1 Title: Bacteria contribute to mosquito development via folate
2 biosynthesis and energy storage
3

4 Authors: Ottavia Romoli[1,*], Johan Claes Schönbeck[1], Siegfried
5 Hapfelmeier[2], and Mathilde Gendrin[1, 3,*]
6
7 Affiliations:
8 [1] Microbiota of Insect Vectors Group, Institut Pasteur de la Guyane, Cayenne, French Guiana,
9 France
10 [2] Institute for Infectious Diseases, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
11 [3] Parasites and Insect Vectors Department, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France
12
13 * Correspondence: mathilde.gendrin@pasteur.fr; oromoli@pasteur-cayenne.fr
14

Abstract

The mosquito microbiota impacts the physiology of its host and is essential for normal larval

development, thereby influencing transmission of vector-borne pathogens. Germ-free

mosquitoes generated with current methods show larval stunting and developmental deficits.

Therefore, functional studies of the mosquito microbiota have so far mostly been limited to

antibiotic treatments of emerging adults. In this study, we developed a novel approach to

produce germ-free *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. It is based on reversible colonisation with

bacteria genetically modified to allow complete decolonisation at the end of larval

development. We show that, unlike germ-free mosquitoes previously produced using sterile

diets, reversibly colonised mosquitoes show no developmental retardation and reach the same

size as control adults. This uniquely allowed us to study the role of bacteria during larval

development by inducing decolonisation at the beginning of the third larval instar. Based on a

transcriptome analysis and diet supplementation experiments, we propose that bacteria support

larval development by contributing to folate biosynthesis and by enhancing energy storage.

Overall, this study provides a new tool to study the microbiota in insects and deepens our

knowledge on the metabolic contribution of bacteria to mosquito development.

Introduction

Vector-borne diseases are estimated to account for 17 % of all cases of infectious diseases worldwide and cause over 700 000 deaths per year [1]. The mosquito *Aedes aegypti* is the main vector for several arboviruses, and it is widely distributed across tropical and neotropical regions. Due to the spread of insecticide resistance, the factors shaping mosquito fitness and vector competence (*i.e.* its permissiveness to virus development) are under study in order to identify alternative strategies for transmission blockade. Among these, the microbial community colonising the mosquito is known to directly impact vector competence and other life-history traits affecting transmission success, including mosquito development, lifespan, mating choice, fecundity and fertility [2–7]. In particular, live bacteria are essential for normal larval development, hindering possibilities for the production of germ-free controls for microbiota studies. Therefore, most studies investigating the mosquito microbiota were based on conventional rearing and use of antibiotics at adult emergence, which may have off-target effects (notably on mitochondria) and does not fully sterilize mosquitoes. In the past, several studies proposed rearing protocols of germ-free larvae, which could not be reproduced in recent experiments [8–10]. Lately, mosquito larvae have been shown to develop in the absence of bacteria when provided an enriched diet embedded in agar plugs rather than food in suspension, but development time is tripled and resultant germ-free mosquitoes are smaller than their conventional controls [10].

The exact contribution of the microbiota to larval development is still a conundrum. It has been proposed that the bacteria growing in the mosquito gut consume oxygen and that the resulting hypoxia is a developmental signal for moulting from one stage to the next [11]. However, successful larval development in fully germ-free conditions challenged this model and metabolic contribution of bacteria to larval development was alternatively hypothesised [10].

We developed a transient bacterial colonisation method to support larval development while

producing fully developed germ-free adults. Using this approach, we were able to support

development within a standard timeline and without affecting adult size, showing that these

germ-free individuals represent a valid tool for mosquito microbiota studies. We further used

transient colonisation during early larval development to characterise the role of bacteria in

larval development using a transcriptomic approach and diet complementation. Our data

indicate that bacteria contribute to folate biosynthesis and energy storage and that folic acid

supports larval development in the absence of a microbiota.

Results

Production of germ-free adult mosquitoes via transient bacterial colonisation

After microbiological sterilisation of mosquito eggs, hatchlings provided with autoclaved

standard rearing diet are able to survive for weeks but remain first-instar larvae. Their

development can be rescued by bacterial colonisation, for instance with *Escherichia coli* [4,10].

Therefore, we hypothesised that transient bacterial colonisation would be an efficient way to

support larval development and produce germ-free adults. To test this, we used HA416, an *E.*

coli HS-derived strain that is auxotrophic for two non-standard amino-acids required for

peptidoglycan synthesis (*meso*-diaminopimelic acid, *m*-DAP, and D-alanine, D-Ala) and that

was previously used to transiently colonise the gut of germ-free mice [12]. We supplemented the

food of gnotobiotic larvae with *m*-DAP and D-Ala to support the proliferation of auxotrophic

E. coli during larval development only (Figure 1A). These supplements were toxic to first instar

larvae when provided at the concentrations previously used *in vitro*, but 4-fold lower

concentrations supported growth of auxotrophic *E. coli* (Supplementary Figure S1) without

causing toxicity in larvae. The auxotrophic *E. coli* strain rescued the development of axenic

larvae with the same efficiency as control wild-type *E. coli* ($p = 0.29$, Figure 1B). The

proportion of larvae reaching adulthood was even 18% higher among gnotobiotic larvae than

among untreated larvae, carrying their conventional microbiota ($F_{2,16} = 7.9$, $p < 0.001$, Figure

1B).

To monitor colonisation efficiency, we quantified bacterial loads at different time points of

larval and pupal development in auxotrophic *E. coli*-carrying larvae, using wild-type *E. coli*

gnotobiotic larvae as controls (Figure 1C). After surface sterilisation, larval and pupal

homogenates were plated on LB supplemented with *m*-DAP and D-Ala. Comparable bacterial

amounts were found in third instar larvae harbouring each *E. coli* strain ($F_{1,8.9e6} = 0.14$, $p =$

0.71). Bacterial counts gradually decreased in auxotrophic *E. coli* gnotobiotic hosts from the

fourth instar and were 1.3 and 8-fold lower than wild-type *E. coli*-carrying larvae at the first

and second day of the fourth instar, respectively (day 1: $F_{1,1.6e8} = 4.5$, $p = 0.033$; day 2: $F_{1,3.4e8}$

$= 44$, $p < 0.001$). During the pupal stage, bacteria were undetected in most auxotroph-carrying

individuals (92.1 ± 7.7 %) while bacterial load also decreased over 100 fold in the controls, as

previously documented [13] ($F_{1,65} = 66$, $p < 0.001$). The lower bacterial loads found in fourth-

instar larvae harbouring auxotrophic *E. coli* might be explained by the exhaustion of *m*-DAP

and D-Ala by auxotrophic bacteria and their resulting inability to grow. Since before

metamorphosis larvae stop to feed and empty their gut, the lower bacterial loads found in late

fourth instar larvae and in pupae might be further explained by the resulting intestinal

inaccessibility of *m*-DAP and D-Ala. No bacteria could be cultured from adults reared on

auxotrophic *E. coli* during the first three days following emergence, while bacteria were

culturable from 50 % of the wild-type *E. coli* gnotobiotic mosquitoes at the same time-points

(Figure 1D). The absence of culturable bacteria in some conventionally-reared mosquitoes

immediately after adult emergence has been previously observed in different mosquito species,

including *Ae. aegypti* [13]. To further quantify the loss of bacteria, we measured the bacterial

loads in adult mosquitoes originating from auxotroph-carrying gnotobiotic larvae on a larger

sample size. No culturable bacteria was isolated from 97.6 ± 2.1 % (34/34; 118/122; 311/321)

of 1-to-2-day old adults (Supplementary Figure S2). Bacterial DNA was still detectable via

qPCR in 22 % of 1-day old adult mosquitoes originating from auxotrophic *E. coli*-carrying

larvae as in 40 % of mosquitoes originating from wild-type *E. coli* gnotobiotic larvae (Fisher's

exact test, p=0.24, Supplementary Figure S3).

We investigated whether larvae harbouring auxotrophic *E. coli* presented any developmental

deficits. Firstly, we quantified the duration of each developmental stage to assess if the

colonising bacterial strains had an impact on development kinetics. The gnotobiotic treatments

slightly shortened the beginning of larval development, while total development time was

unaffected (Figure 1E, L1: $F_{2,550} = 18$, $p < 0.001$; L2: $F_{2,526} = 3.1$, $p = 0.044$; L3: $F_{2,503} = 6.9$,

$p = 0.001$; L4: $F_{2,475} = 2.9$, $p = 0.057$; P: $F_{2,467} = 1.0$, $p = 0.37$, see the figure for single

comparisons). Secondly, we evaluated whether auxotrophic *E. coli* supported the development

of both sexes. A 36% increase in the proportion of females was observed in wild-type *E. coli*-

carrying mosquitoes compared to conventionally reared individuals, but differences in sex ratio

between all three conditions were not statistically significant (Supplementary Figure S4, $F_2 =$

4.2, $p = 0.50$). Finally, we compared the larval body length and the wing length of

conventionally reared and gnotobiotic individuals to assess whether the different microbiota

compositions impacted the mosquito size, which is a readout of the insect nutritional and

metabolic status. At the fifth day after egg hatching, gnotobiotic fourth-instar larvae for both

E. coli strains reached the same length as conventionally-reared larvae (Figure 1F, $F_{2,481} = 2.5$,

$p = 0.083$) and wing size was comparable among the three rearing conditions in both males and

females (Figure 1G, males: $F_{2,199} = 2.0$, $p = 0.14$; females: $F_{2,176} = 1.7$, $p = 0.19$).

Microbiota-dependent gene regulation during late larval development

We tested whether reversible colonisation could also be arrested during larval development by

placing the auxotroph-harbouring larvae in a rearing medium lacking *m*-DAP and D-Ala

(Figure 2A). For that purpose, we rinsed third-instar larvae and placed them in sterile water

containing un-supplemented sterile fish food and monitored the bacterial loads in single larvae.

As soon as 2h after *m*-DAP and D-Ala depletion, we observed a 1000-fold reduction in

bacterial load with respect to non-transferred larvae, which became a 10^4-fold reduction after

5 h. Larvae were germ-free 12 h after amino-acid depletion (Supplementary Figure S5). We

processed wild-type *E. coli*-carrying larvae in the same way to test whether this reduction in

the microbiota load was specific to the bacterial auxotrophy or if it was only due to the transfer

of larvae to a new sterile rearing environment and the active expulsion of bacteria from the

larval gut. Two hours after the transfer, we observed a 500-fold reduced bacterial load,

suggesting that the change of medium has a substantial effect on the microbiota load ($F_{1,33} =$

38, $p < 0.001$). However, the bacterial load of transferred larvae started to increase between 2

and 5h after the transfer and after 12 h, it was less than 10-fold different than in non-transferred

controls (Supplementary Figure S5, 5h: $F_{1,32} = 36$, $p < 0.001$; 12 h: $F_{1,3702} = 23$, $p < 0.001$; 20

h: $F_{1,2344} = 14$, $p < 0.001$). This indicates that the colonisation with auxotrophic bacteria can

efficiently be switched off during larval development. As larvae develop at a similar speed with

wild-type or auxotrophic bacteria, we reasoned that this switch would allow a well-controlled

investigation of the bacterial contribution to larval development. We decided to address this

question by transcriptomic analysis, focusing on third instar larvae because their size allowed

precise dissections and because this developmental stage is intermediate between two larval

stages, and therefore more representative of larval development than fourth instar larvae which

moult into pupae.

We compared the transcriptome between third instar larvae following clearance of auxotrophic

E. coli and individuals carrying wild-type *E. coli* (referred to as germ-free and colonised,

respectively). We synchronised larvae by selecting individuals starting their third instar within

a 5h timeframe, prior to transferring auxotroph-carrying larvae for m-DAP and D-Ala depletion

(Figure 2A). We sampled guts and whole larvae from germ-free and colonised conditions 12 h

and 20 h later, in order to detect early changes caused by the absence of bacteria prior to

potential developmental delay as well as later changes caused by bacterial loss throughout the

third instar. After extraction of total RNA, we confirmed the loss of bacteria in reversibly

colonised mosquitoes by qPCR (Supplementary Figure S6, gut 12 h: $F_{1,2} = 39$, $p = 0.023$; gut

20 h: $F_{1,3} = 81$, $p = 0.001$; whole larvae 12 h: $F_{1,4} = 45$, $p = 0.004$, whole larvae 20 h: $F_{1,2} = 68$,

$p = 0.015$). cDNA libraries were produced and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500. A total

of 2735 transcripts were significantly differentially regulated (adjusted $p < 0.001$) at least in

one sample type at one time point. The sample distance heatmap showed that for each tissue

type and time-point, the three experimental replicates clustered together (Supplementary

Figure S7). The principal component analysis (PCA) on gut samples identified a first

component explaining 45 % of the variance and discriminating time-points and a second

component representing 26 % of the variance and separating samples by the presence/absence

of bacteria (Figure 2B). PCA on whole larvae data revealed a more important separation of

samples by time-point (77 % of the variance) and only 13 % of the variance explained by the

microbiota composition. The 12 h time point was early enough to detect early transcriptional

modifications in the gut before any body-scale transcriptional change, which was detectable 20

h post-amino-acid depletion (Figure 2B).

Among the differentially regulated genes, we selected the transcripts with a $\log_2$ fold value $< -$

1.5 or > 1.5 (*i.e.* > 4.5-fold down/up-regulation), obtaining a total of 573 up-regulated and 293

down-regulated genes in germ-free larvae compared to colonised larvae (Supplementary Table

S1). The Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of transcripts upregulated in germ-free larvae

included   phosphatase/alkaline   phosphatase/phosphoric   ester   hydrolase   activity,

oxidoreductase activity, heme binding, iron ion binding, monooxygenase activity, cofactor

binding, and catalytic activity (Figure 2C and Supplementary Table S2). Five alkaline

phosphatases were found amongst the most highly upregulated genes (Figure 2D and

Supplementary Table S3). The Kyoto encyclopaedia of gene and genomes (KEGG) enrichment

analysis revealed that folate (Vitamin B9) biosynthesis and thiamine (Vitamin B1) metabolism

were upregulated in germ-free larvae in both gut and whole larva samples (Figure 2E). As

many genes of these pathways are missing in the genome of *Ae. aegypti*, this suggests that, as

reported in other insects, the mosquito microbiota participates in vitamin B biosynthesis.

Focusing on the genes downregulated in germ-free larvae, we detected 6 *hexamerin 2 beta*

genes as significantly downregulated, including 4 among the 10 most downregulated genes

(Figure 2D and Supplementary Table S4). Hexamerins are proteins reported to participate in

amino-acid storage during the end of larval development and metamorphosis. Interestingly, we

also identified several down-regulated genes encoding proteins involved in lipid storage and

transport, such as *vitellogenin*, a vitellogenin-related gene (*AAEL008598*) (Figure 2D and

Supplementary Table S4) and two *lipophorin* genes (*AAEL009955*, log2-fold -1.01 in larvae

20 h and *AAEL018219*, -0.8 in guts 20 h). Together, our transcriptomic analysis suggests that

the microbiota participates in vitamin B biosynthesis and in macromolecule storage during

larval development.

To determine whether hypoxia-induced signalling was affected in third-instar germ-free larvae,

we specifically checked the expression level of *hypoxia-induced factors (HIF)-alpha* and *-beta*

(*AAEL019499* and *AAEL010343*) and of *prolyl hydroxylase (PHD)-1* and *-2* genes

(*AAEL024908* and *AAEL028025*) in our samples, as HIF proteins were reported to be induced

in the presence of a microbiota in first instar larvae [14] and are targets of PHDs. Only *phd-2* was

slightly down-regulated at 20 h (gut: $\log_2$ fold = -1.4, $p$adj = 0.0015; whole larvae: $\log_2$ fold =

-0.6, $p$adj = 0.015). However, none of the *HIF* genes was differentially regulated in our

samples. We also measured the oxygen levels in larval guts 12 h post-transfer, which should

correspond to the lowest level of oxygen concentration in the presence of bacteria [11]. No

difference in oxygen levels was detected between colonised larvae (left in their medium or

transferred in new sterile medium) and germ-free larvae (Supplementary Figure S8, $F_{2,82}$ =

0.52, p = 0.60).

Folic acid supports late stages of development

The absence of a significant correlation between the loss of the microbiota and the hypoxia-

induced signalling, and the regulation of many genes involved in vitamin biosynthesis and

macromolecule storage after microbiota loss support the hypothesis that the microbiota

contributes metabolically to mosquito development. To further investigate this theory, we

tested whether, as already known for first-instar larvae, late larval development was affected

by the loss of bacteria. When third-instar larvae turned germ-free, they all moulted into the

fourth instar (99.4 ± 0.6 %, mean ± SEM), but only 20.2 ± 6.6 % moulted to pupa and only

17.9 ± 6.1 % fully developed into adults (Figure 3A, L4: $F_{2,302}$ = 0.7, p = 0.48; pupae: $F_{2,339}$ =

33.9, p < 0.001; adults: $F_{2,339}$ = 36.4, p < 0.001). The fourth instar of germ-free larvae was

twice longer (Figure 3B, $F_{2,116}$ = 54.4, p <0.001), while third instar and metamorphosis

durations were not affected (L3: $F_{2,325}$ = 2.3, p = 0.10; metamorphosis $F_{2,104}$ = 0.74, p = 0.48).

These observations suggest that bacteria are essential throughout all larval development, while

some individuals make it to the adult stage, hypothetically using energy stored during their

early development.

A 60% reduction in development success was also observed among wild-type *E. coli*-carrying

larvae that were transferred into sterile water (Figure 3A), indicating that the presence of a

sufficient microbiota load throughout larval development is critical. The duration of the fourth

instar was not significantly affected by this transient decrease in bacterial load (Figure 3B).

Based on our transcriptomic results, we investigated whether the addition of folic acid to the

rearing water of late germ-free larvae would support further larval development and

metamorphosis. We observed that development success to adulthood was over 4-fold higher

when providing folic acid upon m-DAP and D-Ala depletion, regardless folic acid

concentration, while development time was unaffected (Figure 3C, L4: $F_{3,377} = 0.8$, $p = 0.48$;

pupae: $F_{3,375} = 21.2$, $p < 0.001$; adults: $F_{3,375} = 25.0$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 3D, L3: $F_{3,376} = 0.67$, p

$= 0.57$; L4: $F_{3,162} = 1.37$, $p = 0.25$; metamorphosis: $F_{3,152} = 1.12$, $p = 0.34$).

Considering that folic acid partly rescued larval development, we wondered whether this

supplementation also had an effect on some genes detected in the RNASeq analysis as most

differentially regulated, focusing on amino acid and lipid storage and folate biosynthesis. Folic

acid supplementation for 20 h from decolonisation did not rescue the expression of *hexamerin*

genes (Figure 3E, WT: $t_2 = 1.28$, $p = 0.33$, WT-transf: $t_1 = -3.42$, $p = 0.18$, GF: $t_2 = 1.09$, $p =$

0.39; Supplementary Figure S9) or of genes implicated in lipid storage (Figure 3F, WT: $t_2 =$

2.05, $p = 0.18$, WT-transf: $t_1 = 0.98$, $p = 0.51$, GF: $t_2 = 1.46$, $p = 0.28$; Supplementary Figure

S9). The regulation of genes involved in folate biosynthesis was not directly related to folic-

acid supplementation (Figure 3G, WT: $t_2 = -5.25$, $p = 0.03$, WT-transf: $t_1 = 25.29$, $p = 0.02$,

GF: $t_2 = 2.41$, $p = 0.14$; Supplementary Figure S9).

We then explored the consequences of the second transcriptomic signal observed in germ-free

larvae, the downregulation of genes involved in amino-acid and lipid storage. To this aim, we

quantified by fluorescence the lipid levels in the gut and in the pelt of germ-free larvae and in

larvae carrying wild-type *E. coli*, transferred or not transferred to sterile rearing water. We

detected a 60 % higher fluorescent signal in the gut of germ-free larvae compared to larvae

carrying a microbiota (Figure 4A, B, $F_{2,124} = 7.7$, $p < 0.001$), while the amount of lipids in pelts,

which are composed for the majority of the cuticle and the fat body, was 20% lower in larvae

that lost their microbiota (Figure 4C, $F_{2,97} = 18$, $p < 0.001$). The lower lipid levels detected in

the pelt of germ-free larvae correlated with, and possibly led to, a slower growth of these larvae,

as reflected by the lower DNA signal and the smaller larval size (Figure 4D, $F_{2,97}$ = 16, p <

0.001; Figure 4E, $F_{2,125}$ = 18, p < 0.001).

Discussion

The mosquito microbiota impacts host physiology at the larval and adult stage via previously

largely uncharacterised mechanisms. Our study led to the development of a novel approach to

produce fully developed germ-free adult mosquitoes and sheds light on the metabolic

contribution of the microbiota during larval development.

The production of a relevant negative control has long been a technical issue for the study of

the microbiota in adult mosquitoes, which was either solved by allowing normal development

and treating emerging mosquitoes with antibiotics or by producing stunted germ-free

mosquitoes [7,10]. However, antibiotic treatments are known to reduce bacterial load and modify

microbiota composition rather than produce germ-free mosquitoes [15], and a role of toxic effects

of antibiotics on the host cannot be ruled out. Moreover, several pieces of evidence underline

the requirement for standardised conditions during development due to carry over effects of

the larval environment from larvae to adults. For instance, larval microbiota composition and

larval diet affect host lifespan and vector competence, while crowding and starvation affect

metabolic profiling in the adult fat body [16–18]. Here we provide a tool which allows the

development of germ-free and colonised adults under comparable conditions, allowing further

functional studies of the bacterial microbiota in adults. We found that development success

was slightly higher in gnotobiotic than conventionally-reared mosquitoes (Figure 1B). This

may be due to the presence of bacteria with a low larvicidal activity in our colony, which could

also explain the slight variation in sex ratio (Supplementary Figure S3) as females develop

slightly slower than males and therefore may have a prolonged contact with such pathogens.

Alternatively, some individual larvae may not carry enough bacteria for successful larval

development, which correlates with development success deficits after the transfer of wild-type

E. coli harbouring third-instar larvae (Figure 3A). We were intrigued that as much as 99.8 %

of the bacteria were lost within 2 h after transferring larvae to sterile water, and that bacterial

load close to recovered in the next 10 h. This may be due to quantification of cuticular bacteria,

if the quick surface sterilisation has limited efficacy, or to an extremely fast shedding of gut

bacteria during development.

Our transcriptomic data points to gene upregulation in the folate biosynthesis pathway during

larval development in the absence of microbiota. The genome of *Ae. aegypti* lacks several

genes of this biosynthesis pathway, while the *E. coli* genome codes a complete biosynthetic

pathway except for the *gamma-glutamyl-hydrolase* [19], which we found up-regulated in germ-

free larvae. This suggests that the mosquito and its microbiota cooperate towards the

production of this vitamin. Accordingly, folate biosynthesis also appeared among the regulated

pathways in adult *Anopheles* mosquitoes deprived of their microbiota [20]. Vitamins B have

already been reported as a key contribution of the microbiota to development in other insects

[21,22] and are essential nutrients for mice lacking a microbiota, together with Vitamin K [23,24]. In

mosquitoes, older studies already identified folic acid as one of the essential nutrients for the

rearing of *Ae. aegypti* larvae in axenic conditions and pointed out its importance in late larval

development [9,25]. However, the actual role of the mosquito microbiota on folate biosynthesis

was still unclear, as a recent attempt failed to support development of axenic larvae using the

vitamin B-supplemented artificial diet proposed by Lang in 1972 [9,10]. Additionally, a

transcriptome study investigating the role of the microbiota on the mosquito early larval

development did not identify folate biosynthesis as a microbiota-regulated pathway [26]. Our

transcriptomic and diet supplementation data now provide strong evidence that bacteria and

mosquito cooperate for folate biosynthesis and that folic acid is essential for larval

development.

In our system, only a small proportion of larvae completed their development to adulthood

after losing their microbiota. These germ-free larvae had a prolonged fourth instar, while their

metamorphosis had a conventional timing. These data highlight that the transition between

larvae and pupae is a development checkpoint while pupal development cannot be modified.

The metamorphic onset is stimulated by a peak of ecdysone which is controlled by the size and

metabolic status of the fourth instar larva. Folic acid supplementation increased the proportion

of larvae passing this checkpoint and developing to adults, without restoring normal expression

of genes involved in amino acid and lipid storage in the conditions tested. We hypothesize that

this higher proportion of individuals reaching the metamorphosis requirements is achieved by

a combination between a prolonged fourth instar and a yet uncharacterised improvement in

energy intake during the fourth instar.

Besides folate metabolism, our transcriptomic analysis showed that several lipid and amino-

acid storage genes are expressed in a microbiota-dependent manner. This corroborates

published data on the bean bug *Riptortus pedestris*, where *hexamerin* and *vitellogenin* are

induced by a *Burkholderia* gut symbiont [27], but the mechanism associating the microbiota to

these storage proteins has not yet been elucidated. We observed a lipid accumulation in the gut

and a lipid deficit in the fat body of germ-free larvae (Figure 4A, B), which was previously

observed in axenic first instar *Ae. aegypti* larvae [14] and correlates with three signals of our

transcriptome data, specifically dietary lipid absorption, transport and accumulation in fat

tissues. In insects, diet-derived triacylglycerols (TAG) are hydrolysed by TAG lipase via a two-

step reaction in diacylglycerols (DAG), then monoacylglycerols, and free fatty acids (FFA) in

the midgut lumen. FFA are then absorbed by the intestinal epithelium via an uncharacterized

mechanism and stored in lipid droplets in enterocytes. FFA are then used as precursors for the

synthesis of DAG and TAG that are loaded onto Lipophorin which transports them via the

haemolymph to the fat body (reviewed in [28,29]). Firstly, we observed that *TAG lipase* is one of

the most up-regulated genes in all germ-free samples (Figure 2D). We hypothesize that in the

absence of a microbiota, mosquito larvae are not able to reconstruct DAG or TAG from FFA

in enterocytes and thus fail to load lipids onto Lipophorin. Secondly, two *lipophorins*, encoding

lipid transporters are downregulated in germ-free larvae. Thirdly, lipid storage may also be

decreased at the level of the fat body, due to the downregulation of *Vitellogenin* and

vitellogenin-related gene (*AAEL008598*) and to the up-regulation of *Angiopoietin-related*

protein 2 (Supplementary Table S3). Mouse *Angiopoietin-like protein 4* is an inhibitor of TAG

deposition in adipocytes [28,29] that is repressed by the microbiota, a mechanism that is conserved

in zebrafish [30].

The most down-regulated gene family in the absence of a microbiota is Hexamerins, which are

insect-specific proteins encompassing six subunits. They are amino acid reserves stored in the

fat body that are consumed during non-feeding stages and vitellogenesis [31]. They might also

play a role in cuticle maturation and transport of riboflavin (Vitamin B2) and hormones.

Hexamerins accumulate during the end of larval development and represent as much as 60 %

of hemolymph proteins just before pupation [30]. In the mosquito two sub-classes of Hexamerins

exist, namely Hexamerins-1 and -2, which are orthologues to *Drosophila* Larval Serum

Proteins-1 (CG2559, CG4178, CG6821) and -2 (CG6806), respectively, and are enriched in

different amino acids. Repression of *hexamerins* might reflect a lower amino acid availability

caused by a reduced peptidase activity or amino acid transport, two functions found to be down-

regulated in the absence of bacteria in first instar axenic larvae [26]. However, in our system,

peptidases were present in both up- and down-regulated genes (Supplementary Table S2). This

might reflect a reduced ability of larvae to digest diet-derived proteins in the absence of bacteria

and, in parallel, an increased consumption of the proteins stored while still carrying a

microbiota.

In conclusion, our study provides a new tool for the rigorous functional analysis microbiota in

mosquitoes that may be also applicable to produce adults in other insect species requiring gut

bacteria for normal development. It allowed us to build a model for the metabolic contribution

of the microbiota to larval development. We suggest that bacteria are required for folate

biosynthesis, which supports the later stages of development, and for energy storage in the

form of proteins and lipids, which promotes larval growth.

365 Materials and Methods

Bacteria and mosquitoes

E. coli HS (wild-type) and *E. coli* HA 416 (auxotroph; [12]) were grown in lysogeny broth (LB).

E. coli HA 416 cultures were supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin, 50 μg/mL *meso*-

diaminopimelic acid (*m*-DAP) and 200 μg/mL D-alanine (D-Ala). For all experiments, *E. coli*

cultures were inoculated from single fresh colonies in liquid LB with appropriate

supplementation and incubated at 30 °C, shaking at 200 rpm for 16 h. Growth curves of

auxotrophic *E. coli* with optimal amino-acid concentrations (50 μg/mL *m*-DAP and 200 μg/mL

D-Ala) and concentrations compatible with first-instar larvae (12.5 μg/mL *m*-DAP and 50

μg/mL D-Ala) were obtained by inoculating 2 mL of LB supplemented with the two amino-

acid concentrations with the same diluted bacterial culture in a 24-well plate. The optical

density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) was monitored every 15 minutes using a FLUOstar plate reader

(BMG Labtech). The experiment was performed two times.

The *Ae. aegypti* New Orleans colony was maintained under standard insectary conditions at

28-30 °C on a 12:12 h light/dark cycle. Gnotobiotic mosquitoes were maintained in a climatic

chamber at 80 % relative humidity on a 12:12 h light/dark 30 °C/25 °C cycle.

Generation of germ-free (axenic) larvae

Inside a microbiological safety cabinet, eggs were scraped off the paper they were laid on and

transferred onto a disposable vacuum filtration system. Eggs were surface sterilised via

incubation in 70 % ethanol for 5 min, then in 1 % bleach for 5 min and finally in 70 % ethanol

for 5 min. Bleach solution was extemporaneously prepared from a tablet. After each incubation

step, a vacuum was applied to the filtration unit for fast replacement of the sterilising liquid.

After rinsing three times with sterile water, the eggs were resuspended in ~20 mL of sterile

water and transferred to a sterile 25-mL cell-culture flask with a vented cap. Approximately

20-30 eggs were inoculated in 3 mL of liquid LB and incubated for 48 h at 30 °C shaking at

200 rpm to confirm sterility. Flasks were kept standing in a climatic chamber at 80 % relative

humidity on a 12:12 h light/dark 30 °C/25 °C cycle for eggs to hatch overnight.

Generation of gnotobiotic larvae and axenic adults via reversible colonisation

Depending on the experiment type, two rearing methods were used: for experiments aiming to

analyse adult mosquitoes, a batch rearing in cell-culture flasks (10-15 larvae per flask) was

used; for experiments requiring the follow-up of single larvae, larvae were placed in single

wells of sterile 24 well-plates [32].

A 16 h culture of each *E. coli* strain was pelleted and cells were resuspended in 5 times the

initial culture volume of sterile deionised water to reach a concentration of $2\text{-}5*10^8$ CFU/mL.

For the generation of larvae gnotobiotic for the auxotrophic *E. coli*, *m*-DAP (12.5 μg/mL) and

D-Ala (50 μg/mL) were also added to the bacterial suspension. For batch rearing, 20 mL of

bacterial suspension and 750 μL of autoclaved suspension of TetraMin Baby fish food (50

mg/mL in water) were added to each flask. For rearing of individualised larvae, 2 mL of

bacteria and 50 μL of fish food suspension were added to each well. Larvae were kept in the

climatic chamber until pupation.

Pupae were rinsed once in sterile water and then transferred to 15 mL tubes placed in

autoclaved polypropylene cups (Eco2 NV) for emergence. A smaller tube containing a rod of

sterile cotton dipped into a 10 % sterile sucrose solution was placed in each cup. Tubes

containing pupae and sugar were held in place using specifically designed 3D printed

components (designs available upon request). See Supplementary Figure S10 for detailed

information on the preparation of sterile cups.

Duration of development

All measurements for the investigation of the developmental dynamics were performed on

wild-type and auxotrophic *E. coli*-carrying gnotobiotic individuals and from conventionally-

reared individuals obtained from non-sterilised eggs and reared in non-sterile conditions (using

tap water as conventionally used for our mosquito colony). Three independent mosquito

batches were examined for each type of analysis.

Gnotobiotic or conventionally-reared larvae were placed in single wells of 24-well plates to

monitor the duration of the different developmental stages in single individuals and to

determine the developmental success of each rearing condition, measured as the percentage of

larvae reaching the adult stage. Plates were inspected three times per day and the moment at

which larvae moulted was noted. The sex of the adult mosquito or the time of death were also

recorded for each individual. The experiment was stopped after 15 days, generally 5 days after

the last emergence. Individuals stacked at the larval stage were marked as non-developed. For

each replicate, at least 48 individuals per condition were analysed.

Reversible colonisation dynamics

To validate reversible colonisation, adult mosquitoes emerged from auxotrophic *E. coli*-

gnotobiotic larvae were collected 1-3 days after emergence, surface sterilised for 3-5 min in 70

% ethanol, rinsed three times in sterile PBS and homogenised individually in 100 µL of sterile

LB. To quantify the number of bacterial CFUs present in each individual, serial dilutions of

the homogenates were plated on LB agar plates supplemented with 50 µg/mL *m*-DAP and 200

µg/mL D-Ala. Supplementary Figure S3 show data from three independent replicates based on

34, 123 and 321 individuals. To investigate colonisation dynamics of the auxotrophic *E. coli*

strain, the bacterial loads in third and fourth instar larvae and pupae were investigated and

compared to those of individuals carrying the wild-type strain. Larvae and pupae were surface

sterilised for 15-30 s in 70 % ethanol, rinsed in sterile water and homogenised individually in

100 µL of sterile LB. Serial dilutions of the homogenates were plated on LB agar plates

supplemented with 50 µg/mL *m*-DAP and 200 µg/mL D-Ala and the number of bacterial cells

present in each individual was determined. Three replicates of at least 12 individuals per

replicate were analysed at each time point.

To detect the presence of bacterial DNA on adult mosquitoes, DNA was extracted from single

1-day old whole mosquitoes produced with the two *E. coli* strains. Mosquitoes were placed

individually in 2 mL screw-cap tubes containing 0.5 mm glass beads and homogenised using

a bead beater (Precellys Evolution, Bertin) for 2x1 min at 9,000 rpm in 190 µL of Lysis Buffer

(20 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1.2% Triton X, 20 mg/mL lysozyme). Two blank samples

were extracted in parallel and used as negative controls. After 2 h incubation at 37 °C,

Proteinase K (1 mg/mL final concentration) was added and samples were incubated 4 h at 55

°C. DNA was purified using the ZymoBIOMICS DNA 96 MagBead kit (Zymo Research) and

a Kingfisher Duo Apparatus. The presence of bacterial DNA was detected and quantified via

amplification of the *16S* rRNA bacterial gene and the ribosomal mosquito gene *S7* via qPCR

using the SYBR qPCR Premix Ex Taq (Takara) in a Light Cycler 480 (Roche). Primer

sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S5. Mosquitoes were considered germ-free when

their *16S* Ct were higher than those of negative controls. Three replicates of 9 individuals per

replicate were analysed.

Larval and wing length measurements

For larval length measurements, larvae were collected at the fifth day from hatching. Larvae

were fixed in PBS with 4 % paraformaldehyde for 20 min and placed on a microscope slide.

For each larva, the distance between the anterior border of the head and the posterior border of

the last abdominal segment excluding the siphon [32] was measured using a dissection

microscope equipped with a camera. Four independent mosquito batches were examined and,

for each replicate, at least 28 individuals per condition were analysed.

For wing length measurements, adult mosquitoes were collected 2 days post emergence and

kept at -20 °C until analysis. The measurements were conducted on the left wing of both male

and female mosquitoes. Wings from several individuals were dissected and placed on a

microscope slide. The distance between the alular notch and the radius 3 vein was measured

for each wing using a dissection microscope equipped with a camera [32]. Four independent

mosquito batches were examined and, for each replicate, 8 to 20 individuals per condition were

analysed.

Experimental setup and sample collection for transcriptomics on colonised vs germ-free larvae

Gnotobiotic larvae for both bacterial strains were obtained as previously described, reared

individually in 24-well plates and kept in a climatic chamber at 80 % RH with 12:12 h

light/dark 30 °C/25 °C cycle. Larvae gnotobiotic for the auxotrophic strain were supplemented

with *m*-DAP (12.5 µg/mL) and D-Ala (50 µg/mL). To ensure synchronicity, larvae moulting

to third instar between 48 h and $T_0=53$ h after addition of bacteria were selected. At T_0, larvae

carrying the auxotrophic *E. coli* were washed in sterile water and individually transferred to

new 24-well plates containing 2 mL of sterile water and 50 µL of sterile fish food. Twelve

hours later (T_{12}), 120 larvae per condition were collected for sampling and another 120 larvae

reversibly colonised by the auxotrophic *E. coli* were transferred to new 24-well plates

containing 2 mL of sterile water and 50 µL of sterile fish food to further reduce bacterial

colonisation. Another 8 h later (T_{20}), 120 larvae per condition were collected for sampling.

At both T_{12} and T_{20}, for each condition, 60 larval guts (dissected on ice) and 60 whole larvae

were snap frozen in prechilled 2 mL screw-cap tubes containing 0.5 mm glass beads and kept

in a cooling block below -40 °C. A gut sample included gastric caeca, anterior and posterior

midgut, while hindgut and Malpighian tubules were excluded. Practically, T_0, T_{12} and T_{20} of

each condition was 1 hour apart to match our dissection speed (60 individuals/hour) and the

order of processing was inverted between replicates. Three replicates were performed using

independent mosquito batches.

RNA extraction, library preparation, RNA sequencing and data analysis

After collection, 1 mL of TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to all samples. Samples

were homogenised using a bead beater (Precellys Evolution, Bertin) for 2x1 min at 9,000 rpm

and stored at -80 °C until RNA extraction. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol according

to the manufacturer's instructions. After RNA extraction, samples were treated for 30 min at

37 °C with 10 U of DNase I (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and purified using the MagJET RNA

Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a KingFisher Duo Prime system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Samples were mixed with RNA stable (Biomatrica), vacuum dried using a SpeedVac and

shipped for library preparation and sequencing.

RNA quality evaluation, library preparation and sequencing were performed by Biofidal

(Vaulx-en-Velin, France - http://www.biofidal-lab.com). RNA integrity was evaluated on a

BioAnalyzer (Agilent Technologies) using an RNA 6000 Pico RNA chip. Single-end libraries

(75 bp) with poly(A) selection were constructed using the Universal Plus mRNA-Seq Library

Preparation with NuQuant kit (Nugen Tecan Genomics) from 1 μg of total RNA. After quality

check, libraries were pooled in equimolar concentrations and sequenced on a NextSeq 500

high-output flow cell (Illumina). The resulting raw reads were de-multiplexed and adaptor

sequences were trimmed by the sequencing facility. FASTQ sequences were trimmed for their

quality using Trimmomatic [33] (minimum quality score: 15, minimal length: 40) and their

quality was evaluated using FASTQC [34]. After quality trimming, an average of 15 million reads

(min 8.4 million, max 25 million) were obtained per sample. Reads were mapped on the *Ae.*

aegypti genome (assembly AeaegL5, geneset AeaegL5.2) using HISAT2 [35] with a mean

alignment rate of 94 %. Read counts were obtained with FeatureCounts [36] and differential

expression analysis was conducted in R using the DESeq2 [37] package. Only the genes with an

adjusted *p*-value < 0.001 and a $\log_2$ fold change < -1.5 or > 1.5 were subjected to the Gene

Ontology analysis with g:Profiler [38].

To quantify bacterial loss on samples subjected to RNA sequencing, RNA was retro-

transcribed using the PrimeScript RT-PCR Kit (Takara) and analysed via qPCR using the

SYBR qPCR Premix Ex Taq (Takara) in a Ligth Cycler 480 (Roche). Bacterial load was

quantified via amplification of the *16S* rRNA bacterial gene and normalised to the ribosomal

mosquito gene *S17*. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S5.

Analysis of bacterial loss dynamics

Third-instar synchronous gnotobiotic larvae were obtained as previously described. At T_0,

larvae carrying the wild-type or the auxotrophic *E. coli* were washed in sterile water and

individually transferred to new 24-well plates containing 2 mL of sterile water and 50 µL of

sterile fish food. Non-transferred larvae were reared in parallel for 2h. After 2h, 5h, 12 h and

20 h from the transfer, at least 6 larvae per condition were surface sterilized for 15-30 s in 70

% ethanol, rinsed in sterile water and homogenised individually in 100 µL of sterile LB. Serial

dilutions of the homogenates were plated on LB agar plates supplemented with 50 µg/mL *m*-

DAP and 200 µg/mL D-Ala and the number of bacterial cells present in each individual was

determined. Two replicates were analysed at each time point.

Analysis of development and folic acid supplementation

Third-instar larvae reared with wild-type *E. coli* and transferred to new rearing medium and

germ-free larvae were obtained as described above. Non-transferred larvae were reared in

parallel. To investigate the effect of folate on development success, 0.25, 0.5 or 1.25 mg/mL

folic acid were added to germ-free larvae. Plates were inspected daily and the developmental

stage of each individual was noted. At least three replicates were performed using independent

mosquito batches. With some egg batches we observed that all larvae completed their

development despite their rearing condition. We discarded those data and we considered valid

and experiment when wild-type *E. coli* gnotobiotic larvae transferred to new rearing medium

had a 40-60 % developmental success. For each replicate, 24 to 48 larvae were analysed.

Expression analysis of genes involved on folate biosynthesis or on energy storage after folic

acid supplementation

Third-instar colonised and germ-free larvae were obtained as described above. At the time of

transfer (T_0) 1.25 mg/mL folic acid was added to half of the larvae. At T_{20}, 18 to 24 larvae per

condition were sampled and immediately stored at -80 °C. Three replicates were performed

using independent mosquito batches, except for larvae reared with wild-type *E. coli* and

transferred to new rearing medium which were sampled from two replicates. RNA was

extracted as previously described, retro-transcribed using the PrimeScript RT-PCR Kit

(Takara) and analysed via qPCR using the SYBR qPCR Premix Ex Taq (Takara) in a 7300

Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems).

Gut hypoxia measurements

Third-instar colonised and germ-free larvae were obtained as described above. At least 9 larvae

per condition were collected at the 12 h time-point, washed in sterile water and incubated at

dark for 30 min in sterile PBS supplemented with 5 µM Image-iT Red Hypoxia Reagent

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) and fish food. In parallel, two larvae per condition were processed

without the dye as a negative control. Larvae were frozen 3 min at -80 °C and placed in a single

slide. Images were acquired with an EVOS FL Auto system (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

equipped with a Texas Red filter using a 10X objective. The multiple images were stitched

automatically using the EVOS FL Auto Software to reconstitute a single image including all

samples. Fluorescence intensities and area surfaces of single guts were measured using FIJI [39].

Three replicates were performed using independent mosquito batches.

Lipid content and length measurements

Third-instar colonised and germ-free larvae were obtained as described above. Larvae were

sampled 44 h after the transfer, when wild-type *E. coli* carrying larvae were at the second day

of the fourth instar. Guts and pelts (composed by the cuticle and the fat body) were dissected,

placed in PBS with 4 % paraformaldehyde and fixed overnight at 4 °C.

After three 5 min washes in PBS, guts were stained in the dark for 20 min with DAPI (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, final concentration 0.1 µg/mL) and for 20 min with BODIPY 505/515

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, final concentration 1 µM), rinsed three times in PBS for 5 min and

imaged. Imaging and analysis were performed as described above, using DAPI and GFP filters.

A different protocol was used to quantify lipids in the pelts to avoid the loss of fat body parts

during staining and rinsing steps. After fixation, pelts were washed three times in PBS for 5

min and placed in individual tubes with 75 µL of DAPI (0.1 µg/mL) and 75 µL of BODIPY

505/515 (1 µM). Pelts were homogenized, incubated at the dark for 20 min and transferred to

a 96-well plate. Fluorescence intensities were measured using a FLUOstar plate reader

equipped with DAPI and GFP filters, using a solution of the two dyes as blank. At least 12

larvae per condition were analysed in three independent replicates.

Larval lengths were measured as previously described on larvae obtained with the same

protocol and collected at the 44 h time-point. Three independent replicates were performed

using 6 to 24 individuals per condition.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) using the

lme4 package in R (version 3.6.0) and setting the rearing condition (bacterium) as fixed effects

and the replicate as a random effect. For categorical data (development success, sex ratio) an

ANOVA was performed on a logistic regression (glmer). For quantitative data (CFU counts,

duration of development, larval and wing length measurements, hypoxia, lipid and DNA

quantifications) an ANOVA was performed on a linear regression (lmer). For qPCR results, an

ANOVA with Turkey HSD test or a Welch Two Sample t-test were performed. Prevalence

data on qPCR were analysed with a Fisher's exact test. Variations are calculated as the

difference between treatments normalised to the control.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

We thank Jean-Géraud Issaly for egg production; Charles Bobin and Verena Kircher for

preliminary work on some protocols; Chloé Gapp for technical help; Albane Imbert and the

Institut Pasteur FabLab for 3D prints design; Emma Wise for English proofreading of the

manuscript. BODIPY is a kind gift from Philippe Sansonetti's laboratory. Data analysis was

facilitated by a Bioinformatic Course funded by EU H2020 INFRAVEC2 (Project no. 731060)

that OR attended. This work is funded by the French Government's Investissement d'Avenir

program, Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases"

(grant no. ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID) and by ANR JCJC MosMi to MG (grant no. <ANR-18-

CE15-0007>). S.H. received funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation

(www.snf.ch; grant 169791).

References

1. WHO. Global vector control response 2017–2030. *World Health Organization* (2017).

2. Wu, P. *et al.* A Gut Commensal Bacterium Promotes Mosquito Permissiveness to Arboviruses. *Cell host & microbe* **25**, 101-112.e5 (2019).

3. Xi, Z., Ramirez, J. L. & Dimopoulos, G. The Aedes aegypti Toll Pathway Controls Dengue Virus Infection. *PLoS Pathogens* **4**, e1000098 (2008).

4. Coon, K. L., Vogel, K. J., Brown, M. R. & Strand, M. R. Mosquitoes rely on their gut microbiota for development. *Molecular Ecology* **23**, 2727–2739 (2014).

5. Gendrin, M. *et al.* Antibiotics in ingested human blood affect the mosquito microbiota and capacity to transmit malaria. *Nature Communications* **6**, (2015).

6. Pike, A. *et al.* Changes in the microbiota cause genetically modified Anopheles to spread in a population. *Science* **357**, 1396–1399 (2017).

7. Gaio, A. O. de *et al.* Contribution of midgut bacteria to blood digestion and egg production in aedes aegypti (diptera: culicidae) (L.). *Parasites & vectors* **4**, 105 (2011).

8. Golberg, L., Meillon, B. de & Lavoipierre, M. The Nutrition of the Larva of Aedes Aegypti L: II. Essential Water-Soluble Factors from Yeast. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **21**, (1945).

9. Lang, C., Basch, K. & Storey, R. Growth, composition and longevity of the axenic mosquito. *The Journal of nutrition* **102**, (1972).

10. Correa, M. A., Matusovsky, B., Brackney, D. E. & Steven, B. Generation of axenic Aedes aegypti demonstrate live bacteria are not required for mosquito development. *Nature communications* **9**, 4464 (2018).

11. Coon, K. L. *et al.* Bacteria-mediated hypoxia functions as a signal for mosquito development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **114**, E5362–E5369 (2017).

12. Cuenca, M. *et al.* D-Alanine-Controlled Transient Intestinal Mono-Colonization with Non-

Laboratory-Adapted Commensal E. coli Strain HS. *PLOS ONE* **11**, e0151872 (2016).

13. Moll, R., Romoser, W., Modrzakowski, M., Moncayo, A. & Lerdthusnee, K. Meconial

peritrophic membranes and the fate of midgut bacteria during mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae)

metamorphosis. *Journal of medical entomology* **38**, (2001).

14. Valzania, L., Coon, K. L., Vogel, K. J., Brown, M. R. & Strand, M. R. Hypoxia-induced

transcription factor signaling is essential for larval growth of the mosquito Aedes aegypti.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **115**, 457–

465 (2018).

15. Hughes, G. L. *et al.* Native microbiome impedes vertical transmission of Wolbachia in

Anopheles mosquitoes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, 12498–12503

(2014).

16. Dickson, L. B. *et al.* Carryover effects of larval exposure to different environmental bacteria

drive adult trait variation in a mosquito vector. *Science Advances* **3**, e1700585 (2017).

17. Linenberg, I., Christophides, G. K. & Gendrin, M. Larval diet affects mosquito

development and permissiveness to Plasmodium infection. *Scientific Reports* **6**, (2016).

18. Price, D. P., Schilkey, F. D., Ulanov, A. & Hansen, I. A. Small mosquitoes, large

implications: crowding and starvation affects gene expression and nutrient accumulation in

Aedes aegypti. *Parasites & vectors* **8**, 252 (2015).

19. Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., Sato, Y. & Morishima, K. KEGG: new

perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic acids research* **45**, D353–

D361 (2017).

20. Rodgers, F. H., Gendrin, M., Wyer, C. A. S. A. & Christophides, G. K. Microbiota-induced

peritrophic matrix regulates midgut homeostasis and prevents systemic infection of malaria

vector mosquitoes. *PLoS pathogens* **13**, e1006391 (2017).

21. Salem, H. *et al.* Vitamin supplementation by gut symbionts ensures metabolic homeostasis

in an insect host. *Proc Biological Sci Royal Soc* **281**, 20141838 (2014).

22. Duron, O. *et al.* Tick-Bacteria Mutualism Depends on B Vitamin Synthesis Pathways.

Current Biology (2018) doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.04.038.

23. Sumi, Y., Miyakawa, M., Kanzaki, M. & Kotake, Y. Vitamin B-6 Deficiency in Germfree

Rats. *J Nutrition* **107**, 1707–1714 (1977).

24. Gustafsson, B. E. VITAMIN K DEFICIENCY IN GERMFREE RATS*. *Ann Ny Acad Sci*

78, 166–174 (1959).

25. Singh, K. R. P. & Brown, A. W. A. Nutritional requirements of Aedes aegypti L. *Journal*

of Insect Physiology **1**, 199–220 (1957).

26. Vogel, K. J., Valzania, L., Coon, K. L., Brown, M. R. & Strand, M. R. Transcriptome

Sequencing Reveals Large-Scale Changes in Axenic Aedes aegypti Larvae. *PLoS neglected*

tropical diseases **11**, e0005273 (2017).

27. Lee, J. B. *et al.* Gut symbiotic bacteria stimulate insect growth and egg production by

modulating hexamerin and vitellogenin gene expression. *Dev Comp Immunol* **69**, 12–22

(2017).

28. Majerowicz, D. & Gondim, K. C. Insect Lipid Metabolism: Insights Into Gene Expression

Regulation. in 147–189 (2013).

29. Arrese, E. L. *et al.* Lipid storage and mobilization in insects: current status and future

directions. *Insect Biochem Molec* **31**, 7–17 (2001).

30. Hahn, D. A. Storage proteins. (2008).

31. Levenbook, L. & Bauer, A. C. The fate of the larval storage protein calliphorin during adult

development of Calliphora vicina. *Insect Biochem* **14**, 77–86 (1984).

32. Romoli, O. & Gendrin, M. Springer Protocols Handbooks. *Springer* 179–189 (2020)

doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-0259-1_11.

33. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinform Oxf Engl* **30**, 2114–20 (2014).

34. Andrews, S. FASTQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. (2010).

35. Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome

alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 907–915

(2019).

36. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: An efficient general-purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2013).

37. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).

38. Raudvere, U. *et al.* g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and

conversions of gene lists (2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, W191–W198 (2019).

39. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature*

methods **9**, 676–82 (2012).

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Reversible colonisation allows production of germ-free mosquitoes without any delay or deficit in development. (**a**) Schematic representation of the experimental set up. Eggs are surface sterilized to give rise to axenic larvae. A bacterial culture of the *E. coli* wild-type (gnotobiotic control) or auxotrophic strain is added to axenic larvae to obtain gnotobiotic larvae. To support the growth of auxotrophic bacteria, *m*-DAP and D-Ala are provided throughout the whole larval developmental stage. After transferring pupae carrying auxotrophic *E. coli* to a new medium lacking *m*-DAP and D-Ala, germ-free mosquitoes are obtained. (**b**) Proportion of larvae developing to adulthood (striped bars) and of larvae dead or stalled in the development (full bars) after conventional rearing (CR, black), rearing with wild-type *E. coli* (WT, orange) and auxotrophic *E. coli* (AUX, blue). CR larvae developed in tap water on autoclaved fish food. (**c**) Colony Forming Units (CFU) quantification of bacterial loads (upper chart) and prevalence (lower chart) in larvae at third instar (L3), early fourth instar (L4-1), late fourth instar (L4-2), and in pupae (P). (**d**) CFU quantification of bacterial loads (upper chart) and prevalence (lower chart) in 1-3-day old mosquitoes. (**e**) Duration of the larval instars and of the pupal stage, quantified from the addition of bacteria to first instar larvae (L1) to the beginning of the indicated stages. (**f**) Larval length measured on the fifth day after hatching. (**g**) Wing length of two-day old adult mosquitoes. In **b-g**, data show mean ± SEM of three independent replicates where 48 (**b**, **e**), 12 (**c**, **d**), 28 (**f**) and 8-20 (**g**) individuals were analysed per condition. NS, Non-significant; *, $p < 0.05$, **, $p < 0.01$, ***, $p < 0.001$. In **e**, different letters represent significantly different data for each larval instar.

Figure 2. Transcriptomic analysis in germ-free and colonised larvae. (**a**) Schematic

representation of the experimental set up. To produce third-instar germ-free larvae, larvae

gnotobiotic for auxotrophic *E. coli* were transferred to a rearing medium lacking m-DAP and

d-Ala. Gut (n = 60) and whole larvae (n = 60) were sampled for RNA extraction 12 h and 20 h

after transfer, using wild-type *E. coli* gnotobiotic larvae as a control. Three independent

replicates were performed. (**b**) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of guts and whole larvae

transcriptomic data of wild-type *E. coli* carrying (WT, orange) and germ-free larvae (GF,

white). (**c**) Venn diagram of Gene Ontology terms enriched for genes up-regulated in germ-

free conditions. (**d**) Log_2-fold values and function of the ten highest up-regulated (red) and

down-regulated (blue) genes in germ-free larvae, ordered by decreasing (up-regulated) or

increasing (down-regulated) mean value in the four sample types. Different colour codes

indicate genes with a shared function (orange: phosphatase activity; green: hydrolytic reaction;

yellow: chitin binding; purple: transferase activity; light blue: amino-acid storage; dark blue:

lipid transport/storage). (**e**) Representation of the mosquito gene products that participate in the

folate biosynthesis pathway and that were up-regulated in germ-free larvae. Enzymes

responsible for reactions between 7,8-Dihydroneopterin and 7,8-Dihydrofolate are not encoded

by the genome of *Ae. aegypti*. The up-regulation colour-code is the same as in panel **d** and

reflects the mean of log_2 fold in the four sample types.

Figure 3. Late larval development is folic acid dependent. (**a**) Proportion of individuals

reaching the fourth instar (L4), the pupal stage and adulthood when continuously colonised

(WT, orange), reared on wild-type *E. coli* and transferred in new rearing medium (WT

transferred, light orange) and after becoming germ-free (GF, white). (**b**) Duration of the third

instar (L3), fourth instar (L4) and pupal stage in the experiments shown in **a**. (**c**) Proportion of

larvae reaching the fourth instar (L4), the pupal stage and adulthood in germ-free conditions

with or without folic acid (Fol) supplementation. (**d**) Duration of the third instar (L3), fourth

instar (L4) and pupal stage in the experiments shown in **c**. (**e-g**) Effect of folic acid

supplementation (1.25 mg/mL) for 20 h on the expression of *hexamerin AAEL008045* (**e**),

vitellogenin-related gene *AAEL08598* (**f**) and *alkaline phosphatase AAEL000931* (**g**) in larvae

reared continuously with wild-type *E. coli* (WT, orange), transferred in new rearing medium

after being reared with wild-type *E. coli* (WT transf, light orange) and after becoming germ-

free (GF, white). Data show the mean ± SEM of three independent replicates where at least 48

(**a**, **b**), 24 (**c**, **d**) or 18 (**e**, **f**, **g**) individuals were analysed per condition, except for expression

data on WT transf larvae in **e**, **f**, **g**, which derive from two replicates. NS, Non-significant; *, p

< 0.05, **, $p < 0.01$, ***, $p < 0.001$.

Figure 4. Consequences of the absence of a microbiota on lipid storage and larval growth.

(**a**) Exemplifying image of three guts belonging to fourth-instar larvae gnotobiotic for *E. coli*

wild-type before (WT) and after the transferring to new rearing medium (WT transf) and germ-

free (GF) stained with BODIPY 505/515. (**b**, **c**) Quantification of BODIPY 505/515

fluorescence intensity in the gut (**b**, normalized to the gut area) and pelt (**c**, AU – Arbitrary

Units) of fourth instar larvae in the same three conditions, including samples shown in **a**. (**d**)

Quantification of DAPI fluorescence intensity in the pelt of fourth instar larvae in the three

gnotobiotic conditions. (**e**) Larval length measured at the second day of fourth instar in larvae.

Data represent the mean ± SEM of three independent replicates where 6-24 individuals were

analysed per condition. NS, Non-significant; *, $p < 0.05$, **, $p < 0.01$, ***, $p < 0.001$.

Figure 1

a Egg sterilisation → Axenic larvae → *E. coli* WT → Gnotobiotic larvae → Gnotobiotic mosquitoes

E. coli auxotrophic + *m*-DAP and D-Ala supplementation → Axenic mosquitoes

b Developmental success
% mosquitoes (No/partial dev, Full dev)
NS *** NS
CR WT AUX

c Colonisation dynamics in larvae/pupae
CFU/individual
NS * *** ***
WT AUX
% individuals
L3 L4-1 L4-2 P

d Reversible colonisation
CFU/individual
* * *
WT AUX
% individuals
Day 1 Day 2 Day 3

e Duration of development
Time (h)
CR WT AUX
a b c | a a b | a b a | NS | NS
L1 to: L2 L3 L4 P A

f Larval length
Length (mm)
NS
CR WT AUX

g Wing length
Length (mm)
Female NS | Male NS
CR WT AUX | CR WT AUX

Figure 2

Figure 3

a Development success

b Duration of development

c Development success

d Duration of development

e hexamerin (AAEL008045) expression

f vitellogenin-related gene (AAEL008598) expression

g alkaline phosphatase (AAEL000931) expression

Figure 4

a Gut lipid content

WT   WT transf   GF

b Gut lipid quantification

NS
**
**

Fluorescence intensity/Area

WT   WT transf   GF

c Pelt lipid quantification

NS
***
*

Fluorescence intensity (AU)

WT   WT transf   GF

d Pelt DNA quantification

NS
***
**

Fluorescence intensity (AU)

WT   WT transf   GF

e Larval length (L4)

NS
***
***

Length (mm)

WT   WT transf   GF