doležal, K., Turnbull, C., Ljung, K., and

Novák, O. (2015). Cell-type-specific cytokinin distribution within the arabidopsis

primary root apex. Plant Cell **27**:1955–1967.

Asahina, M., Azuma, K., Pitaksaringkarn, W., Yamazaki, T., Mitsuda, N., Ohme-

Takagi, M., Yamaguchi, S., Kamiya, Y., Okada, K., Nishimura, T., et al. (2011).

Spatially selective hormonal control of RAP2.6L and ANAC071 transcription factors

involved in tissue reunion in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A **108**:16128–

16132.

Bellini, C., Pacurar, D. I., and Perrone, I. (2014). Adventitious Roots and Lateral Roots:

Similarities and Differences. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. **65**:639–666.

Campos, M. L., Yoshida, Y., Major, I. T., De Oliveira Ferreira, D., Weraduwage, S. M.,

Froehlich, J. E., Johnson, B. F., Kramer, D. M., Jander, G., Sharkey, T. D., et al.

(2016). Rewiring of jasmonate and phytochrome B signalling uncouples plant growth-

defense tradeoffs. Nat. Commun. **7**:1–10.

Causier, B., Ashworth, M., Guo, W., and Davies, B. (2012). The TOPLESS interactome: A

framework for gene repression in Arabidopsis. Plant Phys. **158**:423–438.

Che, P., Lall, S., Nettleton, D., and Howell, S. H. (2006). Gene expression programs during

shoot, root, and callus development in Arabidopsis tissue culture. Plant Phys. **141**:620–

637.

Chen, R., Jiang, H., Li, L., Zhai, Q., Qi, L., Zhou, W., Liu, X., Li, H., Zheng, W., Sun, J.,

et al. (2012). The arabidopsis Mediator subunit MED25 differentially regulates

jasmonate and abscisic acid signaling through interacting with the MYC2 and ABI5

transcription factors. Plant Cell **24**:2898–2916.

Chini, A., Fonseca, S., Fernández, G., Adie, B., Chico, J. M., Lorenzo, O., García-

Casado, G., López-Vidriero, I., Lozano, F. M., Ponce, M. R., et al. (2007). The JAZ

family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. Nature **448**:666–671.

Chini, A., Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Goossens, A., and Solano, R. (2016). Redundancy and

specificity in jasmonate signalling. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. **33**:147–156.

Clough, S. J., and Bent, A. F. (1998). Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-

mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. **16**:735–743.

De Rybel, B., Vassileva, V., Parizot, B., Demeulenaere, M., Grunewald, W., Audenaert,

D., Van Campenhout, J., Overvoorde, P., Jansen, L., Vanneste, S., et al. (2010). A

novel Aux/IAA28 signaling cascade activates GATA23-dependent specification of

lateral root founder cell identity. Curr. Biol. **20**:1697–1706.

Floková, K., Tarkowská, D., Miersch, O., Strnad, M., Wasternack, C., and Novák, O.

(2014). UHPLC-MS/MS based target profiling of stress-induced phytohormones.

Phytochemistry **105**:147–157.

Fonseca, S., Chini, A., Hamberg, M., Adie, B., Porzel, A., Kramell, R., Miersch, O.,

Wasternack, C., and Solano, R. (2009). (+)-7-iso-Jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine is the

endogenous bioactive jasmonate. Nat. Chem. Biol. **5**:344–350.

Gasperini, D., Chételat, A., Acosta, I. F., Goossens, J., Pauwels, L., Goossens, A., Dreos,

R., Alfonso, E., and Farmer, E. E. (2015). Multilayered Organization of Jasmonate

Signalling in the Regulation of Root Growth. PLoS Genet. **11**:1–27.

Godoy, M., Franco-Zorrilla, J. M., Pérez-Pérez, J., Oliveros, J. C., Lorenzo, Ó., and

Solano, R. (2011). Improved protein-binding microarrays for the identification of DNA-

binding specificities of transcription factors. Plant J. **66**:700–711.

Guo, Q., Yoshida, Y., Major, I. T., Wang, K., Sugimoto, K., Kapali, G., Havko, N. E.,

Benning, C., and Howe, G. A. (2018). JAZ repressors of metabolic defense promote

growth and reproductive fitness in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A

115:E10768–E10777.

Gutierrez, L., Bussell, J. D., Pacurar, D. I, Schwambach, J., Pacurar, M., and Bellini, C.

(2009). Phenotypic Plasticity of Adventitious Rooting in Arabidopsis Is Controlled by

Complex Regulation of AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR Transcripts and MicroRNA

Abundance. Plant Cell **21**:3119–3132.

Gutierrez, L., Mongelard, G., Floková, K., Păcurar, D. I, Novák, O., Staswick, P.,

Kowalczyk, M., Păcurar, M., Demailly, H., Geiss, G., et al. (2012). Auxin Controls

Arabidopsis Adventitious Root Initiation by Regulating Jasmonic Acid Homeostasis.

Plant Cell **24**:2515–2527.

Heyman, J., Cools, T., Vandenbussche, F., Heyndrickx, K. S., Van Leene, J.,

Vercauteren, I., Vanderauwera, S., Vandepoele, K., De Jaeger, G., Van Der

Straeten, D., et al. (2013). ERF115 controls root quiescent center cell division and stem

cell replenishment. Science **342**:860–863.

Heyman, J., Cools, T., Canher, B., Shavialenka, S., Traas, J., Vercauteren, I., Van Den

Daele, H., Persiau, G., De Jaeger, G., Sugimoto, K., et al. (2016). The heterodimeric

transcription factor complex ERF115-PAT1 grants regeneration competence. Nat. Plants

2:1–7.

Heyman, J., Canher, B., Bisht, A., Christiaens, F., and De Veylder, L. (2018). Emerging

role of the plant ERF transcription factors in coordinating wound defense responses and

repair. J. Cell Sci. **131**:1-8

Ikeuchi, M., Iwase, A., Rymen, B., Lambolez, A., Kojima, M., Takebayashi, Y., Heyman,

J., Watanabe, S., Seo, M., De Veylder, L., et al. (2017). Wounding triggers callus

formation via dynamic hormonal and transcriptional changes. Plant Phys. **175**:1158–

1174.

Ikeuchi, M., Shibata, M., Rymen, B., Iwase, A., Bgman, A. M., Watt, L., Coleman, D.,

Favero, D. S., Takahashi, T., Ahnert, S. E., et al. (2018). A Gene Regulatory Network

for Cellular Reprogramming in Plant Regeneration. Plant Cell Physiol. **59**:765–777.

Ikeuchi, M., Favero, D. S., Sakamoto, Y., Iwase, A., Coleman, D., Rymen, B., and

Sugimoto, K. (2019). Molecular Mechanisms of Plant Regeneration. Annu. Rev. Plant

Biol. **70**:377–406.

Kagale, S., Links, M. G., and Rozwadowski, K. (2010). Genome-wide analysis of ethylene-

responsive element binding factor-associated amphiphilic repression motif-containing

transcriptional regulators in arabidopsis. Plant Phys. **152**:1109–1134.

Kong, X., Tian, H., Yu, Q., Zhang, F., Wang, R., Gao, S., Xu, W., Liu, J., Shani, E., Fu,

C., et al. (2018). PHB3 Maintains Root Stem Cell Niche Identity through ROS-

Responsive AP2/ERF Transcription Factors in Arabidopsis. Cell Rep. **22**:1350–1363.

Kumar S., Stecher G., Li M., Knyaz C., and Tamura K. (2018). MEGA X: Molecular

Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**:1547-

1549.

Kuroha, T., Tokunaga, H., Kojima, M., Ueda, N., Ishida, T., Nagawa, S., Fukuda, H.,

Sugimoto, K., and Sakakibara, H. (2009). Functional analyses of LONELY GUY

cytokinin-activating enzymes reveal the importance of the direct activation pathway in

Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **21**:3152–3169.

Lakehal, A., and Bellini, C. (2018). Control of adventitious root formation: insights into

synergistic and antagonistic hormonal interactions. Physiol Plant. **165**:90–100.

Lakehal, A., Chaabouni, S., Cavel, E., Le Hir, R., Ranjan, A., Raneshan, Z., Novák, O.,

Păcurar, D. I., Perrone, I., Jobert, F., et al. (2019a). A Molecular Framework for the

Control of Adventitious Rooting by TIR1/AFB2-Aux/IAA-Dependent Auxin Signaling

in Arabidopsis. Mol. Plant **12**:1499–1514.

Lakehal, A., Dob, A., Novák, O., and Bellini, C. (2019b). A DAO1-Mediated Circuit

Controls Auxin and Jasmonate Crosstalk Robustness during Adventitious Root Initiation

in Arabidopsis. Int. J. Mol. Sci. **20**:4428.

Lakehal, A., Ranjan, A., and Bellini, C. (2020). Multiple Roles of Jasmonates in Shaping

Rhizotaxis: Emerging Integrators. In: Champion A., Laplaze L. (eds) Jasmonate in Plant

Biology. Methods Mol. Biol., Humana, New York, NY **2085**:3–22.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat.

Methods **9**:357–359.

Li, B., and Dewey, C. N. (2014). RSEM: Accurate transcript quantification from RNA-seq

data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics **12**:41–74.

Mehrnia, M., Balazadeh, S., Zanor, M. I., and Mueller-Roeber, B. (2013). EBE, an

AP2/ERF transcription factor highly expressed in proliferating cells, affects shoot

architecture in Arabidopsis. Plant Phys. **162**:842–857.

Miyawaki, K., Tarkowski, P., Matsumoto-Kitano, M., Kato, T., Sato, S., Tarkowska, D.,

Tabata, S., Sandberg, G., and Kakimoto, T. (2006). Roles of Arabidopsis ATP/ADP

isopentenyltransferases and tRNA isopentenyltransferases in cytokinin biosynthesis.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A **103**:16598–16603.

Nakano, T., Suzuki, K., Fujimura, T., and Shinshi, H. (2006). Genome-wide analysis of

the ERF gene family in arabidopsis and rice. Plant Phys. **140**:411–432.

Pauwels, L., Barbero, G. F., Geerinck, J., Tilleman, S., Grunewald, W., Pérez, A. C.,

Chico, J. M., Bossche, R. Vanden, Sewell, J., Gil, E., et al. (2010). NINJA connects

the co-repressor TOPLESS to jasmonate signalling. Nature **464**:788–791.

Rittenberg D., Foster. G. L. (1940). A new procedure for quantitative analysis by Isotope

dilution, with application to the determination of amino acids and fatty acids. J. Biol.

Chem. **133**:737–744.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,

Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source

platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. methods **9**:676–82.

Sheard, L. B., Tan, X., Mao, H., Withers, J., Ben-Nissan, G., Hinds, T. R., Kobayashi,
Y., Hsu, F. F., Sharon, M., Browse, J., et al. (2010). Jasmonate perception by inositol-
phosphate-potentiated COI1-JAZ co-receptor. Nature **468**:400–407.

Shyu, C., Figueroa, P., DePew, C. L., Cooke, T. F., Sheard, L. B., Moreno, J. E., Katsir,
L., Zheng, N., Browse, J., and Howe, G. A. (2012). JAZ8 Lacks a Canonical Degron
and Has an EAR Motif That Mediates Transcriptional Repression of Jasmonate
Responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **24**:536–550.

Smolen, G. A., Pawlowski, L., Wilensky, S. E., and Bender, J. (2002). Dominant alleles of
the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor ATR2 activate stress-responsive genes in
arabidopsis. Genetics **161**:1235–1246.

Sorin, C., Bussell, J. D., Camus, I., Ljung, K., Kowalczyk, M., Geiss, G., McKhann, H.,
Garcion, C., Vaucheret, H., Sandberg, G., et al. (2005). Auxin and Light Control of
Adventitious Rooting in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **17**:1343–1359.

Staswick, P. E., Sut, W., and Howell, S. H. (1992). Methyl jasmonate inhibition of root
growth and induction of a leaf protein are decreased in an Arabidopsis thaliana mutant.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **89**:6837–6840.

Steffens, B., and Rasmussen, A. (2016). The Physiology of Adventitious Roots. Plant Phys.
170:603–617.

Sukumar, P., Maloney, G. S., and Muday, G. K. (2013). Localized Induction of the ATP-
Binding Cassette B19 Auxin Transporter Enhances Adventitious Root Formation in
Arabidopsis. Plant Phys. **162**:1392–1405.

Svačinová, J., Novák, O., Plačková, L., Lenobel, R., Holík, J., Strnad, M., and Doležal,
K. (2012). A new approach for cytokinin isolation from Arabidopsis tissues using
miniaturized purification: pipette tip solid-phase extraction. Plant Methods **8**:1–14.

Tarazona S., Garcıa-Alcalde F., Dopazo J., Ferrer A., and Conesa A. (2011). Differential
expression in RNA-seq: A matter of depth. Genome Res. **21**:2213–2223.

Thines, B., Katsir, L., Melotto, M., Niu, Y., Mandaokar, A., Liu, G., Nomura, K., He, S.
Y., Howe, G. A., and Browse, J. (2007). JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the
SCFCOI1 complex during jasmonate signalling. Nature **448**:661–665.

Thireault, C., Shyu, C., Yoshida, Y., St. Aubin, B., Campos, M. L., and Howe, G. A.
(2015). Repression of jasmonate signaling by a non-TIFY JAZ protein in Arabidopsis.
Plant J. **82**:669–679.

Wang, Z. P., Xing, H. L., Dong, L., Zhang, H. Y., Han, C. Y., Wang, X. C., and Chen, Q.
J. (2015). Egg cell-specific promoter-controlled CRISPR/Cas9 efficiently generates

homozygous mutants for multiple target genes in Arabidopsis in a single generation.

Genome Biol. **16**:1–12.

Wasternack, C., and Hause, B. (2013). Jasmonates: Biosynthesis, perception, signal

transduction and action in plant stress response, growth and development. An update to

the 2007 review in Annals of Botany. Ann. Bot. **111**:1021–1058.

Werner, T., Motyka, V., Laucou, V., Smets, R., Van Onckelen, H., and Schmülling, T.

(2003). Cytokinin-Deficient Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants Show Multiple

Developmental Alterations Indicating Opposite Functions of Cytokinins in the

Regulation of Shoot and Root Meristem Activity. Plant Cell **15**:2532–2550.

Xie, D. X., Feys, B. F., James, S., Nieto-Rostro, M., and Turner, J. G. (1998). COI1: An

Arabidopsis gene required for jasmonate-regulated defense and fertility. Science

280:1091–1094.

Xing, H.-L., Wang, Z.-P., Zhang, H.-Y., Han, C.-Y., Liu, B., Wang, X.-C., Chen, Q.-J.,

and Dong, L. (2014). A CRISPR/Cas9 toolkit for multiplex genome editing in plants.

BMC Plant Biol. **14**:372.

Yan, Y., Stolz, S., Chételat, A., Reymond, P., Pagni, M., Dubugnon, L., and Farmer, E.

E. (2007). A downstream mediator in the growth repression limb of the jasmonate

pathway. Plant Cell **19**:2470–2483.

Yang, S., Poretska, O., and Sieberer, T. (2018). ALTERED MERISTEM PROGRAM1

restricts shoot meristem proliferation and regeneration by limiting HD-ZIP III-mediated

expression of RAP2.6l. Plant Phys. **177**:1580–1594.

Zhang, F., Yao, J., Ke, J., Zhang, L., Lam, V. Q., Xin, X. F., Zhou, X. E., Chen, J.,

Brunzelle, J., Griffin, P. R., et al. (2015). Structural basis of JAZ repression of MYC

transcription factors in jasmonate signalling. Nature **525**:269–273.

Zhang, G., Zhao, F., Chen, L., Pan, Y., Sun, L., Bao, N., Zhang, T., Cui, C. X., Qiu, Z.,

Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Jasmonate-mediated wound signalling promotes plant

regeneration. Nat. Plants **5**:491–497.

Zhou, W., Lozano-Torres, J. L., Blilou, I., Zhang, X., Zhai, Q., Smant, G., Li, C., and

Scheres, B. (2019). A Jasmonate Signaling Network Activates Root Stem Cells and

Promotes Regeneration. Cell **177**:942-956.e14.

Zhu, Z., An, F., Feng, Y., Li, P., Xue, L., A, M., Jiang, Z., Kim, J.-M., To, T. K., Li, W.,

et al. (2011). Derepression of ethylene-stabilized transcription factors (EIN3/EIL1)

mediates jasmonate and ethylene signaling synergy in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. U S A **108**:12539–12544.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: A genetic model for the action of JA signaling components during ARI. With a low auxin signaling input, the JA pool increases in the hypocotyl. This triggers degradation of the targeted JAZs, thereby releasing transcriptional activity of the MYC2, 3, 4 and inhibiting ARI. With a high auxin signaling input, the JA pool decreases in the hypocotyl, thereby repressing the MYC-mediated JA signaling machinery and increasing ARI (Gutierrez et al., 2012; Lakehal et al., 2019a).

Figure 2: JA signaling inhibits ARI in NINJA-dependent and -independent manners.

(A) Average number of ARs observed in indicated multiple *jaz* mutants and wild-type (Col-0) seedlings. Data are pooled and averaged numbers observed in three biological replicates of at least 40 seedlings. One-way ANOVA combined with Tukey's multiple comparison post-tests showed that the *jaz1jaz3jaz4jaz9jaz10* produced significantly more ARs than wild-type plants. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40; P < 0.05).

(B) Average number of ARs produced by JA signaling mutants. A non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test indicated that mutations in the *MYC2* or *NINJA* genes result in significant differences in AR number, relative to wild-type numbers. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40; P < 0.02). Values marked with asterisks significantly differ from corresponding wild-type values and those marked with hash signs significantly differ from values obtained for the single *ninja-1 or ninja-2* mutants.

(C) Lateral root density of JA signaling mutants and wild-type seedlings grown in AR phenotyping conditions. One-way ANOVA combined with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test indicated that the *myc2-322B* and *ninja-1myc2-322B* mutants had slightly lower and slightly higher than wild-type LR densities, respectively. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40; P < 0.05).

(D) to (E) Representative photos of (D) wild-type and (E) *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant seedlings. Scale bars represent 6 mm. Arrowheads indicate hypocotyl-root junctions (white) or ARs (red).

Figure 3: NINJA-dependent JA signaling inhibit ARI in pericycle cells.

(A) to (E) Spatiotemporal activity patterns of the *NINJA* and *MYC2* promoters, left and right,

respectively in each panel. Seedlings expressing the *pNINJA:GUSplus* or *pMYC2:GUSplus*

constructs were grown in the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long (T0) (A) then either

kept in the dark for 9 h (T9D) (B) and 24 h (T24D) (C) or transferred to the light for 9 h (T9L)

(D) or 24 h (T24L) (E). Scale bars represent 6 mm.

(F) to (H) Representative images of etiolated hypocotyls expressing

pNINJA:NINJA:mCITRINE (F), *pGATA23:NINJA:mCITRINE* (G)*,* and

pXPP:NINJA:mCITRINE (H) of seedlings grown in darkness until their hypocotyls were 6-7

mm long. The cell walls were counterstained magenta with propidium iodide (PI). Orthogonal

views from epidermis to vasculature are shown in the top panels. Z-projections of the hypocotyl

volume around the vasculature are shown in the bottom panels. The following cell types can be

distinguished: Epidermis (Ep), Cortex (Co), Endodermis (En), Pericycle (Pe) and Xylem (Xy).

In orthogonal views, the two protoxylem elements allow deduction of the direction of the xylem

axis and thus the position of the xylem-pole pericycle. Arrowheads indicate signals in xylem-

pole pericycle cells in green.

(I) Average numbers of ARs produced by the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant, two

independent transgenic lines expressing *pXPP:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1myc2-322B* or

pGATA23:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1myc2-322B and wild-type (Col-0) seedlings. A non-

parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison post-test indicated that

pXPP:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1myc2-322B (#14.7 and #11.3) and

pGATA23:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1myc2-322B (#2.4 and #5.8) produced significantly more

ARs than the *ninja1myc2-322B* double mutant. Error bars indicate $\pm$ SEM (n $\geq$ 30; P < 0.006).

Figure 4: RNA-Seq revealed several DEGs between the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant

and wild-type seedlings.

(A) Schematic representation of the RNA-Seq experiment. Total RNA was extracted from

hypocotyls of *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant and wild-type seedlings grown in the dark until

their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long (T0), and after their transfer to the light for 9 h (T9) or 24

h (T24).

(B) Venn diagram summarizing the DEGs between *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant and wild-

type seedlings. (C) Enrichment of G-box (CACGTG, CACATG) or G-box-like (AACGTG,

CATGTG CACGCG or CACGAG) motifs in the DEGs. Colors indicate upregulated genes

(red) or downregulated genes (blue) containing at least one of the motifs. The gray color

indicates the remaining DEGs, containing none of the mentioned motifs.

(D) Heatmap of expression of the 13 *JAZ* genes. The map is based on fold-differences (log$_2$) in

transcript abundance (based on RNA-Seq data) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant samples

relative to the abundance in wild-type samples. Colors indicate upregulated genes (red) and

downregulated genes (blue) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant relative to expression levels

in wild-type seedlings. Values marked with asterisks are statistically significant.

(E) Heatmap of expression selected JA biosynthesis genes. The map is based on fold-

differences (log$_2$) in transcript abundance (based on RNA-Seq data) in *ninja-1myc2-322B*

double mutant samples relative to the abundance in wild-type samples. Colors indicate

upregulated genes (red) and downregulated genes (blue) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* relative to wild-

type expression levels. Values marked with asterisks are statistically significant.

(F) to (H) Endogenous jasmonate contents. (F) *cis*-OPDA, (G) free JA and (H) JA-Ile contents

of hypocotyls of *ninja-1myc2-322B* and wild-type seedlings grown in the dark until their

hypocotyls were 6 mm long (T0) and after their transfer to the light for 9 h (T9) and 24 h (T24).

Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the mutant lines and wild-type

plants according to ANOVA analysis (*, **, and *** indicate p values of $0.05 > p > 0.01$, 0.01

$> p > 0.001$, and $p < 0.001$, respectively). Error bars indicate $\pm$ SD of six biological replicates.

Figure 5: *ERF113*, *ERF114* and *ERF115* are induced by JA signaling.

(A) Heatmap of expression of the subgroup X *ERF family* members. The map is based on fold-

differences (log$_2$) in transcript abundance (based on RNA-Seq data) in *ninja-1myc2-322B*

double mutant samples relative to the abundance in wild-type samples. Colors indicate

upregulated genes (red) or downregulated genes (blue) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* relative to wild

type expression levels. Values marked with asterisks are statistically significant.

(B) Phylogenetic tree of subgroup X of the AP2/ERF protein family derived from protein

sequence alignment by the maximum likelihood method using MEGA X software (Kumar et

al., 2018).

(C) Validation by qRT-PCR of mutation-induced shifts in *ERF113*, *ERF114* and *ERF115*

expression profiles in the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant (abundance of transcripts, in log10

scale, at indicated time points relative to their abundance in wild-type seedlings, which was

arbitrarily set to 1). Error bars indicate $\pm$SE obtained from three independent technical

replicates. Asterisks mark significance differences between the genotypes according to a *t*-test

(P < 0.001, n = 3). The experiment was repeated twice with independent biological replicates and gave similar results.

Figure 6: The *ERF115* gene is an inhibitor of ARI.

(A) Average numbers of AR produced by *erf* mutants and wild-type seedlings. One-way ANOVA combined with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test indicated that only the triple mutant *rap2-6lerf114Cerf115* significantly differed in this respect from wild-type (Col-0) plants. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40, P < 0.001).

(B) Average numbers of ARs produced by *35S:ERF115* and *pERF115:ERF115:SRDX* relative to numbers produced by wild-type plants. Data from two independent biological replicates, each of at least 40 seedlings, were pooled and averaged. A non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test indicated that numbers of ARs produced by the transgenic and wild-type plants significantly differed. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40, P < 0.02).

(C) LR density of *35S:ERF115* and *pERF115:ERF115:SRDX* lines and wild type plants in AR phenotyping conditions. *35S:ERF115* mutants had significantly lower LR density than wild-type plants according to one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

(D) Spatiotemporal activity pattern of the *ERF115* promoter, as shown by seedlings expressing the *pERF115:GUS* construct grown in the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long (T0), and 24 h (T24L) after either transfer to the light or further growth in the dark (T24D). Scale bars represent 6 mm.

(E) Validation by qRT-PCR of *ERF115* expression patterns in wild-type plants. Presented gene expression values are relative (in log10 scale) to the expression at T0, for which the value was arbitrarily set to 1. Error bars indicate ±SE obtained from three independent technical replicates. A *t*-test indicated that values indicated by an Asterisks indicate values that significantly differ from the T0 values (P < 0.001, n = 3). The experiment was repeated twice with independent biological replicates and gave similar results.

(F) to (H) Representative photos of (F) wild-type, (G) *35S:ERF115*, and (H) *pERF115:ERF115:SRDX* seedlings.

(I) to (J) Representative photos of wild-type and *35S:ERF115* seedlings grown in the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long, then transferred to fresh medium containing either mock solution or 1 μM naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) for seven more days under long-day conditions to induce ARs. Arrowheads indicate hypocotyl-root junctions (white) or ARs (red). Scale bars represent 6 mm.

894 (K) Average numbers of ARs produced by wild-type and *35S:ERF115* plants in response to
895 NAA. Wild-type seedlings produced significantly more ARs after NAA treatment than after
896 mock-treatment according to a Mann-Whitney test (n ≥ 40, *P* < 0.0001), but NAA treatment
897 had no significant effect on AR production by *35S:ERF115* plants. Error bars indicate ± SEM.
898

Figure 7: Cytokinins inhibit ARI downstream of *ERF115*.

(A) Average numbers of ARs produced by wild-type (Col-0) seedlings, which were grown in
the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long, then transferred to fresh medium containing
either mock solution or solutions with indicated concentrations of 6-Benzylaminopurine (6-
BA). The seedlings were kept for seven more days under long-day conditions to induce ARs.
Seedlings treated with 0.25 µM or 0.5 µM 6-BA significantly differed from the mock-treated
controls, according to a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple
comparison test. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40, P < 0.004).
(B) to (D) Average numbers of ARs produced by wild-type plants and: (B) *ipt3ipt5ipt7* triple
mutants defective in CK biosynthesis, (C) *35S:CKX1 CYTOKININ OXIDASE1*-overexpressing
plants, which have reduced CK contents due to increased rates of degradation, and (D) CK
signaling mutants.
(E) Relative amounts of *ARR5* and *ARR7* transcripts quantified by qRT-PCR. Total RNA was
extracted from hypocotyls of *35S:ERF115* and the wild-type seedlings grown in AR-inducing
conditions, as outlined above, at T0 (at the end of the dark incubation) and T24 (24 hours later).
The gene expression values are relative to wild-type values, which were arbitrarily set to 1. The
Y axis scale is a $\log_{10}$ scale. Error bars indicate ± SEM obtained from three technical replicates.
Asterisks indicate values that significantly differ from wild-type values according to a *t*-test (P
< 0.001, n = 3). The experiment was repeated once with an independent biological replicate and
gave similar results.
(F) Endogenous contents of active CK bases. The CK bases were quantified in the hypocotyls
of *35S:ERF115* and the wild-type seedlings grown in the dark until they were 6-7 mm long
(T0) and after their transfer to the light for 9 h (T9) or 24 h (T24). Asterisks indicate statistically
significant differences between mutant and wild-type plants according to ANOVA (*, **, and
*** indicate P-values of 0.05 > P > 0.01, 0.01 > P > 0.001, and P < 0.001, respectively). Error
bars indicate ± SD of six biological replicates.
(G) Average numbers of ARs produced by *35S:ERF115* plants, *35S:ERF115* plants
overexpressing *CKX1* from a *35S:CKX1* construct and the *ipt3,5,7* triple mutant overexpressing
ERF115 from a *35S:ERF115* construct. Numbers produced by the multiple mutants

significantly differed from numbers produced by *35S:ERF115* plants according to a non-
parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test. Error bars
indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40, P < 0.0001).
931
932
933

Figure 1: A genetic model for the action of JA signaling components during ARI. With a

low auxin signaling input, the JA pool increases in the hypocotyl. This triggers degradation of

the targeted JAZs, thereby releasing transcriptional activity of the MYC2, 3, 4 and inhibiting

ARI. With a high auxin signaling input, the JA pool decreases in the hypocotyl, thereby

repressing the MYC-mediated JA signaling machinery and increasing ARI (Gutierrez et al.,

2012; Lakehal et al., 2019a).

Figure 2: JA signaling inhibits ARI in NINJA-dependent and -independent manners.

(A) Average number of ARs observed in indicated multiple *jaz* mutants and wild-type (Col-0) seedlings. Data are pooled and averaged numbers observed in three biological replicates of at least 40 seedlings. One-way ANOVA combined with Tukey's multiple comparison post-tests showed that the *jaz1jaz3jaz4jaz9jaz10* produced significantly more ARs than wild-type plants. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40; $P < 0.05$). (B) Average number of ARs produced by JA signaling mutants. A non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple

comparison test indicated that mutations in the *MYC2* or *NINJA* genes result in significant differences in AR number, relative to wild-type numbers. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40; P < 0.02). Values marked with asterisks significantly differ from corresponding wild-type values and those marked with hash signs significantly differ from values obtained for the single *ninja-1 or ninja-2* mutants. (C) Lateral root density of JA signaling mutants and wild-type seedlings grown in AR phenotyping conditions. One-way ANOVA combined with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test indicated that the *myc2-322B* and *ninja-1myc2-322B* mutants had slightly lower and slightly higher than wild-type LR densities, respectively. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40; P < 0.05). (D-E) Representative photos of (D) wild-type and (E) *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant seedlings. Scale bars represent 6 mm. Arrowheads indicate hypocotyl-root junctions (white) or ARs (red).

Figure 3: NINJA-dependent JA signaling inhibit ARI in pericycle cells.

(A) to (E) Spatiotemporal activity patterns of the *NINJA* and *MYC2* promoters, left and right, respectively in each panel. Seedlings expressing the *pNINJA:GUSplus* or *pMYC2:GUSplus* constructs were grown in the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long (T0) (A) then either kept in the dark for 9 h (T9D)(B) and 24 h (T24D)(C) or transferred to the light for 9 h (T9L)(D) or 24 h (T24L)(E). Scale bars represent 6 mm.

(F) to (H) Representative images of etiolated hypocotyls expressing *pNINJA:NINJA:mCITRINE* (F), *pGATA23:NINJA:mCITRINE* (G)*,* and *pXPP:NINJA:mCITRINE* (H) of seedlings grown in darkness until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long. The cell walls were counterstained magenta with propidium iodide (PI). Orthogonal

views from epidermis to vasculature are shown in the top panels. Z-projections of the hypocotyl

volume around the vasculature are shown in the bottom panels. The following cell types can be

distinguished: Epidermis (Ep), Cortex (Co), Endodermis (En), Pericycle (Pe) and Xylem (Xy).

In orthogonal views, the two protoxylem elements allow deduction of the direction of the xylem

axis and thus the position of the xylem-pole pericycle. Arrowheads indicate signals in xylem-

pole pericycle cells in green.

(I) Average numbers of ARs produced by the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant, two

independent transgenic lines expressing *pXPP:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1myc2-322B*   or

pGATA23:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1myc2-322B   and wild-type (Col-0) seedlings. A non-

parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison post-test indicated that

pXPP:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1myc2-322B (#14.7 and #11.3) and

pGATA23:NINJA:mCITRINE/ninja-1myc2-322B (#2.4 and #5.8) produced significantly more

ARs than the *ninja1myc2-322B* double mutant. Error bars indicate $\pm$ SEM (n $\geq$ 30; P < 0.006).

1044

 Col-0 **ninja-1myc2-322B**

Figure 4: RNA-Seq revealed several DEGs between the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant and wild-type seedlings.

(A) Schematic representation of the RNA-Seq experiment. Total RNA was extracted from hypocotyls of *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant and wild-type seedlings grown in the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long (T0), and after their transfer to the light for 9 h (T9) or 24 h (T24).

(B) Venn diagram summarizing the DEGs between *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant and wild-type seedlings. (C) Enrichment of G-box (CACGTG, CACATG) or G-box-like (AACGTG, CATGTG CACGCG or CACGAG) motifs in the DEGs. Colors indicate upregulated genes (red) or downregulated genes (blue) containing at least one of the motifs. The gray color indicates the remaining DEGs, containing none of the mentioned motifs.

(D) Heatmap of expression of the 13 *JAZ* genes. The map is based on fold-differences (log_2) in transcript abundance (based on RNA-Seq data) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant samples relative to the abundance in wild-type samples. Colors indicate upregulated genes (red) and downregulated genes (blue) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant relative to expression levels in wild-type seedlings. Values marked with asterisks are statistically significant.

(E) Heatmap of expression selected JA biosynthesis genes. The map is based on fold-differences (log_2) in transcript abundance (based on RNA-Seq data) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant samples relative to the abundance in wild-type samples. Colors indicate upregulated genes (red) and downregulated genes (blue) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* relative to wild-type expression levels. Values marked with asterisks are statistically significant.

(F) to (H) Endogenous jasmonate contents. (F) *cis*-OPDA, (G) free JA and (H) JA-Ile contents of hypocotyls of *ninja-1myc2-322B* and wild-type seedlings grown in the dark until their hypocotyls were 6 mm long (T0) and after their transfer to the light for 9 h (T9) and 24 h (T24). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the mutant lines and wild-type plants according to ANOVA analysis (*, **, and *** indicate p values of $0.05 > p > 0.01$, $0.01 > p > 0.001$, and $p < 0.001$, respectively). Error bars indicate $\pm$ SD of six biological replicates.

Figure 5: *ERF113*, *ERF114* and *ERF115* are induced by JA signaling.

(A) Heatmap of expression of the subgroup X *ERF family* members. The map is based on fold-differences ($\log_2$) in transcript abundance (based on RNA-Seq data) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant samples relative to the abundance in wild-type samples. Colors indicate upregulated genes (red) or downregulated genes (blue) in *ninja-1myc2-322B* relative to wild type expression levels. Values marked with asterisks are statistically significant.

(B) Phylogenetic tree of subgroup X of the AP2/ERF protein family derived from protein sequence alignment by the maximum likelihood method using MEGA X software (Kumar et al., 2018).

(C) Validation by qRT-PCR of mutation-induced shifts in *ERF113*, *ERF114* and *ERF115* expression profiles in the *ninja-1myc2-322B* double mutant (abundance of transcripts, in log10 scale, at indicated time points relative to their abundance in wild-type seedlings, which was arbitrarily set to 1). Error bars indicate ±SE obtained from three independent technical replicates. Asterisks mark significance differences between the genotypes according to a *t*-test

1108   (P < 0.001, n = 3). The experiment was repeated twice with independent biological replicates

1109   and gave similar results.

1110

1111

1112

1113

Figure 6: The *ERF115* gene is an inhibitor of ARI.

(A) Average numbers of AR produced by *erf* mutants and wild-type seedlings. One-way ANOVA combined with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test indicated that only the triple mutant *rap2-6lerf114Cerf115* significantly differed in this respect from wild-type (Col-0) plants. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40, P < 0.001).

(B) Average numbers of ARs produced by *35S:ERF115* and *pERF115:ERF115:SRDX* relative to numbers produced by wild-type plants. Data from two independent biological replicates, each of at least 40 seedlings, were pooled and averaged. A non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test indicated that numbers of ARs produced by the transgenic and wild-type plants significantly differed. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40, P < 0.02).

(C) LR density of *35S:ERF115* and *pERF115:ERF115:SRDX* lines and wild type plants in AR

phenotyping conditions. *35S:ERF115* mutants had significantly lower LR density than wild-

type plants according to one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

(D) Spatiotemporal activity pattern of the *ERF115* promoter, as shown by seedlings expressing

the *pERF115:GUS* construct grown in the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long (T0),

and 24 h (T24L) after either transfer to the light or further growth in the dark (T24D). Scale

bars represent 6 mm.

(E) Validation by qRT-PCR of *ERF115* expression patterns in wild-type plants. Presented gene

expression values are relative (in log10 scale) to the expression at T0, for which the value was

arbitrarily set to 1. Error bars indicate ±SE obtained from three independent technical replicates.

A *t*-test indicated that values indicated by an Asterisks indicate values that significantly differ

from the T0 values ($P < 0.001$, n = 3). The experiment was repeated twice with independent

biological replicates and gave similar results.

(F) to (H) Representative photos of (F) wild-type, (G) *35S:ERF115*, and (H)

pERF115:ERF115:SRDX seedlings.

(I) to (J) Representative photos of wild-type and *35S:ERF115* seedlings grown in the dark until

their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long, then transferred to fresh medium containing either mock

solution or 1 μM naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) for seven more days under long-day conditions

to induce ARs. Arrowheads indicate hypocotyl-root junctions (white) or ARs (red). Scale bars

represent 6 mm.

(K) Average numbers of ARs produced by wild-type and *35S:ERF115* plants in response to

NAA. Wild-type seedlings produced significantly more ARs after NAA treatment than after

mock-treatment according to a Mann-Whitney test (n ≥ 40, *P* < 0.0001), but NAA treatment

had no significant effect on AR production by *35S:ERF115* plants. Error bars indicate ± SEM.

1149

Figure 7: Cytokinins inhibit ARI downstream of *ERF115*.

(A) Average numbers of ARs produced by wild-type (Col-0) seedlings, which were grown in

the dark until their hypocotyls were 6-7 mm long, then transferred to fresh medium containing

either mock solution or solutions with indicated concentrations of 6-Benzylaminopurine (6-

BA). The seedlings were kept for seven more days under long-day conditions to induce ARs.

Seedlings treated with 0.25 µM or 0.5 µM 6-BA significantly differed from the mock-treated

controls, according to a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple

comparison test. Error bars indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40, P < 0.004).

(B) to (D) Average numbers of ARs produced by wild-type plants and: (B) *ipt3ipt5ipt7* triple

mutants defective in CK biosynthesis, (C) *35S:CKX1 CYTOKININ OXIDASE1*-overexpressing

plants, which have reduced CK contents due to increased rates of degradation, and (D) CK

signaling mutants.

(E) Relative amounts of *ARR5* and *ARR7* transcripts quantified by qRT-PCR. Total RNA was

extracted from hypocotyls of *35S:ERF115* and the wild-type seedlings grown in AR-inducing

conditions, as outlined above, at T0 (at the end of the dark incubation) and T24 (24 hours later).

The gene expression values are relative to wild-type values, which were arbitrarily set to 1. The

Y axis scale is a $\log_{10}$ scale. Error bars indicate ± SEM obtained from three technical replicates.

Asterisks indicate values that significantly differ from wild-type values according to a *t*-test (P

< 0.001, n = 3). The experiment was repeated once with an independent biological replicate and

gave similar results.

(F) Endogenous contents of active CK bases. The CK bases were quantified in the hypocotyls

of *35S:ERF115* and the wild-type seedlings grown in the dark until they were 6-7 mm long

(T0) and after their transfer to the light for 9 h (T9) or 24 h (T24). Asterisks indicate statistically

significant differences between mutant and wild-type plants according to ANOVA (*, **, and

*** indicate P-values of 0.05 > P > 0.01, 0.01 > P > 0.001, and P < 0.001, respectively). Error

bars indicate ± SD of six biological replicates.

(G) Average numbers of ARs produced by *35S:ERF115* plants, *35S:ERF115* plants

overexpressing *CKX1* from a *35S:CKX1* construct and the *ipt3,5,7* triple mutant overexpressing

ERF115 from a *35S:ERF115* construct. Numbers produced by the multiple mutants

significantly differed from numbers produced by *35S:ERF115* plants according to a non-

parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test. Error bars

indicate ± SEM (n ≥ 40, P < 0.0001).

1214