

22 **Abstract**

23 Little is known about the origin of the inductive signal that translates the
24 amputation stress into a cooperative cellular response. By studying the process
25 underlying the reformation of lost body parts in the anthozoan cnidarian *Nematostella*
26 *vectensis*, we identified a regeneration-inducing structure that, via a tissue crosstalk, is
27 responsible for the initiation of the repair program. We further revealed for the first time
28 in anthozoan cnidarians, that fast and slow-cycling/quiescent stem cells respond to the
29 amputation stress and actively participate in the reformation of lost body parts.
30 Importantly, a synergic interaction of both stem cell populations is required to complete
31 the regeneration process. Our findings suggest that the emergence/loss of structure
32 complexity/compartmentalization influences the proprieties of tissue plasticity, changes
33 the competence of a tissue to reprogram and, in the context of regeneration, the capacity
34 of the tissue to emit or respond to a regeneration-inducing signal.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 **Keywords:** Whole body regeneration, Tissue repair, Tissue crosstalk, Label retaining
43 cells, Stem cells, Cnidarian, Anthozoan, Sea anemone, *Nematostella vectensis*,

44 **Background**

45 The process of regeneration is the re-formation of damaged or missing body parts
46 that in bilaterian animals, involves cellular migration and proliferation of adult stem cells
47 or de-differentiated cell populations. In combination with the remodeling of existing
48 cells/tissues, these cellular processes are crucial to re-establish the symmetry and the
49 proportion of the body [1]. Regeneration is widespread among metazoans and has
50 fascinated scientists for centuries [2,3]. Still, our understanding of why some animals
51 regenerate while others can't remains largely unknown. In the past 10 years, historical
52 invertebrate whole-body regeneration models such as Planaria and Cnidaria have re-
53 emerged and are taking advantage of modern cellular and molecular techniques to
54 understand the complex process of regeneration [4-7]. Important insight has been gained
55 from these species that have increased our understanding about the cellular and molecular
56 mechanisms underlying regeneration. Yet little is known about how the organism
57 translates the amputation stress into a regeneration inducing signal and the origin as well
58 as the nature of the inductive signal required for the cooperation of cell populations
59 during the regeneration process.

60

61 The level of regenerative abilities varies across the animal kingdom [7]. While
62 vertebrates can regenerate certain structures and tissues, planarians and cnidarians possess
63 extraordinary whole-body regeneration capacities and can regrow missing body parts
64 when dissected into small pieces [2,8]. Nonetheless, their regenerative capacities have
65 limitation at both the species and also the tissues level. For instance in planarians,
66 isolation of the most anterior part of its body (region in front of the photoreceptor) or the

67 pharynx does not lead to regeneration as these regions are devoid of planarian specific
68 pluripotent stem cells, the neoblasts [9-11]. In *Hydra*, the isolated body column, named
69 gastric region, possesses the ability to regenerate while the isolated head, pedal disk or
70 tentacles cannot. The absence of regeneration from these isolated parts is due to the lack
71 of hydrozoan specific pluripotent stem cells, the i-cells (interstitial stem cells), in these
72 highly differentiated and specialized regions of the body [12,13]. Thus, identifying the
73 regenerative limits of a given organism and using them for experimental approaches has
74 led to important findings on the location of stem cell populations and their dynamics
75 during the complex biological process of regeneration.

76

77 To date, the majority of studies addressing the role of stem cells during
78 regeneration in cnidarians were performed using Hydrozoa such as *Hydra* and
79 *Hydractinia* [2,14-17]. While *Hydra* is well known to have developed an alternative
80 mechanism to regenerate a head in a stem-cell (i-cell) depleted context [18], those
81 classically considered fast cycling i-cells are under “normal” conditions involved in the
82 regeneration process in *Hydra* and *Hydractinia* [1,19-23]). Only recently, a study in
83 *Hydra* has described slow cycling cells that are part of the pluripotent i-cell as well as the
84 unipotent epithelial stem cell populations and involved in regeneration [24]. However,
85 nothing is known about the relationship between fast and slow cycling stem cells, their
86 hierarchy and how they are interacting to trigger tissue reprogramming for regeneration.
87 This question remains unanswered not only in hydrozoans, but in cnidarians in general.

88

89 Cnidarians are composed of two major groups, the Anthozoa (coral, sea anemone)
90 and the Medusozoa, that include Hydrozoa, Schyphozoa and Cubozoa [25-30].
91 Interestingly, the crown-group cnidarians (the living representatives) are genetically as
92 divergent as protostomes and deuterostomes [31,32] and it has been proposed that none
93 of the cnidarian clades is representing the entire group [32]. Thus, it is of great interest to
94 compare the regenerative strategies between hydrozoan and non-hydrozoan cnidarians in
95 order to understand the similarities/differences and evolution of the cellular and
96 molecular mechanisms driving regeneration. Importantly, the presence of adult stem cells
97 has yet to be discovered in non-hydrozoan cnidarians.

98

99 Anthozoa diverged early within the cnidarian phylogeny [29,33] and form the
100 largest group of cnidarian [34,35] that display anatomical differences compared to other
101 cnidarian polyps. Exclusively anthozoans possess mesenteries that are well-defined
102 anatomical structures located in the gastric cavity, fulfilling the digestive and sexual
103 reproduction roles of the individual polyp. Mesenteries are multifunctional tissues of
104 combined ectodermal and endodermal origins that in addition to the germ lineage and
105 digestive cells also possesses various additional cell types such as muscle cells and
106 cnidocytes (stinging cells) [36-38]. Because of its i) phylogenetic position, the ii) ease to
107 control spawning and fertilization [39-41] and thus the access to embryonic, larval and
108 post-metamorphic stages all year round, iii) a sequenced genome [42] as well as iv)
109 available cellular and molecular tools for functional genomics [43,44] and genome
110 editing [45], the anthozoan sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis* has become a leading
111 model to gain new insight into animal evolution [42,46,47] and early cnidarian

112 development [48-55]. *Nematostella* possesses also high regenerative abilities and
113 therefore is a potent model to better understand the relationship between embryonic
114 development and regeneration [44,56]. However, our knowledge concerning the
115 morphological, cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying whole body regeneration
116 in *Nematostella* is still in its early phase [57-61]. Recent work has begun to describe the
117 morphological and cellular events involved in the regeneration process in *Nematostella*
118 [59,60,62]. Wound healing after head amputation is already completed within six hours
119 post amputation (hpa) and oral regeneration following sub-pharyngeal amputation occurs
120 in stereotypic steps [62]. This process is first visible when the most oral part of the
121 remaining mesenteries fuses together and enters in contact with the epithelia at the
122 amputation site. The most oral part of the mesenteries is at the origin of the internal part
123 of the pharynx that completes its regeneration at the same time than the tentacles bulbs
124 form and elongate [62]. The various stages of the polyp's life are defined by clear
125 morphological characteristics (i.e. number of tentacles and mesenteries, presence of
126 gametes) and cell proliferation is necessary for oral regeneration in juveniles [59] as well
127 as in adults [62]. Nonetheless, in juvenile as well as in adult *Nematostella*, the first
128 morphogenetic step of regeneration is proliferation independent and only later steps
129 require mitotic activity to fully complete oral regeneration following sub-pharyngeal
130 amputation [62]. While this study laid down a foundation for functional work on
131 anthozoan regeneration, basic but crucial questions about the regenerative capacities in
132 *Nematostella* still remain unanswered: i) are there any body part, tissue and/or age
133 specific limits of its regenerative abilities? ii) what is the cellular/tissue origin of the
134 signal(s) that initiates cell proliferation and/or regeneration? iii) what are the cellular

135 mechanisms underlying this phenomenon, and importantly, iv) are there adult stem cells
136 in anthozoans and v) are they involved in the regeneration process?

137

138 In the present study we combined classical grafting experiments with cellular
139 approaches to address these questions. This strategy enabled us to highlight a crucial
140 crosstalk between the mesenteries and the surrounding epithelia of the body wall required
141 for regeneration and to identify that the mesenteries are crucial to induce
142 proliferation/regeneration in *Nematostella*. We further identified at least two cell
143 populations, a fast cycling one and a quiescent/slow cycling one that are required in a
144 combinatorial manner to trigger a regenerative response. Both of these cell populations
145 are re-activated in response to the amputation stress and participate in the regeneration
146 process. Importantly, our work provides the first set of evidences for the existence of at
147 least two adult stem cell populations in anthozoans that synergize to drive efficient
148 regeneration in the anthozoan cnidarian *Nematostella*.

149 **Materials and methods**

150 *Animal culture*

151 *Nematostella vectensis* were cultivated at the Institute for Research on Cancer and Aging,
152 Nice (IRCAN) of the University of Nice. Adult and juvenile animals were cultured in
153 1/3X ASW (Artificial seawater, Tropic-Marin Bio-actif system sea salt) and maintained
154 at 17°C or 22°C for adults and juveniles, respectively. Adults were fed five times a week
155 with freshly hatched artemia. Spawning to obtain juveniles was carried out as described
156 in [39]. Juveniles for cutting experiments were raised until six weeks after fertilization.
157 Starting 2 weeks post fertilization, they were feed once a week with 1ml of smashed
158 artemia during four weeks.

159

160 *Cutting, tissue isolation and grafting experiments*

161 Juveniles or adults were relaxed by adding 1 ml of 7.14% MgCl₂ in 5ml 1/3 ASW and left
162 on a light table during 10 to 15 min until they were relaxed enough for surgical
163 interventions. Polyps were cut using a microsurgery scalpel (Swann-Morton, n°15). Each
164 cut was performed perpendicular to the oral-aboral axis of the body column. Grafting
165 experiments were performed using adult tissues only from a clonal colony, following the
166 cutting protocol described above and carried as follows: 1) Head and physa were
167 surgically removed from relaxed animals; 2) The body column was isolated and opened
168 along the oral-aboral axis of the polyp with microsurgical scissors (Fine Science Tools
169 GMBH #15100-09, Heidelberg, Germany); 3) If required, the mesenteries were gently
170 removed mechanically from the epithelia using forceps (Rubis Grip Tweezers, style 3C)
171 and microsurgical scissors 4) Isolated epithelia from the body wall were forced to contact

172 the freshly isolated mesenteries approximately 5 to 10 min after tissue isolation and the
173 graft was maintained with a human hair allowing the wounds to heal properly; 5) After
174 three days the hair was removed to avoid any mechanical constraints or tissue damages
175 during the regenerating period. Isolated fragments and grafts were transferred into a new
176 dish (or rinsed at least five times to remove MgCl₂) and maintained in 1/3 ASW at 22°C
177 (if not stated otherwise) for the duration of the experiments.

178

179 *Fixation, DNA, actin labeling*

180 After relaxing adult or juvenile polyps as well as isolated body parts in MgCl₂ for 10-15
181 minutes, animals were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences #
182 15714) in 1/3 ASW during 1 hour at 22°C or overnight at 4°C. Fixed animals were
183 washed three times in PBT 0.2% (PBS1x + Triton 0.2%). To analyze the general
184 morphology, Hoechst staining (Invitrogen #33342, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 1/5000 was
185 used to label the DNA/nucleus and BODIPY® FL Phalloidin 488 (Molecular Probes
186 #B607, Eugene, OR, USA) staining at 1/200 to label actin microfilament (cell membranes
187 and muscle fibers).

188

189 *Cell proliferation and “pulse and chase” experiment*

190 To detect cellular proliferation Click-it EdU (5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine) kits (Invitrogen
191 # C10337 or # C10339, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were used following the protocol from [59].
192 To visualize cell proliferation at a given time point EdU incubation was carried out
193 during 30min. For the “pulse and chase” experiment EdU incubation was performed
194 during 1h, then washed 5 times and chased for the period of interest. In order to identify

195 of the Label Retaining Cells (LRCs), animals were incubated for one week with EdU,
196 then chased up to 11 weeks for juveniles or 3 to 5 month for adults.

197

198 *Irradiation*

199 For irradiations experiments, animals where placed in a 10cm diameter petri dish with
200 15ml 1/3 ASW. Irradiations at 100 and 300 Grays where performed on the juvenile
201 polyps using a X-ray irradiator (CP-160 Cabinet X-Radiator, Faixitron). After irradiation,
202 animals were recovering during 4 hours in their culture medium (1/3ASW) at room
203 temperature before further cutting experiments.

204

205 *Imaging*

206 Live animals were imaged using a protocol described in [63]. The imaging setup was
207 composed of either with a Zeiss Stereo Discovery V8 Discovery or a Zeiss Axio Imager
208 A2 (both Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) equipped with a Canon 6D
209 (Canon) or a Axiocam 506 color camera (Zeiss) digital camera, triggering two external
210 Canon Speedlite 430 EX II Flashes and controlled by the Canon Digital Photo
211 Professional software (Canon Inc, Tokyo, Japan). Images were edited using Adobe
212 Lightroom 5 and/or Photoshop CS6 software (Adobe Systems Inc, San Jose, CA, USA).
213 Labeled animals were analyzed using a Zeiss LSM Exciter confocal microscope running
214 the ZEN 2009 software (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) from the IRCAN
215 imaging platform (PICMI). Each final image was reconstituted from a stack of confocal
216 images using Z-projection (maximun intensity or standard deviation) of the ImageJ

217 software (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda,
218 Maryland, USA, <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>, 1997-2014).
219

220 **Results**

221 *The regenerative capacity of the physa is age dependent*

222 In order to assess if all body parts of *Nematostella* possess similar regenerative
223 capacities and if those limits are age dependent, we dissected adult and juvenile
224 *Nematostella* into several isolated body parts. Following dissection, we analyzed each
225 parts capacity to regenerate the missing oral or aboral structures within seven days
226 (Figures 1, Additional file 1: Figure S1). Throughout the manuscript the isolated body
227 parts that are tracked to score regeneration are indicated as follow: [name of the isolated
228 part]. As an example, when a tentacle is isolated and tracked for it is ability to regenerate,
229 it is indicated as [tentacle]. [full body column + physa] is the equivalent of the sub-
230 pharyngeal amputation experiment performed in previous studies [59,62] (Figures 1Ac,c',
231 Bh,h', C) and is considered as positive control in the corresponding experiments.

232

233 We first addressed the capacity of isolated body parts to regenerate missing oral
234 structures (oral regeneration) and we observed that most body regions isolated from
235 either juvenile or adult polyps regenerate within 144hpa. The only exception was the
236 isolated juvenile (but not adult) physa that failed to regenerate missing oral features
237 (pharynx, mouth, tentacles, Figure 1Aa-e, a'-e', Bf-j, f'-j', C). The capacity of body parts
238 to regenerate is correlated with the presence of massive cell proliferation at the
239 amputation site throughout regeneration (Figure 2A, B). Massive cell proliferation was
240 also observed at the amputation site (Figure 3Aa,b) and is required for regeneration of the
241 adult [physa] (Figure 3Ac,d). Interestingly, no or very little cell division was detected in
242 juvenile [physa] (Figure 3Ba,b). These observations show that for the various isolated

243 body parts the capacity to regenerate is associated to cell proliferation, suggesting similar
244 cellular mechanisms involved in this process along the different regions of the polyp.
245 They also highlight that existing age-dependent differences in the regenerative capacity
246 of *Nematostella* are body part specific.

247

248 A similar conclusion was obtained when analyzing the regenerative capacity of
249 isolated juvenile or adult oral body regions to reform aboral structures (aboral
250 regeneration) (Additional file 2: Figure S2). Only juvenile [tentacles + full pharynx + half
251 body column] and [body column], as well as adult [tentacles + full pharynx], [tentacles +
252 full pharynx + half body column] and [pharynx] regenerated (Additional file 1: Figure
253 S2Ad, d', Bg-j, g'-j', C). Intriguingly though, we did not observed massive cell
254 proliferation at the amputation site, neither for the regenerating nor the non-regenerating
255 isolated body parts (Additional file 3: Figure S3), suggesting different cellular strategies
256 involved in the oral vs aboral regeneration processes.

257

258 Taken together these experiments clearly illustrate the existence of limits in the
259 regenerative abilities of distinct *Nematostella* body parts (i.e. the tentacles) that is also
260 varying in an age-dependent manner (i.e. physa). While aboral regeneration seems to
261 involve mainly cell/tissue rearrangements, importantly, the capacity of body parts to
262 reform missing oral structures is tightly linked to the ability of cells to re-enter mitosis,
263 suggesting qualitative variations in the tissues that form the body of *Nematostella*.

264

265 *Mesenteries are present in the adult but not in the juvenile physa*

266 Intrigued by the observation that some body parts were able to perform oral
267 regeneration when isolated from adults but not from juveniles (e.g. physa, Figure 1Aa,a',
268 Bf,f', C), we analyzed in detail the anatomy of the physa in juvenile vs adult polyps
269 (Figure 4). DIC microscopy revealed that in addition to the size variation between
270 juvenile and adult physa, a major difference exists in the thickness and elongation of the
271 longitudinal muscle fiber extensions within the mesenteries (Figure 4a,c). While in adult
272 physa we observed opaque longitudinal extensions from the aboral end of the mesenteries
273 towards the aboral-most tips of the physa (Figure 4c), in juvenile physa, we couldn't
274 detect those longitudinal extensions (Figure 4a). We further performed confocal imaging
275 of the juvenile and adult [physa] using phalloidin to label actin microfilaments
276 (longitudinal and transversal muscle fibers as well as cell cortex) and DAPI to label the
277 nucleus (Figure 4b,b',d,d'). We observed that in adult [physa], the longitudinal muscle
278 fibers are considerably thicker compared to the ones present in juvenile tissues (Figure
279 4b,d). Orthogonal projection of these confocal images further revealed significant
280 differences in the organization of the longitudinal muscle fibers in juvenile or adult
281 [physa] epithelia (Figure 4b',d'). In juvenile [physa], we observed a discrete protrusion
282 from the longitudinal muscle fibers toward the gastric cavity (Figure 4b'). In contrast, the
283 longitudinal muscle fibers of the adult [physa] are thick and shaped in a characteristic
284 manner (Figure 4d'). Within the adult endoderm, they form a stalk at the base of the
285 epithelium that becomes undulated more distally (Figure 4d'). Interestingly, this
286 particular form is strikingly similar to the organization of parietal muscles that support
287 the developing mesenteries [36]. Thus, these observations show the presence of forming

288 mesenteries only in the adult physa and suggest a correlation between the presence of the
289 mesenteries and the capacity of a given tissue to regenerate.

290

291 *Mesenterial tissue is required for cell proliferation and regeneration*

292 While in the juvenile [physa] no or very little mitotic activity was detected in the majority
293 of cases (Figure 3Ba, a'), 24 out of 63 juvenile [physa] displayed localized cell
294 proliferation at the amputation site (Figure 3Bb-c,b'-d'). Interestingly, DIC and
295 fluorescence imaging revealed that the observed localization and intensity of cellular
296 proliferation were linked to the presence and the amount of remaining mesenterial tissues
297 in the juvenile [physa] (Figure 3Ba'-d'). This observation strongly supports the idea that
298 the presence and amount of mesenteries remaining in the isolated body part, are
299 associated to cell proliferation during regeneration.

300

301 In order to directly test our hypothesis that mesenteries are crucial for cell
302 proliferation and regeneration in *Nematostella*, we performed further tissue isolation
303 experiments in adults. By cutting twice transversally, we isolated a part of the mid-trunk
304 region that we named [BE + mes] (Body wall Epithelia *plus* mesenteries). We then
305 opened it longitudinally to separate the body wall epithelia [BE] from the mesenteries
306 [mes], and cultured the [BE] and [mes] separately (Figure 5, Additional file 4: Figure S4).
307 We then analyzed daily if the isolated tissues [BE] or [mes] or the combination of both,
308 [BE + mes], are able to regenerate a fully functional polyp. The [mes] did not regenerate
309 (90 out of 90 cases) but instead, began to fragment one day after isolation, and
310 progressively degraded over time (Figure 5a-c). Surprisingly, while the wound healed,

311 none of the [BE] regenerated neither and they remained intact even after 25 days post
312 isolation (33 out of 34) (Figure 5d-f). Only the [BE + mes] regenerated 10 days after
313 tissue isolation (27 out of 39, Figure 5g-i) and the resulting polyps were able to feed
314 (Additional file 5: Figure S5). To further analyze the importance of the mesenteries to
315 induce regeneration in the surrounding [BE], we performed rescue experiments by
316 grafting [mes] to the endodermal component of the [BE]. Interestingly, nearly half of the
317 grafts regenerated a polyp (6 out of 14 cases, Figure 5j-l). However, regeneration was not
318 complete and none of the regenerated polyps were able to feed. After several weeks, one
319 of them lost its tentacles and all reduced in size (data not shown).

320

321 To advance our understanding of the role of the mesenteries in inducing
322 regeneration, we analyzed cell proliferation at 24, 72 and 168hpa (7dpa) in the isolated
323 tissues described above. While at 24hpa, we did not observe cell proliferation neither in
324 [BE] nor in [BE + mes], at 72 and 168hpa we detected massive and localized cell
325 proliferation only in the [BE + mes] (Figure 6a-f). At those time points, cell proliferation
326 was detected in a density gradient of EdU+ cells in the tissue, allowing us to determine
327 the site where the future tentacles will form (Figure 6e-f). Taken together, our data
328 clearly show that i) the presence of [BE] is required to maintain the integrity of the
329 mesenteries, and that importantly, ii) the mesenteries are required and possess the
330 capacity to induce cell proliferation as well as regeneration of the body wall epithelia.
331 Thus, a crosstalk between [mes] and [BE] is required for the regeneration process in
332 *Nematostella*, with a particularly crucial role of the mesenteries in the induction of this
333 phenomenon.

334 *Label retaining cells are present in Nematostella tissues*

335 In whole body regeneration models, tissues lacking regenerative capacities have
336 been associated to the absence of undifferentiated stem cells in this compartment (e.g.
337 planarian pharynx [9-11], *Hydra* tentacles [12,13]). In the previous set of experiments we
338 have identified the juvenile physa that, in contrast to its adult counterpart is devoid of
339 mesenteries, as a body part lacking regenerative capacity. Thus an appealing hypothesis
340 is that the mesenteries are one compartment that acts as a potential source of adult stem
341 cells involved in regeneration in *Nematostella*.

342

343 Quiescence or slow cycling is among the characteristics of vertebrate adult stem
344 cells that participates in the protection of their genomic integrity [64]. In order to identify
345 the existence of slow cycling / quiescent cells populations in *Nematostella*, we carried out
346 label retaining experiments used in many vertebrate models to identify tissue specific
347 stem cell populations [65-69]. After a one-week EdU pulse and extensive rinsing steps in
348 uncut juveniles and adults, the labeled animals were feed once (juveniles) or twice
349 (adults) per week during the 11 weeks (juveniles) or 39 days (adults) periods of the chase
350 (Figure 7).

351

352 Starting at seven weeks or 39 days after the EdU pulse in juveniles or adults
353 respectively, we began to distinguish isolated and randomly localized EdU+ label
354 retaining cells (LRCs, Figure 7). Those LRCs were detected as expected in the
355 mesenteries of juvenile and adult polyps (Figure 7C,D). Note that in addition to randomly
356 dispersed LRCs in adult mesenteries, we also observed dense EdU+ cells in a restricted

357 region close to the gametes, suggesting the presence of germ line precursors in this
358 specific location (Figure 7D, Additional file 6: Figure S6g,g').

359

360 To our surprise we also detected LRCs within the body wall epithelia (Figure
361 7A,B. Interestingly, we detected LRC pairs in juveniles and adult epithelia, suggesting
362 that a subpopulation of quiescent cells are able to divide to maintain tissue homeostasis in
363 *Nematostella* (Figure 7Ae,e',f,f',Bh,h'). In adults, the density of LCRs after 39 weeks
364 seemed reduced in the endoderm compared to the ectoderm, suggesting that the cellular
365 renewal takes place faster in the endoderm than in the ectodermal (Additional file 7:
366 Figure S7a,a',b,b'). To reinforce the idea that we have detected quiescent/slow cycling
367 cells in *Nematostella*, LRCs were still detected in the epithelia of the body wall (as well
368 as in the mesenteries) five months after the initial EdU pulse (Additional file 8: Figure
369 S8k,k'). However, while after 39 days of chase LRCs were still detected in the tentacles,
370 after 5 months no more LRCs were observed in this part of the body (Additional file 8:
371 Figure S8h-j,h'-j'), suggesting that the cellular turnover (causing the elimination of the
372 LRCs) in the tentacle region is increased compared to the body column.

373

374 To make sure that the LRCs we detected are not all terminally differentiated cells,
375 we analyzed the nuclear morphology and organization of those EdU+ cells. While we
376 detected differentiated cells such as cnidocytes, excretory cells and batteries of
377 nematocysts (Additional file 7: Figure S7d-f,d'-f'), we also observed a subpopulation of
378 cells with highly condensed DNA (Additional file 7: Figure S7c,c'), reminiscent of stem
379 cell nuclei in *Hydractinia* [22]. The presence of LRCs in the mesenteries (other than

380 potential germ line precursors) as well as in the epithelia that are able to re-enter the
381 mitotic cycle under physiological conditions, further support the presence of adult stem
382 cells in the anthozoan *Nematostella*.

383

384 *Irradiation resistant LRCs in the mesenteries and response to the amputation stress*

385 The capacity to retain EdU labelling is one feature of slow cycling/quiescent stem
386 cell populations that thus represent an increased resistance to irradiation. Another
387 characteristic is the ability to re-enter the cell cycle after a challenge (e.g. amputation
388 stress) [64]. In order to test this ability in *Nematostella*, we inhibited mitosis in uncut
389 animals using X-ray irradiation. As expected, no more cell proliferation was detected four
390 hours after irradiating the animals at 100Gy (Additional file 9: Figure S9a,a',b,b'). In
391 order to analyze if mitotic activity can re-emerge in the irradiated animals, we performed
392 EdU incorporation at various time points following irradiation on uncut polyps
393 (Additional file 9: Figure S9c-e,c'-e'). Interestingly, we observed EdU+ cells starting at
394 96hours post irradiation (hpirr) that were dispersed randomly within the mesenteries and
395 the basal part of the pharynx but not at all in the epithelia (Additional file 9: Figure
396 S9e,e'). These data clearly show that a pool of cells within the mesenteries and the basal
397 part of the pharynx is able to escape the effects of irradiation.

398

399 In order to test if this small pool of irradiation resistant quiescent/slow cycling cells
400 is sufficient to allow proper regeneration, we performed a sub-pharyngeal amputation
401 four hours after irradiation. Doing so, we observed that regeneration was impaired. More
402 precisely, the majority of irradiated animals were blocked prior to the formation of the

403 pharynx at step 2 of the sub-pharyngeal oral *Nematostella* regeneration staging system
404 [62] (100Gy: Figure 8A, Additional file 10: Figure S10i,i’; 300Gy: Additional file 11:
405 Figure S11b,b’, Additional file 12: Figure S12). To analyze the capacity of the
406 quiescent/slow cycling cells to respond to the amputation stress, we repeated the same
407 experiment (sub-pharyngeal amputation of irradiated polyps) that was followed by EdU
408 labeling at various time points post dissection. To our surprise, we detected the presence
409 of EdU+ cells in the most oral part of the mesenteries already at 48hpa in the amputated
410 polyps (Figure 8Be,e’). Later (120hpa), in addition to the ones in the oral tips of the
411 mesenteries, we also observed EdU+ cells in the epithelia at the amputation site (100Gy:
412 Additional file 10: Figure S10i,i’; 300Gy: Additional file 11: Figure S11b,b’). While
413 quiescent/slow cycling cells that escape irradiation are able to re-enter the cell cycle in
414 uncut as well as cut polyps, their timing of emergence and dispersion is different. They
415 are either detected 96hpa and distributed along the oral-aboral axis of the mesenteries in
416 uncut animals, or restricted to the oral most part of the mesenteries in dissected polyps
417 starting 48hpa. Latter observation suggest that quiescent/slow cycling cells are migrating
418 from the aboral region of the mesenteries towards the oral most part of those structures in
419 response to the amputation stress.

420

421 Taken together these results show that i) the quiescent/slow cycling cells of the
422 mesenteries alone are not sufficient to allow full regeneration, ii) that at least an
423 additional pool of fast cycling cells (the ones affected by irradiation) are required for
424 reformation of lost body parts and iii) that the LRCs of the mesenteries that escape the
425 effects of irradiation, are activated by the amputation stress by displaying mitotic activity

426 and by migrating towards the amputation site. Thus, we now provide a series of
427 evidences that highlight the presence of quiescent/slow cycling stem cells in *Nematostella*,
428 in particular, those located within the mesenteries.

429

430 *LRCs are migrating from the mesenteries to the body wall epithelia*

431 We have shown that a crosstalk between the mesenteries and the epithelia is
432 required for cell proliferation/regeneration and that quiescent/slow cycling stem cells are
433 potentially migrating from the mesenteries to the surrounding epithelia in response to the
434 amputation stress. To test this hypothesis, we designed a protocol that combined EdU
435 pulse and chase with grafting experiment. More precisely, we labeled LRCs of the entire
436 polyp and isolated its mesenteries, named [mesLRC] (LRC stained mesenteries). We then
437 grafted the [mesLRC] to the endodermal component of the [BE] from an unlabeled
438 animal (Figure 9A). After 14 days, 3 out of 18 grafts regenerated. Nonetheless, all 18
439 grafts were fixed in order to chase the EdU staining and determine the localization of
440 LRCs in the chimeric animals. To our surprise, we not only observed LRCs in the
441 previously unlabeled [BE] (5 out of 18 cases), but also far from the [mesLRC] source
442 (Figure 9Ba). In one of the regenerated [mesLRC] [BE] grafts, a large amount of LRCs
443 have even migrated towards the newly formed tentacles (Figure 9Bd). This clearly
444 demonstrates that LRCs are able to migrate from the mesenteries towards the epithelia,
445 and even within the epithelia to integrate specific regions. Importantly, we also observed
446 LRC pairs (in addition to single LRCs), showing that the LRCs that migrated from the
447 [mesLRC] to the [BE] were able to divide and are not post-mitotic (Figure 9Bb,c). A
448 detailed analysis of the DNA of migrating LRCs using confocal microscopy revealed that

449 i) their nucleus is round, ii) very similar in size, iii) possess a compact DNA and iv) the
450 majority is located in the endoderm of the [BE] (Figure 9C). Latter observation strongly
451 suggests that the graft re-establishes the physical contact between the endodermal
452 components of the mesenteries and the [BE]. In sum, we now have clear evidence that
453 LRCs, or their immediate progeny, are able to migrate from the mesenteries towards the
454 body wall and tentacle epithelia under stress conditions where they may participate in
455 regenerating missing body parts.

456

457 *LRCs localized in the epithelia respond to the amputation stress*

458 In addition to the clearly defined pool of mesenterial LRCs, we have also
459 observed LRCs within the epithelia that were however, less numerous compared to the
460 mesenterial ones (Figure 7). To perform a detailed characterization of all LRCs present in
461 *Nematostella*, we also analyzed the dynamics of LRCs localized in the epithelia of the
462 body wall during regeneration. We performed sub-pharyngeal amputation on LRCs
463 positive adult polyps (Figure 10A) and analyzed at various regeneration time points (24-
464 192hpa) i) the localization of the LRCs, ii) their ability to divide and form clusters in
465 response to the amputation stress and iii) their becoming in the newly regenerated head
466 (Figure 10B). The LRCs of the mid-body and aboral regions remained largely unaffected.
467 However, we detected a general, although variable, accumulation of LRCs as well as the
468 presence of LRC clusters at the amputation site starting at 24hpa (Figure 10Ba-d,a'-d').
469 This variability could be either associated i) to the different metabolic states of each
470 individual or ii) to the increased division rate at the amputation site at later stages that
471 may cause the dilution of the EdU signal or iii) both. Importantly though, we detected

472 single LRCs as well as pairs of LRCs in the newly formed tentacles 8 days post-
473 amputation (192hpa) (Figure 10Be-e’’).

474

475 Taken together, our data show that the LRCs of the epithelia, although they are
476 not able to escape the effects of irradiation, i) are activated in response to the amputation
477 stress by dividing and accumulating at the amputation site and ii) participate in the newly
478 formed head (e.g. tentacles epithelia), providing clear evidence that those epithelial LRCs
479 are actively involved in tissue renewal and thus, also possess stem cell-like characteristics.

480

481 *Accumulation of fast cycling cell at the amputation site during oral regeneration*

482 We have seen that the mesenterial LRCs (reactivated during regeneration
483 following irradiation) alone are not sufficient to promote full regeneration. This shows
484 the necessity of a tissue crosstalk and in particular, the requirement of another pool of
485 (stem) cells localized in the body wall epithelia. During the irradiation experiment, we
486 blocked cell proliferation in cells that are undergoing mitosis including fast cycling cells
487 required for tissue homeostasis. In order to test if a population of fast dividing cells from
488 the uncut animal also migrates to the wound site during regeneration, we performed a 1h
489 EdU pulse in uncut animals followed by extensive washes to eliminate any excessive
490 EdU. This EdU pulse labels the fast dividing cells involved in tissue homeostasis (Figure
491 11Aa). We then performed a sub-pharyngeal amputation and chased the EdU+ cells
492 during the process of regeneration from 0 to 120hpa (Figure 11A,B).

493

494 In uncut control animals, EdU+ cells were randomly dispersed throughout the
495 body wall epithelia as well as in the mesenteries for all analyzed time points [59](Figure
496 11Aa-d, Additional file 13: Figure S13). Starting at 24 hours post-pulse, first homeostatic
497 EdU+ cell divisions are detected (still in a dispersed manner) in uncut animals, as
498 indicated by the presence of EdU+ cell pairs (Figure 11Ab-d). Interestingly, in sub-
499 pharyngeally amputated polyps, we observed a strong accumulation of EdU+ cells in the
500 oral regions of the mesenteries while their remaining aboral parts progressively become
501 devoid of those cells (Figure 11B). This accumulation, indicating amputation-site-
502 directed cellular migration within the mesenteries is already visible at 24hpa Figure
503 11Bi,j, while EdU+ cells are still randomly located within the epithelia (Figure 11Ae,f).
504 However, starting at 48hpa, EdU+ cells accumulate massively in a restricted region of the
505 epithelia at the amputation site and, in a few cases (48hpa: 9 out of 31), a zone depleted
506 of EdU+ cells is observed in the region below the massive accumulation of EdU+ cells
507 (Figure 11Ag,h).

508

509 Those observations are further quantitatively supported by carefully counting individual
510 Edu + cells in three different zones (Z1', Z1'' and Z2) of the body epithelia along the
511 oral-aboral axis of the uncut *vs* cut animal during regeneration (Additional file 14: Figure
512 S14). In addition to the difference in the average number of EdU+ cells in zone Z1' in
513 uncut (sub-pharyngeal region) *vs* cut (amputation site), this cell counting revealed clear
514 differences in the Z1'' (lower mid-body region) and Z2 (aboral end) zones for the same
515 conditions. In fact, while the average number of EdU+ cells in Z1'' and Z2 is constant or
516 decreasing respectively in regenerating animals, it is increasing in both zones in uncut

517 controls (Additional file 14: Figure S14). In sum, these results show that fast cycling cells
518 (required for regeneration as eliminated during irradiation) from the region below zone
519 Z1' of the body wall epithelia, migrate towards the amputation site and in cooperation
520 with LRCs, actively participate in the renewal of missing tissues.

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534 **Discussion**

535 In the present study, we have highlighted the unexpected role of the mesenteries
536 not only as a reservoir of quiescent/slow cycling stem cells, but also as the tissue
537 harboring the signal to induce cell proliferation and regeneration in *Nematostella*. Long-
538 term EdU pulse and chase experiments revealed the presence of quiescent/slow cycling
539 cells (LRCs) that respond to the amputation stress by reentering the mitotic cycle,
540 accumulation at the wound-site and participate in the reformation of missing structures.
541 Combining classical graft experiments with EdU pulse and chase experiments, revealed
542 cellular migration from the mesenteries to the epithelia, as well as toward the amputation
543 site in response to the amputation stress. We further showed that LRCs alone are not
544 sufficient and that homeostatically fast cycling cells are required for regeneration. In sum,
545 our present work has not only revealed the requirement of a tissue crosstalk between the
546 mesenteries and the epithelia of the body wall to initiate the cellular dynamics underlying
547 regeneration but importantly also the synergic effect of fast cycling cells and
548 slow/quiescent stem cells to enable full reformation of lost body parts. This study
549 provides also for the first time in an anthozoan cnidarian a set of strong evidences for the
550 presence of adult stem cell populations that are directly involved in the regenerative
551 response.

552

553 We thus propose a mechanistic model for head regeneration in *Nematostella*, in
554 which the mesenteries, once in contact with the epithelia of the amputation site (Step 1,
555 [62]) emit a regeneration-initiating signal that activates fast and slow-cycling stem cell
556 populations from the mesenteries as well as the epithelia by inducing their division and

557 migration toward the wound site. Importantly, a synergic effect between those two stem
558 cell populations is required to pursue and complete the regeneration process. The
559 regeneration inducing signal emitted by the mesenteries, the mechanism of synergic
560 cooperation between the two stem cell populations and whether de- or trans-
561 differentiation processes are also involved in the tissue repair and regeneration program
562 of *Nematostella*, remains to be investigated.

563

564 *Distinct cellular mechanisms between oral vs aboral regeneration in Cnidaria*

565 By comparing the regenerative capacity of various body parts to reform missing
566 oral as well as aboral structures, we observed that regeneration of aboral tissues does not
567 involve massive cellular proliferation at the amputation site, while oral regeneration does.
568 These data suggest that aboral regeneration either i) uses a different cellular mechanism
569 than the one described for oral regeneration in order to reform the missing physa and/or
570 ii) that the requirement of regenerating aboral tissues is less urgent (and thus does not
571 require massive localized cell proliferation) than quickly reforming a missing head region
572 that is more complex and crucial for feeding and defense. A distinct cellular mechanisms
573 for oral vs aboral regeneration has been recently described in the cnidarian hydrozoan
574 *Hydra* and *Hydractinia* [20,22] supporting the idea that using different mechanism to
575 regenerate opposite part of the body in a same system seems to be a conserved feature
576 among Cnidaria. The studies performed in Hydrozoa show that similar to our
577 observations, no massive cell proliferation is detected at the aboral amputation site
578 [20,22]. In *Nematostella*, while we also observe a slight reduction in tentacle size during
579 aboral regeneration that could contribute to the reformation of the physa via tissue

580 reorganization, we have no evidence for a complete transformation of the body column
581 into an aboral fate prior to re-growing a fully functional polyp. However, recent work on
582 *Nematostella* shows a clear difference in the transcriptional response of the oral *versus*
583 aboral regeneration process [61]. This study also shows that the early molecular response
584 (8hpa) to the amputation stress is more similar between oral *vs* aboral regions, compared
585 to later time points (24 and 72hpa) [61]. Interestingly, our previous study on *Nematostella*
586 regeneration revealed that early oral regeneration steps (from step 0 to step 1; between 0
587 to 24hpa) are cell proliferation independent, while the later ones (from step 2 to step 4,
588 between 24 to 120hpa) are cell proliferation dependent [62]. Thus, in *Nematostella*, the
589 mechanisms driving the early steps of tissue regeneration such as wound healing seem
590 similar between oral *versus* aboral regeneration as they are both cell proliferation
591 independent. However, additional experiments are required to gain a better understanding
592 of the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in aboral regeneration in
593 *Nematostella*, in particular during later regeneration phases, when initial wound healing
594 and early response is completed.

595

596 *Regenerative capacity of a given body part is age-dependent*

597 It has been previously shown that oral regeneration after sub-pharyngeal
598 amputation in *Nematostella*, occurs at a comparable time-scale in both juveniles and
599 adults and that cellular proliferation is required in both cases [62]. Our present study
600 revealed that clear variation in the capacity to regenerate exists in one given body part
601 depending on the age of the organism. The obtained data show that the tissue composition
602 within a given body region is changing with age. In particular, the isolated physa

603 regenerates only in adults, but not in juveniles that are unable to induce sufficient cellular
604 proliferation, probably by the lack of i) stem cell population(s), ii) initiation signal(s) or
605 both. In addition, this approach was useful to identify which body part/structure of
606 *Nematostella* is required for the initiation of regeneration and to orient our research on
607 the potential stem cell population(s) involved in the regeneration process.

608

609 *Mesenteries are required and sufficient to induce cell proliferation and regeneration*

610 Taking advantage of the differential regenerative capacity of juvenile and adult
611 physa, we identified the mesenteries as crucial structures to induce cellular proliferation
612 and subsequent regeneration in the surrounding tissues. By performing tissue dissociation
613 and grafting experiments, we have shown that isolated mesenteries, grafted back to the
614 remaining [BE] of the same individual, are sufficient to induce regeneration. Extending
615 this finding to other body parts of juveniles that did not regenerate when isolated such as
616 [tentacles], [tentacles + half pharynx], [tentacles + full pharynx] and [pharynx], it seems
617 plausible that the common factor explaining the lack of regeneration in those juvenile
618 body parts are the missing or not completely formed mesenteries. [tentacles] or [tentacles
619 + half pharynx] isolated from adults did not regenerate neither, while [tentacles + full
620 pharynx] or [pharynx] did. Tentacles clearly lack mesenterial tissues and interestingly,
621 the mesenteries are anchored to the pharynx in its aboral regions. As the half pharynx that
622 remained with the tentacles in [tentacles + half pharynx] corresponds to the oral half of
623 the pharynx, the absence of regenerative capacity in juvenile and adult [tentacles] or
624 [tentacles + half pharynx] can thus be linked to the absence of mesenteries in those body
625 parts. Fully formed mesentery anchors are present in the adult pharynx that are sufficient

626 to induce regeneration from [tentacles + full pharynx] or [pharynx]. In analogy to our
627 findings in the juvenile physa, we expect that the mesenterial anchors, or at least
628 important components of it (i.e. stem cells), in the juvenile pharynx are not fully formed
629 or are absent. This may explain the absence of regenerative capacity of those isolated
630 body parts.

631

632 One puzzling observation in the graft experiments (restoring the regenerative
633 capacity) was that the regenerated animals possessed a tentacle crown but not a mouth
634 and were therefore unable to feed. One probable explanation for this is the number of
635 mesenteries required for full regeneration. In the graft experiment performed in our
636 present study only one mesentery has been grafted into the epithelia leading to a partial
637 regeneration (head without mouth/pharynx). By testing the removal of only 7, 6 or 5
638 mesenteries on 8 total from the adult body wall epithelia, we have observed that at least
639 two mesenteries are required for proper mouth formation. In none of the cases in which
640 only one mesentery was left in contact with the body wall epithelia, the regenerated
641 polyps were able to feed, showing that only partial regeneration occurs (data not shown).
642 This evidence for the requirement of at least two mesenteries for proper pharynx
643 reformation is further enhanced by previous observations that during oral regeneration the
644 two mesenteries fuse to one to each other, and that the fused oral parts of the mesenteries
645 give rise to the vast majority of the newly formed pharynx [62].

646

647 *A tissue crosstalk is required for initiating a regenerative response*

648 The observation that the mesenteries are required to induce regeneration in the
649 surrounding epithelia leads to three main hypotheses concerning the mechanism
650 involved: 1) A long-range diffusing “regeneration” signal emitted by injured mesenteries
651 is required to initiate cellular proliferation in the surrounding epithelia. 2) The physical
652 integrity of the tissue that links the mesenteries to the body epithelia is important to relay
653 the “regeneration” signal. 3) A pool of stem cells (or their offspring) migrates from the
654 mesenteries to the body wall epithelia to initiate the regeneration process. We tested the
655 first hypothesis by incubating the isolated body wall epithelia in close but not physical
656 contact with isolated pieces of mesenteries in the same wells. In none of the cases the
657 body wall epithelia regenerated (data not shown), suggesting that the molecular induction
658 signal (if there is any) from the mesenteries is not sufficient alone, or that it is too diluted
659 in the well, to induce regeneration of the epithelia. Alternatively, it might also be possible
660 that only endodermal cells respond to the induction signal to transform it into a
661 regenerative response. In this case, the fact that the isolated epithelia wounded and closed
662 with the endodermal cells inside prevents the signal to target the responsive cells. While
663 additional experiments are required to fully address hypothesis 1, we currently favor
664 hypothesis 2 and 3 to explain the role of the mesenteries in the regeneration process of
665 *Nematostella*.

666

667 The importance of physical tissue crosstalk during regeneration has been studied
668 during vertebrate intestinal epithelium regeneration in which the connective tissues have
669 been shown to be crucial for the regeneration of the epithelium [70]. In addition, cell/cell
670 contact molecules such as integrins are known to be involved in the regeneration process

671 in vertebrates [71,72] [73]. The migration of stem cells to the amputation site has been
672 shown for regeneration of complex structure [74,75] and it has been proposed that
673 mechanical stress induces cell migration as in smooth muscles [76]. Our study has
674 provided clear evidences for a cellular transfer of cells from the mesenteries to the
675 epithelia as well as the existence of two populations of cells that have stem cells
676 characteristics (Hypothesis 3). The observation that the mesenteries disintegrate when
677 isolated from the body wall epithelia highlight the importance of a tight and physical
678 tissue interaction between the epithelia and the mesenteries to maintain the integrity
679 and/or homeostasis of the mesenteries (Hypothesis 2). Hypothesis 2 and 3 are further
680 reinforced by the fact that the process of regeneration is delayed in graft experiments
681 compared to [BE + mes] (14 vs 10 days, respectively). This could be explained by the
682 fact that the tissue connecting the mesentery and the body wall epithelia [62] needs to be
683 first reformed, before either the inductive signal can be relayed, or before the stem cell
684 population can migrate from the mesenteries to the body wall epithelia.

685

686 *Migration of different pools of cells during regeneration*

687 Our work highlights evidences that cell migration occurs during *Nematostella*
688 regeneration as it is the case in others invertebrate and vertebrate regeneration models
689 studied so far [1]. These evidence are: i) LRCs migrate from the mesenteries to the
690 surrounding epithelia, ii) fast cycling cells involved in tissue homeostasis migrate to the
691 amputation site, and iii) following irradiation, slow cycling EdU+ cells within the
692 mesenteries that escape irradiation accumulate the most oral part of the mesenteries,
693 while EdU+ cells are randomly dispersed in the mesenteries in irradiated uncut animals.

694 These data show that this population of cells re-entering the cell cycle in irradiated
695 animals migrate to the amputation side during regeneration. However, latter cell
696 population is not sufficient alone to fully complete regeneration as the regeneration
697 process in irradiated polyps is blocked at step 2 of the sub-pharyngeal *Nematostella*
698 staging system [62]. We recently showed that inhibition of cell proliferation using
699 Hydroxy Urea during *Nematostella* regeneration, blocked the regeneration process at step
700 1 [62], suggesting that the slow cycling, irradiation-resistant cells that re-entered the
701 mitotic cycle in response to the amputation stress are crucial to transition at least from
702 step 1 to step 2.

703

704 In *Nematostella*, although we were able to detect a “first wave” of migrating cells
705 only between 24-48hpa, we cannot exclude that cell migration of other cell populations
706 also occurs at earlier time points between 0 to 24hpa, as it has been shown in *Hydra* [20].
707 The potential second “wave” of cell migration, reflected by the decrease in the number of
708 the EdU+ cells in zone Z2 (most aboral part of the animal) during regeneration, might
709 correspond to a replacement of stem cells populations in a zone that got depleted of it.
710 This kind of stem cell replenishment has been shown during tissue homeostasis or tissue
711 transplantation experiments in *Hydra* to repopulate a tissue that was i-cell deficient [77]
712 [78]. Our experiments show that fast as well as slow cycling cells are able to migrate
713 during *Nematostella* regeneration, however, we currently don’t know if the migrating
714 cells are the stem cells or their progeny. Further characterization of the molecular identity
715 of those migrating cells as well as the development of *in vivo* tools is required in
716 *Nematostella* to answer this question.

717

718 *Stem cells in Anthozoa*

719 Prior to the present study, cells populations with clear stem cell characteristics have not
720 been described in *Nematostella* or more generally in anthozoan cnidarians (sea anemones,
721 corals) [32,57,59]. Our present work highlights the existence of two populations of
722 proliferating cells that are synergistically required for regeneration: i) one pool,
723 composed of slow cycling/quiescent cells (LRCs) and ii) another pool, composed of fast
724 cycling cells involved in tissue homeostasis in the uncut animal. Both cell populations
725 possess features that are characteristic for stem cells: 1) The behaviour of the slow
726 cycling/quiescent/label retaining cells (LRCs) *per se*, as it is one important feature of
727 adult stem cells that is deployed in mammalian stem cells to preserve genomic integrity
728 [64,69]. These slow cycling/quiescent cells are able to escape X-ray irradiations and
729 respond to the amputation stress by migrating and accumulating towards the wound site,
730 where they actively divide and participate in the reformation of lost structures. While the
731 potency of those LRCs has not been properly addressed yet, we already observed that
732 they are able to give rise to sensory cells within the regenerated tentacles. 2) The fast
733 cycling cells, respond to the amputation stress by migrating towards the amputation site
734 where they actively divide and are required for regeneration, probably for later
735 regeneration steps (3 and 4). In the hydrozoans *Hydra* and *Hydractinia*, fast cycling stem-
736 cells (i-cells and/or their progeny) also divide and migrate to the amputation site and
737 actively participate in the regeneration process [20,22]. Thus, our results suggest a
738 conserved pool of fast cycling stem cells in cnidarians that are involved in tissue
739 homeostasis and regeneration.

740

741 Stem cell/pluripotency markers in ctenophores and cnidarians are known to
742 include the germ lines markers *piwi*, *vasa*, *nanos* and *PL10* [22,79-84] [85]. A previous
743 study in *Nematostella* analyzed the expression pattern of those genes (with the exception
744 of *piwi*) from early development to the primary polyp stage [86]. In the juvenile, *Nvnos1*
745 is found in some patches of cells in the ectodermal epithelia of the body wall. The authors
746 suggested that these patches of *Nvnos1+* cells are “population of nematocyst precursors
747 with stem cells characteristics” [86]. However, all other analyzed germline genes *NvPL10*,
748 *Nvvasa1*, *Nvvasa2* and *Nvnanos2* are expressed solely in the mesenterial tissues, excluded
749 from the epithelia of the body wall and interestingly, are not detected within the physa of
750 juveniles [86]. Those data combined with our current work further strengthen the idea
751 that a specific stem cell population, able to respond to the amputation stress is located in
752 the mesenteries of *Nematostella*.

753

754 However, it is important to note that the germ line of anthozoans is located in the
755 mesenteries [86]. This of course raises the questions if i) the germ line cells correspond to
756 the pool of pluripotent stem cells involved in the regeneration process, or if ii) the
757 mesenteries contain in addition to the germ line, a pool of set aside stem cells that
758 respond to the amputation stress by migrating towards the wound site to reform missing
759 body parts. If the first idea would be true, then one would expect that a tradeoff between
760 sexual reproduction and regeneration might exist, as regeneration would exhaust the
761 germ-line pool for the tissue repair process. First experiments to analyze if sexual
762 reproduction is impaired after bisection, revealed that the spawning capacity of the

763 regenerated adult polyps remained unchanged (data not shown). While this suggests that
764 the germ line is different from the stem cell population in the mesenteries, additional
765 experiments are required to fully address this question.

766

767 Taken together, we provide a list of evidences that strongly support the idea that
768 there are at least two populations of stem cells in *Nematostella* that are actively deployed
769 and act in synergy during the regeneration process. However, further cellular (self-
770 renewal, potency) as well as molecular characterization (e.g. stem cell/pluripotency
771 markers) is needed in order to characterize in details their stem cell identity.

772

773 *The presence of mesenteries as specific feature of anthozoans may account for a spatial*
774 *dissociation of the signal-emitting and signal-receiving compartments required for*
775 *regeneration*

776 Hydrozoans lack mesenteries and their pluripotent stem cells (i-cells) are located
777 in the epithelia of the midgastric region and are able to give rise to the germ line as well
778 as somatic cells [87-89]. The present study revealed that anthozoans possess stem cell
779 populations that are located throughout the body within the epithelia but importantly also
780 within the mesenteries. Because the oocytes (germ line) are located within the
781 mesenteries, it is highly probable that, if any pluripotent stem cells (giving rise to germ
782 and soma) exist in *Nematostella* they are located within the mesenteries. Taken into an
783 evolutionary context one proposition would be that hydrozoans (*Hydra*, *Hydractinia*)
784 cope the lack of mesenteries with the existence of pluripotent stem cells (at the origin of
785 germ and somatic cells) as well as a regeneration-inducing signal localized both directly

786 within the epithelia. Our present results from the anthozoan sea anemone *Nematostella*
787 *vectensis* show that the regeneration signal emitting (mesenteries) and receiving
788 (epithelia) tissues are spatially separated and that a synergic effect of the stem cell
789 populations is required to complete the regeneration process. We thus propose that the
790 emergence/loss of structure complexity/compartmentalization can influence the
791 properties of tissue plasticity, changes the competence of tissues to reprogram and, in the
792 context of regeneration, the capacity to emit or respond to a regeneration-inducing signal.

793

794 **Conclusions**

795 Although cnidarian regeneration has intrigued scientists for over 300 years, little was
796 known about the origin of the regeneration inductive signal, the interplay between tissues
797 and its role on coordinating a particular cellular dynamics required for a successful
798 regeneration process. For the present study, we used *Nematostella vectensis*, an
799 anthozoan cnidarian that possesses promising features to become a potent and
800 complementary novel whole body regeneration model (reviewed in [44,90]). By
801 combining dissection and grafting experiments with functional and modern cellular
802 approaches we have identified i) the body structure that emits a regeneration inductive
803 signal, ii) the crucial importance of a tissue crosstalk to induce a cellular response and iii)
804 that two populations of stem cells, activated by the amputation stress and tissue crosstalk,
805 are synergically required to successfully complete the reformation of lost body parts. In
806 addition to the identification of the previously unknown anthozoan stem cell populations,
807 the tissue crosstalk we describe here has never been reported from other cnidarians. This
808 is mainly due to differences observed in cnidarian anatomies and opens new opportunities

809 to understand how the emergence/loss of structure complexity/compartmentalization can
810 influence the proprieties of the tissue plasticity that compose the body of an animal. This
811 in turn may have important implications on the competence of a tissue to reprogram and,
812 in the context of regeneration, the capacity to emit or respond to a regeneration-inducing
813 signal.

814

815

816 **Acknowledgements**

817 The authors thank the current team members as well as the Saccani, Liti, Shkreli and
818 Féral labs for stimulating discussions on the project. We are grateful to Marina Shkreli
819 for careful reading and comments on the manuscript. We also thank Isabelle Bourget for
820 running the irradiation device and the Pasteur-IRCAN Molecular and Cellular Imaging
821 Core Facility (PICMI) for providing access to the Zeiss LSM Exciter confocal
822 microscope. PICMI was supported financially by: le Cancéropole PACA, la Région
823 Provence Alpes-Côte d'Azur, le Conseil Départemental 06 and l'INSERM.

824

825 **Funding**

826 This project was funded by an ATIP-Avenir award (CNRS/INSERM/Plan Cancer), a
827 Marie-Curie Career Integration Grant (CIG # 631665 – FP7 European Commission) and
828 a "Fondation ARC pour la Recherche sur le Cancer" grant (# 20141201869) to ER, a
829 FRM fellowship (Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale) to ARA and a Région
830 PACA/INSERM fellowship to KF. The funders had no role in study design, data
831 collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

832

833 **Availability of data and materials**

834 All biological material presented in this study is available upon request.

835

836 **Authors' contributions**

837 ARA and ER conceived and designed experiments. ARA, KF and SF performed
838 experiments, generated, collected and analyzed data. ER contributed reagents, materials,

839 and analysis tools. ARA and ER drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved
840 the final manuscript.

841

842 **Competing interests**

843 The authors declare that no competing interests exist

844

845 **References**

- 846 1. King RS, Newmark PA. Beyond the cell: The cell biology of regeneration. *The Journal*
847 *of Cell Biology*. 2012;196:553–62.
- 848 2. Trembley A. Mémoires pour servir à l'histoire d'un genre de polypes d'eau douce, à
849 bras en forme de cornes. Verbeek JH, editor. Leiden; 1744;:1–404.
- 850 3. Réaumur RA. Animaux coupés et partagés en plusieurs parties, et qui se reproduisent
851 tout entiers dans chacune. (null), editor. *Memoires de l'Academie Royale des Sciences de*
852 *Paris*. 1741;:33–5.
- 853 4. Sánchez Alvarado A, Tsonis PA. Bridging the regeneration gap: genetic insights from
854 diverse animal models. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2006;7:873–84.
- 855 5. Galliot B, Schmid V. Cnidarians as a model system for understanding evolution and
856 regeneration. *Int J Dev Biol*. 2002;46:39–48.
- 857 6. Holstein TW, Hobmayer E, Technau U. Cnidarians: an evolutionarily conserved model
858 system for regeneration? *Dev Dyn*. 2003;226:257–67.
- 859 7. Bely AE, Nyberg KG. Evolution of animal regeneration: re-emergence of a field.
860 *Trends Ecol Evol (Amst)*. 2010;25:161–70.
- 861 8. Pallas PS. **Fasciola Punctata**. *Spicilegia zoologica - Quibus novae imprimis et*
862 *obscurae animalium species iconibus, descriptionibus atque comentariis illustrantur*.
863 1774;:22–3.
- 864 9. Morgan TH. Experimental studies of the regeneration of *Planaria maculata*. *Archiv für*
865 *Entwicklungsmechanik der Organismen*. Springer-Verlag; 1898;7:364–97.
- 866 10. Reddien PW, Alvarado AS. Fundamentals of planarian regeneration. *Annu Rev Cell*
867 *Dev Biol*. 2004;20:725–57.
- 868 11. Wenemoser D, Reddien PW. Planarian regeneration involves distinct stem cell
869 responses to wounds and tissue absence. *Dev Biol*. 2010;344:979–91.
- 870 12. David CN, Plotnick I. Distribution of interstitial stem cells in *Hydra*. *Dev Biol*.
871 1980;76:175–84.
- 872 13. Bode HR. Head regeneration in *Hydra*. *Dev Dyn*. 2003;226:225–36.
- 873 14. Hazen AP. Regeneration in *Hydractinia* and *Podocoryne*. *American Naturalist*. 1902.
- 874 15. Schmid V, Schmid B, Schneider B, Stidwill R, Baker G. Factors effecting
875 manubrium-regeneration in hydromedusae (Coelenterata). *Wilhelm Roux' Archiv*.
876 Springer-Verlag; 1976;179:41–56.

- 877 16. Duffy DJ, Plickert G, Kuenzel T, Tilmann W, Frank U. Wnt signaling promotes oral
878 but suppresses aboral structures in *Hydractinia* metamorphosis and regeneration.
879 *Development* (Cambridge, England). 2010;137:3057–66.
- 880 17. Frank U, Leitz T, Müller WA. The hydroid *Hydractinia*: a versatile, informative
881 cnidarian representative. *Bioessays*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2001;23:963–71.
- 882 18. Marcum BA, Campbell RD. Development of *Hydra* lacking nerve and interstitial cells.
883 *J Cell Sci*. 1978;29:17–33.
- 884 19. Galliot B. *Regeneration in Hydra*. eLS. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd;
885 2013.
- 886 20. Chera S, Ghila L, Dobretz K, Wenger Y, Bauer C, Buzgariu W, et al. Apoptotic Cells
887 Provide an Unexpected Source of Wnt3 Signaling to Drive *Hydra* Head Regeneration.
888 *Dev Cell*. Elsevier Ltd; 2009;17:279–89.
- 889 21. Gahan JM, Bradshaw B, Flici H, Frank U. The interstitial stem cells in *Hydractinia*
890 and their role in regeneration. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. 2016;40:65–73.
- 891 22. Bradshaw B, Thompson K, Frank U. Distinct mechanisms underlie oral versus aboral
892 regeneration in the cnidarian *Hydractinia echinata*. *eLife*. 2015;4.
- 893 23. Müller WA, Teo R, Frank U. Totipotent migratory stem cells in a hydroid. *Dev Biol*.
894 2004;275:215–24.
- 895 24. Govindasamy N, Murthy S, Ghanekar Y. Slow-cycling stem cells in *hydra* contribute
896 to head regeneration. *Biology Open*. 2014;3:1236–44.
- 897 25. Bridge D, Cunningham CW, Schierwater B, DeSalle R, Buss LW. Class-level
898 relationships in the phylum Cnidaria: evidence from mitochondrial genome structure.
899 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. National Academy of Sciences;
900 1992;89:8750–3.
- 901 26. Kim J, Kim W, Cunningham CW. A new perspective on lower metazoan
902 relationships from 18S rDNA sequences. *Mol Biol Evol*. 1999.
- 903 27. Medina M, Collins AG, Silberman JD, Sogin ML. Evaluating hypotheses of basal
904 animal phylogeny using complete sequences of large and small subunit rRNA.
905 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. National Acad Sciences;
906 2001;98:9707–12.
- 907 28. Collins AG, Schuchert P, Marques AC, Jankowski T, Medina M, Schierwater B.
908 Medusozoan phylogeny and character evolution clarified by new large and small subunit
909 rDNA data and an assessment of the utility of phylogenetic mixture models. *Syst. Biol*.
910 Oxford University Press; 2006;55:97–115.
- 911 29. Dunn CW, Hejzol A, Matus DQ, Pang K, Browne WE, Smith SA, et al. Broad

- 912 phylogenomic sampling improves resolution of the animal tree of life. *Nature*. Nature
913 Publishing Group; 2008;452:745–9.
- 914 30. Philippe H, Derelle R, Lopez P, Pick K, Borchiellini C, Boury-Esnault N, et al.
915 Phylogenomics revives traditional views on deep animal relationships. *Curr Biol*.
916 2009;19:706–12.
- 917 31. Erwin DH. *Wonderful Ediacarans, wonderful cnidarians?* *Evol Dev*. Blackwell
918 Publishing Inc; 2008;10:263–4.
- 919 32. Gold DA, Jacobs DK. Stem cell dynamics in Cnidaria: are there unifying principles?
920 *Dev Genes Evol*. 2012;223:53–66.
- 921 33. Zapata F, Goetz FE, Smith SA, Howison M, Siebert S, Church SH, et al.
922 Phylogenomic Analyses Support Traditional Relationships within Cnidaria. Steele RE,
923 editor. *PLoS ONE*. Public Library of Science; 2015;10:e0139068.
- 924 34. Daly M, Brugler MR, Cartwright P, Collins AG. The phylum Cnidaria: a review of
925 phylogenetic patterns and diversity 300 years after Linnaeus. 2007.
- 926 35. Zhang ZQ. *Animal biodiversity: An introduction to higher-level classification and*
927 *taxonomic richness*. *Zootaxa*. 2011.
- 928 36. Fautin D, Mariscal R. *Microscopic anatomy of invertebrates: Anthozoa*. Harrison FW,
929 Ruppert EE, editors. 1991;;1–93.
- 930 37. Won J, Rho B, Song J. A phylogenetic study of the Anthozoa (phylum Cnidaria)
931 based on morphological and molecular characters. *Coral reefs*. Springer-Verlag;
932 2001;20:39–50.
- 933 38. Babonis LS, Martindale MQ, Ryan JF. Do novel genes drive morphological novelty?
934 An investigation of the nematosomes in the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis*. *BMC*
935 *Evol Biol*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*; 2016;16:1–22.
- 936 39. Hand C, Uhlinger KR. The culture, sexual and asexual reproduction, and growth of
937 the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis*. *Biol Bull. MBL*; 1992;182:169–76.
- 938 40. Fritzenwanker JH, Technau U. Induction of gametogenesis in the basal cnidarian
939 *Nematostella vectensis* (Anthozoa). *Dev Genes Evol*. 2002;212:99–103.
- 940 41. Stefanik DJ, Friedman LE, Finnerty JR. Collecting, rearing, spawning and inducing
941 regeneration of the starlet sea anemone, *Nematostella vectensis*. *Nat Protoc*. 2013;8:916–
942 23.
- 943 42. Putnam NH, Srivastava M, Hellsten U, Dirks B, Chapman J, Salamov A, et al. Sea
944 anemone genome reveals ancestral eumetazoan gene repertoire and genomic organization.
945 *Science*. 2007;317:86–94.


- 946 43. Layden MJ, Röttinger E, Wolenski FS, Gilmore TD, Martindale MQ. Microinjection
947 of mRNA or morpholinos for reverse genetic analysis in the starlet sea anemone,
948 *Nematostella vectensis*. *Nat Protoc.* 2013;8:924–34.
- 949 44. Layden MJ, Rentzsch F, Röttinger E. The rise of the starlet sea anemone
950 *Nematostella vectensis* as a model system to investigate development and regeneration.
951 *WIREs Dev Biol.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2016.
- 952 45. Ikmi A, McKinney SA, Delventhal KM, Gibson MC. TALEN and CRISPR/Cas9-
953 mediated genome editing in the early-branching metazoan *Nematostella vectensis*. *Nature*
954 *Communications.* 2014;5:5486.
- 955 46. Kusserow A, Pang K, Sturm C, Lentfer J, Schmidt HA, Technau U, et al. Unexpected
956 complexity of the Wnt gene family in a sea anemone. *Nature.* 2005;433:156–60.
- 957 47. Schwaiger M, Schonauer A, Rendeiro AF, Pribitzer C, Schauer A, Gilles AF, et al.
958 Evolutionary conservation of the eumetazoan gene regulatory landscape. *Genome*
959 *Research.* 2014.
- 960 48. Rentzsch F, Fritzenwanker JH, Scholz CB, Technau U. FGF signalling controls
961 formation of the apical sensory organ in the cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis*.
962 *Development.* 2008;135:1761–9.
- 963 49. Saina M, Technau U. Characterization of myostatin/gdf8/11 in the starlet sea
964 anemone *Nematostella vectensis*. *J Exp Zool.* 2009.
- 965 50. Layden MJ, Boekhout M, Martindale MQ. *Nematostella vectensis* achaete-scute
966 homolog *NvashA* regulates embryonic ectodermal neurogenesis and represents an ancient
967 component of the metazoan neural specification pathway. *Development (Cambridge,*
968 *England).* 2012;139:1013–22.
- 969 51. Röttinger E, Dahlin P, Martindale MQ. A Framework for the Establishment of a
970 Cnidarian Gene Regulatory Network for “Endomesoderm” Specification: The Inputs of
971 β -Catenin/TCF Signaling. Mullins MC, editor. *PLoS Genet.* 2012;8:e1003164.
- 972 52. Leclère L, Rentzsch F. RGM Regulates BMP-Mediated Secondary Axis Formation in
973 the Sea Anemone *Nematostella vectensis*. *CellReports.* 2014;9:1921–30.
- 974 53. Layden MJ, Johnston H, Amiel AR, Havrilak J, Steinworth B, Chock T, et al. MAPK
975 signaling is necessary for neurogenesis in *Nematostella vectensis*. *BMC Biol.* 2016;14:61.
- 976 54. Genikhovich G, Fried P, Prünster MM, Schinko JB, Gilles AF, Fredman D, et al.
977 Axis Patterning by BMPs: Cnidarian Network Reveals Evolutionary Constraints.
978 *CellReports.* 2015;10:1646–54.
- 979 55. Wikramanayake AH, Hong M, Lee PN, Pang K, Byrum CA, Bince JM, et al. An
980 ancient role for nuclear beta-catenin in the evolution of axial polarity and germ layer
981 segregation. *Nature.* 2003;426:446–50.

- 982 56. Burton PM, Finnerty JR. Conserved and novel gene expression between regeneration
983 and asexual fission in *Nematostella vectensis*. *Dev Genes Evol.* 2009;219:79–87.
- 984 57. Tucker RP, Shibata B, Blankenship TN. Ultrastructure of the mesoglea of the sea
985 anemone *Nematostella vectensis* (Edwardsiidae). *Invertebrate Biology.* 2011;130:11–24.
- 986 58. Trevino M, Stefanik DJ, Rodriguez R, Harmon S, Burton PM. Induction of canonical
987 Wnt signaling by alsterpaullone is sufficient for oral tissue fate during regeneration and
988 embryogenesis in *Nematostella vectensis*. *Dev Dyn.* 2011;240:2673–9.
- 989 59. Passamaneck YJ, Martindale MQ. Cell proliferation is necessary for the regeneration
990 of oral structures in the anthozoan cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis*. *BMC Dev Biol.*
991 *BMC Developmental Biology;* 2012;12:1–1.
- 992 60. Bossert PE, Dunn MP, Thomsen GH. A staging system for the regeneration of a
993 polyp from the aboral physa of the anthozoan cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis*. *Dev Dyn.*
994 2013;242:1320–31.
- 995 61. Schaffer AA, Bazarsky M, Levy K, Chalifa-Caspi V, Gat U. A transcriptional time-
996 course analysis of oral vs. aboral whole-body regeneration in the Sea anemone
997 *Nematostella vectensis*. *BMC Genomics.* 2016;17:718.
- 998 62. Amiel AR, Johnston HT, Nedoncelle K, Warner JF, Ferreira S, Röttinger E.
999 Characterization of Morphological and Cellular Events Underlying Oral Regeneration in
1000 the Sea Anemone, *Nematostella vectensis*. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2015;16:28449–71.
- 1001 63. Ormestad M, Amiel A, Röttinger E. Ex-situ Macro Photography of Marine Life.
1002 *Imaging Marine Life.* Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA;
1003 2013. pp. 210–33.
- 1004 64. Cheung TH, Rando TA. Molecular regulation of stem cell quiescence. *Nat Rev Mol*
1005 *Cell Biol.* 2013;14:329–40.
- 1006 65. Bickenbach JR. Identification and behavior of label-retaining cells in oral mucosa and
1007 skin. *J. Dent. Res.* 1981;60 Spec No C:1611–20.
- 1008 66. Morris RJ, Fischer SM, Slaga TJ. Evidence that the centrally and peripherally located
1009 cells in the murine epidermal proliferative unit are two distinct cell populations. *Journal*
1010 *of Investigative Dermatology.* 1985;84:277–81.
- 1011 67. Braun KM, Watt FM. Epidermal label-retaining cells: background and recent
1012 applications. *J. Investig. Dermatol. Symp. Proc.* 2004;9:196–201.
- 1013 68. Zhang L, Li H, Zeng S, Chen L, Fang Z, Huang Q. Long-term tracing of the BrdU
1014 label-retaining cells in adult rat brain. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2015;591:30–4.
- 1015 69. Nemeth K, Karpati S. Identifying the Stem Cell. *Journal of Investigative*
1016 *Dermatology.* Elsevier Masson SAS; 2014;134:1–5.

- 1017 70. Ishizuya-Oka A. Epithelial-connective tissue cross-talk is essential for regeneration of
1018 intestinal epithelium. *J Nippon Med Sch.* 2005;72:13–8.
- 1019 71. Lemons ML, Condic ML. Integrin signaling is integral to regeneration. *Experimental*
1020 *Neurology.* 2008;209:343–52.
- 1021 72. Satoh A, Makanae A, Hirata A, Satou Y. Blastema induction in aneurogenic state and
1022 Prrx-1 regulation by MMPs and FGFs in *Ambystoma mexicanum* limb regeneration. *Dev*
1023 *Biol.* 2011;355:263–74.
- 1024 73. Makanae A, Satoh A. Early regulation of axolotl limb regeneration. *Anat Rec*
1025 (Hoboken). Wiley Subscription Services, Inc., A Wiley Company; 2012;295:1566–74.
- 1026 74. Monaghan JR, Athippozhy A, Seifert AW, Putta S, Stromberg AJ, Maden M, et al.
1027 Gene expression patterns specific to the regenerating limb of the Mexican axolotl.
1028 *Biology Open.* 2012;1:937–48.
- 1029 75. Endo T, Bryant SV, Gardiner DM. A stepwise model system for limb regeneration.
1030 *Dev Biol.* 2004;270:135–45.
- 1031 76. Wernig F, Mayr M, Xu Q. Mechanical stretch-induced apoptosis in smooth muscle
1032 cells is mediated by beta1-integrin signaling pathways. *Hypertension.* Lippincott
1033 Williams & Wilkins; 2003;41:903–11.
- 1034 77. Fujisawa T. Role of interstitial cell migration in generating position-dependent
1035 patterns of nerve cell differentiation in *Hydra*. *Dev Biol.* 1989;133:77–82.
- 1036 78. Boehm A-M, Bosch TCG. Migration of multipotent interstitial stem cells in *Hydra*.
1037 *Zoology (Jena).* 2012;115:275–82.
- 1038 79. Mochizuki K, Sano H, Kobayashi S, Nishimiya-Fujisawa C, Fujisawa T. Expression
1039 and evolutionary conservation of nanos-related genes in *Hydra*. *Dev Genes Evol.*
1040 2000;210:591–602.
- 1041 80. Rebscher N, Volk C, Teo R, Plickert G. The germ plasm component Vasa allows
1042 tracing of the interstitial stem cells in the cnidarian *Hydractinia echinata*. *Dev Dyn.*
1043 Wiley-Liss, Inc; 2008;237:1736–45.
- 1044 81. Gustafson EA, Wessel GM. Vasa genes: emerging roles in the germ line and in
1045 multipotent cells. *Bioessays.* WILEY-VCH Verlag; 2010;32:626–37.
- 1046 82. Alié A, Leclère L, Jager M, Dayraud C, Chang P, Le Guyader H, et al. Somatic stem
1047 cells express Piwi and Vasa genes in an adult ctenophore: Ancient association of
1048 “germline genes” with stemness. *Dev Biol.* Elsevier Inc; 2011;350:183–97.
- 1049 83. Plickert G, Frank U, Müller WA. *Hydractinia*, a pioneering model for stem cell
1050 biology and reprogramming somatic cells to pluripotency. *Int J Dev Biol.* 2012;56:519–
1051 34.


- 1052 84. Alié A, Hayashi T, Sugimura I, Manuel M, Sugano W, Mano A, et al. The ancestral
1053 gene repertoire of animal stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2015;112:E7093–100.
- 1054 85. Rinkevich B, Matrangola V. *Stem Cells in Marine Organisms*. Springer Verlag; 2009.
- 1055 86. Extavour CG, Pang K, Matus DQ, Martindale MQ. *vasa* and *nanos* expression
1056 patterns in a sea anemone and the evolution of bilaterian germ cell specification
1057 mechanisms. *Evol Dev*. 2005;7:201–15.
- 1058 87. Bode HR. The interstitial cell lineage of hydra: a stem cell system that arose early in
1059 evolution. *J Cell Sci*. 1996.
- 1060 88. Bosch TCG, Anton-Erxleben F, Hemmrich G, Khalturin K. The Hydra polyp: nothing
1061 but an active stem cell community. *Dev Growth Differ*. 2010;52:15–25.
- 1062 89. Galliot B, Ghila L. Cell plasticity in homeostasis and regeneration. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.*
1063 Wiley Subscription Services, Inc., A Wiley Company; 2010;77:837–55.
- 1064 90. Leclère L, Röttinger E. Diversity of cnidarian muscles: function, anatomy,
1065 development and regeneration. *Front Cell Dev Biol. Frontiers*; 2017;4:E3365.
- 1066
- 1067

1068 **Figures and Figure legends**



1069


1070 **Figure 1.** Oral regenerative capacity analyzed in juveniles (Aa-e, Aa'-e') and adults (Bf-j, Bf'-j') from
 1071 isolated [physa] (Aa, Aa', Bf, Bf'); [half body column + physa] (Ab, Ab', Bg, Bg'); [full body column +
 1072 physa] (Ac, Ac', Bh, Bh'); [half pharynx + full body column + physa] (Ad, Ad', Bi, Bi'); [body column]
 1073 (Ae, Ae', Bj, Bj') from which aboral regeneration was also assessed (dashed line in Ae', Bj'). On top of
 1074 each panel are *Nematostella* illustrations in which each isolated part is indicated in green and the part
 1075 scored for regeneration in grey. Photographs of the isolated body parts at 2hpa (Aa-e, Bf-j). Phenotypes
 1076 observed after 144hpa (6 days post amputation) (Aa'-e', Bf'-j'). n=[number of specimen with represented
 1077 phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen]. **C.** Diagram summarizing the oral regeneration
 1078 experiments carried out in juveniles and adults. Green parts in the schematic *Nematostella* indicate the
 1079 isolated body part, green checkmarks regenerative success and red crosses the absence of regeneration after
 1080 body part isolation.



1081


1082

1083 **Figure 2. A.** Cell proliferation in juveniles at 48hpa for [half body column + physa] (a), [full body column
 1084 + physa] (b) and [half pharynx + full body column + physa] (c). **B.** Cell proliferation in juveniles at 48
 1085 and 144hpa (e) and in adult at 24 (f, oral; h, aboral) and 72hpa (g, oral; i, aboral) for [body column]. The
 1086 left red triangle in g and i represent a gradient of cell proliferation. Confocal images of cell proliferation in
 1087 red (EdU) (Aa-c, Bd-i) and DNA/nucleus in cyan (DAPI) (small insert in Aa-c, Bd-i). n=[number of
 1088 specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen].
 1089




1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105

Figure 3. Cell proliferation in adult (A) and juvenile (B) [physa]. A. In adults, cell proliferation is present at the amputation site (Aa,b) and required for regeneration (c,d) of the [physa]. (a,b) Confocal microscopy images showing cellular proliferation in red (EdU) at 48hpa (a,b). Oral most part (a) and aboral most part (b) of the adult isolated physa. The cartoon to the left indicates the isolated physa and the regions represented in (a) and (b). (c,d) Hydroxyurea (HU) at 20mM was used in this set of experiment to inhibit cell proliferation in adult [physa] (untreated control in c, HU treated animal in d). n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen]. B. Cell proliferation is absent/reduced in isolated juvenile physa at 48hpa. Observation of cellular proliferation at 48hpa using EdU staining on isolated juvenile physa. (a-d) Fluorescence microscopy images showing cellular proliferation (green), (a'-d') corresponding DIC images of the isolated physa represented above. Black arrows indicate the position of remaining mesentery tissue. On top of the panel the number of EdU positive cells (< 20 cells to > 50 cells), the amount of mesentery tissue (-, absent, +, few or ++, more than few) as well as the localization of EdU staining (-, random, oral) within the isolated physa are represented. n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen]




1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115

Figure 4. Comparison of the physa anatomy between juvenile (a, b, b') and adult (c, d, d'). (a) and (c) are macrophotographs. (b, b', d, d') are confocal stack images in which the DNA (nucleus) is labeled with DAPI (cyan), and the actin microfilaments (muscle fibers and cell membranes) are labeled with Phalloidin (white). These confocal stack images are close-ups of the physa where longitudinal muscle fibers are present. (b') and (d') are orthogonal confocal projection of the stacks (b) and (d), respectively. White arrows indicate either the end (a) or the continuity (c) of the mesenteries. Dashed squares in (a) and (c) indicate the region represented in (b) and (d) respectively. *ect*, ectoderm; *gas*, gastrodermis; *lmf*, longitudinal muscle fibers; *tmf*, transversal muscle fibers.




1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124

Figure 5. Mesenteries are required for regeneration. Regenerative success was assayed after tissue isolation experiments in which mesenteries were isolated from the ectodermal and gastrodermal epithelia. The isolated tissues or the type of grafting experiment is indicated on top of the panel. The time scale is from top to bottom of the figure and indicated in days post amputation (dpa) in the left bottom corner of each image. White numbers in (b, e, h, k, n) are the n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen].




1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135

Figure 6. Mesenteries are required for cell proliferation during regeneration. Cell proliferation using EdU staining at various time point during regeneration in adult [body epithelia] (a-c, a'-c') and [body epithelia + mesenteries] (d-f, d'-f'). Confocal microscopy images showing cellular proliferation (a-f; red) and DAPI (a'-f'; cyan) for corresponding images. Red triangle in (e, f) indicates the gradient of proliferating cells at the regenerating site. No regeneration occurs in the [body epithelia] (a-c, a'-c') indicated by a red cross at the bottom of the panel in front of R (Regeneration). Regeneration occurs in the [body epithelia + mesenteries] (d-f, d'-f') indicated by a green check at the bottom of the panel in front of R (Regeneration). n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen].




1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146

Figure 7. Presence of Label Retaining cells (LRCs) in uncut juveniles (A, D) and adults (B, C). **A.** LRCs present in juvenile epithelia that following a 1 week pulse, were chased at 1 (a,a'), 3 (b,b'), 5 (c,c'), 7 (d,d'), 9 (e,e'), and 11 weeks (f,f'). **B.** LRCs in adult epithelia chased at 7 (g,g') and 39 days (h,h') after a 1 week pulse. **C.** LRCs in juvenile mesenteries chased at 1 (i,i') and 11 weeks (j,j') after a 1 week pulse. **D.** LRCs in adult mesenteries chased at 39 days (k,k',l,l') after a 1 week pulse. LRCs are stained in green (a-l). Nuclei in white (DAPI) (a'-l'). Green arrows (d-h) and the discontinued line (k,k',l,l') indicate LRCs pairs and the zone of LRC accumulation in the gonad, respectively. *mes*, mesenteries; *pha*, pharynx; *sp*, sperm mass.




1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157

Figure 8. A. X-ray irradiation perturbs head regeneration. Confocal images of control non-irradiated (a) and X-ray irradiated (a') animal at 144hpa. Nuclei are in cyan (DAPI) (a, a'). **B.** A population of cells in the mesenteries is able to re-enter the mitotic cycle 48 hours post irradiation (hpirr). Confocal images of control non irradiated (b,b': 24hpa; d,d': 48hpa) and irradiated (c,c': 24hpa; e,e': 48hpa) polyps. A few cells in the mesenteries, localized at the amputation site, were able to re-enter the cell cycle between 24 and 48hpa (e, e'). EdU staining in red shows cell proliferation (b-e). Nuclei are in cyan (DAPI) (b'-e'). *mes*, mesenteries; *pha*, pharynx; *ten*, tentacles. n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen].




1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170

Figure 9. A. Diagram of the protocol to assess cell migration in graft experiments. [epithelia EdU+] and [mes EdU+] represent the isolated epithelia of the body wall and the mesenteries, respectively, that were labeled with EdU (from clone 1). [epithelia] and [mesenteries] represent the isolated epithelia of the body wall and the mesenteries, respectively, that were not labeled (from clone 2). **B.** Fluorescence imaging of the resulting graft experiments ([epithelia] + [mesLRC]). The discontinued green line indicates the position of the [mesLRC] 14 days after being grafted into the [epithelia] (*epi*). Green arrows indicate EdU+ cells from the [mesLRC] that retained the EdU and migrated into the non labeled [epithelia] (a and b). In addition, they were able to divide as indicated by the presence of cell pairs (c) that can be localized in the regenerating tentacles (d). **C.** Confocal imaging of EdU+ cells from the [mesLRC] that retained EdU and migrated into the non labeled [epithelia]. Overlap of the nucleus staining in white (DAPI) and EdU R in green (a-d, a'-d'). a'-d' are magnification of the EdU+ cell from a-d.



1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181


Figure 10. **A.** Diagram of the protocol assessing LRC dynamics during regeneration. After a one-week pulse with EdU, adult polyps were rinsed thoroughly with 1/3x seawater and kept for 40 days. Then, sub-pharyngeal amputation was performed and LRCs were chased at 24, 48 and 72hpa. **B.** Confocal imaging of LRC dynamics in regenerating polyps. LRCs are stained in green (a-e). Nuclei are in white (DAPI) (a'-e'). Regenerating adult polyps at 24hpa (a-d, a'-d'), accumulation of the LRCs cells is detected at the oral side (a,b,d) but not in the epithelia of the body wall (c). b and b' are the magnification of the square in (a) that indicate a cluster of LRCs at the amputation site during the regeneration process. LRCs are detected in the newly regenerated tentacles (e,e'; green arrows). *mes*, mesenteries; *mid-body ectoD*, mid-body region ectodermal view; *oral ectoD*, oral region ectodermal view; *oral gastroD*, oral region gastrodermal view.



1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196


Figure 11. Accumulation of EdU+ cells at the amputation site during regeneration. Confocal images of the EdU “pulse-1h-and chase experiment” on uncut (epithelia: Aa-d) and cut (Epithelia: Ae-h; Mesenteries: Bi-k) polyps analyzed at 0, 24, 48 and 96 hours post-pulse (hpp). In A and B, nuclei are labeled with DAPI (cyan) and EdU retaining cells are recognized by their green staining. White arrows at 24hpp in uncut (Ab) and cut (Af) polyps indicate the appearance of EdU cell pairs. Green and orange double arrowheads in Ag indicate the accumulation of the EdU+ retaining cells at the amputation site of the regenerating polyp, and the EdU depleted zone, respectively. No accumulation of EdU+ retaining cells is detected in uncut animals (Aa-d). In B, the accumulation of EdU+ retaining cells in the most oral part of the mesenteries starts at 24hpa (Bj) and become stronger at 48hpa (Bk). The green double arrowhead and the discontinued line, in Bj and k, indicate the accumulation of the EdU+ retaining cells, in the epithelia and in the mesenteries, respectively. The orange double arrowhead in Bk indicates the EdU depleted zone in the mesenteries. *mes*, mesenteries.

1197 **Additional Files: Figure legends**




1198

1199 **Figure S1.** Diagram summarizing the various amputation sites along the body column of *Nematostella* used
1200 in this study. The asterisks for [body column] and [pharynx] indicate that in those isolated parts of the
1201 animal, oral and aboral regeneration were scored.
1202




1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215

Figure S2. Aboral regenerative capacity analyzed in juveniles (Aa-e, Aa'-e') and in adults (Bf-j, Bf'-j') from isolated [tentacles] (Aa, Aa', Bf, Bf'); [tentacles + half pharynx] (Ab, Ab', Bg, Bg'); [tentacles + full pharynx] (Ac, Ac', Bh, Bh'); [tentacles + half pharynx + half body column] (Ad, Ad', Bi, Bi'); [pharynx] (Ae, Ae', Bj, Bj'). The success of aboral regeneration is indicated by the development of a new physa delimited by the white dashed line. Photographs of the isolated body parts at 2hpa (Aa-e, Bf-j). Phenotypes observed after 144hpa (6 days post amputation) (Aa'-e', Bf'-j'). n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen]. (*) indicates that from the pool of isolated body parts, four animals regenerated with two oral but no aboral regions. C. Diagram summarizing the aboral regeneration experiments carried out in juveniles and adults. Green parts in the schematic *Nematostella* indicate the isolated body part, green checkmarks the regenerative success and red crosses the absence of regeneration after body part isolation.




1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221

Figure S3. Cell proliferation at 48hpa in [tentacles + half pharynx] (a); [tentacles + full pharynx] (b); [tentacles + full pharynx + half body column] (c). Confocal microscopy images showing cellular proliferation (EdU labeling, a-c; red) and nuclei using DAPI (cyan, right bottom square) for corresponding images. n =[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen].




1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233

Figure S4. Macrophotographs illustrating the tissue isolation and grafting experiments. (a-c) are images of the experimental protocol for the isolation of the mesenteries and the body wall epithelia. (d, e) are images of the [mes] + [ecto + gastro] grafts immediately after the combination. Purple arrowheads indicate the organic string required to maintain the tissues together. (f) is an image of two samples three days after grafting the tissues together and in which the graft between the mesenteries and the body wall epithelia was successful (and later regenerated). Note that the integrity of the mesenterial tissue (pink) is maintained.




1234
1235
1236

Figure S5. Macrophotographs illustrating regenerated polyps before feeding (a) and after feeding (b). The white arrows in (b) show the eaten artemia (brine shrimp).




1237
1238
1239
1240
1241

Figure S6. Presence of Label (EdU) Retaining cells (LRCs) in uncut adult gonads. The discontinued line (g,g') show the LRCs and the zone of LRCs accumulation in the gonad, respectively. LRC EdU staining in green (g) and DAPI staining that is labeling the nuclei in white (g'). *sp*, sperm mass.




1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255

Figure S7. Presence of Label (EdU) Retaining cells (LRCs) in uncut adults. Confocal images of the LRCs in adult epithelia chased at 39 days (EdU R in green, a-e) after a one-week pulse. Nuclei are in white (DAPI) (a'-e'). Overlay of EdU and DAPI staining in d'. The renewal of the gastrodermal cells seems faster than the ectodermal cells as LRCs are more isolated and sparse in the gastrodermis than in the ectodermis in adults (a,b). Within the LRCs, one can distinguish differentiated cells such as cnidocytes (e,e'), excretory cells (f,f'; discontinued line in f') and batteries of nematocysts. The latter have partially labeled nuclei potentially because of their several rounds of cell division (d,d'). Cells with highly condensed DNA are also found (c,c'). Green arrows (c,e-f) and arrow heads (d) indicate the LRCs EdU staining. Yellow arrows (c',e'-f') and arrow heads (d') indicate the LRCs nuclei. Note that one cell of the batteries of the nematocysts is poorly or not labeled (white arrow head in d') probably due to the dilution of the signal through cell divisions. f' is a magnification of the square represented in f. *ectoD*, ectodermal view; *exc cells*, excretory cells; *gastroD*, gastrodermal view.




1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262

Figure S8. LRCs at 8 days after pulse (h), 39 days chase (i) and 5 months chase (j) in the tentacles of the uncut polyp. LRCs are present in the tentacles up to 39 days (i) but not at 5 months chase (i). However, they are still present in the body wall epithelia of the 5 months chase (k). LRC EdU staining in green (h-k) and DAPI staining labeling the nuclei in white (h'-k'). Green arrows (h,i,k) show the LRCs. Green arrow-head in k shows potential batteries of nematocysts.




1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271

Figure S9. Irradiation blocks cell proliferation (a,a',b,b') and a population of cells is able to re-enter the mitotic cycle between 48 and 96 hours post irradiation (hpirr) in the uncut animal. Confocal images of control non irradiated (a,a') and irradiated (b-e,b'-e') uncut polyps. While cell proliferation is blocked after irradiation (a,a',b,b'), a few cells in the mesenteries, were able to re-enter the cell cycle between 48 and 96hpirr. They were also detectable at 144hpirr within the mesenteries and in the pharyngeal region (e,e'). *mes*, mesenteries; *pha*, pharynx. n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen].



1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280

Figure S10. A population of cells is able to re-enter the mitotic cycle between 24 and 48 hours post amputation (hpa) (corresponding to 28 and 52hpirr) in the 100 Grays irradiated polyps. Confocal images of control non irradiated (f,f': 72hpa; h,h': 120hpa) and irradiated (g,g': 72hpa; i,i': 120hpa) polyps. The cells in the mesenteries, localized at the amputation site, that re-entered the cell cycle between 24 and 48hpa are also detectable at 72 and 120hpa (g,i). EdU staining in red shows cell proliferation (f,i). Nuclei are in cyan (DAPI) (f',i'). *mes*, mesenteries; *pha lip*, pharyngeal lip; *ten*, tentacles. n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen].



1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287


Figure S11. A population of cells is able to re-enter the mitotic cycle between 24 and 48 hours post amputation (hpa) (corresponding to 28 and 52hpirr) in 300 Grays irradiated polyps. Confocal images of irradiated and amputated polyp (j,j': 48hpa; k,k': 144hpa). EdU staining in red shows cell proliferation (j,k). Nuclei are in cyan (DAPI) (j',k'). *mes*, mesenteries. n=[number of specimen with represented phenotype]/[total number of analyzed specimen].

A	Step 0	Step1	Step2	Step3	Step4
non irradiated observed at 48hpa	2/15	5/15	8/15	0/15	0/15
irradiated 100 gray observed at 48hpa	3/16	6/16	7/16	0/16	0/16
irradiated 300 gray observed at 48hpa	2/14	10/14	2/14	0/14	0/14
non irradiated observed at 144hpa	0/38	0/38	3/38	9/38	26/38
irradiated 100 gray observed at 144hpa	1/31	7/31	21/31	2/31	0/31
irradiated 300 gray observed at 144hpa	0/16	1/16	15/16	0/16	0/16

B	Step 0	Step1	Step2	Step3	Step4
non irradiated observed at 24hpa	0/14	14/14	0/14	0/14	0/14
irradiated 100 gray observed at 24hpa	10/19	9/19	0/19	0/19	0/19
non irradiated observed at 48hpa	0/16	14/16	2/16	0/16	0/16
irradiated 100 gray observed at 48hpa	1/21	10/21	8/21	1/21	0/21
non irradiated observed at 72hpa	0/31	0/31	20/31	11/31	0/31
irradiated 100 gray observed at 72hpa	0/29	5/29	24/29	0/29	0/29
non irradiated observed at 120hpa	0/36	0/36	0/36	12/36	24/36
irradiated 100 gray observed at 120hpa	0/33	3/33	29/33	1/33	0/33

Figure S12. The resulting phenotype from non-irradiated vs irradiated uncut animals that were bisected and then scored for regeneration progression at various time points after amputation in two independent experiments (**A**: experiment batch 1 and **B**: experiment batch 2).


1288
1289
1290
1291



1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298

Figure S13. Chase of the EdU+ cells at 0, 24 and 48 hours post-1hour-pulse (hpp) in control uncut animals. Confocal images of EdU+ cells (EdU R) that are stained in green (a-c) and the nucleus in white (a'-c'). Orange arrows indicate the position of the pharynx. White arrows indicate EdU R cell pairs (b,c). No accumulation of EdU+ retaining cells is detected in uncut animals (Aa-d). The discontinued white and purple lines indicate the contours of the epithelia and mesenteries, respectively. *mes*, mesenteries.

1299
1300



1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310

Figure S14. Average number of EdU R cells during the time course of regeneration in three different zones located along the oral-aboral axis of the body epithelia for uncut and cut (*) polyps. These zones are named Z1', Z1'' and Z2. All the described zones are restricted to the epithelia; mesenteries are excluded from the counting. The zones are defined as followed: Z1 - oral half of the polyp; Note that in the uncut animal, the region above the sub-pharyngeal line (the head) was not taken into account to define the zone; Z1' and Z1'' are the oral and aboral halves of Z1, respectively. Z2 - aboral half of the polyp. (*) is associated to the three zones defined in regenerating polyps (Z1*1, Z1*2 and Z2*). Counting was performed in three independent experiments (*exp1*, *exp2*, *exp3*). Arrows on the right side indicate general variations of the average number of cells for the different zones in the uncut vs cut animals.